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## Chapter 1.

## INTRODUCTION

## I. 1. Mainly Historical:

Thermal analysis of naturally occurring substances seems to have been first performed by Le Chatelier ${ }^{(1)}$ in 188\%. His method consisted in setting up simple apparatus to measure and record thermal feactions taking place in a material when it is heated at a more or less uniform rate, and using such recorded data as analytical criteria to study the material. Little use was made of the method by mineralogists, except sporadically and hesitatingly by Italian ceramists, until 1910, when several investigators used it in the study of clays. In these early studies the procedure generally followed was to place the material in a small platinum crucible with a single thermocouple (usually platinum - platinum $+10 \%$ iridium) in the centre of the material. The whole mass was placed in a furnace and heated at a rapid and relatively uniform rate. The thermocouple was attached to a galvanometer which was read periodically or recorded photographically. Thermal reactions in the material caused variations in the record as compared with that obtained when the furnace contained no sample. The record obtained showed the thermal reactions of the material superimposed on the heating curve of the furnace.


FIG. 1 TEMP. VS. TIME CURVES, AFTER WOHLIN.
(i) Furnace heating Curve.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (ii) } \\ \text { (iii) }\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { Furnace healing with reactions } \\ & \text { in clays superimposed. }\end{aligned}$ (iv) in clays superimposed.


Fig. 2. Arrangement of Thermocouples in D.T.A. (a) Roberts-Austen 's (b) \& (c) Two Modern Arrangements

In 1899, Roberts-Austen ${ }^{(2)}$, a metallurgist, devised the differential-thermocouple method for measuring temperature dirferences between a material and a reference unit. But following the work of Roberts-Austen the method aroused little interest except among metallurgists, and Fenner (1913) in his study of the stability relations of the silica minerals ${ }^{(3)}$, appears to have been the first to apply the method outside the field of metallurgy. Fenner's technique is substantially the same as is used today.

## I. 2. Description of Method:

In the method as generally used today, the sample to be studies is placed in one hole of a specimen holder, and an inert material that experiences no reaction when heated to the temperature of the experiment, (usually calcined aluminium oxide $-\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ), is placed in anotherhole of the specimen holder. One junction of the difference thermocouple (Fig.2) is placed in the centre of the sample and the other junction in the centre of the inert material. The holder and thermocouples are placed in a furnace so controlled as to produce a uniform rate of temperature increase, usually 5 to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. per minute. The temperature of the regularly as the Femperatare of the furnace increases. inert material increases $\alpha$. When a thermal reaction takes place in the sample, the temperature of the sample


FIG. 3. TDEALIZES DEHYDRATION AND D. T. CURVES FOF RAOLINITE (SPEIL).
becomes greater or less than that of the inert material depending on whether the reaction is exothermic or endothermic, for an interval of time until the reaction is completed, and the temperature of the sample again comes to the temperature of the furnace. Consequently, for an interval of time the temperature of one junction of the difference-thermocouple is different from that of the other junction, and an e.m.f. is set up in the thermocouple circuit which is recorded as a function of time or of the furnace temperature. In the absence of thermal reactions, the differential e.m.f. is either zero or constant, and the record is a straight line parallel to the time (or temperature) axis. During a reaction, the direction of the differential e.m.f., of course, depends on whether the reaction is exothermic or endothermic. Figure 3 shows an idealized equilibrium dehydration curve and a differenđial thermal curve for kaolinite. The endothermic reaction between $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. corresponds to the dehydration of the mineral. A comparison of the curves illustrates that the method of differential thermal analysis is a dynamic rather than a static one. The reactions in some cases are not instantaneous, and they are recorded as functions of time or as functions of furnace temperature, which is continuously increasing as the reaction takes place.
I. 3. Applications of Differential Thermal Analysis Following the work of Fenner, the method has been used by various workers for the investigation of a wide variety of physico-chemical problems including phase equilibria $(4,5,6,7)$, crystalline polymorphism $(8,9,10,11,12)$, solid phase reactions and chemical reaction velocity $(13,14,15)$, higher-order transformations $(16,17,18)$, analysis of organic systems and solid solutions $(19,20)$, and, since 1935 , due to the work of Orcel ${ }^{(21,22)}$, Caillere ${ }^{(23)}$, and $\operatorname{Grim}^{(24)}$, the method has become an important and conventional approach to the study not only of clay minerals but also of carbonates, sulphates, hydrates, and ammonium compounds. The main interest of the present study is primarily in the last mentioned field, namely, the differential thermal analysis of reactions of simple systems.

## I. 4. Two types of problems:

Two types of reactions are important in this respect $(26)$ :
(a) phase inversions, characterised by reversibility and a fixed transition temperature, $T_{i}$, irrespective of the rate of heating. Melting and solidification, the $\alpha \rightleftharpoons \beta$ or $I \rightleftharpoons I I$ inversion of quartz, and other crystalline inversions, fall into this class, which may be called the "latent heat" type of reaction since they
involve the evolution or absorption of a definite mount of heat per unit mass at the temperature of the reaction They are often called 'Stefan reactions' since Stefan (25) was the first to make a systematic mathematical study of the temperature relationships involved in such reactions;
(b) unimolecular first-order type of reactions, such as occur during dehydration, loss of sulphur dioxide, ammonia, carbon dioxide, etc., and oxidation, which are governed by the rate of diffusion of gaseous products or reactants out of or into the material. In these reactions the rate of reaction and hence the heat absorbed or evolved depend on the temperature according to the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{dw} / \mathrm{dt}=-\mathrm{wk} \exp \cdot(-\mathrm{E} / \mathbb{R T}), \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{dT} / \mathrm{dt}=-q d w / d t \text { in the }
\end{aligned}
$$

adiabatic case, where
E is the energy of activation,
$R$ is the gas constant,
k is a constant for any given system,
$T$ is the absolute temperature
$w$ is the fraction of substance reacted in time $t$. Until recently only half-hearted attempts have been made by d.t.a. ${ }^{\text {F }}$ workers to distinguish between these two types of reactions.

So long as the method was used mainly in the empirical identification of clays and the qualitative classification of clay constituents, this distinction was not important. But the quantitative interpretation of d.t.a. records requires a more exact knowledge of the nature of each reaction and the effect of the energy of activation or the latent heat of the reaction, and the mathematical appreach to the two problems are quite different.

Most of the reactions of the second type occur at low temgeratures (less than $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) and are mainly endothermic, whereas most of the Stefan reactions in clays and clay minerals are exothermic and take place at higher temperatures (700 to $1,000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 。) . The low-temperatures endothermic peaks and the high-temperature exothermic peaks in d.t.a. records occur at temperatures and temperature ranges characteristic of the mineral constituents of each specimen. The method of differential thermal analysis has therefore been perfected and refined to the stage where it has now become a ready tool for the accurate qualitative analysis of clay materials, and a very useful ancillary technique in other investigations involving physical and/or chemical changes. But only rudimentary work has been done on the extraction from d.t. a. records of quantitative information as to the amount of reactive material present or its thermal constants, owing to the difficulty of finding the exact relationships between the temperature, height, width, and
shape of the peak on the one hand, and the quantity of material present, its latent heat or energy of activation, and the rate of heating on the other. The solution of this problem is of rather greatyr mathematical difficulty, and although a number of attempts have been made to obtain solutions using approximation and numerical methods, no general analytical solutions seem to have been made.

In the present study, it is intended to concentrate on Stefan Problems exclusively, and to attempt a partial solution making use of experimental data relating to (a) the temperature distribution in a finite medium of given shape before, during, and after a thermal reaction, and (b) the rate of propagation of such a reaction through the medium. For this type of problem a number of analytical solutions have appeared $(27,-36)$, but so far only the solution for semi-infinite media has been carried to a stage suitable for numerical calculation. The essential feature of the problem is the existence of a moving boundary at which heat is generated or removed, and one obstacle to complete solution is that the history of this interface as it moves is unknown. To determine the history (a spacetime curve) of the interface, it is necessary to solve the heat equation, which is a linear homogenous parabolic equation, with the appropriate boundary conditions and with a suitable discontinuity in the first-order space

## - 8 -

derivatives across the unknown interface ${ }^{(37)}$. Such a solution, in most cases, is either very difficult or impossible.

## - 9 - <br> Chapter II <br> DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS

In this study a spherical madel was chosen, both for the sake of mathematical simplicity and for experimental convenience. The test material was placed in a spherical steel chamber of 6 cm . diameter, and thermocouple junctions were placed at intervals of 0.6 cm. along one radius. The temperature of the chamber was then raised at a uniform rate until the reaction was complete. The temperature at each junction was recorded either photographically at intervals of 30 seconds or by means of a six-point electronic recorder having a 36-second cycle. To improve the resolution of the record, instead of measuring the actual temperatures at each junction, which could be as high as 400 to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., a differential arrangement was adopted, whereby only the differences between these temperatures and the steadily increasing surface temperature were measured. This is simply an extension of the principle used in actual differential thermal analysis. The records obtained by measurement of actual values of temperatures are, in fact, the heating curves for six points within the material, whereas, the second type of records are the differential thermal records for each point.

From these records the following data could be obtained:-
(a) the temperature distribution in a uniformly heated sphere of reacting material before, during, and after the reaction;
(b) the temperature at any point in the sphere at the moment when the moving interface between two phases passes that point, i.e. the transition temperature;
(c) the temperature difference between the furnace and any point in the sphere after the reaction had commenced, and the dependence of this on (i) the density of the reacting material; (ii) the thermal constants, especially the heat of transition, $H_{t r}$, of the material, (iii) the rate of increase of surface temperature;
(d) the rate of propagation of the reaction through the sphere, i.e. the history of the moving interface. This will be described in more detail in Chapter IV.

## II. 1. The Calorimeter or Specimen Holder.

This consisted of two cylindrical halves,
of outside diameter 6.7 cm ., each half having been machined out of a mild steel block $4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime \prime}$. Into one of the plane faces of the cylinder was let a hemispherical recess measuring accurately 6 cm . in diameter.
A flange,
8.5 cm .

 Recess for
thermocouplesteads.

