



# THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Developing theory-based asthma self-management interventions for South Asians and African Americans

**Citation for published version:**

Ahmed, S, Pinnock, H & Steed, E 2021, 'Developing theory-based asthma self-management interventions for South Asians and African Americans: A systematic review', *British Journal of Health Psychology*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12518>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1111/bjhp.12518](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12518)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

British Journal of Health Psychology

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





# Developing theory-based asthma self-management interventions for South Asians and African Americans: A systematic review

Salina Ahmed<sup>1\*</sup> , Hilary Pinnock<sup>2</sup>  and Elizabeth Steed<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, Institute of Population Health Sciences, Barts and the London, School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary, University of London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, Usher Institute, The University of Edinburgh, UK

**Purpose.** Intervention development guidelines suggest that behavioural interventions benefit from being theory-based. Minority populations typically benefit less from asthma self-management interventions, and the extent to which appropriate theory has been used for culturally tailored interventions has not been addressed. We aimed to determine theory use and theoretical domains targeted in asthma self-management interventions for South Asian and Black populations.

**Methods.** We systematically searched electronic databases, research registers, manually searched relevant journals and reference lists of reviews for randomised controlled trials of asthma self-management for South Asian and Black populations, and extracted data using the Theory Coding Scheme to inform if/how theory was used and explore its associations with asthma outcomes, and the Theoretical Domains Framework was used to identify targeted theoretical domains and its relationship to effectiveness of asthma outcomes.

**Results.** 20 papers (19 trials) were identified; theory was not extensively used in interventions. It was unclear whether theory use or theoretical domains targeted in interventions improved asthma outcomes. South Asian interventions included 'behavioural regulation', while 'reinforcement' was mostly used in African American interventions. 'Knowledge' was central for all populations, though there were differences related to 'environmental context and resources' e.g. language adaptations for South Asians; asthma resources provided for African Americans. Author descriptions of interventions targeting providers were limited.

**Conclusions.** There was little evidence of theory-based approaches used in cultural interventions for asthma self-management. Demystifying theoretical concepts (and cultural interpretations of constructs) may provide clarity for 'non-experts', enabling mainstream use of theory-driven approaches in intervention development.

---

*This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

\*Correspondence should be addressed to Salina Ahmed, University of Greenwich, School of Health Sciences, Southwood Site, Avery Hill Road, Eltham, London SE9 2UG, UK (email: s.ahmed@greenwich.ac.uk).

### Statements of contribution

#### *What is already known on this subject?*

- Compared to White populations, South Asians and African Americans benefit less from existing asthma self-management interventions. Use of culturally appropriate theoretical approaches may be important for developing effective interventions that aim to reduce asthma inequalities, which is highlighted in intervention development guidelines.

#### *What does this study add?*

- Theory used to tailor intervention techniques to the needs of South Asians and African Americans was limited and did not include culture-based theories
- Contextual influences on interventions were crucial, for example, resource provisions for African Americans and language appropriate knowledge for South Asians
- Supported self-management may be enhanced by training professionals with appropriate information and skills

## Background

Asthma is a chronic respiratory illness caused by airway inflammation, which presents with variable symptoms including wheeze, chest tightness, and cough (GINA, 2016; NRAD, 2014; SIGN, 2016). South Asian and British/American Black ethnic minority communities typically have poorer asthma outcomes (e.g., risk of hospitalisation, or unscheduled care) compared to indigenous ethnic groups, for example, Scottish and American Whites (Hull et al., 2016; Moorman et al., 2011; Sheikh et al., 2016). Asthma guidelines suggest that self-management including education, personalised asthma action plans (PAAPs), and supportive discussions improve outcomes (GINA, 2016; Pinnock et al., 2017; SIGN, 2016). However, there are concerns that ethnic minority groups tend to benefit less from these strategies incorporated in interventions compared to White populations (Ahmed et al., 2018; Davidson, Liu, & Sheikh, 2010; Netuveli et al., 2005). Understanding what underlies this is therefore important to reduce health inequalities (Ahmed et al., 2018). Cultural tailoring of interventions for ethnic minority groups has been suggested to be beneficial (Ahmed et al., 2018; Barrera et al., 2013).

Amongst other factors (e.g., behaviour change techniques, intervention delivery mode, targeting participant characteristics such as asthma severity), explicitly basing interventions on theory may be important in predicting whether interventions will be effective (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012; Craig et al., 2008; Michie & Prestwich, 2010). However, the extent to which theory, particularly culturally appropriate theory, has been used for culturally tailored interventions has not been addressed (Burke, Bird, et al., 2009; Pasick et al., 2009). The use of theory (when described and explained clearly) can help tailor and improve the effectiveness of behavioural interventions (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012; Craig et al., 2008; Duncan et al., 2020; Michie & Prestwich, 2010). Theory promoting the identification of the 'active ingredients' of interventions (Michie & Prestwich, 2010; Nilsen, 2015), allow understanding of why, when, and how self-management behaviour does or does not occur, and informs future refinements (Duncan et al., 2020; Michie & Prestwich, 2010; Michie et al., 2014). For example, asthma medication beliefs (necessity and concerns) can be powerful predictors of adherence behaviour (Horne & Weinman, 1999). Hence, the use of theory is widely recommended in intervention development guidelines, for example, the Medical Research Council (Craig et al., 2008), and the National Institute of Clinical Excellence Behaviour Change Guidance (NICE, 2018).

Michie and Prestwich (2010) suggest that the application of theory should be explicitly defined; however how and the extent to which authors apply theory is often unclear (Birken et al., 2017; Michie & Prestwich, 2010). Reasons for this may include confusion about different types of theories, models, and frameworks. Without specialist behaviour change knowledge, theory may be applied without understanding the mechanisms that need to change and techniques that may endanger that change (Birken et al., 2017; Nilsen, 2015). Repeated use of familiar theories may hinder the progress of shared understanding or generalisation of findings (Birken et al., 2017). Inadequate descriptions may also lead to inaccurate conclusions regarding the effectiveness of theory (Michie & Prestwich, 2010), for example, when self-efficacy is used as a single construct of Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), but positive change in this construct is extrapolated to endorse the full theory (Bandura, 1977). The development and application of systematic coding frameworks that address elements of theory such as the Theory Coding Scheme (TCS) and the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) can help to elucidate the role that theory plays in behavioural interventions (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012; Michie & Prestwich, 2010).

However, commonly used theories may not be sufficient or even helpful for understanding common-sense, unconscious or automatic self-management behaviours, cued by environmental stimuli or unconscious belief systems typical to certain cultural groups influenced by habitus (Burke, Bird, et al., 2009; Daines et al., 2020; Marteau, Hollands, & Fletcher, 2012; Pasick et al., 2009). Habitus is a silent system that operates in the background consisting of internalised dispositions of second nature social rules and categorisations of perceptions, thoughts, and behaviours that are predicted by past experiences and cultural, social, and economic capital (Bourdieu, 1990). Bourdieu theorised that habitus produces and reproduces from external factors across time and space, for example, social structures of ethnic minority groups, conformity, and relationships. Therefore, habitus can shape self-management as a collective common-sense behaviour, for example, health beliefs about illness and its treatment, that are observable but unconsciously intended and acted upon without reflecting on its rationale (Bourdieu, 1990). For example, many populations (e.g., South Asians, South East Asian, Chinese, Puerto Ricans) share hot and cold beliefs about asthma and its treatment. This belief refers to the symbolic forces found in hot and cold elements (non-physical temperatures), for example, hot or cold food, herbs, weather, colour, medicine, or emotions. Good health is believed to be attained, and asthma eliminated or treated, through balancing hot and cold energies interacting with the body. Asthma is perceived as a cold illness triggered by exposure to cold elements/temperatures causing an imbalance in hot and cold energies in the body, implying that asthma should be treated with hot remedies, for example, hot food and applying heat to the body (Ahmed et al., 2017; Harver & Kotses, 2010). Cane, Pao, & McKenzie (2001) found that South Asian children with asthma and their parents avoided cold food (e.g., banana) when symptomatic. The lack of clarity on the cultural relevance of theories to South Asian and Black communities suggests that existing theory use in self-management interventions for these populations need to be explored.

