



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DEVELOPMENT OF DISTRIBUTED GRID-BASED HYDROLOGICAL
MODEL AND FLOODPLAIN INUNDATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

A'KIF MOHAMMED SALEM AL_FUGARA

FK 2008 27

**DEVELOPMENT OF DISTRIBUTED GRID-BASED HYDROLOGICAL
MODEL AND FLOODPLAIN INUNDATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

By

A'KIF MOHAMMED SALEM AL_FUGARA

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

August 2008



DEDICATION

*To my dear parents, brothers and sisters
your patients and support has been my motivation
I love you all*



Abstract of the thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF DISTRIBUTED GRID-BASED HYDROLOGICAL MODEL AND FLOODPLAIN INUNDATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

By

A'KIF AL_FUGARA

August 2008

Chairman: Associate Professor Thamer Mohammed, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

A physical based, distributed hydrological model was developed to route overland flows during isolated HISD storms. The model has operated on a grid or cell basis and routed the excess rainfall over the grids, conforming to the DEM-derived drainage paths, to the basin outlet. The rainfall-runoff hydrological modelling was implemented in MATLAB 7.0. The system has integrated GIS, RS, DEM, data management capability and a dynamic basin model within a common Windows environment. The simulation algorithms of the rainfall-runoff model have operated on grid bases compatible with the MATLAB programming language, which has been used to write instructions to many grid-based operations. Due to the MATLAB architecture, the system has been proven successful for large-scale basin modeling, which requires high level resolution, record keeping and technical transfer. The model has estimated the runoff using the Soil Conservation Service-Curve Numbers (SCS-CN), determined by the land use/ land cover and the hydrological soil group



found in each grid. The overland flow mechanics were described by the diffusion wave approximation of St Venant equations, which were numerically solved for depth of flow and runoff by the finite volume method (FVM). The grid cell physical properties such as topography, land use, soil, and Manning's roughness' coefficient were extracted from published maps for discretized cells of the Klang River basin(KRB) using a GIS. The land use/cover classes were derived from interpreted information of Landsat TM imagery using the combined object-oriented segmentation - fuzzy logic algorithm. The DEM of 90m resolution, used to calculate slopes that generated runoffs, was derived from radar data sets (C-band) of the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) using the interferometric approach. Four criteria were used for the assessment of the model performance - Model bias, Nash–Sutcliffe and model efficiencies for both low and high flows during both calibration and validation periods. The results showed the advantages of integrating RS, DEM and GIS with hydrologic simulation in generating runoff processes in the spatial domain, attaining as well fairly high precision simulation with the general hydrologic trends well captured by the model.

This study has also involved the application of flood modeling, which has integrated the results of the grid-based overland flow routing model into MIKE11 one-dimensional hydrodynamic model. The discharge hydrographs were extracted from the grid-based overland flow routing model in ASCII format and imported into MIKE11 hydrodynamic modeling system. The MIKE11 model was developed based on surveyed, stream cross-section data to perform hydrodynamic simulation of the flooding process. The MIKE11 modeling was applied to the Klang River system comprising 9 main tributaries. The analysis has considered the river system with and

without Stormwater Management and Road Tunnel (SMART) project, which involve structural flood mitigations measures including retention ponds, bypass tunnel and flow diversions, where the river physical condition was modified accordingly. Hourly data for flow were created into compatible MIKE11 time series in a separate file as input to the parameter editors. Initial and boundary conditions were based on the inputs for MIKE11 operational analysis. It has been found that the modeled predictions of depth and discharge matched observed data. A good agreement between the simulated and observed data was achieved for rating curves with RMSE = 0.96, 0.94, 0.95, and 0.97 at respective calibration points. From the results revealed by the MIKE11 modeling simulation, there were evidences that SMART was useful for flood mitigation of Klang River Basin. For instance at Tun Perak Bridge, the normal level for the Klang River was 25m, the alert level was 28m and the danger level was 29.5m. The value from the simulation showed that the maximum water level without SMART was 32m. However this level with SMART was only 27.8m which did not exceed the alert and danger level at Tun Perak Bridge. This area is the most critical part of KL. Once the water level from the Klang River exceeds the flood wall, the whole KL will be badly flooded.

