



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE GENETIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THREE *TRICHODERMA*
SPECIES AND INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF *T. HARZIANUM* (RIFAI)
ON *GANODERMA BONINENSE***

MD. SHAFIQUZZAMAN SIDDIQUEE

FS 2007 48

**THE GENETIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THREE *TRICHODERMA*
SPECIES AND INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF *T. HARZIANUM* (RIFAI)
ON *GANODERMA BONINENSE***

MD. SHAFIQUZZAMAN SIDDIQUEE

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2007



**THE GENETIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THREE *TRICHODERMA*
SPECIES AND INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF *T. HARZIANUM* (RIFAI)
ON *GANODERMA BONINENSE***

By

MD. SHAFIQUZZAMAN SIDDIQUEE

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

November 2007



DEDICATIONS

Dedicated especially to my elder brother Mr. Md. Shamsuzzaman Siddiquee (Shahin),
for his financial support,
guidance and encouragement during the writing of this thesis and
to my sister-in-law Ms. Jesmin Hossain and niece Samarah Siddiquee and all those
individuals who behind the scenes
make me possible to complete my study successfully.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

THE GENETIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THREE *TRICHODERMA* SPECIES AND INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF *T. HARZIANUM* (RIFAI) ON *GANODERMA BONINENSE*

By

MD. SHAFIQUZZAMAN SIDDIQUEE

November 2007

Chairman: Professor Faridah Abdullah, PhD

Faculty: Science

Trichoderma is a genus of soil-borne fungus with abundant reports on its success as biological control agents of a variety of plant pathogens. Antagonistic assessment by dual culture technique showed that 18 out of 48 selected *T. harzianum* isolates successfully inhibited the mycelial growth of the pathogen *Ganoderma boninense* (isolate: PER71) at 47.86 to 72.06% with the strongest inhibitor exhibited by strain FA30. Eight samples produced effective volatile antifungal compounds which suppressed the growth of PER71 at 24.528 to 58.70 % over 6 days. When the 10 samples were assayed for the production of non-volatile antifungal compounds, whereby showed the inhibitory effects of 18.35 to 40.16% over 6 days. Strain FA30 was the best inhibitor isolate not only by dual culture inhibition technique, but was also the best producer of volatile and non-volatile inhibitor compounds, at 58.70 and 40.16% respectively.



The identifications of species of *Trichoderma* worldwide are currently deduced from micro-morphological descriptions which is tedious and prone to error. This study undertook a molecular approach, using isozyme electrophoresis, random amplified microsatellite (RAMS) analysis and gene sequence of the internal transcribed spacer-1 (ITS 1) region of the ribosomal DNA of selected *Trichoderma* isolates.

Electrophoretic variation of nine isozyme systems of 47 isolates from 3 species of *Trichoderma* namely, *T. harzianum*, *T. aureoviride* and *T. longibrachiatum* were studied. The UPGMA cluster analysis of the isozyme data showed the putatively identified *T. harzianum* to be distinctly separated from the outgroup sample of *T. longibrachiatum*, whereas *T. aureoviride* showed a closer genetic relationship to the *T. harzianum* populations. No distinct conclusion could be drawn from the dendrogram as the level of separation between *T. harzianum* and *T. aureoviride* and may not necessarily indicate a difference at the species level. A second molecular approach used was to extract DNA and characterise the sample for their Random Amplified Microsatellite DNA (RAMS) profile. The RAMS generated dendrogram showed that besides the distinct *T. longibrachiatum*, 2 other lineages were evident by UPGMA analysis. Again the level of taxonomic difference could not be determined. However, no clear separation was obtained by the dendrogram generated by the neighbor-joining (NJ).

