HETEROSPECIFIC TRANSCRIPTION AS A CRITERION FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF THE MOLECULAR EVOLUTION IN BACTERIA.

Zainab Abdul-Muhsin AL-Doori

PhD

University of Edinburgh 1980



when TO MY PARENTS when some

As a student from abroad I am particularly aware and appreciative of the enormous kindness, practical help and hospitality given to me by various staff and students during my research at the University of Edinburgh.

In particular, I would like to thank the following: My supervisor, Dr John Scaife, for the opportunity to work in his laboratory, his many stimulating comments on the progress of my research and ultimately for rendering this thesis credible.

My advisor, Dr Noreen Murray, for the invaluable support, friendship and warmth she has afforded me throughout my research.

Professor Paul Broda and his laboratory colleagues, in particular Drs John Cullum, Clive Duggleby, and Richard Villems for the scientific advice and assistance they have unfailingly provided.

Mr Ian Purdom, for his part in the estimation of the G + C ratio of some bacterial species.

Professor Kenneth Murray, for the gift of $\underline{\text{Bam}}$ Hl, and Drs Tom Linn and Andy Newman for the generous gift of λ drpoBC18 DNA.

Miss Pamela Beattie, for her electron microscopic work which constitute plasmids spreading and photography. Ms Jo Rennie, for the high standard of all other photographs in the thesis.

Everyone who provided me with the bacterial strains and bacteriophages of interest to my work; their names are listed in the text.

-

Miss Anna Nowosielska for her skill and speedy typing of this thesis.

Media room staff; in particular, Mrs Margaret Anderson.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to the Government of Iraq, whose financial support has made this thesis possible. In particular, I am indebted to them for the opportunity to attend the International Congress of Genetics in Moscow, 1978. DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all the work in this thesis (except where specifically stated) is my own.

Signed:

Date: 18- 12.1980.

ABSTRACT

In this thesis I present studies on the synthesis and function of E.coli RNA polymerase subunits in different gram-negative bacteria. The primary purpose of this study was to explore the extent to which RNA polymerase function has been conserved in the course of evolution. The plasmid pZD100, constructed in vivo, provides a vehicle for rpoBC transfer from E.coli to many bacteria. This plasmid is the product of the integration of λ drpoBCl8, which carries rpoBC genes, into the plasmid RP4 λ att. The plasmids pZD44 and pZD23 are derived from pZD100 and have deletion removing some of λ drpoBC18 DNA. In addition, pZD44 retains and pZD23 lacks the determinant for ampicillin resistance. The plasmid pZD23 is a better tool for my purpose as it is not subjected to zygotic induction during transfer. In vivo as well as in vitro studies provided structural information about these plasmids and RP4. First, the orientation of transfer of RP4 is in an anticlockwise direction; in otherwords, <u>rpoBC</u> plasmids transfer at O-rpoBC-Ap^r-Tc^r-Km^r.. Second, the repressor gene(s) for the transfer of RP4 is located between the single EcoRI site and Ap^r gene. Third, the incompatibility, inc, gene(s) of RP4 is located between Ap^r and <u>T</u>c^r gene. The Enterobacteriaceae bacteria chosen for this study are: C.freundii, E.aerogenes, E.coli, H.alvei møller, K.pneumoniae, P.morganii, P.vulgaris, S.marcescens and S.typhimurium. The non-Enterobacteriaceae A.vinelandii, P.aeruginosa and R.leguminosarum. bacteria are: Heterospecific gene expression can differentiate between

the genetically related spcies to <u>E.coli</u> and one could conclude that it is possible to use this criterion for the investigation of the genetic relatedness between bacterial species. In addition, promoters are highly conserved parts of the DNA and thus the G + C content of the DNA does not affect heterospecific gene expression.

Chapter 1 - Introduction

1.	The	genetics of RNA polymerase	l
	Α.	Structural genes a. <u>rpoBC</u> b. <u>rpoA</u> c. <u>rpoD</u>	1 1 5 6
	в.	Specialised transducing phage $\lambda d \underline{rpoBC18}$ a. Isolation b. Restriction analysis and cloning	7 7 9
2.	The	subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase	11
	Α.	The β subunit a. Properties b. Assembly pathway c. Role	11 11 12 13
	в.	The β' subunit a. Properties b. Role	14 14 16
	c.	The α subunit a. Properties b. Role	18 18 21
	D.	The σ subunit a. Properties b. Role	22 22 22
3.	Α.	Biosynthesis of RNA polymerase a. Synthesis of β subunit b. Synthesis of β ' subunit c. Synthesis of α subunit d. Synthesis of σ subunit	23 24 25 27 28
	в.	Autogenous regulation of the enzyme RNA polymerase	29
4.	The	process of transcription	33
5.	Rifa	ampicin: The inhibitor of the RNA	36
	poly a. I b. 9 c. 0 d. 9 e. 4 f. 0	ymerase Definition Subunit binding Chemical structure Sensitivity of different bacteria Action Other inhibitors of RNA synthesis	36 36 37 37 38 40
6.	Comp of 1	parative studies between RNA polymerases E.coli and other gram-negative bacteria	41

	P	age
7.	The heterospecific gene expression in prokaryotes	44
8.	A. The plasmid RP4	48
	a. Isolation	48
	b. Compatibility	49
	c. Sensitivity to bacteriophages	49
	d. Size	49
	e. Physical map	49
	f. Host range	51
	g. Transposon insertion	52
	h. Chromosome mobilisation	52
	B. RP4 λatt	53
	a. Construction	54
9.	Thesis plan	54
Chapter 2	- Experimental investigations	57
Part I.	The construction of the plasmids carrying the <u>E.coli</u> rpoB gene	57
	A. The construction of the plasmid pZD100	58
	a. Introduction	58
	b. Results	59
	c. Discussion	61
	B. The derivation of the temperature resistant plasmids	64
	a. Introduction	64
	b. Results	65
	(1) The construction of the single- lysogen parents	65
	(2) The characterisation of the single- lysogen parents	65
	(i) λ immunity test	66
	(ii) The stability of the antibiotic resistances	66
	(iii) Temperature consitivity	66
	(iv) Single lycogony for	67
	$\lambda drpoBC18$	07
	(3) The selection of the temperature	67
	(4) Properties of the temperature resistant mutants	68
	C. Discussion	70
Part II.	The characterisation of the plasmid pZD100	73
	and its derivatives	72
	a. Introduction	72
	(1) <u>In vivo</u> characterisation of pZD100 and its derivatives	73

Page

	(i) Checking the antibiotic	74
	(ii) Sensivity to RP4-specific	74
	phage PRR1	- 4
	(iii) λ immunity test	74
	(iv) Zygotic induction	75
	(V) Transferability	76
	(vi) Does pZD23 harbour <u>rpoC</u> ?	77
	(2) <u>In vitro</u> characterisation of	79
	pZD100 and its derivatives	
	(1) Determintation of the	79
	molecular weights of the	
	plasmids	0.0
	(ii) Restriction analysis of	80
	pZD44 and pZD23	0.1
	A. Hindill restriction of pZD23	81
	B. Restriction analysis of pZD44	82
	1. HindIII restriction	82
	2. BamHl restriction	82
	3. The double digestion	83
	of pZD44 with <u>Hin</u> dIII and <u>Bam</u> Hl	
	·	
	c. Discussion	84
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli</u> $rpoB3$ gen	93 ne
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gen a. Introduction	93 ne 93
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB3</u> gen a. Introduction b. Results	93 ne 93 94
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gen a. Introduction b. Results l. Natural resistance to the antibiotics	93 ne 93 94 95
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests	93 ne 93 94 95 95
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results l. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains	93 ne 93 94 95 95 95
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the	93 93 94 95 95 97 98
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae	93 93 94 95 95 97 98
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gen a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the	93 93 94 95 95 97 98 99
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results l. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae	93 93 94 95 95 97 98 99
Part IIL	The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results l. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is rpoB3 present in the hybrid?	93 93 94 95 95 97 98 99
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the</pre>	93 93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is rpoB3 present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) Serratia marcescens</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (ii) Hafnia alvei møller</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (ii) <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> (iy) Proteus spp.</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102 102
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (ii) <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> (iv) <u>Proteus spp.</u> (b) The rifampicin resistance of the</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102 102 102
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (iii) <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> (iv) <u>Proteus spp.</u> (b) The rifampicin resistance of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids </pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102 102 102
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (iii) <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> (iv) <u>Proteus</u> spp. (b) The rifampicin resistance of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (c) Further investigation on the</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 100 101 102 102 102 103
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) Serratia marcescens (iii) Hafnia alvei møller (iv) Proteus spp. (b) The rifampicin resistance of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (c) Further investigation on the effect of rifampicin on growing</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 100 101 102 102 102
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) Serratia marcescens (iii) Hafnia alvei møller (iv) Proteus spp. (b) The rifampicin resistance of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (c) Further investigation on the effect of rifampicin on growing cultures of P.aeruginosa and </pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102 102 102 103
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> get a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (iii) <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> (iv) <u>Proteus spp.</u> (b) The rifampicin resistance of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (c) Further investigation on the effect of rifampicin on growing cultures of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> and R.leguminosarum</pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102 102 102 103
Part IIL	<pre>The utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 plasmids as vectors for the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gen a. Introduction b. Results 1. Natural resistance to the antibiotics 2. Microbiological tests 3. Construction of hybrids strains (a) Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae (b) Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae 4. Is <u>rpoB3</u> present in the hybrid? (a) The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (i) General view (ii) <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (ii) <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> (iv) <u>Proteus spp.</u> (b) The rifampicin resistance of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids (c) Further investigation on the effect of rifampicin on growing cultures of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> and <u>R.leguminosarum (i) Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u></pre>	93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 100 100 101 102 102 102 103

Page

	 5. Transfer of pZD23 and pZD100 from the g(-ve) hybrids into <u>E.coli</u> (a) Transfer of the plasmids from the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids into E coli 	104 105
	(b) Transfer of the plasmids from the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids	106
	6. The distinction between the rifampicin resistant p2D23 hybrids and the spontaneous resistant ones	108
c.	Discussion	110
	1. Representatives of the Enterobacteriaceae	110
	(i) General view	110
	(ii) Serratia marcescens	111
	(iii) Salmonella typhimurium	118
	(iv) Proteus spp.	120
	2. Representatives of the non-	123
	Enterobacteriaceae	
	(i) Rhizobium leguminosarum	123
	(ii) Pseudomonas aeruginosa	126
	(iii) Azotobacter vinelandii	129
<u>Chapter 3</u> -	Methods and Materials	137
Strain	list	

Phages

Appendix

```
(i) Fig. 1 - RP4 : Physical and genetical map
(ii) Fig. 2 - pZD100: Electron micrograph
(iii) Fig. 3 - pZD23 : Electron micrograph
(iv) Fig. 4 - pZD44 : Electron micrograph
(v) Fig. 5 - E.coli: Linkage map
```

INTRODUCTION

Chapter 1 - Introduction

1. The Genetics of RNA Polymerase

A. <u>Structural genes</u>

<u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase (nucleoside triphosphate: RNA nucleotidyl transferase EC 2.7.7.6) consists of at least four subunits α , β , β' and σ . The structural genes of these subunits have been discovered, mapped and some of them cloned into vectors in order to understand the nature of their role in RNA synthesis.

a. rpoBC

<u>rpoB</u> and <u>rpoC</u> are the structural genes for the $\beta\beta'$ subunits of <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase. They are located at 88.5 minutes on the chromosomal map of <u>E.coli</u> (Bachmann <u>et al</u>, 1976). They are contained in a single operon as they share a common promoter and they are cotranscribed in an <u>rpoB</u> \rightarrow <u>rpoC</u> direction into a polycistronic mRNA (Matzura <u>et al</u>, 1971; Errington <u>et al</u>, 1974).

It was found that various antibiotics such as rifampicin, streptolydigin and streptovaricin inhibit RNA synthesis in <u>E.coli</u> and other bacteria by direct interaction with RNA polymerase (Ezekiel and Hutchins, 1968; Mizuno <u>et al</u>, 1968; Tocchini Valentini <u>et al</u>, 1968; Schleif, 1969; Iwakura <u>et al</u>, 1973). The target of these antibiotics in <u>E.coli</u> was found to be the <u>rpoB</u> gene product (Schleif, 1969; Rabussay and Zillig, 1969; Heil and Zillig, 1970; Iwakura <u>et al</u>, 1973). This was shown to be true for the RNA polymerase of <u>E.coli</u> K12 (used in my work) as Boyd <u>et al</u> (1974) demonstrated that the

rifampicin resistant mutant of <u>E.coli</u> Kl2 has an altered β subunit. The understanding of the genetical structure of the <u>E.coli rpoBC</u> geneswas not possible till the beginning of the 1970s, when the isolation methods for mutations in these genes were available. The rifampicin resistance mutation was located near the <u>argCBH</u> operon because of its cotransduction with the <u>argH</u> gene; this location was confirmed by Errington <u>et al</u> (1974), as they have characterised a deletion fusing the <u>argCBH</u> operon to the middle of the <u>rpoB</u> gene.

Some mutations in the structural genes for the enzyme RNA polymerase will be lethal to the cell; as the cell will not be able to grow if the enzyme is unable to function. It is obvious that the presence of more than one copy of the structural genes of RNA polymerase in a cell will provide an opportunity for the isolation of mutants and for the detailed investigation of these mutations. A merodiploid <u>E.coli</u> cell which has another copy of <u>rpoBC</u> located on an extrachromosomal element, will serve as an excellent source for the isolation of mutants.

Amber mutations in the <u>rpoBC</u> operon have been isolated (Austin <u>et al</u>, 1971); they have been found to affect the synthesis of the β and, in some cases, the β ' subunits. Some of the latter mutations were found to be polar in <u>rpoB</u> and for this reason affect the synthesis of both subunits; amber mutations which are located in the structural gene for the β subunit are recognised by their

failure to complement <u>rpoB</u> mutations (Hayward <u>et al</u>, 1974; Miller <u>et al</u>, 1976; Oeschger, personal communication). The exploitation of the merodiploid system in the isolation of mutations was of great interest. In an <u>E.coli</u> cell that is diploid for the <u>rpoBC</u> genes, if the chromosomal gene is mutated so that it is unable to function under certain conditions, then the product of the extrachromosomal copy of the gene will permit growth of the cell. Austin and Scaife (1970) have isolated a rifampicin resistant merodiploid mutant strain in which the chromosomal <u>rpoB</u> (<u>rif-s</u>) gene is inactivated in the presence of the rifampicin, thus it allows the dominance of the extrachromosomal <u>rif-r</u> allele which is otherwise recessive.

Kirschbaum and Konrad (1973) isolated the rpoB3 or rif^d mutation. They employed a partial diploid E.coli cell which contained two copies of the \underline{rif}^{s} allele; one was chromosomal and the other was episomal. A rifampicin resistant mutant was selected from this parent at a frequency of 10^{-9} -10⁻¹⁰ and in which the rif^d allele was dominant over the rif^S. This dominance is the distinguishing character that separates it from the other rifampicin resistant mutants. Rif^d is 52% cotransducible with argH2 and 40% cotransducible with purD. These frequencies are characteristic of rpoB mutations suggesting that rif^d mutation is in or near the structural gene for RNA polymerase when extracted from rif^d the β subunit. mutant showed resistance to rifampicin (Kirschbaum, 1973). The rif^d mutation has been of great value to my field

з.

of interest as it simplifies the detection of the incorporation of <u>E.coli rpoBC</u> into a vector and the investigation of the expression of these genes in an alien cell.

The other class of mutations are the conditional lethal temperature sensitive mutations in the <u>rpoB</u> and the <u>rpoC</u> genes. They have been isolated in many laboratories (Panny <u>et al</u>, 1974; Oeschger and Berlyn, 1975; Claeys <u>et al</u>, 1976; Miller <u>et al</u>, 1976). They have been found to exhibit abnormal patterns of β and β ' subunit synthesis and they influence the rate of subunit synthesis. Since they are the only type of mutations located in <u>rpoC</u> gene, they have contributed to the understanding of the role of β ' subunit in RNA synthesis as well as the regulation of RNA polymerase synthesis in the cell (Gross <u>et al</u>, 1976; Sugiura <u>et al</u>, 1977; Kirschbaum, 1978).

The recent genetic studies have proved that the <u>rpoBC</u> genes are cotranscribed with the neighbouring ribosomal protein gene(s) (Linn and Scaife, 1978; Yamamoto and Nomura, 1978; Newman <u>et al</u>, 1979; Fiil <u>et al</u>, 1979). The newly developed <u>in vitro</u> genetical techniques permitted the cloning of the <u>rpoBC</u> genes into bacteriophage λ , the plasmid ColEl and its derivative pBR322 (Collins <u>et al</u>, 1976; Fiil <u>et al</u>, 1979). Furthermore, deletions, insertions and restriction analysis have confirmed that <u>rplL</u> is cotranscribed with <u>rpoB</u> and in addition the localisation of RNA polymerase binding site within the

<u>rpoB</u> gene whose function is not clear (Collins, 1979; Taylor and Burgess, 1979).

I am interested in extending the genetic information of <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase by introducing the structural genes for the β and β' subunits into other bacterial species. It would be of great interest to investigate the universality of <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase synthesis and function in different bacteria.

b. rpoA

In addition to β and β ' subunits there is a third constituent of the core polymerase, α subunit. It is the product of the <u>rpoA</u> gene which is located at 72 minutes on the linkage map of <u>E.coli</u> (Jaskunas <u>et al</u>. 1975; Bachmann <u>et al</u>, 1976). The <u>rpoA</u> gene is located near a large group of ribosomal protein genes with which it shares a common promoter (Jaskunas et al, 1976).

<u>rpoAl09</u> mutation in <u>rpoA</u> gene, has been isolated (Sunshine and Sauer, 1975). It produces an altered α subunit in which histidine is substituted for a leucine residue (Fujiki et al, 1976).

The biosynthesis of α subunits have been investigated through the utilisation of <u>rpoA</u> mutants (Matzura and Runzi, 1978).

There is no essential difference in the polypeptide action of the free α subunit and the assembled one in the intact RNA polymerase (Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1976a). However, the α subunit is modified in <u>E.coli</u> after T4 infection, and this modification prevents the functioning of the enzyme

(Mailhammer <u>et al</u>, 1975). They concluded that α subunit is involved in the recognition of the promoter sequences. c. <u>rpoD</u>

The α , β and β' subunits comprise the RNA polymerase core enzyme. Association of core with the sigma subunit generates holoenzyme. Recently, the structural gene for the sigma subunit, <u>rpoD</u>, has been mapped (Harris <u>et al</u>, 1977; Nakamura <u>et al</u>, 1977). The independent work of both laboratories has indicated that <u>rpoD</u> gene is mapped around 66 minutes on the current genetic map of <u>E.coli</u> (Bachmann <u>et al</u>, 1976). It is closer to the <u>dnaG</u> gene than to the <u>tolC</u> gene and is more than 90% cotransducible with it. Merodiploid <u>E.coli</u> cells for the <u>dnaG</u> region have higher levels of sigma subunits compared to the haploid. This rise in the rate of sigma subunit was due to gene dosage effects (Nakamura <u>et al</u>, 1977).

The other support for the localisation of <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoD</u> gene in these studies has come from the analysis of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u> merodiploids for the same region of the <u>E.coli</u> chromosome. The sigma subunit of <u>Salmonella</u> <u>typhimurium</u> is distinguishable from <u>E.coli</u> in its mobility in sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Harris <u>et,al</u>, 1977). The <u>rpoD</u> gene is more precisely mapped recently in the region between <u>uxaAC</u> and <u>dnaG</u> genes (Nakamura, 1978). By applying the localised mutagenesis technique Nakamura (1978) isolated mutation <u>U3O3</u> in the <u>rpoD</u> gene; it produces a temperature sensitive RNA polymerase which is altered in optimal salt concentration.

In fact, all the isolated <u>rpoD</u> mutants are temperature sensitive <u>in vivo</u> and/or <u>in vitro</u>; these are <u>rpoD1</u>, <u>U303</u> and <u>alt-1</u> (<u>rpoD2</u>) mutations (Gross <u>et al</u>, 1978; Nakamura, 1978; Travers <u>et al</u>, 1978). Burgess <u>et al</u> (1979) have provided chemical evidence that the sigma subunits of the <u>rpoD1</u> and the <u>rpoD2</u> are altered. These mutations have provided the first genetic evidence emphasising the importance of sigma subunit in the process of transcription.

What do we know about the role of the sigma subunit in transcription? Much biochemical evidence suggests that sigma subunit of RNA polymerase plays a major role in the initiation of transcription (Bautz <u>et al</u>, 1969; Mueller, 1971; Hinkle and Chamberlin, 1972; Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974; Chamberlin, 1976). This is confirmed by the genetical studies (Harris <u>et al</u>, 1977; Travers <u>et al</u>, 1978). The importance of sigma in promoter selection is particularly clear from studies on sigma mutant which expresses catabolite sensitive operons in the absence of cAMP-crp system (Travers et al, 1978).

B. Specialised transducing phage λdrpoBC18

a. Isolation

Specialised transducing bacteriophage for the structural genes of <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase subunits have been isolated (Kirschbaum and Konrad, 1973; Konrad <u>et al</u>, 1973; Jaskunas et al, 1975; Bass et al, 1978).

One of these phages, namely $\lambda drppBC18$ (Kirschbaum and Konrad, 1973) serves as a very widely used source for

the <u>rpoBC</u> genes and is of major importance for the purpose of cloning of these specific genes into plasmids. The bacteriophage $\lambda drpoBC$ 18 is a rifampicin resistant temperature inducible, defective phage that carries the <u>rpoBC</u> genes. It was isolated by the induction of a λ lysogen in which λ has integrated near the <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif</u>^d) gene at the <u>bfe</u> site. This insertion of λ was selected in a bacterial mutant whose normal λ attachment site has been deleted (Shimada <u>et al</u>, 1972). The temperature inducibility of $\lambda drpoBC$ 18 is conferred by the mutation c1857 in the repressor gene (Sussman and Jacob, 1962).

The DNA of the λ drpoBC18 was isolated and its molecular weight was first estimated by electron microscopy to be 33.5 x 10⁶ daltons (Corrigenda of Kirschbaum et al estimation, 1976; Meyers, R., 1979 personal communication). Meyers (1979) has estimated a new size for the plasmid pSC101 (unpublished results) which was used by Kirschbaum et al (1976) as standard for the measurement of the size of the $\lambda drober DNA$. A more recent estimate, taking restriction analysis into account, is about 34 x 10^6 daltons (Palmer et al, 1979; Tayler and Burgess, 1979). Kirschbaum and Konrad (1973) presented no evidence concerning the existence of the rpoC gene on the bacterial segment that is carried by the phage. This evidence, that $\lambda drpoBC18$ carries the rpoC gene in addition to the rpoB gene has come from the complementation test between this phage and a polar amber mutation, rpoB38, in the E.coli chromosome which affects the synthesis of β and β ' subunits

(Kirschbaum and Scaife, 1974). An <u>rpoB</u>38 mutant cell was able to grow in the absence of an amber suppressor only when it was lysogenised with the bacteriophage $\lambda drpoBC18$ or when another copy of the <u>rpoBC</u> genes is present. When this mutant was made lysogenic for $\lambda drpoBC18$, the resulting strain was viable without an amber suppressor, showing that not only the phage carron <u>rpoB</u> and <u>rpoC</u>, but also the promoter (Kirschbaum and Scaife, 1974).

b. Restriction analysis and cloning

In addition to the genes for the specific subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase, it has been shown that the λ drpoBCl8 phage carries a ribosomal RNA gene (rrnB), a set of genes for several tRNAs, an elongation factor EF-Tu (tufB) and the following set of ribosomal protein genes rplK (L11), rplA (L1), rplJ (L10) and rplL (L7/12) (Lindahl et al, 1975; Lindahl et al, 1977). Theorder of these genes on the bacterial segment of the $\lambda drpoBC18$ genome was concluded from the restriction analysis. The physical map of the λ drpoBCl8 genome was constructed by digestion with restriction endonuclease enzymes EcoRI, HindIII, HaeIII, and HpaII and by heteroduplex mapping (Kirschbaum et al, 1976; Taylor and Burgess, 1979). This map was extended by further analysis with the SmaI (Lindahl et al, 1977), BamHI, SalI, HpaI (Boros and Sain, 1977) and KpnI, PstI, BglII (Fiil et al, 1979).

The construction of the physical map for the $\lambda drpoBC18$ genome was advantageous for many reasons:

First, it has allowed the in vitro cloning of the various

bacterial genesand promoters, that are present on the phage genome, into various plasmids (Collins et al, 1976; Glaser et al, 1977; Kiss et al, 1978). Second, it has facilitated the localisation of the promoter for the rpoBC genes and their cotranscription with the neighbouring ribosomal protein genes (Linn and Scaife, 1978; Yamamoto and Nomura, 1978; Fiil et al, 1979; Goldberg et al, 1979; Newman et al, 1979; Taylor and Burgess, 1979). All these studies show that the rpoBC loci and rplL gene are located in a single operon, cotranscribed from a common promoter. Most probably the rplJ gene is in the same operon (Linn and Scaife, 1978; Yamamoto and Nomura, 1978; Taylor and Burgess, 1979). However, the possibility that it is in a separate operon cannot yet be excluded (Fiil et al, 1978). Newman et al (1979) postulated the presence of a regulatory site, rpoU, between the rpoB and the rplL genes.

Furthermore, the restriction of $\lambda drpoBC18$, DNA with the restriction endonuclease enzymes such as <u>Hin</u>dIII, has allowed the localisation of the bacterial genes carried by the phage to specific restriction fragment. Schweitzer and Matzura (1977) isolated the <u>Hin</u>dIII fragment that contained the <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif</u>^d) gene and used it for the transformation of a rifampicin sensitive <u>E.coli</u> cell. The construction of the specialised transducing phage $\lambda drpoBC18$ has greatly enhanced the genetic analysis of the transcriptional units that are carried on the bacterial segment of the phage chromosome. Bass <u>et al</u> (1979) have

constructed <u>in vitro</u> the plasmid pOD162 which carries a fragment of the <u>rpoB</u> gene and no other chromosomal DNA regions. It is a ColEl derivative plasmid which is prepared from the DNA of the bacteriophage $\lambda drif^{d}47$ (Mindlin et al, 1976).

2. The Subunits of the Enzyme RNA Polymerase

I would now like to consider the known physical properties of the RNA polymerase subunits and their contribution to enzyme function.

A. The β subunit

a. Properties

This subunit of the E.coli RNA polymerase is 145,000-155,000 daltons polypeptide chain (Burgess, 1969b; Zillig et al, 1970a; Berg et al, 1971). It is one of the largest polypeptides in the cell and this facilitates its identification and its isolation. The β subunit contains about 1,400 amino acid residues (Iwakura et al, 1973). It is the target for the antibiotics rifampicin, streptolydigin and streptovaricin (Rabussay and Zillig, 1969; Heil and Zillig, 1970; Boyd et al, 1974). The β subunit contains the binding sites for these antibiotics which are inhibitors for \mathcal{P}_{acc} RNA synthesis; the binding sites for *c*rifampicin and the streptolydigin on the β subunit are close to each other and overlap (Iwakura et al, 1973).

However, the evidence that the β subunit is the target for these antibiotics was supported by the observation of

the alteration in the mobility of the β subunit from a rifampicin resistant mutant in comparison with the β subunit of the wild type <u>E.coli</u> cell (Heil and Zillig, 1970). This alteration is due to the exchange of the amino acid in the β polypeptide and not due to its size as seen from the comparison of the cyanogen bromide 2-dimensional electrophoresis (Boyd <u>et al</u>, 1974).

b. Assembly pathway

The β subunit is one part of the enzyme which unites with the other subunits (α and β ') to form the core enzyme or the holoenzyme after the assembly of the σ It is present in equimolar amounts with the subunit. β' subunit in E.coli (Matzura et al, 1973). In vitro analysis of the course of assembly of the separated subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase has revealed that the first step is the $\alpha_2\beta$ complex formation which is followed by the assembly of the $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ' subunit with the previous complex to form the core enzyme (Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974; Palm et al, 1975). Palm et al (1975) have shown that the first complex, $\alpha_2\beta$, formation is a rapid reaction that takes less than 2 minutes even a 0°C; in addition, this complex is unstable and degrades rapidly unlike the core enzyme which becomes stable after the addition of the β ' subunit. This pathway of the subunit assembly in vitro proves to be identical to the order of the steps of their assembly in vivo (Saitoh and Ishihama, 1979; Ishihama et al, 1979).

c. Role

The β subunit as one of the constituents of the enzyme RNA polymerase has a vital role in the functioning of the enzyme. It is a multifunctional subunit of RNA polymerase and its role in the various steps of transcription is shown below.

1. DNA binding

Okada <u>et al</u> (1978) observed that the β subunit has a great affinity for binding to normal <u>E.coli</u> DNA and to DNA substituted with 5 bromodeoxyuridine and poly

d(Br U-A) and it provides the contact between the enzyme RNA polymerase and the DNA. This observation has resulted from the close examination of the binding affinity of the separated subunits to the DNA which was tested by the cross linking of these subunits.

2. Selectivity of transcription

It has been concluded that the β subunit is involved in the recognition of the promoter or the terminator sites or both at least when σ is present as it is <u>in vivo</u> (Tessman and Peterson, 1976); the alterations in the gel patterns from phage S13 infected rifampicin resistant cell extracts reveals the specific role of the β subunit in the selectivity of transcription <u>in vivo</u> (Tessman and Peterson, 1976).

Lecocq and Dambly (1976) have provided another evidence for the role of β subunit in the selectivity of transcription. They observed an alteration in the lysogenisation of a rifampicin resistant mutant, <u>rif</u>501, with the bacteriophage λ .

3. Initiation

 β subunit interacts with the antibiotic rifampicin suggesting that it is involved in the initiation step of the RNA synthesis which is inhibited by the specific antibiotic (Heil and Zillig, 1970).

4. Elongation

The β subunit directly interacts with the antibiotic streptolydigin which is an inhibitor for the elongation step of the RNA synthesis; this has led Iwakura <u>et al</u> (1973) to the conclusion that the β subunit is responsible for catalysing RNA chain elongation.

Besides its role in the process of transcription, the β subunit contains the binding site for the other subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase such as α and σ subunits (Lill and Hartmann, 1975; Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1976; Okada <u>et al</u>, 1978).

Much of the data that I will present in the next section relates to the β subunit; it will show that the β subunit is an essential part of the enzyme RNA polymerase and the transcription of its structural gene of <u>E.coli</u> was investigated in different bacterial species.

B. The β ' subunit

a. **Properties**

This subunit of the core enzyme and the holoenzyme RNA polymerase is a 150,000-165,000 molecular weight polypeptide (Burgess, 1969a; Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1970a; Berg <u>et al</u>, 1971). It is the largest subunit of the enzyme RNA polymerase. It is the most basic subunit and

this character has a direct effect on its function (Fujiki and Zurek, 1975; Zillig et al, 1976). Crosslinking studies have led to the conclusion that the β' subunit in conjunction with the β subunit, constitutes the backbone of the core enzyme and the holoenzyme (Hillel and Wu, 1977; Okada et al, 1978). The β ' subunit contains 1.4 ± 0.5 g atoms/mol of tightly bound Zinc ion (Wu et al, 1977). The quantitative determination of the amino acid composition and the amino acid sequences of the N-terminal of the β ' subunit as well as the other subunits were reported by Fujiki and Zurek (1975); they found that the g' subunit contains the highest molar percentage of lysine, histidine and arginine in comparison with the other subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase. Although the β ' subunit is similar in size to β it does not have the same primary sequence (Schachner and Zillig, 1971; Lowe and Malcolm, 1976).

Mutations in the <u>rpoC</u> gene, which produce altered subunits have been isolated in many laboratories (Ilyina <u>et al</u>, 1971; Panny <u>et al</u>, 1974; Dmitriev <u>et al</u>, 1976; Gross <u>et al</u>, 1976; Khesin <u>et al</u>, 1976; Bautz <u>et al</u>, 1977; Sugiura <u>et al</u>, 1977; Gragerov <u>et al</u>, 1978; Kirschbaum, 1978). Most of these mutants were temperature sensitive affecting the activity of the such allow 42° C retaining its activity at 30° C. One of these altered b' subunits which is the product of the rpoC92 mutation

(Sugiura <u>et al</u>, 1977) showed a reversible temperature sensitivity which was not observed in the other cases; it restored the activity of the enzyme when the temperature is reshifted from 42° C to 30° C. The other property of the altered temperature sensitive β' subunit is that when (c) constitute^S an enzyme it becomes salt sensitive <u>in vitro</u> (Gross <u>et al</u>, 1976; Khesin <u>et al</u>, 1976; Bautz et al, 1977).

b. Role

There are many studies in this field which reveal that like β , the β ' subunit is also multifunctional. The majority of these studies is concerned with altered β ' subunit as described below. The mutants with an altered β ' subunit have contributed to our understanding of its function and particularly its role in the process of transcription.

1. DNA binding

As mentioned earlier (Fujiki and Zurek, 1975; Zillig et al, 1976) the β ' subunit is the most basic subunit of the enzyme RNA polymerase. The basic proteins may be expected to bind to polyanions like DNA (Zillig et al, 1976). This explains the ability of the β ' subunit to bind to the polyanion heparin (Zillig et al, 1970b). Moreover, Fukuda and Ishihama (1974) have exploited the specific character of β ' binding to polyanions, including $\widehat{}$ phosphocellulose to dissociate the β ' subunit from the premature core polymerase and release it into a polyanion bound form. They have also observed that the β ' subunit

binds to the DNA and their quantitative analysis revealed the presence of more than one thousand β ' binding sites on T7 DNA.

Moreover, the presence of the sigma subunit lowers the affinity of the β ' subunit to DNA binding probably due to the competition between both, the sigma subunit and the DNA for binding to the β ' subunit (Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974).

Finally, it has been shown that the mutation <u>rpoCl</u> decreases the binding ability between the enzyme RNA polymerase and the DNA by lowering the affinity of the altered β ' subunit for binding to DNA (Bass <u>et al</u>, 1978; Gragerov et al, 1978).

2. Selectivity of transcription

It is concluded from reconstitution studies with mutant <u>XH56</u> or <u>rpoC</u>56 that the β ' subunit plays an important role in the selection of \bigcirc promoters (Gross <u>et al</u>, 1976). Sugiura and Yoshinaga (1978) have also one to a similar conclusion of Gross <u>et al</u> (1976) that the β ' subunit plays an important role for the selective recognition of promoters. This conclusion had come from the comparison of the ratios of the enzymatic activity at 43^oC of the RNA polymerase from the mutant <u>E.coli</u> that has the <u>rpoC</u>92 mutation by using different DNA templates.

Recently, it was reported that an altered β ' subunit was found to be defective in the termination of transcription at the λt_1 site in the absence of N protein,

suggesting that the β ' subunit plays a role in the termination step of the process of transcription (Yura and Ishihama, 1979).

It has been concluded from the study of the T4 early transcription of the T4-infected <u>rpoCl E.coli</u> mutant that the normal function of the β ' subunit is required for T4 early transcription (Ito and Sekiguchi, 1978). They have observed the inhibition of the synthesis of various T4 early proteins in this mutant.

3. Elongation

Studies on the RNA polymerase in the mutant $\underline{rpoC56}$ (Little and Dennis, 1979) have shown that the enzyme is defective in RNA chain elongation. Studies with another \underline{rpoC} mutant, with the cold sensitive \underline{csl} mutation, has led to the same conclusion (Gragerov <u>et al</u>, 1978).

4. Assembly pathway

Taketo and Ishihama (1976) have found that the two types of the temperature sensitive mutants with altered β' subunits have produced two kinds of assembly defective mutants; the first one, which carries the mutation <u>rpoC4</u>, accumulates the intermediate subassembly $\alpha_2\beta$ suggesting that the altered β' is defective in binding to the subassembly $\alpha_2\beta$. The second mutant, which carries the <u>rpoC1</u> mutation accumulates the premature core, suggesting that it is defective in the activation of the premature core.

C. The α subunit

a. <u>Properties</u>

This constituent of the enzyme RNA polymerase is a

small polypeptide present in the ratio $\alpha:\beta:\beta' =$ 2.4-6:1:1 (see Kawakami <u>et al</u>, 1979). It has a molecular weight of 39,000-41,000 (Burgess, 1969b; Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1970a; Berg <u>et al</u>, 1971). There is only one type of the α subunit in <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase (Fujiki <u>et al</u>, 1976; Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1976**a**; Ovchinnikov <u>et al</u>, 1977; Yura and Ishihama, 1979) and the free α subunit is identical to the assembled one in the RNA polymerase as revealed by the analysis of the tryptic peptides from the α subunit (Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1976a). The purified subunit is present mostly in the dimeric state (Ito <u>et al</u>, 1975; Hillel and Wu, 1977).

The primary structure of the α polypeptide was investigated by different methods and the amino acid sequences was determined (Ovchinnikov <u>et al</u>, 1977; Modyanov <u>et al</u>, 1978). It was found that the α subunit consists of 329 amino acid residues (Ovchinnikov <u>et al</u>, 1977; Modyanov <u>et al</u>, 1978).

The isolated α subunit is very stable <u>in vitro</u> and it is resistant to the attack of \bigcirc proteinases (Palm <u>et al</u>, 1975; Lowe and Malcolm, 1976); it is stable <u>in vivo</u> as well (Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1976a).

There is some evidence that the two α subunits are close to each other in the core and the holoenzyme RNA polymerase. Firstly, oxidation of the core and the holoenzyme at pH 9 causes linkage of the two polypeptides (Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1976); secondly, treatment of the holoenzyme and the core enzyme with bifunctional reagents

such as methyl 4-mercaptobutyrimidate (cleavable) and non-cleavable dimethyl suberimidate and N,N'-(1,4 phenylene) bismaleimide causes cross-linking of the isolated subunits (Hillel and Wu, 1977).

In vitro studies and <u>in vivo</u> analysis of the assembly pathway of the subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase lead to the conclusion that $\alpha_2\beta$ complex is formed (Ito <u>et al</u>, 1975; Palm <u>et al</u>, 1975; Ishihama <u>et al</u>, 1976) which has a sedimentation coefficient of about 9S; it is rapidly formed and rapidly dissociated unless it is stabilised by the addition of the β ' subunit (Palm <u>et al</u>, 1975). It has been found that the complex $\alpha_2\beta$ protects the β subunit from digestion by proteolytic enzymes <u>in vitro</u> (Lowe and Malcolm, 1976). The α subunit does not bind to DNA (Okada <u>et al</u>, 1978) but the $\alpha_2\beta$ complex can. There are 50-100 $\alpha_2\beta$ binding sites on T7 DNA (Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974). The $\alpha_2\beta$ complex binds to the antibiotic rifampicin (Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1976).

<u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase is modified after T4 infection (Goff, 1975; Mailhammer <u>et al</u>, 1975; Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1975). Modification of the RNA polymerase is mainly due to the change in the α subunit which is achieved in two steps, "alteration" in which ADP is introduced into one of the two α subunits and "modification" which is the result of the ADP-ribosylation of the α subunit (Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1975). These changes result in the increase of the negative charge on the α polypeptide and thus alters its mobility on the SDS polyacrylamide gel (Goff, 1975). Ito and Sekiguchi (1978) have reported recently that it is T4 alt or mod gene product which is responsible for the phosphorylation of the α subunit immediately after infection.

Another altered α subunit is the product of the <u>rpoA</u>109 mutation and it is found to be defective in supporting the growth of the bacteriophage P2 (Sunshine and Sauer, 1975) suggesting that α is perhaps important in the selectivity of transcription.

b. <u>Role</u>

The clear function of the α subunit has not yet been It could play an indirect role in the understood. catalysis of COPRNA synthesis such as keeping the linkage between the β and the β' subunits (Yura and Ishihama, 1979). I have reviewed, in the previous section, evidence showing that α subunit has a binding site for another α subunit $(2\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{2})$ and another binding site for the β subunit $(\alpha_2 + \beta + \alpha_2\beta)$ (Ito <u>et al</u>, 1975; Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1975). On the other hand evidence does exist suggesting that the α subunit plays a role in the interaction of the enzyme RNA polymerase with promoters (Mailhammer et al, 1975; Zillig et al, 1976; Yura and Ishihama, 1979). Mailhammer et al (1975) observed that changes in the α subunit clearly result in Changes in the ability of the enzyme RNA polymerase to recognise the different classes of promoters as shown by the comparison between the normal RNA polymerase and the T4-modified one for their ability to express different genes such as the <u>lac</u> operon, the <u>trp</u> operon, and the tRNA genes.

D. The σ subunit

a. Properties

The σ subunit binds to core enzyme ($\alpha_2 \beta\beta'$) to form the holoenzyme ($\alpha_2 \beta\beta'\sigma$) (Burgess <u>et al</u>, 1969; Chamberlin, 1974). It has a molecular weight of 82,000-95,000 (Burgess, 1969b; Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1970a; Berg <u>et al</u>, 1971; Lowe <u>et al</u>, 1979). This subunit is the most acidic one among the other other subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase (Fujiki and Zurek, 1975) and because of its acidity it is proved to be more sensitive to the chymotrypsin than to the trypsin (Lill and Hartmann, 1975). The amino acid composition and the N-terminal sequences were determined (Fujiki and Zurek, 1975; Lowe <u>et al</u>, 1979). Its amino acid contents is characterised by the high level of GLX and ASX and by low level of cysteine/cystine residues (Low <u>et al</u>, 1979).

