



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENT OF ROUTING PROTOCOLS IN MOBILE WIRELESS
AD-HOC NETWORKS USING FUZZY REASONING ALGORITHM**

ESSAM FATHI NATSHEH

T FK 2006 104

**PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENT OF ROUTING PROTOCOLS IN
MOBILE WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS USING FUZZY
REASONING ALGORITHM**

By

ESSAM FATHI NATSHEH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti
Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree
of Doctor of Philosophy**

October 2006



DEDICATION

Especially dedicated to my parents

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra
Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENT OF ROUTING PROTOCOLS IN
MOBILE WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS USING FUZZY
REASONING ALGORITHM**

By

ESSAM FATHI NATSHEH

October 2006

Chairman: Associate Professor Adznan Jantan, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

The challenge in creating a routing protocol for ad-hoc networks is to design a single protocol that can adapt to the wide variety of conditions that can be present in any ad-hoc network environment. The routing protocol must perform efficiently in environments in which it suffers from high nodes mobility and many wireless transmission constraints. Because it is often impossible to know in advance what environment the protocol will find itself in, and because the environment can change unpredictably, the routing protocol must be able to adapt automatically. In this thesis, we use fuzzy reasoning algorithm (FRA) as a highly adaptive algorithm to achieve that goal. We will present the various application of that algorithm to ad-hoc routing protocols. Then, we will focus on four major applications that are the core of any ad-hoc routing protocol. These applications are: route lifetime estimation, local connectivity

management, nodes affinity management, and active queue management. In the first method, fuzzy reasoning is used to estimate the time the route can stay active in the routing table. In the second method, fuzzy reasoning is used to optimize the maximum time period that can transpire before the node broadcast the 'Hello' messages. Mapping the relationship between the signal strength fluctuation and links lifetime is presented in the third method. Finally, in the last method fuzzy reasoning is used for network congestion estimation and estimating the time to start dropping incoming packets. Extensive performance analysis via simulation proves the effectiveness of using the FRA to improve the accuracy of routing protocol parameters and hence the overall network performance.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra
Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor
Falsafah

**PENAMBAHBAIKAN PERSEMBAHAN PROTOKOL LALUAN DALAM
RANGKAIAN TANPA WAYAR MUDAH ALIH YANG TIDAK TETAP
MENGUNAKAN ALGORITMA PEMIKIRAN KESAMARAN**

Oleh

ESSAM FATHI NATSHEH

Oktober 2006

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Adznan Jantan, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Cabaran dalam mereka sesuatu protokol laluan untuk rangkaian sementara ialah merekabentuk satu protokol unggul yang dapat menyesuaikan diri dengan pelbagai situasi di dalam mana-mana rangkaian sementara. Protokol laluan ini harus cekap menangani permasalahan yang disebabkan oleh perpindahan nodus yang tinggi dan pelbagai halangan dalam penghantaran tanpa wayar. Oleh kerana untuk mengetahui secara terkehadapan situasi bagaimana protokol tersebut akan melalui adalah sukar dan juga situasi ini boleh berubah tanpa dapat diramal, protokol laluan ini harus boleh menyesuaikan diri secara automatik. Dalam tesis ini, kami menggunakan Algoritma Pemikiran Kesamaran (FRA) sebagai algoritma yang sangat sesuai untuk mencapai tujuan yang dinyatakan. Kami akan kemukakan pelbagai aplikasi algoritma tersebut untuk protokol laluan sementara. Kami juga akan memberi

tumpuan kepada empat aplikasi utama yang menjadi asas kepada mana-mana protokol laluan sementara. Aplikasi-aplikasi tersebut adalah anggaran jangka hayat perjalanan, pengurusan penyambungan dalaman, pengurusan keserupaan nodus dan pengurusan barisan aktif. Di dalam kaedah pertama, pemikiran kesamaran digunakan untuk menganggar masa yang diambil oleh perjalanan tersebut untuk berada dalam keadaan aktif didalam jadual perjalanan. Dalam kaedah kedua, pemikiran kesamaran digunakan untuk mengoptimakan semaksima masa yang boleh diketahui sebelum satu nodus menyiarkan pesanan "Hello". Ilustrasi hubungan diantara perubahan kekuatan signal dan jangka hayat penyambungan telah dikemukakan dalam kaedah ketiga. Dalam kaedah yang terakhir, pemikiran kesamaran digunakan untuk penganggaran kesesakan rangkaian dan menganggar masa permulaan untuk menjatuhkan paket-paket yang masuk. Analisa perlaksanaan secara meluas melalui simulasi membuktikan keberkesanan penggunaan FRA untuk memperbaiki ketepatan parameter protokol laluan dan seterusnya persembahan rangkaian secara keseluruhan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE BENEFICENT, THE MERCIFUL

I would like to express my thanks to my committee chairman Assoc. Prof. Adznan B. Jantan for all his supervision, encouragement, advice and help during all the period of my study. I appreciate his constant concern in me to finish this research. I also appreciate his friendship and his warm hospitality while stay in Malaysia.

