

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Ribonuclease H1-targeted R-loops in surface antigen gene expression sites can direct trypanosome immune evasion

Citation for published version:

Briggs, E, Crouch, K, Lemgruber, L, Lapsley, C & McCulloch, R 2018, 'Ribonuclease H1-targeted R-loops in surface antigen gene expression sites can direct trypanosome immune evasion', *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 14, no. 2, e1007729. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: PLoS Genetics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Citation: Briggs E, Crouch K, Lemgruber L, Lapsley C, McCulloch R (2018) Ribonuclease H1-targeted R-loops in surface antigen gene expression sites can direct trypanosome immune evasion. PLoS Genet 14(12): e1007729. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729

Editor: Nancy Maizels, University of Washington School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: July 30, 2018

Accepted: October 1, 2018

Published: December 13, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Briggs et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Sequences used in the mapping have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (accession number PRJEB21868).

Funding: This work was supported by grants to RM from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council [https://bbsrc.ukri.org/; BB/K006495/1, BB/M028909/1, BB/N016165/1] and by a BBSRC DTP studentship to EB. The Wellcome Centre for Molecular Parasitology is supported by core funding from the Wellcome **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Ribonuclease H1-targeted R-loops in surface antigen gene expression sites can direct trypanosome immune evasion

Emma Briggs[®], Kathryn Crouch[®], Leandro Lemgruber[®], Craig Lapsley, Richard McCulloch[®]*

The Wellcome Centre for Molecular Parasitology, University of Glasgow, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, Glasgow, United Kingdom

* richard.mcculloch@glasgow.ac.uk

Abstract

Switching of the Variant Surface Glycoprotein (VSG) in *Trypanosoma brucei* provides a crucial host immune evasion strategy that is catalysed both by transcription and recombination reactions, each operating within specialised telomeric VSG expression sites (ES). VSG switching is likely triggered by events focused on the single actively transcribed ES, from a repertoire of around 15, but the nature of such events is unclear. Here we show that RNA-DNA hybrids, called R-loops, form preferentially within sequences termed the 70 bp repeats in the actively transcribed ES, but spread throughout the active and inactive ES, in the absence of RNase H1, which degrades R-loops. Loss of RNase H1 also leads to increased levels of VSG coat switching and replication-associated genome damage, some of which accumulates within the active ES. This work indicates VSG ES architecture elicits R-loop formation, and that these RNA-DNA hybrids connect *T. brucei* immune evasion by transcription and recombination.

Author summary

All pathogens must survive eradication by the host immune response in order to continue infections and be passed on to a new host. Changes in the proteins expressed on the surface of the pathogen, or on the surface of the cells the pathogen infects, is a widely used strategy to escape immune elimination. Understanding how this survival strategy, termed antigenic variation, operates in any pathogen is critical, both to understand interaction between the pathogen and host, and disease progression. A key event in antigenic variation is the initiation of the change in expression of the surface protein gene, though how this occurs has been detailed in very few pathogens. Here we examine how changes in expression of the surface coat of the African trypanosome, which causes sleeping sickness disease, are initiated. We reveal that specialised nucleic acid structures, termed R-loops, form around the expressed trypanosome surface protein gene and increase in abundance after mutation of an enzyme that removes them, leading to increased changes in the

Trust [https://wellcome.ac.uk/; 104111], on which RM was an a co-applicant. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

surface coat in trypanosome cells that are dividing. We therefore shed light on the earliest acting events in trypanosome antigenic variation.

Introduction

The genome provides the blueprint for life and is normally protected from rapid content change by high fidelity DNA replication and a range of repair pathways. However, strategies for elevated rates of genome variation have evolved, some of which are genome-wide, such as in developmental chromosome fragmentation in ciliates [1] and chromosome and gene copy number variation during Leishmania growth [2, 3]. More commonly, enhanced genome change is more localised and caused by deliberate lesion generation, such as during yeast mating type switching, which is induced by HO endonuclease-mediated cleavage in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [4] and locus-directed replication stalling in Schizosaccharomyces pombe [5]. Rearrangements to generate mature receptors and antibodies expressed by T and B cells [6] occur throughout mammalian immune genes and are generated by RAG endonuclease-catalysed DNA breaks [6] or transcription-linked base modification [7]. Reflecting the diversity in routes capable of initiating genome change, homologous recombination (HR), non-homologous end-joining and microhomology-mediated end-joining repair reactions have been implicated in the catalysis of these different reactions. Antigenic variation is a very widespread pathogen survival strategy, involving stochastic switches in surface antigens to thwart host adaptive immunity [8], and locus-directed gene rearrangement is a common route for the differing reactions used in bacteria, fungi and protists [9, 10]. Since antigenic variation impedes vaccination, it is important to understand the potentially pathogen-specific events that initiate surface antigen gene switching. However, only in the bacteria Neisseria gonorrhoeae is initiation well understood; here, HR catalyses movement of silent, non-functional pilS genes into a *pilE* expression locus via transcription-induced guanine quadruplex formation [11]. In no other pathogen has the initiation event(s) during antigenic variation been resolved in this detail.

Antigenic variation in T. brucei displays remarkable mechanistic complexity, since it involves both HR-directed rearrangement and transcriptional control of VSG genes. In any cell a single VSG is expressed by RNA Polymerase (Pol) I transcription of one of the multiple telomeric ES [12, 13]. In each ES the VSG is proximal to the telomere and is co-transcribed with multiple ESAGs (expression site associated genes) [14]. Invariably, the VSG and ESAGs are separated by stretches of 70 bp repeats, sequences also found upstream of thousands of further VSGs in the T. brucei genome [15-17]. To execute a VSG coat switch, T. brucei can use HR to move one of around 1,000 silent subtelomeric VSGs into the active VSG ES, displacing the resident VSG, or silence transcription from the active VSG ES and activate transcription from one of the silent VSG ES. Though a wide range of factors have been described that influence singular ES expression [12, 18–21] and execute VSG recombination [22], initiation of VSG switching remains poorly understood. Targeting yeast I-SceI endonuclease activity to the active VSG ES elicits VSG recombination [23, 24], but no endogenous ES-focused endonuclease has been described. Impaired telomere protection results in VSG ES breaks [25, 26] and critically short telomeres have been associated with increased VSG switching [27], but how such processes act in unperturbed cells is unknown. Finally, DNA replication mapping suggests that the active VSG ES, uniquely amongst these telomeric loci, is subject to early replication in mammal-infective (bloodstream form, BSF) parasite cells [28], but how this extrapolates to VSG switch initiation is unclear [29].

R-loops are stable RNA-DNA hybrids that form within the DNA helix, displacing singlestranded DNA. Though R-loops can arise from transcription, active roles are emerging in an range of genomic processes [30], including replication initiation [31, 32] and arrest [33], transcription activation and termination [30], telomere homeostasis [26, 34] and chromatin formation [35, 36]. In addition, R-loops can lead to genome instability and mutation [37–39]. To date, only one example of R-loop involvement in targeted genome rearrangement has been described: class switch recombination to alter the antibody type expressed by mature mammalian B lymphocytes [40–42]. Amongst a potentially wide range of activities described that prevent, reverse or interact with R-loops [30, 43, 44], RNase H enzymes function to degrade the RNA within the hybrids. In virtually every cellular organism, two distinct ribonuclease H enzymes, which are termed RNase H1 and RNase H2 in eukaryotes, have been described [45]. Here, we describe R-loop distribution in the VSG ES of both wildtype BSF T. brucei and in null mutants that lack a homologue of RNase H1. We show that R-loops accumulate throughout all VSG ES in the absence of the RNase H enzyme, indicating RNA-DNA hybrids form in these transcription sites and are normally resolved by removing the RNA. Loss of the RNase H results in elevated levels of replication-associated damage and leads to increased VSG coat switching, suggesting a model for the events that initiate antigenic variation in T. brucei and potential parallels with R-loop directed mammalian class switch recombination.

Results

T. brucei encodes a non-essential, nuclear RNase H1

T. brucei encodes an RNase H1 homologue (TbRH1) that has been predicted to be nuclear by fusing an N-terminal fragment to GFP [46]. By expressing full-length TbRH1 C-terminally fused to 12 copies of the myc epitope (S1A Fig), from its own locus, we confirmed nuclear localisation (Fig 1A), since anti-myc signal co-localised with the larger (nuclear) DAPI signal and not the smaller (kinetoplast) signal. Expression of TbRH1-myc was constitutive through-out all discernible cell cycle stages of BSF *T. brucei* cells and did not display any obvious sub-nuclear localisation (Fig 1B), though increased signal appeared present in cells undergoing nuclear replication (S1B Fig). By integration of *TbRH1* targeting constructs (S2A Fig) we generated heterozygous (+/-) and then homozygous (-/-) TbRH1 mutants (S2B Fig). No growth perturbation (Fig 1C) or alteration in cell cycle stage distribution (Fig 1D) was apparent in the mutants, indicating TbRH1 does not provide essential genome functions (at least in culture), unlike mammalian RNase H1 [47]. However, it should be noted that the analyses described here cannot exclude the potential for secondary mutations during the generation of *Tbrh1-/-* cells, or uncharacterised adaptation following loss of the RNase H enzyme.

