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#### Abstract

The template synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules has allowed their comprehensive study. In particular the transition metal-mediated synthesis of catenanes and rotaxanes has proven to be a useful methodology. The well defined primary coordination sphere of transition metal ions can be relied upon to orient multiple ligands in a predictable manner thus directing subsequent cyclisation reactions. This thesis describes the use of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ as a template in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecular architectures and is in three parts. Firstly, the steric and electronic parameters governing the synthesis of a [2]rotaxane utilising the preferred square planar coordination geometry of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ were investigated. Using a tridentate pyridine 2,6-dicarboxamido macrocyclic precursor and a 2,6-dimethoxypyridine unit capped with bulky terminating groups the [2]rotaxane was accessed using ring closing olefin metathesis in the crucial cyclisation step. Based on these findings the assembly of a similar [2]catenane was achieved. In this case the desired product and two of its molecular isomers were prepared selectively depending on the order in which the precursor units were cyclised. Secondly, both catenanes and rotaxanes assembled in this way were shown to contain an unusual intercomponent pyridine-amide-pyridine hydrogen bond motif following abstraction of the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ template. As a result, a range of diverse noncovalent binding interactions were observed with the [2]rotaxane and [2]catenane that are not found with similar, but not mechanically interlocked, fragments including non-native transition metal coordination and encapsulation of a sulfonic acid guest. All the neutral, anionic and cationic complexes were characterised in solution using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and in the solid state using X-ray crystallography. Thirdly, the control of large amplitude sub-molecular motion in two novel [2]catenanes was achieved. In the first example, a $180^{\circ}$ turn was produced via interconvertible $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ coordination modes. In the second example, a [2]catenane containing one ring with two different TM binding moieties allowed for the kinetic control of a formal half-turn via chelation to either Co (III) or Pd (II). In both cases the co-conformation of the rings was confirmed in solution using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and in the solid state using X-ray crystallography.
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## General Comments on Experimental Data

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents and anhydrous solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals and used without further purification. Column chromatography was carried out using Kiesegel C60 (Merck, Germany) as the stationary phase, and TLC was performed on precoated silica gel plates $\left(0.25 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ thick, $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$, Merck, Germany) and observed under UV light. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV400 instrument at a constant temperature of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million from low to high field and referenced to TMS. Coupling constants $(J)$ are reported in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. Standard abbreviations indicating multiplicity were used as follows: $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quadruplet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet. All melting points were determined using Sanyo Gallenkamp apparatus and are reported uncorrected. ESI mass spectrometry was performed with a Micromass Platform II mass spectrometer controlled using Masslynx v2.3 software while FAB mass spectrometry was carried out by the laboratory services at the University of Edinburgh.

# Chapter 1: The Use of Transition Metal Ions in the Assembly of Mechanically Interlocked Molecules 

"Chemists are a strange class of mortals, impelled by an almost maniacal impulse to seek their pleasures amongst smoke and vapour, soot and flames, poisons and poverty, yet amongst all these evils I seem to live so sweetly, that I would rather die than change places with the King of Persia." Johann Joachim Becher, Physica subterranea, 1667

Source: Paul Strathern, "Mendeleev's dream"

## Preface

During the latter half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century a more comprehensive and rigorous understanding of the forces that govern intermolecular interactions evolved into the interdisciplinary field now known as supramolecular chemistry. ${ }^{[1]}$ The joint award of the Nobel prize in 1987 to Cram, ${ }^{[2]}$ Lehn ${ }^{[3]}$ and Pedersen, ${ }^{[4]}$ as well as the breadth of research currently being undertaken in this area across the globe, is testimony to the importance of "chemistry beyond the molecule" in contemporary science. One particularly impressive application of supramolecular chemistry has been the exploitation of noncovalent forces in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules. The study of such compounds - where two or more fragments are held together in a single molecular species by a mechanical bond - was once the domain of a handful of pioneering chemists, but has rapidly developed over the last twenty years into an important field in its own right. Currently there are potential applications in materials chemistry ${ }^{[5]}$ and molecular electronics ${ }^{[6,7]}$ amongst others that have to be considered as more than just whimsical dreams. Furthermore, through the study of these unique molecular entities, scientists are starting to formulate criteria for the mimicry of nature's biological motors, paving the way for the realisation of synthetic molecular machines that can perform physical tasks in the macroscopic world. ${ }^{[8]}$

Such is the current wealth of knowledge in this field that a fully comprehensive review of the area, including the synthesis, properties and applications of interlocked molecular systems, would fill several volumes. ${ }^{[9,10]}$ With regard to the content of this thesis, specific emphasis has been placed on the role of TM ions in the development of mechanically interlocked molecules, although a brief overview of the area will be presented initially, to illustrate the diversity of this lively field of research.

### 1.1 Background

### 1.1.1 Definitions and Nomenclature

a)
b)
c)
d)


Figure 1.1. Cartoon representations of a) a [2]catenane, b) a [2]rotaxane, c) a trefoil knot, d) a Borromean ring.

Unambiguous scientific definitions have been assigned to the different classes of mechanically interlocked molecular architectures. A catenane (Figure 1.1 a) consists of two or more interlocked macrocycles connected like links in a chain. In a rotaxane (Figure 1.1 b ) one or more macrocycles circumscribe a 'thread' comprised of a linear component terminated by two bulky end groups. Normally, a numerical prefix is added to the descriptor to denote the number of species that are kinetically associated, e.g. a [3]catenane is made up of three linked macrocycles. A trefoil knot (Figure 1.1 c ) is the simplest non-trivial entangled molecule and, as its name suggests, contains three crossing points within its structure. Finally, a Borromean ring (Figure 1.1 d ) is comprised of three rings linked together in such a way that cleavage of any ring results in complete dissociation of the 'locked' species (see section 1.2.2.3). Critically, all four species depicted in Figure 1.1 are molecules not supramolecular complexes, as covalent bonds must be broken in order to separate the mechanically bound fragments. ${ }^{[1]}$

### 1.1.2 Historical Origins and Early Synthetic Methodologies

a)



Figure 1.2. Early examples of interlocked molecules reported by a) Wasserman, b) Harrison.

The first mechanically interlocked architectures to be realised synthetically were assembled in the absence of any favourable intercomponent interactions using a technique commonly referred to as the 'statistical' method. An aleatory approach to the synthesis of mechanically interlocked rings was originally proposed in the early 1900s, and was finally realised synthetically in 1960 as $\mathbf{1}$ by Wasserman (Figure 1.2
a). ${ }^{[12]}$ The first [2]rotaxane 2 was assembled seven years later using a solid phase protocol by Harrison (Figure 1.2 b). ${ }^{[13]}$

$\xrightarrow{\text { Cyclisation }}$
3



Scheme 1.1. Schill's covalent bond-directed synthesis of a [2]catenane.

Four years after Wasserman reported the first [2]catenane synthesis, Schill described the use of covalent bonds as templates in the first 'directed' synthesis of a mechanically interlocked molecule (Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{[9,14]}$ The cyclisation of 3 is directed to either side of the preformed macrocyclic unit by the tetrahedral geometry of the acetal group - which essentially functions as a negative template - to form 4. The relatively labile aryl-nitrogen and acetal linkages were then hydrolysed to form the [2]catenane 5. The feasibility of constructing mechanically interlocked architectures using a covalent bond template is well illustrated by Schill's ingenious synthetic routes. However, such routes require many synthetic steps and a high level of technical expertise, thereby limiting the study of these novel compounds.

### 1.1.3 The Assembly of Mechanically Interlocked Molecules Using Non-TM Template-Based Methodologies

### 1.1.3.1 Hydrophobic Interactions



Figure 1.3. Anderson's cyclodextrin-based [2]rotaxane.

In 1981 Ogino reported the first synthesis of a rotaxane using a cyclodextrin ring (see section 1.2.1.2.1). ${ }^{[15]}$ Since then several groups have successfully employed the hydrophobic effect to synthesise interlocked molecules based on these ubiquitous cyclic oligosaccharides. In particular, Harada ${ }^{[16]}$ and Anderson ${ }^{[17]}$ have intensively investigated cyclodextrin-based rotaxane and polyrotaxane formation. In one fascinating example, Anderson and co-workers report on cyclodextrin-dye [2]rotaxane 6 wherein the cyclic oligosaccharide bestows exceptional stability on a normally reactive diazo species (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{[18]}$ It proved more difficult to assemble catenanes using cyclodextrins, ${ }^{[19]}$ but such molecules were realised for the first time by Stoddart in 1993. ${ }^{\text {[20] }}$

### 1.1.3.2 Aromatic Interactions




Scheme 1.2. Synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of Stoddart's original paraquat-crown ether [2]catenane.

The discussion of synthetic molecular architectures assembled using aromatic interactions must be centred on the extensive research of Stoddart and co-workers. Stoddart's constructs were developed from research into host-guest complexes of the $\pi$-electron deficient herbicide paraquat derivative 7 and $\pi$-electron donating macrocycles such as 8 (bis-p-phenylene-34-crown-10). ${ }^{[21]}$ The first catenane based on this system was synthesised in 1989 (Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{[22]}$ Treatment of an acetonitrile solution of paraquat-derived macrocyclic precursor 7 and crown ether 8 with 1,4 dibenzyl bromide (9) resulted in the formation of catenane 10 in an impressive $70 \%$ yield. This robust methodology was subsequently used by Stoddart to generate an array of progressively more complex interlocked architectures including [5]catenanes, ${ }^{[23]}$ [7]catenanes ${ }^{[24]}$ and a trefoil knot. ${ }^{[25]}$


Figure 1.4. Electrochemically induced switching in the first stimuli-responsive molecular shuttle. The co-conformation of the rotaxane can also be mediated by pH (not shown).

Rotaxanes have also been assembled using the same paraquat-crown ether recognition motif. ${ }^{[26]}$ In 1991 Stoddart reported the first example of a molecular shuttle. ${ }^{[27]}$ This was followed by the seminal stimuli-responsive molecular shuttle 11 in 1994, ${ }^{[28]}$ wherein the co-conformation ${ }^{[29]}$ of the rotaxane is controlled using an electrochemical stimulus (Figure 1.4). The work of the UCLA-based group remains at the forefront of research into molecular devices and machines and they are making particularly impressive progress assembling molecular-level electronic devices. ${ }^{[7,30]}$

### 1.1.3.3 Hydrogen Bonding Interactions

Typically, hydrogen bonds are much weaker than covalent bonds or those in many metal complexes. As a consequence, effective H -bonding templates are generally made up of multi-point recognition sites. Whilst their geometric requirements are less rigid than those of TM-based templates, the most effective H-bonding templates are those with a high level of preorganisation.

### 1.1.3.3.1 Ammonium-Crown Ether-Based Rotaxanes

a)



13

Figure 1.5. Original ammonium-crown ether rotaxanes reported by a) Busch, b) Stoddart.

Concurrently, Stoddart and Busch demonstrated that DB24C8 could form strong complexes with secondary dialkylammonium cations in a way that resulted in the penetration of the macrocycle by the positively charged species. The first [2]rotaxane (12) assembled using this system was published by Busch and co-workers in 1995 (Figure 1.5 a ). ${ }^{[31]}$ Stoddart and co-workers showed that the same crown ether and a dibenzyl ammonium ion formed a 1:1 complex, and went on to describe the synthesis of rotaxanes (e.g. 13) by 'capping' the thread component with bulky terminating groups (Figure 1.5 b ). ${ }^{[32]}$ Stoddart has utilised this templating array to synthesise mechanically interlocked molecules under thermodynamic control, exploiting the reversible nature of imine ${ }^{[33]}$ and olefin ${ }^{[34]}$ bonds to form the energetically favoured mechanically bound species.

### 1.1.3.3.2 Anion-Based Catenanes and Rotaxanes



Scheme 1.3. Synthesis of Beer's [2]rotaxane assembled using a chloride/pyridinium ion pair as a template.

Vögtle reported the first example of a rotaxane assembled around an anionic phenolate template in $1999 .{ }^{[35]}$ More recently, Beer and co-workers have elegantly shown how chloride ion recognition can be utilised to assemble rotaxanes ${ }^{[36]}$ and catenanes. ${ }^{[37]}$ This approach relies on the affinity of strongly ion paired methyl pyridinium chloride thread 14 with isophthaloyl macrocycle precursor 15 . The close association of the two fragments in a non-competitive solvent directs the Grubbs' catalyst mediated RCM of two terminal olefin groups around the thread forming rotaxane 16 in 47\% yield (Scheme 1.3).

### 1.1.3.3.3 Amide-Based Catenanes and Rotaxanes



Figure 1.6. The first amide-based [2]catenane reported by $\operatorname{Hunter}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ and Vögtle $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe})$.

Hunter reported the first amide-based catenane $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ - wherein multiple H -bonds direct the synthesis of two interlocking rings - in 1992. ${ }^{[38]}$ This was closely followed by the publication of very similar molecules by Vögtle 17b (Figure 1.6). ${ }^{[39]}$ Subsequent accumulation of a large body of work by the latter has led to some elaborate molecular topologies being realised synthetically. ${ }^{[40]}$



Scheme 1.4. Synthesis of Leigh's serendipitously discovered [2]catenane.

A much simpler amide-based [2]catenane was first described in 1995 by Leigh and co-workers. ${ }^{[41]}$ The combination of isophthaloyl chloride (18) and p-xylylene
diamine (19) at high dilution was expected to form the $2+2$ macrocyclic adduct, but instead the [2]catenane $\mathbf{2 0}$ formed in $20 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.4). In a simultaneously published article, the tolerance of this system was demonstrated through the synthesis of a series of [2]catenanes using inexpensive, commercially available starting materials. ${ }^{[42]}$ Subsequently, it was observed that, if the acid chloride/diamine condensation was carried out in the presence of a suitably stoppered benzylic 1,3 diamide thread, [2]rotaxanes could be formed. ${ }^{[43]}$
a)

21




 22
b)

23



Scheme 1.5. Synthesis of a) glycylglycine [2]rotaxane, b) fumaric [2]rotaxane.

In both cases the mechanism for the formation of these interlocked molecules appeared to involve the directed assembly of a preformed macrocycle precursor around two $E$-amide bonds. Divergent H -bonding sites in a similar spatial arrangement occur in adjacent amino acid residues in in peptide chains, and in 1997 Leigh showed that, when glycylglycine was incorporated into thread 21, formation of [2]rotaxane 22 occurred in yields of up to $62 \%$ (Scheme 1.5 a). ${ }^{[44]}$ By further preorganising the orientation of the carbonyl groups using a fumaramide moiety (23), [2]rotaxane 24 was assembled in a near quantitative $97 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.5 b ). ${ }^{[45]}$

a)
b)
c)


Figure 1.7. Selected examples of amide-based stimuli-responsive molecular shuttles controlled by a) $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{b}$ ) addition or removal of electrons, c) light/thermal energy.

The structural simplicity of these amide-based catenanes and rotaxanes has facilitated the comprehensive study of their inherent dynamics. ${ }^{[46]}$ Furthermore, reliable control over the formation of the mechanical bond has allowed for the expeditious development of a series of stimuli responsive molecular shuttles (e.g. [2]rotaxanes 25-27). Molecular shuttles in which the macrocycle undergoes a well defined positional change in response to pH (Figure 1.7 a), ${ }^{[47]}$ redox processes (Figure 1.7 b ), ${ }^{[48]}$ photochemical and thermal stimuli (Figure 1.7 c ), ${ }^{[49]}$ and covalent bond formation ${ }^{[50]}$ have all been recently described. Recently, the first examples of unidirectional molecular rotors based on mechanically interlocked molecules have been prepared using this versatile synthetic methodology. ${ }^{[51]}$

### 1.2 TM-Based Mechanically Interlocked Architectures

Broadly speaking, TM-based mechanically interlocked molecular architectures can be divided into two classes: complexes in which the TM ion comprises an integral structural feature (i.e. the complex dissociates in the absence of the metal); and discrete organic molecules that are assembled around a TM template (i.e. template abstraction yields a kinetically bound wholly organic molecule).

### 1.2.1 Molecular Architectures Containing Metal Ions Within Their Interlocked Framework

### 1.2.1.1 [2]Catenanes Containing TM Ions in Their Framework

The rich variation in properties (preferred coordination number, donor type and primary coordination geometry) of TMs and alkali metals has led to an exotic selection of [2]catenanes containing metal ions in their structure being reported in the literature, which at first sight seem to have little in common. The relationship between the complexes, other than their obvious topological congruity, is their thermodynamically controlled assembly. Evidently, a cyclic structure containing reversible coordination bonds can reorganise to form a stable interpenetrated TM complex provided the energetic gain in stability achieved through intercomponent recognition outweighs the entropic cost of unifying the two species.

### 1.2.1.1.1 Palladium and Platinum Systems



Scheme 1.6. Fujita's original Pd(II) catenane synthesis.

This field of research is dominated by the work of Fujita ${ }^{[52]}$ who, in 1994, reported a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ containing [2]catenane accessed via the quantitative self-assembly of simple component molecules. ${ }^{[53]}$ By mixing $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{en})$ with 1,4-bis[(4pyridyl)methyl]benzene 28 in aqueous solution, macrocycle 29 and corresponding [2]catenane 30 were shown to be in rapid equilibrium with one another (Scheme 1.6). Consequently, by Le Chatelier's Principle, at concentrations of greater than 50 mM , the reaction conditions favour the single component [2]catenane species $\mathbf{3 0}$. These remarkable compounds were characterised initially by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ESI-MS. An Xray crystal structure was obtained of the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ analogue 31 (Figure 1.8). ${ }^{[54]}$


Figure 1.8. Fujita's Pt(II) [2]catenane.

It has been suggested that the [2]catenane spontaneously forms as a result of double molecular recognition, i.e. the molecules bind each other in their respective hydrophobic cavities. By increasing the polarity of the reaction medium through addition of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$, the yield of [2]catenane $\mathbf{3 0}$ was increased to greater than $99 \%$ even at high dilution, which supports this hypothesis. This observation facilitated the irreversible formation of [2]catenane 31, using a 'molecular lock' strategy (Scheme 1.7). The $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$-pyridine bond can be described as a thermally controlled lock as it is irreversible under ambient conditions, but becomes reversible in highly polar media at elevated temperatures. Initially the ring is locked (A) but it is released by adding salt and heating (B) to enable self-assembly of the [2]catenane (C). The framework is then locked again by cooling and salt removal (D).


Scheme 1.7. Fujita's molecular lock strategy.

In order to further improve the synthesis of catenanes, ligand $\mathbf{2 8}$ was replaced by ligand 32 as theoretical calculations predicted that the monomeric molecular box
formed by complexation of $\mathbf{3 2}$ with Pd (II) would contain a hydrophobic cavity more ideally suited for aromatic $\pi$-stacking with an exact copy of itself. This was shown to be the case experimentally when catenane $\mathbf{3 3}$ was assembled quantitatively and characterised by X-ray crystallography (Scheme 1.8). ${ }^{[55]}$ The crucial parameter for interlocking is the $3.5 \AA$ interplane separation which favours catenane formation through $\pi$-stacking interactions and destabilises the non-interlocked Pd (II) box compound. In the same study, the Japanese research group showed that [2]catenanes could be assembled selectively from a three component mixture and that larger more flexible interlocked structures were thermodynamically privileged, provided the aromatic cleft was of sufficient dimensions. Recently the thermodynamically controlled self assembly of Fujita's catenanes has been employed in the synthesis of an extremely large macrocycle. ${ }^{[56]}$


Scheme 1.8. Synthesis and X-ray-crystal structure (space filling representation) of a 'made to order' [2]catenane.

An alternative use of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ ions in the synthesis of interlocked architectures was described by Kim in 2002. ${ }^{[57]}$ In this case, the relatively inert $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$-pyridine bond aligns multiple cucurbituril/dialkylammonium pseudorotaxanes resulting in the formation of [5]catenanes (34) as 'molecular necklaces' (Figure 1.9). ${ }^{[58]}$ Puddephatt and co-workers have used $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ as a reversible linker in the H -bond directed assembly of chiral [2]catenanes. ${ }^{[59]}$


Figure 1.9. A [5]catenane molecular necklace.

### 1.2.1.1.2 Gold Systems

a)

b)


Figure 1.10. a) Chemical and b) X-ray crystal structure of the first gold-containing [2]catenane.

The original gold containing [2]catenane 35, reported in 1995 by Mingos and coworkers is made up of alternate $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ and acetylinic units with the driving force for interlocking being intercomponent gold-gold or aurophilic interactions (Figure $1.10) .{ }^{[60]}$ This aesthetically pleasing organometallic structure was followed, from 1999 onwards, by a series of systematic studies by Puddephatt on the assembly of catenanes using the same $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-acetylene linkage. In the original synthesis, deprotonation of diacetyl compound 36 followed by addition of $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)$ generated a polymeric gold species, which, on addition of diphosphine ligand 37, reorganises to form [2]catenane 38 (Scheme 1.9). It was later shown that, only slightly varying the length of either the diacetyl or the diphosphine 'hinge' linkage directs the selective formation of either macrocycles, ${ }^{[61]}$ [2]catenanes ${ }^{[62]}$ or doubly braided [2]catenanes. ${ }^{[63]}$ Further to this research, self assembled chiral [2]catenanes have also been described. ${ }^{[64]}$


Scheme 1.9. Synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of Puddephatt's original Au(I) [2]catenane.

### 1.2.1.1.3 Copper Systems

$\prod\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right)_{2}$



Scheme 1.10. Synthesis of Beer's $\mathrm{Cu}($ II $) / \mathrm{Cu}$ (III) [2] catenane.

Beer and co-workers serendipitously discovered a fascinating example of a copperbased [2]catenane in 2001. ${ }^{[65]}$ The facile oxidation of a dithiocarbamate $/ \mathrm{Cu}$ (II) macrocycle 39 with $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ leads to the exclusive formation of a mixed valence $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Cu}$ (III) catenane 40 (Scheme 1.10 ) as evidenced by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1.11 a ). The solid-state structure reveals the stacked arrangement of the TM centres (Figure 1.11 b ). It was concluded that intercomponent charge transfer between the interdigitated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and Cu (III) ions drives the formation of the interlocked compound. Further studies have shown that it is possible to utilise the same interaction with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Au}$ (III) systems to generate a library of mixed metal [2]catenanes. ${ }^{[66]}$
a)

b)


Figure 1.11. a) Top view and b) side view of the X-ray crystal structure of [2]catenane 40.

### 1.2.1.1.4 Iron/silver and zinc systems

a)



Figure 1.12. a) An iron/silver containing [2]catenane, b) Zn (II) linked [2]catenane associated via aromatic interactions

A remarkable self-assembling [2]catenane incorporating one Fe (II) ion and two $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ ions was reported in 1995 (Figure 1.12 a). The complex 41 exists as two helical diastereomers that have been individually characterised in the solid state using X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{[67]}$ Self assembly was also employed in the five component synthesis of [2]catenane 42 (Figure 1.12 b). As with Fujita's catenanes, favourable aromatic interactions were used to select the interlocked product, although in this case a dinaphtho-crown ether ( $\pi$-electron rich) and an aromatic diimido compound ( $\pi$-electron deficient) were employed as recognition elements. ${ }^{[68]}$

### 1.2.1.2 Rotaxanes Containing a Metal Ion in Their Framework

### 1.2.1.2.1 Discrete Metal Containing [2]Rotaxanes

The first metal containing rotaxane was reported by Ogino in 1981. ${ }^{[15]}$ The Co (III)/cyclodextrin rotaxane 43 - wherein the TM ions comprise the bulky stoppering groups - was prepared by spontaneous threading of $\beta$-cyclodextrin over a hydrophobic 1,12-diaminododecane thread in DMSO. The complex was 'capped' with a Co (III) complex to give rotaxane in $19 \%$ yield (Figure 1.13 a). A similar rotaxane 44 , incorporating Fe (II) instead of Co (III), was subsequently prepared by Macartney (Figure 1.13 b). ${ }^{[69]}$ Sauvage has also described [2]rotaxanes (e.g. 45) with TM complexes as stoppers (Figure 1.13 c ). In this example, two $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ ions complexed with two terpy ligands (one on the thread, one as a free ligand) kinetically trap the interlocked species. ${ }^{[70]}$
a)

b)

c)


Figure 1.13. a) Ogino's Co (III) rotaxane, b) Macartney's Fe (II) rotaxane, c) Sauvage's Ru (II) rotaxane.

Rather than utilising TM ions as stoppers, Jeong and co-workers have developed a novel interlocked assembly where an $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{VI})$ ion comprises part of the
macrocycle. ${ }^{[71]}$ Rotaxane 46 is thermodynamically favoured over its constituent parts as a result of two intercomponent $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO} \mathrm{H}$-bonds that can only be satisfied through intermolecular penetration (Figure 1.14 a). The reversible nature of the osmiumpyridine bond allows the thread to access the internal cavity of the macrocycle via a 'clipping' mechanism and thus the energetically favoured mechanically interlocked species is formed.
a)

b)


Figure 1.14. a) $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{VI})$ containing rotaxane, b) Mg (II) containing rotaxane.

Group 1 and 2 metal containing mechanically interlocked molecules are rare. An example of a polyether based rotaxane 47, and an analogous catenane, assembled around a Mg (II) ion has been reported (Figure 1.14 b). ${ }^{[72]}$ It was not possible to isolate the compound as it was in rapid equilibrium with its individual components.

### 1.2.1.2.2 Metal-Organic Rotaxane Frameworks (MORFs)



Scheme 1.11. Illustration of the various rotaxane-based coordination networks accessible using Loeb's pseudorotaxane 48.

It is straightforward to envisage how a bidentate pseudo-[2]rotaxane such as $\mathbf{4 8}$ could be incorporated into a polyrotaxane framework if the host-guest complex is regarded simply as a bridging ligand (Scheme 1.11). Loeb and co-workers have realised 1D, 2D and 3D networks using a pseudo-[2]rotaxane $48^{[73]}$ in this way. ${ }^{[74]}$ It has been shown that complexation of $\mathbf{4 8}$ with two equivalents of $\mathrm{MBr}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mn}$ (II), $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ) generates the neutral [2]rotaxane 49 (Figure 1.15 a), ${ }^{[75]}$ but, by using a more labile metal complex $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Zn}($ II $), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}))$, a 1D array was realised where each bridging ligand is encapsulated by a macrocycle (Figure 1.15 b ). This was extended to two dimensions using a $2: 1$ pseudo-[2]rotaxane to TM ion ratio (Figure 1.15 c ), ${ }^{[76]}$ and to three dimensions using the doubly N -oxidised analogue of 48 and $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ ions ( Sm (III), $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})) .{ }^{[77]}$ This type of long range order in materials containing mechanically bonded substrates lends itself to the concept of molecular-level solid-state devices, although the stability of these networks is not yet optimal and addressable systems have yet to be realised.
a)


c)


Figure 1.15. X-ray crystal structures of a) Discrete [2]rotaxane 49, b) 1 D rotaxane network, c) 2D rotaxane network.

### 1.2.2 TM-Directed Synthesis of Catenanes, Knots, Rotaxanes, and Borromean Rings

### 1.2.2.1 Mechanically Interlocked Molecular Architectures Accessed Using a $\mathbf{C u}(\mathbf{I})$-Phenanthroline Methodology

The use of TM ions as templates in the synthesis of catenates, as implemented by Sauvage in 1983, represents an important landmark in the history of mechanically interlocked architectures. It could be argued that his insightful accomplishments bridge the gap between the work of the early pioneers and the extensive research of the modern day specialists. ${ }^{[78]}$

### 1.2.2.1.1 [2]catenanes

## Route A






Scheme 1.12. Sauvage's original $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ directed [2]catenate synthesis.

Central to the original strategy developed by the Strasbourg group is the tetrahedral geometric preference of the primary coordination sphere of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, which promotes the orthogonal arrangement of two functionalised phen ligands (50) around the TM centre (Scheme 1.12 Route A). ${ }^{[79]}$ Subsequent reaction of tetra phenol complex 51 with 1,14-diiodo-3,6,9,12-tetraoxatetradecane in DMF at high dilution results in the formation of the catenate 52 in $27 \%$ yield with the remainder of the products being non-interlocked macrocycle 53 (20\%) and various oligomeric species ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}>1500$ ). The yield of catenate was increased to $42 \%$ by preforming one of the ligands as macrocycle 53 and cyclising complex 54 (Scheme 1.12 Route B).

b)


Figure 1.16. X-ray crystal structures of: a) [2]catenate 52 and [2]catenand 55.

Abstraction of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ion using tetramethylammonium cyanide furnishes the free catenand 55. In the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catenate the four coordinating nitrogen groups 'lock' the two ligands in a well defined co-conformation around the TM ion. In contrast, removal of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ template allows the rings to rotate freely (the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the catenand is remarkably similar to that of the non-interlocked macrocycle suggesting that the two rings pirouette rapidly on the NMR timescale in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) although it was expected that the uncomplexed phen moieties would repel one another. This rearrangement was observed in the solid state following X-ray analysis of the catenate 52 (Figure 1.16 a ) and the catenand 55 (Figure 1.16 b ). ${ }^{[80]}$


Figure 1.17 A topologically chiral [2]catenate.

Subsequently, the chiral [2]catenate 56 was reported wherein the substitution of a phenyl ring on the phen backbone (Figure 1.17) introduces topological chirality to the molecule (i.e. the chirality is introduced through the mechanical bond rather than a stereocentre present in one of the sub-molecular fragments). ${ }^{[81]}$

An important advance from a synthetic perspective was introduced in 1997, when the yield of the key cyclisation step was greatly improved using intramolecular RCM (Scheme 1.13). ${ }^{[82]}$ It was found that $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst cleanly converted tetra-olefin complex 57 into unsaturated [2] catenate 58 in a $92 \%$ yield.


Scheme 1.13. Synthesis of a [2]catenate using RCM.