FIG. 4. THE CALORIMETER
and 0.2 cm . thick, was left round the outside of the open end. The two halves when put together constituted a cylinder of diameter 6.7 cm . and height 10 cm. , enclosing in its centre a spherical chamber of diameter 6.0 cm . Round the middle of the assembled cylinder was a flange, 0.9 cm . wide and 0.4 cm . thick, by means of which the two halves could be clamped together. Riveted to the face of the flange on one block were two pind which let into corresponding holes on the other block, and by means of these the two halves were accurately fitted whenever the calorimeter was assembled. The face of the flange on the lower half also carried a recess 1 inch wide and $1 / 32$ inch deep, so that when the two blocks were fifted together, a gap was left, 1 inch long, serving as outlet for thermocouple wires and their insulation. The upper block also carried, at its pole, a hole used for filling the chamber. The hole was $27 / 64$ inch in diameter over all but the last $1 / 16$ inch of its length where it emerged into the chamber. After the chamber had been filled and the apparatus assembled, this hole was plugged with a small hollow steel cylinder, closed at one end, of outside and inside diameters $25 / 64$ and $21 / 64$ inch respectively. Into this cylinder was introduced the thermocouple which regulated the furnace temperature, the junction of the thermocauple being then about $\frac{1}{8}$ inch from the inside surface of the specimen holder. (Fig.4)

## II. 2. The Furnace

Three types of furnace were used:-
(a) Type I: This was a mains voltage furnace of nichrome wire wound directly on the calorimeter and insulated from it by means of micanite, mica, alumina cement, or syndanio strips. The resistance of each half was approximately 100 ohms at room temperature, making a $50-0$ ohm furnace when the two were annected in parallel. The furnace was controlled manually by means of a variac transformer and operated between 100 and 180 volts, attaining a temperature of $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in about 65 minutes at its fastest rate. This furnace did not give satisfactory perfomance over any considerable period, owing destruction of the winding or breakdown of to frequent人electrical insulation. The latter trouble was the more serious, and, of the four insulators mentioned above, micanite gave the best results provided the resin bonding was slowly and carefully baked out before the furnace was put into use. Even then, leakage from the mains to the thermocouples and thence to the measuring instruments was never completely eliminated, especially at temperatures above $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, owing probably to the presence of incompletely incinerated carbon in the insulation or the poor quality of the mica.
(b) Type II: This was similar infconstruction to Type I but the winding was of thick vacrom strip, the resistance of each half at room temperature being only


FiG. 5 UPPER HALF of FURNACE TYPES $I * I I$

12 ohms, giving a double furnace of 6 ohms when assembled. The insulation was of micanite. It was a low-voltage high-current furnace controlled by a 230/60-volt transformer fed through a 0 - \&70-volt variac transformer connected in series with it, the tormer capable of supplying 10 amps. at 60 volts. The performanee was very good: the insulation provided by micanite proved quite satisfactory at the highest voltage ( 60 volts) used, up to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and the life of the winding was much longer because of the greater thickness of the strips. The furnace was used continuously for 15 months before it had to be stripped down. One disadavantage it shared with the Type I furnace was that servicing and repairs was rather laborious, as the whole system had to be taken down and dismantled for this purpose. (Fig.5)

For these two types of furnace, thermal insulation was provided by fixing the two separate blocks to circular face plates of $1 / 16$-inch stainless steel which were then screwed on to drums of 6-inch everite water piping. The drums were then filled with diatomite. But, for either type, accurate control of heating rate was difficult owing partly to inadequate lagging and \%



Fie. 6 Section of Furnace Tripe III SCALE: $\|^{\prime \prime}=\Omega^{\prime \prime}$
(c) Type III. This proved the most suitable and differed radically from the first two types. Being a larger furnace the loss of heat was negligible, the outside remaining relatively cool even when the chamber had been raised to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. or more. Also, the furnace was independent of the calorimeter, so that assembling and dismantling could be carried out more quickly and at any temperature.

It consisted of four half- heaters (two main windings and two auxiliaries each of 98 ohms vacrom strips wound on to fused silica muffles of internal diameter 6.8 cm . and housed in 9-inch waerite water pipings filled with diatomite. Each half of the main furnace was therefore Lconventional muffle furnace open at one end. The open ends were supported by quarter-inch syndanio plates, 9. $2^{\prime \prime}$ x 9. $2^{\prime \prime}$, having a circular hole in the centre, of the same diameter as the inside of the muffle; the rim of this hole was rebated to accommodate the flange on the calorimeter so that when this was dropped into position, the face of the calorimeter was flush $\not \subset$ with the face of the furnace, and
Lthere was no gap between the two halves of the assembled apparatus. (Fig.6)

The main windings alone were capable of raising the temperature of the calorimeter quite rapidly to $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in about 50 minutes, and at such a rapid rate of heating
the control was accurate to within $2 \%$, i.e. giving a heating rate of $(0.250 \pm 0.005)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. per second. For slower heating rates such as were actually employed in the present work, the control, however, was rather poor, owing to the thickness and low thermal conductivity of the muffle. (N. B. The control was maintained at a point as near as to the surface of the specimen as possible, i.e. relatively remote from the heater windings.) Hence, for more exact control at low heating rates, an auxiliary heater was placed in contact with the plane closed end of each half of the calorimeter. Each such heater was wound on an annular disc of mica, outside and inside diameters 6 cms . and 1.5 cms . respectively (Fig. 7 ). The heater was then insulated with two further discs (one on either side) of good quality mica (muscovite) and the whole was clamped between two $1 / 16-$ inch steel plates. Each heater had a resistance of 50 ohms, and were connected in parallel. They were fed independently through a $230 / 60$ volts transformer connected in sexies with one pair of secondary terminals of a $0-270$ volts double variac, and operated between 45 and 25 volts. The main heaters, on the other hand, were controlled directly by the variac and operated between 50 and 150 volts.

With both sets of heaters in use, and employing manual control only, a heating rate of


Plate I. Photogranh of Furnace Assembly.
( $0.021 \pm .001)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. per second could be maintained for a wide range of temperatures, i.e. accurately to about $5 \%$, as against $(0.031 \pm .004)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. per second, or accurately to about $13 \%$, without the double control.
II. 3. Temperature Regulation:

The actual temperature of the calorimeter at a point as close as possible (about $\frac{1}{8}$ inch) to its internal surface was used to indicate the direction and magnitude of mains regulation required to keep the furnace on a predetermined heating course. The e.m.f. of the control thermocouple was made to oppose a constantly increasing potential difference across a motordriven helical potentiometer, and the heating of the furnace was adjusted \$o that a sensitive galvanometer in the thermocouple circuit always read zero. The circuit was so arranged that a positive deflection of the galvanometer always indicated overheating, and the sensitivity of the galvanometer (a Tinsley Type 3270) was such that a potential difference of 1 microvolt across its terminals produced a deflection of 0.27 mm ., which, for a chromelalumel thermocouple, corresponds to a deflection of about 100 mm . per degree C. departure from the heating programme.

The regulating potentiometer consisted of
100 turns vacrom wire of resistance 26 ohms, driven at


FIG. 8

the rate of one turn per minute, and the p.d. per turn was adjusted by means of two rheostats $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ (Fig.8) to correspond to the desired rate of increase of temperature in degrees $C$. per minute.

The furnaces Types I and II were roughly controlled by alteration of the variac output voltage, but further fine adjustment was obtained by means of two resistances $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ placed in series with the furnace windings and connected to a three-position switch as shown (Fig.9). $R_{2}$ was normally left in series with the heater $R$, so that with $R_{2}$ out the current was increased a predetermined amount above normal, and with both $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ the current was reduced the same amount below normal. $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ were so chosen that in the initial stages of heating when hunting was likely to most serious these changes were up to $10 \%$, and later, when steady conditions had been attained, only $5 \%$. the
For LType III furnace, rough adjustment was made by altering the input to the main heater only, and fine control by switching on or off the auxiliary heaters. In the initial stages of heating, the power dissipated by the auxiliary heaters was made as high as possible, up to 100 watts, but as the furnace settled down to steady heating the voltage on the main heater was gradually increased and that on the auxilliary heaters reduced until the latter dissipated only 25 watts. Apart from the fact

(c) Differential
only

(d)
force on $\frac{1}{s}$


Fig. 10. Thermocouple Patterns
otherwise the temperature at the poles tended to lag behind the equatorial temperature.

## II. 5. Thermocouple arrangement for measurement of internal temperatures.

Two methods were employed to determine the temperature distribution in the sphere, viz., (a) a direct measurement of the temperature at six (later five) equally spaced points along a radius, and (b) measurement of the difference in temperature between the surface (or furnace) and six (or five) equally spaced points along a radius. In both methods there were two alternative arrangements of the thermocouples within the sphere but both arrangements were designed to conform as closely as possible to the requirements (i) that the paths of the wires within the sphere should be as great as possible, (ii) that the wires were as thin as possible, and (iii) that, wherever possible, the paths of the wires should lie on an isothermal surface. Inthis way heat conduction along the wires into or out of the system was minimised and a low heat capacity in the thermocouple system was maintained. The arrangements employed are shown in Fig.10. It will be seen that the arrangements (a) and (b) conform more nearly to these requirements, but $L^{\text {in }}$ a series of test runs, no appreciable difference was noticed in the records obtained by using either set of arrangements.


FIG. II. SPARK-WELDING OF

The junctions $T_{1}, T_{2} \ldots \ldots, T_{6}$ and $T_{1}^{1}$ were at distances $r=a, 0.8 a, 0.6 a, 0.4 a, 0.2 a$, and 0 , from the centre of the sphere, where $a$ is the radius of the sphere. For the direct measurement of temperatures, (Fig.10), (b) and (d), a cold junction was provided outside the system by melting ice, but this arrangement was also used for differential measurements by placing this outside junction by the side of the regulating thermocouple with the advantage that the "reference junction" could not be affected by the reaction of the specimen. In both arrangements all the wires were connected to terminals in a closed junction box constructed of tufnol and lined internally with sheet aluminium for temperature equalisation. The terminals in the junction box were of brass and connections from them to the measuring or recording instruments were oi enainelled copper wire.

All temperature junctions were spark-welded, using the arrangement shown in Fig.11. Spark-welding gives junctions of very small dimensions, (and hence of low heat capacity), and also minimises oxidation of the wires and so increases the consistency in performance of various batches of finished junctions. This is essential as each set of thermocouples could be used for one specimen only. Oxidation was further prevented by closing the gap $K_{2}$ between the flat end of a carbon rod and a clean glass plate, the carbon acting as a reducing
agent. The thinnest wire found suitable was 33 SWG, as the wires became brittle after being heated only once in the furnace up to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in the presence of glass (which was used extensively as an inert basein the earlier experiments) . For this gauge, the optimum voltage to which the $108 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ condenser must be charged was 220 to 270 volts, depending on the resistance of the discharge circuit, i.e. the length of chromel and alumel wire included in the circuit: these together may not exceed 4 to 5 inches.

The system, after welding, was formed into the required shapes or patterns of Fig. 10 on a brass template machined for the purpose, the pattern being retained by fine threads stuck on with thin adhesive before transferring it to the specimen. The thread and the adhesive were burnt out at the temperature of the experiments.
II. 6. Photographic recorder and ancillary equipment. These consisted of a drum camera, a mirror galvanometer, a constant-damping variable shunt, and a double-pole rotary switch.
(a) The drum camera was a home-made unit of conventional design, consisting of a light-tight tufnol box with a 1 mm . horizontal slit, 9 inches long, along one side. The lens of the galvanometer lamp was coated


FIG. 12


$$
\begin{aligned}
r=j=k & =9 \mathrm{k} \Omega \\
b=e & =750 \Omega \\
c=d=h & =1.5 \mathrm{k} \Omega \\
f & =2.25 \mathrm{k} \Omega \\
g & =3.0 \mathrm{k} \Omega \\
i & =4.5 \mathrm{k} \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

RANGES
$1-1 \prime \quad n=1$
2-2' $\quad n=4 / 3$
3-3.
$\begin{array}{ll}4-4^{\prime} & n=3 / 2 \\ 5-5^{\prime} & n=2 \\ 6-6^{\prime} & n=3 \\ 7-7^{\prime} & n=4 \\ & n=0\end{array}$

FiG. 13
$P$
with black wax, and a 1 mm . vertical slit was etched on to this, so that its image on the camera box was perpendicular to the camera slit, this giving on the drum behind the slit a 1 mm . square spot. The drum was $8 \frac{3}{4}$ ins. long and 48 cms . in circumference, and was fixed so that its front part was not more than $\frac{1}{8}$ inch behind the slit, focused in order that the spot on to the front of the camera was also practically in focus at the surface of the photographic paper. The drum was driven by clockwork at speeds of one revolution per $\frac{1}{2}, 1,1 \frac{1}{2}$ and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hours, depending on the expected duration of the recording and the resolution desired.
(b) The galvanometer was a 450-ohm Cambridge Moving Coil Mirror Galvanometer with a periodic time of 2.5 an effective deflection time of seconds on open circuit, and $\angle 3.5$ seconds when critically damped. The resistance for critical damping was 9,000 ohms, and the maximum sensitivity when critically damped was 7.0 microvolt per 1 mm . deflection at a distance of 75 cm.
(c) Damping and Shunting: In order to vary the sensitivity in accordance with pequirement and maintain critical damping, a specially constructed shunt was placed in the same housing as the rotary switch, based on the principle of (Fig.12) in which $R_{e}$ is the resistance for critical damping. With this arrangement, $V_{a b}=E / n$ and $R_{a b}=R_{c}$, $n$ being the multiplying factor for the


