

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PROOF-CARRYING CODE FOR VERIFYING CONFIDENTIALITY OF MOBILE CODE THROUGH SECURE INFORMATION FLOW ANALYSIS

ABDULRAHMAN AHMAD ABDU MUTHANA

FSKTM 2008 20



PROOF-CARRYING CODE FOR VERIFYING CONFIDENTIALITY OF MOBILE CODE THROUGH SECURE INFORMATION FLOW ANALYSIS

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

ABDULRAHMAN AHMAD ABDU MUTHANA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2008



Dedicated to my wife, Adeeba; to my kids, Omar and Zahra; to my family.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PROOF-CARRYING CODE FOR VERIFYING CONFIDENTIALITY OF MOBILE CODE THROUGH SECURE INFORMATION FLOW ANALYSIS

By

ABDULRAHMAN AHMAD ABDU MUTHANA

November 2008

Chairman: Associate Professor Abdul Azim Abdul Ghani, PhD.

Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

The growing dependence of our society and economy on networked information systems makes it essential to protect our confidential data from being leaked by malicious code. Downloading and executing code (possibly from untrusted sources) has become a daily event. Modern operating systems load code for adding new functionalities; web browsers download plug-ins and applets; end-users download untrusted code for doing some useful tasks. Certification that the untrusted code respects the confidentiality of data it manipulates is essential in these situations. Thus it is necessary to analyze how information flows within that program.

This thesis presents an approach to enable end-users to determine whether untrusted mobile code will respect pre-specified confidentiality policies by statically analyzing the untrusted code for secure information flow. The approach is based on adapting of a well-known approach, proof-carrying code (PCC) to information flow security and basing the security policy of PCC on a security-type system, which enforces information flow policy, namely noninterference security policy in RISC-style



assembly programs. The untrusted code is then analyzed for secure information flow based on the idea of PCC. The proofs that untrusted code does not leak confidential information are generated by the *code producer* and checked by the *code consumer*. If the proofs are valid, then the end-users (*code consumer*) can install and execute the untrusted mobile code safely.

The proposed approach benefits from distinctive features that make it a very appropriate for security checking. First, it operates directly on object code produced by general-purpose off-the-shelf compilers. Second, it exploits the benefits that both type systems and proof-carrying code approaches offer and combines their strengths. Type systems provide an appealing option for implementing security policies, and thus represent a natural enabling technology of proof-carrying code. Meanwhile, proof-carrying code is an efficient approach for assembly code verification. Third, the explicit machine-checkable proofs serve as a certificate to distrustful users and give them more confidence in the security approach.

The proposed security approach represents one point in the design space for mobile code security systems; it is well suited to typical Internet users. It enforces information flow policy with low preparation cost on the part of the *code producer* and no runtime overhead cost on the part of the *code consumer*. The security approach provides end-users with an adequate assurance of protecting the confidentiality of their confidential data.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KOD MEMBAWA-BUKTI UNTUK MENENTUSAH KERAHSIAAN KOD MOBIL MELALUI ANALISIS ALIRAN MAKLUMAT

Oleh

ABDULRAHMAN AHMAD ABDU MUTHANA

November 2008

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Abdul Azim Abdul Ghani, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Pertumbuhan kebergantungan masyarakat dan ekonomi ke atas sistem maklumat terangkai menyebabkan ianya penting untuk mengawal data rahsia daripada kebocoran oleh kod hasad. Memuat turun dan melaksanakan kod (mungkin daripada sumber tidak boleh dipercayai) telah menjadi amalan harian. Sistem pengoperasian moden memuat kod untuk menambah fungsian baharu; pelayar web memuat turun plug-in dan applets; pengguna akhir memuat turun kod tidak boleh dipercayai untuk melakukan beberapa tugasan penting. Pensijilan yang kod tidak boleh dipercayai menghormati kerahsiaan data yang dimanipulasi adalah penting dalam situasi begini. Oleh itu adalah perlu untuk menganalisa bagaimana maklumat mengalir dalam program tersebut.

