

provided by Universiti Putra Malaysia Institutional Repository



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF A GIS BASED PEAT SWAMP FOREST FIRE HAZARD MODEL

IWAN SETIAWAN

FK 2007 71



DEVELOPMENT OF A GIS BASED PEAT SWAMP FOREST FIRE HAZARD MODEL

Ву

IWAN SETIAWAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2007



DEVELOPMENT OF A GIS-BASED PEAT SWAMP FOREST FIRE HAZARD MODEL

Ву

IWAN SETIAWAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2007



Specially dedicated to:

My beloved parents Hj. Siti Kopsah and Maman (almarhum), my brother, sisters, cousin, nephews and my beloved wife Irna Heryani. All of you are my inspiration and my spirit. Thanks for your endless love, concern and for having faith in me.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF A GIS-BASED PEAT SWAMP FOREST FIRE HAZARD MODEL

By

IWAN SETIAWAN

November 2007

Chairman : Associate Professor Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

A GIS-Based peat swamp forest fire hazard model that integrated AHP process and GIS analysis was developed. A GIS-grid based fire vulnerability map was thus produced for Pekan, Pahang. High correlations (100%) were observed between fire vulnerability zone map that derived from the model with the hotspot distribution locations processed from NOAA satellite data in August 2002 and actual fire spot location recorded by Pahang State Forestry Department in 1997.

The model was tested for fire vulnerability zone using recorded actual fire spot location and NOAA hotspot locations where all of the fire spots locations whether from Pahang State Forestry Department or NOAA hotspots data are located in high and very high vulnerability zone that extracted from the model. The accuracies of maps that derived from the model were verified using hotspot location data extracted from NOAA satellite published by MACRES in August 2002 and actual fire spot locations published by Pahang



State Forestry Department. The verification results showed an accuracy of 100%.

Arc View Dialog Designer to design Arc View Graphical User Interfaces is used for the development of a software tool to run the model in GIS environment. Extensive Avenue programming scripts were written to provide additional capabilities in the development of these interfaces to meet the full complement of operational software considering various user requirements. The software developed not only have user friendly step by step operations to deliver the fire vulnerability mapping but also allows authorized users to edit, add or modify parameters whenever necessary.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBINAAN MODEL BAHAYA KEBAKARAN HUTAN PAYA GAMBUT BERASASKAN SISTEM MAKLUMAT GEOGRAFI

Oleh

IWAN SETIAWAN

November 2007

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Model bahaya kebakaran untuk hutan paya gambut yang berasakan sistem maklumat geografis yang diperolehi dengan mengintegrasikan kaidah AHP dan analisis GIS telahpun dibina. Peta bahaya kebakaran telah dihasilkan untuk kawasan Pekan, Pahang. Kaitan yang tinggi (100%) telah diamati untuk peta bahaya kebakaran yang dihasilkan daripada model yang dibina dengan lokasi hotspot yang diproses daripada satelit NOAA pada Ogos 2002 dan lokasi titik kebakaran yang sebenar yang dicatat oleh Jabatan Hutan Negeri Pahang pada tahun 1997.

Model telahpun diuji untuk zon bahaya kebakaran menggunakan lokasi titik kebakaran yang sebenar dan lokasi hotspot NOAA dimana semua lokasi titik kebakaran tersebut samaada lokasi titik kebakaran sebenar dari Jabatan Hutan Negeri Pahang ataupun hotspot NOAA terletak di dalam kawasan bahaya kebakaran yang tinggi dan sangat tinggi yang diperolehi dari model. Akurasi peta yang diperolehi dari model telahpun divalidasi dengan menggunakan lokasi hotspot yang diproses daripada satelit NOAA pada



Ogos 2002 dan lokasi titik kebakaran yang sebenar yang dicatat oleh Jabatan Hutan Negeri Pahang pada tahun 1997. Hasil verifikasi menunjukan 100% akurasi.