Finally, the results of the runoff modeling were integrated in MIKE-GIS model for flood inundation mapping. A digital planimetric view and topographic mapping of the floodplain was developed using the three-dimensional floodplain visualization approach through the integration of a digital terrain model. This model was synthesized from MIKE11 stream cross-sectional coordinate into a digital surface model, generated from aerial stereo pair photos using Ortho Engine PCI image processing software. The resulting formulated surface model provided a good

representation of the general landscape and contained additional details within the stream channel. Integration of 3D-GIS and spatial analytical techniques together with hydrologic and hydraulic modeling processes has enhanced the visualization and display techniques for visual presentation and generation of flood inundation maps for early warning and contingency planning.

Abstrak tesis yang dibentangkan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia untuk memenuhi keperluan ijazah Kedoktoran Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN MODEL TABURAN HIDROLOGI BERASASKAN GRID
DAN SISTEM PENGURUSAN DATARAN BANJIR**

Oleh

A'KIF AL_FUGARA

Ogos 2008

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Thamer Mohammed, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Suatu model taburan hidrolik berasaskan fizikal telah dibangunkan untuk menentukan arahan aliran air permukaan tanah semasa peristiwa HISD yang terencil. Model dapat beroperasi berasaskan grid atau sel dan menentukan arahan aliran air hujan berlebihan dipermukaan sel, mengikut arahan-arahan pengaliran yang berasal daripada DEM kepada jalan keluar lembangan. Pemodelan hidrolik hujan-larian permukaan telah dilaksanakan dalam MATLAB 7.0. Sistem itu dapat menyepadukan GIS, RS, DEM, keupayaan pengurusan data dan suatu model lembangan yang dinamik dalam satu persekitaraan WINDOWS yang umum. Algoritma-algoritma model simulasi hujan – larian permukaan dapat beroperasi berasaskan grid, yang dibaca dalam bahasa program MATLAB, yang pula di gunakan untuk menulis suruhan-suruhan menjalankan operasi-operasi berasaskan grid. Oleh kerana rekabentuk MATLAB, sistem ini dapat dijayakan dalam

pemodelan lembangan berskala besar, yang memerlukan resolusi tahap tinggi, penyimpanan rekod dan pemindahan teknik. Larian permukaan dapat dianggarkan oleh model dengan penggunaan *Soil Conservation Service-Curve Numbers (SCS-CN)* yang ditentukan oleh jenis guna tanah / liputan tanah dan kumpulan jenis tanah hidrologi pada setiap grid.

Mekanisma aliran permukaan tanah dapat digambarkan oleh *Diffusion Wave Approximation* dengan persamaan - persamaan *St Venant* , yang diselesaikan secara berangka untuk pendalaman aliran dan larian permukaan oleh keadah *finite volume (FVM)*. Ciri-ciri fizikal setiap grid seperti topografi, guna tanah, jenis tanah, dan *Manning's roughness' coefficient* dapat diperolehi daripada peta-peta yang telah diterbitkan untuk sel *discretized* bagi lembangan Sungai Klang dengan penggunaan Sistem Maklumat Geografi (GIS). Kelas-kelas gunatanah / liputan tanah telah dihasilkan melalui maklumat penafsiran data Landsat TM dengan penggunaan keadah gabungan *object-oriented segmentation - fuzzy logic algorithm*. DEM berresolusi 90m, yang digunakan untuk perkiraan kecerunan-kecerunan yang menghasilkan larian permukaan, dapat diperolehi dengan data radar (jaluran C) daripada *Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM)* melalui penggunaan keadah *interferometric*. Empat kriteria dapat digunakan untuk menilai prestasi model, iaitu *Model bias* , Nash–Sutcliffe dan kecekapan-kecakapan model bagi kedua-kedua aliran rendah dan tinggi dalam tempoh- tempoh kalibrasi dan pengesahan (*validation*). Hasil penilaian ini telah menunjukkan kebaikan – kebaikan penyepaduan RS, DEM dan GIS dengan simulasi hidrolik dalam proses-proses menghasilkan larian permukaan dalam domain spatial dan terus pula mencapai kejituan simulasi yang agak tinggi dengan ciri-ciri am hidrolik dilitupi lengkap oleh model.

