## THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

This thesis has been submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree (e.g. PhD, MPhil, DClinPsychol) at the University of Edinburgh. Please note the following terms and conditions of use:

This work is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, which are retained by the thesis author, unless otherwise stated.
A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge.
This thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the author.
The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the author.
When referring to this work, full bibliographic details including the author, title, awarding institution and date of the thesis must be given.

## SCHOOL OF CHEMISTRY

## THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH



# Elemental and supramolecular diversity of nanoporous phthalocyanine crystals 

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by:

Nikolaos - Angelos Stamos

## Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor and friend, Professor Neil B. McKeown, for not only giving me the chance to join his research group but also for his continual guidance and support over the past four years. Furthermore, I would like to thank all the post-docs: Lino, Grazia, Rich, Bibi, Kadhum and John for their help and support that guided me through my various projects. I would also like to thank everyone I had chance to work alongside in the lab during my PhD: Luke, Mike, Ian, Rhodri, Rich, Sandy, Hannah, Panos, Jie, ChunChun, Sarah, Ali, Sadiq, Travis, Emily, Mariagiulia, HongChun and Khairul who have made the lab a thoroughly enjoyable place to work in and given their support whenever I needed it. Without all of these people I don't think I would have been able to make it through the past few years to finish my PhD and so I can only wish them all the best of luck in their futures.

A special thanks go to my first (Elise Wolters, Isabel He, Jack Hemingway and Johnny Walmsley), second (Bella Chen, Jinling Wang, Lucinda Wilson and Scarlett Brown) and third (Igor Zamorski, Charlie Nason, Toria Twiddy and Iona Ivalo) 4P students who I had the pleasure of supervising during their time in their project, they were keen students who I enjoyed working with. They have made contributions to some of the work discussed in this thesis and I would like to not only acknowledge this but to thank them for their hard work and dedication to their projects.

A big thanks is also needed for the many collaborators that have made some of the work in this thesis possible. First, is to Stephen Moggach and Charlie McMonagle and Mark Warren for their crystallographic expertise and time dedicated to long trips to Diamond. Second is to Floriana Tuna and Eduardo Guimarães Vieira as well as the EPSRC UK National EPR Facility at Manchester for the EPR measurements of our complexes. I would also like to thank all the technical staff for their expertise and commitment to helping those when they need it. I would like to make a special thank you to the crystallography service, in particular Gary Nichol, who has not only facilitated us in our crystallographic endeavours, but also for his passion to help guide those such as myself in the art of crystallography.

I would like to thank my family, Andreas, Athanasia, Valeria and Marianna for their ever-enduring support in all my endeavours, because of their dedication and love I have made it to where I am today. I, of course, cannot forget to thank my friends as well, especially: Moutas, Antonis, Polydoros, Myrto, Stephan, Ingo and every single one of my friends in Edinburgh, Munich, Sydney and Athens. They have always been there for me and I have always been able to count on their friendship through thick and thin. Furthermore, I would like to thank both Nick and Karen for the support. They have been true, dedicated friends who help me unwind from the stresses of academia and work, even if it is in an unconventional way.

## Lay summary

Phthalocyanines (Pcs) are a large, aromatic organic conjugated compound that is composed of four isoindole units that are linked through nitrogen atoms. The unsubstituted phthalocyanines are completely planar and have a ring system of $18 \pi$-electrons. Due to the extensive delocalization of the $\pi$-electrons the molecule affords useful properties, such as being used as pigment and dyes. Furthermore, the macrocycle can host a large variety of elements, which can be useful in catalysis, organic solar cells and photodynamic therapy. There has been extensive research in nanobiotechnology and photodiagnosis, but the system that will be described in this thesis, was created in the McKeown group and the aggregation issues of the phthalocyanines were overcome, forming a nanoporous molecular crystal.


#### Abstract

Phthalocyanines (Pcs) have a wide variety of applications as colorants, photodynamic therapeutics, photovoltaic materials, catalysts etc. Pcs tend to co-facially aggregate due to the $\pi$ $-\pi$ interactions between their aromatic macrocycles, which may hinder certain applications. The insertion of 2,6-di-iso-propylphenoxy substituents at the peripheral sites of the $\mathrm{Pc}\left[(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{Pc}\right]$ proved successful in preventing aggregation between the macrocycles while the bulky substituents created accessible voids towards the active metal centre. Upon crystallisation of various metal (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ derivatives, clathrates with an interesting cubic structure, where the volume occupied by the crystallisation solvent amounts to around $40 \%$ of the unit cell, were obtained. Suitably sized bidentate ligands act as wall-ties to stabilise the crystal structure upon removal of the solvents that otherwise would cause loss of crystallinity. We have found that there is an astonishing range of metal cations, axial ligands and molecular wall-ties that are compatible with the formation of the porous crystal. Preliminary data using a gas cell for the in-situ analysis of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, NO and CO binding to the metal cation will be reported. In addition, the co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ with tetraphenyl porphyrin ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ ) and the in-situ incorporation of bidentate ligands and of metals in the macrocycle of the TPP will be demonstrated.
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## Chapter I:

## Introduction

### 1.1 The history of phthalocyanines

Phthalocyanines (Pc) were named by Reginald Linstead (Imperial College, London) from the combination of the prefix phthal, from the Greek word naphtha (vá $\phi \theta \alpha$ ) which means rock oil and the Greek cyano (kuavó) which means blue. They are $18-\pi$ electron aromatic macrocycles, formed by four isoindole units and can form complexes with over sixty different metal ions. Their structure is closely related to that of porphyrins with the main difference being the fused benzene rings and the addition of nitrogen atoms in the meso positions the benzene fused rings and the nitrogens in the meso positions (Figure 1.1)..$^{1,2}$

b.


