



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**BIOSURFACTANT PRODUCTION BY *PSEUDOMONAS
AERUGINOSA* 181**

LAITH ISSA YASSIN AL-ARAJI

FBSB 2004 2

**BIOSURFACTANT PRODUCTION BY *PSEUDOMONAS*
AERUGINOSA 181**

LAITH ISSA YASSIN AL-ARAJI

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
2004**



**BIOSURFACTANT PRODUCTION BY *PSEUDOMONAS*
AERUGINOSA 181**

By

LAITH ISSA YASSIN AL-ARAJI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

October 2004



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**BIOSURFACTANT PRODUCTION BY *PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA*
181**

By

LAITH ISSA YASSIN AL-ARAJI

October 2004

Chairman : Professor Abu Bakar Salleh, Ph.D.
Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

This study involves the screening of biosurfactant producers that have been isolated from crude oil bacteria degraders. The bacteria were isolated by qualitative screening on cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) agar plates and quantitative screening for biosurfactant production in liquid media. A biosurfactant producer identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 was selected for further analysis.

Maximum biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 was achieved after 120 h incubation at pH 7.0 and 37°C. Static condition and 5.0% bacterial inoculum's gave the optimum biosurfactant yield. Culture medium containing glucose as the carbon source; and casamino acids as the organic nitrogen source gave the highest level of biosurfactant production. Corn steep liquor and ammonium nitrate on the other hand inhibited biosurfactant production. However, the addition of metal ions such as Fe, Mg and Mn maximized biosurfactant synthesis.



The biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 was purified to homogeneity by acid precipitation and ammonium sulphate precipitation. Biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 was stable and had a broad range of pH from 3.0 to 12.0 with the maximum activity (Surface Tension reduction and Emulsification Index (E24)) exhibited at pH 7.0. The purified biosurfactant had a broad range of temperature and exhibited optimum activity at 30°C. This biosurfactant had high activity compared to many commercial surfactants with 0.1 mg critical micelle concentration (CMC). The purified biosurfactant had a maximum emulsification index (E24) of 86% with hexadecane, followed by 80% with nonane, dodecane, tridecane, pentadecane, octadecane and o-Xylene.

Response surface methodology (RSM) was then used to study interactive effects of the parameters (pH, stirring rate, casamino acid concentration and incubation period) on the production of biosurfactants. Generally, simultaneously increasing surface tension reduction and emulsification index (E24) improved yields. Production carried out at larger volumes of 1L using Bioreactor under RSM-optimized conditions yielded 350.22 mg of products after purification by acid precipitation. Identities of isolated products were verified by using TLC, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), liquid chromatography–Mass spectrometry (LC-MS), mass spectrometry (MS-MS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), from analysis carried out the rhamnolipids were monorhamnolipids and dirhamnolipids.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGHASILAN BIOSURFAKTAN OLEH *PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA* 181

Oleh

LAITH ISSA YASSIN AL-ARAJI

Oktober 2004

Pengerusi : Profesor Abu Bakar Salleh, Ph.D

Fakulti : Bioteknologi and Sains Biomolekul

Kajian ini melibatkan pemencilan dan penyaringan penghasil biosurfaktan daripada bakteria yang mendegradasikan minyak mentah. Bacteria ini pada awalnya dipencilkan mengikut penyaringan kualitatif di atas agar cetiltrimetilammonium bromida (CTAB) dan penyaringan kuantitatif di atas media cecair. Penghasil biosurfaktan dikenalpasti sebagai *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 dan dipilih untuk analisis selanjutnya. Penghasilan biosurfaktan yang maksimum oleh *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 adalah pada suhu 37°C selepas 120 jam pengeraman pada pH 7.0 keadaan statik dan 5 peratus inokulum memberikan keadaan optimum penghasilan biosurfaktan. Penghasilan biosurfaktan tertinggi adalah dalam media yang mengandungi sumber karbon glukosa dan asid kasamino sebagai sumber nitrogen. Aktiviti biosurfaktan direncatkan oleh 'corn steep liquor' dan ammonium nitrat. Walau bagaimanapun, penambahan ion metal seperti Fe, Mg dan Mn memberikan sintesis biosurfaktan maksimum.