Fig. 14
Part-SEctioned View
of ROtary Switch
Shaded areas shown INDICATE INSULATING MATERIALS. SWITCH sEGMENTS HAVE PADIAL LEADS. (NOT SHOWN)

SCALE: FULl SIZE
galvanometer and shunt. The construction of the shunt and the ranges available by means of it are shown in Fig. 13.
(d) Rotary Switch: This was specially designed and constructed for the purpose. It is a completely enclosed unit and the details of construction are shown in Fig. 14. Since all the contacts are completely enclosed, errors from outside sources of radiation are eliminated. The double-pole wiping arrangement eliminates errors due to wiping friction. Since the driving motor was on contimuously and was therefore likely to generate a great deal of heat, the motor was mounted in the open, 12 inches away from the switch and coupled to it by the long driving shaft of the switch. Also, any heat conducted to the contacts by this shaft would be evenly distributed to all metallic surfaces within the switch, as the shaft is placed centrally within the cylindrical chamber. Although the input terminals to the switch are on the outside of the case, this was not considered a serious drawback, as the whole switch was enclosed in a thermally insulated box with three walls, the outside layer being of $\frac{1}{4}$-inch tufnol followed by sheet
$\frac{1}{4}$-inch asbestos board and thenLehut aluminium to assist in temperature equalisation. The box was divided internally into two chambers by insulating material, one to house the switch proper, and the other to house the
galvanometer shunt described in (c) above, so that all connections between shunt and switch were also enclosed. In order to reduce contact resistance to the minimum, all the metallic parts of the switch were silver-plated, and the deposit was renewed periodically as it wore off between the wiping surfaces. All connections, external and internal, between the thermocouple circuit and the switch and shunt were of copper, and the transition from copper to thermocouple material was enclosed in a metallined junction box.

The switch was run at 2 rop.m., so that each of the six points of the thermocouple system was in the circuit for approximately 5 seconds, and, allowing 3.5 seconds for the galvanometer deflection, the spot, therefore, renained stationary for 1.5 seconds. This 'exposure time' proved quite ample for the commercial bromide paper used.

The system worked very efficiently, and repeated blank tests run with thermocouple junctions maintained at temperatures from $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. to $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. showed it to be remarkably free from spurious e.m.f.'s originating in the recording system itself. The only drawback of the arrangement was the time consumed in photographic work.

## II. 7. Electronic Recording:

For the latter part of the work a six-point Cambridge Electronic Recorder became available. The input potential difference for full scale deflection is 1 mV , but the range was increased and made variable by placing a 20 K -ohms wire-wound potentiometer across the output from the recorder's amplifier.

## Chapter III

## EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

III. 1. Preparation of Specimen:

The specimen was in the form of a sphere of radius 3 cm ., with either six thermocouple junctions placed at distances $r=0,0.6,1.2,1.8$, 2.4 cm . and 3.0 cm . from the centre, or five junctions placed at distances $r=0.3,0.9,1.5,2.1$ and 2.7 cms . from the centre, the thermocouples being arranged either to give actual temperatures at these points or to indicate the difference in temperature between each point and the surface. In the latter case an additional thermocouple was placed at the surface to measure its temperature directly. The preparation of the specimen for these measurements depends on the nature of the reaction being investigated, i.e. either a crystalline inversion or a melting/solidification reaction.
III. 2. Crystalline inversion, including recrystallisation from amorphous form, in the solid state Since this takes place in the solid state, the material was simply reduced to powder, placed in the calorimeter with the thermocouples, and the whole mass transferred to the furnace. This kind of reaction, therefore, is the simplest to examine experimentally, provided that it is a genuine 'latent heat' type and not a rate-controlled type, i.e. one that takes place over a

TABLE I - TRANSITION POINTS

| Substance | First Transi- tion Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Second Transition Temp. ${ }_{\text {O }}$ | Separation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potassium Nitrate | 129 | 339 (Fusion) \& | 210 |
| Sodium Sulphate | 240 | 890 ( 7 ) 8 | 650 |
| Potassium |  |  |  |
| Hydroxide | 248 | 400 ( " ) \& | 152 |
| Lithium Sulphate | 575 | 859 ( " \% \& | 284 |
| Potassium Sulphate f | 587 | 1074 ( 1 | 487 |

a range of temperatures with the rate of transformation depending on the temperatuce. It has the further advantage that both the state of aggretation and the density of packing can be varied at will if it is desired to study the effect of these on the rate of propagation of the reaction through the sphere. But, unless the size of the sphere is small, there are not many phase inversions that can be studied in this way, owing to overlapping of reactions. For the size of sphere used, and depending on the heat of reaction per unit volume, and on whether the reaction was endothermic or exothermic, the duration of the reaction, (i.e. from commencement at the surface to completion at the centre), was of the order of 20 to 30 minutes in most cases. An additional 30 to 40 minutes was also always required for the system to resume steady conditions, depending on the thermal diffimsivity of the reacted substance. This additional period is of the same order as the time required for the sphere to reach steady state when heated at a uniform rate from room temperature, as is to be expected. Hence, suppose the rate of rise of temperature at the surface is $\mathrm{k}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. per second and the temperature of inversion is $\theta_{i}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$., then temperature at the surface when reaction is complete at the sentre is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{S}^{O} C=\theta_{i}+k t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ seconds is the duration of the reaction.

Now, if the material undergoes another reaction at a temperature $\theta_{m}$, less than $\theta_{s}$, then there will be an overlapping of the 'peaks' due to the two reactions, and it is impossible to analyse the contribution of each reaction to the composite peak produced on the records. Most crystals either exhibit more than one inversion, or in some cases, inversion takes place a few degrees below the melting point.

Another limitation to the choice of material is that the interpretation of the data is unduly complicated unless the temperature gradient in the material becomes steady before the reaction commences. Hence the need for a uniform heating rate. Now, for the sphere of radius a initially at temperature $\theta_{0}$ and surface temperature subsequently increasing as $\theta_{0}+{ }_{c t}$, the ture at $r$ at time $t$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(r, t)= & \theta_{0}+k t-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K \\
& -\left(2 k a^{3} / K \pi^{3} r\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1 / n^{3}\right)(-1)^{n}(\sin n \pi r / a) \exp . \\
& \left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2} \alpha t / a^{2}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $K$ is the thermal diffusivity of the material (38). The exponential term onfthe right side of equation (2) becomes hegligible after a time $t$ depending on the magnitudes of $K$ and $a$, and the steady state temperature becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\theta_{0}+k t-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the purpose of these experiments, the exponential term was considered negligible if $\mathbf{t t}$ was not greater
than $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For a solid of diffusivity 0.004 , say, and radius 3 cm. , this occurs after about 40 minutes. In practice, 36 minutes was found sufficient for the mass to attain steady state conditions at the heating rates employed. Hence allowing for a maximum heating rate of $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. per minute, and a maximum room temperature of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the transition temperature must be at least $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and any other reaction following this must be separated from it by at least $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Of those compoinds known to have a definite transition point, the substances shown in Table I meet these conditions. Potassium hydroxide, although meeting the above conditions, is difficult to handle and would have a deleterious effect on all metallic parts. Lithium and potassium sulphates also show transition points at temperatures too high for convenience. In the event, potassium nitrate gave excellent results with the minimum of trouble.

## III. 3. Melting and Solidification:

Since one of the phases involved is liquid,
it is necessary to prevent convection in the liquid state. This problem was solved by casting a porous sphere of some 'inert' material with which the test substance was impregnated, i.e. a material which would not show any reactions at the temperature of the experiment and which would not react with the test substance.


Two substances were used for this purpose, namely, glass and calcium sulphate, the latter only for organic compounds.

## III. 3. 1. Sintered Glass

Ordinary bottle glass was reduced to powder by pounding and sifted to remove all particles above a certain size so as to leave a high proportion of fine particles. These fine particles, it was found, assisted in bonding, but unfortunately, also reduced the porosity of the finished mould. The calorimeter was filled, with the thermocouples in position, and the temperature of the mass was raised rapidly to about $620^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., then more slowly to a maximum of $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of about 20 minutes. It was then cooled slowly to about $620^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. over the same period of time, then rapidly in air to room temperature, after which it was removed from the calorimeter as a solid sphere in which thermocouples were embedded. It was found that the minimum density of packing required to obtain an undeformed sintered-glass sphere was about 1.5 gms . of powered glass per $\mathrm{cm} .^{3}$, corresponding to about $40 \%$ porosity. Looser packing resulted in slight settling of the glass in the calorimeter as a result of the slight softening of the glass required for bonding; e.g. a powder density of $1.3 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. gave a sphere whose diameter from pole to pole was only 5.8 cm 。

The sintering temperature is fairly critical but since at this temperature glass is slightly conducting (electrolytically), it was often difficult to control the heating accurately near the maximum temperature if the furnace winding insulation was imperfect. It was also found that the thermocouples became brittle after being in contact with glass at those temperatures and had to be handled with great care after the sphere had been removed from the calorimeter. Again, the softened glass tended to corrode the inside of the calorimeter and so impair the accuracy of the internal dimensions. To prevent this, and also to facilitate the removal of the sphere from the calorimeter, the inside of the latter was smeared with a preparation of graphite in vaseline.

## III. 3. 2. Calcium Sulphate:

This was found very useful for low-melting substances. The sphere was cast from ordinary plaster of Paris. The best results were obtained by mixing 130 grams of exsiccated calcium sulphate with 80 cc . of water containing 1 gm of borax in solution, the purpose of the borax being to delay setting of the plater during handling. These proportions resulted in an undeformed sphere of about $40 \%$ porosity when completely dried. Setting was complete in about one hour, and the hard mass,


PLATE III.
Fig. 16, Arransement for Vacuum Impregnation.
with the thermocouples embedded, could be removed easily from a previously greased calorimeter. Afterwards it was dried by heating for about 40 minutes at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Heating at $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. removes all uncombined water as well as $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and at $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the rest of the combined water is removed, leaving the anhydrous calcium sulphate. This drying was necessary in order to render the mould 'inert'. For reactions likely to be completed below $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., e. g. the melting of m-Nitroaniline (m. p. $112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) the second stage of drying was dispensed with, especially as the block of anhydrous calcium sulphate was rather brittle.

## III. 3. 3. Impregnation.

In order to achieve a uniform distribution of the test material in the inert base, impregnation was carried out by षacuum, using the arrangement shown Plate III
in fifir. Sufficient of the material was placed, together with the porous sphere, in the impregnating jar and the system evacuated to a pressure not less than the vapour pressure of the testlsubstance at its melting point. This precaution was necessary in order to prevent loss of material by boiling or excessive vaporisation. The system was then shut off and the vessal heated until the substance had melted completely and the sphere was totally immersed in the liquid. Heating was continued
for a few minutes further to allow the sphere to attain throughout the temperature of the molten substance. Air was then let into the system.

For the quantity of material involved, it was often necessary to keep the mass for a considerable period (up to 60 minutes) at a temperature greatly in excess of the melting point before it could be completely melted, and this was often a nuisance in view of the fact that at such temperatures it was not easy to maintain the low pressure necessary for efficient impregnation without renewed pumping which then upset the equilibrium between vapour and liquid, leading to extensive vaporisation and loss of material.

## III. 3. 4. Choice of test-substance and inert base.

In the choice of material, the lower limit for fusion temperature is set, as in the case of crystalline inversion, by the maximum uniform heating rate that is intended to be used and by the necessity to prevent melting until steady state is reached. This, as before, is about $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Secondly, the test material must have a low vapour pressure at temperatures considerably in excess of the melting point, for, apart from the fact that impregnation would otherwise be difficult to carry out, it may also be necessary to allow up to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in excess
of melting point in order to carry the test to completion. For example, it was found that while it was possible to impregnate with salicylic acid (m. p. $158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 。) , a great deal of vaporisation took place as soon as melting commenced, so that it was impossible to study melting in isolation. A simple test soon showed that the vapour pressure of salicyæic acid was quite high at temperatures below its melting point ( $25 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{Hg}$ at $158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.), rising sharply.