The sigma subunit is released from the holoenzyme after the initiation of the RNA chain (Travers and Burgess, 1969; Ruet <u>et al</u>, 1970; Hinkle and Chamberlin, 1972; Campbell and Lowe, 1977).

Altered sigma subunits were observed <u>in vitro</u> and <u>in vivo</u> (Gross <u>et al</u>, 1978; Harris <u>et al</u>, 1978; Travers <u>et al</u>, 1978). Most studies lead to the conclusion that the σ subunit has no DNA binding ability (Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974; Yura and Ishihama, 1979), although a low affinity has been reported by Okada <u>et al</u> (1978).

b. <u>Role</u>

It has been shown that the σ subunit plays an important

role in the promoter selection of the holoenzyme RNA polymerase (Bautz et al, 1972; Chamberlin, 1976; Harris et al, 1977; Travers et al, 1978; Yura and Ishihama, 1979). The σ subunit interacts with α_2 β , β , and β ' components of the enzyme and lowers the β ' affinity for binding to DNA (Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974; Okada et al, 1978). The σ factor is close to β and β ' polypeptides in holoenzyme since it protects them against \bigcirc tryptic cleavage (Lill and Hartmann, 1975).

The σ subunit enhances the reconstitution of the holoenzyme <u>in vitro</u> (Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1976; Fukuda and Ishihama, 1974). The binding of the σ subunit to the core enzyme was assumed to cause conformational changes in the enzyme which alters its binding affinity to the DNA nucleotide sequences (Mueller, 1971; Yura and Ishihama, 1979). In his study, Mueller (1971) has determined the amounts of RNA polymerase which is attached to T4 DNA in the presence or absence of sigma factor and found that the presence of σ restricts the attachment of the enzyme to only a small number of specific template sites.

Finally, Fukuda <u>et al</u> (1974) have observed a polypeptide of 56,000 molecular weight, which has a similar function to the σ subunit and it was designated as σ ' subunit.

3.A. Biosynthesis of RNA polymerase

So far, we have had an idea about the structural

properties and function of each subunit of the enzyme RNA polymerase. In this part of the text, I will deal with the synthesis of the enzyme RNA polymerase through the description of the synthesis of its individual subunits. Later, the description of the regulation of RNA polymerase synthesis will follow.

a. Synthesis of β subunit

It is proved that the rate of synthesis of the β subunit is proportional to the number of the rpoB genes present in the cell (Hayward et al, 1974). They have observed a 40% increase in the rate of synthesis of the β and the β ' subunits compared to the haploid parent. Bass et al (1978) observed a doubling in the rate of synthesis of the β subunit in merodiploid cells for the rpoB gene; however, no gene dosage effect was observed when <u>rpoCl</u> was doubled in the cell (Bass <u>et al</u>, 1977) even though the <u>rpoCl</u> mutant overproduces the β and the β ' subunits at low and high temperatures of 30°C and 42°C (Taketo et al, 1978). The extra subunits produced in the meroliploids degrade rapidly due to the attack of the proteolytic enzymes (Hayward et al, 1974). Recently, Fukuda et al (1978) have demonstrated that the in vitro synthesis of the β subunit is repressed by at least two oligomers, the holoenzyme and the $\alpha_{2}\beta$ complex. The synthesis of the β subunit is coordinated with the synthesis of the β ' subunit (Matzura et al, 1971; Errington et al, 1974) as well as the α subunit (Matzura, 1979), though it is not coordinated with the synthesis of
the α subunit (Kawakami <u>et al</u>, 1979) under certain circumstances.

b. Synthesis of β ' subunit

Ishihama et al (1976) observed an increase in the rate of synthesis of the α , β and the β ' subunits upon temperature shift up to 42°C in the mutant E.coli cells which had the <u>rpoC4</u> mutation. However, the increase in the rate of synthesis of the α subunit stopped at a time where the increase of the rate of the β and the β' subunits was continuing; this overproduction of the β and the β ' subunits was due to an increased rate of specific mRNA synthesis as most of the mRNA produced in this mutant corresponds to the SB' mRNA (Miller et al, This is similar to the 5-6 fold increase in the 1976). rate of β and β ' subunits which was observed in the rpoC56 temperature sensitive mutant at 39°C (Dennis, 1977). These results are consistent with the observation of Taketo et al (1976b) who noticed a five fold increase in the relative rate of the β and the β ' subunit synthesis at 42°C compared to the wild type; a three fold increase in the rate of β and β' synthesis was observed even at low temperature of 30°C. Moreover, this effect of mutation on the β and the β ' subunit synthesis is recessive to the wild type allele because it has been found that in a rec derivative of this mutant (rpoC4) which contains the episome KLF10, the synthesis of the β and the β' subunits was at the wild type rate both at 30°C and at 42[°]C (Taketo et al, 1976b).

rt has been observed that the missense mutation rpoCl also accelerates the synthesis of the β and the β' subunits (Khesinetal, 1976; Dmitriev et al, 1976) both at the non-permissive temperatre (42°C) as well as at the permissive temperature (30[°]C). Another temperature sensitive mutation called rpoCllO was described by Kirschbaum (1978); it stimulates the rates of the β and the β ' subunit synthesis at 30[°]C where the rate of their synthesis is about three times higher than the wild type; and it accelerates the rate of these subunit synthesis at $42^{\circ}C$ as it has been found that the rate of the β and the β ' subunit synthesis was 5-10 fold higher than the wild type. Recently, Gragerov et al (1978) have produced cold sensitive mutants which were found to have altered β ' subunit; these mutants do have a great influence on the rate of synthesis of the core constituents including the β ' subunit.

In all cases so far described, the extra amounts of the β and the β ' subunits degraded rapidly (Hayward <u>et al</u>, 1974; Dmitriev <u>et al</u>, 1976; Ishihama <u>et al</u>, 1976; Taketo et al, 1976b);Kirschbaum, 1978).

Mutations in the neighbouring gene <u>rpoB</u> may affect the rate of the synthesis of the β ' polypeptide as described in the following case: in a mutant cell with the <u>rpoB22</u> temperature sensitive amber mutation, the rate of the β ' polypeptide synthesis was stimulated in the presence of the Su2 suppressor; whereas in the presence of more efficient suppressor, namely Sul, the β ' subunit

synthesis is decreased and the β subunit increased (Dmitriev <u>et al</u>, 1976; Khesin <u>et al</u>, 1976). However, the increase in the β ' subunit synthesis in the first case was due to the depression of the formation of the β subunit.

c. Synthesis of a subunit

As mentioned earlier, the rpoA gene, the structural gene for the α subunit, is located at 72 minutes on the chromosomal map of E.coli (Bachmann et al, 1976) near a cluster of ribosomal genes (Jaskunas et al, 1975). It is not located in the same operon $\{\beta\}$ the β and β' subunits and there is extensive evidence that the regulation of the α subunit synthesis is independent of the BB' synthesis. First, the molar ratio of the $\alpha:\beta:\beta'$ is 2.4-6:1:1 (see Kawakami et al, 1979) which indicates that there is an excess of the α subunit compared to the amount of the β and β' . Second, the amount of α synthesised in the exponentially growing phase is more than $\beta\beta'$ and in the approach to the stationary phase the differential rate of synthesis decreases first for α and the ribosomal proteins (Kawakami et al, 1979). Third, the rate of synthesis of α subunit in the RNA polymerase temperature sensitive mutants such as rpoC1, rpoC4 and rpoB2.rpoB7 (Taketo et al, 1976) is not affected. Thus, in the β ' mutants which have the <u>rpoCl</u> and <u>rpoC4</u> mutations, the rate of synthesis of both subunits β and β' is increased several fold at $42^{\circ}C$ compared to the wild type; in the β mutant

with the <u>rpoB2.rpoB7</u> the rate of synthesis of both subunits β and β ' is slightly reduced; however, in all the above cases of <u>rpoC1</u>, <u>rpoC4</u> and <u>rpoB2.rpoB7</u> the rate of synthesis of the α subunit is not significantly altered and it is similar to the wild type (Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1976b).

In contrast to these mutants it was concluded that the synthesis of the α subunit in the wild type is coordinated with the synthesis of the β and β' subunits (Iwakura <u>et al</u>, 1974; Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1976b). Guanosine tetraphosphate, ppGpp, inhibits the synthesis of the α subunit, rRNA, many ribosomal proteins and elongation factors EFG and EFTu but it does not inhibit the synthesis of the β and β' subunits (Maher and Dennis, 1977).

d. Synthesis of a subunit

The rate of σ subunit synthesis is increased under various conditions; it is increased in the presence of the rifampicin (Hayward and Fyfe, 1978) and in the <u>E.coli</u> mutant with the <u>tsl25</u> mutation (<u>sig-1</u>) (Nakamura and Yura, 1975). In addition, it has been found that the alteration of the transcription termination factor ρ induces the σ subunit synthesis and the N gene product of the bacteriophage λ interacts with the host RNA polymerase and results in the induction of σ (Nakamura and Yura, 1976). An increase in σ synthesis due to gene dosage effect was observed in <u>E.coli</u> merodiploids for the <u>rpoD</u> region (Nakamura <u>et al</u>, 1977). It is very interesting to observe an increase in the rate of the σ subunit synthesis in merodiploids for the <u>rpoBC</u> region which is distant from the <u>rpoD</u> region (Nakamura <u>et al</u>, 1977; Hayward and Fyfe, 1978) and in both cases there was a suggestion of the existence of a regulatory mechanism in that part of the <u>E.coli</u> genome which affects the synthesis of the σ subunit; it is noteworthy that the temperature sensitive (<u>sig-1</u>) mutation is located in that area as well (Nakamura and Yura, 1975). The synthesis of σ subunit is accelerated during the induction of the wild type λ lysogen with mitomycin C and when <u>E.coli</u> cells are infected by $\lambda \underline{vir}$ phage (see Matzura, 1979).

B. Autogenous regulation of the enzyme RNA polymerase

According to the definition of Goldberger (1974) the autogenous regulation is the mechanism in which the product of a structural gene regulates the expression of the operon in which that structural gene resides. The mechanism of regulation has been recently proposed for the regulation of the synthesis of the enzyme RNA polymerase (Scaife, 1976; Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1978; Kirschbaum, 1978; Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1978; Yura and Ishihama, 1979).

It has been shown that the synthesis of the enzyme RNA polymerase is stimulated under various conditions such as the presence of low effective concentrations of rifampicin (Hayward <u>et al</u>, 1973; Hayward and Fyfe, 1978; Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1978; Bass <u>et al</u>, 1979). In the presence of the antibiotic rifampicin, an increase in the rate of

synthesis of the β and the β ' subunits was observed both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> (Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1978; Bass <u>et al</u>, 1979) in the haploid as well as in the heterodiploid <u>E.coli</u> cells (Hayward <u>et al</u>, 1973; Nakamura and Yura, 1976). The synthesis of α and σ subunits is also induced by the rifampicin (Hayward and Fyfe, 1978; Matzura, 1979).

Most interesting is the observation of the stimulation of RNA polymerase synthesis in strains harbouring mutations in the structural genes of the enzyme (Dmitriev et al, 1976; Taketo and Ishihama, 1976; Kirschbaum, 1978). An increase in the rate of the $\beta\beta$ ' subunit synthesis was observed in the temperature sensitive mutant with the rpoCllO mutation at the permissive temperature $(30^{\circ}C)$ as well as the nonpermissive temperature (42°C) (Kirschbaum, 1978). The mutation <u>rpoCl</u> is another example for the E.coli mutant cell which stimulates the synthesis of the β and the β' subunits (Bass et al, 1977; Dmitriev et al, 1976). However, the temperature sensitive mutation r_{poC4} is found to increase the rate of the synthesis of the $\alpha,\ \beta$ and the β ' subunits for the first hour after the shift to the non-permissive temperature which is then followed by a continuation of the rise in only the rate of the $\beta\beta'$ subunit synthesis whereas the rate of the α subunit synthesis remains constant (Taketo and Ishihama, 1976).

The β ' subunit synthesis is stimulated in the case of the significant suppression of the <u>rpoB22</u> amber

mutation (Dmitriev <u>et al</u>, 1976). In this case, the depression in the synthesis of the β subunit have resulted in the activation of the β ' subunit synthesis (Dmitriev <u>et al</u>, 1976; Bass <u>et al</u>, 1977). Taketo and Ishihama (1977) have reported recently the depression of the synthesis of the β and the β ' subunits in the mutant <u>E.coli</u> cell which has the <u>rpoB2.rpoB7</u> mutation.

The most widely accepted mechanism for the synthesis of the enzyme RNA polymerase is the autogenous regulation where the RNA polymerase itself is the repressor of its own structural or regulator gene (see Scaife, 1976). The mutations described above might cause a transient change in the concentration of the holoenzyme or its subassembly complexes which result in the repression or the derepression of the synthesis of the RNA polymerase subunits (Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1978). Recent <u>in vitro</u> studies of the effect of the RNA polymerase and its subassemblies on the synthesis of the β subunit $\frac{\omega_{hose}}{\omega_{hose}}$ structural gene is carried by the bacteriophage $\lambda drif^+$ -6 have provided a clear evidence that the two oligomers, the holoenzyme and the $\alpha_2\beta$ have repressed the synthesis of the β subunit (Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1978).

Some of the mutations described above are proved to be defective in the assembly pathway of the enzyme (Taketo and Ishihama, 1976; Taketo and Ishihama, 1977). <u>E.coli</u> cells which have the <u>rpoC4</u> mutation are defective in the assembly of the $\alpha_2\beta$ complex with the altered β ' subunit resulting in the accumulation of the $\alpha_2\beta$ complex (Taketo

and Ishihama, 1976). In addition, another <u>rpoC</u> mutation namely, <u>rpoC</u>1, was found to be defective in the last step of the core formation and resulting in the accumulation of the premature core enzyme (Taketo and Ishihama, 1976). The analysis of the <u>rpoB2.rpoB7</u> has revealed the accumulation of the free α , $\alpha_2\beta$ and premature core at 30°C (Taketo and Ishihama, 1977; Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1978). It is more likely that the depression in the rate of the β and the β ' subunit synthesis following the shift of the temperature from 30°C to 42°C was caused by the accumulation of some of these assembly intermediates which act as a repressor for the expression of the <u>rpoBC</u> operon.

Taketo <u>et al</u> (1978) have investigated the effect of the assembly defective mutations on the expression of the <u>rpoBC</u> operon which was introduced to these mutants by the transducing phage $\lambda drif^+-6$ after the UV irradiation of the mutant cells.

The inhibition of the β subunit synthesis was observed in the <u>rpoB2.rpoB7</u> mutant and the stimulation of its synthesis was reported in the <u>rpoC1</u> as well as in the <u>rpoC4</u> assembly defective mutations (Taketo <u>et a1</u>, 1978). It is noteworthy that the expression of the <u>rpoBC</u> neighbouring genes which are carried by the phage such as <u>EF-Tu, L1, L7/L12</u> was not affected by these mutations (Taketo <u>et a1</u>, 1978).

The autogenous regulation mechanism has been strongly suggested for at least the <u>rpoBC</u> operon by the RNA polymerase subunits or by its subassembly complexes (Taketo <u>et al</u>, 1978); they have suggested that the β and the α subunits act as part of the regulatory protein. The experiments described by Errington <u>et al</u> (1974) have shown that the β ' subunit does not take part in the repression of the expression of the <u>rpoBC</u> operon because the excess of the $\frac{fh_{\beta}}{\beta}$ ' subunit, is synthesised in the cell under certain conditions had no effect on the inhibition of the synthesis of the β subunit; this effect of the β ' subunit was investigated in an <u>argR</u>/KLF10A18 heterodiploid <u>E.coli</u> cell in which the β ' subunit synthesis is under the control of \bigcirc arginine and which is deficient in the formation of the repressor for \bigcirc arginine synthesis.

There are other described examples of 3 systems that are autogenously regulated such as the bacteriophage T4 gene 32 (Krisch and Houwe, 1976), the <u>araC</u> system in <u>E.coli</u> (Lee, 1978), histidine utilisation system, <u>hut</u>, in <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u> (Goldberger, 1974; Magasanik, 1978) and the λ cI repressor synthesis (Ptashne, 1978).

In my study of heterospecific gene expression, the <u>rpoBC</u> operon of <u>E.coli</u> was transferred into an alien cell and this will open a new opportunity for the investigation of the regulation of the synthesis of the <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase in these cells.

4. The Process of Transcription

It is convenient to divide the transcription process into different steps, namely, RNA polymerase template binding, RNA chain initiation, RNA chain elongation, and RNA chain termination. I will treat each one separately in different sections as follows.

a. RNA polymerase template binding

It is the first step of the transcriptional cycle in which the enzyme RNA polymerase interacts with the DNA template and forms a binary complex locating a specific site of the genome called the promoter (see Scaife, 1973; Travers, 1974). At this site the initiation of RNA synthesis can occur and its position is just before the genes to be transcribed. This step is also called the promoter site selection and activation (see Chamberlin, 1976).

It has been found that about 40-45 bases of the DNA template are protected by the enzyme RNA polymerase binding (Krakow <u>et al</u>, 1976; Lathe, 1978) against DNAase digestion. This complex is called the "closed complex" and it is followed by the formation of the "open complex" which is characterised by the melting of the double helix; this allows the direct interaction of the enzyme with the DNA template which is followed by the initiation process of RNA synthesis (Chamberlin <u>et al</u>, 1976; Lathe, 1978). More details of the template binding of the enzyme are discussed by Krakow <u>et al</u> (1976).

b. <u>RNA chain initiation</u>

This step is characterised by the binding of the ATP or the GTP to the enzyme RNA polymerase and the binding of another nucleoside triphosphate (purine or pyrimidine) to a second site on the enzyme; as a result an internucleotide 3'5' phosphodiester bond is synthesised and a dinucleoside tetraphosphate of the structure pppPupX is generated by the elimination of the inorganic pyrophosphate (Chamberlin, 1974). The rate of the initiation of the RNA synthesis is very rapid compared to the rate of the promoter selection and it is affected by the concentration of the ATP, GTP, salt and by the temperature (Chamberlin <u>et al</u>, 1976; Krakow <u>et al</u>, 1976). Following this stage the σ subunit is released either due to conformational changes in the enzyme which reduces its affinity or due to the displacement by the nascent RNA chain (Ruet <u>et al</u>, 1970; Krakow <u>et al</u>, 1976).

c. RNA chain elongation

For the elongation of the nascent RNA chain, the nucleotide monophosphate residues are added to the 3'OH terminus of the dinucleoside tetraphosphate (Chamberlin, 1976; Chamberlin <u>et al</u>, 1976). At this stage the enzyme forms a ternary complex with the DNA template and the nascent RNA chain (Krakow et al, 1976).

d. RNA chain termination

This is another step of the transcription which involves the specific interaction of the RNA polymerase with the DNA template (Zillig <u>et al</u>, 1976). There are at least two mechanisms for the termination of \bigcirc RNA synthesis (see Lathe, 1978). The first one involves the recognition of the DNA signals (terminator) by the enzyme only and in the absence of any additional factor; this mechanism is called \bigcirc direct termination. The second mechanism involves the presence of a termination factor

called ρ which mediates the termination at additional sites on the DNA template. Mutants of ρ have been isolated which proved the interaction of the RNA polymerase with ρ during the transcription termination (Das et al, 1978).

At this stage of transcription, the synthesised RNA chain and the enzyme RNA polymerase are released from the template (Adhya and Gottesman, 1978; Lathe, 1978). The termination of the transcription process is extensively discussed by Roberts (1976) and by Adhya and Gottesman (1978).

5. Rifampicin: The Inhibitor of the RNA Polymerase a. Definition

Rifampicin is a member of the group of the antibiotic rifamycins produced by <u>Streptomyces mediterranei</u> which is found to affect the prokaryotic and the eukaryotic RNA polymerases (see Riva and Silvestri, 1972; Franklin and Snow, 1975; Scaife, 1976; Wehrli, 1977b; Matzura, 1979).

b. <u>Subunit binding</u>

Rifampicin binds to the enzyme RNA polymerase tightly and the rifampicin:enzyme ratio is variously estimated between 1:1-2:1 (Fietta and Silvestri, 1975; Wehrli, 1977a). The target has been shown to be the β subunit (Heil and Zillig, 1970; Rabussay and Zillig, 1970). More recently, Lowe and Malcolm (1976) have tested the ability of the RNA polymerase and its subunit mixtures to bind rifampicin and

they found that among $\alpha, \beta, \beta', \alpha_2\beta, \beta\beta', \alpha_2\beta'$ and $\alpha_2\beta\beta'$ only $\alpha_2\beta$ and $\alpha_2\beta\beta'$ bound rifampicin; it is suggested that the ability of $\alpha_2\beta$ to bind rifampicin is brought by a conformational change in the β subunit induced by α (Lowe and Malcolm, 1976).

c. Chemical structure

Riva and Silvestri (1972) have described the various chemical structures of the rifamycins. Below is the chemical structure of rifampicin as appeared in Riva and Silvestri (1972), in Franklin and Snow (1975), and



d. Sensitivity of different bacteria

Rifampicin is an antituberculosis drug (Tanaka <u>et al</u>, 1978; Matzura, 1979); it has a wide range of antibacterial activity against gram negative and gram positive bacteria (Bals and Filipesco, 1969; Reinlein <u>et al</u>, 1973; Shorin and Shapolova, 1974). As the various <u>E.coli</u> strains differ in their permeability for the antibiotic rifampciin (Matzura, 1979) one would expect that the different bacterial species do vary in their permeability to rifampicin. Page and Sadoff (1976) have used 10 μ g/ml of rifampicin for the isolation of the rifampicin resistant mutant of <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u>, whereas only 4 μ g/ml final concentration of rifampicin was used for the selection of rifampicin resistant <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (Parish, 1975) and 50 μ g/ml was used for the selection of <u>Achromobacter</u> rifampicin resistant mutant (Robb <u>et al</u>, 1977). Arioli <u>et al</u> (1977) have used 100 μ g/ml of rifampicin for the isolation of the <u>Salmonella</u> typhimurium rifampicin resistant mutant.

In <u>E.coli</u>, spontaneous rifampicin resistant mutants occur at a frequency of $10^{-7}-10^{-8}$ (Ezekiel and Hutchins, 1968; Matzura, 1979). Interestingly, Tanaka <u>et al</u> (1978) have demonstrated that almost all <u>E.coli</u> strains isolated from tuberculosis patients treated with rifampicin antibiotic for a month were resistant to the antibiotic and were highly resistant to the rifampicin after 2-6 months treatment.

e. Action

The antibiotic rifampicin inhibits RNA synthesis (Hartmann <u>et al</u>, 1967; Scaife, 1976) and the initiation of the transcription is resumed upon the release of this kind of inhibition (Engbaek <u>et al</u>, 1976). The inhibition of RNA synthesis by rifampicin is achieved by blocking the formation of the preinitiation complex (Sippel and Hartmann, 1968).

In addition to the RNA synthesis inhibition, rifampicin has other actions: first, the inhibition of the replication of a number of DNA viruses in mammalian cells (Franklin and Snow, 1975); second, the elimination of F factor from the rifampicin sensitive E.coli cells but not from the rifampicin resistant one which may be attributed to the requirement of certain RNA species for F plasmid replication (Falkow, 1975); third, it alters the dependence of the ØX174 replicative form synthesis on the dnaC protein activity as in E.coli cells the dnaC protein is not required for the bacteriophage ØX174 parental replicative form synthesis but only when rifampicin inhibits the E.coli DNA dependent RNA polymerase (Dumas et al, 1975); fourth, rifampicin is immunosuppressive both in animals and in man (Bass et al, 1978) and finally, rifampicin and arginine induce the synthesis of acetylornithine &-transaminase in an arginine inducible E.coli W rifampicin resistant mutant (Wozny et al, 1975).

The interaction between the enzyme RNA polymerase and the rifampicin has been investigated thoroughly (Stender and Scheit, 1976; Wehrli <u>et al</u>, 1976; Wehrli, 1977a). The mode of action of the rifampicin antibiotic has been reviewed (Wehrli and Staehelin, 1971; Riva and Silvestri, 1972; Wehrli, 1977b) and recently investigated (Kessler and Hartmann, 1977; McClure and Cech, 1978; Kassavetis <u>et al</u>, 1979). It has recently been discovered that the RNA polymerase-rifampicin complex retains its ability to recognise the promoters on the T7 DNA though it is unable

to initiate RNA chains; the drug-enzyme complex also blocks transcription by drug-free molecules which have initiated RNA chains upstream (Kassavetis <u>et al</u>, 1979).

It has been observed that the affinity of the rifampicin for binding the enzyme is reduced in the presence of the DNA and that the action of the rifampicin at low concentration is very slow on RNA polymerase engaged in catalytic activity compared to its high activity at higher concentrations at which the rifampicin overcomes the protecting effect of the DNA (Kessler and Hartmann, 1977). The study of McClure and Cech (1978) have shown that there is no effect of the antibiotic rifampicin on the selectivity of the RNA polymerase for binding to the promoters and that rifampicin blocks RNA chain elongation.

f. Other inhibitors of RNA synthesis

The antibiotics, streptolydigin and streptovaricin (Mizuno <u>et al</u>, 1968; Schleif, 1969; Iwakura <u>et al</u>, 1973) and many other inhibitors of RNA synthesis have been reported (Wehrli <u>et al</u>, 1968; Chou <u>et al</u>, 1978; De Lorbe <u>et al</u>, 1979; Schleif (), 19**(**9) such as actinomycin, olivamycin, cinerubin, polyriboinosinic acid (polyI), serminal plasmin ... etc. These inhibitors share this character with rifampicin but some of them are different from the rifampicin antibiotic in respect to their mode of action, for example, actinomycin interacts with the DNA and not with the RNA polymerase (Reich and Golgberg, 1964; Wehrli <u>et al</u>, 1968); polyriboinosinic

acid (polyI) competes with the DNA for the polynucleoside binding sites on RNA polymerase (Hirschbein <u>et al</u>, 1967; De Lorbe et al, 1979).

A more powerful, dimeric rifampicin called rifamazine has been reported to be active against the RNA polymerases extracted from the rifampicin resistant mutants of <u>E.coli</u> (Fietta and Silvestri, 1975). It is well known that the rifampicin resistant mutations in the <u>E.coli</u> map at 88.5 minutes in the <u>rpoB</u> gene (Bachmann <u>et al</u>, 1976; Matzura, 1979).

I found that the antibiotic rifampicin is a useful tool for the detection of the presence of the <u>E.coli</u> rpoB3gene product in the bacterial cell.

6. Comparative Studies between RNA Polymerases of <u>E.coli</u> and other Gram Negative Bacteria

It is interesting to compare the <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase with the RNA polymerase of other gram negative bacteria because all of the bacteria chosen for this work are of the gram negative group. It has been found that the RNA polymerases of these bacteria also consist of the subunits α,β,β' and σ and some of them have similar molecular weights as <u>E.coli</u> and the others are slightly variated (Burgess, 1976):

Bacterial species	β "	β	σ	^α 2
<u>E.coli</u>	165	155	95	39
	150	145	86	41
	160	155	85	40
Azotobacter vinelandii	165	155	95	39
Pseudomonas putida	165	155	98	44
Pseudomonas BAL-31	165	155	89	38

The above table extracted from Burgess (1976) shows no difference in molecular weights of the RNA polymerase subunits between <u>E.coli</u> and <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u> whereas <u>Pseudomonas putida</u> RNA polymerase is characterised by higher molecular weights of α and σ subunits.

Serratia marcescens subunits of RNA polymerase have the same migration as E.coli subunits on the same gel (Konze-Thomas and Rüger, 1976). In their study, Konze-Thomas and Rüger (1976) have allowed the reconstitution of the intergeneric hybrid RNA polymerases from the interaction of the subunits of E.coli and S.marcescens RNA polymerases; these enzymes are able to recognise the promoters and the terminators of the DNA template; it is likely that the β subunit structure of Serratia marcescens is very similar to E.coli because the β subunit of the RNA polymerase enzyme from both bacteria can fully substitute each other and the hybrid enzymes yielded 92% and 96% of the activity of the homologous reconstituates whereas the replacement of the $\beta'_{\text{E.coli}}$ by the β' S.marcescens have resulted in 50% loss of the activity. On the other hand the reciprocal replacement of β 'S.marcescens by β 'E.coli has yielded an active hybrid enzyme with 85% of the activity of the homologous reconstituate; this led to the conclusion that no decisive difference is detected, in vitro, between the RNA polymerase of E.coli and S.marcescens (Konze-Thomas and Rüger, 1976).

The sigma subunits of <u>Salmonella</u> anatum and <u>Proteus</u> <u>mirabilis</u> are larger than the sigma subunit of <u>E.coli</u>

whereas the α subunit of <u>Proteus mirabilis</u> is smaller than the α subunit of <u>E.coli</u> (Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1977). A comparative analysis between the RNA polymerases of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, <u>Salmonella anatum</u>, <u>Serratia</u> <u>marcescens</u>, <u>Aerobacter aerogenes</u>, <u>Proteus mirabilis</u> and <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> had led to the conclusion that all the RNA polymerases from these bacteria cross-react with anti-<u>E.coli</u> holoenzyme and were recovered in antibody precipitates (Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1977). In addition, the enzyme activity of all the examined bacteria was inhibited by anti-<u>E.coli</u> β antiserum which suggests that the structure of the β subunit is conserved in these bacteria (Fukuda et al, 1977).

The RNA polymerase of <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u> is able to recognise the T7 DNA promoters and terminators that are recognised by the <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase (Wiggs <u>et al</u>, 1979) and this suggests that there is a conservation of the promoter and the terminator recognition function among the two bacteria (Wiggs <u>et al</u>, 1979).

Although the studies with the RNA polymerases of the gram negative bacteria, other than <u>E.coli</u>, are not much developed, one can conclude from the above studies that in spite of the divergence of the genomes of these bacteria and <u>E.coli</u> during evolution, their RNA polymerases seem to have some properties that are common with <u>E.coli</u> and that are not changed during evolution.

In my thesis, I have carried this further by the <u>in vivo</u> investigation of the <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB3</u> gene expression in these bacteria.

7. The Heterospecific Gene Expression in Prokaryotes

The heterospecific gene expression phenomenon is applied to the introduction of the genes into an alien cytoplasm which belongs to a phylogenetically distant species followed by their functioning in the alien host (Ehrlich and Sgaramella, 1978). It has been well established and developed during the last few years as a result of the discovery of the wide host range plasmids and the progress of the DNA cloning techniques.

In 1974, Chang and Cohen demonstrated that a gene from Staphylococcus aureus can be expressed in E.coli. The expression of the E.coli histidine genes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Salmonella typhimurium was detected after the transfer of the plasmid RPII-42 from E.coli into the histidine auxotrophic bacterial species (Olsen and Gonzalez, 1974). They have observed a lower transfer of the histidine genes compared to the drug resistant markers carried by the plasmid and they have attributed this phenomenon to the restriction of the E.coli histidine genes in the recipient alien cell. Dunican and Tierney (1974) have demonstrated the transfer of the nitrogen fixing ability from Rhizobium trifolii to Klebsiella aerogenes by plasmid R1-19 drd; neither E.coli nor Pseudomonas aeruginosa were able to reduce acetylene when tested for nitrogen fixing ability in the same way as <u>Klebsiella</u> aerogenes. The genetic complementation of the nitrogen fixation mutants (nif) of Azotobacter vinelandii by the Klebsiella nif genes carried

by the plasmid RP41 was demonstrated (Cannon and Postgate, 1976). <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u>, in contrast to <u>Agrobacterium</u>, was able to transcribe and translate the <u>Klebsiella nif</u> genes (Cannon and Postgate, 1976). In addition, the <u>Klebsiella nif</u> genes are fully expressed in <u>S.typhimurium</u> (Postgate and Krishnapillai, 1977).

RP41 is derived from the P-plasmid RP4 and it harbours nif-his and RP4 drug resistant determinants (Dixon et al, The cotransfer of the Rhizobium trifolii nif 1976). genes with the P-plasmid RPl was observed (Stanley and Dunican, 1979); in their study of the heterospecific gene expression they have detected the expression of the Rhizobium trifolii nif genes in Agrobacterium tumefaciens as well as in the Klebsiella pneumoniae nif mutants. A ribosomal DNA fragment of E.coli was introduced into Proteus mirabilis by the F-prime Fl4 (Morgan and Kaplan, 1976), transcribed, and the products were packaged into ribosomes which exhibit normal functional activities in the hybrid Proteus mirabilis strain (Morgan and Kaplan, The FN68 plasmid of the F-compatibility group 1976). which carries the his and the nif genes of Klebsiella pneumoniae was used for intergeneric matings (Cannon et al, 1976). The FN68 plasmid was transferable to Klebsiella aerogenes, E.coli and Salmonella typhimurium but not to Proteus mirabilis and both the his and the nif genes were expressed in these bacterial species (Cannon et al, 1976; Kennedy and Postgate, 1977).

Furthermore, Boucher et al (1977) have introduced

the bacteriophage Mu into the taxonomically distant bacteria <u>Pseudomonas</u> <u>solanacearum</u> and <u>Rhizobium meliloti</u> by means of the plasmid RP4::Mu cts. In the two alien hosts, the Mu genome is expressed and the plaque forming Mu phages are produced (Boucher <u>et al</u>, 1977; Dénarié <u>et al</u>, 1977). By contrast the transferability of the RP4::Mu plasmid and its derivative RP4::Mu cts62 r23 (pGM17) from <u>E.coli</u> to <u>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</u> was proved to be very low and the development of this phage Mu cts62 r23 in this host was very poor compared to <u>E.coli</u> (Van Vliet et al, 1978).

The plasmid RP4-trp which carries the complete tryptophan operon of E.coli was constructed and was transferred from E.coli into Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Nagahari et al, 1977). The expression of the E.coli tryptophan operon was constitutive in Pseudomonas aeruginosa implying the inability of the Pseudomonas trp repressor to bind to E.coli trp operator (Nagahari et al, 1977). Recently, the same plasmid RP4-trp was transferred from E.coli into four Rhizobium leguminosarum trp mutant recipients (Nagahari et al, 1979) which were converted into the trp⁺ phenotype due to the expression of the E.coli trp genes and they were able to transfer back the plasmid into E.coli trp and Pseudomonas putida trpB recipients (Nagahari et al, 1979). As in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa case, the expression of the E.coli trp genes in Rhizobium leguminosarum as well as in <u>Pseudomonas putida</u> was constitutive and the enzymatic activity of the trp genes

were not repressed by exogenous tryptophan (Nagahari <u>et al</u>, 1979). In contrast, low gene expression of the <u>Pseudomonas trpAB</u> genes, carried by a derivative of the plasmid R68.44, was observed in <u>E.coli</u> K12 <u>trp</u> mutants (Hedges <u>et al</u>, 1977).

Johnston et al (1978) have constructed derivatives of the plasmid R68.45 which carry the different trp genes of Rhizobium meliloti and they were able to suppress the different trp mutants of R.leguminosarum and P.aeruginosa but none of the E.coli trp mutants. Many strains of Azotobacter vinelandii that are unable to fix nitrogen (nif) were transformed into nif with the DNA of Rhizobium trifolii (Bishop et al, 1977). Tryptophan auxotrophy of an A.tumefaciens mutant was suppressed by RP4-Ø80trp plasmid on which Ø80trp transducing phage had been transposed (Mergeay and Gerits, 1978). Various mutations in Pseudomonas fluorescens can be complemented by the E.coli chromosomal genes carried by the F-prime plasmids, for example, arginine, isoleucine, valine, histidine, leucine and methionine (Mergeay and Gerits, 1978); in addition they have described the dominance of the rpoB allele carried by KLF10 over the $\underline{ri}f^r$ allele of the P.fluorescens thus converting the rifampicin resistant P.fluorescens into the rifampicin sensitive phenotype.

It has been reported that the <u>B.subtilis leu</u> genes which are cloned on the plasmid RSF2124-B.<u>leu</u> can be expressed in <u>E.coli</u> cells whereas the <u>E.coli leu</u> genes were not expressed in <u>B.subtilis</u> cells when transferred

(Nagahari and Sakaguchi, 1978); this is not the only example of the inability of the <u>E.coli</u> genes to express in <u>B.subtilis</u> because there are several other, <u>E.coli</u> genes such as <u>trpE</u> and the genes coding for the resistances to ampicillin, kanamycin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline were tested for their ability to function in <u>B.subtilis</u> but none of them did (Ehrlich and Sgaramella, 1978).

It is evident that not every gene can be expressed in any alien cell because of the existence of the evolutionary barriers that can prevent the operation of the heterospecific gene expression system. These barriers could be nuclease barriers, replication unit barriers, transcription barriers and translation barriers (Ehrlich and Sgaramella, 1978; Sakaguchi and Nagahari, 1978).

In my study of the heterospecific gene expression the structural genes for the <u>E.coli</u>-RNA-polymerase-were introduced by plasmids into many bacterial species which are phylogenetically distant or close to <u>E.coli</u> and their functioning in these cells was investigated.

8.A The Plasmid RP4

a. Isolation

RP4 is a plasmid that is originally derived from a strain of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> which was highly resistant to the antibiotic carbenicillin (Lowbury <u>et al</u>, 1969); at different times and in different laboratories it has been designated at RP1, R1822, R18 and RK2 (Holloway and Richmond, 1973; Grinsted <u>et al</u>, 1977; Meyer <u>et al</u>, 1977;

Pühler <u>et al</u>, 1978; Burkardt <u>et al</u>, 1979; Thomas <u>et al</u>, 1979). The plasmid RP4 confers resistance to the ampicillin/carbenicillin, kanamycin/neomycin and the tetracycline antibiotics (Datta <u>et al</u>, 1971). RP4 has a buoyant density of 1.719 g/cm³ which is equivalent to about 60% Guanine + Cytosine ratio (Grinsted <u>et al</u>, 1972; Holloway, 1975).

b. Compatibility

RP4 belongs to the P-compatibility group of plasmids and it can coexist with the F-like or the I-like plasmids (Datta et al, 1971).

c. Sensitivity to bacteriophages

RP4 specifies the sensitivity to many bacteriophages such as PRR1, PRD1, PR3 and Pf3 (Olsen and Shipley, 1973; Stanisich, 1974; Olsen <u>et al</u>, 1977).

d. Size

The molecular weight of RP4 is estimated between $36-40 \times 10^6$ daltons (Grinsted <u>et al</u>, 1972; Bennett and Richmond, 1976; Holloway, 1975; Barth and Grinter, 1977; De Picker <u>et al</u>, 1977; Burkardt <u>et al</u>, 1978; Sakanyan <u>et al</u>, 1978; Broda, 1979). Recently it has been found that the plasmid RP4 is about 19 µm long (Sakanyan <u>et al</u>, 1978; Burkardt et al, 1979).

e. Physical map

The physical map of the RP4 plasmid, which is shown below, was the product of the restriction analysis, deletion mutants, and insertion of transposon C (Barth and Grinter, 1977; De Picker <u>et al</u>, 1977; Grinsted <u>et al</u>, 1977; Sakanyan et al, 1978; Thomas <u>et al</u>, 1979).



Figure 1. The physical map of RP4, extracted from Bukhari et al (1977).

This map (Fig. 1) shows the various restriction enzymes that can cleave RP4 DNA and it is worth noting that the <u>XbaI</u> enzyme does not cleave RP4 (De Picker <u>et al</u>, 1977).

Sakanyan <u>et al</u> (1978) have mapped the replication gene(s), <u>rep</u>, in the region between <u>amp</u> and <u>tet</u> or after the <u>tet</u> gene and the incompatibility gene(s), <u>inc</u>, between <u>amp</u> and the <u>Eco</u>RI site or between <u>amp</u> and <u>tet</u> genes; these results support the previous mapping of Barth and Grinter (1977) of the <u>rep</u> gene(s). Deletions

5Q.

of the plasmid RP4 were produced <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> and were designated RP4- δ l (Hedges <u>et al</u>, 1976) and min RP4 (Haque, 1978; Haque, 1979; Pühler <u>et al</u>, 1978) to serve as cloning vehicles.

f. Host range

Most interesting is the wide host range of the plasmid RP4 as it had been transfered by the various mating methods as shown in Table 1.

The broad host range of RP4 makes it useful the transfer for the investigation of heterospecific gene

An RP4 derivative has been constructed which carries the <u>his</u> operon of <u>E.coli</u> and has been designated as RP1I-42 (Olsen and Gonzalez, 1974). Three derivatives of RP4 designated as RP1-PM1,2 and 3 have incorporated fragments of the <u>P.mirabilis</u> chromosomal DNA (Jacob <u>et al</u>, 1976) and another two RP4 variants have incoporated <u>R.leguminosarum</u> chromosomal DNA and were designated as RP4-R1 and RP4-R2 respectively (Jacob <u>et al</u>, 1976).

