



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EFFECTS OF METAL OXIDE NANOPARTICLE CATALYSTS ON THE  
DIAMETER OF CARBON NANOTUBES PRODUCED VIA PULSED  
LASER ABLATION DEPOSITION TECHNIQUE**

**SAMAILA BAWA WAJE**

**FS 2007 17**

**EFFECTS OF METAL OXIDE NANOPARTICLE CATALYSTS ON THE  
DIAMETER OF CARBON NANOTUBES PRODUCED VIA PULSED LASER  
ABLATION DEPOSITION TECHNIQUE**

**By**

**SAMAILA BAWA WAJE**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

**March 2007**



In appreciation of their love and sacrifices, this thesis is dedicated to Parents Malam Bawa Waje and Hajiya Hassana Bawa Waje and to my beloved wife Sadiya.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

**EFFECTS OF METAL OXIDE NANOPARTICLE CATALYSTS ON THE DIAMETER OF CARBON NANOTUBES PRODUCED VIA PULSED LASER ABLATION DEPOSITION TECHNIQUE**

By

**SAMAILA BAWA WAJE**

**March 2007**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Noorhana Yahya, PhD**

**Faculty: Science**

In this research work, bismuth oxide ( $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ ) and nickel oxide ( $\text{NiO}$ ) nanoparticles were synthesized through precipitation method, while iron oxide ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ) nanoparticles were synthesized via citrate pyrolysis. All the as-prepared metal oxide nanoparticles were used as catalysts for the growth of carbon nanotubes via pulsed laser ablation deposition (PLAD) technique.

Pellets were first prepared from a mixture of 90 wt% graphite and 10 wt% catalysts in each case, and used as a target. An Nd: YAG laser with wavelength of 532nm and power of 10.24W was used to ablate the target materials, using a frequency of 5 kHz and current of 25A. The target materials were evaporated and transported to the substrate under the influence of argon. The expelled carbon precipitated and diffuses through the metal oxide catalysts and condensed on the substrate as carbon nanotubes. The effect of each of the catalyst on the diameter of the as-grown carbon nanotubes was investigated and the correlation between the type and the particle size of the catalysts and the diameter of the grown CNTs were studied.



The results show that, there is a strong correlation between the diameter of the starting catalyst, with the diameter of the resulting carbon nanotubes for both  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ , indicating that both catalysts serve as the nucleation point for the CNTs growth. However, the case of NiO shows a significant difference, as the diameter of the as-grown CNTs was eight times bigger than the size of the starting catalyst. This can be attributed to the aggregation of the as-prepared NiO particles to form bigger clusters, consequent to the ablation process.

Bamboo-like CNTs were observed for  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  and NiO, which is attributed to the high cooling rate of the reaction chamber. Further contribution to this structure is the large pulse-to-pulse width of the system (140ns). However CNTs catalyzed by  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  were defect free tubes which can be attributed to the lower melting point of  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  compare to other catalysts used, thus forming CNTs at a lower eutectic temperature. From the results, it can be concluded that, for applications that requires a short tube with relatively large diameter  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  is the best catalyst. For long CNTs with relatively large diameter for encapsulation purposes, NiO is the best catalyst, while  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  was seen to be the best catalyst for catalyzing CNTs with a narrow diameter.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KESAN OKSIDA LOGAM PARTIKEL NANO BAGI MANGKIN PADA  
DIAMETER TIUB NANO KARBON TERHASIL MELALUI CARA  
PEMENDAPAN ABLASI DENYUTAN LASER**

Oleh

**SAMAILA BAWA WAJE**

**Mac 2007**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Noorhana Yahya, PhD**

**Fakulti: Sains**

Dalam kajian penyelidikan ini partikel nano bismuth oksida ( $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ ) dan nikel oksida ( $\text{NiO}$ ) disediakan melalui kaedah mendakan, sementara partikel nano ferum oksida ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ) disintesis melalui pirolisis sitrat. Semua partikel nano logam oksida yang telah disintesis, digunakan sebagai mangkin bagi pertumbuhan tiub nano karbon melalui cara pemendapan ablas denyutan laser.