The third approach was to putatively sequence the samples using the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS 1) region of the rDNA. The nucleotide sequences were multiple aligned and compared against the ex-type strains sequences from the NCBI and *TrichoBLAST* Genbank database. Results showed that 25 out of the 26 putatively identified *T.*



harzianum were in agreement with the genome of the *T. harzianum* ex-type strain while the single exception belonged to *T. virens* instead. The 9 putative *T. aureoviride* were misidentifications where 7 were *T. harzianum* and 2 were *T. virens* based on the Genbank database. The single strain of *T. longibrachiatum* (IMI: 375055) was in agreement with the ex-type strain. This study showed that conventional identification of *T. harzianum*, despite being done under the best possible care and condition, can still lead to incorrect identifications. Molecular studies by isozyme analysis did not give confident level of separation at the species level. The dendrogram based on UPGMA from RAMS analysis supported the ITS 1 gene sequence analysis but it could not confirm the specific species level. The ITS 1 region study showed that the gene sequences of *Trichoderma* samples were the most accurate technique for identification, with a bootstrap stability at 100% and a homology of 98-100%.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PERHUBUNGAN GENETIK ANTARA TIGA SPESIS *TRICHODERMA* DAN
KESAN PERENCATAN *T. HARZIANUM* (RIFAI)
TERHADAP *GANODERMA BONINENSE***

Oleh

MD. SHAFIQUZZAMAN SIDDIQUEE

November 2007

Pengerusi: Profesor Faridah Abdullah, PhD

Fakulti: Sains

Trichoderma adalah sejenis kulat yang hidup dan berasal dari tanah yang sehingga kini telah banyak dikaji dan dilaporkan mengenai kejayaannya sebagai agen kawalan biologi bagi berbagai kulat patogen tumbuhan. Teknik dual kultur telah menunjukkan 18 daripada 48 isolat *T. harzianum* berjaya menghalang pertumbuhan miselia patogen *Ganoderma boninense* (PER71) pada 47.86 hingga 72.06% dengan penghalangan tertinggi telah ditunjukkan oleh strain FA30. Lapan sampel telah menghasilkan sebatian volatil antikulat yang berkesan dalam menindas pertumbuhan patogen pada 24.528 hingga 58.70 % dalam tempoh masa enam hari. Apabila 10 sampel tersebut telah diuji untuk penghasilan sebatian bukan volatil antikulat, didapati semua strain menunjukkan kesan penghalang sebanyak 18.35% hingga 40.16% dalam masa 6 hari. Strain FA30 adalah isolat terbaik bukan sahaja bagi teknik dual kultur malahan terbaik bagi menghasilkan sebatian penghalang volatil dan bukan volatil, pada 58.70 and 40.16%.



Pengenalpastian spesies *Trichoderma* secara meluasnya pada masa ini ditentukan daripada deskripsi mikromorfologi agregat spesis. Pada kebiasaannya, teknik ini rumit dan cenderung kepada ralat. Menenusi penyelidikan ini pendekatan molekular telah diambil, dengan menggunakan elektroforesis isozim, analisis 'random amplified microsatellite' (RAMS) dan pencirian gen daripada 'internal transcribed spacer-1' (ITS 1) region' daripada ribosomal DNA.

Variasi elektroforetik bagi sembilan sistem isozim telah dikaji pada 47 isolat daripada 3 spesies *Trichoderma*, iaitu *T. harzianum*, *T. aureoviride* dan *T. longibrachiatum*. Melalui penggunaan analisis kluster UPGMA, populasi *T. harzianum* dan *T. longibrachiatum* secara keseluruhannya dipisahkan antara satu sama lain, manakala *T. aureoviride* menunjukkan persamaan genetik yang rapat dengan populasi *T. harzianum*. Tiada kesimpulan yang tepat dapat dilakarkan daripada dendrogram kerana aras pemisahan mungkin tidak menunjukkan perbezaan spesies. Pendekatan molekular kedua, dengan ekstrak DNA dan analisis menggunakan 'Random Amplified Microsatellite DNA' (RAMS). Dendrogram dengan generat RAMS telah menunjukkan kumpulan *T. harzianum* dan luar kumpulannya adalah jelas terpisah antara satu sama lain tetapi tiada pemisahan yang jelas didapati melalui 'neighbor joining' (NJ).