Dixon <u>et al</u> (1976) have constructed the plasmid RP41 which harbours the <u>nif his</u> region of the <u>K.pneumoniae</u> chromosome. An RP4-colEl hybrid plasmid was obtained <u>in vitro</u> (Stepanov <u>et al</u>, 1976). The genomes of the



<u>A.calcoaceticus</u>	A.calcoaceticus P.aeruginosa P.fluorescens E.coli A.vinelandii	1.5×10^{-1} 1.2×10^{-4} 1.8×10^{-2} 2.1×10^{-4} 7.5×10^{-6}	Olsen & Shipley (1973)
<u>A.vinelandii</u>	A.calcoaceticus P.aeruginosa P.fluorescens E.coli	$\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 2.8 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.6 \times 10^{-5} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	
<u>E.coli</u>	P.aeruginosa P.fluorescens E.coli A.calcoaceticus A.vinelandii E.coli	4.9×10^{-4} 3.0×10^{-3} 2.4×10^{-3} 1.0×10^{-4} 3.8×10^{-4} 3.6×10^{-4}	
E.coli	<u>R.leguminosarum</u> E.coli	6.2×10^{-5} 4.9 x 10^{-3}	Beringer (1974) [*]
R.leguminosarum	<u>R.leguminosarum</u> <u>E.coli</u>	2.2×10^{-3} 2.8 x 10 ⁻⁴	
E.coli	P.solanacearum	10 ⁻²	Message <u>et al</u> (1975) [*]
E.coli A.calcoaceticus	A.calcoaceticus A.calcoaceticus	1×10^{-5} - 1.3 x 10^{-5} 1.1 x 10^{-4} - 2.8 x 10^{-4}	Towner and Vivian (1976)*
A.tumefaciens	A.tumefaciens A.radiobacter	$1.3 \times 10^{-3} - 1.6 \times 10^{-1}$ 1.7 x 10 ⁻⁵ - 5 x 10 ⁻¹	Van Larebeke <u>et</u> <u>al</u> (1977)
P.aeruginosa	<u>E.coli</u> <u>P.aeruginosa</u> <u>Caulobacter</u> <u>vibrioides</u> <u>Caulobacter</u> <u>crescentus</u>	$3.3 \times 10^{-5} - 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ $1 \times 10^{-5} - 8.9 \times 10^{-4}$ $5.3 \times 10^{-4} - 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ $4.4 \times 10^{-6} - 6.9 \times 10^{-4}$	Alexander & Jollick (1977)
R.meliloti	<u>R.meliloti</u> R.meliloti	10^{-4} ** 10^{-1} ***	Meade & Signer (1977)

Table 1.

Table 1.			
Donor	Recipient	Frequency	Reference
<u>E.coli</u> J5-3	E.coli J6-2 P.aeruginosa PAO2 C.violaceum D254 R.trifolii 24G	8.6×10^{-4} 2.3 x 10 ⁻³ 10^{-6} or greater	Datta <u>et</u> <u>al</u> (1971)
	A.tumefaciens B6	$10^{-8} - 10^{-9}$	
<u>P.aeruginosa</u>	<u>P.aeruginosa</u> <u>E.coli</u> P.mirabilis	$5 \times 10^{-4}_{-4}$ 7 x 10_5 1 x 10	Grinsted <u>et al</u> (1972)
<u>E.coli</u>	<u>E.coli</u> <u>P.aeruginosa</u> <u>P.mirabilis</u>	5×10^{-4} 1×10^{-4} 4×10^{-4}	
P.mirabilis	<u>E.coli</u> P.aeruginosa	5×10^{-4} 2 x 10 ⁻⁵	
R.lupini	<u>R.lupini</u>	not mentioned	Pühler <u>et al</u> (1972)
<u>P.aeruginosa</u> PAL	<u>P.aeruginosa</u> PAT <u>A.calcoaceticus</u> <u>R.rubrum</u> <u>R.spheroides</u> <u>E.coli</u> <u>V.cholera</u>	5.6×10^{-3} 8.0×10^{-7} 3.0×10^{-5} 1×10^{-4} 3.3×10^{-3} 2.6×10^{-2}	Olsen & Shipley (1973
<u>P.aeruginosa</u> PAT <u>P.fluorescens</u>	P.fluorescens P.putida A.vinelandii S.typhimurium S.boydii N.perflava	$1.2 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.4 \times 10^{-6} \\ 7 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.1 \times 10^{-6} \\ 4.2 \times 10^{-4} $	

Legend to Table 1

This Table illustrates the transfer of RP4 from various donors. All the frequencies of transfer are estimated per donor except where * is present. Liquid culture matings and plate matings are represented by ** and *** respectively. bacteriophage Mu or its mutants Mu cts62 and Mu cts64 r23 have been inserted into the plasmid RP4 (Dénarié <u>et al</u>, 1977; Boucher <u>et al</u>, 1977; Van Vliet <u>et al</u>, 1978). The complete <u>trp</u> operon of <u>E.coli</u> has been incorporated into RP4 forming the plasmid RP4-<u>trp</u> (Nagahari <u>et al</u>, 1977). The degradative plasmid Tol can integrate into the RP4 plasmid forming the complex RP4-Tol (Jacoby <u>et al</u>, 1978; Nakasawa <u>et al</u>, 1978). Holsters <u>et al</u> (1978) have reported the cointegration of the <u>A.tumefaciens</u> tumour inducing plasmids, Ti, with the plasmid RP4. Recently, Barth (1979) has prepared various RP4-primes carrying different segments of the <u>E.coli</u> genome.

g. <u>Transposon insertion</u>

Transposons which are the DNA segments which can insert into several sites in a genome (Campbell <u>et al</u>, 1977) have been reported to insert into RP4 (1000); RP4 has thirty six insertion sites 107 Tn7 (Barth <u>et al</u>, 1978), twenty three insertion sites 107 Tn501 (Stanisich <u>et al</u>, 1977; Grinsted <u>et al</u>, 1978) and eight sites for the insertion of Tn951 (Cornelis <u>et al</u>, 1979).

h. <u>Chromosome mobilisation</u>

The ability of transferring \bigcirc chromosomal genes ispossessed by various plasmid5 (Holloway, 1979). The plasmid RP4 is found to promote \bigcirc chromosomal mobilisation at the low frequency of $10^{-7}-10^{-8}$ in $\bigcirc \text{rec}^+$ or $\bigcirc \text{recA} \text{ E.coli}$ donor strain5 (Dénarié <u>et al</u>, 1977; Barth, 1979). RP4 chromosomal mobilisation ability, though poor, was reported in <u>A.calcoaceticus</u> (Towner and Vivian, 1976), in <u>P.glycinea</u> (Lacy and Leary, 1976), in <u>R.meliloti</u> (Meade and Signer, 1977) and in <u>R.lupini</u> (Pühler and Burkardt, 1978). However, Hedges and Jacob (1977) have reported their consistent failure to mobilise \bigcirc chromosomal genes using RP4 (unpublished results). Danilevich <u>et al</u> (1978a, 1978b) have found that the efficiency of \bigcirc integration of RP4 into the <u>E.coli</u> chromosome is increased in the presence of a homologous region as Tnl in a <u>rec</u>⁺ cell.

It has been found recently that the frequency of transfer of the chromosomal genes by the plasmid RP4 has become higher under various conditions such as the presence of normal attachment site of the bacteriophage λ on RP4... λatt (Watson and Scaife, 1978) and when RP4 carries a homologous piece of DNA that is present on the chromosome; this was demonstrated when the genome of the bacteriophage Mu was inserted into RP4 and the chromosome both in <u>E.coli</u> and <u>K.pneumoniae</u> (Dénarié <u>et al</u>, 1977) and when the various segments of the <u>E.coli</u> chromosome were inserted into RP4 (Barth, 1978; Barth, 1979; Casse <u>et al</u>, 1978). Yet, Jacob <u>et al</u> (1976) were unable to see this increase in chromosome mobilising ability in <u>Proteus mirabilis</u> or <u>R.leguminosarum</u>.

B. <u>RP4 λ att</u>

The plasmid RP4 λatt is interesting to me for two main reasons: first, it serves as an excellent source for the wide host range plasmid RP4 and second, it allows the lysogenisation of the $\lambda b2$ -like bacteriophage $\lambda drober 218$ (Kirschbaum and Konrad, 1973).

a. Construction

The presence of only one EcoRI site (Jacob and Grinter, 1975) in the plasmid RP4 has allowed the insertion of the EcoRI generated fragment of the bacteriophage λ DNA which resulted in the formation of the recombinant plasmid RP4 λ att (Pastrana, 1976). RP4 λ att has incorporated the EcoRI fragment (srI λ 2-3) which harbours the genes att-int-xis and contains one target for the HindIII restriction endonuclease (Pastrana, 1976). Furthermore, the int gene carries the amber mutation int-29 and further studies of the expression of the λ genes carried by the RP4 λ att revealed that the promoter for <u>xis</u> gene is not present (Watson and Scaife, 1978); they have also provided evidence that the RP4 λatt does promote chromosomal mobilisation by int-promoted integration into the chromosomal λ attachment site. RP4 λ att serves as a vehicle for the transfer of the λ sequence P.P' whose presence was confirmed by testing its ability to lysogenise $\lambda b2$ (Zichichi and Kellenberger, 1963; Pastrana, 1976).

9. Thesis Plan

The subsequent part of the thesis is the experimental investigation Chapter which consists of basically three parts. In the first part, I will describe the construction of the RP4 derivative, pZD100, from the lysogenisation process of RP4 λ <u>att</u> with λ d<u>rpoBC</u>18 which carries the structural genes for the $\beta\beta$ ' subunits of RNA polymerase.

I will show that on transfer into a λ non-lysogen recipient, this plasmid is subjected to zygotic induction. To overcome zygotic induction . which results in the apparent reduction of the efficiency of transfer of the plasmid into a λ sensitive recipient, deletant mutants which consist of RP4 and carry rpoB3 gene were derived. One of these mutant plasmids pZD23 serves as a better tool for my purpose of the investigation of heterospecific gene expression as it will be shown that this plasmid transfers into the λ non-lysogen recipient without the subjection to zygotic induction.. The original plasmid, pZD100 and the derivatives were characterised in vivo and in vitro; the detailed information about their genetical and physical structure are shown in part II.

The construction of the original plasmid and its derivatives was carried out in <u>E.coli</u> but their utilisation for the heterospecific gene expression was carried out in various bacterial species as will be shown in part III. In part III, I shall discuss the utilisation of these plasmids as vectors for <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gene and how the various bacterial species which belong to many families responded to the presence of the foreign <u>E.coli</u> gene in their cells. The gene codes for the dominance of the rifampicin resistance converting the rifampicin sensitive <u>E.coli</u> cell into rifampicin resistant. This is the criterion upon which the detection of heterospecific gene expression in an alien cell was based.

Heterospecific gene expression results in the production of $\beta\beta'$ subunits of <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase in the alien cell allowing them to interact with the native subunits of the enzyme converting the cell into the rifampicin resistant phenotype. It will be shown, in this part, that the heterospecific gene expression operates successfully in the species that are closely related to E.coli.

CANAL INVESTIGATIONS

ı

.

-
Chapter 2 - Experimental Investigations

<u>Part I The Construction of the Plasmids carrying the</u> E.coli rpoB gene

My aim here is to construct a plasmid for the <u>E.coli</u> structural genes of the $\beta\beta$ ' subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase. It will serve as a vector for the <u>rpoBC</u> genes that can be transferred into a broad range of bacterial species which belong to taxonomically different families. The majority of the chosen bacterial species are members of the Enterobacteriaceae; others belong to the Azotobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Rhizobiaceae.

There are specialised transducing phages, such as $\lambda d\underline{rpoBC}18$, which may be utilised as vectors for that region of the bacterial chromosome (<u>rpoBC</u>) which contains the structural genes for the $\beta\beta$ ' subunits of RNA polymerase. However, the phages are not suitable for heterospecific gene expression studies since they have a limited host range.

Recently, broad host range plasmids have been used as a tool for genetic engineering. The P-group plasmid RP4 has been extensively used in construction of derivative plasmids with DNA pieces of phage or bacterial origin (Dixon <u>et al</u>, 1976; Dénarié <u>et al</u>, 1977; Nagahari <u>et al</u>, 1977; Van Vliet <u>et al</u>, 1978).

The incorporation of the <u>E.coli rpoBC</u> genes into RP4 will be discussed in two sections. Firstly, I will present the evidence for the construction of the plasmid pZD100 the lysogenisation of RP4 derivative, RP4 λatt ,

with $\lambda d\underline{rpoBC}$ l8. Later I will discuss the derivation of several <u>rpoBC</u> plasmids from the original plasmid pZD100.

A. The Construction of the Plasmid pZD100

a. Introduction

The construction of a vector for the <u>E.coli rpoBC</u> genes to provide a means for their transfer from <u>E.coli</u> into an alien cytoplasm where their expression can be investigated. I have used $\lambda drpoBC18$ as a source for the <u>rpoBC</u> genes. It is defective specialised transducing phage which confers two properties on <u>E.coli</u>, resistance to the antibiotic rifampicin and temperature sensitivity which is cills mutation in the repressor gene of the phage (see Introduction). The plasmid RP4 λatt is a derivative of the wide host range plasmid RP4 and it is suitable for <u>in vivo</u> cloning as it provides the attachment region of the bacteriophage λ .

The essential step for the construction of the plasmid pZD100 is the lysogenisation of RP4 λ <u>att</u> with λ d<u>rpoBC18</u> which results in the formation of a plasmid molecule comprising RP4 and λ drpoBC18.

There are three possibilities for the integration of the $\lambda d\underline{rpoBC18}$ in an <u>E.coli</u> cell harbouring the plasmid RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$; it may integrate into the chromosome through the λ integration site or through recombination between the homologous regions on the phage and the chromosome; the third possibility is that it may integrate into the plasmid RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ through P.P' sequence of the $\underline{att}\lambda$. To exclude the possibility of the integration of the phage into the



Fig. 2. The lysogenisation of RP4 λatt with $\lambda drpoBC18$. The serrated part of $\lambda drpoBC18$ represents the λ genome and the solid part represents the bacterial chromosome segment which contains the <u>rpoB3</u> and the <u>rpoC</u> genes. The serrated portion of RP4 λatt represents $att\lambda$ and the solid part represents the RP4 molecule. This figure illustrates the orientation of the λatt fragment with respect to RP4 genome which will be discussed in Part II. chromosome through the recombination of the homologous regions, the construction process was carried out in a <u>rec</u> cell.

b. <u>Results</u>

The transduction of ZD7 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λ^S / RP4 λ att) with λ drpoBC18 is shown in Table 2. The constructed plasmid pZD100 is the result of the lysogenisation of RP4 λ att with λ drpoBC18 (Fig. 2). The resistance of ZD7 transductants to rifampicin cannot be attributed to the presence of the constructed plasmid (Fig. 3) unless it is proved that it is associated with plasmid transfer, i.e. the transfer of the resistance to ampicillin, kanamycin and tetracycline antibiotics. I will provide evidence, later, that the plasmid pZD100 is temperature sensitive.

Transfer of the plasmid pZD100 was shown by mating <u>E.coli</u> ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 λ cI857S7/pZD100) with J62 (Pro trp his λ^+ str-r) as shown in Table 3. A parallel mating experiment to transfer RP4 λ att from ZD7 (metB B_1 <u>recA56 str-s</u> λ^{S} /RP4 λ att) into the same recipient was run at the same time as a control. The transconjugants were selected on glucose minimal media supplemented with the required amino acids and the antibiotics ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and streptomycin. A random selection of 100 transconjugants of the mating involving the transfer of the plasmid pZD100 were tested for their resistance to rifampicin by patching them on two selective plates, one of them containing rifampicin.

Table 2 The construction of the plasmid pZD100

Recipient	Transduction to rifampicin resistant with λd <u>rpoBC</u> 18	Location of λd <u>rpoBC</u> 18		
ZD6 (RP4)	+	Chromosomal		
ZD7 (RP4 λ att)	+ .	Plasmid and chromosomal		

ZD7 (metB B recA56 str-s λ^{S} /RP4 λ att) was transduced with $\lambda drpoBC18$ 1 (1010/ml p.f.u. and 4x105 transductants/ml). The transduction was carried out as described by Kirschbaum (1973). 0.2 ml of fresh late log phase ZD7 in 0.01 M MgSO₄ was mixed with 0.01 ml of phage lysate and the mixture was incubated at 30°C for 15 mins. 0.1 ml of the mixture was whirlimixed with 2 mls BBL top agar and was plated over fresh BBL plate (see Media). After six hours incubation at 30°C, the rifampicin was layered (100 km stresh stresh back of 2D6 (metB B recA56 str-s λ^{S} /RP4) was carried out in the same way. 0.1 ml of ZD7, ZD6 and $\lambda drpoBC18$ (0.2 ml of 0.01 M MgSO₄ + 0.01 ml phage lysate] were plated separately as controls too.

The yield of the transductants (above) was rather high (+). The untransduced rifampicin resistant colonies of ZD6 and ZD7 appeared at a frequency of $10^{-7}-4\times10^{-7}$.

The transductants and the untransduced colonies of ZD6 and ZD7 on the rifampicin plate were tested for their temperature sensitivity as well as their resistance to rifampicin. The untransduced colonies of ZD6 and ZD7 were resistant to rifampicin at 42°C. The temperature sensitive rifampicin resistant transductants of ZD6 and ZD7 comprised' 56% and 100% respectively.

The temperature sensitive rifampicin resistant transductants (e.g. ZD100) were tested for the location of $\lambda drpoBC18$ (whether) plasmid or chromosomal by spot mating nine of them with the following recipients: TGL1 (metB his argH recA str-r) and TGL2 (metB his argH recA str-r $\lambda cI857$). Recombinants were selected in the presence of streptomycin and the ampicillin. ZD6 transductants were spot mated in the same way as a control. The transfer of ampicillin resistance was reduced in only three of the ZD7 matings into the TGL1 recipient. Therefore only 33% of the ZD7 transductants represent cells in which $\lambda drpoBC18$ is on the plasmid.

Table 3.	The	transfer	of	pZD100	and	its	zygotic	induction.
----------	-----	----------	----	--------	-----	-----	---------	------------

Donor	Recipient	Frequency of transfer per donor	ratio <u>Transfer into lysogen</u> Transfer into non-lysogen
ZD7 (metB B_1 recA56 str ^S λ^{S} /RP4 λ att)	J62 (pro trp his λ^{+} str-r)	7×10^{-3}	2.7
ZD7 (metB B_1 recA56 str ^S λ^{S} /RP4 λ att)	X240 (metB his B strA lac)	2.6×10^{-3}	2.7
ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 λ c1857S7/pZD100)	J62 (pro trp his λ^+ str-r)	3.5×10^{-3}	120 7
ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 λ c1857S7/pZD100)	X240 (metB his $B_1 $ strA lac)	2.9×10^{-5}	+2000

The transfer of RP4 derivatives pZD100 and RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ was carried out by liquid culture mating for two hours at 30^oC (see Methods). The frequency of transfer per donor is the number of the transconjugants divided by the number of the donor cells/ml at the beginning of the matings. This table illustrates that pZD100 is transmissible to a λ -lysogen recipient. However, its zygotic induction on transfer into a λ non-lysogen recipient is shown by the significant reduction in its frequency of transfer Legend to Fig. 3

This is a model for the genetical structure of the plasmid pZD100. It confers the resistance to ampicillin, tetracycline, kanamycin and rifampicin. This map is deduced from the physical studies of the plasmid which will be discussed in Part II.



The transfer of the plasmid pZDlOO is affected by the presence or the absence of λ in the recipient. This was shown by mating the same donors (see above) with a λ non-lysogen recipient, X240 (met<u>B</u> <u>his</u> <u>Bl</u> <u>strA</u> <u>lac</u>) (see Table 3). The transconjugants of the two matings were selected as described above. A hundred colonies of pZDlOO transconjugants were also tested for rifampicin resistance in the same way.

I found that on transfer of pZD100 into the λ lysogen recipient, J62, 100% of the transconjugants were resistant to rifampicin whereas in the case where pZD100 was transferred into the λ non-lysogen recipient, X240, the rifampicin resistant transconjugants comprises only 17%. The frequencies of transfer of pZD100 and RP4 λ <u>att</u> into both recipients are shown in Table 3. There is about 120 fold difference in the frequency of transfer of pZD100 into a λ non-lysogen recipient.

The reduction in the transfer of the rifampicin resistance to the λ non-lysogen recipient, X240, provides evidence that $\lambda d_{\underline{rpoBC}18}$ is carried by the plasmid pZD100. c. Discussion

The phage $\lambda b2$ partially deleted for the attachment site (Δ .P') shows an int-directed recombination with an intact phage attachment site (P.P'), such as is carried by RP4 λatt (Gottesman and Weisberg, 1971; Pastrana, 1976; Pastrana and Brammar, 1979). This was originally used by Pastrana (1976) to reveal the presence of the <u>att</u> region of λ fragment (srI $\lambda 2$ -3) in the recombinant plasmid RP4 λatt . It has been shown that the frequency of recombination between the $\Delta .\underline{P}'$ and the $\underline{P}.\underline{P}'$ is higher than that of the recombination between $\Delta .\underline{P}'$ and the bacterial $\underline{B}.\underline{B}'$ sequences of the normal integration site of the bacteriophage λ (Zichichi and Kellenberger, 1963; Signer <u>et al</u>, 1969).

 $\lambda d\underline{rpoBC}$ 18 is likely to be similar to $\lambda b2$ in that it is deleted in the <u>P</u> sequence of the <u>att</u> region due to the replacement the bacterial chromosome segment (see Introduction).

The (srI $\lambda 2-3$) $\lambda \underline{att}$ fragment contains <u>int</u>29 amber mutations so that the integration of the plasmid RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ into the chromosome through the recombination between <u>P.P'</u> sequence of the plasmid and <u>B.B'</u> on the chromosome is prevented in the absence of the suppressor. For this reason, the construction of the plasmid pZD100 carried out under a suppressor-free cellular environment. The prophage $\lambda drpoBC18$ has its own int gene.

In addition, there exist other requirements which are essential for the <u>in vivo</u> construction of the plasmid pZD100. First, the defect in the recombination system of the cell or the <u>rec</u> condition is required to prevent recombination between the homologous regions of the bacteriophage $\lambda drpoBC18$ and the chromosome. Second, the sensitivity of the cell to the bacteriophage λ is required for the introduction of $\lambda drpoBC18$ into it. Third, the absence of any extrachromosomal element, but RP4 λatt , to avoid any complication which may interfere with the construction of the plasmid pZD100.

The transduction of ZD7 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λ^s / RP4 λ att) with λ drpoBC18 was carried out at 30^OC because of the presence of the cI857 temperature sensitive mutation in the repressor genes of both $\lambda drpoBC18$ and its helper phage λc I857 (Sussman and Jacob, 1962). The transductants were selected for their resistance to the rifampicin antibiotic. However, not all of the rifampicin resistant transductants need to be cells in which $\lambda drpoBC18$ has associated with RP4 λ att; some of them may have had λdrpoBC18 integrated into the chromosome A state of the second of the s However, the temperature resistant colonies of ZD6 (metB $B_1 = recA56$ str-s $\lambda^{S}/RP4$) that appeared among the transductants (see legend to Table 2) are spontaneous rifampicin resistant ZD6 transductants that were temperature mutants. sensitive and rifampicin resistant comprised only 56% and represent the cells in which λd_{rpoBC} has integrated into the chromosome. All the tested ZD7 (metB $B_1 recA56$ str-s $\lambda^{s}/RP4 \lambda att$) transductants were temperature sensitive and rifampicin resistant but they need not be similar; some of them may have the constructed plasmid, pZD100, while the others may have $\lambda drpoBC18$ integrated into the chromosome.

The plasmid pZD100 has been transferred from ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 λ cI857S7/pZD100) into two recipients, one is a λ lysogen and the other is a λ non-lysogen. It is demonstrated (Table 3) that the frequency of transfer of pZD100 into the λ lysogen, J62, is significantly higher

than its transfer into the λ non-lysogen, X240. It would have been better to use two recipients the presence of λ in one of them. The comparison of the transfer of the plasmid RP4 λ att into both recipients serves as a control; there is only 2.7 fold difference in the efficiency of transfer of RP4 λatt into J62 and X240 and this difference reflects the variation in the mating ability of ZD7 (metB $B_1 = recA56 = str-s \lambda^{S}/RP4 \lambda att)$ with both recipients (Table 3). The reduction in the frequency of transfer of pZD100 into the λ non-lysogen recipient con be attributed to the zygotic induction phenomenon. The bacteriophage λ which is present in J62 strain in the lysogenic phase codes for the repressor protein which in turn stabilises the λ drpoBC18 on pZD100 and for this reason higher number of transconjugants were recovered which were resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. The low rifampicin resistant transconjugants of X240 is evidence for the presence of $\lambda drobe{rpoBC}$ on this plasmid; this reduction is attributed to the β of $\lambda drpoBC18$ in the absence of the repressor. However, zygotic induction of RP4 λ att derivative carrying λ b2 has been recently ZD100 (Table 3) demonstrated (Pastrana and Brammar, 1979). was able to be a donor for ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin antibiotic resistant determinants.

Thus the evidence suggests that a transmissible plasmid has been formed, composed of RP4 drug resistant determinants and which harbours at least the <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif</u>^D) and the <u>cI857</u> genes of λd <u>rpoBC18</u>. However, the possibility of the presence of the helper phage λc I857S7 in tandem cannot be excluded on this evidence alone (see below).

B. The Derivation of the Temperature Resistant Plasmids

a. Introduction

It has been shown above that the plasmid pZD100, constructed in <u>E.coli</u>, is temperature sensitive and confers resistances to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. It transfers at a low frequency of 2.9 x 10^{-5} per donor into a λ non-lysogen <u>E.coli</u> recipient. However, the aim is to introduce pZD100 into λ non-lysogenic bacterial species and because of $\frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{2}{2}$ ygotic induction barrier, the experiments described in this section were carried out. The bacterial species are non-lysogens because they are not the normal hosts for the bacteriophage λ (except <u>E.coli</u>). I wanted deletion mutants of pZD100 to overcome zygotic induction.

The selection of the temperature resistant derivatives of pZD100 was not carried out while residing in ZD100 $(\underline{\text{metB}} B_1^- \underline{\text{recA56}} \underline{\text{str-s}} \lambda \underline{\text{cI857/pZD100}})$ because I found that in such a strain the majority of the mutants are revertants which contain a λ repressor which has regained its heat stability. To avoid the complication that may be caused by the presence of two copies of $\underline{\text{cI857}}$, one on the chromosome and the other on the plasmid pZD100, I constructed a single-lysogen cell that contains only pZD100. However, the derivativation of the temperature resistant

Plate no.	No. of trans	conjugants (ZD2	27) at vari	lous dilutions
	0	10 ⁻¹	10 ⁻²	10 ⁻³
1		496	80	10
2	+ .	450	91	12
3	+	543	67	13
Average	+	496	79	12
Frequency of transfer per donor		2.5×10^{-4}	4 x 10	-4 6 x 10 ⁻⁴

<u>Table 4.</u> The construction of the single-lysogen parent as a first step towards the isolation of the temperature resistant derivatives.

This table illustrates the transferability of the plasmid pZD100 from ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 $\lambda cI857S7/pZD100$) into TGL10 (F <u>his</u> trpA9761 argE171 recA56). The mating mixture was incubated for six hours in a shaking water bath at 30°C. The transconjugants were selected on glucose minimal medium with arginine, tryptophan and histidine (at 20 µg/ml) and the antibiotics which select for RP4, i.e. ampicillin, kanamycin and tetracycline. ZD100 and TGL10 were streaked onto the transconjugants selective plates as a control for the absence of their growth; in addition, they were tested for their resistances to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin by using the Oxoid Multodisk (see Methods).

The frequency of transfer of pZD100 into the λ non-lysogen recipient, TGL10, is 4.2x10⁻⁴ per donor.

plasmids was achieved by the selection of temperature resistant mutants from the single-lysogen temperature sensitive parents harbouring pZD100; this selection will probably lead to deletion in the original plasmid pZD100.

Here, I will first describe the construction of the single lysogen cell that harbours the temperature sensitive plasmid and second the isolation of the temperature resistant mutants.

b. <u>Results</u>

1. The construction of the single-lysogen parents

The plasmid pZD100 was transferred from ZD100 (metB $B_1 = recA56 \lambda cI857S7/pZD100$) into a non-lysogenic (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56) by recipient TGL10 liquid culture mating (see Methods) for six hours at $30^{\circ}C$ (see legend to Table 4). It is shown (Table 4) that pZD100 transfers into the λ non-lysogen recipient, TGL10, at a frequency of 4.2 x 10^{-4} per donor. The selected transconjugants were tested for their resistance to rifampicin to estimate the survival of the phage λ drpoBC18 in the transfer; for this test a sample of fifty transconjugants of the above mating experiment were tested by streaking them onto the original selective medium and a similar plate supplemented with rifampicin (100 μ g/ml). Forty eight out of the tested fifty were rifampicin resistant, i.e. 96% of the transconjugants.

2. The characterisation of the single-lysogen parents

The rifampicin resistant transconjugants of the above mating were characterised prior to their utilisation for

the isolation of the temperature resistant mutants. Fifteen L-broth cultures were prepared by inoculating them from the selective plates and they were used for the following tests:

(i) λ immunity test

It was carried out as described in the methods. ZD100 (metB B₁ recA56 str-s λ cI857S7/pZD100) and TGL10 (F <u>his</u> trpA9761 argE171 recA56) were used as controls for the λ lysogen and the λ non-lysogen cells respectively. A sterility control was done by spotting λv and λ cl at many dilutions on BBL plate with no bacterial lawn. All the fifteen tested cultures were λ immune plating λv but not λ cl (see Table 5).

(ii) The stability of the antibiotic resistances The fifteen cultures were checked for their resistance to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin antibiotics as described in the methods. ZD100 (metB B_1^- recA56 str-s λ cI857S7/ pZD100) and TGL10 (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56) were used as controls for the antibiotic resistant and the antibiotic sensitive bacteria respectively. All the tested cultures were resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin to which ZD100 was resistant and TGL10 was sensitive (Table 5).

(iii) <u>Temperature sensitivity</u>

This test was done by streaking the bacterial culture onto two similar selective plates; one is incubated

Parent strain	λ immunity	Stability of antibiotic resistance	Tempe sensi 42°C	rature tivity 30°C	Single lysogeny
ZD27-1	+ .	+ .	_	+	+
ZD27-2	+	+ .	-	+	+ .
ZD27-3	+	+	-	+	+
ZD27-4	+ .	+	-	+ .	+
ZD27-5	+	+ .	-	+	+
ZD27-6	-]-	+	-	+	+
ZD27-7	+	+ .	-	+	+
ZD27-8	+	+ .	-	+	+
ZD27-9	+	+	-	+	+
ZD27-10	+	+ 、	-	+	+
ZD27-11	+	+	-	+	+
ZD27-12	+	+	-	+ .	+
ZD27-13	. +	+	-	+	+
ZD27-14	• + .	÷	-	+	+
ZD27-15	+	+	-	+	+
ZD100	+	. +	-	+	-
TGL10	_	<u> </u>	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.

Table 5. Properties of the temperature sensitive parents.

These are the four employed tests for the temperature sensitive parents which will be used for the selection the temperature resistant mutants.

- + is λ immune, resistant to all the antibiotics of the Multodisk, temperature sensitive and a single lysogen.
- is λ sensitive, sensitive to all the antibiotics of the Multodisk, temperature sensitive and a double lysogen.

N.D. Not done.

at 30[°]C and the other at 42[°]C. All the tested cultures were temperature sensitive as they were unable to grow at 42[°]C but only at 30[°]C (see Table 5).

(iv) Single lysogeny for $\lambda drpoBC18$

This test was employed to check that the above cultures were single lysogens for the λ drpoBCl8 present on the plasmid. One or more of them could have picked up free helper from the conjugation mixture. Lysates were prepared from the fifteen cultures by heat induction (see Methods). A Lysate from ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λc 1857S7/pZD100) culture was prepared as a double lysogen control. Double lysogens should yield viable plaque forming phage. Heat-induced lysates of each culture were plated on In contrast to a an appropriate indicator (Ymel). lysate of ZD100, none of these gave plaques, showing that the strains only contained the defective transducing phage (see Table 5).

3. The selection of the temperature resistant mutants

To isolate the temperature resistant mutants, a sample of 0.2 ml of midlog phase of each of the above fifteen L-broth cultures was plated onto a selective plate and was incubated at 42°C; another 0.2 ml sample of the same culture was plated on LB plate (see Media) and was incubated at the same temperature. The number of temperature resistant mutants that have arisen on the selective plate and on the non-selective LB plate are shown in Table 6. It is evident that the number of the temperature resistant colonies

Parental strains	Frequency of the temperature resistant colonies on the selective minimal plate	Frequency of the temperature resistant colonies on the LB plate
ZD27-1	6.6 x 10 ⁻⁵	+
ZD27-2	6.3×10^{-5}	+
ZD27-3	1.3×10^{-4}	. +
ZD27-4	2×10^{-4}	+
ZD27-5	-	2.8×10^{-4}
ZD27-6	-	2.4×10^{-4}
ZD27-7		4.3×10^{-4}
ZD27-8	1.3×10^{-6}	· –
ZD27-9	5×10^{-6}	+
ZD27-10	9.6 $\times 10^{-5}$	+
ZD27-11	1.3×10^{-6}	1.7×10^{-4}
ZD27-12	9.6 x 10^{-5}	+
ZD27-13	6.6×10^{-5}	+
ZD27-14	1.3×10^{-6}	2.6×10^{-4}
2D27-15	9.8×10^{-5}	÷

The selection for the temperature resistant mutants. Table 6.

The temperature resistant mutants were selected by incubating the selective plates and LB plates at 42°C. It is illustrated that the temperature sensitive parental strains which were used for the selection of the temperature resistant mutants fall into two groups; one group produces the temperature resistant mutants at higher frequency when compared with the second group.

- where no temperature resistant mutants + where the frequency of the temperature resistant mutant is very high, between 1.3x10⁻³-1.3x10⁻². The average number of the plated cells was 8×10^{-7} and the frequencies were estimated by dividing the number of the temperature resistant colonies by 8×10^{-7} and then multiplying by a hundred.

on the non-selective LB-plates is higher than the number on the antibiotic selective plates. On the basis of the comparison of the sources of temperature resistant colonies, (Table 6) it is apparent that the temperature sensitive parental cells are divided into two groups: one of them tends to produce of temperature resistant colonies at higher frequencies on the antibiotic selective plate which ranges between 6.3 x 10^{-5} - 2 x 10^{-4} , and the other group has a very low tendency to produce the temperature resistant mutants as their frequencies range between 0-5 x 10^{-6} There seems to be differences between (see Table 6). the temperature sensitive parental strains and there are several explanations which I will discuss later.

4. Properties of the temperature resistant mutants

The isolation of the temperature resistant mutants was followed by testing their sensitivity to the bacteriophage λ and by testing the stability of their resistances to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin antibiotics (see Methods). For these tests, a maximum of ten temperature resistant colonies of each line of the isolates inoculated from the selective plate into L-broth and incubated at 42° C. It found that the temperature resistant cultures are divided into five groups as far as the resistance to the antibiotics is concerned. The majority of them (43%) have stably maintained the resistances to the ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin

antibiotics. The second group (36%) includes the cells which are resistant to ampicillin only. The third group (12.8%) represent the cells which have lost the resistance to rifampicin but maintained RP4 drug resistant determinants. A small group (3.5%) includes the cells which have lost the ampicillin resistance but are resistant to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. However, there are some cells (4.7%) which are sensitive to all the tested antibiotics.

On the other hand, the λ sensitivity test has revealed that 90.8% of the temperature resistant mutants were λ sensitive and the rest fall into three classes; one class comprises 7% of the temperature resistant mutants and represents the cells which remained λ immune. Partially resistant or totally resistant to λ are the second and the third classes comprising 1.1% each.

As far as the λ sensitivity of the 43% group, which is described above is concerned, I have found that its individual cultures fall into four groups. The majority, 74.2% are λ sensitive; the second group is λ immune and it comprises 19.4%; the third and fourth group, each comprising 3.2% are partially resistant and totally resistant to λ .

However, the 3.5% group of the temperature resistant mutants which are sensitive to ampicillin but resistant to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin are found to be sensitive to the bacteriophage λ . Since $\underbrace{}$ many temperature resistant mutants which are sensitive apreared to the bacteriophage λ , therefore the occurrance of a deletion event in $\lambda d_{\underline{rpoBC}}$ 18 part of pZD100 can be suggested.

c. <u>Discussion</u>

The main interest in the isolation of the temperature resistant derivatives of the plasmid pZD100 is to remove the major part of the λ genome and to produce a derivative which consists largely of the RP4 genome and that part of λ drpoBC18 which contains at least rpoB3.

Two stages have been involved for the derivation of the temperature resistant plasmids: the first one is the transfer of the plasmid pZD100 into a λ non-lysogen recipient; for the plasmid pZD100 to escape zygotic induction there must be either a mutation or a deletion that would maintain the plasmid in the cell; there could be rare cases where the prophage either does not come out (or replicate) at all, or does but returns and gets repressed.

However, the single lysogen parental cells (F <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD100</u>) were λ immune, temperature sensitive and they were resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. This would suggest the possibility of the presence of the whole pZD100 in these cells (see Fig. 3). The resistance to the ampicillin, kanamycin and tetracycline indicates the presence of the whole RP4 part of pZD100 whereas the immunity to λ and the resistance to the rifampicin suggests the presence of λ drpoBC18 part of the plasmid.

It is unlikely that the protection against $\langle \cdot \rangle$ zygotic induction of pZD100 is caused by a mutation on the plasmid or by a deletion in the λ part of the plasmid; in this case this structural change of the pZD100 plasmid must be permanent and therefore if the plasmid is transfered again into a λ non-lysogen recipient it should not be subjected to zygotic induction similar to its transfer into a λ lysogen recipient; in other words, the protection from the zygotic induction should be permanent; however, this is not the case as will be illustrated in Part III.

It may be considered that the maintenance of the plasmid in the λ non-lysogen recipient is accomplished by a repressor building up mechanism which stabilises $\lambda d_{\underline{rpoBC}}$ 18 It has been shown that 96% of the transon pZD100. conjugants (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD100) of the mating between ZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λc 1857S7/ pZD100) and TGL10 (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56) were resistant to the four antibiotics but only 4% were rifampicin sensitive and maintained the resistances to ampicillin, kanamycin and tetracycline. This suggests that they represent the cells in which RP4 λatt plasmid has segregated from the plasmid pZD100 or the cells in which spontaneous excision of $\lambda d\underline{rif}D18$ has occurred; the loss of the phage could happen spontaneously before the mating or during the mating.

A total of fifteen of the above transconjugants were chosen for the isolation of the temperature resistant mutants as it was not clear at this point that all the

temperature sensitive parents harbour the same plasmid whether it is the original pZD100 plasmid or a mutated derivative.

The second stage which is the selection of the temperature resistant mutants from the chosen fifteen L-broth cultures has proved that they harbour different plasmids because they fell mainly into two groups, one produces the temperature resistant mutants at significantly higher frequency than the other, which is more capable of killing the cell at the non-permissive temperature.

Certainly this difference cannot be due $to_{A}^{hc} \underline{C}I$ gene of λ , because the plasmids of the two groups are temperature sensitive due $to_{A}^{hc}\underline{C}I857$ mutation. However, \bigcirc structural differences between the temperature sensitive parent plasmids \bigcirc be detected by hybridisation with $\lambda drpoBC18$ and with RP4 λatt . On the other hand, it is possible to conduct mating experiments with two recipients, one \bigcirc a λ -lysogen and the other \bigcirc a λ sensitive, and compare the efficiency of zygotic induction in these matings involving both types of temperature sensitive plasmids.

Also, the difference between types of temperature sensitive parents could be chromosomal; in this case, curing one of them the plasmid and transferring the other temperature sensitive plasmid into the cured cells followed by the selection of temperature resistant mutants will answer the question of whether the difference is due to the plasmid or not.

Part II The Characterisation of the Plasmid pZD100 and its Derivatives

a. Introduction

Full structural and genetic characterisation of the plasmids is important because the aim is to utilise them to transfer <u>rpoB</u> gene of <u>E.coli</u> into different species. It is thus important to be able to detect any kind of change in the properties of the plasmid after its introduction into an alien cell.

The temperature resistant λ sensitive mutants that are of importance to my studies are the rifampicin resistant ones. For this reason, two groups of these mutants have been chosen for this study; one includes those which are resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin, and the other represents the mutants that are sensitive to ampicillin but resistant to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin.