Tesis ini mempersembahkan satu pendekatan yang membenarkan pengguna akhir menentukan sama ada kod mobil yang tidak boleh dipercayai akan menghormati polisi prapenentu kerahsiaan melalui penganalisisan secara statik kod yang tidak boleh dipercayai untuk aliran maklumat yang selamat. Pendekatan ini berdasarkan penyesuaian pendekatan yang terkenal, *kod membawa-bukti* (PCC) ke keselamatan

UPM

aliran maklumat dan mendasarkan polisi keselamatan PCC ke atas sistem keselamatan-jenis yang menguatkuasa polisi aliran maklumat, khususnya polisi keselamatan tidak campur tangan dalam program himpunan stail RISC. Kod yang tidak boleh dipercayai kemudian dianalisis untuk keselamatan aliran maklumat berdasarkan ide PCC. Bukti bahawa kod yang tidak boleh dipercayai tidak membocorkan maklumat rahsia dijana dan diperiksa. Jika bukti adalah sah, maka pengguna akhir boleh memasang dan melaksana kod mobil yang tidak dipercayai secara selamat.

Cadangan pendekatan ini mendapat manfaat daripada fitur tersendiri yang menjadikannya sangat sesuai untuk pemeriksaan keselamatan. Pertama, ia beroperasi secara terus ke atas kod objek terhasil melalui pengkompil *off-the-shelf* kegunaan umum. Kedua, ia mengeksploitasikan manfaat yang ditawarkan oleh kedua-dua pendekatan sistem jenis dan kod membawa-bukti dan menggabungkan kekuatan mereka. Sistem jenis menyediakan suatu opsyen yang menarik untuk melaksana polisi keselamatan, dan dengan itu mewakili teknologi kod membawa-bukti terboleh secara semula jadi. Sementara itu kod membawa-bukti adalah suatu teknik yang efisyen untuk penentusah kod himpunan. Ketiga, bukti semakan mesin yang eksplisit digunakan sebagai sijil kepada pengguna yang dicuragai dan memberi mereka keyakinan yang lebih dalam pendekatan keselamatan.

Pendekatan cadangan keselamatan ini mewakili satu titik dalam ruang reka bentuk sistem keselamatan kod mobil; ianya sangat sesuai untuk pengguna tipikal Internet. Ia menguatkuasakan polisi aliran maklumat dengan kos penyediaan rendah ke atas penghasil kod dan tiada kos overhed masa larian ke atas pengguna kod. Pendekatan



keselamatan menyediakan pengguna akhir dengan jaminan kukuh pengawalan kerahsiaan data sulit mereka.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH, the Beneficent, the Compassionate and who giving me strength, patience, and motivation to complete this research work. I would like to take this opportunity to record my gratitude towards the great peoples who they were an important support during the phases of this research; particularly those who help me during the time I was doing my Ph.D. research. My deepest appreciation and gratitude go to the research committee leads by Associate Prof. Dr. Abdul Azim Abdul Ghani, who has always take time to listen to my ideas, and he has patiently answered my questions, invaluable guidance, fruitful discussion, patience and continued encouragement supply me at every stage of this work and who always provides the gold recommendations and suggestions to my inquiries tranquilly and accurately. Also I would like to introduce my great thanks to all the member of my Ph.D. supervision committee; Associate Prof. Dr. Ramlan Mahmod for his support, attentions during my research work and the guidance in each discussion during all steps of this work and Associate Prof. Mohd. Hasan Selamat for his virtuous guidance, encouragement and help during the time of doing the research.

Great thanks to My country, Yemen. Great thanks to Malaysia: the country and the people. I would like to express my deep thanks to Malaysian government that gave me this opportunity to study PhD in Malaysia; its support was of a great significance. Finally, I am so grateful to Dr. Gaber Assanabani who gave me his full support and encouragement. Dr. Gaber is one of few people who branded in my memory.

ABDULRAHMAN AHMAD ABDU MUTHANA November 2008



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 12 November 2008 to conduct the final examination of Abdulrahman Ahmad Abdu Muthana on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Proof-Carrying Code for Verifying Confidentiality of Mobile Code through Secure Information Flow Analysis " in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Professor (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor / Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Abdul Azim Abdul Ghani, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Ramlan Mahmod, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Hj. Mohd. Hasan Selamat