Pelet telah disediakan daripada campuran 90% berat grafit dan 10% berat mangkin dalam setiap kes, telah digunakan sebagai sasaran. Pemendapan ablas denyutan laser (PLAD) menggunakan laser Nd:YAG dengan jarak gelombang 532nm dan kuasa 10.24W digunakan untuk menyinar karbon dari sasaran, dengan frekuensi yang ditetapkan pada 5kHz dan arus 25A. Ia mengewapkan karbon dan produk di bawah pengaruh gas argon. Karbon yang dipercik telah termendak dan meresap melalui mangkin logam oksida dan mendap pada substrat sebagai tiub nano karbon. Kesan bagi setiap mangkin terhadap diameter tiub nano karbon dikaji dan hubungan antara saiz partikel mangkin dan diameter bagi pertumbuhan CNTs terhasil diselidik.



Hasil kajian menunjukkan, terdapat hubungan yang kuat antara diameter bagi mangkin dengan diameter bagi tiub nano karbon yang terhasil bagi kedua-dua mangkin bismuth oksida dan ferum oksida yang menunjukkan kedua-duanya bertindak sabagai titik nukleasi bagi pertumbuhan tiub nano karbon. Namun begitu nikel oksida menunjukkan perbezaan ketara, iaitu diameter yang tuhasil bagi CNTs adalah lapan kali lebih besar daripada saiz awal mangkin tersebut. Ini disebabkan oleh gumpalan partikel NiO yang membentuk kluster lebih besar akibat dari pertumbuhan pada suhu tinggi di mana laser menghentam sasaran.

CNTs berbentuk buluh diperolehi bagi  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  dan NiO, di mana dapat dikaitkan dengan kadar penyejukan tinggi bagi kebuk tindak balas, akibat daripada ketiadaan elemen pemanasan untuk mengekalkan suhu seragam dalam kebuk tindak balas, sebagai tambahan kepada struktur ini jarak denyutan yang besar dalam sistem ini (140ns). Walaubagaimanapun, CNTs yang dimangkinkan oleh  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  adalah tiub yang sempurna mungkin disebabkan oleh takat lebur mangkin yang rendah maka membentuk suatu suhu eutektik pada suhu yang rendah berbanding dengan pemangkin lain. Dari pada hasil kajian, boleh disimpulkan bahawa bagi aplikasi yang memerlukan tiub yang pendek dengan diameter yang besar,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  adalah pemangkin terbaik untuk CNTs dengan tiub yang panjang dan diameter yang besar untuk tujuan pengurangan, NiO adalah pemangkin yang terbaik, manakala  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  dilihat sebagai pemangkin terbaik untuk memangkinkan CNTs dengan diameter yang kecil.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is neither my strength nor my wisdom, but Allah's mercies that made this work a success, thus, I praise him and glorify his name. May his position continues to be exalted forever and ever, ameen.

Countless of people contribute to this thesis; mentors, family, friends and even strangers at conferences and workshops set off trains of thought and spark ideas or understandings. This means I will be forgetting someone. However, there is no waffling about my unreserved appreciation to my able supervisor Associate Professor Dr Noorhana Yahya for her constructive criticism, guidance and suggestions, without which this would not have been a success. I am highly indebted and eternally grateful. To my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Irmawati Ramli, I say a very big thank you for keeping me right on tract. Your support remains indelible in my memories.

The warmly support accorded to me by the staff of both Physics Department and Institute of Advanced Technology (ITMA), University Putra Malaysia is highly appreciated. I have to specifically appreciate the head of Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology laboratory, Professor Dr Mohd Zobir Hussein for providing an enabling environment to conducting this research work. The management, Staff and Students of Federal College of Education, Kontagora are also worthy of appreciation, thus, I thank them for supporting me all through.

