## MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF THE HUMAN

# MALARIA PARASITE, PLASMODIUM FALCIPARUM

### GORDON LANGSLEY

A Thesis presented for the Degree of

### Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Molecular Biology University of Edinburgh

October 1983



#### CONTENTS

		Page
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
Chapter 2	Materials and Methods	40
Chapter 3	Strain variation characterised by the organisation of a class of repetitive DNA	54
<u>Chapter 4</u>	Isolation and characterisation of rDNA fragments from the genome of	
	Plasmodium falciparum	.66
Chapter 5	Isolation of putative actin genes from genomic and cDNA libraries of	
	Plasmodium falciparum	87
Chapter 6	General Discussion	100
Bibliography	··· ·· ·· <u>·</u> ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	105

#### ABSTRACT

The genomic organisation of the human malaria parasite <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> has been investigated by the analyses of 3 classes of sequence. The distribution of a repetitive sequence was compared between several clones of a single Thai isolate. The hybridisation patterns displayed to the probed were characteristic of each clone, correlated exactly with all the other parameters of variation, and indicates a high frequency of recombination in <u>P.falciparum</u>. The exception was the invarient pattern presented on <u>EcoRl</u> digestion, which generated an 11 kb fragment common to all clones. This fragment is suggestive of a transposable element.

A second class of sequence examined was the rRNA genes. These have been demonstrated to be present in approximately 8 copies per haploid genome. This is an unusual low copy number for a eukaryotic organism. The rRNA genes appear to be organised into two classes of unlinked transcription units, and each contains an '18S', '5.8S' and '28S' rRNA gene. The '5.8S' rRNA gene is located between the '18S' and '28S' rRNA genes in a 0.5 kb stretch of A+T rich DNA. The '28S' rRNA gene in at least one of the transcription units is interrupted by approximately 5 kb of non-coding sequence.

Finally, sequences homologous to <u>Dictyostelium discoideum</u> actin DNA have been identified in the genome. The hybridisation patterns to two different <u>D.discoideum</u> actin probes suggests that there may be as many as 5 actin genes, and that 3 of them are clustered on an 11 kb piece of genomic DNA. This DNA has been cloned in phage  $\lambda$ . A 2.8 kb mRNA transcript homologous to <u>D.discoideum</u> actin has been identified and a cDNA clone isolated. This clone contains a 3.5 kb insert homologous to the <u>D.discoideum</u> actin cDNA. I hereby declare that I alone have composed this Thesis, and that, except where stated, the work presented within is my own.

October 1983

# This Thesis is dedicated to my

. .

Mother

(God bless you Ma)

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank John Scaife for being much more than an excellent supervisor, rather a good friend and mentor. The whole Scaife group for providing a congenial and convivial working environment. Particularly I would like to thank my friends Mike Goman, Roger Hall and Arve Osland, for being so supportive throughout. John Hyde for answering my many questions whilst patiently putting up with my stream of Malaprops, and Neil Bone for showing me how to grow phage, and for never letting me forget that I am English. I'm indebted to David Walliker and Albert Cornelissen for teaching me parasitology and to Pamela Beattie for the electron micrographs. Thanks also to Kathie for the typing, Alan and Frank for the photographs, and especially Keith Derbyshire for the comradeship during the writing up. Finally, but most importantly, to Sarah, without whom I would not have made it to this point.

## ABBREVIATIONS

a.a.	amino acid	
A+T	Adenosine plus Thymidine	
bp	base pair	
kb -	kilo base pairs	
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid	
RNA	ribonucleic acid	
IVS	intervening sequence	
ITS	internal transcribed spacer	
ETS	external transcribed spacer	
NTS	non-transcribed spacer .	
SSC	standard saline citrate	
S	Svedberg, a sedimentation coefficient	
s.u.	sub-unit	

Some of this work has already been published, or accepted for publication.

Langsley, G. and Goman, M. (1982) 'Initial characterisation of a genomic library of <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u>, Parasitology, <u>85</u>, XXXVII Goman, M., Langsley, G., Hyde, J. E., Yankovsky, N. K., Zolg, J. W. and Scaife, J. G. (1982). 'The establishment of genomic DNA libraries for the human malaria parasite <u>P.falciparum</u> and identification of individual clones by hybridisation' Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, <u>5</u>, 391-400.

Cornelissen, A. W. C. A., Langsley, G. and Walliker, D. (1983)
'Ribosomal DNA sequences detected in Malaria parasites by cytochemical
hybridisation' Biology of the Cell (in press)
Bone, N., Gibson, T., Goman, M., Hyde, J.E., Langsley, G.,
Scaife, J. G., Walliker, D., Yankovsky, N. K. and Zolg, J. W. (1983)
'Investigation of the DNA of human malaria parasite <u>P.falciparum</u>
by <u>in vitro</u> cloning in phage lambda' in 'The Molecular Biology of
Parasites' eds. J. Guardida, L. Luzzatto, W. Trager. Raven Press
New York.

Hyde, J. E., Goman, M., Hall, R., Osland, A., Hope, I. A., Langsley, G., Zolg, W. and Scaife, J. G. (1983). 'Messenger RNA from the human malaria parasite <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u>; characterisation, translation studies and construction of a cDNA library'. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology (in press).

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

1.1 Historical perspective

1.2 Life-cycle of Plasmodium falciparum

1.3 Control of malaria

1.3a Anti-vector controls

1.3b Anti-parasite controls

1.4 Development of a vaccine

1.5 Variation in clones of Plasmodia

1.6 Ribosomal RNA gene organization

1.7 Actin gene organisation

1.8 Purpose of this thesis

#### 1.1 Historical perspective

Malaria is one of man's oldest diseases, yet today it still presents a threat to the health of some 2,000 million people, and is responsible for the death of about 2 million people annually. The majority of these deaths occur in Africa, where in the topics malaria is holoendemic and is particularly a threat to the young, killing 1 million children every year. A few years ago the battle against malaria seemed to have been won, but now, despite vigorous anti-malaria campaigns the disease is resurgent and malaria is as much a problem as it ever was (World Health Statistics Annual).

The first noted observations of the relationship between intermittent fevers and marshy areas are attributed to Hipprocates in the 5th century, B.C., but it was not until the 18th century that these fevers took on the Italian name mal'aria; a recognition that they were connected to the foul air of marshes. A treatment for malaria existed long before the cause of the fever was known. At the beginning of the 17th century Jesuit missionaries in Peru noticed that the Indians used a tree bark to treat fevers. This bark, which became known as 'Jesuit's powder', was shown to contain quinine in 1820. However, it was not until 1880 that Laveran, a French Army surgeon in Algeria, observed and described malaria parasites in the red blood cells of In 1894 Patrick Manson suggested that Malaria was transmitted man. by mosquitos, and in 1897 Ronald Ross found a developing parasite in an Anopholean mosquito, linking the mosquito to Malaria (for this Ross in 1902 received the Nobel Prize). Demonstration that the mosquito was the vector for malaria was obtained in 1900 by Manson. He, together with Italian colleagues, transmitted malaria to people in London with

infective mosquitos sent from Italy.

Antimalarial measures in the early part of the 20th century centred on the use of quinine, and anti-mosquito larvae controls. However German losses to malaria in the 1st World War highlighted the need for an alternative to quinine, since they did not have direct access to the drug. The following years in Germany saw the development of parmaquine (1924), mepacrine (1930) and chloroquine (1934). Other anti-malarials were developed in France, Britain and the USA; culminating with the development of pyrimethamine in 1951.

The manufacture in 1942 of dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT), led to what hat been described as the 'let us spray years'. The use of insecticides together with anti-malarial prophylactics, gave rise to the hope that malaria could be eradicated, and in 1955 the World Health Organization (WHO) launched a global campaign aimed at the eradication of malaria. This campaign was particularly successful in Europe where malaria has been eliminated in Sicily and Greece, leaving Turkey as the only country where it is actively transmitted today.

In contrast the situation in the rest of the world has worsened rather than improved, and in 1969 the WHO dropped its policy of eradication for one of containment. This failure to control the disease is principally the cumulative result of the development of insecticide resistance in the mosquito and drug resistance in the parasites, compounded by the practical problems involved in operating control procedures over vast areas of a country with very few trained personnel. An inexpensive and long-lasting vaccine is urgently required.

## 1.2 The life-cycle of Plasmodium falciparum

Malaria is caused by a unicellular protozoan parasite, which in the vertebrate host is haploid, and belongs to the genus <u>Plasmodium</u>. There are over 100 different species comprising the family <u>Plasmodiiae</u>; of which 55 are specific for reptiles, 25 for birds, and 20-25 for a variety of mammals including rodents (Garnham, 1966). The discovery of <u>P.berghei</u> in rodents by Vincke in 1948, opened a new way for studies in parasitology, immunology and chemotherapy; and there are now several good rodent laboratory models. There are <u>ca</u>. 20 species of <u>Plasmodia</u> in primates, and those of the <u>Aotus</u> and <u>Saimiri</u> monkey again provide good model systems. The four human malarias are listed below and the terms tertian and quartian refer to the periodicity of the fever associated with malaria, and are a reflection of the duration of erythrocytic schizogony (see below).

<u>P.vivax</u>: relapse type, benign, tertian and largely sub-tropical though occurs in S.E. Asia and New Guinea.

P.ovale: relapse, benign and like P.vivax, largely confined to Africa.

<u>P.malariae</u>: quartian, benign, cosmopolitan distribution, non-relapse type, and the only one that is also a natural parasite of the monkey.

<u>P.falciparum</u>: malignant, tertian and non-relapse type, largely sub-tropical, and is the great scourge of Africa and S.E. Asia.

P.falciparum if not treated, often causes lethal infections in man, and for this reason is the parasite studied here. Shown below

is the life-cycle of <u>P.falciparum</u> (Fig. 1.1) although it is essentially the same for the other human malarias (for review of the life-cycle of all primate malarias, including <u>P.falciparum</u> see Bray and Garnham, 1982). There are two factors which I wish to emphasize: (i) the alternation of host-man and mosquito- in the life-cycle; (ii) chronobiology, the time table of events in all stages is highly ordered. Since one of the hosts is the mosquito, a brief summary of its lifecycle is pertinent both here and later when discussing the control of malaria.

The complete life-cycle of the <u>Anopheles</u> mosquito takes 3 weeks to 1 month. Only the female takes a blood feed, after which, she lays her eggs in water, larvae hatch from these eggs and rest on the bottom, coming to the surface to feed. The larvae pupate, and metamorphose into male and female mosquitos. The latter mate once in flight, the female storing the sperm in a spermatheca and taking a blood feed before each egg laying.

The life-cycle of <u>P.falciparum</u> commences when an infected female mosquito takes a blood feed, and along with injection of saliva, injects sporozoites. The sporozoites disappear from the blood stream within 30 minutes, because they are transported to the liver where they enter the hepatocytes (either directly or via Kupffer cells). Once within the hepatocytes, sporozoites round up, and divide mitotically, this process is called ex-erythrocytic schizogony and takes approximately 3 days. The resulting schizonts develop into merozoites which after rupture along with the hepatocytes, are released into the blood stream where they rapidly invade erythrocytes (see Fig. 1). This completes what is known as the 'liver stage' of the life-cycle.



# FIG11

Life-cycle of <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> in man and the mosquito. All stages are drawn to approximately the same scale, and the diagram of life-cycle is adapted from Vickerman and Cox (1967) The merozoites have an apical complex including organelles, which after orientation come into contact with a site on the erythrocyte surface. There are thought to be specific receptors for <u>Plasmodia</u> on the surface of erythrocytes, and for <u>P.falciparum</u> the receptor is believed to be glycophorin A (Pasvol and Wilson, 1982). Merozoites induce invagination, and once inside they are enveloped by what was the erythrocyte plasma membrane, but is now called the parasitophorous vacuole membrane.

The merozoites develop a food vacuole, and although largely parasitic, are capable of some <u>de novo</u> biosynthesis. To compensate for its restricted ability to synthesise amino acids, the parasite has specific proteases which break down host proteins to generate an amino acid pool (Sherman, 1979). Haemoglobin is specifically degraded in this way, and the product heme is harmful to the parasite, and is therefore sequestered (presumably in an innocuous form) in the malaria pigment. The anti-malarial drug chloroquin (see Section 1.3b) is believed to mediate one of its effects by binding to heme, and the heme-chloroquin complex is toxic to the parasite (Orjih, <u>et al</u>., 1981). The parasite can also only synthesise pyrimidines but scavanges for purines. Pyrimidine biosynthesis is the site at which other anti-malarial drugs act, and these are discussed below.

A second round of asexual multiplication occurs within the red blood cell, rings develop into trophozoites which mature into schizonts, followed by segmentation and merozoite release (see Fig. 1.1). This process is called erythrocytic schizogony. The inter-erythrocytic development of the parasite leading to the production of merozoites has a characteristic time period, and for <u>P.falciparum</u> this is 48

hours. After several rounds of asexual multiplication some unknown stimulus triggers the sexual phase. Some of the merozoites develop into gametocytes, either male or female. These male and female gametocytes are infective to mosquitoes. The other merozoites reinvade erythrocytes. The production of gametocytes from merozoites is termed the blood stage of the life-cycle. When a female mosquito feeds on human blood malaria parasites are ingested; following the uptake with the vector blood meal the male produces eight motile microgametes and the female a single macrogamete. Fusion of the gametes produces the diploid zygote which rapidly transforms into an ookinete. During the next 24-48 hours the ookinete penetrates the mosquito gut epithehal cells and enters the coelemic cavity, where it encysts forming the oocyst (see Fig. 1). Sporozoites develop within the oocyst (up to 10,000), the oocyst bursts and the sporozoites move to the mosquito's salivary gland. The sporozoites are infective to man, awaiting injection at the next blood feed. Since merozoites are haploid, meiosis must occur at a stage between fertilization and the appearance of merozoites in the erythrocyte, most probably during sporogony. The 'Mosquito stage' and the life cycle are thus completed.

#### 1.3 Control of malaria

The theory of malaria control, as based on mathematical considerations, was first suggested by MacDonald (1957). Control is aimed at achieving

1) reduction in longevity of the mosquito

2) reduction in man-mosquito contact

3) reduction in the longevity of the parasite.

The second can be achieved with repellants and mosquito nets, but are

obviously not widely applicable. The first I shall term anti-vector controls, and the third antiparasite controls; these are discussed below.

## 1.3(a) Anti-vector controls

The first anti-vector controls to be applied were those directed against the mosquito larvae. These consisted primarily of larvicides such as Paris Green, which coat the surface of water with an oil preventing the larvae from feeding; combined with drainage of the water breeding grounds. However, control by killing the mosquito larvae suffers from two main deficiencies. First, those mosquitoes escaping face no further artificial threat, and some can live long enough to become infective. Secondly, the number of breeding places needing treatment is often astronomically high, especially in rural areas.

The most efficatious approach is to shorten the life of the adult mosquito using insecticide sprays. A daily mortality rate of around 50% is reckoned to be sufficient to break the transmission by most malaria vectors (vector control has been reviewed by Davidson, 1982). This observation is based on the principle of reducing the average life span of the mosquito to below the time taken for the parasite to develop within the mosquito (<u>ca</u>. 10 days for <u>P.falciparum</u>). From 1945 onwards intensive spraying campaigns eradicated malaria from many temperate areas (the WHO never attempted a large scale spraying campaign in Africa), leaving Turkey as the only European country where malaria is actively transmitted today. Unfortunately insecticide sprays were in such heavy use in agriculture that as early as 1953 some <u>Anopheles</u> mosquito vectors were resistant to DDT. Resistance to

insecticides has now spread to over 40 species of <u>Anopheles</u> in 60 countries, and some vector species are resistant to a number of different insecticides.

The resistance of the mosquito vector to most insecticides and the enormous cost of developing environmentally safe new compounds has been the impetus to develop biological controls. The two most favoured candidates are <u>Gambusia affinis</u>, a fish that feeds on mosquito larvae, and <u>Bacillus thuringiensis</u>, a bacterium that kills mosquito larvae. Numerous other predators and pathogens (viruses, protozoa, fungi and nematodes) are also being evaluated, but all of these anti-larvae measures suffer from the same shortcomings as those described earlier, namely difficulty in covering all potential breeding sites.

Since the 1950's, laboratory and field research has also focussed on the genetic manipulation of insects. Although the screworm (<u>Cochliomyca hominivoray</u>)was successfully eradicated by releasing sterile males and females, no lasting success has been achieved in the natural environment against <u>Anopheles</u>. The development of <u>Anopheles</u> strains more resistant to <u>Plasmodia</u> is also being actively studied, together with <u>Anopheles</u> strains more susceptible to control; these approaches have been reviewed in detail (Davidson (1982), White (1982)).

#### 1.3(b) Anti-parasite controls

The first anti-malarial drug to be discovered was quinine (see Historical Perspective), and it is still in use today. Many of the more recent anti-malarials have a similar structure to quinine (see Fig. 1.2), and all are based on the phenyl ring. The drugs,



# Some Antimalarial Drugs







Chloroquine 7-chloro-4-(4'-diethylamino-1'methylbutylamino)quinoline

> || NH

ŇН

CI



CH3 I NH-CH-CH-



-CH

Primaquine

6-methoxy-8-(4'-amino-1'-methylbutylamino)quinoline

NH, He

Pyrimethamine

Proguanil N<sup>1</sup> - (p-chlorophenyl) -N<sup>5</sup> - isopropyldiguanide



2,4-diamino-5-*p*-chlorophenyl-6-ethylpyrimidine



Diaphenylsulfone (Dapsone) 4,4'-diaminodiphenylsulfone Sulformetoxine (Sulfadoxine) 5,6-dimethoxy-4-sulfanil-amidopyrimidine

NH-CH<sub>3</sub>

CH-

сн3

Sulfalene

3-methoxy-2-sulfanilamido-pyrazine

# Note: taken from Bruce-Chwatt(1980)

however, are effective against parasites in different stages of development and hence can be grouped by this criterion. Drugs which attack the liver stages of the parasite (see Life-cycle Fig. 1.1) are termed casual prophylactics, and primaquine and proguanil are of this type. Gametocytocidal drugs destroy the sexual forms of the parasite, and so prevent transmission to the mosquito. Primaquine is also effective here, and so is quinine and chloroquine, but the latter two fail to act on the gametocytes of <u>P.falciparum</u>. The majority of the antimalarial drugs destroy the blocd forms of the parasite and are termed schizontecides. They can be slow acting, and therefore useful as prophylactics, examples are proguanil, pyrimethamine and the sulphones.

All antimalarial drugs can produce side effects, some only when used in high dose such as pyrimethamine and the sulphones. Mercapcrine produced such toxic side effects that it has been withdrawn. Quinine although highly efficatious, is only used for radical cures, because prolonged use has been associated with blackwater fever, and at lower doses ringing in the ears and restricted vision. Chloroquin is an excellent preventitive and curative drug, with no significant side effects, although it is not gametocytocidal to <u>P.falciparum</u>.

A putative mode of action of chloroquin has already been discussed (see section 1.2). The sulphones plus pyrimethamine and proguanil (antifols), mediate their affects by interferring with folate metabolism. As previously mentioned <u>Plasmodia</u> perform only <u>de novo</u> synthesis of pyrimidines. Pyrimidine biosynthesis is outlined below,



Shown (\*) is the point at which the essential cofactor tetrahydrofolate is used. Pyrimethamine and proguanil work by binding more strongly to the parasite dihydrofolate reductase enzyme than to the vertebrate equivalent (see below and General Discussion). The sulphones compete with para-aminobenzoic acid (pABA) for the enzyme which converts it into dihydropteroate via pteridine. Both the folate synthetic pathway, and the pathway for pyrimidine biosynthesis are adapted from Sherman (1979).



dihydrofolate reductase

The ability to use the antimalarials is being seriously hampered by the emergence of parasite resistance to virtually all the antimalarial drugs. A parasite is defined as resistant when the drug that is required to control the infection reaches or exceeds that which is fully tolerated by the host (for a comprehensive review of drug resistance see Peters, 1980). Some aspects of the genetics of drug susceptibility are discussed in Section 1.5

The emergence between 1961 and 1965 of chloroquin-resistant strains of P.falciparum in S.E. Asia, prompted the US military to launch a major programme to develop new antimalarial drugs. Since then screening over 1/4 million compounds, has yielded only 6 with antimalarial activity, and only 1, mefloquine, has reached the clinical trials stages. Resistance to mefloquine (which is structurally related to quinine) has already been demonstrated in the laboratory, so the efficacy of mefloquine may be short lived. In response to the widespread resistance, drug companies have resorted to marketing combinations such as Maloprim, which is pyrimethamine plus dapsone (a sulphone), and Fansidar, which is pyrimethamine plus sulphadoxine. Resistance to both of these emerged quickly, possibly because the antifols and sulphones both inhibit folate metabolism. Chloroquin resistance has taken longer to emerge (probably due to its effect being multifactoral, see Section 1.5), however, isolates can be multiply resistant such as the Thai isolate Kl, which is resistant to both chloroquin and pyrimethamine (Thaithong, et al. 1981). The need for a new approach is paramount.

#### 1.4 Development of a vaccine

Inhabitants of hyperendemic areas show a consistant pattern of susceptibility and resistance which reflects the slow development of specific immunity. Infants born to immune mothers are relatively resistant during the first three months of life, and thereafter all children suffer severe and recurrent attacks of malaria. These attacks are often fatal (see Section 1). The severity of these attacks diminishes with age, until no clinical symptoms are obvious, although people remain infected. The slow development of naturally acquired immunity in man, and its incomplete nature casts doubts about the effectiveness of any vaccine.

Examination of the life-cycle of <u>P.falciparum</u> reveals that at three stages the parasites are 'naked' within the blood stream, and therefore more susceptible to immune attack. These stages are l) sporozoites 2) merozoites and 3) gametocytes (see Fig.1.1). Vaccination with attenuated forms of each, does result in immunity (for a review see Taylor and Siddiqui, 1982). Obviously before vaccination with attenuated parasites can be considered for wide application, methods will have to be developed for the large scale production of parasites; to date large scale cultivation of malaria parasites is not technically possible.

The development of a continuous <u>in vitro</u> cultivation system for the blood forms of <u>P.falciparum</u> by Traeger and Jensen (1976), made possible the production of analytical amounts of parasites. Using antibodies raised against <u>P.falciparum</u>, studies are now underway aimed at identifying the clinically important antigens (Perrin, <u>et al.</u> 1981; Brown, <u>et al</u>. 1982; Hall <u>et al</u>. 1983).

The recent report that malaria antigens can be expressed in <u>E.coli</u> (Kemp, <u>et al</u>. 1983) gives support to the view that large scale production in bacteria of protective antigens is possible, and that the development of a vaccine by this method is a feasible proposition.

## 1.5 Variation in clones of Plasmodia

Genetic study of malaria parasites has so far been limited to two of the rodent <u>Plasmodium</u> species, <u>P.yoelii</u> and <u>P.chabaudi</u>, since the entire life-cycle of parasites belonging to these species can be followed in the laboratory. Regarding the human species <u>P.falciparum</u>, while the erythrocytic stages of the parasite can be maintained indefinitely in culture (Trager and Jensen, 1976), it is not ethically permissible to complete the life cycle by deliberate infection of human volunteers. The use of primates such as the <u>Aotus</u> monkey, though possible on a limited scale, is almost impracticable for extensive genetic work which requires large numbers of progeny for analysis.

A comprehensive recent review on the genetic basis of diversity in malaria largely based on studies of the rodent malarias, is given by Walliker (1983), and the salient points are outlined below. Three main characters were used to assay for variation, they are (i) enzymes, (ii) drug susceptibility and (iii) virulence.

Six enzymes have been exploited in this analysis and they are glucose phosphate dihydrogenase (GPI), 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (PGD), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), adenosine deaminase (ADA) and peptidase (PEP). These enzymes exist as more than one type of electrophoretic variant,

and the inheritance of a particular variant can be determined by either starch gel or cellulose acetate gel electrophoresis. The electrophoretic variants of each enzyme are denoted by numbers, e.g. GPI-1,-2, etc. according to their position on the gel. When the blood forms were examined only a single enzyme variant was found in each of the cloned progeny, the first indication that the blood forms of the parasite are haploid. Analysis of the progeny of a cross between parental types with different variants revealed that recombination had occurred and the isoenzyme types were inherited in a Mendelian fashion.

Drug-resistant lines of rodent malaria parasites have been obtained either by 'single-step' or 'multi-step' challenges, using predominantly chloroquine and pyrimethamine. Both approaches result in the generation of stable resistance. Crosses between pyrimethamine resistant and sensitive clones showed that the inheritance of resistance was monofactorial and independent **Sof** inheritance of the iso-enzymes markers. This contrasts with the inheritance of chloroquine resistance which is multi-factorial. These results showed that the highly resistant clone resulted from a number of successive mutations at specific chromosomal loci (and explains why resistance to chloroquin takes so long to appear in the wild). Progeny from a cross with a sensitive clone displayed varying degrees of resistance. Finally chloroquine and pyrimethamine resistance were shown to be inherited independently.

Variations in virulence have been recorded in many <u>Plasmodium</u> species, and the genetics of this character have been investigated in <u>P.yoelii</u>. Crosses between virulent and avirulent lines, in

which enzyme and drug-resistant marker genes were present, showed that segregation of virulent and avirulent types occurred, as well as recombination between genes for virulence and markers.

Recombination involving genes at different chromosomal loci has been demonstrated to occur, and is dependent on mosquito transmission (i.e. sexual reproduction is essential). The ease with which different isolates of a species may be crossed in the laboratory, as shown by the frequent occurrence of gene recombination following transmission of genetically diverse parasites through mosquitoes, makes it seem likely that hybridisation and recombination occur abundantly in nature. Evidence to support this view has been obtained with <u>P.chabaudi</u>, in which three forms of the enzyme PGD and four of LDH occur among different wild isolates (Carter and Walliker, 1975). Since no fewer than 9 out of the 12 possible combinations of variants of the 2 enzymes were found, it implies an extensive degree of random mating.

The use of iso-enzyme typing and drug sensitivity has also been applied to study variation between strains of <u>P.falciparum</u> (Rosario, 1981). As mentioned in Section 1.4, monoclonal antibodies raised against different isolates of <u>P.falciparum</u> are now available. These have also been used to assay for variation (McBride, <u>et al</u>. 1982), and it was found that a single isolate could exhibit different types of antigen. Variation has been demonstrated not only between isolates, but also between clones of a single isolate (Rosario, 1981; Thaithong, <u>et al</u>. 1983). The results of characterising isolates of <u>P.falciparum</u> from many parts of the world show that it appears to comprise a single interbreeding population

world-wide, and that considerable diversity exists in enzymes, antigens, and drug sensitivities. In spite of the demonstration of variation, there is as yet no demonstration of linkage between markers, nor any knowledge of the molecular basis for variation; for example is the variation so far characterised reflected in the genomic organisation?

In some instances the ability to distinguish between strains of parasitic protozoa is of extreme clinical importance, and the distribution of repetitive DNA has been used to identify strains of <u>Trypanosomes</u> and <u>Leishmanias</u> (Borst, <u>et al</u>. 1980; Barker and Butcher, 1983). The data presented i = 1 in Chapter III described the distribution of a class of repetitive DNA between a number of clones of <u>P.falciparum</u>, and direct comparison is made between the distribution of this repetitive DNA, and the other parameters of variation.

A sequence can be considered as being repetitive if it is present more than once within the genome. In general, repeated sequences are classified into two major categories: satellite DNA, which consists of highly repeated, tandemly linked, simple DNA sequences with a preferential location in the heterochromatin, where it appears to have some structural role (for review see Walker, 1971); and interspersed repetitive DNA.

The relationship between repetitive DNA and single copy DNA is termed the 'interspersion pattern', and it refers to the alternating interspersion of non-repetitive and repetitive DNA. This arrangement was first demonstrated for <u>Xenopus</u> DNA, and hence is often termed the '<u>Xenopus</u> pattern'. This form of sequence

organisation is characterised by single copy sequence less than 1.5 kb in length. Ajoining this single copy sequence are repetitive sequence elements which themselves have an average length of 300 bp (Davidson, <u>et al</u>. 1973). This short-period interspersion pattern is displayed by most eukaryotes, such as man (Schmid and Deininger, 1975), sea urchin (Graham, <u>et al</u>. 1974), and the housefly <u>Musca</u> domestica (Crain, et al. 1976).

A distinctly different pattern of sequence organisation has been found in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u> DNA. Here middle repetitive sequences have an average length of 5.6 kb (though about 10% are 500 bp or less), and the single copy sequence elements extend for at least 10 kb on average (Manning <u>et al</u>. 1975). This <u>Drosophila</u> pattern' has also been demonstrated for the bee <u>Apis mellifera</u> (Crain, <u>et al</u>. 1976), and for the nematode <u>Caesnorhabditis elegans</u> (Schachat, <u>et al</u>. 1978). The long-period interspersion appears to be a characteristic of lower eukaryotes (some lower eukaryotes even lack interspersion), and it seems that the change in genome organisation to a short period pattern may have occurred during the evolution of the <u>Diptera</u>, since both types of interspersion can be found in flies (Drosophila and M.domestica).

There is very little information about the genomic organization of <u>Plasmodia</u>. Only a single study on the renaturation kinetics of the <u>P.falciparum</u> genome has been reported (Hough-Evans and Howard, 1982), and although the authors report that the repeated sequences are on average <u>ca</u>. 400 bp, they merely assume that these are interspersed with single copy sequence. More information is available for <u>P.berghei</u> (Dore <u>et al</u>. 1980 and 1983), and in the latter publication

these authors report that the repetitive sequences (400-1400 bp) are largely interspersed with unique DNA of average length 8 kb (Dore, <u>et al</u>. 1983). This implies that the <u>Plasmodia</u> genome is organised with a 'Drosophila pattern' of long period interspersion.

Is there any significance to this pattern of interspersion? The observation that all eukaryotic genomes contain repeated DNA sequences, and that these can account for more than half the nuclear DNA content, suggests that it may have a persistent and basic function. The hypothesis of a role for repetitive sequences in regulation is certainly not new (Britten and Davidson, 1969), and an extensive list of examples where repeated genetic elements have been shown to affect gene expression is given by Shapiro and Cordell (1982). However a difficulty in discussing the role of interspersed repetitive sequences is that the pattern represents an average of many repetitive families. If a repeated sequence does indeed provide a set of related controls, then ultimately the significance of interspersion may lie in the function of the regions adjacent to the different members of individual repetitive families.

An example where a role has been defined for an interspersed repetitive sequence is that described by Zuker and Lodish (1981). They purified a repetitive sequence from the genome of <u>Dictyostelium</u> <u>discoideum</u> the clone contained a sequence 300-500 bases long that is also present in polyA<sup>+</sup>mRNA. This repetitive sequence is absent in message expressed up to 5 hrs of development. The message that contain this element comprises two groups, one expressed initially at 5.5 hrs. and those expressed between 5 and 15 hrs of development. The observation that only message expressed during this developmental

period (5-15 hrs) contains this repetitive sequence argues strongly that it is involved in regulating the expression of these sequences.

#### 1.6 Ribosomal RNA gene organization

The ribosome is the translation machinery of all cells. It is a complex of proteins (ribosomal proteins), and RNA (rRNA). The conservation in function of the ribosome has resulted in an overall conservation of structure, that includes the structure of its constituent parts. There are however significant differences between eukaryotic and prokaryotic ribosomes. Eukaryotic ribosomes are appreciably larger than prokaryotic ones; they contain a greater number of proteins, about 80 rather than 53, and they have extra RNA molecules. In addition, the proteins and nucleic acid are, on average larger. The difference in size is a paradox since both eukaryotic and prokaryotic ribosomes perform the same basic function, namely to catalyse the synthesis of protein.