Kajian ini telah juga melibatkan aplikasi pemodelan banjir, yang telah menyepadukan hasil-hasil model aliran atas permukaan tanah yang berasaskan grid di dalam MIKE11 model hidrodinamik satu dimensi. Hidrograf-hidrograf keluaran (*discharge*) telah diperolehi daripada model arahan aliran atas permukaan tanah yang berasaskan grid dalam format ASCII dan dimasukkan ke dalam sistem MIKE11 model. Model MIKE11 telah dibangunkan berasaskan data siasatan keratan lintang sungai untuk menjalankan simulasi hidrodinamik bagi proses banjir.

Model MIKE11 telah digunakan dalam sistem sungai Klang yang mengandungi 9 anak sungai utama. Analisa telah mengambil kira sistem sungai dengan atau tanpa projek *Stormwater Management And Road Tunnel (SMART)* yang melibatkan langkah-langkah berstruktur yang meringankan banjir, termasuk kolam penyimpanan air, terowong pintasan dan pemesongan aliran-aliran, yang mana keadaan fizikal sungai dapat diubahsuai sewajarnya. Data aliran setiap jam telah diwujudkan mengikut siri masa MIKE11 dalam satu fail berasingan sebagai input bagi penyunting-penyunting parameter. Keadaan-keadaan permulaan dan sempadan telah berasaskan input-input untuk analisa operasi MIKE11. Didapati bahawa ramalan-ramalan model bagi pendalaman dan keluaran adalah sama dengan data yang di perhatikan. Persetujuan baik diantara data simulasi dan data yang diperhatikan telah dicapai bagi *rating curves* yang mempunyai RMSE = 0.96, 0.94, 0.95, dan 0.97 pada titik-titik kalibrasi masing-masing. Daripada hasil-hasil yang ditunjukkan oleh model simulasi MIKE11, terdapat bukti-bukti bahawa SMART adalah berguna untuk meringankan banjir bagi lembangan sungai Klang. Sebagai contoh, di Jambatan Tun Perak, terdapat paras air biasa Sungai Klang ialah 25m, paras air amaran ialah 28m dan paras air bahaya ialah 29.5m. Nilai yang diperolehi dari simulasi menunjukkan

bahawa paras air maksima tanpa SMART ialah 32m. Walaubagaimanapun terdapat paras ini dengan SMART ialah 27.8 m sahaja, yang tidak melebihi kedua-dua paras amaran dan bahaya di Jambatan Tun Perak, yang merupakan kawasan yang paling kritikal di KL. Sekiranya paras air di Sungai Klang melebihi ketinggian dinding

.
Pada akhirnya hasil pemodelan larian permukaan dapat disatukan dalam model MIKE –GIS bagi tujuan pemetaan banjir. Satu pemandangan pelan yang berdigit dan pemetaan topografi bagi dataran banjir telah juga dibangunkan dengan menggunakan keadah penggambaran dataran banjir secara 3 dimensi melalui penyepaduan model bentuk muka bumi digital. Model ini dapat ubahkan melalui sintesis dari kordinat-kordinat keratan lintang sungai MIKE11 ke model permukaan berdigit, yang pula dihasilkan dari foto udara berpasangan stereo dengan penggunaan perisian pemprosesan imej Ortho Engine PCI.

Hasil model permukaan yang dirumus dapat memberi satu perwakilan yang baik bagi landskap am dan mangandungi butiran tambahan pula dalam saluran sungai. Penyepaduan 3D-GIS dan teknik analisa spatial bersama proses- proses model hidrolik dan hidraulik telah meningkatkan teknik penggambaran dan pertunjukan bagi tujuan pembentangan dan penghasilan peta-peta banjir bagi tujuan pemberian amaran awal dan perancangan kontingensi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise and thanks be to ALLAH, the Almighty, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful, for all the blessings and mercies He has bestowed upon me. Then, I am gratefully acknowledge and thank my advisor Assoc. Prof Dr. Thamer Ahmed Mohammed for all his help, support, valuable comments and advice not only throughout my work on this site but also since I started working on my Master degree in water engineering.