Pendekatan ketiga pula menggunakan 'internal transcribed spacer 1' (ITS 1) region' daripada rDNA. Turutan nukleotida telah disusun secara rawak dan dibandingkan dengan susunan strain sebelumnya yang diperolehi daripada pangkalan data NCBI dan *TrichoBLAST* Genbank. Keputusan menunjukkan 25 daripada 26 sampel dikenalpasti putatif sebagai *T. harzianum* kerana mempunyai genom yang sama dengan strain *T.*



harzianum yang sebelumnya, manakala satu sampel lagi adalah *T. virens*. Sembilan sampel telah salah dikenalpastikan sebagai *T. aureoviride* kerana 7 daripadanya ialah *T. harzianum* dan 2 lagi ialah *T. virens* berdasarkan pangkalan data Genbank. Stren tunggal *T. longibrachiatum* (IMI: 375055) adalah sama dengan stren sebelumnya. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa walaupun cara tradisional mengenalpasti *T. harzianum* telah dilakukan dalam keadaan yang rapi, ia masih boleh membawa kepada pengenalan spesies yang salah. Menerusi kajian ini didapati teknik molekular seperti isozim tidak dapat menghasilkan pengasingan yang sempurna pada peringkat spesies. Dendrogram yang dihasilkan menerusi UPGMA bagi teknik analisis RAMS telah menyokong analisis turutan gen ITS 1, tetapi ia tidak dapat memberikan kepastian mengenai peringkat spesifik spesies.

Kajian ini menunjukkan pencirian gen sampel *Trichoderma* pada 'non-coding ITS 1 region' adalah teknik yang tepat untuk pengenalan dengan kestabilan 'bootstrap' pada 100% dan homologi sebanyak 98-100%.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My greatest and ultimate debt and gratitude to Allah (S.W. T) the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful, may Allah pardon and forgive my weakness and endow me with knowledge and help.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to my supervisor Professor Dr. Faridah Abdullah for her time, support, excellent supervision, valuable suggestions, encouragement and constructive criticisms throughout the study. And most of all, for giving me chance to improve myself to be a better person in an authentic life.

My sincere thanks go to Professor Dr. Tan Soon Guan for his valuable and positive advice on molecular studies and invaluable suggestions, especially facing any problems to carry on the study period. Dr. Subah Bhassu was thanked for her help and positive advice throughout isozyme study. I would like to thank especially Josephine for her help during isozyme and phylogenetic analysis.

I would also like to thank our lab mate Halim, Ida, Ita, Haffni, Laila and Nazif for their excellent behave and technical assistance. I also wish to express my appreciation to all my friends in Malaysia and Bangladesh. Especial thanks also go to Nurul Amin, Kabir, Shahana, Tabassum, Altab, Akhter, Altaf, Shahin and Ainey for their mental supports and encouragement during my study period. Parvez and Jakir were particularly thanked for their countless support and care.



I would like to acknowledge to the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation Malaysia (MOSTI) for support under the Intensified Research in Priority Areas (IRPA) grant which has financed this research under project no. 01-02-04-6020 EA001.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank my parents for their kind support, encouragement and nurturing a love of science at a young age, they gave me and raising me the way I am today, my sister, Nargis and Nasima, and my younger brother Shamim for all support, encourage and their love. Finally, I am most grateful to Emila Rohaza for her kindness, endless support, love, care, patience, sacrifices and understanding various ways.



I certified that an Examination Committee met on 9th November, 2007 to conduct the final examination of **Md. Shafiquzzaman Siddiquee** on his **Master's degree** entitle "**Molecular Evaluation of Selected *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai Isolates and its Inhibitory Effects**" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master's degree.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Siti Khalijah Daud, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Radzali Muse, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Faridah Qamaruz Zaman, PhD

Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Siti Azizah Mohd Nor, PhD

Associate Professor
School of Biological Sciences
Universiti Sains Malaysia
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 December 2007



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Faridah Abdullah, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Tan Soon Guan, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 January 2008



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledge. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

MD. SHAFIQUZZAMAN SIDDIQUEE

Date: 9 November 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
CHAPTER	
I	
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
II	
LITERATURE REVIEW	7
The significance of <i>Trichoderma</i>	7
Chronology of <i>Trichoderma</i> taxonomy	9
Taxonomic problems of <i>T. harzianum</i>	18
Biological control	20
Biological control by <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	21
Molecular characterization	23
Isozyme profiles	24
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	28
Random amplified microsatellite (RAMS) DNA	29
Internal transcribed spacer (ITS)	31
III	
ISOLATION AND <i>IN VITRO</i> SCREENING FOR ANTAGONISTIC <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> ISOLATES AGAINST <i>Ganoderma boninense</i>	34
Introduction	34
Materials and Methods	36
Field sampling	36
Isolation of <i>Trichoderma</i>	38
Identification of <i>Trichoderma</i>	39
Selection of <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates	39
Source of PER71 (<i>Ganoderma boninense</i>)	40
Dual culture technique	40
Production of volatile compounds	44
Production of antifungal non-volatile compounds	45
Scoring for antagonistic activity	46
Results	47
Isolation on TSM	47
Isolation and identification of <i>Trichoderma</i>	48
Characteristics of <i>T. harzianum</i>	49
PIRG and colony overgrowth assessment	50