Figure 1.1. a. Structure of phthalocyanine, b. Structure of porphine
An accident led to the identification of the structure of the phthalocyanines. In 1928, during the course of the industrial production of phthalimide from phthalic anhydride and ammonia in the Grangemouth works of Scottish Dyes Ltd, the glass-lined reaction vessel had cracked, exposing the outer steel casing to the reaction resulting in the formation of a blue-green material. Preliminary studies revealed that this material contained iron and was stable, insoluble and could be used as pigment. In 1928, Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI) acquired Scottish Dyes Ltd. and sent a sample of this by-product to Thorp and Linstead at Imperial Collage London for further investigation. Papers from this collaboration describe the structure of Pc and the synthesis of
some metal derivatives. ${ }^{3-8} \ln 1934$, Robertson obtained the structure of phthalocyanine by X-ray structure determination, making it one of the first organic compounds to have its structure confirmed by crystallography. ${ }^{9-13}$ From the 1930s to the 1950s, solubility and polymorphism, absorption spectra, ${ }^{14}$ magnetic and catalytic properties, ${ }^{15}$ oxidation and reduction potentials ${ }^{16}$ were investigated.

### 1.2 Applications of phthalocyanines

To date phthalocyanines have been used as blue-green colorants. Further to that, phthalocyanines have found many more applications in different fields, such as photodynamic therapy (e.g. PcP), catalysis (e.g. PcM, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Ru}$ ), as photoconductors in copying machines and printers and as light absorbents of recordable compact disks (CD-R), ${ }^{17}$ nonlinear optical materials, sensing agents, ${ }^{18}$, photovoltaic (e.g. PcGe), semiconductors, ${ }^{19}$ etc. ${ }^{20,21}$

### 1.3 Methods of phthalocyanine synthesis

Metal-free and metallated phthalocyanines can be synthesized via several methods (Figure 1.2 $a, b)$ each involving the cyclotetramerisation of an aromatic precursor. The main difference between these methods is how mild or forcing the conditions are. Alternatively, the synthesis of metallated Pcs, by deprotonating and inserting the metal into metal-free macrocycle, is considerably milder than coordination of phthalonitrile with metal salt in the presence of high boiling solvents. The methods described below, in the subchapters 1.3.1 and 1.3.2, are the ones that were used to synthesize the metal-free and metallated phthalocyanine of the derivative used in the current project.
a.






Figure 1.2. a. The synthesis of metal-free phthalocyanine. Reagents and conditions: Method I: lithium, refluxing pentanol, aqueous hydrolysis. Method II: Heat with DBU or DBN or $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in refluxing pentanol or heat in DMAE. Method III: Fuse with hydroquinone. Method IV: Reflux in a high-boiling-point alcohol. b. The synthesis of metallated phthalocyanine. Reagents and conditions: Method V: Heat in a high-boilingpoint solvent (e.g. quinoline) with metal salt. Method VI : Heat in a high-boiling-point solvent with metal salt and urea.

### 1.3.1 Metal-free phthalocyanine

Method I: Metal-Free Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile by Subsequent Removal of Metal Ions Lithium, sodium, or magnesium alkoxides, formed in-situ with the addition of the metal to a primary alcohol (usually $n$-pentan-1-ol), are used for the cyclotetramerisation of phthalonitrile. The metal ions (e.g. $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ) can be removed from phthalocyanine with acid, therefore when the cyclotetramerisation is complete, the metal ions are removed to give $\mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ with an acidic or aqueous work-up (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{22,23}$


Figure 1.3. The synthesis of metal-free phthalocyanine $\left(\mathrm{PcH}_{2}\right)$. Method I: Lithium, sodium, or magnesium in n-pentan-1-ol.

### 1.3.2 The synthesis of metal phthalocyanine

Metal containing phthalocyanine can be synthesized from phthalonitrile with four different methods.

Method II: Metal Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile in Bulk Reaction

Heating phthalonitrile with a metal salt in a bulk reaction (without solvent) is a direct and convenient method of preparing phthalocyanines (Figure 1.4). The melting point of the phthalonitrile is above $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ so the cyclotetramerisation is taking place at temperatures above $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Typical method is using microwave irradiation.

Method III: Metal Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile in Solvent

In addition, the cyclotetramerisation of phthalonitrile with suitable metal compounds can be achieved in solution. Useful solvents for this method are high-boiling solvents such as quinoline, DMF, DMAE, and 1-chloronaphthalene (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{24}$

Method IV: Metal Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile and Base

Furthermore, heating phthalonitrile with a metal salt in a solvent and DBU or DBN is an efficient method for the cyclotetramerisation of phthalonitrile providing metal-containing phthalocyanines (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{25}$

Method V: Metal Phthalocyanine from Metal-Free Phthalocyanine

Complexing $\mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ with metal ions is one of the most basic methods to introduce a metal into the cavity of the phthalocyanine and can be achieved under mild conditions. In order for this reaction to be successful, the metal-free Pc needs to be soluble in common organic solvents (e.g. $n$ -pentan-1-ol, o-dichlorobenzene). ${ }^{22}$ A small amount of DBU is added to the solution to deprotonate the $\mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ and then the metal salt is added (Figure 1.4).