Kajian ini telah menggunakan pereka dialog Arc View untuk merekabentuk antara muka pengguna grafik Arc View dalam usaha membina satu alat perisian yang dapat mengoperasikan model dalam persekitaran GIS. Skrip pemograman Avenue telah ditulis untuk menyediakan keupayaan-keupayaan tambahan dalam pembinaan antarmuka sistim ini untuk memenuhi kelengkapan satu perisian yang dapat digunakan dengan mempertimbangkan pelbagai keperluan pengguna. Perisian ini telah dibina bukan sahaja memiliki langkah demi langkah yang mesra pengguna dalam mengoperasikannya bagi menghasilkan peta kerentanan kebakaran, tetapi juga membolehkan pengguna-pengguna untuk menyunting, menambah atau mengubahsuai parameter apabila diperlukan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdullillahirabil'alamin, praise the Almighty Allah, S.W.T for His blessing that I could finish my thesis. I would like to dedicate my deep appreciation and sincere thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD for his kindness, endless guidance, encouragement and support throughout the course of study and preparation of this dissertation. Greatest gratitude also goes to all my co-supervisors, Prof. Shattri Mansor, PhD, Assoc. Prof. Abdul Rashid Mohd Shariff, PhD and Assoc. Prof. Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin, PhD for their invaluable comments and constructive criticisms that substantially improved this study.

I would like to acknowledge Prof. Capt., Dr. Hj. Kamaruzaman Jusoff and Mr. Ismail Adnan for their academic support and motivation at early stage of my study. I am also indebted to the Pahang State Forestry Department Staff for their added information and assist in the field data collection. I would like to thank also to Prof. Shattri Mansor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, Mr. Bambang Soepijanto (Former Head of Center for Forestry Education and Training), Dr. Ir. Tahrir Fathoni M.Sc (Head of Center for Forestry Education and Training, Ministry of Forestry Indonesia) and Dr. Ir. Dwi Sudharto, MS for financial support during my study. Without your help and support my study will not be as smooth as it is.

I would also like to thank to all staff in Center for Forestry Education and Training for supporting me in final stage of my study, Mr Loh Ko Foh for reading and editing of my thesis, to staff and friend in Spatial and Numerical



Modeling Laboratory, Institute of Advanced Techology (ITMA), thank for the facility, to my geomatic-laboratory mate, UPM Indonesian Student Association friends (PPI UPM), my housemate, all my relatives and friends, thank you very much.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 20th November 2007 to conduct the final examination of Iwan Setiawan on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Development of a GIS Based Peat swamp Forest Fire Hazard Model" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Abdul Halim Ghazali, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohammed Daud, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Helmi Zulhaidi Mohd. Shafri, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Hasanuddin Zaenuddin Abidin, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Institut Teknologi Bandung (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD.GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 29 January 2008



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Shattri Mansor, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Abdul Rashid Mohd. Shariff, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

IWAN SETIAWAN

Date: 14 January 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEI ABS ACI ACI DEC LIS LIS	DICAT STRA STRA (NOV PROV CLAR T OF T OF	TION ACT AK WLEDGEMENTS /AL RATION TABLES FIGURES ABBREVIATIONS	ii iii v vii ix xi xiv xv xv xix
СН	APTE	R	
1	INTE	RODUCTION	1.1
	1.1	Background	1.1
	1.2	Problem Statement	1.4
	1.3	Scope of The Study	1.7
	1.4	Significance of The Study	1.7
	1.6	Thesis Organization	1.9
2	LITE	ERATURE REVIEW	2.1
	2.1	Introduction	2.1
	2.2	Forest Fire Experienced to Malaysia	2.1
	2.3	Factors Influencing and Impact of Forest Fire	2.6
		2.3.1 Factors Influencing Forest Fire	2.6
	2.4	2.3.2 Impacts of Forest Fire	2.11
	2.4	2.4.1 Definition of AHP	2.14
		2.4.1 Deminition of AHP $2.4.2$ Applications of AHP	2.14
	25	GIS and RS Applications for Forest Fire Management	2.17
	2.0	2.5.1 GIS Definition and Its Capability	2.19
		2.5.2 GIS Application in Forest Fire Management	2.22
		2.5.3 RS Application in Forest Fire Management	2.30
	2.6	Visualization	2.33
		2.6.1 Visualization Tools	2.33
		2.6.2 3D GIS Visualization	2.35
		2.6.3 Visualization Techniques	2.35
	2.7	Summary	2.39
3		TERIAL AND METHODS	3.1
	ວ. I ຊ່າ	Site Description	3.1 2.1
	ე.∠ კკ	Materials	.। ২০
	34	Methodology	3.3
	0.7	3.4.1 Study Framework	3.3
		3.4.2 Image Processing	3.6
		3.4.3 GIS Analysis	3.6