### 1.2.2.1.2 The Catenand Effect

It was quickly realised that catenands represented a fascinating new class of chelating ligands. They are unique in that the topology of the interlocked ligand is necessarily maintained during any complexation-decomplexation processes as no covalent bonds are cleaved. However, such a process instigates a profound change in the topography of the molecule due to the drastic change in shape it affects. The influence of the mechanical bond on the properties of the ligating species was accordingly termed 'the catenand effect' and was investigated intensively by Sauvage following his initial discovery. The first of these phenomena to be quantified was its effect on the rate of reaction with potassium cyanide. ${ }^{[83]}$ It was found that catenate 52 was about ten times more stable than its monocyclic analogue 54 and dissociates several orders of magnitude slower than its bis-acyclic analogue 51, perhaps as might be expected of such a highly preorganised molecule. Congruent with these results it was found that both reducing ring size and sterically hindering the molecule, further reduced the rate of demetallation . ${ }^{[84]}$
a)

b)


Figure 1.18. X-ray crystal structures of a) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{I})[2]$ catenate 59, b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})[2]$ catenate $\mathbf{6 0}$.

The influence of the catenand effect on the properties of various encapsulated metals has also been rigorously investigated. A series of metals, $(\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$. $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ ) have been coordinated to ligand 55 and the electrochemical, ${ }^{[85]}$ luminescent ${ }^{[86]}$ and kinetic $^{[87]}$ properties of the resulting complexes have been examined. Introduction of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ion was shown to be much slower than with its acyclic analogues, with the catenand complexing as a unimolecular species. The interlocked geometry of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catenate strongly favours a tetrahedral donor arrangement around the TM centre. As such, the complex was shown to highly favour the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ oxidation state with an existence range of around 2.2 V , although both the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and Cu metal species were shown to be stable in solution. The ability of the catenand to stabilise low oxidation states is also observed for other metal complexes. In particular, Co (II) and Fe (II) are so strongly favoured that oxidation of the ligand occurs prior to the TM centre. $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{I})$ was introduced to the interlocked ligand system via single electron reduction of the divalent species and the air stable $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 59 was characterised in the solid state (Figure 1.18 a) ${ }^{[88]}$ In an atypical example, addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ to 55 resulted in the orthometalation of the catenane, with an $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ donor set making up a distorted square planar primary coordination sphere in 60 (Figure 1.18 b). ${ }^{[89]} \mathrm{A}$ third interesting feature of the interlocked phen ligands is the enhancement of basicity brought about as a result of the mechanical bond. Protonation of the catenand to form 61 with one equivalent of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ brought about a topographical, or large amplitude, rearrangement of the molecule which is stabilised not only by binding to the proton but also by the increased $\pi$-accepting ability of the phen core, allowing it to stack more effectively with the $\pi$-donor benzyl groups of the opposing molecular fragment. Selected key features of the catenand effect are summarised in Scheme 1.14.


Scheme 1.14. Summary of the catenand effect. I The rate of complexation of either native $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ion or other metal ions $(\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{Ag}$ (I), Co (II) $, \mathrm{Ni}($ II $), \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pd}($ II $)$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ ) by the mechanically linked ligand 55 is significantly reduced. II Demetallation is several orders of magnitude slower than for the non-interlocked ligands. III Addition of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$results in a topographical arrangement similar to the metal bound species with topological factors increasing the basicity of the catenand by several orders of magnitude.

### 1.2.2.1.3 Multi-Ring ( $n>2$ ) Catenates



Scheme 1.15. Synthesis of a [3]catenate using a Glazer coupling reaction.

Following the same basic procedure as for [2]catenates, dicopper [3]catenates were first synthesised in 1985 in very low yields. ${ }^{[90]}$ A more selective route was described a year later utilising the acetylenic homo-coupling of $\mathbf{6 2}$ in the key cyclisation step to form the [3]catenate 63 in a $58 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.15). ${ }^{[91]}$ Solution ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and solid state X-ray analysis (Figure 1.19) proved to be in excellent agreement, with the two metallic centres pulled together $(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance $\mathrm{ca} .8 .1 \AA$ ) by the rigidity of the four alkyne linkages, ${ }^{[92]}$ a consequence being a slight perturbation in redox potentials for selected dimetallic complexes relative to the analogous [2]catenate. ${ }^{[93]}$ In the same reaction, higher order multiring catenates were observed using ESI-MS. ${ }^{[94]}$


Figure 1.19. a) Side view and b) top view of the X-ray crystal structure of [3]catenate 63.

### 1.2.2.1.4 Knots



Scheme 1.16. Synthesis of a trefoil knot.

Following early attempts at their preparation by Schill, ${ }^{[9]}$ Sauvage reported the first molecular trefoil knot in $1989{ }^{[95]}$ with its intertwined topology confirmed irrefutably by X-ray crystallography a year later (Figure 1.20 a). ${ }^{[96]}$ The initial ligand 64a used to fashion the knot is shown in Scheme 1.16. The short $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ linker between the two phen units prevents the complexation of the ligand around a single $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ion, but it was observed that the desired helical complex 65a was relatively labile and thus able to interconvert with its co-planar analogue. This facile exchange led to a modest $3 \%$ yield of 67 a following cyclisation, but this was increased to $29 \%$ by replacing the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ linker with a 1,3-phenylene group $(\mathbf{6 4 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{6 7 b}) .{ }^{[97]}$ Access to knotted compounds was further optimised following the introduction of olefin RCM in the cyclisation step. ${ }^{[98]}$


Figure 1.20. a) X-ray crystal structure of knot 67a assembled around two tetrahedral metal centres. b) Cartoon illustration of the two topological enantiomers of the trefoil knot.


Scheme 1.17. Monodemetallation of a knot followed by generation of a heterodimetalic complex.

Unlike catenanes, knots are intrinsically chiral molecules. Two enantiomers of a trefoil knot are depicted as cartoons in Figure 1.20 b. In the original synthesis knot $67 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ was isolated as a mixture of enantiomers, but ingenious methods for separation of the two chiral species were subsequently developed ${ }^{[99]}$ Further to this important advance in the synthesis of topologically entangled molecules, more complex composite knots have been described, ${ }^{[100]}$ and recently the enantioselective synthesis of a knot from a chiral starting material was reported. ${ }^{[101]}$ As with the [2]catenates, the effect of the topological links in $\mathbf{6 7 a} / \mathbf{b}$ has been meticulously examined. ${ }^{[97,102]}$ In addition, the properties of selected heteronuclear complexes (e.g. mixed copper/silver complex 69b) accessible due to the relative stability of the mono metalated complex 68b have been described (Scheme 1.17). ${ }^{[103]}$

An intriguing example of an open knot complex has been reported by Hunter. ${ }^{[104]}$ The oligomeric ligand 70 contains three bipy units which all coordinate to a $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ion in an fashion that entwines the biphenyl units to form open knot complex 71. Addition of excess $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$regenerates the free ligand.


[^0]
### 1.2.2.1.5 Rotaxanes



Scheme 1.19. Gibson's $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-phen based rotaxane synthesis.

The first rotaxane synthesised using TM-directed methods was reported in 1991 by Gibson. ${ }^{[105]}$ Rotaxane 72 was prepared via bis-alkylation of complex 54 with two bulky end groups that were sufficiently large so as to prevent the dethreading of the species following demetallation (Scheme 1.19). Sauvage followed this in 1992 with his own rotaxane synthesis, the crucial reaction step being the in situ formation of a porphyrin ring via the condensation reaction of complex 73 with 74 and 75 , furnishing rotaxane 76 in $25 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.20 ). ${ }^{[106]}$ These mixed $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{III}) / \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ porphyrin stoppered rotaxanes were subsequently investigated as vehicles for electron transfer. ${ }^{[107]}$


Scheme 1.20. Sauvage's porphyrin rotaxane synthesis.

Multiporphyrinic rotaxanes such as 77 were introduced in 1997 (Figure 1.21) where the macrocycle has an appended electron accepting porphyrin ring (A) and the two stoppering groups of the thread are electron donating (D) units. ${ }^{[108]}$ In these intricate molecules, it was proven possible to mediate electron transfer through using metal cation coordination. ${ }^{[109]}$ More recently it was demonstrated that linear porphyrin containing rotaxanes could also be formed via amide bond formation. ${ }^{[110]}$


Figure 1.21. A multiporphyrin [2]rotaxane.

Fullerene terminated rotaxane 78 was also realised (Figure 1.22), ${ }^{[111]}$ and its electrochemistry probed with a view to exploiting the strongly electron accepting nature of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ group. ${ }^{[112]}$ The subtle mechanism of photoinduced electron transfer in rotaxane architectures is the subject of a number of reviews. ${ }^{[113]}$


Figure 1.22. A fullerene [2]rotaxane.

Also noteworthy is the compelling work of Swager and co-workers who have utilised the ubiquitous $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-bis-phen motif to assemble conducting polymetalorotaxanes. ${ }^{[114]}$ In addition, the synthesis of a rotaxane exciplex - an electronically excited complex of definite stoichiometry, non-bonding in the ground state - has been reported by the same group. ${ }^{[115]}$

### 1.2.2.1.6 Hybrid Systems



Figure 1.23. Sauvage/Stoddart hybrid [2]catenate.

Several successful collaborations have occurred over the last 10 years between the group of Sauvage and other chemists in the field of interlocked molecules: the products of which can be described as 'hybrid systems' or species that contain multiple types of non-covalent intercomponent interactions. Sauvage and Stoddart published the first of these bimodal compounds jointly in 1996. The [2]catenate 79 contains both the supramolecular motifs ( $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-phen and $\pi-\pi$ interactions) exploited so successfully by the separate research groups (Figure 1.23). ${ }^{[116]}$ The coconformation of the de-metallated molecule can be controlled via pH where an
excess of acid orients the molecule so that the phen $-\mathrm{H}^{+}$moiety is encapsulated within the centre of the molecule and the addition of base restores it to the $\pi$-stacked arrangement. The topography of the molecule can also be controlled using lithium ion coordination, but decomplexation proved unsuccessful.


Figure 1.24. Sauvage/Fujita hybrid [2]catenate.

Another union of methodologies is the combination of Fujita's $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-based selfassembled catenanes with Sauvage's $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-phen motif. This collaboration led to both doubly interlocked catenates ${ }^{[117]}$ and an enlarged [2]catenate $\mathbf{8 0}$, where formation of the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-pyridine bond closes the two rings (Figure 1.25). ${ }^{[118]}$

### 1.2.2.1.7 Redox Active Molecular Machine Prototypes



Scheme 1.21. Sauvage's original redox switchable [2]catenate.

An important goal in the field of interlocked molecules is the reversible control of large amplitude molecular motion. In 1994 Sauvage introduced a novel system wherein the topography of the molecule was controlled by a reversible redox process. ${ }^{[119]}$ In 81 the tetrahedral arrangement of the two phen units is favoured by the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ion (Scheme 1.21). On removal of one electron the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion is formed, which has a preference for a higher coordination number. Consequently the terpy
containing ligand undergoes a 'gliding' motion and revolves $180^{\circ}$, allowing the metal to access to the tridentate moiety, forming 82. The main drawback associated with this elegant, bistable complex is the time that the 4 -coordinate divalent complex takes to relax into its thermodynamically stable form (several hours). Accordingly, various improvements were made to the system, ${ }^{[120]}$ and the current lead rotaxane $\mathbf{8 3}$ is shown in Figure $1.25{ }^{[121]}$ In this system, the steric restrictions previously imposed on the pirouetting ring are relieved by replacing the 1,10 phen unit in the thread with a $2,2^{\prime}$ 'bipy unit, allowing the redox mediated rearrangements to take place on the millisecond timescale.


Figure 1.25. A redox controlled [2]rotaxane where addition/removal of $1 \mathrm{e}^{-}$induces a pirouetting motion in the macrocycle.

The fabrication of the first artificial molecular muscle represents one of the more impressive TM-directed synthetic feats achieved to date. ${ }^{[122]}$ Although controlled by metal ion coordination rather than redox processes, this symmetrical system functions by the same mechanism as described above. When bound to two $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ions the dimer is in its extended state 84. Treatment with KCN followed by excess $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ contracts the molecule by about $27 \%$, as a result of the higher coordinative demands of the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, forming 85 .


Scheme 1.22. Interconversion between extended and contracted forms of a molecular muscle.

### 1.2.2.2 6-coordinate TM-Templates

For almost twenty years, the development of mechanically interlocked molecular systems constructed around TM ions has proceeded with Sauvage's original catenate assembly system largely unchanged. Nevertheless, the application of a TM template methodology utilising higher valence transition metals with an alternative geometric preference has obvious appeal and was originally proposed as early as 1973. [123]

### 1.2 2.2.1 Rhodium and Ruthenium Directed Synthesis of Interlocked Molecules

The first example of a catenate assembled around an 6-coordinate TM was reported in 1991 when two appropriately substituted terpy ligands were coordinated to a $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ ion and cyclised. ${ }^{[124]}$ The modest $11 \%$ yield of final macrocyclisation and the inert nature of the final ruthenium complex were addressed in a subsequent article in which Fe (II) was used as a more labile template and RCM was employed in the key cyclisation step. ${ }^{[125]}$ However, in this case, preferential formation of the isomeric 58 membered large macrocycle was observed, leading the authors to recognise the utility of the original Cu-phen approach. Nevertheless, Sauvage and co-workers have recently demonstrated how 6-coordinate ruthenium ${ }^{[126]}$ and rhodium ${ }^{[127]}$ ions can be used as templates in the synthesis of catenanes via a ' $4+2$ ' strategy. ${ }^{[128]}$ In the case
of the former, the well established photochemistry of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ centres facilitated the development of the first TM-based light driven molecular machine prototype $\mathbf{8 6}$ (Scheme 1.23). ${ }^{[129]}$ Exposure of [2]catenate $\mathbf{8 6}$ to light (ca. 470 nm ) in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ results in the topographical rearrangement to complex 87 with two chloride ions occupying the coordination site vacated by the bidentate macrocycle. Thermal energy is required to initiate the reverse process.


Scheme 1.23. Operation of a light switchable Ru (II) [2]catenate.

### 1.2.2.2.2 A Simple General Ligand System for the Assembly of Catenates and Rotaxanes

In 2001 Leigh and co-workers reported a general system for the synthesis of catenanes around a range of divalent TM ions. ${ }^{[130]}$ The ligands used were developed from benzylic amide macrocycles, which are well suited for incorporation into analogous metal chelating systems. The isophthaloyl group ensures a $180^{\circ}$ turn that, as well as prearranging potential donor groups in a convergent fashion, also holds the appended aromatic rings parallel at a distance suitable for $\pi$-stacking with an orthogonally bound guest. Such an arrangement also favours intracomponent cyclisation of suitably chosen end groups. In order to orthogonally chelate divalent TM ions with an octahedral geometric preference, the isophthaloyl group was replaced by a 2,6 -pyridine moiety. Finally, the phenolic esters were replaced with ether bonds for stability reasons and terminal olefins selected as end groups based on previously reported successful RCM reactions. ${ }^{[131]}$


Scheme 1.24. Synthesis of [2]catenates around a range of divalent TM ions.

With the critical design features formulated, the Schiff-base ligand 88 was then readily prepared in three steps using standard organic transformations and combined with of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ to furnish the zinc perchlorate complex $89(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}))$. Free imines are not normally compatible with ring closing metathesis conditions but, in this case, coordination to the TM ion effectively 'protects' the sensitive functionality allowing Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst to mediate the clean conversion of the tetra-olefin complex to the zinc catenate as a mixture of olefin diastereomers (Scheme 1.24). An extended reaction time of four days allowed the mixture to equilibrate forming the thermodynamically favoured isomer $E, E-\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}) 90$ almost exclusively.


Figure 1.26. X-ray crystal structures of a) the Zn (II) catenate 90 , b) the Zn (II) Rotaxane 95.

X-ray crystallography confirmed the interlocked structure of the zinc catenate 90 and is in excellent agreement with solution phase analysis ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy),
showing both the $\pi$-stacking of the benzyl aromatic rings with the orthogonally bound pyridine and the stereochemistry of the internal olefins (Figure 1.26 a). The tolerance of this approach was investigated using a range of divalent TM ions with a preferred octahedral geometric preference ( Mn (II)- $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ) across the $1^{\text {st }}$ row TMs and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Hg}$ (II) down group 12) and it was discovered that catenane assembly was favoured in each case. The highly preorganised hexadentate ligand system introduces high kinetic stability to the interlocked complex and it was necessary to first reduce the imines using sodium borohydride to allow demetallation with a standard $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA chelant to liberate the catenand 91 .

The success of benzylic imine ligand catenate formation prompted investigation into the synthesis of more challenging interlocked molecular architectures. The next logical step was to attempt the synthesis of a [2]rotaxane around a divalent octahedral TM template using a similar ligand system. The challenge of constructing a rotaxane, or indeed any heterocircuit system, around a TM template arises because of the need to simultaneously coordinate two different ligands to the metal centre. In this case the previously employed tetra-imine ligand system is not well suited to forming rotaxanes because thread-thread and macrocycle-macrocycle (catenate) ligand systems can form in competition with the desired thread-metal-macrocycle assembly. Replacement of the bisimine macrocycle with preformed bisamine analogue 92 eliminated the possibility of catenate formation and allowed the researchers to tailor the reaction conditions to favour rotaxane formation under thermodynamic control (Scheme 1.25). ${ }^{[132]}$


Scheme 1.25. Synthesis of rotaxanes under thermodynamic control.

Sequential treatment of 92 with $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}, 93$ and 94 resulted in exclusive formation of the desired [2]metallorotaxane 95 (analogous to the [2]catenate assembly, rotaxane formation can be achieved using a range of divalent TM templates). The X-ray crystal structure of the $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-rotaxane confirmed the spatial arrangement of the two ligands around the metal centre and that the expected $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the macrocycle with the pyridyl unit of the thread persist in the solid state (Figure 1.26 b ). In order to confirm the rotaxane was the thermodynamic product, the macrocycle complex 96 was treated with two equivalents of the preformed thread 97 . Initially, the bis-thread complex 98 was formed due the enhanced ligating ability of imines relative to amines, but after 24 h only the thermodynamically favoured metallo-rotaxane species 95 was observed.
1.2.2.2.3 Getting Harder: The Assembly of Catenates and Rotaxanes Around Cobalt Ions


Figure 1.27. Conversion of ligands.

In coordination chemistry, deprotonated carboxamide (carboxamido) ligands are known to be well suited to binding 'hard' TM ions such as Fe (III) and Co (III) and have been rigorously examined primarily with a view to mimicking natural processes. ${ }^{[133]}$ It was thought that replacement of the imines with amides in the ligand described above $(\mathbf{8 8} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 9 0})$ would - following deprotonation - facilitate binding to trivalent TM ions whilst conserving features previously shown to be essential to promote interlocking, such as intercomponent $\pi$-stacking and the preorganised $180^{\circ}$ turn that forces the ligands to converge (Figure 1.27). ${ }^{[134]}$ The initial strategy for catenate synthesis relied on the simultaneous RCM of two alkene terminated macrocycle precursors that were held in a mutually orthogonal alignment by the metal template (Scheme 1.26 a). However, cyclisation of the Co (III) complex using Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst resulted in the exclusive formation of the covalently linked isomer 100, as indicated by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1.28 a).
a)


b)

iv) $\mathrm{ZnAcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 64\%
c)



Scheme 1.26. Synthesis of a) tetra-amide macrocycle 100, b) tetra-amide [2] catenane 102, c) tetraamide [2]rotaxane 104.

Thus the [2]catenate was accessed by an alternative strategy, that is the fabrication of one of the macrocycles (101) prior to complexation. Following this protocol (Scheme 1.26 b ) it was possible to synthesize the desired [2]catenate (102) in reasonable yield as confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1.28 b). A more quantitative understanding of how a relatively subtle change in chemical structure (from imines to amides) completly changes the product selectivity of the cyclisation reaction from imine catenate $E, E-90$ to amide macrocycle 100 is currently being pursued. It was also shown to be possible to access [2]rotaxane 104 by replacing macrocycle 101 with thread 103 (Scheme 1.26 c ) albeit in modest overall yield due to the low yielding statistical complexation step.


Figure 1.28. X-ray crystal structure of macrocycle 100 and [2]catenane 102.

### 1.2.2 3 5-coordinate TM-templates and the Self Assembly of Borromean Rings

To date penta-coordinated TM centres have rarely been used as templates for the assembly of mechanically interlocked architectures. ${ }^{[135]}$ However, in 2004 Stoddart and co-workers reported the self assembly of Borromean rings from 18 discrete building blocks; arguably one of the most impressive thermodynamically controlled multi-component reactions described to date. ${ }^{[136]}$ The Borromean symbol has its roots buried in mysticism and was adopted as an insignia by an $18^{\text {th }}$ century Italian family from which it now derives its name. ${ }^{[137]}$ From a topological viewpoint the connectivity of the three rings is fascinating, as the molecule is locked rather than interlocked and consequently cleavage of any one of the three rings results in complete dissociation of all three fragments.


Scheme 1.27. The 18 component self-assembly of the first [3]Borromeate.

In this spectacular synthesis, the readily prepared bis-amine ligand 104 was stirred with 2,6-diformylpyridine $(\mathbf{9 3})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{TFA}$ solution at reflux for
three days. By following the reaction with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ it was observed that after two days the major ( $>90 \%$ ) product was a single highly symmetrical species that ESI-MS indicated to be the desired Borromean ring complex 105. This was confirmed on elucidation of the X-ray crystal structure (Figure 1.29), which also illustrated that the various non-covalent forces act convergently in a way that thermodynamically favours the locked multi-ring structure. More specifically, each bipy unit is flanked by a pair of phenolic rings at a distance ideally suited for $\pi$ stacking. This complements the six penta-coordinate $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions bound following formation of the imine groups. The reversible nature of the imine bond is crucial in the synthesis as it allows any 'errors' in the synthesis to be corrected allowing the exclusive formation of the thermodynamically privileged Borromean ring. Further studies have shown that the Borromean ring organic ligand is stable in the absence of the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions following reduction of the imines and that cleavage of any one of the links results in complete dissociation of the two remaining rings. ${ }^{[138]}$


Figure 1.29. X-ray crystal structure of Borromean ring complex 105.

Prior to Stoddart's discovery Seeman and co-workers achieved the synthesis of Borromean rings using DNA strands. ${ }^{[139]}$ The step-wise assembly of molecular ring-in-ring compounds has been realised by various groups, ${ }^{[140]}$ but attempts to complete the Borromean ring structure in this manner have proved unsuccessful to date and it remains a synthetic challenge for contemporary chemists.

### 1.3 Conclusions and Perspectives

It is without question that TM ions have played a central role in the development of mechanically interlocked molecular architectures. The fact that many of the 'firsts' in this area - such as the original template directed [2]catenane, trefoil knot and Borromean ring synthesis - were achieved using a TM-based template is testimony to the utility of this approach. It seems likely that the complexity of these molecules, in terms of function as well as structure, will continue to rapidly advance.

There is now a range of robust methodologies for the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules. Most routes rely on a kinetically controlled reaction in the final step, but there are a few that utilise thermodynamically controlled bond formation in the crucial interlocking reaction. The latter is clearly the more facile approach, provided the interlocked species is energetically favoured, and perhaps will become more prominent over the next few years. In all protocols described up until now, the template-directed synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules has relied on intercomponent interactions to hold the fragments together that 'live on' in the interlocked molecule. A system where the template was also the catalyst for the reaction would allow access to a whole new class of interlocked molecules.

Both within the field and in wider circles of the scientific community, researchers are becoming increasingly interested in the potential applications of these uniquely linked molecules. So far, molecular shuttles have been utilised in solid-state electronic devices (perhaps forerunners to efficient molecular level electronic systems) and to initiate macroscopic property changes (such as fluorescence and variation in surface wettability). One particular goal is the assembly of true 'molecular machines' that actually perform useful tasks or 'do work' at the nanoscopic level. Important steps have been made towards realising such species, but the field of molecular machinery is still in its infancy. The outcome of an increased understanding of the mechanism by which nature's own motors operate, coupled with the rapid advances in the synthesis and control of mechanically interlocked molecules will be fascinating.

### 1.4 Thesis Layout

This thesis describes the use of $\operatorname{Pd}$ (II) as a template in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecular architectures. Chapter two describes the synthesis of a [2]rotaxane using this approach and describes some of the steric and electronic factors that govern its preparation. Chapter three describes the synthesis of a [2]catenate and two of its noninterlocked isomers. Chapter four addresses the unusual binding properties observed for the [2]rotaxane and [2]catenane described in the previous chapters. Chapters five and six describe two novel methods for inducing a half turn in a [2]catenane. The first is achieved through interconvertible coordination modes, the second via TM ion exchange in a kinetically controlled system.

Chapters two to five are presented in the form of articles that have already been published in peer-reviewed journals. No attempt has been made to rewrite the work out of context, although the compounds have been renumbered so they are consistent throughout the thesis (see Appendix l). Instead, a brief synopsis has been attached to each chapter to put the research in perspective and to acknowledge gratefully the contributions of my fellow researchers. A consequence of presenting the work in this way is that many of the sad stories involving failed synthetic routes and insoluble macrocycles have been left out. I hope the reader will forgive such omissions as well as the slight repetition that occurs in the introduction and bibliography of each chapter.
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## Chapter Two Synopsis

Our group has previously reported on an efficient [2]catenate and [2]rotaxane synthesis based on divalent TM ions with a six coordinate (octahedral) primary coordination sphere that compliments Sauvage's original four coordinate (tetrahedral) Cu(I) system. Following this success we became interested in using four coordinate (square planar) geometries, specifically that of Pd(II), in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecular architectures. In the first instance, the construction of a [2]rotaxane was investigated.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of a [2]rotaxane using a Pd(II) template. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 50$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 63 \%$ (ii) 1. Grubbs' catalyst (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 2$ 2. $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}$-C, THF, $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} 98 \%$, (over 2 steps); (iii) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$.

With the idea of probing the electronic and structural requirements of such a strategy, a series of monodentate pyridine-based 'threads' were synthesised and combined with $\mathrm{Pd} 1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$, a stable tridentate macrocycle precursor complex with a labile solvent molecule in its fourth coordination site (Scheme 1). We observed that only a 2,6-dimethoxypyridine thread, 2, is suitable for rotaxane (Pd6) formation. In addition, following Pd(II) abstraction and protonation of the macrocycle, an unanticipated H-bond interaction between the two kinetically bound fragments was observed.

# Chapter 2: Structural Requirements for the Assembly of a Square Planar Metal-Coordinated [2]Rotaxane 

Published as " $A 3 D$ structure from a $2 D$ template: Structural requirements for the assembly of a square planar metal-coordinated [2]rotaxane" A.-M. Fuller, D. A. Leigh, P. J. Lusby, I. D. H. Oswald, S. Parsons, D. B. Walker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3914-3918.
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### 2.1 Introduction

The starting point for the revolution in catenane and rotaxane synthesis that occurred during the last part of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century was Sauvage's realization that metal-ligand coordination geometries could fix molecular fragments in three-dimensional space such that they were predisposed to form mechanically interlocked architectures through macrocyclization or 'stoppering' reactions. ${ }^{[1]}$ Efficient synthetic methods to rotaxanes were subsequently developed based on four ${ }^{[2]}$ (tetrahedral), five ${ }^{[3]}$ (trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal) and, most recently, six ${ }^{[4]}$ (octahedral) coordinate metal templates (Figure 1). ${ }^{[5]}$
a)



d)


Figure 2.1. Exploiting transition metal-ligand geometries in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked architectures: a) tetrahedral, b) square pyramidal and trigonal bipyrimidal, c) octahedral and d) square planar coordination motifs.

One of the benefits of using specific coordination motifs for such assemblies is that the resulting interlocked ligands often do not permit other metal geometries in their binding site, which can consequently be exploited either to lock a metal in an unusual geometry for its oxidation state ${ }^{[6]}$ or to bring about large amplitude 'shuttling' of the ligand components. ${ }^{[3,7]}$ Here we show that three dimensional interlocked architectures can also be assembled from two dimensional coordination templates, using steric and electronic restrictions to direct the synthesis in the third dimension. The resulting [2]rotaxane is the first example of a mechanically interlocked ligand that forms a four-coordinate square planar metal complex. ${ }^{[8]}$

### 2.1 Results and Discussion

The square planar [2]rotaxane ligand design consists of a tridentate benzylic amide macrocycle and a monodentate thread (Figure 2.1 d ). The macrocycle incorporates a 2,6-dicarboxyamidopyridine unit in order to exploit palladium chemistry recently developed ${ }^{[9]}$ by Hirao. The thread contains a pyridine donor substituted either 2,6- or 3,5- with appropriately bulky stoppers. It was envisioned that in the key intermediate, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{2 - 5})$ (Scheme 2.1), the geometry of the precursor to the macrocycle (previously used to direct hydrogen bond assembly processes ${ }^{[10]}$ ) would promote intercomponent $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking, causing the pyridine donor of the thread to bind the metal orthogonally to the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-ligand (complimenting the normally preferred orientation ${ }^{[11]}$ ) thus directing the asssembly in the third dimension. A series of readily available threads $\mathbf{2 - 5}$ was investigated during the study.

The rotaxane synthesis was carried out according to Scheme 2.1. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in acetonitrile smoothly generated a complex $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ in which the metal's fourth coordination site is occupied by a normally labile acetonitrile molecule. Nevertheless, displacement of the acetonitrile by bis-ester pyridine ligand 4 was unsuccessful (vide infra). However, simple combination of $\mathbf{5}$ or either of the bis-ether pyridine threads, 2 and 3, with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ in either dichloromethane or chloroform gave the desired complexes $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{5} / \mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3})$ in 97,63 and $96 \%$ yields, respectively.