To my colleagues in the nanotechnology research group (Ismayadi Ismail, Ramadan Al-Habashi, Beh Hoe Guan, Shamsul Ezzad and Hashim Saad), I appreciate the





memorable interactions we had from where I drew my strength, thank you very much for lighting my path; please let's keep the ball rolling, and a bit faster, if possible. My friends who stood by me throughout this struggle, talking about Salisu Garba, Sani Yahya, Hon Isah Abdullahi, Bilyaminu Bawale, Umar Adamu, Gaze, Malam Umar, Ashiru Babandede, D.S Abubakar, Dr Abdulkarim, Awaisu, and host of others, wishing them all they wish themselves.

In characterizing my samples, the efforts of Mr Jefri from UTM Johor (FE-SEM), Mr Rafi from Institute of BioScience UPM (TEM), Miss Yusnita from Physics Dept. UPM (XRD) and Dr Mat Hussain from AMREC SIRIM, Kulim (HR-TEM) are highly acknowledged.

I am highly grateful to my brothers and sisters; Sani & his family, Mustapha & his family, Shafa'atu & her family, Nafisatu & her family, Abdul-rahman, Mahmud and Mansur for the warmly support and prayers towards the success of this work. My parents' in-law (Alh. Musa Sami and Hajiya Jummai Ibrahim) are fully acknowledged for their support hence and otherwise.

The crowning glory goes to my wife Sadiya for standing by me through thick and thin, may Allah reward you with the best of rewards

I cannot find the words for my parents Malam Bawa Waje and Hajiya Hassana "Oh Allah showers your mercies upon them, just as they nourish me while I was young".



Finally, I acknowledge the Ministry of Science Technology and Innovation, Malaysia (MOSTI), for the EAR grant vote number 54430, with which this research was funded.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 27th March 2007 to conduct the final examination of Samaila Bawa Waje on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Effects of Metal Oxide Nanoparticle Catalysts on the Diameter of Carbon Nanotubes produced via Pulsed Laser Ablation Deposition Technique” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Mohd. Zobir Hussein, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Science,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohd. Maarof H. A. Moxin, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Science,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Internal Examiner)

**Mansor Hashim, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Internal Examiner)

**Abdul Rahman Mohamed, PhD**

Professor  
School of Chemical Engineering,  
Universiti Sains Malaysia.  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Noorhana Yahya, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Irmawati Ramli, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD.**  
Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 JULY 2007



## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**SAMAILA BAWA WAJE**

Date: 19 JUNE 2007



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vii
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS</b>	x
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Potentials of carbon nanotubes	4
1.3 Potentials of Catalysts	5
1.4 Objectives of the Study	7
1.5 Significance of the Study	7
1.6 Scope of the Study	8
1.7 Thesis Outline	8
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Types of Carbon Nanotubes	9
2.2.1 Single walled carbon nanotubes (SWNT)	9
2.2.2 Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWNT)	11
2.3 CNTs Production Techniques	12
2.3.1 Electric Arc Discharge	12
2.3.2 Laser Ablation	15
2.3.3 Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD)	17
2.4 Catalysts Preparation Methods	19
2.4.1 Sol-Gel	20
2.4.2 Co- reduction of Precursors	21
2.4.3 Impregnation	21
2.4.4 Ion-Exchange Precipitation	21
2.4.5 Ion Adsorption Precipitation	22
2.4.6 Reverse Micelle	22
2.5 Parameters Determining Catalytic Properties	22
2.5.1 Types of Catalysts	23
2.5.2 Morphology	31
2.5.3 Preparation Method	34
2.6 Other Important Parameters in CNT Growth	37
2.6.1 Temperature	37
2.6.2 Laser Power	42
2.6.3 Gas Pressure	44
2.7 CNTs Characterization Techniques	45