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 February 2009



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

ABDULRAHMAN AHMAD ABDU MUTHANA

Date :01 August 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS ABS ACH APP DEC LIST LIST LIST	DICATION GTRACT GTRAK KNOWLEDGEMENTS PROVAL CLARATION I OF TABLES I OF FIGURES I OF APPENDICES I OF ABBREVIATIONS	Page ii v viii ix xi xv xvi xix xx
CHA	APTER	
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	Background Problem Statement Objectives of the Research Scope of the Research Importance of the Research Structure of Thesis	1 3 5 6 7 8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 2.2 2.3	Introduction Code Mobility Mobile Code Security 2.3.1 Security Components of a Computing System 2.3.2 Mobile Code Security Threats	11 12 14 15 16
2.4	Approaches to Mobile Code Security 2.4.1 Traditional Approaches 2.4.2 Language-based Approaches	17 17 20
2.5	Background: Information Flow Security 2.5.1 Information Flow Policies and Security Lattices 2.5.2 Noninterference	23 25 28
2.6	Related Work 2.6.1 Static Analysis Approaches 2.6.2 Dynamic Analysis Approaches 2.6.3 Concluding Remarks	35 37 53 54
2.7	Summary	56
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 3.2	Introduction Methodology to Develop an Approach for Information Flow Analysis 3.2.1 Defining the Research Problem 3.2.2 Exploring Language-based Approaches to Mobile Code Security	58 59 61



	3.2.3 Adapting Standard Proof-Carrying Code to Information Flow	64
	Security 3.2.4 Validating the Proposed Approach	67
	3.2.5 Prototyping Implementation	69
3.3	Summary	70
3.3	Summary	70
4	PCC-SIF: AN APPROACH FOR SECURE INFORMATION FLOW	V
	ANALYSIS	
4.1	Introduction	71
4.2	Standard Proof-Carrying Code Approach	72
	4.2.1 A Certifying Compiler	74
	4.2.2 Safety Policy	75
	4.2.3 Theorem Prover	77
	4.2.4 Proof Checker	77
4.3	Proof-Carrying Code for Secure Information Flow Analysis (PCC-SIF)	78
	4.3.1 Assembly Language	81
	4.3.2 Information Flow Policy	85
1 1	4.3.3 Proofs Generation and Validation	144
4.4	Steps of Secure Information Flow Analysis	153 156
	4.4.1 Defining Information Flow policy4.4.2 Generating the annotated code	156
	4.4.3 Recovering High-Level Control Flow Structures	158
	4.4.4 Generating the Verification Condition	159
	4.4.5 Proving the Verification Condition	159
	4.4.6 Checking the Proof	161
4.5	Soundness of PCC-SIF Approach	161
1.5	4.5.1 Soundness of Information Flow Policy	166
	4.5.2 Adequacy of the LF Representation of Proofs	178
4.6	Prototype Implementation	179
	4.6.1 Translation from SAL to SPARC	183
	4.6.2 The SPARC Prototype	185
	4.6.3 Case Studies	186
4.7	Summary	190
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
5.1	Introduction	191
5.2	Results	192
5.3	Discussion	194
J.J	5.3.1 Perspective	196
	5.3.2 Implications	197
	5.3.3 Applications	198
	5.3.4 PCC-SIF vs. Standard PCC	201
	5.3.5 PCC-SIF vs. Related Work	203
	5.3.6 Issues with Information Flow Checking of Assembly Programs	
5.4	Summary	217
6	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS	
6.1	Conclusions	218



6.2	Contributions		220
6.3	Future V	Works	223
	6.3.1	Hybrid Analysis	223
	6.3.2	Flow-sensitive Type System	224
	6.3.3	Relaxing Noninterference	225
REF	ERENC	ES	227
APPENDICES BIODATA OF STUDENT			236
			309
LIS	T OF PU	BLICATIONS	310



LIST OF TABLES

Table		page
2.1	Common mobile code paradigms	12
3.2	Software Requirements for Prototype Implementation	70



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Linear ordered Lattice	26
2.2	Lattice of subsets of $X = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$	27
2.3	A diagram showing the position of our research work with respect	38
	to computer security area	
4.1	Standard Proof-Carrying Code Framework	74
4.2	The structure of PCC-SIF Framework	79
4.3	SAL Instruction Set	82
4.4	Operational Semantics of SAL Language (part 1). Standard	84
	Assembly Instructions	
4.5	Operational Semantics of SAL Language (part 2). Abstract file	85
	read and write and message sending instructions	
4.6	A grammar of type expressions	88
4.7	Typing rules of information flow analysis of function F (part 1)	91
4.8	Information flow through aliasing	93
4.9	Mutual recursion functions	99
4.10	A recursive function rec and its corresponding SAL program	100
4.11	Typing rules of information flow analysis of function F (part 2)	102
4.12	Security automaton: "No messages send after reading a file"	105
4.13	Syntax of logic specified by code consumer	108
4.14	Axioms and proof rules of logic specified by the code consumer	111
4.15	Algorithm to computing all control dependence regions in	118
	control flow graph of each function.	
4.16	Algorithm to constructing control flow graph	122
4.17	Algorithm to computing semi postdominators of each node in a	123
	control flow graph	
4.18	Algorithm to computing all postdominators of each node in control	124
	flow graph	
4.19	Algorithm to computing all control dependence regions for each	125
	conditional node in control flow graph.	
4.20	Stack management in SAL	131
4.21	Definition of verification condition generator for function F (part 1). Standard assembly instructions	134