Figure 1.4. The synthesis of metal phthalocyanine (PcM).

### 1.4 Substitution sites on phthalocyanines

The phthalocyanine macrocycle has sixteen possible sites for substitution. When a metal ion is coordinated to the Pc, it can provide axial binding sites for further ligand incorporation. The ring sites on the benzene ring can be distinguished as peripheral and non-peripheral ( $B$ and $A$ sites respectively in Figure 1.5). Incorporating axial ligands or ring substituents can improve the solubility or change the physical properties ${ }^{26,27}$ of the phthalocyanine and inhibit the phthalocyanines tendency to co-facial aggregate due to the strong $\pi-\pi$ interaction.


Figure 1.5. Possible sites for substitution of the phtalocyanines. Lindicates axial ligands on the metal ion, B peripheral and A non-peripheral sites.

### 1.5 Nanoporous molecular crystals (NMCs)

Nanoporous materials are solids that contain interconnected pores and are used for catalysis, adsorption, separation and many other different applications. ${ }^{28,29}$ By the definition of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), porous materials can be divided into three separate categories based on the predominant pore size: microporous materials contain pore diameters larger than 50 nm , mesoporous materials have diameters between $2-50 \mathrm{~nm}$ and microporous materials possess pore diameters smaller than $2 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{30}$ These porous materials can be either organic, inorganic or a combination of both and can be either man made or natural occurring and have attracted attention for the potential applications. Nanoporous is a nonsystematic term that is often used for materials whose pores straddle the boundary between micro- and meso-porous.

By the definition of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), clathrates and inclusion compounds are all nomenclature closely related to each other. A complex in which one component (the host) forms a cavity or, in a case of a crystal, a crystal lattice containing spaces in the shape of long tunnels or channels in which molecular entities of a second chemical species (the guest) are located. There is no covalent bonding between guest and host, the attraction being generally due to van der Waals forces. If the spaces in the host lattice are
enclosed on all sides so that the guest species is 'trapped' as in a cage, such compounds are known as clathrates or 'cage compounds'. Nassimbeni, over 15 years ago, categorised into two generalised types of host molecules, those that form molecular complexes by fitting guests (e.g. solvents, anti-solvents) into the concave cavity or void of the host, and those that form lattice inclusion compounds by packing in such a manner as to leave cavities, channels, or layers in the crystal structure so as to accommodate various guest molecules. ${ }^{31}$

The porosity of NMCs can be generated from one or a combination of two strategies (Figure 1.6); in intrinsically porous molecular crystals (iNMCs), such as cage-like compounds, the porosity arises from the pre-fabricated internal cavities within the molecules themselves; in extrinsically porous molecular crystals (eNMC) the porosity arises as a result of inefficient packing of the molecules in the solid state, thus they are consequently more difficult to design and predict; ${ }^{32}$ and finally a combination of the two strategies can result in both an intrinsically and extrinsically based porous material. ${ }^{33}$


Figure 1.6. Intrinsic (light blue square) and extrinsic (yellow space between spherical molecules) pore spaces formed by molecular species in a periodic assembly. ${ }^{34}$

Conventional porous materials consist of crystalline inorganic frameworks (e.g. zeolites) or amorphous structures (e.g. silica and activated carbon). There have been major advances in the synthesis of new nanoporous materials using molecular components, for example, the crystalline organic-inorganic hybrid materials, such as the Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs) or the Covalent Organic Frameworks (COFs) (Figure 1.7).


Figure 1.7. Schematic representation of formation of conventional nanoporous materials (e.g. MOFs and COFs) and of Nanoporous Molecular Crystals (NMCs). ${ }^{35}$

Nanoporous Molecular Crystals (NMCs) ${ }^{35}$ are materials that are composed of discrete molecules (e.g. molecules with awkward shape that have concave faces) between which there are only weak interactions (e.g. hydrogen bonding or van der Waals interactions). Such materials combine nanoporosity with the ability to be dissolved and then reassembled. They are formed by simple crystallisation and subsequent removal of the included solvent of crystallisation. Solvent removal from clathrates usually results in the collapse of the structure and loss of crystallinity or causes a phase change leading to denser non-nanoporous crystals. Instead, in the NMCs the packing is retained and nanoporosity is gained (Figure 1.8). A notable family of nanoporous molecular materials are cages, which can be considered as prefabricated pores. In particular the work of the Cooper group has demonstrated that cages can form predictable crystal structures ${ }^{36}$ with applications in molecular separations. ${ }^{37}$


Figure 1.8. Possible outcomes following removal of solvents from a clathrate: (a) collapse to a nonnanoporous denser crystal, (b) collapse to an amorphous mass, (c) exchange with another solvent, (d) formation of a NMC. ${ }^{38}$