I would like to express my gratitude to my committee member Assoc. Prof. Sabira Khatun. Her accurate reviewing and comments of the many papers generated by this research has made them accepted internationally. Her concern over the quality of the research has made me always on the constant lookout for the best.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my committee member Dr. Shamala Subramaniam, who taught me *Performance Modeling of Communication Network* which is the basis of the discrete events simulator used in this research. Besides understanding the simulator, the course has also given me many ideas during my research.

I greatly appreciate all the freedom that my committee members gave me to pursue whatever research interest me, and for offering their insights whenever I needed them.

Finally, this work could not have been completed without the love and support of my family. I thank my beloved mother, father, brothers and sisters, for their endless support. Special thanks are also extended to my friends in Malaysia for their help and support by one way or another.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 20th of October 2006 to conduct the final examination of Essam Fathi Natsheh on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Performance Enhancement of Routing Protocols in Mobile Wireless Ad-Hoc Networks using Fuzzy Reasoning Algorithm” in according with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Abd Rahman Ramli, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Adzir Mahdi, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohammad Hamiruce Marhaban, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Kasmiran Jumari, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Adznan Bin Jantan, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Sabira Khatun, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Shamala Subramaniam, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

ESSAM FATHI NATSHEH

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1.1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1.1
1.2 Research Overview and Contributions	1.3
1.3 Research Objectives	1.4
1.4 Thesis Organization	1.5
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 2.1
2.1 Introduction	2.1
2.2 Review of Current Research Efforts	2.3
2.2.1 Routes Costs Estimation Techniques	2.3
2.2.2 QoS-based Routing Protocols	2.6
2.2.3 Queues Scheduling Algorithms	2.7
2.2.4 Route Selection Depending on QoS Parameters	2.9
2.2.5 Route Selection Depending on Traffic Parameters (Load-aware Algorithms)	2.10
2.2.6 Energy-aware Routing Protocols	2.11
2.2.7 Position-based Routing Protocols	2.12
2.2.8 Zone Routing Protocol	2.13
2.2.9 Clustering Protocols	2.14
2.2.10 Fuzzy Configuration of Routing Protocols Parameters	2.15
2.2.11 Local Repair Techniques	2.17
2.3 Validity of the Performance Metrics	2.18
2.4 Conclusion	2.21
 3 METHODOLOGY	 3.1
3.1 Introduction	3.1
3.2 Fuzzy Reasoning Algorithm (FRA)	3.1
3.2.1 Fuzzification and Membership Functions	3.2

3.2.2 Inference and Defuzzification	3.4
3.2.3 Implementing Fuzzy Reasoning Algorithm with Ad-hoc Routing Protocols	3.6
3.2.4 Validation of the Fuzzy Reasoning Algorithm	3.9
3.3 Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing Protocol	3.10
3.3.1 Route Discovery	3.11
3.3.2 Route Maintenance	3.11
3.3.3 Route Repair	3.12
3.4 Simulation Environment	3.12
3.4.1 Application Layer	3.14
3.4.2 Routing Layer	3.14
3.4.3 Medium Access Control (MAC) Layer	3.14
3.4.4 Physical Layer	3.15
3.4.5 Mobility Layer	3.16
3.4.6 Simulation Scenarios	3.16
3.4.7 Validation of the Simulator	3.17
3.5 Performance Metrics	3.18
4 FUZZY REASONING APPROACH FOR ROUTES LIFETIME ESTIMATION IN WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS	4.1
4.1 Introduction	4.1
4.2 Problem Statement	4.2
4.3 Related Work	4.3
4.4 AODV with Fuzzy Routes Lifetime (fuzzy-RLT)	4.6
4.4.1 Effect of Path Length on ART	4.7
4.4.2 Effect of Node Mobility on ART	4.9
4.4.3 Effect of Node Transmission Power on ART	4.10
4.4.4 The Rule-base for Fuzzy-RLT	4.13
4.4.5 Membership Functions for the Fuzzy Variables	4.15
4.4.6 Compatibility between Static and Fuzzy- RLT Methods	4.16
4.5 An Illustrative Example for Fuzzy Operations used in the FRA	4.17
4.6 Simulation Results and Evaluations	4.21
4.6.1 Routing Overhead Details	4.21
4.6.2 Average End-to-End Delay Details	4.24
4.6.3 Invalid Route Ratio Details	4.26
4.6.4 ART values	4.28
4.7 Conclusion	4.29
5 FUZZY REASONING APPROACH FOR LOCAL CONNECTIVITY MANAGEMENT IN WIRELESS AD- HOC NETWORKS	5.1
5.1 Introduction	5.1