A few general characteristics of eukaryotic ribosomes follow, and except where stated the references are given in a review by Wool (1979). Ribosomes are composed of two subunits (s.u.) which together contain 4 molecules of RNA and 70-80 proteins. Exceptions are found in <u>Drosophila</u>, where there is an extra 2S RNA, and in <u>Trypanosomes</u> and <u>Chlamydomonas</u> ribosomes which contain several small RNAs (Jordon and Glover, 1977; Cordingley and Turner, 1980; Hernandez <u>et al</u>. 1983; Marco and Rochaix, 1980). The sedimentation value (S) varies between species, but generally is close to 80S for the complete ribosome; 60S for the large s.u., and 40S for the small s.u. There is a single 18S RNA molecule and about 30 proteins in the 40S s.u., and one of each of 5S, 5.8S and 28S RNAs plus

45-50 proteins in the 60S s.u.

The rRNA and the proteins interact, and this interaction is poorly defined in eukaryotic ribosomes. Two methods have been used to identify which proteins bind to a particular RNA, affinity chromatography and periodate oxidation. In the former the RNA is mobilised on a sepharose column, and ribosomal proteins passed over it. In this way eukaryotic 5S RNA has been shown to bind to eukaryotic ribosomal proteins L6 and L19, and 5.8S rRNA to eukaryotic ribosomal proteins L19, L8, L6, **\$**13 and S9. Since 5.8**\$** rRNA binds to E.coli ribosomal proteins L18 and L25, it implies that 5.8S rRNA is the eukaryotic analogue of the prokaryotic 5S rRNA which also binds these proteins. Such binding studies have to be interpreted with caution, since on extraction of the 5S RNA-protein complex from the 40S s.u., the RNA proves to be associated with eukaryotic L5 and not L6 or L19. Moreover, periodate oxidation cross links the 3' terminus of 5.8S rRNA to eukaryotic L7 and L23, 18S to 53a and 28S to L3. A possible explanation for the discrepancy is that the 3' binding site in the RNA is destroyed when it is immobilised on the sepharose column. What is clear is that in vivo the association be meen the rRNA and the ribosomal protein is very rapid, the ribosome is assembled in the nucleus on the large precursor rRNA, even as it is being transcribed from the DNA; for a recent review of ribosome structure see Lake (1983).

The rRNAs not only interact with proteins, they also interact with each other. Probably the best postulated interaction is that between the 3' end of the 16S rRNA, and the 5' end of mRNA in prokaryotes. A recognition that there is a canonical sequence,

which is the mRNA binding site in the 16S rRNA was proposed by Shine and Dalgarno (1974). In eukaryotes only a few 18S rRNA sequences have been elucidated, such as <u>Xenopus</u> (Salim and Maden, 1981) and yeast (Rubstov, <u>et al</u>. 1980), however a large number of eukaryotic mRNAs have now been sequenced (Breathnach and Chamben, 1981), and there is no recognisable eukaryotic equivalent to the Shine-Dalgarno sequence. The 5.8S rRNA interacts with both the 5' and the 3' ends of the 28S rRNA, and one of its roles may be to confer a particular conformation on the 28S rRNA. The interactions between the 5.8S rRNA and the 28S rRNA, and discussions of the possible function of this interaction have recently been reviewed (Walker and Pace, 1983).

I will use the term 'rRNA genes' to designate the sequences encoding the 18S, 28S, and 5.8S rRNAs, and 5S RNA genes to designate the sequences encoding the 5S rRNA. In most eukaryotes, the 5S RNA genes are separate in the genome from the rRNA genes (Long and Dawid, 1980). The exceptions are yeast and the slime mould Dictyostelium where the 5S gene is 3' to the 25S and 26S rRNA genes respectively (Nath and Bollon, 1977; Cockburn, et al. 1976; Maizels, 1976). The 5S RNA and rRNA genes are present in multicopy in almost all eukaryotes studied so far, but their location varies (Long and Dawid, 1980). In the lower eukaryotes Physarum, Dictostelium, and Tetrahymena, the multiple rRNA genes are predominantly or entirely extrachromosomal (Campbell, et al. 1979; Cockburn, et al. 1978; Gall, 1974). When chromosomal they can be located on autosomes, such as in humans where the rRNA genes are on the telomeres of chromosomes 13, 14, 15 20 and 21 (Henderson and Atwood, 1976); or they can be on the sex chromosomes such as in Drosophila

(Wellauer and Dawid, 1978). In both humans and <u>Drosophila</u> as well as <u>Xenopus laevis</u>, the rRNA génes are clustered at the nucleous organiser, NO (<u>loc. cit.</u> and Wallace and Birnsteil, 1966).

In eukaryotes there are three RNA polymerases which were originally distinguished according to the inhibitory effect of  $\infty$  amanitin. Although slightly different in size the three polymerase each have a molecular mass approximately 500,000 (eukaryotic RNA polymerase reviewed by Chambon, 1975). RNA polymerase A (1) transcribes the rRNA genes, RNA polymerase C (III) transcribes the 5S RNA and tRNA genes, and RNA polymerase B (II) transcribes most mRNAs (Chambon, 1975). In all of the arrays of rRNA and 5S RNA genes analysed so far, the length of the DNA repeated exceeds the length of the transcription unit or units (Arnheim and Southern, 1977; Wellauer, et al. 1976; Long and Dawid, 1980). The length of the extra sequence repeated along with the transcription unit varies from a few hundred to several thousand nucleotides for the 5S RNA genes, and from less than 5 kb to more than 58 kb for the rRNA genes (for a review of spacers see Fedoroff, 1979). A repeating unit generally contains a single transcription unit, although the oocyte 5S RNA gene family in X.borealis, which can have four or more genes per repeating unit, is an exception (Korn and Brown, 1978). In yeast the 5S RNA transcription unit is separated from the rRNA transcription unit by a spacer, and is transcribed in the opposite direction (Valenzuela, et al. 1977). Henceforth I will refer to the repeating units containing the rRNA genes as rDNA, and the 5S RNA genes as 5S DNA.

Two well-studied rDNA repeats are those of Xenopus and

Drosophila, maps of these reports are presented in Fig. 1.3, and are taken from Long and Dawid (1980). As noted previously transcription units alternate with spacer regions. The latter are referred to as either transcribed spacers, which are sub-divided into external (ETS) and internal (ITS) regions, or non-transcribed spacers (NTS), depending on whether the sequence appears in the initial rRNA transcript. In <u>X.leavis</u> there is a single autosomal NO, which comprises 400-500 tandem copies of rDNA (Wallace and Birnsteil, 1966). The transcription unit is flanked by short non-repetitive spacer sequences, the remainder of the non-transcribed spacer sequence varies in length from about 2.7 kb to 9 kb in different repeating units. De**pp**ite this difference in length, the spacers differ little in sequence because they are internally repetitive (Wellauer, et al. 1976; Botchan, et al. 1977).

In <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u> there are two NO, one on the X and one on the Y chromosome; each containing 150-200 rDNA genes, although the number varies somewhat between strains (Ritossa and Spiegelman, 1965). The rDNA of <u>D.melanogaster</u> can be of two classes, one resembles that of <u>X.leavis</u> (Fig. 1.3), but the other differs in that the 28S rRNA gene contains an intervening sequence (IVS). The IVS can vary in size from 0.5 to 6 kb, and the sequences are of two groups: Type 1 insertions included the most abundant 5 kb insertion, plus homologous small 1 kb and 0.5 kb insertions, and occur exclusive in the X chromosome NO; Type II insertions have no homology with Type I, occur in several sizes between 1.5 and 4 kb and are located on both the X and the Y chromosomes (Glover and Hogness, 1977; Dawid, <u>et al</u>. 1978; Wellauer and Dawid, 1978). Sequence


Maps of repeating units of ribosomal genes of Xenopus laevis (a) and Drosophila metanogaster (b). Coding regions are shown as solid bars; transcribed spacers, i.e. regions transcribed into nonconserved segments or pre-rRNA, are shown as open bars; and nontranscribed regions are shown as lines. The transcribed spacers are distinguished as external (ETS) and internal (ITS). Conventionally, the ITS is thought of as including the coding regions for 5.8S and 2S RNAs, the latter only present in D. melanogaster but not in X. laevis. In b the point of interruption of some of the 28S rRNA regions is indicated. NTS and insertions (INS) occur in variable length as indicated by a broken line.



Map of the extrachromosomal rDNA in *Tetrahymena*. The molecule is a palindrome, i.e. it has a center of symmetry as indicated on the figure. Two transcription units are directed outward, with the two pre-rRNAs copied from opposite strands. Transcribed spacer regions are indicated

# Note, maps taken from Long & Dawid (1980)

elements homologous to the Type 1 insertions also occur in multiple copies outside the NO (Dawid, <u>et al.</u> 1981). In <u>D.melanogaster</u> rDNA repeats interrupted by Type 1 insertions are interspersed on the X chromosome with uninterrupted rDNA, this is in contrast with the situation in <u>D.hydei</u> where 90% of the Type I genes are clustered (Hawley and Tartof, 1983). The repeats containing the Type II insertions are significantly clustered on the Y chromosome, and possibly also on the X chromosome in <u>D.melaongaster</u> (Wellauer and Dawid, 1978).

Although insertions sequences constitute the major source of length heterogeneity in the rDNA of <u>D.melaongaster</u>, there is also length heterogeneity in the non-transcribed spacer sequence of about 3.5 kb. As in <u>X.laevis</u>, the non-transcribed spacers are internally redundant, and are very similar in the X and Y NO (Wellauer and Dawid, 1978).

In addition to the 2S rRNA, <u>D.melanogaster</u> is also unusual in that the 28S rRNA gene contains an IVS, and the 28S rRNA has a break, lacking 120 nucleotides present in the rDNA (Wellauer, and Dawid, 1977). Although unusual these features are not uncommon. I have previously mentioned the presence of extra small RNAs in <u>Trypanosomes</u> and Algae; the presence of a break in the large rRNA has also been noted in <u>Leishmama donovani</u> (Leon, <u>et al</u>. 1978), <u>Trypanosomes</u> (Cordingley and Turner, 1980; Castro, <u>et al</u>. 1981), <u>Plasmodium berghei</u> and <u>P.chabaudi</u> (Miller and Ilan, 1978; DaSilveira, <u>et al</u>. 1983). Maturation of the 26S rRNA of <u>Tetrahymena pyriformis</u> also results in a break to give two fragments (Eckert, <u>et al</u>. 1978). As well as IVS in <u>Drosophila</u>, interrupted large rRNA genes are observed in some strains of <u>Tetrahymena</u> (Wild and Gall, 1979), and Physarum, which is unusual in having two

IVS (Campbell <u>et al</u>. (1979)). Surprisingly the mitochondrial rRNA gene of <u>Neurospora crassa</u>, and the chloroplast gene of <u>Chlamydomonas</u> <u>reinhardii</u> also contain an IVS (Hahn, <u>et al</u>. 1979; Rochaix and Malnoe, 1978).

The possession of a Type I interruption in the 28S rRNA gene in <u>D.melanogaster</u> renders that gene transcriptionally inactive (Long <u>et al</u>. 1981), whereas Type II IVS genes are variently transcribed, though at a low level (Kidd and GLover, 1981). Since all the rRNA genes of <u>P.polycephalum</u> contain IVS, they are obviously expressed. In <u>Tetrahymena</u> the rDNA of some species such as <u>T.thermophila</u> contain an IVS which is transcribed and then spliced out, while the rDNA of other species such as <u>T.pyriformis</u> contain no IVS. The <u>T.pigmentosa</u> species is heterogeneous, only some strains having an IVS in the rDNA (Wild and Gall, 1979; Din <u>et al</u>. 1979). The removal of an IVS has been best-studied in <u>Tetrahymena</u>, and will be discussed later together with transcription and processing of , rRNA genes.

Extrachromosomal rDNA occurs quite frequently in unicellular eukaryotes. In <u>T.pyriformis</u> the micro nucleus contairs only a single integrated copy of the ribosomal genes (Yao and Gall, 1977). In the macro nucleus this single gene is excised and amplified on a linear extrachromosomal palindromic DNA molecule that contains two copies of the rRNA genes (Fig. 1.3 and Pan and Blackburn, 1981). A repeated hexanucleotide  $C_4^{A_2}$  is present at or near the free ends of these palindromes, it is also found in the micro nucleus, and is believed to be involved with the chromosome breakage that generates the excised copy (Yao and Yao, 1981; Blackburn and Gall, 1978).

The excised copy is first replicated as a single 11 kb molecule, which is subsequently replicated to form palindromic rDNA molecules. This replication results in the loss of 0.3 kb of DNA from the end that becomes the centre of the palindrome, and the resultant molecule has the hexanucleotide  $C_4 A_2$  repeated at its termini (Pan and Blackburn, 1981).

Palindromic rDNA occurs in several unrelated organisms such as <u>P.polycephalum</u> (Campbell, <u>et al</u>. 1979), and <u>D.discoideum</u> (Cockburn, <u>et al</u>. 1978). A different structure occurs in <u>Paramecium tetraurelia</u>, here the rDNA is in both linear and circular extrachromosomal molecules (Findlay and Gall, 1978). In another ciliated protoxoan <u>Oxytrica</u> <u>fallax</u>, the rDNA in the macronucleus is extrachromosomal, but <u>O.fallax</u> is a special case, as all the genes in the macronucleus appear to be amplified as single gene-pieces of DNA (Prescott and Murti, 1973). Amplified rDNA in oocytes is always extrachromosomal, and its properties are discussed below.

There is a single cluster of 5S RNA genes in <u>D.melaongaster</u>, which contains approximately 160 copies of the gene, and is located at band 56F on the right arm of chromosome 2 (Wimber and Steffenson, 1970). In <u>X.laevis</u> the arrangement of 5S RNA genes is quite complex. There are two distinct 5S RNA gene families, comprising separate tandem arrays; these families have been termed 'oocyte' and 'somatic' based on the observation that the former is only expressed during oogenesis (Ford and Southern, 1973). The somatic 5S RNA genes are much less abundant than the oocyte 5S RNA genes, which form a large family. In <u>X.laevis</u> these genes occur in clusters at the telomeric regions of most if not all chrososomes

(Pardue, et al. 1973).

Amplification of rDNA is a common feature of oogenesis, where it is thought to provide sufficient DNA templates for the large accumulation of ribosomes in oocytes. Amplified DNA in <u>X.laevis</u> occurs in large circles containing many hundreds of repeating units, which are homogeneous in length. As chromosomal rDNA is heterogeneous in length, this implies that a single repeat is amplified (Wellauer, <u>et al</u>. 1976). I have already discussed a particular case of rDNA amplification which takes place in <u>Tetrahymena</u>, where the rDNA genes in the macronucleus are amplified on extrachromosomal palindromes (Yao and Gall, 1977).

Dosage regulation of rDNA genes is exhibited by both <u>Xenopus</u> and <u>Drosophila</u> somatic cells. In <u>X.laevis</u> heterozygous anucleolate females lacking a NO accumulate the same amount of amplified rDNA in their oocytes, as do wild-type frogs, even though their somatic cells only have half the number of genes (Perkowska, <u>et al</u>. 1968). In the polytene chromosomes of salivary glands of <u>D.melaongaster</u> the hetero chromatin containing rDNA is under-replicated compared to the euchromatin. This results from only the rDNA of the Y chromosome NO being replicated (Endow and Glover, 1979). Since the Y NO can be replicated independent of the X NO, this may explain the 'compensation' described by Tartof (1971). He observed that when flies lacked a copy of the X NO, they compensated for this loss of rDNA by increasing the remaining rRNA genes.

Drosophila also exhibit another mechanism of varying the rDNA content. If the genome contains less than half the normal number of rDNA units, rRNA synthesis is inadequate and a mutant, bobbed (bb)

fly develops, which phenotypically has shorter bristles. This loss of rDNA can be made up, by a process which Ritossa (1968) termed 'magnification'. The ability to be able to magnify the rDNA is inheritable, but the underlying mechanism of magnification is not known.

I have previously cited that the rRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase I(A) (Chambon, 1975). Sequences that promote RNA pol I transcription have yet to be identified, but deletion, <u>in vitro</u> transcription, and sequencing studies of mouse (Miller and Sollner-Webb, 1981), Drosophila (Kohorn and Rae, 1982),

<u>Xenopus</u> (Bakken, <u>et al</u>. 1982) and yeast (Verbeet, <u>et al</u>. 1983), reveal that information essential for the initiation of rRNA genes is present within the region <u>ca</u>. -200 to +100, where transcription begins at +1. This implies that the promoter for RNA pol I lies in the nontranscribed spacer region, and this region has been shown to promote <u>in vitro</u> transcription of both <u>Drosophila</u> and <u>Xenopus</u> rDNA (Kohorn and Rae, 1982; Miller and Sollner-Webb, 1981).

The expression of rRNA genes in eukaryotes is a multistep process. It begins with the transcription of a large RNA molecule which serves as the precursor of the three (or more) RNA species found in the ribosome. The rRNA precursor is assembled into a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) particle during transcription. This primary transcript undergoes a series of processing steps, including modification of nucleosides, and removal of spacer sequences by nucleotide cleavage. In cases where the genes possess an IVS, this is also removed by a cleavageligation step, termed splicing. Finally the fully processed rRNP is exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm.

The above mentioned processes have been most studied in Tetrahymena, and I will summarise here the transcription and splicing of the Tetrahymena rRNA precursor. This process has been comprehensively reviewed, and the salient points are outlined below (Cech, et al. 1982). Electron microscopy combined with hybridisation and R-loop mapping of the rDNA showed that transcription proceeds bidirectionally from the centre of the palindrome, and that the 17S rRNA is transcribed first (Engberg et al. 1976). Pulse-chase labelling experiments revealed that the primary transcript is a 35S RNA molecule which is rapidly methylated (Eckert, et al. 1978). Methylation occurs principally in regions that are conserved throughout processing, and the primary transcript encodes the 175, 5.8S and 265 rRNAs. The 5' end of the 355 RNA has been mapped (by Sl nuclease digestion) to a site approximately 110 bp from the axis of symmetry of the rDNA, and using the same technique the terminator region has been located to approximately 13-15 nucleotides downstream from the 3' terminus of the 26S rRNA (Niles, et al. 1981).

After its synthesis, the pre-rRNA (35S) undergoes a series of processing events, the first of which appears to be the splicing out of the IVS (when present). In <u>T.thermophila</u> the IVS is excised as a discrete linear RNA molecule, which is subsequently circularised (Grabowski, <u>et al</u>. 1981). Since there is no evident delay in the processing kinetics of 35S rRNA with and without an IVS, it implies that the splicing event is very rapid (Cech and Rio, 1979). The next processing step generates the 17S rRNA, which is rapidly exported to the cytoplasm, and then the pre-26S rRNA is cleaved to give the 5.8S and 26S rRNAs. The rate of these processing steps appears to be dependent on the growth rate of the cells, it decreases 36 fold when the cells are shifted to starvation medium (Cech et al. 1982).

In a remarkable series of experiments Cech and his co-workers demonstrated that the splicing of the IVS in <u>T.thermophila</u> is an autocatalytic event (Kruger, <u>et al</u>. 1982). A 1.6 kb segment of <u>T.thermophila</u> rDNA that included the 413 bp IVS was cloned into a plasmid which contained the <u>lac</u> UV5 promoter-operator region. Purified recombinant plasmid DNA was transcribed <u>in vitro</u> with <u>E.coli</u>. RNA polymerase, and the resultant RNA fractionated by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The linear and circular IVS were detected in this way, moreover using deproteinized RNA they showed that excision was not dependent on transcription. Incubating the linear IVS RNA at 39°C in a buffer containing Mg<sup>++</sup>, they further demonstrated that circularisation was independent of any enzyme.

Since the IVS has no open reading frames, its secondary structure is thought to catalyse the autoexcision event. It is noteworthy that <u>Tetrahymena</u> IVS shows structural homology with fungal mitochondrial IVS, which themselves appear to be self-spliced (Cech, <u>et al</u>. 1983). This relationship suggests a common origin for some nuclear and mitochondrial introns, and possibly similar mechanisms for their splicing.

There have already been several references throughout this Introduction to Protozoan mDNA organization. Since protozoan mDNA organisation is most germane to the work presented in this

Thesis, I will reiterate some of the points whilst considering the available data on protozoan rDNA. I shall confine myself to the uninucleate protozoa, as those that possess a macronucleus appear to have the rDNA almost exclusively on extrachromosomal elements, and these have been discussed in some depth.

The first detailed report on the arrangement of rDNA in uninucleate protozoa was that given by Leon, <u>et al</u>. (1978). They described the organisation of rDNA sequences in <u>Leishmania donovani</u>, where the rRNA genes are present as 166 integrated chromosomal copies. The genes were found to be ordered 16S-5.8S-26Sa-26Sb. Note that the 26S rRNA has a gap. The authors presumed that the order was 5'-16S-26S-3', by comparison with <u>Xenopus</u>. A single repeat was shown to be composed of (a) a 16S gene of length 2.12 kb, (b) an internal spacer of 1.23 kb, (c) a 26S gene of 4.3 kb with a 0.587 kb gap, and (d) an external spacer of average length 5.85 kb. A number of 160 copies for the rRNA genes has also been determined for L.braziliensis (Villalba and Ramirez, 1982).

In the <u>Trypanosomatids</u> the rRNA genes are again integrated in the chromosome, and present at approximately 114 copies (Castro, <u>et al</u>. 1981). The large rRNA is gapped and a number of small rRNAs are also present (Cordingley and Turner, 1980; Hernandez, <u>et al</u>. 1983). The rRNA gene organization has been studied in <u>T.brucei</u> (Hasan, <u>et al</u>. 1982) and <u>T.cruzi</u> (Hernandez and Castaneda, 1983). In <u>T.brucei</u> the length of the rDNA repeat is at least 21 kb, and the genes are ordered in the repeat in the same general pattern as <u>L.donovani</u>, and again the large rDNA has a gap. In T.cruzi 3 of the 5 small rRNAs map within the rRNA cistron, the 31

others S4 and S5, map elsewhere independently of one another. Hernandez and Castaneda (1983) also report very large spacer DNA (> 20 kb), this prevented them from discerning whether the rDNA repeats were in tandem. There is also no information as to whether the rDNA repeats in <u>T.brucei</u> are in tandem, however Hasan <u>et al</u>. (1982) report the interruption of the large rRNA gene by a mobile element (RIME) that is present at approximately 20 copies in the genome. This insertion is believed to inactivate the gene.

In Plasmodia, apart from the work presented in this thesis, there are just two reports from the same authors on the rDNA of the mouse malaria P.berghei (Dame and McCutchan, 1983a and 1983b). In the 1983 paper they report the cloning of a rDNA fragment containing part of both the 18S and 28S rRNA genes, and that the 18S is 5' to the 28S gene. No homology to Xenopus 5S DNA was detected, indicating that the 5S RNA gene may be absent in Plasmodia, since 5S RNA sequences are highly conserved (Long and Dawid, 1980). Further interpretation is unfortunately complicated as the rDNA cloned had rearranged (the 3' half of the 285 gene had been replaced The genomic hybridisations were also by some other DNA). complicated by cross-homology to contaminating mouse DNA. Interestingly rearrangement of rDNA clones has also been reported for mouse, due to repeat sequences in the non-transcribed spacer (Arnheim and Kuehn, 1979). In their other paper, Dame and McCutchan report the number of the rDNA transcription units as four. This is a remarkable number, considering that in all other eukaryotes the rRNA genes are present in multi-copy (Long and Dawid, 1980). ~ Further more each of the four transcription units appear to be different,

and this conclusion was drawn from genomic hybridisation patterns displayed to total RNA, and the previously cloned rDNA. They were also unable to detect any link between the transcription units.

These studies suggest that uninucleate parasitic protozoa all have their rRNA genes ingegrated in the chromosome, but that the precise arrangement of the rDNA sequences differ, such as the number of small rRNA genes, and surprisingly the number of rDNA copies per genome. Analysis of the rDNA sequences of the human malaria <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> constitutes a major part of this thesis work. They represent the first detailed analysis of <u>P.falciparum</u> genes of known function, and the low copy number reported for <u>P.berghei</u> rRNA genes is consistent with the number reported here for <u>P.falciparum</u>, and it appears that <u>Plasmodia</u> may be different from other parasitic protozoa in regard to the number of rRNA genes per haploid genome.

#### 1.7 Actin gene organization

Movement is a fundamental property of living cells. It is expressed in such diverse activities as cytoplasmic streaming, phagocytosis, amoeboid motion, cytokinesis and mitosis. Electron microscopic and immunological evidence has implicated actin in all of these processes and myosin in many of them (for a review see Clarke and Spudich, 1977). Three types of filamentous structures have been identified in eukaryotic cells, microtubules, intermediate filaments and microfilaments.

The principal constituents of all microtubules to date, are equal amounts of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -tubulin which are assembled as heterodimers, and are the products of distinct genes (Luduena and Woodward, 1973;

Cleveland, <u>et al</u>. 1978). Microtubules are integral parts of diverse eukaryotic subcellular structures, including cytoskeletal elements, cilia and flagella, and mitotic and meiotic spindles. Many cells also contain structures termed intermediate filaments. This is a lose term describing structures which are neither microtubules for microfilaments. Prominent examples of this class of filament are neurofilaments of neurones, and glial filaments of glial cells. The intermediate filaments are often associated with desmosomes (which are involved in attachment between adjacent cells), and as a consequence the sub-unit protein of the filaments is sometimes termed desmin. Chick smooth muscle desmin has a molecular weight of 50,000 and is resolved into two closely related spots by two dimensional electrophoresis (Lazarides and Balzer, 1978).

Cells have a sub-membraneous network of fibres which are identical to microfilaments, and the presence of actin within these microfilament fibres was revealed by immunofluorescent anti-actin antibodies (Lazarides and Lindberg, 1974). This cytoskeletal network is also known as stress fibres, and the involvement of stress fibres in the maintenance of cell morphology is graphically illustrated in fibroflast cell lines. Fibroblasts are motile in culture, and move by 'spreading' over the substratum. The organization of stressfibres is related to the ability of a fibroblast to spread out ,and this relationship is reflected in a stri¢king manner by the changes that occur when anchorage dependent cells are transformed by virus. Using affinity purified anti-chicken actin antibody Boscheck <u>et al</u>. (1981) clearly showed that the arrangement of stress fibres in chicken embryo cells radically alters during

transformation with Rous sarcoma virus. The correlation between the arrangement of the cytoskeletal network and the cells morphogy suggests that actin is also involved in the maintenance of form.

Sequencing studies of a number of actins from a range of organisms, demonstrated that a number of different actins can exist in a single species (iso forms), and that actin is a highly conserved protein (Vandekerckhove, <u>et al</u>. 1978 and 1980). The identification of different forms of actin in a single species suggested that actin is encoded by a multigene family, and the sizes of the actin gene families in different organisms are given in Table 1. With the exception of yeast ,which has only a single actin gene (Ng and Abelson, 1980; Gallwitz and Seidel, 1980), other organisms have actin multigene families of varying sizes. There is a general increase in actin gene number with genome size and evolutionary complexity, although there are exceptions such as <u>D.discoideum</u> which has more actin genes than chicken (see Table 1).

<u>D.discoideum</u> also provides an example in wich multiple actin genes appear to code for the same actin isoform (McKeown and Firtel, 1981), though none of these genes has been completely sequenced. This may not simply be a reflection of a requirement of a large number of genes to produce sufficient actin, since these genes are differentially regulated during the <u>D.discoideum</u> life-cycle (McKeown and Firtel, 1981). In contrast <u>S.cerevisiae</u> functions with only one actin gene, whereas in <u>D.melaorgaster</u>, at least 5 of the 6 genes encode different actin polypeptides (Ng and Abelson, 1980; Gallwitz and Seidel, 1980; Fyrberg, <u>et al</u>. 1981)... The use of probes from the 3' non-coding region of chicken  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -actin mRNAs

Table 1.	Actin gene number and organisation				
Organism	No. of genes	Organisation	References		
Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast)	1	<b>-</b>	Ng and Abelson (1980) Gallwitz and Seidel (1980)		
Oxytricha fallax (ciliated protozoan)	2-3	<del>-</del>	Kaine and Spear (1980)		
Dictystelium discoideum (slime mould)	17	2 linked, others possibly	Kindel and Firtel (1978) McKeown and Firtel (1981)		
 Caenorhabditis elegans (Nematode)	<b>4</b> .	3 genes linked	Files <u>et al</u> . (1983)		
Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly)	6	all dispersed	Tobin, <u>et al</u> . (1980) Fyberg, <u>et al</u> . (1980)		
Strongylocentrotus purpuratu (sea urchin)	<u>15</u> 11	some linked	Durica, <u>et al</u> . (1980) Schuler and Keller (1980)		
Chicken	>7	>3 dispersed	Cleveland, et al. (1980)		
Man	>20	partially dispersed	Humphries, <u>et al</u> . (1981)		

•

has shown that there are  $1\beta$  and  $3\gamma$ -like actin genes (Cleveland, et al. 1980). However, the  $\gamma$ -like genes have not been definitely shown to code for  $\gamma$ -actin.

In most species it is not clear what proportion of the detected actin genes is actually expressed. In the cases where an expressed gene has been identified, it has been done by using 5'and 3'- non-coding regions of the mRNA (present in the actin cDNA), which is highly specific for an individual gene. Such experiments show that all the <u>D.melanogaster</u> actin genes (Zulaf, <u>et al</u>. 1981), and at least eight of the <u>D.discoideum</u> actin genes (McKeown and Firtel, 1981) are expressed. A negative result is not conclusive, since the gene could be expressed in another cell type, or transcribed at a level too low to be detected.

There are examples where the gene is known not to be expressed, because the gene lacks the regulatory signals (or has internal stop signals). These are sometimes known as 'pseudogenes' and <u>D.discoideum</u> contains at least one pseudogene (pDd actin 2-sub 2) which lacks a TATA box (a TATA or Goldberg-Hogness box is a sequence believed to be required for efficient initiation of transcription, and is located at approximately -30 where transcription starts at +1), shows multiple amino acid substitutions and from which expression cannot be detected (McKeown and Firtel, 1981). When pseudo genes also lack an IVS and regulatory signals they are further defined as 'Processed-pseudo genes'. The pseudo gene pDd actin 2-sub 2 is linked to a functional actin gene, pDd actin 2, from which it presumably arose by duplication (McKeown and Firtel, 1981a).

Two quite distinct patterns of multigene family organisation have been established, with genes being either clustered or dispersed in different chromosomal regions (see Table 1). The clustered pattern has been demonstrated for <u>D.discoideum</u> (McKeown and Firtel, 1981), <u>C.elegans</u> (Files <u>et al</u>. 1983), and <u>S.purpuratus</u> (Schuler and Keller, 1981), by the isolation of recombinant phage linking the genes together. In contrast, <u>in situ</u> hybridisation experiments have shown that the six <u>D.melanogaster</u> actin genes are at separate chromosomal locations (Fyberg, <u>et al</u>. 1980; Tobin, <u>et al</u>. 1980). The actin genes are also dispersed in chicken (Cleveland, <u>et al</u>. 1980).

A number of actin genes from a range of different organisms have now been sequenced, and this permits comparison for evolutionary conserved traits; namely the location of regulatory signals and the position of any introns. A good example is <u>D.melaorgaster</u>, where S1 mapping has indicated that there may be multiple initiation sites for transcription (McKeown and Firtel, 1981). Most eukaryotic mRNAs have a sequence at the 3' terminus which is thought to be the site for polyadenylation. A polyadenylation signal sequence has also been found at the 3' end of the actin genes (McKeown and Firtel, 1981a).

With respect to the location of introns, actin genes in most organisms are exceptional in that the intron position is highly variable both within, and between species (Durica, <u>et al.</u> 1980; Fyrberg, <u>et al</u>. 1980 and 1981; Ng and Abelson, 1980; Schuler, <u>et al</u>. 1981). In <u>C.elegans</u> although the intron position is conserved the intron sequence is not. The intron in gene II is completely

different to that of gene I (Files, <u>et al</u>. 1983). The actin genes I and II of <u>S.purpuratus</u> each have 2 introns, although the position of one is conserved, the other is not (Schuler and Keller, 1981). Finally, in <u>D.melaongaster</u> both the size and the position of the introns vary between the actin genes (Fyberg, <u>et al</u>. 1981). In contrast the actin genes of <u>D.discoideum</u> are unusual in that they do not possess introns (Firtel, 1981).