Penghasilan biosurfaktan oleh *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181 di tulenkan sehingga homogeniti melalui presipitasi asid dan presipitasi ammonium sulfat. Penghasilan biosurfaktan adalah stabil pada pH optimum 3.0 hingga 12.0 dan aktiviti maksimum (pengurangan ketegangan permukaan indeks imulsifikasi (E24)) pada pH 7.0. Penghasil

tulen menunjukkan aktiviti optimum pada 30°C. Biosurfaktan ini mempunyai aktiviti yang sangat tinggi jika dibandingkan dengan kebanyakan surfaktan komersil dengan 0.1 mg kepekatan sel kritikal (CMC). Biosurfaktan tulen menunjukkan aktiviti indeks emulsifikasi (E24) maksimum pada 86% dengan heksadekana, diikuti 80% dengan nonana, dodekana, tridekana, pentadekana, oktadekana dan o-Xylena.

Seterusnya kaedah permukaan respon (RSM) digunakan untuk mengkaji kesan interaksi parameter untuk penghasil biosurfaktan (pH, kadar pengadukkan, kepekatan asid kasamino and masa pengeraman). Lazimnya, apabila pengurangan ketegangan permukaan dan indeks emulsifikasi (E24) meningkat menyebabkan penghasilan produktiviti meningkat. Penghasilan pada isipadu yang besar iaitu 1L menggunakan Bioreaktor dibawah keadaan optimum RSM menghasilkan 350.22 mg produk selepas ditulenkan menggunakan presipitasi asid. Identiti produk pencilan dipelbagaikan menggunakan TLC, kromatografi cecair tinggi (HPLC), spektrometri jisim-kromatografi cecair (LC-MS) spektrometri jisim (MS-MS), mikroskop elektron imbasan (SEM), mikroskop elektron transmisi (TEM) dan spektroskopi infra merah (FT-IR), hasil daripada analisis yang dijalankan rhamnolipid adalah monorhamnolipid and dirhamnolipid.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH the most benevolent and merciful, first and foremost all praise be to ALLAH for giving me the opportunity, patience, help and guidance for the completion of this thesis. To my dearest wife, sons, mother, father, brothers and sisters, thank you for bringing me up to be who I am today. My success symbolizes and reflects on the undivided support and love from all of you.

My heartiest gratitude goes to my supervisors: Prof. Dr. Abu Bakar Salleh for his willingness to help, listen and assist in every way, in the midst of his heavy responsibilities and duties. Above all, thank you for the advice, guidance, ideas, criticism and encouragement throughout the project. Not forgetting my supervisory committee members: Prof. Dr. Mahiran Basri and Asso. Prof. Dr. Raja Noor Zaliha Abdul Rahman and Asso. Prof. Dr. Mohammad Basyaruddin Bin Abdul Rahman for their constructive comments, constant support and invaluable guidance. In taking this text from the raw manuscript stage to the final ready thesis stage, I have received tremendous assistance from the meticulous checking of the text to numerous suggestions for modifications and special learning aids from all of you. Special recognition is addressed to all my lab mates and friends in Lab 139, 140 and 401(Department of Chemistry) thank you for your friendship. I am - also indebted to all the staff in Department of Microbiology and Department of Biochemistry.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 19th October 2004 to conduct the final examination of Laith Issa Yassin Al-Araji on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Biosurfactant Production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 181” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

JOHARI RAMLI, Ph.D.

Associate Professor

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

NORHANI ABDULLAH, Ph.D.

Professor

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

MOHD ARIF SYED, Ph.D.

Professor

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

NOR MUHAMMAD MAHADI, Ph.D.

Professor

The National Institute for Genomics
and Molecular Biology

UKM-MTDC Smart Technology Centre

(Independent Examiner)

GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph.D.

Professor/Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as followed:

ABU BAKAR SALLEH, Ph.D.

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

RAJA NOOR ZAL1HA ABDUL RAHMAN, Ph.D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

MAHIRAN BASRI, Ph.D.

