



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Donor insulin use predicts betacell function after islet transplantation

Citation for published version:

Shapey, IM, Summers, A, Yiannoullou, P, Khambalia, H, Fullwood, C, Hanley, NA, Casey, J, Forbes, S, Rosenthal, M, Johnson, P, Choudhary, P, Bushnell, J, Shaw, JA, Augustine, T, Rutter, MK & Van Dellen, D 2020, 'Donor insulin use predicts betacell function after islet transplantation', *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14088>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/dom.14088](https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14088)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism

Publisher Rights Statement:

this is the accepted authors manuscript

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Donor insulin use predicts beta-cell function after islet transplantation

Authors: Iestyn M Shapey PhD MRCS^{1,2#}, Angela Summers BSc(Hons) PhD^{1,2}, Petros Yiannoullou MBBS MRCS^{1,2}, Hussein Khambalia PhD FRCS^{1,2}, Catherine Fullwood PhD^{1,3}, Neil A Hanley MBChB PhD FRCP¹, John Casey MBChB PhD FRCS FRCP⁴, Shareen Forbes MBChB PhD FRCP^{4,5}, Miranda Rosenthal PhD FRCP⁶, Paul RV Johnson MBChB MD FRCS⁷, Pratik Choudhary MBBS PhD FRCP⁸, James Bushnell MD MRCP⁹, James AM Shaw MBChB PhD FRCP¹⁰, Titus Augustine MS FRCS^{1,2}, Martin K Rutter MD FRCP^{1,11*}, David van Dellen MD FRCS^{1,2*}

*Equal contribution

Institutions

- 1) Faculty of Medicine, Biology and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL UK.
- 2) Department of Renal and Pancreatic Transplantation, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, M13 9WL UK
- 3) Department of Research and Innovation (medical statistics), Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, M13 9WL, UK
- 4) Transplant Unit, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4SA, UK
- 5) Endocrinology Unit, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ UK,
- 6) Diabetes Unit, Royal Free Hospital, London, NW3 2QG, UK
- 7) Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7LE, UK
- 8) Diabetes Research Group, King's College London, London, SE5 9RJ, UK
- 9) Richard Bright Renal Unit, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, BS10 5NB UK
- 10) Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE2 4HH UK
- 11) Manchester Diabetes Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, M13 0JE, UK

Keywords: Organ donor; insulin; pancreas; islet; transplant

Word count: 1942

Article type: Brief report

Funding:

- 1) Medical Research Council
- 2) Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh
- 3) Diabetes UK

Author contribution:

IMS - all aspects of the study, lead author

AS - all aspects of the study, but not data collection

PY – data collection, data interpretation, writing

HK - data collection, data interpretation, writing

CF - data analysis, statistical oversight, writing

NAH - study concept & oversight, writing

JC - chair of pancreas advisory group at NHS Blood and Transplant with oversight over national data collection; writing

SF - Principal Investigator (Edinburgh); writing

MR – Principal Investigator (Royal Free, London), writing

PRVJ – Principal Investigator (Oxford), writing

PC – Principal Investigator (Kings College, London), writing

JB – Principal Investigator (Bristol), writing

JAMS – Chair of the UK Islet Transplant Consortium, Principal Investigator (Newcastle), writing

TA - deputy chair of pancreas advisory group at NHS Blood and Transplant with oversight over national data collection; study concept & oversight; writing