5.2 Problem Statement	5.2
5.3 Related Work	5.4
5.4 AODV with Fuzzy Hello Interval (HI)	5.6
5.4.1 Effect of Node Transmission Power on Hello Interval	5.7
5.4.2 Effect of Node Speed on Hello Interval	5.7
5.4.3 The Rule- base for Fuzzy Hello Interval	5.10
5.4.4 Membership Functions for the Fuzzy Variables	5.11
5.4.5 Compatibility between Static and Fuzzy Hello Intervals	5.12
5.5 Simulation Results and Evaluations	5.13
5.5.1 Routing Overhead Details	5.13
5.5.2 Average End-to-End Delay Details	5.18
5.5.3 Invalid Route Ratio Details	5.20
5.5.4 Frequent Sending of Hello Messages Details	5.21
5.5.5 Hello Interval values	5.23
5.5.6 Significance of the Fuzzy Interval Algorithm	5.25
5.6 Conclusion	5.28

6 ADAPTIVE AND FUZZY APPROACHS FOR NODES AFFINITY MANAGEMENT IN WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS

6.1 Introduction	6.1
6.2 Problem Statement	6.2
6.3 Related Work	6.3
6.4 Adaptive Links Lifetime Method	6.6
6.5 Fuzzy Reasoning Based Links Lifetime Algorithm	6.7
6.5.1 Effect of Node Transmission Power on Links Lifetime	6.8
6.5.2 Effect of Distance between Neighbors on links lifetime	6.9
6.5.3 Effect of Node Speed on links lifetime	6.11
6.5.4 The rule- base for fuzzy links lifetime	6.11
6.5.5 Membership Functions for the Fuzzy Variables	6.13
6.5.6 Compatibility between Static and Adaptive Links Lifetime	6.14
6.6 Simulation Results and Evaluations	6.14
6.6.1 Routing Overhead Details	6.14
6.6.2 Average End-to-End Delay Details	6.18
6.6.3 Sensitivity to Mobility Model Details	6.21
6.6.4 Links Lifetime Consistency Details	6.23
6.6.5 Illustrating Links Lifetime Consistency	6.28
6.6.6 Sensitivity to Nodes Speed Details	6.30
6.7 Conclusion	6.32

7	FUZZY REASONING APPROACH FOR ACTIVE QUEUE MANAGEMENT IN WIRELESS AD-HOC NETWORKS	7.1
7.1	Introduction	7.1
7.2	Problem Statement	7.2
7.3	Related Work	7.4
7.4	Congestion in Ad-hoc Networks	7.8
7.5	Fuzzy-AQM Algorithm	7.10
7.5.1	Effect of Current Queue Size on Drop Probability	7.11
7.5.2	Effect of Node Neighborhood Density on Drop Probability	7.12
7.5.3	The Rule- base for Fuzzy Drop Probability	7.14
7.5.4	Membership Functions for the Fuzzy Variables	7.15
7.5.5	Implementation Complexity of the Fuzzy Reasoning Algorithm	7.16
7.5.6	Compatibility between Conventional and Fuzzy AQM	7.18
7.6	Simulation Environment	7.18
7.7	Simulation Results and Evaluations	7.19
7.7.1	Drop Ratio Details	7.19
7.7.2	Invalid Route Ratio Details	7.22
7.7.3	Average End-to-End Delay Details	7.24
7.7.4	Routing Overhead Details	7.26
7.7.5	Drop Probability Values	7.28
7.8	Conclusion	7.30
8	CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH	8.1
8.1	Conclusion	8.1
8.2	Further Research	8.2
	REFERENCES	R.1
	APPENDICES	A.1
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	B.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Performance metrics used in literature and the requirements they failed to achieve	2.19
3.1	Simulation parameters	3.13
3.2	Control packets used by AODV	3.18
4.1	Rule-base for fuzzy-SCP	4.14
4.2	Rule-base for fuzzy-Power	4.14
5.1	Rule-base for fuzzy Hello_interval	5.10
6.1	Rule-base for fuzzy links lifetime	6.12
6.2	Percentage of decrement in routing overhead	6.17
6.3	Percentage of decrement in end-to-end delay	6.20
6.4	Average LL values used by a chosen node	6.27
7.1	The Fuzzy AQM Schemes	7.8
7.2	Fuzzy-AQM rules for drop probability	7.14