Our previous systematic review (Ahmed et al., 2018) synthesised findings on the extent to which variance in asthma self-management may be due to ethnicity and/or various sociocultural contexts. We described features of culturally relevant interventions, synthesised evidence for intervention effectiveness, and identified barriers and facilitators of implementing asthma self-management (Ahmed et al., 2018). In this updated systematic review (2019), we report theoretical factors associated with effective asthma self-

management that may inform future theory-based self-management interventions for different ethnic groups. This review reports on the same studies included in the previous review (2015), and new studies from an updated search (2019). We aimed to: 1) establish the extent to which theory was used in interventions, 2) describe the theoretical domains that were reported in interventions (considering both patient/carer and provider elements of an intervention), 3) examine whether theory use and theoretical domains identified explained the impact on asthma outcomes.

## **Methods**

The review protocol is registered with the PROSPERO database (CRD42015020174) and followed Cochrane methodology for systematic review of interventions (Higgins & Green, 2014). The search, screening, and data extraction strategy for this systematic review is described in our previous publication (Ahmed et al., 2018) and is summarised in Table 1. A PRISMA diagram (Figure 1) illustrates the initial (2015) and updated searches (2019). A full description of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) risk of bias checklist of the included studies has been reported previously (a summary assessment of bias is provided in Table 4) (Ahmed et al., 2018; Cochrane 2015). All extracted data were coded by one reviewer (SA) and independently verified by another coder (ES). Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

### ***Coding theory use in interventions***

We used the Theory Coding Scheme (TCS) (Michie & Prestwich, 2010), a validated system for assessing the degree to which behavioural interventions are based on theory. The 19 items are classed as 'yes', 'no', or 'don't know'. We applied the first 11 TCS items that have been adapted and applied as a quantitative checklist (Ayling, Brierley, Johnson, Heller, & Eiser, 2015; Prestwich et al., 2014; Taylor, Conner, & Lawton, 2012; Webb, Joseph, Yardley, & Michie, 2010). These items focus on identifying underpinning theory (items 1, 3), the use of theoretical constructs informing interventions (items 2, 5, 7 to 11), the use of theoretical predictors to identify intervention population (item 4), or deliver/tailor interventions (items 6) (Michie & Prestwich, 2010). Items 12 to 19 apply to methodological factors and theory refinement (Michie & Prestwich, 2010), which we addressed in our previous publication (Ahmed et al., 2018).

### ***Coding the theoretical domains identified in interventions***

To identify the theoretical domains targeted in interventions, we used the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDFs) (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012); a validated framework of 14 domains and 84 constructs developed from the synthesis of 33 psychological and organisational theories related to behaviour change. We applied the TDF to authors' descriptions of their interventions targeted at individuals with asthma and/or providers of asthma care. TDF domains were classified as either: 'included', 'not included', or 'unclear' (i.e., when interventions were suggestive of a domain but did not directly describe it). If studies referred to other articles/websites describing the intervention in greater depth, the full text of these information resources were retrieved and coded. These additional papers are underlined in Table 4. Decisions for coding TDFs are defined in the footnote of the table.

**Table 1.** Summary of methodology (see Ahmed et al. 2018 for more details)**Searches conducted in February 2015 and updated in October 2019**

We searched for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) using key terms; 'asthma' 'AND' 'self-management' 'AND' the relevant population ('South Asian' and 'Black') with no date or language restrictions:

- Eight electronic databases (*Medline; EMBASE; Web of Science; PsycINFO; Scopus; Elsevier Science Direct; Cochrane Library; Google Scholar*)
  - Three research registers for on-going studies (*PROSPERO; the University of York's Centre for Reviews and Dissemination; Clinical trials database*)
  - Three journals were hand-searched (*Patient Education and Counselling; Health Psychology; Ethnicity and Health*)
  - Reference lists of identified systematic reviews
- The detailed electronic search strategy has been previously published and can be found online (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5545348>)

**Study selection**

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- *Population* – South Asian communities (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, or Other), or Black populations (African, Caribbean, or Other), with asthma, or their parents/carers, health care/lay professionals
  - *Intervention* – Asthma self-management interventions (defined as: 'The tasks that individuals must undertake to live with one or more chronic conditions. These tasks include having the confidence to deal with medical management, role management and emotional management of their conditions' (Adams, Greiner, & Corrigan&&, 2004))
  - *Comparator* – Any people with asthma, parents/carers, or healthcare/lay professionals, who did not receive self-management intervention
  - *Outcomes of interest* – i) clinical outcomes (asthma control, unscheduled healthcare use), defined according to the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Task Force (Reddel et al., 2009), ii) process outcomes (e.g., knowledge and self-efficacy), iii) behavioural outcomes (e.g., medicine adherence and inhaler technique)
  - *Settings* – any healthcare, community, or remote settings
- We excluded interventions if studies did not explicitly specify the examined population (e.g., using terms such as 'West Indians' or 'Asians'), or did not provide separate outcome data for the population of interest.

**Screening of articles**

One trained reviewer (SA) undertook title/abstract and full-text screening, with 10% check by second reviewers (LS, HP). Disagreements were resolved by discussion and clarification of the inclusion/exclusion criteria, as necessary. See Figure 1 for study selection details.

**Risk of bias assessment and data extraction****Data extraction**

Data were extracted by one reviewer (SA), and independently checked for accuracy by a second reviewer (ES). Discrepancies were resolved by discussions

**Risk of bias assessment**

We used the Cochrane EPOC Risk of Bias Assessment checklist (Cochrane, 2015)

**Linking theory and theoretical domains identified in interventions to asthma outcomes**

Effectiveness of interventions has previously been reported (Ahmed et al., 2018) and is illustrated in Table 3; here, we relate effectiveness to whether interventions used or did not use theory and theoretical domains.




Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram.

## Results

### Summary of intervention characteristics

From a total of 7162 titles and abstracts, we included 20 papers reporting 19 interventions (conducted between 1995 to 2017) in the review (see Figure 1). Of these, four interventions with South Asian participants were from India (Agrawal et al., 2005; Behera et al., 2006; Ghosh et al., 1998; Shanmugam et al., 2012), three interventions with South Asian participants were from the UK and one was from Canada (Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Poureslami et al., 2012), and twelve interventions with African American participants were from the USA (Bignall et al., 2015; Blixen et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2009; Fisher et al., 2004; Ford et al., 1997; Kelso et al., 1995, 1996; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich, Pigott, & Louludes, 2004; Velsor-Friedrich, Pigott, & Srof, 2005).

Summary descriptions of included interventions are presented in Table 2 with full descriptions available in Ahmed et al. (2018). All interventions targeted asthma patients, some also targeted parents (Agrawal et al., 2005; Fisher et al., 2004; Ghosh et al., 1998), lay professionals (Fisher et al., 2009), and healthcare professionals (Griffiths et al., 2016; MacDonell et al., 2016). All interventions described providing education, but the content and mode of delivery varied, for example, written education (Behera et al., 2006; Bignall et al., 2015; Blixen et al., 2001; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2016; Kelso et al., 1996; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Patel et al., 2017; Shanmugam et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005), or technology-based education, for example, video (Bignall et al., 2015; Griffiths et al., 2004; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). Overall risk of bias within interventions were uncertain (Agrawal et al., 2005; Bignall et al., 2015; Fisher et al., 2004; Kelso et al., 1995; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Poureslami et al., 2012; Shanmugam et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005), or high (Behera et al., 2006; Blixen et al., 2001; Ford et al., 1997; Ghosh et al., 1998; Kelso et al., 1996; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Velsor-Friedrich

**Table 2.** Summary of intervention characteristics

Intervention	Population			Sample size (I/C)	Setting	Study description of intervention content
	Ethnicity	Participant group (age)	Setting			
Agrawal 2005 India	Indian	Patients (2–12) Parents	60 (32/28)	University hospital clinic	Education (sessions/training) Action plans Asthma diary Asthma therapy Education (written) Action plans Education (sessions/written) Patient follow-up Referrals to asthma organizations Peak flow metres provided Medication provided Breathing retraining and education (sessions/written/CDs) Follow-up calls Education (sessions/training) Promotional campaign	
Behera 2006 India	Indian	Patients (18–60)	523 (260/263)	University hospital clinic		
Blixen 2001 USA	African Americans	Patients (8–50)	28 (14/14)	Hospital		
Bigall 2015 USA	African Americans	Patients (12–17)	30 (14/16)	Secondary school		
Fisher 2004 USA	African Americans, White Caucasians, Others	Patients (5–14) Parents	249 (100/149)	Community School		
Fisher 2009 USA	African Americans	Patients (2–8) African American Coaches	191 (97/94)	Community Hospital	Education (sessions, social support) Action plans Provider education/training Lay support (parents/community) Education (sessions/written) Patient follow-up Placebo inhaler	
Ford 1997 USA	African Americans	Patients (18–70)	241 (119/122)	Emergency department		