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.

Professor/ Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

LAITH ISSA YASSIN AL-ARAJI

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	2
ABSTRAK	4
ACKNOLEGMENT	6
APPROVAL	7
DECLARATION	9
LIST OF TABLES	15
LIST OF FIGURES	17
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	20
 CHAPTER	
I	
INTRODUCTION	22
II	
LITERATURE REVIEW	25
Microbial Biosurfactant	25
Classification and Chemical Nature of Biosurfactants	26
Glycolipids	28
Trehalose lipids	29
Sophorolipids	29
Rhamnolipids	30
Fatty acids	31
Phospholipids	31
Surfactin	31
Polymeric Microbial Surfactants	32
<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> RAG-1 (ATCC 31012)	
Emulsan	32
The Polysaccharide Protein Complex of <i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> BD4	32
Other <i>Acinetobacter</i> Emulsifiers	32
Emulsifying Protein From <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	33
Surfactants From <i>Pseudomonas</i> PG-1	33
Particulate Surfactants	33
Extracellular Vesicles From <i>Acinetobacter</i> sp. HO1-N	33
Microbial Cells with High Cell Surface Hydrophobicities	34
Physiology Role	34
Biosynthesis	35
Regulation	37
Factors Affecting Biosurfactant Production	39
Carbon Source	41
Nitrogen Source	42
Environmental Factors	43
Recovery of Biosurfactant	45
Potential Commercial Applications	47
Applications of Biosurfactants in Pollution Control	48
Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery	48



	Biosurfactants in Oil Storage Tank Clean-up	49
	Hydrocarbon Degradation	49
	Hydrocarbon Degradation in the Soil Environment	50
	Hydrocarbon Degradation in Aquatic Environment	50
	Biosurfactant and Hexa-chlorocyclohexane	51
	Biosurfactants Applications in Food Industry	51
	Biosurfactants Effects on Freshwater and Marine Inhabitants	52
	Microbial Biosurfactants and Enzyme-Synthesised Surfactants	52
	Other Biosurfactants Applications	53
	Response Surface Methodology	54
	The Four Step Procedure in RSM	56
	Step 1. Identification of Factors	56
	Step 2. Definition of Factor Levels	56
	Step 3. Experimental Design and Selection of Test Samples	57
	Step 4. Statistical Analysis	57
	Uses of RSM	59
	Applications of RSM	59
III	MATERIAL AND METHODS	63
	Materials	63
	General Methods	68
	Statistical Analyses	68
	Source of Microorganism	68
	Preliminary Screening Studies	69
	Preparation of Selective Media	69
	Mineral media	69
	Selective Media	69
	Basal Medium (BM)	69
	Growth Media	70
	Cultivation of Bacteria	70
	Preparation of Bacterial Inoculation	70
	Growth Conditions	70
	Cultivation Monitoring	71
	Cultivation Analyses	71
	Physical Parameters	71
	Biomass	71
	Protein Assay	71
	Bradford Reagent	72
	Standard Curve	72
	Emulsification Index (E24) Measurement	73
	Surface Tension Measurement	73
	Maintenance of Bacteria	74
	Preparation of Future Stock Culture	74
	Preparation of Working Stock Culture	74
	Nutritional and Physical Factors Affecting the Biosurfactant Production by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	74
	Effect of Carbon Sources on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	75