Here, I present the structural and genetic properties of the plasmids from the temperature resistant mutants as compared with the original plasmid pZDLOO. The comparative studies will include the <u>in vitro</u> characterisation of the plasmids such as the determination of their molecular weights and their physical structure by restriction mapping. But first I will present an account of their genetic markers.

b. <u>Results</u>

1. In vivo characterisation of pZD100 and its derivatives Several tests were employed for the chosen temperature

E.coli temperature resistant mutants	RD 100 μg/ml	he Oxoid A PN 50 μg/ml	ntibiotic TE 10 µg/ml	Multodisk PY 100 µg/ml	K 25 μg/ml	PRR1 sensitivity	λ immunity
ZD2714	+	+	+	+	+		_
ZD2742	÷	+	+	+	+	+	-
ZD2743	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	
ZD2744	+ .	+ .	+	+	+	+	_
ZD2745	+	+	+ .	+	+	+	_
ZD2746	+	+	· +	+	+	+	. .
ZD2723	+ .	-	+ .	-	+	+	-
ZD27154	+	_	+ .	-	+	+	-
ZD27135	+ .	-	+ .	-	+	+	-
ZD100	+	+	+ .	+	+	÷	+
ZD7	-	+	+ .	+	+ .	+	N.D.
TGL10	_	-	-	-	·	-	-

Table 7. The in vivo characterisation of the temperature resistant plasmids

The temperature resistant mutants were inoculated from the antibiotics selective plates into three mls of sterile L-broth and were incubated at $37^{\circ}C$; the mid log phase cultures have been used for the tests of this table.

- +/- is resistant/senstive to the antibiotic; sensitive/resistant to the RP4-specific phage PRR1; immune/sensitive to the bacteriophage λ .
- RD is rifampicin, PN is ampicillin, TE is tetracycline, PY is carbenicillin, and K is kanamycin.
- N.D. not done.

resistant mutants to characterise the plasmids that they harbour and to compare their genetical structures with that of pZD100.

(i) Checking the antibiotic resistances

The employed method is as described in the legend to Table 7 and in Methods. It is shown (Table 7) that the chosen temperature resistant mutants are in two groups: one is resistant to ampicillin/carbenicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin like the parent pZD100 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λ cI857S7/pZD100); the second group is sensitive, to ampicillin/carbenicillin but resistant to the other antibiotics.

(ii) Sensitivity to RP4-specific phage PRR1

This test was carried out to detect the production of RP4 coded pili which are specific for the bacteriophage PRR1. The lysate of PRR1 was plated at various dilutions (see Methods) on lawns of the temperature resistant mutants. Like the control strains which harbour pZD100 and RP4 λ <u>att</u> plasmids (see Table 7), the temperature resistant mutants were sensitive to the bacteriophage PRR1, whereas TGL10 was resistant to the tested phage.

(iii) λ immunity test

This test was employed for the same cultures of the above tests as described in the Methods. It is demonstrated (Table 7) that like the λ non-lysogen TGL10 control, all the tested temperature resistant mutants were sensitive to the bacteriophage plating both phages λv and λcl ; the control strain ZD100 (metB $B_1 = recA56 = str-s \lambda cI857S7/pZD100$) was sensitive to λv but immune to λcl .



Fig. 4. This scheme illustrates the derivation of the temperature resistant mutants from the λ non-lysogen/pZD100 temperature sensitive parents.

In summary, it is concluded from Table 7, that in addition to the resistances to the antibiotics, the temperature resistant mutants are sensitive to the bacteriophage PRR1 which means that RP4 has survived. The sensitivity of these mutants to λ indicated that some of the bacteriophage genes have been removed.

(iv) Zygotic induction

It has been shown earlier (Table 3) that the original plasmid pZDlOO transfers at a lower frequency into a λ non-lysogen recipient than ______ into a λ lysogenic one. It is a matter of interest to investigate primarily the zygotic induction of these temperature resistant plasmids by comparing their transfer into <u>E.coli</u> λ lysogen^{ic} and λ non-lysogen^c recipients. The transfer ability of the temperature resistant mutants was compared individually with their temperature sensitive parent (Fig. 4; Table 8).

The temperature resistant and the temperature sensitive plasmids were transferred from their donors (Table 8) into the λ lysogen TGL6 (metB $B_1 = recA56 = str-s \lambda^+ \lambda^R$) and λ non-lysogen AW1 (metB $B_1 = recA56 = str-s \lambda^S$) recipients by liquid culture matings for two hours at the appropriate temperatures i.e. at 37°C for the matings of the temperature resistant mutants and at 30°C for the matings of the temperature sensitive parent. RP4 λatt was transferred from ZD28 (F⁻ his⁻ $trpA9761 = argE171 = recA56/RP4 = \lambda att$) into the same recipients as a control for the absence of zygotic induction. Neither the donors nor the recipients grew

sensitive parent.							
Donor	Recipient	Frequency of transfer per donor	Zygotic induction ratio	<pre>% rifampicin resistant transconjugants</pre>			
ZD27-2 (ts)	AW1 (λ^{-})	6.3×10^{-4}	3.8	87			
ZD27-2	TGL6 (λ^+)	2.4×10^{-3}	0.0	100			
ZD2723 (ts ⁺)	AWl (λ^{-})	3.5×10^{-2}	0.1	100			
ZD2723	TGL6 (λ ⁺)	5.3×10^{-3}	0.12	100			
ZD28	AW1 (λ^{-})	2.2×10^{-3}	1.5	N.D.			
ZD28	TGL6 $(\lambda^+)^{-1}$	3.4×10^{-3}		N.D.			

<u>Table 8</u>. The zygotic induction test of the temperature resistant plasmid and its temperature sensitive parent.

AWl (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λ^s) is the λ non-lysogen recipient; TGL6 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s $\lambda^+ \lambda^R$) is the λ lysogen recipient. See Fig. 4 for details of the donors. ZD28 (F <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171 recA56/RP4 λ att</u>) serves as a control for the transfer of RP4 λ att into AWl and TGL6.

0.1 ml of -0, 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} and 10^{-3} dilutions of the mating mixture were plated with top agar over the selective plates; each plate contains methionine, Bl and either kanamycin for the ZD2723 matings or ampicillin and kanamycin for the rest of the matings.

The donors and the recipients were streaked on the selective plates as a control and they were tested for their resistances to the antibiotics by the Multodisk.

Zygotic induction ratio, is the ratio between the frequency of transfer of the plasmid to the λ lysogen recipient to that of its transfer to the λ non-lysogen recipient. However, there is 55.6-fold difference between the frequencies of transfer of the temperature sensitive plasmid from ZD27-2 and its temperature resistant derivative from ZD2723 into the λ non-lysogen recipient; whereas only 2.2-fold difference between their transfer into the λ lysogen one. The transconjugants were examined for their resistance to rifampicin by patching a maximum of fifty transconjugants onto two selective plates, with and without rifampicin.

N.D. Not done.

on the selective plates; both recipients, AW1 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λ^{s}) and TGL6 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s $\lambda^{+} \lambda^{R}$) were sensitive to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin to which all the temperature resistant donors (Table 8) except ZD2723 were resistant. ZD28 (F <u>his</u> trpA9761 argE171 recA56/RP4 λ att) was sensitive to the rifampicin but resistant to all the antibiotics which resistances are conferred by RP4.

Like the transfer of RP4 λatt into the λ lysogen and the λ non-lysogen recipients, there is no zygotic induction of the temperature resistant plasmid (Table 8). However, there is a significant reduction in the transfer of the parental temperature sensitive plasmid when transferred into the λ non-lysogen recipient AW1 (Table 8), which I ascribe to its continued susceptibility to zygotic induction. The reduction in the transferability of the plasmids is indicated by the zygotic induction ratio (see Table 8).

All the transconjugants which have the temperature resistant plasmid are resistant to rifampicin (Table 8) whereas not all the transconjugants which have the plasmids from the temperature sensitive donors were rifampicin resistant.

(v) Transferability

The temperature resistant plasmids were transferred into <u>E.coli</u>, TGL6 (<u>metB</u> B_1^- <u>recA56</u> <u>str-s</u> $\lambda^+ \lambda^R$) by liquid culture mating for two hours at 37°C. The transferability of the temperature sensitive parent plasmids was tested

				
Dono	or ¹	Frequency per	of transfer donor	<pre>% rifampicin resistant transconjugants</pre>
ZD27-2 (ts)	2.4	x 10 ⁻³	100
ZD2723 (ts ⁺)	5.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	100
ZD27-4 (ts)	3.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	100
ZD2742 (ts ⁺)	4	$\times 10^{-8}$	N.D.
ZD2743 (ts ⁺)	2.4	$\times 10^{-7}$	100
ZD2744 (ts ⁺)		0	N.D.
ZD2745 (ts ⁺)	1.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	100
ZD2746 (ts ⁺)	7.4	$\times 10^{-8}$	N.D.

<u>Table 9</u> The transferability test of the temperature resistant plasmids and their temperature sensitive parent plasmids.

The temperature sensitive donors (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD100?) are phenotypically similar. The temperature resistant donors fall into two groups which are derived from two lines. ZD2723 (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD23) is ampicillin sensitive but kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin resistant; it has been derived from ZD27-2. The second group of the temperature resistant plasmids was derived from ZD27-4 and includes the mutants that are resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. All the above donors were mated with TGL6 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s $\lambda^+ \lambda^R$) by liquid culture mating (see Methods). The transconjugants were selected in the presence of methionine, thiamine, ampicillin and kanamycin (except the pZD23 mating). The transconjugants which have pZD23 were selected in the presence of kanamycin only in addition to methionine and thiamine. All transconjugants were tested for their rifampicin resistance by patching them on two selective plates, with and without rifampicin.

N.D. Not done.

Some of the above data, concerning pZD23 and its temperature sensitive parent plasmid, are extracted from Table 8.

at 30° C by the same method. It is shown (Table 9) that the temperature resistant plasmids are also divided into two groups as far as their transferability is concerned. One group, such as pZD23 (of ZD2723) is <u>tra</u>⁺ while the second group transfers at a very low frequency (<u>tra</u>⁻).

So far, it is concluded that the temperature resistant plasmid pZD23 contains that part of the RP4 genome which confers the resistance to kanamycin and tetracycline and which contains the <u>tra</u> genes which are responsible for the transfer of the plasmid. In addition, the plasmid pZD23 has that part of $\lambda drpoBC18$ which contains the <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif^D</u>) conferring the resistance to the rifampicin. In addition I infer that the deletion in the temperature resistant plasmid, pZD23, ends either within or beyond <u>Ap</u>^r gene (see Fig. 3); the other end of the deletion can be defined by testing the presence of <u>the</u> <u>rpoC</u> gene.

(vi) Does pZD23 harbour rpoC?

The following complementation test was employed in order to fix the other end of the λ deletion of pZD100 (Fig. 3). The plasmid pZD23 was transferred from ZD2723 (F <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD23</u>) into two <u>rpoC</u> temperature sensitive mutants. One of them, X242 (<u>metB</u> B₁ <u>his</u> <u>recA56 strA lac rpoC4</u>) is <u>rec</u> whilst the other, 397C (<u>argG</u> B₁ <u>rpoCts</u>) is <u>rec</u>⁺. Crosses were done in liquid culture (see Methods) for three hours at 30°C. The donor, ZD2723 (F <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD23</u>) and the recipient X242 (<u>metB</u> B₁ <u>his</u> <u>recA56 strA lac rpoC4</u>)

Donor	Recipient	Frequency of transfer per donor	% rifampicin resistant transconjugants (30 ⁰ C)	<pre>% ts⁺ rifampicin resistant transconjugants</pre>				
ZD2723	397C (RecA ⁺)	7.1 x 10^{-3}	100	100				
ZD2723	X242 (RecA)	6.2×10^{-5}	100	20				
ZD7	397C	8.6×10^{-2}						
ZD28	X242	8.6×10^{-5}						
The gend	The genotypes of the donors are: ZD2723 (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD23) ZD7 (metB B recA56 str ^S λ ^S /RP4 λ att) ZD28 (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/BP4 λ att)							
The reci	ipients are <u>rec</u>	and rec temperature so	ensitive mutants for the	rpoC gene of <u>E.coli</u> ;				
their ge	enotypes are: 3 X	97C ($\underline{\operatorname{argG}} \ B_{\underline{1}} \ \underline{\operatorname{rpoCts}}$) 242 ($\underline{\operatorname{metB}} \ B_{\underline{1}} \ \underline{\operatorname{his}} \ \underline{\operatorname{recA5}}$)	6 <u>strA lac rpoC</u> 4)					

Table 10. Does the plasmid pZD23 harbour the <u>rpoC</u> gene?

The transconjugants of the X242 mating were selected in the presence of the methionine, thiamine, histidine and kanamycin. The transconjugants of the second mating were selected in the presence of arginine, thiamine and kanamycin.

Forty purified transconjugants which have pZD23 were patched onto two similar selective plates with the exception that one of them contains rifampicin; the donor ZD2723 and the recipients were streaked onto the same plates as controls for the absence of their growth. X242 has a high tendency for reversion as it should be purified many times before starting the experiment. and 397C (argG B_1 rpoCts) were streaked onto the selective plates as controls for the absence of their growth; the second control was to test their antibiotic resistances by the Multodisk (see Methods); thirdly, control crosses were made in which the plasmid RP4 λ att was transferred to both recipients; the donor strain used for the transfer of RP4 λ att into 397C was ZD7 (metB B_1 recA56 str-s λ ^S/ RP4 λ att) and for its transfer into X242 was ZD28 (F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/RP4 λ att).

The transconjugants from the pZD23 crosses (Table 10) were tested for their rifampicin resistance (legend -Table 10). Of the tested transconjugants from both matings all are rifampicin resistant at 30⁰C.

Ten rifampicin resistant \underline{rec}^+ transconjugants (397C) were tested for their temperature sensitivity in the presence and absence of rifampicin. Table 10 shows that 100% of these \underline{rec}^+ transconjugants ($\underline{argG} B_1^- \underline{rpoCts/pZD23}$) were temperature resistant in the presence and the absence of the rifampicin at 42°C and at 30°C.

A Similar test for the temperature sensitivity of the X242 rifampicin resistant transconjugants (<u>metB</u> B_1 <u>his</u> <u>recA56 strA lac rpoC4/pZD23</u>) was carried out in the same way on supplemented glucose minimal media. It is demonstrated (Table 10) that only two out of the ten tested X242 (<u>rec</u>) transconjugants, i.e. 20%, were temperature resistant.

It can be possibly concluded that there is a deletion in the rpoC gene of the plasmid, pZD23, such that in a

<u>rec</u>⁺ background only, it can recombine with the temperature sensitive <u>rpoC</u> mutation. It is possible to find the explanation of these results from <u>in vitro</u> analysis. The conclusions from these tests are likely to be informative about the structure of the original plasmid pZD100 (see Fig. 3) and about how the RP4 λ <u>att</u> genome is oriented with respect to the λ d<u>rpoBC</u>18 genome on the plasmid pZD100.

2. <u>In vitro</u> characterisation of p2D100 and its derivatives

The physical analysis of the temperature resistant plasmids and the original plasmid p2D100 will contribute to our understanding of the structure of these plasmids. The physical analysis includes two tests which will be described below.

(i) Determination of the molecular weights of the plasmids

The comparison of the molecular sizes of these plasmids demonstrates the existence of the deletions in these plasmids and allows me to determine the size of these deletions. I chose three temperature resistant plasmids for this study. Two of them, pZD23 and pZD135, confer resistance to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin but not ampicillin. The other plasmid, pZD44, confers the resistance to ampicillin in addition to the above three antibiotics and was isolated in the same way in the presence of ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. The plasmid pZD135 was isolated mainly for
the comparison with the pZD23 plasmid. The original plasmid pZD100 (Fig. 3), the plasmids RP4 and RP4 λatt as well as the temperature resistant plasmids, mentioned above, were isolated in the presence of the antibiotics to which they confer $\widehat{(\cdot)}$ resistances (see Methods).

The molecular weight of each plasmid was estimated by measuring the contour length of the plasmid DNA molecule relative to the nearest standard plasmid molecule under the electron microscope. The plasmids pBR313 and pSClOl were separately used as standards. The molecular weights of the tested plasmids are shown in Table 11. It is shown that the molecular weights of the plasmids pZD23 and pZD135 are exactly the same, whilst pZD44 is 3.7 megadaltons bigger.

In all the plasmid DNA preparations of Table 11, except pZD100, there were only molecules of a single size. However, in pZD100 preparation, there were different classes of molecules, one of them corresponds to the predicted molecular weight of the plasmid pZD100 (81.8 megadaltons). The predicted size of pZD100 should equal to the total molecular weights of RP4 λ <u>att</u> (46.04 Md) and λ drpoBC18 (about 35 Md).

(ii) Restriction analysis of pZD44 and pZD23

This analysis was carried out to establish the physical maps of both plasmids which will allow me to conclude the genetical structure of the temperature sensitive plasmid pZD100 from which they were derived. The restriction analysis will determine the size and

	morecurat wergines of the prasmitus.					
Plasmid	No. of molecules measured	Standard used	Molecular Weight (Megadaltons)			
RP4	12	pSC101	42.5 ± 0.6			
RP4 λatt	10	pSC101	46.04 ± 0.6			
pZD23	8	pBR313	54.8 ± 0.4			
pZD135	11	pBR313	54.8 ± 0.6			
pZD44	. 11	pBR313	58.5 ± 0.7			
pZD100	* 6 * 5	pSClOl	81.8 ± 0.8 60.1 ± 1.2			

Table 11The electron microscopic determination of the
molecular weights of the plasmids.

* In addition to these there was one molecule of each of the following: 45.5 Md, 69.2 Md, 102.5 Md, 134.2 Md, 141.7 Md.

The plasmid DNA preparation is described in the Methods. The conditions that were used for the spreading of the plasmids are the same as Davis et al, 1971; three copper grids (small circular copper pieces of copper mesh) were prepared for each plasmid. They were covered with a film of plasmid DNA + standard/cytochrome C, washed in uranyl acetate stain (0.05 M uranyl acetate, 0.05 M HCl) for 30 seconds and finally rinsed in 90% ethanol for 10 seconds then dried with filter paper. The copper grids were then shadowed with platinum and then with carbon and finally washed with absolute ethanol for 1 min before viewing under the electron microscope (Siemens 101). Photographs were taken at 20,000x magnification and they were magnified 5x when they were put in De Vere 54 varicon projector for tracing. The tracings of the contour length were measured with a map measure. By measuring the standard pSClOl and pBR313 it was possible to estimate the molecular weight of each plasmid. pSClOl is 6.16 megadaltons and pBR313 is 5.8 megadaltons.

Legend to Fig. 5

The lysogenisation of RP4 λ att with λ drpoBC18 results in the construction of the plasmid pZD100. The plasmid pZD100 consists of the genomes of RP4 λ att and λ drpoBC18; Δ 44 and Δ 23 represent the two deletions which result in the derivation of the temperature resistant plasmidspZD44 and pZD23 from pZD100. Black and white arrows (triangles) are HindIII and BamH1 sites respectively.



the location of the deletions in the temperature resistant plasmids pZD23 and pZD44.

The physical maps of the plasmids (see Fig. 5) were deduced from the digestion of both plasmids with endoR.<u>HindIII</u> enzyme and from further analysis of pZD44 with endoR.<u>Bam</u>Hl which will be described later.

A. <u>HindIII</u> restriction of pZD23

The DNA of the plasmid pZD23 was digested with the restriction endonuclease enzyme HindIII and the fragments were electrophoretically fractionated through agarose gel (see Methods; Legend to Fig. 6a). HindIII fragments of RP4 λ att and λ drpoBCl8 were fractionated on the same gel (Fig. 6a). As expected, RP4 λ att produced two DNA bands and $\lambda drpoBC18$ produced five bands. In addition, extra band appeared in $\lambda drpoBC18$ track which comes from the contaminating helper DNA. The molecular weights of the HindIII fragments of AdrpoBC18 were extracted from Taylor and Burgess (1979). It appears that there are three HindIII fragments of pZD23 but actually the first band is a doublet as revealed by the densitometer (Fig. 7). The migration of the fragments is shown in Fig. 8 and their sizes are demonstrated in Table 12.

If we take the molecular weight of the plasmid pZD23 to be 83 kb then the molecular weight of the doublet will be 58.6 kb. It is now possible to conclude the sizes of the <u>HindIII</u> fragments of RP4 λ <u>att</u> (Fig. 8; Table 12) total molecular weights is 69.7 kb; this is



a) The digestion of the plasmids pZD44 and pZD23 DNA with the endoR.<u>HindIII</u> using RP4 λ att DNA and λ drpoBC18 DNA as controls. 1) RP4 λ att, 2) pZD44, 3) pZD23 4) λ drpoBC18. b) pZD44 DNA restriction with endoR.HindIII, BamHl, and the double digestion with both enzymes using RP4 λ att DNA and λ drif^D18 DNA as controls. 3,6 (RP4 λ att), 1,4,7 (λ drif^D18), 2,5,8 (pZD44).

Fig. 6. 0.7% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (3 μ g/ml); the buffer is tris-acetate. The gel system is horizontal as described by McDonell <u>et al</u> (1977) and is photographed under long UV exposure for 45 seconds. Unlabelled fragments of $\lambda drif^{D}$ 18 are from the contaminating λ helper DNA.

Legend to Fig. 7

The endoR.<u>Hin</u>dIII restriction analysis of the plasmid pZD23 as shown by the densitometer and has resulted in the production of four fragments; the two largest fragments have appeared as a doublet (Fig. 6a) on the 0.7% agarose horizontal gel.





Fig. 8. The relation between the size of the restricted DNA fragment and its migration through the 0.7% agarose gel; it represents the endoR.<u>HindIII</u> fragments of pZD44, pZD23, λ drpoBC18 and RP4 λ att.

Table 12	The <u>Hin</u> dIII	analysis (of pZD44 and p	DZD23.
Fragments	λd <u>rpoBC</u> 18	pZD44	pZD23	RP4 λ <u>att</u>
A	13.7	37.0	31.6	42
В	10.8 + 10.7	27.0	27.0	27.7
С	6.8	13.7	13.7	
D	5.5	10.7	10.7	
Е	4.2			
*F	0.5			
*G	0.1			

The molecular weights (in kb) of the <u>HindIII</u> fragments of pZD44 and pZD23 (Fig. 6a) are obtained by comparison with the sized fragments of $\lambda drpoBC18$; the sizes of $\lambda drpoBC18$ <u>HindIII</u> fragments are based on the data of Taylor and Burgess (1979) which are consistent with the size of λ (Szybalski and Szybalski, 1979; Daniels <u>et al</u>, 1980). These fragments (*) have not appeared on the gel (Fig. 6a). similar to the estimation by the electron microscopy which is 69.76 kb or 46.04 Md (see Table 11).

The conclusion the size of each band in a doublet will come after the analysis of the <u>Hin</u>dIII restriction of pZD44.

B. Restriction analysis of pZD44

1. <u>HindIII</u> restriction

It is expected (Fig. 5) that pZD44 produced four HindIII fragments. It is shown (Fig. 6) that there are four HindIII fragments of pZD44. The sizes of the fragments are concluded from Fig. 8 and are listed in Table 12. Two small fragments (13.7 kb and 10.7 kb) are present in λ drpoBC18. They represent the bacterial chromosome part of the phage. The two large HindIII fragments are of RP4 λ att origin. As shown in Fig. 5 there are three common HindIII fragments in pZD23 and pZD44; the gel patterns of Fig. 6 have revealed two of them, so the third fragment is the 27 kb one. It is concluded that this is part of the doublet of pZD23. Therefore the fourth fragment should have a molecular weight of 31.6 kb; the size of this fragment has been concluded by subtracting the total of the three fragments from the molecular weight of pZD23 which is 83 kb or 54.8 Md (see Table 11).

2. <u>BamHl</u> restriction

Further analysis of pZD44 was carried out with the restriction enzyme BamH1. The restriction procedure



Fig. 9. The relation between the electrophoretically separated fragments (Fig. 6b) and their molecular weights. They represent the endoR.<u>Hin</u>dIII, the <u>Bam</u>Hl and the double digests of pZD44, $\lambda drpoBC18$ and RP4 λatt .

	HindIII			<u>Bam</u> Hl + <u>Hin</u> dIII		BamHl		
Fragments	λdrpoBC18	RP4 λ att	pZD44	pZD44	RP4 λ att	$\lambda drpoBC18$	pZD44	RP4 λatt
A	13.7	42.0	37.0	Doublet	33.1	24.1	50.5	60.7
В	10.8+ 10.7	27.7	27.0	13.7	27.6	7.6	26.0	9.0
С	6.8		13.7	10.7	8.9	7.5	7.6	
D	5.5		10.7	7.7	0.1*	6.6	4.3*	
Е	4.2			5.2		6.5		
F	0. 5 [*]			4.3				
G	0.1*			1.6				

Table 13. The restriction analysis of the plasmid pZD44 using the DNA of $\lambda dread restriction analysis$ and RP4 λatt as controls.

The electrophoretic properties of the digested pZD44 with endoR.<u>Hin</u>dIII, <u>Bam</u>Hl or both <u>Hin</u>dIII and <u>Bam</u>Hl (see Fig. 6b). The sizes of the fragments are in kb. The <u>Hin</u>dIII data of pZD44 and RP4 λ <u>att</u> are extracted from Table 12. <u>Bam</u>Hl fragments of λ d<u>rpoBC</u>18 are extracted from Boros and Sain (1977) and corrected according to the recent estimation of the size of λ (Szybalski and Szybalski, 1979; Daniels et al, 1980).

* These fragments have not appeared on the gel.

is described in detail (see Methods). The BamHl fragments of pZD44, λ drpoBC18 and RP4 λ att were fractionated through the same gel where their HindIII fragments were separated (see Fig. 6b). The controls, RP4 λ att and λ drpoBC18 produced two and five BamH1 fragments respectively. Extra BamHl fragments of λ drpoBCl8 are from the contaminating λ helper DNA. The sizes of the fragments of λ drpoBCl8 are extracted from Boros and Sain (1977) and are calibrated to the recently estimated size of λ (Szybalski and Szybalski, 1979; Daniels et al, 1980). All fragments are plotted (see Fig. 9) in order to conclude the unknown sizes and they are listed in Table 13. The restriction of pZD44 with BamHl yields four fragments (Fig. 5); three of them can be seen on the gel (Fig. 6). There is only one fragment (7.6 kb) in common with λ drpoBCl8.

3. The double digestion of pZD44 with HindIII and BamHl

From the previous results of the single digestion of pZD44 with <u>Bam</u>H1 or <u>Hin</u>dIII, it is possible to expect the production of eight fragments from the double digestion of pZD44 with both enzymes (see Fig. 5). The double restriction of RP4 λ <u>att</u> (see Methods; Fig. 6b) produced three fragments where sizes are concluded from Fig. 9. However, the size of the largest fragment was also concluded from knowing the size of RP4 λ <u>att</u> by electron microscopy (see Tables 11 and 12). The double restriction of pZD44 with <u>Hin</u>dIII and <u>Bam</u>H1 has resulted in its fragmentation into eight pieces as shown in Fig. 6b. The first band is a doublet which corresponds to the

RP4 λ <u>att</u> part of pZD44; the next two smaller fragments have the same size as the <u>HindIII</u> fragments of pZD44 (13.7 kb and 10.7 kb), but there is one <u>Bam</u>Hl site in the 13.7 kb <u>HindIII</u> fragment (see Fig. 5), therefore, it is concluded that the 13.7 kb fragment that has been produced from the double restriction of pZD44 is the located fragment between <u>rpoC</u> and <u>Ap</u>^r genes.

It seems from the results of the restriction analysis that the models (Fig. 5) for the temperature resistant plasmids pZD23 and pZD44 are most likely the accepted one for the genetical and physical maps of these plasmids. It has been described earlier (Part I.B) that these plasmids are derivatives of the original plasmid pZD100, therefore it is possible to conclude that the genetical structure of the plasmid pZD100 is as shown in Figs. 3 and 5 and that the orientation of λatt fragment with respect to the RP4 genome in the plasmid RP4 λatt is as shown in Fig. 2.

C. Discussion

There are two reasons to suppose that the temperature resistant mutants harbour RP4 derivatives. Firstly they show resistance to antibiotics whose determinants are carried by RP4 (Table 7). In fact, these mutants are divided into two groups, some of them are resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin while others are ampicillin sensitive but resistant to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. Secondly, they are sensitive to the bacteriophage PRR1 which is RP4 specific (Olsen

and Shipley, 1973; Olsen and Thomas, 1973). Although all of them are PRRl sensitive, they still fall into two groups as far as their transferability is concerned which will be discussed later.

In addition, the sensitivity of these mutants to the bacteriophage λ indicates that the plasmids they harbour are deleted in that part of λ genome which is responsible for the immunity.

It is apparent, from the <u>in vivo</u> comparative studies showing that the temperature resistant plasmids share the properties with pZD100, that they consist of RP4 genome and that they confer resistance to rifampicin.

As far as the transferability of the temperature resistant plasmids is concerned, it is shown in Tables 8 and 9 that the mutant ZD2723 (F <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171</u> <u>recA56/pZD23</u>) which is ampicillin sensitive but resistant to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin, transfers **h** plasmid pZD23 at higher frequency than the mutants which are resistant to the four antibiotics. The frequency of pZD23 transfer is in fact similar to that of RP4 λ <u>att</u>; its transfer is not subjected to zygotic induction when compared with its temperature sensitive parent plasmid. This feature is due to the deletion of λ genome in pZD23.

The second group of (temperature resistant plasmids is characterised by its very low frequency of transfer to both λ lysogen and λ non-lysogen recipients. When compared with their temperature sensitive parent plasmid which resides in 2D27-4 (Fig. 4; Table 9) they have been

found to transfer at a significantly lower rate; this alteration in transferability of the plasmids must be due to the structural differences between the two types The question arises whether a deletion in the pZD44). tra operon of pZD44 🔿 exists There are three tra operons in RP4 that no flank the Km^r gene (Barth and Grinter, 1977; Grinsted et al, 1977; Thomas et al, - 🔏 deletion in tra cannot be in the region 1979). between \underline{Km}^r and \underline{Tc}^r because the plasmid p2D44 confers the resistance to both antibiotics kanamycin and If there is a deletion in the tra operon tetracycline. it should be in the large tra operon which is located the the petween \underline{EcoRI} site of RP4 and \underline{Km}^r gene (Barth and Grinter, 1977; De Picker et al, 1977; Thomas et al, 1979). The deletion in pZD44 should not include the gene(s) that code for the production of the specific pili to which PRRL , bacteriophage adsorbs (Olsen and Shipley, 1973;

Olsen and Thomas, 1973) because it remains sensitive to the RP4 specific phage PRR1 (Table 7). In addition, the restriction analysis of pZD44 indicates that there is not deletion in the <u>tra</u> region between <u>Eco</u>RI site of RP4 and <u>Km</u>^r gene (Fig. 5; Table 13). This inference is drawn from the following observations. It has been shown from the electron microscopy that the difference in molecular weight between the temperature resistant plasmids pZD44 and pZD23 is 3.7 Md (Table 11) which corresponds to the size of the fragment between

The EcoRI site of RP4 and Ap^r gene (De Picker et al, 1977; Thomas et al, 1979). It is apparent that the difference between pZD23 and pZD44 is that the latter contains the region between EcoRI site of RP4 and its Ap^r gene Iris for the plasmid genome may contain a repressor gene(s) for the tra operon which is under a different control in pZD44 than in the RP4 plasmid and which is deleted in pZD44 than its low transferability.

Apart from the region between the EcoRI site of RP4 and its \underline{Ap}^{r} gene, the plasmids pZD23 and pZD44 are similar to each other and the in vitro restriction analysis (Fig. 6) has revealed that both of them harbour rpoC gene in addition to the rpoB3 gene. However, it has been demonstrated that on transfer of pZD23 into a \underline{rec}^+ and a rec rpoCts mutant, all the transconjugants of the rec⁺ transconjugants were temperature resistant whereas **Solution** of the <u>rec</u> genotype were temperature resistant (Table 10). The temperature resistance of the 397C $(\underline{\operatorname{argG}} B_1 \underline{\operatorname{rpoC}} ts) \underline{\operatorname{rec}}^+$ transconjugants are explained on the basis of the dominance of the rpoC allele of pZD23 over rpoCts of 397C (argG B, rpoCts); the temperature resistance of these transconjugants may also be due to homogenotisation, where the rpoC allele is transferred onto the chromosome which in turn is segregated with an rpoC The dominance of the wild type <u>rpoC</u> allele plasmid. over an <u>rpoC</u> mutation is possible as it has been reported that rpoC is dominant over the rpoCl allele in merodiploids (Bass <u>et al</u>, 1977).

However, the majority of the X242 (metB B_1 his <u>recA56 strA lac rpoC4</u>) <u>rec</u> transconjugants were temperature sensitive and only 20% were temperature resistant; this mutant has a different temperature sensitive mutation than the 397C (argG B_1 <u>rpoC</u>ts) mutant and it is possible that it is dominant over the wild type allele <u>rpoC</u> which is carried by the plasmid. However, 20% of the transconjugants were temperature resistant because they are revertants of the <u>rpoC4</u> mutation; I found that this strain had a high tendency to reversion as it should be purified many times before starting the experiment.

The temperature resistant plasmid pZD23 is a suitable vector because it harbours <u>rpoB</u>3, two of the RP4 drug resistant determinants, it transfers at a similar frequency to RP4 plasmid (Olsen and Shipley, 1973) irrespective of the presence or absence of the bacteriophage λ in the recipient and it is stable as there are molecules of only one size in its DNA preparation (Table 11).

The molecular weights of the plasmid pZD100 and its derivatives pZD23, pZD135 and pZD44 were estimated by the electron microscopical methods (Legend, Table 11). The molecular weights of the plasmids RP4 and RP4 λ <u>att</u> were estimated by the same method. The size of RP4 (see Table 11) is slightly higher than the published size (Grinsted <u>et al</u>, 1972; Meyer <u>et al</u>, 1977; Burkardt <u>et al</u>, 1978; Thomas <u>et al</u>, 1979). This could be attributed to the fact that I am using a different technique than the sucrose gradient centrifugation used by

Grinsted et al (1972) or the electron microscopic procedure used by Burkardt et al (1978). In fact, the higher value of the molecular weight of RP4 is due to the use of the most recent estimation of the molecular weight of the standard, pSC101, which is 6.16 Md or 9.34 Kilobases (R. Meyers, 1979, personal communication). However, if we use the previously estimated size of pSC101 which is 5.5 Md or 9.09 kb (Brevet et al, 1977) in Bukhan et al) then we obtain the molecular weight of RP4 as 38 Md which is the published size (Broda, 1979). The difference in molecular weight between RP4 λ att and RP4 is 3.5 Md (5.2 kb) which corresponds to the size of the λ att fragment (Watson and Scaife, 1978; Szybalski and Szybalski, 1979; Daniels et al, 1980).

It is very likely that the plasmid pZD135 which confers resistance to kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin is the same plasmid as pZD23, because they confer the same phenotype and they have exactly the same molecular weights (Table 11). However, on the basis of the applied techniques, the possibility that they are different cannot be ruled out. However, the difference in molecular weight between these plasmids and pZD44 is about 4 Md which corresponds to that part of RP4 genome which extends from EcoRI site to the Ap^r gene (De Picker et al, 1977; Meyer et al, 1977).

All the plasmid DNA preparations (Table 11) except pZD100 contained sized molecules. The plasmid pZD100 preparation had various sizes of molecules; some of them

correspond to the expected size of the plasmid pZD100 (81.8 Md) which consists of the whole RP4 λ att genome (46.04 Md) and $\lambda drpoBC18$ (about 35 Md) [see Introduction] for estimation of the size of $\lambda drpoBC18$. Smaller molecules of about 60 Md in the pZD100 preparation may represent the derivatives of the pZD100 which have lost the bacterial DNA segment which is about 20 Md (Kirschbaum et al, 1976); it may have been lost due to the expression of the <u>xis</u> gene carried by the phage λd_{rpoBC} 18 or by the RP4 λatt . The λ att fragment (srI $\lambda 2-3$) lacks the normal promoter for the xis gene (Watson and Scaife, 1978); in this case the expression of xis may be the result of a read-through mechanism from an upstream promoter. The preparation of the pZD100 DNA was performed in the presence of all the four antibiotics whose resistance is conferred by pZD100. If there is any molecule which represents a deletion in the plasmid pZD100 such as the molecule with 45.5 Md size (Table 11), it should not exist on its own in the cell but with another copy of the plasmid which confers the resistance to all the antibiotics. This implies that the plasmid pZD100 is less stable than its temperature derivatives resistant 🍊

The physical maps of the temperature resistant plamids pZD23 and pZD44 are deduced from the restriction analysis of both plasmids with endoR.<u>HindIII and pZD44 restriction</u> with endoR.<u>BamHl and its double restriction with <u>HindIII</u> and <u>BamHl</u>. From this analysis it appeared that the λ att</u>

fragment is oriented in RP4 $\lambda \underline{\text{att}}$ as shown in Figs. 2 and 5 and the genetical structure of the temperature sensitive plasmid pZD100 is as shown in Figs. 3 and 5.

The endoR.HindIII restriction analysis (Fig. 5; Table 12; Fig. 6a) has revealed the presence of three common HindIII fragments in pZD23 and pZD44 plasmids; two of them are present in the HindIII digest of $\lambda drpoBC18$ and they represent the bacterial chromosomal segment which is carried by the phage and the plasmids. The third common fragment is 27 kb (Fig. 6; Table 12). This size can be accepted if the plasmids are as shown in Fig. 5, because the size of the fragment between Km^r gene and EcoRI site of RP4 (clockwise direction) is 21.4 kb and the part of the plasmids between the remnant of the $\underline{att}\lambda$ region and the nearest HindIII site is 6.1 kb (Fig. 5). It is demonstrated that apart from the remnant of the λ <u>att</u> fragment, the bacterial segment is located within the BamHl fragment (7.6 kb) of λ drpoBC18 (Fig. 5).

Models of the plasmids-which are contrasting to those of Fig. 5 cannot be accepted for the following reasons: first, the 27 kb fragment should be located between <u>rpoC</u> and <u>Km</u>^r and this is not possible because as mentioned earlier the size of the fragment between <u>Km</u>^r and <u>Eco</u>RI site of RP4 is 21.4 kb and if there is an extra 6.1 kb towards <u>rpoC</u> gene then it should contain another <u>Hind</u>III site which produces a 5.5 kb fragment (Fig. 5). Second, the size of the largest <u>Hind</u>III fragment (Table 12) should be bigger than 37 kb and third, if the Ap^R gene is present then the same fragment should appear in pZD23 HindIII digest.

The digestion of the plasmid pZD44 with endoR.<u>Bam</u>H1 has resulted in the production of four fragments (Figs. 5 and 6) whose molecular weights are shown in Table 13. This has provided another support for the deduced maps of Fig. 5 because if the orientation of RP4 part is reversed so that \underline{Ap}^{r} gene is near the remnant of $\lambda \underline{att}$ then the large fragment should be much larger in size than 50.5 kb, the 26 kb fragment should disappear and a new fragment of about six kilobases should appear which represent the region from \underline{Ap}^{r} gene to the remnant of the $\underline{att}\lambda$ region where another site of endoR.<u>Bam</u>H1 is located (Davies <u>et al</u>, 1978; Szybalski and Szybalski, 1979; Daniels <u>et al</u>, 1980).

The double restriction of pZD44 has provided additional support for the models of Fig. 5 as it has resulted in the production of eight fragments (Fig. 6b) whose molecular weights are listed in Table 13. Although reversing of the orientation (shown in Fig. 5) will also result in the production of eight fragments when double restriction occurs, the sizes of these fragments do not correspond to the sizes shown in Table 13 such that the fragment which has 13.7 kb molecular weight will disappear and another fragment of about six kilobases analysis of the temperature resistant plasmids has contributed to our understanding of the genetical structure

of the original plasmid pZD100 (Figs. 3 and 5), the temperature resistant plasmid (Fig. 5) as well as the plasmid RP4 λatt (Fig. 2).

The plasmid RP4 λ <u>att</u> can integrate into the normal λ prophage integration site on the chromosome to form stable Hfr strains and can promote chromosomal transfer (k/alson and Scaile, 1978) in the order: $0-\underline{lac}-\underline{leu}-\underline{thr}-\underline{trp}$

Combining this result with the restriction analysis will lead us to conclude RAY att orientation in the Hfr. conclude RAY att orientation in the Hfr.

The origin of transfer, <u>oriT</u>, has been mapped at \cdot 48 kb (31.7 Md) on the map of the plasmid RK2 (Thomas <u>et al</u>, 1979). It is concluded that pZD100, the derivative of RP4 λ <u>att</u>, transfers its markers in the order: $O-\underline{rpoB}-\underline{Ap}^{r}-\underline{Tc}^{r}-\underline{Km}^{r}$ and that the <u>tra</u> genes are the last to enter the recipient cell similar to the <u>tra</u> genes) transfer characteristic of F plasmid (Guyer and Clark, 1977).

Part III The Utilisation of the pZD23 and the pZD100 Plasmids as Vectors for the E.coli rpoB3 Gene

a. <u>Introduction</u>

This part of the thesis will describe the utilisation of one of the temperature resistant plasmids, pZD23, as vector for the structural gene for the β subunit of the enzyme RNA polymerase of <u>E.coli</u>. A comparison was carried out between this plasmid and the original temperature sensitive pZD100 plasmid The majority of the bacterial species to which the plasmids are transferred are members of the Enterobacteriaceae; some of them are known to receive the plasmid RP4 such as <u>E.coli</u> and <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u> while others such as <u>Citrobacter freundii</u> and <u>Hafnia</u> <u>alveimøller</u> were tested for the first time in this respect. In addition, I tested species which belong to families other than the Enterobacteriaceae such as <u>Rhizobium leguminosarum</u>, <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> and <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u>.