4.22	Definition of verification condition generator for function F (part	135
	2). Abstract file read and write and send data instructions	
4.23	Definition of functions CopyIn and CopyOut	138
4.24	The syntax of Edinburgh LF	146
4.25	Fragment of LF signature corresponding to logic in Figure 4.11	147
4.26	LF signature for information flow policy proof rules in Figure 4.12	149
4.27	Specification of function main	156
4.28	Sample source code for secure information-flow program	157
4.29	The assembly form of the program in Figure 4.26	157
4.30	Control Flow Graph of an assembly code in Figure 4.27 showing	158
	Control Dependence Regions	
4.31	The verification condition for the code in Figure 4.27	160
4.32	Fragment of the proof of the verification condition in Figure 4.29	160
4.33	Abstract Machine for Soundness Proof of the SAL programs	163
4.34	Flowchart of PCC-SIF Prototype	182
4.35	SPARC register windows for three successive functions	184
4.36	The translation table from SAL to SPARC architecture	185
4.37	Characteristics of test cases and number of security conditions	188
4.38	Performance Time	189
4.39	Sizes of assembly code, verification conditions, and proofs (in	190
	bytes)	
5.1	High-level structure of PCC-SIF Architecture	197
C.1	A control flow graph	265
C.2	Control Dependences of control flow graph in Figure C.1	265
C.3	A simple program (a) and its control flow graph (b)	266
C.4	Control Dependences of program in Figure C.3	266
C.5	Program segment	267
C.6	Control flow graph (a) and control dependence subgraph (b) of	267
	program segment in Figure C.5	
C.7	Control Dependences of program of program segment in Figure	268
	C.5	
F.1	Program10.c source code file	282
F 2	SPARC assembly code file of program10 c in Figure F 1	290



F.3	User interface of PCC-SIF SPARC prototype showing the	291
	verification of the SPARC assembly code in Figure F.2	
F.4	Verification condition file of SPARC assembly program in Figure	298
	F.2	
F.5	Security proof of verification conditions in Figure F.4	308



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Soundness of Information Flow Type System	236
В	Soundness of the Axiomatic System	259
C	Evaluation of Control Dependence Region Calculator Algorithm	263
D	Soundness of Verification Condition Generator	269
Е	Twelf Signature for Secure Information Flow Analysis	276
F	Sample of Test Case Programs and Their Proofs	279



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AST Abstract Semantics Tool

CDR Control Dependence Region

CLI Common Language Interface

CPS Continuation Passing Style

DL Dynamic Logic

ECC Efficient Code Certification

EM Execution Monitor

IFD Immediate Forward Dominator

JVM Java Virtual Machine

JVML Java Virtual Machine Language

LF Logical Framework

LOC Lines of code

PCC Proof-Carrying Code

PCC-SIF Proof-Carrying Code for Secure Information Flow Analysis

PSP Perfect Security Property

RIP Region Inclusion Property

RISC Reduced Instructions Set Computing

SFI Software Fault Isolation

SPARC Scalable Processor ARChitecture

TAL Typed Assembly Language

TCB Trusted Computing Base

TIL Typed Intermediate Languages

VC Verification Condition

VCG Verification Condition Generator



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The growing dependence of our society and economy on networked information systems makes the organizations as well as individuals potential targets to computer security attacks. Moreover, the number of sources and targets of these attacks are growing fast day after day. Not only has the advancement of Internet complicated the task of protection mechanisms against computer security attacks but also made performing such attacks much easier than ever. Performing computer security attacks today does not need one to be a security expert because one can simply exploit existing tools and software available on the Internet (Sabelfeld, 2001). One of the computer security attacks that target organizations as well as users today is the attack of confidentiality, in which the malicious programs attempt to leak confidential data to intended parties. For the remainder of this thesis, when the term security is used, it means confidentiality.