Earlier in this discussion of actin genes I referred to the observation that <u>D.discoideum</u> actin genes are differentially expressed (McKeown and Firtel, 1981). Differential expression of actin genes has also been demonstrated in sea urchins, where actin synthesis increases with early sea urchin development (Merlino, <u>et al</u>. 1980).

Developmental regulation of actin gene expression is also a feature of <u>D.melaorgaster</u> (Zulaf, <u>et al</u>. 1981). Here actin gene III appears to be specific for the thorax, whereas actin gene I is only expressed in larvae. Furthermore Sanchez, <u>et al</u>. (1983) report that 2 actin genes, those located at positions 79B (on the 3 L chromosome) and 88F (on the 3R chromosome) show similar transcriptional activity until the pupal stage, thereafter the 88F genes produce more transcripts. Since <u>D.discoideum</u> and <u>S.purpuratus</u> differ from <u>D.melanogaster</u> in that their actin genes are clustered, clustering does not appear to be a requirement for developmental regulation. Finally Couderc, <u>et al</u>. (1983) have recently reported that the expression of the 5C actin gene (on the X chromosome) is regulated by ecdysterone. It remains to be shown whether the increase in actin gene expression is specific, or reflects on overall stimulation of transcription by ecdysterone.

The actin genes therefore have been shown to be highly conserved, and are usually present as a multigene family. Members of this family can be either clustered or dispersed around the genome. Not all genes have been shown to be expressed but of those that are, some appear to be developmentally regulated. Some actin genes (the majority) possess introns, and the number, type and position of these is highly variable. The conserved nature of the actin genes, and their ubiquity plus the ability to be able to use them as a model system for developmental regulation of gene expression, have encouraged me to seek actin genes in the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u>.

#### 1.8 Purpose of this Thesis

In the course of this Introduction I have described some of the characteristics of 3 classes of sequence. One illustrates a highly repetitious class, the second (rRNA genes) are moderately repetitive, transcribed but not translated; the third (actin genes) are developmentally regulated and code for a protein which is thought to have a crucial role in the life-cycle. A need for a better understanding of the biology of the human malaria parasite has also been clearly demonstrated, and by the study of the above mentioned <u>P.falciparum</u> sequences, a more complete understanding of the genomic organisation of the parasite should result.

## CHAPTER 2

0

•

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 2.1 Bacterial strains and phages
- 2.2 Continuous culture of P.falciparum
- 2.3 Extraction of parasite DNA and RNA
- 2.4 Cloning vectors
- 2.5 Media and solutions
- 2.6 Plating cells
- 2.7 Phage lambda titration
- 2.8 Preparation of lambda DNA
- 2.9 Preparation of plasmid DNA
- 2.10 Small scale preparation of plasmid DNA
- 2.11 Ethanol precipitation
- 2.12 Restriction enzyme digest
- 2.13 Ligation of DNA
- 2.14 Cloning
- 2.15 Transformation
- 2.16 In vitro packaging of lambda DNA
- 2.17 DNA gels
- 2.18 RNA gels
- 2.19 Protein gels
- 2.20 DNA transfer to nitrocellulose
- 2.21 RNA transfer to nitrocellulose
- 2.22 Protein transfer to nitrocellulose
- 2.23 Plaque screening by hybridisation with DNA or RNA probes
- 2.24 Nick translation of DNA
- 2.25 Hybridisation
- 2.26 End labelling of RNA by kinase
- 2.27 Immuno detection of actin on Western blots

2.28 Extraction of DNA/RNA from agarose gels by electroelution

2.29 Mapping of multiple sites for a single enzyme

2.30 Gel photography

2.31 Autoradiography

2.32 Electron microscopy

## 2.1 Bacterial Strains and Phages

All bacterial strains and phages used in this study are listed in Table 2.1. The yeast strain used S41 has the genotype mata/MAT<sub>a</sub>, HO/HO arg 4.1/arg 4.1, cyh 1/cyh 1, and was a kind gift of Dr. Ian Dawes.

## 2.2 Continuous Culture of P.falciparum

Growth Medium (Traeger and Jenson 1976) RPM1 Medium 1640 (GIBCO Laboratories), 10.4 gms in 900 mls of double distilled water; HEPES (Sigma), 6 gms; gentamycin (Sigma) 100 mgs. The solution is diluted to 960 ml and sterilised by filtration through a Millipore filter 0.22  $\mu$ m porosity. This solution may be stored for up to 1 month at 4°C. The medium is completed by the addition of filter sterilised 5% sodium bicarbonate to 0.2% final concentration. This medium without serum (RP) can be stored up to a week in the refrigerator. Complete medium (C.M.) is prepared from it by the addition of pooled serum (Blood Transfusion Service, BTS) to a final concentration of 10%.

## Culture System - Preparation and Maintenance

Parasites are cultured in group  $Orh^+$  blood (BTS) which has been washed three times with RP to remove the buffy coat layer. Each 75 cc tissue culture flash (Falcon) contains 10 mls of blood and 50 mls of C.M. Parasites are fed by removing spent medium, and adding fresh C.M. every 24 hr. The parasites are diluted every 48 to 72 hr. in fresh blood plus C.M. (haematocrit of 40%) to give a parasitemia of about 1%. Parasites are harvested when the desired quantity of red blood cells has a parasitemia of 10%. All cultures are grown under an atmosphere of 3%  $CO_2$ ,  $3\% O_2$ ,  $94\% N_2$ (BOC).

#### 2.3 Extraction of parasite DNA

Parasitized blood was harvested by centrifugation, washed, and resuspended in an equal volume of R.P. To this saponin is added

Table 2.1	Strains	and	Vectors
-----------	---------	-----	---------

з

Strain/Vector	Genotype	Reference
ED8654	$\underline{supE}$ , $\underline{supF}$ , $\underline{hsdR}$ , $\underline{M}^{+}$ , $\underline{S}^{+}$ , $\underline{met}$ , $\underline{trpR}$	Murray <u>et al</u> (1977)
HB101	ara, arg, gal, hsdS, pro, recA, strR	Boyer <u>et al</u> (1969)
NM514	lyc7, hsdR	Murray, N. M. (1983)
внв 2688	N205 <u>recA</u> $(\lambda \underline{imm}^{434}, \underline{cI}_{ts}, \underline{b2}, \underline{red3}, \underline{Eam}_{4}, \underline{Sam}_{7})\lambda$	Hohn (1979)
внв2690	N205 recA ( $\lambda \text{imm}^{434}, \text{cI}_{ts}, \text{red3}, \text{Dam}_{15}, \text{Sam}_{7}/\lambda$	Hohn (1979)
NM\788'*	<u>trpE</u> , (att-red) , <u>cI</u> , <u>nin5</u> , <u>Wam</u> 403', <u>Eam</u> 1100', <u>Sam</u> 7	Murray <u>et al</u> (1977)
NM 1149*	$\lambda \text{imm}^{434}$ , (b538)	Murray N.M. (1983)
pBR322		Bolivar et al (1977)

\* Maps of vectors shown in Fig. 2.1

to give a final concentration of 0.1%, and the mixture left on ice for five minutes. Parasites released from lysed r.b.c. were pelletted at 10,000 g for 5 mins. washed 3 times in RP, and finally resuspended in 3.2 mls of RP. To this 0.8 mls of 0.5M EDTA was added giving a final concentration of EDTA of 100 mM.

. 41.

Parasites were lysed by sodium lauroyl sarcosine (Sigma) at a final concentration of 4%, in the presence of Proteinase K (1 mg/ml) (Boehringer). The solution made up to 10 mls. 1 ml of ethidum bromide (5 mgs/ml) added, plus caesium chloride to give a density of e = 1.55. The DNA was centrifuged to equilibrium at 38K rpm for 48 hrs.

#### 2.3(b) Extraction of Parasite RNA

Parasite RNA was extracted by J. Hyde as described by Hyde, et al. (1981)The rRNA species were purified by gel electrophoresis, see RNA gels.

#### 2.4 Cloning vectors

The lambda cloning vectors used were either NM788 (Murray <u>et al</u>. 1977) or NM1149 (Murray 1983) (see Fig.2.1) The plasmid vector was pBR322 (Bolivar <u>et al</u>. 1977).

#### 2.5 Media and Solutions

L Broth - Difco Bacto Tryptone, 10 gms, Difco Bacto yeast

extract, 5 gms; NaCl 5 gms 1<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.2.

L-agar - Difco Bacto Tryptone, 10 gms; Difco Bacto yeast extract, 5 g; NaCl 10 gms; Difco agar 15 gms. per litre, pH 7.2

BBL agar -Baltimore Biological Laboratories trypticase 10 g; NaCl 5 g; Difco agar, 10 gms. per litre.

BBL top agar - As for BBL agar but only 6.5 gms Difco agar per litre.

Fig. 2.1

Lambda vectors. The map at the top of Figure shows some of the important genetic markers of phage  $\lambda$ , the scale 0-100 represents the length of  $\lambda^+$ DNA; • is the phage attachment site. The <u>HindIII</u> (H) and <u>EcoRl</u> (E) cloning sites are shown. Given in parenthesis is the capacity of each vector.



Phage buffer - KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 3 g; Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (anhydrous), 7 gms; NaCl, 5 g; 10 ml O.1M MgSO<sub>4</sub>; 10 ml O.1M CaCl<sub>2</sub>; Im 1% gelatin solution per litre.

Bacterial buffer  $-KH_2PO_4$ , 3 g;  $Na_2HPO_4$  (anhydrous), 7 g; NaCl, 4 g; MgSO<sub>4</sub> 7H<sub>2</sub>O, O.2 g; per litre.

YEPD - Difco Bacto yeast extract, 10 g; Difco Bacto peptose, 20 g; glucose, 2%; per litre.

TE buffer - 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1mMEDTA.

1 x SSC 0.015<sup>M</sup> tri-sodium citrate, 0.15<sup>M</sup> NaCl.

Prepared as 20 x SSC and diluted as appropriate.

Phage Dialysis buffer - 10 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM

MgCl<sub>2</sub>.

## 2.6 Plating cells

A fresh overnight culture of TGL70 or 514 was diluted 20-fold in 100 ml L broth and incubated with aeration at  $37^{\circ}C$  until an  $A_{650}$  of 0.5-1.0 was reached. The cells were then centrifuged and resuspended in an equal volume of 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Bacteria prepared in this manner were stored at 4°C and could be used for up to two weeks.

## 2.7 Phage Lambda titration

The phage stock to be titred was diluted in phage buffer; and O.1 ml of the appropriate dilution mixed with O.1 ml of plating cells. 2.5 ml of molten BBL top agar was added and the mixture poured on to a BBL agar plate.

## 2.8 Preparation of lambda DNA

A single plaque of the relevant phage was picked in to phage buffer, and then plated for confluent lysis on 10 BBL agar plates. After overnight incubation the phage in the top agar were harvested, and the phage eluted from the top agar into phage buffer, the top agar being removed by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 minutes. This phage stock solution was then titred. The phage were added to a 250 ml culture of the plating cells when the  $A_{650}$  was 0.4. The multiplicity of infection (moi) was 3. The growth was followed until the culture lysed. The bacterial debris was removed by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 minutes. The phage were pelleted by centrifugation at 18,000 rpm for 3 hours. After removal of the supernatant, the phage were resuspended in 10 mls of phage buffer, by gentle shaking overnight at 4°C. DNAse I (50 µg/ml) and RNAse (100 µg/ml) were added at this . stage. CsCl was added to the resuspended phage to give a final density  $\rho$  = 1.45. The gradient was then centrifuged to equilibrium at 38K rpm for 48 hrs. The phage were removed from the gradient by withdrawal through the side of the centrifuge tube using a needle and syringe. The CsCl was removed by dialysis against phage dialysis buffer at room temperature for 2 hrs., two changes of .11 of buffer being sufficient. The phage DNA was extracted using an equal volume of redistilled phenol, which had been equilibrated against TE buffer. Three phenol extractions were followed by two ether extractions (to remove phenol), and the DNA The DNA was resuspended in TE buffer, and ethanol precipitated. the concentration estimated by absorbance at OD<sub>260</sub>.

## 2.9 Preparation of plasmid DNA (Humphreys et al., 1975)

An overnight culture of the plasmid carrying strain was diluted in 500 ml of L broth (containing ampicillin at 50 mg per litre) and shaken at 37°C overnight. Chloroform (5 ml) was added and the culture shaken for a further 15 mins. The cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 6 ml 25% sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.1, 40 mM EDTA. Lysozyme

(1 ml of 10 mg/ml solution in sucrose mix) and 1 ml 0.5M EDTA pH 8.0 were then added. The mixture was kept on ice for 5 mins, swirling occasionally. Thirteen ml of Triton mix (2 ml 10% Triton X-100, 25 ml 0.5m EDTA pH 8.1, 10 ml 1MTris-HC1 pH 8.1, H<sub>2</sub>O to 200 ml) were added, and the solution kept on ice for 10 mins. swirling occasionally. The lysate was centrifuged for 30 mins at 15k rpm in a 8 x 50 rotor in a Sorvall RCS**B** centrifuge. The supernatant was decanted and, after addition of 0.95 g CaCl and 0.1 ml 5 mg/ml ethidum bromide per ml of supernatant, was centrifuged to equilibrium at 38k rpm for 48-72 hours at 18°C. The plasmid DNA was visualised by long wave u.v., and removed by side puncture. Ethidum bromide was removed by extraction with an equal volume of butan-1-o1, and the CsC1 by dialysis against TE buffer. Three changes over three hours at 4°C, was sufficient to remove CsC1. Concentration of DNA was estimated by absorbance at OD<sub>260</sub>.

## 2.10 Small scale preparation of plasmid DNA

The method used was essentially that of Birnboim and Doly (1979). 1 ml of an overnight culture was centrifuged in a microfuge tube for 1 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100  $\mu$ 1 2 mg/ml lysozyme, 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Glucose and left on ice for 30 mins. AFter addition of 200  $\mu$ l of alkaline SDS solution (0.2 M NaOH, 1% SDS), the solution was left on ice for a further 5 minutes. This was followed by the addition of 150  $\mu$ l of high salt solution (3M sodium acetate pH 5.0), and another hour on ice. The white precipitate that formed was removed by 5 mins centrifugation in an Eppendorf microfuge. To the supernatant 1 ml of cold ethanol was added, and the solution placed at -20°C for 30 mins. The DNA was pelleted by 2 mins. centrifugation, and then resuspended in 100  $\mu$ l of 0.1M sodium acetate pH 6.0. To this

200  $\mu$ l of cold ethanol was added and the solution placed at -20°C for 10 mins. The DNA was again pelleted by 2 mins. centrifugation, dried, amd resuspended in 50  $\mu$ l of TE buffer. Half of this material was adequate for restriction, and could be visualised on agarose gels.

## 2.11 Ethanol precipitation

DNA or RNA was precipitated by either ethanol or iso-propanol. For the former a 1/10 volume of sterile 3M sodium acetate was added, followed by at least 2 volumes of cold 100% ethanol. The mixture was placed at -70°C for 15 mins. The DNA was pelleted by centrifugation in a microfuge for 5 mins. After removing the supernatant the pellet was washed in cold 70% ethanol, and then re-centrifuged. For iso-propanol precipitation a ½ vol. of sterile 6M ammonium acetate was added, followed by 2 volumes of isopropanol. The solution was left at room temperature for 10 mins., then centrifuged for 10 mins in a microfuge. The pelleted DNA washed with 70% isopropanol and recentrifuged.

## 2.12 Restriction enzyme digest

All restriction enzymes were purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories with the exception of <u>HindIII and Pst I</u>, which were made in this Department. See Table 2.2 for the buffer conditions used. All digestions were at 37°C with incubation periods of 1-5 hrs. Reactions were terminated by heating at 65°C for 10 mins. Reaction volumes were from 10  $\mu$ 1-100  $\mu$ 1, and multiple digestions were performed simultaneously when possible. Otherwise those enzymes demanding lower salt concentrations were added first, followed later by addition of the appropriate amount of salt plus final enzyme.

#### 2.13 Ligation of DNA

Only sticky ended ligations were performed and these were in 66 mM

Table 2.2

Buffer conditions for restriction enzymes

. .

Enzyme	Recognition	Tris-HCl	рН	NaCl	KCl	MgCl <sub>2</sub>	DTT*	BSA*
	Sequence	mM		mM	mM	mM	5mM	100 µg/ml
AvaI	C <sup>↓</sup> PyCGPuG	6	7.4	60	-	10	+	+
BamHl	G <sup>↓</sup> GATCC	6	7.9	150	-	6	-	+
BglII	a <sup>↓</sup> GATCT	10	7.4	-	66	10	+	+
DraI	TTT	6	7.6	100	-	6	+	+
EcoRl	G <sup>↓</sup> AATTC	100	7.5	50	_	5	-	+
HpaI	GTT ↓ AAC	10	7.5	-	20	10	+	+
HindIII	A <sup>↓</sup> AGCTT	10	7.4	60	-	7	-	+
Pst 1	CTGCA	6	7.4	50	-,	6	+	+
Salı	G <sup>♥</sup> TCGAC	6	7.9	150	-	6	+	+
<u>Hinc</u> II	GTPy <sup>↓</sup> PuAc	10	7.9	60	-	6	+	+

- \* DTT dithiothreitol
  - BSA bovine serum albumin
- + Py Pyrimidine

Pu - Purine

Tris-HC pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM ATP. Reactions were incubated overnight at 10°C with 10 units of T4 DNA ligase.

#### 2.14 Cloning

## (i) Cloning into phage lambda.

The vector and donor DNAs were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes, and mixed to optimise the ratio of arms to potential inserts and the concentration of the DNA species (Maniatis et a (1982) Molecular cloning)). For NM1149 1  $\mu$ g of insert was mixed with 2  $\mu$ gs of arms and ligated in 10  $\mu$ l. For NM 788 the arms were purified over a sucrose gradient, and the stuffer fragment removed. The cloning of a <u>Hind</u>III library into NM788 has previously been described (Goman et al. 1982).

## (ii) Subcloning into pBR322

Plasmid and donor DNAs were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes and then mixed in equimolar amounts to a total concentration of 40  $\mu$ g/ml, and ligated. The ligated DNA was then transformed into HBlO1 and transformants selected on L agar plates containing ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g/ml). Transformants were screened for the desired recombinant small scale plasmid preparations (Birnboim and Doly, 1979).

#### 2.15 Transformation

A 500 ml culture of HBlOl was grown at 37°C with aeration until an  $A_{650}$  of 0.4 was reached. The cells were chilled on ice for 10 minutes, pelleted, resuspended in 200 ml of cold 0.1M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and left on ice for 25 mins. The cells were again pelleted and resuspended in 5 ml of CaCl<sub>2</sub>-15% glycerol. These cells could be used immediately or stored at -70°C. They remain competent for up to two months. The

transforming DNA was mixed with 50  $\mu$ l of competent cells, mixed, and kept on ice for 30 mins. A 2 min. heat shock of 42°C was followed by a further 30 mins. on ice. One ml of L broth was added, and the cells grown at 37°C for 1-3 hrs, before plating on selective plates.

## 2.16 In vitro packaging of lambda DNA

## Freeze Thaw Lysate (FTL) ^

Three 500 ml cultures of BHB2688 were grown in L broth at 30°C. At  $A_{650}$  0.3 the phage were induced by a 15 min. heat shock at 45°C. The induced bacteria were grown for 1 hr at 37°C, before harvesting by centrifugation. They were resuspended in 6 ml of cold 10% sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, and dispensed into 2 10 ml ultracentrifuge tubes. To each tube 75 µl of fresh lysozyme solution (2 mg/ml in 0.25M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5), were added and the solution mixed well. A quick freeze in liquid N<sub>2</sub> was followed by gentle thawing. To each tube 75µl of buffer Ml (110µl H<sub>2</sub>0, 6 µl 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 300 µl 50 mM spermidine, 100 mM putrescine neutralised with Tris base, 9 µl 1M MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 75 µl 0.1M ATP neutralised with NH<sub>4</sub>OH, 1 µl β-mercaptoethanol) were added and mixed gently. The samples were then centrifuged at 35000 rpm for 35 mins. The supernatant removed and dispensed in 50-100 µl aliquots and stored at -70°C.

#### Sonicate extract (SE)

A 500 ml culture of BHB2690 was grown at 30°C to an  $A_{650}$  of 0.3. The phage induced and harvested as before. The pellet was resuspended in 4.6 ml of buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5% (v/v)  $\beta$ -mercaptaethanol, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0). The resuspended cells were sonicated without foaming until the suspension was no longer viscous. The cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 6

mins., and the supernatant aliquoted and stored at -70°C.

### 2.17 DNA gels

Large gels - DNA samples were prepared for electrophoresis by adding 10 µl of loading buffer (10% ficoll, 0.025% bromophenol blue). Electrophoresis was through horizontal gel slabs (28 x 14 x 0.5 cm) connected by wicks at each end to tanks containing 40 mM Tris, 20 mM tri-sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA adjusted to pH 8.2 with glacial acetic acid, and ethidium bromide (500 µg per litre). The agarose, which had been melted in the same buffer was 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0%. The samples were run into the gel at 10 volts/cm and then run overnight at 4 volts/cm. Phage  $\lambda_{CI}$  DNA restricted with HindIII and/or EcoRI provided molecular weight markers.

<u>Mini gels</u> - Small amounts of DNA (0.05  $\mu$ g- 0.5  $\mu$ g) were separated using a gel (10 x 5 x 0.15 cm) made up in Tris-borate buffer (89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, 25 mM EDTA pH 8.2), containing ethidum bromide (500  $\mu$ g per litre). The electrophoresis was performed under buffer at 40 volts/cm, usually for 30 mins. In this way aliquots of DNA could be checked for restriction, ligation or size.

#### 2.18 RNA gels

The RNA was fractionated under denaturing conditions using an agarose-formaldehyde gel. The gel buffer (GB) used was 20 mM MOPS, 5 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA pH 7.0. Agarose of the required concentration (0.8%, 1%, 1.5% or 2%) was melted in 1 x GB and then cooled to 50°C. Formaldehyde was added to give a final concentration of 2.2M. The RNA samples were taken up in sample buffer (50% formamide 2.2 M formaldehyde, 1 x GB) and heated at 60°C for 5 mins. Loading buffer (16% ficoll, 0.025% bromophenol blue) was then added and the

samples run in at 5 volts/cm. The gel (28 x 14 x 0.5 cm) was run overnight at 2 volts/cm.

## 2.19 Protein gels

Proteins were fractionated according to their size using the sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophores**G**(SDS-PAGE) system first described by Laemmli (1970). However, in this case 3.75% stacking and 10% slab running gels were used. A mixture of high and low molecular weight size markers (Pharmacia) gave a range in molecular weight from 14,400 to 330,000 daltons. The proteins were visualised by silver staining, using the revised protocol of Morrisey <u>et al</u>. (1981).

#### 2.20 DNA transfer to nitrocellulese

(i) Southern blots - The method used was essentially that described by Southern (1975). The agarose gel was prepared for transfer by denaturing in 0.5M NaOH, 1.5M NaCl, and then neutralising in 1M Tris-HCl, 3M NaCl pH 5.5. After soaking for 10 mins. in 2 x SSC the gel was blotted overnight in 20 x SSC. After transfer the nitrocellulose was rinsed in 2 x SSC before baking for 2 hr. at 80°C under vacuum.

(ii) An alternative method was also used (Smith and Summers, 1980). In this case the gel was also denatured in 0.5M NaOH/ 1.5M NaCl, but neutralised in 1M ammonium acetate/0.02M NaOH. The transfer requires no wicks, and can be bidirectional if required. DNA transfer takes 1 hour at room temperature. The filter was rinsed in 2 x SSC and baked at 80°C under vacuum.

## 2.21 RNA transfer to nitro cellulese

Northern blots - The agarose/formaldehyde gel was soaked in

20 x SSC for 30 mins. The gel was blotted in 10 x SSC as described above. After transfer the nitrocellulase was rinsed in 3 x SSC, air dried, and baked for 2 hrs. at 80 °C under vacuum.

## 2.22 Protein transfer to nitro cellulose

Western blots - The method used was the electrophoretic transfer of proteins from PAGE gels described by Towbin et al. (1979). The gel was soaked for 10 mins. in the transfer buffer (192 mM glycine, 25 mM Tris), to reduce the amount of SDS, before electro-blotting. The transfer was carried out at 60 volts (0.3 amp) for 6 hrs.

## 2.23 Plaque screening by hybridisation with DNA or RNA probes

The method used was that described by Benton and Davis (1977). The nitro cellulose filter was placed against the BBL plates without prior treatment. After 10 mins. the filter was carefully removed, and placed on blotting paper soaked in 0.5 NaOH, the surface that had been in contact with the plaques being uppermost. After a further 10 mins. the filters were placed sequentially in 0.1M NaOH/1.5M NaCl, 0.5M Tris/1.5M NaCl pH 7.5, 0.2M Tris/2 x SSC pH 7.5. The filters were then air-dried before baking at 80°C under vacuum for 2 hrs.

#### 2.24 Nick translation of DNA

DNA used for probing nitrocellulose filters was labelled with  $\alpha - {}^{32}P$ -dCTP (Amersham), of specific activity 410 Ci/mM (0.8 mC/Ml). The method employed was essentially that of Rigby <u>et al.</u> (1977). Usually 0.5 µg of DNA were labelled and the activity incorporated was 1-10 x 10<sup>6</sup> cpm/µg. The incorporated counts were separated from the unincorporated by passing the reaction mixture over a Sephadex G-50 (fine) column.
### 2.25 Hybridisations

Whether DNA or RNA was used as a probe against southern or northern blots, the hybridisation was the same. It was 4 x SSC, 50% formamide, 0.1% SDS, 1 x Denhardt solution (0.02% BSA 0.02% polyvinyl pyrolid**er** 0.02% ficoll). When probing for sequences thought to be present in low copy number Dextran sulphate (Sigma) was added to the hybridisation solution at 5% concentration. The blots were hybridised overnight at 37°C on a rotary shaker. After hybridisation the filters were washed at 37°C with shaking in 0.1% SDS, SSC (2x, 1x, 0.5x), 4 x  $\frac{1}{2}$  hr. washes. This was followed by 4 x  $\frac{1}{2}$  hrs. washes in SSC (2x, 1x, 0.5x), before air drying and exposing. The stringency was varied depending on whether a homologous or heterologous probe was used. Blots could be dehybridised by boiling in 0.1 x SSC for 30 mins. and reprobed if required.

### 2.26 End labelling of RNA by kinase



#### 2.27 Immuno detection of actin on Western blots

The technique used was enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) described by Towbin et al. (1979). The western blot was first incubated with 10% egg albumin, (Crude powder grade II Sigma) 0.15M NaCl 0.01M Tris pH 7.4 for 1-3 hrs. Then it was washed for  $\frac{1}{2}$  hr in 0.15M NaCl, O.OlM Tris pH 7.4, with multiple changes, before incubating with the rat a yeast actin (a kind gift of John Kilmartin). The antibody (Ab) incubation buffer was 0.15M NaCl, 0.01M Tris pH 7.4, 5% egg albumin. After 1 hr. incubation, the filter was again washed and the rabbit  $\alpha$ rat (Miles-Yeda) added at a 1:500 dilution. A further1hr incubation was followed by another  $\frac{1}{2}$  hr wash before the last Ab was added. This was goat a rabbit IgG conjugated with horse radish peroxidase (Miles-Yeda). The final incubation was for 1 hr, after washing the western blot was stained with 500  $\mu$ l of imidazole buffer pH 7.4, 250  $\mu$ l o-dianisidine dihydrochloride, 50 µl hydrogen peroxide (Sigma) 4.2 mls of  $H_2O$ . A brown colouration develops within 10 mins where the original Ag (actin) was located.

# 2.28 Extraction of DNA/RNA from agarose gels by electroelution

The fragment to be electroeluted was visualised under uv, and excised from gel. The piece of agarose containing the fragment was placed inside dialysis tubing and electrophoresis buffer added sufficient to cover agarose. The clipped dialysis sack was placed under electrophoresis buffer and electroeluted at 300 volts for 2 hrs. The agarose was removed, the tubing resealed and electrophoresis continued for a further 10 mins. in the opposite polarity. The buffer was removed from tubing, given a phenol extraction to remove traces of agarose, and then ethanol precipitated.

### 2.29 Mapping of multiple sites for a single enzyme

The method used was based on that described by Smith and Birnsteil (1976). The pBR322 recombinant was restricted with <u>BamH1</u> and the end 'filled in' using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase (Boehringer). The 3' end labelled fragments were then partially digested with <u>DraI</u> (NBL enzymes), and fractionated over 0.7% agarose gel. Since the <u>DraI</u> sites in pBR322 were known, the partials due to the plasmid were easily distinguished from those derived from the insert. Only those partials with a common <sup>32</sup>P-labelled 3' terminus were seen, when the dried down gel was autoradiographed.

### 2.30 Gel photography

Gels were photographed under short wave uv light using Ilford HP5 professional 5" x 4" sheet film, and a red filter. Exposure was for 30 secs. followed by 5 mins. in Ilford Microphen developer. The relative mobilities of the DNA fragments were measured directly from the negative.

### 2.31 Autoradiography

Autoradiography was performed using CRONEX 4- X-ray film, cassette and lightning plus intensifying screens. The cassettes were stored at -70°C, for the appropriate time.

### 2.32 Electron Microscopy (Highton, et al. 1975)

The phage  $\lambda$  clones were partially denatured in a sodium hydroxide/ formaldehyde solution for 90 secs. at 27°C. The DNA was spread on a hyperphase of 50% formamide. The single stranded size marker was M13 (Denhardt <u>et al</u>. 1978) and the double stranded size marker was pAT153 (Twigg <u>et al</u>. 1980).

# CHAPTER 3

# STRAIN VARIATION CHARACTERISED BY

1

# THE ORGANIZATION OF A CLASS OF

# REPETITIVE DNA

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Results and discussion

3.3 Conclusions

### 3.1 Introduction

It has been known for many years that striking changes occur in the physical properties of DNA when a solution of it is heated. This denaturation or melting of DNA represents the disruption of the double helix into its complementary single strands (Marmur <u>et al</u>. 1963). The process is characterised by the temperature of the midpoint of transition, Tm, which is also described as the melting temperature. When denatured DNA is cooled slowly, a substantial proportion of the original duplex reforms, this process is described as renaturation. The reassociation of complementary single strands can be assayed either by the amount of double stranded DNA retained on a hydroxyapatite (HAP) column, or by absorbtion of ultraviolet light. The rate of this reassociation follows second-order kinetics, and is given a rate constant k (Wetmur and Davidson, 1968), such that

$$\operatorname{Cot}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{k}$$

where Co is the initial DNA concentration and  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the time required for half the DNA to be reassociated. Reassociation of DNA is usually followed in the form of a Cot curve, where the fraction of that which remains single stranded (C/Co) or the fraction that has renatured (1-C/Co) is plotted against the log of the Cot (Britten and Kohne, 1968).

Cot analysis of eukaryotic genomes has revealed them to be composed of 3 reassociating components (for review see Davidson et al. 1975). The slow component that renatures at high Cot values, usually represents approximately 60% of the genome, and comprises non-repetitive or unique sequences. An intermediate component renatures over a range of Cot values, corresponds to approximately 20% of the genome, and is made up of middle repetitive sequences. Finally there is a fast renaturing component composed of highly repeated sequences that has the lowest Cot values. There is obviously some degree of overlap between the moderate and highly repetitive components of the genome.