This second requirement is to some extent at variance with the first as far as organic compounds are concerned. Of all the common organic compounds with melting points below $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., more than fifty percent. have melting points below $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Also, for organic compounds, a melting point in excess of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. minst be considered high, and it was found that such compounds became rather unstable near their melting points, possessing rather high vapour pressures with consequent tendency towards sublimation or considerable vaporisation. Furthermore, organic compounds with dow שapour pressures and very high melting points (up to and above $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) tend to decompose at those temperatures.

Another limitation to the maximum temperature that may be employed is the nature of the inert material with which the test substance is impregnated. If calcium sulphate is used, the temperature at any part
of a sphere of up to 3 cm . radius should not exceed $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. if the sulphate was only partially dehydrated, and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ if the anhydrous sulphate was used. The latter limit is set by the fact that anhydrous calcium sulphate exhibits a slow but distinct exothermic reaction of its own at 320 to $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (39) Glass would be more generally suitable for ordinary temperatures up to $500^{\circ}$ C. at which it begins to soften, but even this limit would be limited (to as low as $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) because of the need for vacuum impregnation which, for the mass of material involved, is difficult to carry out at temperatures exceeding $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glass was used for studies on zinc chloride and m-nitroaniline, and calcium sulphate for m-nitroaniline, salicylic acid, and adipic acid.

Now it has been shown that very few organic compounds with melting points in the desired range have been found amenable to the kind of treatment involved in these experiments. On the other hand, very few, if any, inorganic compounds can be found with melting points below $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., except those which are liquid or gas $\ngtr$ at ordinary temperatures. Those with melting points between 200 and $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. are usually highly hygroscopic or even deliquescent, and are, therefore, fifficult to handle. But they do possess the advantage, over organic compounds, of a low or even negligible vapour pressures over a very large range of temperatures, and, therefore,

TABLE II. Vapour Pressures of some compounds with melting points between 90 and $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
[Handbook of Chemistry and physics, 35 thed. (Chemical Rubber Publishing Company)].

| Compounds | M. P. | Temperatures ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {C }}$. at which $\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{x} \mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 mm | 10 mm | 40 mm | 100 mm | 400 mm | 760 mm |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Zinc chloride } \\ \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2} \end{array}$ | 265 | 428 | 508 | 566 | . 610 | 689 | 732 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stannic iodide } \\ & \mathrm{SnI}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 145 | solid | 176 | 219 | 254 | 316 | 348 |
| Salicylic acid | 159 | 114 | 146 | 172 | 193 | 231 | 256 |
| m-Nitroaniline | 112 | 119 | 168 | 204 | 232 | 280 | 306 decompos |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glutaric acid } \\ & \operatorname{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \end{aligned}$ | 98 | 156 | 196 | 226 | 247 | 284 | 303 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adipic Acid } \\ & \mathrm{HOOC}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{COOH}} \end{aligned}$ | 152 | 160 | 206 | 241 | 265 | 313 | 338 |
| Pimelic Acid $\mathrm{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 103 | 163.4 | 212 | 247 | 272 | 319 | 342 |
| Suberic Acid $\mathrm{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 142 | 173 | 220 | 255 | 279 | 323 | 346 |
| Azelaic Acid $\mathrm{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $10^{7}$ | 1.78 | 226 | 260 | 287 | 333 | $\begin{gathered} 357 \\ \text { decompos } \end{gathered}$ |
| Sebacic Acid $\mathrm{HOOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 135 | 183 | 232 | 268 | 295 | 333 | $\begin{gathered} 352 \\ \text { decompos } \end{gathered}$ |

would appear to be more suitable than organic compounds, provided they have a low electrical conductivity in the molten state and do not corrode the metallic holder or the thermocouple wires. Compounds of metals with a marked tendency to covalent bonds would fulfil these conditions.

A list is appended (Table II) of compounds which seem to meet all or most of the requirements. Experiments with zinc chloride, salicylic acid, m-nitroaniline and adipic acid are described in the next chapter. Examination of the series of saturated dicarboxylic acids seemsto suggest that they possess, more than other organic compounds, the properties desired in this kind of work, but this fact was discovered too late to be used in the present studies.


FIG. 16. VAPOUR PRESSURE CURVES.

## Chapter IV.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.

## IV. 1. Melting and Solidification:

The chief difficulty with experiments in which a large quantity of the material has to be melted is the incidence of extensive vaporisation and, in the case of organic compounds, decomposition. It has been shown that, in all cases, a low vapour pressure at temperature of the experiment is necessary, which also implies that the melting and boiling points of the substance must be separated by a large temperature interval. Figure 16 shows the temperature-vapour pressure curves for four substances whose melting and boiling points are sufficiently separated. The points $C$ on each curve represent (approximately) 'critical' conditions: the vapour pressures attained at the maximum temperatures likely to be used in a spherical specimen of about 6 cm . diameter, while points $B$ represent conditions at the melting point.
(a) Salicylic acid melts at $159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and boils at $256^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and a maximum temperature of $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. was found necessary for completion of fusion, at which its vapour pressure is about $270 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{Hg}$., an excessively large value.
(b) Nitroaniline with melting and boiling points of 112 and $285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. respectively has a vapour pressure of 31 mm . Hg. at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the maximum temperature; hence,
although vaporisation was significant, it was not sufficiently serious to upset the operations.
(c) Adipic acid, melting at $152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and boiling at $338^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, has a vapour pressure of about 25 mm . mg . at the maximum temperature of about $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., which renders it even more amenable to the type of treatment required. It is the middle member of the saturated di-carboxylic acid series of which sebacic acid is the kighest, and this property is shown to an increasing extent as the series is ascended, and, fortunately, the highest member of the series is also the cheapest and commonest.
(d) The only inorganic compound used was zinc chloride (m. p. $262^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.), which has a negligible vapour pressure, less than 1 min. Hg. at the highest temperature of $364^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for which measurements were taken. But, being highly deliquescent, it required special handling. After impregnation, the mass was dried at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 24 hours and subsequently kept at slightly above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Some of the records were obtained by taking measurements of the absolute values of temperature, using thermocouples of Type (b) or (d) (see Fig. 10), but the majority of measurements were obtained using the more sensitive differential arrangements (Types and c). In either case it was necessary to reduce the records so as to obtain both the absolute values and the differential values of temperature, in order to make a fuller




interpretation of the results possible. A reference table for chromel/alumel thermocouples was used. (40) IV. 1. 1. Differential thermal curves.

Figure 17 shows a set of typical differential thermal curves for various points in a sphere of sintered glass impregnated with zinc chloride, figure 18 another set for m-Nitroaniline with sintered glass as base, and figure 19 a third set with anhydrous calcium sulphate as base. It is impossible to make quantitative comparison as between one specimen and another, except in so far as the general character of the curves are concerned, owing to the difficulty of ensuring uniformity of impregnation for the two different base materials and even for the same material impregnated with the same or different substances. Hence, each specimen (inert base + test substance) was treated as a separate unit, and, where quantitative comparison of effects was desired all measurements for that effect were made on one unit. The difficulty with this procedure, however, was that successive measurements become progressively less reliable owing to gradual loss of material during each run, except inthe case of zinc chloride where vaporisation was negligible。

In figures $17-19$, (a) is a plot of the differential temperature ( $T_{S}-T_{r}$ ) against the temperature
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ of the inside of the calorimeter, which, in this case, is also taken as equal to the temperature at the surface of the specimen, and (b) is the plot of differential temperature against temperature $T_{r}$ at the point r cms. from the centre. These in conventional d.t.a. terminology, correspond respectively to differential thermal curves with temperature of inert material as reference, and differential thermal curves with temperature of specimen as reference temperature:


Fig. 20. Schematic representation of differential temperature curve.

## - 41 -

In the rest of this thesis, the first part $A B$ (Fig. 20) of each curve, a straight line parallel to the reference-temperature axis, is a base-line with respect to the unreacted substance and corresponds to the second term $-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K_{1}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\theta_{0}+k t-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K_{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see page 28) which represents the steady temperature of the steadily heated sphere at a point $r$ from its centre and where $K_{1}$ is the thermal diffusivity of the specimen (inert base + test substance) when the test substance is in its first phase. The part DE is another base-line with respect to the reacted material and corresponds to the temperature $-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K_{2}$ where $K_{2}$ is the thermal diffusivity of the specimen when the test substance is in its second phase, in this case liquid. The part $B C D$ represents the temperature at any time during the reaction due to the heat of transformation and is called the 'peak'. It is usual in conventianal d.t.a. to make this portion negative with respect to the base line for an endothermal reaction such as fusion: it is made positive here mainly for convenience. B and D correspond to the points of departure from, and return to steady state respectively, and the difference in reference temperatures $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ corresponding to these points is called the width of the 'peak'. The height of the peak is variously taken as CH where C is

the maximum of the 'peak' and CH is the corresponding ordinate, or CG, $G$ being on $A B$ produced or CF where $F$ is on ED produced. If there was no change in thermal conductivity, density and specific heat on tranformation, $C G=C F$. This is often (approximately) the case in crystalline inversion, but in melting and solidification, FG is normally quite large. The temperature $T_{c}$ is called the temperature at the peak.

It is at once clear from a study of Figures 17 to 19 that the following features are common to all the curves:-
(a) Position of the peak. When the differential temperatures are plotted as a function of the temperatures at the surface of the specimen (curves (a)) there is a progressive shift in the temperature $T_{C}$ corresponding to maximum of the peak as we move from the surface towards the centre. The extent of this shift from a fixed value, e.g. the transition temperature, varies with the rate of rise of the surface temperature. But when differential temperatures are plotted against the temperature $T_{r}$ of the corresponding point inside the sphere, the maxima of the peaks for all points in the sphere tend to occup at the same value of $T_{r} \geqslant T_{i}$ where $T_{i}$ is the transition tempeqature. The factors which determine how closely $T_{r}$ approaches $T_{i}$ cannot be examined at this stage owing to the difficulty of


FIG. 22. Maximum Differential Temperature FOR VARIOUS $r$.
(I) $m$-Niroaniline in sintered glass
(II) Zinc chloride " "
(III) $m$-Nitroanitive in calcium sulphate
carrying out more than a very few runs on the same specimen. One other factor which is essential to a full discussion of the position of the peak is the range, i.e. the quantities $T_{b}, T_{d}$ and $T_{d}-T_{b}$ of figure 20. But again, this can only be fully appreciated if the experiment could be carried on to steady conditions after the completion of the reaction to realize the portion DE of figure 20. This is not possible on the scale of the experiment and using organic compounds.
(d) Height of peak. This, as is to be expected, increases with decreasing distance from the centre (Figs. 17-19). The variation of peak height with the other parameters of the system, especially with rate of rise of surface temperature and with the concentration of the reacting material, will be discussed more fully in the next section (IV. 2), but Fig. 22 indicates the approximate relationship between peak height and distance $r$ from the centre for the zinc chloride and the two m-nitroaniline specimens whose d.t. curves are shown in Figs. 17 - 19.