The data will be presented as follows. Firstly, the bacterial species will be characterised by the standard microbiological tests. Secondly, they will be used as recipients for pZD23 and pZD100. Finally, the plasmid-bearing derivatives will be analysed for heterospecific expression. The main index of the latter is the conversion of the rifampicin sensitive phenotype of the plasmid-bearing species into rifampicin ont, resistant, indicating expression of the <u>E.coli-rpoB3</u> gene in their cells; their failure to acquire the rifampicin resistant phenotype has many implications which will be discussed.

Part of the results were presented at the XIV International Congress of Genetics, 1978.

b. <u>Results</u>

Prior to the construction of the hybrids which harbour the plasmid, the bacterial species were tested for their natural resistance to the antibiotics against which

Table 14. The classification of the various bacterial genera that have been chosen for the studies of the heterospecific gene expression.

Family	Enterobacteriaceae	Azotobacteriaceae	Pseudomonadaceae	Rhizobiaceae	
Species	E.aerogenes	A.vinelandii	P.aeruginosa	R.leguminosarum	
	* <u>E.coli</u>				
	<u>C.freundii</u>				
	<u>H.alvei møller</u>				
	K.pneumoniae				
	P.morganii			•	
	<u>P.vulgaris</u>				
	S.marcescens	,			
	* S.typhimurium				
Source	J. Fleming	R. Olsen	P. Clarke	J. Beringer	
	* J. Scaife				

The twelve bacterial species that have been chosen for the heterospecific gene expression studies are classified here, according to the system of Buchanan <u>et al</u>, 1974. The table also contains the sources from which these bacteria were obtained. All the bacterial species that came from J. Fleming were received as lyophils which were prepared in 1960 except <u>Hafnia</u> which was prepared in 1952 and <u>Serratia</u> which was prepared in 1971. Other strains were received as fresh nutrient slants. Legend to Table 15. This table illustrates the natural resistance of the bacterial species of Table 12 to the following concentrations of the antibiotics: 100 μ g/ml rifampicin (RD); 50 μ g/ml ampicillin (PN); 10 μ g/ml tetracycline (TE); 100 μ g/ml carbenicillin (PY); 25 μ g/ml kanamycin (K).

They have been tested by the use of the Oxoid Antibiotic Multodisk as described in the Methods.

+/- is resistant/sensitive to the specific concentration of the tested antibiotic. The non-Enterobacteriaceae species were tested for their sensitivity to a series of concentrations of rifampicin.

It has been found that <u>A.vinelandii</u>, <u>P.aeruginosa</u> and <u>R.leguminosarum</u> are sensitive to 10, 25, and 4 μ g/ml rifampicin respectively.

Bacterial species	RD (100 μg/ml)	PN (50 μg/ml)	.TE (10 μg/ml)	PY (100 μg/ml)	K (25 µg/ml)
E.aerogenes	-	+ .	. –	-	_
<u>E.coli</u>	· ~	-	-	-	
<u>C.freundii</u>	-	+	_	_`	-
H.alvei møller	- .	-	-	-	-
K.pneumoniae	-	-	-	-	-
<u>P.morganii</u>	-	· _	-		-
P.vulgaris	-	-	_	<u>-</u>	-
S.marcescens	- .	+ .	+	-	· _
S.typhimurium	-	_ ·	-		-
R.leguminosarum	-	-	-	-	-
P.aeruginosa	-	+ .	, -	-	
A.vinelandii	-	+	-	<u> </u>	_

..

.

.

Table 15 The natural resistance of the gram-negative bacteria to the antibiotics.

Bacterial species	Citrate	Methyl red	Voges Proskauer	H ₂ S	Gelatin hydrolysis	Sorbitol	% G + C
E.aerogenes	+ .		+	-		+	56.1
<u>E.coli</u>	-	+	-	-	-	+ .	
<u>C.freundii</u>	+ ,	+	_	+	-	+	
<u>H.alvei møller</u>	-	-	-	-	-		49.0
K.pneumoniae	+ .	-	-	_	-	+	
S.marcescens	+ .	-	+ .	-	+	N.D.	60.0

Table 16. The differentiation between the various members of the Enterobacteriaceae

This table summarises the properties of the various members of the Enterobacteriaceae as revealed by the employed microbiolgical tests. Citrate media is described earlier (see Media). Methyl red, Voges Proskauer, H₂S production, Gelatin hydrolysis and Sorbitol utilisation tests are described in the Methods.

- + indicates positive results such as the utilisation of citrate as a sole carbon source or the production of acid and gas from the Sorbitol fermentation.
- indicates negative results such as the inability of the bacterial species to hydrolyse gelatin or to produce H_2S .

N.D., not done.

The G + C contents of the DNAs of three bacterial species were estimated as follows: the chromosomal DNA was prepared as described in the methods. It was mixed with the standard DNA. Caesium chloride was added to a final concentration of 1.7 g/cm³. The samples were ultracentrifuged in a Beckman Analytical Ultracentrifuge (model E) at 40 Krpm for 20 hrs at 25° C. Results of ultracentrifugation and calculation of the G + C content are described in detail (Fig. 10; Fig. 11).

of the production of H₂S and <u>S.mercescens</u> can hydrolyse gelatin. In addition, some of these bacteria have distinctive colonial morphology. <u>S.marcescens</u>, for example, is red in colour due to the production of the prodigiosin red pigment and the intensity of the red pigmentation varies depending on the type of the growth medium and age of the colony. The colour of <u>S.marcescens</u> colonies on Simmon's citrate medium is dark iridescent red.

Klebsiella pneumoniae is characterised by its dry mucoid colonies on all utilised growth media. Proteus. morganii and Proteus vulgaris have a strong putrifactive odour. P.vulgaris is characterised by its swarming on nutrient agar unlike P.morganii which is unable to swarm on nutrient agar and which does not have the tendency to swarm spontaneously. However, both species have the ability to deaminate phenylalanine into phenyl pyruvic acid which will result in the production of the green colour due to the reaction between phenyl puruvic acid and the ferric salt (see Methods). None of the tested species of the Enterobacteriaceae have this property. The strain of Salmonella typhimurium which I used is arg ; I used this feature to identify it.

The bacterial species which are outside the Enterobacteriaceae such as <u>Rhizobium leguminosarum</u>, <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Azotobacter vinelandii</u> are <u>vecognised</u> easily<u>sed</u>by their gross features. <u>R.leguminosarum</u> colonies are mucoid on nutrient and minimal media but their mucoid appearance differs from that of K.pneumoniae in that the latter is more dry.

<u>R.leguminosarum</u> grows at a much lower rate than the other bacterial species and its generation time is about twelve hours; its growth temperature is 28^oC. <u>Pseudomonas</u> <u>aeruginosa</u> is characterised by the production of the pyocyanin pigment which is fluorescent under in ultraviolet source.

Azotobacter vinelandii produces water soluble fluorescent pigment which diffuses into the medium and appears green in in ultraviolet light (wavelength 280 nm). Under the light microscope the cells appear in pairs and cysts are formed.

Some of the representatives of the Enterobacteriaceae were characterised for the percent G + C content of their DNA. These were <u>E.aerogenes</u>, <u>H.alvei møller</u> and <u>S.marcescens</u>. The method is described in legends to Table 16 and Fig. 11. The separation of the DNA band of the tested species from that of the known standard species after CsCl centrifugation (Fig. 10) and the estimation of the percent G + C content of the DNA is described in Fig. 11. <u>3.</u> Construction of hybrid strains

Various types of mating experiments were carried out in which the plasmids pZD23 and pZD100 were transferred from <u>E.coli</u> into the different bacterial species after their characterisation. For all the matings, the plasmid pZD23 was transferred from ZD2723 (F = his = trpA9761 = argE171recA56/pZD23) and pZD100 was transferred from ZD100

Legend to Fig. 10

The chromosomal DNA bands of the tested bacteria and the standard DNA as they appear under the ultraviolet source. The DNAs of <u>Enterobacter aerogenes</u> (a - right) and <u>Hafnia alvei</u> <u>møller</u> (a - left) are separated from the standard DNA of <u>Micrococcus lysodikteus</u> (lower bands). The chromosome DNA band of <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (b - right) is separated from the <u>E.coli</u> standard DNA band whereas <u>Hafnia</u> DNA band is not separated from <u>E.coli</u>.



Legend to Fig. 11

The densitographs reveal the distances of the separated DNA bands. The separation between the <u>E.aerogenes</u> DNA and <u>M.lysodikteus</u> DNA is 34 mm (Fig. 11a); the separation between <u>H.alvei møller</u> DNA and <u>M.lysodikteus</u> DNA is 49 mm (Fig. 11b). Fig. 11c illustrates the separation of the <u>Serratia</u> DNA from <u>E.coli</u> DNA which is 19 mm. It is known that 87 mm = 0.040 ρ (Purdom, personal communication). Therefore the G + C content of:

1. E.aerogenes: $\frac{34 \times 0.04}{87} = 0.016$ $\rho = 1.731 - 0.016$ $= 1.715 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ buoyant density}$ $\rho = 1.660 + 0.098 \text{ (GC)} \text{ (Adams <u>et al</u>, 1976)}$ $GC\% = \frac{1.1715 - 1.660}{0.098} = 56.1\%$

2. H.alvei møller:
$$\frac{49 \times 0.040}{87} = 0.023$$

 $\rho = 1.731 - 0.023 = 1.708 \text{ g/cm}^3$ buoyant density
 $GC\% = \frac{1.708 - 1.660}{0.098}$ (see above)
 $GC\% = 49\%$

3. <u>S.marcescens</u>: $\frac{19 \times 0.040}{87} = 0.009$ <u>E.coli</u> $\rho = 1.710 \text{ g/cm}^3$ <u>S.marcescens</u> $\rho = 1.710 + 0.009 = 1.719 \text{ g/cm}^3$ <u>GC</u> $g = \frac{1.719 - 1.660}{0.098} = 60$



(<u>metB</u> B_1 <u>recA56</u> λ <u>cI857S7/pZD100</u>). The construction of the hybrids was firstly based on the selection of transfer of RP4 markers. The hybrids were tested later for the presence of <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB3</u> gene by testing their resistance to rifampicin and by testing their plasmid donor ability.

a. Construction of hybrids of the Enterobacteriaceae

The representatives of the Enterobacteriaceae that are included in this study are listed in Table 14. Liquid culture matings for five hours (see Methods) were carried out for the transfer of pZD23 and pZD100 into <u>E.aerogenes</u>, <u>C.freundii</u>, <u>H.alvei møller</u>, <u>K.pneumoniae</u> and <u>S.marcescens</u>. In <u>E.coli</u>, two hours of mating time were enough for the transfer of pZD23 from ZD2723 (F <u>his trpA9761 argE171</u> <u>recA56/pZD23</u>) into AW1 (metB B₁ recA56 str-s λ ^S) whereas six hours were required for the transfer of pZD100 from ZD100 (metB B₁ recA56 λ cI857S7/pZD100) into TGL10 (F <u>his trpA9761 argE171 recA</u>56). The transfer of both plasmids into <u>S.typhimurium</u> was carried out by Millipore filter matings (see Methods); the same techique was employed for the transfer of the plasmid pZD23 into both species of Proteus.

The selective plates for the transconjugants varied with respect to the recipient of each mating. Glucose minimal media (see Media) supplemented with kanamycin were used for the selection of pZD23 hybrids in all matings of the members of the Enterobacteriaceae family except <u>S.typhimurium, P.morganii</u> and <u>P.vulgaris</u>. Glucose minimal media used for the selection of the <u>Salmonella</u> transconjugants
Legend to Table 17. This table illustrates the frequencies of transfer (per donor) of the plasmids RP4 λ att, pZD23 and pZD100. It differentiates between the bacterial genera which have recognised <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gene and have become resistant to the rifampicin (100 µg/ml) and the bacterial genera in which no expression of the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gene is detected.

- * The rifampicin resistant transconjugants $(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}, \underline{\mathfrak{W}})$ are small in size when compared with the normal colony size indicating that they show poor expression of the <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB</u>3.
- ** The mating technique which was employed for these matings is the millipore filter mating which is described in the Methods.

Bacterial strains	RP4 λ <u>att</u>	pZD100	% rifampicin resistant hybrids	pZD23	<pre>% rifampicin resistant hybrids</pre>	
Escherichia coli	2.6×10^{-3}	4.2×10^{-4}	58-88	5.2×10^{-2}	100	
Enterobacter aerogenes	4.5×10^{-4}	1x10 ⁻⁵	98	4.5×10^{-4}	100	
<u>Citrobacter</u> <u>freundii</u>	1.4×10^{-4}	7.5x10 ⁻⁵	98	1.1x10 ⁻²	100	
<u>Hafnia alvei møller</u>	4.7×10^{-6}	8.7x10 ⁻⁶	77	1.1x10 ⁻⁵	100	
Klebsiella pneumoniae	6.3x10 ⁻⁶	6x10 ⁻⁷	58	1.1x10 ⁻⁴	100	
Proteus morganii }	Not	done		$3.5 \times 10^{-2*}$ 2.3×10 ^{-2*}	* 26 * 70 * 18	
<u>Serratia</u> marcescens	2.9x10 ⁻⁶	2.8x10 ⁻⁵	Ο.	2.6x10 ⁻⁵	8Q)* O	
<u>Salmonella</u> typhimurium	5.3x10 ⁻⁷	1.8x10 ^{-4**}	0	$7.6 \times 10^{-3*}$	* 100	
Rhizobium leguminosarum	1x10 ⁻⁶	3x10 ^{-4**}	o m	$1.4 \times 10^{-5*}$	* O	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1.6×10^{-4}	6.2×10^{-4}	0	N.D.		
<u>Azotobacter</u> <u>vinelandii</u>	2.8x10 ⁻⁵	1.5×10^{-4}	0	3.6x10 ⁻⁵	0	

Table 17. Heterospecific expression of E.coli rpoB3 gene in some gram-negative bacteria.

were supplemented with arginine in addition to kanamycin.

The same type of the selective media has been used, with the addition of carbenicillin, for the selection of pZD100 hybrids.

Grabow and Smit minimal medium (see Media) supplemented with kanamycin and tetracycline was used for the selection of <u>P.morganii</u> and <u>P.vulgaris</u> transconjugants.

The incubation temperature for the matings which involve the transfer of pZD23 and pZD100 was $37^{\circ}C$ and $30^{\circ}C$ respectively except <u>Proteus</u>. The transfer of pZD23 into both species of Proteus was carried out at $30^{\circ}C$.

The frequencies of transfer of both plasmids, per donor, into the tested species are illustrated in Table 17. The parental plasmid RP4 λ <u>att</u> was transferred from ZD7 (<u>metB</u> B₁ <u>recA56 str-s</u> λ ^S/RP4 λ <u>att</u>) into these species as a control for their ability to receive the derivatives pZD23 and pZD100. The frequencies of transfer of RP4 λ <u>att</u>, per donor, are shown in Table 17.

b. Construction of hybrids of the non-Enterobacteriaceae

Three bacterial species (see Table 14) were chosen for this investigation. They were representatives of the Azotobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Rhizobiaceae.

Liquid culture matings for eight hours (see Methods) were set for the transfer of pZD23 from ZD2723 (F⁻ <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171 recA56/pZD23</u>) and pZD100 from ZD100 (<u>metB</u> B₁ recA56 str-s λ cI857S7/pZD100) into <u>Azotobacter</u> <u>vinelandii</u> at 37°C and 30°C respectively.

However, for transfer of pZD100 into Pseudomonas

<u>aeruginosa</u>, a spot mating technique (see Methods) was carried out at 30° C for 24 hours.

Millipore matings (see Methods) were carried out for transfer of both plasmids into <u>Rhizobium</u> <u>leguminosarum</u> at 28⁰C.

As described in section (a), the first selection of the transconjugants was for the transfer of RP4 drug resistant determinants which are carried by pZD23 and pZD100.

Sherwood minimal medium (see Media) supplemented with phenylalanine, tryptophan, carbenicillin, kanamycin and streptomycin (500 µg/ml) was used for the selection of pZD100 hybrids of <u>R.leguminosarum</u>; the same medium, with the elimination of carbenicillin, was employed for the selection of pZD23 transconjugants. It is demonstrated in Table 17 that the non-Enterobacteriaceae species have received both plasmids as well as RP4 λ <u>att</u>.

4. Is rpoB3 present in the hybrid?

Testing the rifampicin resistance of the hybrids is the answer for two questions; the first is whether <u>rpoB3 of E.coli</u> is present in the alien cell or not, and the second is whether it can function effectively or not. About fifty purified transconjugants were tested on selective plates supplemented with rifampicin and on similar plates with no rifampicin as a control.

a. <u>The rifampicin resistance of the Enterobacteriaceae</u> <u>hybrids</u>

i. General view

All the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids were tested for

their resistance to 100 μ g/ml rifampicin. They were all resistant to rifampicin except <u>Serratia</u> and pZD100 hybrids of <u>Salmonella</u>.

Table 17 demonstrates that the percentage of the rifampicin resistant hybrids is always higher when the plasmid pZD23 is transferred into the cell, in comparison with the percentage of the rifampicin resistant transconjugants which have received the plasmid pZD100.

As far as the resistance to rifampicin is concerned, members of the Enterobacteriaceae are similar to each other apart from three features which arose in the following representatives of the family.

ii. Serratia marcescens

The transconjugants of <u>S.marcescens</u> which have received pZD23 were resistant to kanamycin but they were sensitive to rifampicin. The same result applies to the pZD100 hybrids of Serratia as they were resistant to carbenicillin and kanamycin but sensitive to rifampicin. Serratia hybrids were also tested for their resistance to 30 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and 40 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of rifampicin. The results () these hybrids were similar to that OSerratia itself as both of them were sensitive to the 40 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ final concentration of rifampicin and both of them were resistant to 30 μ g/ml of the same antibiotic. In other words, as far as the resistance to rifampicin is concerned there is no difference between Serratia which have the plasmids and which lack them.

iii. <u>Hafnia</u> alvei møller

pZD23 hybrid colonies of this bacterium have very small sizes at 37° C on all media tested. They can be observed with the naked eye. However, they grow into normal size when the incubation temperature is 30° C.

iv. Proteus spp.

The following feature of the <u>Proteus</u> hybrids applies to both species, <u>P.morganii</u> and <u>P.vulgaris</u>. On transfer of pZD23, two types of <u>Proteus</u> hybrids which are resistant to kanamycin and tetracycline were obtained. One type comprises 70-80%, is characterised by its small colonial size on the rifampicin plate indicating the poor expression of the <u>E.coli rpoB</u>3 gene. The second type shows the normal colony growth size in the presence of rifampicin.

b. The rifampicin resistance of the non-

Enterobacteriaceae hybrids

<u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> and <u>Rhizobium leguminosarum</u> hybrids were tested on a series of decreasing rifampicin concentrations ranging from 100 μ g/ml, 75, 50 and 25 μ g/ml. In addition, the hybrids of <u>R.leguminosarum</u> were tested at even lower concentrations of rifampicin of 15, 10 and 4 μ g/ml.

Azotobacter vinelandii hybrids were tested for the resistance to 100 μ g/ml and 10 μ g/ml rifampicin.

None of the hybrids of the bacterial species in different taxonomic families from E.coli became rifampicin resistant on receiving <u>E.coli rpoB</u>3 plasmids. They remained sensitive to even very low concentrations of the drug.

c. Further investigation on the effect of rifampicin on growing cultures of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> and

R.leguminosarum.

This experiment was carried out to see the effect of the rifampicin on the growing cells of the non-Enterobacteriaceae pZDlOO hybrid. The tested species were hybrids of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> and <u>R.leguminosarum</u>. The optical density of the growing cultures was measured continuously at a fixed time interval. The cultures were later divided into two aliguots and the rifampicin antibiotic was added to one of them. Immediate reading of the optical density was taken and the procedure was repeated several times for both types of cultures.

The same procedure was repeated with RP4 λ <u>att</u> hybrids of both species and with spontaneous rifampicin resistant mutants of the same RP4 λ <u>att</u> hybrids as controls for the rifampicin sensitive and the rifampicin resistant cell. The effect of rifampicin on the growing cultures is as described below:

i. <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u>

The growth of Raf3 (<u>Pseudomonas</u>/pZD100) and Raf4 (<u>Bseudomonas</u>/RP4 λ <u>att</u>) is inhibited immediately after the addition of rifampicin to the L-broth cultures (Fig. 12). A rifampicin resistant mutant of <u>P.aeruginosa</u>, Raf8 (<u>Pseudomonas</u> rif^r/RP4 λ <u>att</u>),

Legend to Fig. 12

This demonstrates the effect of the rifampicin on the growing cultures of <u>Pseudomonas</u> aeruginosa.

L-broth cultures of Raf3 (<u>Pseudomonas/pzD100</u>), Raf4 (<u>Pseudomonas/RP4 λ att</u>) and Raf8 (<u>Pseudomonas rif^r/RP4 λ att</u>) were prepared by the inoculation of 30 mls of L-broth, in a side-armed flask, with <u>Pseudomonas</u> strain from glucose minimal media containing carbenicillin (100 µg/ml) and kanamycin (25 µg/ml) for Raf3 and Raf4 and in addition rifampicin (100 µg/ml) for Raf8.

The log-phase cultures were counted for the number of bacterial cells/ml at 20 minute intervals by the Klett spectrophotometer. Rifampicin (loo μ g/ml) was added after 40 mins and immediate spectrophotometer reading was taken followed by the subsequent reading at 20 minute intervals.



serves as a control and its growth is not inhibited by the rifampicin.

ii. Rhizobium leguminosarum

Like Pseudomonas, this organism shows no difference between hybrids with (ZDR19 (<u>Rhizobium</u>/pZD100)) and without (ZDR17 (<u>Rhizobium</u>/RP4 λ <u>att</u>)) the <u>rpoB3</u> gene. They are both inhibited by the rifampicin (25 µg/ml) as shown in Fig. 13. It is worth noting that in contrast to <u>Pseudomonas</u>, rifampicin only affects growth of <u>Rhizobium</u> after a lag period of about four hours (Fig. 13). A rifampicin resistant mutant (Fig. 13) ZDR18 (<u>Rhizobium</u> <u>rif</u>^r/RP4 λ <u>att</u>) is not affected by rifampicin.

It is concluded that pZD100 hybrids of <u>Pseudomonas</u> and <u>Rhizobium</u> behave in the same way as the RP4 $\lambda \underline{\text{att}}$ hosts which do not carry the <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB</u>3 gene.

5. Transfer of pZD23 and pZD100 from the g(-ve) hybrids into E.coli

This experiment was conducted mainly to understand the rifampicin sensitive phenotype of pZD23 and pZD100 hybrids of the g(-ve) bacteria. Are they rifampicin sensitive due to the loss of the <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gene or due to the inability of this gene to express in their cells. In addition, it allows the detection of the modification of these plasmids in the hybrid cell. For this, the plasmids pZD23 and pZD100 were transferred from the hybrids into <u>E.coli</u>; the resistance of <u>E.coli</u> transconjugants to rifampicin is <u>()</u>evidence for the maintenance of the <u>rpoB3</u> gene on the plasmid.

Legend to Fig. 13

This illustrates the effect of the rifampicin on the growing cultures of <u>Rhizobia</u>. The method is as described in the legend to Fig. 12 except that TY broth cultures were used, the spectrophotometer reading was taken at an interval of one hour, and rifampicin $(25 \ \mu g/ml)$ was added after four hours.

(*) means that the spectrophotometer reading is the same for the two cultures, with or without the rifampicin.

From this figure it appears that the generation time for <u>Rhizobium</u> is 12.5 hrs. This was calculated by the application of the following equation:

No. of cells at time t \Rightarrow No. of cells at time t_o x 2 ^(t/ \mathcal{C}) where \mathcal{C} is the generation time.



a. <u>Transfer of the plasmids from the Enterobacteriaceae</u> hybrids into E.coli

All the Enterobacteriaceae matings (Table 18) were carried out using the <u>E.coli</u> λ -lysogen recipient ZD162 (<u>metB</u> B₁ <u>recA56 str-r</u> λ^+). Various types of mating techniques were employed which will be described later (see Methods; legend to Table 18). The first selection was for the transfer of the drug resistant determinants of the plasmid RP4 which are carried by pZD23 and pZD100. <u>E.coli</u> transconjugants were tested, then, for their resistance to rifampicin.

For the transfer of pZD23 from the various hybrids into <u>E.coli</u>, the Millipore filters (loaded with the mating mixture) were incubated for two days except for <u>C.freundii</u> and <u>S.typhimurium</u> matings there the incubation time was 24 hours. In addition, spot mating technique was applied for pZD23 hybrids of <u>Proteus</u>. However, for pZD100 transfer, the Millipore filters were incubated for three days except <u>C.freundii</u> and <u>S.typhimurium</u> matings where the incubation time was one day.

All the Enterobacteriaceae hybrids were able to transfer pZD23 and pZD44 (Table 18) back to <u>E.coli</u> except <u>S.marcescens</u>. In other words, neither pZD23 nor pZD100 have transferred back from <u>S.marcescens</u> into <u>E.coli</u> despite changes of the incubation time of the mating mixture for one, two or three days (Millipore mating) or even shorter incubation time of the mating mixture for five hours only (liquid culture mating); this will be discussed later. Legend to Table 18. The attempted transfer of the plasmids pZD23 and pZD100 from the gram-negative bacteria back into $\underline{A_{E.coli}}$ recipient. The first selection was for the transfer of RP4 markers of these plasmids then the transconjugants were checked for their resistance to the rifampicin as a test for the maintenance of <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif</u>^D) gene on the plasmids. RP4 markers have transferred back (+) from all the bacterial species except from <u>Serratia</u> (-). <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif</u>^D) has not transferred back from either <u>Serratia</u> or the <u>Rhizobium</u> species. Various mating techniques were employed which were described in the methods:

* Spot mating.

** Both spot mating and millipore filter mating.

*** Liquid culture mating.

The selective plates for transconjugants were glucose minimal media supplemented with methionine, thiamine, streptomycin (200 µg/ml) and either kanamycin (for pZD23 transfer) or both of ampicillin and kanamycin. Selective plates for the transconjugants of the transfer back of pZD100 from Raf3 (<u>P.aeruginosa/pZD100</u>) into J62 (Pro trp his str-r λ^+) contained proline, tryptophan and histidine as well as the antibiotic streptomycin (200 µg/ml), ampicillin and kanamycin.

The incubation temperature for all the matings was $30^{\circ}C$ except for <u>R.lequminosarum</u> matings where the incubation temperature was $28^{\circ}C$.

Bacterial donors	Transfer of RP4	% rifampicin resista	nt transconjugants
pZD100 or pZD23	pZD23 or pZD100	pZD100	pZD23
Escherichia coli (λ^+)	+	100***	100***
Enterobacter aerogenes	· +		100
<u>Citrobacter</u> <u>freundii</u>	+	90	100
<u>Hafnia alvei møller</u>	+	14	100
<u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>	+	10	100
Proteus morganii	+		100*
Proteus vulgaris	· +.		100*
Serratia marcescens	-	0	0
Salmonella typhimurium	, +,	55**	100
Rhizobium leguminosarum	+ (Ο	o*
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	+ .	100*	
Azotobacter vinelandii	+	100**	100*

Table 18.	Transfer	of	pZD23	and	pZD100	from	the	_g (−v	e)	hybrids	into	E.coli.

•

It is noteworthy that pZD23 has transferred into <u>E.coli</u> ZD162 (metB B₁ recA56 str-r λ^+) from the rifampicin sensitive <u>P.morganii</u> and <u>P.vulgaris</u> hybrids converting <u>E.coli</u> into the rifampicin resistant phenotype.

b. Transfer of the plasmids from the non-

Enterobacteriaceae hybrids into E.coli

E.coli ZD162 (metB B_1 recA56 str-r λ^+) was the recipient in all the matings of the non-Enterobacteriaceae hybrids except <u>P.aeruginosa</u>. Raf2 (<u>P.aeruginosa</u>/pZD100) was spot-mated with J62 (Pro trp his str-r λ^+) recipient. The mating technique used for each of the non-Enterobacteriaceae matings is indicated in Table 18. The selection for the transconjugants was exactly as described in section (a). All Millipore filters were incubated for two days. In addition to Millipore matings, spot-matings were carried out (see Methods) when many donors for a particular mating were employed. In the case of A.vinelandii, ten donors of the rifampicin sensitive ZAV23 (A.vinelandii/pZD100) and another ten donors of the rifampicin resistant ZAV21 (A.vinelandii rifr/ pZD100) were spot-mated with ZD162 (metB $B_1 = recA56 = str-r \lambda^+$) as described in the Methods. However, only five Raf3 (<u>P.aeruginosa</u>/pZD100) were spot-mated with J62 (Pro trp <u>his</u> str-r λ^+) recipient for the transfer of p2D100 back into E.coli.

In addition, spot-mating was applied for the transfer back of pZD23 from <u>Rhizobium leguminosarum</u>. Hybrids of <u>R.leguminosarum</u> such as ZDR19 (<u>phel/trp12 str</u>37/pZD100) and ZD R21 (<u>phel trp12 str37</u>/pZD23) have transferred back only the RP4 drug resistant determinants but not the <u>rif</u>^D phenotype.

Another attempt for the transfer back of rpoB3 (<u>rif</u>^D) to <u>E.coli</u> was from the few rifampicin resistant R.leguminosarum harbouring the plasmid pZD23 and the few rifampicin resistant A.vinelandii harbouring either pZD100 or pZD23. As mentioned earlier, a spot-mating technique was carried out. Ten L-broth cultures of each of the following donors were prepared from the rifampicin resistant A.vinelandii/pZD100 or ZAV21, the rifampicin sensitive A.vinelandii/pZD100 or ZAV23 and the rifampicin sensitive A.vinelandii/pZD23 or ZAV24. TY broth cultures (see Media) were prepared from the rifampicin sensitive and the rifampicin resistant R.leguminosarum/pZD23 donors. After 24 hours incubation of the mating mixture at 30°C and 28°C for the Azotobacter and the Rhizobium matings respectively, E.coli transconjugants were streaked out of each spot onto two fresly prepared selective plates; one of them containing rifampicin.

None of the <u>E.coli</u> transconjugants of ZDR21 (<u>phel</u> <u>trpl2 str</u>37/pZD23) were rifampicin resistant; all <u>E.coli</u> transconjugants of ZAV21 (<u>A.vinelandii rif</u>^r/pZD100), ZAV23 (<u>A.vinelandii</u>/pZD100) and ZAV24 (<u>A.vinelandii</u>/pZD23) were resistant to rifampicin.

This had led to the conclusion of the existence of a third possibility for the expression of <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB3</u> (\underline{rif}^{D}) in the alien cell (see Fig, 14) for different ways of explaining these results).

Legend to Fig. 14

There are four different possibilities for the explanation of the phenotype of the transconjugants which have received one of the plasmids which harbours E.coli rpoB3 (rif^D).

- I. The presence of the plasmid has not altered the rifampicin sensitive phenotype into the rifampicin resistant; this indicates dany of the following:
 - 1. The inability of the host to express E.coli rif^D.
 - 2. The loss of the <u>E.coli</u> $\underline{rpoB3}$ (\underline{rif}^{D}) gene.
 - 3. The cessation of the dominance of the rifampicin resistant allele over the rifampicin sensitive chromosomal allele.
- II. The possession of the <u>rpoB3</u> (\underline{rif}^{D}) plasmid has converted the recipient into the rifampicin resistant phenotype.
- III & The rifampicin resistant phenotype of the transconjugants may be due to the presence IV of a spontaneous chromosomal rifampicin resistant mutation only (III) or due to both
- IV of a spontaneous chromosomal rifampicin resistant mutation only (III) or due to both, the chromosomal <u>rif</u>^r mutation and the expression of the <u>E.coli rpoB</u>3 (<u>rif</u>^D) present on the plasmid (IV).



6. The distinction between the rifampicin resistant pZD23

hybrids and the spontaneous resistant ones

For the distinction between the various rifampicin resistant hybrids which have received one of the plasmids, pZD23, and between the spontaneous rifampicin resistant mutants, the following incompatibility experiment was conducted. It was carried out to prove that the rifampicin resistant bacterial species are illustrated in Part II of Fig. 14 and not Part III.

Two matings were carried out for each of the bacterial species which are listed in Table 19; the plasmid RP4 λ <u>att</u> has been transferred from ZD28 (F⁻<u>his</u> <u>trpA</u>9761 <u>argE</u>171 <u>recA</u>56/RP4 λ <u>att</u>) into the bacterial species and its rifampicin resistant derivative which presumably harbours the plasmid pZD23. The reduction in the transfer of RP4 λ <u>att</u> into the pZD23 host and the reduction in the percentage of the rifampicin resistant transconjugants will indicate, firstly, that the recipient does harbour pZD23 and secondly, that its rifampicin resistant mutation.

Liquid culture matings were carried out between the donor ZD28 (F <u>his</u> <u>trpA9761 argE171 recA56/RP4 λ att</u>) and the following recipients: <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u>, ZDH10 (<u>Hafnia/pZD23</u>), <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>, ZDK105 (<u>Klebsiella/</u> pZD23), AW1 (<u>metB</u> B₁ <u>recA56 str-s</u> λ ^S), ZD136 (<u>metB</u> B₁ <u>recA56 str-s</u> λ ^S/pZD23), <u>Citrobacter freundii</u>, ZDC104 (<u>Citrobacter/pZD23</u>), <u>Serratia marcescens</u>, ZDS104 (<u>Serratia/</u> pZD23?). The transfer of RP4 λ att from ZD28 $(F his trpA9761 argE171 recA56/RP4 \lambda att)$ into <u>Salmonella</u> <u>typhimurium</u> and ZST10 (<u>Salmonella arg</u>/pZD23) was carried out by Millipore filter mating (see Methods) for 24 hours. The incubation time for the matings of <u>Hafnia</u>, <u>Klebsiella</u> and their derivatives was eight hours whereas the incubation for the matings of <u>Citrobacter</u>, <u>Serratia</u> and their derivatives was ten hours. <u>E.coli</u> incubation time of the mating mixtures was three hours.

Table 19 compares the frequencies of transfer (per donor) of RP4 λ <u>att</u> into a particular bacterial species with its transfer into a pZD23 hybrid of the same species. It is shown (Table 19) that there is a reduction in the frequency of RP4 λ <u>att</u> transfer to the rifampicin resistant pZD23 host. However, there is no difference between the frequency of transfer of RP4 λ <u>att</u> into <u>Citrobacter freundii</u> and ZD104 (<u>Citrobacter/pZD23</u>).

The transconjugants of all the matings of Table 19 were tested, as described earlier, for their resistance to the rifampicin. Only 8% of the transconjugants of ZST10 (<u>Salmonella arg</u> /pZD23) and 6% of ZDC104 (<u>Citrobacter</u>/ pZD23) transconjugants were resistant to rifampicin. The test transconjugants of ZDK105 (<u>Klebsiella</u>/pZD23), ZDH10 (<u>Hafnia</u>/pZD23) and ZD136 (<u>metB</u> B_1 <u>recA</u>56 <u>str-s</u> λ ^S/pZD23) were rifampicin sensitive.

It is concluded that the transfer of the plasmid RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ into the bacterial species harbouring pZD23 is reduced in comparison with its transfer to the same species lacking the plasmid pZD23. In addition, the transfer of

Legend to Table 19

The incompatibility of pZD23 with RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ is shown by the comparison of the transfer of RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ into the bacterial species with its transfer into the pZD23 hybrid of the same species. This experiment is to prove that the rifampicin resistant pZD23 hybrids of the various bacteria are not spontaneous rifampicin resistant mutants; the transfer of RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ has resulted in the loss of \underline{rif}^{D} phenotype from most of the transconjugants. Although the table illustrates the reduction of the transfer of RP4 $\lambda \underline{att}$ to the rifampicin resistant pZD23 carrier, <u>C.freundii</u> shows no such reduction; however 6% of its transconjugants are rifampicin resistant (*).

** the mating technique was Millipore filter mating (see Methods).

The incubation temperature for all the matings was $30^{\circ}C$ except <u>E.coli</u> matings whose incubation temperature was $37^{\circ}C$. The selective plates for transconjugants were glucose minimal media containing carbenicillin and the growth requirements for the auxotrophs such as methionine and thiamine for <u>E.coli</u> transconjugants and arginine for <u>Salmonella</u> transconjugants. The absence of growth of the donor and the recipients on the selective plates as well as testing the antibiotic resistances of the donor and recipients (see Methods) served as control.

	The frequencies of transfer of RP4 λatt per donor							
Bacterial species	Transfer to	Transfer to rifampicin	Fold difference in the					
	bacterial species	resistant pZD23	frequencies of the transfer					
L								
<u>Escherichia</u> <u>coli</u>	898	2.8×10^{-4}	3×10^3					
<u>Citrobacter</u> <u>freundii</u>	5.8×10^{-3}	7.1 x 10^{-3*}	0.8					
<u>Hafnia</u> <u>alvei</u> <u>møller</u>	1.3×10^{-4}	2.1 x 10^{-6}	62					
<u>Klebsiella</u> pneumoniae	4.8×10^{-5}	3.0×10^{-6}	16					
<u>Serratia</u> <u>marcescens</u>	1.7×10^{-5}	6.5×10^{-6}	2.6					
<u>Salmonella</u> <u>typhimurium</u>	$3.5 \times 10^{-4**}$	9.4 x 10^{-6**}	37					
			•					

1

Table 19. The distinction between the rifampicin resistant pZD23 hybrids and the spontaneous resistant ones.

RP4 λatt results in the loss of the <u>rif</u>^D phenotype from some if not all the transconjugants.

c. <u>Discussion</u>

I have chosen a total of twelve bacteria genera which belong to four families, the Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Rhizobiaceae and Azotobacteriaceae. The bacterial species are <u>Escherichia coli</u>, <u>Enterobacter</u> <u>aerogenes</u>, <u>Citrobacter freundii</u>, <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u>, <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>, <u>Proteus morganii</u>, <u>Proteus vulgaris</u>, <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, <u>Serratia marcescens</u>, <u>Rhizobium</u> <u>leguminosarum</u>, <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> and <u>Azotobacter</u> <u>vinelandii</u>. They have a wide range of G + C content of their DNA and they represent widely divergent evolutionary branches of the bacterial phylogenic tree.

1. Representatives of the Enterobacteriaceae

i. General view

<u>E.coli rpoB3</u> (\underline{rif}^{D}) was transferred into these bacterial species via pZD23 and pZD100. All members of the Enterobacteriaceae (except <u>Serratia</u>) have become resistant to the rifampicin after receiving <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gene; whereas none of the bacterial species belonging to the other families has changed their rifampicin sensitive phenotype.

The morphology of the colonies of the bacterial hybrids particularly the size, seems to be similar to <u>E.coli</u> but <u>H.alvei møller</u> cells which received pZD23 have grown at 37° C into very small sized colonies. However, they grow into normal size when transferred to 30° C. This

cannot be due to the presence of pZD23 in the cell because <u>Hafnia</u> itself doesn't grow into normal size on glucose minimal medium at 37[°]C whereas on LB it does.

However, the acquisition of the rifampicin resistance phenotype of these bacterial species reflects their close relatedness to <u>E.coli</u>. The linkage maps of <u>Klebsiella</u> <u>pneumoniae</u>, <u>Citrobacter freundii</u> and <u>Salmonella</u> <u>typhimurium</u> though they differ in the number of the mapped genes, they are strikingly similar to <u>E.coli</u>; for this reason it has been concluded that the linkage map is a conserver character that is unaltered in the Enterobacteriaceae family (Sanderson, 1976).

<u>Klebsiella</u> is the only member of the Enterobacteriaceae that contains the nitrogen fixation gene, <u>nif</u> (Riley and Anilionis, 1978). When <u>nif</u> gene and the linked genes of ribitol and arabitol metabolism of <u>Klebsiella</u> are introduced via plasmids or transduction they integrate into their analogous positions near <u>his</u> (Riley and Anilionis, 1978).

ii. Serratia marcescens

Among the tested members of the Enterobacteriaceae, only <u>Serratia marcescens</u> was sensitive to rifampicin **after** introducing pZD100 or pZD23 into it. It has been claimed that the divergence of <u>Serratia</u> from <u>E.coli</u>, in the evolutionary process, is much more than <u>Enterobacter</u>, <u>Klebsiella</u> or <u>Citrobacter</u> (Grimont and Grimont, 1978) and that it is more distantly related to <u>E.coli</u> than <u>Citrobacter</u>, <u>Salmonella</u>, <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> and <u>Enterobacter</u> <u>aerogenes</u>

(Grimont and Grimont, 1978). It is not clear that pZD100 and pZD23 (_____exist (_____) as independent extrachromosomal elements because neither of them was able to transfer back from Serratia to E.coli; if they are integrated into the chromosome then this integration will also reflect a close relatedness to E.coli as the integrated recombinants are obtained in crosses of closely related genera (Sanderson, However, it has been pointed out that plasmid 1976). transfer from Serratia into E.coli is often inefficient (Grimont and Grimont, 1978) and that the P plasmids are transferred with difficulty, though the data are not shown (Grimont and Grimont, 1978). In fact, another derivative of RP4 namely RP4 :: Mu was introduced into there is Serratia marcescens (Faelen et al, 1977) but no available information whether it can be transferred out of it.