My sincere thanks and gratitude goes to the members of the supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Abdul Halim Ghazali, Ir. Dr. Salmah Zakaria, Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, and Prof. Shattri bin Mansor, for the advice, guidance and support throughout this study. My appreciation and thank also goes to members of research team of the GIS and Geomatic Engineering Unit, without their valuable advice and encouragement this study wouldn't have reached this fruitful end.

I would like to thank the Director of the Institute of Advanced Technology (ITMA) Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing me to use of the laboratory facilities of ITMA. I also would like to thank all staff of the Spatial and Numerical Modeling Laboratory (SNML), ITMA for their cooperation.

I would like to extend my thanks to the following organizations in Malaysia

- Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID)
- Malaysian Center for Remote Sensing (MACRES)
- Department of Surveying and Mapping Malaysia (JUPEM)



- Malaysian Metrological Department (MMD)
- Department of Agriculture (DOA), Malaysia
- Department of country and town planning, Selangor
- Mineral and Geoscience Department of Malaysia

I sincerely thank all my friends and well-wishers for their support and encouragement during my studies at UPM. Last but not least I will never forget to thank my immediate family members especially my father and mother who understood the need for me to be away for studies, Profound thanks are due to my brothers and sisters for their never ending love, support, understanding and encouragement. Praise be to ALLAH for blessing me with such a family.



I certify that and Examination Committee has met on 29th August 2008 to conduct the final examination of A'kif Mohammed Salem Al_Fugara on his PhD thesis entitled "Development of Distributed Grid-Based Hydrological Model and Floodplain Induation Management" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded Doctor Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Bujang Kim Huat, PhD

Professor
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Lee Teang Shui, PhD

Professor. Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Helmi Zulhaidi Mohd Shafri, PhD

Doctor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Salim Said, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Malaysia Sabah
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Thamer Mohammed, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abdul Halim Ghazali, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Shattri Bin Mansor, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD

Associate Professor
Institute of Advanced Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Salmah BT Zakaria, PhD

Director General
National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 November 2008



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently submitted for any other degree at Univirsiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

A'KIF AL_FUGARA

Date: 20 November 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	vii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xx
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.1 Introduction	1.1
1.2 Problem Statement	1.8
1.3 Goal and Objectives	1.10
1.4 Scope of the Study	1.12
1.5 Significant of the Study	1.12
1.6 Thesis Organization	1.13
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	2.1
2.1 Introduction	2.1
2.2 Hydrological Modeling	2.1
2.3 Rainfall - Runoff Modeling Approaches	2.3
2.4 Calculating Effective Rainfall (SCS) Method	2.5
2.5 Overland Flow	2.6
2.5.1 Hydrodynamic Overland Flow Equations	2.7
2.5.2 Simplifications of the Full Saint Venant Equations	2.11
2.5.3 Alternative Formulations of the Saint Venant Equations	2.13
2.5.4 Numerical Solution of Saint Venant Equations	2.15
2.5.5 Overland Flow Routing Models	2.19
2.5.6 GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling	2.22
2.5.6 Integrated GIS and Hydrological Models	2.23
2.5.7 Remote Sensing Applications in Hydrological Modeling	2.25
2.5.8 Digital Elevation Models Application in Hydrological Molding	2.30
2.5.9 Hydrological Models Calibration	2.35
2.6 GIS-Based Floodplain Management	2.38
2.7 Floodplain Visualization	2.42



