SCOTLAND'S TELEVISION: HALFWAY HOME

Ian Bell

THE PROSPECT of 1988's European Year of Film and had about as much impact on Scottish Television viewers as public information film. The underlying assumption of the indigenous broadcasting is under threat from a flood of US obvious (not to say ironic) enough to leave most of us cold.

ision rage that ct, is

In Scotland we have had little enough broadcasting of our cyears. Traditionally Scottish Television, Grampian, BBC S Border have been the out-stations of English metropolitan prothe country subsists on a diet of 'foreign' TV, from London or

r the and and

People who talk about the hegemony of US television ter that the power of American producers has nothing to do with their industry. Conversely, while Scotland supports TV st regarded as being too small to sustain a TV service. We are, b and figuratively, a client state. rget re of it is rally

Put it this way; in the late 20th century television is perhal most powerful medium for the shaping and projection of national identity—if you are not on TV you do not exist. Yet in the ye 1987, Scottish Television supplied (or was allowed to supplied to supplied to the 3,492 hours of networked programmes shown of Grampian supplied 0.7 percent. The figures for the BBC are I Scotland, with 10 percent of the UK population, earns only a 'representation' at a time when our political distinctiveness has more marked.

ngle lture arch 2.5 /hile tter. cent

Channel Four with special remit to serve minorities, fails minority of all. They get £15 million of income from Scottish Tel Grampian and 10 percent of audience, but commissions to Scots amount to only 0.75 percent of production budget at a gu

and oout

The Scottish broadcasting industry, meanwhile, is worth and our indigenous broadcasters carry a greater burden of respethe areas of language, culture, religion, sport and education counterparts south of the Border. Scottish Television, for that monly major media outlet in the country which is Scottish-owned

jobs ty in heir the

Scottish Government Yearbook 1989

Twenty years ago Stuart Hood wrote an article entitled 'The Backwardness of Scottish Television' which depicted a broadcasting landscape scarred by a legacy of BBC cowardice in the face of authority at a time when the Canadian Lord Thomson was, not to put too fine a point on it, looting STV. Hood demanded more documentaries in the Grierson mould and more 'realistic' drama. He wrote: 'Both on a popular and on a higher creative level regional accents must be heard.' He concluded that 'a radicalisation of Scottish life in all areas' was the key to the development of Scottish Television.

It was not an encouraging picture. Yet twenty years on, if you should chance to talk to the people who run TV in London, you would be forgiven for thinking that little or nothing has changed. Michael Grade, the man who runs Channel Four, told this writer recently that Scottish independent producers 'moan' constantly about lack of access yet fail to generate sufficient programme ideas. Scottish commercial stations, which complain in similar terms, gather much advertising revenue from his channel, he suggested, and their grievances were not worth considering. More startlingly, he thought that SC4, the Welsh version of Four, was necessary and justifiable because of threats to that country's language and culture; similar considerations did not apply to Gaelic!

The real worry is that there is little or nothing that Scottish programme makers can do about such attitudes. Scottish Television recently won for itself a place on the ITV controllers' group representing the ten 'regional' companies in a network dominated by right of the Big Five who are fighting a rearguard action to defend their privileged position. Winning more access to the UK network can be very rewarding in terms of programme variety and production jobs. The extra access won in the last year allowed STV to double programme sales to £11 million. Its 'fair share' would be double that – enough to create hundreds of new jobs.

Politics aside, it is the demands of the networks which cripple Scottish broadcasting. With their own market too small to generate revenue for programme makers, the ITV companies must serve and protect Scotland while touting their wares to an English audience.

Nevertheless, Scottish broadcasting has changed immeasurably since Stuart Hood composed his critique. The very existence of independent producers is beginning, slowly, to establish a continuity of production and skills – a nascent industry, in other words. Both the BBC and Scottish have demonstrated, if only from time to time, that they can make programmes of quality which both reflect their origins and satisfy the networks, whether they be Tutti Fruitti or Taggart. Cable, meanwhile, is slowly extending its tentacles in Aberdeen, Glasgow and Edinburgh.

It is not going too far to suggest that even in their news reporting the

Scottish Government Yearbook 1989

Scottish stations are beginning to achieve a style and an attitude which reflects the country's political condition and marks them out from their complacent and slavish English counterparts. It is no accident that the troubled Secret Society series, which so provoked Downing Street, was made in Glasgow.

Downing Street has a knack of hitting back, however. It seems more than likely that the hard-won gains of Scottish broadcasting over the past twenty years will be forfeited if plans for 'deregulation' and satellite broadcasting are carried out in their present form. Both Scottish and Grampian will, for example, have to fight hard just to survive if the Independent Broadcasting Authority is abolished and franchises are auctioned to the highest, multi-national bidder. Satellite, by definition, is no respecter of national boundaries or identities. The BBC, meanwhile, is running backwards in the face of a hostile government.

Unless and until Scottish broadcasting is regarded as a national asset such processes can only accelerate. The European Year of Film and Television has been an attempt to foster national identities within the continent while calling for more co-productions and EEC legislation to protect indigenous broadcasting. Scotland, forever on the periphery, needs that initiative more than most – and never more so than now. Just when we were half-way home and dry, the tide is rising again.

Ian Bell, Glasgow Herald.