There exists the possibility the presence of extrachromosomal elements in <u>Serratia</u> such as R factors belonging to S and L compatibility groups (Hedges <u>et al</u>, 1975; Grimont and Grimont, 1978) code for the production of the bacteriocin marcescin A (Prinsloo, 1966; Timmis and Winkler, 1973). One cannot exclude the possibility of the existence of an R factor in the <u>Serratia</u> species used for this study as it is naturally resistant to ampicillin and tetracycline. R factors conferring the resistance to ampicillin and to tetracycline are found in <u>Serratia marcescens</u> (Hedges <u>et al</u>, 1975). The presence of the antibiotic resistance determinants on the residing R factor and the <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB3</u> plasmid may allow the

formation of a hybrid non-transmissible plasmid; a hybrid as a result of molecular interaction with the residing bacteriocin plasmid which codes for the marcescin A may also be formed. However, the marcescin A plasmid is <u>tra</u> and all attempts to transfer it into another <u>S.marcescens</u> or into <u>E.coli</u> have failed in the presence or the absence of the "helper" derepressed R1-19 R factor (Timmis and Winkler, 1973). In this case the failure of the transfer back of both pZD100 and pZD23 is not surprising. Finally, tra genes of these plasmids may not be expressed in this species.

In addition to the bacteriocins, <u>S.marcescens</u> is known to produce exocellular nucleases (Winkler and Timmis, 1973) which may kill the <u>E.coli</u> recipient cells and prevent the selection of the transfer back transconjugants; this should not be the case because it was possible to conduct the mating experiments between the <u>E.coli</u> donors and the <u>Serratia</u> recipient earlier.

Serratia marcescens differs from E.coli in many other respects, in addition to the previously mentioned ones (see Table 16), for example the G + C content of the Serratia is 60% which is higher than the 50% range of the G + C content of E.coli. Differences are occuring between the Serratia and E.coli in the amino acid sequences, molecular weight and regulation of several enzymes such as asparaginase, homoserine dehydrogenase and the enzymes

involved in the tryptophan biosynthesis (Konze-Thomas and Rüger, 1976). There are some differences in the gene order between <u>E.coli</u> and <u>S.marcescens</u> for example the four structural genes <u>argE</u>, <u>argC</u>, <u>argB</u> and <u>argH</u> map in this order in <u>E.coli</u>, whereas in <u>S.marcescens</u>, <u>argG</u> is located between <u>argB</u> and <u>argH</u> (Sanderson, 1976; Grimont and Grimont, 1978). Furthermore, Dekio <u>et al</u> (1970) found that at least nine 30S and nine 50S ribosomal proteins can be distinguished between <u>E.coli</u> and <u>Serratia</u> on columns of carboxymethyl-cellulose.

However, the comparative studies, carried out in vitro, between the RNA polymerases of Serratia and E.coli has revealed the similarity in their molecular structure (Fukuda et al, 1977; Konze-Thomas and Rüger, 1976). The in vitro analysis of the subunits of RNA polymerase of S.marcescens has revealed that they have the same migration the subunits of the <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase during acrylamide electrophoresis indicating the similarity of their molecular weights (Konze-Thomas and Rüger, 1976); they found that the replacement of the β ' Serratia by the $\beta_{\text{E.coli}}^{\beta}$ in the reconstitution experiment has yielded 85% of the activity of the homologous Serratia reconstituates. In addition, β subunit of RNA polymerase of <u>E.coli</u> and Serratia can fully substitute each other. The replacement of β_{Serratia} with $\beta_{\text{E.coli}}$ has yielded 96% of the activity of the homologous Serratia reconstituates and the replacement of $\beta_{\text{E.coli}}$ with β_{Serratia} has yielded 92% of the activity of the E.coli homologous reconstituates.

Konze-Thomas and Rüger (1976) have concluded that there is no decisive difference, in vitro, between the RNA polymerase of <u>E.coli</u> and <u>Serratia marcescens</u>.

In an earlier work on the genetics of the ribosomal proteins, Sypherd and Osawa (1974) have introduced an <u>E.coli</u> episome JCH13, which carries the genes for the 30S and 50S ribosomal proteins, into <u>Serratia marcescens</u> and by applying the chromatographic analysis they have observed the presence of the ribosomal proteins of both species in the hybrid cells.

The phenotypic differences between Serratia marcescens, ZDS100 (Serratia/RP4 λ att), ZDS103 (Serratia/pZD100) and ZDS104 (Serratia/pZD23) are the resistance of ZDS100 and ZDS103 to carbenicillin (100 µg/ml) and kanamycin (25 µg/ml) and the resistance of ZDS104 to kanamycin (25 μ g/ml). spontaneous kanamycin resistant mutant will depend on how reproducible the results of the incompatibility experiment are (see Table 19). However, the lack of reduction in the efficiency of transfer of RP4 λ_{att} into Citrobacter hybrid carrying pZD23 indicates that RP4 inc gene(s) are not expressed in Citrobacter. In addition, Sakanyan et al. (1978) has shown that these genes map in the region between 2.1-9.8 kb either between Ap^r and EcoRI site or between \underline{Ap}^{r} and \underline{Tc}^{r} . Since pZD23 expressed incompatibility then it is possible to conclude that inc gene(s) is located between Ap^r and Tc^r genes of RP4. Furthermore ZDS103 and ZDS104 have overproduced the red pigment, prodigiosin

at low concentrations of rifampicin which can be visualised by the appearance of a red ring around the rifampicin disc of the Antibiotic Multodisk; the position of this ring is at lower concentration of the rifampicin than the inhibitory concentration.

The red ring had not appeared in the case of <u>S.marcescens</u> or ZDS100 (<u>Serratia</u>/RP4 λ <u>att</u>) implying that the rifampicin does not induce the synthesis of the prodigiosin by itself. It is possible to explain this phenomenon on the basis that the presence of the <u>E.coli</u> β and β ' subunits results in overproduction of the prodigiosin in the presence of low concentration of rifampicin.

The rate of prodigiosin synthesis is increased in the presence of the alanine, proline and histidine amino acids (Williams <u>et al</u>, 1976) as the proline is incorporated intact, all the alanine (except the carboxyl group) whereas the histidine does not enter the prodigiosin directly (Lime<u>et al</u>, 1977). The rate of the protein synthesis is also increased (Williams <u>et al</u>, 1976); whereas in the presence of the amino acids which does not stimulate the prodigiosin synthesis, the rate of \bigcirc protein synthesis has not increased.

It is known, in <u>E.coli</u>, that the rate of $\bigcirc \beta$ and β' synthesis is increased in the presence of low effective concentrations of rifampicin (Hayward <u>et al</u>, 1973; Bass <u>et al</u>, 1979); it is possible that it is increased also in <u>Serratia marcescens</u> and in this case either <u>rif</u>^D is not dominant over <u>Serratia</u> <u>rif</u>^S allele or it is not possible

for <u>E.coli</u> $\beta\beta$ ' subunits to assemble with the <u>Serratia</u> RNA polymerase subunits.

The other alternative that the ZDS103 (Serratia/ pZD100) and ZDS104 (Serratia/pZD23) are spontaneous antibiotic resistant mutants cannot be considered because ZDS103 was selected in the presence of the carbenicillin (100 µg/ml) and the kanamycin (25 µg/ml) and the frequency of the spontaneous mutation to carbenicillin and kanamycin should be much lower than the frequency of the transfer of RP4 or its derivatives into it; ZDS104 was selected in the presence of kanamycin (25 µg/ml) only, however the frequency of transfer of pZD23 into it, is similar to the transfer of pZD100 (Table 17); the minimal inhibitory concentration of the kanamycin is 10 µg/ml (Winkler et al, 1978).

It is to attribute the inexpression of <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> (<u>rif^D</u>) in <u>Serratia</u> to be due to one of the two main reasons: First, that <u>E.coli</u> gene is subjected to the attack of the restriction mechanism of <u>Serratia</u>; that is not possible because there is a clear phenotypic difference between the <u>Serratia</u> harbouring pZD100 or pZD23 and the <u>Berratia</u> with or without RP4 λ <u>att</u>. Second, that the <u>rif^D</u> allele of <u>E.coli</u> is not dominant over the <u>rif^S</u> allele of the Serratia. It has been suggested that may be <u>rif^D</u> is a complex mutation as it is unstable in a <u>rec⁺</u> background segregating <u>rif^r</u> alleles that are no longer dominant over the <u>rif^S</u> allele (Scaife and Linn, personal communication). Nevertheless, there is no good agreement between the current taxonomy (Buchanan <u>et al</u>, 1974) and my results <u>Serratia</u>. Although Buchanan <u>et al</u> (1974) have pointed out that there are many suggestions to combine one or more of the genera <u>Klebsiella</u>, <u>Enterobacter</u>, <u>Hafnia</u> and <u>Serratia</u> in the near future, I belive that <u>Serratia</u> stands quite distinctly from the others.

iii. Salmonella typhimurium

Salmonella species is genetically very similar to E.coli and it has been found that the gene marrangement on its chromosome is remarkably similar to that of E.coli (Sanderson and Demereck, 1965; Sanderson, 1972; Sanderson and Hartman, 1978). In fact, the linkage maps-of-Salmonella typhimurium, Citrobacter freundii and Klebsiella pneumoniae are very similar to E.coli and to each other (Sanderson, 1976). Despite the similarity between E.coli and S.typhimurium in linkage maps there are some differences that have emerged from the comparison between the two maps (Riley and Anilionis, 1978); the comparison has revealed the existence of twelve loops ranging between 0.6-1.5 map units in length and reflecting the changes between the two species whether it is an addition or deletion of genetic material (Riley and Anilionis, 1978). However, it has been shown that at least four 30S and six 50S ribosomal proteins of S.typhimurium are distinguishable from E.coli by carboxymethyl-cellulose chromatography (Dekio et al, 1970).

There was an apparent difference in the behaviour of

the Salmonella receiving pZD100 and those which receive pZD23. It has been found that ZST10 (Salmonella arg / pZD23) are resistant to C rifampicin (100 µg/ml) whereas ZST11 (Salmonella arg /pZD100) has not been converted to the rifampicin resistant phenotype on receiving pZD100; this cannot be attributed to the restriction of the rpoB3 of pZD100 in Salmonella as it was possible to transfer back rif^D into E.coli (see Table 18). The most important fact is that the problem doesn't seem to be in the recognition of the E.coli rpoB3 by Salmonella host neither in the dominance of the rif allele over the rif^S allele of Salmonella. The major structural difference between pZD23 and pZD100 is the presence of the λ genome on the latter. The bacteriophage λ when introduced into Salmonella is established as a multicopy plasmid (Falkow and Baron, 1970; Falkow, 1975); another difference in the behaviour of λ in <u>Salmonella</u> typhimurium is the absence of zygotic induction of the bacteriophage λ when transferred from E.coli into it (Baron et al, 1970); it seems that this is not true in the case of S.typhimurium. Thus the anomaly of the expression of E.coli rpoB3 carried by pZD100 in Salmonella could be explained to be due to the anomaly of the behaviour of the bacteriophage λ . Nevertheless, Salmonella typhimurium was able to express E.coli rpoB3 which was carried by pZD23 and this that Salmonella is closely related to E.coli as it seems to be able to accommodate a foreign β subunit in its RNA

polymerase holoenzyme which may replace its own subunit without affecting its functioning.

iv Proteus spp.

Proteus vulgaris is distinguished from Proteus morganii by its swarming ability; the swarming phenomenon of P.vulgaris has been investigated (Jones and Park, 1967; Williams and Schwarzhoff, 1978) and various methods for its prevention has been proposed (Hayward and Miles,, 1943; Sandys, 1960; Cruickshank et al, 1975; Hayward et al, 1978; Williams and Schwarzhoff, 1978). The two species of Proteus, namely P.vulgaris and P.morganii vary with respect to the guanine + cytosine percentage of their DNA which is found to be about 40% and about 50% respectively (Hill, 1966; Buchanan et al, 1974). Despite the differences between the two species of Proteus they behave in a rather similar way on receiving the plasmid pZD23. They become resistant to the rifampicin (100 μ g/ml) due to the presence of the E.coli rif^D β subunit irrespective of the difference in their G + C content. Two colonial sizes of the Proteus hybrids were observed in the presence colonies of the rifampicin. The majority are poorly (i.e. small size colonies) and can be ascribed to a number of causes such as poor synthesis of β subunit, breakdown of β subunit, poor assembly and poor function of RNA The minority exhibited the normal colony size. polymerase. In fact, RNA polymerase of P.mirabilis seems to have similar structure of $\alpha_2 \beta\beta'\sigma$ to <u>E.coli</u> but σ subunit is larger in size than the σ subunit of E.coli and more

the acidic in charge and α subunit is more basic than the corresponding <u>E.coli</u> subunit (Fukuda <u>et al</u>, 1977). This variation in the RNA polymerase subunits of <u>P.mirabilis</u> may be true for the <u>P.morganii</u> and <u>P.vulgaris</u> RNA polymerases and may explain the low expression of the E.coli rpoB3 gene in Proteus

However, this is not the first attempt () investigating E.coli gene expression in Proteus there are many examples which demonstrate the low expression of (E.coli genes in this bacterium. lac genes of E.coli were introduced into P.mirabilis by an episome F-lac (Falkow et al, 1964) and a reduction in the differential rate of the β -galactosidase synthesis was noticed; it is interesting that Falkow et al (1964) have also observed two colonial sizes among the lac⁺ exconjugants. More recently, Roberts and Baumberg (1978) have introduced the E.coli lac genes via the plasmid pGC91.14 which carries the transposon Tn951 into P.mirabilis and compared the rate of the β -galactosidase synthesis with that of the Proteus harbouring F-lac; they found that the β -galactosidase and the induction ratio of both F-lac and pGC91.14 are much lower in Proteus than in E.coli. This had led to the conclusion that the transcription and Cotranslation machineries recognise the E.coli exogenous system with a varying degree of inefficiency. However, this conclusion is in good agreement with the earlier hypothesis of Dale and Smith (1971) that the inefficient expression of the foreign DNA in Proteus is due to the inability of its

The low activity of the β -lactamase which is produced by R1818 in Proteus mirabilis when compared with E.coli offers another example of the anomalous expression of the foreign DNA in Proteus (Smith, 1969). The repression of $(\sum \beta$ -galactosidase synthesis in E.coli by galactose becomes induction in P.mirabilis F-lac⁺ (Colby et al, 1968). The anomalous synthesis of the β -galactosidase in P.mirabilis was also observed by Colby and Hu (1968); the relative inefficiencies of the various inducers was found to be different from those in E.coli. In addition to the lactose system and the β -lactamase, a third example of anomalous expression of foreign DNA in Proteus has been described (Baumberg and Dennison, 1975). It is concerned with the expression of the F-like R factors Rl and its derepressed derivatives R1-16 (o^C) and R1-19 (i⁻) in Proteus mirabilis; piliation of Proteus cells harbouring the derepressed plasmids is expressed at lower level in E.coli and no repression in Rl harbouring cells. Nevertheless, an intermediate level of repression was found in Rl carrying cells of P.morganii (Baumberg and Dennison, 1975).

The failure of the transfer of the I-like R factor,
ColI, into the <u>Proteus</u> group was explained to be possibly due to the inability of the <u>Proteus</u> to recognise perhaps one or more operons of the plasmid (Datta and Hedges, 1972).

The genetics of <u>Proteus</u> has been reviewed (Coetzee, 1972) and the chromosomal map is established (Coetzee, 1978; Coetzee, 1979). Although <u>Proteus</u> differs from other members of the Enterobacteriaceae in gene arrangement (Sanderson, 1976; Coetzee, 1979) there are other criteria which seems to be conserved in <u>Proteus</u> such as the 16S ribosomal RNA (Fellner, 1974) which showed the strongest heterologous binding to the S4 ribosomal protein of <u>E.coli</u> (Daya-Grosjean, 1973) and the tryptophan and Creighton synthetase system (McQuade , 1970; Reyes and Rocha, 1977).

2. Representiaves of the non-Enterobacteriaceae

It is demonstrated (Table 17) that all the bacterial species belonging to families other than the Enterobacteriaceae have failed to express <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> (rif^{D}) gene and these species are <u>Rhizobium leguminosarum</u>, <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> and <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u>. However, these species are different in that some of them were unable to transfer <u>rpoB3</u> back to <u>E.coli</u> such as <u>Rhizobium</u> (see Table 18). The species under this category will be discussed separately as follows.

i. Rhizobium leguminosarum

Rhizobium leguminosarum does not resemble <u>Serratia</u> with respect to its inability to be donor for the plasmids; The it was possible to transfer RP4 part of the plasmids out

of <u>Rhizobium</u> into <u>E.coli</u> but not out of <u>Serratia</u>. However, the growth curve experiment (Fig. 13) does not distinguish between the <u>Rhizobium</u> harbouring or lacking <u>E.coli</u> <u>rpoB</u>3. Therefore the question here is stressed to the presence or the absence of the <u>E.coli</u> gene in <u>Rhizobium</u> host before asking whether the gene is expressed or not.

Recent studies provided physical evidence for the presence of ()endogenous plasmids in R.leguminosarum and other Rhizobia spp. which range in molecular weight between 70-400 x 10⁶ daltons (Nuti et al, 1977; Casse et al, 1979). Some of these plasmids determine the production of the bacteriocin by the R.leguminosarum (Hirsch, 1979) and the ability to nodulate peas (Johnston et al, 1978). Most interestingly, the plasmid RP4 has been transferred into R.leguminosarum (Beringer, 1974) and R.lupini (Tucher, 1974) and was found to interact with the resident plasmids of Rhizobia (Johnston, A. 1980, personal communication). RP4 was found to be highly unstable in R.lupini (Pühler and Burkardt, 1978) as shortened RP4 has been detected in R.lupini minicells (Tucher, 1974). It is plausible to explain the loss of the E.coli rpoB3 to be due to the interaction with the various extrachromosomal elements which are harboured by R.leguminosarum. At the moment it is not easy to decide whether the rpoB3 gene is restricted by the Rhizobium restriction enzyme or whether it is not restricted but its product is not more dominant over the \underline{rif}^{s} allele. The distinction between the two cases could be clearly resolved after the isolation of both plasmids pZD23 and pZD100 from <u>E.coli</u> which have received them from <u>Rhizobia</u> and after comparing their structures with the unmodified plasmids.

My attempt to transfer an E.coli piece of DNA into Rhizobium was not the first; it has been reported earlier that R.lupini can receive F'lac (Datta and Hedges, 1972) although no further description has been given of whether the E.coli lac genes are expressed in Rhizobium or not or even how the selection for the F'lac was carried out in Rhizobium. Further recent investigation was carried out by Nagahari et al (1979) as they have transferred a hybrid plasmid, RP4-trp, which carries the whole E.coli tryptophan operon into R.leguminosarum strains carrying mutations in different trp genes; they have found that these trp mutations were complemented by the presence of this plasmid. This is a prominent example of the heterospecific gene expression of 💭 E.coli trp genes in Rhizobium where its RNA polymerase's ability to recognise the E.coli promoters is demonstrated and where the products are used by the cell to the chromosomal <u>trp</u> mutations. However, this is the answer of a different question from the one that I have asked; this is a question of whether the whole operon of one system can operate in an alien cell but the demand in my experiment is higher as I have asked _____ the recognition of the E.coli rpoBC genes by the host RNA polymerase, I have asked for the

interaction of the foreign β subunit with the native subunits of the host RNA polymerase and for the functioning of the hybrid enzyme in the host. Any block in one of these steps could lead to the failure of the detection of heterospecific gene expression.

ii. <u>Pseudomonas</u> aeruginosa

The detection of the expression of the E.coli rpoB3 (rif^D) gene has failed in another species, outside the Enterobacteriaceae, and that is <u>Pseudomonas</u> <u>aeruginosa</u>. All the tested pZD100 hybrids were rifampicin sensitive (5-100 μ g/ml) but they were able to transfer <u>rif</u>^D phenotype back to E.coli (see Table 18). The sensitivity of pZD100 hybrids of P.aeruginosa to rifampicin could therefore be due to the failure of its transcriptional or translational machineries in the recognition of the E.coli rpoB3 gene. However, versatile carriers have been used for the transfer of the E.coli trp operon into P.aeruginosa trp mutants such as RP4-trp (Nagahari et al, 1977) and RSF1010-trp (Nagahari, 1978), on transfer of these plasmids, the trp phenotype of Pseudomonas was converted into the \underline{trp}^+ phenotype and the expression of E.coli trp was constitutive as the level of the tryptophan synthesising enzymes was much higher than the wild type (Nagahari et al, 1977; Nagahari, 1978). This situation is similar to the previously discussed Rhizobium example to which the E.coli trp operon has been transferred (Nagahari et al, 1979).

In <u>P.aeruginosa</u> the trp operon of <u>E.coli</u> was transferred

and tested for its expression and <u>E.coli trp</u> genes were able to suppress <u>Pseudomonas trp</u> mutation but it was out of the control of the <u>Pseudomonas</u> repression system. In other words, <u>P.aeruginosa</u> RNA polymerase was able to recognise the promoter for the <u>E.coli trp</u> operon and there was no transcriptional or translational barrier.

In my experiment if the <u>E.coli</u> $\beta\beta$ ' subunits of RNA polymerase have been formed in <u>Pseudomonas</u> they may not have been as stable as when they are produced in <u>E.coli</u> but rather degrade rapidly. However, even if the $\beta\beta$ ' subunits of <u>E.coli</u> were stable in <u>Pseudomonas</u> <u>aeruginosa</u> the problem of the reassembly with the other subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase is probably not easily passed over by the $\beta\beta$ ' of <u>E.coli</u> in <u>Pseudomonas</u>.

The plasmid F110 (Low, 1972) mistakenly quoted to carry the rpoA and the rpoB genes (Mergeay and Gerits, 1978) harbours the chromosomal region between 85'-90' including the rpoB and the rpoC genes (Low, 1972; Bachmann et al, 1976). It was transferred from E.coli into the rifampicin resistant Pseudomonas fluorescens mutants and the merodiploids for the <u>rpoB</u> gene became sensitive to the rifampicin due to the dominance of the <u>E.coli</u> \underline{rpoB}^+ (<u>rif</u>^S) allele over the Pseudomonas rif^r allele (Mergeay and However, this dominance was temporary Gerits, 1978). and the reappearance of the rifampicin resistant clones was noticed. The authors have interpreted the loss of the dominance of the rif^S allele to be due to many reasons such as the loss of the \underline{rif}^{S} allele by deletion, the

reassociation of the <u>Pseudomonas</u> subunits conferring the resistance to the rifampicin or the modification in the regulation of the RNA polymerase; but no further comments about the stability of the newly synthesised β subunit of <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase in the alien cell. It is possible that the inability of the <u>E.coli</u> β subunit has allowed the reassociation of the <u>rif</u>^r allele and consequently the conversion of the rifampicin sensitive phenotype into the rifampicin resistant one.

However, Pseudomonas fluorescens and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are different in many respects. P.aeruginosa is pathogenic to man and can grow at high temperature of 41[°]C whereas <u>P.fluorescens</u> is non-pathogenic and cannot grow at 41°C (Cruickshank et al, 1975). P.aeruginosa genome size is larger $(7 \times 10^9 \text{ daltons})$ than P.fluorescens genome (5×10^9) (Holloway, 1975). Two tests can distinguish between P.aeruginosa and P.fluorescens to which the first is positive and the second is negative and these are the ethanol utilisation in ammonium salt sugars (ten days) and the Tween 80 hydrolysis (five days) (Cruickshank et al, 1975). The utilisation of Trehalose or mesoinositol as a sole carbon source is characteristic of <u>P.fluorescens</u> and not of <u>P.aeruginosa</u>. In contrast, Geraniol can be utilised by P.aeruginosa and not by P.fluorescens as a sole carbon source (Cruickshank et al, The genetics of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> has been extensively 1975). reviewed (Holloway, 1969; Holloway, 1975; Holloway and Krishnapillai, 1975; Holloway et al, 1979) but no

detailed information is available about the genetical structure of <u>P.fluorescens</u>; for this reason the genetical comparison of both species is not available. However, the different responses to the various microbiological tests of both species reflect their genetic variation.

Johnston <u>et al</u> (1971) have purified **R**. NA polymerase of <u>P.putida</u> and found that the molecular weight of α (44,000) and σ (98,000) are higher than that of <u>E.coli</u> (Burgess, 1976) whereas β and β ' subunits have the similar molecular weights of 155,000 and 165,000 respectively. If the molecular weights of these subunits corresponds to the molecular weights of <u>P.aeruginosa</u> subunits of RNA polymerase then this difference in the size of the α and the σ subunits may stand as barrier for the assembly of the β subunit of <u>E.coli</u> in the hybrid <u>P.aeruginosa</u>.

iii. Azotobacter vinelandii

The behaviour of <u>Azotobacter vinelandii</u> which received the plasmids pZD100 and pZD23 was similar to the behaviour of <u>Pseudomonas</u> in that it did not recognise <u>E.coli rpoB3</u> gene and that it has transferred the plasmids pZD100 and pZD23 back into <u>E.coli</u>. However, <u>Azotobacter</u> transconjugants harbouring pZD23 like ZDH10 (<u>Hafnia/pZD23</u>) produced very small sized colonies at 37° C but not at 30° C; the possibility that this temperature sensitivity is due to the interaction of the foreign subunits of the RNA polymerase (see Scaife, 1976) cannot be ruled out. The transfer of <u>E.coli</u> DNA into <u>A.vinelandii</u> has not been reported previously. However, it has been reported that the molecular weights of the subunits of <u>A.vinelandii</u> RNA polymerase are exactly the same as <u>E.coli</u> (Burgess, 1976) but apparently the similarity, here, of the molecular weights of the subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase does not necessarily imply the close relatedness between two bacterial species. Moreover, <u>A.vinelandii</u> RNA polymerase is able to recognise the same T7 promoter and terminator sites that are recognised by <u>E.coli</u> RNA polymerase (Wiggs <u>et al</u>, 1979); although this investigation reflects something \bigcirc of the relatedness between the two bacterial species, it was carried out in an <u>in vitro</u> system which is different from the in vivo environment.

In an earlier investigation on the reconstitution of 30S ribosomal particles, Nomura et al (1968) found that the 16S rRNA from A.vinelandii can interact with the ribosomal protein of E.coli to form biologically active 30S ribosomes and for this reason they have suggested that certain regions of the 16S rRNA which are involved in the specific interaction with the ribosomal proteins are conserved in evolution. The molecular weights and the number of the ribosomal proteins of A.vinelandii are also conserved as they are similar to those of E.coli (Sun et al, 1972). Despite these similarities between the systems of Azotobacter and E.coli I have classified it with the distantly related bacterial species to E.coli because of the failure of the detection of the expression of the E.coli rpoB3 in it.

There are other sytems, besides RNA polymerase, which

have been thoroughly investigated in the various members of the Enterobacteriaceae. The tryptophan operon is one of the well characterised systems in E.coli (Yanofsky, 1960; Platt, 1978). Furthermore, various studies were carried out comparing this system in E.coli and in other members of the Enterobacteriaceae in order to gauge the evolutionary distance between the various bacterial species and E.coli. Various comparative studies of the tryptophan synthetase α chains of E.coli, Salmonella typhimurium, Aerobacter aerogenes and Serratia marcescens were carried out (Creighton et al, 1966; Li and Yanofsky, 1972a; Li and Yanofsky, 1972b; Li et al., 1973b). The α subunit of tryptophan synthetase of E.coli, S.typhimurium and A.aerogenes are very similar in size and appear to be truly homologous as has been revealed by the comparison of the peptide patterns of its trypsin plus chemotrypsin digests (Creighton et al, 1966). The similarity of the α chain in these bacteria was concluded following the comparison of its amino acid sequences (Li and Yanofsky, 1972a), the amino acid sequences of fifty residues from the amino acid termini (Li and Yanofsky, 1972b), the nucleotide sequence of the α chain structural gene (Li et al, 1973a) and the amine terminal sequence (Li et al, 1973b). From these studies it was concluded that the similarity of the α chains of the following bacterial species to that of E.coli decreases in the following order: E.coli > Shigella > <u>Aerobacter > Salmonella > Serratia > Pseudomonas.</u>

However, the comparative studies have proceeded with

the $\beta 2$ subunit of the tryptophan synthetase of the various members of the Enterobacteriaceae and E.coli (Rocha et al, 1972; Rocha et al, 1975; Rocha et al, 1978; Brennan and Rocha, 1978; Rocha and Brennan, 1978). All these studies support the conclusion that the general surface structure and the α subunit binding site of the $\beta 2$ subunit from the Enterobacteriaceae have been strongly conserved; in other words, the trpB cistron of the tryptophan is relatively conserved in this family. Furthermore, trpC gene products in the Enterobacteriaceae have been compared immunochemically and have been found to be a homologous group of proteins (McQuade et al, 1970; Reyes and Rocha, 1977); it is indicated that the similarity of the trpC gene product (phosphoribosylanthranilate isomerase-indolglycerol phosphate synthetase) to E.coli among the bacterial species examined is in the following order: <u>Aerobacter</u> > <u>Salmonella</u> > <u>Proteus</u> > <u>Serratia</u>. The order of the relatedness of these bacterial species to E.coli seems to be similar to that which came from the studies of the α subunit of the tryptophan synthetase (Li and Yanofsky, 1972b; Li et al, 1973b). Nevertheless, Denny and Yanofsky (1972) have reached the same conclusion although they have looked _______a different angle: the the addition of tryptophan analogue 3-indolylacrilic acid to cultures of Shigella, Salmonella, Aerobacter, Serratia and Proteus stimulates the synthesis of the RNA which anneals to _____bacteriophage DNA carrying trp operon of E.coli; the stability of the hybrids at higher temperatures

decreased in the following order: <u>E.coli</u> > <u>Shigella</u> > <u>Salmonella</u> > <u>Aerobacter</u> > <u>Serratia</u>. In summary, as far as the tryptophan cistron is concerned, the members of the Enterobacteriaceae seems to be closely related to <u>E.coli</u>. My data shows that as far as the <u>E.coli rpoB</u> expression in the different bacterial species is concerned their relatedness to <u>E.coli</u> decreased in the following order:



and this order is proportional to the taxonomic distance separating these bacteria.

ribosomes provide another good system for the The study of (molecular evolution as they occur in all stages of evolution, in prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms (Stöffler, 1974). The genetic organisation of the ribosomal RNA and the ribosomal proteins in E.coli has been recently reviewed (Nomura et al, 1977; Nierlich, 1978). The molecular weights and the number of the ribosomal proteins of Proteus vulgaris, Salmonella typhimurium and Azotobacter vinelandii are similar to E.coli (Sun et al, 1972) though the conservation in their size and number does not reflect the homology of their primary structure. A comparative study was carried out for the ribosomal proteins of many members of the Enterobacteriaceae such as E.coli, A.aerogenes, C.freundii, E.carotovora, S.typhimurium,

S.marcescens and Klebsiella (Geisser et al, 1973; Schaad, 1974). These bacterial species are included in my study except Erwinia carotovora. Geisser et al (1973) have observed the similarity in molecular weight of the ribosomal proteins in all the tested members of the Enterobacteriaceae; their ribosomes possess electrophoretically identical proteins S7, L7/L12, six of the proteins of the 30S subunit S3, S4, S11, S14, S19, S21 and four proteins of the 50S subunit L2, L14, L24 and L28. These results (Geisser et al, 1973) are in agreement with my data and confirm the close relatedness between the bacterial species of the Enterobacteriaceae. Moreover, the immunological studies prove to be more sensitive than the electrophoretic tests and its data were consistent (Schaad, 1974).

In addition, some of the ribosomal proteins such as L7/L12 of the Enterobacteriaceae species are functionally So conserved that they can be reconstituted in the <u>E.coli</u> Sytem and produce active ribosomes (see Daya-Grosjean, 1973). Sequencing analysis of the 16S rRNA showed that its structure is highly conserved in the Enterobacteriaceae (Fellner, 1974). However, the conservation of the 16S rRNA was confirmed by the heterologous binding of the <u>E.coli</u> ribosomal protein S4 to the 16S RNA's of various genera of the Enterobacteriaceae family (Daya-Grosjean, 1973). As a result of these comparative studies it became possible to conclude the taxonomical relationship of the bacterial species and the degree of Conservation in the structure of their ribosomal proteins (Wittmann and Wittmann-Liebold, 1974). Recently, a phylogenic tree of the Enterobacteriaceae genera has been constructed as a result of the study of the ribosomal protein compositions in these bacteria (Hori and Osawa, 1978); the relatedness of the bacteria was measured by cochromatographing \bigcirc the differentially labelled ribosomal proteins of two organisms on a column of carboxymethyl cellulose. The proximity of the bacterial species to <u>E.coli</u> decreases in the following order: <u>E.coli</u> > <u>Salmonella</u> > <u>Citrobacter</u> > <u>Enterobacter</u> > <u>Klebsiella</u> and this order is similar to that established as a result of the earlier described studies of the tryptophan synthetase system.

The <u>rpoBC</u> plasmids pZD100 and pZD23 belong to the Plgroup of incompatibility and are of great interest because of their widespread transferability and because they harbour the <u>E.coli rpoBC</u> genes; in addition, they carry the ribosomal genes of the translation machinery. Thus they provide a good opportunity for the investigation of the expression of these genes in the alien cell; in other words, their transfer to another bacterial species could allow the formation of hybrid ribosomes and thus reveal the various evolutionary aspects among the bacterial species.

My estimation of the G + C contents of the DNA of <u>E.aerogenes</u> (see Table 16) falls within the known range of 52-59 moles % (Buchanan <u>et al</u>, 1974). However, <u>S.marcescens</u>'s G + C % is slightly higher than the range of 53-59 moles % (see Table 16; Buchanan et al, 1974),

but it is similar to the published value of Grimont and Grimont (1978). Surprisingly, the G + C % value for <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> is quite different from the range 52-57 moles % (see Table 16; Buchanan <u>et al</u>, 1974); however, my estimation of the <u>Hafnia</u> G + C content falls within the range of 47.2-49.5 moles % (Izard <u>et al</u>, 1978). The estimation of Izard <u>et al</u> (1978) was based on five strains of <u>Hafnia alvei møller</u> which is the same species that has been used in this work.

It seems that the G + C content of the DNA is not a major criterion that affects the heterospecific gene expression because the results obtained in this work have emerged indpendently of the wide range of the GC content of the bacterial species. On the basis of my results I can conclude that promoters are highly conserved parts of the DNA and this is consistent with the independent <u>in vitro</u> results of Wiggs <u>et al</u> (1979).

METHODS AND MATERIALS

· · ·· ·· ··

I

.

- - ----

.

8

Chapter 3 - Methods and Materials

Methods

1. Mating Techniques

a. Liquid culture mating (Miller, 1972)

This mating technique was employed for all E.coli matings as well as most enteric bacteria. E.coli donor and recipient overnight L-broth cultures were diluted in fresh L-broth (see Media) and were incubated in a shaking water bath at the appropriate temperature for about two hours. The bacterial counts for each culture was estimated by using a Petroff-Hausser counting chamber. Equal volumes (usually one ml) of the log-phase cultures of the donor and the recipient were mixed in a 25 ml sterile volumetric flask and were incubated in a waterbath shaker-incubator for two hours unless otherwise The mating mixture was then serially diluted stated. in buffer (see Media) and an aliquot of 0.1 ml of each dilution was mixed with 2 mls of molten top agar at 48°Cand poured over the freshly prepared selective plate for transconjugants which was subsequently incubated after the solidification of the top agar layer.

b. Spot mating (Miller, 1972)

This mating technique was employed mainly where many donors for the same mating experiment were used for the transfer of the plasmid into the recipient cell. The donor and the recipient cultures were prepared for the matings as described above (Section 1a). A small volume of 10-15 μ l of one of the parents was spotted over a fresh nutrient plate appropriate for the bacterial species involved in the mating. An equal volume of the other parent culture was spotted over the first one. The spots were left to dry and finally the plates were incubated at a suitable temperature for 24 hours. A loopful sample of cells was streaked out of the centre of the confluent growth of each spot onto the selective plate which is specific for the particular mating. As a control, the donor and the recipient were streaked onto the same plate. c. <u>Millipore filter mating</u> (Towner and Vivian, 1976)

The donor and the recipient cultures were prepared for the mating as described earlier (Section 1a). Two mls of the culture of each parent were mixed in a sterile 25 ml flask. Three mls of the mating mixture were aseptically filtered through a 0.45 μ m Millipore filter which was subsequently transferred to the surface of a freshly prepared nutrient plate using sterile forceps; the plate was incubated at the appropriate temperature.

At the end of the mating time, the loaded Millipore filter was transferred aseptically into a wide-mouth sterile flask into which three mls of buffer were added. The bacteria were resuspended by their vigorous whirlimixing for two minutes using Fisons Whirlimixer. Finally, the mating mixture was serially diluted in buffer and plated on the appropriate selective plates as described earlier (Section 1a).

The controls for the mating experiment

For every mating experiment, the following basic controls were done:

- a) The absence of growth of the donor cell on the selective plate for transconjugants.
- b) The inability of the recipient cell to grow on the selective plate for transconjugants.
- c) The sensitivity of both parents to the antibiotics using the Oxoid Antibiotic Multodisk.
- d) The estimation of the frequency of spontaneous resistance of the recipient cell to the antibiotic(s) used for the selection of the transconjugants. This was done by plating a known volume of the recipient culture onto the selective plate. The cells which survived were the spontaneous resistant mutants.

2. The Oxoid Antibiotic Multodisk Test (Cruickshank et al, 1975)

A sample of 0.1 ml of log-phase nutrient bacterial culture was gently mixed with 2 mls of molten top agar at 48°C and was poured over a freshly prepared nutrient plate such as L-B or Ty. The Multodisk was layered over the set bacterial lawn with sterile forceps. The sensitivity to a particular concentration of any antibiotic on the Multodisk is recognised by the appearance of a clear zone around that disc. Resistant cells grow confluently independent of the presence of the disc. The Oxoid Multodisk carries one disc for each of the following antibiotics:

PN - Ampicillin (50 µg/ml)

TE - Tetracycline (10 µg/ml)

Py - Carbenicillin (100 µg/ml)

K - Kanamycin (25 µg/ml)

RD - Rifampicin (100 µg/ml)

3. Microbiological Tests (Cruickshank et al, 1975)

a. Methy red test

Five mls of glucose phosphate peptone water (see Media) was inoculated with one colony from LB-plate of each bacterial species as well as <u>E.coli</u> for 48 hrs. Five drops of methyl red indicator solution Methyl red (0.1 g), Ethanol (300 ml), distilled water (200 ml) were added, mixed and immediate reading was taken. Red colour indicates positive tests (the production of sufficient acid during the fermentation of glucose) and yellow colour was observed for the negative tests.

b. Voges-Proskauer test

Glucose phosphate peptone water cultures were prepared as described in (a). One ml of KoH (40%) and three mls of α -naphthol (5% in absolute ethanol) were added. The appearance of purple colour in 2-5 minutes indicates a positive test. (Later, the change of the colour to crimson was observed.)

This colorimetric test is used for the detection of the production of acetyl methyl carbinol (CH₃.CO.CHOH.CH₃) or its reduced product 2,3 butylene glycol (CH₃.CHOH.CHOH.CH₃) from the fermentation of carbohydrates. The colour appears as a result of the reaction between diacetyl $(CH_3.CO.CO.CH_3)$ and a guanidino group under alkaline conditions. Diacetyl $(CH_3.CO.CO.CH_3)$ is the oxidation product of acetyl methyl carbinol or 2,3 butylene glycol.

c. Combined test of hydrogen sulphide production and

gelatin liquefaction

The medium containing the gelatin was firstly prepared as follows:

Nutrient broth	20 g
Peptone	12.5 g
NaCl	5 g
Gelatin	120 g
Distilled water	1 L
Ferric chloride	5 ml
(10% aqueous solution)	

All constituents were dissolved in the distilled water except ferric chloride. The pH was adjusted to 7.6 using "PYE model 74" pH meter. The medium was steamed and filtered through a Buchner funnel; it was then sterilised by autoclaving at 121° C for 10 min. The freshly prepared solution of ferric chloride was sterilised through a 0.45 µm Millipore filter and was added to the cooled autoclaved medium (about 50° C). Two mls of the medium was transferred aseptically with a warm pipette into small sterile test tubes which were immediately inoculated, individually, with a single colony from a young LB-plate of the bacterial species to be tested. The inoculated test tubes were sealed with parafilm and were incubated at $20^{\circ}C$. Although it is recommended to incubate the growing cultures for at least seven days, it was possible to see the results of my experiments after only two days incubation; the continuous inspection of the cultures for seven days has not revealed any alteration in the results. The production of H₂S is indicated by the blackening of the culture (production of ferric sulphide) whereas the liquefaction of gelatin is noticed by the change of the state of the culture from the solid state to the liquid state at $20^{\circ}C$.

d. Citrate utilisation test

This test is employed to distinguish most enteric bacteria from <u>E.coli</u> which is unable to utilise citrate as a sole carbon and energy source for growth.

The medium employed for this test is Simmon's citrate medium (see Media). It is green in colour (pH 6.8) due to the presence of bromothymol blue indicator which is yellow at pH 6.0 and blue at pH 7.6.