More specifically, as Nassimbeni, ${ }^{31}$ and then Green and Lloyd ${ }^{34}$ described, the production of a potentially porous crystalline material from a molecular building component required some form of crystallisation process (Figure 1.9). In most cases, when a crystallisation is performed, the host prefers to occupy most of the space in the unit cell without leaving any free space, but if the packing is not optimal for there are concaves then this results in the inclusion of guest molecules, such as solvent or anti-solvent, resulting in a multicomponent material. The densely packed solid form is described as the apohost, where apo comes from the Greek word $\alpha$ ró, meaning furthest point from, and the host which is the host structure. Since it is densely packed it exhibits the most stable lattice energy and will be referred as the $\alpha$ phase. Since the structure is concave, a
recrystallisation of the apohost, from the dissolved molecules in a solution that may contain potential guest molecules (anti-solvent in a vapour diffusion recrystallisation), can result in an inclusion complex ( $\beta$ phase). When materials are obtained with the same structure with different guest species, then these forms are all the same $\beta$ phase. If the guest : host ratio decreases (e.g. temperature rises) and there is an expulsion of guest, then there is a new lattice compound ( $\gamma$ phase). A complete evacuation of the guest molecules from the structure leaving the host empty but retaining the host lattice structure results in a $\beta_{\circ}$ phase. If the host lattice structure collapses then the result could be either a reformation of the $\alpha$ phase (apohost) or a close-packed polymorph of the $\alpha$ phase. The guest molecule can be exchanged with another guest molecule when the host lattice structure has already formed, resulting in a $\delta$ phase. This transformation must occure through a single crystal to single crystal mechanism and the $\delta$ phase may show its own versions of the $\gamma$ and $\beta_{o}$ phases.


Figure 1.9. Schematic of the formation and decomposition of inclusion compounds. (i) The "close-packed" apohost lattice $\alpha$ phase is dissolved into a solution that may contain potential guest molecules (green dots), (ii) Recrystallisation results in an inclusion complex ( $\beta$ phase) with guests. (iii) Partial decomplexation can occur, resulting in a new lattice ( $\gamma$ phase). There can be a number of $\gamma$ phases, e.g. stepped decomplexation. (iv) Complete decomplexation but retention of the host lattice structure ( $\beta_{0}$ phase). (v) Collapse of the host lattice reforming the $\alpha$ phase. (vi) Post-assembly modification through guest exchange resulting in a $\delta$ phase. ${ }^{34}$

### 1.6 Phthalocyanine Nanoporous Crystals (PNCs) ${ }^{39-41}$

Until 2005, there were few reports of inclusion compounds formed by phthalocyanines, since their tendency to co-facially aggregate because of their planarity and $\pi-\pi$ interactions. ${ }^{42-47}$ In order to form a NMC, the cofacial aggregation that characterizes Pc crystals needed to be overcome. This can be achieved by inserting bulky substituents at the periphery of the macrocycle to cause severe steric crowding or by placing large atomic radius metal ions within the central cavity to disrupt aggregation.

In 2005, McKeown et al. ${ }^{39}$ reported a phthalocyanine derivative clathrate of cubic symmetry containing interconnected solvent-filled nanometres size voids. The synthesis of zinc complex of 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine (PNC[Zn]) was achieved via metal template cyclotetramerisation of 4,5-di(2',6'-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile which had been previously obtained by nucleophilic aromatic substitution of 2,6-di-isopropylphenol on 4,5-dichlorophthalonitrile (Scheme. 1.1). ${ }^{48}$ The recrystallisation by slow diffusion of acetone into a solution of the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ afforded large crystals with cubic symmetry, as the same cubic structure was obtained for the PNC[M] (for $\mathrm{M}=$ red coloured elements in Figure 1.10).


Scheme 1.1. Synthesis of zinc phthalocyanine derivative. Conditions: a. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{b} . \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, NMP, $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 1.10. Periodic table depicting the state of play at the start of the PhD program with blue shading for elements that form complexes with phthalocyanines, red shading for the elements that form cubic nanoporous complexes with (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$, called (PNC[M]), and with yellow shading for the elements that do not form cubic structure as characterised by sc-XRD analysis, called (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$.

The XRD analysis revealed a remarkable structure (Figure 1.11) which belongs to the exceptionally rare space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ with only 171 structures published in CCDC and contains 12 (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ molecules per unit cell $(\mathrm{a}=3.77 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The phthalocyanine is distorted from planarity and assumes a cone-shape with the oxygen atom of the water ligand on the axial position, facing the cubic void and protruding from the molecular plane. The bulky substituents, 2,6-di-iso-propylphenoxy, lie perpendicular to the plane of the phthalocyanine inhibiting aggregation. The unit cell contains two solvent filled voids with six phthalocyanine molecules constituting the sides of each void and the distance between the metal centres of two phthalocyanines across the void being approximately 2.33 nm .


Figure 1.11. Molecular crystal structure of $\operatorname{PNC}[Z n]$ a. Face-on, b. Edge-on, c. The cubic packing arrangement of $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{Zn}]$ shown without the phenoxy substituents. The outer cube is the unit cell, with dimensions of 3.77 nm , which contains 12 molecules of PNC[Zn]. The inner cube represents one of the two voids of volume $8 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}$. The second void is divided in four parts, each quarter of the void positioned at each of the four corners of the unit cell and when added up they have a volume of $8 \mathrm{~nm}^{3} .{ }^{40}$

The solvent-occupied volume of the nanoporous structure of the PNCs is divided into two nonintersecting channels. The larger channels are named as the void, while the smaller channel as the cavity. The metal ions that are incorporated to the macrocycle have two different axial positions where a ligand can bind; one facing towards the cavity, and the other towards the void (Figure 1.12).