*Continued*



**Table 2.** (Continued)

Intervention	Population				Setting	Study description of intervention content
	Ethnicity	Participant group (age)	Sample size (I/C)	Setting		
Ghosh 1998 India	Indian	Patients (10–45) Parents	276 (140/136)	University hospital clinic	Education (sessions/training/written) Action plan Asthma diary	
Griffiths 2004 UK	South Asians, White Caucasians, Other	Patients (4–60)	164 (95/69)	Out of hours GP service, Hospital	Asthma therapy Education (training) Action plans Patient follow-up Provider education/training Peak flow metres provided Medication provided Technology use	
Griffiths 2016 UK	South Asians	Patients (under 3) GP and secondary care clinicians	375 (183/192)	GP surgery	Education (sessions/written) Action plans Patient follow-up Provider education/training Education (sessions/written)	
Keslo 1995 USA	African Americans	Patients (under 18)	52 (30/22)	Emergency department, University hospital clinic	Patient follow-up Asthma therapy Peak flow metres provided Medication provided Education (sessions/written) Asthma diary	
Keslo 1996 USA	African Americans	Patients (under 18)	39 (21/18)	University hospital clinic	Patient follow-up Asthma therapy Peak flow metres provided Medication provided	

Continued

Table 2. (Continued)

Intervention	Population			Sample size (I/C)	Setting	Study description of intervention content
	Ethnicity	Participant group (age)				
MacDonell 2016 USA	African Americans	Patients (18–29)	48 (25/24)	University, University affiliated medical centre	Education (computer animated) Text reminders	
Moudgil 2000 UK	South Asian, White European	Patients (11–59) GP	344 (171/173)	GP surgery	Education (sessions/written) Action plans Patient follow-up Provider education/training Asthma therapy Peak flow metres provided	
Patel 2017 USA	African Americans	Patients (-)	422 (212/210)	University health system, University clinical trials database	Telephone counselling sessions and self-observation Education (written) Asthma diary Peak flow metre provided with video instructions	
Poureslami 2012 Canada	South Asians, Chinese	Patients (under 21)	45 (33/12)	Home, University hospital clinic	Follow-up reminders (postcards; checklist, calls) Education (sessions) Action plans	
Shanmugam 2012 India	Indian	Patients (-)	66 (33/33)	University hospital	Peak flow metres provided Education (sessions/written) Action plans Asthma diary Medication counselling	
		Patients (8–13)			Education (sessions/written)	

Continued

**Table 2.** (Continued)

Intervention	Population			Sample size (I/C)	Setting	Study description of intervention content
	Ethnicity	Participant group (age)				
Velsor-Friedrich 2004/2005 USA	African Americans		2004: 102 (40/62)	Public primary schools with nurse clinics	Action plans Patient follow-up	
Velsor-Friedrich 2012 USA	African Americans	Patients (13–19)	137 (74/63)	Secondary schools	Education (sessions/training) Action plans Asthma diary Patient follow-up Medication provided Technology use Hydrofluoroalkane and static free chamber	

Note. I = Intervention; C = Control.

et al., 2012), although two interventions were at low risk of bias (see Table 4) (Fisher et al., 2009; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016).

### ***Establishing the extent to which theory was used in interventions***

Theory use in interventions coded according to the TCS is summarised in Table 3.

#### *Identifying underpinning theory (items 1, 3)*

10 (of 20) studies did not report the use of theory to any degree (Agrawal et al., 2005; Behera et al., 2006; Bignall et al., 2015; Blixen et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2004; Ford et al., 1997; Kelso et al., 1995, 1996; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Shanmugam et al., 2012). Six interventions (seven papers) that reported theory use cited a single theory: the Transtheoretical Model (Fisher et al., 2009), Social Learning Theory (Ghosh et al., 1998), the Liaison Model of Specialist Nursing (Griffiths et al., 2004), the Information Motivation (MI) Behavioural Skills Model (MacDonell et al., 2016), Adult Learning Theory (Poureslami et al., 2012), and Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory of Nursing (Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005). Three other interventions used a combination of theories, for example, SCT and either the Self-Regulation Theory (Griffiths et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017), or Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory of Nursing (Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). None of the theories had an explicit focus on culture (see Table 3).

#### *Use of theory/predictors to select recipients for the intervention (item 4) and tailor intervention techniques to recipients (item 6)*

None of the interventions reported using theory to identify the population likely to benefit from the intervention, and only two interventions tailored techniques to the needs of the target participant (MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017). Patel et al. (2017) assessed the level of self-regulation ability (as per the SCT) at baseline using a scale developed by Zimmerman (e.g., actions such as peak flow monitoring) to tailor telephone counselling sessions to an individual's stage of self-regulation. At baseline, MacDonell et al. (2016) used real time Ecological Momentary Assessment via text messaging to assess medicine adherence and asthma symptom experiences to tailor technology-based motivational interviewing sessions for individuals, for example, providing participants the option to receive information on medication use.

#### *Use of theory/constructs/predictors to inform the intervention (items 2, 5, 7–11)*

Seven (of ten) interventions targeted a theoretical construct identified as a potential predictor of self-management (item 2) (Fisher et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 1998; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012); for example, Patel et al. (2017) used the SCT and targeted self-regulation to enhance self-management through counselling sessions. Eight interventions used theory/predictors to select/develop intervention techniques (item 5) (Fisher et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012), for example, three interventions that used SCT targeted self-efficacy (Griffiths et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). Five interventions explicitly linked all intervention techniques to at least one theory-relevant construct/predictor (item 7) (Fisher et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2004,

**Table 3.** Theory use in asthma self-management interventions using the Theory Coding Scheme

Theory coding scheme (items 1–11)		7. All intervention techniques are explicitly linked to at least one theory-relevant construct/predictor	8. At least one but not all, of the intervention techniques are explicitly linked to at least one theory-relevant construct/predictor	9. Group of techniques are linked to a group of constructs/predictors	10. All theory-relevant constructs/predictors are explicitly linked to at least one intervention technique	11. At least one but not all, of the theory-relevant constructs/predictors are explicitly linked to at least one intervention technique
Intervention/Overall intervention effectiveness	1. Theory/model of behaviour mentioned	2. Targeted construct mentioned as predictor of behaviour	3. Intervention based on single theory	4. Theory/predictors used to select recipients for the intervention	5. Theory/predictors used to select/develop intervention techniques	6. Theory/predictors used to tailor intervention techniques to recipients
Agrawal 2005	X	-	-	-	-	-
Positive						
Behera 2006	X	-	-	-	-	-
Positive						
Bignall 2005	X	-	-	-	-	-
No effect						
Blixen 2001	X	-	-	-	-	-
No effect						
Fisher 2004	X	-	-	-	-	-
No effect						
Fisher 2009	√	√	√	X	√	X
Positive	Trans theoretical Model					
Ford 1997	X	-	-	-	-	-
No effect						
Ghosh 1998	√	√	√	X	√	X
Positive	Social Learning Theory					
Griffiths 2004	√	X	√	X	√	X
No effect	Liaison Model of Specialist Nursing					
Griffith 2016	√	X	X	X	√	X

Continued

**Table 3. (Continued)**

Theory coding scheme (items 1–11)											
Intervention/ Overall effectiveness	1. Theory/model of behaviour mentioned	2. Targeted construct mentioned as predictor of behaviour	3. Intervention based on single theory	4. Theory/ predictors used to select recipients for the intervention	5. Theory/ predictors used to select/ develop intervention techniques	6. Theory/ predictors used to tailor intervention techniques to recipients	7. All intervention techniques are explicitly linked to at least one theory- relevant construct/ predictor	8. At least one but not all, of the intervention techniques are explicitly linked to at least one theory-relevant construct/ predictor	9. Group of techniques are linked to a group of constructs/ predictors	10. All theory- relevant constructs/ predictors are explicitly linked to at least one intervention technique	11. At least one but not all, of the theory-irrelevant constructs/ predictors are explicitly linked to at least one intervention technique
No effect	Self-Regulation Theory										
	Social Cognitive Theory										
Keslo 1995 Positive	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Keslo 1996 Positive	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MacDonell 2016 No effect	√	√	√	X	X	√	X	√	√	X	√
Moudgil 2000 Unclear	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Patel 2017 Positive (pro protocol analysis)	√	√	X	X	√	√	X	√	√	X	√
Poureslami 2012 Positive	√	√	√	X	√	X	X	√	√	√	X
	Information Motivation Behavioural Skills Model										
	Social Cognitive Theory										
	Self-Regulation Theory										
	Adult Learning Theory										