R-loops accumulate throughout VSG transcription sites in the absence of RNase H1

To ask if TbRH1 targets R-loops within the VSG ES, we performed RNA-DNA immunoprecipitation coupled to next generation sequencing (DRIP-seq) [48] in both wild type (WT) and *Tbrh1-/-* cells, aligning DNA reads to the VSG ES using MapQ filtering [49] to ensure ES-specific mapping of Illumina short reads across regions of homology (Fig 2, S3 Fig). Though a range of strategies to isolate and map R-loops might have been considered [50], DRIP-seq proved valuable in yeast [48, 51, 52] and we therefore deemed it appropriate as a means to provide the first genome-wide view of R-loop distribution in *T. brucei* [53]. Here, we have focused on R-loop distribution in the VSG ES. In WT cells there was limited read enrichment across the ES region spanning the promoter to the *VSG*, either in the actively transcribed ES (BES1,



Fig 1. *T. brucei* ribonuclease H1 is a non-essential nuclear protein. **A.** Representative immunofluorescence images of *T. brucei* cells expressing Ribonuclease H1 (TbRH1) as a fusion with 12 copies of the myc epitope (TbRH1^{12myc}); wildtype (WT) cells are shown for comparison. Anti-myc signal is shown in red and DNA is stained with DAPI (blue); merged DAPI and anti-myc signal is also shown, as is the cell outline (by differential interference contrast microscopy; DIC). Scale bars, 5 μ m. **B.** Super-resolution structure-illumination imaging of TbRH1 and nuclear DNA colocalisation; TbRH1^{12myc} expressing cells are shown stained with anti-myc antiserum and with DAPI; representative images are shown of different cell cycles stages, and only in the merge of anti-myc (magenta) and DAPI (cyan) images is colour provided. Graphs plot length across the nucleus (x, pixels) versus mean pixel intensity at each position (y, arbitrary units) for DAPI (aqua) and TbRH1 (pink). Scale bars, 5 μ m. **C.** Growth of WT cells and *T. brucei TbRH1* heterozygous (*TbRH1+/-*) or homozygous *Tbrh1-/-* mutants in culture, with mean population density shown at 24 hr intervals; error bars denote SD from three experiments. **D.** Percentage of the population of WT and *Tbrh1-/-* cells in discernible cell cycle stages, determined by DAPI staining and fluorescent imaging followed by counting the number and shape of nuclear (N) and kinetoplast (K) structures in individual cells: 1N1K, 1N2K and 2N2K and 'other' cells that do not conform to these patterns (>200 cells were counted for each cell type).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729.g001

containing *VSG221*) or the 13 distinct silent ES (Fig 2A, S3 Fig). Pronounced enrichment was only observed proximal to the ends of the ES, downstream of the *VSG*, which most likely represents TERRA RNA since levels of the signal appeared to increase in *Tbrh1-/-* mutants (Fig 2A and 2E), the opposite of decreased TERRA RNA when TbRH1 is over-expressed [26]. Loss of TbRH1 resulted in DRIP-seq signal throughout all ES, both active and silent (Fig 2A, S3 Fig). To check the mapping, we performed qPCR on DRIP samples (Fig 2B). Enrichment of sequence in the IP relative to input (non-IP) was substantially higher (~10 fold) from *Tbrh1-/-* cells relative to WT for two *ESAGs* (6 and 8), confirming intra-ES R-loops and the increased



Fig 2. Localisation of R-loops in the Variant Surface Glycoprotein expression sites of *T. brucei* before after loss of RNase H1. A. Localisation of R-loops by DRIPseq in wild type (WT) and *T. brucei* RNase H1 homozygous mutant (*Tbrh1-/-*) bloodstream form cells. DRIP-seq signal is shown mapped to BES1 (the predominantly active VSG expression site (ES) of WT cells) and BES3 (which is mainly inactive); general structural organisation of the *T. brucei* BES is summarised in Fig 5. Pink and green tracks show normalised ratios of read-depth fold-change (1–3 fold) in IP samples relative to input in WT and *Tbrh1-/-* mutants, respectively, while the orange tracks show the ratio of IP enrichment in *Tbrh1-/-* cells compared with WT. Promoters (aqua), *ESAGs* (blue, numbered), 70-bp repeats (purple) and *VSGs* (red) are annotated as boxes; pseudogenes are indicated (ψ), hypothetical genes are shown in green, and the end of the available ES sequence is denoted by a black circle. **B**. DRIPqPCR, with or without *E.coli* RNase H1 (EcRH1) treatment, showing the percentage of PCR amplification in the IP sample relative to input for WT cells (pink) and *Tbrh1-/-* mutants (green); error bars display SEM for at least three technical replicates and data are shown for two biological replicates (1 and 2). **C-E**. DRIP-seq signal fold-change (IP relative to input samples) is shown on the y-axes, plotted as heatmaps and average signal fold-change profiles over ES regions encompassing the *ESAGs* (C), 70-bp repeats (D) and *VSG* (E); for each region, 5' and 3' (x-axes) denote the upstream and downstream boundaries, and in some cases -/+ 0.5 kb of flanking sequence is shown. <u>Upper two panels</u>: comparison of WT and *Tbrh1-/-* DRIP-seq signal using K-means clustering, which separated the active (light green, cluster 2) and inactive (dark blue, cluster 1) ES when analysing *ESAGs* and 70 bp repeats. but not *VSGs*. <u>Lower panels</u>: Overlay of WT (purple) and *Tbrh1-/-* (green) DRIP-seq signals in the three ES regions, with the a

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729.g002

DRIP-seq signal in the ES of *Tbrh1-/-* mutants compared with WT. The same differential between mutant and WT was also seen with qPCR using primers recognising *VSG221* (BES1, active) or *VSG121* (BES3, inactive), confirming R-loops in both transcribed and untranscribed sites. Finally, on-bead treatment of samples with *E. coli* RNase HI prior to DNA recovery clearly reduced the IP enrichment in the *Tbrh1-/-* cells, confirming recovery of RNA-DNA hybrids.

To examine the distribution and abundance of ES R-loops further, we performed K-means clustering of the read alignments from WT and *Tbrh1-/-* mutant DRIP-seq, separating the analysis into three ES components: the ESAG-containing region from the promoter to the 70 bp repeats (Fig 2C), the 70 bp repeats (Fig 2D), and the VSG plus 500 bp of flanking sequence (Fig 2E). In all components of the ES, as expected, read abundance was greater in the *Tbrh1-/*mutants than WT. However, the extent and pattern of enrichment was not equivalent in the three components, and nor was it always equivalent in active and silent ES. For the ESAG and 70 bp repeat components (Fig 2C and 2D), clustering analysis separated the active ES from all silent ES both in WT and Tbrh1-/- cells, suggesting differences dictated by transcription. In contrast, no such separation was seen around the VSG, where the active and silent ES could not be distinguished (Fig 2E). Indeed, the level of signal across the VSG ORFs was relatively low compared with upstream and, in particular, downstream (presumably telomeric) regions, despite low levels of R-loops being present (as confirmed by VSG DRIP-qPCR; Fig 2B). Enrichment of DRIP-seq signal in *Tbrh1-/-* cells relative to WT extended across the *ESAG*s (Fig 2C) and did not appear to be due to localisation to any specific sequence elements, such as the ORFs or untranslated intergenic regions (Fig 2A, S3 Fig), indicating R-loops became more abundant throughout the region of potential transcription upstream of the 70 bp in the absence of TbRH1. In contrast, the 70 bp repeats were notable for three features (Fig 2D). First, the level of enrichment across the repeats was notably higher in *Tbrh1-/-* mutants relative to WT than in both other components of the ES (~2 fold in the 70 bp repeats, compared with ~1.5 fold elsewhere; see also Fig 2A, S3 Fig). Second, in WT cells the small signal levels in the inactive ES were notably lower across the 70 bp repeats than surrounding sequence, whereas in Tbrh1-/- cells signal was greater across the repeats than the flanks. Third, a different pattern was seen in the active ES: in WT cells there was no DRIP-seq signal 'dip' within the 70 bp repeats, and in the *Tbrh1-/-* mutants the signal was more enriched at promoter-proximal repeats than telomere-proximal, following the direction of transcription. Taken together, the clustering analysis indicates TbRH1 plays a key role in removing R-loops in the VSG ES, with the 70 bp repeats being a focus for accumulation of the hybrids; furthermore, the distinct features of the mapping within the active ES relative to inactive ES suggest R-loop accumulation and removal by TbRH1 is co-transcriptional in WT cells.

Loss of RNase H1 results in elevated levels of VSG coat switching

Given that R-loops accumulate within the VSG ES in the absence of TbRH1, we next asked if the increased abundance of the RNA-DNA hybrids is associated with altered VSG expression in Tbrh1-/- mutants relative to WT. To test this association, we first performed RT-qPCR to measure RNA levels of a selection of ES VSGs (Fig 3A). Five VSGs within silent ES in the Lister 427 T. brucei strain used here [14] (Fig 3A) displayed significantly higher RNA abundance in the Tbrh1-/- cells relative to WT. In addition, a small reduction (p value 0.0284) in RNA levels was observed for VSG221, which is present in the predominantly active VSG ES in WT cells (BES1; Fig 2). To ask if RNA changes are limited to ES VSGs, we performed RNA-seq on RNA from the WT and Tbrh1-/- cells and mapped the reads to all available annotated VSGs in the Lister 427 T. brucei strain [14, 16]. In total, 63 VSGs displayed 1.5 fold or greater numbers of mapped RNA-seq reads in the *Tbrh1-/-* mutants compared with WT (Fig 3B). Amongst these genes were nine bloodstream ES VSGs, of which four showed particularly pronounced read increases, though comparable changes in read depth were not obvious for the associated ESAGs within the ES (see VSG121 in BES3, S4 Fig). Though the RNA-seq and RT-qPCR data do not wholly match (for instance, RT-qPCR predicts some increased VSG224 RNA in the mutants, whereas RNA-seq predicts a decrease; Fig 3 and S4 Fig), it should be noted that the



Fig 3. Loss of *T. brucei* **RNase H1 results in increased transcription of silent VSGs. A.** The left panel provides a simplified diagram of VSG expression sites (ES) used to generate a protective surface coat in the bloodstream *T. brucei* cells used in this study; only telomere-proximal *VSGs* (coloured boxes, numbered) from a selection of ES are shown, and the single ES being actively transcribed (encoding *VSG221*, pink) is denoted by an arrow extending from the promoter (flag). The right panel shows a graph of *VSG* RNA levels (corresponding to the ES diagram, and determined by RT-qPCR) in TbRH1 null mutants (*Tbrh1-/-* cells), plotted as fold-change relative to levels of the cognate *VSG* RNA in wild type cells (WT427 1.2); VSG 221 is in bold to indicate it is in the active ES of wild type cells, and data are presented as mean +/- SD for three independent experiments; * P < 0.005, *** P < 0.0005 (one-way t-test). **B.** A graph depicting the number of VSG genes that display >1.5-fold increase in RNA abundance (determined by RNA-seq, and normalised to gene length and total number of reads) in *Tbrh1-/-* cells relative to WT; the total number is sub-categorised depending on whether the VSGs have been localised to the bloodstream ES (BES), are intact genes in the subtelomeric arrays (array), are in mini-chromosomes (MC), are pseudogenes (pseudo), or are in the ES transcribed in the metacyclic life cycle stage (MES). **C.** Plots of normalised RNA-seq read depth abundance (v-axes) relative to CDS position (x-axes) for a selection of the above VSG; VSG identity numbers are from [16].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729.g003

two approaches used independently grown cells. Increased RNA-seq reads in the mutants were also detected for VSGs from all parts of the silent archive, with intact and pseudogenic array VSGs more frequently detected than metacyclic ES or minichromosomal VSGs (Fig 3B and 3C). Taken together, the RT-qPCR and RNA-seq data indicate that loss of RNaseH1 leads to increased levels of transcription from normally silent VSGs, including some not predicted to be resident in VSG ES.