Figure 1.12. Space-filling model of the crystal structure composed of the phthalocyanine derivative where $M$ is the metal cation, $L v$ is the ligand facing towards the void, and $L c$ is the ligand facing towards the cavity. The void is shaded yellow and the cavity is shaded gray.

Apart from the axial water ligand on PNC[Zn], another 24 water molecules per unit cell associated through hydrogen-bonding interactions to the meso nitrogen atoms of the phthalocyanine ring, were found. The calculated density of the $\operatorname{PNC}[\mathrm{Zn}]$ was $0.75 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-3}$, and the solvent-accessible void volume was $20.5 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}$ per unit cell, about $38 \%$ of the total volume. The water refined by XRD analysis accounts for $1.6 \%$ of the crystallography determined total mass, while thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) showed a mass loss of $25.5 \%$ on heating up to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This additional mass loss can be attributed to the disordered solvent of recrystallisation which is estimated to be a molar ratio of $1 / 11$ of PNC[Zn]/acetone, which was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis by redissolving the crystals and integrating the peak. Exchange of solvent revealed the interconnectivity of the void and that each unit cell can host 132 molecules of acetone or $360 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or 240 methanol or 96 hexane molecules in the channels (determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). The clathrate is not stable upon removal of the included solvent, although the macroscopic appearance remains unchanged.

Apart from solvent exchange, axial ligands could be exchanged in single-crystal to single-crystal transformation (Figure 1.13). This exchange, which shows the accessibility of the metal center in the crystals, takes place either because the concentration of the new ligand is higher, or because there is higher affinity of the ligand with the metal ion.


Figure 1.13. Single-crystal to single-crystal transformation. The $P N C[Z n]$ has a water molecule on the axial position facing towards the void that is coordinated and by insertion of pyridine excess the pyridine takes the place of the water. Note the di-iso-propylphenoxy substituents are removed for clarity.

### 1.7 Stabilization of PNC with Bidentate ligands (Wall-ties) ${ }^{40,41}$

As has been stated, upon removal of the contained solvent from the inclusion compound, the crystal structure collapses. This problem could be overcome by inserting bidentate ligands such as 4,4-bipyridyl (bipy) (for the PNCs from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ or (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ ) or, in the case of the PNC from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$, 1,4-phenylenedi-iso-cyanide (pdic) within the cavity to stabilise the structure (Figure 1.14). Removal of the solvent by exposing the crystals to a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was confirmed by TGA, and the crystal stability by XRD analysis. As suggested by Barbour, ${ }^{49}$ the permanent microporosity of the crystals was confirmed by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption, with Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) surface areas ranging from $850-1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$.


Figure 1.14. Bipyridine (bipy) acting as a bidentate ligand (wall-tie). When a bidentate ligand is incorporated there is a reduction of the distance between the phthalocyanines and also it provides stabilization of the crystal structure upon solvent removal. ${ }^{40}$

### 1.8 Aims and objectives

Even at the start of the PhD research programme, which forms the basis for this thesis, it was clear that the PNC nanoporous crystal system offers considerable choice of metal cation contained in the central cavity of the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ macrocycle and axial ligand contained within both the voids and cavities of the PNC. During the early part of the research programme, further structural diversity was found by co-workers in the McKeown Group including lanthanide sandwich complexes (Luke Burt, unpublished) and the incorporation of fullerenes (Grazia Bezzu) (Figure 1.15). ${ }^{50}$ Hence, it was anticipated that even further structural diversity could be uncovered. The initial aim was to further expand and analyse the range of metals that can be incorporated into the PNC, by comparing the curvature in relation with the extrusion of the metal and with the metal ion radii as well as categorising into cubic and non-cubic space groups either with or without axial ligands - this work forms the basis for Chapter 2. In addition, the range of wall-tie ligands and sandwich compounds was also to be expanded, with the same analysis as the Chapter 2 as well as gas cell XRD in Diamond Light Source (DLS) and gas cell EPR spectroscopy in Manchester University, as discussed in Chapter 3. Chapter 3 also describes the unexpected discovery that the commonly studied tetraphenylporphyrin ligand could also be incorporated within the PNC structure, forming the new series of PNC, which will be named as PNC[vH2TPP / $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ], denoting that the metal-free porphyrin is on the side of the void and the phthalocyanine is metal-free.


Figure 1.15. The PNC formed by a lanthanide sandwich complex (left) and stabilised by a fullerene (right).