Continued

**Table 3. (Continued)**

		Theory coding scheme (items 1–11)									
Intervention/ Overall effectiveness	1. Theory/model of behaviour mentioned	2. Targeted construct mentioned as predictor of behaviour	3. Intervention based on single theory	4. Theory/ predictors used to select recipients for the intervention	5. Theory/ predictors used to select/ develop intervention techniques	6. Theory/ predictors used to tailor intervention techniques to recipients	7. All intervention techniques are explicitly linked to at least one theory- relevant construct/ predictor	8. At least one but not all, of the intervention techniques are explicitly linked to at least one theory-relevant construct/ predictor	9. Group of techniques are linked to a group of constructs/ predictors	10. All theory- relevant constructs/ predictors are explicitly linked to at least one intervention technique	11. At least one but not all, of the theory-relevant constructs/ predictors are explicitly linked to at least one intervention technique
Shanmugam 2012	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Positive Velsor- Friedrich 2004/2005 Unclear	√	√	√	X	√	X	√	X	√	√	X
Velsor- Friedrich 2012 No effect	√	√	X	X	√	X	X	√	√	X	√

**Note.** When coding the interventions described by Griffiths et al. (2016) and Patel et al. (2017), the additional papers of which the interventions were based on were also coded (Clark et al., 1998, 2007; Lorig et al., 1999)

2016; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005), four interventions explicitly linked a minimum of one (not all) intervention technique to at least one theory-relevant construct/predictor (item 8) (MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012), nine interventions linked a group of techniques to a group of constructs/predictors (item 9) (Fisher et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012), six interventions explicitly linked all theory-relevant constructs/predictors to at least one intervention technique (item 10) (Fisher et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005), and three interventions explicitly linked at least one (but not all) theory-relevant constructs/predictors to at least one intervention technique (item 11) (MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). For instance, a self-management training intervention based on the Social Learning Theory included the construct learning performance dichotomy, which predicted self-management (Ghosh et al., 1998). Learning from education (e.g., information on asthma triggers) and using learnt information with clinician support predicted self-management (e.g., using medication after exposure to triggers), as this improved comprehension and retrieval of information. Beneficial impact from learning and implementing self-management was therefore more likely to be repeated (Ghosh et al., 1998).

### ***Describing the theoretical domains identified in interventions***

Table 4 shows the theoretical domains identified in interventions coded using the TDF (Cane, O'Connor, & Michie, 2012).

#### *Summary of theoretical domains identified in interventions directed towards participants with asthma (19 interventions, 20 papers)*

All interventions addressed the domain 'knowledge' (an awareness of the existence of something), and the constructs 'scientific knowledge' and 'procedural knowledge', for example, asthma education/training, medication, and inhaler technique. 'Knowledge of task environment' (e.g., avoiding asthma triggers) was used in 14 out of 19 interventions (Behera et al., 2006; Blixen et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2004, 2009; Ford et al., 1997; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2016; Kelso et al., 1995, 1996; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012).

All interventions with South Asian participants (from indigenous and minority countries) used the domains 'environmental context and resources' (using a variety of 'resources/material resources'). For instance, the TDF construct 'barriers and facilitators' (e.g., addressing language) was reported in all interventions with South Asian participants from developed industrialised countries (Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Poureslami et al., 2012), and some in South Asian countries (Behera et al., 2006; Shanmugam et al., 2012). All interventions with South Asian participants also used 'behavioural regulation' in the form of peak flow monitoring and/or using PAAPs (using constructs 'self-monitoring' and 'action planning') (Agrawal et al., 2005; Behera et al., 2006; Ghosh et al., 1998; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Poureslami et al., 2012; Shanmugam et al., 2012). In interventions delivered to indigenous South Asians, 'goals' were used in two interventions (Behera et al., 2006; Shanmugam et al., 2012), but this was unclear in two other interventions (Agrawal et al., 2005; Ghosh et al., 1998). Similarly, 'goals' incorporated in PAAPs were used in three



**Table 4.** Theoretical domains identified in interventions using the Theoretical Domains Framework

Theoretical Domains Framework															
Intervention	Risk of bias/ Intervention effectiveness Theory use	Knowledge	Skills	Social/ professional role and identity	Beliefs about capabilities	Optimism	Beliefs about consequences	Reinforcement	Intentions	Goals	Memory, attention and decision processes	Environmental context and resources	Social influences	Emotion	Behavioural regulation
Interventions targeting participants with asthma (South Asians)															
Agrawal 2005	Unclear/Positive	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Behera 2006	High/Positive	✓	✓						?	?	✓	✓		?	✓
Ghosh 1998	High/Positive	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Social Learning Theory															
Griffiths 2004	Low/No effect	✓	?					✓		✓	✓	✓			✓
Liaison Model of Specialist Nursing															
Griffith 2016	Low/No effect	✓	✓		✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
Self-Regulation Theory															
Social Cognitive Theory															
Moudgil 2000	High/Unclear	✓						✓		✓	✓	✓			✓
Poureslami 2012	Unclear/Positive	✓			✓		✓		?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Adult Learning Theory															
Shannugam 2012	Unclear/Positive	✓	?							?	✓	✓			✓

Continued

Table 4. (Continued)

Intervention	Theoretical Domains Framework														
	Risk of bias/ Intervention effectiveness	Knowledge	Skills	Social/ professional role and identity	Beliefs about capabilities	Optimism	Beliefs about consequences	Reinfor- cement	Intentions	Goals	Memory, attention and decision processes	Environmental context and resources	Social influences	Emotion	Behavioural regulation
Interventions targeting participants with asthma (African Americans)															
Signal 2015	✓	✓						✓			✓			✓	
Unclear/No effect															
Blixen 2001	✓	✓						✓			✓			✓	
High/No effect															
Fisher 2004	✓				✓				?		✓			✓	
Unclear/No effect															
Fisher 2009	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓			✓	
Low/Positive															
Trans-theoretical															
Model															
Ford 1997	✓	✓			✓			✓		✓	✓			✓	
High/No effect															
Keslo 1995	✓	✓			✓			✓		✓	✓			✓	
Unclear/Positive															
Keslo 1996	✓	✓						✓		✓	✓			✓	
High/Positive															
MacDonnell 2016	✓	✓			✓			✓		✓	✓			✓	
Unclear/No effect															
Information															
Motivation															
Behavioural Skills															
Model															
Patel 2017	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓			✓	
Unclear/Positive															
pro protocol															
analysis															
Social Cognitive															
Theory															

Continued

**Table 4. (Continued)**

Intervention	Theoretical Domains Framework														
	Risk of bias/ intervention effectiveness	Knowledge	Skills	Social/ professional role and identity	Beliefs about capabilities	Optimism	Beliefs about consequences	Reinforcement	Intentions	Goals	Memory, attention and decision processes	Environmental context and resources	Social influences	Emotion	Behavioural regulation
Self-Regulation Theory															
Velsor-Friedrich 2004	✓	?	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓
Unclear/Unclear															
Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory of Nursing															
Velsor-Friedrich 2005	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓
Unclear/Unclear															
Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory of Nursing															
Velsor-Friedrich 2012	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓
High/No effect															
Social Cognitive Theory															
Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory of Nursing															
Interventions targeting providers (South Asian and African American)															
Griffiths 2004	✓	✓	✓								✓	✓			
Low/No effect															
Liaison Model of Specialist Nursing															
Griffith 2016	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓
Low/No effect															

Continued

**Table 4. (Continued)**

Theoretical Domains Framework																	
Intervention	Risk of bias/ Intervention effectiveness	Theory use	Knowledge	Skills	Social/ professional role and identity	Beliefs about capabilities	Optimism	Beliefs about consequences	Reinforcement	Intentions	Goals	Memory, attention and decision processes	Environmental context and resources	Social influences	Emotion	Behavioural regulation	
Self-Regulation Theory																	
Social Cognitive Theory																	
Theory Fisher 2004			✓	✓	✓	✓				✓			✓	✓			✓
Unclear/No effect Fisher 2009			✓	✓	✓							✓	✓				✓
Low/Positive Trans-theoretical Model																	
Moudgil 2000				?	✓								✓				
High/Unclear Poursalami 2012					✓								✓				
Unclear/Positive Adult Learning Theory													✓				