To test if these RNA changes extend to the VSG surface coat, we used immunofluorescence on unpermeabilised cells to evaluate the stability of VSG221 expression, since this VSG is normally resident in the predominantly transcribed ES (BES1). To do this, we first examined VSG221 expression over time, comparing the frequency with which three *Tbrh1-/-* and WT



Fig 4. Loss of *T. brucei* **RNase H1 induces VSG coat switching. A.** Evaluation of the frequency at which *Tbrh1-/-* and WT cells switch off expression of VSG221 on their cell surface. For each cell type, three VSG221-expressing clones were generated and grown independently, by serial passage in culture, for a number of generations. At multiple time points the number of cells in the populations express or do not express VSG221 was assessed by immunofluorescence with anti-VSG221 antiserum; non-VSG221 expressing WT (black) and *Tbrh1-/-* (grey) cells are shown as a proportion of the total population (data shows average and SD of the three clones, and >200 cells were counted for each clone and at each time point). Cumulative density of WT (solid line) and *Tbrh1-/-* cells (dotted line) over the course of the analysis is shown; values depict average density and SD for the three clones of each cell type at the passages shown. **B** Percentage of WT (WT 1.2) and *Tbrh1-/-* cells expressing VSG221 or VSG121 on their surface, as determined by co-immunofluorescence imaging with anti-VSG221 and VSG121 ant *Tbrh1-/-* cells expressing vortices of cells in the population in which only VSG221 (magenta) or VSG121 (yellow) could be detected, as wells as cell with both (orange) or neither (grey) of the two VSG on their surface; >200 cells were analysed for each cell type in each of three replicates (error bars denote SEM). **C.** Co-immunofluorescence imaging of the surface coat configurations measured in (B); in addition to WT 1.2 cells and *Tbrh1-/-* mutants, an example of a cell is shown from a *T. brucei* strain (WT 1.6)[54] that predominantly expresses VSG121 and not VSG221 (crange) or only express VSG121 (yellow); >100 cells were analysed in each of three replicate experiments for each cell type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729.g004

clones no longer expressed the protein during prolonged passage (Fig 4A). Despite the absence of immune selection against VSG221 expression, greater numbers of cells without surface VSG221 were seen in the *Tbrh1-/-* cells than in WT at each time point examined, indicating elevated levels of VSG switching throughout growth in culture. Notably, such elevated VSG switching is not associated with changes in population doubling time of the RNaseH1 mutants. To examine this effect in more detail, we next performed co-immunofluorescence (IF) on

unpermeabilised WT and Tbrh1-/-cells (grown for >45 generations in culture) using antiserum recognising VSG221 (active BES1) or VSG121 (silent BES3). In this WT population, all cells analysed expressed VSG221 (Fig 3B), whereas ~3.5% of the Tbrh1-/- mutant cells no longer expressed VSG221 on their surface (Fig 3B and 3C). Most of the cells (\sim 3.1%) that did not react with VSG221 antiserum also did not react with VSG121 antiserum, indicating they expressed a distinct VSG or VSGs on their surface. However, in a small proportion of cells (~0.35%) VSG121 could be detectably expressed, indicating this gene had been activated. To determine if all VSG121-expressing Tbrh1-/- cells had switched off VSG221, we looked amongst the VSG121-expressing cells for co-staining with both antisera (Fig 3C and 3D). As a control, immunofluorescence was also performed in a distinct WT strain (i.e. not lacking TbRH1) in which a transcription elongation blockade within BES1 has silenced this ES and predominantly activated BES3 [28, 54, 55], containing VSG121 (Fig 3C and 3D). Most (~68%) *Tbrh1-/-* cells stained only with anti-VSG121 antiserum, though a minority (~32%) were VSG221-VSG121 double expressers. Taken together, these data indicate that loss of TbRH1 results in an increased frequency at which expression of the active VSG is lost, which mainly reflects complete VSG switching events where the active VSG is no longer detected and expression of a distinct VSG occurs. Loss of mono-allelic control, which results in co-expression of VSGs from the active and at least one previously silent ES, is less common but was observed.

Loss of RNase H1 results in elevated levels of replication-associated genome damage

Two models might be considered to explain elevated VSG switching in Tbrh1-/- mutants relative to WT cells (Fig 5). In one model, ES R-loop accumulation impedes complete transcription of the active ES, selecting for cells in which a previously silent ES has been transcriptionally activated. Once activated, these newly expressed ES then accumulate R-loops, propagating the DRIP-seq signal across all ES (Fig 2). However, R-loops have also been linked to DNA breaks and rearrangement [39], through impeding DNA replication [56-60], as a result of elevating the levels of transcription-associated breaks [61–63], or because the RNA-DNA hybrids form in response to transcription-associated breaks [64-70]. A second model, therefore, is that increased R-loops in Tbrh1-/- cells reflect the accumulation of damage in the ES, leading to recombination-based VSG switching. To try and separate these models, we compared levels of nuclear genome damage in WT and Tbrh1-/- cells by assessing expression of Thr130-phosphorylated histone H2A (γ -H2A), which increases in abundance after a range of genotoxic insults [71, 72] and in repair mutants [28]. Western blotting suggested comparable levels of overall γ -H2A in WT and Tbrh1-/- cells (S5 Fig). However, IF analysis revealed a ~2.3 fold increase in the number of *Tbrh1-/-* cells with detectable nuclear γ -H2A signal, rising from around 7% in WT (Fig 6A). Super-resolution structure-illumination microscopy revealed that in both WT and Tbrh1-/cells most γ -H2A signal appeared as a single subnuclear focus, though some cells with >1 foci were present (Fig 6B; examples in Fig 6D and S6 Fig). In addition, some cells displayed diffuse staining throughout the nucleus (Fig 6B), suggesting γ -H2A signal may represent various types of damage. DAPI staining of a *T. brucei* population provides a means to determine the cell cycle stage of individual cells, since replication and segregation of the nuclear (N) and kinetoplastid (K) genomes occur with different timings [73]. In keeping with previous work [71] more WT cells displayed γ -H2A signal (Fig 6C) when they were undergoing nuclear replication (1N1eK) or were in G2-M phase (1N2K), with reduced numbers of signal-positive cells from the end of M phase (2N2K) through G1 (1N1K). Cell cycle quantification of the *Tbrh1-/-* mutants showed that the increased proportion of cells with γ -H2A signal was nearly entirely accounted for by greater numbers of 1N1eK (~2.4-fold increase) or 1N2K (~3.6-fold) cells with foci relative to





Transfer of sequence from silent ES/VSGs by recombination

R-loops trigger activation of silent ES

Fig 5. Models of R loop accumulation and resolution in the VSG expression sites of wild type and RNase H1 mutant *T. brucei* bloodstream form cells. The topmost diagram summarises the structure of and transcription across (red arrows) the active VSG expression site (ES, black line), including key features: telomere repeats (white arrows), the *VSG* gene (red box), 70 bp repeats (hatched box), *ESAG* genes (white boxes) and the promoter (flag). In the active ES transcription by RNA Polymerase (Pol) I must occur at high levels in order to generate sufficient VSG to form a dense surface coat. Pol I (red circle) passage is slowed when traversing the 70 bp repeats (dotted arrow), leading to the formation of R loops (DNA-RNA hybrid and extruded single strand DNA) that RNase H1 (blue circle) resolves to allow continued transcription. In TbRNaseH1 null mutants (-/-) R loops accumulate in the 70 bp repeats, obstructing transcription and leading to upstream RNA Pol I stalls and the formation of R loops across the length of the ES. Two outcomes of can then occur. In the first, reduced expression of the active ES and VSG is lethal, allowing selection for cells that have activated a distinct ES and VSG (green box). The lack of R-loop resolution by TbRNaseH1 in this site then results in R-loop accumulation and selection for activation of further ES (not shown). In the second outcome, R loops in the active ES lead to, or result from DNA damage, which is then repaired by homologous recombination, resulting in the transfer of sequence (e.g. *VSGs, ESAGs*) from the silent archive, including the silent ES, into the active ES.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729.g005

WT (Fig 6C), indicating increased accumulation of nuclear damage occurs during replication of the genome in the absence of TbRH1.

Loss of RNase H1 results in accumulation of DNA damage predominantly in the actively transcribed VSG expression site

To ask if some of the damage detected by microscopy of γ -H2A localises to the VSG ES, we performed ChIP-seq with anti- γ -H2A antiserum in WT and *Tbrh1-/-* cells, mapping the reads





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007729.g006

to the 14 ES using MapQ filtering (Fig 6E, S7 Fig). In WT cells low levels of γ -H2A ChIP reads were seen in all ES, but the level of enrichment was notably greatest and most widespread in the active ES (BES1, containing VSG221), in particular around the VSG and 70 bp repeatproximal ESAGs. In the *Tbrh1-/-* cells, γ -H2A ChIP reads were detected at even greater levels in the active ES (BES1), both at the locations detected in WT cells and due to increased reads more proximal to the ES promoter. In contrast, though γ -H2A ChIP reads also increased in the silent ES of *Tbrh1-/-* cells (Fig 6E, S7 Fig), the extent of this change was more modest than was seen in the active ES. These data indicate DNA damage is present in the active ES, in particular proximal to the 70 bp repeats and *VSG*, where distinct assays have suggested the presence of DNA breaks [23–25]. As the extent of γ -H2A signal increases after ablation of TbRH1, this response correlates with the increased abundance of R-loops, which may form preferentially in the active ES.

Discussion

In this work we reveal that interplay between RNA Pol I transcription and sequence composition of the VSG ES leads to R-loops acted upon by RNase H1, and that loss of the ribonuclease results in increased replication-associated damage, including in the VSG ES, and increased VSG coat switching. These findings suggest VSG transcription and VSG-associated sequences may have evolved to generate R-loops during the expression of the trypanosome's crucial surface antigen, indicating RNA-DNA hybrids may be harnessed to provide pathogen-specific, discrete functions, such as antigenic variation. These data provide only the second example we are aware of for R-loops providing locus-targeted rearrangement, adding to established roles for R-loops in the initiation of mammalian immunoglobulin class switching [42].