## Chapter II.

## Elemental diversity in nanoporous molecular crystals

### 2.1 Introduction

In previous work within the McKeown group many metal derivatives $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{V}\right.$, $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Au}$ ) of 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24octa( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ ] were prepared and provided cubic crystals belonging to the $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ space group that contained pores greater than $8 \mathrm{~nm}^{3} .{ }^{40}$ Remarkably, the same crystal structure has also been obtained for some double decker lanthanide (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMPc}$ complexes ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Tb}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Pr}$ and Yb ) in which case the smaller Pc ligands face into the voids (unpublished results, Luke Burt PhD, 2018). Since phthalocyanines can form complexes with $\sim 70$ metal ions (Figure 2.1), the partial objective of the PhD programme was to prepare new metal derivatives of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Sb}$, $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Bi})$, to investigate further the occurrence of the cubic nanoporous structure. The metals that were selected for the incorporation in the macrocycle are metals that have various applications. For example porphyrin derivatives containing phosphorus is known to act as photosensitizer ${ }^{51,52}$ and in photodynamic therapy of cancer. ${ }^{53}$ Iron has previously been employed in phthalocyanines due to a number of interesting catalytic properties. They are used for synthesis of sulfones, ${ }^{54}$ oxidation of phenol, ${ }^{55}$ oxidation-aromatization of ketones, ${ }^{56}$ making them potentially interesting to be employed within our nanoporous crystal system. Molybdenum containing porphyrins have been extensively studied for potential applications such as oxidation, ${ }^{57}$ epoxidation ${ }^{58}$ and nanotubes ${ }^{59}$, while molybdenum phthalocyanines are used for the preparation of formaldehyde by oxidation of methanol. ${ }^{60}$ Other applications for the remaining metals that were not mentioned above would be photocatalysts for the antimony, ${ }^{61,62}$ photosensitizers ${ }^{63}$ and oxygen reduction ${ }^{64}$ for the iridium, as electrocatalysts for hydrogen evolution and oxygen reduction, ${ }^{65}$ or used as batteries with replaceable metal anodes, ${ }^{66}$ or as triplet sensitizers ${ }^{67}$ for the platinum, phototransistors, ${ }^{68}$ and photocatalytic material ${ }^{69}$ for the lead and catalysts, ${ }^{70}$ sandwich complexes of $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Li},{ }^{71}$ and radiotherapy ${ }^{72}$ for the bismuth. Note that the legends shaded in red
indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme. This chapter summarises existing crystal data (including unpublished data) and new structure from crystals that were prepared during the PhD research programme.


Cubic structure
Not cubic structure

Figure 2.1. Periodic table depicting the state of play at the start of the PhD program with blue shading for elements that form complexes with phthalocyanines, red shading for the elements that form cubic nanoporous complexes with (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$, denoted $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{M}]$, and with yellow shading for the elements that do not form cubic structure as characterised by sc-XRD analysis, denoted (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$.

### 2.2 Synthesis of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$

For the synthesis of many (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ derivatives it was necessary to first prepare the key precursor $4,5-\mathrm{di}\left(2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{di}\right.$-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile, which can be made by nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the 2,6-di-iso-propylphenol on 4,5-dichlorophthalonitrile, as described in detail in the experimental section (Scheme 2.1). ${ }^{48,39}$

### 2.2.1 Synthesis of metal-free (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$

The cyclotetramerisation of 4,5-di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalonitrile using lithium dissolved in pentanol followed by acidic work-up gives (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ (Scheme 2.1).


Scheme 2.1. a. Synthesis of 4,5-di( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile. Conditions: $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. b. Synthesis of 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine. Reagents and conditions: i. Li, pentanol, reflux, 3 h , ii. Dilute AcOH, room temperature, overnight.

### 2.2.2 Synthesis of metal derivatives (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$

Metal derivatives of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ can be prepared using various synthetic techniques as described below (Scheme 2.2). The results were gathered in Table 2.1.


Scheme 2.2. Synthesis of metallated 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine. Reagents and conditions: Method I: $\mathrm{Mw} 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, metal salt. Method II: High boiling solvent (quinoline, DMF, DMAE), metal salt. Method III: DBU/DBN, metal salt. Method IV: Deprotonation with DBU, o-dichlorobenzene/n-pentan-1-ol, metal salt.

- Method I: Metal Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile in Bulk Reaction

Heating the phthalonitrile with a metal salt in a bulk reaction (without solvent) proved a direct and convenient method of preparing (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ (Scheme 2.2). The melting point of the phthalonitrile is above $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ so the cyclotetramerisation is taking place at temperatures above $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Typically microwave irradiation was used.

- Method II: Metal Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile in Solvent

The cyclotetramerisation of phthalonitrile with a suitable metal compound can be achieved in solution. Useful solvents for this method proved to be high-boiling solvents such as quinoline, DMF, DMAE, and 1-chloronaphthalene (Scheme 2.2).

- Method III: Metal Phthalocyanine from Phthalonitrile and Base

Heating phthalonitrile with a metal salt in a solvent and DBU or DBN proved an efficient method for the cyclotetramerisation of phthalonitrile providing metal-containing phthalocyanines (Scheme 2.2).