Effect of Nitrogen Sources on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	75
Effect of Different Concentrations of Casamino acid on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	76
Effect of Metal Ions on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	76
Effect of Temperatures on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	76
Effect of Inoculums Size on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	76
Effect of Agitation Rate on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	77
Effect of Initial pH on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	77
Growth Curve and Biosurfactant Production of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 in Optimized Growth Medium	77
Recovery and Quantification of Biosurfactant	77
Analysis of Biosurfactants	80
Preparation of the Samples Prior to Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)	80
Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)	80
Ultraviolet-Visible Light Spectrometry	81
Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR)	82
Analytical High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)	82
Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)	83
Mass Spectrometry (MS-MS)	84
Scanning Electron Microscopy	84
Transmission Electron Microscopy	84
Characterization of the Biosurfactant	85
Stability Studies	85
Effect of pH on Biosurfactant Stability	85
Effect of Temperatures on Biosurfactant Stability	85
Emulsification Index (E24) of Different Hydrocarbons and Oil	86
Comparison with Commercial Surfactant	86
Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC)	86
Study on Interactive Effects of Enzymatic Reaction Parameters and their Optimization using RSM	87
Experimental Design	87
Statistical and Graphical Analyses	89
Optimization of production and Model Validation	89
IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
Isolation <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 Producing Biosurfactant	90
Identification of Bacteria	90
Preliminary Screening Studies	92
Optimization Studies	96
Effect of Nutrients Supplements on Biosurfactants Production	97



Effect of Carbon Sources on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	97
Effect of Nitrogen Sources on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	106
Effect of Different Concentrations of Casamino acid on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	110
Effect of Metal Ions on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	113
Effect of Different Concentrations of Ferric Chloride on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	113
Effect of Different Concentrations of Magnesium Sulphate on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	115
Effect of Different Concentrations of Calcium Chloride on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	117
Effect of Different Concentrations of Zinc Sulphate and Manganese Sulphate on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	120
Effect of Temperature on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	124
Effect of Inoculums Size on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	126
Effect of Agitation Rate on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	128
Effect of Initial pH on the Growth and Biosurfactant Production	131
Growth Curve and Biosurfactant Production of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 in Optimized Growth Medium	133
Recovery and Quantification of Biosurfactant	136
Analysis of Biosurfactant	138
Thin Layer Chromatography	138
Ultraviolet-Visible Light Spectrometry	140
Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR)	140
High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)	142
Method 1	142
Method 2	143
Method 3	145
Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)	147
Mass Spectrometry (MS-MS)	149
Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	153
Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	155
Characterization of the Biosurfactant	156
Stability Studies	156
Effect of pH on Biosurfactant Stability	156
Effect of Temperatures on Biosurfactant Stability	160
Emulsification Index (E24) with different Hydrocarbons and Oil	162
Comparison with Commercial Surfactants	165
Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC)	167

Study on Interactive Effects of Biosurfactant Production Parameters and their Optimization using RSM	171
Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	171
Regression Analysis	176
Response Surfaces	180
Interactive Effects of pH, Stirring rate, Casamino Concentration and Incubation period	181
(i) pH versus Stirring rate (AB)	185
(ii) pH versus Casamino acid Concentration (AC)	186
(iii) pH versus Incubation period (AD)	187
Interactive Effects of Stirring rate, Casamino acid Concentration and Incubation period	187
(i) Stirring rate versus Casamino acid Concentration (BC)	190
(ii) Stirring rate versus Incubation period (BD)	190
Interactive Effects of Casamino acid Concentration and Incubation period	191
Optimization of Biosurfactant and Model Validation	193
V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	195
Conclusion	195
Recommendations	198
REFERENCES	199
APPENDICES	218
LIST OF PUBLICATION	276
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	277

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Structural Types of Microbial Surfactants	27
2	Classification of Biosurfactant	28
3	Preparation of Albumin Bovine Standard Curve	72
4	Coded and Actual Levels of Variables for Design of Experiment	88
5	Coded and Actual Level Combinations for a Five-level, Four-variable Fractional Factorial Design	88
6	The Amount of Purified Rhamnolipids Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 from Five Different methods	136
7	The X-Ray Analysis of The Biosurfactant Production by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 as generated by SEM program	155
8	Emulsification Index (E24) of Rhamnolipid Purified from <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 with Various Chemical Compound	162
9	Emulsification Index (E24) of Rhamnolipid Purified from <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 with Various Oils	164
10	The Surface Tension activity and Emulsification Index (E24) of Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 and Various Commercial Surfactants	166
11	The Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC) of Different <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> strains	171
12a	2^{5-1} Factorial Design Matrix (Coded) for Study and Optimization of Biosurfactant Production Indicated From Surface Tension	172
12b	2^{5-1} Factorial Design Matrix (Coded) for Study and Optimization of Biosurfactant Production Indicated From Emulsification Index (E24)	173
12c	2^{5-1} Factorial Design Matrix (Coded) for Study and Optimization of Biosurfactant Production Indicated From Whole Cell Protein	174
12d	2^{5-1} Factorial Design Matrix (Coded) for Study and Optimization of Biosurfactant Production Indicated From Rhamnolipid	175
13a	ANOVA for Response Surface of Surface Tension Quadratic Model	177