In Plasmodia to date Cot analysis has only been performed on P.berghei and P.falciparum (Chance et al., 1978; Dore et al., 1980; Dore et al., 1983 and Hough-Evans et al. 1982). These studies reveal that both P.berghei and P.falciparum possess DNA of 3 reassociating components, i.e. the genome of Plasmodia comprises unique; moderately repetitive, and repetitive sequences. In P.berghei the repetitive proportion was estimated at 18% (Dore et al., 1980), whereas in P.falciparum it was estimated at 10% (Hough-Evans et al. 1982). This discrepancy is probably due to the fact that Hough-Evans et al. observed an initial reassociation of 9%, which they ignored since they also observed an initial reassociation of 5% (as defined by binding to the HAP column) in the E.coli standard. The observation of approximately 20% repetitive DNA has also been reported for P.falciparum (Goman et al. 1982). This rough estimate was derived from the proportion of a genomic library of P.falciparum cloned into phage  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda$ HPF8), which rapidly hybridised to radio-labelled total parasite DNA. One of the clones identified in this way,  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20, was used here to examine strain variation at the genomic DNA level.

Variation between and within strains of <u>P.falciparum</u>, has been characterised previously using biochemical and immunological markers (Wilson, R. J. M. 1980; Tait, A. 1981; McBride, <u>et al</u>. 1982), and genetic variation in malaria parasites has been recently reviewed (Walliker, D., 1982). The salient details are outlined below.

The two principle requirements for a conventional type of genetic analysis are controlled matings between strains of the organism concerned; and the availability of stable genetic markers differentiating the strains. Note that since a mosquito is allowed to feed from the two different strains, as it is technically on gametocytes difficult to use just male and female gametocytes from each strain, a certain degree of self as well as cross fertilization occurs. A certain number of recombinants will therefore be of parental type. For genetic markers, extensive use has been made of different forms of enzymes as revealed by their mobility when subjected to electrophoresis. The use of these and other markers demonstrated that Plasmodia undergo a eukaryotic type of life cycle, the blood forms being haploid. The enzyme studies showed that a considerable degree of random mating occurs in populations of a single species, allowing new types of variant forms to arise readily.

An interesting new observation is that a single strain of <u>P.falciparum</u> is capable of displaying different forms of antigenic determinants (Hommel <u>et al.</u> 1983). This type of antigenic variation has been known for some time in <u>P.knowlesi</u> (Brown <u>et al.</u>, 1965). Both cases concern antigenic determinants on the surface of infected erythrocytes. In <u>P.falciparum</u> it is not clear whether the antigenic determinant is parasite encoded, or results from parasite modification of an erythrocyte antigen, since its presence was detected by fluorescent antibody only. In <u>P.knowlesi</u> the antigenic determinants are parasite encoded, and the major variant antigens (210,000 and 190,000), have recently been identified (Howard <u>et al.</u>, 1983). It has yet to be determined if the alteration in size of the variant

antigen is a consequence of expression of antigens of different size, or a difference in modification of a single antigen. The work reported by Hommel, et al.(1983) is the first indication that <u>P.falciparum</u> may be capable of varying the expression of particular antigenic determinants. However, the full implications of this observation can only be assessed after the antigens involved have been identified and characterised.

An understanding of strain variation and expression of parasite antigens, is important in research aimed at recognition of clinically important antigens as a prelude to the development of a vaccine against malaria. The aim of the work presented here was to establish if it is possible to correlate strain variation with an alteration in the genomic organization of P.falciparum.

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

The construction of a genomic library from the Gambian strain of <u>P.falciparum</u> HGl3 (Butcher 1981), in phage lambda has previously been reported (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982). This library was constructed from DNA extracted from an asynchronous <u>in vitro</u> culture of asexual inter erythrocytic blood stages of <u>P.falciparum</u>. Three clones containing repetitive DNA were identified and isolated from the library by their strong homology to total parasite DNA labelled with <sup>32</sup>P-containing dCTP by nick-translation (see Methods 2.24). Since a clone containing repetitive DNA, unlike those with unique sequences, should readily find homologous, labelled molecules in the probe and become heavily labelled itself (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982). Three clones were selected for further study, and were found to have inserts of 7.0 kb, 5.0 kb and 7.1 kb. All three clones cross hybridise to each other, and  $\lambda$ PFH8repl3 (5.0 kb) was shown to be more than 70% A+T (Bone et al., 1983). One of these clones

λPFH8rep20 (7.1 kb) was used in the study presented here. Its parasite DNA insert has recognition sites for <u>BglII</u> and <u>HpaI</u>, but no sites for <u>BamH1</u>, <u>SalI</u>, <u>PstI</u>, and <u>EcoR1</u> (M. Goman, pers. comm.).

When genomic DNA extracted from <u>P.falciparum</u>, was digested with <u>HindI</u>II, and fractionated on a 0.7% agarose gel, a large number of discrete bands are seen, indicating the presence in <u>P.falciparum</u> of repetitive DNA (Fig. 3.1). Although the pattern is very complex differences can be observed between the two isolates (parasite lines derived from separate patients) compared. Both isolates are from Thailand but one, Kl (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1981), is resistant to the anti-malarial drug pyrimethamine, and is shown in track 3. The other, Tak 9 (Rosario, 1981) is sensitive to pyrimethamine, and is shown in track 4.

When the DNA is transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridised with  $\lambda$ PFH8 rep 20, a finite number of bands are observed (tracks 5 and 6), moreover dramatic differences can now be seen between the two isolates (compare tracks 5 and 6). These differences must be due to sequences homologous to  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 having different genomic environments in the two isolates, i.e. the sequences, and/or their locations are not conserved. When the same DNA was hybridised to pPF<u>rib1</u> (see Chapter 4) an identical pattern of hybridisation is observed (tracks 7 and 8). This pattern of hybridisation is always observed when pPF<u>rib1</u> is hybridised to <u>Hind</u>III digested genomic DNA (see Chapter 4), and allows one to conclude that the difference in the hybridisation patterns forTak9 and Kl reflect differences in the isolates, and are not a result of partial digestion by HindIII.

The data presented so far demonstrate that  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 can recognise variation between two isolates of <u>P.falciparum</u>. However isolates have previously been shown to contain several different genetic types of parasite. Rosario (1981) prepared clones from the isolate Tak9 (T9), and showed that while the original uncloned material exhibited a mixture of several enzyme variants, single clones showed only one form of each enzyme. These and other clones of T9 have been examined for variation in other characters 1) proteins, 2) drug susceptibility, 3) antigens, 4) knobs on infected erythrocytes 5) electrophoretic variants of iso-enzymes (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1983). This offered a unique opportunity to compare the hybridisation pattern of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 to their genomic DNA, and to see if this could be correlated with the other parameters of variation.

Genomic DNA was extracted from 6 clones of T9, digested with a set of restriction endonucleases and fractionated on agarose gels. After transfer to nitrocellulose the DNA was hybridised with labelled  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 and pPF<u>rib</u>l. Hybridisation with pPF<u>rib</u>l was included as an assay for limit digestion, as its pattern of hybridisation to genomic DNAs restricted with these enzymes is known (see Chapter 4). Genomic DNA from the 6 clones of Tak9 were first restricted with <u>Hind</u>III and fractionated on a 0.7% agarose gel (Fig. 3.2). Note that some of the genomic DNAs were poorly digested particularly clone T9.96 (tracks 2 and 9). This was confirmed by hybridisation with pPF<u>rib1</u> (Fig. 3.3b), compare the pattern with that in Fig. 3.1 tracks 7 and 8. Inspite of the poor restriction T9.94 (Fig. 3.3a track 1) is clearly different from T9.106 (track 6), and both differ from T9.97 (track 3) and T9.102 (track 5).

# Fig. 3.1

Gel and hybridisation analysis of total <u>P.falciparum</u> DNA. Compared are DNA extracted from two different <u>P.falciparum</u> isolates, Kl (tracks 1, 3, 5 and 7) and Tak9 (tracks 2, 4, 6 and 8). Tracks 1 and 2 show unrestricted total DNA. Tracks 3 and 4 show <u>Hind</u>III digests. The fractionated DNA was transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridised to either the repetitive clone  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 (tracks 5 and 6) or the ribosomal RNA clone pPF<u>rib1</u> (tracks 7 and 8). The size markers are <u>Hind</u>III fragments of  $\lambda$ DNA. Fig 3.1





The same clones of T9 were then restricted with EcoR1 and  $\cdot$ fractionated on a 0.7% agarose gel (Fig. 3.4). Again the DNA from T.9.96 was poorly digested (tracks 2 and 9) as well as T9.94 (tracks 1 and 8). The other appeared to have been digested to completion, and this was confirmed by the hybridisation pattern shown to pPFribl The pattern of hybridisation shown to  $\lambda PFH8rep20$ (Fig. 3.5b). (Fig. 3.5a) is quite significant. All clones displayed an identical pattern with the exception of track 2 where some EcoRl partials are seen. The majority of hybridisation was to high molecular weight fragments poorly resolved by the gel system used.  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 also showed homology to a fragment of approximately 11 kb whose size was invariant in the 6 clones examined. The presence of this fragment is dependent upon restriction as no homology is seen in that region when unrestricted DNA is probed with  $\lambda PFH8rep20$ (Fig. 3.7a, track 6). The low degree of hybridisation to the unrestricted DNA is probably due to poor transfer to nitrocellulose of this very high molecular weight material. The significance and possible interpretations of this observation are discussed in Section 3.3.

Finally genomic DNA from 5 of the clones was restricted with the enzyme <u>DraI</u>. This enzyme was chosen as its recognition sequence is TTT AAA, and considering that the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u> has an A+T content of 81% (Goman <u>et al</u>. 1982; Pollock <u>et al</u>. 1982), was expected to cut at high frequency. As predicted the bulk of the genomic DNA was reduced to fragments of small size (Fig. 3.6), surprisingly a number of discrete high molecular weight fragments were generated. Transfer of this DNA to nitrocellulose and

# Fig. 3.4

Ethidium bromide stained gel of <u>EcoRl</u> digestions of clones of T9.

Track	1	т9.	94
	2	т9.	96
	3	т9.	97
	4	т9.	99
	5	т9.	102
	6	т9.	106
	7	Hindi	י ג דד

HindIII  $\lambda$  DNA size marker fragments.

Tracks 8-13 are a direct repeat of tracks 1-6. Note DNA in tracks 1, 2, 8 and 9 poorly digested. Fig 3.4

size



# Fig. 3.5

Autoradiograph of Southern transfer of gel in Fig. 3.4.

Panel A: hybridised to  $\lambda$ HPF8rep20. Note extra bands cbserved in track 2, are due to partial digestion. Note also common band on invariant size.

Panel B: hybridised to pPF<u>ribl</u>. Hybridisation pattern observed for tracks 10-13 indicate complete digestion of DNA (see text).

# Fig3.5

Α

# 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 910111213



# Fig. 3.6

Ethidium bromide stained gel of <u>DraI</u> digestions of clones of T9.

Track	1	т9.	94
	2	т9.	96
	3	т9.	97
	4	т9.	99
	5	т9.	106
	6	undig	gested T9

Tracks 8-12 direct repeat of tracks 1-5.

Track 13 HindIII  $\lambda$  DNA size marker fragments. Note line across gel is an artifact introduced during photography.

# Fig 3.6



hybridisation with  $\lambda$  PFH8rep20 revealed that it is to these high molecular weight fragments that homology is observed (Fig. 3.7). The pattern generated by the restriction enzyme <u>DraI</u> most clearly differentiates between the clones of T9. The patterns reveal that T9.94, T9.96 and T9.106 are clearly different (tracks 1, 2 and 5), whereas T9.97 and T9.99 appear to be related (tracks 3 and 4). A longer exposure of the same blot identifies more differences between lower molecular weight fragments, and the pattern observed when pPF<u>rib1</u> is used as a probe indicates that the digest was complete (Fig. 3.8). Although the differences between the clones of T9 may be more clearly revealed by the use of some other restriction endonuclease, those demonstrated by <u>DraI</u> are adequate to differentiate between clones of a single isolate.

#### 3.3 Conclusions

As noted previously these clones were also being characterised by Thaithong and her co-workers using a different set of parameters. With kind permission a summary of their results is presented in Table 3.1, note that clone T9.99 is not included in the table. Depending on the response of each clone to the 5 different parameters used to assay for variation, each was assigned to a clonal type. The clones used in this study are circled.

The important observation from the hybridisations with  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is that there is perfect correlation between the genomic hybridisation analysis and the other assays of variation. This is illustrated by T9.99, which was identified by the <u>DraI</u> pattern to  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 as being the same as T9.97. Subsequent work, by Walliker <u>et al.</u> (pers. comm.) has confirmed that T9.99 and T9.97 show the same variation in enzymes,

# Fig. 3.7

Autoradiograph of Southern transfer of gel in Fig. 3.6.

Panel A: hybridised to  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20. Note clear differences in hybridisation patterns, with the exception of tracks 3 and 4.

Panel B: hybridised to pPF<u>ribl</u>. Note at exposure presented very little hybridisation observed. For longer exposure see Fig. 3.8.

Fig 3.7



### Fig. 3.8

A longer exposure of the autoradiggraph presented in Fig. 3.7.

Panel A: this exposure reveals further differences between low molecular weight fragments, compare tracks 1 and 5. Note also that homology is only detected to unrestricted T9 DNA track 6.

Panel B: hybridisation to pPFribl now observed. Pattern indicative of complete digestion (see text).

# Fig 3.8



### Table 3.1

The reference numbers of the cultures are given as they appeared in the dilution cloning experiments (Thaithong et al. 1983). The enzymes shown are glucose phosphate isomerase (GPI) )EC5.3.1.9); adenosine deaminase (ADA) (Ec3.5.4.4); peptidase (PEP) (EC3.4.11 or 13). The numbers refer to variants of each enzyme. Drug susceptibility values refer to minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) in molar (M) units. Antigen diversity is shown by indirect immunofluorescence tegt with ten monoclonal antibodies. Presence or absence of reaction is indicated by + or - respectively. -/+ indicates mixtures containing a small (ca.) 2% proportion of + parasites. Knobs: presence or absence is indicated by + or - respectively. Proteins by 2D gel electrophoresis; A-K are six selected protein spots on the 2D gels, the numbers refer to variants (see Thaithong, et al., 1983). The inferred 'clone-types' are indicated by a roman numeral, and indicates clones which are alike in all characters observed. NT = not tested.

Table 3.1

·

		Original	Refer	ence no	s. of c	ultures	produc	ed by di	lutio	o jo u	riginal	isolate
		isolate (T9)	102	106	100	101	98	<u>6</u> 2*	6	107	@4	105*
Enzyme types	GPI	1/2	}	)-	I	-	0	)-	)~	8	),	1
	ADA	1/2	I	1	1	2	ľ	2	I	I	1	T
	PEP	1/2	٦	7	ı	1	2	1	2	2	1	ł
Drug susceptibility	Chloroguine	10 <sup>-6</sup>	10 <sup>-6</sup>	10-6	10-6	10-6	10-7	10 <sup>-6</sup>	10-7	10 <sup>-8</sup>	5×10 <sup>-7</sup>	10 <sup>-6</sup>
(MIC)	Pyrimethamine	NT	5×10 <sup>-5</sup>	10-9	10 <sup>-9</sup>	10-9	10 <sup>-5</sup>					
	. 5.1	+		5	ı		. +	1	+	+	+	+
	iei w	+	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+	+
	00 0°5	+	+	•	•	+	+	+	+	+	ı	+/-
	1.1.1 0 5.0	+	1	1	ı	+	1	+	ı	ı	ı	1
Antigens	6 12.2	+	ı	1	ı	I	÷	١.	+	+	1	I
	12.1	+	+	+	+	1	÷	+/-	+	+	1	+/-
	0 10.4	+	+	+	NT	+	NT	+	+	+	ı	+/-
	00, 4	+	+	+	NT	+	1	+	ı	ı	ı	+/-
	o 12.3	+	+	+ '	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+/-
	£ 9.21	+	+	•+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	+
Knobs		-/+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+
	A	2/4	1	7	1	1	<b>,</b>	T	~	2	4	2/4
	U	1/2/3	~	2	IN	2	7	~	٦	I	N	2
Proteins	D	2/4/5	S	S	S	4	S	4	4	4	ŝ	4
by 2-D	ш	2/3/4	4	4	4	4	1	4	m <sub>.</sub>	4	0	8
electrophoresis	Ċ.	1/2/3	0	3	0	8	N	2	5	8	Ē	1
	U	1/2	2	3	بر ۲	2	2	3	2	2	2	1
	К	3/5/6	6	6	ų	6	Э	6	3	e	6	5
lInferred clone type			I	I	I	11	11 111	(+1117)	IV	>	V IV	(2+)11/

•

CHARACTERIZATION OF CLONES PRODUCED BY DILUTION OF ISOLATE T9 OF P. FALCIPARUM

ø

drug susceptibility, antigens, possessions of 'knobs', and enzyme variants, and have been assigned the same clonal type. Note that. T9.99 and T9.97 are not pure clones and are mixtures of clonal types II and III.

The evidence presented here, although preliminary, raises interesting questions about the genetic basis of the observed variation between strains of <u>P.falciparum</u>. The process underlying the variation in hybridisation patterns of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20, has at least 3 possible explanations. The first is that  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is a transposable element which transposes at high frequency. Transposition elements are known to exist in eukaryotes, in yeast there are about 35 copies of the transposable elementTyl per genome, and their chromosomal distribution differs between strains (Cameron <u>et al</u>. 1979). According to this view the insertion of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 at different points within the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u>, results in differing patterns of hybridisation between the strains compared.

In one case  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is inserted into a piece of repetitive DNA defined by <u>EcoR1</u> sites, which are conserved and 11 kb apart. This is the invariant 11 kb fragment identified by  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 in all clones. In the majority of cases  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is inserted in <u>EcoR1</u> fragments of high molecular weight. Note that  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 itself does not possess <u>EcoR1</u> sites.

If the frequency of transposition were high enough it should be possible to detect it in the following way. A pure clone of <u>P.falciparum</u> could be maintained by <u>in vitro</u> culture of the asexual blood stages. At various points over a time course, a proportion of the parasitescould be harvested, their DNA extracted, and the

hybridisation pattern of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 determined. Variation in the pattern would indicate that transposition had occurred. It is evident that only a high rate of transposition in the blood stages could be detected in this way, however, using essentially this protocol, transposition of Tyl was detected in yeast (Cameron <u>et al</u>., 1979). The observation that T9.97 and T9.99 give identical hybridisation patterns, even after continuous <u>in vitro</u> culture of the pure clones, argues against this hypothesis.

A second possibility is suggested by the copia family of elements in <u>Drosophia</u> (for review see Ruben, G. M., 1983). Here  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is dispersed around the genome by a transposition event that occurs at low frequency. The strain variation shown by the hybridisation pattern of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is stable, and due to the location of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 at different sites within genomes of the strains compared. The observation that strain differences are observed with both <u>HindIII</u> and <u>DraI</u>, make it difficult to accept restriction site polymorphism as an explanation for the variation in hybridisation pattern. In depth analysis of the invariant 11 kb <u>EcoR1</u> fragment may reveal whether  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is part of a transposable element (e.g. by the possession of direct repeats at its termini), this 11 kb fragment should be readily identified in an <u>EcoR1</u> genomic library constructed from T9 DNA.

The third possibility does not have to involve active transposition. The heterogeneity between the strains can be explained providing sequences homologous to  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 are repeated and located at different loci in the genomes of the strains compared. Recombination between the strains would then generate the diverse

patterns observed. The invariant 11 kb fragment results from the occurrence of  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 in a repeated stretch of genomic DNA with 2 conserved EcoR1 sites. The majority of homology to high molecular weight DNA is due to a lack of <u>EcoR1</u> sites in these regions. Why sequences homologous to  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 occur only in stretches of DNA bereft of EcoR1 sites is unknown at this time.

The involvement of recombination in strain variation can be tested directly. This is most easily done using the rodent malaria <u>P.chabaudi</u>, as it is technically difficult to maintain the complete life cycle of <u>P.falciparum</u> in the laboratory. Mice could be infected with pure haploid clones of two different strains of <u>P.chabaudi</u>, and after the establishment of a reasonable parasitaemia, uninfected mosquitos allowed to feed on the gametocytes in the blood. The parasites go through sexual reproduction in the mosquito, before it is allowed to feed on uninfected mice. The parasite DNA from the two groups of mice could be extracted and the hybridisation patterns between the pre-meiotic and post-meiotic parasites compared. An alteration in the hybridisation patterns would indicate that recombination had occurred.

The evidence presented here suggests that strain variation in <u>P.falciparum</u> may be due to transposition of a particular DNA sequence, and/or a high frequency of recombination between interbreeding strains. A high frequency of recombination can also be inferred from the failure to detect any linkage between the 10 genetic markers so far examined (D. Walliker, pers. comm.). The recent demonstration of the variation in an antigenic determinant in P.falciparum may be significant in the light of current efforts to .64.

produce a protective vaccine to malaria. However, the molecular basis of this antigenic variation in malaria has yet to be determined. Antigenic variation in <u>Trypanosomes</u> is known to be due in some cases to transposition to an 'expression site', followed by recombination (for review see Borst and Cross, 1982). It is not unreasonable therefore to suppose that transposition combined with recombination may have a role in antigenic variation in Plasmodia.

# CHAPTER 4

.

٠...

# ISOLATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF rDNA

FRAGMENTS FROM THE GENOME OF PLASMODIUM

FALCIPARUM

# 4.1 Introduction

4.2	Identification of genomic fragments homologous to rRNA probes
4.3	Isolation of rDNA clones from $\lambda$ PFH8 library
4.4	Mapping of the A+T rich regions by partial denaturation
4.5	Physical characterisation of the 4.1 kb and 4.2 kb rDNA fragments
4.6	Mapping of the rRNA coding sequences in pPFribl and pPFrib2
4.7	Isolation and characterisation of a rDNA fragment homologous to 28S rRNA from the $\lambda$ RIKI library.
4.8	Estimation of the number of copies of the 4.4 kb and 4.2 kb

fragments in the genome of **P.falciparum**.

4.9 Conclusions

## 4.1 Introduction

The sequences of eukaryotic genomes can be grouped into 3 classes (1) highly repetitive, (2) middle repetitive, (3) unique or single copy, as defined by renaturation kinetics. The proportion of each varies from organism to organism, the single copy class ranging from 50-70%, and the highly repetitive class comprising 10% of the genome (for review see Davidson <u>et al</u>. 1975). The remainder of the genome is comprised of middle repetitive sequences, a significant proportion of which is rDNA. For example the rDNA sequences in <u>Drosophila</u> <u>melanogaster</u> (<u>Dm</u>) comprise 2% of the total genome, and 20% of the middle repetitive DNA (Spradling <u>et al</u>. 1981).

Studies on the rRNA genes from a wide range of organisms reveal them to be repeated and conserved in their coding sequence. The abundance and conservation of rDNA sequences, has made them readily accessible for analysis (Long and Dawid 1980). In all species studied so far, the major rRNA species of eukaryotic organisms are called 28S, 18S, 5.8S and 5S according to their approximate sedimentation coefficients. The 28S, 5.8S and 5S are found in the large (60s). subunit and 18S in the small (40s) subunit of the mature ribosome. The three larger rRNA species (28S, 18S and 5.8S) are generated from a single precursor rRNA molecule (Perry, R. P. 1976). DNA complementary to the precursor is subdivided into 5' external transcribed spacer, an 18S rRNA gene, an internal transcribed spacer, and a 28S rRNA gene, in that order. The 5.8S rRNA gene is located in the internal transcribed spacer region. In many organisms rRNA genes are located in tandem, separated by a sequence called the non-transcribed spacer. In some cases the repeating unit also codes for 5S rRNA, but in

general pre rRNA and 5S rRNA genes in eukaryotes are not linked. For a more comprehensive review of rRNA see Chapter 1.

The rRNA genes in <u>P.falciparum</u> were chosen for study since their conserved sequence should permit their indentification by homology to cloned rRNA genes from another organism. The availability of their gene product (rRNA) enables characterisation of their coding regions, and the highly conserved nature of these regions allows comparative study between <u>P.falciparum</u> and other eukaryotes. In particular it will be of interest to determine whether the rRNA genes are organised in the characteristic eukaryotic sequence of 5'-18S-5.85S-28S-3'. Is this sequence dispersed (like <u>E.coli</u>), or tandemly repeated? In addition are the rRNA genes present in multicopy and what is their location? Does the copy number vary with development? Furthermore, is the 28S gene interrupted, and what kind of rRNA processing takes place? The work presented in this Chapter addresses itself to these questions.

### 4.2 Identification of genomic fragments homologous to rRNA probes

Previous work in this laboratory (Hyde <u>et al.</u> 1981) has shown that the base composition of <u>P.falciparum</u> (<u>P.f.</u>) rRNA is more similar to <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u> (<u>Dm</u>) rRNA, than human, <u>Xenopus</u> or <u>E.coli</u>, when the G+C content is measured. For this reason the rRNA genes of <u>Dm</u> cloned into pBR322 were chosen as a potential probe for the rRNA genes of <u>P.f.</u> This recombinant plasmid pDm 238/29 was a kind gift of Dr. D. Glover. For convenience a map of pDm 238/29 is presented in Fig. 4.1. Note that it contains an <u>EcoR1</u> genomic fragment (<u>Dm</u> 103) encoding the 18S, 5.8S and 28S rRNA genes (Glover <u>et al.</u> 1977). To demonstrate that pDm 238/29 showed homology to <u>P.f.</u> rRNA, 0.5 µg of
Map of Dm103 showing the arrangement of the 18S and 28S rDNAs and indicating its origin from the chromosomal rDNA. Dm103 is shown here as a complete repeating unit excised from the chromosomal rDNA by cleavage with <u>EcoR1</u>. These sites correspond to the two <u>EcoR1</u> sites in pDm238/29. Note that the 28S rRNA gene contains an intervening sequence (ivs) and that the non-transcribed spacer is shown by thicker line. Coding regions are shown hatched.



rRNA was fractionated on an agarose/formaldehyde gel, transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with <sup>32</sup>P-labelled pDm 238/29 (Methods). An autoradiograph of the transfer is presented in Fig. 4.2, track 1, where it can be seen that there is good homology to both the large rRNA subunit (s.u.) and the small rRNA s.u. These s.u's. were operationally defined as 28S and 18S due to their cô-migration on gels with known rRNA standards (Goman et al. 1982).

It is possible to show which fragments of <u>P.f.</u> genomic DNA are homologous to pDm 238/29 and to <u>P.f.</u> rRNA; genomic DNA from strain Kl (Thaithong <u>et al.</u> 1981) was digested with a set of restriction endonucleases, fractionated on a 0.7% agarose gel (Fig. 4.3a) and transferred to nitrocellulose. The filter was first probed with <sup>32</sup>P-labelled pDm 238/29 under low stringency (2 x SSC) conditions. Certain genomic fragments were identified and the autoradiograph is shown Fig. 4.3b. The high background is probably due to the low stringency of washing.

The filter was then dehybridised and probed with parasite rRNA end-labelled with polynucleotide kinase (Methods). Characterisation of this rRNA appears in Section 4.6. The stringency of the washing regime was increased (0.5 x SSC), and the same pattern of hybridisation observed (Fig. 4.4a). To see which if any of the genomic fragments visualised were 28S or 18S rRNA specific, the blot was again dehybridised and probed with end-labelled 28S rRNA (Fig. 4.4b).

Comparison of these three autoradiographs reveals firstly that pDm 238/29 and rRNA give rise to the same hybridisation pattern confirming that pDm 238/29 is a good probe for the rRNA genes of <u>P.f.</u>

Autoradiographs of <u>P.falciparum</u> polyA<sup>-</sup> RNA and genomic DNA hybridised with pDm 238 /29. pDm 238 /29 shows homology to both the 28S and 18S rRNAs. Note that the 5.8S rRNA is not shown; for more detailed analysis of polyA<sup>-</sup> RNA see Fig. 4.16. When <u>Hind</u>III digested genomic DNA is hybridised to pDm238/29 6 fragments are identified (numbered 1 to 6). The strongest homology is to fragments 1 (4.4 kb) and 2 (4.2 kb).



Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of Kl DNA. Panel A shows Kl DNA digested with <u>HindIII</u> (track 2), <u>EcoRl</u> (track 3), <u>SalI</u> (track 4) and <u>HpaI</u> (track 5). Size marker fragments (tracks 1 and 6) are <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA. Panel B is an autoradiograph of above DNA hybridised with pDm238/29. Note highest  $\star$ molecular weight fragment in track 2 results from partial digestion, as it is reproducibly absent in other <u>HindIII</u> digests of Kl (see Fig. 4.2 track 2).

# FIG 4.3

A





Autoradiographs of gel presented in Fig. 4.3. Southern transfer dehybridised and then probed with total rRNA (Panel A) and then 28S rRNA (panel B).



Restriction of genomic DNA with <u>Hind</u>III generates 6 homologous bands. The 7th highest molecular weight fragment observed is the product of a partial restriction digest, as it is reproducibly absent in other <u>Hind</u>III restriction digests (Fig. 4.2, track 2). Secondly, the majority of the rRNA coding sequence is located in the fragments labelled 1 and 2. Thirdly, the DNA homologous to the rRNA (rDNA) contains <u>EcoR1</u> sites; 6 fragments are generated by this enzyme (Fig. 4.3b, track 2). The fragments sizes are approximately 6.2, 7.4, 8.0, 11, 13.5 and 16.0 kb. The strongest homology to pDm 238/29 is shown by the 13.5 and 16.0 kb fragments. Only the 13.5 kb fragments shows strong homology to total rRNA, and this as well as the 8kb fragment are the most obvious when 28S rRNA is used as a probe. The differences between pDm 238/29 and total rRNA may be due to the spacer sequences present in pDm 239/29.

Most of the hybridisation in the <u>Sal</u>I digest is to the unrestricted DNA, although some homology is seen to two other bands. Either a small proportion of the rDNA contains <u>Sal</u>I sites, or they occur near the ends of any putative rDNA transcription unit. By contrast, in the <u>Hpa</u>I digest approximately 7 fragments appear. They are all of reasonably high molecular weight (m.w.) and relative intensity, and show homology to pDm 238/29 and total rRNA. Three of these bands are specific for the 28S rRNA probe.

The salient points from these hybridisations are that (a) pDm 238/29 is a suitable probe to screen the <u>Hind</u>III genomic library constructed in phage  $\lambda$  (Goman et al. 1982), as it recognises the same fragments as total rRNA. (b) The library can be expected to contain 6 HindIII fragments homologous to pDm 238/29, the strongest

homology should be to clones containing <u>P.f.</u> rDNA fragments of 4.4 kb (1) and 4.2 kb (2). Some of the fragments when isolated will contain <u>EcoRl</u> and <u>HpaI</u> sites.

#### 4.3 Isolation of rDNA clones from $\lambda$ PFH8 library

The  $\lambda$ HPF8 library was constructed from genomic DNA isolated from the Gambian isolate HG13 (Butcher, 1981). The <u>Hind</u>III fragments of parasite DNA were sized on a sucrose gradient, and fragments ranging in size from 2-12 kb were ligated into the <u>Hind</u>III site of NM788 (Goman <u>et al</u>. 1982). The recombinant phage were packaged <u>in</u> <u>vitro</u> and plated to form isolated plaques on indicator bacteria. The pooled plaques constitute the genomic library  $\lambda$ PFH8.

For screening the library was plated out on TGL70 at a dilution yielding approximately 500 plaques per plate. The individual plaques containing rDNA sequence were identified by probing with <sup>32</sup>P-labelled pDm 238/29. Of the 25000 plaques screened six positives were obtained, purified and analysed further.