	2.7.1 Electron Microscopy	46
	2.7.2 X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	48
<b>3</b>	<b>THEORY</b>	<b>50</b>
	3.1 Theory of Catalysts on Reaction Rates	50
	3.2 Mechanism of PLAD	53
	3.3 The Geometry of Nanotubes	57
	3.4 CNTs Growth via PLAD	59
	3.5 Growth mechanism of CNTs	60
	3.5.1 Catalysts Free Growth	60
	3.5.2 Catalysts Active Growth	61
	3.6 Solid-liquid-solid Growth Model	64
	3.8 Diffusion as a Thermally active Process	65
<b>4</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>67</b>
	4.1 Introduction	67
	4.2 Selection of the Catalysts	67
	4.3 Materials and Gases	68
	4.4 Preparation of Catalysts	69
	4.4.1 Preparation of $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$	69
	4.4.2 Preparation of NiO	70
	4.4.3 Preparation of $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	71
	4.5 Synthesis of CNTs	73
	4.5.1 Preparation of Pellets	73
	4.5.2 Target Preparation	73
	4.5.3 The Substrate	74
	4.5.4 Vacuum System	75
	4.5.5 Growth Temperature	76
	4.5.6 Deposition Chamber	76
	4.5.7 Ablation-deposition process	77
	4.6 Characterization Equipments/techniques	79
	4.6.1 X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	79
	4.6.2 HR-TEM	80
	4.6.3 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope and Energy Dispersive X-Ray	81
	4.6.4 Atomic force microscope (AFM)	82



<b>5</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	84
5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	Results of the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	84
5.3	Results of the CNTs catalyzed by the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	90
5.4	Results of the as-prepared NiO	100
5.5	Results of the CNTs catalyzed by the as-prepared NiO	105
5.6	Results of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	111
5.7	Results of the CNTs catalyzed by the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	117
5.8	Summary of CNTs	131
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	134
6.1	Conclusion	134
6.2	Recommendations	136
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	137
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	152
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	162
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	163





## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Effect of the temperature on the soot production rate, relative ACNT purity and diameter range.	38
4.1	Summary of the Parameters used for the synthesis of CNTs.	78
5.1	Comparison of Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> before and after sintering at 673K.	84
5.2	Comparison of observed 'd' values with standard 'd' values for Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .	86
5.3	The elemental analysis of Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> sample sintered at 673 K	87
5.4	Comparison of grahite pellets catalyzed by Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> before and after ablation	90
5.5	The elemental analysis of the deposited carbon nanotubes catalyzed by the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	92
5.6	Comparison of NiO before and after sintering at 673K	100
5.7	Comparism of observed "d" values with standard "d" values for NiO.	101
5.8	The elemental analysis of the as-prepared NiO nanoparticles.	102
5.9	Comparison of major peaks of graphite pellets catalyzed by NiO before and after ablation	106
5.10	The elemental analysis of the deposited carbon nanotubes catalyzed by the as-prepared NiO nanoparticle.	107
5.11	Comparison of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> before and after sintering at 673K.	111
5.12	Comparison of observed 'd' values with standard 'd' values for Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .	113
5.13	The elemental analysis of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	113
5.14	Comparison of major peaks of graphite pellets catalyzed by Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> before and after ablation.	117
5.15	The elemental analysis of the deposited carbon soot via Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticle.	120
5.16	Results summary of the CNTs via the as-prepared catalysts.	131



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Possible processes involved in the formation of CNTs (Perla, 2002).	3
1.2	Possible vectors specified by the pairs of integers (n, m) for Carbon nanotubes (Perla, 2002).	4
2.1	Possible vector formations of CNTs (Dresselhaus et al., 1998).	10
2.2	TEM images of Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (Yahya et al., 2005).	11
2.3	Schematic diagram of Arc discharge apparatus (Dagnen et al., 2003).	13
2.4	Schematics of a laser ablation set-up reproduced (Yadasaka et al., 1998).	15
2.5	Chemical Vapor Deposition chamber (Simon and Daniel 2007).	17
2.6	Overview of Sol-gel process ( <a href="http://www.phys.suwon.ac.kr">www.phys.suwon.ac.kr</a> ).	20
2.7	Plume analysis of Nd:YAG laser showing segregation zone (a), nucleation zone (b) and growth zone (c) (Kokai et al., 2000).	40
2.8	HRTEM image of multiwalled carbon nanotubes (Ramaprabhu, 2006).	46
2.9	A schematic diagram of the transmission electron microscope (Chescoe, 1990).	47
2.10	A schematic diagram of the scanning electron microscope (Chescoe, 1990).	47
2.11	SEM image of multiwalled carbon nanotubes (Ramaprabhu, 2006).	48
2.12	Schematic of X- ray diffraction Mode of characterizing (Cao, 2001).	49
3.1	Activation energy graph based on Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution (Clerk, 2006).	51
3.2	Alternative path for the reaction to happen at lower activation energy (Clerk, 2006).	52
3.3	Energy profile in chemical reaction (Clerk, 2006).	53