13b	ANOVA for Response Surface of Emulsification Index (E24) Quadratic Model	177
13c	ANOVA for Response Surface of Whole Cell Protein Quadratic Model	177
13d	ANOVA for Response Surface of Rhamnolipids Production Quadratic Model	177
14a	Values and Significance of Regression Coefficients for Surface Tension	178
14b	Values and Significance of Regression Coefficients for Emulsification Activity (E24)	178
14c	Values and Significance of Regression Coefficients for Whole Cell Protein	179
14d	Values and Significance of Regression Coefficients for Rhamnolipid	179
15	Optimal Conditions Derived by RSM for Biosurfactant Production by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	181
		194

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Structures of Rhamnolipids	30
2	Colonies of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 on a Nutrient Agar Plate.	91
3	Gram Staining of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	91
4	Screening of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 for the Biosurfactant Production on CTAB Plate	94
5	Screening of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 for the Biosurfactant Production on medium containing (v/v): 1% Hexadecane, 1% Ethanol and 1% Hexadecane + 1% Ethanol.	95
6	Effect of Carbon Sources on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	98
7	Effect of Nitrogen Sources on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	107
8	Effect of Different Casamino acids Concentrations on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	111
9	Effect of Different Ferric Chloride Concentrations on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	114
10	Effect of Different Magnesium Sulphate Concentrations on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	116
11	Effect of Different Calcium Chloride Concentrations on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	118
12	Effect of Different Zinc Sulphate Concentrations on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	121
13	Effect of Different Manganese Sulphate Concentrations on Bacterial Growth and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	122
14	Effect of Temperature on the Growth and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	125
15	Effect of Inoculum Size on the Growth and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	127

16	Effect of Agitation Rate on the Growth and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	129
17	Effect of Initial pH on the Growth and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	132
18	Growth Curve and Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 in Optimized Medium.	134
19	Thin Layer Chromatogram of Purified Products	139
20	The Absorbance of the Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 Dissolved in Acetonitrile at Wavelength rang (190-900) nm.	141
21	FT-IR Spectra for Purified Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> .	141
22	HPLC Chromatogram of 10mg/mL Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 at Flow Rate of 1mL/min	143
23	HPLC Chromatogram of 10mg/mL Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 at Flow Rate of 0.6 mL/min	144
24	HPLC chromatogram of 10mg/mL Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 at Flow Rate 0.4 mL/min	146
25	LC-MC Chromatogram of the Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	148
26	MS-MS Chromatogram of the Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 at Rotation Time 18.53 min.	150
27	MS-MS Chromatogram of the Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 Biosurfactant at Rotation Time 19.78 min	151
28	Scanning Micrograph of Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	154
29	Transmission Electron Micrograph the Morphology of Biosurfactant produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	156
30	Effect of pH on the Surface Tension of Biosurfactant Solution Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	157
31	Effect of pH on the Emulsification Index (E24) of Biosurfactant Solution Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	157

32	Effect of Temperature on the Surface Tension activity of Biosurfactant Solution Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 with incubation at the various temperatures	161
33	Effect of Temperature on the Emulsification Index (E24) of Biosurfactant Solution Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181 with incubation at the various temperatures	161
34	Surface Tension versus Concentration of The Purified Biosurfactant Produced by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 181	168
35	Response Surface Plots of pH versus Stirring rate (AB) for Surface Tension, E24, Whole Cell Protein and Rhamnolipid	182
36	Response Surface Plots of pH versus Casamino Acid Concentration (AC) for Surface Tension, E24, Whole Cell Protein and Rhamnolipid	183
37	Response Surface Plots of pH versus Incubation Period (AD) for Surface Tension, E24, Whole Cell Protein and Rhamnolipid	184
38	Response Surface Plots of Stirring rate versus Casamino acid Concentration (BC) for Surface Tension, E24, Whole Cell Protein and Rhamnolipid	188
39	Response Surface Plots of Stirring rate versus Incubation Period (BD) for Surface Tension, E24, Whole Cell Protein and Rhamnolipid	189
40	Response Surface Plots of Casamino Acid Concentration versus Incubation Period (CD) for Surface Tension, E24, Whole Cell Protein and Rhamnolipid	192