Recent years have witnessed a significant growth of interest in protecting confidentiality of information of organizations as well as individuals more particularly in presence of mobile code. Standard security mechanisms such as access control mechanisms, cryptography, antivirus and digital signature fail to provide a complete assurance of protecting confidentiality of information, and thus do not provide end-to-end security. Though access control mechanism is the normal



way to protect confidentiality of information, it is simple and in some cases is of limited use and tends to be restrictive. Cryptography provides an assurance about the source of the downloaded code and that it has not been compromised during transition but cannot ensure that the downloaded code has secure information flow. Antivirus tools searches for viruses signatures and do not concern confidentiality problem (Sabelfeld & Myers, 2003).

The following motivating example demonstrates clearly the pitfall of access control mechanisms and shows their inadequacy to protect the confidentiality of information: assume that a piece of code has been downloaded off the network to perform some useful tasks. If this code has an access right to some user's confidential data and needs to communicate over the Internet connection, it may leak confidential information through the Internet connection. In order to protect the confidentiality of information, the access control mechanism will prevent the code from accessing the Internet or private data or prevent it from accessing both. The access control mechanisms are of limited use here and cannot prevent the program from accessing the private data because the program uses the user's access rights. Furthermore, this strategy is not suitable because it may prevent useful programs from doing their tasks and sacrifices some richness of the web. The crux of the problem is not in disabling the run of mobile code but how one can enjoy the functionalities provided by mobile code while protecting data confidentiality.

Language-based approaches to security are promising in protecting data confidentiality (Sabelfeld & Myers, 2003). As they can access the program's code, language-based security approaches can enforce fine-grained security policies and



express easily the behavior of the programs, and thus succeed where traditional access control mechanisms fail short. The class of language-based security approaches that can protect data confidentiality is called language-based approaches to information-flow security. These security approaches protects data confidentiality through analyzing the target programs for secure information flow. The concept of secure information flow is typically formalized in terms of what is known as noninterference (Goguen & Meseguer, 1982). Noninterference states that confidential data may not interfere with (affect) public data.

Unfortunately, much of works on language-based information-flow security have been devoted to high-level languages with relatively less interest given to assembly languages (Sabelfeld & Myers, 2003). High-level languages approaches suffer from a potential flaw—the use of the compiler to check information flow. The compiler is a big, complex, and cannot be assured to be free of bugs. To avoid this potential weakness, it is required to check the code produced by compiler directly. Moreover, much of the code is distributed in the form of executables files and convincing the code suppliers to provide a code in a form amenable to high-level security checking as source code is not successful.

1.2 Problem Statement

Protecting the confidentiality of information in the presence of mobile code is an increasing important problem (Sabelfeld & Myers, 2003). Mobile code refers to that sort of programs that are moved from one place to another over a network before being executed. Mobile code is normally shipped in low-level form (e.g., Windows executables), and hence it is appropriate to perform the security checking at assembly



level. Examples of mobile code are ActiveX, VBScript, and JavaScript. Mobile code is downloaded from the Internet (often from untrusted or partially trusted sources) adding new functionalities to modern computing systems or performing some useful tasks to end-users. However, the useful and powerful features that mobile code is offering come at a high price. Mobile code may leak sensitive information, and thus the computing systems that incorporate mobile code must protect their confidential information from being leaked by mobile code.

From the viewpoint of our research work, existing approaches that attempt to protect the confidentiality of information by analyzing mobile programs fall into two main groups. Research works that deal with RISC architecture (e.g., Yu & Islam, 2005; Medel et al., 2005; Bonelli et al., 2004) and those that deal with Java bytecode (e.g., Barthe et al., 2006; Barthe & Rezk 2005; De Francesco & Martini, 2007). The approaches belong to the first group assume that mobile programs being checked are generated by certifying compilers. As a result these approaches are difficult to use for checking mobile programs generated by general-purpose off-the-shelf compilers, and thus prevents end-users from benefiting from such approaches to check a wide range of existing mobile programs. On the other side, the approaches that deal with Java bytecode are not suitable for checking programs written in high-level languages other than Java. Furthermore, none of these approaches generates explicit proofs (certificates) for the programs acceptable by them, and thus they do not explain to users why these programs are secure. The explicit proof, however, is a convincing way that provides a confidence that a program execution will not leak confidential information. The security proof serves as evidence to the users that a given program is truly secure and should be allowed to execute.