- Method IV: Metal Phthalocyanine from Metal-Free Phthalocyanine

Complexing metal-free (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ with metal-ions is a straightforward method to introduce a metal into the cavity of the phthalocyanine and can be achieved under mild conditions. This approach proved successful as (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ is soluble in common organic solvents (e.g. $n$ -pentan-1-ol, o-dichlorobenzene). A small amount of DBU was added to the solution to deprotonate the $\mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ prior to the addition of the metal salt (Scheme 2.2).

| Metal Phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | Tried methods | Synthesis method | Solvent | Reaction time (min) | Reaction temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield | m.p. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | FT-IT | UV-Vis | NMR | Mass Spectrometry | SCXRD |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcBi}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcBi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Bi}$ | I, II, III, IV | 1 | None | 120 | 220 | 10\% | > 300 | Done | Done | Done | Done | No |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPt}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcPt}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pt}$ | I, II, III, IV | 1 | None | 60 | 220 | 38\% | > 300 | Done | Done | Done | Done | No |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcW}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcW}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~W}$ | I, II, III, IV | 1 | None | 20 | 220 | 10\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | No |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | Quinoline | 240 | 240 | 60\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}$ | I, II, III, IV | 1 | None | 30 | 250 | 60\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[P] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | Pyridine | 60 | 118 | 50\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[Fe-v Cl] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | Quinoline | 240 | 180 | 50\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[Ge] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | Quinoline | 240 | 240 | 60\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[Mo] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mo}$ | I, II, III, IV | I | None | 90 | 250 | 35\% | > 300 | Done | Done | Done | Done | Done |
| PNC[Cd] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO}){ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | n-pentanol | 45 | 140 | 10\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[Sb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | n-pentanol / dichlorobenzene | 960 | 120 | 49\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[Re] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Re}$ | I, II, III, IV | 1 | None | 45 | 290 | 10\% | > 300 | Done | Done | Done | Done | Done |
| PNC[Ir] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pclr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ir}$ | I, II, III, IV | 1 | None | 120 | 220 | 15\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |
| PNC[Pb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | I, II, III, IV | IV | n-pentanol | 480 | 140 | 40\% | > 300 | Done | Done | No | Done | Done |

### 2.3 Characterisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} /(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$

The characterisation methods that were used for the molecular characterisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ were Fourier-Transform Infra-red spectroscopy (FT-IR), UV-visible adsorption spectroscopy (UV-Vis), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy (NMR, where applicable), Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionisation/Time of Flight/Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-TOF MS), Electron-Spray Ionisation Mass Spectrometry ESI-MS (in labile metallated samples). Only typical examples of spectra are shown below to illustrate use, however, details are reported in the experimental chapter. FT-IR gave near-identical spectra for all samples due to the dominance of the bonding in the Pc ligand.

There is a clear difference between the UV-Vis spectra of (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ and its metallated derivatives (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ in the Q -band region ( 600 to 750 nm ). A double peak is observed for for (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ whereas for (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ a single Q -band peak is observed. This difference is symmetry related and is useful for the determination of successful metalation (Figure 2.2).


Figure 2.2. Overlapped UV-Vis spectra of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ and (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ showing the difference in Qband structure.

Both ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were used for characterisation and representative examples of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra for (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ and a diamagnetic (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)$ are provided in Figure 2.3 and 2.4, respectively.


Figure 2.3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ with a quadrant of the phthalocyanine of $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ symmetry depicted.

At 8.2 ppm there are 8 protons that correlate to those directly attached to the benzo unit of the macrocycle. At around 7.5 ppm there are two peaks corresponding to 8 (triplet) and 16 (doublet) protons that correspond to those on the benzene rings of the phenoxy group. At 3.5 ppm there is a septet that correlates to the 16 protons of the C-H of the di-iso-propyl groups and at 1.25 ppm there is a broad peak corresponding to the 96 protons of the $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups. In the highly shielded position ( -0.75 ppm ) due to the Pc ring current are 2 protons bound on the nitrogen atoms that indicative of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ (Figure 2.3). The spectrum of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ is similar except for the lack of internal protons at -0.75 ppm (Figure 2.4).


Figure 2.4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ with a quadrant of the phthalocyanine of $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ symmetry depicted.

All new (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes provided MALDI-TOF-TOF MS spectra corresponding to their structure. A typical example of a spectrum is given below for (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$ (Figure 2.5). In each case the experimental spectrum can be compared to one calculated on the basis of the ideal molecular formula. Differences can occur due to protonation or axial complexation to the metal cation.


Figure 2.5. MALDI-TOF-TOF spectrum of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$.

### 2.4 Analysis of the molecular structures of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ from single crystal XRD

In this section the molecular structure, as determined by single crystal XRD, of all (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes prepared to date, including the new complexes prepared during the PhD programme are analysed with Mercury (version 4.0, 2019). Effect of the atomic radius of the metal cation, atomic number and charge on the extrusion of the metal from the plane of the macrocycle will be investigated. Also, the effect of the axial ligands binding on the metal extrusion will be noted. Initially, the chosen method of defining the distance of the metal extrusion from the plane defined by the four inner nitrogen atoms of the phthalocyanine and the curvature of the macrocycle will be described. The four nitrogen atoms that were selected for the creation of a centroid at the middle of the macrocycle are shown in Figure 2.6.


Figure 2.6. Selected nitrogen atoms (yellow net) used to create a centroid in the macrocycle.
The distance measured between the metal cation from the virtual centroid is shown in Figure 2.7. This distance was evaluated for all structures formed by (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes (cubic or non-cubic) including those with from the same metal but with different axial ligands.


Figure 2.7. Measured distance between the metal and the virtual centroid of the macrocycle.
Similarly, the curvature of the complexes was determining for all (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes by defining the positions of the carbon atoms from the substituted benzene rings of two opposing
isoindole units (Figure 2.8). Then by creating the two planes, the angle was measured to determine the deviation from planarity (Figure 2.9).