Page

3.4.4	Analytical Hierarchy Process	3.8
3.4.5	Data Analysis and Modeling	3.24
3.4.6	Model Assessment	3.26
3.4.7	System Development	3.26
REST FI	RE HAZARD MODELING AND MAPPING	4.1
Introdu	uction	4.1
Fire In	Ifluencing Factors	4.1
4.2.1	Fuel Type Map	4.1
4.2.2	Road Proximity Map	4.10
4.2.3	Elevation Map	4.15
4.2.4	Aspect Map	4.19
4.2.5	Slope Map	4.23
Fire H	azard Modeling and Mapping	4.27
Model	Validation	4.37
/ELOPI	NG FIRE HAZARD MAPPING SYSTEM	5.1
Introdu	uction	5.1
Pekan	Fire Mapping System	5.1
5.2.1	Inputs	5.2
5.2.2	Outputs	5.2
5.2.3	System's User Interface	5.6
5.2.4	System Functionality	5.9
5.2.5	System Processing Procedure	5.12
Issues	s in Combating Forest Fires in Malaysia	5.27
NCLUSI	ON AND RECOMMENDATION	6.1
Conclu	usions	6.1
Recon	nmendations for Future Work	6.3
NCES		R.1
ICES		A.1
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR		
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		
	3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6 3.4.7 REST FI Introdu Fire In 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 Fire H Model /ELOPI Introdu Pekar 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Issues NCLUSI Conclu Recor NCES ICES A OF TH	 3.4.4 Analytical Hierarchy Process 3.4.5 Data Analysis and Modeling 3.4.6 Model Assessment 3.4.7 System Development REST FIRE HAZARD MODELING AND MAPPING Introduction Fire Influencing Factors 4.2.1 Fuel Type Map 4.2.2 Road Proximity Map 4.2.3 Elevation Map 4.2.4 Aspect Map 4.2.5 Slope Map Fire Hazard Modeling and Mapping Model Validation VELOPING FIRE HAZARD MAPPING SYSTEM Introduction Pekan Fire Mapping System 5.2.1 Inputs 5.2.2 Outputs 5.2.3 System's User Interface 5.2.4 System Functionality 5.2.5 System Processing Procedure Issues in Combating Forest Fires in Malaysia NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION Conclusions Recommendations for Future Work NCES NCES NCES



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Total burnt area recorded in Peninsular Malaysia (1992-2002)	1.5
2.1	Forest fire in Malaysia by year	2.3
2.2	Forest fires in Peninsular Malaysia by location	2.4
2.3	Variables that describe burning conditions	2.11
3.1	Criteria and justification in relating to forest fire hazard zoning	3.12
3.2	Standardization rating for each criterion	3.15
3.3	Scale for pair-wise comparisons	3.17
3.4	Full pair-wise comparison matrix for assessing the weight of criteria	3.18
3.5	Normalized pair-wise comparison matrix	3.19
3.6	Relative weight assigned to the criteria	3.20
3.7	Average random index (RI) based on matrix size	3.23
4.1	Area statistics of land use/cover classes	4.4
4. 2	Area statistics of fuel type classes	4.6
4.3	Area statistics of road proximity vulnerability zone	4.13
4.4	Elevation fire vulnerability classes	4.16
4.5	Aspect fire vulnerability classes	4.20
4.6	Slope fire vulnerability classes	4.25
4.7	Fire hazard vulnerability classes	4.29