MKR - all aspects of the study, senior author

DvD - all aspects of the study, senior author

Abstract

Insulin is routinely used to manage hyperglycaemia in organ donors and during the peri-transplant period in islet transplant recipients. However, it is unknown whether Donor Insulin Use (DIU) predicts beta-cell dysfunction after islet transplantation. We reviewed data from the United Kingdom (UK) Transplant Registry and the UK Islet Transplant Consortium – all first-time transplants between 2008-2016 were included. Linear regression models determined associations between DIU, median and coefficient of variation (CV) peri-transplant glucose levels and 3-month islet graft function. In 91 islet cell transplant recipients, DIU was associated with lower islet function assessed by BETA-2 scores (β [SE] -3.5 [1.5], $p=0.02$), higher 3-month post-transplant HbA1c levels (5.4 [2.6] mmol/mol, $p=0.04$) and lower fasting c-peptide levels (-107.9 [46.1] pmol/l, $p=0.02$). Glucose at 10,512 time points were recorded during the first 5 days peri-transplant - the median (IQR) daily glucose level was 7.9 (7.0-8.9) mmol/L and glucose CV: 28 (21-35)%. Neither median glucose levels nor glucose CV predicted outcomes post-transplantation. Data on DIU predicts beta-cell dysfunction 3-months after islet transplantation and could help improve donor selection and transplant outcomes.

Introduction

In patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), islet cell transplantation (ICT) provides an opportunity to alleviate life-threatening hypoglycaemia, improve glycaemic control, reduce the progression of diabetes related microvascular complications, and in some cases, achieve insulin independence.^{1,2} However, the routine attainment of insulin independence after islet transplantation from a single donor is unusual thereby prompting efforts to better understand donor selection and to improve post-transplant islet graft function.

Several factors, including higher donor glucose levels, are known to predict poorer outcomes after islet isolation^{3,4}. Hyperglycaemia develops in approximately 50% of organ donors and is managed with insulin on intensive care units (ICUs). However the relationship between donor insulin use (DIU) and outcomes post-transplantation has not been investigated. The co-administration of insulin and heparin during the peri-transplant period has been associated with higher rates of insulin independence post-transplantation⁵. However, there is limited observational data to suggest that tighter glycaemic control is associated with better beta cell function post-transplant.

In this study, we first hypothesized that DIU predicts beta-cell dysfunction after islet transplantation and aimed to assess relationships of DIU with islet graft failure and function at 3-months after transplantation. Second, we hypothesized that tighter peri-transplant glycaemic control would be beneficial, and we aimed to determine the relationship between median levels and the coefficient of variation (CV) of glucose levels during the peri-transplant period with measures of beta-cell function at 3-months after transplantation.

Methods

To assess relationships between DIU and islet transplant outcomes, the entire cohort of national data (2008-2016) from the UK Islet Transplant Consortium (UKITC) was reviewed. Our acceptance criteria for pancreas donors were: age 25-60 years, BMI 25-40 kg/m², and cold ischaemic time <12 hours. We excluded donors with known diabetes, and HbA1c values >48 mmol/mol (6.5%). Only first-time islet transplant recipients were included so that the relationships between DIU and glycaemic control during the engraftment period and outcomes post-transplantation could be accurately described without the potential influence of a prior islet cell transplant.

Peri-donation and peri-transplant glycaemic control

The *UK NHS Blood and Transplant* donor care bundle provides standardised guidance to maintain donor glucose levels between 4-10 mmol/L, and, at blood glucose levels >10mmol/L, to commence a variable rate intravenous insulin infusion at a minimum rate of 1 IU/hour.⁶ DIU was defined as any requirement for exogenous insulin during the peri-donation period. Data on donor insulin dosage is not routinely collected but dosage can be estimated from the duration of insulin treatment. Procurement of organs from donors in the UK follows a standardised operative procedure in keeping with guidance from NHS Blood and Transplant.⁷ Glucose levels during the first 5 days post-transplantation were obtained from capillary blood glucose and continuous glucose monitoring data. The peri-transplant target blood glucose range was 4.0-6.9 mmol/L, and an intensive variable rate intravenous insulin therapy regimen was followed for the first 48 hours, and subcutaneous or pump insulin therapy thereafter.