	Inhibiting effects of volatile metabolites	51
	Inhibiting effects of non-volatile compounds	53
	Discussion	54
IV	ISOZYMES CHARACTERISATION OF THREE PUTATIVE <i>TRICHODERMA</i> SPECIES BY POLYACRYLAMIDE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS (PAGE)	59
	Introduction	59
	Materials and Methods	60
	Isolates of <i>Trichoderma</i> samples for electrophoresis	60
	Preparation of samples	61
	Extraction of enzyme	62
	Polyacrylamide gel	62
	Application of samples on polyacrylamide gel	63
	Running procedure for PAGE	64
	Isozyme staining	64
	Examination and storage of gel	65
	Gel scoring	65
	Data analysis	66
	Dendrogram	67
	Results	68
	Isozyme banding pattern	68
	Loci and alleles scored	91
	Genetic variation within three species of <i>Trichoderma</i>	93
	Fixation indices and outcrossing rates	94
	Genetic structure	94
	Gene flow	95
	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium analysis of three <i>Trichoderma</i> species	95
	Analysis of population subdivision within three species of <i>Trichoderma</i>	96
	Genetic similarity	97
	Cluster analysis	97
	Discussion	101
V	MOLECULAR CHARACTERISATION OF THREE PUTATIVE <i>TRICHODERMA</i> SPECIES BY RANDOM AMPLIFIED MICROSATELLITES (RAMS) DNA	105
	Introduction	105
	Materials and Methods	107
	<i>Trichoderma</i> isolates	107
	<i>Trichoderma</i> culture	108
	<i>Trichoderma</i> liquid culture	108
	DNA extraction by the phenol-chloroform method	109



	DNA visualization by gel electrophoresis	111
	Selection of RAMS primers	111
	DNA amplification of RAMS	112
	RAMS-polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	113
	Gel electrophoresis by RAMS products	113
	Scoring and data analysis	114
	Results	115
	DNA visualisation	115
	Optimisation for RAMS analysis	116
	Random amplified microsatellites (RAMS)	117
	Similarity matrix and cluster analysis based on RAMS data	124
	UPGMA (NTSYS-pc) dendrogram	124
	Neighbor-joining (NJ) dendrogram by phylip	127
	Discussion	130
VI	GENE SEQUENCING OF <i>Trichoderma</i> ISOLATES BY THE INTERNAL TRANSCRIBED SPACER 1 (ITS 1) REGION OF THE RIBOSOMAL DNA	136
	Introduction	136
	Materials and Methods	138
	<i>Trichoderma</i> strains	138
	Samples preparation and DNA extraction	138
	PCR amplification of the ITS 1 region of rDNA	139
	DNA visualization by gel electrophoresis	139
	Purification of the PCR products	140
	DNA sequencing	141
	Multiple sequence alignment	141
	Phylogenetic analyses	144
	Results	144
	ITS 1 amplification	144
	Nucleotide sequence and alignment	146
	Phylogenetic analysis	146
	Discussion	149
VII	GENERAL DISCUSSION	151
VII	CONCLUSION	156
	REFERENCES	157
	APPENDICES	180
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	194
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	195