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CaCl ₂	Calcium chloride
cm	Centimetre
CMC	Critical micelle concentration
d	Day
dH ₂ O	Distilled water
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid
g	Gram
g/L	Gram per liter
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
h	Hour
IR	Infrared
L	Liter
M	Molar
mg	Milligram
mL	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
mM	Millimolar
mm	Minute
NaCl	Sodium chloride
Na ₂ HPO ₄	Disodium hydrogen orthophosphate
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide

SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
PAHs	Polycyclic-Aromatic-Hydrocarbons
R ²	Coefficient of determination
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RSM	Response surface methodology
TLC	Thin layer chromatography
TSB	Trypticase Soy Broth
μg	Microgram
μL	Microlitre
μm	Micrometer
v/v	Volume per volume
w/v	Weight per volume

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Biosurfactants, with both hydrophilic and hydrophobic structural moieties, seem to facilitate the uptake of hydrocarbons into cells. Wide spectra of microbial compounds, including glycolipids, lipopeptides, fatty acids, and polymeric biosurfactants, have been found to have surface activity. Such compounds are able to reduce the surface tension and interfacial tension between water and hydrocarbon phases (Morikawa *et al.*, 2000).

Biosurfactants have important advantages, such as biodegradability, low toxicity, and various possible structures, relative to chemically synthesized surfactants (Benincasa *et al.*, 2002). With environmental compatibility becoming an increasingly important factor in the selection of industrial chemicals, the use of biosurfactants in environmental applications, such as in bioremediation and the dispersion of oil spills, is increasing (Banat 1995a).

In addition, biosurfactants have other uses in the petroleum industry, such as in enhanced oil recovery (Kim *et al.*, 2000) and the transportation of crude oil. Other possible application fields are in the food, cosmetics, and pharmaceutical industries. In these industries, most biosurfactants are used as emulsifiers (Desai and Banat 1997). However, biosurfactants have not yet been employed extensively in industry because of the relatively high production and recovery costs involved.

Considerable attention has been given in the past to the production of the surface-active molecules of biological origin because of their potential utilization in food processing (Mata-Sandoval *et al.*, 1999) pharmacology, and oil industry. Although the type and amount of the microbial surfactants produced depend primary on the producer organism, factors like carbon and nitrogen, trace elements, temperature, and aeration also affected their production by the organism. Hydrophobic pollutants present in petroleum hydrocarbons and soil and water environment require solubilization before being degraded by microbial cells. Mineralization is governed by adsorptions of hydrocarbons from soil. Surfactants can increase the surface area of hydrophobic materials, such as pesticides in soil and water environment, thereby increasing their water solubility. Hence, the presence of surfactants may increase microbial degradation of pollutants. Use of biosurfactants for degradation of pesticides in soil and water environment has become important recently (Jennings and Tanner 2000). The worldwide surfactant market totals approximately 9.4 billion US\$ per annum, and the demand for surfactants is expected to increase at a rate of 35% per annum (Desai and Banat 1997).

According to Karanth *et al.*, (1999), the type, quality and quantity of biosurfactant production is dependent on the culture conditions such as pH, temperature, agitation, dilution rate in continuous culture, the concentration of metal ions and the nature of the carbon source and nitrogen source in the medium. Moreover, the efforts were based on conventional optimisation methods where only one parameter is varied at any one time with the others being kept constant. As such, the interactions amongst these parameters are neglected, resulting in only an 'apparent' set of optimal conditions.