Islet, isolation, assessment and transplantation

Pancreases were transported at 4°C in University of Wisconsin solution to one of three human islet isolation facilities located in Edinburgh, London or Oxford. Following digestion with Collagenase NB 6 GMP Grade (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) and automated mechanical dissociation in a Ricordi chamber, islets were purified on a continuous density gradient using a COBE 2991 processor. Islets were cultured for a minimum of 24 hours and released for transplantation if the following criteria were

met: islet mass >3000 IEQ/kg; viability >70%; and purity >50%. When the allocated recipient was located at a different unit to the isolation centre, islets were resuspended in 500mL of CMRL medium (PAA Laboratories Ltd, Somerset, UK) supplemented with 2% human serum albumin (ZENLAB20: Bio Products Laboratories, Herts, UK) and 2 mM HEPES (PAA Laboratories Ltd, Somerset, UK) and transported by road in cooled standard blood transfusion bags. Islets were transplanted by radiological guided percutaneous trans-hepatic infusion into the portal vein.

Defining graft function and failure

Graft function was determined by glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting and stimulated glucose and c-peptide levels, and the BETA-2 score assessed at 3-months post-transplantation. The BETA-2 score is a composite measure of graft function including HbA1c, fasting and 90-minute stimulated c-peptide, glucose levels, and insulin requirement.⁸ Graft failure was defined as stimulated C-peptide <50 pmol/L.

Analysis

We assessed the distributions of donor and recipient variables for exposures, covariates and outcomes. Univariable and multivariable-adjusted linear regression models explored relationships between DIU status and graft function. Multivariable-adjusted models included covariates identified through univariable associations with DIU status, and also included covariates widely reported as potential confounders. Linear regression related covariates to median glucose levels and the glucose coefficient of variation (CV). Univariable and multivariable linear regression models related median glucose levels and glucose CV to graft function outcomes outlined above.

Ethical approval

All data were collected prospectively, with ethical approval (UKITC 07/Q0904/11), and with written informed consent from all donor families and transplant recipients.

Results

Of the 91 first-time ICT recipients (female: n=40 [44%]; mean [SD] age 50 [10] years), 52 (57%) had a donor treated with insulin on ICU. The median (IQR) islet yield was 390 (320-495) x 1000 IEQ and median viability was 90 (85-90) %, and purity 75 (70-85) %. All patients received immunosuppression with tacrolimus and mycophenolate, ten of whom also received steroids (in the early years of the programme). Induction therapy with Alemtuzumab (Campath) was given routinely to all patients apart from eight (9%) who received Basiliximab (Simulect), and one (1%) who received rabbit Anti-Thymocyte Globulin. Seven (8%) patients also received anti-TNF therapy (Etanercept).

DIU was not significantly associated with any measured donor characteristics that could confound relationships between DIU and graft outcomes (Table 1). Statistically significant relationships were demonstrated between DIU and higher 3-month post-transplant HbA1c (mean [SD] HbA1c, DIU vs. no-DIU: 50 [2.0] vs. 45 [1.5] mmol/mol, p=0.04), higher fasting and 90-minute stimulated glucose (fasting: 9.7 [0.8] vs 7.8 [0.5] mmol/L, p=0.04 and 90-minute: 15.8 [1.0] vs. 12.9 [0.7] mmol/L, p=0.024); lower fasting c-peptide (191 [20.7] vs 299 [43.9] pmol/L, p=0.02) and a lower BETA2 score (7 [0.9] vs 11 [1.2]), p=0.02). These relationships remained significant after adjusting for age, BMI and total number of islets transplanted (IEQ/kg) (Table 2) Rates of graft failure were higher in transplants from DIU compared with no-DIU although this was not statistically significant (5/45 vs 1/41, p=0.22). In DIU, duration of insulin was not significantly associated with any outcomes.