3.4	(a) Schematic honeycomb structure of a graphene sheet. Single-walled carbon nanotubes can be formed by folding the sheet along lattice vectors. The two basis vectors $a_1$ and $a_2$ are shown. Folding of the (8,8), (8,0), and (10,-2) vectors lead to armchair (b), zigzag (c), and chiral (d) tubes, respectively (Geurtz et al., 1992).	59
3.5	(a), (b) and (c) Mechanism for CNT growth and (d) TEM image of SWNT growing radial to a large Ni catalyst particle surface (Saito, 1995).	63
3.6	Schematic wireframe representation of the top (a) and side (b) views of a (11,3) nanotube growing out of a flat all-hexagonal graphene sheet by a root mechanism involving the presence of heptagons at the tube base (Saito, 1995).	64
4.1	Flow chart for the preparation of $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ .	70
4.2	Flow chart for the preparation of NiO.	71
4.3	Flow chart for the preparation of $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ .	72
4.4	Target holder containing a pellet made of 90 wt% graphite and 10wt% catalysts.	74
4.5	Substrate holder.	75
4.6	A schematic experimental setup for the pulsed laser ablation deposition (PLAD) system.	77
4.7	Chamber window showing the Nd:YAG laser beam focusing onto a target material.	79
4.8	Schematic Picture of the XRD $\varphi$ -Scan geometry used. The enlargement shows typical crystal planes not parallel to the surface used for $\varphi$ -scan measurements (Cao, 2001).	80
4.9	An Optical Image Showing (a) TEM copper grid covered with a lacey carbon film, (b) A lacey carbon film (b).	81
5.1	XRD pattern of the as-prepared $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticles before and after sintering at 673 K.	85
5.2	EDX Spectrum of the as-prepared $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticles.	87

5.3	SEM micrographs of as-prepare Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles sintered at 673 K.	88
5.4	SEM micrographs of the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles at various magnifications.	89
5.5	XRD patterns of the pellets before and after ablation via Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	91
5.6	XRD patterns of the deposited carbon nanostructures catalyzed by the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	91
5.7	EDX spectrums of deposited carbon nanotubes catalyzed by as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	93
5.8	SEM micrographs of deposited carbon nanostructures catalyzed by as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	94
5.9	HR-TEM micrographs of CNTs catalyzed by as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	95
5.10	EDX spectrum of deposited “cotton-like” Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> thin film.	96
5.11	SEM micrographs of the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles after ablation at various magnifications.	97
5.12	AFM micrograph of agglomerated “cotton-like” Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> thin film.	98
5.13	HR-TEM of the as-prepared Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles after laser ablation.	99
5.14	HR-TEM micrograph of the deposited “cotton-like” Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> thin film (a) d-spacing of 3.1 Å (b) average d-spacing of 2.7 Å.	99
5.15	XRD patterns of the as-prepared NiO nanoparticles before and after sintering at 673 K.	102
5.16	EDX spectrum the as-prepared NiO nanoparticles.	103
5.17	SEM micrographs of the as-prepared NiO nanoparticles.	104
5.18	SEM micrographs of the as-prepared NiO nanoparticles sintered at 673 K.	105
5.19	XRD patterns of pellets for as-prepared NiO before and after ablation.	106