Underlined ticks = coded from additional articles/websites describing the interventions (American Lung Association, 2002; Bailey et al., 1991; Clark et al., 1998, 2007; Creer, Kortsos, & Reynolds, 1989; Fisher et al., 1994; Kaur et al., 2002; Lorig et al., 1999; O'Brien et al., 2007; Poursalami et al., 2011)

Decisions made for coding theoretical domains included the following:

- (i) If parents/carers were targeted in an intervention this was classified as 'social influences' ('social support'), (ii) Provision/education of personalised asthma action plans was coded as 'behavioural regulation' ('self-monitoring'), 'action planning'), and 'goals' ('implementation intentions'). 'Goals' ('goal/target setting') was not coded unless explicitly described. Traffic light systems on action plans or peak flow metres, were classed as a decision process or a decision-making tool ('memory, attention, and decision processes') and 'behavioural regulation' ('self-monitoring'). (iii) 'Skills' were only coded if there was explicit reference to behavioural practice, (iv) 'Memory, attention and decision processes' was coded where there was action taken to support this, for example, provision of prompts or cues, (v) Any coding of decisions that were unclear were marked with a question mark in the table.

South Asian interventions from developed countries (Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Moudgil et al., 2000), though this was unclear in another intervention (Poureslami et al., 2012).

Most of the interventions involving African Americans (11 interventions, reported in 12 papers) used the domain and construct ‘reinforcement’ (Bignall et al., 2015; Blixen et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2009; Ford et al., 1997; Kelso et al., 1995, 1996; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012). For instance, follow-ups were used as opportunities to reinforce self-management behaviours (Bignall et al., 2015; Blixen et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2009; Ford et al., 1997; Kelso et al., 1995, 1996; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012). In addition, one intervention used the construct ‘incentives’ alongside ‘reinforcement’ (Blixen et al., 2001). Eleven interventions used ‘environmental context and resources’, primarily using ‘resources/material resources’ (e.g., audio-visual and written materials and/or supplying inhalers and peak flow meters), alongside various other constructs (Bignall et al., 2015; Blixen et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2009; Ford et al., 1997; Kelso et al., 1995, 1996; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012). This was unclear in another intervention (Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004).

*Summary of theoretical domains identified in interventions directed towards providers of asthma care (six interventions)*

Interventions which targeted the role of providers, all included ‘social/professional role and identity’ (‘professional role’), and ‘environmental context and resources’ (‘organisational culture/climate’ with a mixture of other constructs). For instance, ‘professional role’ was targeted by training providers (Fisher et al., 2004, 2009; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Poureslami et al., 2012). Additionally, most interventions included ‘knowledge’ (‘scientific knowledge’ and a mixture of other constructs) and ‘skills’ (with a mixture of constructs) (Fisher et al., 2004, 2009; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016).

***Relationship between theory use and theoretical domains identified in interventions to asthma outcomes***

Four (of nine) theoretically informed interventions (using the Transtheoretical Model; Social Learning Theory; Social Cognitive Theory/Self-Regulation Theory; Adult Learning Theory) improved asthma outcomes, for example, knowledge, unscheduled healthcare use, asthma-related quality of life, asthma symptoms, peak flow rate, lost productivity days, and inhaler technique (Fisher et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 1998; Patel et al., 2017; Poureslami et al., 2012). Three theoretically informed interventions were ineffective (Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; MacDonell et al., 2016), and the impact of two other interventions was unclear (Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012). There was no clear association between theory use and the impact on asthma outcome effectiveness, even when content addressed specific theoretical domains (Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; MacDonell et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2017; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2004, 2005, 2012). The theoretical domain ‘knowledge’ had a positive impact on knowledge in three (of six) interventions (Agrawal et al., 2005; Poureslami et al., 2012; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2005). An intervention designed to address ‘self-efficacy’ (within the theoretical domain of ‘belief about capabilities’) non-significantly improved self-efficacy scores (measured by the validated Asthma Belief scale) (Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). Targeting ‘beliefs about capabilities’ in three interventions showed no evidence of a positive impact on

measurements of self-efficacy or self-esteem (Ford et al., 1997; Griffiths et al., 2016; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). Although Velsor-Friedrich et al. (2005) measured ‘self-efficacy’, it was not described in the intervention, and therefore, it was not coded as a theoretical construct for that intervention.

## **Discussion**

This review included 20 papers (19 RCTs) evaluating asthma self-management interventions targeted at South Asians and African Americans. It remains unclear whether the use of theory or interventions targeting theoretical domains improved asthma outcomes. Only half the interventions reported theoretical underpinning, of which only a minority used theory to tailor intervention techniques to the needs of South Asians and African Americans. No interventions used a culturally specific theory. There was no evidence to suggest which theory should inform future interventions for South Asians and African Americans. ‘Knowledge’ was central to all interventions and was provided in appropriate languages. Interventions directed at South Asians typically included the following: ‘environmental context and resources’ (e.g., language appropriate education), and ‘behavioural regulation’, for example, goal-setting in asthma action plans. Most interventions targeting African Americans used the domains ‘reinforcement’ (e.g., provided in follow-ups), and ‘environmental context and resources’, for example, providing inhalers. The perception that different cultural groups may have different needs, however, did not appear to be underpinned by theory or evidence. The domains ‘social/professional role and identity’ and ‘environmental context and resources’ were included in all interventions that targeted professionals (Fisher et al., 2004, 2009; Griffiths et al., 2004, 2016; Moudgil, Marshall, & Honeybourne, 2000; Poureslami et al., 2012). Otherwise, descriptions of intervention for providers were limited. Provision of supported self-management may be enhanced by targeting ‘social/professional role and identity’ and ‘reinforcement’.

### ***Interpretation of findings***

Intervention effectiveness can be influenced by multiple factors such as behaviour change techniques, intervention delivery mode, and use of theory in interventions (Cane, O’Connor, & Michie, 2012; Michie & Prestwich, 2010). Some behaviour change may be subject to common-sense or unconscious processes (Burke, Bird, et al., 2009; Marteau et al., 2012; Pasick et al., 2009), though, in contrast to previous literature (Cane, O’Connor, & Michie, 2012; Michie & Prestwich, 2010), we could not determine whether the use of theory in self-management interventions improved asthma outcomes. Our findings suggest that simply identifying or labelling theory does not determine intervention effectiveness. In part, this may be related to the lack of explicit reporting of intervention content and theory, for example, one intervention targeted self-efficacy using the SCT but did not describe targeting this as part of the intervention and therefore it was not coded as a domain (Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). It may be that guidance on meaningful theory use during intervention development is not clear, and there is often no consideration of cultural constructs or theory at play. Standardising the reporting of intervention content may be helpful (Duncan et al., 2020). Further, differences between interventions directed at South Asians and African Americans may relate to cultural differences in the implementation of interventions; for instance, US African American interventions may not need to consider language appropriate resources, though the socio-

economic context may be crucial (e.g., provision of inhalers and peak flow meters), suggesting that it would be helpful to describe theoretical domains along with the context in which interventions were delivered in (Aromatario et al., 2019; Carey et al., 2019; Duncan et al., 2020; Moore & Evans, 2017).

As previously reported, 'knowledge' was a central domain of all interventions, only some of which were effective at improving the measured outcome knowledge, suggesting that solely relying on this domain may not be enough (Taylor et al., 2014). This resonates with a systematic review which found that improving asthma knowledge was essential to supported asthma self-management, but in isolation, was not sufficient to create change (Pinnock et al., 2017). Previous studies (Miles et al., 2017; Moudgil & Honeybourne, 1998) suggest that South Asians may find it difficult to understand information on asthma treatment/medication and preventative care. A study of African Americans reached similar conclusions about the recall of information on medication, asthma triggers, and medical advice (Biksey, 2011). It may be that beliefs (important for, say, medication adherence) may need to be framed by how information is learnt in a cultural group, for example, information geared towards beliefs may be easier to understand if it aligns with cultural values or norms (Castro, Barrera, & Holleran, 2010; Resnicow et al., 1999; Triandis, 2018). This infers that generic education may not always facilitate understanding in some communities (Ahmed et al., 2018).