Scheme 2.1. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 76 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 263 \%, 396 \%$, $40 \%, 597 \%$; (iii) 1. Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 2$. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd-C, THF, $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} 98 \%, 3+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} 63 \%$, $5+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} 69 \%$ (over 2 steps); (iv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(2)$ is shown in Figure 2.2 c . Comparison with the spectra of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Figure 2.2 b and 2.2 a, respectively) shows features clearly indicative of metal coordination (the absence of $\mathrm{H}_{C}$ and shifts in $\mathrm{H}_{A}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{B}$ ) and the anticipated aromatic stacking between the tridentate and monodentate ligands (particularly $\mathrm{H}_{E}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{F}$ ). Similar chemical shift differences were observed for $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{3})$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(5)$, however, ring closing olefin metathesis (RCM) followed by hydrogenation (Scheme 2.1, step iii) of the three complexes produced very different results. Whilst cyclisation of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(2)$ gave the corresponding [2]rotaxane Pd6 in 77\% yield following hydrogenation of the olefin, no [2]rotaxane was produced from $\operatorname{RCM}$ of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{3})$ or $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{5})$, the only products in each case being uninterlocked macrocycle and thread. Why does only one of the four threads direct rotaxane synthesis in the desired manner?


Figure 2.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of: (a) $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (b) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ (c) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{2})$ (d) [2]rotaxane Pd6. The lettering refers to the assignments in Scheme 2.1.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the [2]rotaxane (Pd6, Figure 2.2 d ), mass spectrometry and the preserved association of the organic fragments upon demetallation, unambiguously confirmed the interlocked structure. In addition to the loss of the terminal olefin protons, some subtle differences in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Pd6 compared to $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(2)$ (Figure 2.2 c) indicates that some rearrangement of the ligands does occur on rotaxane formation. Single crystals of Pd6 suitable for X-ray crystallography ${ }^{[12]}$ were grown by slow cooling of a warm, saturated solution of the [2]rotaxane in acetonitrile.
a)



Figure 2.3. X-ray crystal structure of rotaxane Pd6 showing: a) staggered and b) side-on views. ${ }^{[12]}$ Carbon atoms of the macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the thread in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, palladium grey. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ : Pd-N15 1.95, Pd-N25 1.86, Pd-N32 2.04, Pd-N59 2.02; other selected distance [ $\AA$ ]: N15-N25 3.81; macrocycle bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N59-Pd-N32 160.0. The macrocycle is disordered over two similar sites (50:50), but one is omitted for clarity together with the hydrogen atoms.

The solid state structure (Figure 2.3) shows the interlocked architecture and the pseudo- (the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-bite angle is $160.0^{\circ}$ ) square planar geometry of the palladium. The $\pi$-stacking between the macrocycle and the pyridine of the thread so apparent in solution from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shifts is significantly offset in the solid state (side-on view, figure 2.3 b ). The co-conformation adopted by the macrocycle and thread in
the rotaxane crystal structure clearly illustrates why RCM of the complexes formed with the 3,5 -disubstituted threads $(\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{3})$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{5}))$ can lead to uninterlocked products; even with both fragments attached to the metal, cyclization of $\mathbf{1}$ can readily occur without encircling a 3,5-substituted pyridine thread. Similarly, the conformation of the thread suggests a possible reason for the lack of reactivity of the 2,6-bis-ester thread, $\mathbf{4}$, towards $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$. In the crystal structure the electron density of the ether oxygen atoms of the thread is directed away from the occupied $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital lobes which lie above and below the plane of the square planar geometry $d^{8}$ palladium. Chelation by 4 to Pd 1 has to occur orthogonally for steric reasons. Such an arrangement would force electron density from the ester carbonyl groups into this high energy space.


Figure 2.4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of: (a) macrocycle $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (b) demetallated [2]rotaxane $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (c) thread 2. The lettering refers to the assignments in Scheme 1.1.

Demetallation of Pd6 with potassium cyanide (Scheme 2.1, step iv) generates the free [2]rotaxane $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $97 \%$ yield confiming that once formed the coordination bonds are not required to stabilize the interlocked architecture. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
spectrum of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and its uninterlocked components in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ are shown in Figure 2.4. The shielding of the benzyl groups in the rotaxane compared to the free macrocycle, together with the large $(1.7 \mathrm{ppm})$ downfield shift in the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{C}\right)$, indicate that specific hydrogen bonding interactions between the thread and the macrocycle are 'switched on' by the demetallation-protonation procedure. It appears that the amide groups of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ simultaneously hydrogen bond to the pyridine groups in both macrocycle and thread.

In conclusion, we have described methodology for assembling a three-dimensional interlocked molecular architecture from a two-dimensional metal template. A combination of steric and electronic factors direct the synthesis in the third dimension, either promoting or preventing interlocking. The resulting [2]rotaxane is the first derived from a square planar coordinated metal and completes the series of mechanically interlocked ligands for common transition metal geometries started by Sauvage in 1983.

### 2.3 Experimental Section

## General

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents and anhydrous solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals and used without further purification. (p-hydroxyphenyl)tris(p-tert-butylphenyl)methane ${ }^{[16]}$ and 4 -(hex-5-enyloxy)benzylamine ${ }^{[17]}$ were prepared according to literature procedures.

$N$, $\quad N^{\prime}$-Bis[4-(hex-5-enyloxy)benzyl]-2,6-pyridinedicarboxamide $\quad \mathbf{1 H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ : To a solution of 4-(hex-5-enyloxy)benzylamine ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of
nitrogen was added slowly 2,6-pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (1:9 EtOAc/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and then recrystalised from acetonitrile to yield the title compound as a colourless crystalline solid $(2.61 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=91 \%)$. m.p. 141.2-143.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right)$, $4.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 6.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.62(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.3,28.7,33.4,42.9,67.8$, $114.6,114.8,125.2,129.0,129.9,138.5,138.9,148.8,158.5,163.5$; LRFAB-MS (3NOBA matrix): $m / z=541[M]^{+}, 564[M \mathrm{Na}]^{+} ;$HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 541.29425 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 541.29406$ ).

[( $N, N$ ' -Bis[4-(hex-5-enyloxy)benzyl]-2,6-pyridinedicarboxamido)palladium(II) (acetonitrile)] $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C N}\right)$ : To $\mathbf{1 H}_{2}\left(0.480 \mathrm{~g}, 8.86 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and palladium(II) acetate $\left(0.199 \mathrm{~g}, 8.86 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added anhydrous acetonitrile $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature under an atmosphere of nitrogen for 4 h . The resulting suspension was filtered off, washed with excess acetonitrile ( 25 mL ), and dried in air to yield the title compound as a yellow/green solid $(0.465 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=$ $76 \%$ ). m.p. $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.47$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}$ ), $1.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.93$ (s, 3H, Pd-NCCH 3 ), $2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right)$, $3.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{I}\right), 5.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right)$, $6.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.00\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ $=0.5,27.4,32.1,48.1,66.5,112.9,113.3,115.3,123.4,127.1,132.1,137.2,139.8$, 151.8, 156.4, 156.5, 169.1; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=646[\mathrm{Pd} 1 \mathrm{H}]^{+}$,

HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=646.18925$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$, 646.18943).


2: To a THF solution ( 50 mL ) of ( $p$-hydroxyphenyl)tris( $p$-tert-butylphenyl)methane, $(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2,6-pyridinedimethanol $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine $(0.778 \mathrm{~g}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol})$, under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise DIAD $(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 18 h . The solvent was then removed under vacuum, and methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ added to the crude residue. The resulting white solid was filtered off, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ to yield the title compound as a colourless solid ( 0.952 g , yield $=64 \%$ ). m.p. $277{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 5.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right.$ ); LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1112$ $[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1112.72910$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{81} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 1112.72846).


3
a) Pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid methyl ester ${ }^{[18]}$

EDCI $(5.04 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$ solution of dimethylaminopyridine $(0.587 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue dissolved in dichloromethane ( 50 mL ). The solution was washed with, 1 M hydrochloric acid ( 20 mL ), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous sodium chloride $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The
dichloromethane washings were combined and dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a white solid ( 2.13 g , yield $=91 \%$ ). m.p. $85.5-86.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ : $\delta=3.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 9.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $52.7,125.9,138.0,154.2,164.9$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z$ $=196[M \mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=196.06095$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 196.0698$ ).

b) 3,5-pyridinedimethanol ${ }^{[19]}$ : Pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid methyl ester $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting yellow mixture was refluxed for 2 h , cooled to room temperature and quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The suspension was filtered and the crude residue was extracted several times with hot methanol ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a pale yellow oil, ( 0.468 g , yield $=66 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=4.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 7.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 62.5, 135.5, 138.9, 147,6, 158.7; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=140[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=140.07132$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, 140.07115$ ).

c) 3: To a THF solution ( 50 mL ) of (p-hydroxyphenyl)tris(p-tertbutylphenyl)methane, $(0.725 \mathrm{~g}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3 ,5-pyridinedimethanol $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}$, $7.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $0.415 \mathrm{~g}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise DIAD ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 18 h . The solvent was then removed under vacuum, and methanol ( 50 mL ) added to the crude residue. The resulting white solid was filtered off, and purified by column chromatography
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ to yield the title compound as a white solid $(0.480 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=60 \%)$. m.p. 265 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): 29.4,32.4,111.3,122.1,128.8$ (x2), 130.5, 133.5, 138.6, 142.0, 146.4, 151.3, 154.1, 155.9, 205.1; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1112$ $[M H]^{+} ;$HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1112.72797$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{81} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 1112.72846).


3-\{4-[Tris-(4-tert-butyl-phenyl)-methyl]-phenoxy\}-propan-1-ol:
hydroxyphenyl)tris(p-tert-butylphenyl)methane ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromopropan-1ol $(0.268 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate $(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium iodide $(0.01 \mathrm{~g} 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in butanone $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h . The solution was then filtered and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (1:9, EtOAc: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the title compound as a white solid ( 0.991 g , yield $=88 \%$ ). m.p. $290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{j}\right), 2.02$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.4,28.1,28.4,28.7,42.9,67.4,114.7,125.3,129.2$, $129.8,148.8,158.6,163.3,169.7$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=562\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=562.38039$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 562.38108$ ).


4: EDCI ( $0.182 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid $\left(0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and 3 -\{4-[Tris-(4-tert-butyl-phenyl)-methyl]-
phenoxy \}-propan-1-ol $\left(0.484 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of DMAP ( $0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . The solution was then washed with, 1 M hydrochloric acid ( 20 mL ), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 20 mL ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$. The dichloromethane washings were combined and dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a white solid, $(0.430 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=84 \%)$. m.p. $281-282{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $298 \mathrm{~K}): \delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{j}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.03\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}_{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 4.52(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=31.4,34.3,60.8,65.8,112.9,124.1,127.9$, $130.7,132.3,138.2,139.8,144.1,148.3,148.5,156.5,157.9,164.6,183.8$, LRFABMS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1257[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 1256.76819 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{87} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{NO}_{6}, 1256.77072$ ).


5: EDCI $\left(0.182 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a solution of pyridine-3,5dicarboxylic acid $\left(0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $3-\{4$-[tris-(4-tert-butyl-phenyl)-methyl]-phenoxy $\}$-propan-1-ol $\left(0.484 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in dichloromethane ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$ and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of DMAP $\left(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \times 10^{-1}\right.$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . The solution was then washed with, 1 M hydrochloric acid ( 20 mL ), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 20 mL ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dichloromethane washings were combined and dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a white solid, $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=83 \%)$. m.p. $276-277{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{j}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right.$ $\left.+\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 8.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 9.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=26.8,29.6,32.1,61.0,62.0,111.0,122.4,124.2$, $128.5,130.4,136.1,138.0,142.2,146.4,152.3,154.5,155.9,162.5$; LRFAB-MS (3NOBA matrix): $m / z=1257[M H]^{+} ;$HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 1256.77142 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{87} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{NO}_{6}, 1256.77072$ ).

$\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{2}): 2\left(0.344 \mathrm{~g}, 3.09 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left(0.255 \mathrm{~g}, 3.71 \times 10^{-1}\right.$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were gently heated $\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 10 minutes, and then stirred for a further 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (1:24 EtOAc: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the title compound as a yellow solid ( 0.344 g , yield $=63 \%$ ). m.p. $172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 1.38$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H}_{G}\right)$, $3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{I}\right), 4.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 5.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{E}+\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.01(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=$ $25.7,29.1,31.5,33.8,34.6,48.9,63.5,67.8,69.5,114.0,114.5,114.7,122.6,124.6$, $125.0,128.4,130.8,132.4,133.3,139.0,140.1,141.2,141.2,144.7,148.9,153.2$, 155.7, 158.2, 159.3, 171.3; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1757[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1757.90693$ (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{113}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{130} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{106} \mathrm{Pd}$, 1757.90559).

$\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{3}): 3\left(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left(0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ were gently heated $\left(50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 10 minutes, and then stirred for a further 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the title compound as a yellow solid ( 0.152 g , yield $=96 \%$ ). m.p. $187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 1.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{I}\right), 5.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 6.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 7.15$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.3,28.7,31.4,33.4,34.3,48.0,65.6,67.7,113.1,113.9,114.8$, $124.1,124.8,127.8,130.7,132.6,133.0,134.9,135.1,138.4,140.6,141.1,143.9$, $148.5,153.1,155.4,157.3,157.8,157.9,170.8 ;$ LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z$ $=1758[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1757.90303$ (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{113}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{130} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{106} \mathrm{Pd}$, 1757.90559 ).

$\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{5}): 5\left(0.268 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left(0.147 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ were gently heated $\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 10 minutes, and then stirred for a further 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the title compound as a yellow solid $(0.386 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=97 \%)$. m.p.
$193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{j}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.03\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 4.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{I}\right), 5.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 6.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 7.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.04\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{a}$ ), $8.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=23.3,26.6,27.8$, $29.4,31.5,32.3,46.2,62.0,65.7,110.9,112.2,112.9,122.1,123.0,125.3,125.5$, $126.4,128.7,130.4,130.6,131.2,136.4,138.1,138.9,142.1,146.4,151.1,152.2$, $154.4,155.6,156.0,160.0,168.8$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1902[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1901.94173$ (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{119}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{138} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{106} \mathrm{Pd}$, 1901.94785).


Pd6: (a) To an anhydrous dichloromethane solution ( 400 mL ) of Grubbs' catalyst $\left(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added via a double ended needle, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(2)\left(0.657 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (1:24 EtOAc: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} 2\right)$ to give a yellow solid ( 0.50 g ).
(b) A mixture of the yellow solid obtained in part (a) $(0.50 \mathrm{~g})$ in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ Pd-C $(0.100 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 18 h . The Pd-C was then removed by filtration through a plug of Celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the title compound as a yellow solid $(0.499 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=$ $77 \%$ over 2 steps). m.p. $292{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.21$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.71(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 5.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H}_{F}\right)$, $6.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right)$, $7.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=24.7,27.7,27.8,28.6,30.3,33.3,48.8,62.4,66.4,69.2,113.8$, $114.0,121.1,123.6,123.9,127.2,129.6,131.2,132.1,138.6,140.0,140.5,143.6$, 147.6, 152.0, 154.5, 156.9, 158.0, 170.5; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1730$ $[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1731.89199$ (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{111}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{128} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}$, 1731.88994).


Ring closing metathesis and hydrogenation of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{3})$
(a) To an anhydrous dichloromethane solution ( 60 mL ) of Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.031 g , $3.74 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added via a double ended needle, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(3)\left(0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum to give a dark green solid ( 0.20 g ).
(b) A mixture of the green solid obtained in part (a) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ Pd-C $(0.020 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 18 h . The Pd-C was then removed by filtration through a plug of Celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified using column chromatography to give two colorless compounds that were identified as $3(0.056 \mathrm{~g}$ yield $=63 \%$ over 2 steps $)$ and macrocycle $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(0.027 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=65 \%$ over 2 steps $)$. Macrocycle $\left(7 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; m.p. $258-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.30$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 7.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{A}+\mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 8.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=$ $25.4,28.1,28.4,28.7,42.9,67.4,114.7,125.3,129.2,129.8,138.9,148.8,158.6$, 163.1; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=516[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=516.28550$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 516.28623$ ).


Ring closing metathesis and hydrogenation of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{5})$
(a) To an anhydrous dichloromethane solution ( 200 mL ) of Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.016 $\mathrm{g}, 1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added via a double ended needle, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(5)\left(0.367 \mathrm{~g}, 1.93 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum to give a dark green solid ( 0.352 g ).
(b) A mixture of the yellow solid obtained in part (a) ( 0.33 g ) in THF ( 30 mL ), and $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ Pd-C $(0.033 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 18 h . The Pd-C was then removed by filtration through a plug of Celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified using column chromatography to give two colorless compounds that were identified as $5(0.17 \mathrm{~g}$ yield $=69 \%$ over 2 steps $)$ and $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(0.071 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=71 \%$ over 2 steps $)$.

$6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : To $\operatorname{Pd} 6\left(0.131 \mathrm{~g}, 7.56 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium cyanide $(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature and then heated gently to reduce the overall volume to less than 5 mL . The resulting mixture was portioned between dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) and water ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was collected and the water extracted with further dichloromethane ( 10 mL ). The combined organic extracts were washed with further water ( 25 mL ) and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (1:99

EtOAc: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the title compound as a colourless solid ( 0.119 g , yield $=$ $97 \%$ ). m.p. $157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}$ ), $1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl}}\right), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.97\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) 8.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 9.49(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=24.4,27.3,27.4,28.2,30.4$, $33.3,41.6,62.0,65.8,67.6,111.9,112.0,119.1,123.1,124.3,127.6,128.4,129.6$, $131.1,136.1,137.6,139.2,143.0,147.3,148.1,154.6,155.2,156.8,162.8$; LRFABMS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1627[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 1628.00326 (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{111}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{130} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}, 1628.00239$ ).
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## Chapter Three Synopsis

In chapter two the structural requirements for the synthesis of a [2]rotaxane around a Pd(II) ion were described. Using this knowledge we then attempted to assemble an analogous [2]catenate in the same manner. We explored several routes to a Pd(II)[2]catenate, varying the sequence that the rings were cyclised, and it proved possible to selectively form each of the isomers shown in Scheme 1.




Scheme 1. The selective synthesis of three isomeric complexes, $\operatorname{Pd} 9, \operatorname{Pd}(7)(10)$ and Pd11. Reagents and conditions: (i) $1 . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \% ; 2 a .1^{s t}$ generation Grubbs' catalyst ( $\left.\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{RuCHPh}, 0.2$ equiv, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~h} ; 2 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}$-C, THF, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$ (over 2 steps). (ii) $1 . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, a $3: 2$ ratio of Pd(7)(exo-8): Pd(7)(endo-8), isolated in $89 \%$ yield; $2 a .1^{s t}$ generation Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 22 \mathrm{~h} ; 2 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF, 18 h. (iii) $1 . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \% ; 2 a$. Grubbs' $I^{s t}$ generation catalyst (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 22 \mathrm{~h} ; 2 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$ (over 2 steps).

Double macrocyclisation of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and $\mathbf{8}$ with both ligands coordinated to the TM centre resulted in exclusive formation of the covalently linked macrocycle complex Pd9. Cyclisation of the monodentate ligand 8 following complexation with macrocycle complex $\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ resulted in a mixture of two products (catenate Pd11 and non-interlocked ring isomer Pd(7)(10)). However, cyclisation of the tridentate ligand $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$, following complexation with macrocycle 10 ,
resulted in exclusive formation of the desired [2]catenate Pd(11). In summary it proved possible to select topology and connectivity through metal-directed macrocyclisation reactions.

# Chapter 3: A Square Planar Palladium [2]Catenate and Two Non-Interlocked Isomers 

Published as "Selecting topology and connectivity through metal-directed macrocyclization reactions: a square planar palladium [2]catenate and two noninterlocked isomers" A.-M. L. Fuller, D. A. Leigh, P. J. Lusby, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. B. Walker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12612-12619.
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### 3.1 Introduction

The use of transition metal ions to direct the synthesis of interlocked architectures remains amongst the most efficient strategies available. ${ }^{1-8}$ In addition to exploiting reversible coordination chemistry to deliver high yields of thermodynamically privileged catenanes incorporating metals in their ring frameworks, ${ }^{2}$ catenates metal complexes of organic interlocked macrocyclic ligands - can be formed through metal template macrocyclization reactions. However, whilst the use of tetrahedral copper(I) geometry to hold bidentate ligands in a geometry suitable for subsequent interlocking macrocyclization reactions has been extensively developed over a twenty year period, ${ }^{3-8}$ the transposition of this basic concept to other coordination modes has been slow to develop. Although Sokolov alluded to the possibility of using octahedral ions to template catenane synthesis as early as $1973,{ }^{9}$ attempts to prepare interlocked architectures in this way initially met with limited success ${ }^{2,10}$ and it is only recently ${ }^{11}$ that efficient synthetic routes based on octahedral coordination have been developed. There is also a single example ${ }^{12}$ of 5-coordinate zinc(II) being used to direct the formation of a [2]catenate and a remarkable crown ether-threaded organometallic catenate templated about a magnesium atom that also forms part of one ring. ${ }^{13}$

At first sight the use of a template strategy to produce interlocked macrocyclic ligands for metals with a square planar coordination geometry might appear somewhat counter-intuitive. Square planar coordination obviously involves a 2D donor set, whilst interlocking of the two rings requires two crossing points (one positive, one negative ${ }^{14}$ ) necessitating control over the nature of covalent bond formation in the third dimension. However, by using a tridentate-monodentate ligand combination, the relative orientation of the ligands coordinated to the metal can be varied (formally by rotation about the monodentate ligand-metal bond) and therefore, in principle, systems can be designed to extend above and below the plane of the square planar coordination mode and direct a subsequent ring closure reaction. Indeed, there are several examples ${ }^{15,16}$ of the orthogonal alignment of organic fragments using such a ' $3+1$ ' donor set of ligands and we recently found it was possible to exploit this effect to form a mechanically interlocked structure (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{17}$ Through a combination of electronic and steric factors, the square planar
palladium holds the monodentate 2,6-dimethyleneoxypyridine thread orthogonal to a bis-olefin terminated tridentate benzylic amide macrocycle-precursor such that cyclization by ring closing olefin metathesis (RCM) results in a [2]rotaxane in 77\% yield.


Scheme 3.1. The palladium(II)-directed synthesis of a [2]rotaxane. ${ }^{17}$

However, extending this strategy to catenane synthesis is not straightforward. Even though oligomer and polymer formation could be minimized by metal chelation of the acyclic building blocks, a double macrocyclization strategy could produce three different isomeric products (A-C, Scheme 3.2) and even pre-forming one of the rings prior to attaching the building blocks to the metal could still afford either the interlocked (B) or non-interlocked (C) complex. We therefore explored several potential routes to a square planar coordination [2]catenate, varying the sequence that the rings were cyclized and whether or not the building blocks were attached to the metal prior to macrocyclization. Remarkably, in this way it proved possible to find synthetic routes to each of the isomers A-C shown in Scheme 3.2.


Scheme 3.2. Schematic representation of the three isomers that result from synchronous or simultaneous metal-directed cyclization of two acyclic building blocks. Homo-dimerization is avoided by using one tridentate ligand (blue) and one monodentate ligand (orange) and a metal with a preferred square planar coordination geometry. Other possible reaction products could potentially include knots - although knotted isomers of A-C are easily precluded by limiting the size of the building blocks - and higher cyclic, catenated and knotted oligomers and polymers resulting from the condensation of more than just one of each building block (minimized by carrying out the cyclization reactions at high dilution).

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

## Route $I$-Simultaneous metal-directed olefin metathesis of 1 and 8

The first route we investigated was the possible double macrocyclization of the tridentate $\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and monodentate 8 building blocks, with both ligands already coordinated to a square planar metal, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{8})$ (Scheme 3.3 , Route I). The monodentate ligand 8 was prepared in two steps from 4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (see experimental section). When combined with the known $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ complex in dichloromethane this provided the tetra-terminal olefin complex, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{8})$, in $93 \%$ yield (Scheme 3.3, ii), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR confirming the exchange of the acetonitrile ligand for $\mathbf{8}$ (Figure 3.1 a and 3.1 b , note the upfield shift of the aromatic resonances of $\mathbf{1}$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{E}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right)$ by 0.8 and 0.2 ppm respectively due to stacking with the pyridine group of 8 ).


Scheme 3.3. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (iii) a. $1^{\text {st }}$ generation Grubbs' catalyst $\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{RuCHPh}, 0.2$ equiv, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$; b. $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, $75 \%$ (over 2 steps); (iv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (vi) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, a 3:2 ratio of $\mathrm{Pd}(7)($ exo-8): $\mathrm{Pd}(7)$ (endo-8), isolated in $89 \%$ yield; (vii) a. $1^{\text {st }}$ generation Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$; b. $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, 18 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{c}$. $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( $44 \%$ over 3 steps), $\mathbf{1 0 ( 4 1 \% ) , 1 1 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }}$ ( $25 \%$ ); (viii) part (v) and then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; (ix) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 69 \%$; (x) a. Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$; b. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd-C, THF, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$ (over 2 steps); (xi) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (xii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (xiii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; (xiv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, $98 \%$; (xv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.


Figure 3.1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 19: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of (a) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ (b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(8)$ (c) Pd 9 . The lettering refers to the assignments shown in Scheme 3.

Subjecting $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(8)$ to RCM using the first generation Grubbs olefin metathesis catalyst, ${ }^{18}$ followed by hydrogenation of the resulting internal double bond (Scheme 3.3, iii), gave a single species in $75 \%$ isolated yield for the two steps. FAB mass spectrometry confirmed that the complex had the molecular weight ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1110$, $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) necessary to be one of the isomers A-C and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 3.1c) showed significant differences from $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{8})$, most notably in the region 2.55.5 ppm , indicating that some change in orientation of the building blocks had occurred upon RCM. Treatment of the complex with potassium cyanide (Scheme 3.3 , iv) gave a single organic compound (confirmed by mass spectrometry and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR), ruling out the possibility that the product of the RCM was the two noninterlocked ring isomer, $\mathbf{C}$, i.e. the product of Route I could not be $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(10)$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 3.2 c ) of the de-metallated structure did not show the shielding effects characteristic of benzylic amide macrocycle interlocked systems, the chemical shifts being virtually identical to the independently prepared free ligands, $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 3.2 a and 3.2 d , respectively). This suggested that the ligand isomer formed from Route I was probably $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, the single large macrocycle
(B) resulting from intercomponent metathesis between the $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ olefin groups. This assignment was confirmed when single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown from slow cooling of a hot, saturated acetonitrile solution of the complex formed by Route I. The solid state structure (Figure 3.3) shows the tetradentate 58 -membered single macrocycle satisfying the square planar coordination geometry of the palladium, with the tridentate 2,6dicarboxamidopyridine moiety held orthogonally to the monodentate 2,6 bis(oxymethylene)pyridine group. This results in twisting of the macrocycle, providing a single cross-over point in a distinctive figure-of-eight conformation (Figure 3.3 b). Although rare, this shape is not unique amongst coordination complexes of large flexible macrocycles, with other examples - based on octahedral metals - reported by the groups of Sauvage (a 58-membered hexadentate bis-terpy macrocycle bound to iron(II) ${ }^{10}$ and Busch (a 40 -membered ring coordinating to nickel(II) via 2,6-diiminopyridine groups). ${ }^{19}$ In all cases the metal ion provides the cross-over point, imposing helical chirality on what would otherwise be intrinsically achiral macrocycles (for Pd9 both enantiomers are observed in the unit cell). Reexamination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 3.1 c ) reveals that the ring conformation of Pd 9 is conserved from the solid state to solution. The low $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ symmetry results in the individual protons of the $\mathrm{H}_{D}, \mathrm{H}_{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{d}$ methylene groups $\left(\mathrm{H}_{D}, \mathrm{H}_{D}, \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}\right)$ being held in diastereotopic environments, the magnitude of the splittings following their proximity to the cross-over point $\left(\mathrm{H}_{D}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}$ are split by nearly $2.5 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}$ by 1.0 ppm , and $\mathrm{H}_{d}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}$ by just 0.4 $\mathrm{ppm})$. Interestingly, the aromatic region of Pd 9 is virtually identical to that of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(8)$ (Figure 3.1b) suggesting that whilst the alkyl residues may be mobile, the rest of the acyclic precursor complex is well-organized for intercomponent olefin metathesis.


Figure 3.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of (a) $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (b) $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (c) $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (d) $\mathbf{1 0}$. The lettering refers to the assignments shown in Scheme 3.

Since the attempted simultaneous double macrocyclization strategy had given rise to intercomponent bond formation, we sought to exclude this possibility ${ }^{20}$ by preforming one or other of the rings prior to the second, metal-directed, cyclization reaction (Scheme 3.3, Routes II and III).
a)

b)


Figure 3.3. X-ray crystal structure of 'figure-of-eight' complex Pd9 grown by slow cooling of a warm, saturated solution of the complex in acetonitrile. a) Side-on and b) top views. Carbon atoms
originating from 1 are shown in light blue, those from $\mathbf{8}$ in yellow. Oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, palladium grey. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ : Pd-N2 2.015, Pd-N5 1.941, Pd-N11 2.027, PdN41 2.052; tridentate fragment bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2-Pd-N11 161.0.

## Route II - Metal-directed RCM of 8

Tridentate macrocycle $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ was prepared in $57 \%$ yield by treatment of pyridine-2,6dicarbonyl dichloride with the appropriate diamine under high dilution conditions (see Supporting Information). Subsequent complexation with palladium(II) acetate afforded $\operatorname{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(93 \%$, scheme 3.3 , v). Threading of 8 through the cavity of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ via the substitution of the coordinated acetonitrile was attempted by simple mixing of the two in dichloromethane at room temperature (Scheme 3.3, vi). While mass spectrometry confirmed the ligand exchange, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product was unexpectedly complex. Closer inspection of the thin layer chromatographic analysis of the reaction mixture revealed two products with very similar $R_{f}$ values in a ratio of approximately $2: 3$. Despite their proximity, these proved amenable to separation by preparative thin layer chromatography on silica gel-coated plates $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 98.5: 1.5\right.$ as eluent). The isolated complexes gave indistinguishable fragmentation patterns by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, the molecular mass ion suggesting they were both isomers of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$. However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra exhibited important differences between the two products. When compared to the spectra of the starting materials, the minor isomer (lower $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$, Figure 3.4 d ), showed significant shielding of the 7 benzyl rings $\left(\mathrm{H}_{E}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{F}$ ) indicative of aromatic stacking with the pyridine group of 8. In contrast, the major isomer (higher $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$, Figure 3.4 b ), showed no evidence of stacking interactions but a greater degree of complexity in both the $\mathbf{8}$ signals (two sets of non-equivalent $\mathrm{H}_{b}, \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d}, \mathrm{H}_{e}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{f}$ resonances) and the $\mathrm{H}_{D}$ methylene groups (an AB system with a 2.5 ppm separation between the two proton environments) of 7. From this we tentatively assigned the two products as 'non-threaded' (major isomer) and 'threaded' (minor isomer) atropisomers, ${ }^{21} \mathrm{Pd}(7)($ exo-8) and $\operatorname{Pd}(7)($ endo-8) respectively (Scheme 3.3).