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Current status of <i>Trichoderma</i> and <i>Hypocrea</i> taxa, and their attribution to phylogenetic sections and clades	16
2	Putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples selected for <i>in vitro</i> studies	39
3	Index score for growth of PER71 non-volatile compounds	47
4	<i>Trichoderma</i> isolate counts according to soil samples	48
5	List of putative <i>Trichoderma</i> species, their code numbers, references and localities	61
6	Isozyme systems and the electrode buffer systems used in this study	63
7	Summary of genetic variation for 13 loci from two <i>Trichoderma</i> populations and one outgroup sample populations	92
8	Allele frequency of polymorphic loci among three putative <i>Trichoderma</i> species	93
9	Summary of F-statistics and gene flow for all loci between three putative species of <i>Trichoderma</i> populations (Nei, 1978)	95
10	Ohta's two-locus analysis of each <i>Trichoderma</i> population subdivision	96
11	Genetic similarity (above diagonal) and genetic distance (below diagonal) between three putative species of <i>Trichoderma</i> based on Nei's (1972)	97
12	Distribution of <i>Trichoderma</i> in the UPGMA cluster analysis by isozyme analysis	100
13	List of putative <i>Trichoderma</i> species, code, reference and localities of oil palm plantations	108
14	The five primers used in the RAMS analysis and their sequences	112
15	The optimised conditions for RAMS analysis using 5 primers	116
16	The 5 oligonucleotide primers and the band characteristics of 42 <i>Trichoderma</i> isolates produced	117



17	Distribution of <i>Trichoderma</i> in the UPGMA cluster analysis by RAMS, where bold codes denoted <i>T. aureoviride</i>	127
18	Neighbor-joining (NJ) cluster analysis by RAMS, where bold codes denote <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates	130
19	List of putative <i>Trichoderma</i> species, code, reference and localities	138
20	List of isolate code, species, GenBank accession number, strains reference and identity for <i>Trichoderma</i> spp., analyses in this study	143



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Developments of <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. taxonomy before and after methods of molecular phylogenetics were introduced	10
2	Comparison of the classification of the genus <i>Trichoderma</i> according to isozyme and DNA data as carried out by Lieckfeldt <i>et al.</i> (1998) with morphologically based taxonomy proposed by Bissett (1984, 1991abc)	14
3	Schematic diagram representation of common gene arrangement with the rDNA.	32
4	Location of experimental site and its surroundings	37
5	Schematic diagrams showing measurements of radial growth of <i>G. boninense</i> mycelia	43
6	Diagrammatic representation of plate arrangements for the antifungal activity of volatile compounds from <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates on <i>G. boninense</i>	44
7	Diagrammatic representation of sequence of methods to assess growth inhibition of PER71 by non-volatile compounds produced by <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates	46
8	Five-day old culture showing <i>Trichoderma</i> CFU on TSM	49
9	Five-day old colony of <i>T. harzianum</i> onto PDA media (Left) and the reverse (Right)	49
10	Antagonistic effect of <i>T. harzianum</i> (FA30) against <i>G. boninense</i> (PER71) in dual culture technique after 9 days of inoculation showing overgrowth of <i>Trichoderma</i> over the <i>Ganoderma</i> colony	51
11	Mean \pm SD of PIRG and colony degradation period of PER71 in the presence of the 18 <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates based on the dual culture technique	51
12	PIRG (Mean \pm SD) of <i>G. boninense</i> mycelial inhibited by volatile compounds of <i>T. harzianum</i>	52
13	Left: Inhibited growth of PER71 on agar with volatile compounds at 6 days, Right: Uninhibited growth of PER71 on control plate at 6 days	52

14	PIRG (Mean \pm SD) of <i>G. boninense</i> colony inhibited by non-volatile compounds produced from 10 <i>T. harzianum</i>	53
15	Left: Inhibited growth of PER71 on agar with non-volatile compounds at 6 days, Right: Uninhibited growth of PER71 on control plate at 6 days	54
16	α -EST banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: FA2, FA4, FA7, FA8, FA15, FA24, FA26, FA29, FA30, FA31, T32, FA34, FA35, FA36 and FA38	69
17	Schematic representation of α -EST electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	69
18	α -EST banding patterns of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T29, T45, T49, T55, T58, T67, T86, T106, T126 and T127	70
19	Schematic representation of α -EST electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	70
20	α -EST banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T28, T76, T82, T90, T91, T99, T118 and T120	70
21	Schematic representation of α -Est electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples	71
22	MDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: FA2, FA4, FA7, FA8, FA15, FA24, FA26, FA29, FA30, FA31, T32, FA34 and FA35	72
23	Schematic representation of MDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	72
24	MDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T124, T121, T102, T101, T100, T80, T79, T71, T66, T60 and FA44	72
25	Schematic representation of MDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	73
26	MDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T127, T126, T106, T86, T67, T58, T55, T49, T45 and T29	73
27	Schematic representation of MDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	73