The need to account for cultural beliefs in asthma self-management has been reported previously (Hussein & Partridge, 2002; Poureslami et al., 2007). Both 'beliefs about capabilities' and 'beliefs about consequences' were targeted in two interventions in this review with little benefit, suggesting that something was missing and warrants further exploration (Ford et al., 1997; Poureslami et al., 2012). For example, an intervention directed at African Americans (which did not use any theory) showed little improvement on knowledge and self-efficacy measures (Ford et al., 1997). Addressing 'beliefs about capabilities' was linked to validated self-efficacy outcomes in three interventions, but did not show benefit in this context (Ford et al., 1997; Griffiths et al., 2016; Velsor-Friedrich et al., 2012). The theoretical construct self-efficacy may be contextual and have culture-specific meanings. Understanding self-efficacy on an individual basis marginalises cultures that may have a collective focus on self-efficacy (Burke, Joseph, et al., 2009; Klassen, 2004, 2008; Triandis, 2018); for example, Klassen (2008) found that compared to White individuals, Asians gained self-efficacy from the perception of other people and from making social comparisons on who has better self-management abilities. Modesty (not focusing on oneself) was a cultural value that needs to be considered. Although Bandura (2002) refined the SCT for cultural contexts and considered collective self-efficacy based on a group's beliefs about capabilities in their contextual environment, the theory tends to use its constructs homogenously by not considering how 'culture' should be defined, how individuals apply collective self-efficacy to themselves, or cross-cultural variations of self-efficacy in different groups (Burke, Joseph, et al., 2009).

Theories may need to acknowledge how collective beliefs can be targeted if individuals themselves are not fully aware of the rationale behind such beliefs (Bourdieu, 1990; Burke, Bird, et al., 2009). Bourdieu argues that the idea of deliberate, effortful and conscious intentions, choices, or actions are not enough to explain the daily health behaviours around habitus (Bourdieu, 1990), unless people receive education to raise consciousness or awareness of what underpins their behaviours (Burke, Bird, et al., 2009; Kellerman, 2016). Hence, there may be challenges in enhancing awareness or discussion on some collective beliefs in the conscious realm (Bourdieu, 1990; Burke, Bird, et al., 2009; Triandis, 2018). Interventions raising consciousness or reflections on unconscious

behaviours may be helpful. However, how to achieve such reflections is unclear because unconscious behaviours remain largely undefined and difficult to identify. The shift between conscious and unconscious self-management behaviours overlap, complementing or conflicting with each other, shaped by contextual factors (e.g., stress), and it is unknown if unconscious behaviours can be targeted to sustain behaviour change (Marteau et al., 2012). For example, there remains uncertainty around widely implemented unconscious bias training in diversity interventions, since knowledge of bias does not necessitate behaviour change through self-reflection, assumptions of which overlook embedded structural reinforcements for racism in organisations (Atewologun et al., 2018; Noon, 2018). It might be that culturally relevant theories are required for maximum effectiveness (Burke, Bird, et al., 2009; Pasick et al., 2009).

### **Strengths and limitations of the review**

To our knowledge, this review is one of the first studies synthesising the use of theory and theoretical intervention domains in the context of interventions that promote asthma self-management in South Asian or African American populations. To synthesise findings on theory use and intervention domains, the issue of variable reporting was minimised by examining original articles on which interventions were based on and requesting additional data, though this did not always overcome limitations of author descriptions. We relied on author descriptions to code theoretical domains, however authors did not explicitly use TDF terminology to describe intervention strategies, introducing subjectivity of researchers interpretations, although we minimised this subjectivity by duplicate independent coding of data. Reporting typically described intended interventions, and fidelity to these intentions were rarely described. Future research needs to develop standards on how researchers should use TDF terminology in describing the content of interventions (Duncan et al., 2020).

### **Conclusions**

Theory-based approaches were missing in many cultural interventions. Self-management interventions in these communities could be improved by targeting various domains and considering the context in which it will be delivered. ‘Knowledge’ formed a central domain for both South Asians and African Americans, and ‘environmental context and resources’, for example, delivery of interventions in South Asian languages; provision and access to asthma resources for African Americans restricted by socio-economic factors. Provision of education may be enhanced by targeting beliefs, which should focus on how information is learnt in a cultural group, however whether collective conscious and unconscious beliefs can be targeted remains elusive. Although these domains all seem relevant, further research is needed to ascertain what theory in which cultural group is optimal.

To mainstream theoretical approaches in intervention development, there may need to be a recognition that it is a sophisticated task that requires expert support to ensure adequate completion, which can also empower lay researchers. National/international guidance on standardising reporting of theory use in intervention content may help improve inadequate reporting, encourage authors to use TDF terminology as a standard, and bolster considerations of cultural meanings applied to theoretical constructs (e.g., collective self-efficacy) and contextual factors.



## Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Stephanie JC Taylor for her useful comments on the manuscript.

## Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Author contribution

Salina Ahmed (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Hilary Pinnock (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Methodology; Supervision; Writing – review & editing) Elizabeth Steed (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Writing – review & editing).

## Funding

This work is funded by Asthma UK as part of the Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research [AUK-AC-2012-01].

## Data availability statement

All included papers are published; no further data are available. Requests for further information should be addressed to the corresponding author.

## References

- Adams, K., Greiner, A. C., Corrigan, J. M. (2004). *1st annual crossing the quality chasm summit: A focus on communities*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Agrawal, S. K., Singh, M., Mathew, J. L., & Malhi, P. (2005). Efficacy of an individualized written home-management plan in the control of moderate persistent asthma: A randomized, controlled trial. *Acta Paediatrica*, *94*, 1742–1746. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2005.tb01847.x>
- Ahmed, S., Salim, H., Steed, L., & Pinnock, H. (2017). Blue inhalers: Blowing hot and cold. *Npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*, *27*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41533-016-0008-4>
- Ahmed, S., Steed, L., Harris, K., Taylor, S. J. C., & Pinnock, H. (2018). Interventions to enhance the adoption of asthma self-management behaviour in the South Asian and African American population: A systematic review. *Npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*, *28*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41533-017-0070-6>
- American Lung Association (2002). About open airways for schools. American Lung Association. Retrieved from: <http://www.lung.org/lung-health-and-diseases/lung-disease-lookup/asthma/asthma-education-advocacy/open-airways-for-schools/about-open-airways.html>
- Aromatario, O., Van Hove, A., Vuillemin, A., Foucaut, A.-M., Pommier, J., & Cambon, L. (2019). Using theory of change to develop an intervention theory for designing and evaluating behavior change SDApps for healthy eating and physical exercise: The OCAPREV theory. *BMC Public Health*, *19*, 1435. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7828-4>
- Ayling, K., Brierley, S., Johnson, B., Heller, S., & Eiser, C. (2015). Efficacy of theory-based interventions for young people with type 1 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, *20*, 428–446. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12131>