Figure 3.4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of (a) $\mathrm{Pd}(7)(10)$ (b) $\operatorname{Pd}(7)($ exo-8) (c) 8 (d) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{7})($ endo-8) (e) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ (f) Pd 11 . The lettering refers to the assignments shown in Scheme 3.

Intriguingly, CPK models suggested that cyclization of $\mathbf{8}$ could occur with each atropisomer of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$, suggesting that two compounds of identical connectivity but different conformations ${ }^{22}$ are predisposed to form topological isomeric products upon RCM - the [2]catenate, $\mathbf{B}$, and the analogous non-interlocked double macrocycle structure, C. Sure enough, treatment of the 2:3 mixture of atropisomers with Grubbs' catalyst in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, followed by hydrogenation and demetallation (Scheme 3.3, vii (three steps - the mixtures of topological and olefin isomers preventing the isolation of pure products until the end of the reaction sequence)) afforded three products: macrocycles $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(44 \%)$ and $10\left(41 \%{ }^{23}\right)$ arising from complex $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0})$, and a further compound, which mass spectrometry confirmed to be a different isomer of $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, in $25 \%$ overall yield for the three steps.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of the new compound in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Figure 3.2 b) revealed shielding of most resonances compared to the free macrocycles $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Figure 3.2 a) and $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 3.2 d ) characteristic of interdigitation, suggesting that it was indeed the [2]catenand $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$. The exception to the upfield trend in shifts were the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{C}\right)$, which were shifted significantly downfield (ca. 1.3 ppm ) in the catenand compared to those of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, indicative of a significant hydrogen-bonding interaction between the amide protons of one ring and the pyridine nitrogen of the other. In contrast, the analogous amide protons in the 58 -membered free macrocycle $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ occur at 8.11 ppm in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Figure 3.2 c ), only slightly downfield of their position in $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 7.88 ppm , Figure 3.2 a ), meaning that little intramolecular hydrogen bonding is occurring in the large flexible macrocycle. Why is this internal hydrogen bonding absent when it is so clearly present in the mechanically bonded isomer $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ? Firstly, the size and nature of the solvent-exposed surfaces of the compact [2]catenand structure and the large, relatively open, macrocycle must be very different, making desolvation of the amide and pyridine residues in the catenane a significantly less energetically costly process. Secondly, with the 2,6bis(oxymethylene)pyridine and 2,6-pyridinecarboxamide groups on different components, the macrocycles in $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ can orientate themselves for inter-residue hydrogen bonding with little more than the loss of a single rotational degree of freedom. In contrast, alignment of the groups to enable a similar interaction within $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ would significantly restrict the number of conformations accessible by the alkyl chains in the large flexible ring, the resulting losses in degrees of freedom raising the energy of the hydrogen bonded structure. This unusual orthogonal double bifurcated bis-pyridine hydrogen bonding interaction is also observed in the related [2]rotaxane system. ${ }^{17}$

Re-introduction of $\operatorname{Pd}$ (II) into the free ligand systems $\left(9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pd} 9\right.$, Scheme 3.3, xii; $\mathbf{1 1 H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 1}$, Scheme 3.3, xiii; $7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{7})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)+\mathbf{1 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0})$, Scheme 3.3, v , viii) proceeded smoothly in each case, the last two providing pure samples of complexes formed previously as intermediates during each pathway of the Route II syntheses. ESI mass spectrometry initially identified the formation of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(10)$, confirming that both macrocycles could simultaneously bind to palladium without being interlocked. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure 3.4a) corroborated this result and
showed significant similarity (a diastereoptopic environment for $\mathrm{H}_{D}$, and two sets of signals for each $\mathrm{H}_{b}, \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d}, \mathrm{H}_{e}, \mathrm{H}_{f}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{g}$ ) to the presumed 'non-threaded' isomer of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$ (Figure 3.4b). These spectral features are consistent with orthogonal binding of the rings to the square planar geometry metal, with neither macrocycle being able to pass through the cavity of the other nor rotate (at least not rapidly on the NMR time scale in the case of the monodentate ligand) about the plane of the square planar coordination geometry. This means both macrocycles are perforce desymmetrized in the plane that they coordinate to the metal, i.e. top from bottom in 7 and left from right in $\mathbf{1 0}($ as $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0})$ is depicted in Figure 3.5a).

Pleasingly, the pure samples of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(10)$ and Pd 11 both provided single crystals suitable for structure elucidation by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3.5 and Figure 3.6 , respectively). Between them, the X-ray crystal structures of $\operatorname{Pd} 9, \operatorname{Pd}(7)(10)$ and Pd11 not only confirm the identity of the metal complexes - a unique set of topological $(\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{7})(8)$ and Pd 11$)$ and constitutional $(\operatorname{Pd} 9$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0}) / \mathrm{Pd} 11)$ isomers - but also the structural assignments of the free ligands inferred by the earlier ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and mass spectrometry analysis.


Figure 3.5. X-ray crystal structure of non-interlocked double macrocycle complex $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(10)$ grown from a saturated solution of the complex in acetone. a) Side-on and b) top views. Carbon atoms of the 7 macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the 10 macrocycle in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, palladium grey. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ : N2-2.032, N5-Pd 1.943, N11-Pd 2.032, N41-Pd 2.077; tridentate fragment bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ : N2-Pd-N11 160.8.


Figure 3.6. X-ray crystal structure of palladium [2]catenate Pd11 grown by slow cooling of a warm, saturated solution of the complex in acetonitrile. a) Side-on and b) top views. Carbon atoms of the 7 macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the $\mathbf{1 0}$ macrocycle in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, palladium grey. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ : Pd-N2 1.934, Pd-N5 2.041, Pd-N11 2.036, Pd-N41 2.079; tridentate fragment bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2-Pd-N11 160.2.

## Atropisomer-specific synthesis of different topological isomers

Although RCM (and subsequent hydrogenation and de-metallation) of the mixture of the two $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$ atropisomers gives a ratio of isolated interlocked to non-interlocked products similar to the starting atropisomer ratio, it does not necessarily follow that one atropisomer leads solely to one product. The interconversion of the two $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$ atropisomers in solution was investigated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The initial 'threaded':'non-threaded' ratio of ca. 2:3 remained unchanged over 7 days in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at RT. Similarly, spectra of pure samples of each of the compounds were invariant under these conditions, demonstrating that the atropisomers are kinetically stable at room temperature in a non-coordinating solvent. However, addition of $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to either of the pure atropisomer solutions or the 2:3 mixture led to a gradual change in the 'threaded':'non-threaded' ratio, rising to $c a .7: 3$ after 4 days. This suggests that the 'threaded' isomer is thermodynamically favored and that atropisomer interconversion can take place via the dissociation of $\mathbf{8}$ from either form of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$, the vacant coordination site being temporarily filled by a molecule of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Accordingly, the reaction between 8 and $\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ was repeated in refluxing acetonitrile. After several hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy showed a $7: 3$ ratio of the 'threaded':'non-threaded' forms of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)$. Heating over two days increased the ratio to $8: 1$ after which no further change occurred.

RCM of single atropisomer samples of $\mathrm{Pd}(7)(8)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ did, indeed, generate a single new species in each case. The product of RCM of the presumed $\mathrm{Pd}(7)$ (endo-8) complex was hydrogenated to give solely the [2] catenate, Pd 11 ; the product of RCM of presumed $\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\right.$ exo-8) proved unstable to hydrogenation, ${ }^{23}$ so the metal was removed instead $\left(\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right.$ and then $\left.40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}\right)$, liberating two different macrocycles, $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(96 \%)$ and the unsaturated olefin analogue of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (93\%).

Finally, we investigated the product distribution arising from pre-forming the monodentate macrocycle and applying metal-directed cyclization of the tridentate ligand (Scheme 3, Route III). $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were stirred together in dichloromethane at room temperature (Scheme 3.3, ix) and, in contrast to the analogous step in Route II, reacted to give a single product rather than a mixture of atropisomers. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ (Figure 3.4e) suggests the two ligands are threaded, the upfield shift of $\mathrm{H}_{E}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{F}$ compared to similar protons in the non-threaded $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0})$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(7)($ exo-8) complexes (Figure 3.4a and 3.4b, respectively) indicating $\pi$-stacking of the benzyl groups of $\mathbf{1}$ with the pyridine unit of 10. It is difficult to distinguish between whether $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ can exist as threaded/nonthreaded atropisomers but is formed solely as the $\operatorname{Pd}($ exo-1)(10) isomer, or rather threaded and non-threaded forms of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ are in equilibrium with the threaded conformation being thermodynamically preferred by several $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. In any event, $\operatorname{RCM}$ of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ and subsequent hydrogenation (Scheme 3.3, x) afforded exclusively the [2]catenate, Pd 11 , in $78 \%$ yield, making this route both synthetically efficient and completely selective for the mechanically interlocked topological isomer.

### 3.3 Conclusions

A [2]catenate and the isomeric single macrocycle and double macrocycle metal complexes can each be efficiently assembled about a palladium(II) template via RCM . The order in which the tridentate and monodentate ligand cyclization reactions and coordination steps are performed determines the outcome of the synthetic pathway, providing selective routes to each of the three topological and constitutional isomers. In one case, pre-forming the tridentate macrocycle followed by its coordination along with the acyclic monodentate ligand to the Pd , produces threaded and non-threaded atropisomers. These can be isolated and, whilst the individual forms are stable in dichloromethane, they can be interconverted in a coordinating solvent through ligand exchange. Each atropisomer was shown to be a true intermediate to a different topological product meaning that, in this reaction, the choice of solvent can determine whether the [2]catenate is formed or its non-
interlocked isomer. It is remarkable to see how topology and connectivity can be selected so exquisitely - in three different forms - using just one set of organic building blocks and a metal atom with a two dimensional coordination geometry.

### 3.4 Experimental Section

## General

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents and anhydrous solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals and used without further purification. 1,10-bis[p(aminomethyl)phenoxy]decane ${ }^{24}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}_{2}^{25}$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)^{25}$ were prepared according to literature procedures.


Scheme 3.4. Reagents and conditions: i) 6-bromohex-1-ene, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, NaI, butan-2-one, $\Delta, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; ii) 2, 6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine, NaH , THF, $\Delta, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; iii) 1,10 -dibromodecane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaI}$, butan-2-one, $\Delta, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; iv) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \Delta, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$; v) 2, 6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, \Delta, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; vi) 1,10 -bis $[p$-(aminomethyl)phenoxy]decane, 2,6 -pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then warm to r.t., $18 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$; vii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, r.t., $1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$.


S1: To a solution of 4-hydroxybenzylalcohol ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6-bromohex-1ene $(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in butan-2-one $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added potassium carbonate $(7.32 \mathrm{~g}, 53.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a catalytic amount of sodium iodide. The suspension was refluxed for 18 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. After cooling, the potassium carbonate was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The resultant oil was re-dissolved in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water (2 x 25 mL ), followed by a saturated aqueous solution of sodium chloride ( 25 mL ). The water layers were combined and re-extracted with dichloromethane ( 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and the crude product purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to yield $\mathbf{S} 1$ as a colourless oil $(1.54 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298\right.$ $\mathrm{K}): \delta=1.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 3.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.1,28.5,33.3,64.5,67.6,114.3,114.6,128.4,132.8,138.3$, 158.4; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=206[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=206.13060$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 206.13068$ ).

 atmosphere of nitrogen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium hydride ( $0.174 \mathrm{~g}, 7.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) $(60 \%$ dispersion in oil). After 30 minutes a solution of 2, 6-
bis(bromomethyl)pyridine ( $0.713 \mathrm{~g}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) was added using a transfer needle and the suspension refluxed under an atmosphere of nitrogen for 18 h . Upon cooling the reaction mixture was filtered, concentrated in vacuo and the resultant crude oil re-dissolved in dichloromethane, washed with water ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and a saturated aqueous solution of sodium chloride $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The water layers were combined and re-extracted with dichloromethane ( 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and the crude product purified by column chromatography (95:5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc) to yield 8 as a colourless oil ( 0.970 g , yield $=70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right) 4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.31$ (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $298 \mathrm{~K}): \delta=25.2,28.6,33.4,67.7,72.6,72.7,114.3,114.7,119.9,129.4,129.8$, $137.2,138.5,157.9,158.7$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=516[\mathrm{MH}]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=516.31141$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 516.31138$ ).


S2 (4,4'-decanediyldioxy-dibenzaldehyde) ${ }^{[26]}$ : The synthesis of $\mathbf{S 2}$ was carried out as for S1 but using 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,10dibromodecane ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate $(9.20 \mathrm{~g}, 66.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a catalytic amount of sodium iodide. The crude residue was recrystallized from methanol to yield the title compound as a colourless solid ( 1.91 g , yield $=75 \%$ ). m.p. $78-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $78-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{[26] ~}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.21-1.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 4.02\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 9.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298\right.$ $\mathrm{K}): \delta=25.9,29.0,29.3,29.4,68.3,114.7,129.7,132.0,164.2,190.8$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=383[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 383.22211 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 383.22223$ ).


S3 (4,4'-[decane-1,10-diylbis(oxy)]bis[benzenemethanol]) ${ }^{[27]}$ : To a solution of S2 $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 4.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, was slowly added sodium borohydride $(1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 47.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was then heated at reflux for 4 h , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with 1 M hydrochloric acid. The product was then extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic extracts dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to yield S3 as a colourless solid ( 1.33 g , yield $=73 \%$ ). m.p. $131-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO, 298 K ): $\delta=1.24-1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 4.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.02(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}) 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 298 \mathrm{~K}): \delta=$ 25.4, 28.6, 28.6, 28.8, 62.4, 67.2, 113.9, 127.8, 134.3, 157.2; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=386[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=386.24509$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 386.24571$ ).


10: To a solution of $\mathbf{S 3}(1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine $(0.885 \mathrm{~g}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 1 L ) was added sodium hydride $(0.176 \mathrm{~g}$, $7.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ in oil suspension) under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The reaction was refluxed under nitrogen for 18 h . Upon cooling, the suspension was filtered and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was re-dissolved in dichloromethane, washed with water ( 25 mL ), dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography ( $95: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to yield $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a colorless crystalline solid
$\left(0.981 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, yield $=60 \%$ ). m.p. $61-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=$ 1.22-1.48 (m, 12H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alklil }}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.97\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right)$, $7.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.6,28.5,28.6,29.3,67.3,71.2,72.2,114.5,119.9,129.2$, $130.0,137.1,157.7,158.7$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=490[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=490.29495$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 490.29573$ ).

$7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : Method 1: To a solution of 1,10 -bis [ $p$-aminomethyl)phenoxy]decane $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $13.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(2950 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of nitrogen, was added triethylamine $(2.93 \mathrm{~g}, 29.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. A solution of 2,6pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride ( $2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 50 mL ) was slowly added dropwise over 3 h , while keeping the solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 18 h before being concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography ( $50: 50 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) and recrystallized from acetonitrile to yield colorless crystals of the title compound ( 3.80 g , yield $=57 \%$ ). m.p.259- $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.23-1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right)$, $3.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 8.00\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.10\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right) 8.31$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.7,28.4,28.7$, 29.1, 42.7, 67.6, 114.7, 125.2, 129.2, 130.6, 139.1, 149.2, 158.8, 163.5; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=516[M H]^{+} ;$HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 516.28550 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 516.28623$ ).

Method 2 Synthesis as for $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ using $\operatorname{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\left(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and potassium cyanide $\left(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(50: 50 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : EtOAc ) to yield $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(0.037 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$.


A
$\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : To a solution of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetonitrile $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added palladium(II) acetate $(0.674 \mathrm{~g}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 1 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with acetonitrile ( 25 mL ) and dried under suction to yield a yellow solid ( 1.84 g , yield $=93 \%$ ). m.p. $240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.22-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right.$ ), $1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-$ $\left.\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 6.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right)$, $7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.07(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{A}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=0.5,25.7,28.4,28.8$, $29.3,49.5,67.4,114.5,115.5,125.0,128.5,133.7,141.4,153.4,158.2,170.7$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=620\left[M H-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=660.19089$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}, 660.19251$ ).

$\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(8)$ : A solution of $\mathbf{8}(0.520 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(0.687 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography, $\left(95: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : EtOAc ) to yield $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{8})$ as a yellow, gummy solid $(1.08 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=93 \%)$. m.p. $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.38-1.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.99-2.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.85-3.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g}+\mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.99$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{I}+\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}+\mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 6.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$
$\left.=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 7.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.13(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.3,25.3,28.7,28.7$, $33.4,33.4,48.7,67.7,67.8,71.8,73.2,114.1,114.3,114.7,114.7,121.8,124.7$, $128.5,128.9,129.3,133.0,138.4,138.5,139.1,140.5,152.8,157.9,158.9,160.5$, 171.0. LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1161[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1161.49253$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{79} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}, 1161.49299$ ).

$\operatorname{Pd} 9:$ Method 1 (a) A solution of $\operatorname{Pd}(1)(8)(0.452 \mathrm{~g}, 0.390 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a double ended needle to a solution of first generation Grubbs' catalyst $\left(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h , concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography ( $96: 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc) to yield a yellow solid ( 0.33 g ).
(b) To a stirred solution of the yellow solid obtained in part (a) ( 0.33 g ) in THF ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$, was added $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ Pd-C $(0.050 \mathrm{~g})$ and the resultant suspension stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen for 18 h . The suspension was filtered through a plug of Celite, and the solution concentrated in vacuo to yield Pd 9 as a yellow solid $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=75 \%$ over 2 steps). m.p. $196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298$ $\mathrm{K}): \delta=1.09-1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl}}\right), 2.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right)$, 4.0-4.13 (m, $\left.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g}+\mathrm{H}_{c}+\mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.04-5.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right.$ $\left.+\mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right) 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.08\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=23.7,25.2,25.5,27.5,27.5,27.5,28.6,29.5,48.5,67.1$, $68.1,71.8,73.2,114.0,114.8,121.2,124.8,128.5,128.7,129.5,132.8,139.1,140.7$, $152.8,158.1,158.6,160.6,171.1$; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1109$ $[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1109.46275$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}$, 1109.46169).

## Method 2

To a stirred solution of $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous acetonitrile (10 mL ) and dichloromethane ( 10 mL ), palladium(II) acetate ( $0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution refluxed for 18 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with acetonitrile ( 25 mL ) and dried under suction to yield Pd9 ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).

$9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : To a solution of $9 \mathrm{Pd}\left(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 9.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol ( 10 mL ) was added potassium cyanide ( $0.091 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , until it was colorless, and then heated gently to reduce the overall volume to less than 5 mL . The resultant mixture was dispersed in water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with dichloromethane $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with further water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After filtration, the solution was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography ( $85: 15 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :EtOAc) to give the title compound as a colorless solid ( 0.085 g , yield $=94 \%$ ). m.p. $186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.17-1.78$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 32 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.84-3.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.48-4.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}+\mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{c}\right)$, 6.76-6.84 (m, 8H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}+\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.15-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{E}+\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right)$, $7.66\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.02\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.11\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.9,25.9,29.0,29.1$, $29.2,29.3,29.3,29.3,43.0,67.9,68.0,72.4,72.4,114.4,114.7,120.3,125.2,129.0$, $129.6,129.7,129.8,137.2,139.0,148.8,157.9,158.6,158.8,163.3$; LRFAB-MS (3NOBA matrix): $m / z=1006[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 1005.57406 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 1005.57414$ ).

$\mathrm{Pd}(7)($ endo-8) $/ \mathrm{Pd}(7)($ exo-8): The synthesis of $\mathrm{Pd}(7)(8)$ was carried out as for $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(8)$ but using $8\left(0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 5.82 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(0.384 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.82 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reactants. The crude residue was purified using column chromatography ( $85: 15 \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to yield a $2: 3$ mixture of the two atropisomers as a yellow solid $(0.587 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$. The atropisomers were separated using preparative thin layer chromatography ( $98.5: 1.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).
Threaded atropisomer, $\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\right.$ endo-8). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=$ 1.18-1.82(m, 24H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}$ ), $2.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.90(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 4.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{i}\right), 5.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 6.24-6.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{E}+\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right) 7.15$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right) 7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.66\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.77$ (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.10\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, 298 K ): $\delta=25.7,25.8$ (x2), 28.8, 29.1 (x2), 29.8, 33.8, 49.9, 67.6, 72.3, 73.7, 114.6, 114.7, 114.8, 121.8, 124.8, 128.4, 129.6, 130.4, 132.0, 139.0, 139.1, 140.9, 153.2, 157.9, 159.4, 160.2, 171.5; LRESI-MS $m / z=1157\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$. Non-threaded atropisomer, $\mathrm{Pd}(7)\left(\right.$ exo-8) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=0.73-1.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}\right), 3.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right)$, $3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{i}+\mathrm{H}_{D}\right)$, $5.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 5.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{F}+\mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{f}\right)$, $7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{e}+\mathrm{H}_{b^{\prime}}\right), 7.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.7,25.9,29.0,29.1,29.1,29.2,29.8,33.9,49.0,67.9,68.2$, $68.3,71.4,72.2,72.4,73.8,114.5,114.7,114.8,114.8,122.2,122.5,125.1,128.6$, $129.6,130.1,130.2,130.5,133.44,139.1,140.2,141.25,153.1,158.3,159.2,159.5$, $159.7,162.3,171.6$; LRESI-MS $m / z=1157\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

Preparation of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}, 10$ and $11 \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ from the mixture of $\mathrm{Pd}(7)($ exo-8) and Pd(7)(endo-8)

(a) A solution of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(8)\left(0.362 \mathrm{~g}, 3.19 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a double ended needle to a solution of first generation Grubbs' catalyst ( $0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 500 mL ) under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h , after which it was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography ( $9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ) to yield a yellow solid.
(b) To a solution of the yellow solid obtained from part (a) in THF ( 20 mL ) was added PdEnCat ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}\left(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and the resulting suspension stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen ( 50 bar ) for 18 h . The suspension was then filtered and the solution concentrated in vacuo. ${ }^{[28]}$
(c) To a solution of the crude product obtained from part (b) in dichloromethane (10 mL ) and methanol ( 10 mL ) was added potassium cyanide ( $0.311 \mathrm{~g}, 4.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , until it was colorless, and then heated gently to reduce the overall volume to less than 5 mL . The resulting mixture was dispersed in water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with dichloromethane $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with further water ( 25 mL ) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After filtration, the solution was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography ( $\left.99: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}), \mathbf{1 0}(0.020 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathbf{1 1 H}_{2}(0.081 \mathrm{~g})$ in $73 \%$, $13 \%$ and $25 \%$ yields respectively (over three steps). $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : m.p. $125-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.22-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.63-1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right)$, $3.75-2.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g}+\mathrm{H}_{c}+\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 6.32-$ $6.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 6.85$
$\left(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.40\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.15$ (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 9.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 298 K ): $\delta=25.6,25.8,28.3,28.5,28.6,28.7,29.2,29.4,42.2,67.0,67.1,70.0,72.6$, 113.6, 114.1, 118.9, 124.1, 128.3, 128.8, 129.8, 130.4, 136.5, 137.3, 148.6, 157.3, 157.5, 158.4, 163.2; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1006[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1005.57494$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 1005.57414$ ).


1Pd10: The synthesis of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ was carried out as for $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{8})$ but using 10 $\left(0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 5.70 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\left(0.392 \mathrm{~g}, 5.70 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ as reactants. The resulting crude residue was purified by column chromatography ( $95: 5$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc) to yield $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(10)$ as a yellow solid $(0.446 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=69 \%)$. m.p. $58-$ $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.19-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.50-$ $1.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 3.80-3.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right.$ $\left.+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}}\right), 5.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 6.21(\mathrm{br}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.71-7.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{B^{+}} \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{\mathrm{A}}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.7,26.0,28.9,29.0,29.1$, $29.9,33.8,48.5,67.6,68.1,70.4,73.1,114.2,114.4,114.8,123.5,124.3,128.6$, $131.4,133.6,139.1,139.3,140.4,153.0,157.9,158.2,159.3,159.8,170.8$; LRFABMS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1135[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 1135.47843 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}, 1135.47734$ ).


## Pd11:

## Method 1

(a) The synthesis of Pd 11 was carried out as for $\operatorname{Pd} 9$ (method 1) but using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1 0})$ $\left(0.352 \mathrm{~g}, 3.10 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ as the starting material and $\left(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ of Grubbs' catalyst. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (96:4 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc ) to yield a yellow solid ( 0.34 g ).
(b) The yellow solid obtained from part (a) ( 0.34 g ) was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ Pd-C $(0.050 \mathrm{~g})$ over $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ as for Pd9, yielding Pd11 $(0.268 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ over 2 steps). m.p. $134-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.04-1.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.36-4.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 5.94(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298\right.$ $\mathrm{K}): ~ \delta=25.8,26.0,28.5,28.7,28.9,29.2,30.0,30.1,49.4,67.1,67.7,69.6,72.9$, $114.5,114.8,121.2,124.5,128.2,128.6,131.7,132.9,138.8,140.6,153.1,157.4$, 159.5, 160.0, 171.1. LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1109[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1109.46152$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}, 1109.46169$ ).

## Method 2

The synthesis of Pd 11 was carried out as for Pd 9 (method 2) but using $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}(0.050$ $\left.\mathrm{g}, 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ as the starting complex and $\left(0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ of palladium(II) acetate to yield $\operatorname{Pd} 11(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$.


7Pd10: The synthesis of $\operatorname{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0})$ was carried out as for $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{8})$ but using $\mathbf{1 0}$ $\left(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 2.25 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(7)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\left(0.149 \mathrm{~g}, 2.25 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ as reactants. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (97.5:2.5 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to yield $\mathrm{Pd}(7)(\mathbf{1 0})$ as a yellow solid. $(0.194 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=78 \%)$. m.p. $181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=0.81-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}$ ), $2.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}\right), 3.34-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}\right), 3.78-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{G^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.64-6.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{F}+\mathrm{H}_{E}+\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 6.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.12-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}+\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.6,25.9$ (x2), 28.5, 29.0, 29.1, 29.3, 29.4, 29.4, 29.6, 29.8, 29.9, 49.2, 67.8, 67.9, 68.3, 72.1, 72.8, 73.4, 76.3, 114.8, 114.9, 115.4, $122.0,122.7,125.1,128.3,129.9,130.3,130.5,131.4,133.0,138.4,141.3,153.0$, 158.2, 158.7, 159.6, 160.6, 161.9, 171.6; LRESI-MS $m / z=1131\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.
$\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : The synthesis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1 1}$ was carried out as for $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ but using Pd11 $(0.210 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.90 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as the starting complex and potassium cyanide $(0.190 \mathrm{~g}, 2.92$ mmol ). The crude residue was purified using column chromatography (85:15 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : EtOAc ) to yield $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ as a colorless solid ( 0.185 g , yield $=97 \%$ ).

X-ray Crystallographic structure determinations. Pd9: $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}, M=$ 1109.65 , yellow prism, crystal size $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$, monoclinic, $P 2(1) / \mathrm{n}(\# 14)$, $a=11.839(17), b=17.17(2), c=27.07(8) \AA, \beta=92.689(19)^{\circ}, V=5497(19) \AA^{3}, Z=$ 4, $\rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.341 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; \mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}$ radiation (confocal optic, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ), $\mu=0.397$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}, T=93(2) \mathrm{K} .25519$ data ( 8839 unique, $R_{\text {int }}=0.0352,2.37<\theta<25.35^{\circ}$ ), were collected on a Rigaku MM007/Mercury CCD diffractometer and were corrected for absorption. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ values of all data (G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL, Bruker AXS

Madison WI, USA, 2001, version 6.1) to give $w R=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}=$ 0.2821 , conventional $R=0.0919$ for $F$ values of reflections with $F_{0}^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right),[6956$ observed reflections] $S=0.919$ for 677 parameters. Residual electron density extremes were 2.085 and $-1.443 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.
$\operatorname{Pd}(7)(10) .0 .5 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}: \mathrm{C}_{63.5} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8.5} \mathrm{Pd}, M=1138.69$, yellow plate, crystal size $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$, triclinic, $P-1, a=16.191(15), b=24.30(2), c=30.6665(5) \AA, \alpha$ $=105.54(5), \beta=101.08(5), \gamma=90.607(11)^{\circ}, V=11381(24) \AA^{3}, Z=848$ (four independent molecules), $\rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.329 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; \mathrm{CuMo}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}$ radiation (confocal optic, $\lambda$ $=0.710731 .54178 \AA$ ), $\mu=3.109 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, T=173(2) \mathrm{K} .143757$ data ( 38597 unique, $R_{\text {int }}=0.0354,2.08<\theta<68.13^{\circ}$ ), were collected on a Rigaku MM007/Saturn9270 CCD diffractometer and were corrected for absorption. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ values of all data (G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL, Bruker AXS Madison WI, USA, 2001, version 6.1) to give $w R=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}=0.1198$, conventional $R=0.0452$ for $F$ values of reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)$ [38597 observed reflections], $S=1.068$ for 2803 parameters. Residual electron density extremes were 1.879 and $-1.247 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.
Pd11: $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}, M=1109.65$, yellow prism, crystal size $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$, monoclinic, $P 2$ (1) (\# 4), $a=11.3837(6), b=36.2251(19), c=13.6178(8) \AA, \beta=$ $97.838(3)^{\circ}, V=5563.2(5) \AA^{3}, Z=4, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.325 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$; $\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}$ radiation (confocal optic, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ), $\mu=0.392 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, T=93(2) \mathrm{K} .37193$ data ( 16752 unique, $R_{\text {int }}=$ $0.0600,2.57<\theta<25.34^{\circ}$ ), were collected on a Rigaku MM007/Saturn70 CCD diffractometer and were corrected for absorption. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ values of all data (G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL, Bruker AXS Madison WI, USA, 2001, version 6.1) to give $w R=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}=0.1571$, conventional $R=0.0624$ for $F$ values of reflections with $F_{0}^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)$ [16035 observed reflections], $S=1.066$ for 1353 parameters. Residual electron density extremes were 0.811 and $-1.526 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.