## IV. 1. 2. Propagation of reaction: Heating Cirves

$$
\text { As has been stated before (Chapter } I, p .7 \text { ), }
$$ in order to make a more than empirical analysis of the results of $d . t$. records, it is necessary to determine the history, i.e. the space-time relation, of the surface of separation between the two phases of the

reacting material. In one case, namely, that of the semi-infinite medium, it has been possible, by theoretieal considerations alone, to obtainlsuch a relationship in an exact form $(41,42)$. For a finite medium of a given co-ordinate system, however, the relationship is not easy to deduce mathematically, but it is very often presumed to be of the same form as that originally deduced for the semi-infinite medium, namely,

$$
X=x^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
$$

where X is the distance moved by the interface in time $t$. the space-time relationshis
In order to determineLexperimentally it is necessary to know the time at which reaction may be presumed complete at a given point. According to Mackenzie (43) reaction may be presumed to be complete at any point when the differential temperature for that point is maximum, such maxima occurring at turning points or points of discontinimity in the differential temperature curve. But this is now known to be misleading, as was shown in the previous section: the temperature $T_{r}=T_{\mathcal{C}}$ (Fig. 20) may be greater than, equal to, or even less than $T_{i}$, (the transition temperature), and therefore it would be erroneous to attempt to follow the progress of the moving boundary by merely reading off the times at which the maxima occurs at various points in the medium. It has also been suggested ${ }^{(44)}$ that the reaction is completed at a time corresponding to some



FIG. RF. HEATING CURVES FOR M-NITROANIAINE

IN CALCIUM SULPHATE


FIG. 23. HEATING CURVES FOR ZnCl IN SINTERED GLASS
point "X" in the portion CD of Fig. 20. This will only be the case if the position of the maximum of the peak is below the transition temperature: otherwise the point in the differential thermal curve corresponding to completionof reaction may lie on the portion BC. The point on the differential thermal curve corresponding to completion of reaction is therefore indeterminate, although Vold ${ }^{(45)}$ suggests that the point of completion of reaction in stearic acid on heating could be determined graphically.

In this work, however, since only substances whose transition temperatures are known or could be easily determined have been used, a more direct method has been used to trace the progress of the transition interface. This involves the drawing of conventional heating curves (temperature vs. time) for various points in the medium for temperatures in the neighbourhood of the transition point, the absolute values of temperature being deduced from the known values of differential and reference temperatures if differential thermocouples were used.

A set of heating curves are shown in Figs.
23 - 25 for the same specimens used for illustration in the previous section, viz., zinc chloride in sintered glass, m-nitroaniline in sintered glass, and m-nitroaniline in anhydrous calcium sulphate. The curves show

the usual region of diminished slope, for endothermic reactions, the flatness of the region of endothermic arrest depending partly on the heating rate and partly on the concentration of the reacting material. It will be noticed that, in general, the flat portions of the heating curves do not occur at the transition temperature except, approximately, for points near the surface of the sphere, and the divergence of the temperature of endothermic arrest from transition temperature increases with distance from the sufface. Also, the endothermic arrest may occur below or above the transition temperature. This illustrates the usual difficulty of determining a transition temperature from heating curve.

It is instructive at this stage to compare the differential thermal curves (Figs. 17-19) with the heating curves (Figs. 23 - 25) for the same specimen. Fig 26 shows the corresponding curves for $r=0.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. shown in Figs. 19a and 25, the d.t. curve being lettered as in Fig. 20. As is to be expected
(i) the straight line portions $A B$ and JK of the two curves occur together, corresponding to pre-reaction steady state conditions, the equation for JK being given by

$$
\theta=k t-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K
$$

and that for $A B$ by

$$
\theta^{\prime}=-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K
$$

but plotted positive for convenience;
(ii) the flat region, KL, of the heating curve corresponds to increasing differential, $B C$, the maximum of the differential temperature, $C$, corresponding to the point $L$ at which recovery begins;
(iii) the transition isothermal does not pass through $r=0.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. until endothermic arrest has ceased: this corresponds to the case where reaction is completed at $\mathrm{r}=0.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. at a time corresponding to $X$ on the d.t. curve.

A possible explanation of the above observations is that if the heat-wave front which penetrates the sample during a transition travels fast enough, i.e., if the diffusivity $K$ of the medium is high, thermocouples near the centre show arrest before transition temperature is reached and shortly after reaction has commenced at the surface, and recovery may in fact set in before the moving transition isothermal surface passes the thermojunction. Hence, taking the transition temperature as the point of inflexion or the mid-point of the flat region of a heating curve would lead to an underestimation of the transition temperature. A hearly correct result, however, should be obtained for points near the surface of a large sample, or for very small samples heated at a very slow rate, provided the thermojunction has a low heat capacity. On the other hand a rapid rate of heating at the surface of a medium of low diffusivity would lead to a complete reversal of the above
phenomena and an overestimation of the transition temperature.

These difficulties do not arise in the present work since only substances of known transition temperature were used. But it is interesting to note that, even if the transition points are not known, they could still be determined from the d.t. curves. It has been pointed out by Schnaid ${ }^{(46)}$ that if the heating rate is sufficiently slow to produce a straight-line portion during an endothremic reaction, (e.g. the portion BC of Fig. 26), an extrapolation of this line to the base line $A B$ so as to meet the latter at $B^{\prime}$ say, will give the transition temperature, if the differential temperature is recorded against the reference temperature. Similarly, if the heating rate is adjusted to produce a vertical portion on the d.t. curve when differential temperature is plotted against actual temperature of specimen at that point, the vertical portion occurs at the transition temperature. Both of these methods have been found to yield fairly accurate values of the transition temperature: the first is illustrated in Fig. 26, and the second was found applicable to the temperature of inversion of potassium nitrate described in the next section. It may be added, however, that even for a rapid rate of heating, provided the heat of reaction per unit volume of the specimen is high enough, the second method still gives a fairly accurate value of the transition temperature.

## Table V: Propagation of Melting in a spherical specimen of radius 3.0 cm .

| Nature of Specimen | Expt. No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heating } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { deg.c/sec. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zinc chloride in sintered glass | 1 | . 090 | 0 | 102 | 222 | 324 | 352 | 357 |
| ```m-Nitroani- line in sintered glass``` | 1 | . 046 | 0 | 180 | 318 | 397 | 408 | 416 |
| ```m-Nitroani- line in calcmum sulphate (I)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | . 046 <br> . 041 <br> . 042 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 468 \\ & 204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 690 \\ & 648 \\ & 618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 948 \\ & 1008 \\ & 984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1080 \\ & 1158 \\ & 1176 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1080 \\ & 1158 \\ & 1176 \end{aligned}$ |
| ```m-Nitroani- line in calcium sulphate (II)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | .028 <br> . 032 <br> . 043 <br> . 043 <br> . 045 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 624 \\ & 258 \\ & 210 \\ & 156 \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | 966 <br> 594 <br> 666 <br> 366 <br> 297 | $\begin{aligned} & 1146 \\ & 1254 \\ & 894 \\ & 606 \\ & 426 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1230 \\ & 1410 \\ & 966 \\ & 840 \\ & 546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1248 \\ & 1410 \\ & 966 \\ & 840 \\ & 546 \end{aligned}$ |
| m-Nitroaniline in calcium sulphate (III) | 1 2 | . 036 <br> . 038 | 0 0 | 168 72 | 252 150 | 324 212 | $\begin{aligned} & 369 \\ & 249 \end{aligned}$ | 381 264 |



Fig. 27. Propagation Curves for fusion in Sphere of radius 3 cm .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \begin{array}{l}
\text { Zinc chloride in sintered glass } \\
\text { m-Nitroaniline in sintered glass } \\
\text { 3- }
\end{array} \text { " Calcium sulphate } \\
& \text { at various heating rates. }
\end{aligned}
$$

However, in the present study, the progress of the interface was determined directly from the heating curves. A straight line, parallel to the time-axis at the known transition temperature, $T_{i}$, cuts the heating curves at points $A, B, C, D, E, F(F i g .25)$. The abscissae of these points give the times at which the moving boundary passes the surfaces $r=3.0,2.4,1.8,1.2$, $0.6,0 \mathrm{~cm}$. respectively, the time for $r=3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$. being taken as zero. (Table V)。

## IV. 1. 3. Propagation of Reaction: (b) Equation of Propagation.

The first notable feature of Table $V$ is the time required for the completion of the reaction from the surface to the centre. It will be noticed in the case of the last three specimens for which figures are shown, that the time required is an inverse function of the heating rate. Curves are shown (Fig. 27) of the distance, $r_{1}$, from the centre, of the moving boundary as a function of time. Curve ( 1 ) is that of zinc chloride and (3) to (\$) are those for the second m-nitroaniline specimen in calcium sulphate and these show how strongly dependent on heating rate are the relative times required for the moving boundary to travel a given distance from the surface. One immediate consequence of the effect of the heating rate, therefore, it would seem is the
necessity of keeping this rate absolutely constant during the course of the reaction, since a change of heating rate is equivalent to the generation of an unknown heat wave-front superposed on that due to the thermal reaction in the medium, and this leads to irregularities in the propagation curve, as illustrated, for instance, by the crossmover of curves (2) and (3), and the low values of time near the surface in curve (7) of Fig. 27. The effect on the d.t. curves is the existence of spurious peaks.

With the qualification in mind, the shapes of the curves, as of those of Fig. 36, suggest that the rate of movement of the interface, $-d r_{1} / d \lambda$, (where $r_{1}$ is the position of the moving boundary at time $\lambda$ from the beginning of the reaction, (the negative sign indicating that the direction of increasing $\lambda$ corresponds to decreasing $\left(r_{1}\right)^{+}$, is an inverse function of the distance

In this and subsequent discussion, $t$ with or without subscript will be used to denote time measured from the commencement of the experiment and $\lambda$ to denote time measured from the commencement of reaction at the surface. The necessity for the double notation will be seen more clearly in Chapter $V$.
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from the centre, since the rates of movement, for different rates of heating, is small for small times, i.e. near the surface, and increases rapidly, becoming very large, as the centre of the sphere is approached. As mentioned on page 44, a relationship of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=k \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where X is the distance of the interface from the free surface at time $\lambda$ from the beginning of the reaction), parabolic in the space variable, first deduced analytically by Lightfoot and true for the semi-infinite medium and for a medium bounded by two parallel planes with constant surface temperature ${ }^{(30)}$, has been assumed by Danckwerts (36) and others ${ }^{(37)}$ to hold for media of other coordinate systems, particularly for cylindrical and spherical media, with constant surface temperature and with initial temperature $f(X)=$ constant. This assumption is justified and the equation

$$
x=k \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

will be accurately obeyed for these cases where solution of the heat equation is, or can be expressed, as, a function of $x t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ only. A large number of general solutions can be expressed, e.g. in terms of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E i\left(-r^{2} / 4 K t\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in cylindrical coordinates, and of


Table V(a). Propagation Rate of Melting in a spaerical specimen of radius 3.0 cm .

| Specimen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expt. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Mean values of $\beta$ for $r_{1}\left(\mathrm{~cm}_{\bullet}\right)=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3.0-2. 4 | 2. 4-1. | 1.8-1.2 | 1.2-0.5 | 0.6-0.0 | 3.000 .0 |
| Zinc chloride in sintered glass | 1 | . 032 | . 026 | . 023 | - 025 | . 025 | .026 $\pm .002$ |
| m. Nitroaniline in sintered glass | 1 | . 018 | . 018 | . 019 | . 021 | . 022 | .020 $\pm .001$ |
| m-Nitroaniline in calcium sulphate I | 2 | . 007 | . 009 | . 008 | . 008 | . 008 | .008 $\pm .001$ |
| -do- II | 1 | . 005 | . 006 | . 007 | . 007 | . 007 | .006 $\pm .001$ |
|  | 3 | . 011 | -009 | . 008 | . 009 | . 010 | .009 $\pm .009$ |
|  | 5 | . 023 | . 019 | . 018 | . 016 | . 017 | .019 $\pm .00:$ |
| -do- III | 1 | . 019 | . 023 | . 022 | . 023 | . 024 | .022 $\pm .002$ |



FIG. 29.