2.8	Aerial Photogrammetry	2.45
2.9	DEM Extraction from Aerial Photographs	2.46
2.10	Hydrodynamic Models	2.47
2.11	Flood Disaster Problems and Management in Malaysia	2.52
2.12	Summary	2.59
3	MATERIAL AND MODELLING METHODS	3.1
3.1	Introduction	3.1
3.2	Study Area.	3.1
	3.2.1 Klang River Basin	3.1
	3.2.2 The Klang River System	3.3
	3.2.3 Metrology and Hydrology	3.4
3.3	Modelling Methodologies	3.5
	3.3.1 Hydrological Model Development	3.6
	3.3.1 Formulation of Hydrological Model	3.15
	3.3.2 Governing Differential Equations	3.20
	3.3.3 Numerical Solution	3.22
	3.3.5 Rainfall Excess Calculation	3.28
	3.3.6 Optimization Algorithm Implementation	3.29
3.4	Hydrodynamic (HD) Simulation	3.34
	3.4.1 The Adapted Hydraulic Model	3.34
	3.4.2 MIKE View	3.39
3.5	Digital Surface Model Generation from Aerial Stereo Pair Photos	3.39
	3.5.1 Aerial Photographs	3.40
	3.5.2 DEM Accuracy Assessment	3.48
3.7	Formation of an Integrated Terrain Model for Floodplain Delineation	3.49
	3.7.1 Application of the Terrain Model to the MIKE 11 GIS Interface	3.53
	3.7.2 Integration and Operation of Flood Forecasting and Flood Mapping	3.54
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.2	Rainfall-Runoff Hydrological Model	4.1
	4.2.1 Distributed Hydrologic Model Parameters	4.1
	4.2.1 Creating distributed Precipitation Grid	4.18
	4.2.2 Landuse Classification	4.20
4.3	Implementation of the Hydrological Model	4.39
	4.3.1 Model Calibration	4.45
	4.3.2 Model Performance Evaluation	4.62
4.4	Hydrodynamic (HD) Simulation (MIKE11 Hydrodynamic Model)	4.68



4.4.1	MIKE11 Hydrodynamic Model Calibration	4.68
4.4.2	Hydrodynamic Simulation of Water Level	4.72
4.4.3	Discharge Simulation	4.75
4.4.4	Evaluating SMART Tunnel Analysis	4.78
4.4.5	Hydrodynamic Analysis of SMART Flood Mitigation Project Components	4.80
4.4.6	Flood Porn Locations in Kuala Lumpur Centre City	4.87
4.5	Terrain Model Development and Floodplain Delineation	4.94
4.5.1	Flood Inundation Mapping	4.99
4.5.2	Importing Q and h Data into MIKE11 GIS	4.101
4.5.3	Generating Flood Maps and Animations from the Unsteady Flow Model	4.103
5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	5.1
5.1	Summary	5.1
5.2	Conclusion	5.8
5.3	Further Extension of the Study	5.9
	REFERENCES	R.1
	APPENDICES	A.1
	BIODATA OF THE STUDENT	B.1
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	L.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Radiometric Characteristics of the TM Sensors	2.28
2.2	Flood Mitigation Expenditure	2.54
3.1	Hydrological Soil Groups (HSG) Characteristics	3.10
4.1	The statistics of DEM Test with Editing	4.11
4.2	Klang River Basin Sub-watersheds Area	4.18
4.3	Segmentation Parameters Used For Image	4.21
4.4	Error Matrix of Image Classification by Object-Oriented Image Classifier	4.32
4.5	Error Matrix of Image Classification by Maximum Likelihood Classifier	4.33
4.6	Comparison Between Pixel-Based and Objects Oriented Classification (Accuracy Assessment)	4.34
4.7	SCS Curve Number for Various landuse Type and Hydrological Soil Group	4.36
4.8	Evaluation Criteria for the Assessment of Model Performance	4.64
4.9	Model Performance for the Calibration and Validation Period	4.67
4.10	Comparison of Predicted Runoff of 100 Year Flood by Both MIKE 11 NAM Model and Distributed Model	4.67
4.11	Values of Manning's Coefficient of Roughness Obtained from Calibration Process for Klang River	4.70
4.12	Summary of Flood Discharge and Water Level Comparison after Applying SMART Flood Mitigation Project	4.91