## X-ray crystal structure thermal ellipsoids
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## Chapter Four Synopsis

In chapters two and three the synthesis of a [2]rotaxane and a [2]catenate are described. Following the development of these new routes for the assembly of mechanically interlocked architectures, we examined the properties of the demetallated species $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ more closely. The initial proposition that the two kinetically held fragments (e.g. the macrocycle and the thread in $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) were H bonding through a bifurcated pyridine-amide-pyridine motif was based on ${ }^{1} H N M R$ observations. This was confirmed in the solid state by X-ray crystallography. A search of the literature suggested such a motif was in fact quite unusual and was thus investigated more closely.


Scheme 1. Binding modes observed for [2]rotaxane $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$.
The raised energy of the interlocked components - brought about by the enforced high local concentration of convergent functional groups, the limitation in the number of conformations and co-conformations each component can adopt, and the poor solvation of their inner surfaces - facilitates (kinetically and thermodynamically) the formation of internal and external binding motifs that are either not observed or are much weaker when the same groups are not confined in this way. The effect is illustrated through a remarkable series of binding modes including orthogonal bifurcated bis-pyridine hydrogen bonding, cooperative binding to a sulfonic acid 'guest' through de-protonation by one component and anion-amide hydrogen bonding by the other, enforced square pyramidal coordination of Cu(II)
and Ni(II), and second sphere coordination in a square planar Pd(II)Cl complex exhibited in solution and the solid state by a [2]rotaxane and an analogous [2]catenane, but not seen with either threadable (but non-mechanically interlocked) versions of the components or flexible covalently bonded systems.

## Chapter 4: Rare and diverse binding modes introduced through mechanical bonding

Published as "Rare and diverse binding modes introduced through mechanical bonding" D. A. Leigh, P. J. Lusby, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. B. Walker Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4557-4564.
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### 4.1 Introduction

Interest in catenanes and rotaxanes has largely focused on the stimuli-induced change in position of their components for possible exploitation in molecular-level devices, ${ }^{[1]}$ but other properties and features of these architectures are becoming apparent. ${ }^{[2]}$ Here we report on a previously unrecognized consequence of kinetically stabilizing an otherwise unfavorable association of molecular fragments through mechanically bonding (Figure 4.1). The raised energy of the interlocked components - brought about by the enforced high local concentration of convergent functional groups, the limitation in the number of conformations and co-conformations each component can adopt, and the poor solvation of their inner surfaces - facilitates (kinetically and thermodynamically) the formation of internal and external binding motifs that are either not observed or are much weaker when the same groups are not confined in this way. The effect is illustrated through a remarkable series of binding modes including orthogonal bifurcated bis-pyridine hydrogen bonding, cooperative binding to a sulfonic acid 'guest' through de-protonation by one component and anion-amide hydrogen bonding by the other, enforced square pyramidal coordination of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$, and second sphere coordination in a square planar $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{Cl}$ complex exhibited in solution and the solid state by a [2]rotaxane and an analogous [2]catenane, but not seen with either threadable (but non-mechanically interlocked) versions of the components or flexible covalently bonded systems (Figure 4.2).


Figure 4.1. Effects of kinetically stabilizing an otherwise unfavorable association of molecular fragments through mechanical bonding. (a) The kinetic stabilization (I) of a mechanically interlocked architecture that features only weakly attractive interactions between the macrocycle and thread
permits non-covalent interactions to be observed (as in $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) that are too weak to stabilize the corresponding host-guest complex $\left(7 \mathrm{H}_{2} .12\right)$. (b) For a rotaxane that is not thermodynamically stable with respect to its free components, the activation energy for tertiary component complex formation is, in principle, lower than for the analogous non-interlocked system, i.e. barrier II is less than III. This arises because the entropic cost of bringing the two components of the rotaxane together has already been paid, some degrees of freedom of the components are already restricted in the mechanically interlocked architecture, and the desolvation of the internal rotaxane surfaces is less energetically demanding than those of the free components. (c) The higher energy of such a rotaxane means that a host-guest complex involving the rotaxane can be favorable, e.g. $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} . \mathrm{TfOH}$, even when the equivalent trimolecular complex $\left(\mathbf{7} \mathrm{H}_{2} . \mathbf{1 2}\right.$. $\left.\mathbf{T f O H}\right)$ is not (IV). It also ensures that metal complexes derived from such rotaxanes, such as Cu 6 and Ni 6 , are inherently thermodynamically more stable than the analogous complexes derived from non-interlocked fragments and, in principle, kinetically easier to form.


b)

$11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$
c)

d)

$9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$

Figure 4.2. Different ways of linking a 2,6-dialkyl pyridine unit with a 2,6-dicarboxyamide pyridine unit which lead to significantly different non-covalent binding properties: (a) on different components within a [2]rotaxane architecture, $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$; (b) on different components within a [2]catenane architecture, $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$; (c) on separate threadable, but not mechanically interlocked, molecular components $\mathbf{7 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$; (d) on a flexible but wholly covalently bonded system, $4 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. Lettering shows assignments for the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra shown in Figure 4.4.

### 4.2 Results and Discussion

Most catenanes and rotaxanes are synthesized by utilizing templates to thermodynamically favor interlocking, ${ }^{[1 a]}$ but subsequent removal of the template can leave a molecule that is thermodynamically unstable with respect to the uninterlocked components and is only held together by the mechanical bond. We recently described ${ }^{[3]}$ the assembly of a mechanically interlocked architecture about a Pd (II) template to give a square planar [2]rotaxane-metal coordination complex, Pd6. ${ }^{[4]}$ We were intrigued to find that upon protic de-metallation of the complex to give $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ indicated significant (the macrocycle amide protons appear at 1.7 ppm lower field than the equivalent protons in the free macrocycle) intercomponent hydrogen bonding between the amide groups of the macrocycle and the pyridine nitrogen of the rotaxane thread. Such a binding motif requiring essentially orthogonal pyridine rings with the nitrogen atoms bridged by bifurcated hydrogen bonds - is rare (a search of the Cambridge Crystallographic Database reveals only one other example ${ }^{[5]}$ ) but it is somewhat reminiscent of the H bonding $90^{\circ}$ to the plane of the lone pairs of amide groups in threads seen in many other hydrogen bonded rotaxanes. ${ }^{[6]}$ Slow cooling of a hot, saturated solution of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in acetonitrile:chloroform ( $10: 1$ ) yielded single crystals which allowed the interaction also to be confirmed in the solid state by X-ray crystallography (Figure 4.3 a and 4.3 b) but the crystals were of insufficient quality to confidently characterize it in detail. ${ }^{[7]}$ However, when we prepared a [2]catenane incorporating the same functional groups, $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, a similar non-covalent interaction was found to be present in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution and, in this case, single crystals of excellent quality for diffraction studies were obtained by slow cooling of a hot, saturated solution of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in acetonitrile. The solid state structure of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Figure 4.3 c ) closely mirrors the hydrogen bonding motif seen in the crystal structure of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, with unsymmetrical intercomponent H-bond distances ( 2.28 and $3.10 \AA$ in $11 \mathrm{H}_{2} ; 2.3$ and $2.8 \AA$ in $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and the angle between the planes of the pyridine rings nearly orthogonal $\left(90.7^{\circ}\right.$ in $\mathbf{1 1 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }} ; 82^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{6 H}$ ) but tilted ( $30.0^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{1 1 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }}$ (Fig. 4.3 c inset); $34^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{6 H}$ ) away from linearity to avoid too close an interaction between the two pyridine nitrogen lone pairs.


Figure 4.3. X-ray crystal structures ${ }^{[7]}$ of [2]rotaxane $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and [2]catenane $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : (a) $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (twisted view); (b) $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (side view, showing the macrocycle circumscribing the pyridine residue of the thread. Disorder in the alkyl chain region and solvate molecules, not the central H -bonding region, results in a high overall $R$ factor of $33 \%$ ); (c) $\mathbf{1 1 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }}$ ( $R$ factor $<5 \%$, intercomponent H-bond motif mirrors that shown in (a) and (b)). The lone pairs of the pyridine atoms do not point directly at each other, but are tilted $30.0^{\circ}$ apart (inset). Carbon atoms of the macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the thread in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, hydrogen white. For clarity only nitrogen-bound hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected bond lengths for $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}[\AA]$ : N2H-N5 2.4; N11H-N5 2.6; N2H-N47 2.8; N11H-N47 2.3. Selected bond angles for $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2H-N5 151; N11H-N5 153; N2H-N47 96; N11H-N47 108. Selected bond lengths for $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}[\AA]$ : N2H-N5 2.18; N11H-N5 2.33 ; N2H-N41 2.28; $\mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 41$ 3.10. Selected bond angles for $\mathbf{1 1 H}_{2}$ [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2H-N5 107.1; N11H-N5 102.2; N2H-N41 146.5; N11H-N41 129.0.

In order to investigate this atypical binding motif further, we titrated an unstoppered analogue of the thread, $\mathbf{1 2}$, with the free macrocycle, $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, to determine the energetics
of the interaction in the absence of the kinetic barrier to dissociation provided by the mechanical bond. However, there was virtually no shift in the amide protons of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the presence of up to 20 equiv of $\mathbf{1 2}(4-80 \mathrm{mM})$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, indicating that the bimolecular association constant must be less than $5 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$, the approximate limit of this detection method with the large shifts typically observed through amide H -bonding. Of further interest is that the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the wholly covalently linked analogue $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ also gives no indication of H -bonding interactions between the pyridine-2,6-carboxyamide protons and the second pyridine residue in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, even though this very large macrocycle is certainly flexible enough to adopt such a geometry without steric hindrance.

Rare (e.g. amide $N H$ to ester acyl oxygen atom) ${ }^{[8,9]}$ and thus far unique (e.g. amide NH to ester alkyl oxygen atom $)^{[9]}$ hydrogen bond motifs have previously been observed within rotaxane architectures. The propensity for such unusual interactions in mechanically bonded structures probably arises for a number of reasons: (i) the enforced high local concentration of functional groups for which there is a low steric cost to relative movement within the vector of the thread, (ii) the relatively limited number of conformations and co-conformations each component can adopt which does not put functional groups into convergent orientations, (iii) the imposed orthogonalization of components which can preclude normally preferred noncovalent bonding geometries, (iv) freezing out of a single co-conformation for an inter-component binding event only costs a reduction of two degrees of freedom (translation and rotation of the macrocycle about the thread), compared, for example, with the multiple degrees of rotational freedom of the alkyl chains that would be lost by internal hydrogen bonding in $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, (v) the inefficient solvation of internal or congested surfaces of an interpenetrated architecture means that de-solvation of binding sites is likely to occur at a lower energetic cost than for conventional structures. All of these factors effectively do the same thing: raise the energy of the rotaxane with respect to the free components. However, the kinetic stabilization of the architecture provided by the stoppers prevents dethreading (i.e. the rotaxane is not in equilibrium with the free components). This raising of the internal energy is actually a form of 'host preorganisation', serving to enhance binding properties as outlined by Cram over 30 years ago. ${ }^{[10]}$ The result is that interactions that are not
strong enough to stabilize a host-guest complex can become thermodynamically favorable if the components are held together by a mechanical bond (Figure 4.1).


Figure 4.4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ except (e)) of: (a) macrocycle $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, (b) $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}+$ unstoppered thread $\mathbf{1 2}+$ HOTf; (c) thread + HOTf, (d) [2]rotaxane complex $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOTf showing fast exchange (shuttling) of the macrocycle between equivalent sites on both halves of the thread, (e) [2]rotaxane complex $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOTf at 238 K showing slow exchange (reversible non-covalent bonding of the triflate anion provides a transient steric barrier to shuttling), (f) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ showing slow exchange (coordination of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ provides a fixed steric barrier to shuttling up to at least 325 K).

We reasoned that it should be possible to disrupt the intercomponent H -bonding interaction in $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ by protonating the more basic 2,6-dioxymethylenepyridine nitrogen atom (Scheme 4.1). However, although treatment of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with one equivalent of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{TfOH})$ resulted in protonation of the desired site (Figure 4.4 d), the downfield shift of the $\mathrm{H}_{C}$ amide protons indicated that strong hydrogen
bonding was still present! The shielding and broadening of particular thread signals at 298 K (Figure 4.4 d ) suggested that instead of residing over the pyridine residue as in $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, the macrocycle is displaced to the side and shuttles between the two halves of the thread relatively slowly on the NMR timescale. This was confirmed by the 238 K ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 4.4 e ) in which many of the thread resonances are split into two inequivalent sets $\left(\mathrm{H}_{b} / \mathrm{H}_{b^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}_{c} / \mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}_{d} / \mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}_{e} / \mathrm{H}_{e^{\prime}}\right)$ corresponding to exposed-to-solvent and encapsulated (and thus magnetically shielded by the macrocycle) protons, respectively. The rationale for this behaviour was provided by the X-ray structure of single crystals grown from acetonitrile (Figure 4.5a). The [2]rotaxane acts as a host for an entire trifluorosulfonic acid molecule; one component (the thread) de-protonating the acid and both components hydrogen bonding to the resulting anion to generate a neutral complex, $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HOTf}$. The X-ray structure is consistent with the specific shielding effects seen in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra - including the upfield shift of the pyridinium proton cf. Fig. 4.4 c as a consequence of other H bond donors binding to the triflate anion - and with the non-covalent binding of the sulfonic acid acting as a transient steric barrier to shuttling of the macrocycle between the two halves of the thread. ${ }^{[12]}$ In contrast (Scheme 4.2), a 1:1 mixture of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $12 \mathrm{H}^{+}$TfO${ }^{-}$in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ shows no upfield shift of resonances $\mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{e}$ (Figure 4.4 b) cf. the protonated thread (Figure 4.4c), nor downfield shifts of the $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ amide protons. Similarly, the absence of shifts in the amide resonances of the protonated covalently linked structure $9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{+}$TfO- suggests that it does not internally associate through H -bonding.


Scheme 4.1. Binding modes observed for [2]rotaxane $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. The X-ray crystal structures are shown in Figures 3 and 5. A similar range of complexes is exhibited by [2]catenane $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{H}_{2}$ (for X-ray crystal structures of Pd 11 and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ see ref. [12]). All of the complexation reactions are fully reversible.


Scheme 4.2. Attempted complexation experiments involving $\mathbf{7} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathbf{1 2}$. Similar results were obtained with macrocycle $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (for an X-ray crystal structure of Pd 9 see ref. [12]).

The mechanically interlocked assemblies also differ from their non-interlocked counterparts in their ability to adopt a range of overall neutral binding modes with metals. Treatment of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in refluxing $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ resulted in double de-protonation of the ligand and the generation of the corresponding 1:1 neutral metal-interlocked ligand complex. Recrystallization of the copper [2]rotaxane complex, Cu6, from acetonitrile gave single crystals suitability for investigation by X-ray crystallography (Figure 4.6 a). The solid state structure shows a square based pyramidal coordination motif, involving one of the thread oxygen
atoms in addition to the four nitrogens of the rotaxane which form the near-planar ( N 47 is $\sim 10^{\circ}$ above the plane defined by $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Cu}$ ) base of the pyramid. Treatment of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathbf{1 2}$ or $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ under the same conditions gave no indication of any complex formation and there was no de-protonation of the macrocycle amide groups.


Figure 4.5. X-ray crystal structures ${ }^{[7]}$ of neutral [2]rotaxane-trifluoromethanesulfonic acid complex, $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOTf. Note the macrocycle is displaced to one side of the central region of the thread compared to free $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Figure 3b). Carbon atoms of the macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the thread in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, chlorine green, nitrogen dark blue, sulfur orange, fluorine green, hydrogen white. For clarity only amide hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected H -bond lengths for $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$.HOTf $[\AA]$ : N2H-O131 2.14; N11H-O131 2.17; N44H-O132 1.72. Selected bond angles for $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$.HOTf [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]:N2H-O131 159.0; N11H-O131 148.1; N44H-O132 159.7.

The formation of complexes of the mechanical interlocked ligands with nickel required more vigorous conditions $\left(\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{DMF})_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ but nonetheless resulted in Ni 6 and Ni 11 , both orange crystalline compounds. In this case, a similarly colored complex could also be generated with $\mathbf{7 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }} / \mathbf{1 2}$, but unlike Ni6 or Ni11 it rapidly decomposes and we were unable to isolate or characterize it. The X-ray structure of single crystals of Ni6 grown from slow cooling of a saturated acetonitrile solution is shown in Figure 4.6b and is closely related to the structure obtained for Cu6. Treatment of any of the rotaxane or catenane metal complexes with KCN in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ regenerates the original interlocked molecule, $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. The difference in both the ease of formation and the stability of the metal complexes with the catenane and rotaxane compared to the non-interlocked ligands is again consistent with the intrinsic effects of kinetically stabilizing a mechanically interlocked architecture (Figure 4.1 c ).
a)




Figure 4.6. X-ray crystal structures ${ }^{[7]}$ of various complexes of 6: (a) square pyramidal coordination complex Cu6. (b) square pyramidal coordination complex Ni6. (c) square planar coordination complex Pd6. Carbon atoms of the macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the thread in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, hydrogen white, copper brown, nickel pink, palladium grey. Selected bond lengths for $\mathrm{Cu}[\AA \AA$ ]: $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2.00 ; \mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{Cu} 1.98 ; \mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Cu} 1.92 ; \mathrm{N} 47-\mathrm{Cu}$ 2.02; O44-Cu 2.41. Selected bond angles for Cu6[ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 580.3$; $\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 1180.7$; N11-Cu-N47 101.3; $\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 44$ 116.6; $\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 47$ 169.7. Selected bond lengths for $\mathrm{Ni6}[\AA]$ : $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Ni} 1.94 ; \mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{Ni}$ 1.93; N5-Ni 1.81; N47-Ni 1.93; O44-Cu 2.52. Selected bond angles for Ni6: N2-Ni-N5 82.6; N5-NiN11 82.4; N11-Ni-N47 98.5; N5-Ni-O44 113.8; N5-Ni-N47 172.1. Selected bond lengths for Pd6: [ $\AA$ ]: Pd-N15 1.95, Pd-N25 1.86, Pd-N32 2.04, Pd-N59 2.02; other selected distance $[\AA]: \mathrm{N} 15-\mathrm{N} 25$ 3.81: Selected bond angles for $\mathrm{Pd} 6\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ N59-Pd-N32 160.0.

Each of the four ligand sets reacts with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathbf{1 1 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 }}\right.$ and $\mathbf{9} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ directly in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathbf{1 2}$ requires preliminary reaction of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ with $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in MeCN
followed by reaction of the resulting complex with 12 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the corresponding square planar coordination complexes (Schemes 1 and 2, Figure 4.6 c). However, the rotaxane and catenane also formed a different type of neutral square planar coordination complex when treated with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, an initial palladium salt bearing significantly more strongly coordinating ligands. Slow cooling of saturated solutions of either complex in acetonitrile rendered crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography and the structure of the rotaxane complex, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$, is shown in Figure 4.7 (the solid state structure of the [2]catenane complex is similar but features intermolecular rather than intramolecular $\mathrm{NH}^{\cdots} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd} \mathrm{H}$-bonding). From the crystal structures it can be seen that although the Pd coordinates to the thread as in Pd 6 , the original metal chloride ligands remain intact and the macrocycle amides are not de-protonated. In solution the coordination of the $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ unit to the pyridine ring of the thread acts as a steric barrier to shuttling (Figure 4.4 f ) similar to that seen with $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. TfOH but, unlike the complex held together by H -bonds, the coordination to the metal is not kinetically labile and persists as a barrier to shuttling up to at least 325 K (close to the boiling point of the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent). ${ }^{[11]}$

The solid state structure of $\operatorname{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ features hydrogen bonding between the amide groups of the macrocycle and the chloride ligands of the metal (Figure 4.7). However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 4.4f) shows this interaction is not present to any significant extent in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (the chemical shift of $\mathrm{H}_{C}$ is virtually unchanged compared to $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). Wisner's group recently ${ }^{[13]}$ used a similar interaction to direct an elegant synthesis of a pseudorotaxane. In the course of that work a macrocycle binding constant largely resulting from two sets of isophthalamide groups H -bonding to the chloride ligands of a Pd (II) complex was determined to be $5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}{ }^{[13]}$ In contrast, Crabtree found ${ }^{[14]}$ the association constant of a single isophthalamide group with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to be $6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Accordingly, a $\mathrm{CONH}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}$ interaction must be somewhat less than half the strength of a $\mathrm{CONH}^{\cdots} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{H}$-bond. This is consistent with the observation that it is too weak to significantly bind the macrocycle of $\operatorname{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}^{2} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, despite the high effective molarity introduced by the mechanical bond, and in marked contrast to the bifurcated bis-pyridine H -bonding that does do so so effectively in $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. This shows that the intercomponent binding mode in $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is not particularly weak and its scarcity
as an observed interaction may be because the geometry of the interlocked architecture precludes other normally preferred non-covalent bonding geometries.


Figure 4.7. X-ray crystal structure ${ }^{[7]}$ of square planar coordination complex $\mathrm{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$. Carbon atoms of the macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the thread in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, chlorine green, nitrogen dark blue, hydrogen white, palladium grey. For clarity only amide hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected bond lengths for $\operatorname{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}[\AA]$ : $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 471.98, \mathrm{Pd}-$ Cl1 2.27, Cl1-H2 2.67, Cl1-H11 2.64, N5-H2 2.36, N5-H11 2.15; Selected bond angles for $\mathrm{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]: \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 1139.8$, N11-H11-N5 114.1, N11-H11-Cl1 125.0.

In conclusion, the kinetically enforced association of molecular fragments within an interlocked architecture can lead to a diverse series of sometimes rare and unusual binding motifs both in solution and the solid state. These interactions can produce interesting effects in their own right; the co-operative hydrogen bonding of an organic guest by both components of a [2]rotaxane can present an effective barrier to shuttling at low temperatures, whereas a stronger metal-ligand coordination mode can be used to restrict the same motion even at higher temperatures. The fact that many of the observed modes of binding are weaker or not seen at all when the components are not mechanically interlocked makes this previously unappreciated consequence of the mechanical bond particularly noteworthy. ${ }^{[15]}$

### 4.3 Experimental Section

### 4.3.1 Solution Host-Guest Binding Eexperiments

12 (2,6-bisphenoxymethylpyridine) was prepared according to a literature procedure and displayed identical spectroscopic data to those reported. ${ }^{[16]}$

$\mathbf{1 2 H} \mathrm{H}^{+}$OTf: To a solution of $12\left(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{TfOH}(6.9 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.01 M solution) and the solution stirred for 20 min . The resulting precipitate was filtered off and washed with further portions of dichloromethane $(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ to furnish the title compound as a colourless solid $(0.294 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=97 \%)$. m.p. $104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=$ $5.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e}+\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.38\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{a}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=$ $65.5,114.7,122.5,123.3,130.0(x 2), 154.0,156.9,184.1 ;$ ESI-MS $m / z=292[M H]^{+}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments were performed in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with an initial $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ concentration of $4.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ and appropriate aliquots of a $4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathbf{1 2}$ or $\mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$solution added (up to 20 equivalents) using a Gilson microlitre pipette. In addition a $1: 1: 1$ solution $\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathbf{1 2}$ and TfOH was prepared, but no evidence of ternary complex formation was observed (the chemical shifts of all the macrocycle protons remained virtually unchanged). The binding constants were calculated using pro-Fit 5.5.3. ${ }^{[17]}$

| Guest Vol. <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | Guest <br> Equiv. | Guest <br> Conc. (M) | Chemical Shift |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\delta( \pm 0.05)$ | $\Delta \delta( \pm 0.05)$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.822 | 0 |
| 0.005 | 0.05 | 0.0002 | 7.825 | 0.003 |
| 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.0004 | 7.829 | 0.007 |
| 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.0008 | 7.835 | 0.013 |
| 0.04 | 0.4 | 0.0016 | 7.831 | 0.009 |
| 0.06 | 0.6 | 0.0024 | 7.841 | 0.019 |
| 0.08 | 0.8 | 0.0032 | 7.829 | 0.007 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 0.004 | 7.834 | 0.012 |
| 0.15 | 1.5 | 0.006 | 7.843 | 0.021 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 0.008 | 7.904 | 0.082 |
| 1 | 10 | 0.04 | 7.901 | 0.079 |
| 2 | 20 | 0.08 | 7.907 | 0.085 |

Table $4.1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experimental data. $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ host, 12 guest.
$\mathbf{K}=7.8 \pm 10 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$

| Guest Vol. <br> $(\mathbf{m L})$ | Guest <br> Equiv | Guest <br> Conc. (M) | Chemical Shift |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\delta$ | $\Delta \delta$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.791 | 0 |
| 0.005 | 0.05 | 0.0002 | 7.789 | -0.002 |
| 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.0004 | 7.79 | -0.001 |
| 0.02 | 0.2 | 0.0008 | 7.793 | 0.002 |
| 0.04 | 0.4 | 0.0016 | 7.795 | 0.004 |
| 0.06 | 0.6 | 0.0024 | 7.796 | 0.005 |
| 0.08 | 0.8 | 0.0032 | 7.794 | 0.003 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 0.004 | 7.798 | 0.007 |
| 0.15 | 1.5 | 0.006 | 7.858 | 0.067 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 0.008 | 7.894 | 0.103 |
| 1 | 10 | 0.04 | 7.915 | 0.124 |
| 2 | 20 | 0.08 | 7.934 | 0.143 |

Table $4.2{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experimental data. $7 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ host, 12. TfOH guest.
$K=200 \pm 200 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$

### 4.3.2. Preparation of Sulfonic Acid Complexes


$6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOT : To a solution of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{TfOH}\left(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ of a 0.12 M solution $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. Slow evaporation of the solvent afforded the complex $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOTf as large colourless crystals ( $0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ), m.p. 176 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 238 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 1.03-1.39 (m, 12H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyll}}\right), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}^{*}}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G^{+}}\right), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D^{+}}\right), 5.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 5.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}\right), 6.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e^{\prime}}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.89-7.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e}+\mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{f}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 7.51\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.11-8.28$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{B}+\mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.54\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 9.12\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 14.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrH ${ }^{+}$).

$9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOTf: To a solution of $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TfOH ( 1 mL of a $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution). The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to furnish the title compound as white/pink solid $(0.011 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=$ $95 \%)$. m.p. $=225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $1.06-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.62-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right)$, $4.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{F}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{e}+\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 7.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right)$; ESI-MS $m / z=1006[M \mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

$11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$. HOTf: To a solution of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TfOH ( 1 mL of a $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution). Following analysis, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to furnish the title compound as a colourless solid $(0.011 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=95 \%)$. m.p. $=197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ : 0.51-0.73 ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.14-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.71-4.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}+\mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 6.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 9.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 12.31(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Pyr$\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; ESI-MS $m / z=1006[\mathrm{MH}]^{+}$.

### 4.3.3 Preparation of TM Complexes

Cu6: $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad\left(0.013 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a $1: 1$ methanol/dichloromethane solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and heated at reflux for 12 h . Following solvent removal under reduced pressure, the crude blue/purple residue was recrystallised from acetonitrile to yield purple needles of $\mathrm{Cu} 6(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$. m.p. $228^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $1690[M]^{+} ;$HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1689.92392$, (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{111}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{129} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{63} \mathrm{Cu}, 1689.92437$ ).
$\mathrm{Cu} 11: \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to a $1: 1$ methanol/dichloromethane solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and heated at reflux for 12 h . Following solvent removal under reduced pressure, the crude blue residue was redissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and filtered to remove excess $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure to furnish the title compound as a dark blue powder $(0.019 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=71 \%) . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .197$
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1066[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z$ 1066.48523, (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{63} \mathrm{Cu}, 1066.48829$ ).

Ni6: To a solution of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in dry DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{DMF})_{6} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and sodium hydride $\left(0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \times 10^{-2}\right.$ mmol ) and the mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue was recrystallised from acetonitrile to furnish orange needles of $\mathrm{Ni6}(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%)$. m.p. $185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1685[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3NOBA matrix): $m / z=1684.93295$, (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{111}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{129} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{58} \mathrm{Ni}, 1684.92987$ ).

Ni11 To a solution of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{DMF})_{6} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}(0.018 \mathrm{~g} 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium hydride $(0.003 \mathrm{~g} 0.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue was dispersed in diethyl ether and filtered to yield to furnish the title compound as an orange/red powder $(0.019 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=73 \%)$. m.p. $201{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec})$; LRFAB-MS (3NOBA matrix): $m / z=1061[M]^{+} ;$HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1061.49385$, (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}^{58} \mathrm{Ni}, 1061.49379$ ).

$\operatorname{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ : To a solution of $6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $1: 1$ acetonitrile/dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and the mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to allow complete dissolution of the metal salt. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue recrystallised from acetonitrile to yield orange plates of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(6 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}(0.019 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%$ ). m.p. $204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.05-1.40(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{h}\right), 1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G^{+}}+\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.23\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D^{+}}\right), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 5.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{F^{\prime}}\right), 6.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}\right)$, $6.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{A r}+\mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{A r}\right), 7.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}+\mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.83\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.11(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 8.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right)$.