The data we present provide insight into the initiation of antigenic variation in T. brucei (Fig 5). We suggest that transcription through the VSG ES leads to the formation of R-loops that appear to be rapidly resolved, including by TbRH1, to ensure continued high rates of VSG coat expression [74]. Within the ES the 70 bp repeats appear to be a pronounced site of VSG RNA-DNA hybrid accumulation, given the strongest R-loop ES enrichment is seen in this location in *Tbrh1-/-* mutants. R-loops may form more readily on the 70 bp repeats due to their sequence composition: individual 70 bp repeats show considerable size and sequence variation but are, in part, comprised of (TRR) repeats [15, 75] that can become non-H bonded [76] and promote recombination [77] when transcribed. Increased DRIP-seq signal across the active VSG ES of Tbrh1-/- mutants might therefore be most readily explained by R-loops forming initially in the 70 bp repeats and extending back toward the RNA Pol I promoter due to retrograde spreading of the VSG ES transcription blockade. This scenario explains the less pronounced enrichment of R-loops within the telomere-proximal VSGs. In addition, it explains the localisation of R-loops both to ES coding and non-coding sequences, which contrasts with the predominant R-loop distribution in multigene transcription units elsewhere in the genome, where R-loops show a very pronounced intragenic localisation [53]. Thus, it is very unlikely that R-loops in the ES are associated with transcription processing, but instead emerge from impaired RNA Pol I movement. However, as we discuss below, R-loops may not only accumulate in RNase H1 mutants because transcription is impeded across the ES, but because of lesions forming within the transcription units.

Our data suggest that R-loop accumulation leads to VSG switching and spreading of Rloops into silent VSG ES by two routes: transcriptional and recombinational. The transcriptional model is consistent with the observation that RNAi-mediated loss of VSG expression from the active ES is lethal [74] and can select for switching [78]. Co-transcriptional R-loop formation might itself lead to reduced transcription of the active VSG ES, or R-loops might form because of lesions generated during ES transcription (see below). Irrespective, once Rloops form in the ES, in particular in the absence of TbRH1, they could provide a blockade to full transcription, causing further R-loops to form in the ES and providing a selection for activation of a new ES. Once a new ES is activated, transcription in this locus then gradually suffers the same R-loop blockade, which is exaggerated by the absence of TbRH1. The model is consistent with the presence of cells expressing both VSG221 and VSG121, since co-expression of at least two VSGs indicates altered transcriptional control. However, such double expressers are relatively rare and the seemingly equivalent accumulation of R-loops in both the active ES and in all silent ES is not simple to reconcile with the continued predominant transcription of VSG221 (BES1) in the *Tbrh1-/-* mutants. Therefore, a second possibility is that R-loops do not merely reflect or cause transcription blockade, but are associated with recombination of silent VSGs (and in some circumstances ESAGs from silent ES) into the active ES. An R-loop VSG recombination model is consistent with the greater levels of γ -H2A signal in the active ES and, in this scenario, the relatively similar level of R-loop enrichment in both the active and silent ES could be explained by gene conversion of silent ES sequences into the active ES. However, the lack of evidence for increased RNA spanning the silent ES (such as BES3) in the Tbrh1-/mutants contrasts with increased RNA-seq reads for multiple ES VSGs, and does not readily match the greater density of γ -H2A signal proximal to the 70 bp repeats in the mutants. Instead, the γ -H2A ChIP mapping appears consistent with damage initially arising in the telomere-proximal region of the ES, perhaps due the nature of the repeats (above). If correct, this may explain the RNA-seq data, with telomere-proximal damage leading to the activation not simply (or even predominantly) of silent ES VSGs, but any VSG in the silent archive. In this model, accumulation of R-loops throughout the silent ES may indicate trans formation of the RNA-DNA hybrids after their generation in the active site, rather than transcription-associated formation. Irrespective of the precise details, the accumulated data presented here suggests that R-loop-associated recombinational switching predominates over R-loop-associated transcriptional switching. Nonetheless, since we could detect Tbrh1-/- cells in which at least two VSGs were expressed on the cell surface, a commonality of R-loops acting during transcription-mediated and recombination-mediated antigenic variation in T. brucei is possible. In other words, these reactions may not be entirely independent in mechanisms but, instead, share a common initiating event. Such commonality might explain why ablation of the homologous recombination factors RAD51, BRCA2 and RAD51-3 impairs both VSG gene conversion and transcriptional switching [79-81], as well as why induction of DNA damage can elevate levels of silent VSG expression [82].

Precisely how R-loops intersect with T. brucei VSG switching will need to be explored further, though similarities and differences can be drawn with R-loop involvement in mammalian Ig class switch recombination. In the mammalian IgH locus, R-loops form due to transcription of non-coding RNA upstream of Ig constant region exons [83-85], with evidence for isotype transcription specificity [86]. In T. brucei each VSG ES is multigenic, with the VSG and ESAGs transcribed from a common upstream RNA Pol I promoter, and no stable intra-ES non-coding RNAs have been described. Thus, it seems likely that R-loops mediating T. brucei VSG switching are less gene- or exon-specific than those directing mammalian Ig class switching. If so, this difference may explain the mechanistic connection we propose between VSG switching through transcriptional and recombinational routes, whereas class switching is strictly a recombination-catalysed reaction. Nonetheless, our data suggest the 70 bp repeats within the VSG ES may be a focus for R-loop formation, perhaps suggesting some broad similarity with the role of G-rich sequences around Ig exons [87], such as a need to use DNA features to target R-loops. However, in class switch recombination the key role of G-rich sequences appears to be in generating RNA quadruplexes, which recruit the cytidine deaminase enzyme AID [85]; such a role is in keeping with Ig exon expression specificity in B lymphocytes, since G quadruplexes are abundant throughout mammalian genomes but rarely form such structures when transcribed into RNA [88]. 70 bp repeats, in contrast, appear to be nearly exclusively associated with VSGs [89] and no evidence has been documented that they form stable RNAs.

Despite the above potential similarities, execution of Ig class switching and VSG switching by recombination is very different. During Ig class switching RNA quadruplexes target AID to the Ig exons, at which time the enzyme catalyses insertion of uracil residues, leading to DNA double strand breaks (as a result of mismatch and base excision repair) that result in exon deletion through non-homologous end-joining [42]. *T. brucei* VSG switching involves

homologous recombination [22], and how such a difference in gene rearrangement strategy might emerge from a common R-loop intermediate is unclear. To date, no enzymatic activity, akin to AID, has been described to generate the DNA lesions expected to initiate VSG switching. It is possible that accumulation of R-loops due to ES transcription pausing might alone be enough to generate the increased damage we detect in the active ES. For instance, prolonged negative supercoiling downstream of RNA Pol I might increase the likelihood of R-loop formation and greater exposure of the single-stranded DNA in the RNA-DNA hybrid. Alternatively, R-loops may not be the cause of damage but may form in response to the formation of lesions by another route. Both possibilities are consistent with the detection of putative DSBs within VSG ES [23-25]. Determining whether R-loops cause or respond to DNA breaks remains challenging in any setting [38, 64], since it is clear that chromatin and repair pathways can modulate the damaging effects of R-loops [90-93], while at the same time single- or double-stranded DNA breaks can induce R-loop formation [65, 70]. Key to understanding how Rloops act in VSG switching might be examination of the factors that act on these structures, such as DNA or RNA helicases [94]. In the above scenarios it is assumed that R-loops form during transcription, or that transcription of the ES provides access to a lesion-forming machinery. It is equally possible that R-loops form not simply due to transcription, but impede DNA replication through the active VSG ES and lead to breaks that elicit a VSG switch. This final route would be compatible with the elevated levels of γ -H2A in replicating *Tbrh1-/-* cells, and with previous observations showing the active VSG ES replicates earlier than all the silent ES [28]. In other words, it is possible that ES structure and function has evolved to target replication-transcription clashes to the site of VSG expression to facilitate switching. If so, there may be a parallel with Ig class switching, since R-loops in the IgH locus recruit replisome components and lead to DNA replication [95]. Whether such a putative connection between Rloops, transcription and replication in the VSG ES could recruit repair machinery related to that described in yeast and mammals [90, 96, 97] is unknown.

Currently none of the above scenarios can be ruled out, but the effects of TbRH1 loss on inducing VSG switching appear consistent with observations in other eukaryotes, and with the previously characterised roles of several T. brucei repair factors. Mutation of T. brucei RAD51, the key catalytic enzyme of homologous recombination, impairs VSG switching [79]. Intriguingly, yeast Rad51 has recently been shown to promote formation of R-loops and rearrangement at certain loci [98], an effect that is abrogated when factors that promote Rad51 activity are mutated, consistent with the impairment of VSG switching in T. brucei BRCA2 and RAD51-3 mutants [80, 81]. In both yeast and mammals, loss of the RecQ helicases Sgs2 and BLM, respectively, causes elevated levels of R-loops and locus-specific instability [99], a response that may explain increased VSG switching by gene conversion when RECQ2 (the T. brucei orthologue) is mutated [28]. Moreover, the R-loop role of Sgs2/BLM has been interpreted as being necessary to tackle replication-transcription clashes, a role that may explain the distinct phenotypes of T. brucei RECQ2 mutants when acting on DNA double strand breaks and during VSG switching [28]. Finally, mutation of both RNase H enzymes in yeast has been documented to cause elevated levels of DNA damage (detected as RAD52 localisation) at rRNA genes, an effect that is due to RNA Pol I transit and results in gene conversion by break-induced replication [100], a process that has been suggested to mediate VSG switching in the RNA Pol I-transcribed ES [101, 102]. To understand the relationship between Rloops and VSG switching, a number of questions need to be addressed, including: do R-loops generate or follow from ES lesions; what form of DNA lesion results from, or generates the Rloops; how are R-loop-associated lesions signalled to initiate repair; and do increased levels of R-loops in the silent ES indicate movement of the hybrids in trans from the active ES? Irrespective of the detailed mechanism, positioning of the 70 bp repeats immediately upstream of

the VSG appears advantageous, targeting breaks to allow recombination-mediated break repair to access any of the ~1000 VSGs outside the VSG ES. Notably, the increased expression of silent VSG RNA in the *Tbrh1-/-* mutants, encompassing the range of genes and loci that comprise the VSG archive, differs from the more limited range of VSG types activated after the controlled generation of a DSB in the active ES [15]. In addition, R-loops that form upstream of the 70 bp repeats in the active VSG ES could drive intra-ES recombination, which is observed frequently [103].