The insert sizes of 5 clones were found to be of two types, 4.4 kb and 4.2 kb. These sizes correspond to the sizes of the 2 major <u>Hind</u>III fragments in the genomic digest (Fig. 4.3). All 5 inserts hybridised to pDm 238/29 and one example of each size is shown in Fig. 4.5a. One clone  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib2</u> contained 2 <u>Hind</u>III fragments of 4.2 kb and 4.1 kb (Fig. 4.5b track 5). The 4.1 kb fragment showed no homology to pDm 238/29 (track 6).  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib2</u> could be the result of cloning 2 unrelated <u>Hind</u>III fragments, or the cloning of a <u>Hind</u>III partial genomic fragment. For this reason  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib2</u> and a clone containing the 4.4 kb fragment  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib1</u>, were further characterised.

Ethidium bromide stained gel and Southern blot of  $\lambda$ PFH8 rDNA clones Inserts of two sizes 4.4 kb (track 2) and 4.2 kb (track 3) show homology to pDm238/29. A third recombinant contains two <u>Hind</u>III fragments of 4.2 kb and 4.1 kb (Track 5), only the 4.2 kb fragment is homologous to pDm238/29 (track 6). Size markers are <u>EcoR</u>1 fragments of  $\lambda^+$ DNA, radiolabelled (track 1) and stained with ethidium bromide (track 4).

# FIG4.5



#### 4.4 Estimation of A+T rich regions by partial denaturation

The average A+T content (81%) of <u>P.f.</u> genomic DNA is unusually high (Bone <u>et al.</u> 1983; Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982), quite different from that of ribosomal RNA (Hyde <u>et al.</u> 1981). These observations would be reconciled if the coding regions (of more average nucleotide distribution) were interspersed with regions very rich in A+T. Perhaps such region(s) could be detected in the rDNA clones. There is a simple way to detect A+T rich sequences of DNA cloned into phage  $\lambda$ . Under appropriate conditions (partial denaturation) such regions form loops visible in the electron microscope (Highton et al. 1975).

The two rDNA clones  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib1</u> and  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib2</u> were partially denatured under mild conditions where the arms of phage  $\lambda$  remain entirely native. Only sequences with an A+T content greater than 64% will be denatured (Highton <u>et al.</u> 1975; Bone <u>et al.</u> 1983). These denaturation loops were measured under the electron microscope, and an example of each is shown (Fig. 4.6). Nineteen  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib2</u> molecules, and 16  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib1</u> molecules were measured, and their sizes with standard deviations are given in Tables 4.1 and 4.2. The A+T rich sequence (corresponding to the denaturation loop) can be presented graphically: preliminary restriction maps of both inserts were also constructed (data not shown), and the combined data are summarised in Fig. 4.7. Detailed restriction mapping of both the 4.4 kb and 4.2 kb fragments appears in Section 4.5.

Note that  $\lambda PFH8\underline{rib1}$  and the 4.2 kb fragments from  $\lambda PFH8\underline{rib2}$  contain only a small ( 0.5 kb) stretch of high A+T content sequence. Evidence will be presented elsewhere (Section 4.6) to show that this A+T rich sequence occurs between the 18S and 28S genes, and corresponds

Electron micrographs of partially denatured molecules of PFH8 <u>ribl</u> and PFH8 <u>rib2</u>. The molecules were denatured under mild conditions where only sequence with an A+T content greater than 64% is denatured (Highton, <u>et al</u>. 1975, Bone <u>et al</u>. 1983). The molecules were spread with both single strand DNA (phage M13) and double strand DNA (PAT 153) as size markers (Denhardt, <u>et al</u>. 1978; Twigg and Sherratt, 1980). Nineteen HPF8 <u>rib2</u> and sixteen HPF8 <u>rib1</u> molecules were measured and the sizes of the denaturation loops are given in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.



# λΡFH8 rib1



λPFH8rib2



Left arm of λ in kb	Denatura- tion loop kb	Double- stranded region kb	Denatura- tion loop kb	Double- stranded region ki	Denatura- tion loop o kb	Right arm of kb	Time denatu red in secs.
		<u>نویس پر پر</u>	<u> </u>				
24.8	2.8	0.4	1.2	4.0	0.4	13.0	
26.1	3.3	0.3	1.2	3.7	0.5	12.6	
26.4	3.0	0.8	1.2	4.0	0.4	14.0	90
23.4	2.8	0.3	1.0	3.6	0.5	12.5	
27.1	2.2	0.8	1.5	4.1	0.5	14.5	
24.0	4.5			3.6	0.3	13.0	
25.0	3.6			4.0	0.4	13.3	
23.5	4.0			3.8	0.4	12.7	
25.6	1.9	1.2	1.0	4.0	0.4	13.4	• •
25.3	1.1	2.3	0.4	3.0	0.3	13.4	
24.3	1.8	1.4	1.0	3.9	0.3	13.4	
25.1	1.1	2.5	0.8	4.4	0.3	13.8	
27.0	1.6	1.2	0.8	4.5	0.3	14.2	20
22.5	2.5	0.4	0.5	3.6	0.3	12.8	
24.1	1.3	0.7	0.8	2.6	0.2	12.6	
22.9	1.3	1.2	1.2	4.3	0.3	11.1	
24.0	1.7	1.2	1.0	4.2	0.4	13.0	
26.1	1.9	0.8	1.7	3.6	0.4	13.6	
25.2	2.0	1.0	1.3	3.6	0.4	13.0	
n 24.8	2.3	1.0	1.0	3.8	0.4	13.2	
• 1.3	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.7	

Table 4.1 Partial Denaturation Mapping of  $\lambda$ HPF8<u>rib2</u>

#### Table 4.1 (continued)

Note: The high standard deviations for the denaturation loops in the insert proximal to the left arm of  $\lambda$ , are due to the sizes of the loops varying considerably with the time of denaturation. In three molecules the small ds region has denatured completely, and is a consequence of it occurring between large stretches of A+T rich sequence.

L	eft arm of $\lambda$	Denaturation	Right arm of $\lambda$	Time of
a	nd double-	loop kb	and double-	denaturation
s	tranded		stranded	in secs.
r	egion kb		region kb	
	24.9	0.3	17.1	
	23.2	0.4	15.6	
	22.9	0.4	15.1	20
	27.1	0.8	17.1	
	24.3	0.8	15.6	
	22.1	0.5	15.0	
				•
	24.1	0.5	17.5	
	22.6	0.8	15.0	
	23.7	0.5	14.5	
	24.1	0.9	16.6	
	25.2	0.6	17.1	90
	24.5	0.9	16.13	
	25.5	0.5	17.8	
	24.8	9.4	15.3	
	23.2	0.4	14.8	
	24.0	0.3	14.4	
mean	24.1	0.6	15.9	
s.D.	1.2	0.2	1.1	

Table 4.2 Partial Denaturation mapping of  $\lambda$ HPF8ribl

Note: Left arm of  $\lambda NM788$  is 22.6 kb. The denaturation loop therefore occurs 1.5 kb in from the HindIII site at the end of the left arm.

Graphical representation of Denaturation-loop analysis. Shown graphically are the positions of the denatured regions measured under the electron microscope. Also indicated are the positions of some restriction sites.



to the internal transcribed spacer. Note also that the 4.1 kb fragment present in  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u>, which shows no homology to the rDNA probe, contains two A+T rich segments of approximately 2.3 kb and 1.0 kb.

# 4.5 Physical characterisation of the 4.4 kb and 4.2 kb rDNA fragments

The  $\lambda$ PFH8 library was constructed from the West African (Gambian) strain of <u>P.f.</u> HG13 (See Section 4.3). After its construction the HG13 strain became unavailable in this laboratory, due to its dying in culture and the failure to revive frozen stocks. As a consequence a Thai ioslate K1 (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1981) became the standard laboratory strain. This strain was originally chosen because it is highly resistant to the anti-malarial drug pyrimethamine (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1981). The genomic digests were therefore performed with DNA from the Thai isolate, and the rDNA clones isolated from the  $\lambda$  PFH8 library are from the Gambian isolate.

The observation that the rDNA fragments in  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>ribl</u> and  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u> have the same size as fragments in the <u>HindIII</u> digest of total <u>P.f.</u> DNA, suggest strongly that these fragments are common to both isolates and have been cloned unaltered into the phage vector. Confirmation for this conclusion was obtained by restriction mapping and comparison of the cloned and uncloned fragments.

Firstly, <u>Bgl</u>II sites were mapped in  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib1</u> and  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u> (see Fig. 4.7). The genomic DNA was then restricted with <u>HindIII</u> and <u>Bgl</u>II. This DNA was transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridised with  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib1</u> and  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u>. The fragments homologous to the rDNA clones are listed in Table 4.3 Note that fragments the same size as those present in the rDNA clones are identified in the genomic digests. 72

# Table 4.3 Identification of <u>HindIII/BglII</u> genomic fragments homologous to λPFH8<u>rib1</u> and λPFH8<u>rib2</u>

Sizes in kb. of <u>HindIII/BglII</u> restriction fragments

Genomic	DNA	λpfH8 <u>ribl</u>	Genomic DNA	$\lambda_{PFH8rib2}$
			3.9	
2.5		2.5	2.5	
2.2			2.2	2.2
1.9			1.9	
1.4		1.4	1.5	1.5
1.0			1.4	
0.6			0.6	0.55
0.5		0.5	0.5	

Note:  $\lambda PFH8ribl$  and  $\lambda PFH8rib2$  cross hybridise to essentially the same set of genomic fragments. Some of the genomic fragments identified have the same size as those cloned in  $\lambda PFH8ribl$  and  $\lambda PFH8rib2$ . Secondly, to facilitate the analysis the <u>HindIII genomic</u> fragments present in  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>ribl</u> and  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u> were subcloned into the <u>HindIII site of pBR322 (Bolivar et al. 1977).</u> Restriction digests of the 3 recombinants pPF<u>rib1 (4.4 kb), pPF<u>rib2</u> (4.2 kb) and pPF4.1 (4.1 kb) are shown in Fig. 4.8. The 4.4 kb fragment from PFH8<u>rib1</u> runs as a doublet with pBR322 (track 2). The 0.5 kb-BglII fragment is difficult to see on this particular gel, the 2.5 and 1.4 kb fragments are clearly visible (track 3). The <u>SalI</u> site in the 4.1 kb fragment (pPF4.1) is obvious in track 5. The presence of <u>BglIII and EcoR1</u> sites in pPFrib2 is shown by tracks 7 and 8.</u>

The following observation is particularly significant. Both pPR<u>rib2</u> and pPF4.1 show good homology to a 7 kb <u>Sal1/Bgl</u>II fragment strongly suggesting that they are related. Note also pPF4.1 shows some cross homology to a 2.4 kb fragment, readily identified by pPF<u>rib2</u> (Fig. 4.9 tracks 1 and 2). These observations can be explained by supposing that the <u>Sal1</u> present in pPF4.1, which is derived from the Gambian isolate, is absent in the Thai isolate. This results in a 7 kb fragment rather than a 3.5 kb fragment (see Fig. 4.7) being identified. Polymorphism for a restriction site in non-coding DNA is a well known phenomena in rDNA (Petes <u>et al.</u> 1977, Cory <u>et al.</u> 1977), and could be a reasonable explanation here considering the difference in the two strains.

Further evidence that the 2 fragments are related is shown in Fig. 4.10. Note that pPF4.1 and pPF<u>rib2</u> hybridise to the same <u>EcoR1</u> <u>HpaI</u> and <u>BglII</u> fragments. Taken together these data indicate that present in  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u> is a genomic fragment generated by partial digestion with HindIII. Evidence will be presented later (Section 4.7)

Ethidium bromide stained gel of restriction digests of pPF<u>ribl</u>, pPFrib2 and pPF4.1

Track

- 2 <u>HindIII digests of pPFribl</u>, the 4.4 kb insert runs as a doublet with the 4.36 kb pBR322.
- 3 <u>HindIII/BglII digest of pPFribl</u> generates insert fragments of 2.5, 1.3, 0.5 kb.
- 4 HindIII digests of pPF4.1 showing the 4.1 kb insert.
- 5 <u>HindIII/SalI</u> digest of pPF4.1 restricts insert to give 2.1 and 2.0 kb fragments.
- 6 HindIII digest of pPFrib2 showing 4.2 kb insert.
- HindIII/BglII digests of pFrib2 restricts insert to give
  2.2, 1.4 and 0.55 kb fragments.
- 8 <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> digests of pPF<u>rib2</u> generates insert fragments of 3.0, 0.7 and 0.5 kb
- 9 HindIII fragments of  $\lambda^{+}$  DNA as size markers.
  - Note: 2 partials can be observed in tracks 3 and 7. Also the definition of the low molecular weight fragments has been lost on photography. They can 'be seen on original negative.



Autoradiographs of Kl DNA.

K1 DNA was digested with <u>Sall/BglII</u>, transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridised with pPF<u>rib2</u> (track 1), and pPF4.1 (track 2). Both show homology to a 7.0 and a 2.4 kb <u>Sall/BglII</u> fragment, indicating that the sequences present in the two probes are related. The extra fragments identified in track 1 are probably due to cross homology to other rDNA sequences.



FIG4.9

Autoradiographs of Kl genomic DNA.

The DNA was digested with <u>EcoRl</u> (track 1), <u>HpaI</u> (track 2), <u>AvaI</u> (track 3) and <u>Bgl/II</u> (track 4). After transfer to nitrocellulose the above digested DNA was hybridised to pPF<u>rib2</u> (Panel A), and pPF4.1 (Panel b). pPF<u>rib2</u> hybridises to a number of fragments due to cross homology with rDNA sequences. pPF4.1 hybridises to fewer fragments. In 3 of the digests both pPF4.1 and pPF<u>rib2</u> hybridise to a common fragment (arrowed). The poor homology in track 3 Panel B is most likely due to poor transfer of high molecular weight DNA.



A

234 1234 1 + + ← ← 4 DUPPORT PROVIDE A DATE ! ]

3

indicating that pPF4.1 may represent an intervening sequence (IVS) in the 28S rRNA gene.

Single or multiple enzyme digestions of pPFribl and pPFrib2 gave the restriction maps Fig. 4.11. Examples of 2 gels used in this analysis are shown in Fig. 4.12 and 4.13. Although several restriction enzymes were tried, there is a general paucity of sites in the 2.4 kb <u>HindIII/AvaI</u> fragment of pPF<u>ribl</u>. Since the genomic DNA is known to have an A+T content of 81% (Pollock et al. 1982; Goman et al. 1982), the restriction endonuclease Dral (isozyme AhaIII) with the recognition sequence (TTTAAA) was tried, and found to have 6 sites in pPFribl Fig. 4.14. As the Dral sites in pBR322 are 3233, 3252 and 3944 (Sutcliffe 1978), 2 of the 6 sites in pPFribl could be mapped. The remaining 4 sites in pPFribl were ordered using the method of Smith and Birnstiel (1976) (Methods, Section 2.29). pPFribl was partially digested with Dral, and the products fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Only those fragments with the common end labelled terminus are detected by autoradiography (Fig. 4.15).

## 4.6 Mapping of rRNA coding sequences in pPFribl and pPFrib2

Hot phenol extraction of total RNA from P.f., and its subsequent analysis reveal it to be comprised of 3 components, <u>ca</u>. 81% is rRNA (this figure includes a minor fraction of polyA<sup>-</sup>mRNA), <u>ca</u>. 14% is tRNA and <u>ca</u>. 5% is polyA<sup>+</sup>RNA (Hyde <u>et al</u>. 1983). The polyA<sup>+</sup> RNA can be separated from the polyA<sup>-</sup> by oligo-dT-cellulose chromatography (Nakazato <u>et al</u>. 1974). The polyA<sup>-</sup> RNA was fractionated by agarose/ formaldehyde gel electrophoresis (Methods, Section 2.18) into its major constituents 28S, 18S, 5.8S and tRNA (Fig. 4.16A). Examination of the rRNA under the electron microscope revealed it to be contaminated

Maps of pPF<u>ribl</u> and pPF<u>rib2</u>. Maps show positions of restriction sites <u>HindIII</u>, (H); <u>AvaI</u>, (A); <u>BglII</u>, (B); <u>DraI</u>, (D); <u>EcoRI</u> (R) and <u>HincIII</u> (HC). Shown also (hatched) are the positions of the rRNA genes, the limits of uluch have not been mapped precisely.



Ethidium bromide stained gel of restriction digest of pPF<u>rib2</u>, pPF<u>rib1</u> and pPF4.1

Track

HindIII fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA as size markers 1 2 BglII/AvaI digest of pPFrib2 5.1, 2.8, 0.55 kb 3 BglII / Pstl digest of PPFrib2 5.2, 3.0, 0.55 kb 4 Sall/Aval digest of pPF4.1 5.0, 2.75, 0.75 kb 5 SalI/Pstl digest of pPF4.1, 3.0, 2.75 doublet kb 6 BglII/AvaI digest of pPFribl 5.4, 2.8, 0.5 kb BglII/PstI digest of pPFrib1 5.0, 3.3, 0.5 kb 7 HindIII fragment of  $\lambda^+$  DNA as size markers 8

The definition of the small fragments has been lost on photography, though they are clear on original negative. Since there is no <u>Bgl</u>II site, and the <u>AvaI</u>, <u>SalI</u> and <u>PstI</u> sites are known in pBR322 (Sutcliff, 1978). The <u>AvaI</u>, <u>SalI</u> and <u>Bgl</u>II sites can be mapped in pPFrib1, pPFrib2 and pPF4.1. For maps of pPFrib1 and pPFrib2, see Fig. 4.11





Ethidium bromide stained gel of restriction digests of pPFribl and pPFrib2.

Track

1

4

7

- <u>Hind</u>III fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA as size markers
- 2 <u>HindIII/HincII</u> digests of pPF<u>rib1</u> giving 3.25\*, 3.1, 0.85, 0.7, 0.65, 0.45\* kb fragments.
- HincII/BglII digest of pPFribl giving 3.25\*, 2.9, 1.3, 0.8,
  0.4 kb fragments
  - HindIII/HincII/BglII digest of pPHribl giving 3.25\*, 2.5, 0.7 doublet, 0.65\*, 0.45, 0.4 kb fragments
- 5 <u>HindIII/HincII</u> digest of pPFrib2 giving 3.25\*, 2.8, 0.65\*, 0.5
   0.45\* doublet, 0.36 kb fragments.
  - 6 <u>HincII/Bgl</u>II digest of pPF<u>rib2</u> giving 3.25\*, 2.65, 1.1, 0.55, 0.5, 0.3 kb fragments
    - HindIII/HincII/BglII digest of pPFrib2 giving 3.25\*, 2.2, 0.65\* 0.55, 0.5, 0.45\*, 0.3 kb fragments

Since the <u>Hinc</u>II sites are known in pBR322 (Sutcliff, 1978) and the <u>Bgl</u>II sites in pPF<u>ribl</u> and pPF<u>rib2</u> have already been mapped, the <u>Hinc</u>II sites in the inserts can be positioned. The fragments solely derived from pBR322 are shown \*.


Ethidium bromide stained gel of pPF<u>ribl</u> digested with <u>DraI</u> (track 2). The size markers are <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA (track 1). A <u>DraI</u> digest of pPF<u>rib2</u> is included for comparison, but the restriction sites were not mapped. A <u>DraI</u> (D) digest of pPF<u>rib1</u> yields fragments of 4.6\*, 1.0 doublet, 0.84\*, 0.7, 0.4, 0.15, and 0.1 kb. Since the <u>DraI</u> (<u>AhaIII</u>) sites in pBR322 are known (Sutcliff, 1978), two (\*) of the six <u>DraI</u> sites in pPF<u>rib1</u> could be positioned (see bottom), the others were ordered by the method of Smith and Birnsteil (see Fig. 4.15).



Smith and Birnsteil mapping of <u>DraI</u> sites in pPF<u>ribl</u>: the recombinant plasmid was first digested with <u>BamH1(B)</u>, end-labelled, and then digested with <u>EcoR1</u>(R). <u>DraI</u>(D) was added to give partial digestion, and aliquots were removed over a time course. In this way the <u>DraI</u> sites were ordered, and are represented graphically below. The size markers are <u>HindIII/SalI</u> fragments of  $\lambda^{+}$  DNA.

> \*Note the partial at 3.6 kb, and the doublets at 2.8 and 4.0 kb are derived from pBR322.





Ŗ

by a small amount of DNA (data not shown). This contaminating DNA was removed by passing the RNA through a 5.7M CsCl cushion (Glisin et al. 1979), the tRNA was also removed by this centrifugation step (Fig. 4.16B). Examination of Fig. 4.16B shows that the rRNA was largely intact with only a small amount of degradation.

The individual rRNA species were removed from the gel, end-labelled with polynucleotide kinase, and hybridised against restricted pPFribland pPFrib2 (Methods, 2.25). The pattern of hybridisation of 28S rRNA to <u>HindIII/AvaI</u> digested pPFrib1 and pPFrib2 is shown in Fig. 4.17, tracks 2 and 3. Note that the 28S sequence is confined to the 1.2 kb and 0.86 kb fragments of pPFrib1 (track 4), whereas all 3 fragments of pPFrib2 show homology (track 5). An EcoR1 digest of pPFrib2 (track 6) defines the limit of the 28S rRNA sequence in PFrib2, as neither the 0.7 kb or 0.5 kb fragments show homology (even after long exposure) track 7.

When the process was repeated using  ${}^{32}P$ - 18S rRNA the 0.7 kb fragment from pPF<u>rib2</u> and the 2.4 kb fragment from pPF<u>rib1</u> are identified (Fig. 4.18). Some hybridisation is seen to other fragments, because the 18S rRNA probe was contaminated with degraded 28S rRNA. Finally 5.8S rRNA shows homology to the 2.4 kb fragment of pPF<u>rib1</u> and the 0.5 kb <u>EcoR1</u> fragment of pPF<u>rib2</u> (Fig. 4.19).

To identify the regions encoding the 28S, 18S and 5.8S rRNA within pPF<u>ribl</u>, the plasmid was digested with <u>HindIII</u>, <u>AvaI</u>, and <u>DraI</u>, and the hybridisations repeated (Fig. 4.20). The fragments homologous to the 18S rRNA were identified (track 3), as they showed no homology to 28S rRNA (track 4). The <u>DraI</u> fragment homologous to 5.8S rRNA was identified by its homology to a fragment appearing after digestion with <u>DraI</u> alone (data not shown). In this way the coding

Ethidium bromide stained agarose/formaldehyde polyA RNA gel. Fractionation of RNA reveals it to be comprised of 4 major class sizes which operationally are termed 28S, 18S, 5.8S and 4S (tRNA). Shown in Panel A are 3 samples of parasite polyA RNA. The RNA can be purified by a CsCl centrifugation step, this also removes the 4S RNA. Panel B show 2 samples of RNA treated in this way. Note there is some degradation of the RNA.





Ethidium bromide stained gels and autoradiographs of pPF<u>ribl</u> and pPF<u>rib2</u> hybridised with 28S rRNA. <u>HindIII/AvaI</u> digests of pPF<u>rib1</u> (track 2), and pPF<u>rib2</u> (track 3) were transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridised with 28S rRNA. Homology is observed to the 1.2 kb and 0.86 kb fragments of pPF<u>rib1</u> (track 4), and to 3 fragments of pPF<u>rib2</u> (track 5). An <u>EcoR1</u> digest of pPF<u>rib2</u> (track 6) shows that the 28S rRNA homology is confined to a single 7.4 kb fragment (track 7).

Note: the pBR322 derived fragments are shown \*;

the fragments sizes are given in kb; size markers are HindIII fragments of  $\lambda^{\dagger}$  DNA (track 1)





Ethidium bromide stained gels and autoradiographs of pPF<u>rib1</u> and pPF<u>rib2</u> hybridised with 18S rRNA. An <u>EcoR1</u> digest of pPF<u>rib2</u> (track 1) was transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with 18S rRNA. Homology is to the 0.7 kb fragment (track 2), although some homology is observed to the 7.4 kb fragment, this is due to degraded 28S rRNA in the probe. When pPF<u>rib1</u> digested with <u>HindIII</u> and <u>AvaI</u> (track 3), is probed with 18S rRNA the strongest homology is to the 2.4 kb fragment (track 4). Again other fragments show homology, due to degraded 28S rRNA in the probe.

Note that the pBR322 derived fragments are shown \*



Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of pPF<u>ribl</u> and pPF<u>rib2</u> hybridised with 5.8S rRNA. When a <u>HindIII/AvaI/EcoR1</u> digest of pPF<u>rib2</u> (track 1) is probed with 56S rRNA homology is only observed to the 0.5 kb <u>EcoR1</u> fragment (track 3). On probing a <u>HindIII/AvaI</u> digest of pPF<u>rib1</u> (track 2), with 5.8S rRNA, the 2.4 kb fragment is identified (track 4).



Ethidium bromide stained gel and Southern blot of pPF<u>ribl</u>. When the rDNA recombinant was digested with <u>HindIII/AvaI</u> and <u>DraI</u> (track 2), and hybridised with total rRNA (track 3), the 0.45 kb and 0.38 kb fragments are identified as specific to the 18S rRNA, for they were not identified when 28S rRNA alone was used as a probed (track 4). The size markers (track 1) are <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA. The pBR322 derived fragments are shown (\*). Note some partial digestion fragments are observed, one of which shows homology to both probes.



sequences homologous to the 3 rRNAs were assigned to the physical maps of pPFribl and pPRrib2 (Fig. 4.11).

The data presented so far can be summarised as follows. Hybridisation with separated rRNA species demonstrate both pPFribland pPFrib2 encode at least part of each of the major rRNA species. These are arranged in the order 18S-5.8S-28S. In this respect I have shown that <u>P.f</u>. does not differ from the other eukaryotic systems analysed (Long and Dawid 1980).

However, neither contains the complete gene for either the 18S or the 28S rRNA (see Fig. 4.11). It can be confirmed that the 28S sequences encoded in pPFribl and pPFrib2 do not together comprise the whole gene from the following 2 observations. Firstly, both contain sequences homologous to the 5.8S rRNA, which is characteristically located 5' to the 28S gene, i.e. both pPFrib1 and pPFrib2 encode the 5' end of the 28S rRNA gene. Secondly, if the 28S sequence in pPFribl is contiguous with the 28S rRNA sequence in pPFrib2, then an AvaI fragment of 2.4 kb should be readily identified in the genome. No such fragment is observed (Fig. 4.21). The remainder of each gene must lie on one of the other HindIII fragments identified by pDm238/29 and total rRNA. Although the library was screened further with both pDM238/29 and pPFrib2 these HindIII fragments were not found. A likely explanation for the failure to detect the smaller fragments could be that they are not represented in the  $\lambda$ HPF8 library; supporting this view is the fact that the minimum capacity of NM788 is 3-4 kb (Murray et al. 1977).

Since both pPFrib1 and pPFrib2 encode the 3 rRNA species and yet clearly differ in

(1) overall size 4.2 kb vs. 4.4 kb,

Southern blot of <u>Ava</u>I restricted KlDNA hybridised with 28S rRNA. Strong homology is observed to genomic fragments of 11.0, 8.0, 3.5, 3.0, 0.86 and 0.80 kb. There is no homology to a fragment of <u>ca</u>. 2.4 kb. This strongly suggests that the fragments present in pPFribl and pPFrib2 were not contiguous in the genome.



(2) distribution of AvaI, BgIII, EcoR1 and HincII sites

(3) location of AT rich sequence

see Fig. 4.11. It seems reasonable to conclude that there must be at least two transcription units, which differ slightly, and that pPR<u>ribl</u> and pPFrib2 are the analogous <u>Hind</u>III fragments from each unit.

The mapping of the 28S rRNA to the 3 kb <u>HindIII/EcoR1</u> fragment in pPF<u>rib2</u>, and the fact that no 28S homology is detected in 4.1 kb fragment of  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u> (see Fig. 4.7) can be explained if the 28S gene is interrupted by a stretch of non-coding DNA (intervening sequence, ivs). The 4.1 kb fragment may be part of such an ivs. From the size of the 28S rRNA the 28S rRNA gene must be at least 4.3 kb (Hyde <u>et al</u>. 1981). At most only 3 kb of this gene is present in pPF<u>rib2</u>, the remaining 1.3 kb being encoded within one of the smaller <u>HindIII</u> fragments, which appear to be absent in the  $\lambda$ PFH8 library.

## 4.7 Isolation and characterisation of a rRNA fragment homologous to 28S rRNA, from an EcoRl genomic library $\lambda$ RlKl

Evidence presented in the previous section suggests that the 28S gene of the Gambian isolate HG13 (Butcher 1981), may possess an ivs. Hybridisation of the 28S rRNA to <u>EcoRl</u> digested genomic DNA of the Thai isolate Kl (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1981), identified 3 fragments, the smallest being 8 kb (Fig. 4.4b, track 2). To clone these fragments a genomic library was constructed from <u>EcoRl</u> digested Kl DNA. The restricted Kl DNA was ligated into the <u>EcoRl</u> site of NM1149 and package in vitro (See Methods, 2.16).

Approximately  $10^7$  recombinant phage per  $\mu$ g of Kl DNA were obtained, and 5000 screened with  $^{32}$ P-labelled 28S rRNA. Three clones identified in this way were all found to contain an 8 kb <u>EcoRl</u> fragment

homologous to the 28S rRNA. One of these,  $\lambda RIKI \underline{rib2}$  was analysed further.

Restriction analysis revealed that the 8 kb E<u>coRl</u> fragment (Fig. 4.22, track 3) contains a <u>HindIII</u> site, such that a <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> digest generates a 5.0 kb and a 3.0 kb fragment (track 4). This 8 kb fragment overlaps the 3 kb <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragment cloned in pPF<u>rib2</u>, since they generate fragments of the same size when further digested with <u>BglII</u> (tracks 5 and 6). When <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> digested  $\lambda$ RlKl<u>rib2</u> was probed with labelled 28S rRNA, 90% of the homology was to the smaller fragment (Fig. 4.23 track 3), as determined by densitometry. By this criterion only 300 bp of the 5 kb <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragment is homologous to the 28S rRNA. As pPF<u>rib2</u> encodes at most 3 kb of the 28S rRNA gene, 1 kb or more must be encoded elsewhere, since the 28S rRNA is 4.3 kb (Hyde <u>et al.</u> 1981). It therefore follows that the 28S rRNA gene is interrupted by the 5 kb <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragment of  $\lambda$ RlKl<u>rib2</u>.

To determine the size of the <u>HindIII</u> fragment, of which the 5 kb fragment is a part, the 5 kb <u>HindIII/EcoR1</u> fragment was labelled and hybridised against restricted genomic DNA. Strong homology is seen to a <u>HindIII</u> fragment of 5.4 kb (Fig. 4.24, track 6). Interestingly homology is also observed to the 2.6 kb <u>HindIII</u> fragment identified by pDm 238/29 and total rRNA. In addition other bands of higher molecular weight are identified, presumably due to their homology to the non-coding sequence in  $\lambda$ RlKl<u>rib2</u>, since they are not seen when 28S rRNA is used as a probe (Fig. 4.3). Note also that strong homology is seen to the 8 kb <u>EcoR1</u> genomic fragment (track 8) from which the 5 kb HindIII/EcoR1 probe is derived.

These data permit the construction of a genomic map for this

Physical map of  $\lambda$  RIKI rib2

 $\lambda$ RIKI <u>rib2</u> is an 8.0 kb <u>Eco</u>Rl genomic fragment of rDNA (track 3), which contains a <u>HindIII</u> site such that a <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> double digest gives 5.0 and 3.0 kb fragments (track 4). Cloned in  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u> is the overlapping genomic fragment to that present in pPF<u>rib2</u>. Since a <u>HindIII/BglII/EcoRl</u> triple digest generates the same restriction pattern (tracks 5 and 6). The vector derived fragments are shown (\*). Track 2 shows a <u>HindIII</u> only digest of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u>. This generates a 3.2 kb fragment which includes ca. 0.2 kb of vector. The size markers are <u>HindIII</u> fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA.



Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of restriction digests of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u> and pPFrib2. A <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> digest of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u> restricts the insert into 5.0 and 3.0 kb fragments (track 1). A similar digest of pPF<u>rib2</u> generates insert fragments of 0.5, 0.7 and 3.0 kb (track2). When the above DNAs are hybridised with 28S rRNA, 90% of the homology is to the 3.0 kb fragment of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u>, and only 10% to the 5.0 kb fragment (track 3). All of the 28S rRNA homology is to the 3.0 kb fragment of pPH<u>rib2</u> (track 4).



FIG4.23

Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of KIDNA. Genomic KIDNA digested with <u>Hind</u>III (tracks 2 and 4), and <u>EcoRl</u> (tracks 3 and 5), was hybridised against the 5.0 kb <u>HindIII/EcoRl</u> fragment of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u> (tracks 6 and 8), and pPF4.1 (tracks 7 and 9). The hybridisation patterns are different, compare tracks 6 and 8 with tracks 7 and 9. pPF4.1 hybridises to a 4.1 kb <u>Hind</u>III fragment (track 7) and a 13.5 kb <u>EcoRl</u> fragment (track 9); whereas the 5.0 kb fragment of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u> hybridises to at least 5 <u>Hind</u>III fragments. Note the 2.6 kb <u>Hind</u>III fragment identified here, is also identified when total rRNA is used as a probe. The size markers are <u>Hind</u>III fragments of  $\lambda^+$  DNA (track 1).



region (Fig. 4.25). Present in  $\lambda$ RlKlrib2 may be the analogous genomic fragment to that cloned in  $\lambda$ PFH8rib2. The 28S rRNA coding sequence common to both appears identical by restriction analysis. In each example the coding sequence is interrupted by a stretch of non-coding However the non-coding DNA present in  $\lambda$ PFH8rib2 and  $\lambda$ RlKlrib2 DNA. is not the same. Firstly, they are of different size, 4.1 kb in  $\lambda$ PFH8rib2 as opposed to 5.0 kb in  $\lambda$ RlKlrib2. Secondly, pPF4.1 shows a different pattern of hybridisation to Kl genomic DNA. It hybridises strongly to a 4.1 kb HindIII fragment (track 9), some homology is also seen to a 4 kb EcoRl fragment. The 13.5 kb fragment which shows strong homology to pPF4.1 also cross hybridises weakly to the 5.0 kb HindIII/EcoRl fragment of  $\lambda$ RlKlrib2 (track 8), presumably due to 28S rRNA sequence homology as these fragments are identified when 28S rRNA is used as a probe. Thirdly, there is no SalI site in the 5.0 kb HindIII/EcoRl fragment (Fig. 4.26 track 3) and finally pPF4.1 does not cross hybridise to  $\lambda RIKIrib2$  under the stringency conditions used (0.5 x SSC, 37°C) track 5.

The observation that pPF4.1 and the <u>HindIII/EcoR1</u> fragment are different has at least two possible explanations. One is that pPF4.1 is not related to pPF<u>rib2</u> (i.e. it was a coligation product and not a <u>HindIII</u> partial cloned in  $\lambda$ PFH8<u>rib2</u>), and the fact that they hybridise to common <u>SalI/BglII</u>, <u>EcoR1</u> and <u>HpaI</u> fragments in the genome of Kl was merely fortuitous. The second more exciting possibility is that pPF 4.1 and the 5.0 kb <u>HindIII/EcoR1</u> fragment of  $\lambda$ RlKl<u>rib2</u> are representatives of 2 types of ivs which are unrelated; a situation which exists in <u>D.melanogaster</u> between Type I and Type II ivs (Wellauer and Dawid 1978).

#### Fig. 4.25.

Genomic map of rDNA transcription unit. Portions of the genomic DNA that have been cloned and analysed in detail are indicated. The 5.4 and 2.6 kb fragments have only been identified by genomic hybridisation experiments, and as yet no overlapping fragment joining them has been isolated. The cross hybridisation of  $\lambda$ RlKl<u>rib2</u> to the 2.6 kb fragment is probably due to the 28S rRNA sequence. Two types of intervening sequence are shown although a complete 28S rRNA gene containing ivs 2 has not yet been cloned. Some restriction sites are shown and are <u>HindIII</u>, (H); <u>EcoRl</u> (R); and <u>SalI</u> (S). For more detailed restriction map see Fig. 4.11.



Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of  $\lambda$ RIKI<u>rib2</u>. An <u>EcoRl/Hind</u>III digest generates fragments of 5.0 and 3.0 kb (track 2) 90% of the 28S rRNA homology is to the 3.0 kb fragment (track 4). The insert lacks a <u>SalI</u> site (Track 2), the 3.2 kb fragment derives from the vector NM1149. There is no homology to pPF4.1 (track 5), therefore 5.0 kb and 4.1 kb fragment of pPF4.1 appear to be unrelated. The size markers are <u>EcoRl</u> fragments of  $\lambda^+$ DNA (track 1).



Confirmation that pPF4.1 is an ivs awaits the isolation of a 28S rRNA gene whose coding sequence is interrupted by pPF4.1. However the data concerning  $\lambda R1K1rib2$  argues that some of the 28S rRNA genes in <u>P.f.</u> are interrupted by the 5.0 kb <u>HindIII/EcoR1</u> fragment. Although the complete 28S rRNA gene has not been cloned, preliminary genomic hybridisation analysis suggests that the 3' end is encoded by the 2.6 <u>HindIII</u> fragment. It remains to be seen whether the 28S rRNA gene in the other transcription unit, of which pPFribl is a part, is also interrupted. As yet no overlapping fragment containing pPFribl has been cloned.

# 4.8 Estimation of the number of copies of the 4.4 kb and 4.2 kb fragments in the genome of P.falciparum

Repeated genes are generally ubiquitous, but are more frequent in eukaryotic than in prokaryotic cells. Consideration of structural, functional and evolutionary aspects, has led to the repeated genes being classified in two broad groups of 'dosage' and 'variant' repetition. Dosage repetition means that the genes are present in many copies because the demand for their product is too great for a single gene. This phenomenon is exhibited primarily by the rRNA genes. Protein coding genes frequently occur in families of sequences in a pattern called variant repetition. These repeated genes are not identical, but related.

The rRNA genes are the best studied examples of dosage repetition, and their redundancy has been determined in a large number of eukaryotic species using saturation hybridisation with labelled rRNA in solution and nuclear DNA bound to nitrocellulose filters (Gillespie <u>et al</u>. 1965). Another method for estimating the frequency of a particular sequence

in a genome employs Southern hybridisations (Lis <u>et al</u>. 1978). Here the degree of hybridisation is an indication of copy number, and can be estimated by comparison with known standards. This protocol was employed to estimate the number of copies of pPF<u>ribl</u> and pPf<u>rib2</u> present in the genome of P.f.

Knowing the DNA content of the genome of P.falciparum is 2.2 x 10<sup>4</sup> kb (Goman et al. 1982), HindIII restricted pPFribl and pPFrib2 were diluted in salmon sperm DNA; such that their concentrations were equivalent to 1,2,4,8,10 and 12 copies per genome of P.f. Genomic DNA from two different isolates of P.f. Kl and Tak 9 (Rosario V. 1981), were restricted with HindIII, and together with the diluted standards fractionated on a 0.7% agarose gel. The DNA was transferred to nitrocellulose and hybridised with <sup>32</sup>P-labelled pPFribl and pPFrib2. The degree of hybridisation to the 4.1 kb and 4.2 kb fragments in the genome, and to the diluted standards was quantified by scanning densitometry. The results are represented graphically in Fig. 4.27. It can be seen that there are approximately 4 copies of both pPFribl and pPFrib2, in the genome of P.f. isolated Kl and Tak 9. The equal number for both pPFribl and pPFrib2 is consistent with the observation that they show equal degrees of hybridisation to both pPm 238/29 and total rRNA (Figs. 4.3 and 4.4). Taken together they suggest that there are 8 rRNA genes in total, and these are organised into 2 classes of 4 each.

This number was obtained using an estimate for the genome size of <u>P.f.</u> of  $2.2 \times 10^4$  kb (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982). This figure was derived from the amount of DNA extracted from a known number of parasites. Other workers have estimated the genome size of <u>P.f.</u> to

Quantitation of rDNA copy number by Southern blot analysis. The rDNA clones pPFribl and pPFrib2 were diluted in 4 µg of salmon sperm DNA and digested with <u>HindIII</u>. Aliquots equivalent to a range of copy numbers from 0-12 were fractionated on an agarose gel, together with 4 µgs of <u>HindIII</u> digest Tak 9 and Kl genomic DNA. After trassfer to nitrocellulose the blot was hybridised with either pPFribl or pPFrib2 radiolabelled by nick translation. The degree of hybridisation was estimated by scanning densitometry, the absorbance is equivalent to the area under peak of the densitometer scan. The copy number of pPFrib1 (X) and pPFrib2 (•) was estimated by comparison with the amount of hybridisation observed to the Tak 9 and Kl genomic DNA.



•
be  $3.8 \times 10^5$  kb, by comparing the kinetics of reassociation of the non-repetitive DNA with that of <u>E.coli</u> (Hough-Evans and Howard 1982). This estimate is approximately 17 times higher than that obtained for <u>P.f.</u> and other <u>Plasmodia</u> (see below), and if used would result in an estimate of 136 copies of the rRNA genes in <u>P.f.</u> This higher copy number would indicate that the rRNA genes in <u>P.f.</u> are moderately repetitive as has been described for other eukaryotes (Long and Dawid 1980).

The low copy number of 8 rRNA genes is in good agreement with the 4 copies recently obtained for the rRNA genes of <u>P.berghei</u> (<u>P.b.</u>) (Dame and McCutchan 1983a). The number they obtained is based on a genome content of 0.05 pg/nucleus (Bahr <u>et al.</u> 1972), estimates by other workers put the DNA content of <u>P.b.</u> at 0.025 pg/nucleus (Dore <u>et al.</u> 1980, Cornelissen <u>et al.</u> 1983), which is consistent with 0.018 pg/nucleus for <u>P.knowlesi</u> (Gutteridge <u>et al.</u> 1970) and 0.02 pg/nucleus for <u>P.f.</u> (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982). A DNA content of 0.025 pg/nucleus for <u>P.b.</u> would imply that there are 8 rRNA genes, rather than the 4 reported (Dame and McCutchan 1983a).

The 17 times higher genome size reported for <u>P.f.</u> by Hough-Evans and Howard (1983), is therefore in conflict with that reported for the genome size of <u>P.f.</u> (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982), and other <u>Plasmodia</u> (Bahr <u>et al.</u> 1972, Cornelissen <u>et al.</u> 1983, Dore <u>et al.</u> 1980, Gutteridge <u>et al.</u> 1970), and gives <u>P.f.</u> a genome size 2-3 times that of <u>D.m.</u> (Rasch <u>et al.</u> 1971). This would also result in a DNA:RNA ratio of 20:1, since Hyde <u>et al.</u> (1983), estimate the amount of RNA in <u>P.f.</u> to be equivalent to the DNA content reported by Goman <u>et al.</u> (1982). The excess DNA:RNA could be explained if a large proportion of the genome of <u>P.f.</u> was repetitive and non-coding, however Hough-Evans

and Howard report that only 10% of the genome of <u>P.f.</u> is repetitive. This is lower than that reported for <u>P.f.</u> (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982), and for P.b. (Dore et al. 1980).

Until other estimates for the genome size of <u>P.f.</u> are published, it seems reasonable to take the genome size of <u>P.f.</u> as  $2.2 \times 10^4$  kb (Goman <u>et al.</u> 1982), and the number of rRNA genes as 8. <u>P.f.</u> and <u>P.b.</u> therefore are exceptional eukaryotes in regard to the low number of rRNA genes per haploid genome.

#### 4.7 Conclusions

Two genomic rDNA fragments from a Gambian isolate HG13 (Butcher 1981) have been cloned and analysed in detail. Sequences homologous to the 28S, 18S and 5.8S rRNA have been mapped to these fragments, and are arranged 18S-5.8S-28S. This arrangement is the same as that reported for most eukaryotes (for review see Long and D-awid 1980), where the 18S rRNA gene is at the 5' end. In <u>P.berghei</u> although no 56S rRNA gene was mapped, it has been shown that the 18S rRNA gene is 5' to the 28S rRNA gene in the rRNA transcription unit (Dame and McCutchan 1983b). It is reasonable to assume therefore that in <u>P.falciparum</u> the transcription unit is also ordered 5'-18S-5.8S-28S-3'. In <u>P.falciparum</u> I have also shown that the 5.8S rRNA gene is located in a stretch of A+T rich DNA, as has been reported for <u>D.melanogaster</u> and proposed as a processing point (Pavlakis et al. 1979).

When the rRNA was fractionated under denaturing conditions the 56S rRNA appeared as a discrete band, implying that unlike the <u>Trypanosomatids</u>, <u>Plasmodia</u> do not possess the numerous small rRNAS (Cordingley and Turner 1980, Hernandez <u>et al</u>. 1983). Furthermore no 5S rRNA was detected leading to the assumption that 5S rRNA may be absent in P.falciparum. In support of this view is the

observation that no homology was detected to cloned <u>Xenopus laevis</u> 5S RNA in the genome of P.berghei (Dame and McCutchan 1983b).

Demonstration that the two rDNA fragments contain sequences homologous to all 3 rRNA species, but are clearly different, allows one to conclude that they are derived from separate transcription units. The high molecular weight RNA homologous to the rDNA clones (Hyde <u>et al</u>. 1981) is presumably the rRNA precursor. Each rDNA fragment is represented 4 times in the genome, this number did not vary between the two isolates compared, and implies that there are 8 rRNA transcription units of two distinct classes. The low copy number and the observation of at least two classes of transcription unit has also been reported for P.berghei (Dame and McCutchan 1983a).

The low copy number obtained for <u>P.falciparum</u> and <u>P.berghei</u> sets them apart from other parasitic blood protists such as <u>Leishmania</u> <u>donovani</u> and <u>Trypanosoma cruz</u>, which have 166 and 144 nuclear rRNA genes respectively (Leon <u>et al</u>. 1978, Castro <u>et al</u>. 1981). In other protozoa the rRNA genes are amplified on an extrachromosomal element, for example although <u>Tetrahymena</u> has only a single rRNA gene in its micronucleus (Yao and Gall, 1977), in the macronucleus the rRNA genes are amplified to approximately 600 copies on an extrachromosomal palindrome (Yao <u>et al</u>. 1979). The absence of satellite DNA in <u>P.falciparum</u> (Goman <u>et al</u>. 1982, Pollock <u>et al</u>. 1982) precludes the amplification of the rRNA genes on an extrachromosomal element. The 8 nuclear copies therefore represent the full complement of rRNA genes.

However these data were obtained from <u>in vitro</u> cultures of the asexual stages of P.falciparum, and it would be of interest to ascertain

if the copy number of rRNA genes varies with changes in the life cycle. Intererythrocytic asexual parasites give rise to micro (male) and macro (female) gametocytes, and several lines of evidence show that macrogametocytes have many more ribosomes than microgametocytes (for review see Sinden 1983). This would agree with the general idea that female germinal cells must be ready to sustain more abundant and diverse protein synthesis. Can the increase in the number of ribosomes be correlated with an amplification in the number of rRNA genes? Preliminary evidence emerging from the study of infective (i) strains of P.berghei suggests so. It has been previously demonstrated that gametocyte infectivity (to the mosquito) requires an amplification and rearrangement of repetitive DNA (Birago et al. 1982, Dore et al. in press). Genomic hybridisations of i and ni DNA with pPFrib2 indicates that the rRNA genes are amplified to approximately 100 copies, and undergo a rearrangement, as part of this process (C. Frontali pers. comm.). This evidence suggests that the mechanism allowing ribosome over-production in macrogametes is direct amplification of the ribosomal genes. Breakdown of this mechanism would thus result in loss of infectivity of syringe passaged cultures without blocking asexual growth of the parasite.

Finally, analysis of a rDNA fragment cloned from the genome of a Thai isolate Kl (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1981) indicates that the 28S rRNA gene in <u>P.falciparum</u> may contain an intervening sequence. Intervening sequences in the large subunit rRNA gene have been reported for <u>D.m.</u> (Glover <u>et al</u>. 1977), <u>Tetrahymena</u> (Wild and Gall 1979), and <u>Physarum</u> (Campbell <u>et al</u>. 1979). The presence of an inserted sequence in the large s.u. gene of <u>T.brucei</u> has been noted (Has**g**an, <u>et al</u>. 1982), with the characteristics of a mobile element. In P.falciparum

although sequences homologous to the inserted DNA are detected elsewhere they are not repetitive or amplified.

In <u>Paberghei</u> the possibility of an intervening sequence has been reported for the 28S rRNA gene of one of the transcription units (Dame and McCutchan 1983a), due to a variation in size of a <u>Hind</u>III genomic rDNA fragment. Another explanation for this observation is a variation in size of the internal transcribed spacer. Since neither fragment has been cloned, it is impossible at this point to delineate between these two possibilities.

In <u>P.falciparum</u> the interrupted 28S rRNA gene was cloned from the transcription unit characterised by having 2 <u>EcoRl</u> sites in the internal transcribed spacer. It remains to be seen if both classes of transcription unit have interrupted 28S rRNA genes, and whether more than one type of ivs exists in each strain. Moreover, are all strains of <u>P.falciparum</u> the same, or do they vary like <u>Tetrahymena pigmentosa</u> where the 25S rRNA genes is interrupted in strain GUM, but intact in 8 ALP (Wild and Gall, 1979)? Preliminary evidence suggests that <u>P.f.</u> strains Kl and HG13 both have 28S rRNA genes with an ivs. In addition does the possession of an ivs render the gene transcriptionally inactive as in <u>D.m.</u> (Long <u>et al</u>. 1981), or have no effect as in <u>Tetrahymena</u> (Wild and Gall 1979)? If the genes are transcriptionally active, how are they processed?

Evidence is emerging that the number of copies of the rRNA genes vary with changes in the life cycle, and it will be of interest to determine whether or not expression of the rDNA is also differentially controlled throughout the life cycle; bearing in mind <u>P.falciparum's</u> parasitic existence and alternation between two quite markedly different hosts (man and mosquito).

#### CHAPTER 5

### ISOLATION OF PUTATIVE ACTIN GENES FROM

### GENOMIC AND CDNA LIBRARIES OF P.FALCIPARUM

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Results and Discussion
- 5.2.1 Sequences in the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u> homologous to the <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin probes pcDdBl and Eco 13.4.9
- 5.2.2 Isolation of putative actin genes from a <u>Hind</u>III genomic library
- 5.2.3 Identification of a mRNA transcript, and isolation from a <u>P.falciparum</u> cDNA library, of sequences homologous to a Dictyostelium actin gene

5.3 Conclusions

#### 5.1 Introduction

There are three fundamental mechanisms which have evolved for cell motility. These are ultrastructurally, biochemically and mechanically distinct. Two of these, bacterial flagella and eukaryotic flagella serve to move cells through fluid media, but they function differently (for review see. Adler, J., 1975; Clarke, M. and Spudich, J. A., 1977). The third motility mechanism, that based on microfilaments is responsible for amoeboid-type movement of a cell on a solid substratem. The major component of the microfilaments of non-muscle cells is actin; it is a major component of the cytoskeleton, as well as being involved in many forms of cellular motility (for a review of the biochemistry of actomyosin-dependent cell motility, see Korn, E. D., 1978).

Analysis of mammalian actin protein by high resolution two dimensional gel electrophoresis shows 3 iso-electric forms. Two forms, cytoplasmic  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , are found in all cell types while the third, the most acidic form,  $\alpha$  actin, is found exclusively in muscle cells (Korn, <u>loc cit</u>). A striking feature of actins is their very high sequence conservation, even between different species. The amino acid (a.a.) sequence of the cellular slime mold <u>Physarum</u> actin, has been determined and compared to mammalian actins. <u>Physarum</u> and mammalian cytoplasmic ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) actin differ in only 4% of their a.a. residues, i.e. lower eukaryotic actin is more similar to mammalian cytoplasmic actin, than cytoplasmic actin is to skeletal actin (Vandekerckhove, <u>et al</u>. 1978). Comparison of <u>Physarum</u> and <u>Dictyostelium</u> (cellular slime mould) a.a. sequences with those of mammalian cytoplasmic actin shows that the a.a. differences are clustered in residues 1-6

and after 159. The a.a.s 7-159 are conserved and therefore appear important for non-muscle actins. Consistent with this view of conservation for function, is the observation that skeletal actins show 7 changes in this region (Vanderkerckhove, et al. 1980).

The evolutionary requirement for the functionally conserved region of non-muscle actins, is thought to be a consequence of the interaction of actin with the numerous actin-binding proteins (for review, see Craig and Pollard, 1982). A major protein with which actin interacts is myosin (which is an ATPase that forms an enzymatically active complex with actin), and presumably provides the energy for the motility events. The two other major proteins known to bind with actin in microfilaments are tropomyosin and  $\alpha$  actinin, and all of these proteins are widely distributed; for example the small soil amoeba Acanthamoeba contains one actin, 2 myosins and 4 gelactins (Korn, loc cit). In the red blood cell, actin forms a complex with spectrum and ankyrin, and together these anchor glycophorin and band 3 proteins in the plasma membrane (Pasvol and Wilson, 1982). Clearly the links between actin, spectrin, ankyrin, band 3 and glycophorin afford a pathway that the invading malaria parasite must deform during entry.

The a.a. sequence conservation of actin is reflected in the nucleotide sequence of the organisms compared. Although during evolution there has apparently been pressure to maintain a specific sequence in parts of this protein, the codon usage has changed resulting in the nucleic acid sequences being more divergent. However nucleotide conservation is also reflected between disparate organisms; Hanukoglu <u>et al</u>. (1983), compared the sequence of actin cDNAs from human, Dictyostelium and yeast. Human actin shows 98

and 85% homology to the Dictyostelium and yeast actins respectively.

The conservation of sequence for actin coding regions, has permitted the isolation of actin genes from a number of organisms, using as a probe, actin sequences previously cloned from a different species. For example, the actin genes in both Drosophila and the nematode <u>Caenorhabditis elegans</u> were isolated using the <u>Dictyostelium</u> cDNA actin clone pcDdBl (Bender et al. 1978; Fyrberg et al. 1970; Files, et al. 1983). The actin genes from sea urchin were isolated using cloned <u>Drosophila</u> actin (Durica et al. 1980), and actin genes from the ciliatedprotozoan <u>Oytricha fallax</u> by homology to yeast actin (Kaine and Spear, 1980).

A more comprehensive review of actin genes is presented in Chapter 1. Also shown in Chapter 1 is the life cycle of <u>Plasmodium</u> falciparum, and a brief review of it is presented below.

When a female <u>Anopheles</u> mosquito ingests the blood of a human host, the infected erythrocytes set the parasites free in the mosquito's stomach. In the mosquito the mature sexual cells (gametocytes) commence sexual reproduction. The male gametocytes nucleus divides into 4 to 8 nuclei each of which forms a long flagellum; they shoot out from the original cell, lash about and then break free. This process is called exflagellation. The female (macrogamete) attracts the male (microgamete), and they fuse to form a zygote. This is at first a motionless globular body, but it elongates and becomes motile; this worm like stage is known as an ookinete. The ookinete forces its way out of the stomach and into the body cavity, where it forms an oocyst. The sporozoites develop within the oocyst, which later bursts liberating thousands of mobile sporozoites. These move to the

salivary gland and the female mosquito is now infective.

Following inoculation by the mosquito bite, the sporozoites move to the liver and enter the hepatocyte cells, where they undergo pre-erythrocytic schizogony. When this stage is complete, the schizont bursts, the liver cells rupture, releasing merozoites into the blood circulation. The merozoites invade the red blood cells, and undergo erythrocytic schizogony. This erythrocytic cycle is repeated until some of the merozoites develop into gametocytes, which are ingested by another mosquito, so completing the life cycle.

It is obvious that motility is involved throughout the life cycle. This observation as well as the development of flagella, suggests that <u>P.falciparum</u> might use actin as part of its motility machinery. The isolation of <u>P.falciparum</u> actin genes would allow the study of a gene(s) coding for a structural protein, which in many cases is known to be developmentally regulated (for review see Firtel, 1981), and could play an important role in the life cycle of the parasite. The conservation in actin nucleotide sequences, permits the isolation of this gene by cross homology to a previously cloned actin sequence; thus obviating the need to employ sophisticated techniques such as, cloning into an expression vector, hybrid selection and in vitro translation of specific mRNA.

The experiments described here are preliminary and they detail the isolation from <u>P.falciparum</u> of putative actin genes, due to their homology to actin genes cloned from the cellular slime mould Dictyostelium discondeum.

#### 5.2. Results and Discussion

## 5.2.1 <u>Sequences in the genome of P.falciparum homologous to the two</u> <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin probes pcDdBl and Eco. 13.4.9.

The two <u>D.disccideum</u> actin genes used as probes in this study are pcDdBl (Bender, <u>et al.</u> 1978), and Eco.13.4.9. (a kind gift of Dr. J. Williams). Maps of the recombinant plasmids are given in Fig. 5.1. Note that pcDdBl is a 1050 bp **d**DNA copy of <u>Dictyostelium</u> cytoplasmic polyA<sup>+</sup> mRNA, and therefore represents over 95% of the coding sequence. It shows homology to an actin gene cloned in recombinant plasmid M6, which contains 6 kb. of genomic DNA (Bender, <u>et al</u>. 1978). However, subsequent analysis indicates that the mRNA copy present in pcDdBl is not transcribed from the M6 actin gene (McKeown, <u>et al</u>. 1978; McKeown, <u>et al</u>. 1981), but could be the transcript of either pDd actin 2-sub-1, pDd actin 5 or 7 as they all code for the same NH<sub>2</sub> terminal peptides (Firtel, <u>et al</u>. 1979). The restriction map of pcDdBl given in Fig. 5.1 was taken from Firtel, et al. (1979).

By contrast the plasmid Eco 13.4.9 contains a 4.4 kb EcoRl genomic DNA fragment, which includes a complete actin gene plus flanking sequences. The map presented is that kindly provided by Dr. J. Williams.

DNA extracted from the Kl isolate (Thaithong <u>et al</u>. 1981) of <u>P.falciparum</u>, was digested with <u>HindIII</u>, fractionated on a 0.7% agarose gel, and transferred to nitro cellulose. The filter was hybridised with pcDdBl radio-labelled by nick translation (Methods). An autoradiograph of the filter is presented in Fig. 5.2 (track l). Five HindIII fragments were identified in this way and their sizes

#### Fig. 5.1

Restriction map of pcDdBl, the cDNA was cloned by G+C tailing into the Pstl (P) site of pBR322 (-----; Bender, <u>et al</u>. 1978). The <u>HindIII</u> (H) site is at the 5' end of the structural gene. The HaeIII (Ha), and HapII (Hp) sites are shown.

Restriction map of Eco 13.4.9, the 4.4 kb genomic DNA was cloned into the <u>EcoRl</u> site of PMB9 (----). The structural gene is shown hatched, along with the direction of transcription.

. ŝ

## 6'7'E1023





FIG 5.1

l SbCo q

are 5.5, 4.8, 3.8, 2.8 and 0.6 kb.

McKeown, et al. (1978) reported that when different actin genes were used as probes, differing degrees of homology were observed, due to heterogeneity between actin genes. To test this possibility in <u>P.falciparum</u>, a <u>Hind</u>III digest of genomic DNA was hybridised to the plasmid Eco 13.4.19 (track 2). Now homology is only detected to fragments of 5.5, 3.8 and 0.6 kb. Although homology might be detected to other fragments with a longer exposure of the autoradiograph, the 2.8 kb fragment appears to specifically hybridise to pcDdBl. It is noteworthy that the extra fragments are identified by the cDNA actin clone (pcDdBl), and it therefore seems unlikely that the extra bands are identified due to some spurious homology. When the genomic DNA is digested with <u>EcoRl</u> and hybridised to the plasmid Eco 13.4.9, the majority of the homology is to a 16 kb fragment (track 3), although some faint homology is detected to other fragments after a long exposure (track 4).

These data indicate that there are sequences in the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u> homologous to <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin genes, and a number of tentative conclusions can be drawn from the hybridisation patterns. First, the differential hybridisation pattern suggest that <u>P.falciparum</u> has more than one actin-like sequence, and that these are different. Interestingly there is a <u>Hind</u>III site near the 5' end of all actin genes so far examined (McKeown, <u>et al</u>. 1978; Firtel, <u>et al</u>. 1979) The identification of 5 <u>Hind</u>III fragments raises the possibility that there are as many as 5 actin genes in <u>P.falciparum</u>. The majority of homology displayed by the plasmid Eco 13.4.9. to the 16 kb <u>EcoRl</u> fragment could be interpreted as an indication that some of the putative

Fig. 5.2

Autoradiographs of Kl genomic DNA, hybridised with pcDdBl (track 1), Eco 13.4.9 (tracks 2, 3 and 4). The DNA was digested with <u>HindIII</u> (tracks 1 and 2), and <u>EcoRl</u> (tracks 3 and 4). The sizes of the fragments are given in kb. Note that Eco 13.4.9 (track 2) hybridises to only 3 of the 5 fragments identified by pcDdBl (track 1). Note also that the majority of homology shown to the plasmid Eco 13.4.9 is located on a 16 kb <u>EcoRl</u> fragment (track 3), although some homology is detected to other smaller fragments after a long exposure (track 4). FIG 5.2



actin genes are clustered. Actin genes are known to be clustered in <u>Dictyostelium</u>, sea urchins and nematodes (McKeowan, <u>et al. 1978</u>); Durica, <u>et al</u>. 1980; Files, <u>et al</u>. 1983); the dispersed actin genes in <u>Drosophila</u> appear to be exceptional in this respect (Fyrberg, <u>et al</u>. 1980; Tobin et al. 1980).

To analyse further the identified putative actin sequences, cloneshomologous to the <u>D.discoideum</u> actin probes were isolated from genomic and cDNA libraries of <u>P.falciparum</u>. These studies are detailed in sections 5.2.2 and 5.2.3

### 5.2.2 Isolation of putative actin genes from a HindIII genomic library

The <u>HindIII</u> fragments identified in the genome of the isolate Kl, were sought in the genomic library constructed from the Gambian isolate HG13, cloned into phage lambda (Goman <u>et al</u>, 1982). The  $\lambda$ PFH8 library was screened and out of the 4000 individual clones two were homologous to pcDdBl. The two phage recombinants identified by pcDdBl were purified, and their homology to <u>D.discoideum</u> actin checked against the plasmid Eco. 13.4.9 (Fig. 5.3). Note that Eco 13.4.9 also hybridises to a nematode actin sequence cloned into phage  $\lambda$ . The nematode actin sequence (a kind gift of Dr. M. Salvato) is a <u>BamH1</u> genomic fragment (<u>ca</u>. 10 kb) inserted into the phage vector 1059 (Korn, et al. 1980).

The two putative <u>P.falciparum</u> actin clones were amplified, the DNA extracted and digested with <u>HindIII</u>. Both were found to contain fragments of 5.5, 4.8, and 0.6 kg, and an example of such a digest is shown in Fig. 5.4 (track 2). This is a particularly satisfying result as fragments of these sizes were identified in the genome of the Thai isolate K1, and the clones are derived from the

Fig. 5.3

Actin containing recombinant phage hybridised with Eco 13.4.9. Homology is observed to the nematode recombinant (A), and the two <u>P.falciparum</u> recombinants (B and C).