Complete data on peri-transplant glucose levels were available from 69 islet transplant recipients. We recorded 10,512 glucose levels (68 [53-88] per patient) during the first 5 days post-transplant. The median (IQR) daily glucose level was 7.9 (7.0-8.9) mmol/L and glucose CV was 28 (21-35) %. DIU (β [se] 1.17 [0.67], p=0.09) was identified as a nominal covariate of median daily glucose levels, whilst donor BMI (-0.66 [0.35], p=0.06) was a nominal covariate of glucose CV (Supplementary table 1). Neither median daily glucose nor the CV of glucose levels were significantly related to any measures of graft function at 3 months after islet transplantation (Supplementary table 2).

Conclusions

Main findings

We have shown that DIU is associated with poorer graft function in ICT recipients. DIU was associated with: a) a lower BETA-2 score 3-months post ICT; b) a higher HbA1c 3-months post ICT; c) a higher fasting and 90-minute glucose level 3-months post ICT; and, d) a lower fasting C-peptide 3-months post ICT. These data are consistent with our hypothesis that DIU is a predictor of adverse outcomes in islet transplantation.

Prior studies

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study assessing relationships between DIU and peri-transplant glycaemic control and measures of graft function in islet-cell transplants recipients. Two relevant studies evaluated the relationship between donor hyperglycaemia and the success of human islet isolation and reported conflicting findings.^{3,9} In the smaller study there was no relationship between donor hyperglycaemia or insulin use, and success from islet isolation attributed to a higher islet yield in the successful preparations.⁹ A larger study involving 153 islet isolations, reported an association between lower donor blood glucose levels and successful islet isolation³ and led to the subsequent inclusion of blood glucose levels in a composite scoring system to assess donor pancreases.⁴

Mechanistic insights

We showed that approximately 50% of organ donors require exogenous insulin on ICU because of hyperglycaemia. DIU could simply be a marker of donor insulin resistance which is well-documented after brain death, and could be exacerbated by inflammation, inotrope and corticosteroid use, and low cardiac output leading to muscle and liver hypo-perfusion.¹⁰⁻¹² Theoretically, these scenarios leading to *beta-cell stress* would be reversible at the time of organ retrieval when the pancreas is removed from the stressors causing donor insulin resistance. In our data, similar HbA1c levels in both the DIU and non-DIU groups suggests that DIU does not occur because of pre-existing underlying defect in beta-cell function or mass. It seems plausible that hyperglycaemia leading to DIU

on ICU occurs as a consequence of a combination of *beta-cell stress* (donor insulin resistance) and *beta-cell death*. The alternative scenario that DIU could be explained by *beta-cell death* requires further research.

Insulin therapy to treat hyperglycaemia also promotes beta-cell rest¹³. Therefore, it is possible that DIU may have a beneficial effect, especially in donors experiencing severe beta-cell stress. Donors with untreated hyperglycaemia, may represent the phenotype at highest risk of graft failure.

Clinical implications

Clinicians should be aware that DIU predicts beta-cell dysfunction 3-months after islet transplantation. This could help appropriately discard donor organs at higher risk of failure and expand the donor pool by using organs not exposed to insulin previously considered unsuitable based on donor characteristics alone.

Based on our data, as well as from previous studies, the optimum peri-transplant target glucose range is unclear⁵. In light of our results, we do not support the pursuit of tighter glycaemic control during the peri-transplant period, neither do we advocate widening the current target glucose range and loosening of glycaemic control.

Strengths and limitations

Our study has several strengths. First, using data from the entire UK cohort of islet cell transplantation, we provide the first description of the relationship between DIU and clinical outcomes. Second, we have provided further evidence to support the notion that data on insulin use is a better determinant of outcomes than glucose levels alone.