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	3-D visualization utilizes geometric modeling techniques	2.37
2.2	A typical GIS based image draped visualization using classified satellite imagery	2.38
3.1	Location map of the study area	3.4
3.2	Methodology flow chart	3.5
4.1	Land use/cover map	4.3
4.2	Fuel type fire vulnerability map	4.5
4.3	Road proximity map	4.12
4.4	Road proximity fire vulnerability map	4.14
4.5	Elevation map	4.17
4.6	Elevation fire vulnerability map	4.18
4.7	Aspect map	4.21
4.8	Aspect fire vulnerability map	4.22
4.9	Slope map	4.24
4.10	Slope fire vulnerability map	4.26
4.11	Map calculator tool for computation of H values	4.28
4.12	Fire hazard zone index map	4.30
4.13	Fire hazard vulnerability map	4.31
4.14	Fire spots buffer zones indicating proximity to roads and rivers	4.33
4.15	Actual fire spot location map	4.35
4.16	NOAA Hotspot distribution map in August 2002	4.36
4.17	Validation of fire hazard vulnerability map	4.39
5.1	PEKAN-FIRE scenario flow diagram	5.3
5.2	Fuel type map as an output of the system	5.4



5.3	Tabulated output of statistics of fuel type	5.4
5.4	Histogram presentation as an output of the system	5.5
5.5	3D view of fire vulnerability map as an output	5.5
5.6	System main page with functions to enter and exit the system	5.7
5.7	System processing procedure	5.8
5.8	Main page user interface	5.8
5.9	Menu with essential functionalities tools	5.9
5.10	A pull-down sub-menus of add factor menu	5.10
5.11	A pull-down sub-menus of factor analysis menu	5.10
5.12	A pull-down sub-menus of reclassifying factor menu	5.11
5.13	A pull-down sub-menus of overlaying factor menu	5.12
5.14	A pull-down sub-menu of the 3D Visualization	5.12
5.15	Generated land use map	5.14
5.16	Generated land use grid map	5.15
5.17	Generated reclassified land use map	5.15
5.18	Road network map	5.16
5.19	Generated road buffered map	5.17
5.20	Generated road reclassification map	5.18
5.21	Generated contour map	5.18
5.22	Generated TIN map	5.19
5.23	Generated derived slope function	5.20
5.24	Generated slope reclassification map	5.20
5.25	Generated derived aspect map	5.21
5.26	Generated aspect reclassification map	5.22
5.27	Generated derived elevation map	5.22



5.28	Map of reclassification of elevation	5.23
5.29	Map of overlaying factors	5.24
5.30	Final map of fire hazard vulnerability	5.25
5.31	A 3D visualization map of fire hazard area	5.26
A.1	Convert dialog box	A.68
A.2	Conversion extent dialog box	A.68
A.3	Conversion field dialog box	A.68
A.4	Attribute join dialog box	A.69
A.5	Convert to grid dialog box in land use grid function	A.69
A.6	Reclassify value dialog box	A.70
A.7	Classification dialog box	A.70
A.8	Reclassify values dialog box for changing classification	A.71
A.9	Road map as output of road function	A.71
A.10	Output grid specification dialog box in roads distance function	A.72
A.11	Result map of roads distance function	A.72
A.12	Reclassify values dialog box in reclass road function	A.73
A.13	Result map of reclass road function	A.73
A.14	Create new TIN dialog box in create TIN function	A.74
A.15	Output TIN name dialog box	A.74
A.16	Output grid specification dialog box	A.75
A.17	Reclassify values dialog box	A.75
A.18	Classification dialog box	A.76
A.19	Changing the value in reclassify value dialog box	A.76
A.20	Convert dialog box for creating elevation grid map	A.77
A.21	Conversion extent dialog box for changing grid cell size	A.77



A.22	Convert to grid dialog box for add grid as theme to the view	A.77
A.23	Map calculation dialog box for create fire hazard map	A.78
A.24	3D scene dialog	A.79
A.25	3D view as output of 3D visualization function	A.79