Single colonies were streaked onto freshly prepared Simmon's citrate medium and were incubated at $37^{\circ}C$ (except <u>Proteus sp.</u> which was incubated at $30^{\circ}C$) for 48 hrs. Positive results are indicated by the appearance of the bacterial growth and the change of the green colour of the medium into blue.

For testing the bacterial strains harbouring the plasmid pZDlOO, pZD23 or RP4 λ att, the medium contained all the antibiotics, resistance to which are conferred by that plasmid.

e. Sorbitol fermentation test (Cruickshank et al, 1965).

Medium-2 was prepared (see Media) and was dispensed in 3 ml volumes into small test tubes. Durham tubes (size 30 x 6 mm) were inverted in these tubes so that air bubbles are avoided. The tubes were then autoclaved at 121[°]C for 15 min, 0.3 ml of sterile sorbitol (5%) was added to each tube. The sorbitol was sterilised by filtration through a 0.45 µm Millipore filter. The tubes were inoculated with single colonies of the tested bacterial species and they were incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The colour of Medium-2 is green due to the presence of bromothymol blue Bromothymol blue (1 g), O.1 N NaOH (25 ml), distilled water (475 ml)]. Positive results of the production of acid and gas from the fermentation of sorbitol is indicated by the change of the colour of the culture from green to yellow and by the displacement of the culture in Durham tube with the liberated gas.....

f. The phenyl pyruvic acid test (Cruickshank et al, 1965)

This colorimetric test was employed to distinguish <u>Proteus sp.</u> from other enteric bacteria, as it is able to transform phenylalanine into phenyl pyruvic acid. 5 mls of L-broth cultures of <u>Proteus morganii</u> and <u>Proteus</u> <u>vulgaris</u> were prepared in ½ oz bottles by the inoculation of fresh L-broth with a single colony of each <u>Proteus sp.</u> from MacConkey's plate. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at room temperature using a bench centrifuge and they were resuspended in 0.5 ml L-broth. 0.5 ml of DL-phenylalanine (0.2% in saline)^{*} was added followed by one drop of phenol red (0.01%) and the alkalination with 0.1 M Na₂CO₃. The mixture was vigorously shaken and was incubated in an almost horizontal position for four hours at 30° C. H₂SO₄ (10%) was added until the colour changed from pink to yellow . (NH₄)₂ SO₄ was added until saturation. Half-saturated solution of FeNH₄ (SO₄)₂ was prepared and five drops of it was added to the yellow solution which was shaken thoroughly. Immediate change of the colour into dark green was observed. As a control, the same procedure was applied using <u>E.coli</u> which showed no change in the yellow colour after the addition of FeNH₄ (SO₄)₂.

4. In vitro DNA Manipulation Techniques

a. <u>The isolation of _____plasmid DNA</u> (Hansen and Olsen,
1978; Humphreys <u>et al</u>, 1975)

This procedure was employed for the isolation of pZD100, pZD23, pZD135, pZD44, RP4 and RP4 λ att DNA.

One litre of L-broth culture of <u>E.coli</u> that harbours the plasmid was prepared in a two-litre capacity sterile conical flask. The cells were grown in the presence of all the antibiotics (whose resistance determinants are carried by the plasmid) at the final concentration: ampicillin (50 μ g/ml), tetracycline (10 μ g/ml), kanamycin (25 μ g/ml) and rifampicin (100 μ g/ml). The overnight

* Saline is an NaCl solution in water (0.85%).

cultures were harvested by centrifugation in MSE centrifuge model High Speed 18 at 10 Krpm and 4^OC for 10 min. The pellets were resuspended in 20 mls of 50 mM Tris, pH 7.0 and were mixed in one polypropylene centrifuge bottle. The cells were repelleted under the same conditions of centrifugation. The washed pellet was resuspended in 34 mls of 25% sucrose solution by high speed vortexing at room temperature. A volume of 2.5 ml of lysozyme (10 mg/ml in 0.25 M Tris, pH 8.0) was added and four gentle inversions of the centrifuge bottle at a frequency of about 20 inversions per minute were applied. The bottle was immediately placed in an ice bath for five minutes. This was followed by the addition of 12.5 mls of 0.25 M EDTA, pH 8.0 and the application of five gentle inversions for mixing. The bottle was placed in an ice-bath for another five minutes. The next step was the addition of 12.5 mls of Sodium dodecyl sulphate, SDS (20% w/v in TE) and this was followed by eight cycles of heat pulse and mixing. This was achieved by incubating the bottle for 15 seconds in a 55°C water bath then removing it out of the water bath and applying five inversions during 15 seconds.

The alkaline denaturation and neutralisation steps followed the lysis of the cells and were carried out at room temperature. This was done by the addition of 12.5 mls of 3 N NaOH (freshly prepared solution) which was mixed immediately by continuous inversions. for 3 minutes (at 20 inversions/min). Fifteen mls of 4 M Tris-HCl,

e j

pH 7.0 were added and were mixed by many inversions for 2 minutes at the same frequency. Sixteen mls of 20% SDS (w/v) in TE Tris (10 mM), EDTA (1 mM), pH 7.2] were added and immediately followed by the addition of 32 mls of 5 M NaCl which was mixed by 20 inversions at 20 inversions/min. The polypropylene centrifugation bottle was refrigerated at 4° C for 6 hrs, or overnight.

The removal of the salt precipitated chromosome membrane complexes was done by the centrifugation of the overnight solution at 12 Krpm for 30 minutes at 4° C using the MSE centrifuge model High Speed 18. The mucoid supernatant was collected in a chilled graduated plastic beaker to measure its volume. In my preparations, the average volume of the supernatant was about 112 mls. To this supernatant, 0.25 volumes of 50% polyethylene glycol (PEG 6000) was added and stirred with a plastic pipette. The clear mucoid solution became turbid and was refrigerated at 4° C for 6 hrs, or overnight.

The precipitated DNA was pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 1 Krpm and 4° C using type SS34 rotor of the Sorvall RC2-B centrifuge. The pellets were resuspended in 5 mls of cold TES [Tris (0.005 M), EDTA (0.005 M), NaCl (0.8 M), pH 7.2] and were kept in an ice bath. Caesium chloride was added at 0.95 g for each ml of the cold solution. The refractive index of the CsCl solution was adjusted to a final reading of 1.392 using ABBE "60" refractometer, model B. Ethidium bromide was added to a final concentration of 200 µg/ml. The solution was ultracentrifuged at 38 Krpm for 40 hrs at 15^OC in a Beckman Ultracentrifuge, model L2-65B using 50-Ti rotor.

The tubes were examined under an ultraviolet source. Two bands appeared; the upper was chromosomal and the lower was plasmid DNA band which was collected with a syringe. The colour of the collected DNA was purple at this stage due to the presence of ethidium bromide; it was extracted three times with equilibriated isopropyl alcohol (propan 2-ol). This was done by adding equal volumes of alcohol and plasmid preparation in a conical polyethylene tube leaving it on a rotor wheel for 15 minutes. Isopropanol was removed (now purple in colour) with a pasteur pipette. The process was repeated three times.

Traces of caesium chloride and ethidium bromide were removed by dialysis against TES - Dowex (50 W-X8) buffer, pH 7.2 for 24 hrs which was followed by two successive dialyses in TE buffer. The optical density was measured in a Carl Zeiss spectrophotometer PMQll at 260nm.

The concentration of the plasmid DNA that has been prepared in my experiment ranges between 220-330 $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

<u>The chromosomal DNA preparation</u> Muller <u>et al</u>, (1975)
with slight variation.

This method was used for the preparation of <u>E.coli</u>, <u>E.aerogenes</u>, <u>Halver møller</u> and <u>S.marcescens</u> chromosomal DNAs. One litre of overnight L-broth cultures of <u>E.coli</u> and <u>H.alvei møller</u> and Simmon citrate cultures of <u>E.aerogenes</u>

and <u>S.marcescens</u> were centrifuged at 10 Krpm for about 30 min using MSE centrifuge model, High Speed 18.

Each pellet was suspended in 80 mls of standard saline citrate sodium citrate (0.015 M), NaCl (0.15 M), pH 7.0 and the cells were repelleted under the same conditions. Pellets were resuspended in 90 mls of standard saline citrate. Lysozyme (50 mg) was added to lyse the cells at 37°C for 5 min. Sodium dodecyl sulphate, SDS, was added to a final concentration of 1% and the solution was incubated in a 70°C water bath for 10 min and was subsequently cooled to 50°C. Pronase was added at 500 µg/ml (Pronase solution 10 mg/ml in water, was incubated at 37^OCfor one hour before use); the solution was stirred for 15 min at 50°C and immediately cooled to 20[°]C. 5 M NaCLO, (25 ml) was added and followed by vigorous shaking of the mixture for 20 min with equal volume of chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1). Corex repelcoted tubes were used instead of plastic tubes as the latter dissolve in chloroform.

The mixture was centrifuged using Sorvall RC2-B centrifuge. The solution is divided into three layers, the upper is the nucleic acids, the interphase is the protein and membrane layer and the lower is the chloroform. The supernatant upper layer was collected into chilled graduated plastic beakers to measure its volume. An equal volume of ethanol was added to precipitate the nucleic acids and was left overnight at -20° C (Duggleby, C., 1979, personal communication). The precipitate was collected

by centrifugation of the repelcoted 30 ml Corex tubes in Sorvall RC2-B at 3 Krpm and at 4° C for 10 min only. The precipitate was dissolved in 5 ml phosphate buffer $[Na_2HPO_4 \ (7 \text{ mM}), NaH_2PO4 \ (2 \text{ mM}), EDTA \ (1 \text{ mM}), pH 7.0],$ CsCl (6.65 g to 7 ml solution). Ethidium bromide was added at 200 µg/ml final concentration. The solution was ultracentrifuged for 40 hrs at 40 Krpm and 15° C using 50-Ti rotor and L2-50 Beckman ultracentrifuge. The chromosomal DNA band was collected under the ultraviolet source as it appeared as a pink fluorescent band.

Ethidium bromide was extracted three times with equilibriated isopropanol and in the case of <u>E.coli</u>, the ethidium bromide extraction process was repeated five times.

The DNA preparation was dialysed against saline phosphate buffer for 24 hrs $[Na_2HPO_4 (7 \text{ mM}), NaH_2PO_4 (2 \text{ mM}),$ EDTA (1 mM), NaCl (180 mM), pH 7.0] and two subsequent dialyses against phosphate buffer. The density of the DNA preparation was measured in a Carl Zeiss spectrophotometer at 260nm. The concentration of the DNA in the various preparations ranged from about 200-700 µg/ml.

c. Restriction analysis of plasmid DNA

Two endonuclease restriction enzymes were utilised in the restriction analysis of the plasmids, pZD23 and pZD44. These enzymes are endoR.<u>Hin</u>dIII (Boehringer) and endoR.<u>Bam</u>HI (prepared by Mrs K. Mileham, Laboratory of Professor K. Murray). Both enzymes require the same buffer for their storage and activity. Storage Buffer (Mannheim Boehringer, Cat.No.: 220523)

Tris-HCl	0.01 M
NaCl	0.25 M
EDTA	0.1 mM
Dithiothreitol	l mM
Glycerol	50% (v/v)
Bovine Serum Albumin	5 mg/ml
pH 7.4	

Dilution Buffer (restriction buffer)

Tris-HCl	0.01 M
NaCl	0.05 M
MgCl ₂	0.01 M
Dithiothreitol	0.014 M

pH 7.6

The Restriction Procedure:

1. EndoR.<u>Hin</u>dIII

This enzyme (in storage buffer) was diluted to 1 unit/µl in dilution buffer (see above). 1 µl of the enzyme was added to each 1 µg of plasmid DNA and 3.5 µl (lOx) restriction buffer and the volume was made up to 35 µl with distilled water. The handling of these small volumes of enzyme and plasmid DNA was with the help of the Micropet disposable pipettes (Clay Adams) and Sarstedt (size 46 x 5.7 mm, with attached stopper) polyethylene tubes. The solution was thoroughly mixed by a very short spin using Micro-centrifuge and was incubated for 1 hr at $37^{\circ}C$.

The restriction process was stopped by heating the incubation mixture at 70° C for 10 min (Murray and Murray, 1974).

The digests were cooled immediately at 0° C. 8 µl of loading buffer (tris-acetate, pH 8.2; 0.04% Bromophenol blue; 20% PEG 6000) was added to the digests. The solution was transferred by Hamilton-Bonaduz Microlitre syringe into the well of the prepared horizontal gel for the electrophoretic fractionation of the restriction fragments (as described later).

2. EndoR.BamHI

The procedure is exactly the same as described in (1) but the incubation temperature is $30^{\circ}C$.

3. The double restriction with endoR.HindIII and BamHI

The plasmid DNA was digested with <u>HindIII</u> (as described in (1)) for 2 hrs at 30° C and <u>BamHI</u> was added to the digest (as described in (2)) and the incubation continued for another two hours. The reaction was stopped by heating at 70° C as described earlier.

4. Agarose gel electrophoresis

I have used the horizontal system of agarose gel electrophoresis (McDonell <u>et al</u>, 1977). It consists of two tanks (each with 400 ml capacity), one perspex slab table (inner size 14 x 28 cm), two end spacers, one comb with 13 teeth (size 0.6 x 1 cm) and a Shandon Southern SAE 2761 power pack. The slab table was supported by the reservoir tanks and the spacers were held in position by bulldog clips. The comb was placed with perspex mounts so that it is about 1 mm off the bottom of the perspex table. It was positioned at about 2 cm from one end.

One litre of Tris-acetate, TAE Tris (0.04 M),

Na-acetate (0.02 M), EDTA (0.01 M) was prepared and adjusted to pH 8.3 with glacial acetic acid. 400 ml of TAE was placed in each reservoir tank. To the remaining 200 ml, Agarose (Sigma (A-6013 type I) was added at 0.7% final concentration in a 500 ml conical flask and the mixture was stirred with a magnetic bar on BTL magnetic hot plate until the agarose was completely dissolved.

The boiling agarose was cooled to 50° C. Ethidium bromide (20 mg/ml) was added to agarose to a final concentration of 3 µg/ml. The cooled agarose-ethidium bromide solution was poured carefully over the horizontally set perspex table, so that the position of the comb is not disturbed and bubbles are not formed. The solution was allowed to set. The bulldog clips were removed and the comb was gently removed in a perpendicular upward lifting movement after pouring a few mls of the TAE buffer around it. The wells were filled with TAE buffer.

Two folds of filter paper were placed across the ends of the perspex table so that they overlap the gel from one end and they hand in the tank from the other end; they were saturated with TAE buffer. The samples were loaded into wells by Hamilton-Bonaduz Microlitre syringe which was rinsed with distilled water after every loading. Leads were connected so that the anode is far from the wells. The buffer is circulated by a Perspex Peristaltic Pump 10200 (LKB) at 5 ml/hr.

Electrophoresis was started at 120 V for 1.5 hrs and then lowered to 70 V for 21 hrs. The wells should not dry.

After the movement of the DNAs from the wells, they were sealed with 0.7% agarose in TAE. The gel was covered with Saran-Wrap.

At the end of the electrophoresis, I found that the bromophenol blue marker had migrated 15.5-16.5 cm. The horizontal gel was visualised under the long UV source. No further staining was needed as the electrophoretically separated restriction fragments appeared as fluorescent bands. The gel is now ready for photography.

5. The gel photography

The gel was gently slid onto the moistened UV box. White light of a nearly angle-poise table lamp was on. A ruler, which was lined with white lassotape, was placed along the gel. 4x red filter (Hoya 40.5 Ø R (25 A)) of Kodak-Specialist 3 camera (set above the UV box) was removed, the shutter was opened and the gel was focussed to that the numbers of the ruler could be read clearly; the shutter was closed and the red filter was returned to its position. Laboratory goggles were put on as well as disposable gloves. Ilford FP4 filmbox, filmpack and film-rack were prepared. The white light was turned off. The filter pack was loaded by removing one protective plate and Ilford FP4 film was placed so that the notch was against the right index finger, the flap was then closed and the protective plate replaced. The filmpack was placed in Kodak-Specialist 3 camera so that the film side The UV light was turned on and the protective was down. plate of the film-pack was pulled out. The shutter was

opened for 45 seconds, closed and the UV was turned off. The developing process was continued in the dark. The photographed film was removed and was clipped into the rack for developing.

The lids were removed from the three tanks of the development procedure. The film was immersed in the developer tank (Ilford Microphen) for 9 min with occasional shaking and was then transferred into the second tank (stop bath) for 1 min to stop the action of the developer and finally it was placed in the fixer tank (Ilford Hypam rapid fixer with hardener) for 4 min. The lights could be turned on at this stage. When the film was removed from the fixer, it had a slightly purple background; it was put under running tap water until the purple colour disappeared (at least half an hour).

5. Sensitivity to Bacteriophages

a. <u>The sensitivity of RP4 containing strains to PRR1</u> (Olsen and Shipley, 1973; Schmidt, personal communication, 1976).

LC agar plates were prepared by adding 6.5 ml of Multimix $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & M & CaCl_2 & (20 & ml), & 20\% & glucose & (25 & ml), \\ 0.25\% & thymidine & (20 & ml) \end{bmatrix}$ to the molten sterile 500 ml LC bottom agar (see Media) and pouring into sterile 90 mm Sterilin petri dishes (type single vent) under aseptic conditions.

The bacterial lawn was prepared by adding 0.2 ml of the L-broth bacterial culture to 2.5 ml of the molten LC

top agar (to 100 ml LC top agar add 1 ml of 0.5 M CaCl₂) and the mixture was poured over the freshly prepared LC plate.

PRR1 lysate (10^{10} pfu/ml) was serially diluted in phage buffer at -0, 10^{-2} and 10^{-4} ; 15 µl of the various dilutions was spotted over the bacterial lawn by the use of sterile Eppendorf micropipettes. PRR1 was also spotted on LC agar plate with no bacterial lawn as a control. For every tested culture, one lawn on an LC plate was prepared and was incubated without spotting the phage lysate. All the plates were incubated after drying of the phage spots.

b. λ immunity test (Miller, 1972)

The bacterial lawns were prepared by plating the bacterial culture (0.2 ml) with molten BBL top agar over a BBL plate. Two bacteriphage λ lysates were used, namely λv and λcI , which were spotted as described in section a.

6. The Heat Induction of $\lambda c 1857S7$ Lysogens (Kirschbaum, 1973)

The 5 ml L-broth culture (in a 100 ml flask) of the temperature sensitive $\lambda cI857S7$ lysogen such as ZD100 (<u>metB Bl recA56 $\lambda cI857S7/pZD100$ </u>) was grown at 30°C to log-phase in a water bath-shaker. The culture was shifted to a 42°C water-bath shaker for 20 minutes and was subsequently incubated at 37°C for 3 hrs with vigorous aeration. Chloroform was added (0.2 ml) and the culture was incubated for a further 15 mins. The lysate was cleared by removing the cellular debris by centrifugation at 5000 Xg for 15 min using the MSE bench centrifuge. The supernatant was collected and stored at 4°C. (Bailey, 1959)

The statistical method was employed for finding the standard error of the mean for the measured molecules of the various plasmids. The mean is often written as: $\bar{x} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{N}}$ where, $\frac{S}{\sqrt{N}}$ is the standard error

S, is the standard deviation

N, is the number of measured molecules. The standard deviation is the square root of the variance and is calculated as follows:

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{\underline{x}(x-\bar{x})}{N-1}^2}$$

where x is the contour length of one molecule

 $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is the mean $(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$

N is the number of the measured molecules.

Media

1. BBL Bottom Agar (Parkinson, 1968)

BBL stands for Baltimore Biological Laboratories

NaCl 5 g

BBL Trypticase 10 g

Difco Agar 10 g .

Distilled Water 1 L

2. BBL Top Agar (Parkinson, 1968)

NaCl 5 g

BBL Trypticase 10 g

Difco Agar 6.5 g

Distilled Water 1 L

3. Gelatin Medium (Cruickshank et al, 1965) Difco nutrient broth 20 g Peptone 12.5 g NaC1 5 g Gelatin 120 g Distilled Water 1 L Glucose Minimal Medium (Clowes and Hayes, 1968) 4. Sterile 100 mls of Meynell salts (4x) is added to 300 mls of autoclaved water agar. Glucose solution (20%) is added aseptically to a final concentration of 0.2%. Amino acids are supplied at 20 µg/ml. Glucose Phosphate Peptone Water (Cruickshank et al, 1975) 5. Peptone 5 g Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, K₂HPO₄ 5 g 10% glucose 50 ml Distilled Water ____L ... pH 7.6 6. Grabow and Smit Minimal Medium (Grabow and Smit, 1967) This medium is used to grow Proteus sp.. It is a modification of the medium described by Davies and Mingioli, 1950. K2HPO4 10.5 g
After autoclaving the medium, the following supplements were added: glucose (25 µg/ml), Bl (10 µg/ml), nicotinic acid (7.5 µg/ml), pantothenic acid (1 μ g/ml), and methionine (20 μ g/ml). 7. Hammersmith Stabs (Clowes and Hayes, 1968) Difco nutrient broth 9 g Difco agar 7.5 g NaCl 5 g Thymine 0.1 g Distilled Water l L 8. L-Broth Agar (Lennox, 1955) Difco tryptone 10 g Difco yeast extract 5 g NaC1 10 g Difco agar 15 g Distilled H₂O l L pH 7.2 L-Broth (Lennox, 1955) 9. Difco tryptone 10 g Difco yeast extract 5 g NaCl 10 g Distilled Water 1 L pH 7.2

10. LC Top Agar (Masters, 1970; Goldberg, 1974) Difco tryptone 10 g Difco yeast extract 5 g NaCl 5 g Difco agar 7 g Distilled Water 1 L 11. LC Bottom Agar (Masters, 1970; Goldberg, 1974) Difco tryptone 10 g Difco yeast extract 5 g NaCl 5 g 10 g Difco agar Distilled Water 1 L 12. MacConkey's Agar (Cruickshank et al, 1975) Peptone 20 g Sodium taurocholate, commercial (bile salt) 5 g Distilled Water 1 L Agar 20 g Neutral red solution (2% in 50% ethanol) 3.5 ml Lactose (10% aqueous solution 100 ml pH 7.5

13. <u>Sugar Medium 2. Broth base</u> (Cruickshank <u>et</u> al, 1965)
Meat extract 5 g
Peptone 10 g
Sodium chloride, NaCl 3 g
Disodium hydrogen
phosphate, Na ₂ HPO ₄ 2 g
* Bromothymol blue
indicator solution 12 ml
Distilled Water 1 L
* Bromothymol blue solution
consists of:
Bromothymol blue l g
0.1 N NaOH 25 ml
Distilled Water 475 ml
рН 7.3
14. Meynell Minimal Salts (4x) Clowes and Hayes, 1968)
Ammonium chloride 20 g
Ammonium nitrate 4 g
Sodium sulphate (anhydrous) 8 g
Dipotassium orthophosphate 12 g
Potassium dihydrogen

160.

orthophosphate 4 g MgSO₄ 0.4 g Distilled Water 1 L

pH 7.2

Simmon's Citrate Agar (Cruickshank et al, 1975) 15. Sodium chloride, NaCl 5 g Magnesium sulphate, MgSO, 0.2 g Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate, NH4H2PO4 lg Potassium dihydrogen phosphate, KH2PO4 1 g Sodium citrate Na₃C₆H₅O₇.2H₂O 5 g Distilled Water l L Agar 20 g Bromothymol blue (0.2%) 40 ml

pH 6.8

16. <u>SY Minimal Medium</u> (Sherwood, 1970; Beringer, 1974) Sodium succinate 1.35 g $Na_2HPO_4.12H_2O$ 0.45 g MgSO_4.7H_2O 0.1 g FeCl_3.6H_2O 0.02 g , CaCl_2.2H_2O 0.04 g Distilled water 1 L

Before plating add thiamine hydrochloride, Biotin (vitamin H) and calcium pantothenate at a final concentration of 1 μ g/ml each. Tryptophan and phenylalanine are added at 20 μ g/ml. Streptomycin was added (500 μ g/ml) when the selection for its resistance marker was required.

17. Top Agar (Clowes and Hayes, 1968)
Difco "Bacto" agar 6 g
Distilled Water 1 L
pH 7.0

18. TY Broth (Beringer, 1974) Difco tryptone 5 g Difco yeast extract 3 g CaCl₂.6H₂O 1.3 g Distilled Water 1 L pH 6.8-7 Agar (15 g) was added when TY Agar was prepared. 19. Water Agar (Clowes and Hayes, 1968) Davis New Zealand Agar 20 g Distilled Water 1 L pH 7.2 20 Buffers a. Bacterial Buffer (Clowes and Hayes, 1968) KH2PO4 3 g Na₂HPO₄ 7 g NaC1 4 g MgS04.7H20 0.2 g Distilled Water 1 L рH 7 b. Phage Buffer (Clowes and Hayes, 1968) Na, HPO, 7 g KH2PO 3 g NaCl 5 g O.1 M MgSO₄ 10 ml 0.01 M CaCl₂ 10 ml 1% Gelatin solution 1 ml Distilled Water l L

List of Strains

Strain	Genotype		Source
ZD100	<u>metB</u> B ₁ <u>recA</u> 56 <u>\c</u> I857 S7/ pZD100	z.	AL-Doori
J62	pro trp his λ^+ str-r	J.	Scaife
X240	<u>metB</u> B ₁ <u>his strA lac</u>	J.	Scaife
ZD7	$\frac{\text{metB}}{\text{RP4}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{recA}56}{\text{Str}^{S}} \frac{\lambda^{S}}{\lambda^{S}}$	Z.	AL-Doori
TGL10	F <u>his trpA</u> 9761 <u>argE</u> 171 <u>recA</u> 56	T.	Linn
ZD27	F ⁻ <u>his⁻ trpA</u> 9761 <u>argE</u> 171 <u>recA</u> 56/ pZD100	z.	AL-Doori
Ymel	F ⁺ pro SuIII ⁺ λ ^S	J.	Scaife
AWl	<u>metB</u> B_1 <u>recA</u> 56 <u>str-s</u> λ^s	J.	Scaife
TGL6	<u>metB</u> B_1 <u>recA</u> 56 <u>str-s</u> $\lambda^+ \lambda^R$	т.	Linn
ZD28	F ⁻ <u>his⁻ trpA</u> 9761 <u>argE</u> 171 <u>recA</u> 56/RP4 λ <u>att</u>	Ζ.	AL-Doori
ZD2723	F <u>his trpA</u> 9761 <u>argE</u> 171 <u>recA</u> 56/pZD23	Z.	AL-Doori
X242	<u>metB</u> B ₁ <u>his</u> <u>recA</u> 56 <u>strA</u> <u>lac rpoC</u> 4	J.	Scaife
397C	argG B ₁ rpoCts	J.	Scaife
ZD162	<u>metB</u> B ₁ <u>recA</u> 56 <u>str-r</u> λ^+	z.	AL-Doori
Raf3	P.aeruginosa/pZD100	z.	AL-Doori
ZAV23	<u>A.vinelandii</u> <u>rif</u> ^S /pZD100	z.	AL-Doori
ZAV21	<u>A.vinelandii</u> <u>rif</u> ^r /pZDlOO	z.	AL-Doori
<u>R.legumino-</u> <u>sarum</u> 897	phel trp-12 str-37	J.	Beringer
ZDR19	phel trp-12 str-37/pZD100	z.	AL-Doori
ZDR21	phel trp-12 str-37/pZD23	z.	AL-Doori
ZAV24	A.vinelandii/pZD23	z.	AL-Doori
ZDH10	<u>Hafnia</u> /pZD23	z.	AL-Doori

Strain	Strain Genotype	
ZDK105	K.pneumoniae/pZD23	Z. AL-Doori
ZD136	<u>metB</u> B ₁ <u>recA</u> 56 Str ^S λ ^S /pZD23	Z. AL-Doori
ZDC104	C.freundii/pZD23	Z. AL-Doori
ZDS104	S.marcescens/pZD23	Z. AL-Doori
ZSTIO	S.typhimurium arg /pZD23	Z. AL-Doori
Raf4	P.aeruginosa/RP4 λ att	Z. AL-Doori
Raf8	<u>P.aeruginosa</u> rif ^r /RP4 λ <u>att</u>	Z. AL-Doori
ZDR17	R.leguminosarum phel trp-12 str-37/RP4 λ att	Z. AL-Doori
ZDR18	<u>R.leguminosarum phel trp-12</u> <u>str-37</u> <u>rif^r/RP4 λatt</u>	Z. AL-Doori
ZDS100	S.marcescens/RP4 λ att	Z. AL-Doori
ZDS103	S.marcescens/pZD100	Z. AL-Doori
ZDS104	S.marcescens/pZD23	Z. AL-Doori
ZST11	<u>S.typhimurium</u> arg ⁻ /pZD100	Z. AL-Doori
ZD6	<u>metB</u> B_1 <u>recA</u> 56 Str ^S λ^{S} /RP4	Z. AL-Doori
ZD1	Thy /RP4	A. Jacob
5K	F <u>thr</u> leu B _l suII s <u>trp</u> ^S rK/RP4 λ <u>att</u>	R. Pastrana
Enterobacter aerogenes	wild type	J. Fleming
<u>Citrobacter</u> freundii	wild type	J. Fleming
<u>Hafnia</u> alvei møller	wild type	J. Fleming
<u>Klebsiella</u> pneumoniae	wild type	J. Fleming
Proteus sp.	wild type	J. Fleming
<u>Seratia</u> marcescens	wild type	J. Fleming

.

•

Strain	Genotype	Source
<u>Salmonella</u> typhimurium STl	argF111	J. Scaife
<u>Azotobacter</u> <u>vinelandii</u> AvM100	wild type	R. Olsen
<u>Pseudomonas</u> aeruginosa PAC143	wild type	P. Clarke

Phage

Туре	Source			
λd <u>rpoBC</u> 18	J. Scaife			
λν	J. Cullum			
λ <u>c</u> Ι	J. Cullum			
PRR1	L. Schmidt			

Legend to strain list

All the strains included in this list are mentioned in the text. Some of them are derivatives of <u>E.coli</u> Kl2; others are derivatives of the representatives of the Enterobacteriaceas, Azotobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Rhizobiaceae families. The genetic nomenclature is in accordance with the recommendations of Demerec <u>et al</u> (1966). The explanation of the symbols can be found in Bachmann and Low (1980).

APPENDIX com

Legend to Fig. 1 (Appendix)

This figure demonstrates the genetical and physical map of the plasmid RK2/RP4/RP1 (Thomas, C., D. Helinksi, 1980, personal communication). The targets for the restriction enzymes are shown outside the circle. The genetic markers carried by the plasmid are shown inside the circle. There is only one <u>BamH1</u> site and it is located within the ampicillin resistance determinants, \underline{Ap}^{r} ; one <u>HindIII</u> site and it is located in the kanamycin resistance determinant, <u>Km</u>^r. The estimated molecular weight is 56.4 kb; the inner numbers indicate the molecular weight in kilobases.



Legend to Fig. 2 (Appendix)

Electron micrograph showing one molecule of the temperature sensitive plasmid pZD100. It has a molecular weight of 123.9 kb which was measured relative to the nearest standard molecule of the plasmid pSC101 (small circles). Photography by P. Beattie and J. Rennie. The isolation of the plasmid DNA is described in detail (see Methods). Conditions of spreading the plasmid DNA and photography are described earlier (see Legend to Table 11).



Fig. 3 (Appendix)

Electron micrograph showing one molecule of the temperature resistant plasmid pZD23 which is derived as a result of a deletion in the original plasmid pZD100. The molecular weight of this plasmid is 83 kb; it was measured relative to the nearest pBR313 molecule which appears as a small circle. For further details of electron microscopy see Legend to Fig. 2 (Appendix).



Fig. 4 (Appendix)

This is the plasmid pZD44 molecule as seen under the electron microscope; the standard plasmid is pBR313. The plasmid pZD44 confers the resistances to the antibiotics ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin; it has a molecular weight of 88.6 kb.



Legend to Fig. 5 (Appendix)

This is the linkage map of <u>E.coli</u> (Bachmann and Low, 1980). The structural genes for the subunits of the enzyme RNA polymerase are located as follows:

 $\frac{rpoA}{rpoBC} = 72 min$ $\frac{rpoBC}{rpoD} = 89.5 min$



REFERENCES

•

Y	1.	Adams, R.L.P., R.H. Burdon, A.M. Campbell R.M.S. Smellie (1976) Davidson's The Biochemistry of the Nucleic Acids. 8th ed. Chapman and Hall, London.
	2.	Adhya, S., M. Gottesman (1978) Ann.Rev.Bioch. <u>47</u> , 967-996
	3.	Alexander, J.L., J.D. Jollick (1977) J.Gen.Microbiol. 99 (2), 325-331
	4.	Arioli, V., M. Berti, G. Carniti, E. Rossi, L.G. Silvestri (1977) J.Anti-microb.Chemoth. <u>3</u> (1), 87-94
	5.	Austin, S.J., I.P.B. Tittawella, R.S. Hayward, J.G. Scaife (1971) Nature New Biol. <u>232</u> , 133-137
	6.	Austin, S.J., J. Scaife (1970) J.Mol.Biol. <u>49</u> , 263-267
	7.	Bachmann, B.J., K.B. Low, A.L. Taylor (1976) Bact.Rev. <u>40</u> , 116-167
	8.	Bachmann, B.J., K.B. Low (1980) Microbiol.Rev. <u>44</u> (1), 1-56
	9.	Bailey, N.T.J. (1959) Statistical Methods in Biology, p.200. The English Universities Press Ltd, London.
	10.	Bals, M.G., S. Filipesco (1969) Arch.Romaines.Pathol. Exp.Micribiol. <u>28</u> , 557-562
	11.	Baron, L.S., E. Penido, I.R. Ryman, S. Falkow (1970) J.Bact. <u>102</u> (1), 221-233
	12.	Barth, P.T. (1978) EMBO Workshop: Plasmids and other extrachromosomal genetic elements. Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics. W. Berlin.
	13.	Barth, P.T. (1979) Plasmid 2 (1), 130-136
	14.	Barth, P.T., N.J. Grinter (1977) J.Mol.Biol. <u>113</u> , 455-474
	15.	Barth, P.T., N.J. Grinter, D.E. Bradley (1978) J.Bact. 133 (1), 43-52
	16.	Bass, I.A., Z.M. Gorlenko, O.N. Danilevskaya, A.D. Dmitriev, E.S. Kalyaeva, S.Z. Mindlin, A.V. Timopheev, R.B. Khesin (1977) MGG <u>154</u> , 101-110
•	17.	Bass, I.A., Z.M. Gorlenko, O.N. Danilevskaya, A.D. Dmitriev, E.S. Kalyaeva, S.Z. Mindlin, A.V. Timopheev, R.B. Khesin (1978) Molek.Biol.USSR <u>12</u> (1), 191-205
	18.	Bass, I.A., O.N. Danilevskaya, S.L. Mekhedov, V.B. Fedoseeva, Z.M. Gorlenko (1979) MGG 173, 101-107

- 19. Baumberg, S., S. Dennison (1975) J.Bact. 123 (1), 278-286
- 20. Bautz, E.K.F., F.A. Bautz, J.J. Dunn (1969) Nature 223, 1022-1024
- 21. Bautz, E.K.F., F.A. Bautz, E. Beck (1972) MGG <u>118</u>, 199-207
- 22. Bautz, E.K.F., A.L. Greenleaf, G. Gross, D.A. Fields, R. Haars, U. Plagens (1977) In Nucleic Acid Protein Recognition (ed. Vogel, H.) p.587. Academic Press Inc.
- 23. Bennett, P.M., M.H. Richmond (1976) J.Bact. 126, 1-6
- 24. Berg, D., K. Barrett, M. Chamberlin (1971) In Methods in Enzymology (ed. L. Grossman and K. Moldave) Vol. <u>21D</u>, p.506. Academic Press, New York.
- 25. Beringer, J.E. (1974) J.Gen.Microbiol. 84, 188-198
- 26. Bishop, P.E., F.B. Dazzo, E.P. Appelbaum, R.J. Maier, W.J. Brill (1977) Science <u>198</u> (4320), 938-940
- 27. Boros, I., B. Sain (1977) Mol.Biol.Rep. <u>3</u>, 451-457
- 28. Boucher, C., B. Bergeron, M.B. De Bertalmio, J. Dénarié (1977) J.Gen.Microbiol. 98, 253-263
- 29. Boyd, D.H., W. Zillig, J.G. Scaife (1974) MGG <u>130</u>, 315-320

.

- 30. Brennan, E.F., V. Rocha (1978) J.Bact. 136 (2), 790-794
- 31. Broda, P. (1979) Plasmids, Freeman and Co Ltd, p.197
- 32. Buchanan, R.E., N.E. Gibbons, S.T. Cowan, J.G. Holt, J. Liston, R.G.E. Murray, C.F. Niven, A.W. Ravin, R.Y. Stanier (1974) Bergey's Mannual of Determinative Bacteriology, pp.1268 8th ed. The Williams and Wilkins Co, Baltimore.
- 33. Bukhari, A.I., J.A. Shapiro, S.L. Adhya (1977) DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids and Episomes, pp.782. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 34. Burgess, R.R. (1969a) J.Biol.Chem. 244 (22), 6160-6167
 - 35. Burgess, R.R. (1969b) J.Biol.Chem. 244 (22), 6168-6176
 - 36. Burgess, R.R. (1976) In RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin) pp.69-100. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
 - 37. Burgess, R.R., A.A. Trayers, J.J. Dunn, E.K.F. Bautz (1969) Nature <u>211</u>, 43-46

- 38. Burgess, R.R., C.A. Gross, W. Walker, P. Lowe (1979) MGG 175, 251-257
- 39. Burkardt, H.J., R. Mattes, A. Pühler, W. Heumann (1978) J.Gen.Microbiol. 105 (1), 51-62
- 40. Burkardt, H.J., G. Riess, A. Pühler (1979) J.Gen. Microbiol. <u>114</u> (2), 341-348
- 41. Campbell, A.M., P.A. Lowe (1977) Biochem.J. <u>163</u> (1), 177-179
- 42. Campbell, A., D. Berg, E. Lederberg, P. Starlinger, D. Botstein, R. Novick, W. Szybalski (1977) In: DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids and Episomes. (ed. Bukhari, A.I., J.A. Shapiro, S.L. Adhya). pp.15-22, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 43. Cannon, F.C., J.R. Postgate (1976) Nature 260, 271-272
- 44. Cannon, F.C., R.A. Dixon, J.R. Postgate (1976) J.Gen. Microbiol. <u>93</u>, 111-125
- 45. Casse, F., J.S. Julliot, P. Boistard (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed paper sessions. Abstracts. Part I, 7. Moscow.
- 46. Casse, F., C. Boucher, J.S. Julliot, M. Michel, J.Dénarié (1979) J.Gen.Microbiol. 113 (2), 229-242
- 47. Chamberlin, M. (1974) Ann.Rev.Biochem. 43, 721.
- 48. Chamberlin, M.J. (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin).p.159-191. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. Cold Spring Harbor.
- 49. Chamberlin, M., W. Mangel, G. Rhodes, S. Stahl (1976) In: Alfred Benzon Symposium IX: Control of ribosome synthesis (ed. Kjeldgaard, N.C., O. Maaløe), pp.22-39. Munksgaard. Copenhagen.
- 50. Chang, A.C.Y., S.N. Cohen (1974) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 71 (4), 1030-1034
- 51. Chou, H.J., J.P. Froehlich, J. Pitha (1978) Nuc.A.Res. 5 (3), 691-696
- 52. Claeys, I.V., J.H. Miller, J.B. Kirschbaum, S. Nasi, B. Molholt, G. Gross, E.K.F. Bautz (1976) In Alfred Benzon Symposium IX: Control of ribosome synthesis. (ed. Kjeldgaard, N., O. Maaløe). Munksgaard, Copenhagen.
- 53. Clowes, R.C., W. Hayes (1968) Experiments in microbial genetics. Blackwell Scientific Publications. Oxford and Edinburgh. pp.244

- 54. Coetzee, J.N. (1972) Ann.Rev.Microbiol. 26, 23-54
- 55. Coetzee, J.N. (1978) J.Gen.Microbiol. 107 (1), 155-163
- 56. Coetzee, J.N. (1979) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>110</u> (1), 171-176
- 57. Colby, C. Jr., A.S.L. Hu (1968) Biochim.Biophys.Acta. <u>157</u>, 149-158
- 58. Colby, C. Jr., F.D. Martin, A.S.L. Hu (1968) Biochim. Biophys.Acta. <u>157</u>, 159-166
- 59. Collins, J., N. Fiil, P. Jorgensen, J. Friesen (1976) In: Alfred Benzon Symposium IX: Control of ribosome synthesis (ed. Kjeldgaard, N., O. Maaløe) p.356-367. Munksgaard, Copenhagen.
- 60. Collins, J. (1979) MGG <u>173</u> (2), 217-220
- 61. Cornelis, G., D. Ghosal, H. Saedler (1979) MGG <u>168</u> (1), 61-67
- 62. Creighton, T.E., D.R. Helinski, R.L. Somerville, C. Yanofsky (1966) J.Bact. <u>91</u> (5), 1819-1826
- 63. Cruickshank, R., J.P. Duguid, R.H.A. Swain (1965) Medical Microbiology, E. & S. Livingstone Ltd, Edinburgh and London, pp.1067.
- 64. Cruickshank, R., J.P. Duguid, B.P. Marmion, R.H.A. Swain (1975) Medical Microbiology, vol. 2. 12th ed. pp.587. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, London and New York.
- 65. Dale, J.W., J.T. Smith (1971) Biochem.J. <u>123</u>, 493-500
- 66. Daniels, D.L., J.R. De Wet, F.R. Blattner (1980) J.Virol. <u>33</u> (1), 390-400
- 67. Danilevich, V.N., Y.G. Stepanshin, N.V. Volozhanstev, E.I. Golub (1978a) MGG <u>161</u>, 337-339
- 68. Danilevich, V.N., Y.G. Stepanshin, N.V. Volozhanstev, F.A. Amonsenko, E.I. Golub (1978b) Antibiotiki 23 (4), 337-341
- 69. Das, A., C. Merril, S. Adhya (1978) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 75 (10), 4828-4833
- 70. Datta, N., R.W. Hedges, E.I. Shaw, R.B. Sykes, M.H. Richmond (1971) J.Bact. 108 (3), 1244-1249
- 71. Datta, N., R.W. Hedges (1972) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>70</u>, 453-460
- 72. Davies, R.W., M, Simon, N. Davidson (1971) Methods in Enzymology XXI, 413-428

- 73. Davies, R.W., P.H. Schreier, D.E. Büchel (1978) Nuc.A.Res. 5 (9), 3209-3218
- 74. Daya-Grosjean, L., M. Geisser, G. Stöffler, R.A. Garrett (1973) FEBS Lett. 37 (1), 17-20
- 75. Dekio, S., R. Takata, S. Osawa (1970) MGG 109, 131-141
- 76. De Lorbe, W.J., S. Surzyski, G. Gussin (1979) MGG <u>173</u>, 51-59
- 77. Demerec, M., E.A. Adelberg, A.J. Clark, P.E. Hartman (1966) Genetics 54, 61-76
- 78. Dénarié, J., C. Rosenberg, B. Bergeron, C. Boucher, M. Michel, M.B. De Bertalmio (1977) In: DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids and Episoms (ed. Bukhari, A.I., J.A. Shapiro, S.L. Adhya). p.507-520. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 79. Dennis, P.P. (1977) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 74 (12), 5416-5420
- 80. Denny, R.M., C. Yanofsky (1972) J.Mol.Biol. 64, 319-339
- 81. De Picker, A., M. Van Montagu, J. Schell (1977) In: DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids and Episomes (ed. Bukhari, A.I., J.A. Shapiro, S.L. Adhya). p.678-679. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 82. Dixon, R., F. Cannon, A. Kondorosi (1976) Nature 260, 268-271
- 83. Dmitriev, A.D., O.N. Danilevskaya, R.B. Khesin (1976) MGG <u>145</u> (3), 317-326
- 84. Dumas, L.B., C.A. Miller, M.L. Bayne (1975) J.Virol. <u>16</u> (3), 575-580
- 85. Dunican, L.K., A.B. Tierney (1974) Biochem.Biophys. Res.Commun. <u>57</u> (1), 62-72
- 86. Ehrlich, S.D., V. Sgaramella (1978) Trends in Biochem. Sci. 3 (11), 259-261
- 87. Engbaek, F., C. Gross, R.R. Burgess (1976) MGG <u>143</u> (3), 297-299
- 88. Errington, L., R.E. Glass, R.S. Hayward, J.G. Scaife (1974) Nature <u>249</u>, 519-522
- 89. Ezekiel, D.H., J.F. Hutchins (1968) Nature 220, 276-277
- 90. Faelen, M., A. Toussaint, M. Van Montagu, S. Van den Elsaker, G. Enger, J. Schell (1977) In: DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids and Episomes. (ed. Bukhari, A.I., J.A. Shapiro, S.L. Adhya). p.521-531. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.