We acknowledge some limitations: First, we have a modest number of beta-cell function outcomes which leads to wide confidence intervals around risk estimates and a limited ability to adjust for potential confounders. Second, our data does not exclude the possibility that insulin therapy on ICU is harmful to donor pancreases. However, this hypothesis seems unlikely because of the known

beneficial effects of insulin on beta-cells¹³ and because high glucose levels occur on ICU before *and not after* insulin is prescribed. Third, accurate data on donor insulin dosage is not routinely collected. Whilst we could not assess the precise relationship between insulin dosage and outcomes, data on insulin duration provided an estimated relationship with dosage. Finally, follow-up was limited to 3-months because a second islet-infusion from a different donor, routinely occurring at 3-6 months, means that outcomes thereafter cannot be attributed to individual donor factors.

Summary

We provide data supporting the hypothesis that DIU is a predictor of beta-cell dysfunction in islet transplant recipients. Further effort is required to clarify the underlying mechanisms that might lead to better transplant outcomes in islet donors and recipients receiving insulin therapy – these mechanisms can then be harnessed and applied in the clinical setting as part of the broader aim of achieving long-term insulin independence after islet transplantation from a single donor. Identifying a threshold for blood glucose levels or insulin dose requirement associated with a poorer outcome could help to exclude non-suitable donors.

References

1. Shapiro AMJ, Ricordi C, Hering BJ, et al. International Trial of the Edmonton Protocol for Islet Transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355(13):1318-1330. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa061267
2. Lablanche S, Vantyghem M-C, Kessler L, et al. Islet transplantation versus insulin therapy in patients with type 1 diabetes with severe hypoglycaemia or poorly controlled glycaemia after kidney transplantation (TRIMECO): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2018;6(7):527-537. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30078-0
3. Lakey JR, Warnock GL, Rajotte R V, et al. Variables in organ donors that affect the recovery of human islets of Langerhans. *Transplantation.* 1996;61(7):1047-1053.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8623183>. Accessed August 16, 2018.
4. O'Gorman D, Kin T, Murdoch T, et al. The standardization of pancreatic donors for islet isolations. *Transplantation.* 2005;80(6):801-806. doi:10.1097/01.tp.0000172216.47547.d5
5. Koh A, Senior P, Salam A, et al. Insulin-Heparin Infusions Peritransplant Substantially Improve Single-Donor Clinical Islet Transplant Success. *Transplantation.* 2010;89(4):465-471.
doi:10.1097/TP.0b013e3181c478fd
6. Transplant NB and. Donation after Brainstem Death (DBD) Donation after Brainstem Death (DBD) Donor Optimisation Extended Care Bundle.
http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/dbd_care_bundle.pdf. Published 2012. Accessed May 13, 2017.
7. Zalewska K, Ploeg R. National standards for organ retrieval from deceased donors. Version 2.6. *NHS Blood Transpl.* 2013;MPD1043/8:1-50.
<https://nhsbtdbe.blob.core.windows.net/umbraco-assets-corp/12548/mpd1043-nors-standard.pdf>.
8. Forbes S, Oram RA, Smith A, et al. Validation of the BETA-2 Score: An Improved Tool to Estimate Beta Cell Function After Clinical Islet Transplantation Using a Single Fasting Blood

- Sample. *Am J Transplant*. 2016;16(9):2704-2713. doi:10.1111/ajt.13807
9. Hubert T, Strecker G, Gmyr V, et al. Acute insulin response to arginine in deceased donors predicts the outcome of human islet isolation. *Am J Transplant*. 2008;8(4):872-876. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.02131.x
 10. Masson F, Thicoipe M, Gin H, et al. The endocrine pancreas in brain-dead donors. A prospective study in 25 patients. *Transplantation*. 1993;56(2):363-367. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8356592>. Accessed May 13, 2017.
 11. Contreras JL, Eckstein C, Smyth CA, et al. Brain Death Significantly Reduces Isolated Pancreatic Islet Yields and Functionality In Vitro and In Vivo After Transplantation in Rats. *Diabetes*. 2003;52(12):2935-2942. <http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org/content/52/12/2935.full-text.pdf>. Accessed May 13, 2017.
 12. Ebrahimi A, Jung M-H, Dreyfuss JM, et al. Evidence of stress in β cells obtained with laser capture microdissection from pancreases of brain dead donors. *Islets*. 2017;9(2):19-29. doi:10.1080/19382014.2017.1283083
 13. Brown RJ, Rother KI. Effects of beta-cell rest on beta-cell function: A review of clinical and preclinical data. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2008;9(3 PART 2):14-22. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5448.2007.00272.x