5.20	XRD pattern of the deposited carbon nanostructures catalyzed by as-prepared NiO nanoparticles.	106
5.21	EDX spectrum of carbon nanostructures catalyzed by as-prepared NiO nanoparticles.	107
5.22	SEM micrographs of bundles of carbon nanostructures catalyzed by the as-prepared NiO Nanoparticles.	108
5.23	SEM micrographs of carbon nanostructures catalyzed by the as-prepared NiO Nanoparticles.	109
5.24	HR-TEM micrographs of CNTs catalyzed by as-prepared NiO nanoparticles.	110
5.25	XRD patterns of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles before and after sintering at 673 K.	112
5.26	EDX spectrum of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	114
5.27	SEM micrographs of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles sintered at 673K.	115
5.28	SEM micrographs of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles sintered at 673K.	116
5.29	XRD patterns of pellets for both before and after ablation process via prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	118
5.30	XRD patterns of the deposited carbon nanostructures via prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	119
5.31	EDX spectrum of carbon nanotubes catalyzed by as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .	120
5.32	SEM micrographs of carbon nanostructures catalyzed by as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> at various magnifications.	121
5.33	SEM micrographs of carbon nanostructures catalyzed by as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.	122
5.34	HR-TEM micrographs of carbon nanotubes catalyzed by as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .	122
5.35	HR-TEM micrographs of carbon nanotubes catalyzed by as-prepared iron oxide.	123



5.36	SEM image of target of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /graphite after ablation.	126
5.37	EDX spectrum of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles after laser ablation.	127
5.38	Web-like as prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles deposited on glass substrate after laser ablation process.	128
5.39	HR-TEM of the as-prepared Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles after laser ablation.	128
5.40	EDX spectrum of conventional Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> after laser ablation.	129
5.41	The conventional Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> deposited on glass substrate after laser ablation process.	130



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Laser	Light of amplification stimulation emission radiation
Nd:YAG	Neodymium: Yittrium Aluminium Garnet
PLAD	Pulsed Laser Ablation Deposition
CNTs	Carbon nanotubes
SWNT	Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes
MWNT	Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes
XRD	x-ray diffraction
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
CVD	Chemical Vapor Deposition
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray
wt %	Weight percent
Ar	Argon
hkl	Miller indices
MSDS	Materials Safety Data Sheet
JCPDS	Joint Committee on Power Diffraction Standard
a.u	Arbitrary unit
HR-TEM	High resolution transmission electron microscopy



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are fullerene-related structures, consisting of graphene cylinders, closed at either end with caps containing pentagonal rings (Iijima, 1991). They were discovered in 1991 by the Japanese electron microscopist Sumio Iijima who was studying the material deposited on the cathode during the arc-evaporation synthesis of fullerenes. He found that the central core of the cathodic deposit contained a variety of closed graphitic structures including nanoparticles and nanotubes, of a type, which had never been previously observed (Iijima, 1991). Thomas Ebbesen and Pulickel Ajayan later from Iijima's laboratory showed how nanotubes could be produced in bulk quantities by varying the arc-evaporation conditions (Iijima and Ichihashi, 1993). These paved the way to an explosion of research into their physical and chemical properties in laboratories all over the world (Ebbesen, 1994).

Literally called the building blocks of matter, nanotubes exhibits several potentials which includes high strength, light weight, high electrical conductivity, excellent fatigue and corrosion resistance, high conductivity to mention but just a few. Another important development was the synthesis of single-wall nanotubes in 1993 (Iijima and Ichihashi 1993). The standard arc-evaporation method which initially produced only multiwall tubes was found that addition of metals such as cobalt to the graphite





electrodes resulted in extremely fine tube with single-layer walls. The availability of these structures enabled scientists to test some of the theoretical predictions, which have been made about nanotubes properties (Ebbesen, 1994).

Mostly, the growth of CNT requires the use of a catalyst as shown in Figure 1.1, and the commonly used catalysts are transition metals, metal oxides or their alloys in the form of thin films or nanoparticles (Ruo et al., 2002). The role of catalysts has and is still being studied in the growth of carbon nanotubes. This is due to the complexity of the processes, involving a wide range of time and length scales. However, it is acknowledged to be very important component in the CNTs growth. This is because; the form of the resulting carbon tubules is seen closely to relate to the physical dimensions of the metal catalyst particles. When the diameter of the catalyst particle is in the range of tenths of a micron, the resulting tubules are carbon filaments of similar diameter (Sinnott et al. 1999). As the size of the catalyst particle reduces, the filament curvature increases leading eventually to the formation of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs). If the particle size reduces still further, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) are formed (Kong et al., 1998 and Sinnott et al., 1999).