#### Fig. 5.4

Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of restriction digests of NM788 and  $\lambda$ PFH8 actl. The actin recombinant contains 3 HindIII fragments of 5.5, 4.8 and 0.6 kb homologous to the pcDdB1 probe (tracks 2 and 5). The insert lacks EcoRl and BglII sites, since a HindIII/EcoRl and a HindIII/BglII double digest (tracks 3 and 7) yields the same 5.5, 4.8 and 0.6 kb fragments. An EcoRl/HindIII digest of NM788 is included for comparison (track 1). Note the ability to see the 1.3 kb vector fragment, and the 0.6 kb actin fragment (tracks 2 and 3) has been lost upon photography, although they were clear on original negative. The 0.6 kb fragment is clearly visible in tracks 5, 6 and 7. A HindIII/Sall digest generates four fragments of 3.0, 2.3, 1.6 and 0.6 kb homologous to pcDdB1 (track 6), the 3.0 kb fragment showing the strongest homology. When  $\lambda PFH8actl$  is hybridised to a nematode actin phage recombinant, both the 5.5 and 4.8 kb fragments display homology. The strong hybridisation to the high molecular weight fragment is due to homology between vectors. At this exposure the 0.6 kb fragment is not visible. Size markers were HindIII fragment of  $\lambda^+$  DNA (track 4). Note some homology is seen in tracks 5 and 7 to fragments which are the result of partial restriction.

FIG 5.4



Gambian isolate HG13 (Butcher, 1981). All 3 fragments are homologous to pcDdBl (track 5), and in total comprise <u>ca</u>. 10 kb of genomic DNA. Note that some partials homologous to the probe are observed in tracks 5 and 7. The isolation of a single recombinant phage of 3 <u>HindIII</u> fragments all homologous to pcDdBl, which together account for 10 kb of the genome is consistent with the view that some of the putative actin genes are clustered in P.falciparum.

The <u>Plasmodium</u> DNA insert is digested by <u>SalI</u> and <u>SalI/HindIII</u> fragments of 3.0, 2.3, 1.6, 0.6 kb are homologous to pcDdBl (track 6). Since the insert is approximately 10 kb, the <u>SalI/HindIII</u> digest reveals that only 7 kb codes for actin and furthermore the majority of the actin sequence is encoded by the 3.0 kb fragment, as it shows the strongest homology to pcDdBl. Note that the insert does not contain sites for EcoRl (track 3) or <u>BglII</u> (track 7).

Finally, the putative <u>P.falciparum</u> actin clone,  $\lambda$ PFH8 <u>act1</u> also shows homology to the Nematode actin gene cloned in lambda (track 8). Note that the small 0.6 kb <u>Hind</u>III fragment is not detectable at the exposure presented, however both the 5.5 and 4.8 kb can be seen to be homologous. Note also the strong homology observed to the weight high molecular fragments is because the probe is also a phage lambda recombinant. Taken together with the observation that  $\lambda$ PFH8 <u>act1</u> is homologous to the two <u>Dictyostelium</u> probes, it seems reasonable to conclude that  $\lambda$ PFH8 <u>act1</u> contains P.falciparum actin genes.

## 5.2.3. Identification of a mRNA transcript, and isolation from a

## <u>P.falciparum</u> cDNA library, of sequences homologous to a cloned Dictyostelium actin gene

Of the 17 actin genes identified in the genome of Dictyostelium,

only six are known to be expressed (McKeown, <u>et al.</u> 1981). In order to discover whether the actin-like sequences cloned from <u>P.falciparum</u> are expressed, I sought a transcript homologous to the <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin probe, in P.falciparum polyA<sup>+</sup> mRNA.

To identify and estimate the size of mRNA homologous to <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin genes, total polyA<sup>+</sup> RNA extracted from an asynchronous culture of the asexual blood forms of <u>P.falciparum</u> (Methods, 2.3.b) was fractionated on an agarose/formaldehyde gel (2.18). The RNA was transferred to nitrocellulose, and hybridised against the plasmid Eco 13.4.9. A single band of RNA with size <u>ca</u>. 2.8 kb hybridised to the probe (Fig. 5.5, lower trace). At 2.8 kb this is larger than most actin mRNAs, although a 2.5 kb actin transcript has been reported for <u>Drosophila</u> (Zulaf, <u>et al</u>. 1981); however most are generally 1.2-2.0 kb (Firtel, 1981).

Having established that the 'actin' sequences in <u>P.falciparum</u> are expressed, cDNA copies of the 'actin' mRNA were sought in a cDNA library of <u>P.falciparum</u>. The construction of this cDNA library has been described (Hyde, et al. 1983). Purified polyA<sup>+</sup> RNA from an asynchronous culture of Kl parasites, was copied into double stranded cDNA. To this <u>EcoRl</u> linkers were added, and the cDNA fragments cloned into the <u>EcoRl</u> site of the vector NM1149. The recombinant phage were packaged <u>in vitro</u>, and plated on indicator bacteria. All the plaques were harvested, and stored at 4°C, this step resulted in an amplification of  $2 \times 10^6$ .

A proportion of this amplified cDNA library (ca. 25,000 plaques) was screened with radio-labelled pcDdP1 and Eco.13.4.9. Twelve recombinant phage were identified in this way. Phage stocks were made

## FIG 5.5



Upper trace: Size distribution of total <u>P.falciparum</u> polyA<sup>+</sup> from Kl on 0.8% denaturing gel showing number average (1.2 kb) and weight average (2.1 kb) chain lengths. Markers are the small and large rRNA chains from <u>E.coli</u> (Ec<sub>s</sub> = 1.54 kb, Ec<sub>1</sub> = 3.24 kb) and those from <u>P.falciparum</u> (Pf<sub>s</sub> = 2.27 kb, Pf<sub>1</sub> = 4.34 kb, Hyde, <u>et al</u>. 1981). Lower trace: Total polyA<sup>+</sup> RNA from above probed with a <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin clone; scan of autoradiogram. and the DNA extracted from 3 of the recombinants (Fig. 5.6 tracks 2, 3 and 4). All 3 contained a cDNA insert of 3.5 kb, which is homologous to pcDdBl (tracks 5, 6 and 7). The discrepancy between the cDNA (3.5 kb) and transcript (2.8 kb) is difficult to explain. A number of possibilities exist, though none of these has been tested at this time. One possibility is that the estimation for the size of the transcript is low due to the RNA not running true on the gel. Although a denaturing gel was used, the transcript may still have possessed secondary structure, and this imparted an anomalous mobility to the RNA. Another possibility is that the cDNA derives from a rare 3.5 kb message, which is more readily cloned, or that the discrepancy in size results from a cloning artifact. In conclusion, the extreme possibility exists that the mRNA and the cDNA clones identified by the <u>Dictyostelium</u> probe are unrelated.

Confirmation that a cDNA clone actually codes for actin, is usually obtained either by nucleic acid sequencing or hybrid selection and translation to give a protein recognised as actin (Gallwitz, <u>et al</u>. 1980; Durica, <u>et al</u>. 1980; Zulaf, <u>et al</u>. 1981; Files, <u>et al</u>. 1983). The latter approach was initiated here for the following reason. In this laboratory the major emphasis is the recognition and cloning of protective antigen genes, as a prelude to the development of a vaccine against malaria. An integral step in this process is the identification of particular antigen genes by hybrid selection. The hybrid selection of actin mRNA by the putative DNA copy provides a model system, and the preliminary experiments towards this goal are presented below.

Rat antibodies raised against yeast actin and tubulin have been shown to react with mammalian actin and tubulin (Chang et al. 1981,

Ethidium bromide stained gel and autoradiograph of <u>EcoRl</u> digests of <u>P.falciparum</u> cDNA clones homologous to pcDdBl. Track 1 shows <u>EcoRl</u> size marker fragments of  $\lambda^+$ DNA. Tracks 2, 3 and 4 show <u>EcoRl</u> digests of <u>P.falciparum</u> cDNA clones. Tracks 5, 6 7 show above digested DNA hybridised with pcDdBl. Note <u>P.falciparum</u> insert specifically hybridises with pcDdBl.

# FIG 5.6



and John Kilmartin, pers. comm.). It is believed that the conserved nature of actin (Vanderkerckhove, <u>et al</u>. 1978 and 1980) allows some actins from desparate organisms to share a common epitope, however the cross reaction is not general. As the rat  $\alpha$ -yeast actin antibodies (a kind gift of Dr. J. Kilmartin) is known to cross-react, it was used here in an attempt to identify <u>P.falciparum</u> actin. To date actin has now been identified or purified in <u>Plasmodia</u>.

Total parasite proteins extracted by detergent (NP40) from an asynchronous population of Kl parasites, were fractionated on a SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Methods, 2.19, and Fig. 5.7, track 3.). Included for comparison were proteins extracted from uninfected red blood cells (track 2) and yeast (track 4). The proteins were transferred to initro-cellulose and screened with rat  $\alpha$ -yeast actin antibody. The precipitated antibody was recognised by the ELISA test (Methods 2.27). Yeast actin is identified (track 7) of the correct molecular weight (ca. 42000). No cross reaction to <u>P.falciparum</u> (track 6) or red blood cell (track 5) was observed, even when affinity purified rat  $\alpha$ -yeast actin antibody was used (data not shown).

Although I failed to detect any cross-reaction between yeastactin antibody and <u>P.falciparum</u>, I do not think this approach has been exhausted. Antibody raised against a different actin may well crossreact, and a number of different antisera could be tried; antisera to cytoskeletal microfilaments and chicken muscle actin are now commercially available (Miles Scientific).

Clearly a more systematic approach is to purify actin from <u>P.falciparum</u>, and raise anti-<u>P.falciparum</u> actin antibodies. Actin is usually purified by a procedure involving chromatography on DEAE-cellulose,

#### Fig. 5.7

Silver stained SDS-polyacrylamide (Laem/Ili) gel and western blot. Total proteins extracted from yeast (track 4), <u>P.falciparum</u> (track 3), and uninfected red blood cells (track 2). Molecular weight size marker proteins shown in track 1. Above proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with rat a yeast actin antibodies. The precipitated antigen recognised by the ELISA assay (see Methods 2.27). Note no actin precipitated from <u>P.falciparum</u> (track 6), or uninfected red blood cells (track 5). Note also that actin of the correct molecular weight (ca. 45000) precipitated from total yeast proteins (track 7).



polymerization and depolymerization, and gel filtration of monomeric G-actin (Korn, 1978). However, a more rapid approach is to take advantage of actins inhibitory binding to DNAse I; a DNAse I-agarose affinity column can be used to purify actin (Lazarides, <u>et al</u>. 1974). The availability of <u>P.falciparum</u> actin would, as mentioned, allow antisera to be raised. Furthermore more determination of its molecular weight would indicate the minimum size of the nucleotide coding region; for example how much of the putative 2.8 kb transcript actually codes for protein, or is this unusually large actin mRNA due to untranslated sequences at the messenger termini? In this context a 500 bp 3' untranslated region has been reported for a human cytoplasmic actin (Hanukoglu, et al. 1983).

#### 5.3 Conclusions

Hybridisation analysis using two <u>Dictyostelium discoideum</u> actin gene containing recombinants (pcDdBl and Eco 13.4.9) indicate the presence of homologous sequences in the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u>. The different patterns of hybridisation towards the two probes suggests that there may be more than one (and possibly 5) 'actin' genes, and that they are heterogeneous. Furthermore, some of these putative genes could be clustered, and the isolation of a single phage recombinant containing 3 of the 5 <u>Hind</u>III fragments identified by the probes lends support to this view.

The identification of a specific transcript by Eco 13.4.9 indicates that some of these putative genes are expressed, and a cDNA clone homologous to both probes has been isolated. Hybrid selection of a transcript specific to the putative cDNA actin gene is in progress (J. Hyde, pers. comm.), and translation of said message will confirm whether the cDNA clone is an actin gene.

As mentioned previously nucleotide sequence analysis would also confirm the cDNA as an actin clone. The availability of the sequence would also reveal whether <u>P.falciparum</u> actin genes contain an intervening sequence(s). With the exception of <u>Dictyostelium</u>, all actin genes sequenced so far posses intervening sequences, the position and number of which appear highly variable (Fyrberg, <u>et al</u>. 1981; Sanchez <u>et al</u>. 1983; Ng and Abelson, 1980; Schuler, <u>et al</u>. 1981; Firtel, 1981). Furthermore the nucleotide sequence may reveal the nature of the discrepancy in size between the cDNA and mRNA identified by the probes.

During the life cycle of <u>P.falciparum</u> certain stages are highly motile, and at one point the microgamete suddenly produces flagella; whilst at other stages the parasite is sessile (oocyst). It is not unreasonable therefore to expect actin gene expression in <u>Plasmodia</u> to be developmentally regulated, and the cDNA actin clone may permit the investigation of developmentally regulated gene expression. There is a precedent as actin genes are developmentally expressed in <u>Dictyostelium</u>, <u>Drosophila</u> and sea urchin (McKeown, <u>et al.</u> 1981; Sanchez, <u>et al</u>. 1983; Merlino, <u>et al</u>. 1980), and this was determined using the untranslated portions of the cDNA clones as probes for mRNAs expressed at the different developmental stages.

#### . CHAPTER 6

.

•

.

.

-

.

### GENERAL DISCUSSION

The work presented in this thesis details the initial chatacterisation of the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u>, as characterised by the analysis of three particular classes of DNA. The first class of DNA represented by  $\lambda$ PFH8rep20 is highly repeated in the genome, and apparently is not expressed (or is expressed at a very low level), since no homologous mRNA species could be detected on wostern blots (data not shown). The second class of sequence, namely the rRNA genes, have been shown here to be only moderately repetitive (ca. 8 gene copies). The rRNA genes are conserved in their sequence (when compared to other eukaryotic rRNA genes), and are transcribed into a product (rRNA which is readily obtained from parasites cultured <u>in vitro</u>). Finally the third class, the putative actin genes, are also conserved in their coding sequence, but moreover are translated into a protein product.

The latter two, the rRNA and actin genes, are both conserved in their coding sequence. This was crucial in their isolation, enabling recombinant phage containing them to be identified by cross homology to cloned genes from another species. This constraint (of conservation in sequence) upon the library screening with heterologous probes was illustrated by the failure to detect the gene coding for dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), using as a probe the DHFR gene cloned from mouse. The DHFR genes are not known to be conserved in their sequence.

The enzyme DHFR is involved in folate metabolism, it reduces dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate (see below), and is the site at which many of the antifol drugs act (for review of DHFR in <u>Plasmodia</u>, see Sherman, 1979).



The substrate affinity of the plasmodial DHFR is 2-3 times greater than host cell DHFR, and is probably the reason why the antifols (pyrimethamine, trimethoprin, cycloguaril, dihydrotriazimes) are effective as antimalarials.

Methotrexate (MTX) is a specific inhibitor of DHFR, and in rodents and <u>Leishmania tropica</u>, MTX resistance is due to an amplification of the DHFR gene (Kaufman, <u>et al</u>. 1979; Coderre <u>et al</u>. 1983). Since the <u>P.falciparum</u> isolate Kl is resistant to pyrimethamine (Thaithong and Beale, 1981), the Kl DHFR gene was sought using as a probe the cDNA DHFR gene from mouse (Chang, <u>et al</u>. 1978). I was unable to detect any homology between the mouse DHFR sequences and those of <u>P.falciparum</u>, even under conditions where the single DHFR gene was detected in MTX sensitive mice. Using the same cDNA probe Schimke and his co-workers were unable to detect DHFR sequences in <u>L.tropica</u> (Coderre <u>et al</u>. 1983), and the <u>L.tropica</u> DHFR gene does not cross hybridise with malaria DHFR sequences (R. Santi, pers. comm.). Thus illustrating the requirement for conservation in sequence when using heterologous probes.
In <u>L.tropica</u> amplified DHFR genes were detected in MTX resistant cells, as a prominant band on an ethidium bromide stained agarose gel. Comparing Kl (pyrimethamine resistant) to Tak 9 (sensitive) genomic DNA on ethidium bromide stained gels, failed to reveal any prominant species even when the DNA was extracted from parasites in the presence of challenge; this is also true for the mRNA (J. Hyde, pers. comm.). The naturally occurring pyrimethamine resistant strains of malaria, appear to be stable mutants which do not revert in the absence of challenge, and the failure to detect any amplification of their DNA, implies that resistance may be due to single (or multiple) lesion, rather than to amplification of DHFR sequences (for review of anti-malarial drug resistance, see Peters 1982).

The data presented in Chapter 3, combined with that of Thaithong <u>et al</u>. (1983) demonstrates that there are marked differences between strains of <u>P.falciparum</u>. They emphasise that an isolate can be a mixture of several genetically distinct strains, and cannot therefore be considered as genetically pure. This may be relevant to this laboratory, where the <u>P.falciparum</u> laboratory standard is the uncloned isolate K1.

The importance of working with a genetically defined strain cannot be overstressed, and failure to do so has led to some errors in interpretation of data. In the late 1960's Peters and his co-workers reported the selection from the <u>P.berghei</u> isolate NK65, of a number of chloroquin resistant lines (NS lines), an example of such is Ramkaran and Peters (1969). It was later shown (by Carter and co-workers in Edinburgh), by isoenzyme typing, that the NS lines were in fact <u>P.yoelii</u>, which is naturally resistant to chloroquin, and Peters,

et al. (1978) had to reinterpret their previous data.

Using the same technique of isoenzyme typing D.Walliker and his co-workers have recently shown that the non-infective <u>P.berghei</u> NK65 line of Dore, <u>et al</u>. (1980, 1983; and Birago, <u>et al</u>. 1982) contains <u>P.yoelii</u> (D. Walliker pers. comm.). It appears that mechanical passage of NK65 has led to the selection of <u>P.yoelii</u> out of the mixed isolate, and in the light of this finding the relationship between infectivity and repetitive DNA content hypothesised by Dore, <u>et al</u>. may have to be re-examined.

<u>P.berghei</u> and <u>P.yoelii</u> are morphologically very similar, and it is difficult to distinguish between them on that criterion alone. However, this is not the case for the human malarias, for example, <u>P.falciparum</u> has characteristically crescent shaped gametocytes (Carter and Miller, 1979). Moreover the monoclonal antibody and <u>in vitro</u> translation of mRNA studies to date, show K1 (if composed of more than one strain) to be a stable isolate even over long periods of <u>in vitro</u> culture (Hall, <u>et al</u>. 1983; Hyde, <u>et al</u>. 1983).

In addition to heterologous probing, there are two further methods of identifying a gene of interest from a number of clones (library). Firstly, when the mRNA of the gene in question is relatively abundant, and the gene product is known, the cDNA copy can be identified by hybrid selection and <u>in vitro</u> translation of said message. This protocol was used by Merlino <u>et al</u>. (1980) to clone to sea urchin actin gene. In sea urchins 10% of the polyA<sup>+</sup> mRNA codes for actin. The product of the <u>in vitro</u> translation co-migrated with both rabbit muscle actin, and actin purified from sea urchin. However, in P.falciparum actin has yet to be purified, nor is the

mRNA known to be abundant. Moreover the gene could be isolated by homology to other actin sequences and for these reasons this approach was not used here.

Secondly, the gene coding for a particular protein can be identified providing that antisera to the protein is available, and the cloned gene expresses sufficient antigen. The gene coding for the sporozoite surface antigen of <u>P.knowlesi</u> was identified in this way (Ellis <u>et al</u>. 1983). Kemp <u>et al</u>. (1983) have recently reported the construction of an expression cDNA library from <u>P.falciparum</u>. Using human sera from a malarious area, a number of clones were identified which presumably may code for clinically important antigens. An expression cDNA library is also being screened with immune sera in this laboratory, and the isolation and characterisation of an antigen gene now seems more probable than possible.

However, the data presented here on the rRNA and 'actin' genes represents the first analysis of genes from the human malaria parasite <u>P.falciparum</u>. An understanding of the basic biology of the parasite will surely be crucial in long term efforts aimed at its eradication, and this work is the first step in elucidating the genomic organisation of <u>P.falciparum</u>. The results from the  $\lambda$ PFH8 rep 20 analysis implies that the genome of <u>P.falciparum</u> may be very plastic, and by recombination and or transposition capable of a high rate of change (mutation). The notably low copy number for the rRNA genes, and the large 'actin' transcript size, are indicative of the unusual life cycle of <u>P.falciparum</u> (from man to mosquito) and may be reflected in the genomic organisation, and these could be the first of many surprises to come.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ADLER, J. (1975). Chemotaxis in bacteria. Ann.Rev.Biochem. <u>44</u>: 341-356.
- ARNHEIM, N. and KUEHN, M. (1979). The genetic behaviour of a cloned mouse ribosomal DNA segment mimics mouse ribosomal gene evolution. J.Mol.Biol. <u>134</u>: 743-765.
- ARNHEIM, N. and SOUTHERN, E.M. (1977). Heterogeneity of the ribosomal genes in mice and mean. Cell <u>11</u>: 363-370.
- BAHR, G.F. and MIKEL, U. (1972). The arrangement of DNA in the nucleus of rodent malaria parasites. Proc.Helminthol.Soc. Wash. 39: 361-372.
- BAKKEN, A., MORGAN, G., SOLLNER-WEBB, B., ROAN, J., BUSBY, S. and REEDER, R.H. (1982). Mapping of transcription initiation and termination signals on <u>Xenopus laevis</u> rDNA. Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci. USA 79: 56-60.
- BARKER, D.C. and BUTCHER, J. (1983). The use of DNA probes in the identification of <u>Leishmanias</u>: discrimination between isolates of the <u>Leishmania mexicana</u> and <u>L. braziliensis</u> complexes. Trans.R.Soc.Trop.Med.Hyg. <u>77</u>: 285-297.
- BENDER, W., DAVIDSON, N., KINDLE, K.L., TAYLOR, W.C., SILVERMAN, M. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1978). The structure of M6, a recombinant plasmid containing <u>Dictyostelum</u> DNA homologous to actin messenger RNA. Cell <u>15</u>: 779-788.
- BENTON, W.D. and DAVIS, R.W. (1977). Screening λgt recombinant clones by hybridisation to single plaques <u>in situ</u>. Science 196: 180-182.

- BIRAGO, C., BUCCI, A., DORE, E., FRONTALI, C. and ZENOBI, P. (1982). Mosquito infectivity is directly related to the proportion of repetitive DNA in <u>P. bergei</u>. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 6: 1-12.
- BIRNBOIM, H.C. and DOLY, J. (1979). A rapid alkaline extraction procedure for screening recombinant plasmid DNA. Nucleic Acid Res. 1: 1512-1523.
- BLACKBURN, E.H. and GALL, J.G. (1978). A tandemly repeated sequence at the termini of the extrachromosomal ribosomal RNA genes in Tetrahymena. J.Mol.Biol. 120: 33-53.
- BOLIVAR, F., RODRIGEZ, R.L., GREENE, P.J., BETLACK, M.C., HEYNEKER, H.L., BOYER, H.W., CROSA, J.H. and FALKOW, S. (1977). Construction and characterisation of new cloning vehicles. II. A multipurpose cloning system. Gene <u>2</u>: 95-113.
- BONE, N., GIBSON, T., GOMAN, M., HYDE, J.E., LANGSLEY, G.W., SCAIFE, J.G., WALLIKER, D., YANKOVSKY, N.K. and ZOLG, J.W. (1983). Investigation of the DNA of human malaria parasite <u>P</u>. <u>falciparum</u> by <u>in vitro</u> cloning in phage  $\lambda$ . <u>in</u> The Molecular Biology of Parasites, Eds. J. Guardida, L. Luzzatto, W. Trager. Raven Press, New York.
- BORST, P. and CROSS, G.A.M. (1982). Molecular basis for <u>Trypanosome</u> antigenic variation. Cell 29: 291-303.
- BORST, P., FASE-FOWLER, F., FRASCH, A.C.C., HOEIJMAKERS, J.H.J. and WEIJERS, P.J. (1980). Characterisation of DNA from <u>Trypanosoma brucei</u> and related <u>trypanosomes</u> by restriction endonuclease digestion. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 1: 221-246.

BOSCHEK, B.C., JOCKUSCH, B.M., FRIIS, R.R., BACK, R., GRUNDMANN, E. and BAUER, H. (1981). Early changes in the distribution and organisation of microfilament proteins during cell transformation. Cell 24: 175-184.

- BOTCHAN, P., REEDER, R.H. and DAWID, I.B. (1977). Restriction analysis of the nontranscribed spacers of <u>Xenopus laevis</u> ribosomal DNA. Cell 11, 599-607.
- BRAY, R.S. and GARNHAM, P.C.C. (1982). The life-cycle of primate malaria parasites. British Medical Bulletin <u>38</u>: 117-112.
- BREATHNACH, R. and CHAMBON, P. (1981). Organisation and expression of eukaryotic split genes coding for proteins. Ann.Rev.Biochem. 50: 349-383.
- BRITTEN, R.J. and DAVIDSON, E.H. (1969). Gene regulation for higher cells: a theory. Science 165: 349-357.
- BRITTEN, R.J. and KOHNE, D.E. (1968). Repeated sequences in DNA. Science 161: 529-540.
- BROWN, G.V., ANDERS, R.F., MITCHELL, G.F. and HEYWOOD, P.F. (1982). Target antigens of purified human immunoglobulins which inhibit growth of <u>Plasmodium falciparum in vitro</u>. Nature <u>297</u>: 591-593.
  BROWN, K.N. and BROWN, I.N. (1965). Immunity to malaria: antigenic variation in chronic infections of Plasmodium knowlesi.

Nature 208: 1286-1288.

BRUCE-CHWATT, L.B. (1980). Chemotherapy and Chemoprophylaxis. <u>in</u> Essential Malariology. William Heinemann Medical Books Ltd.

BUTCHER, G.A. (1981). A comparison of static thin layer and suspension cultures for the maintenance <u>in vitro</u> of <u>P</u>. <u>falciparum</u>. Ann.Trop.Med.Parasitol. <u>75</u>: 7-17.

- CAMERON, J.R., LOH, E.Y. and DAVIS, R.W. (1979). Evidence for transposition of dispersed repetitive DNA families in yeast. Cell 16: 739-751.
- CAMPBELL, G.R., LITTAU, V.C., MELERA, P.W., ALLFREY, V.G. and JOHNSON, E.M. (1979). Unique sequence arrangement of ribosomal genes in the palindromic rDNA molecule of <u>Physarum poly-</u> phalum. Nucleic Acid Res. 6: 1433-1447.
- CARTER, R. and MILLER, L.H. (1979). Evidence for environmental modulation of gametocytogenesis in <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> in continuous culture. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation <u>57</u>: 37-52.
- CARTER, R. and WALLIKER, D. (1975). New observations on the malaria parasites of rodents of the Central Africa Republic. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 69: 187-196.
- CASTRO, C., HERNANDEZ, R. and CASTANEDA, M. (1981). <u>Trypanosoma</u> <u>cruzi</u> rRNA: internal break in the large-molecular-mass species, and number of genes. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>2</u>: 219-233.
- CECH, T.R. and RIO, D.C. (1979). Localisation of transcribed regions on extrachromosomal ribosomal RNA gene of <u>Tetrahymena</u> <u>thermophila</u> by R-loop mapping. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>76</u>: 5051-5055.
- CECH, T., TANNER, N.K., TINOCO, Jr.I., WEIR, B.R., ZUKER, M. and PERLMAN, P.S. (1983). Secondary structure of the <u>Tetrahymena</u> ribosomal RNA intervening sequence. Structural homology with fungal mitochondrial intervening sequences. Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci. USA 80: 3906-3907.

- CECH, T.R., ZAUG, A.J., GRABOWSKI, P.J. and BREHM, S.L. (1982). Transcription and splicing of the ribosomal RNA precursor of <u>Tetrahymena</u>. in The Cell Nucleus, Vol. X rDNA, Part A, pp. 171-201, Eds. Busch, H. and Rothblum, L.A.P.
- CHAMBON, P. (1975). Eucaryotic nuclear RNA polymerase. Ann.Rev. Biochem. 44: 613-638.
- CHANCE, M.L., MOMEN, H., WARHURST, D.C. and PETERS, W. (1978). The chemotherapy of rodent malaria, XXIX. DNA relationships with the subgenus <u>P. vinckei</u>. <u>in</u> Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology <u>72</u>: No. 1.
- CHANG, A.C.Y., NUNBERG, J.H., KAUFMAN, R.J., ERLICH, H.A., SCHIMKE, R.T. and COHEN, S.N. (1978). Phenotypic expression in <u>E</u>. <u>coli</u> of a DNA sequence coding for mouse dehydrofolate reductase. Nature 275, 617-624.
- CHANG, M.T., KILMARTIN, J. and DOVE, W.F. (1981). Monoclonal antibodies directed against yeast tubulin cross reaction to tubulin from pig and <u>Physarum polycephalum</u>, and subunit specificity. J. Cell. Biol. 91: A333.
- CLARKE, M. and SPUDICH, J.A. (1977). Non-muscle contractile proteins: The role of actin and myosin in cell motility and shape determination. Ann.Rev.Biochem. <u>46</u>: 797-822.
- CLEVELAND, D.W., KIRSCHNER, M.W. and COWAN, N.J. (1978). Isolation of separate mRNAs for  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -tubulin and characterisation of the corresponding <u>in vitro</u> translation products. Cell <u>15</u>: 1021-1031.

CLEVELAND, D.W., LOPATA, M.A., MacDONALD, R.J., RUTTER, W.J. and KIRSCHNER, M.W. (1980). Number and evolutionary conservation of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -tubulin, and cytoplasmic  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -actin genes using specific cloned cDNA probes. Cell <u>20</u>: 95-105.

- COCKBURN, A.F., NEWKIRK, M.J. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1976). Organisation of the ribosomal RNA genes of <u>Dictyostelium discoideum</u>: mapping of the nontranscribed spacer regions. Cell <u>9</u>: 605-613.
- COCKBURN, A.F., NEWKIRK, M.J. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1978). Dictyostelium rDNA consist of free palindromic dimers containing coding regions for 5S and 36S rRNA. Chromosoma <u>70</u>: 19-29.
- CODERRE, J.A., BEVERLEY, S.M., SCHIMKE, R.T. and SANTI, D.V. (1983). Overproduction of a bifunctional thymidylate synthetasedihydrofolate reductase, and DNA amplification in methotrexate resistant Leishmania tropica. Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. USA <u>80</u>: 2132-2136.
- CORDINGLEY, J.S. and TURNER, M.J. (1980). Small rRNAs of <u>Trypanosomes</u>. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>1</u>: 91-96.
- CORNELISSEN, A.W.C.A., OVERDULVE, J.P. and VAN DER PLOEG, M. (1983). Determination of the nuclear DNA of 5 Eucoccidian parasites, <u>Isospora (Toxoplasma) Gondii, Sarcocystis cruzi, Eimeria</u> <u>tenella, E. acervulina and Plasmodium berghei</u>with special reference to gamontogenesis and meiosis in <u>I. (T.) gondii</u>. Parasitology (in press).
- CORY, S. and ADAMS, J.M. (1977). A very large repeating unit of mouse DNA containing 18S, 28S and 5.8S rRNA genes. Cell <u>11</u>: 795-805.

COUDERC, J.L., SORBRIER, M.L., GIRAUD, G., BECKER, J.L. and

DASTUGUE, B. (1983). Actin gene expression is modulated by ecdysterone in a <u>Drosophila</u> cell line. J.Mol.Biol. <u>164</u>: 419-430. CRAIG, S.W. and POLLARD, T.D. (1982). Actin-binding proteins.

- Review of many actin binding proteins. Trends.Biochem.Sci.88-92. CRAIN, W.R., DAVIDSON, E.H. and BRITTEN, R.S. (1976). Contrasting arrangement in <u>Apis mellifera</u> (honey bee) and <u>Musca domestica</u> (house fly). Chromosoma <u>59</u>: 1-12.
- DAME, J.B. and McCUTCHAN, T.F. (1983a). The four ribosomal DNA units of the malaria parasite <u>Plasmodium berghei</u>. Journal of Biological Chem. <u>258</u>: 6984-6990.
- DAME, J.B. and McCUTCHAN, T.F. (1983b). Cloning and characterisation of a ribosomal gene from <u>Plasmodium berghei</u>. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 8: 263-279.
- DA SILVEIRA, J.F. and MERCEREAU-PUIJALON, O. (1983). <u>Plasmodium</u> <u>chabaudi</u> antigens synthesised in an mRNA dependent cell-free translation system. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 7: 159-172.
- DAVIDSON, E.H., HOUGH, B.R., AMENSON, C.S. and BRITTEN, R.J. (1973). General interspersion of repetitive with nonrepetitive sequence elements in the DNA of <u>Xenopus</u>. J.Mol.Biol. <u>77</u>: 1-23.
- DAVIDSON, E.H., GALAN, G.A., ANGERER, R.C. and BRITTEN, R.J. (1975). Comparative aspects of DNA organisation in metazoa. Chromosoma <u>51</u>: 253-259.
- DAVIDSON, G. (1982). Developments in malaria vector control. British Medical Bulletin 38: 201-206.