$\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ : To a solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} .\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\left(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ dissolved in dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture stirred for 1 h . The crude residue was then concentrated in vacuo and recrystallized from acetonitrile to give the title product as orange plates ( 0.039 g , yield $=85 \%$ ). m.p. $162-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1$ $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=0.72-0.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.01-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right)$, 1.37-1.49 (m, 4H, Halkl $), 1.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 3.15\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 3.57$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right) 7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.25\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{C}\right), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 7.8 \mathrm{H}_{B}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta=0.5,24.3,24.5$, $27.1,27.2,27.3,27.5,27.8,28.2,41.2,65.7,65.8,70.3,72.1,113.3,115.6,120.6$, $123.9,128.2,128.4,128.7,129.3$ (x2), 137.7, 137.9, 148.2, 156.7, 157.3, 159.8, 162.0.

$\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})(12)$ : To a solution of $\operatorname{Pd}(1)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) was added $12(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture stirred for 20 min at r.t. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the resultant residue recrystallised from acetone to furnish the title compound as a pale green solid $(0.122 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=87 \%)$. m.p. $161{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.21-1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.52-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 5.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.38$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right)$, $7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{B}+\mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.5,28.5$ (x2), 29.4, 49.9, $67.1,69.8,114.6,115.6,121.8,122.3,125.1,128.1,129.7,132.4,139.2,140.9$, 152.7, 157.3, 157.6, 158.9, 171.5; ESI-MS: $m / z=911[M H]^{+}$.
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## Chapter Five Synopsis

In chapter four the diverse range of binding modes accessible through mechanical bonding were discussed. One particular interaction, the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ sphere coordination of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, resulted in a large amplitude topographical rearrangement in catenane $\mathrm{1H}_{2}$, the full details of which are described in chapter five.


Scheme 1. Interconversion of $\mathrm{11H}_{2}$ coordination modes.
Reaction of the [2]catenane $\mathrm{1H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ binds both macrocycles to the metal, locking them in position; treatment with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, however, results in coordination of only one ring, producing a half-turn in the relative orientation of the [2]catenane components in both solution and the solid state (Scheme 1).

## Chapter 5: Half-Rotation in a [2]catenane via Interconvertible Pd(II) Coordination modes

Published as "Half-rotation in a [2]catenane via interconvertible Pd(II) coordination modes" D. A. Leigh, P. J. Lusby, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. B. Walker Chem. Commun. (Published online 13/9/05)

Prof. A. M. Z. Slawin is gratefully acknowledged for solving the crystal structure of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$.

### 5.1 Introduction

The development of novel ways to bring about changes in the relative positions of mechanically interlocked sub-molecular components is an important area of investigation in the emerging field of synthetic molecular machines. ${ }^{1}$ In terms of rotational processes, a formal half-turn of a ring in a [2] catenane ${ }^{2}$ not only corresponds to a simple mechanical switch, ${ }^{3}$ but is also a step towards the more demanding requirements of a synthetic rotary molecular motor. ${ }^{4}$ Here we report on a simple [2]catenane system in which the different binding modes of the interlocked rings to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ cause a major change in position and orientation of the macrocycles in the resulting complexes.

### 5.2 Results and Discussion

The square planar coordination preference of Pd (II) can be used to direct the macrocyclization of suitable tridentate ligands around 2,6-dimethyleneoxypyridinebased threads to form rotaxanes. ${ }^{5,6}$ We reasoned that a similar strategy could be used to make a palladium [2]catenate by incorporating the monodentate unit into a macrocycle. Pleasingly, Pd11 was isolated in $58 \%$ yield from 10 via the three step (ligand coordination, ring-closing olefin metathesis, hydrogenation) reaction sequence shown in Scheme 5.1. ${ }^{7}$


Scheme 5.1 Synthesis and interconversion of $\mathrm{Pd11}, 11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$.

De-metallation of $\mathrm{Pd} 11\left(\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \rightarrow 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%\right)$ afforded the catenand $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in which pyridine-amide-pyridine H -bonding ${ }^{6}$ holds the macrocycles in a similar position to that seen for Pd 11 in both solution and the solid state. The well defined orientation of the two fragments is clearly apparent from comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the two catenanes (Figs. 5.1 b and c ) with those of the non-interlocked component macrocycles (Fig 5.1 a and e). In the room temperature spectra of both $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Fig 5.1b) and Pd11 (Fig 5.1 c ) the upfield shift of the $\mathrm{H}_{D}, \mathrm{H}_{E}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{F}$ resonances indicate a $\pi$-stacking arrangement between the benzylic amide rings of the 'blue' macrocycle and the pyridine group of the 'orange' macrocycle. In contrast, the alkyl chain protons are not shielded by interactions with aromatic rings in either catenane. The solution geometries suggested by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR correspond well to the solid state structures of $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Fig 5.2 a) and $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 1}$ (Fig 5.2 b) determined by X-ray crystallography. Reaction of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{MeCN}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%\right)$ re-forms the co-conformationally locked catenate, Pd 11 .


Figure 5.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ ) (a) non-interlocked bisamide macrocycle (b) $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (c) $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 1}$ (d) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (e) $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 0 C l} \mathbf{l}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (non-interlocked tetraether macrocycle bound to $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ ). The grey signals correspond to residual solvents. The dark blue circles in the cartoon rings indicate the position of the pyridine nitrogen atoms.

Reaction of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%\right)$ afforded a second catenane Pd (II) complex in which the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{C}\right)$ of the 'blue' macrocycle were clearly still present (Fig 5.1 d ). X-ray crystallography (Fig 5.2 c and d) of a single crystal obtained from slow cooling of a saturated acetonitrile solution confirmed this complex to be $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$, in which only one of the macrocyclic rings is coordinated to the palladium metal ion, presumably as a consequence of both the greater strength of the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond compared to $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{OAc}$ and the poor basicity of the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion.


Figure 5.2 X-ray crystal structures of: (a) [2]catenand $\mathbf{1 1 H}_{2}{ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ (b) $\operatorname{Pd11},{ }^{7}$ (c) $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}^{8}$ (single molecule view; note the change of position and orientation of the yellow macrocycle compared to Pd 11 and $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and (d) adjacent molecules of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ showing intermolecular Pd $\mathrm{Cl}{ }^{-} \mathrm{HN}$ hydrogen bonding. Carbon atoms of the bis-amide macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the tetra-ether macrocycle and coordinated acetonitrile molecule in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, hydrogen white, palladium grey, chlorine green. For clarity only amide hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected bond lengths for $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}[\AA]$ : $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 52.18 ; \mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 52.33 ; \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-$ N41 2.28; N11H-N41 3.10; N5-N41 3.67. Selected bond angles for $\mathbf{1 1 H}_{2}$ [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2H-N5 107.1 ; N11H-

N5 102.2; N2H-N41 146.5; N11H-N41 129.0. Selected bond lengths for Pd11 [ $\AA$ ]: Pd-N2 1.934, PdN5 2.041, Pd-N11 2.036, Pd-N41 2.079; Pd11 bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2-Pd-N11 160.2. Selected bond lengths for $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}[\AA]$ : N2H-N5 2.21; N11H-N5 2.30; N5-N41 12.93. Selected bond angles for $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]: \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 5109.0$; N11H-N5 104.7.

The effect of the different coordination mode on the relative positons and orientation of the two macrocycles in the catenane is dramatic. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 1 H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (Fig. 5.1 d$)$ the resonances corresponding to $\mathrm{H}_{G}, \mathrm{H}_{g}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}$ are shifted significantly upfield, indicating that each macrocycle is located preferentially over the aliphatic region of the other. ${ }^{9}$ The X-ray crystal structure (Fig 5.2 c and d) shows a similar geometry exists in the solid state. An additional feature of the X-ray crystal structure of $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ is the presence of intermolecular Pd $\mathrm{Cl}^{\cdots} \mathrm{HNCO}$ hydrogen bonds between adjacent molecules (Fig 5.2 d ). The negligible change in the chemical shift of the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{C}\right)$ between $\mathbf{1 1 H}_{2}(\mathrm{Fig} 5.1 \mathrm{a})$ and $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (Fig 5.1 d ) suggests this interaction is weak in solution, ${ }^{6}$ nevertheless it has been successfully utilised ${ }^{10}$ to direct the formation of pseudorotaxanes.

The three catenanes $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} 11$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ are all directly interconvertible (Scheme 5.1): The palladium complexes are de-metallated with KCN ; $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ is converted into Pd 11 by treatment with NaH , and the reverse reaction is promoted by HCl in MeCN . It is interesting to note the macrocycles adopt similar positions and orientations in $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ but in the former they are locked in place by a coordination bond whereas in the latter they are held in the thermodynamic minimum only by weak and dynamic H-bonds. The preferred co-conformation of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ is very different to the other two, presumably on steric grounds, and as such its formation from either of the others corresponds to a large amplitude rotational switch. It is rare to find such a rich variation in structure and dynamics possible through a simple manipulation of coordination modes.

### 5.3 Experimental Section

### 5.3.1 Compound Analysis

Ellipsoid plot of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ :

$\mathrm{Pd} 10 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ : To a solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} .\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\left(0.039 \mathrm{~g} 1.5 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in MeCN $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $10\left(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ dissolved in dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture stirred for 1 h . The crude residue was then concentrated in vacuo and recrystallized from acetonitrile to give the title product as a pale orange solid $\left(0.058 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, yield $=82 \%$ ). m.p. $158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.10-1.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl}}\right), 1.55-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.62$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right) 6.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}+\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta=0.62,24.5,27.5(\mathrm{x} 2)$, $28.1,66.5,70.5,72.1,113.5,115.9,120.8,128.2,129.4,137.7,157.9,159.2$.

### 5.3.2 Interconversion of [2]Catenane Species

$11 \mathrm{H}_{2}$-Pd11: To a stirred solution of $11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous acetonitrile $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, palladium(II) acetate $(0.011 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution refluxed for 18 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with acetonitrile ( 25 mL ) and dried under suction to yield $\operatorname{Pd} 11$ ( $0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ).
$\operatorname{Pd} 11-\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ : A solution of HCl in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was added dropwise to a solution of Pd11 ( $0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ until complete interconversion to $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ was indicated by 1 H NMR spectroscopy. The resultant orange solution was filtered through a plug of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and concentrated in vacuo to yield $\mathrm{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, \mathbf{7 8 \%})$.
$\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{Pd} 11$ :Sodium hydride $\left(0.0018 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)(60 \%$ dispersion in oil). was added to a solution of $\operatorname{Pd}\left(11 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}\left(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \times 10^{-2}\right.$ mmol) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture strirred for 20 minutes under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The crude solution was filtered, concentrated in vacuo and purified using column chromatography (99:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to yield $\operatorname{Pd} 11$ ( $0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ).
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## Chapter Six Synopsis

In chapter five a novel way to bring about a large amplitude rearrangement using interconvertible coordination modes was described. In chapter six a second method for inducing a reversible 180 turn in a [2]catenane is reported where both states are kinetically 'locked' through TM ion coordination.


Scheme 1. Interconversion of co-conformers. Reagents and conditions: i) 1. $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, $98 \%$; 2. $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{Et} t_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$, air $\mathrm{MeOH}, 81 \%$. (ii) 1. $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} 90 \% ; 2 . \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 83 \%$.
[2] catenane $\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ was synthesised in three steps from $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ using the same protocol applied to the synthesis of Pd11. Pd13H2 is a kinetically stable complex with the smaller 'blue' ring trapped in a specific co-confirmation via binding to the Pd(II) ion. Facile de-metallation using KCN 'frees' the ring, although it still shows an affinity for the 2,6-bis(oxymethylene)pyridine moiety via H-bonding. Removal of the four amide protons using sodium hydride followed by introduction of a Co(III) ion induces a 180 degree rotation in the molecule forming [Co13]NEt 4 . The blue ring is now locked on the other side of the molecule due through mutual binding to the Co(III) ion by both submolecular fragments. The 180 degree rotation can be reversed by first removing the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ ion with Zn /acetic acid and then addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$.

## Chapter 6: Kinetically fixed half-rotation in a [2]catenane via transition metal chelation

Submitted for publication as "Kinetically fixed half-rotation in a [2]catenane via transition metal chelation" D. A. Leigh, P. J. Lusby, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. B. Walker.
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### 6.1 Introduction

Insight into the physical basis of artificially exploiting molecular level motion has been expedited by concurrent advances in both the theoretical understanding of the mechanism of dynamic natural processes ${ }^{[1]}$ and the study of controlled molecular motion in prototypical synthetic assemblies. ${ }^{[2, ~ 3] ~ W i t h ~ r e s p e c t ~ t o ~ t h e ~ l a t t e r, ~}$ mechanically interlocked molecules have proved particularly valuable due to the restricted number of co-conformations enforced by their topological profile that limits any large amplitude molecular motions to within predefined constraints. When considering the criteria for controlled nanoscale rotational processes, an induced half turn in a [2]catenane is an essential feature. Several examples of switchable [2]catenanes have previously been reported ${ }^{[4]}$ and, in almost all cases, thermodynamic control of the intercomponent non-covalent interactions is executed via an external stimulus prompting the observed shuttling motion. However there are only a few examples - utilizing either transition metal (TM) coordination or bulky protecting groups - that are kinetically 'fixed' in a given co-conformation. Here we would like to report on a simple [2]catenane that can be kinetically locked at two different stations through binding to different TM ions, specifically Pd (II) and Co (III). The mechanism of formal rotation consists of a two stage process, the 'unlocking' of the system by removing the TM ion and addition of the alternative ion to induce the rotational motion and fix the molecule in its new topographical arrangement.

### 6.2 Results and Discussion

The last five years has seen the development of several new strategies employing TM ions as templates in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules ${ }^{[5]}$ that compliment the original bisphenanthroline $/ \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ motif so successfully developed by Sauvage. Our recent application of Pd (II) as a template in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules ${ }^{[6]}$ has yielded several unexpected findings, ${ }^{[7]}$ one of which was the fortuitous synthesis of a large macrocycle, $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, from readily prepared ligands, $\mathbf{1 H} H_{2}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ (Scheme 1 , i-iv). $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ contains both a 2,6bis(oxymethylene)pyridine moiety that is a structural requirement for the assembly of interlocked molecules around $\mathrm{Pd}($ II $)$ and a 2,6-pyridinedicarboxamide binding site
that in its deprotonated (carboxamido) form - apart from forming a stable tridentate complex with Pd(II) - has been previously shown to bind a selection of 'hard' TM ions, including $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}){ }^{[8]}$ It was thus reasoned that 'clipping' a second ring around $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ - by applying the same pyridinecarboxamido/ Pd (II) protocol - would fashion a [2]catenane containing two different TM binding sites.

xii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$
ix) KCN $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} \mid \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$



Scheme 6.1. Synthesis of [2]catenane $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and conditions required for conversion to $\mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and then $\left[\mathrm{ColO} 0 \mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right.$.

The [2]catenane $\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ was conveniently prepared in three steps (overall $60 \%$ yield) with the key transformation being the RCM of the macrocyclic precursor
(shown in blue) around $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (shown in orange) using the Grubbs' first generation catalyst (Scheme $6.1, \mathrm{v}$-vii). The shielding of specific signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the catenate $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{E}, \mathrm{H}_{e}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{f}\right)$ suggests that the molecule is kinetically locked by the metal ion in the anticipated co-conformation. More surprising is the large downfield shift of the signal corresponding to the amide protons of the $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ fragment $\left(\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}\right)$ most likely caused by enhanced H -bonding to the carboxamido oxygens of the small ring fragment. The co-conformation of $\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ was confirmed by X-ray crystallography after slow cooling of a hot saturated solution of the complex in acetonitrile rendered single crystals suitable for solid state analysis (Figure 6.2 a ).


Figure 6.1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of: (a) $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (b) ${\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}}^{2}$ (c) $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (d) $[\mathrm{Co13}] \mathrm{NEt}_{4}$. The lettering refers to the assignment given in scheme 6.1.

Conversion of the Pd (II) bound catenate into its analogous octahedral Co (III) complex was carried out sequentially. Initial abstraction of the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ ion with KCN furnished the free ligand $\mathbf{1 3 H}_{4}$, which was then treated first with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$ followed by sodium methoxide to rapidly generate [Co13]NEt (Scheme
6.1 viii-ix). Interestingly, the co-conformation of ${\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}}^{\text {is retained in the free }}$ ligand $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ through a distinctive intercomponent pyridine-NH-pyridine bifurcated H -bond motif as evidenced by the downfield shift of the amide signal $\mathrm{H}_{C}$ and the pronounced shielding of signals $\mathrm{H}_{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{d}$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 6.1 c). Xray analysis of single crystals of $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ confirms that this favourable arrangement is maintained in the solid state (Figure 6.2 b ).


Figure 6.2. X-ray crystal structures of [2]catenane ${\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}}$ and [2]catenane $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ : ${ }^{[9]}$ (a) ${\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}}^{2}$; (b) $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$. Carbon atoms of the small macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the large macrocycle in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, hydrogen white. For clarity only nitrogen-bound \left. hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected bond lengths for ${\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}}^{[ } \AA\right]$ : $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 2$ 2.04; Pd-N5 1.94; Pd-N11 2.04; Pd-N41 2.07; N77-H74 2.38; N77-H83 2.19; other selected distance [ $\AA$ ]: N5-N41 4.00. Selected bond angles for $\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ : $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 22160.5 ; \mathrm{N} 74 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 77-\mathrm{N} 83 \mathrm{H} 73.5$. Selected bond lengths for $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ [ $\AA$ ]: N2H-N5 2.43; N11H-N5 2.20; N2H-N41 2.25 ; N11H-N41 2.39. Selected bond angles for $13 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N2H-N5 92.9; N11H-N5110.0; N2H-N41 167.3; N11H-N41 139.8.

Critically, introduction of a Co (III) ion into the interlocked ligand system introduces several pronounced changes to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of [Co13]NEt ${ }_{4}$ (Figure 6.1 d ) verifying that the two macrocyclic fragments have undergone a large amplitude shuttling motion (following deprotonation of the amide groups) in order to accommodate the trivalent TM ion. Firstly, the two NH signals $\mathrm{H}_{C}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}$ are now
absent, suggesting that both 2,6-pyridinedicarboxamide units are now stabilised in their deprotonated form. Secondly, resonances corresponding to benzylic groups $\mathrm{H}_{D}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}$ are now shielded, whilst the chemical shifts of signals $\mathrm{H}_{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{d}$ are now identical to those observed for the free macrocycle $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ signifying that they are no longer in close proximity to the aromatic groups of the small amide macrocycle. $\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ was facily regenerated by treating [Co13] $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{Zn} /$ acetic acid and then stirring the free ligand $\mathbf{1 3 H}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$.

In summary, a switchable [2]catenane has been prepared wherein the coconformation is controlled by the coordination preference of the TM ion it binds to. The [2]catenane is kinetically stable when bound to either metal and switching between the two sides is permitted by first abstracting the TM ion (either $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ or $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ ), allowing the sub-molecular fragments to rotate more freely, and then locking the structure again through TM ion coordination.

### 6.3 Experimental

### 6.3.1 Compound analysis


$\operatorname{Pd}(1)\left(9 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ : A solution of $9 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.288 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(0.197$ $\left.\mathrm{g}, 2.87 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography, $\left(98: 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to yield $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(9 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ as a yellow solid $(0.450 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $=95 \%)$. m.p. $98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298\right.$ $\mathrm{K}): \delta=1.15-1.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 48 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g^{\prime}}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}\right), 4.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{H}\right), 5.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{I}\right), 6.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.46$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right)$, $7.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{e}+\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right)$,
$7.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right)$, $8.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.43\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right) ;\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta=25.3,25.6,25.7,25.9,26.1,26.3,26.9,27.0,28.8$ (x2), 29.0, 29.1, $29.2,42.9,48.7,67.8,67.9,71.4,73.3,114.1,114.6,122.1,124.6,125.2,128.9$, $129.0,129.1,129.3,130.2,132.9,138.5,138.9,139.1,140.4,149.0,152.5,157.9$, 158.5 (x2), 158.9, 160.4, 163.6, 171.1; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1650$ $[M]^{+}$.

$\mathrm{Pd} 13 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : (a) A solution of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{1})\left(9 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\left(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a double ended needle to a solution of first generation Grubbs' catalyst $\left(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in anhydrous dichloromethane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h , concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue purified by column chromatography ( $\left.98: 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to yield a yellow solid ( 0.28 g ).
(b) To a stirred solution of the yellow solid obtained in part (a) ( 0.28 g ) in THF (50 $\mathrm{mL})$, was added $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ Pd-C $(0.04 \mathrm{~g})$ and the resultant suspension stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen for 18 h . The suspension was filtered through a plug of Celite, and the solution concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatograhy ( $2: 98, \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield the title compound as a yellow solid ( 0.272 g , yield $=64 \%$ over two steps). m.p. $158-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.10-1.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.55-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.65$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g}+\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}\right), 4.55,\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 6.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right)$, $6.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}$ ), $7.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{a}+\mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 9.3\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=26.5$, $26.6,27.0,27.2,27.3,27.5,28.0,28.4,28.8,28.9,29.1,29.2,43.0,49.9,67.3,68.2$ (x2), 73.5, 76.7, 114.4, 114.7, 115.1, 122.2, 123.9, 125.1, 128.5, 129.2, 130.7, 139.1,
139.3, 140.6, 149.5, 152.3, 157.9 (x2), 158.2 (x2), 159.4, 160.3, 164.1, 171.6, 175.9; LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1624[M]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1624.73425$ (calcd. for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{92}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{111} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Pd}, 1624.73563$ ).


$\mathbf{1 3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ : To a solution of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathbf{1} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(0.215 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right) \text { in dichloromethane (20 }}$ mL ) and methanol ( 20 mL ) was added potassium cyanide ( $0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , until it was colourless, and then heated gently to reduce the overall volume to less than 5 mL . The resultant mixture was dispersed in water ( 25 mL ) and washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with further water ( 25 mL ) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After filtration, the solution was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue recrystallised from acetonitrile to give the title compound as a colorless solid ( 0.198 g , yield $=98 \%$ ). m.p. $139-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.13-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {alhyl }}\right), 1.54-1.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}+\mathrm{H}_{g}+\mathrm{H}_{g^{\prime}}\right), 4.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right)$, $4.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 4.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}\right), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{E}+\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.45(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right), 7.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.04(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 8.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 8.88$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{C}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=25.9,26.3,26.6$, $26.7,27.2,27.4,28.7,28.9,29.5,29.6,29.7,29.8,42.7,43.2,67.4,68.2,68.4,71.8$, $73.0,114.3,114.5,114.8,120.1,125.0,125.4,129.3$ (x2), 129.8, 130.1, 130.4, 130.6, $137.2,138.5,139.3,149.4,149.5,158.0,158.1,158.8,159.0,163.6,163.7$; LRFABMS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1522[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=$ 1521.85493 (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{93}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{114} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 1521.85590$ ).

[Co10]NEt 4 : To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 H}_{4}\left(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\left(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc} .\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(0.017 \mathrm{~g}$, $\left.6.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and stirred with gentle heating $\left(35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 15 minutes. Sodium hydride $\left(0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was then mixed with ethanol $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the
resultant sodium ethoxide solution added drop-wise to the reaction mixture. The solution was left to stir for 3 h at room temperature whilst being exposed to a gentle flow of air. The resultant green suspension was filtered, the solvent removed in vacuo and the crude solid redissolved in acetone. Small portions of diethyl ether ( 3 x 10 mL ) were then added to the solution precipitating the title compound as a dark green solid ( 0.084 g , yield $=81 \%$ ). m.p. $91-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298$ $\mathrm{K}): \delta=1.11\left(\mathrm{t}, 12 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4}, 1.16-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}\right), 1.56-1.75\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl}}\right), 3.02\left(\mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{H}_{g^{\prime}}\right), 4.46,\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 6.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 6.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 6.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}+\mathrm{H}_{b}{ }^{\prime}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 7.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right.$ ) , $8.02\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=7.8,25.9,26.3,26.4$ (x2), 28.6, 28.7 ( x 2 ), 29.5 ( x 2 ), 29.6 (x2), 29.8, 46.2, 46.5, 52.9, 67.9, 68.3, 68.4, 72.7, 73.0, 113.7, 114.6, 114.7, 120.6, $122.1,122.9,127.9,129.1,129.9$ (x2), 130.4, 133.3, 134.3, 137.4, 138.7, 157.0, $157.5,157.9,158.4,158.5,159.3,168.8,169.0$, LRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z$ $=1577[M H]^{+}$; HRFAB-MS (3-NOBA matrix): $m / z=1576.75653$ (calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{92}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Co}, 1576.75780$ ).

### 6.3.2 Interconversion of [2]Catenane Species

$[\mathrm{Co10}] \mathrm{NEt}_{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ : To a solution of $[\mathrm{Co10}] \mathrm{NEt}_{4}\left(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 3.17 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in acetic acid ( 2 mL ) was added powedered zinc ( 0.02 g ) and the suspension stirred for 1 h . The mixture was then filtered through a plug of Celite and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was then recrystallised from acetonitrile to give the title compound as a colorless solid ( 0.044 g , yield $=90 \%$ ).
$10 \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pd} 10 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ : To a solution of $10 \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and the solution stirred for 10 h . The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified using column chromatograhy ( $2: 98, \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield the title compound as a yellow solid ( 0.018 g , yield $=83 \%$ ).
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## Rotaxane Synthesis

# A 3D Interiocked Structure from a 2D Template: Structural Requirements for the Assembly of a Square-Planar Metal-Coordinated [2]Rotaxane** 

Anne-Marie Fuller, David A. Leigh,* Paul J. Lusby, Iain D. H. Oswald, Simon Parsons, and D. Barney Walker

The starting point for the revolution in catenane and rotaxane synthesis that occurred during the last part of the 20th century was the realization by Sauvage and co-workers that metalligand coordination geometries could fix molecular fragments in three-dimensional space such that they were predisposed to form mechanically interlocked architectures through macrocyclization or "stoppering" reactions. ${ }^{[1]}$ Efficient synthetic methods to rotaxanes were subsequently developed based on four- (tetrahedral) ${ }^{[2]}$, five- (trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal) ${ }^{[3]}$ and, most recently, six-coordinate (octahedral) ${ }^{[4]}$ metal templates (Figure 1). ${ }^{[5]}$ One of the benefits of using specific coordination motifs for such assemblies is that the resulting interlocked ligands often do not permit other metal geometries in their binding site, which can consequently be exploited either to lock a metal in an unusual geometry for its oxidation stat ${ }^{[6]}$ or to bring about large-amplitude "shuttling" of the ligand components. ${ }^{[3,7]}$ Here we show that threedimensional interlocked architectures can also be assembled from two-dimensional coordination templates by using steric and electronic restrictions to direct the synthesis in the third

[^1]a)



Figure 1. Exploiting transition-metalligand geometries in the synthesis of mechanically interlocked architectures: a) tetrahedral, b) square pyramidal and trigonal bipyrimidal, c) octahedral, and d) square-planar coordination motifs.
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d)



[Pd(LT) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ ]


L2: 2.6-substituted $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
Lu: 3,5 -substituted $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
LA: 2,6 -substituted $R=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ -
LE: 3,5 -substituted $\mathrm{R}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}$.


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 76 \%$; b) $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{L} 2: 63 \%, \mathrm{L3}: 96 \%, \mathrm{~L} 4: 0 \%, \mathrm{~L}: 97 \% ; \mathrm{c}\right) 1$. Grubbs catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 2 . \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 6: 98 \%, \mathrm{~L} 3+\mathrm{L} 7: 63 \%, \mathrm{~L} 5+\mathrm{L} 7: 69 \%$ (over 2 steps); d) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$.

## ommunications

dimension. The resulting [2]rotaxane is the first example of a mechanically interlocked ligand that forms a four-coordinate square-planar metal complex ${ }^{[8]}$

The square-planar [2]rotaxane ligand design consists of a tridentate benzylic amide macrocycle and a monodentate thread (Figure 1d). The macrocycle incorporates a 2,6 dicarboxyamidopyridine unit to exploit the palladium chemistry recently developed ${ }^{[9]}$ by Hirao and co-workers. The thread contains a pyridine donor group substituted with appropriately bulky stoppers in either the 2,6 - or 3,5 -positions. It was envisioned that in the key intermediate, $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)$ (L2-L5)] (see Scheme 1), the geometry of the precursor to the macrocycle (previously used to direct hydrogen bond assembly processes ${ }^{[10]}$ ) would promote intercomponent $\pi-\pi$ stacking, thus encouraging the pyridine donor of the thread to bind the metal ion orthogonally to the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ ligand (complimenting the normally preferred orientation ${ }^{[11]}$ ) and directing the asssembly in the third dimension. A series of readily available threads L2-L5 was investigated during the study.

The rotaxane synthesis was carried out according to Scheme 1. Treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 1$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in acetonitrile smoothly generated a complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ in which the fourth coordination site of the metal is occupied by a normally labile acetonitrile molecule. Nevertheless, displacement of the acetonitrile by bis-ester pyridine ligand L4 was unsuccessful (see below). However, simple combination of L5 or either of the bis-ether pyridine threads (L2 and L3) with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ in either dichloromethane or chloroform gave the desired complexes [Pd(L1)(L5/L2/L3)] in 97, 63 and $96 \%$ yields, respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 2)]$ is shown in Figure 2c. Comparison with the spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 1$ (Figure 2 b and 2a, respectively) shows features clearly indicative of metal coordination (the absence of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and shifts in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) and the anticipated aromatic stacking between the tridentate and monodentate ligands (particularly $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). Similar chemical shift differences were observed for $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 3)]$ and $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 5)]$, however, ring closing olefin metathesis (RCM) followed by hydrogenation (Scheme 1, step c) of the three complexes produced very different results. Whilst cyclization of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 2)]$ gave the corresponding [2]rotaxane $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$ in $77 \%$ yield following hydrogenation of the olefin, no [2]rotaxane was produced from RCM of either $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 3)]$ or $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 5)]$, the only products in each case being the free macrocycle and thread. Why does only one of the four threads direct rotaxane synthesis in the desired manner?