EUSION OF $m$-NITROANILINE:
$a^{2}-v_{1}^{2}$ vs. $\lambda$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((K t)^{\frac{1}{2}} / r\right) \exp \cdot\left(-r^{2} / 4 K t\right)-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(r / 2(K t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in spherical coordinates ${ }^{(30)}$.
The third term of equation (2) can be expressed as a sum of terms similarly to (6). Again, the steady state part of (2) satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r \frac{d x}{d t}=\text { constant } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the progress of a heat wave-front through the sphere. Now from equation (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \frac{d x}{d \lambda}=\frac{1}{2} k^{2}=\text { constant } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (7) and (8), it seems therefore reasonable to suggest, for the propagation of melting through a sphere with steadily rising surface temperature, an expression similar to (7), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r_{1} \frac{d r}{d \lambda}=\text { constant } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, on integration, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=\beta \lambda \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(since $r_{1}=$ a at $\lambda=0$ ), where $\beta$ is a constant which we may call, for descriptive purposes, the "propagation rate". It is the rate of change of $\left(a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$.

The mean values of $\beta$ over various ranges in the sphere for some of the experiments of Table $V$ are shown in Table $V a$, and the graphs of $\left(a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)$ against $\lambda$ for m-nitroaniline are shoum in Fig.29. Having regard to the general degree of control and accuracy
attainable in these experiments and with the reservations of pages $49-50$ and $57-58$, the popagation equation

$$
a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=\beta \lambda
$$

may therefore be regarded as infair agreement with the observation.
IV. 2. Crystalline Inversion of Potassium Nitrate:

Potassium nitrate is known to exist in two cystalline forms, rhombic and trigonal columnar, the transition from one to the other taking place reversibly at the fixed temperature of $129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The inversion is thus not of the rate-controlled type but of the stefan or latent-heat type (p.4). The inversion is also endothermic in the forward direction and the results therefore offer comparison with those of fusion experiments. The compound
itself is highly stable up to its melting point ( $334^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) The separation of the two temperatures offers a convenient range within which to carry operations to steady conditions on both sides of the transition temperature, in contrast to the experiments on fusion described in preceding sections. Since the two phases are solid it is subject to no special treatment, and all parameters can be fairly rigidly controlled.

The specimen was reduced to powder of particle $(0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$.)
size below an arbitrary maximum $h$ which was fixed for all experiments. It was hand-pressed into the specimenholder with thermocouples in place, to an arbitrary maximum density which was then kept fixed for all further experiments by using the same mass of material every time. Variation in concentration was easily achieved by dilution with powdered glass of roughly the same range of particle sizes, the desired concentration being obtained by removing a known amount of potassium nitrate and substituting an equivalent amount of glass, taking into account the difference in specific gravities and ease of packing. Measurements of temperature were taken for the surfaces $r=0.3,0.9,1.5,2.1,2.7 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## IV. 2. 1. Summary of general results:

The same remarks, on the general effects of heating rate and the distance, $r$, from the centre on the


Fig. 30. Heating Curves for Potassium Nitrate, Surface Temperature $=0.017 \mathrm{t}$ deg.C.

fig. 31. Differential temperature Curves
FOR Potassium Nitrate ( $k=0.017^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$./sec.).
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characteristics of the heating and differential temperature curves, made in respect of the fusion experiments, apply also, without modification, to the results on crystalline inversion of potassium nitrate at $129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It is also easier to study this reaction in greater detail since any concentration of the material from zero to 100 per cent. could be used.

Two pairs of heating and differential temperature eurves are shown in Figs. 30-33 for the same specimen of undiluted potassium nitrate heated at 0.017 and 0.041 deg.C. sec. ${ }^{-1}$ respectively. The main features of these curves, as of those of Figs. 17-19 and 23-25 are thus as follows:-
(a) the endothermic arrests in general commence, for points in the interior of the sphere, at temperatures below the transition temperature, the extent of the divergence increasing with rate of heating. Recovery, in general, may also set in at a given point before that point reaches transition temperature. This means that on the corresponding d.t. curve, maximum is reached before transition temperature is attained.
(b) the maxina on the differential temperature curve, when plotted as a function of surface temperature, show the usual progressive shift

as we move from the surface towards the centre, but tend to occur at the same temperature when differential temperature is plotted as a function of temperature at that point, the actual position being usually $L$ of the transition temperature;
(c) the propagation of crystalline inversion through the sphere obeys the same law as that for propagation of melting, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=\beta^{\lambda} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the purposes of discussion, the quantity $\beta$ in equation (10) will be called the rate of propagation of the reaction through the sphere. As this quantity plays an important role in the mathematical theory developed in the next chapter (Chapter V), some of its properties under varying conditions of experiment will be discussed, (among other things), in fuller detail in the sections that follow.

## IV. 2. 20 Effects of Heating Rate.

Whereas Figs. 31b and 33b illustrate the fact that the maxima on the curves of differential temperature as a function of temperature inside the sphere tend to occur, for all $r$, at or near the same temperature, Fig. 34 shows that this temperature lies further and further to the left of the transition temperature as heating rate is

Table VII. The effect of heating rate on the propagation of crystalline inversion through sphere of potassium nitrate.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{k} \\ \mathrm{deg} . \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ecs.) } \\ & \text { passes } \end{aligned}$ | at wh $r$ ( $t$ | $129$ | is | 0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sec. ${ }^{-1}$ | $r=0.3$ | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.7 | $\beta\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ |
| I | . 017 | 2904 | 2640 | 2118 | 1464 | 558 | $.305 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| II | . 021 | 2706 | 2394 | 1890 | 1314 | 684 | $.333 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| III | . 025 | 2544 | 2292 | 1812 | 1260 | 636 | . $350 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| IV | . 029 | 2436 | 2136 | 1722 | 1236 | 570 | . $395 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| V | . 033 | 2334 | 2034 | 1662 | 1206 | 564 | $.432 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| VI | . 037 | 2208 | 2016 | 1596 | 1056 | 504 | . $455 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| VII | . 041 | 2016 | 1800 | 1464 | 1056 | 540 | . $485 \times 10^{-2}$ |




time ( $10^{2}$ SECS.)
FIG. 36. CRYSTALLINE INVERSION OF KNO ${ }_{3}$.


FIG. 37. INVERSION OF $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}:\left(a^{2}-r_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ vs. $\lambda$.
increased. Table VI and Fig. 35 show the variation of peak height with rate of rise of surface temperature, the graphs indicating that, to a very close degree, the magnitude of the maximum differential temperature at all points in the sphere is proportional to the rate of heating.

The effect of the heating rate on the rate of propagation is shown in Table VII. The propagation curves are shown in Fig. 36. When the quantity $\left(a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ is plotted against time (Fig. 37), the graphs are again linear, confirming the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=\beta \lambda \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

but the value of $\beta$ is found to increase with increasing agreement, as shown by rates of rise of surface temperature. the curves of Fig. 37 , is not very good near the surface, i.e. for low values of $\left(a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)$, and this is shown also when $\beta=\left(a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right) / \lambda$ is calculated for various values of $r_{1}$ at intervals of 0.2 cm : the values of $\beta$ are found to be reasonably constant up to $r_{1} \fallingdotseq 2.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. when it shows a gradual drop, the relative drop increasing with heating rate. This is probably due to the fact that the reference temperature was not taken at the surface of the sphere but at a point inside the specimen holder about $\frac{1}{8}$ inch from the surface of the specimen (see II.1), and, since the time at which this point reaches transition temperature is taken as zero, an error


FIG. 38. INVERSION OF $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ : PROPAGATION RATE AS A FUNCTION OF HEATING RATE.
is introduced by the time lag between equivalent temperatures inside the specimen holder and the surface of the specimen, not so much as a result of the "relaxation time" for the specimen holder, which is only of the order of 0.5 second for $\frac{t}{8}$ inch of mild steel, but more as a result of thermal resistance between the steel walls and the specimen, especially since it was found expedient to coat the inside walls with graphite in vaseline. The equivalent conductivity of such a skin, only 0.1 mm . thick, is about 0.04, i.e. about one-third the thermal conductivity of the specimen block. Deviation from the parabolic relation occurs also near centre when the heating rate is high as is shown increasingly by the lines II - VIIin Fig. 37, where at a heating rate of $0.017^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. sec. ${ }^{-1}$, there is an almost exact fit (the line I). The significance of this will be discussed in the next chapter (Chapter V).
IV. 2. 3. Effect of the concentration of reacting material

Experiments designed to test the effect of concentration of reacting material on the height of the maxima of differential temperatures and on the propagation rate are far less conclusive than those in which only the heating rate is altered and the same specimen used throughout, owing to the difficulty of ensuring that all experi-

Table VIII. Effect of concentration of reacting material (C grams cm. ${ }^{-3}$ ) on the
maximum differential temperature

| $\frac{k}{\text { deg. C. } \sec ^{-1}}$ | $\mathrm{gm}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\left(T_{s}-T_{r}\right)_{\text {max }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $r=0.3$ | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.7 cm |
| $\stackrel{.033}{ \pm} .001$ | 1. 09 | 11.0 | 31.5 | 48.0 | 58.0 | 63.0 |
| $\stackrel{.033}{ \pm .001}$ | 0.93 | 8.7 | 25.9 | 40.8 | 53.2 | 60.0 |
| $\stackrel{.033}{ \pm .002}$ | 0.78 | 7.6 | 26.4 | 40.2 | 50.4 | 56.2 |
| $\cdot \stackrel{034}{ \pm .002}$ | 0.62 | 7.8 | 26.2 | 40.8 | 52. 3 | 60.4 |
| $\stackrel{.033}{ \pm .001}$ | 0.47 | 6.7 | 22.3 | 32.8 | $40 \cdot 7$ | 45.5 |

Table IX. Effect of concentration of reacting material on porpagation.

| C | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diffusivity } \\ \text { K x } 10^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Time (secs.) at which $129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isothermal passes $r$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $r=3.0$ | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 cm . |
| 1. 09 | 1.86 | 0 | 195 | 758 | 1050 | 1095 | 1155 |
| 0.93 | 1.81 | 0 | 228 | 600 | 972 | 1098 | 1152 |
| 0.78 | 1.80 | 0 | 98 | 510 | 723 | 1005 | 1073 |
| 0.62 | 1.70 | 0 | 218 | 758 | 1163 | 1485 | 1635 |
| 0.47 | 1. 76 | 0 | 150 | 585 | 885 | 1050 | 1290 |


mental conditions, most especially the condition of packing of the test material, are exactly reproduced as between one specimen and another.

The results for one such series of experiments, in which different samples containing varying amounts of powdered glass as diluent to obtain different distributions (grams per $\mathrm{cm}_{0}{ }^{3}$ ) of potassium nitrate, are shown in Tables VIII and IX and Figs. 39 and 40. Table VIII shows that the maximum of the differential temperature increased with concentration of reacting material for a given rate of rise of surface temperature, and, although, within the range of concentrations used, the dependence is nearly linear, it must be assumed that at zero concentration, the differential temperature, maximum or dtherwise, must be zero, so that the dependence would be as shown in Fig. 39. Comparison with the results for relationship between heating rate and maximum differential temperature also shows that the increase with concentration is not so well marked as the increase with heating rate. This again underlines the importance of temperature control in differential thermal analysis, as is illustrated by the anomalous result for the concentration $0.62 \mathrm{gm} . \mathrm{cm}_{.^{-3}}{ }^{-3}$, where an error of about $20 \%$ in the maximum arises from a deviation of only $3 \%$ in the heating rate. Such anomalies are more likely to occur at $r=\frac{1}{2} a$ and least likely at $r=0$ because, as will be ghown later, the boundary

( $s w_{0}$ ) ,
conditions demand that the contribution of the thermal effects to total temperature should be zero at $r=a$, and the solution of the problem is such as to make this contribution also tend to infinity (with certain limitations) at the centre, whereas at a point midway between the surface and the centre, relative errors are bound to become large.