Table 1: Donor characteristics associated with donor insulin use on intensive care in Islet Cell Transplantation

Donor variables	Donor Insulin Use in intensive care		p-value
	Yes (n= 52)	No (n = 39)	
Age, years	46 (10)	45 (10)	0.80
BMI, kg/m ²	29 (10)	29.3 (5)	0.48
Sex (female)	28 (54)	26 (67)	0.53
Ethnicity			
White	45 (87)	36 (92)	0.51*
Smoking	22 (43)	15 (40)	0.83
Alcohol	5 (10)	2 (5)	1.00
Hypertension	8 (16)	10 (26)	0.13
Cardiac disease	2 (4)	5 (13)	0.23
Cardiac arrest	12 (24)	10 (26)	1.00
Peri-retrieval hypotension	37 (71)	26 (67)	0.66
Donor Type (DBD)	46 (89)	33 (85)	0.76
Cause of death			
Trauma	4 (8)	5 (13)	0.49
Meningitis	1 (2)	0 (0)	NA
Stroke (thrombo-embolic)	1 (2)	2 (5)	NA
ICH	31 (60)	24 (62)	1.00
HBI	9 (17)	3 (8)	0.22
Brain tumour	2 (4)	1 (3)	NA
Other	4 (8)	4 (10)	NA
Amylase, U/l	56 (34-115)	54 (37-100)	0.89
Steroid use	25 (61.0)	33 (64)	0.83
Islet yield (IEQ/kg)	6193 (2122)	5822 (1695)	0.42
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	37 (5.2)	35 (3.2)	0.45

Data are mean ± SD or n (%) or median (IQR)

BMI, body mass index; DBD, Donation after Brain Death; ICH, Intracranial Haemorrhage; HBI, Hypoxic Brain Injury; NA, not applicable

* White vs non-white ethnicity

Table 2: Univariable and multivariable-adjusted β -coefficients (SE) relating donor insulin use with 3-month HbA1c, 90-minute glucose and 90-minute c-peptide during meal tolerance testing in islet transplant recipients

Measure of graft function	β coefficient (SE)	P value
Unadjusted		
HbA1C (mmol/mol)	5.36 (2.62)	0.04
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	1.96 (0.92)	0.03
90-min glucose (mmol/L)	2.84 (1.23)	0.02
Fasting c-peptide (pmol/L)	-107.90 (46.10)	0.02
90-min c-peptide (pmol/L)	-145.00 (106.80)	0.18
BETA-2 score	-3.53 (1.50)	0.02
Insulin reduction	-0.04 (0.03)	0.21
Adjusted for age, BMI and islet yield *		
HbA1C (mmol/mol)	7.05 (3.08)	0.04
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	2.44 (0.93)	0.02
90-min glucose (mmol/L)	3.85 (1.40)	<0.01
Fasting c-peptide (pmol/L)	-100.24 (50.10)	0.05
90-min c-peptide (pmol/L)	-117.91 (104.46)	0.26
BETA-2 score	-3.87 (1.64)	0.02
Insulin reduction	-5.46 (7.58)	0.47

The BETA-2 score^b is a composite measure of graft function which includes the following data: fasting glucose, fasting c-peptide, HbA1c, and insulin dose

The β coefficients associated with DIU can be interpreted as the average difference in the outcome (e.g. HbA1c level) between donors treated with insulin and donors not treated with insulin. Positive values indicate higher levels in the DIU group compared to the no-DIU group

* Covariates identified in the published literature