28	MDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T28, T76, T87, T90, T91, T99, T104 and T120	74
29	Schematic zymogram of representative of MDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	74
30	ACP banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: FA2, FA4, FA7, FA8, FA15, FA24, FA26, FA29, FA30, FA31, T32, FA34, FA35, FA36 and FA38	75
31	Schematic zymogram of representative of ACP electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples	75
32	ACP banding pattern of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T127, T126, T106, T86, T67, T58, T55, T49, T45 and T29	75
33	Schematic zymogram of representative of ACP electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	76
34	ACP banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T120, T118, T99, T91, T87, T82, T76 and T28	76
35	Schematic zymogram of representative of ACP electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	76
36	G6PDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: FA2, FA4, FA7, FA8, FA15, FA24, FA26, FA29, FA30, FA31, FA32, FA34, FA35, FA36 and FA38	77
37	Schematic zymogram of representative of G6PDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	77
38	G6PDH banding pattern of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T29, T45, T49, T55, T58, T67, T86, T106, T126 and T127	78
39	Schematic zymogram of representative of G6PDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	78
40	G6PDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T120, T118, T99, T91, T87, T82, T76 and T28	78

41	Schematic zymogram of representative of G6PDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples	79
42	GP banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T60, T66, T71, T79, T80, T100, T101, T102, T121 and T124	80
43	Schematic zymogram of representative of GP electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	80
44	GP banding pattern of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolate. Lanes left to right: T127, T126, T86, T106, T67, T58, T55, T49, T45 and T29	80
45	Schematic zymogram of representative of GP electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	81
46	GP banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T118, T104, T99, T91, T90, T82, T76 and T28	81
47	Schematic representation of GP electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	81
48	SORDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolate. Lanes left to right: FA2, FA4, FA7, FA8, FA15, FA24, FA26, FA29, FA30, T32, FA31, FA34, FA35, FA36 and FA38	82
49	Schematic representation of SORDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples	82
50	SORDH banding pattern of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T29, T45, T49, T55, T58, T67, T86, T106, T126 and T127	83
51	Schematic representation of SORDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrow indicates allele of given locus	83
52	SORDH banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T120, T118, T104, T91, T90, T82, T76 and T28	83
53	Schematic representation of SORDH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples	84
54	SOD banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolate. Lanes left to right: T60, T66, T71, T79, T80, T100, T101, T102, T121 and T124	85
55	Schematic representation of SOD electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrow indicates alleles of given locus	85

56	SOD banding patterns of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T29, T45, T49, T55, T58, T67, T86, T106, T126 and T127	85
57	Schematic representation of SOD electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	86
58	SOD banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T118, T104, T99, T91, T90, T82, T76 and T28	86
59	Schematic representation of SOD electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	86
60	ME banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T60, T66, T71, T79, T80, T100, T101, T102, T121 and T124	87
61	Schematic representation of ME electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	87
62	ME banding patterns of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T127, T126, T106, T86, T67, T58, T55, T49, T45 and T29	88
63	Schematic representation of ME electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	88
64	ME banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T28, T76, T82, T87, T90, T91, T118 and T120	88
65	Schematic representation of ME electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> samples. Arrow indicates allele of given locus	89
66	ADH banding patterns of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> isolate. Lanes left to right: FA38, FA36, FA35, FA34, T32, FA31, FA30, FA29, FA26, FA24, FA15, FA8, FA7, FA4 and FA2	89
67	Schematic representation of ADH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. harzianum</i> samples. Arrows indicate alleles of given locus	90
68	ADH banding patterns of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T127, T126, T106, T86, T67, T58, T55, T49, T45 and T29	90
69	Schematic representation of ADH electrophoretic phenotypes of putative <i>T. aureoviride</i> samples	90
70	ADH banding patterns of putative <i>T. longibrachiatum</i> isolates. Lanes left to right: T28, T76, T82, T90, T91, T99, T118 and T120	91