Beyond the proposed mechanistic involvement of R-loops in directing VSG switching, this work reveals wider overlap with emerging roles for RNA in many immune evasion strategies. Antigenic variation in Neisseria gonorrhoeae relies upon the expression of a small non-coding RNA, upstream of and antisense to the *pilE* expression site, across a guanine quartet-forming DNA sequence [104], which results in DNA nicks that may elicit recombination [105]. Intriguingly, small RNAs may also be generated from silent *pilS* recombination substrates [106]. Non-coding RNA is widespread in *Plasmodium*, including sense and antisense non-coding RNA (ncRNA) that emanates from the promoter [107] and intron [108] of var genes, which mediate antigenic variation, as well as from a *var*-associated GC-rich ncRNA gene family [109]. Modulation of the expression of the ncRNAs, as well as mutating a novel exoribonuclease [107], undermines the transcriptional controls that determine singular var gene expression during antigenic variation. Though it has not to date been reported that R-loops form in these settings, as we describe for *T. brucei*, and the generation and action of effector transcripts is very likely to be organism-specific, it is notable that both recombination and transcription events during antigenic variation are influenced by RNA, which in at least two cases interacts with DNA. Characterising the factors and reactions that act on the T. brucei ES R-loops to dictate the dynamics of antigenic variation will reveal how similar or distinct the processes are in the different pathogens.

Methods

Molecular and cell biology techniques

All cell lines used were bloodstream form parasites, which were maintained in HMI-9 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA) and 1% (v/v) of penicillinstreptomycin solution (Gibco) at 37°C and 5% CO2. C-terminus endogenous tagging of TbRH1 was carried out as previous described [110]. Briefly, the C-terminal 626 bp sequence of the TbRH1 ORF was PCR-amplified using primers CGACGAAGCTTCTGCGGATGACGG TAATG and CGACGAGATCTTGTGAATCGCCCTTTGGC and cloned into the pNATx12M plasmid containing 12 copies of the c-myc epitope. The construct was then stably transfected into T. brucei brucei Lister 427 MITat1.2 cells after digestion with PstI. Heterozygous (-/+) and homozygous (-/-) Tbrh1 knockout cell lines were generated using two constructs containing cassettes of either blasticidin or neomycin resistance genes between α - β tubulin and actin intergenic regions, flanked by sequences homologous to the 5' and 3' UTRs of TbRH1, essentially as described in [28]. Homologous flanking regions were PCR-amplified using the following primers: 5' UTR CGACGGGATCCTTGCCTTACCCGTGTTTT and CGACGTC TAGACCTTTTCTTTCCCATGGAC, 3' UTR CGACGCCCGGGAGGTGTGTATGGGAA TGA and CGACGCTCGAGGCACCACCCAGTATAGAAA. Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed with SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) using random hexamer primers. Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Invitrogen) was used to perform qPCR and fold change was calculated using the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method [111].

ChIP-seq analysis

Both DRIP and γ H2A ChIP sample preparation was performed using a ChIP-IT Enzymatic Express kit (Active Motif). Briefly, ~ 2x10⁸ cells were grown to log phase before fixing in 1% formaldehyde for 5 min whilst shaking at room temperature, before 1 mL of 10X Glycine Buffer was added directly to the cells to stop fixation. Cells were then pelleted, re-suspended in Glycine Stop-Fix Solution and shaken at room temperature for 5 min. Cells were next lysed, according to the manufacturer's protocol, allowing chromatin to be extracted and digested for 5 min with Enzymatic Shearing Cocktail at 37 °C to produce ~200 bp fragments. IP was performed overnight at 4 °C with 4.5 ng of S9.6 (Kerafast) or 3 µg of anti-yH2A antibody. For DRIP, on-bead treatment of control DRIP samples was performed as previously described [48]. qPCR was performed directly from DNA recovered from DRIP samples, with or without EcRH1 treatment, using SYBR Select Master Mix (Invitrogen). The amount of DNA in IP samples was expressed as a percentage of input DNA, using CT values first adjusted by the dilution factor of each sample.

Library preparation was performed using a TruSeq ChIP Library Preparation Kit (Illumina) and fragments of 300 bp, including adaptors, were selected with Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter). Sequencing was performed with an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform. Reads were trimmed using TrimGalore (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) under default settings before alignment to the Lister 427 bloodstream VSG expression sites using Bowtie2 [112] in "very-sensitive" mode. Reads with a MapQ value <1 were removed using SAMtools [113], leaving at least 30 million aligned reads per sample. The fold change between input and IP read depth was determined for each sample using the DeepTools bamCompare tool (library size was normalised by read count and fold change was expressed as a ratio) and visualised as tracks with IGV [114]. Normalised ratio files were also used to generate plots and perform kmeans cluster analysis using deepTools computeMatrix, plotProfile and plotHeatmap [115] tools.

RNA-seq analysis

For RNA-seq analysis, total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Poly(A) selection and library preparation was then performed using the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA kit (Illumina) and sequencing of 75 bp paired-end reads was performed using the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform.

RKPM was calculated for each available VSG coding region [16] ignoring duplicate reads. Fold-change in RPKM for each VSG was calculated for *Tbrh1-/-* relative to WT. To ask what transcripts displayed altered levels in the *Tbrh1-/-* mutants relative to WT cells fold in RPKM was determined in RStudio. RNA-seq reads were aligned to the Lister 427 VSG ES [14] and annotated VSGs [16] using HISAT2 [116] in 'no splice alignment' mode; reads with a MapQ value <1 were removed using SAMtools, which has been shown to remove >99% of short read alignment to the wrong ES [49]. Read mapping was visualised using Matplotlib and a custom python script.

Immunofluorescence

VSG immunofluorescence analysis was performed as previously described [18]. Briefly, cell were fixed in 1% formaldehyde (FA) at room temp for 15 min. Cells were then blocked in 50% foetal bovine serum (FBS) for 15 min before primary (α -VSG221, 1:10000; α -VSG121, 1:10000: gift from D. Horn) and secondary (Alexa Fluor 594 goat α -rabbit (Molecular Probes) 1:1000; Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rat (Molecular Probes), 1:1000) antibody staining was carried out at room temp for 45 mins in both cases. Cells were then mounted in Fluoromount G with

DAPI (Cambridge Bioscience, Southern Biotech). For 12myc-TbRH1 and yH2A staining, cells were first adhered to slides before fixing in 4% FA for 4 min and then quenched in 100 nM glycine. Cells were permeabilised in 0.2% triton-X 100 for 10 min. Blocking was performed for 1 hour with 3% FBS before antibody staining (α -myc Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Millipore), 1:500; α - γ H2A, 1:1000, and Alexa Fluor 488 goat α -rabbit (Molecular Probes), 1:1000) and mounting in DAPI as described above. For counting purposes cells were imaged using an Axioscope 2 fluorescence microscope (Zeiss) with a 60x objective. Higher resolution of VSG staining was performed with a DeltaVision Core Microscope (Applied Precision), using a 100x 1.4 oil objective (Olympus). Super-resolution structured-illumination imaging of 12myc-RH1 and yH2A signal was performed using an Elyra PS.1 microscope (Carl Zeiss) using a 63x 1.4 objective.

Sequences used in all mapping analyses are available in the European Nucleotide Archive (accession number PRJEB21868).

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Ribonuclease H1 is a nuclear protein in bloodstream form *T. brucei* parasites. A. Western blot analysis, using anti-myc antiserum, of a *T. brucei* clonal cell expressing C-terminally 12myc epitope-tagged TbRH1 from the endogenous locus in bloodstream form *T. brucei*; untagged, wild type (WT) cells are shown for comparison, and the estimated size of TbRH1-12myc is indicated (kDa). **B.** Fluorescence signal intensity (a.u., arbitrary units) of DAPI (cyan) and anti-myc signal (magenta) in TbRH1-12myc expressing cells, separated into different discernible cell cycle stages (determined by number and shape of nuclear (N) and kinetoplast (K) structures seen after DAPI staining: 1N1K, 1N1elongatedK (1N1eK), 1N2K and 2N2K); dots denote intensity of individual cells and the median values (horizontal lines) interquartile range (error bars) are shown. Significance was determined by Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test: (*) p-value <0.05; (**) p-value < 0.01; (***) p-value < 0.001; (****) p-value < 0.0001.



S2 Fig. Generation and validation of *TbRH1* **null mutants in bloodstream form** *T. brucei.* **A.** PCR confirmation of replacement of the *TbRH1* open reading frame (ORF) with selective neomycin (*NEO*) and blasticidin (*BSD*) resistance gene cassettes. The upper two gels show PCR targeting the 3' end of *NEO* or *BSD* resistance genes, testing linkage of these genes to *TbRH1* flanks after cassette insertion into the *TbRH1* locus. The lowest gel shows PCR of part of the *TbRH1* ORF in wild type cells (WT427), in a *NEO* transformant (Tbrh1+/- neo) and in two *NEO* and *BSD* transformants (Tbrh1 null CL1 and CL2). **B.** RT-qPCR of TbRH1 RNA levels, comparing abundance in WT427, *Tbrh1*+/- cells and in *Tbrh1*-/- null mutants; RNA levels in WT cells (relative to a control RNA) were set at 100% and levels in the mutants are shown as a percentage (error bars show SD from three experiments). (PDF)

S3 Fig. DRIP-seq analysis of all bloodstream VSG expression sites characterised in *T. brucei* strain Lister 427. DRIP-seq was performed with wild type (WT) and *Tbrh1-/-* cells and reads mapped to all ES (BES, numbered as in [14]) not shown in Fig 1. Promoters (aqua), *ESAGs* (blue), 70-bp repeats (purple), *VSGs* (red), non-*ESAG* genes (green) and a drug resistance gene (navy) are annotated as boxes. Pink and green tracks show normalised ratios of read-depth enrichment in IP samples relative to input in WT and *Tbrh1-/-* mutants, respectively, while the orange tracks show the ratio of IP enrichment in *Tbrh1-/-* cells compared with WT. (PDF) **S4 Fig. Bloodstream expression site RNA abundance in the presence and absence of RNaseH1.** RNA-seq read depth is shown in WT cells and *Tbrh1-/-* mutants across the length of two VSG ES (BES1 and BES2, containing VSG221 and VSG121, annotated as in Fig 2A); note the read depth scale (y-axes) for each is distinct for the ES regions containing the VSG (red box) and the ESAGs (blue boxes, numbered). For all other ES, RNA-seq read depth (normalised to gene length and total number of reads) is shown only for the VSGs, which are numbered according to [16], with the ES that houses them indicated (see <u>S3 Fig</u>). (PDF)