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3D	Three Dimensional
AHP	Analytical Hierarchy Process
AIT	Asian Institute of Technology
API	Air pollution Index
ASL	Above Sea Level
ASTER	Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BSA	Bivariate Statistical Analyses
CI	Consistency Index
CLC	CORINE Land Cover
СМ	Computer Modeling
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CR	Consistency Ratio
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DG JRC	Directorate General Joint Research Centre
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DOE	Department of Environment
DRASTIC	Depth to Water Table Recharge Aquifer media Soil Media Topography (slope),Impact of Vadose Zone media, (Aquifer Hydraulic) Conductivity
DSS	Decision Support System
EFFIS	European Forest Fire Information System



EFFRFS	European Forest Fire Risk Forecasting System
ENSO	EI-Nino Southern Oscilation
ENVI	The Environment for Visualizing Images
ERS	European Remote Sensing Satellite
EU	European Union
FICC	Federal Interagency Coordinating Committee
FRAMES	Fire Research and Management Exchange System
FRD	Fire and Rescue Department
FRI	Fire Risk Index
GIS	Geographic Information System
GIS TreC	GIS Training and Research Center
GMS	Geostationary Meteorological Satellite
GPS	Global Positioning System
GUI	Graphic User Interface
HCWs	Human-caused wildfires
HRI	Human risk index
ISU	Idaho State University's
ITTO	International Timber Trade Organization
JUPEM	Malaysian Survey Department
Landsat	Land Satellite
MACRES	Malaysian Center for Remote Sensing
MCDA	Multicriteria Decision Analysis
MERIS	Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index



NIR	Near-infrared
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
PSF	Peat Swam Forest
RERAP	Rare Event Risk Assessment Program
RI	Random Index
RS	Remote Sensing
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SAW	Simple Additive Weighting
SPOT	Syst`eme Probatoire d'Observation de la Terre (satellite)
SWIR	Short-wave Infrared
SWVI	Short-wave-based Vegetation Index
ТІ	Topography Index
TIN	Triangle Irregular Network
ТМ	Thematic Mapper
USDA	United State Department of Agriculture
USRD	Upper Snake River District
VBA	Visual Basic Application
VGT	SPOT VEGETATION



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In recent years, the world has been fraught with several large scale forest fires occurrences that have caused severe loss of lives, properties and ecosystems. Fire hazards are the scourge of modern civilization as its destruction is not only large scale but also hardly reversible in terms of ecological and economical damages. Wildfires are annual occurrences affecting millions of hectares of forests and bio-resources worldwide. For instance, the Eurasian Boreal Zone has encountered approximately 10 million hectares of burnt forests annually (Johansen 2005). The damage on timber production, the environment, infrastructure and human lives, caused by these fires, has been proportional to the size of the burnt area. In North America, there have been about 100,000 forest fire occurrences annually resulting in some 4 million hectares of burnt areas each year. The corresponding loss of annual timber production amounted to about USD 5 billions (Johansen 2005). In many cases, the total cost, that includes rehabilitation, is several magnitudes higher than the timber value. In addition the impact of the associated release of greenhouse gases and combustion products on the ozone layer and the ecosystem cannot be easily estimated.



Although fire hazards cannot be avoided totally, they can be studied in the spatial domain for better preparedness and mitigation. It is vital that fire hazard maps be generated to study the spatial distribution of the hazards enabling more effective utilization of limited resources to address the forest fire problems in a more balanced manner. Fatal damage by forest fire can also be reduced significantly if there are reliable predictions and rapid provisions against forest fires.

Geographic Information System (GIS), in combination with related technologies such as remote sensing (RS) and computer modeling (CM), are being used increasingly in all aspects of wild land fire management. GIS has also gained wide acceptance as a revolutionary tool for natural resources and disaster management. Many research projects have developed site specific wildfire hazard models based on physiographic and environmental factors that influence wildfire (Pew and Larsen 2001; Vakalis *et al.*, 2004; Sifakis *et al.*, 2004). Identifying susceptible areas with high probabilities of burning occurrences has been an important component of fire management planning (Jaiswal *et al.*, 2002; Pradhan and Arshad 2004). The development of GIS with spatial modeling capability has greatly enhanced this planning process, enabling managers to map and analyze variables contributing to fire occurrences across large unique geographic units.

GIS technology has tools for visualization of fire regimes, which can be queried in different ways to extract useful information for analysis of what if scenarios. A GIS is also capable of integrating various sources of information