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the [2]rotaxane ( $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$, Figure 2d), mass spectrometric analysis, and the preserved association of the organic fragments upon demetalation, unambiguously confirmed the interlocked structure. In addition to the loss of the terminal alkene protons, some subtle differences in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$ compared to $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 2)]$ (Figure 2c) indicates that some rearrangement of the ligands does occur on formation of the rotaxane. Single crystals of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$ suitable for X -ray crystallography ${ }^{[12]}$ were grown by slow cooling of a warm, saturated solution of the [2]rotaxane in acetonitrile. The solid-state structure (Figure 3) shows the interlocked architecture and the
a)


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 1$; b) $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{LI})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$; c) $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 2)]$; d) $[2]$ rotaxane $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$. The lettering refers to the assignments in Scheme 1.


Figure 3. X-ray crystal structure of rotaxane $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$ showing a) staggered and b) side-on views. ${ }^{[12]}$ Carbon atoms of the macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the thread in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, palladium gray. Selected bond lengths $[A]$ : Pd-N15 1.95, Pd-N25 1.86, Pd-N32 2.04, Pd-N59 2.02; other selected distance [À]: N15-N25 3.81; macrocycle bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: N59-Pd-N32 160.0 . The macrocycle is disordered over two similar sites ( $50: 50$ ), but one is omitted for clarity together with the hydrogen atoms.
pseudo-square-planar geometry (the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ bite angle is $160.0^{\circ}$ ) around the palladium center. The $\pi$ stacking between the macrocycle and the pyridine ring of the thread so apparent in solution from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shifts is significantly offset in the solid state (see the side-on view, Figure 3b). The co-conformation adopted by the macrocycle and thread in the crystal structure of the rotaxane clearly illustrates why RCM of the complexes formed with the 3,5 -disubstituted threads ([Pd(L1)(L3)] and $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)(\mathrm{L} 5)])$ can lead to uninterlocked products; even with both fragments attached to the metal cyclization of L1 can readily occur without encircling a 3,5substituted pyridine thread. Similarly, the conformation of the thread suggests a possible reason for the lack of reactivity of the 2,6-bis-ester thread L4 towards $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$. In the crystal structure the electron density of the ether oxygen atoms of the thread is directed away from the occupied $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital lobes which lie above and below the plane of the square-planar geometry at the $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ palladium center. Chelation of L4 to $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 1)]$ has to occur orthogonally for steric reasons. Such an arrangement would force electron density from the ester carbonyl groups into this high-energy space.

Demetalation of $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L} 6)]$ with potassium cyanide (Scheme 1, step d) generates the free [2]rotaxane $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} 6)$ in $97 \%$ yield, thus confiming that the coordination bonds are not required to stabilize the interlocked architecture once it is formed. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} 6)$ and its uninterlocked components in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ are shown in Figure 4. The shielding of the benzyl groups in the rotaxane relative to the free macrocycle, together with the large ( $\delta=1.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) downfield shift of the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$, indicate that specific


Figure 4. H NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of a) macrocycle; b) demetalated [2]rotaxane $H_{2} L 6 ; c$ ) thread $L 2$. The lettering refers to the assignments in Scheme 1.
hydrogen-bonding interactions between the thread and the macrocycle are "switched on" by the demetalation/protonation procedure. It appears that the amide groups of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 6$ simultaneously hydrogen bond to the pyridine groups in both the macrocycle and thread.

In conclusion, we have described methodology for assembling a three-dimensional interlocked molecular architecture from a two-dimensional metal template. A combination of steric and electronic factors direct the synthesis in the third dimension, either promoting or preventing interlocking. The resulting [2]rotaxane is the first example derived from a square-planar-coordinated metal center and completes the series of mechanically interlocked ligands for common transition-metal geometries initiated by Sauvage and coworkers in 1983.
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#### Abstract

We report the synthesis of a [2]catenate using a square planar palladium(II) template, together with two isomers of the interlocked structure: a single tetradentate macrocycle that adopts a "figure of eight" conformation to encapsulate the metal and a complex in which the two macrocycles of the catenane are not interlocked. The three isomers can each be selectively formed depending on how the building blocks are assembled and cyclized. Olefin metathesis of both building blocks while they are attached to the metal gives the single large macrocycle in $77 \%$ yield. Cyclizing the monodentate unit prior to attaching both ligands to the metal gives the [2]catenate in $78 \%$ yield. Preforming the tridentate macrocycle produces a complex in two atropisomeric forms-threaded and nonthreaded-in a 2:3 ratio, which do not interconvert in dichloromethane at room temperature over 7 days. RCM of the nonthreaded atropisomer affords the complex with two noninterlocked macrocyclic ligands; RCM of the threaded atropisomer generates the topologically isomeric [2]catenate. Heating the acyclic atropisomers in acetonitrile provides a mechanism for their interconversion via ligand exchange, allowing the threaded:nonthreaded ratio to be varied from 2:3 to $8: 1$. All three fully ring-closed complexes were characterized unambiguously by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and X -ray crystallography. As far as we are aware, this is the first time such a set of three formal topological and constitutional isomers has been described.


## Introduction

The use of transition metal ions to direct the synthesis of interlocked architectures remains among the most efficient strategies available. ${ }^{1-8}$ In addition to exploiting reversible coordination chemistry to deliver high yields of thermodynamically privileged catenanes incorporating metals in their ring frameworks, ${ }^{2}$ catenates-metal complexes of interlocked organic macrocyclic ligands-can be formed through metal template macrocyclization reactions. However, while the use of tetrahedral copper(I) geometry to hold bidentate ligands in an orientation suitable for subsequent interlocking macrocyclization reactions has been extensively developed over a 20 year period, ${ }^{3-8}$ the transposition of this basic concept to other coordination modes has been slow to develop. Although Sokolov alluded to the possibility of using octahedral ions to template catenane synthesis as early as 1973,9 attempts to prepare interlocked architectures in this way initially met with limited
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success, ${ }^{2 c, 10}$ and it is only recently ${ }^{11}$ that efficient synthetic routes based on octahedral coordination have been developed. There is also a single example ${ }^{12}$ of five-coordinate zinc(II) being used to direct the formation of a [2]catenate and a remarkable crown ether-threaded organometallic catenate templated about a magnesium atom that also forms part of one ring. ${ }^{13}$

At first sight, the use of a template strategy to produce interlocked macrocyclic ligands for metals with a square planar coordination geometry might appear somewhat counter-intuitive. Square planar coordination obviously involves a 2D donor set, while interlocking of the two rings requires two crossing points (one positive, one negative ${ }^{14}$ ) necessitating control over the nature of covalent bond formation in the third dimension. However, by using a tridentate-monodentate ligand combination, the relative orientation of the ligands coordinated to the metal can be varied (formally by rotation about the monodentate ligand-metal bond), and therefore, in principle, systems can be designed to extend above and below the plane of the square planar coordination mode and direct a subsequent ring closure reaction. Indeed, there are several examples ${ }^{15,16}$ of the orthogonal alignment of organic fragments using such a " $3+1$ " donor set of ligands, and we recently found that it was possible to exploit this effect to form a mechanically interlocked structure (Scheme 1). ${ }^{17}$ Through a combination of electronic and steric factors, the square planar palladium holds the monodentate 2,6-
dimethyleneoxypyridine thread orthogonal to a bis-olefinterminated tridentate benzylic amide macrocycle precursor such that cyclization by ring closing olefin metathesis (RCM) results in a [2]rotaxane in $77 \%$ yield.

However, extending this strategy to catenane synthesis is not straightforward. Even though oligomer and polymer formation could be minimized by metal chelation of the acyclic building blocks, a double macrocyclization strategy could produce three different isomeric products ( $1-3$, Scheme 2 ), and even preforming one of the rings prior to attaching the building blocks to the metal could still afford either the interlocked (1) or noninterlocked (3) complex. Therefore we explored several potential routes to a square planar coordination [2]catenate, varying the sequence that the rings were cyclized and whether or not the building blocks were attached to the metal prior to
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Scheme 1. Palladium(II)-Directed Synthesis of a [2]Rotaxane ${ }^{17}$

macrocyclization. Remarkably, it proved possible to find synthetic routes to each of the isomers $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ shown in Scheme 2.

## Results and Discussion

Route I: Simultaneous Metal-Directed Olefin Metathesis of L1 and L2. The first route investigated was the possible
(6) For rotaxanes assembled around a tetrahedral four-coordinate $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ template, see: (a) Wu, C.; Lecavalier, P. R.; Shen, Y. X.; Gibson, H. W. Chem. Mater. 1991, 3, 569-572. (b) Chambron, J.-C.; Heitz, V.; Sauvage, J.-P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1131-1133. (c) Chambron, J.-C.; Heitz, V.; Sauvage, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 12378-12384. (d) Diederich, F.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C.; Nierengarten, J.-F.; Sauvage, J.-P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 781-782. (e) Cárdenas, D. J.; Gaviña, P., Sauvage, J.-P. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1915-1916. (f) Solladié, N.; Chambron, J.-C.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C. O.; Sauvage, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 906-909. (g) Armaroli, N.; Diederich, F.; DietrichBuchecker, C. O.; Flamigni, L.; Marconi, G.; Nierengarten, J.-F.; Sauvage, J.-P. Chem-Eur. J. 1998, 4, 406-416. (h) Armaroli, N.; Balzani, V.; Collin, J.-P.; Gaviña, P.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Ventura, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4397-4408. (i) Solladié, N.; Chambron, J.-C.; Sauvage, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3684-3692. (j) Weber, N.; Hamann, C.; Kern, J.-M.; Sauvage, J.-P. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 6780-6792. (k) Poleschak, I.; Kern, J.-M.; Sauvage, J.-P. Chem. Commun. 2004, 474 476. (I) Kwan, H. P.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, $5902-$ 5909.
(7) For a rotaxane dimer assembled around tetrahedral four-coordinate $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ templates, see: Jiménez, M. C.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C.; Sauvage, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3284-3287.
(8) For knots assembled around tetrahedral four-coordinate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ templates, see: (a) Dietrich-Buchecker, C. O.; Sauvage, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 189-192. (b) Dietrich-Buchecker, C. O.; Nierengarten, J.-F.; Sauvage, J.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3625-3628. (c) DietrichBuchecker, C. O.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Kintzinger, J.-P.; Maltese, P.; Pascard, C.; Guilhem, J. New. J. Chem. 1992, 16, 931 -942. (d) Dietrich-Buchecker, C. O.; Sauvage, J.-P.; De Cian, A.; Fischer, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commum. 1994, 2231-2232. (e) Perret-Aebi, L.-E.; von Zelewsky, A.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C.; Sauvage, J.-P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4482-4485.
(9) (a) Sokolov V. I. Usp. Khim. 1973, 42, 1037-1059; Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.) 1973, 42, 452-463. For later discussions on possible strategies to catenates based on octahedral metal templates, see: (b) Busch, D. H. J. Inclusion Phenom. Mol. Recognit. 1992, 12, 389-395. (c) Gerbeleu, N. V.; Arion, V. B.; Burgess, J. Template Synthesis of Macrocyclic Compounds; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany 1999; and ref 1b.
(10) Belfrekh, N.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C.; Sauvage, J.-P. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 5169-5172.
(11) (a) Leigh, D. A.; Lusby, P. J.; Teat, S. J.; Wilson, A. J.; Wong, J. K. Y.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1538-1543. (b) Mobian, P.; Kern, J.M.; Sauvage, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, I25, 2016-2017. (c) Arico, F.; Mobian, P.; Kern, J.-M.; Sauvage, J.-P. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1887-1890. (d) Hogg, L.; Leigh, D. A.; Lusby, P. J.; Morelli, A.; Parsons, S.; Wong, J. K. Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1218-1221. (e) Mobian, P.; Kern, J.-M.; Sauvage, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2392-2395. (f) Chambron, J.-C.; Collin, J.-P.; Heitz, V.; Jouvenot, D.; Kern, J.-M.; Mobian, P.; Pomeranc, D.; Sauvage, J.-P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 16271638.

Scheme 2. Schematic Representation of the Three Isomers that Result from Synchronous or Simultaneous Metal-Directed Cyclization of Two Acyclic Building Blocksa

${ }^{a}$ Homodimerization is avoided by using one tridentate ligand (blue) and one monodentate ligand (orange) and a metal with a preferred square planar coordination geometry. Other possible reaction products could potentially include knots (although knotted isomers of 1-3 are easily precluded by limiting the size of the building blocks) and higher cyclic, catenated and knotted oligomers and polymers resulting from the condensation of more than just one of each building block (minimized by carrying out the cyclization reactions at high dilution).
double macrocyclization of the tridentate $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 1\right)$ and monodentate (L2) building blocks, with both ligands already coordinated to a square planar metal, L1PdL2 (Scheme 3, Route I). The monodentate ligand $\mathbf{L} 2$ was prepared in two steps from 4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (see Supporting Information) and when combined with the known $\mathbf{L 1 P d}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ complex in dichloromethane, this provided the tetra-terminal olefin complex, L1PdL2, in 93\% yield (Scheme 3, ii). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR confirms the exchange of the acetonitrile ligand for $\mathbf{L} 2$ (Figure 1a,b; note the upfield shift of the aromatic resonances of $\mathbf{L 1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{E}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right)$ by 0.8 and 0.2 ppm , respectively, due to stacking with the pyridine group of $\mathbf{L 2}$ ).

Subjecting L1PdL2 to RCM using the first-generation Grubbs' olefin metathesis catalyst, ${ }^{18}$ followed by hydrogenation of the resulting internal double bond (Scheme 3, iii), gave a single species in $75 \%$ isolated yield for the two steps. FAB mass spectrometry confirmed that the complex had the molecular weight $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1110, \mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$necessary to be one of the isomers $\mathbf{1 - 3}$, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 1c) showed significant

[^3] G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 446-452.
differences to $\mathbf{L} 1 \mathbf{P d} \mathbf{L} 2$, most notably in the region of 2.5-5.5 ppm , indicating that some change in orientation of the building blocks had occurred upon RCM. Treatment of the complex with potassium eyanide (Scheme 3, iv) gave a single organic compound (confirmed by mass spectrometry and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ), ruling out the possibility that the product of the RCM was the two noninterlocked ring isomer, 3 ; that is, the product of Route I could not be L4PdL5.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 2c) of the demetalated structure did not show the shielding effects characteristic of benzylic amide macrocycle interlocked systems, the chemical shifts being virtually identical to the independently prepared free ligands, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L4}$ and $\mathbf{L 5}$ (Figure 2a and d, respectively). This suggested that the ligand isomer formed from Route I was probably $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 3$, the single large macrocycle (2) resulting from intercomponent metathesis between the $\mathbf{L} 1$ and $\mathbf{L} 2$ olefin groups. This assignment was confirmed when single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown from slow cooling of a hot, saturated acetonitrile solution of the complex formed by Route I. The solid state structure (Figure 3) shows the tetradentate 58 -membered single macrocycle satisfying the square planar coordination geometry of the palladium, with the tridentate 2,6-dicarboxamidopyridine moiety held orthogonally to the monodentate 2,6-bis(oxymethylene)pyridine group. This results in twisting of the macrocycle, providing a single crossover point in a distinctive figure-of-eight conformation (Figure 3 b ). Although rare, this shape is not unique among coordination complexes of large flexible macrocycles, with other examples-based on octahedral metals-reported by the groups of Sauvage (a 58 -membered hexadentate bis-terpy macrocycle bound to iron(II) ) ${ }^{10}$ and Busch (a 40-membered ring coordinating to nickel(II) via 2,6-diiminopyridine groups). ${ }^{19}$ In all cases, the metal ion provides the crossover point, imposing helical chirality on what would otherwise be intrinsically achiral macrocycles (for L3Pd, both enantiomers are observed in the unit cell). Re-examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 1c) reveals that the ring conformation of $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{3 P d}$ is conserved from the solid state to solution. The low $\left(C_{2}\right)$ symmetry results in the individual protons of the $\mathrm{H}_{D}, \mathrm{H}_{c}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{d}$ methylene groups $\left(\mathrm{H}_{D}, \mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{d}\right)$ being held in diastereotopic environments; the magnitude of the splittings following their proximity to the crossover point $\left(\mathrm{H}_{D}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}$ are split by nearly $2.5 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}$ by 1.0 ppm , and $\mathrm{H}_{d}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{d^{\prime}}$ by just 0.4 $\mathrm{ppm})$. Interestingly, the aromatic region of L3Pd is virtually identical to that of L1PdL2 (Figure 1b), suggesting that while the alkyl residues may be mobile, the rest of the acyclic precursor complex is well-organized for intercomponent olefin metathesis.

Since the attempted simultaneous double macrocyclization strategy had given rise to intercomponent bond formation, we sought to exclude this possibility ${ }^{20}$ by preforming one or the other of the rings prior to the second, metal-directed, cyclization reaction (Scheme 3, Routes II and III).

Route II: Metal-Directed RCM of L2. Tridentate macrocycle $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 4$ was prepared in $57 \%$ yield by treatment of $2,6-$
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## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (iii) a. first-generation Grubbs' catalyst ( $\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ) $2 \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{RuCHPh}, 0.2$ equiv, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{b} . \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$ (over two steps); (iv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (vi) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, a $3: 2$ ratio of $\mathbf{L 4 P d}($ exo-L2):L4Pd (endo-L2), isolated in $89 \%$ yield; (vii) a. first-generation Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$; b. $\mathrm{H}_{2}(50 \mathrm{bar})$, Pd EnCat, THF, 18 h ; c. $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 4, \mathrm{L5}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 6(25 \%$ over three steps); (viii) part (v) and then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; (ix) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 69 \%$; ( x ) a. first-generation Grubbs' catalyst ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$; b. $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, THF, 4 $\mathrm{h}, 78 \%$ (over two steps); (xi) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{McOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (xii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (xiii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; (xiv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (xv) $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5$ h, $95 \%$.
pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride with the appropriate diamine under high dilution conditions (see Supporting Information). Subsequent complexation with palladium(II) acetate afforded L4Pd$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)(93 \%$, Scheme 3, v). Threading of $\mathbf{L} 2$ through the cavity of $\mathrm{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ via the substitution of the coordinated acetonitrile was attempted by simple mixing of the two in dichloromethane at room temperature (Scheme 3, vi). While
mass spectrometry confirmed the ligand exchange, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product was unexpectedly complex. Closer inspection of the thin layer chromatograph of the reaction mixture revealed two products with very similar $R_{f}$ values in a ratio of approximately $2: 3$. Despite their proximity, these proved amenable to separation by preparative thin-layer chromatography on silica gel-coated plates $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 98.5: 1.5\right.$ as eluent $)$.


Figure 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 19: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of (a) $\mathbf{L 1 P d}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$, (b) $\mathbf{L 1 P d L 2}$, and (c) $\mathbf{L 3 P d}$. The lettering refers to the assignments shown in Scheme 3.


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 4}$, (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 6}$, (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 3}$, and (d) $\mathbf{L 5}$. The lettering refers to the assignments shown in Scheme 3.

The isolated complexes gave indistinguishable fragmentation patterns by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, the molecular mass ion suggesting they were both isomers of L4PdL2. However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra exhibited important differences between the two products. When compared to the spectra of the starting materials, the minor isomer (lower $R_{f}$, Figure 4d) showed significant shielding of the $L 4$ benzyl rings $\left(\mathrm{H}_{E}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{F}\right)$, indicative of aromatic stacking with the pyridine group of L2. In contrast, the major isomer (higher $R_{f}$, Figure 4 b ) showed no evidence of stacking interactions but a greater degree of complexity in both the $\mathbf{L} 2$ signals (two sets of nonequivalent $\mathrm{H}_{b}, \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d}, \mathrm{H}_{e}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{f}$ resonances) and the $\mathrm{H}_{D}$ methylene groups (an AB system with a 2.5 ppm separation between the two proton environments) of L4. From this, we tentatively assigned the two products as "threaded" (minor
a)

b)


Figure 3. X-ray crystal structure of "figure-of-eight" complex L3Pd grown by slow cooling of a warm, saturated solution of the complex in acetonitrile. (a) Side-on and (b) top views. Carbon atoms originating from $\mathbf{L 1}$ are shown in light blue, those from $\mathbf{L 2}$ in yellow. Oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, palladium gray. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ : $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 22.015, \mathrm{Pd}-$ $\mathrm{N} 51.941, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 11$ 2.027, Pd-N41 2.052; tridentate fragment bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ! $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 11161.0$.


Figure 4. ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of (a) L4PdL5, (b) L4Pd(exo-L2), (c) $\mathbf{L 2}$, (d) L4Pd(endo-L2), (e) L1PdL5, and (f) L6Pd. The lettering refers to the assignments shown in Scheme 3.
isomer) and "threaded" (minor isomer) atropisomers, ${ }^{21}$ L4Pd-(exo-L2) and L4Pd(endo-L2), respectively (Scheme 3).

Intriguingly, CPK models suggested that cyclization of L2 could occur with each atropisomer of L4PdL2, suggesting that two compounds of identical connectivity but different conformations ${ }^{22}$ are predisposed to form topological isomeric products
upon RCM -the [2]catenate, $\mathbf{1}$, and the analogous noninterlocked double macrocycle structure, 3. Sure enough, treatment of the $2: 3$ mixture of atropisomers with Grubbs' catalyst in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, followed by hydrogenation and demetalation (Scheme 3 , vii (three steps-the mixtures of topological and olefin isomers preventing the isolation of pure products until the end of the reaction sequence)), afforded three products: macrocycles $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 4$ and $\mathbf{L 5}$ arising from complex $\mathbf{3}$, and a further compound, which mass spectrometry confirmed to be a different isomer of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 3$, in $25 \%$ overall yield for the three steps. ${ }^{23}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of the new compound in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Figure 2 b ) revealed shielding of most resonances compared to the free macrocycles $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 4$ (Figure 2a) and L5 (Figure 2d) characteristic of interdigitation, suggesting that it was indeed the [2]catenand $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 6}$. The exception to the upfield trend in shifts was the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{C}\right)$, which were shifted significantly downfield (ca. 1.3 ppm ) in the catenand compared to those of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 4}$, indicative of a significant hydrogen-bonding interaction between the amide protons of one ring and the pyridine nitrogen of the other. In contrast, the analogous amide protons in the 58 -membered free macrocycle $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 3$ occur at 8.11 ppm in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Figure 2c), only slightly downfield of their position in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 4}$ ( 7.88 ppm , Figure 2 a ), meaning that little intramolecular hydrogen bonding is occurring in the large flexible macrocycle. Why is this internal hydrogen bonding absent when it is so clearly present in the mechanically bonded isomer $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 6}$ ? First, the size and nature of the solvent-exposed surfaces of the compact [2] catenand structure and the large, relatively open, macrocycle must be very different, making desolvation of the amide and pyridine residues in the catenane a significantly less energetically costly process. Second, with the 2,6-bis(oxymethylene)pyridine and 2,6-pyridinecarboxamide groups on different components, the macrocycles in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 6$ can orientate themselves for inter-residue hydrogen bonding with little more than the loss of a single rotational degree of freedom. In contrast, alignment of the groups to enable a similar interaction within $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 3$ would significantly restrict the number of conformations accessible by the alkyl chains in the large flexible ring, the resulting losses in degrees of freedom raising the energy of the hydrogen-bonded structure. This unusual orthogonal double bifurcated bis-pyridine hydrogen-bonding interaction is also observed in the related [2]rotaxane system. ${ }^{17}$

Reintroduction of $\mathrm{Pd}(I I)$ into the free ligand systems $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 3\right.$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{L 3 P d}$, Scheme 3, xii; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 6} \rightarrow \mathbf{L 6 P d}$, Scheme 3, xiii; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 4}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{L 4 P d}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)+\mathbf{L 5} \rightarrow \mathbf{L 4 P d L 5}$, Scheme 3, v, viii) proceeded smoothly in each case, the last two providing pure samples of complexes formed previously as intermediates during each pathway of the Route II syntheses. ESI mass spectrometry initially identified the formation of L4PdL5, confirming that both macrocycles could simultaneously bind to palladium without being interlocked. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure 4a)
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Figure 5. X-ray crystal structure of noninterlocked double macrocycle complex L4PdL5 grown from a saturated solution of the complex in acetone. (a) Side-on and (b) top views. Carbon atoms of the L4 macrocycle are shown in light blue, and those of the L5 macrocycle in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, and palladium gray. Selected bond lengths $\AA$ ]: $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pd} 2.032, \mathrm{~N} 5-\mathrm{Pd} 1.943, \mathrm{~N} 11-\mathrm{Pd} 2.032, \mathrm{~N} 41-\mathrm{Pd} 2.077$; tridentate fragment bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 11160.8$.
corroborated this result and showed significant similarity (a diastereotopic environment for $\mathrm{H}_{D}$, and two sets of signals for each $\mathrm{H}_{b}, \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d}, \mathrm{H}_{e}, \mathrm{H}_{f}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{g}$ ) to the presumed nonthreaded isomer of $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{PdL} 2$ (Figure 4 b ). These spectral features are consistent with orthogonal binding of the rings to the square planar geometry metal, with neither macrocycle being able to pass through the cavity of the other nor rotate (at least not rapidly on the NMR time scale in the case of the monodentate ligand) about the plane of the square planar coordination geometry. This means both macrocycles are perforce desymmetrized in the plane that they coordinate to the metal, that is, top from bottom in L4 and left from right in L5 (as L4PdL5 is depicted in Figure 5a).

Pleasingly, the pure samples of L4PdL5 and L6Pd both provided single crystals suitable for structure elucidation by X-ray crystallography (Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively). Between them, the X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{L} 3 \mathrm{Pd}, \mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{L 5}$, and L6Pd confirm not only the identity of the metal complexesa unique set of topological ( $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{PdL} 2$ and $\mathbf{L} 6 \mathrm{Pd}$ ) and constitutional ( $\mathbf{L} 3 \mathrm{Pd}$ and $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{PdL5} / \mathbf{L} 6 \mathrm{Pd}$ ) isomers-but also the structural assignments of the free ligands inferred by the earlier ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and mass spectrometry analysis.

## Atropisomer-Specific Synthesis of Different Topological

 Isomers. Although RCM (and subsequent hydrogenation and demetalation) of the mixture of the two $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{PdL} 2$ atropisomers gives a ratio of isolated interlocked to noninterlocked products similar to the starting atropisomer ratio, it does not necessarily follow that one atropisomer leads solely to one product. The

Figure 6. X-ray crystal structure of palladium[2]catenate L6Pd grown by slow cooling of a warm, saturated solution of the complex in acetonitrile. (a) Side-on and (b) top views. Carbon atoms of the L4 macrocycle are shown in light blue, and those of the $\mathbf{L} 5$ macrocycle in yellow; oxygen atoms are red, nitrogen dark blue, and palladium gray. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]: \quad \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 21.934, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 5$ 2.041, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 11$ 2.036, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 41$ 2.079; tridentate fragment bite angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ : $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 11160.2$.
interconversion of the two $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{L} 2$ atropisomers in solution was investigated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The initial threaded: nonthreaded ratio of ca. $2: 3$ remained unchanged over 7 days in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. Similarly, spectra of pure samples of each of the compounds were invariant under these conditions, demonstrating that the atropisomers are kinetically stable at room temperature in a noncoordinating solvent. However, addition of $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to either of the pure atropisomer solutions or the $2: 3$ mixture led to a gradual change in the threaded:nonthreaded ratio, increasing to ca. 7:3 after 4 days. This suggests that the threaded isomer is thermodynamically favored, and that atropisomer interconversion can take place via the dissociation of $\mathbf{L} 2$ from either form of $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{L} 2$, the vacant coordination site being temporarily filled by a molecule of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Accordingly, the reaction between L 2 and $\mathrm{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ was repeated in refluxing acetonitrile. After several hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy showed a $7: 3$ ratio of the threaded:nonthreaded forms of $\mathbf{L 4 P d L} 2$. Heating over 2 days increased the ratio to $8: 1$, after which no further change occurred.

RCM of single atropisomer samples of $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{L} 2$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ did, indeed, generate a single new species in each case. The product of RCM of the presumed L4Pd(endo-L2) complex was hydrogenated to give solely the [2]catenate, L 6 Pd ; the product of RCM of presumed $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd}$ (exo-L2) proved unstable to hydrogenation, ${ }^{23}$ so the metal was removed instead $(\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ), liberating two different macrocycles, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L4}(96 \%)$ and the unsaturated olefin analogue of L5 (93\%).

Route III: Metal-Directed RCM of L1. Finally, we investigated the product distribution arising from preforming the monodentate macrocycle and applying metal-directed cyclization of the tridentate ligand (Scheme 3, Route III). ${ }^{24}$ L1Pd$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and $\mathbf{L 5}$ were stirred together in dichloromethane at room temperature (Scheme 3, ix) and, in contrast to the analogous step in Route II, reacted to give a single product rather than a mixture of atropisomers. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L1PdL5 (Figure 4e) suggests the two ligands are threaded; the upfield shift of $\mathrm{H}_{E}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{F}$ compared to similar protons in the nonthreaded L4PdL5 and L4Pd(exo-L2) complexes (Figure 4a and $b$, respectively), indicating $\pi$-stacking of the benzyl groups of L1 with the pyridine unit of L5. It is difficult to distinguish between whether L1PdL5 can exist as threaded/nonthreaded atropisomers but is formed solely as the (exo-L1)PdL5 isomer, or rather threaded and nonthreaded forms of L1PdL5 are in equilibrium with the threaded conformation being thermodynamically preferred by several $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. In any event, RCM of L1PdL5 and subsequent hydrogenation (Scheme 3, x) afforded exclusively the [2]catenate, L6Pd, in $78 \%$ yield, making this route both synthetically efficient and completely selective for the mechanically interlocked topological isomer.

## Conclusions

A [2]catenate and the isomeric single macrocycle and double macrocycle metal complexes can each be efficiently assembled about a palladium(II) template via RCM. The order in which the tridentate and monodentate ligand cyclization reactions and coordination steps are performed determines the outcome of the synthetic pathway, providing selective routes to each of the three topological and constitutional isomers. In one case, preforming the tridentate macrocycle followed by its coordination along with the acyclic monodentate ligand to the Pd produces threaded and nonthreaded atropisomers. These can be isolated and, while the individual forms are stable in dichloromethane, they can be interconverted in a coordinating solvent through ligand exchange. Each atropisomer was shown to be a true intermediate to a different topological product, meaning that, in this reaction, the choice of solvent can determine whether the [2]catenate is formed or its noninterlocked isomer. It is remarkable to see how topology and connectivity can be selected so exquisitely-in three different forms-using just one set of organic building blocks and a metal atom with a two-dimensional coordination geometry.