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Cross-Sectional Presentation of Hillslope Flow Process	2.3
2.2	Definition Diagram for Runoff Water Dynamics	2.9
2.3	Two Grids Showing (A) Elevations, And (B) Parallel Flow Lines Produced With D8 Flow Routing Algorithm	2.21
2.5	Data Flow in Flood Modeling System for GIS Hazard Mapping (Wilson, 2002)	2.42
2.5	Channel Geometry Incorporated Into A Digital Terrain Model (Tate, 1999)	2.43
2.6	Flood visualization using AVRas and a TIN (Azagra-Camino, 1999)	2.44
2.7	Epipolar Geometry and the Coplanarity Condition (ERDAS, 2001)	2.47
2.8	Finite Element of a Stream Channel with Force Terms Average Monthly Rainfall of Langat River Basin Area	2.50
5.9	The Structure of Flood Watch (MIKE 11, 2003)	2.52
2.10	Monitoring and Reporting of Real-Time River Levels	2.56
2.11	SMART Alignment	2.57
2.12	The Operation Modes of SMART Tunnel	2.59
3.1	Study Area Location of Klang River Basin	3.2
3.2	Klang River Basin River System	3.4
3.3	Average Monthly Rainfall for Selected Rainfall Stations in and near Klang valley Basin Based	3.5
3.4	Schematic of the Integrated System of Modeling for Digital	3.7

	Floodplain Delineation and Analysis	
3.5	Flow Chart of SRTM Processing For the Research Work	3.9
3.6	Member Function Setting Value for Water Class	3.12
3.7	Feature View Settings of Grey Scale	3.13
3.8	Class Hierarchy	3.14
3.9	Schematic Representation of the Development of Rainfall-Runoff Hydrological Model	3.17
3.10	Drainage Area Desicritization	3.18
3.11	Eight Direction Pour	3.18
3.12	a: The Numerical Values Assigned to Cells in the Flow Direction b: The Flow Directions Symbolically With Arrows	3.19
3.13	Representation of Flow in Diagonal Direction from a Cell (Form (Manoj et al, 2004,))	3.24
3.14	One-Dimensional Grid Discretization for Numerical Computations	3.27
3.15	Flowchart Describing the System Behavior	3.30
3.16	Network Preparation in MIKE 11 Including the Main Tributaries	3.36
3.17	Cross Sections Preparation in MIKE 11 Hydrodynamic Model	3.37
3.18	Klang River system alignment (With and without structural mitigations measures)	3.38
3.19	The Work Flow of DSM Generation	3.40
3.20	Project Creation with Aerial Photography Math Model	3.41
3.21	Projection setup window, pixel size and the GCP projection for the source information	3.42
3.22	Calibration Data: Standard Aerial Camera Calibration Information	3.43
3.23	Fiducial Marks Collection	3.44
3.24	GCPs and Tie Points Collection	3.45

3.25	Epipolar Images Creation	3.46
3.26	Typical MIKE 11 Cross Section	3.50
3.27	River Topography Integration in Generated DEM	3.50
3.28	DSM Inform Of XYZ Points (After River Body Geometry Was Eliminated)	3.51
3.29	Incorporating Flood Plains into a Terrain Model Using MIKE GIS	3.54
3.30	Parameters and Systems Integration	3.56
3.30	The Hpoints.Txt and QPoints.Text Attributes Table	3.57
4.1	(a) Original SRTM Data of DEM Used in This Study (b) Filled DEM of SRTM Data as in ArcINFO	4.3
4.2	Klang River Basin Boundary from SRTM Digital Elevation Model	4.4
4.3	Slope Grid of Klang River Basin from SRTM Digital Elevation Model	4.5
4.4	Flow direction Grid of Klang River Basin	4.7
4.5	Flow Accumulation Grid of Klang River Basin	4.8
4.6	Location of Validation Points Extracted From Digital Topographical Map in Klang River Basin	4.9
4.7	The SRTM error distribution values	4.10
4.8	Regression plots between SRTM and Reference Data for the Klang Basin Study Area	4.12
4.9	Percentage of Elevation Differences between SRTM and Reference Data	4.13
4.10	Elevation Differences between SRTM and Reference Data in Meters	4.13
4.11	Discrepancy between SRTM and Reference Data as a Function of Slope and Aspect Characteristics of the Terrain; Klang River Basin Study Area	4.14
4.12	Comparison of Stream Networks From SRTM and Actual Stream Network . White = SRTM DEM and Blue = Observed Stream Network	4.15