- 91. Falkow, S. (1975) Infectious multiple drug resistance. Arrowsmith Ltd, Bristol. pp.300.
- 92. Falkow, S., J.A. Wohlhieter, R.V. Citarella, L.S. Baron (1964) J.Bact. <u>87</u> (1), 209-219
- 93. Falkow, S., L.S. Barton (1970) J.Bact. <u>102</u> (1), 288-290
- '94. Fellner, P. (1974) In Ribosomes (ed. Nomura, M., A. Tissières, P. Lengyel). p.169-191. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- 95. Fietta, A.M., L.G. Silvestri (1975) Eur.J.Biochem. 52 (2), 391-400
- 96. Fiil, N.P., D. Bendiak, J. Collins, J.D. Friesen (1979) MGG <u>173</u>, 39-50
- 97. Franklin, T.J., G.A. Snow (1975) Biochemistry of Antimicrobial Action. p.100-103. Chapman and Hall, London.
- 98. Fujiki, H., G. Zurek (1975) FEBS Lett. 55 (1), 242-244
- 99. Fujiki, H., P. Palm, W. Zillig, R. Calendar, M. Sunshine (1976) MGG <u>145</u> (1), 19-22
- 100. Fukuda, R., A. Ishihama (1974) J.Mol.Biol. <u>87</u> (3), 523-540
- 101. Fukuda, R., Y. Iwakura, A. Ishihama (1974) J.Mol.Biol. 83, 353-367
- 102. Fukuda, R., A. Ishihama, T. Saitoh, M. Taketo (1977) MGG <u>154</u> (2), 135-144
- 103. Fukuda, R., M. Taketo, A. Ishihama (1978) J.Biol.Chem. 253, (13), 4501-4504
- 104. Geisser, M., G.W. Tischendorf, G. Stöffler, H.G. Wittmann (1973) MGG <u>1</u>27, 111-128
- 105. Glaser, G., L. Enquist, M. Cashel (1977) Gene 2, 159-172
- 106. Goff, C.G. (1975) The Journal of Biochem. 77 (6), 8p-9p
- 107. Goldberg, G., P. Caldwell, H. Weissbach, N. Brot (1979) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA 76 (4), 1716-1720
 - 108. Goldberg, R.B., R.A. Bender, S.L. Streicher (1974)
 J.Bact. <u>118</u>, 810-814
 - 109. Goldberger, R.F. (1974) Science 183 (4127), 810-816
 - 110. Gottesman, M.E., R.A. Weisberg (1971) In: The Bacteriophage Lambda (ed. Hershey, A.D.). p.113-138. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.

- 111. Grabow, W.O.K., J.A. Smit (1967) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>46</u>, 47-57
- 112. Gragerov, A.I., O.A. Larionov, V.R. Yarulin, V.G. Nikiforov (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed Paper Sessions. Abstracts. Part I: 10.
- 113. Grimont, P.A.D., F. Grimont (1978) Ann.Rev.Microbiol. 32, 221-248
- 114. Grinsted, J., J.R. Saunders, L.C. Ingram, R.B. Sykes, M.H. Richmond (1972) J.Bact. 110 (2), 529-537
- 115. Grinsted, J., P.M. Bennett, M.H. Richmond (1977)
 Plasmid 1, 34-37
- 116. Grinsted, J., P.M. Bennett, S. Higginson, M.H. Richmond (1978) MGG <u>166</u> (3), 313-320
- 117. Gross, G. D.A. Fields, E.K. Bautz (1976) MGG <u>147</u>, 337-341
- 118. Gross, C., J. Hoffman, C. Ward, D. Hager, G. Burdick, H. Berger, R. Burgess (1978) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. <u>75</u>, 427-431
- 119. Guyer, M.S., A.J. Clark (1977) MGG 157, 215-222
- 120. Hansen, J.B., R.H. Olsen (1978) J.Bact. <u>135</u> (1), 277-238
- 121. Hague, H. (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed paper sessions. Abstracts. Part I: 427
- 122. Hague, H. (1979) MGG 171 (1), 107-109
- 123. Harris, J.D., I.I. Martinez, R. Calendar (1977) Proc. Nat.Acad.Sci.USA <u>74</u> (5), 1836-1940
- 124. Harris, J.D., J.S. Heilig, I.I. Martinez, R. Calendar, L.A. Isaksson (1978) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA 75 (12), 6177-6181
- 125. Hartmann, G., K.O. Honikel, F. Knüsel, J. Nuesch (1967) Biochim.Biophys.Acta. 145, 843-844
- 126. Hayward, N.J., A.A. Miles (1943) Lancet <u>ii</u>, 116-117
- 127. Hayward, N.J., G.M. Incledon, J.E. Spragg (1978) J.Med.Microbiol. 11 (2), 155-164
- 128. Hayward, R.S., I.P.B. Tittawella, J.G. Scaife (1973) Nature New Biol. 243, 6-9
- 129. Hayward, R.S., S.J. Austin, J.G. Scaife (1974) MGG <u>131</u>, 173-180

130.	Hayward,	R.S.,	S. E	Tyfe	(1978)	MGG	159	(1),	89-99
------	----------	-------	------	------	--------	-----	-----	------	-------

- 131. Hedges, R.W., V. Rodriguez-Lemoine, N. Datta (1975) J.Gen.Microbiol. 86, 88-92
- 132. Hedges, R.W., J.M. Cresswell, A.E. Jacob (1976) FEBS Lett. <u>61</u> (2), 186-188
- 133. Hedges, R.W., A.E. Jacob (1977) FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2, 15-19
- 134. Hedges, R.W., A.E. Jacob, I.P. Crawford (1977) Nature <u>267</u> (5608),283-284
- 135. Heil, A., W. Zillig (1970) FEBS Lett. 11 (3), 165-168
- 136. Hill, L.R. (1966) J.Gen.Microbiol. 44, 419-437
- 137. Hillel, Z, C.W. Wu (1977) Biochemistry 16 (15), 3334-3342
- 138. Hinkle, D.C., M. Chamberlin (1972) J.Mol.Biol. <u>70</u>, 157-185
- 139. Hirsch, P.R. (1979) J.Gen.Microbiol. 113 (2), 219-228
- 140. Hirschbein, L., J. Dubert, C. Babinet (1967) Eur.J. Biochem. <u>1</u>, 135-140
- 141. Holloway, B.W. (1969) Bact.Rev. 33 (3), 419-443
- 142. Holloway, B.W. (1975) In Genetics and Biochemistry of Pseudomonas (ed. Clarke, P.H., M.H. Richmond). p.133-161. John Wiley and Sons, Bristol.
- 143. Holloway, B.W., M.H. Richmond (1973) Genet.Res.Camb. 21, 103-105
- 144. Holloway, B.W., V. Krishnapillai (1975) In Genetics and Biochemistry of Pseudomonas (ed. Clark, P.H., M.H. Richmond). p.99-132. John Wiley and Sons, Bristol.
- 145. Holloway, B.W. (1979) Plasmid 2 (1), 1-20
- 146. Holloway, B.W., V. Krishnapillai, A.F. Morgan (1979) Microb.Rev. <u>43</u> (1), 73-102
- 147. Holsters, M., B. Silva, C Genetello, G. Engler, F. Van Vliet, M. De Block, R. Villarroel, M. Van Montagu, J. Schell (1978) Plasmid <u>1</u> (4), 456-467
- 148. Hori, H., S. Osawa (1978) J.Bact. <u>133</u> (3), 1089-1095
- 149. Humphreys, G.O., G.A. Willshaw, E.S. Anderson (1975) Biochim.Biophys.Acta. <u>383</u>, 457-463
- 150. Ilyina, T.S., M.I. Ovadis, S.Z. Mindlin, Z.M. Gorlenko, R.B. Khesin (1971) MGG <u>110</u>, 118-133

- 151. Ishihama, A., M. Taketo, T. Saitoh, R. Fukuda (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.485-502. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 152. Ishihama, A., H. Aiba, T. Saitoh, S. Takahashi (1979) Biochemistry 18 (6), 972-978
- 153. Ito, K., Y. Iwakura, A. Ishihama (1975) J.Mol.Biol. 96 (2), 257-271
- 154. Ito, M., M. Sekiguchi (1978) The Journal of Biochem. 84 (4), 873-879
- 155. Iwakura, Y., A. Ishihama, T. Yura (1973) MGG 121, 181-196
- 156. Iwakura, Y., K. Ito, A. Ishihama (1974) MGG 133, 1-23
- 157. Izard, D., C. Ferragut, F. Gavini, H. Leclerc (1978) Int.J.Syst.Bact. 28 (4), 449-453
- 158. Jacob, A.E., J.M. Cresswell, R.W. Hedges, J.N. Coetzee, J.E. Beringer (1976) MGG 147, 315-323
- 159. Jacob, A.E., N.J. Grinter (1975) Nature 255 (5508), 504 - 506
- 160. Jacoby, G.A., J.E. Rogers, A.E. Jacob, R.W. Hedges (1978) Nature <u>274</u>, 179-180
- 161. Jaskunas, S.R., R.R. Burgess, M. Nomura (1975) Proc. Nat.Acad.Sci. 72 (12), 5036-5040
- 162. Jaskunas, S.R., R.R. Burgess, L. Lindahl, M. Nomura (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.539-552. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 163. Johnson, J.C., M. De Backer, J.A. Boezi (1971) The J.Biol.Chem. 246 (5), 1222-1232
- 164. Johnston, A.W.B., M.J. Bibb, J.E. Beringer (1978) MGG 165 (3), 323-330
- 165. Jones, H.E., R.W.A. Park (1967) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>47</u>, 369-378
- 166. Kassavetis, G.A., K.M. Kaya, M.J. Chamberlin (1978) Biochemistry <u>17</u> (26), 5798-5804
- 167. Kawakami, K., T. Saitoh, A. Ishihama (1979) MGG <u>174</u> (2), 107-116
- 168. Kennedy, C., J.R. Postgate (1977) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>98</u> (2), 551-559

- 169. Kessler, C., G.R. Hartmann (1977) Biochem.Biophys. Res.Commun. <u>74</u> (1), 50-56
- 170. Khesin, R.B., V.G. Nikiforov, Yu.N. Zograff, O.N. Danilevskaya, E.S. Kalyaeva, V.M. Lipkin, N.N. Modyanov, A.D. Dmitriev, V.V. Velkov, A.L. Gintsburg (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.629-643. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 171. Kirschbaum, J.B. (1973) RNA polymerase transducing phages. PhD Thesis. Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- 172. Kirschbaum, J.B. (1978) J.Mol.Biol. <u>119</u>, 37-47
 - 173. Kirschbaum, J.B., E.B. Konrad (1973) J.Bact. <u>116</u> (2), 517-526
 - 174. Kirschbaum, J.B., J. Scaife (1974) MGG <u>132</u> (3), 193-201
 - 175. Kirschbaum, J.B., J. Greenblatt, B. Allet, J.D. Rochaix (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.503-518. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
 - 176. Kiss, A., B. Sain, I. Kiss, I. Boros, A. Udvardy, 'P. Venetianer (1978) Gene <u>4</u> (2), 137-152
 - 177. Konrad, E., J. Kirschbaum, S. Austin (1973) J.Bact. <u>116</u>, 511-516
 - 178. Konze-Thomas, B., W. Rüger (1976) Eur.J.Biochem. 63 (2), 321-331
 - 179. Krakow, J.S., G. Rhodes, T.M. Jovin (1976) In: RNA Polymerase. (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.127-157. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
 - 180. Krisch, H.M., G. Van Houwe (1976) J.Mol.Biol. <u>108</u> (1), 67-82
 - 181. Lacy, G.H., J.V. Leary (1976) Genet.Res. 27, 363-368
 - 182. Lathe, R. (1978) Current topics in microbiology and immunology. 83, 37-91
 - 183. Lecocq, J.P., C. Dambly (1976) MGG <u>145</u>, 53-64
 - 184. Lee, N. (1978) In The Operon (ed. Miller, J.H., W.S. Reznikoff). p.389-409. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
 - 185. Lennox, E.S. (1955) Virology <u>1</u>, 190-206
 - 186. Li, S.-L., C. Yanofsky (1972a) Genetics <u>71</u> (no. 3, part 2). S34.

- 187. Li, S.-L., C. Yanofsky (1972b) J.Biol.Chem. <u>247</u> (4), 1031-1037
- 188. Li, S.-L., R.M. Denny, C. Yanofsky (1973a) Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci. 70 (4), 1112-1116
- 189. Li, S.-L., G.R. Drapean, C. Yanofsky (1973b) J.Bact. 113 (3), 1507-1508
- 190. Lill, H.R., G.R. Hartmann (1975) Eur.J.Biochem. <u>54</u>, 45-53
- \(191. Lim, D.V., S.M.H. Qadri, C. Nichols, R.P. Williams (1977) J.Bact. <u>129</u> (1), 124-130
 - 192. Lindahl, L., S.R. Jaskunas, P.P. Dennis, M. Nomura (1975) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA <u>72</u> (7), 2743-2747
 - 193. Lindahl, L., M. Yamamoto, M. Nomura, J.B. Kirschbaum, B. Allet, J.D. Rochaix (1977) J.Mol.Biol. <u>109</u>, 23-47
 - 194. Linn, T., J. Scaife (1978) Nature 276 (5683), 33-37
 - 195. Little, R., P. Dennis (1979) J.Bact. <u>137</u> (1), 115-123
 - 196. Low, K.B. (1972) Bact.Rev. 36 (4), 587-607
 - 197. Lowbury, E.J.L., A. Kidson, H.A. Lilly, G.A.J. Ayliffe, R.J. Jones (1969) Lancet <u>ii</u>, 448-452
 - 198. Lowe, P.A., A.D. Malcolm (1976) Eur.J.Biochem. <u>64</u> (1), 177-188
 - 199. Lowe, P.A., D.A. Hager, R.R. Burgess (1979) Biochemistry 18 (7), 1344-1352
 - 200. Magasanik, B. (1978) In: The Operon (ed. Miller, J.H., W.S. Reznikoff). p.373-387. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
 - 201. Maher, D.L., P.P. Dennis (1977) MGG <u>155</u>, 203-211
 - 202. Mailhammer, R., H. Yang, G. Reiness, G. Zubay (1975) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA <u>72</u>, 4928-4932
 - 203. Masters, M. (1970) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA <u>65</u>, 601-608
 - 204. Matzura, H., S. Molin, O. Maaløe (1971) J.Mol.Biol. 59, 17-25
 - 205. Matzura, H., B.S. Hansen, J. Zeuthen (1973) J.Mol. Biol. 74, 9-20
 - 206. Matzura, H., W. Rünzi (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed paper sessions. Abstract. Part I, 16. Moscow.

- 207. Matzura, H. (1979) In press.
- 208. Meade, H.M., E.R. Signer (1977) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 74 (5), 2076-2078
- 209. Mergeay, M., J. Gerits (1978) J.Bact 135 (1), 18-28
- 210. Message, B., C. Boucher, P. Boistard (1975) Ann. De Path. <u>7</u> (2), 95-103
- 211. Meyer, R., D. Figurski, D.R. Helinski (1977) MGG <u>152</u>, 129-135
- 212. Miller, J.H. (1972) Experiments in Molecular Genetics. pp. 466. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 213. Miller, J.H., I.V. Claeys, J.B. Kirschbaum, S. Nasi, S. Van Den Elsacker, B. Molholt, G. Gross, D.A. Fields, E.K.F. Bautz (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.519-538. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 214. Mindlin, S.Z., T.S. Ilyina, Z.M. Gorlenko, N.A. Hachikyan, Y.N. Kovalev (1976) Genetica 12 (12), 116-130
- 215. Mizuno, S., H. Yamazaki, K. Nitta, H. Umezawa (1968) Biochim.Biophys.Acta 157, 322-332
- 216. Modyanov, N.N., V.M. Lipkin, Y.V. Smirnov, O.Y. Chertov, N.A. Potapenko, T.M. Shuvaeva (1978) Khimia <u>4</u> (2), 158-179
- 217. Morgan, E.A., S. Kaplan (1976) MGG 147, 179-188
- 218. Mueller, K. (1971) MGG 111, 273-296
- 219. Müller, W., H. Bünemann, N. Dattagupta (1975) Eur.J.Biochem. 54, 279-291
- 220. Murray, N.E., K. Murray (1974) Nature <u>251</u> (5475), 476-481
- 221. McDonell, M.W., M.N. Simon, F.W. Studier (1977) J.Mol.Biol. <u>110</u>, 119-146
- 222. McClure, W.R., C.L. Cech (1978) J.Biol.Chem. 253 (24), 8949-8956
- 223. McQuade, J.F. III, T.E. Creighton (1970) Eur.J. Biochem. <u>16</u> (2), 199-207
- 224. Nagahari, K. (1978) J.Bact. 136 (1), 312-317
- 225. Nagahari, K., Y. Sano, K. Sakaguchi (1977) Nature <u>266</u>, 745-746

- 226. Nagahari, K., K. Sakaguchi (1978) MGG 158, 263-270
- 227. Nagahari, K., T. Koshikawa, K. Sakaguchi (1979) MGG <u>171</u> (2), 115-119
- 228. Nakamura, Y. (1978) MGG 165 (1), 1-6
- 229. Nakamura, Y., T. Yura (1975) MGG 141, 97-111
- 230. Nakamura, Y., T. Yura (1976) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA <u>73</u> (12), 4405-4409
- 231. Nakamura, Y., T. Osawa, T. Yura (1977) Proc.Nat.Acad. Sci.USA <u>74</u> (5), 1831-1835
- 232. Nakazawa, T., E. Hayashi, T. Yokoto, E. Ebina, A. Nakazawa (1978) J.Bact. 134 (1), 270-277
- 233. Newman, A.J., T.G. Linn, R.S. Hayward (1979) MGG <u>169</u>, 195-204
- 234. Nierlich, D.P. (1978) Ann.Rev.Microbiol. 32, 393-432
- 235. Nomura, M., P. Traub, H. Bechmann (1968) Nature 219 (5156), 793-799
- 236. Nomura, M., E.A. Morgan, S.R. Jaskunas (1977) Ann. Rev.Genet. <u>11</u>, 297-347
- 237. Nuti, M.P., A.M. Ledeboer, A.A. Lepidi, R.A. Schilperoort (1977) J.Gen.Microbiol. 100, 241-248
- 238. Oeschger, M.P., M.K. Berlyn (1975) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. USA <u>72</u>, 911-915
- 239. Okada, M., J. Vergne, J. Brahms (1978) Nuc.A.Res. 5 (6), 1845-1862
- 240. Olsen, R.H., C. Gonzalez (1974) Biochem.Biophys.Res. Commun. <u>59</u> (1), 377-385
- 241. Olsen, R.H., P. Shipley (1973) J.Bact. <u>113</u> (2), 772-798
- 242. Olsen, R.H., D.D. Thomas (1973) J.Virol. <u>12</u> (6), 1560-1567
- 243. Olsen, R.H., J.S. Siak, P.L. Shipley (1977) In Microbiology 1977. (ed. Schlessinger, D.). p.138-144. American Society of Microbiology.
- 244. Ovchinnikov, Y.A., V.M. Lipkin, N.N. Modyanov, O.Y. Chertov, Y.V. Smirnov (1977) FEBS Lett. <u>76</u> (1), 108-111
- 245. Page, W.J., H.L. Sadoff (1976) J.Bact. 125 (3), 1080-1087

- 246. Palm, P. A. Heil, D. Boyd, B. Grampp, W. Zillig (1975) Eur.J.Biochem. <u>53</u>, 283-291
- 247. Palmer, M.L., M.A. Raker, P.J. Kennedy, J.W. Young, W.M. Barnes, R.L. Rodriguez, H.F. Noller (1979) MGG <u>172</u>, 171-178
- 248. Panny, S.R., A. Neil, B. Mazus, P. Palm, W. Zillig, S.Z. Mindlin, T.S. Ilyina, R.B. Khesin (1974) FEBS Lett. 48 (2), 241-245
- 249. Parish, J.H. (1975) J.Gen.Microbiol. 87, 198-210
- 250. Parkinson, J.S. (1968) Genetics <u>59</u>, 311-325
- 251. Pastrana, R. (1976) Lysogeny of lambdoid phages studied with genetic fusions made <u>in vitro</u>. PhD Thesis. Edinburgh University.
- 252. Pastrana, R., W.J. Brammar (1979) MGG 177, 163-168
- 253. Platt, T. (1978) In The Operon (ed. Miller, J.H., W.S. Reznikoff). p.263-302. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 254. Postgate, J.R., V. Krishnapillai (1977) J.Gen. Microbiol. 98 (2), 379-385
- 255. Prinsloo, H.E. (1966) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>45</u>, 205-212
- 256. Ptashne, M. (1978) In The Operon (ed. Miller, J.H., W.S. Reznikoff). p.325-343. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 257. Pühler, A. H.J. Burkardt, W. Heumann (1972) J.Gen. Microbiol. 73 (3), xxvi.
- 258. Pühler, A., H.J. Burkardt (1978) MGG 162 (2), 163-171
- 259. Pühler, A. H.J. Burkardt, R. Simon, P. Spitzbarth (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed paper sessions. Abstracts. Part I, 437. Moscow.
- 260. Rabussay, D., W. Zillig (1969) FEBS Lett. 5, 104-106
- 261. Reich, E. I.H. Goldberg (1964) Progress in Nucleic Acid Research and Molecular Biology <u>3</u>, 183-234
- 262. Reinlein, J.M.A., M.C.F. Puentes, A.T. Cid (1973) Revista Clinica Espanola <u>130</u> (2), 99-102
- 263. Reyes, G.R., V. Rocha (1977) J.Bact. 129 (3), 1448-1456
- 264. Riley, M., A. Anilionis (1978) Ann.Rev.Microbiol. 32, 519-560

- 265. Riva, S., L.G. Silvestri (1972) Ann.Rev.Microbiol. 26, 199-224
- 266. Robb, S.M., D.R. Woods, F.T. Robb, J.K. Struthers (1977) J.gen.Virol. <u>35</u> (1),117-123

ļ

- 267. Roberts, J.W. (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.247-271. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 268. Roberts, M.R., S. Baumberg (1978) The Society for General Microbiology Quarterly 6 (1), 41
- 269. Rocha, V., E.F. Brennan (1978) J.Bact. <u>134</u> (3), 950-957
- 270. Rocha, V., I.P. Crawford, S.E. Mills (1972) J.Bact. <u>111</u> (1), 163-168
- 271. Rocha, V., E.F. Brennan, A.R. Velaseo (1975) Abstract of the Annual Meeting of the American Society of Microbiology. 75, 181
- 272. Rocha, V., S. Plumb, G. Jacobs (1978) Abstract of the Annual Meeting of the American Society of Microbiology. <u>78</u>, 148
- 273. Ruet, A., A. Sentenac, P. Fromageot (1970) FEBS Lett. <u>11</u> (3), 169-171
- 274. Saitoh, T., A.I. Ishihama (1979) Biochemistry <u>18</u> (6), 979-983
- 275. Sakaguchi, K., K. Nagahari (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed paper sessions. Abstracts. Part I, 187. Moscow.
- 276. Sakanyan, V.A., L.Z. Yakubov, S.I. Alikanian, A.I. Stepanov (1978) MGG 165 (3), 331-341
- 277. Sanderson, K.E. (1972) Bact.Rev. 36 (4), 558-586
- 278. Sanderson, K.E., P.E. Hartman (1978) Microbiol.Rev. 42 (2), 471-519
- 279. Sanderson, K.E. (1976) Ann.Rev.Microbiol. <u>30</u>, 327-349
- 280. Sanderson, K.E., M. Demereck (1965) Genetics <u>51</u>, 897-913
- 281. Sandys, G.H. (1960) The J. of Med.Lab.Tech. <u>17</u>, 224-233

• •

282. Scaife, J.G. (1973) Brit.Med.Bull. 29 (3), 214-219

- 283. Scaife, J.G. (1976) In: RNA Polymerase (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.207-225. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 284. Schaad, N.W. (1974) Int.J.Syst.Bact. 24 (1), 42-53
- 285. Schachner, M., W. Zillig (1971) Eur.J.Biochem. 22, 513-519
- 286. Scheit, K.H., E.S.P. Reddy, P.M. Bhargava (1979) Nature <u>279</u> (5715), 725-728
 - 287. Schleif, R. (1969) Nature 223, 1068
 - 288. Schweitzer, S.M., H. Matzura (1977) MGG 155, 213-217
 - 289. Scherwood, M.T. (1970) J.App.Bact. 33, 708-713
 - 290. Shimada, K., R.A. Weisberg, M.E. Gottesman (1972) J.Mol.Biol. <u>63</u>, 483-503
 - 292. Signer, E.R., J. Weil, P.C. Kimball (1969) J.Mol. Biol. <u>46</u>, 543-563
 - 293. Sippel, A., G. Hartmann (1968) Biochim.Biophys. Acta. <u>157</u>, 218-219
 - 291. Shorin, V.A., S.P. Shapovalova (1974) Antibiotiki. <u>19</u>(1), 3-6
 - 294. Smith, J.T. (1969) J.Gen.Microbiol. 55, 109-120
 - 295. Stanisich, V.A. (1974) J.Gen.Microbiol. 84, 332-342
 - 296. Stanisich, V.A., P.M. Bennett, M.H. Richmond (1977) J.Bact. <u>129</u> (3), 1227-1233
 - 297. Stanley, J., L.K. Dunican (1979) MGG 174 (2), 211-220
 - 298. Stender, W., K.H. Scheit (1976) Eur.J.Biochem. <u>65</u> (2), 333-359
 - 299. Stepanov, A.I., M.S. Zimina, O.I. Khlebalina, P.M. Rabinovich, M.Y. Beburov, V.G. Debabov (1976) Genetica 12 (11), 162-164
 - 300. Stöffler, G. (1974) In: Ribosomes. (ed. Nomura, M., A. Tissières, P. Lengyel). p.615-667. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
 - 301. Sugiura, M., K. Yoshinaga (1978) International Congress of Genetics. Contributed paper sessions. Abstracts. Part I, 22. Moscow.
 - 302. Sugiura, M., K. Segawa, K. Yoshinaga, F. Yu, N. Ito, S. Yasuda, Y. Hirota (1977) Biochem.Biophys.Res. Commun. 76 (3), 739-745

- 303. Sun, T., T.A. Bickle, R.R. Traut (1972) J.Bact. <u>111</u> (2), 474-480
- 304. Sunshine, M.G., B. Sauer (1975) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. (Wash.). <u>72</u>, 2770-2774
- 305. Sussman, R., F. Jacob (1962) C.R.Acad.Sci.(Paris). 254, 1517-1519
- 306. Sypherd, P.S., S. Osawa (1974) In: Ribosomes (ed. Nomura, M., A. Tissières, P. Lengyel). p.669-678. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 307. Szybalski, E.H., W. Szybalski (1979) Gene <u>7</u> (3-4), 217-270
- 308. Taketo, M., A.I. Ishihama (1976) J.Mol.Biol. <u>102</u> (2), 297-310
- 309. Taketo, M., A. Ishihama (1977) J.Mol.Biol. <u>112</u> (1), 65-74
- 310. Taketo, M., A.I. Ishihama, A. Muto, S. Osawa (1976a) MGG <u>145</u>, 311-316
- 311. Taketo, M., A. Ishihama, J.B. Kirschbaum (1976b) MGG <u>147</u>, 139-143
- 312. Taketo, M., R. Fukuda, A.I. Ishihama (1978) MGG <u>165</u> (1), 7-14
- 313. Tanaka, T., M. Inoue, S. Mitsuhashi (1978) Microbiology and Immunology 22 (9), 565-568
- 314. Taylor, W.E., R.R. Burgess (1979) Gene <u>6</u> (4), 331-365
- 315. Tessman, E.S., P.K. Peterson (1976) J.Bact. <u>128</u> (1), 264-270
- 316. Thomas, C.M., D. Stalker, D. Guiney, D.R. Helinski (1979) In: Plasmids of Medical, Environmental and Commercial Importance. (ed. Timmis, K.N., A. Pühler). p.375-385. Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press.
- 317. Timmis, K., U. Winkler (1973) MGG 124, 207-217
- 318. Tocchini-Valentini, G.P., P. marino, A.J. Colvill (1968) Nature 220, 275-276
- 319. Towner, K.J., A. Vivian (1976) J.Gen.Microbiol. <u>93</u>, 355-360
- 320. Travers, A.A., R.R. Burgess (1969) Nature 222, 537-540
- 321. Travers, A. (1974) Cell <u>3</u> (2), 97-104

- 322. Travers, A.A., R. Buckland, M. Goman, S.G. Le Grice, J.G. Scaife (1978) Nature 273 (5661), 354-358
- 323. Tucher, R. (1974) Untersuchungen an RP4-DNA in minizellen Von <u>Rhizobium lupini</u>. PhD Thesis. Universität Erlangen-Nürberg. Federal Republic of Germany.
- 324. Van Larebeke, N., C. Genetello, J.P. Hernalsteens, A. De Picker, I. Zaenen, E. Messens, M. Van Montagu, J. Schell (1977) MGG <u>152</u>, 119-124
- 325. Van Vliet, F., B. Silva, M. Van Montagu, J. Schell (1978) Plasmid <u>1</u> (4), 446-455
- 326. Watson, M.D., J.G. Scaife (1978) Plasmid 1 (2), 226-237
- 327. Wehrli, W. (1977a) Eur.J.Biochem. 80 (2), 325-330
- 328. Wehrli, W. (1977b) Topics in Current Chemistry 72, 22-45
- 329. Wehrli, W., M. Staehelin (1971) Bact.Rev. 35 (3), 290-309
- 330. Wehrli, W., J. Nüesch, F. Knüsel, M. Staehelin (1968) Biochim.Biophys.Acta. 157, 215-217
- 331. Wehrli, W., J. Handschin, W. Wunderli (1976) In: RNA Polymerase. (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.397-412. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 332. Wiggs, J.L., J.W. Bush, M. Chamberlin (1979) Cell <u>16</u> (1), 97-111
- 333. Williams, F.D., R.H. Schwarzhoff (1978) Ann.Rev. Microbiol. <u>32</u>, 101-122
- 334. Williams, R.P., R.H. Scott, D.V. Lim, S.M. Qadri (1976) Appl. and Env.Micribiol. <u>31</u> (1), 70-77
- 335. Winkler, U., K. Timmis (1973) MGG 124, 197-206
- 336. Winkler, U., K.B. Heller, B. Folle (1978) Arch. Microbiol. <u>116</u>, 259-268
- 337. Wittmann, H., B. Willmann-Liebold (1974) In: Ribosomes. (ed. Nomura, M., A. Tissières, P. Lengyel). p.115-140. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 338. Wozny, M.E., H.N. Carnevale, E.E. Jones (1975) Biochim. Biophys.Acta. 383 (1), 106-116
- 339. Wu, C.W., F.Y. Wu, D.C. Speckhard (1977) Biochemistry <u>16</u> (25), 5449-5454

- 340. Yamamoto, M., M. Nomura (1978) Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 75 (8), 3891-3895
- 341. Yanofsky, C. (1960) Bact.Rev. 24, 221-245
- 342. Yura, T., A. Ishihama (1979) Ann.Rev.Genet. 13, 59-97
- 343. Zillig, W., K. Zechel, H. Halbwachs (1970a) Hoppe-Seylers Zeit of Physiological Chemistry <u>351</u>, 221
- 344. Zillig, W., P. Palm, V.S. Sethi, K. Zechel, D. Rabussay, A. Heil, W. Seifert, M. Schachner (1970b). Cold Spring Harbor Symposium of Quantitative Biology <u>35</u>, 47-58
- 345. Zillig, W., H. Fujiki, R. Mailhammer (1975) The J. of Biochem. <u>77</u> (6), 7p-8p.
- 346. Zillig, W., P. Palm, A. Heil (1976) In: RNA Polymerase. (ed. Losick, R., M. Chamberlin). p.101-125. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 347. Zichichi, M.L., G. Kellenberger (1963) Virology <u>19</u>, 450-460
Journal

1. Annale De Pathologie 1. Ann.De.Path. Annual Review of Biochemistry 2. Ann.Rev.Biochem. Annual Review of Genetics 3. Ann.Rev.Genet. 4. Annual Review of Microbiology 4. Ann.Rev.Microbiol. 5. Antibiotiki 6. Applied and Environmental 6. Appl. and Env.Microbiol. Microbiology 7. Archives of Microbiology 7. Arch.Microbiol. 8. Archives Romaines de 8. Arch.Romaines.Pathol. Pathologie et Experimental Exp.Microbiol. Microbiologie 9. Bacteriological Reviews 10. Biochemical and Biophysical 9. Bact.Rev. 10.Biochem.Biophys.Res. Research Communications Commun. 11. Biochemical Journal 11.Biochem.J. 12. Biochemistry 13. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 13. Biohim. Biophys. Acta. 14. British Medical Bulletin 14.Brit.Med.Bull. 15. Cell 16. Comptes Rendus De L'Academie 16.C.R.Acad.Sci. (Paris) Des Sciences 17. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology 18. European Journal of 18.Eur.J.Biochem. Biochemistry 19. FEBS Letters 19.FEBS Lett. 20. FEMS Microbiology Letters 20.FEMS Microbiol.Lett. 21. Gene 22. Genetica 23. Genetical Research 23.Genet.Res. 24. Genetics 25. International Journal of 25.Int.J.Syst.Bact. Systematic Bacteriology 26. Journal of Antimicrobial 26.J.Antimicrob.Chemoth. Chemotherapy 27. Journal of Applied 27.J.Appl.Bact. Bacteriology 28. Journal of Biological 28.J.Biol.Chem. Chemistry 29. Journal of General 29.J.Gen.Microbiol. Microbiology 30. Journal of Medical 30.J.Med.Microbiol. Microbiology 31. Journal of Molecular Biology 31.J.Mol.Biol. 32. Journal of General Virology 32.J.Gen.Virol 32.J.Gen.Virol. 33. Journal of Virology 33.J.Virol. 34. Khimia 35. Lancet 36. Microbiological Reviews 36.Microbiol.Rev. 37. Microbiology and Immunology 38. Molecular Biology Reports 38.Mol.Biol.Rep.

Abbreviation

- 39. Molecular and General 39. MGG Genetics
- 40. Molekulyarnaya Biologiya
- 41. Nature
- 42. Nature New Biology
- 43. Nucleic Acids Research
- 44. Plasmid
- 45. Proceedings of the National ` 45. Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. Academy of Sciences 46. Revista Clinica Espanola
- 47. Science
- 48. The Journal of Biochemistry 48. The J.of Biochem.
- 49. The Journal of Biological Chemistry
- 50. The Journal of Medical Laboratory Technology
- 51. The Society for General Microbiology Quarterly
- 52. Topics in Current Chemistry

- 40. Molek.Biol.USSR
 - 42. Nature N.Biol.
 - 43. Nuc.A.Res.

 - 49. The J.of Biol.Chem.

 - 50. The J.of Med.Lab.Tech.

Appendix: Further discussion on the various classes o of the temperature resistant mutants (see p68-69).

The temperature resistant mutants are divided into

five groups. The first group (43%) comprises mutants which are resistant to the antibiotics ampicillin, kanamycin, tetracycline and rifampicin. Presumably these mutants harbour plasmids that consists of most of the RP4 genome and at least the bacterial segment of $\lambda drpoBC \pm 8$ which contains the rpoB3 gene. The results of a λ sensitivity test and a zygotic induction:test will provide further information about the presence of the λ part of λ drpoBC18 on these plasmids. The second group of the temperature resistant mutants are resistant only to ampicillin and they comprise 36%. The ampicillin resistant determinant(s) of RP4 was reported to transfer into the chromosome (Richmond and Sykes, 1922_) and into various plasmids (Hedges and Jacob, 1974) and has been identified as the transposon tnA (Bukhari et al, 1977). Thus, the group of exconjugants which are resistant only to penicillin may have arisen only by transposition of the ampicillin resistance gene(s) to the chromosome with loss of RP4 itself. To investigate this possibility one could conduct some experiments where the P compatibility can be tested. Secondly, Sakanyan et al (1978) has reported that it is possible to cure pAS8(RP4-colEl) by treatment with nitomycin C. One can thus ask whether treatment of this group with mitomycin will result in the loss of the ampicillin resistance phenotype. In fact, the much simpler expeiment of detecting the transferability of ampicillin resis-:ance out of the cell will answer the question of whether the the resistance determinant(s) of ampicillin is integrated into the chromosome or not.

The third group of 12.8% includes the temperature resistant mutants which have lost the resistance to rifampicin. This group may have arisen due to the excision of $\lambda drpoBCl\theta$ from pZDloo. As the structural gene for the β subunit <u>rpoB3</u> (<u>rif</u>^d) is carried by the phage then its excision would result in the loss of rifampicin resistance in this group

of the temperature resistant mutants.

The fourth group of these mutants are ampicillin sensitive but resistant to kanamycin,tetracycline and rifampicin. They comprise 3.5% of the temperature resistant mutants. This group may represent the mutants where the ampicillin resistance gene(s) has been lost during the process of transposition or from which it was deleted along with part of λ to create a plasmid,non-indicible by temperature shift.

References.

Bukhari et al, 1977. See references.

2. Hedges R.W., A.E.Jacob. 1974. MGG. 132:31-40.

3.Richmond M.H., R.B.Sykes. 1972. Genet. Res. 20: 231-237.

4. Sakanyan et al, 1978. See refences.