## Experimental Section

Selected Spectroscopic Data for the Set of Three Constitutional and Topological Isomers. L3Pd: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298\right.$ $\mathrm{K}): \delta=1.09-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}$, alkyl-H), $2.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{D^{\prime}}\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.00-4.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g}+\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right), 5.04-5.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}+\mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
(23) The hydrogenation conditions employed in Routes I and III led to a complex mixture when applied to the products of metathesis in Route II. Not only was significant decomplexation of the somewhat strained noninterlocked double macrocycle complex observed but also the resulting free monodentate ligand, L5, was degraded, presumably by hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether moieties. Although the use of Pd EnCat did not prevent the ligand decomplexation during the hydrogenation step, it significantly reduced the degradation of the free monodentate macrocycle [Bremeyer, N.; Ley, S. V.; Ramarao, C.; Shirley, I. M.; Smith, S. C. Synlett 2002, 1843-1844].
(24) Leigh, D. A.; Lusby, P. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Walker, D. B. Submitted for publication.
$\left.\mathrm{H}_{f}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.76$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 8.08(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=23.7,25.2$, $25.5,27.5,27.5,27.5,28.6,29.5,48.5,67.1,68.1,71.8,73.2,114.0$, $114.8,121.2,124.8,128.5,128.7,129.5,132.8,139.1,140.7,152.8$, 158.1, 158.6, 160.6, 171.1. HRMS (FAB, NOBA): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}-$ $\mathrm{Pd}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1109.46169$. Found 1109.46275. L4PdL5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=0.81-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}$, alkyl-H), $2.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 3.34-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+\mathrm{H}_{c^{\prime}}\right), 3.78-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{g^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{G}+\mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 4.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}\right)$, $5.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{c}\right), 6.64-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{f^{\prime}}+\mathrm{H}_{F}+\mathrm{H}_{E}+\mathrm{H}_{j}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b^{\prime}}\right), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.12-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{b}+\mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.6,25.9,25.9,28.5,29.0$, $29.1,29.3,29.4,29.4,29.6,29.8,29.9,49.2,67.8,67.9,68.3,72.1$, $72.8,73.4,76.3,114.8,114.9,115.4,122.0,122.7,125.1,128.3,129.9$, $130.3,130.5,131.4,133.0,138.4,141.3,153.0,158.2,158.7,159.6$, $160.6,161.9,171.6$. LRMS (ESI) $m / z=1132[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. L6Pd: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=1.04-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}$, alkyl-H), $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, alkyl-H$), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, alkyl-H), $3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{D}\right), 3.81$
$\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{G}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{g}\right), 4.36-4.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{d}+H_{c}\right), 5.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{F}\right), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{E}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 7.15$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{e}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{a}\right), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{B}\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{A}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=25.8,26.0,28.5,28.7,28.9,29.2,30.0,30.1$, $49.4,67.1,67.7,69.6,72.9,114.5,114.8,121.2,124.5,128.2,128.6$, $131.7,132.9,138.8,140.6,153.1,157.4,159.5,160.0,171.1$. HRMS (FAB, NOBA): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1109.46169$. Found 1109.46152.
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## Rare and Diverse Binding Modes Introduced through Mechanical Bonding**

David A. Leigh,* Paul J. Lusby,<br>Alexandra M. Z. Slawin, and D. Barney Walker

Interest in catenanes and rotaxanes has largely focused on the stimuli-induced change in the position of their components for possible exploitation in molecular devices, ${ }^{[1]}$ but other properties and features of these architectures are becoming apparent. ${ }^{[2]}$ Here, we report a previously unrecognized consequence of kinetic stabilization of an otherwise unfavorable association of molecular fragments through mechanical bonding (Figure 1). The raised energy of the interlocked components-brought about by the enforced high local concentration of convergent functional groups, the limitation in the number of conformations and co-conformations that each component can adopt, and the poor solvation of their inner surfaces-facilitates (kinetically and thermodynamically) the formation of internal and external binding motifs that are either not observed or much weaker when the same groups are not confined in this way. The effect is illustrated through a remarkable series of binding modes (including orthogonal bifurcated pyridine-pyridine hydrogen bonding,
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Figure 1. Effects of kinetic stabilization of an otherwise unfavorable association of molecular fragments through mechanical bonding. a) The kinetic stabilization (1) of a mechanically interlocked architecture that features only weakly attractive interactions between the macrocycle and thread permits noncovalent interactions to be observed (as in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ 1) which are too weak to stabilize the corresponding hostguest complex $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 3 \mathrm{a} \cdot 3 \mathrm{~b}\right)$. b) For a rotaxane that is not thermodynamically stable with respect to its free components, the activation energy for tertiary component complex formation is lower than for the analogous noninterlocked system (barrier II is less than III). This arises because the entropic cost of bringing the two components of the rotaxane together has already been paid, some degrees of freedom of the components are already restricted in the mechanically interlocked architecture, and the desolvation of the internal rotaxane surfaces is less energetically demanding than those of the free components.
c) The higher energy of such a rotaxane means that a host-guest complex which involves the rotaxane can be favorable (e.g. $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1 . \mathrm{TfOH}$ ) even when the equivalent trimolecular complex $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3} \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathrm{TfOH}\right)$ is not (IV). It also ensures that metal complexes derived from such rotaxanes, such as CuI and NiI , are inherently thermodynamically more stable than the analogous complexes derived from noninterlocked fragments and, in principle, kinetically easier to form. The association/dissociation pathways are shown by black solid (interlocked components) and dashed (noninterlocked components) lines; the horizontal gray line corresponds to the free energy of the nonassociated individual components.
cooperative binding to a sulfonic acid guest through deprotonation by one component and anion-amide hydrogen bonding by the other, enforced squarepyramidal coordination of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$, and second-sphere coordination in a square-planar $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Cl}$ complex) exhibited in solution and the solid state by a [2]rotaxane and an analogous [2]catenane, but not seen with either threadable (but nonmechanically interlocked) versions of the components or flexible covalently bonded systems (Figure 2).

Most catenanes and rotaxanes are synthesized by utilizing templates to thermodynamically favor interlocking, ${ }^{[1 \mathrm{a}]}$ but subsequent removal of the template can leave a molecule that is energetically unstable with respect to the noninter-
locked components and is only held intact by virtue of the mechanical bond. We recently described ${ }^{[3]}$ the assembly of a mechanically interlocked architecture about a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {II }}$ template to give a square-planar [2]rotaxane-metal coordination complex, $\operatorname{Pd} 1^{[4]}$ We were intrigued to find that upon protic demetalation of the complex to give $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ indicated significant intercomponent hydrogen bonding between the amide groups of the macrocycle and the pyridine nitrogen atom of the rotaxane thread (the protons of the amide groups in the macrocycle appear 1.7 ppm downfield relative to the corresponding protons in the free macrocycle). Such a binding motif, which requires essentially orthogonal pyridine rings with the nitrogen atoms bridged by bifurcated hydrogen bonds, is extremely rare (a search of the Cambridge Crystallographic Database reveals only one other example ${ }^{[5]}$ ) but is somewhat reminiscent of the hydrogen bonding that occurs at $90^{\circ}$ to the plane of the lone pairs of amide groups in threads seen extensively in other hydrogen-bonded rotaxanes. ${ }^{[6]}$ Slow cooling of a hot, saturated solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ in acetonitrile/chloroform (10:1) yielded single crystals that were of sufficient quality to confirm the interaction in the solid state by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3a,b) but were inadequate to confidently characterize the interaction in detail. ${ }^{[7]}$ However, when we prepared a [2]catenane that incorporated the same functional groups, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{2}$, a similar noncovalent interaction was observed in solution in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and, in this case, single crystals of excellent quality were obtained by slow cooling of a hot, saturated solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2$ in acetonitrile. The solid-state structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{2}$ (Figure 3c) closely mirrors the hydrogen-bonding motif seen in the crystal structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$, with unsymmetrical intercomponent hydrogen-bond distances ( 2.28 and $3.10 \AA$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2 ; 2.3$ and $2.8 \AA$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ ) and the angle between the planes of the


Figure z. Different ways of linking a 2,6-dialkylpyridine unit with a 2,6-dicarboxamidepyridine unit which lead to significantly different noncovalent binding properties: a) on different components within a [2]rotaxane architecture, $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$; b) on different components within a [2]catenane architecture, $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2 ; c$ ) on separate threadable, but not mechanically interlocked, molecular components $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3}$ a and $\mathbf{3}$ b; and d) on a flexible but wholly covalently bonded system, $\mathrm{H}_{2} 4$. For ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectroscopic assignments (see Figure 4), hydrogen atoms have been labeled A-G and $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{Ar}$ for the macrocycle and thread, respectively.


Figure 3. X -ray crystal structures ${ }^{[7]}$ of [2]rotaxane $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$ and [2]catenane $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ 2: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ 1 (twisted view); b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$ (side view which shows the macrocycle circumscribing the pyridine residue of the thread; disorder in the alkyl chain region and solvent molecules, not the central hydrogenbonding region, results in a high overall $R$ factor of $33 \%$ ) ; c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{2}$ ( $R$ factor $<5 \%$; the intercomponent hydrogen-bond motif mirrors that shown in (a) and (b)). Inset: the lone pairs of the pyridine nitrogen atoms do not point directly at each other, but are tilted $30.0^{\circ}$ apart. C (macrocycle) turquoise; C (thread) yellow; O red; N dark blue; H white. For clarity only nitrogen-bound hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected bond lengths $[\dot{A}]$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1: \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 52.4 ; \mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 52.6 ; \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-$ N47 2.8; N11H-N47 2.3. Selected bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$ : N2H-N5 151; N11H-N5 153; N2H-N47 96; N11H-N47 108. Selected bond lengths $[A]$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2$ : N2H-N5 2.18; N11H-N5 2.33; $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 41$ 2.28; $\mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 41$ 3.10. Selected bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2$ : N2H-N5 107.1; N11H-N5 102.2; N2H-N41 146.5; N11H-N41 129.0.
pyridine rings nearly orthogonal ( $90.7^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{2} ; 82^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ ) but tilted ( $30.0^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{2}$ (Figure 3c, inset); $34^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ ) away from linearity to avoid too close an interaction between the lone pairs on the pyridine nitrogen atoms.

To investigate this atypical binding motif further, we titrated an unstoppered analogue of the thread, $\mathbf{3 b}$, with the free macrocycle, $\mathrm{H}_{2} 3 \mathrm{a}$, and monitored the titration by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ to determine the energetics
of the interaction in the absence of the kinetic barrier to dissociation provided by the mechanical bond. However, there was virtually no shift in the amide protons of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3 a}$ in the presence of up to 20 equivalents of $\mathbf{3 b}(4-80 \mathrm{~mm})$ which indicates that the bimolecular association constant must be less than $5 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$-the approximate limit of this detection method with the large shifts typically observed through hydrogen-bonding amide groups. Interestingly, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the wholly covalently linked analogue $\mathrm{H}_{2} 4$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ also gave no indication of hydrogen-bonding interactions between the pyridine-2,6-carboxamide protons and the second pyridine residue, even though this very large macrocycle is certainly flexible enough to adopt such a geometry without steric hindrance.

Rare hydrogen-bond motifs have previously been observed within rotaxane architectures (e.g. amide NH to ester acyl oxygen atoms, ${ }^{[8,9]}$ and amide NH to ester alkyl oxygen atoms $\left.{ }^{[9]}\right)$. The propensity for such unusual interactions in mechanically bonded structures presumably arises for a number of reasons: 1) the enforced high local concentration of functional groups for which there is a low steric cost to relative movement within the vector of the thread; 2) the limited number of conformations and co-conformations that each component can adopt that do not put functional groups into convergent orientations; 3) the imposed orthogonalization of components which can preclude normally preferred noncovalent bonding geometries; 4) freezing out of a single co-conformation for an intercomponent binding event only costs a reduction of two degrees of freedom (translation and rotation of the macrocycle about the thread) in contrast to the multiple degrees of rotational freedom of the alkyl chains that would be lost by internal hydrogen bonding in $\mathrm{H}_{2} 4$; 5) the inefficient solvation of internal or congested surfaces of an interpenetrated architecture means that desolvation of binding sites is likely to occur at a lower energetic cost than for conventional structures. All of these factors effectively do the same thing, that is, raise the energy of the rotaxane with respect to the free components. However, the kinetic stabilization of the architecture provided by the stoppers prevents dethreading (the rotaxane is not in equilibrium with the free components). This increase in free energy is actually a form of host preorganization, which serves to enhance binding properties as outlined by Cram over 30 years ago. ${ }^{[10]}$ The result is that interactions which are not strong enough to stabilize a host-guest complex can become thermodynamically favorable if the components are held together by a mechanical bond (Figure 1).

We reasoned that it should be possible to disrupt the intercomponent hydrogen-bonding interaction in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ by protonation of the more basic 2,6-dioxymethylenepyridine nitrogen atom (Scheme 1). Although treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ with one equivalent of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid $(\mathrm{TfOH})$ resulted in protonation of the desired site (Figure 4 d ), the downfield shift of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ amide protons indicated that strong hydrogen bonding was still present. The shielding and broadening of particular thread signals at 298 K (Figure 4d) suggests that instead of residing over the pyridine residue as in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$, the macrocycle is displaced to the side and shuttles between the two halves of the thread relatively slowly on the
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Scheme t. Binding modes observed for [2]rotaxane $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ 1. All of the complexation reactions are fully reversible. A similar range of complexes are exhibited by [2]catenane $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2$ (for an X -ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{Pd2}$, see ref. [12]). The hydrogen bonding in $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 1\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ is observed only in the solid state. $\mathrm{Tf}=$ trifluoromethanesulfonyl, $\mathrm{DMF}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide.

NMR timescale. This was confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum recorded at 238 K (Figure 4e) in which many of the thread resonances were split into two inequivalent sets $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{H}_{d} / \mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d} / \mathrm{H}_{d}, \mathrm{H}_{c} / \mathrm{H}_{c}$ ) that correspond to solvent-exposed and encapsulated (and thus magnetically shielded by the macrocycle) protons, respectively. The rationale for this behavior was provided by the crystal structure of single crystals grown from acetonitrile (Figure 5a). The [2]rotaxane acts as a host for an entire molecule of TfOH; one component (the thread) deprotonates the acid, and both components hydrogen bond to the resulting anion to generate a neutral complex, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$-HOTf. The X-ray crystal structure is consistent with the specific shielding effects seen in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, including the upfield shift of the signal for the pyridinium proton (see Figure 4c) as a consequence of other hydrogenbond donors binding to the triflate anion, and with the noncovalent binding of the sulfonic acid acting as a transient steric barrier to shuttling of the macrocycle between the two halves of the thread. ${ }^{[12]}$ In contrast (Scheme 2), a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3}$ a and $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b} \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ shows neither upfield shift of resonances $\mathrm{H}_{c}, \mathrm{H}_{d}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{c}$ (compare Figure 4 b with the spectrum of the protonated thread in Figure 4c) nor downfield shifts of the protons from the amide groups in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3}$ a. Similarly, the absence of shifts in the amide resonances of the protonated covalently linked structure $\mathrm{H}_{2} 4 \cdot \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathbf{T f O ^ { - }}$ suggests that it does not internally associate through hydrogen bonding.

The mechanically interlocked assemblies also differ from their noninterlocked counterparts in their ability to adopt a range of overall neutral binding modes with metals. Treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with heating at reflux resulted in double deprotonation of the ligand and the generation of the corresponding $1: 1$ neutral metal-interlocked ligand complex. Recrystallization of the copper [2]rotaxane complex, Cu 1 , from acetonitrile gave single crystals that were suitable for X-ray crystallographic studies (Figure 5b). The solid-state structure shows a squarebased pyramidal coordination motif that involves one of the thread oxygen atoms as well as the four nitrogen atoms of the rotaxane which form the near-planar base of the pyramid ( N 47 lies approximately $10^{\circ}$ above the plane defined by $\mathrm{N} 2-$ $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Cu})$. Treatment of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3 a} / 3 \mathbf{b}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{4}$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ under the same conditions gave no indication of formation of a complex nor deprotonation of the amide groups of the macrocycle.

The synthesis of complexes of the mechanically interlocked ligands with nickel required more vigorous conditions ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dmf})_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ but nonetheless resulted in Ni 1 and $\mathrm{Ni2}$, both isolated as orange crystalline compounds. In this case, a similarly colored complex could also be generated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3} / 3 \mathrm{3b}$, but unlike Ni 1 or Ni 2 the isolated compound rapidly decomposed and we were unable to isolate or characterize it. The X-ray crystal structure of single crystals of Ni1 grown from slow cooling of a saturated


Figure 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ unless otherwise stated): a) macrocycle $\mathrm{H}_{2} 3$ a; b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3}$ a and unstoppered thread 3 b and HOTf; c) thread and HOTf ( $\mathrm{Py}=$ pyridine) ; d) [2]rotaxane complex $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ 1.HOTf showing fast exchange (shuttling) of the macrocycle between equivalent sites on both halves of the thread; e) [2]rotaxane complex $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$. HOTf at 238 K showing slow exchange (reversible noncovalent bonding of the triflate anion provides a transient steric barrier to shuttling); $f\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{I}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ showing slow exchange (coordination of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ provides a fixed steric barrier to shuttling up to at least 325 K ). See Figure 2 for atom labeling scheme.
solution in acetonitrile is shown in Figure 5c and is closely related to the structure obtained for Cu . Treatment of any of the rotaxane or catenane metal complexes with KCN in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ regenerated the original interlocked molecule, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} 2$. The differences in both the ease of formation and the stability of the metal complexes with the catenane and rotaxane relative to the noninterlocked ligands is, again,
a)

c)
d)

e)


Figure 5. X -ray crystal structures ${ }^{[1]}$ of various complexes of 1: a) neutral [2]rotaxane-triflic acid complex, $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$-HOTf. Note the macrocycle is displaced to one side of the central region of the thread relative to free $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$ (Figure 3 b ); b) square-pyramidal coordination complex Cu ; c) squarepyramidal coordination complex Ni1; d) square-planar coordination complex Pd1; e) square-planar coordination complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 1\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$. C (macrocycle) turquoise; C (thread) yellow; O red; N dark blue; H white; S orange; F light green; Cl dark green; Cu tan; Ni pink; Pd dark gray. For clarity only amide hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected hydrogen-bond lengths $[\mathcal{A}]$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$-HOTf: $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O} 1312.14 ; \mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O} 1312.17$; $\mathrm{N} 44 \mathrm{H}-$ 0132 1.72. Selected bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1$-HOTf: $\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ol} 31159.0 ; \mathrm{N} 11 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O} 131148.1 ; \mathrm{N} 44 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O} 132$ 159.7. Selected bond lengths [ A$]$ for Cul : $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2.00$; N11-Cu 1.98; N5-Cu 1.92; N47-Cu 2.02; O44-Cu 2.41. Selected bond angles [1] for Cu1: N2-Cu-N5 80.3; N5-Cu-N11 80.7; N11-CuN47 101.3; N5-Cu-O44 116.6; N5-Cu-N47 169.7. Selected bond lengths [A]] for Ni1: N2-Ni 1.94; N11-Ni 1.93; N5-Ni 1.81; N47-Ni 1.93; O44-Cu 2.52. Selected bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for Ni1: N2-Ni-N5 82.6; N5-Ni-N11 82.4; N11-Ni-N47 98.5; N5-Ni-O44 113.8; N5-Ni-N47 172.1. Selected bond lengths [ $\dot{A}$ ] for Pd1: Pd-N15 1.95, Pd-N25 1.86, Pd-N32 2.04, Pd-N59 2.02, N15-N25 3.81. Selected bond angles [] for Pd1 N59-Pd-N32 160.0. Selected bond lengths $[A]$ for $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 1\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ : $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 471.98, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl1} 2.27, \mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{H} 22.67, \mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{H} 112.64, \mathrm{~N} 5-\mathrm{H} 22.36, \mathrm{~N} 5-\mathrm{H} 112.15$. Selected bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 1\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]: \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 1139.8, \mathrm{~N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11-\mathrm{N} 5114.1, \mathrm{~N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11-\mathrm{Cl} 125.0$.
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Scheme 2. Attempted complexation experiments involving $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{a} / 3 \mathrm{~b}$. Similar results were obtained with macrocycle $\mathrm{H}_{2} 4$ (for an X -ray crystal structure of Pd 4 , see ref. [12]).
consistent with the intrinsic effect of kinetic stabilization of a mechanically interlocked architecture (Figure 1c).

Each of the four ligand sets reacts with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} 4$ directly in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{a} / \mathbf{3 b}$ requires preliminary reaction of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3}$ a in MeCN followed by treatment of the resulting complex with $\mathbf{3 b}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the corresponding square-planar coordination complexes (Schemes 1 and 2 and Figure 5d). However, the rotaxane and catenane also formed a different type of neutral square-planar coordination complex when treated with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, an initial palladium salt that features significantly stronger coordinating ligands (chloride is also less basic than acetate). Slow cooling of saturated solutions of either complex in acetonitrile afforded crystals that were suitable for $\mathbf{X}$ ray crystallography, and the structure of the rotaxane complex, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 1\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$, is shown in Figure 5e (the solidstate structure of the [2]catenane complex is similar but features intermolecular rather than intramolecular $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}-$ Pd hydrogen bonding). From the crystal structures it can be seen that although Pd coordinates to the thread as in Pd1, the original metal chloride ligands remain intact and the macrocycle amides are not deprotonated. In solution the coordination of the $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ unit to the pyridine ring of the thread acts as a steric barrier to shuttling (Figure 4 f ). This is similar to the effect seen with $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1 \cdot \mathrm{TfOH}$, but unlike the complex held together by hydrogen bonds the coordination to the metal is not kinetically labile and persists as a barrier to shuttling up to at least 325 K (close to the boiling point of the solvent $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{[11]}$

The solid-state structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ features hydrogen bonding between the amide groups of the macrocycle and the chloride ligands of the metal (Figure 5e). However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows that this interaction is not present to any significant extent in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (the chemical shift of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is virtually unchanged compared to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{3}$ a Figure 4 f ). Wisner and co-workers recently used a similar interaction to direct an elegant synthesis of a pseudorotaxane ${ }^{[13]}$ During the course of that work, a macrocycle binding constant that largely results from two sets of isophthalamide
groups hydrogen bonding to the chloride ligands of a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex was determined to be $5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}{ }^{[13]} \mathrm{In}$ contrast, Crabtree and co-workers determined the association constant for a single isophthalamide group with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to be $6 \times$ $10^{4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{[14]}$ Accordingly, a $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}$ interaction must be somewhat less than half the strength of a $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$hydrogen bond. This is consistent with the observation that the interaction is too weak to significantly bind the macrocycle in $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, despite the high effective molarity introduced by the mechanical bond, and is in marked contrast to the effective bifurcated pyridine-pyridine hydrogen bonding in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$. This suggests that the intercomponent binding mode in $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{1}$ is not particularly weak and its scarcity as an observed interaction may arise because the geometry of the interlocked architecture precludes otherwise preferred noncovalent bonding geometries.

In conclusion, the kinetically enforced association of molecular fragments within an interlocked architecture facilitates the formation of diverse, and sometimes rare and unusual, binding motifs both in solution and the solid state. These interactions can produce interesting effects in their own right; the cooperative hydrogen bonding of an organic guest by both components of a [2]rotaxane can present an effective barrier to shuttling at low temperatures, whereas a stronger metal-ligand coordination mode can be used to restrict the same motion even at higher temperatures. The fact that many of the observed modes of binding are weaker or not seen at all when the components are not mechanically interlocked makes this consequence of the mechanical bond particularly noteworthy. ${ }^{[15]}$
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# Half-rotation in a [2]catenane via interconvertible $\mathbf{P d}(\mathrm{II})$ coordination modes $\dagger$ 
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Reaction of a [2]catenane with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ binds both macrocycles to the metal, locking them in position; treatment with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, however, results in coordination of only one ring, producing a half-turn in the relative orientation of the [2]catenane components in both solution and the solid state.

The development of novel ways to bring about changes in the relative positions of mechanically interlocked sub-molecular components is an important area of investigation in the emerging field of synthetic molecular machines. ${ }^{1}$ In terms of rotational processes, a formal half-turn of a ring in a [2]catenane ${ }^{2}$ not only corresponds to a simple mechanical switch, ${ }^{3}$ but is also a step towards the more demanding requirements of a synthetic rotary molecular motor. ${ }^{4}$ Here we report on a simple [2]catenane system in which the different binding modes of the interlocked rings to Pd (II) cause a major change in the position and orientation of the macrocycles in the resulting complexes.


Scheme 1 Synthesis and interconversion of $\mathrm{Pd} 1,1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$. $\dagger$

[^8]The square planar coordination preference of Pd (II) can be used to direct the macrocyclization of suitable tridentate ligands around 2,6-dimethyleneoxypyridine-based threads to form rotaxanes. ${ }^{5,6}$ We reasoned that a similar strategy could be used to make a palladium [2]catenate by incorporating the monodentate unit into a macrocycle. Pleasingly, Pd1 was isolated in $58 \%$ yield from $\mathbf{2}$ via the three step (ligand coordination, ring-closing olefin metathesis, hydrogenation) reaction sequence shown in Scheme 1.7

De-metallation of $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{(} \mathbf{K C N}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \rightarrow 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$ ) afforded the catenane $\mathrm{IH}_{2}$ in which pyridine-amidepyridine H -bonding ${ }^{6}$ holds the macrocycles in a similar position to that seen for Pd1 in both solution and the solid state. The well defined orientation of the two fragments is clearly apparent from comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the two catenanes (Fig. 1b and c) with those of the non-interlocked component macrocycles (Fig. 1a and le). In the room temperature spectra of both $\mathbf{1 H}_{2}$ (Fig. 1b) and Pd1 (Fig. 1c) the upfield shift of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}$


Fig. $1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 9: 1 \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, 2.5 mM ) (a) non-interlocked bis-amide macrocycle, (b) $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, (c) Pd 1 , (d) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ and (e) $\mathrm{Pd} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (non-interlocked tetra-ether macrocycle bound to $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ ). The grey signals correspond to residual solvents. The dark blue circles in the cartoon rings indicate the position of the pyridine nitrogen atoms.


Fig. 2 X-Ray crystal structures of: (a) $1 \mathrm{H}_{2},{ }^{6}$ (b) $\mathrm{Pd} 1{ }^{7}$ (c) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}^{8}$ (single molecule view; note the change of position and orientation of the yellow macrocycle compared to $\mathrm{Pd1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and (d) adjacent molecules of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ showing intermolecular $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{HN}$ hydrogen bonding. Carbon atoms of the bis-amide macrocycle are shown in light blue and those of the tetra-ether macrocycle and coordinated acetonitrile molecule in yellow; oxygen atoms are red nitrogen dark blue, hydrogen white, palladium grey, chlorine green. For clarity only amide hydrogen atoms are shown. Selected bond lengths for $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}[\AA]$ : N2H-N5 2.21; N11H-N5 2.31; N5-N41 12.93. Selected bond angles for $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathbf{1 H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}\left[{ }^{[ }\right]: \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} 5108.8$; N11-H N5 104.1.
resonances indicate a $\pi$-stacking arrangement between the benzylic amide rings of the 'blue' macrocycle and the pyridine group of the 'orange' macrocycle. In contrast, the alkyl chain protons are not shielded by interactions with aromatic rings in either catenane. The solution geometries suggested by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies correspond well to the solid state structures of $\mathbf{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Fig. 2a) and Pd1 (Fig. 2b) determined by X-ray crystallography. Reaction of $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{MeCN}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%\right)$ re-forms the co-conformationally locked catenate, Pd 1

Reaction of $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\right.$, $85 \%$ ) afforded a second catenane $\mathrm{Pd}(11)$ complex in which the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ of the 'blue' macrocycle were clearly still present (Fig. 1d). X-Ray crystallography (Fig. 2c and d) on a single crystal obtained from slow cooling a saturated acetonitrile solution confirmed this complex to be $\mathrm{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$, in which only one of the macrocyclic rings is coordinated to the palladium metal ion, presumably as a consequence of both the greater strength of the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond compared to $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{OAc}$ and the poor basicity of the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion.

The effect of the different coordination mode on the relative positions and orientations of the two macrocycles in the catenane
is dramatic. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (Fig. 1d) the resonances corresponding to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{H}_{g}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyl }}$ are shifted significantly upfield, indicating that each macrocycle is located preferentially over the aliphatic region of the other. ${ }^{9}$ The X-ray crystal structure (Fig. 2c and d) shows a similar geometry exists in the solid state. An additional feature of the X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ is the presence of intermolecular $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{HNCO}$ hydrogen bonds between adjacent molecules (Fig. 2d). The negligible change in the chemical shift of the amide protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ between $\mathrm{1H}_{2}$ (Fig. la) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (Fig. 1d) suggests this interaction is weak in solution, ${ }^{6}$ nevertheless it has been successfully utilised ${ }^{10}$ to direct the formation of pseudorotaxanes.

The three catenanes $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pdl}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{1H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ are all directly interconvertible (Scheme 1): the palladium complexes are de-metallated with $\mathrm{KCN} ; \operatorname{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ is converted into Pd 1 by treatment with NaH , and the reverse reaction is promoted by HCl in $\mathrm{MeCN} .{ }^{11}$ It is interesting to note the macrocycles adopt similar positions and orientations in $\mathrm{Pd} \mathbf{l}$ and $\mathbf{1 H}_{2}$ but in the former they are locked in place by a coordination bond whereas in the latter they are held in the thermodynamic minimum only by weak and dynamic H -bonds. The preferred co-conformation of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{MeCN}$ is very different to the other two, presumably on steric grounds, and as such its formation from either of the others corresponds to a large amplitude rotational switch. It is rare to find such a rich variation in structure and dynamics made possible through simple manipulation of coordination modes.
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