S5 Fig. Expression levels of γ -H2A in *T. brucei* RNasaeH1 mutants do not change substantially compared with wild type cells. A. Western blot of γ -H2A, detected by specific antiserum, in wild type (WT) and *T. brucei* RNaseH1 null mutants (*Tbrh1-/-*); antiserum detecting EF1- α provides a loading control. B. Relative density of γ -H2A western blot signal, normalised to EF1- α , is compared in WT (normalised to 1.0) and *Tbrh1-/-* cells. (PDF)

S6 Fig. Subnuclear γ -H2A foci in replicating *T. brucei* RNasaeH1 mutants and wild type cells. Super-resolution structure-illumination immunofluorescent imaging of anti- γ -H2A signal and co-localisation with DAPI in a number of replicating (1N1eK and 1N2K) *Tbrh1-/-* and wild type (WT) *T. brucei* bloodstream cells; only in the merge of anti- γ -H2A (magenta) and DAPI (cyan) images is colour provided. Scale bars, 5 µm. (PDF)

S7 Fig. γ -H2A localisation in all bloodstream VSG expression sites characterised in *T. brucei* strain Lister 427. ChIP-seq was performed with specific antiserum against γ -H2Ain wild type (WT) and *Tbrh1-/-* cells and Illumina reads mapped to all ES (BES, numbered as in[14]) not shown in Fig 1. Promoters (aqua), *ESAGs* (blue), 70-bp repeats (purple), *VSGs* (red), non-*ESAG* genes (green) and a drug resistance gene (navy) are annotated as boxes. Pink and green tracks show normalised ratios of read-depth enrichment in IP samples relative to input in WT and *Tbrh1-/-* mutants, respectively. (PDF)

S1 Table. Underlying data for graphs.

(XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank all lab members for invaluable discussions, and David Horn and Sebastian Hutchinson for sharing unpublished data.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Emma Briggs, Richard McCulloch.

Data curation: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber, Richard McCulloch.

Formal analysis: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber, Richard McCulloch.

Funding acquisition: Richard McCulloch.

Investigation: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber, Craig Lapsley, Richard McCulloch.

Methodology: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber, Craig Lapsley, Richard McCulloch.

Project administration: Richard McCulloch.

Software: Kathryn Crouch.

Supervision: Richard McCulloch.

Validation: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber, Craig Lapsley.

Visualization: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber.

Writing – original draft: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Richard McCulloch.

Writing – review & editing: Emma Briggs, Kathryn Crouch, Leandro Lemgruber, Richard McCulloch.

References

- Yao MC, Chao JL, Cheng CY. Programmed Genome Rearrangements in Tetrahymena. Microbiology spectrum. 2014; 2(6). https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0012-2014 PMID: 26104448.
- Sterkers Y, Crobu L, Lachaud L, Pages M, Bastien P. Parasexuality and mosaic aneuploidy in Leishmania: alternative genetics. Trends in parasitology. 2014; 30(9):429–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt. 2014.07.002 PMID: 25073852.
- Ubeda JM, Raymond F, Mukherjee A, Plourde M, Gingras H, Roy G, et al. Genome-wide stochastic adaptive DNA amplification at direct and inverted DNA repeats in the parasite Leishmania. PLoS biology. 2014; 12(5):e1001868. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001868 PMID: 24844805; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4028189.
- Lee CS, Haber JE. Mating-type Gene Switching in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiology spectrum. 2015; 3(2):MDNA3-0013-2014. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0013-2014 PMID: 26104712.
- Klar AJ, Ishikawa K, Moore S. A Unique DNA Recombination Mechanism of the Mating/Cell-type Switching of Fission Yeasts: a Review. Microbiology spectrum. 2014; 2(5). https://doi.org/10.1128/ microbiolspec.MDNA3-0003-2014 PMID: 26104357.
- Roth DB. V(D)J Recombination: Mechanism, Errors, and Fidelity. Microbiology spectrum. 2014; 2(6). https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0041-2014 PMID: 26104458.
- Hwang JK, Alt FW, Yeap LS. Related Mechanisms of Antibody Somatic Hypermutation and Class Switch Recombination. Microbiology spectrum. 2015; 3(1):MDNA3-0037-2014. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0037-2014 PMID: 26104555; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4481323.
- Deitsch KW, Lukehart SA, Stringer JR. Common strategies for antigenic variation by bacterial, fungal and protozoan pathogens. NatRevMicrobiol. 2009; 7(7):493–503.
- 9. Palmer GH, Brayton KA. Gene conversion is a convergent strategy for pathogen antigenic variation. Trends Parasitol. 2007; 23(9):408–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2007.07.008 PMID: 17662656
- Vink C, Rudenko G, Seifert HS. Microbial antigenic variation mediated by homologous DNA recombination. FEMS microbiology reviews. 2012; 36(5):917–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011. 00321.x PMID: 22212019; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3334452.
- 11. Obergfell KP, Seifert HS. Mobile DNA in the Pathogenic Neisseria. Microbiology spectrum. 2015; 3(1): MDNA3-0015-2014. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0015-2014 PMID: 26104562.
- Gunzl A, Kirkham JK, Nguyen TN, Badjatia N, Park SH. Mono-allelic VSG expression by RNA polymerase I in Trypanosoma brucei: expression site control from both ends? Gene. 2015; 556(1):68–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2014.09.047 PMID: 25261847; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4272636.
- Glover L, Hutchinson S, Alsford S, McCulloch R, Field MC, Horn D. Antigenic variation in African trypanosomes: the importance of chromosomal and nuclear context in VSG expression control. Cellular microbiology. 2013; 15(12):1984–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12215 PMID: 24047558; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3963442.
- Hertz-Fowler C, Figueiredo LM, Quail MA, Becker M, Jackson A, Bason N, et al. Telomeric expression sites are highly conserved in Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3(10):e3527. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0003527 PMID: 18953401
- Hovel-Miner G, Mugnier MR, Goldwater B, Cross GA, Papavasiliou FN. A Conserved DNA Repeat Promotes Selection of a Diverse Repertoire of Trypanosoma brucei Surface Antigens from the Genomic Archive. PLoS genetics. 2016; 12(5):e1005994. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005994</u> PMID: 27149665.

- Cross GA, Kim HS, Wickstead B. Capturing the variant surface glycoprotein repertoire (the VSGnome) of Trypanosoma brucei Lister 427. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 2014; 195(1):59–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2014.06.004 PMID: 24992042.
- Marcello L, Barry JD. Analysis of the VSG gene silent archive in Trypanosoma brucei reveals that mosaic gene expression is prominent in antigenic variation and is favored by archive substructure. Genome Res. 2007; 17(9):1344–52. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.6421207 PMID: 17652423
- Glover L, Hutchinson S, Alsford S, Horn D. VEX1 controls the allelic exclusion required for antigenic variation in trypanosomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2016; 113(26):7225–30. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1600344113 PMID: 27226299; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4932947.
- Batram C, Jones NG, Janzen CJ, Markert SM, Engstler M. Expression site attenuation mechanistically links antigenic variation and development in Trypanosoma brucei. eLife. 2014; 3:e02324. https://doi. org/10.7554/eLife.02324 PMID: 24844706; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4027811.
- Figueiredo LM, Janzen CJ, Cross GA. A histone methyltransferase modulates antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. PLoS Biol. 2008; 6(7):e161. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060161 PMID: 18597556
- Cestari I, Stuart K. Inositol phosphate pathway controls transcription of telomeric expression sites in trypanosomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015; 112(21):E2803–12. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1501206112 PMID: 25964327; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4450425.
- McCulloch R, Morrison LJ, Hall JP. DNA Recombination Strategies During Antigenic Variation in the African Trypanosome. Microbiology spectrum. 2015; 3(2):MDNA3-0016-2014. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0016-2014</u> PMID: 26104717.
- Boothroyd CE, Dreesen O, Leonova T, Ly KI, Figueiredo LM, Cross GA, et al. A yeast-endonucleasegenerated DNA break induces antigenic switching in Trypanosoma brucei. Nature. 2009; 459 (7244):278–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07982 PMID: 19369939
- Glover L, Alsford S, Horn D. DNA break site at fragile subtelomeres determines probability and mechanism of antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. PLoS pathogens. 2013; 9(3):e1003260. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003260 PMID: 23555264; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3610638.
- Jehi SE, Wu F, Li B. Trypanosoma brucei TIF2 suppresses VSG switching by maintaining subtelomere integrity. Cell research. 2014; 24(7):870–85. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2014.60 PMID: 24810301; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4085761.
- Nanavaty V, Sandhu R, Jehi SE, Pandya UM, Li B. Trypanosoma brucei RAP1 maintains telomere and subtelomere integrity by suppressing TERRA and telomeric RNA:DNA hybrids. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx184 PMID: 28334836.
- Hovel-Miner GA, Boothroyd CE, Mugnier M, Dreesen O, Cross GA, Papavasiliou FN. Telomere Length Affects the Frequency and Mechanism of Antigenic Variation in Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8(8):e1002900. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002900 PMID: 22952449
- Devlin R, Marques CA, Paape D, Prorocic M, Zurita-Leal AC, Campbell SJ, et al. Mapping replication dynamics in Trypanosoma brucei reveals a link with telomere transcription and antigenic variation. eLife. 2016; 5. <u>https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12765</u> PMID: <u>27228154</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4946898.
- Devlin R, Marques CA, McCulloch R. Does DNA replication direct locus-specific recombination during host immune evasion by antigenic variation in the African trypanosome? Current genetics. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-016-0662-7 PMID: 27822899.
- Santos-Pereira JM, Aguilera A. R loops: new modulators of genome dynamics and function. Nature reviews Genetics. 2015; 16(10):583–97. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3961 PMID: 26370899.
- Stuckey R, Garcia-Rodriguez N, Aguilera A, Wellinger RE. Role for RNA:DNA hybrids in origin-independent replication priming in a eukaryotic system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015; 112(18):5779–84. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1501769112 PMID: 25902524; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4426422.
- Lombrana R, Almeida R, Alvarez A, Gomez M. R-loops and initiation of DNA replication in human cells: a missing link? Frontiers in genetics. 2015; 6:158. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00158 PMID: 25972891; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4412123.
- Tran PLT, Pohl TJ, Chen CF, Chan A, Pott S, Zakian VA. PIF1 family DNA helicases suppress R-loop mediated genome instability at tRNA genes. Nature communications. 2017; 8:15025. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncomms15025 PMID: 28429714; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5413955.
- **34.** Rippe K, Luke B. TERRA and the state of the telomere. Nature structural & molecular biology. 2015; 22(11):853–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3078 PMID: 26581519.