4.13	Comparison of Stream Networks From SRTM Using Drainage-enforced DEM algorithm and Actual Stream Network . White = SRTM DEM and Blue = Observed Stream Network	4.16
4.14	Klang River Basin Sub-watersheds Delineated with Enhanced SRTM DEM	4.17
4.15	Rasterized Thiessen Polygon of Klang River Basin	4.19
4.16	Hierarchical network of image objects derived from image segmentation level 1 (10 pixels scale parameter), level 2(15 pixels) and level 3 (30 pixels)	4.21
4.17	Grey Scale Display of Bands 1, 2, 3, 4, And 5	4.22
4.18	Feature View of Water and Vegetation	4.23
4.19	Member Function for Water and Inverse Non-Water to Water Class Flood Level at Simulation Flood Point	4.24
4.20	Result For the Classification of Tow Classes (Water and Non-Water)	4.25
4.21	Result for the Classification Related Class	4.27
4.22	Classification Based Segmentation	4.27
4.23	Final Lannduse Classification	4.28
4.24	Pixel-Based Classification Result	4.29
4.25	Hydrologic Soil Group Classification (SCS Soils) of the Study Area	4.35
4.26	Curve Number (CN) Grid of Klang River Basin	4.37
4.27	Manning's Roughness Coefficient (n) GridKlang River Basin	4.38
4.28	Graphical User Inter Face (GUI) of the Hydrological Model	4.40
4.29	A Wizard-Type Series of Forms Prompts a User to Enter Input Data	4.41
4.30	Example of Computation of Flow Direction	4.42
4.31	Example of Computation of Flow Accumulation	4.43

4.32	Example of the Model 3D-View Output	4.43
4.33	Example of the Model flow hydrograph output	4.44
4.34	Reference Hydrograph Data Used for Calibration	4.47
4.35	Assign the Number of GA Iterations	4.48
4.36	Assigning the GA Inputs (Model Parameters)	4.49
4.37	GA Performance Curve, 60 Iterations, 30 Population-Sizes	4.50
4.38	Calibrated Runoff Hydrograph Based on Observed Data (Klang River at Jam. Sulaiman)	4.51
4.39	Calibrated Runoff Hydrograph Based on Observed Data Gombak River at Jalan Tun Razak)	4.51
4.40	Calibrated Runoff Hydrograph Based On Observed Data (Batu River at Sentul)	4.52
4.41	Calibrated Runoff Hydrograph Based On Observed Data (Klang River at lrg.yap kwan seng)	4.52
4.42	Comparison of Simulated Runoff Hydrograph with Observed Data (Klang River At Jam. Sulaiman)	4.53
4.43	Comparison of Simulated Runoff Hydrograph with Observed Data (Gombak River at Jalan Tun Razak)	4.53
4.44	Comparison of Simulated Runoff Hydrograph with Observed Data (Batu River at Sentul)	4.54
4.45	Comparison of Simulated Runoff Hydrograph with Observed Data (Klang River at lrg.yap kwan seng)	4.54
4.46	Location of Flow Hydrographs Used For Model Performance Testing	4.55
4.47	Comparison of Developed Model vs. Operational Lumped Model (Kalng Gate Dam Catchment)	4.56
4.48	Comparison of Developed Model vs. Operational Lumped Model (Batu Dam Catchment)	4.56
4.49	Comparison of Developed Model vs. Operational Lumped Model (Downstream Batu Dam)	4.57
4.50	Comparison of Developed Model vs. Operational Lumped Model (Kerayoung Catchment)	4.57