- Bailey, W. C., Richards, J. M., Brooks, C. M., Soong, S., Windsor, R. A., & Manzella, B. A. (1990). A randomized trial to improve self-management practices of adults with asthma. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *150*, 1664–1668. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1990.00040031664013>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, *84*, 191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>
- Barrera, Jr., M., Castro, F.G., Strycker, L.A., & Toobert, D.J. (2013). Cultural adaptations of behavioral health interventions: A progress report. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *81*(2), 196–205. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027085>
- Behera, D., Kaur, S., Gupta, D., & Verma, S. K. (2006). Evaluation of self-care manual in bronchial asthma. *Indian Journal of Chest Diseases and Allied Sciences*, *48*, 43.
- Bignall, W. J. R., Luberto, C. M., Cornette, A. F., Haj-Hamed, M., & Cotton, S. (2015). Breathing retraining for African American adolescents with asthma: A pilot study of a school-based randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of Asthma: Official Journal of the Association for the Care of Asthma*, *52*, 889–896. <https://doi.org/10.3109/02770903.2015.1033724>
- Biksey, T., Zickmund, S., & Wu, F. (2011). Disparities in risk communication: A pilot study of asthmatic children, their parents, and home environments. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, *103*, 388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0027-9684\(15\)30334-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0027-9684(15)30334-5)
- Birken, S. A., Powell, B. J., Shea, C. M., Haines, E. R., Alexis Kirk, M., Leeman, J., . . . Presseau, J. (2017). Criteria for selecting implementation science theories and frameworks: Results from an international survey. *Implementation Science*, *12*, 124. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0656-y>
- Blixen, C. E., Hammel, J. P., Murphy, D., & Ault, V. (2001). Feasibility of a nurse-run asthma education program for urban African Americans: A pilot study. *Journal of Asthma*, *38*, 23–32.
- Bolton, M. B., Tilley, B. C., Kuder, J., Reeves, T., & Schultz, L. R. (1991). The cost and effectiveness of an education program for adults who have asthma. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, *6*, 401–407. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02598160>
- Bourdieu, P. (1990). *The logic of practice*. California: Stanford University Press.
- Burke, N. J., Bird, J. A., Clark, M. A., Rakowski, W., Guerra, C., Barker, J. C., & Pasick, R. J. (2009). Social and cultural meanings of self-efficacy. *Health Education & Behavior: the Official Publication of the Society for Public Health Education*, *36*, 111S–128S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198109338916>
- Burke, N. J., Joseph, G., Pasick, R. J., & Barker, J. C. (2009). Theorizing social context: Rethinking behavioral theory. *Health Education & Behavior: the Official Publication of the Society for Public Health Education*, *36*, 55S–70S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198109335338>
- Cane, J., O'Connor, D., & Michie, S. (2012). Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implementation Science*, *7*, 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-7-37>
- Cane, R., Pao, C., & McKenzie, S. (2001). Understanding childhood asthma in focus groups: Perspectives from mothers of different ethnic backgrounds. *BMC Family Practice*, *2*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-2-4>
- Carey, R. N., Connell, L. E., Johnston, M., Rothman, A. J., de Bruin, M., Kelly, M. P., & Michie, S. (2019). Behavior change techniques and their mechanisms of action: A synthesis of links described in published intervention literature. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *53*, 693–707. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kay078>
- Castro, F. G., Barrera, M., & Holleran Steiker, L. K. (2010). Issues and challenges in the design of culturally adapted evidence-based interventions. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *6*, 213–239. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-033109-132032>
- Clark, N. M., Gong, M., Schork, M. A., Evans, D., Roloff, D., Hurwitz, M., . . . Mellins, R. B. (1998). Impact of education for physicians on patient outcomes. *Pediatrics*, *101*, 831–836. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.101.5.831>
- Clark, N. M., Gong, Z. M., Wang, S. J., Lin, X., Bria, W. F., & Johnson, T. R. (2007). A randomized trial of a self-regulation intervention for women with asthma. *Chest*, *132*, 88–97. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.06-2539>

- Cochrane (2015). EPOC risk of bias assessment checklist. EPOC-specific resources for review authors. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. Retrieved from: [https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/suggested\\_risk\\_of\\_bias\\_criteria\\_for\\_epoc\\_reviews.pdf/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors](https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/suggested_risk_of_bias_criteria_for_epoc_reviews.pdf/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors)
- Craig, P., Dieppe, P., Macintyre, S., Michie, S., Nazareth, I., & Petticrew, M. (2008). Developing and evaluating complex interventions: The new Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*, *337*, a1655. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a1655>
- Creer, T. L., Kotses, H., & Reynolds, R. V. C. (1989). Living with Asthma: Part II. Beyond CARIH. *Journal of Asthma*, *26*, 31–52. <https://doi.org/10.3109/02770908909073227>
- Daines, L., Morrow, S., Wiener-Ogilvie, S., Scott, C., Steed, L., Taylor, S. J., & Pinnock, H. (2020). Understanding how patients establish strategies for living with asthma: A qualitative study in UK primary care as part of IMP2ART. *British Journal of General Practice*. *70*(694), e303-311. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X708869>
- Davidson, E., Liu, J. J., & Sheikh, A. (2010). The impact of ethnicity on asthma care. *Primary Care Respiratory Journal*, *19*, 202–208. <https://doi.org/10.4104/pcrj.2010.00013>
- Duncan, E., O’Cathain, A., Rousseau, N., Croot, L., Sworn, K., Turner, K. M., . . . Hoddinott, P. (2020). Guidance for reporting intervention development studies in health research (GUIDED): An evidence-based consensus study. *British Medical Journal Open*, *10*, e033516. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-033516>
- Fisher, E. B., Strunk, R. C., Highstein, G. R., Kelley-Sykes, R., Tarr, K. L., Trinkaus, K., & Musick, J. (2009). A randomized controlled evaluation of the effect of community health workers on hospitalization for asthma: The asthma coach. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, *163*, 225–232. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2008.577>
- Fisher, E. B., Strunk, R. C., Sussman, L. K., Sykes, R. K., & Walker, M. S. (2004). Community organization to reduce the need for acute care for asthma among African American children in low-income neighborhoods: The Neighborhood Asthma Coalition. *Pediatrics*, *114*, 116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.114.1.116>
- Fisher, E. B., Sussman, L. K., Arfken, C., Harrison, D., Munro, J., Sykes, R. K., . . . Strunk, R. C. (1994). Targeting high risk groups: Neighborhood organization for pediatric asthma management in the Neighborhood Asthma Coalition. *CHEST Journal*, *106*, 248S–259S. [https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.106.4\\_Supplement.248S](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.106.4_Supplement.248S)
- Ford, M. E., Havstad, S. L., Tilley, B. C., & Bolton, M. B. (1997). Health outcomes among African American and Caucasian adults following a randomized trial of an asthma education program. *Ethnicity & Health*, *2*, 329–339. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13557858.1997.9961842>
- Ghosh, C. S., Ravindran, P., Joshi, M., & Stearns, S. C. (1998). Reductions in hospital use from self-management training for chronic asthmatics. *Social Science & Medicine*, *46*, 1087–1093. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(97\)10047-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(97)10047-8)
- GINA (2016). Global initiative for Asthma - GINA: Global initiative for asthma. Retrieved from: <http://ginasthma.org/>
- Griffiths, C., Bremner, S., Islam, K., Sohanpal, R., Vidal, D.-L., Dawson, C., . . . Eldridge, S. (2016). Effect of an education programme for South Asians with asthma and their clinicians: A cluster randomised controlled trial (OEDIPUS). *PLoS One*, *11*(12), e0158783. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158783>
- Griffiths, C., Foster, G., Barnes, N., Eldridge, S., Tate, H., Begum, S., . . . Feder, G. S. (2004). Specialist nurse intervention to reduce unscheduled asthma care in a deprived multiethnic area: The east London randomised controlled trial for high risk asthma (ELECTRA). *BMJ*, *328*, 144. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.37950.784444.EE>
- Harver, A., & Kotses, H. (2010). *Asthma, health and society: A public health perspective*, Springer Science & Business Media.
- Higgins, J., & Green, S. (2014). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0, the Cochrane collaboration 2011. Retrieved from [www.cochrane-handbook.org](http://www.cochrane-handbook.org)