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Block-diagram for the basic elements of the fuzzy reasoning algorithm	3.2
3.2	An illustration of the fuzzy membership functions	3.3
3.3	Membership functions used for the fuzzy variables in the FRA	3.7
3.4	A snapshot of the MATLAB <i>Rule Viewer</i> software	3.10
3.5	A snapshot of the internal representation of a mobile host	3.13
3.6	A snapshot of a network with 25 hosts moving on a map of 700m×700m	3.17
3.7	Typical moving of a mobile node in (a) <i>Random Walk</i> and <i>Random Waypoint</i> models and (b) <i>Normal Walk</i> model	3.21
4.1	Example of path between two nodes with high <i>HopCount</i>	4.8
4.2	Probability of path breaks versus <i>HopCount</i>	4.9
4.3	TrRange-TrPower relationship	4.11
4.4	Example of high TrPower (node a) and low TrPower (node e); high TrPower of a node may increase its neighbours	4.12
4.5	Block-diagram for the basic elements of the fuzzy-RLT method	4.14
4.6	Inputs fuzzification procedure in FRA	4.18
4.7	Implication procedure used to truncate the output fuzzy sets in FRA	4.19

4.8	Aggregation procedure used to aggregate the truncated output fuzzy sets into a single fuzzy set	4.20
4.9	Defuzzification procedure used to calculate a single output number from FRA	4.20
4.10	Routing overhead comparison for AODV routing protocol using static and fuzzy-RLT algorithms	4.22
4.11	Percentage of improvement in routing overhead of FRAs used for adaptive ART than the static ART	4.23
4.12	Average end-to-end delay comparison for AODV routing protocol using static and fuzzy-RLT algorithms	4.25
4.13	Percentage of improvement in average end-to-end delay of fuzzy-RLT algorithms than the static ART	4.26
4.14	Invalid route ratio comparison for AODV routing protocol using static and fuzzy-RLT algorithms	4.27
4.15	Fuzzy-RLT values used by a node in 25 nodes simulated network	4.28
5.1	The effect of nodes' velocity on neighbourhood time	5.9
5.2	Block-diagram for the basic elements of the fuzzy Hello_interval method	5.11
5.3	Routing overhead comparison for AODV routing protocol using two static values for HI and the proposed fuzzy-HI algorithm	5.14
5.4	Data and control packets used in 25 nodes network	5.15
5.5	Illustrating the improvement in the network due the adaptive frequency in sending Hello messages	5.17

5.6	Average end-to-end delay comparison for AODV protocol using two static values for HI and fuzzy-HI algorithm	5.19
5.7	Invalid route ratio comparison for AODV routing protocol using static and fuzzy HI algorithms	5.21
5.8	Frequent sending of Hello messages comparison	5.22
5.9	Fuzzy HI values used by a randomly chosen node in the 25 nodes network	5.24
5.10	Comparison between the average HI values used by every node in the 25 nodes network using slow and fast movement	5.24
5.11	Sensitivity of Fuzzy-HI for link connectivity parameters	5.27
5.12	The input-output relationship of the fuzzy interval algorithm	5.28
6.1	Adaptive-LL algorithm	6.7
6.2	Distance-propagation-loss relationship	6.10
6.3	Block-diagram for the basic elements of the fuzzy links lifetime	6.13
6.4	Routing overhead comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy links lifetime	6.16
6.5	End-to-end delay comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy links lifetime	6.19
6.6	Sensitivity to mobility model comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy links lifetime	6.22
6.7	Links lifetime values used by a node in adaptive-LL method	6.24
6.8	Links lifetime values used by a node in fuzzy-LL method	6.26