- Chedin F. Nascent Connections: R-Loops and Chromatin Patterning. Trends in genetics: TIG. 2016; 32(12):828–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2016.10.002 PMID: 27793359; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5123964.
- Zhang H, Gan H, Wang Z, Lee JH, Zhou H, Ordog T, et al. RPA Interacts with HIRA and Regulates H3.3 Deposition at Gene Regulatory Elements in Mammalian Cells. Molecular cell. 2017; 65(2):272– 84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.030 PMID: 28107649.
- Stirling PC, Hieter P. Canonical DNA Repair Pathways Influence R-Loop-Driven Genome Instability. Journal of molecular biology. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.07.014 PMID: 27452366; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5253333.
- Sollier J, Cimprich KA. Breaking bad: R-loops and genome integrity. Trends in cell biology. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.05.003 PMID: 26045257.
- Aguilera A, Garcia-Muse T. R loops: from transcription byproducts to threats to genome stability. Molecular cell. 2012; 46(2):115–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.04.009 PMID: 22541554.
- Daniels GA, Lieber MR. RNA:DNA complex formation upon transcription of immunoglobulin switch regions: implications for the mechanism and regulation of class switch recombination. Nucleic Acids Res. 1995; 23(24):5006–11. PMID: 8559658; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC307506.
- Yu K, Chedin F, Hsieh CL, Wilson TE, Lieber MR. R-loops at immunoglobulin class switch regions in the chromosomes of stimulated B cells. Nat Immunol. 2003; 4(5):442–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> ni919 PMID: 12679812.
- Matthews AJ, Zheng S, DiMenna LJ, Chaudhuri J. Regulation of immunoglobulin class-switch recombination: choreography of noncoding transcription, targeted DNA deamination, and long-range DNA repair. Adv Immunol. 2014; 122:1–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800267-4.00001-8 PMID: 24507154; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4150736.
- Wang IX, Grunseich C, Fox J, Burdick J, Zhu Z, Ravazian N, et al. Human proteins that interact with RNA/DNA hybrids. Genome research. 2018; 28(9):1405–14. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.237362.118
 PMID: 30108179; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6120628.
- Cristini A, Groh M, Kristiansen MS, Gromak N. RNA/DNA Hybrid Interactome Identifies DXH9 as a Molecular Player in Transcriptional Termination and R-Loop-Associated DNA Damage. Cell reports. 2018; 23(6):1891–905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.04.025 PMID: 29742442; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5976580.
- **45.** Cerritelli SM, Crouch RJ. Ribonuclease H: the enzymes in eukaryotes. The FEBS journal. 2009; 276 (6):1494–505. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2009.06908.x PMID: 19228196; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2746905.
- Kobil JH, Campbell AG. Trypanosoma brucei RNase HI requires its divergent spacer subdomain for enzymatic function and its conserved RNA binding motif for nuclear localization. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 2000; 107(1):135–42. PMID: 10717310.
- Cerritelli SM, Frolova EG, Feng C, Grinberg A, Love PE, Crouch RJ. Failure to produce mitochondrial DNA results in embryonic lethality in Rnaseh1 null mice. Molecular cell. 2003; 11(3):807–15. PMID: 12667461.
- El Hage A, Webb S, Kerr A, Tollervey D. Genome-wide distribution of RNA-DNA hybrids identifies RNase H targets in tRNA genes, retrotransposons and mitochondria. PLoS genetics. 2014; 10(10): e1004716. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004716 PMID: 25357144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4214602.
- Hutchinson S, Glover L, Horn D. High-resolution analysis of multi-copy variant surface glycoprotein gene expression sites in African trypanosomes. BMC Genomics. 2016; 17(1):806. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12864-016-3154-8 PMID: 27756224; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5070307.
- Halasz L, Karanyi Z, Boros-Olah B, Kuik-Rozsa T, Sipos E, Nagy E, et al. RNA-DNA hybrid (R-loop) immunoprecipitation mapping: an analytical workflow to evaluate inherent biases. Genome research. 2017; 27(6):1063–73. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.219394.116 PMID: 28341774; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5453320.
- Chan YA, Aristizabal MJ, Lu PY, Luo Z, Hamza A, Kobor MS, et al. Genome-wide profiling of yeast DNA:RNA hybrid prone sites with DRIP-chip. PLoS genetics. 2014; 10(4):e1004288. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004288 PMID: 24743342; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3990523.
- Salvi JS, Chan JN, Szafranski K, Liu TT, Wu JD, Olsen JB, et al. Roles for Pbp1 and caloric restriction in genome and lifespan maintenance via suppression of RNA-DNA hybrids. Dev Cell. 2014; 30 (2):177–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.05.013 PMID: 25073155.
- **53.** Briggs E, Hamilton G, Crouch K, Lapsley C, McCulloch R. Genome-wide mapping reveals conserved and diverged R-loop activities in the unusual genetic landscape of the African trypanosome genome. Nucleic Acids Research (2018); in press. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky928

- Glover L, Alsford S, Beattie C, Horn D. Deletion of a trypanosome telomere leads to loss of silencing and progressive loss of terminal DNA in the absence of cell cycle arrest. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35 (3):872–80. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl1100 PMID: 17251198
- 55. Aresta-Branco F, Pimenta S, Figueiredo LM. A transcription-independent epigenetic mechanism is associated with antigenic switching in Trypanosoma brucei. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44(7):3131–46. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1459 PMID: 26673706; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4838347.
- 56. Gan W, Guan Z, Liu J, Gui T, Shen K, Manley JL, et al. R-loop-mediated genomic instability is caused by impairment of replication fork progression. Genes & development. 2011; 25(19):2041–56. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1101/gad.17010011</u> PMID: 21979917; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3197203.
- Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Tora L. Collisions between replication and transcription complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Molecular cell. 2011; 44(6):966–77. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2011.10.013 PMID: 22195969.
- Tuduri S, Crabbe L, Conti C, Tourriere H, Holtgreve-Grez H, Jauch A, et al. Topoisomerase I suppresses genomic instability by preventing interference between replication and transcription. Nature cell biology. 2009; 11(11):1315–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1984 PMID: 19838172; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2912930.
- Gomez-Gonzalez B, Garcia-Rubio M, Bermejo R, Gaillard H, Shirahige K, Marin A, et al. Genomewide function of THO/TREX in active genes prevents R-loop-dependent replication obstacles. The EMBO journal. 2011; 30(15):3106–19. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.206 PMID: 21701562; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3160181.
- Santos-Pereira JM, Herrero AB, Garcia-Rubio ML, Marin A, Moreno S, Aguilera A. The Npl3 hnRNP prevents R-loop-mediated transcription-replication conflicts and genome instability. Genes & development. 2013; 27(22):2445–58. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.229880.113 PMID: 24240235; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3841734.
- Stork CT, Bocek M, Crossley MP, Sollier J, Sanz LA, Chedin F, et al. Co-transcriptional R-loops are the main cause of estrogen-induced DNA damage. eLife. 2016; 5. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.17548 PMID: 27552054; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5030092.
- Huertas P, Aguilera A. Cotranscriptionally formed DNA:RNA hybrids mediate transcription elongation impairment and transcription-associated recombination. Molecular cell. 2003; 12(3):711–21. PMID: 14527416.
- Mischo HE, Gomez-Gonzalez B, Grzechnik P, Rondon AG, Wei W, Steinmetz L, et al. Yeast Sen1 helicase protects the genome from transcription-associated instability. Molecular cell. 2011; 41(1):21– 32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.12.007 PMID: 21211720; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3314950.
- Aguilera A, Gomez-Gonzalez B. DNA-RNA hybrids: the risks of DNA breakage during transcription. Nature structural & molecular biology. 2017; 24(5):439–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3395 PMID: 28471430.
- 65. Roy D, Zhang Z, Lu Z, Hsieh CL, Lieber MR. Competition between the RNA transcript and the nontemplate DNA strand during R-loop formation in vitro: a nick can serve as a strong R-loop initiation site. Molecular and cellular biology. 2010; 30(1):146–59. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00897-09 PMID: 19841062; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2798282.
- Sordet O, Redon CE, Guirouilh-Barbat J, Smith S, Solier S, Douarre C, et al. Ataxia telangiectasia mutated activation by transcription- and topoisomerase I-induced DNA double-strand breaks. EMBO reports. 2009; 10(8):887–93. https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2009.97 PMID: 19557000; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2726680.
- Groh M, Lufino MM, Wade-Martins R, Gromak N. R-loops associated with triplet repeat expansions promote gene silencing in Friedreich ataxia and fragile X syndrome. PLoS genetics. 2014; 10(5): e1004318. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004318 PMID: 24787137; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4006715.
- Britton S, Dernoncourt E, Delteil C, Froment C, Schiltz O, Salles B, et al. DNA damage triggers SAF-A and RNA biogenesis factors exclusion from chromatin coupled to R-loops removal. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42(14):9047–62. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku601 PMID: 25030905; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4132723.
- 69. Li L, Germain DR, Poon HY, Hildebrandt MR, Monckton EA, McDonald D, et al. DEAD Box 1 Facilitates Removal of RNA and Homologous Recombination at DNA Double-Strand Breaks. Molecular and cellular biology. 2016; 36(22):2794–810. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00415-16 PMID: 27550810; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5086521.
- Ohle C, Tesorero R, Schermann G, Dobrev N, Sinning I, Fischer T. Transient RNA-DNA Hybrids Are Required for Efficient Double-Strand Break Repair. Cell. 2016; 167(4):1001–13 e7. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2016.10.001 PMID: 27881299.