No valid conclusions are possible on the dependence of propagation rate on concentration, as Table IX and Fig. 40 show. The times for completion of reaction throughout the sphere is of the same order of magnitude, viz., about 1200 seconds, for all concentrations used, except one, the $0.62 \mathrm{gm} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$ concentration, whose results have been shown to be anomalous. A glance at the second column of that table, however, would seem to suggest that the main controlling factor on propagation rate is the thermometric conductivity of the medium, which in the case of the specimen referred to, is much lower thanthe average for the other specimens, which is $1.81 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ : This is further illustrated by the fact that two specimens of pure test material heated at equal rates of $0.034^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$ were found to require 1045 and 2410 seconds respectively for completion of reaction at $r=0$, thus giving for the propagation rates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =a^{2} / \lambda \max \text { (approximately) } \\
& =.78 \times 10^{-2} \text { and } .37 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. These were found to possess thermometric
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conductivities.

$$
\mathrm{K}=1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text { and } 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}
$$ respectively.

Thus in Table IX, the effect of concentration reacting material on propagation rate seems to be negligible compared with the effect of diffusiwity of the medium in the range of concentration used. It is essential, therefore, if the effect of concentration alone is to be investigated, that the specimens used and experimental conditions must be identical in all other respects and this, as we have seen, is difficult to achieve, especially when only manual handling and control is used throughout. This goes, in part, to explain some of the inconsistencies of results reported by different workers for the same or similar naturally occurring substances.
IV. 2. 4. Notes on results:

The similarity of the general results for the fusion of zinc chloride and m-nitroaniline on the one hand, and for the crystalline inversion of potassium nitrate on the other, tends to justify the assumption that the two types of reaction are subject to similar treatment and analysis. The main points of these results are:
(a) endothermic arrests occur in the neighbourhood of the transition temperature, usually hefore
the medium has reached this temperature at the point where measurement is taken, the divergence between the temperature of maximum arrest increasing with rate of rise of temperature and with distance from the surface of the specimen; on differential temperature.curves, plotted against temperature of specimen, this corresponds to occurrence of maximum of peaks at temperatures below transition temperature;
(b) the magnitude of the maximum differential temperature (height of peak) increased with rate of rise of surface temperature and concentration of reacting material; the relationship with concentration is nearly linear over limited ranges of concentration;
(c) the propagation of the reaction obeys the law

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=\beta \lambda \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

a relation which is analogous to that for a semi-infinite reacting medium, with constant surface temperature, viz.,

$$
x^{2}=\beta \lambda
$$

and also to that for the progress of an isothermal through a sphere heated at the rate k and in which no reaction is taking place, i.e. $a^{2}-r^{2}=6 K t$
(d) the magnitude of the constant $\beta$ in equation (1ゆ)
increases linearly with rate of rise of
surface temperature, and there are indications that its value increases with the thermometric conductivity of the medium, but no general conclusions on the effect of concentration or reacting materiaz.

An attempt will be made to explain some of these results in the next chapter.

## Chapter V.

## THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF THE STEFAN PROBLEM.

In this chapter an attempt is made to explain some of the properties of the differential temperature in terms of heat flow within the sphere, using known solutions of the heat equation. The theory given here also provides an easy but quite effective method for the calculation of the heat of reaction from the differential temperature curve. Methods of calculation based on an empirical relationship between the concentration of reacting material, on the one hand, and the area bounded by the differential temperature curve and the axes of coordinates on the other, have been studied in detail by various workers, notably Speil ${ }^{(48)}$, Vold ${ }^{(49)}$, Kerr, Kulp and Hamilton (50). Discrepancies have been found in the calculated results owing partly to poor reproducibility of experimental technique and apparatus and partly to the error of taking the whole of the area under the peak as proportional to the total heat liberated, instead of that portion of the area bounded by the ordinate corresponding to completion of reaction at the centre (See Fig. 20). This error has been indicated by Tsang ${ }^{(51)}$ and is discussed further in this chapter.
$\frac{\text { V. 1. Symmetrical radial flow with temperature }}{\underline{\theta} \equiv \mathrm{kt} \text { at } \mathrm{r} \equiv \mathrm{a}}$
In the absence of heat generation or absorption
within the medium, the temperature, $\theta(r, t)$, in the sphere $0<r \leqslant a$ with initial uniform temperature $\theta_{0}$ and surface temperature $k t$ at $t \geqslant$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
O(r, t)= & \theta_{0}+k t-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K \\
& -\left(2 k a^{3} / k \pi^{3} r\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} n^{-3} \sin (n \pi r / a) \\
& x \exp \cdot\left(K n^{2} \pi^{2} t / a^{2}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which after a sufficient time $t$ depending on $K$, the thermometric conductivity of the medium, and $a$, the radius of the sphere, becomes, for all practical purposes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(r, t)=\theta_{0}+k t-k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In differential thermal analysis, the temperature recorded is

$$
\theta(a, t)-\theta(r, t),
$$

so that, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\text {diff. }}=k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the case where the only thermal effect is a rise in temperature. For this to be true, it must be assumed that the thermal conductivity, density and specific heat of the medium remain constant throughout the range of temperatures of the experiment. Thus, after a sufficient time $t$, the differential temperature curve as a function of time becomes sensibly constant, the steady state plot of differential temperature against time (or surface temperature, since this is proportional to time) becomes a straight line parallel to the t-axis. In practice, this is generally the case, i.e. the instruments of d.t.a。
are not usually sensitive enough to show changes in $K$, so that the assumption of the constancy of physical constants mentioned earlier on are justifiable.
V. 2. Inclusion of the source.

The solution of the heat equation for problems with radial symmetry and for sources within the medium must, to satisfy the experimental conditions, vanish at $r=$ a. Such a solution can be expressed in the form $\theta_{\text {inst }}=\frac{Q}{2 \pi a r r_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2} t / a^{2}\right) \times$
$\sin (n \pi r / a) \sin \left(n \pi r_{1} / a\right) \ldots$
which is the temperature at any time $t$ at $r$ due to an instantaneous spherical source of strength $Q$ at $t=0$ and situated at $r_{1}$, the strength of a spherical source being defined as $Q$ when a quantity of heat $Q \rho c$ is liberalted at the surface of the sphere ${ }^{(52)}$.

The problem of the moving source may be solved by taking the sum-over-all effect of a series of such sources integrated between the appropriate limits of time $\lambda$, the position $r_{1}$ of the source at any time $\lambda$ being a function of $\lambda$. This procedure is due to Lightfoot ${ }^{(53)}$.

$$
\text { Thus, if the source moves a distance }-d r_{1} \text { in }
$$

time $d$, the heat liberated is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t r}=-\left(4 \pi r_{1}^{2}\right)\left(d r_{1} / d \lambda\right) d \lambda \rho L \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}=\begin{aligned}
\text { concentration of reacting material } \\
\text { in gms.cm } \\
\\
-3
\end{aligned} \\
& \mathbf{c}=\text { specific heat of medium }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{L}=$ "latent heat" of transformation of reacting material, cal. gm. ${ }^{-1}$

This constitutes an instantaneous spherical source of strength Q given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=-\left(4 \pi r_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{c}\right)\left(d r_{1} / \partial \lambda\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the instantaneous temperature at $r$ at time $t>\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ due to this source is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\theta_{\text {inst. }}=-\left(2 L r_{1} / a c r\right)\left(d r_{1} / d \lambda\right) d \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right) \\
x \sin (n \pi r / a) \sin \left(n \pi r_{1} / a\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

Hence the temperature at $r$ at time $t_{2}$ due to all the heat liberated from the commencement of reaction at the surface at time $t_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\theta=-(2 L / a c r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin \left(n \pi r / a \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 2 r_{1}\left(d r_{1} / \alpha \lambda\right) \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right)\right. \\
x \sin \left(n \pi r_{1} / a\right) d \lambda \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { (17) } \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

Now it has been shown (IV. 1.3 and IV. 2. 1)
that, for the moving source, $r_{1}$ is given, to a good degree of approximation, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{2}=a^{2}-\beta \lambda \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in (17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{q}=(L \beta / a c r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & \sin (n \pi r / a) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \exp \cdot\left(-\mathrm{Kn}^{2} \pi^{2}\left(t_{2}-\lambda\right) / a^{2}\right) \\
& x \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution to the temperature at $r$ by the moving boundary between the two phases of the reacting substance is thus given by equation (18), in which times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are measured from the commencement of the experiment,
$t_{1}$ being the time at which reaction starts at the surface and $t_{2}$ the time at which measurement is taken, $t_{2}>t_{1}$. This contribution is superimposed on the differential temperature

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) / 6 K-\theta_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to supply of heat from outside. Since this is independent of time, no confusion arises by making $t_{1}=0$ and $t_{2}=t$ in equation (18), where $t$ is now time measured from commencement of reaction at the surface. This convention will be adhered to in all that follows, as no further interest attaches tothe surface temperature kt. The total differential temperature is thus the sum of (12) and (18).
V.3. Properties of the differential temperature. These depend entirely on the expression in equation (18) since the term due to outside source remains constant and may therefore be ignored. In d.t.a. it serves as the base-line. Examination of equation (18) suggests at once that the contribution of the moving source to the differential temperature depends on
(a) the "latent heat" of transformation, L cals.gm. ${ }^{-1}$, of the reacting substance, but since the quantity
$\beta$ which appears under the sign of integration as well as a factor is also known to depend on $L$, although this has not been conclusively shown by these experiments, the relation between L and
$\theta$ cannot be exactly linear: (see Fig. 39);
(b) the quantity, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, which has been called the "propagation rate" for the reaction, and since this has been shown to vary linearly with heating rate (Fig. 38 ), the dependence of the differential temperature on heating rate thus becomes obvious, although, again, the relationship depends on the magnitude of the integral in equation (18) for various values of $\beta$;
(c) the distance, $r$ from the centre, at which measurement is taken: the relationship with $1 / r$ is also in this case not exactly linear owing to the presence of the term $\sin (n \pi r / a)$ under the summation sign.

Equation (18) shows that if $\lambda$ is limited, the differential temperature is purely transient. The equation may be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2} t / a^{2}\right) \sin (n \pi r / a)\right. \\
& \left.\frac{L \beta}{a c r} \int_{0}^{t} \exp \cdot\left(K n^{2} \pi^{2} \lambda / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda\right\} . \cdot(18 a)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second factor represents a growth factor and remains predominant as long as reaction is taking place, although the rate of growth diminishes exponentially, being controlled by the first term whose effect depends on the magnitude of $K$ and $a$. But after a time $t=a^{2} / \beta$, reaction ceases and the growth factor remains constant
at a value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{a^{2} / \beta} \exp \cdot\left(K n^{2} \pi^{2} \lambda / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the decay factor becomes predominant, so that the contribution of the reaction to the differential temperature becomes, for all practical purposes, zero after a time $t$ depending on the thermometric conductivity $K$ of the reaction product and the size of the specimen The time of return to base-line as well as the shift in the baseline away from the t-axis thus depends largely on $K$ and $a$, but since, in general, the value of $K$ for the reaction products may differ very much from that for the reactants, the shift in base-line may be quite appreis cable. This/generally the case where one of the phases is solid and the other liquid, and this has caused a certain amount of difficulty in evaluation of peak area. But since it is now clear that only a portion of the area should be taken into account, the second baseline should not enter into consideration.

$$
\text { Thus, for } t<a^{2} / \beta \text {, we have }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{1}= & (L \beta / a c r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\sin (n \pi r / a) \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2} t / a^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{t} \exp \cdot\left(k n^{2} \pi^{2} \lambda / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda\right\} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $t>a^{2} / \beta$, if $t_{1}=\lambda_{\max }=a^{2} / \beta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{2}= & (L \beta / a c r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \cdot\left(-\mathrm{Kn}^{2} \pi^{2}\left(t-t_{1}\right) / a^{2}\right) \sin (n \pi r / a) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \exp \cdot\left(\mathrm{Kn}^{2} \pi^{2}\left(t_{1}-\lambda\right) / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

sincé all the heat generated up to $t>a^{2} / \beta$ is the heat generated up to $t_{1}=a^{2} / \beta$. It may be noted from equation (21) that the time $\left(t-t_{1}\right)$ of return to base-line depends entirely on the term

$$
\left.\exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

and hence is an invariant for any given system, i.e. for any given value of $K$ and $a$, irrespective of the maximum differential temperature reached. This is why the area represented by equation (21) should not be taken into account in evaluation of heat of reaction.