6.9	Illustrating links lifetime consistency for adaptive and fuzzy links lifetime methods	6.29
6.10	Sensitivity to nodes speed comparison for adaptive and fuzzy links lifetime methods	6.31
7.1	Congestion in ad-hoc networks	7.10
7.2	Drop probability for the coming load	7.12
7.3	Block-diagram for the basic elements of the Fuzzy-AQM	7.14
7.4	Membership function used for the output variable: drop probability (p_d)	7.16
7.5	The input-output relationship of the Fuzzy-AQM	7.17
7.6	Drop ratio comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy AQM	7.21
7.7	Invalid route ratio comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy AQM	7.23
7.8	Average end-to-end delay comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy AQM	7.25
7.9	Routing overhead comparison for AODV routing protocol using static, adaptive and fuzzy AQM	7.27
7.10	Drop probability values used by the proposed Fuzzy-AQM for randomly chosen node in the 25 nodes network	7.29
7.11	Average p_d values used by 25 and 35 nodes networks	7.29
A.1	The RED algorithm (Floyd and Jacobson, 1993)	A.1
A.2	The Adaptive RED algorithm (Floyd et al., 2001)	A.2

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AODV	Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector
ABR	Associatively Based Routing
ACK	Acknowledgment
AQM	Active Queue Management
ARED	Adaptive Random Early Detection
ART	Active Route Lifetime
BER	Bit Error Rate
COA	Centre of area
DSR	Dynamic Source Routing
DTTRR	Distance to Transmission Range Ratio
FCA	Fuzzy Connection Admission
FIS	Fuzzy Inference System
FRA	Fuzzy Reasoning Algorithm
GPS	Global Positioning System
HI	Hello Interval
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
LCM	Local Connectivity Management
LL	Link Lifetime
MAC	Media Access Control
MANET	Mobile Ad-hoc Networks
MF	Membership Function

NW	Normal Walk
PDF	Probability Density Function
QoS	Quality of Service
RED	Random Early Detection
RERR	Route Error
RF	Radio Frequency
RFC	Request for comments
RREP	Route Reply
RREQ	Route Request
RTO	Retransmission Timeout
RW	Random Walk
RWP	Random Waypoint
SentCtrlPkt	Sent Control Packets
SNR	Signal to Noise Ratio
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TORA	Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm
TrPower	Transmission Power
TrRange	Transmission Range
TZRP	Two-Zone Routing Protocol
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
ZRP	Zone Routing Protocol

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Motivation

In the last few years, there has been a big interest in mobile wireless ad-hoc networks as they have enormous promise in military and commercial applications. A wireless ad-hoc network is a network without fixed infrastructure, contains mobile computing devices that use wireless transmission for direct communication for the nodes inside the transmission range and indirect communication (multi-hop technique) for the nodes outside the transmission range. Thus, the network nodes serve as routers and they are responsible for traffic routing and topology management. To achieve that, the nodes use routing protocols designed to take into account the challenges of ad-hoc environment and make optimal attempt to find the routes to the destinations.

A variety of ad-hoc routing protocols have been proposed in the recent past. These protocols have tried to optimize the routing functions to deal with challenges of ad-hoc networks. The major challenges that the ad-hoc routing protocols face are: nodes mobility that generate frequent links breaks, bandwidth constraints due radio band limitation, frequent packets collisions due the broadcast

nature of radio channels, limited nodes lifetime due to their batteries lifetime constraints, and limited topology information due to limited transmission range of wireless devices.

Due to these issues of ad-hoc network environment, the ad-hoc routing protocols must be highly adaptive and be able to provide a certain level of uncertainty. As a well recognized decision making technique, fuzzy reasoning offers a natural way of representing and analyzing problems with uncertainty and imprecision. Fuzzy reasoning is a suitable way to be applied in the mobile ad-hoc network routing decision.

Fuzzy reasoning was originally created as a mathematical model of human thought. It is said that fuzzy reasoning is able to capture the “vagueness” and “inexactness” of the concepts that we use for analyzing. In the past decade, conventional computer networks have been the emerging area of success in applying fuzzy reasoning. The application of fuzzy reasoning for routing protocols allows us to specify the relationship between the protocols performance metrics and their optimization functions using “if...then...” type of linguistic rules. A fuzzy reasoning algorithm (FRA) would be able to translate or interpolate these rules into a nonlinear mapping between performance metrics and routing functions for routing protocols.