- DAWID, I.B., WELLAUER, P.K. and LONG, E.O. (1978). Ribosomal DNA in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u>. 1. Isolation and characterisation of cloned fragments. J.Mol.Biol. <u>126</u>: 749-768.
- DAWID, I.B., LONG, E.O., Di NOCERA, P.P. and PARDUE, M.L. (1981). Ribosomal insertion-like elements in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u> are interspersed with mobile sequences. Cell <u>25</u>: 399-408. DENHARDT, D.J., DRESDER, D. and RAY, D.S. (1978). The single

stranded DNA phages. Cold Spring Harb. N.Y.

- DIN, N., ENGBERG, J., KAFFENBERGER, W. and ECKERT, W.A. (1979). The intervening sequence in the 26S rRNA coding region of  $\underline{T}$ . <u>thermophila</u> is transcribed within the largest stable precursor for rRNA. Cell 18: 525-532.
- DORE, E., BIRAGO, C., FRONTALI, C. and BATTAGLIA, P.A. (1980). Kinetic complexity and repetivity of <u>Plasmodium berghei</u> DNA. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>1</u>: 199-208.
- DORE, E., FRONTALI, C., BIRAGO, C. and PICCI, L. (1983). Repetitive DNA organisation in <u>P. berghei</u> genome, evidence of changes associated with the production of infective gametes. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology (in press).
- DURICA, D.S., SCHLOSS, J.A. and CRAIN, J.R., W.R. (1980). Organization of actin gene sequences in the sea urchin: Molecular cloning of an intron-containing DNA sequence coding for a cytoplasmic actin. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>77</u>: 5683-5687.
- ECKERT, W.A., KAFFENBERGER, W., KROHNE, G. and FRANKE, W.W. (1978). Introduction of hidden breaks during rRNA maturation and ageing in Tetrahymena pyriformis. Eur.J.Biochem. <u>87</u>: 607-616.

ELLIS, J., OZAKI, L.S., GWADZ, R., COCHRANE, A.H., NUSSENZWEIG, V., NUSSENZWEIG, R.S. and GODSON, G.N. (1983). Cloning and expression in <u>E. coli</u> of the malarial sporozoite surface antigen gene from <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u>. Nature <u>302</u>: 536-538.ENDOW, S.A. and GLOVER, D.A. (1979). Differential replication of ribosomal gene repeats in polytene nuclei of <u>Drosophila</u>.

Cell 17: 597-605.

ENGBERG, J., ANDERSSON, P., IEICK, V. and COLLINS, J. (1976). Free ribosomal DNA molecules from <u>Tetrahymena</u> pyriformis GL are grant palindromes. J.Mol.Biol. <u>104</u>: 455-470.

FEDOROFF, N.V. (1979). Review on spacers. Cell <u>16</u>: 697-710. FILES, J.G., CARR, S. and HIRSH, D. (1983). Actin gene family of

Caenorhabditis elegans. J.Mol.Biol. 164: 355-375.

- FINDLAY, R.C. and GALL, J.G. (1978). Free ribosomal RNA genes in <u>Paramecium</u> are tandemly repeated. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>75</u>: 3312-3316.
- FIRTEL, R.A. (1981). Multigene families encoding actin and tubulin. Cell <u>24</u>: 6-7.

FIRTEL, R.A., TIMM, R., KIMMEL, T.R. and McKEOWN, M. (1979). Unusual nucleotide sequence at the 5' end of actin genes in <u>Dictyostelium discoideum</u>. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>76</u>: 6206-6210.FORD, P.J. and SOUTHERN, E.M. (1973). Different sequences for 5S RNA in kidney cells and ovaries of <u>Xenopus laevis</u>. Nature New Biol. 241: 7-12.

FYRBERG, E.A., KINDLE, K.L. and DAVIDSON, N. (1980). The actin genes of Drosophila: a dispersed multigene family. Cell <u>19</u>: 365-378. FYRBERG, E.A., BOND, B.J., HERSHEY, N.D., MIXTER, K.S. and DAVIDSON,

N. (1981). The actin genes of <u>Drosophila</u>: protein coding regions are highly conserved but intron positions are not. Cell <u>24</u>: 107-116.

- GALL, J.G. (1974). Free ribosomal RNA genes in the macro nucleus of <u>Tetrahymena</u>. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>71</u>: 3078-3081.
- GALLWITZ, D. and SEIDEL, R. (1980). Molecular cloning of the actin gene from yeast <u>Saccharomyces</u> <u>cerevisiae</u>. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>8</u>: 1043-1059.
- GARNHAM, P.C.C. (1966). Malaria Parasites and other Haemosporidia. Oxford Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- GILLESPIE, D. and SPIECELMAN, S. (1965). A quantitative assay for DNA-RNA hybrids with DNA immobilized on a membrane. J.Mol.Biol. 12: 829-842.
- GLISIN, V., CRKVENJAKOV, R. and BJUS, C. (1979). Ribonucleic acid isolated by caesium chloride centrifugation. Biochemistry <u>13</u>: 2633-2637.
- GLOVER, D.M. and HOGNESS, D.S. (1977). A novel arrangement of the 18S and 28S sequences in a repeating unit of <u>Drosophila</u> melanogaster rDNA. Cell <u>10</u>: 167-176.
- GOMAN, M., LANGSLEY, G., HYDE, J.E., YANKOVSKY, N.K., ZOLG, J.W. and SCAIFE, J.G. (1982). The establishment of genomic DNA libraries for the human malaria parasite <u>P. falciparum</u> and identification of individual clones by hybridisation. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>5</u>: 391-400.

GRABOWSKI, P.J., ZAUG, A.J. and CECH, T.R. (1981). The intervening sequence of the ribosomal RNA precursor is converted to a

circular RNA in isolated nuclei of <u>Tetrahymena</u>. Cell <u>23</u>: 467-476. GRAHAM, D.E., NEUFELD, B.R., DAVIDSON, E.H. and BRITTEN, R.J. (1974).

- Interspersion of repetitive and non-repetitive DNA sequences in the Sea Urchin genome. Cell <u>1</u>: 127-137.
- GUTTERIDGE, W.E. and TRIGG, P.I. (1970). Incorporation of radioactive precursors into DNA and RNA of <u>P. knowlesi in vitro</u>. J.Protozoology <u>17</u>: 89-96.
- HAHN, U., LAZARUS, C.M., LUNSDORF, I.H. and KUNTZEL, H. (1979). Split gene for mitochondrial 24S ribosomal RNA of <u>Neurospora</u> <u>crassa</u>. Cell <u>17</u>: 191-200.
- HALL, R., McBRIDE, J., MORGAN, G., TAIT, A., ZOLG, J.W., WALLIKER, D. and SCAIFE, J. (1983). Antigens of the erythrocytic stages of the human malaria parasite <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> detected monoclonal antibodies. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 7: 247-265.
- HANUKOGLU, I., TANESE, N. and FUCHS, E. (1983). Complementary DNA sequence of a human cytoplasmic actin: interspecies divergence of 3'-non coding regions. J.Mol.Biol. <u>163</u>: 673-678.
  - HASAN, G., TURNER, M.J. and CORDINGLEY, J.S. (1982). Ribosomal genes of <u>Trypanosoma brucei</u>. Cloning of a rRNA gene containing a mobile element. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>10</u>: 6747-6761.
  - HAWLEY, R.S. and TARTOF, K.D. (1983). The ribosomal DNA of <u>Drosophila</u> <u>melanogaster</u> is organised differently from that of <u>D. hydei</u>. J.Mol.Biol. 163: 499-503.

HENDERSON, A.S. and ATWOOD, K.C. (1976). Satellite association

frequency and rDNA content of a double-satellited chromosome. Hum.Genet. 31: 113-115.

- HERNANDEZ, R. and CASTANEDA, M. (1983). An endonuclease restriction analysis of the ribosomal RNA genes of <u>Trypanosoma cruzi</u>. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>8</u>: 305-315.
- HERNANDEZ, R., NAVA, G. and CASTANEDA, M. (1983). Small-size ribosomal RNA species in <u>Trypanosoma cruzi</u>. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>8</u>: 297-304.
- HIGHTON, P.J. and WHITFIELD, M. (1975). Similarities between the DNA molecules of bacteriophages 424, λ, and 21. Determined by denaturation and electron microscopy. Virology <u>63</u>: 438-446.
  HOMMEL, M., DAVID, P.H. and OLIGINO, L.D. (1983). Surface atterations of erythrocytes in <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> malaria. I. Antigenic variation, antigenic diversity and the role of the spleen. J.

Exp.Med. 157: 1137-1148.

- HOUGH-EVANS, B.R. and HOWARD, J. (1982). Genome size and DNA complexity of <u>P. falciparum</u>. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta <u>698</u>: 56-61.
- HOWARD, R.J., BARNWELL, J.W. and KAO, V. (1983). Antigenic variation in <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u> malaria: Identification of the variant antigen on infected erythrocytes. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>80</u>: 4129-4133.
- HUMPHREYS, G.O., WILLSHAW, G.A. and ANDERSON, E.S. (1975). A simple method for the preparation of large quantities of pure plasmid DNA. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta <u>383</u>: 457-463.

HUMPHRIES, S.E., WHITTALL, R., MINTY, A., BUCKINGHAM, M.E. and

WILLIAMSON, R. (1981). There are approximately 20 actin genes in the human genome. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>9</u>: 4895-4908.

- HYDE, J.E., GOMAN, M., HALL, R., OSLAND, A., HOPE, I.A., LANGSLEY, G., ZOLG, W. and SCAIFE, J.G. (1983). Messenger RNA from the human malaria parasite <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u>; characterisation, translation studies and construction of a cDNA library. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology (in press).
- HYDE, J.E., ZOLG, J.W. and SCAIFE, J.G. (1981). Isolation and characterization of ribosomal RNA from the human malaria parasite <u>P. falciparum</u>. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 4: 283-290.
- JORDAN, B.R. and GLOVER, D.M. (1977). 5.8S and 2S rDNA is located in the 'transcribed spacer' region between the 18S and 26S rRNA genes in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u>. FEBS Letters <u>78</u>: 271-274. KAINE, B.P. and SPEAR, B.B. (1980). Putative actin genes in the macronucleus of <u>Oxytricha fallax</u>. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA

77: 5336-5340.

- KARN, S., BRENNER, S., BARNETT, L. and CESARENI, G. (1980). Novel bacteriophage  $\lambda$  cloning vector. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>77</u>: 5172-5176.
- KAUFMAN, R.J., BROWN, P.C. and SCHIMKE, R.T. (1979). Amplified dihydrofolate reductase genes in unstably methotrexate resistant cells are associated with double minute chromosomes. Proc. Natl.Acad.Sci. USA 76: 5669-5673.

- KEMP, D.J., COPPEL, R.L., COWMAN, A.F., SAINT, B.B., BROWN, G.V. and ANDERS, R.F. (1983). Expression of <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u> blood-stage antigens in <u>Escherichia coli</u>: Detection with antibodies from immune humans. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>80</u>: 3787-3791.
- KIDD, S.J. and GLOVER, D.M. (1981). <u>Drosophila melanogaster ribo-</u> somal DNA containing Type II insertions is variably transcribed in different strains and tissues. J.Mol.Biol. <u>151</u>: 645-662.
  KINDLE, K.L. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1978). Identification and analysis
- of <u>Dictyostelium</u> actin gene, a family of moderately repeated genes. Cell <u>15</u>: 763-778.
- KOHORN, B.B. and RAE, P.M.M. (1982). Nontranscribed spacer sequences promote in vitro transcription of Drosophila ribosomal DNA. Nucleic Acid Res. 10: 6879-6886.
- KORN, E. (1978). Biochemistry of actomyosin-dependent cell motility (A Review). Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>75</u>: No. 2, 588-599.
- KORN, L.J. and BROWN, D.D. (1978). Nucleotide sequence of <u>Xenopus</u> <u>borealis</u> oocyte 5S DNA: Comparison of sequences that flank several related eucaryotic genes. Cell <u>15</u>: 1145-1156.
- KRUGER, K., GRABOWSKI, P.J., ZAUG, A.J., SANDS, J., GOTTSCHLING, D.E. and CECH, T.R. (1982). Self-splicing RNA: auto-excision and autocyclization of the ribosomal RNA intervening sequence of Tetrahymena. Cell <u>31</u>: 147-157.

LAEMMLI, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature <u>227</u>: 680-685.
LAKE, J.A. (1983). Evolving ribosome structure: Domains in Archaebacteria, Eubacteria, and Eucaryotes. Cell <u>33</u>: 318-319. LAZARIDES, E. and BALZER, D.R. (1978). Specificity of desmin to avian and mammalian muscle cells. Cell <u>14</u>: 429-438.

- LAZARIDES, E. and LINDBERG, U. (1974). Actin is the naturally occurring inhibitor of deoxyribonuclease I. Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci. USA <u>71</u>: 4742-4746.
- LEON, W., FOUTS, D.L. and MANNING, J. (1978). Analysis of Leishmania donovani DNA and the arrangement of the 16S and 26S rDNA genes. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>5</u>: 491-504.
- LIS, J.T., PRESTIDGE, L. and HOGNESS, D.S. (1978). A novel arrangement of tandemly repeated genes at a major heat shock site in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u>. Cell <u>14</u>: 901-919.
- LONG, E.O., COLLINS, M., KREFER, B.I. and DAWID, I.B. (1981). Expression of the rDNA insertions in bobbed mutants of <u>Drosophila</u> melanogaster. Molec. and Gen.Genet. <u>182</u>: 377-384.
- LONG, E.O. and DAWID, I.B. (1980). Repeated genes in eukaryotes. Ann.Rev.Biochem. 727-764.
- LUDUENA, R.F. and WOODWARD, D.O. (1973). Isolation and partial characterization of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -tubulin from outer doublets of sea urchin sperm and microtubules of chick embryo brain. Proc. Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>70</u>: 3594-3598.
- McBRIDE, J.S., WALLIKER, D. and MORGAN, G. (1982). Antigenic diversity in the human malaria parasite: <u>P. falciparum</u>. Science 217: 254-257.

MacDONALD, G. (1957). The Epidemiology and Control of Malaria. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press. MCKEOWN, M., TAYLOR, W.C., KINDLE, K.L. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1978).

Multiple, heterogeneous actin genes in <u>Dictyostelium</u>. Cell 15: 789-800.

McKEOWN, M. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1981). Differential expression and

5' end mapping of actin genes in <u>Dictyostelium</u>. Cell <u>24</u>: 799-807. McKEOWN, M. and FIRTEL, R.A. (1981a). Evidence for sub-families of

actin genes in <u>Dictyostelium</u> as determined by comparison of 3' end sequences. J.Mol.Biol. 151: 593-606.

MAIZELS, N. (1976). Dictyostelium 175, 255 and 55 rDNAs lie within

a 38,000 base pair repeated unit. Cell 9: 431-438.

MANIATIS, T., FRITSCH, E.F. and SAMBROOK, J. (1982). Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. C.S.H. Laboratory, N.Y.

MANNING, J.E., SCHMID, C.W. and DAVIDSON, N. (1975). Interspersion of repetitive and nonrepetitive DNA sequences in the <u>Drosophila</u> <u>melanogaster</u> genome. Cell <u>4</u>: 141-155.

MARCO, Y. and ROCHAIX, J.-D. (1980). Organisation of the nuclear ribosomal DNA of <u>Chlamydomonas reinhardii</u>. Molec.Gen.Genet. 177: 715-723.

MARMUR, J., ROWND, R. and SCHILDRAUT, C.L. (1963). Denaturation and renaturation of DNA. Prog.Nucl.Acid.Res. 232-300.

MERLINO, G.T., WATER, R.D., CAMBERLAIN, J.P., JACKSON, D.A., RAAFAT EL-GEWELY, M. and KLEINSMITH, L.J. (1980). Cloning of sea urchin actin gene sequences for use in studying regulation of actin gene transcription. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>77</u>: 765-769.

MILLER, F.W. and LLAN, J. (1978). The ribosomes of <u>Plasmodium berghei</u>: isolation and ribosomal ribonucleic acid analysis. Parasitology 77: 345-365.

- MILLER, K.G. and SOLINER-WEBB, B. (1981). Transcription of mouse rRNA genes by RNA polymerase 1: <u>In vitro and in vivo</u> initiation and processing sites. Cell <u>27</u>: 165-174.
- MORRISEY, J.H. (1981). Silver stain for protein in polyacrylamide gels. A modified procedure with enhanced uniform sensitivity. Analytical Biochemistry <u>117</u>: 307-310.
- MURRAY, N.E. (1983). Phage lambda and Molecular Cloning. in Lambda II (Hendrix, R.W., Roberts, J.W., Stahl, F.W. and Weisberg, R.S. eds.) Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- MURRAY, N.E., BRAMMER, W.J. and MURRAY, K. (1977). Lambdoid phages that simplify the recovery of <u>in vitro</u> recombination. Molec. and Gen.Genet. <u>150</u>: 53-61.
- NAKAZATO, H. and EDMONDS, M. (1974). Purification of mRNA and Heterologous Nuclear RNA Containing Poly(A) Sequences. <u>in</u> Methods in Enzymology <u>29</u> (Grossman, L. and Moldave, K. eds.) pp. 431-443, Academic Press, New York.
- NATH, K. and BOLLON, A.P. (1977). Organisation of the yeast ribosomal RNA gene cluster via cloning and restriction analysis. J.Biol.Chem. 252: 6562-6571.
- NG, R. and ABELSON, J. (1980). Isolation and sequence of the gene for actin in <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA 77: 3912-3916.
- NILES, E.G., CUNNINGHAM, K. and JAIN, R. (1981). Structure of the <u>Tetrahymena pyriformis rRNA gene</u>. J.Biol.Chem. <u>256</u>: 12857-12860.ORJIH, A.U., BANYAL, H.S., CHEVLI, R. and FITCH, C.D. (1981). Hemin lyses malaria parasites. Science <u>214</u>: 667-669.

- PAN, W.-C. and BLACKBURN, E.H. (1981). Single extrachromosomal ribosomal RNA gene copies are synthesized during amplification of the rDNA in Tetrahymena. Cell 23: 459-466.
- PARDUE, M.L., BRAWN, D.D. and BIRNSTIEL, M.L. (1973). Location of the genes for 5S ribosomal RNA in <u>Xenopus laevis</u>. Chromosoma 42: 191-203.
- PASVOL, G. and WILSON, R.J.M. (1982). The interaction of malaria parasites with red blood cells. British Medical Bulletin 38: 133-140.
- PAVLAKIS, G.N., JORDAN, B.R., WURST, R.M. and VOURNAKIS, J.N. (1979). Sequence and secondary structure of <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u> 5.8S and 25 rRNAs and of the processing site between them. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>7</u>: 2213-2238.
- PERKOWSKA, E., MACGREGOR, H.C. and BIRNSTIEL, M.L. (1968). Gene amplification in the oocyte nucleus of mutant and wild-type Xenopus <u>laevis</u>. Nature <u>217</u>: 649-650.
- PERRIN, L.H., DAYAL, R. and REIDER, H. (1981). Characterization of antigens from erythrocytic stages of <u>P. falciparum</u> reacting with immune human sera. Trans.R.Soc.Trop.Med.Hyg. <u>75</u>: 163-165.PERRY, R.P. (1976). 3 major rRNAs derived from a single precursor.

Ann.Rev.Biochem. 45: 605-629.

PETERS, W., CHANCE, M.L., LISSNAR, R., MOMEN, H. and WARHURST, D.C. (1978). The chemotherapy of rodent malaria. XXX. The enigmas of the 'NS lines' of <u>P. berghei</u>. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology <u>72</u>: No. 1. 23-34. PETERS, W. (1980). Chemotherapy of Malaria. <u>in</u> Malaria, Vol. I: Epidemiology, Chemotherapy, Morphology and Metabolism. (Krier,

J.P. ed), pp. 145-283, Academic Press, London.

- PETERS, W. (1982). Antimalarial drug resistance. British Medical Bulletin 38: 187-192.
- PETES, T.D. and BOTSTEIN, D. (1977). Simple Mendelian inheritance of the reiterated ribosomal DNA of yeast. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA 74: 5091-5095.
- POLLACK, Y., KATZEN, A., SPIRA, D.T. and GOLENSER, J. (1982). The genome of <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u>. 1. DNA base composition. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>10</u>: 539-545.
- PRESCOTT, D.M. and MURTI, K.G. (1973). Chromosome structure in ciliated protozoans. Cold Spring Harb.Symp.Quant.Biol. 38: 609-618.
- RAMKARAN, A.E. and PETERS, W. (1969). Infectivity of chloroquine resistant <u>Plasmodium berghei</u> to <u>Anopheles stephensi</u> enhanced by chloroquine. Nature <u>223</u>: 635-666.
- RASCH, E.M., BARR, H.J. and RASCH, R.W. (1971). The DNA content of sperm of Drosophila melanogaster. Chromosoma 33: 1-18.
- RIGBY, P.W., DIECKMANN, M., RHODES, G. and BERG, P. (1977). Nick translation of both circular and linear DNAs. J.Mol.Biol. <u>113</u>: 237-251.
- RITOSSA, F.M. (1968). Unstable redundancy of genes for ribosomal RNA. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>60</u>: 509-516.

RITOSSA, F.M. and SPIEGELMAN, S. (1965). Localization of DNA complementary to ribosomal RNA in the nucleolus organiser region of <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u>. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>53</u>: 737-745.

ROCHAIX, J.-D. and MALNOE, P. (1978). Anatomy of the chloroplast

ribosomal DNA of <u>Chlamydomonas</u> reinhardii. Cell <u>15</u>: 661-670. ROSARIO, V. (1981). Cloning of naturally occurring mixed infections

of malaria parasites. Science 212: 1037-1038.

RUBIN, G.M. (1983). Dispersed Repetitive DNAs in Drosophila. in Mobile Genetic Elements (Shapiro, J.A. ed.) Academic Press.

RUBSTOV, P.M., MUSAKHANOV, M.M., ZAKHARYEV, V.M., KRAYEV, A.S.,

SKRYABIN, K.G. and BAYEV, A.A. (1980). The structure of the yeast ribosomal RNA genes. 1. The complete nucleotide sequence of the 18S ribosomal RNA gene from <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. Nucleic Acids Res. <u>8</u>: 5779-5794.

- SALIM, M. and MADEN, E.H. (1981). Nucleotide sequence of <u>Xenopus</u> <u>laevis</u> 18S ribosomal RNA inferred from gene sequence. Nature 291: 205-208.
- SANCHEZ, F., TOBIN, S.L., RDEST, U., ZULAUF, E. and McCARTHY, B.J. (1983). Two <u>Drosophila</u> actin genes in detail: Gene structure, protein structure, and transcription during development. J. Mol.Biol. <u>163</u>: 533-551.
- SCHACHAT, F., O'CONNOR, D.J. and EPSTEIN, H.F. (1978). The moderately repetitive DNA sequences of <u>Caenorhabditis elegans</u> do not show short-period interspersion. Biochmica et Biophysica Acta 520: 688-692.

- SCHMID, C.W. and DEININGER, P.L. (1975). Sequence organization of the human genome. Cell <u>6</u>: 345-357.
- SCHULER, M.A. and KELLER, E.B. (1981). The chromosomal arrangement of two linked actin genes in the sea urchin <u>Strongylocentrotus</u> purpuratus. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>9</u>: 591-604.
- SHAPIRO, J.A. and CORDELL, B. (1982). Eukaryotic mobile and repeated genetic elements. Biol. Cell 43: 31-54.
- SHERMAN, I.W. (1979). Biochemistry of <u>Plasmodium</u> (Malarial parasites). Microbiological Reviews 453-495.
- SHINE, J. and DALGARNO, L. (1974). The 3'-terminal sequence of <u>Escherichia coli</u> 16S ribosomal RNA: complementarity to nonsense triplets and ribosome binding sites. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA 71: 1342-1346.
- SINDEN, R.E. (1983). The cell biology of sexual **deve**lopment in Plasmodium. Parasitology <u>86</u>: 7-28.
- SMITH, H.O. and BIRNSTIEL, M.L. (1976). A simple method for DNA

restriction site mapping. Nucleic Acid Res. <u>3</u>: 2387-2398. SMITH, G.E. and SUMMERS, M.D. (1980). The bidirectional transfer

of DNA and RNA to nitrocellulose or diazobensyloxymethyl-

paper. Anal.Biochem. 109: 123-129.

SOUTHERN, E. (1975). Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J.Mol.Biol. <u>98</u>: 503-517.

SPRADLING, A.C. and RUBIN, G.M. (1981). Drosophila genome organisation: conserved and dynamic aspects. Ann.Rev.Gen.<u>15</u>: 219-264. SUTCLIFFE, J.G. (1978). Complete nucleotide sequence of the

Escherichia coli plasmid pBR322. Cold Spring Harb.Symp.Quant. Biol. 43: 77-90.

- TAIT, A. (1981). Analysis of protein variation in <u>P</u>. falciparum by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology <u>2</u>: 205-217.
- TARTOF, K.D. (1971). Increasing the multiplicity of ribosomal RNA genes in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u>. Science <u>171</u>: 294-297. TAYLOR, D.W. and SIDDIQUI, W.A. (1982). Recent advances in malaria

immunity. Ann.Rev.Med. 33: 69-96.

- THAITHONG, S. and BEALE, G.H. (1981). Resistance of ten Thai isolates of <u>P. falciparum</u> to chloroquine and pyrimethamine by <u>in</u> <u>vitro</u> tests. Trans.R.Soc.Trop.Med.Hyg. <u>75</u>: 271-273.
- THAITHONG, S., BEALE, G.H., FENTON, B., MCBRIDE, J., ROSARIO, V., WALKER, A. and WALLIKER, D. (1983). Clonal diversity in a single isolate of the malaria parasite <u>Plasmodium falciparum</u>. Trans.R.Soc.Trop.Med.Hyg. (in press).
- TOBIN, S.L., ZULAUF, E., SANCHEZ, F., CRAIG, E.A. and McCARTHY, B.J. (1980). Multiple actin-related sequences in the <u>Drosophila</u> melanogaster genome. Cell 19: 121-131.
- TOWBIN, H., STAEHELIN, T. and GORDON, J. (1979). Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from poly acrylamide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: Procedure and some applications. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>76</u>: 4350-4354.
- TRAGER, W. and JENSEN, J.B. (1976). Human malaria parasites in continuous culture. Science <u>193</u>: 673-675.
- TWIGG, A.J. and SHERRATT, D. (1980). Trans-complementable copynumber mutants of plasmid Col El. Nature 283: 216-218.

VALENZUELA, P., BELL, G.I., VENEGAS, A., SEWEL, E.T., MASIARZ, F.R.,

DE GENNARO, L.J., WEIENBERG, F. and RUTTER, W.J. (1977).

Ribosomal RNA genes of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. J.Biol.Chem. 252: 8126-8135.

- VANDEKERCKHOVE, J. and WEBER, K. (1978). The amino acid sequence of Physarum actin. Nature <u>276</u>: 720-721.
- VANDEKERCKHOVE, J. and WEBER, K. (1980). Vegetative <u>Dictyostelium</u> cells containing 17 actin genes express a single major actin. Nature 284: 475-477.
- VERBEET, M.Ph., KLOOTWIJK, J., v. HEERIKHUIZEN, H., FONTIJN, R., VREUGDENHIL, E. and PLANTA, R.J. (1983). Molecular cloning of the cDNA of <u>Saccharomyces rosei</u>, and comparison of its transscription initiation region with that of <u>S. carlsbergensis</u>. Gene 23: 53-63.
- VICKERMAN, K. and COX, F.E.G. (1967). The Protozoa. <u>in</u> Introductory Studies in Biology (Murray, S.W. ed.) William Clowes & Sons Ltd., London and Beccles.
- VILLALBA, E. and RAMIREZ, J.L. (1982). Ribosomal DNA of <u>Leishmania</u> <u>brasiliensis</u>: Number of ribosomal copies and gene isolation. J.Protozool. <u>29(3)</u> 438-441.

WALKER, P.M.B. (1971). Origin of satellite DNA. Nature 229: 306-308. WALKER, T.A. and PACE, N.R. (1983). 5.8S ribosomal RNA. Cell 33:

320-322.

WALLACE, H. and BIRNSTIEL, M.L. (1966). Ribosomal cistrons and the nucleolar organizer. Biochim.Biophys.Acta <u>114</u>: 296-310.

WALLIKER, D. (1982). Genetic variation in malaria parasites.

British Medical Bulletin 38: 123-128.

WALLIKER, D. (1983). The genetic basis of diversity in malaria parasites. Advances in Parasitology 22: 217-259.

WELLAUER, P.K. and DAWID, I.B. (1977). The structural organisation

of ribosomal DNA in <u>Drosophila melanogaster</u>. Cell <u>10</u>: 193-212. WELLAUER, P.K. and DAWID, I.B. (1978). Ribosomal DNA in <u>Drosophila</u>

melanogaster. J.Mol.Biol. 126: 769-782.

WELLAUER, P.K., DAWID, I.B., BROWN, D.D. and REEDER, R.H. (1976). The molecular basis for length heterogeneity in ribosomal DNA from Xenopus laevis. J.Mol.Biol. <u>105</u>: 461-486.

WETMUR, J.G. and DAVIDSON, N. (1968). Kinetics of renaturation of DNA. J.Mol.Biol. 31: 349-370.

- WHITE, G.B. (1982). Malaria vector ecology and genetics. British Medical Bulletin 38: 207-212.
- WILD, M.A. and GALL, J.G. (1979). An intervening sequence in the gene coding for the 28S RNA of <u>Tetrahymena pigmentosa</u>. Cell <u>16</u>:565-573.
   WILSON, R.J.M. (1980). Serotyping of <u>P. falciparum</u> malaria with S-

antigens. Nature 284: 451-452.

- WIMBER, D.E. and STEFFENSEN, D.M. (1970). Localization of 5S RNA genes on <u>Drosophila</u> chromosomes by RNA-DNA hybridisation. Science 170: 639-641.
- WOOL, I.G. (1979). The structure and function of eukaryotic ribosomes. Ann.Rev.Biochem. 48: 719-754.

WORLD HEALTH STASTICIS ANNUAL. World Health Organisation, Geneva, yearly updates.

YAO, M.-C., BLACKBURN, E. and GALL, J.G. (1979). Amplification of the rRNA genes in <u>Tetrahymena</u>. Cold Spring Harb.Symp.Quant.Biol. XLIII: 1293-19296.

- YAO, M.-C. and GALL, J.G. (1977). A single integrated gene for ribosomal RNA in a eucaryote, <u>Tetrahymena pyriformis</u>. Cell 12: 121-132.
- YAO, M.-C. and YAO, C.-H. (1981). Repeated hexanucleotide C-C-C-C-A-A is present near free ends of macronuclear DNA of <u>Tetrahymena</u>. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>78</u>: 7436-7439.
- ZUKER, C. and LODISH, H.F. (1981). Repetitive DNA sequences cotranscribed with developmentally regulated <u>Dictyostelium</u>-<u>discoideum</u> mRNAs. Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA <u>78</u>: 5386-5390.
- ZULAF, E., SANCHEZ, F., TOBIN, S.L., RDEST, U. and McCARTHY, B. (1981). Developmental expression of a Drosophila actin gene encoding actin I. Nature 292: 556-558.