## V. 4. Evaluation of the differential temperature.

 If the relationship between $r_{1}$ and $\lambda$ obeys a fractional power of the type of equation (10), the evaluation of the integral$$
\int_{0}^{t} \exp \cdot\left(-\operatorname{kn}^{2} \pi^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda
$$

becomes one of great difficulty. This militates to some extent against a more general discussion of the behaviour of the differential temperature. But an approximate value for particular values of $\beta$ and $K$ for a given size of specimen is easily and quickly obtained by numerical methods. But care is required in this respect since

TABLE X.
$f(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \cdot\left(-\operatorname{Kn}^{2} n^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right) \sin (n \pi t / a) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda$, for $r / a=0.5$ and $t \leq a^{2} / \beta$

| $\beta / a^{2}=$ | $0.04 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.08 \times 10^{-2}$ |  | $0.20 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}^{2}{ }^{K}=$ | $.18 \times 10^{-2} .16 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.18 \times 10^{-2} \cdot 16 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.18 \times 10^{-2} .16 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |
| 0.2 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 9.4 | 8.2 | -4.2 |
| 0.4 | 146.0 | 144.0 | 62.5 | 64.5 | 16.0 |
| 0.6 | 323.0 | 342.0 | 217.0 | 222.0 | 81.2 |
| 0.8 | 374.5 | 452.5 | 378.0 | 405.0 | 243.4 |
| 1.0 | 460.0 | 515.0 | 415.0 | 450.0 | 282.0 |

## TABLE Xa。

$$
\theta=(L \beta / a c r) f(\lambda)
$$

with $L=10.5$ cal. $\mathrm{gm}^{-1}$
$c=0.214 \mathrm{cal} \cdot \operatorname{deg} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{-1}\{$ for potassium nitrate

| $\beta / a^{2}=$ | $0.04 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.08 \times 10^{-2}$ |  | $0.20 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta t / a^{2} K^{K}=.18 \times 10^{-2} .16 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.18 \times 10^{-2} .16 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.18 \times 10^{-2} .16 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | -0.9 | -0.7 |
| 0.4 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| 0.6 | 14.1 | 14.9 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 16.6 |
| 0.8 | 16.3 | 19.7 | 33.9 | 35.3 | 53.0 | 55.4 |
| 1.0 | 17.9 | 22.5 | 36.1 | 39.4 | 61.5 | 75.0 |

## TABLE XI.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda)= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(t-t_{1}\right) / a^{2}\right) \sin (n \pi r / a) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \exp \cdot\left(K n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(t_{1}-\lambda\right) / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda \\
& \text { for } r / a=0.5, \quad t \geqslant t_{1}=a^{2} / \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{K}=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | $\beta / \mathrm{a}^{2}=$ | $.04 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.08 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.04 \times 10^{-2} .08 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.2 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 500 | 174.0 | 148.0 | 90.0 | 210.0 | 174.0 | 102.0 |
| 1000 | 65.0 | 55.0 | 33.5 | 89.0 | 73.0 | 43.0 |
| 1500 | 24.2 | 20.5 | 12.5 | 36.6 | 30.0 | 17.8 |
| 2000 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 15.2 | 12.6 | 7.4 |
| 2500 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 3.2 |
| 3000 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| 3500 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 4000 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

TABLE XII.

$$
\theta=(L \beta / a c r) f(\lambda)
$$
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THEORETICAL D.T. CURVES: $K=1.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} . \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$
FIG. 4 I.
the numerical value of the function becomes anomalous at $\quad \lambda=t, \quad \lambda=0$
and at $\lambda=a^{2} / \beta$ corresponding to $r_{1}=0$.
This limits rather severely the choice of particular methods since most methods of numerical integration require the values of $f(\lambda)$ at these points. (See Appendix).

The values of
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right) \sin (n \pi r / a) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda$
have been evaluated for $r / a=0.5$ and for two values of $K$ and three values of $\beta$, the values of $K$ and $\beta$ being those within the range of the experiments in the preceding pages. Table $X$ shows the values of the integral and the corresponding temperatures for $t \leqslant a^{2} / \beta$, i.e. during the course of the reaction, and Table $x 1$ the values for $t>a^{2} / \beta$, $i . e$. during recovery. The values of differential temperature from Tables Xa and XIa are plotted together in Figs. 41 and 42 for the two values of thermometric conductivity respectively. The values of differential temperature were obtained by making

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=10.5 \text { cals. } \mathrm{gm}_{\bullet}^{-1} \\
& c=0.215 \text { cals. } \mathrm{gm}_{0^{-1}}^{-1} \text { deg.c. } .^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is some doubt as to the value of $L$ for potassium nitrate transformation at $129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. : the value 12.8 cals. gm. ${ }^{-1}$ is sometimes used, and this would raise the temperatures by about 22 per cent. Also the value of $c$ used is the known value at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The graphs therefore are only
approximate representation of ideal differential thermal curves.

## IV. 5. Discussion

For purposes of comparison, two experimental curves are plotted on Fig. 41. The basis for this is taken from Fig. 38 in which the two values $0.04 \times 10^{-2}$ and $0.08 \times 10^{-2}$ for $\beta / a^{2}$ would correspond to heating rates of 0.218 and 0.436 deg.C. per second respectively. The curves IV and $V$ shown are those for a sample of pure potassium nitrate heated at 0.21 and 0.41 deg. C. per second respectively, the mean value of the thermometric conductivity of the specimen being found to be $0.154 \times 10^{-2}$, so that the parameters of the experiment are comparable to the hypothetical values used to obtain curves II and III respectively. The base-line for the experimental curves are taken as that value of the differential temperature for $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}=0.5$ at the commencement of reaction at the surface. The density of packing of the material was $1.24 \mathrm{gm} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$ as against the mean density of potassium nitrate itself of $1.42 \mathrm{gm} \cdot \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-3}$.

In view, therefore, of previous remarks on the reproducibility of experimental conditions and the difficulty of realizing the ideal in practice, the results may be regarded as in fairly good agreement with the theory outlined in the previous two sections, in so far as the
relative magnitudes of maximum differential temperature, time to maximum, time from maximum to complete recovery, and general shapes of curves are concerned. The curve I represents an extremepof very high heating rate not employed in the experiments here described. But in other respects the agreement is not so good, and the following qualifications must be made:
(a) Although the thevretical and experimental curves represent experimental conditions which are only approximately similar the discrepancy in times to reach maximum differential temperature are serious ones. But, as mentioned before, the thoretical curves represent only the ideal conditions in which reaction is assumed to be . completed in the centre when $t=a^{2} / \beta$. We have seen, however, that this is usually only the case when limiting conditions of very low heating rates are employed, otherwise completion of reaction usually corresponds to a point on the recovery side of the $d_{0} t$. curve, and not to the maximum of the peak. In order to account for this, consideration must be given to the fact that the ideal propagation law applies accurately onlyat low heating rates, and, as we have seen (IV.2.2), deviation occurs at $r \rightarrow 0$ to an increasing extent as the heating rate is increased. The departure of the temperature or time at which maximum is reached on the experimental curve from that on the experimental curve may be taken, therefore,
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deviation of the law
as a measure of the propagation $L$ of the reaction from equation (10), as illustrated by Fig. 43.


Fig. 43.
We should therefore expect increasing deviation, for a given heating rate, for media of increasing thermometric conductivities K , since the rate of decay of the differential temperature depends on the quantity $\exp \left(-\mathrm{Kn}^{2} \pi^{2} t / a^{2}\right)$.
(b) The theory does not take into account the changes in $K$ during the course of heating. This change is primarily due to expansion and hence, change in density, so that $K$ increases. In the case of solid-liquid transitions there is also, apart from change in density, a change in thermal conductivity. This shows up in experimental
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d.t. curves as a shift in ba末e-line away from the t-axis.


## Chapter VI.

## CONCLUSION.

Although the method of differential thermal analysis presents a convenient and relatively simple means of studying the behaviour of substances on heating it is evident from the foregoing that correlation of results as between one investigator and another and as between one set of equipment and another, is extremely difficult, owing to the large number of variable parameters of any given system. - In order, therefore, to make the method absolute, two alternatives may be suggested:

1. Calibration of apparatus: This is being increasingly done, using substances whose thermal constants are accurately known, especially the temperature and heat of transition. The usual standard substance is quartz with a transition temperature at $575^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. There are two objections to this: (a) the use of a substance which undergoes a Stefan or "latent heat" type of reaction to standardize equipment for other reactions is bound to lead to error unless a unified theory can be developed for both types; (b) as has been shown in Chapter IV, serious error results in correlation unless the important parameter $K$, the thermometric conductivity, is made the same for the standard substance, the reference material, and the test substance.
2. Internal Correlation: The approximate theory
outlined in Chapter $V$ makes it possible to determine the required thermal constants from a single experiment. It has been shown that the differential temperature is related to the function $(f(\lambda)$ of tables $X$ and $X I$ by

$$
\theta=\frac{L \beta}{a d r} f(\lambda)
$$

All the constants of this equation can be determined from a single experiment as follows:
(a) the quantity $\beta$ may be determined by assuming the approximate relationship

$$
a^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=\beta \lambda \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot(10)
$$

in the case of a spherical model;
(b) the parameter $K$ which occurs in the expression for $f(\lambda)$ can be determined from the final steady differential temperature from the relation

$$
\theta=\frac{k\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{6 k}
$$

for a spherical model;
(c) the point $X$ in Fig. 20 corresponding to completion of reaction can be determined also from equation (10), and from this that portion of the area required to estimate the total heat liberated may be found.

From the total heat liberated, $H_{t r}$, and the heat of transition per gram, $L$, the amount of reacting material present may be evaluated.

Limitations: Apart from experimental conditions
imposed by the inaccuracy of equation (10) at high heating rates, the expression for differential temperature given/Chapter $V$ creates serious difficulties at $r=0$ if numerical methods are used. In the absence of a closed expression for the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda
$$

this must remain a serious limitation.
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## APPENDIX

## FORMULA FOR INTEGRATION

The formula used to compute the values in
Tables $X$ and XI was

$$
\int_{0}^{t} f(\lambda) d \lambda=\frac{t}{4}\left(f\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+f\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+f\left(\lambda_{3}\right)+f\left(\lambda_{4}\right)\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=0.1 t$

$$
\lambda_{2}=0.4 t
$$

$$
\lambda_{3}=0.6 t
$$

$$
\lambda_{4}=0.9 t
$$

This formula has been found to be the most
suitable since it does not involve the difficulties associated with the anomalies of $f(0)$ and $f(t)$. The errors are negligible for low values of $\beta$ in equation $18 a$, only five terms of the series being found sufficient to make errors less then 0.001 in the value of the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \exp \cdot\left(-K n^{2} \pi^{2}(t-\lambda) / a^{2}\right) \sin n \pi\left(1-\beta \lambda / a^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda
$$

But, for high values of $\beta$, the formula is less accurate unless up to seven or even mine terms of the series are taken.
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