- Horne, R., & Weinman, J. (1999). Patients' beliefs about prescribed medicines and their role in adherence to treatment in chronic physical illness. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 47(6), 555–567. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(99\)00057-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(99)00057-4)
- Hull, S. A., McKibben, S., Homer, K., Taylor, S. J., Pike, K., & Griffiths, C. (2016). Asthma prescribing, ethnicity and risk of hospital admission: An analysis of 35,864 linked primary and secondary care records in East London. *NPJ Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*, 26, 16049. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nppjcr.2016.49>
- Hussein, S., & Partridge, M. (2002). Perceptions of asthma in South Asians and their views on educational materials and self-management plans: A qualitative study. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 48, 189–194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0738-3991\(02\)00033-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0738-3991(02)00033-2)
- Kaur, S., Behera, D., Gupta, D., & Verma, S. K. (2002). Assessment of knowledge of patients with bronchial asthma about the disease. *Lung India*, 20, 4.
- Kellerman, A. (2016). *The constitution of society: Outline of the theory of structuration*. Anthony Giddens, Berkeley: Univ. of California Press/Oxford: Polity Press, 1984.
- Kelso, T. M., Abou-Shala, N., Heilker, G. M., Arheart, K. L., Portner, T. S., & Self, T. H. (1996). Comprehensive long-term management program for asthma: Effect on outcomes in adult African Americans. *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences*, 311, 272–280.
- Kelso, T. M., Self, T. H., Rumbak, M. J., Stephens, M. A., Garrett, W., & Arheart, K. L. (1995). Educational and long-term therapeutic intervention in the ED: Effect on outcomes in adult indigent minority asthmatics. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 13(6), 632–637. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-6757\(95\)90046-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0735-6757(95)90046-2)
- Klassen, R. M. (2004). A cross-cultural investigation of the efficacy beliefs of South Asian immigrant and Anglo Canadian nonimmigrant early adolescents. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96, 731. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.96.4.731>
- Klassen, R. M. (2008). Optimism and realism: A review of self-efficacy from a cross-cultural perspective. *International Journal of Psychology*, 39(3), 205–230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590344000330>
- Lorig, K. R., Sobel, D. S., Stewart, A. L., Brown, B. W., Bandura, A., Ritter, P., . . . Holman, H. R. (1999). Evidence suggesting that a chronic disease self-management program can improve health status while reducing hospitalization: A randomized trial. *Medical Care*, 37, 5–14.
- MacDonell, K. K., Naar, S., Gibson-Scipio, W., Lam, P., & Secord, E. (2016). The detroit young adult asthma project: Pilot of a technology-based medication adherence intervention for African American emerging adults. *The Journal of Adolescent Health: Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*, 59, 465–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.05.016>
- Marteau, T. M., Hollands, G. J., & Fletcher, P. C. (2012). Changing human behavior to prevent disease: The importance of targeting automatic processes. *Science*, 337(6101), 1492–1495.
- Michie, S., & Prestwich, A. (2010). Are interventions theory-based? Development of a theory coding scheme. *Health Psychology*, 29, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016939>
- Michie, S. F., West, R., Campbell, R., Brown, J., & Gainforth, H. (2014). *ABC of behaviour change theories*. Silverback Publishing. <http://www.behaviourchangetheories.com/>
- Miles, C., Arden-Close, E., Thomas, D. M., Bruton, A., Yardley, L., Hankins, M., & Kirby, S. E. (2017). Barriers and facilitators of effective self-management in asthma: Systematic review and thematic synthesis of patient and healthcare professional views. *Npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine*, 27, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41533-017-0056-4>
- Moore, G. F., & Evans, R. E. (2017). What theory, for whom and in which context? Reflections on the application of theory in the development and evaluation of complex population health interventions. *SSM-Population Health*, 3, 132–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2016.12.005>
- Moudgil, H., & Honeybourne, D. (1998). Differences in asthma management between white European and Indian subcontinent ethnic groups living in socioeconomically deprived areas in the Birmingham (UK) conurbation. *Thorax*, 53(6), 490–494. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.53.6.490>

- Moudgil, H., Marshall, T., & Honeybourne, D. (2000). Asthma education and quality of life in the community: A randomised controlled study to evaluate the impact on white European and Indian subcontinent ethnic groups from socioeconomically deprived areas in Birmingham, UK. *Thorax*, *55*, 177–183. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thorax.55.3.717>
- Netuveli, G., Hurwitz, B., Levy, M., Fletcher, M., Barnes, G., Durham, S. R., & Sheikh, A. (2005). Ethnic variations in UK asthma frequency, morbidity, and health-service use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, *365*, 312–317. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)17785-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)17785-X)
- NICE (2018). Behaviour change. Retrieved from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/lifestyle-and-wellbeing/behaviour-change#panel-pathways>
- Nilsen, P. (2015). Making sense of implementation theories, models and frameworks. *Implementation Science*, *10*, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-015-0242-0>
- NRAD (2014). 'Why asthma kills'. The national review of asthma deaths (NRAD). Retrieved from: <https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/why-asthma-still-kills>
- O'Brien, M. A., Rogers, S., Jamtvedt, G., Oxman, A. D., Odgaard-Jensen, J., Kristoffersen, D. T., . . . Harvey, E. (2007). Educational outreach visits: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. (4).
- Pasick, R. J., Burke, N. J., Barker, J. C., Joseph, G., Bird, J. A., Otero-Sabogal, R., . . . Guerra, C. (2009). Behavioral theory in a diverse society: Like a compass on mars. *Health Education & Behavior: the Official Publication of the Society for Public Health Education*, *36*, 118–358. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198109338917>
- Patel, M. R., Song, P. X. K., Sanders, G., Nelson, B., Kaltsas, E., Thomas, L. J., . . . Brown, R. W. (2017). A randomized clinical trial of a culturally responsive intervention for African American women with asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology: Official Publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, *118*, 212–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2016.11.016>
- Pinnock, H., Parke, H. L., Panagioti, M., Daines, L., Pearce, G., Epiphaniou, E., . . . Taylor, S. J. C. (2017). Systematic meta-review of supported self-management for asthma: A healthcare perspective. *BMC Medicine*, *15*, 64. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-017-0823-7>
- Poureslami, I., Nimmon, L., Doyle-Waters, M. M. R., & FitzGerald, J. M. (2011). Using community-based participatory research (CBPR) with ethno-cultural groups as a tool to develop culturally and linguistically appropriate asthma educational material. *Diversity in Health & Care*, *8*, 203–215.
- Poureslami, I., Nimmon, L., Doyle-Waters, M., Rootman, I., Schulzer, M., Kuramoto, L., & FitzGerald, J. M. (2012). Effectiveness of educational interventions on asthma self-management in Punjabi and Chinese asthma patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Asthma*, *49*, 542–551. <https://doi.org/10.3109/02770903.2012.682125>
- Poureslami, I. M., Rootman, I., Balka, E., Devarakonda, R., Hatch, J., & FitzGerald, J. M. (2007). A systematic review of asthma and health literacy: A cultural-ethnic perspective in Canada. *Medscape General Medicine*, *9*, 40.
- Prestwich, A., Sniehotta, F. F., Whittington, C., Dombrowski, S. U., Rogers, L., & Michie, S. (2014). Does theory influence the effectiveness of health behavior interventions? Meta-analysis. *Health Psychology*, *33*, 465–474. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032853>
- Reddel, H. K., Taylor, D. R., Bateman, E. D., Boulet, L.-P., Boushey, H. A., Busse, W. W., . . . Wenzel, S. E. (2009). An Official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Statement: Asthma Control and Exacerbations. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *180*, 59–99. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200801-060ST>
- Resnicow, K., Baranowski, T., Ahluwalia, J., & Braithwaite, R. (1999). Cultural sensitivity in public health: Defined and demystified. *Ethnicity & Disease*, *9*, 10–21.
- Shanmugam, S., Varughese, J., Nair, M. A. S., Balasubramanian, R., Velu, S., Bhojan, C., . . . Sabzghabae, A. M. (2012). Pharmaceutical care for asthma patients: A Developing Country's Experience. *Journal of Research in Pharmacy Practice*, *1*, 66–71. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2279-042X.108373>

- Sheikh, A., Steiner, M. F. C., Cezard, G., Bansal, N., Fischbacher, C., Simpson, C. R., . . . Bhopal, R. (2016). Ethnic variations in asthma hospital admission, readmission and death: A retrospective, national cohort study of 4.62 million people in Scotland. *BMC Medicine*, *14*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-015-0546-6>
- SIGN (2016) British Thoracic Society/SIGN Asthma Guideline 2016 (pp. 1–214). Retrieved from <https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/standards-of-care/guidelines/btssign-british-guideline-on-the-management-of-asthma/>
- Taylor, N., Conner, M., & Lawton, R. (2012). The impact of theory on the effectiveness of worksite physical activity interventions: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Health Psychology Review*, *6*, 33–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2010.533441>
- Taylor, S. J., Pinnock, H., Epiphaniou, E., Pearce, G., Parke, H. L., Schwappach, A., . . . Sheikh, A. (2014). *A rapid synthesis of the evidence on interventions supporting self-management for people with long-term conditions: PRISMS – Practical systematic Review of Self-Management Support for long-term conditions*. NIHR Journals Library. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK263840/>
- Triandis, H. C. (2018). *Individualism and collectivism*. New York: Routledge.
- Velsor-Friedrich, B., Militello, L. K., Richards, M. H., Harrison, P. R., Gross, I. M., Romero, E., & Bryant, F. B. (2012). Effects of coping-skills training in low-income Urban African American adolescents with asthma. *Journal of Asthma*, *49*, 372–379. <https://doi.org/10.3109/02770903.2012.660296>
- Velsor-Friedrich, B., Pigott, T. D., & Louloudes, A. (2004). The effects of a school-based intervention on the self-care and health of African American inner-city children with asthma. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, *19*, 247–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedn.2004.05.007>
- Velsor-Friedrich, B., Pigott, T., & Srof, B. (2005). A practitioner-based asthma intervention program with African American inner-city school children. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care*, *19*, 163–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2004.12.002>
- Webb, T., Joseph, J., Yardley, L., & Michie, S. (2010). Using the internet to promote health behavior change: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of theoretical basis, use of behavior change techniques, and mode of delivery on efficacy. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *12*, e4. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1376>