- 71. Glover L, Horn D. Trypanosomal histone gammaH2A and the DNA damage response. MolBiochem-Parasitol. 2012; 183(1):78–83.
- 72. Damasceno JD, Obonaga R, Santos EV, Scott A, McCulloch R, Tosi LR. Functional compartmentalization of Rad9 and Hus1 reveals diverse assembly of the 9-1-1 complex components during the DNA damage response in Leishmania. Molecular microbiology. 2016; 101(6):1054–68. https://doi.org/10. 1111/mmi.13441 PMID: 27301589.
- Siegel TN, Hekstra DR, Cross GA. Analysis of the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle by quantitative DAPI imaging. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 2008; 160(2):171–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. molbiopara.2008.04.004 PMID: 18501977; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2495048.
- 74. Sheader K, Vaughan S, Minchin J, Hughes K, Gull K, Rudenko G. Variant surface glycoprotein RNA interference triggers a precytokinesis cell cycle arrest in African trypanosomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102(24):8716–21. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0501886102 PMID: 15937117; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1150830.
- 75. Shah JS, Young JR, Kimmel BE, Iams KP, Williams RO. The 5' flanking sequence of a Trypanosoma brucei variable surface glycoprotein gene. MolBiochemParasitol. 1987; 24(2):163–74.
- Ohshima K, Kang S, Larson JE, Wells RD. TTA.TAA triplet repeats in plasmids form a non-H bonded structure. JBiolChem. 1996; 271(28):16784–91.
- 77. Pan X, Liao Y, Liu Y, Chang P, Liao L, Yang L, et al. Transcription of AAT*ATT triplet repeats in Escherichia coli is silenced by H-NS and IS1E transposition. PLoS One. 2010; 5(12):e14271. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014271 PMID: 21151567
- Aitcheson N, Talbot S, Shapiro J, Hughes K, Adkin C, Butt T, et al. VSG switching in Trypanosoma brucei: antigenic variation analysed using RNAi in the absence of immune selection. MolMicrobiol. 2005; 57(6):1608–22.
- McCulloch R, Barry JD. A role for RAD51 and homologous recombination in Trypanosoma brucei antigenic variation. Genes & development. 1999; 13(21):2875–88. PMID: <u>10557214</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC317127.
- **80.** Dobson R, Stockdale C, Lapsley C, Wilkes J, McCulloch R. Interactions among Trypanosoma brucei RAD51 paralogues in DNA repair and antigenic variation. MolMicrobiol. 2011; 81(2):434–56.
- Hartley CL, McCulloch R. Trypanosoma brucei BRCA2 acts in antigenic variation and has undergone a recent expansion in BRC repeat number that is important during homologous recombination. MolMicrobiol. 2008; 68(5):1237–51.
- Sheader K, te VD, Rudenko G. Bloodstream form-specific up-regulation of silent vsg expression sites and procyclin in Trypanosoma brucei after inhibition of DNA synthesis or DNA damage. JBiolChem. 2004; 279(14):13363–74.
- Ramiro AR, Stavropoulos P, Jankovic M, Nussenzweig MC. Transcription enhances AID-mediated cytidine deamination by exposing single-stranded DNA on the nontemplate strand. Nat Immunol. 2003; 4(5):452–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni920 PMID: 12692548.
- Chaudhuri J, Tian M, Khuong C, Chua K, Pinaud E, Alt FW. Transcription-targeted DNA deamination by the AID antibody diversification enzyme. Nature. 2003; 422(6933):726–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01574 PMID: 12692563</u>.
- Zheng S, Vuong BQ, Vaidyanathan B, Lin JY, Huang FT, Chaudhuri J. Non-coding RNA Generated following Lariat Debranching Mediates Targeting of AID to DNA. Cell. 2015; 161(4):762–73. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.03.020 PMID: 25957684; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4426339.
- Stavnezer J, Radcliffe G, Lin YC, Nietupski J, Berggren L, Sitia R, et al. Immunoglobulin heavy-chain switching may be directed by prior induction of transcripts from constant-region genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1988; 85(20):7704–8. PMID: 2459714; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC282261.
- Zhang ZZ, Pannunzio NR, Han L, Hsieh CL, Yu K, Lieber MR. The strength of an Ig switch region is determined by its ability to drive R loop formation and its number of WGCW sites. Cell reports. 2014; 8 (2):557–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.06.021 PMID: 25017067; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4118936.
- Guo JU, Bartel DP. RNA G-quadruplexes are globally unfolded in eukaryotic cells and depleted in bacteria. Science. 2016; 353(6306). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5371 PMID: 27708011; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5367264.
- Berriman M, Ghedin E, Hertz-Fowler C, Blandin G, Renauld H, Bartholomeu DC, et al. The genome of the African trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei. Science. 2005; 309(5733):416–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1112642</u> PMID: 16020726

- Bhatia V, Barroso SI, Garcia-Rubio ML, Tumini E, Herrera-Moyano E, Aguilera A. BRCA2 prevents Rloop accumulation and associates with TREX-2 mRNA export factor PCID2. Nature. 2014; 511 (7509):362–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13374 PMID: 24896180.
- Bhatia V, Herrera-Moyano E, Aguilera A, Gomez-Gonzalez B. The Role of Replication-Associated Repair Factors on R-Loops. Genes (Basel). 2017; 8(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8070171 PMID: 28653981; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5541304.
- Castellano-Pozo M, Santos-Pereira JM, Rondon AG, Barroso S, Andujar E, Perez-Alegre M, et al. R loops are linked to histone H3 S10 phosphorylation and chromatin condensation. Molecular cell. 2013; 52(4):583–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.10.006 PMID: 24211264.
- Garcia-Pichardo D, Canas JC, Garcia-Rubio ML, Gomez-Gonzalez B, Rondon AG, Aguilera A. Histone Mutants Separate R Loop Formation from Genome Instability Induction. Molecular cell. 2017; 66 (5):597–609 e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.05.014 PMID: 28575656.
- 94. Ribeiro de Almeida C, Dhir S, Dhir A, Moghaddam AE, Sattentau Q, Meinhart A, et al. RNA Helicase DDX1 Converts RNA G-Quadruplex Structures into R-Loops to Promote IgH Class Switch Recombination. Molecular cell. 2018; 70(4):650–62 e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.04.001 PMID: 29731414; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5971202.
- 95. Wiedemann EM, Peycheva M, Pavri R. DNA Replication Origins in Immunoglobulin Switch Regions Regulate Class Switch Recombination in an R-Loop-Dependent Manner. Cell reports. 2016; 17 (11):2927–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.041 PMID: 27974207.
- 96. Herrera-Moyano E, Mergui X, Garcia-Rubio ML, Barroso S, Aguilera A. The yeast and human FACT chromatin-reorganizing complexes solve R-loop-mediated transcription-replication conflicts. Genes & development. 2014; 28(7):735–48. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.234070.113 PMID: 24636987; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4015491.
- Hamperl S, Bocek MJ, Saldivar JC, Swigut T, Cimprich KA. Transcription-Replication Conflict Orientation Modulates R-Loop Levels and Activates Distinct DNA Damage Responses. Cell. 2017; 170 (4):774–86 e19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.043 PMID: <u>28802045</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5570545.
- Wahba L, Gore SK, Koshland D. The homologous recombination machinery modulates the formation of RNA-DNA hybrids and associated chromosome instability. eLife. 2013; 2:e00505. https://doi.org/ 10.7554/eLife.00505 PMID: 23795288; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3679537.
- 99. Chang EY, Novoa CA, Aristizabal MJ, Coulombe Y, Segovia R, Chaturvedi R, et al. RECQ-like helicases Sgs1 and BLM regulate R-loop-associated genome instability. The Journal of cell biology. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201703168 PMID: 29042409.
- Amon JD, Koshland D. RNase H enables efficient repair of R-loop induced DNA damage. eLife. 2016;5. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.20533 PMID: 27938663; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5215079.
- Barry JD, McCulloch R. Antigenic variation in trypanosomes: enhanced phenotypic variation in a eukaryotic parasite. Advances in parasitology. 2001; 49:1–70. PMID: <u>11461029</u>.
- 102. Kim HS, Cross GA. TOPO3alpha influences antigenic variation by monitoring expression-site-associated VSG switching in Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6(7):e1000992. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000992 PMID: 20628569</u>
- 103. McCulloch R, Rudenko G, Borst P. Gene conversions mediating antigenic variation in Trypanosoma brucei can occur in variant surface glycoprotein expression sites lacking 70- base-pair repeat sequences. MolCell Biol. 1997; 17(2):833–43.
- 104. Cahoon LA, Seifert HS. Transcription of a cis-acting, noncoding, small RNA is required for pilin antigenic variation in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. PLoS pathogens. 2013; 9(1):e1003074. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.ppat.1003074 PMID: 23349628; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3547852.
- 105. Cahoon LA, Seifert HS. An alternative DNA structure is necessary for pilin antigenic variation in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Science. 2009; 325(5941):764–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175653 PMID: 19661435
- 106. Wachter J, Masters TL, Wachter S, Mason J, Hill SA. pilS loci in Neisseria gonorrhoeae are transcriptionally active. Microbiology. 2015; 161(Pt 5):1124–35. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000061 PMID: 25701734; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4635466.
- 107. Zhang Q, Siegel TN, Martins RM, Wang F, Cao J, Gao Q, et al. Exonuclease-mediated degradation of nascent RNA silences genes linked to severe malaria. Nature. 2014; 513(7518):431–5. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature13468 PMID: 25043062</u>.
- 108. Amit-Avraham I, Pozner G, Eshar S, Fastman Y, Kolevzon N, Yavin E, et al. Antisense long noncoding RNAs regulate var gene activation in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2015; 112(9):E982–91. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1420855112 PMID: 25691743; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4352787.

- 109. Guizetti J, Barcons-Simon A, Scherf A. Trans-acting GC-rich non-coding RNA at var expression site modulates gene counting in malaria parasite. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44(20):9710–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkw664 PMID: 27466391; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5175341.
- Alsford S, Horn D. Single-locus targeting constructs for reliable regulated RNAi and transgene expression in Trypanosoma brucei. MolBiochemParasitol. 2008; 161(1):76–9.
- 111. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001; 25(4):402–8. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001. 1262 PMID: 11846609
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods. 2012; 9 (4):357–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923 PMID: 22388286; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3322381.
- 113. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25(16):2078–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/</u> btp352 PMID: 19505943
- 114. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29(1):24–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1754</u> PMID: 21221095; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3346182.
- 115. Ramirez F, Dundar F, Diehl S, Gruning BA, Manke T. deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42(Web Server issue):W187–91. https://doi.org/10. 1093/nar/gku365 PMID: 24799436; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4086134.
- 116. Pertea M, Kim D, Pertea GM, Leek JT, Salzberg SL. Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. Nature protocols. 2016; 11(9):1650–67. https://doi. org/10.1038/nprot.2016.095 PMID: 27560171; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5032908.