Figure 2.8. Carbon atoms (yellow net), which help to calculate the two intersecting planes.


Figure 2.9. Intersecting planes, which indicate the deviation from planarity.

### 2.5 Molecular structure analysis of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcM within non-cubic crystals

Most of the complexes crystallised in the cubic nanoporous structure, but several (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes provide crystals of different space groups (Figure 2.10-2.23; Table 2.2). Boron incorporation gives subphthalocyanines (dipPhO) ${ }_{6} \mathrm{PcB}$ containing only three benzoiminodoline ring rather than four for the standard phthalocyanine macrocycle. ${ }^{73}$ It is unsurprising that these complexes do not form the nanoporous cubic crystals. For the other examples of non-cubic structure from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes, it appears that planar complexes either with no axial ligands (i.e. $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}$ ) or only small water ligands (i.e. $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$ ) are favoured. In addition, dimeric complexes with bridging axial ligands do not form cubic crystals (Figure $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ and 2.23).


Figure 2.10. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{C}$


Figure 2.12. (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB, triclinic, $P \overline{1}$


Figure 2.11. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$, orthorhombic, Pbca


Figure 2.13. (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ SubPcB, orthorhombic, $\mathrm{Pna2}_{1}$


Figure 2.14. (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / m$
Figure 2.15. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcK}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$


Figure 2.16. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{c}$


Figure 2.18. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{C}$

Figure 2.20. (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
Figure 2.17. (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$


Figure 2.19. (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$


Figure 2.21. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}$, monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{C}$


Figure 2.22. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$, orthorhombic, Pnma
Figure 2.23. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcTh}$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
(Note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.)
A trend was observed when the macrocycle was not crystallising in the cubic structure. Firstly, in most cases the phthalocyanine was completely planar, which indicates that the metal is exactly at the middle of the macrocycle without extruding from the plane. Also, the di-iso-propylphenoxy groups orientate themselves perpendicular to the phthalocyanine, but one of them being above of the plane the other below, while in the cubic structure all the bulky substituents were aligned below the plane of the macrocycle (Figure 2.9). As can be observed, if the metal was substituted with two axial ligands, the metal has no extrusion from the plane of the macrocycle. Mostly probably the charge of the metal ion and the preferred geometry of the metal could have an impact on the space group chosen by the phthalocyanine. In the case of hafnium and thorium, there was strong curvature observed, but the whole molecule was crystallised in a more compact way, due to their dimeric structure, and the system was not nanoporous. The versatility of this system enables the formation of cubic nanoporous structures even from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with $\mathrm{M}=$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pt}$ by using an additive, which could be a an axial ligand, a bidentate ligand, a fullerene or porphyrin as will be described later. The metal complexes investigated in the current project, which did not form cubic structure were germanium and hafnium (Table 2.2).

| Metal Phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | $b$ (Å) | c (Å) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 1925.710 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 21.293(7) | 16.896(5) | 18.283(6) | 59778(7) | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 1925.710 | orthorhombic, Pbca | 19.4927(4) | 16.2918(10) | 38.420(2) | 12201(1) | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~B}$ | 1452.735 | orthorhombic, Pna2 ${ }_{1}$ | 17.0589(7) | 18.9511(7) | 29.1287(10) | 9416.76 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1446195 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{6} \mathrm{subPcB}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~B}$ | 1452.735 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / m$ | 12.3733(11) | 27.376(2) | 15.3281(13) | 5173.84 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1446196 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~B}$ | 1452.735 | triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | 17.9938(5) | 18.7702(6) | 21.3568(6) | 5856.358 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1446197 |
| (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcK}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcK}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~K}$ | 1961.664 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 21.7346(8) | 17.2849(6) | 17.0779(7) | 6306.22 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 1978.411 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 18.943(2) | 18.658(2) | 33.270(4) | 11744.5 | 4 | 0 | 0.666 |  |
| (dip PhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 38.714(3) | 16.8469(12) | 18.2104(13) | 11876.1(15) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 900380 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni}$ | 1981.259 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 21.370(2) | 16.88255(18) | 18.726(2) | 6098.7(11) | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dip PhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}$ | 1986.112 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 38.607(2) | 16.8676(10) | 18.4282(11) | 11997 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}$ | 2031.415 | triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | 13.6357(12) | 13.9372(14) | 17.1525(15) | 3115.85 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}$ | 2034.434 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | 20.9390(17) | 15.8950(10) | 19.2421(15) | 6102.42 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}$ | 2101.051 | orthorhombic, Pnma | 63.9973(8) | 25.2396(5) | 20.3123(6) | 32809.8 | 2 | 36.34 | 1.147 |  |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcTh}$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcTh}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ Th | 2154.604 | triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | 21.0441(9) | 23.3749(12) | 40.9956(19) | 18387.3 | 2 | 33.52 | 1.514 |  |
| Table 2.2. (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{M}$ that do not crystallise in the cubic nanoporous structure. Red coloured were synthesized for the current PhD programme, while black were from past group members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.6 Analysis of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcM molecules within the cubic crystals

Most (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes form cubic nanoporous crystals especially if an axial ligand other than water is present. In each case there is distinct phthalocyanine curvature and metal extrusion from the plane of the macrocycle. The distance of the metal from the centre of the macrocycle deviates from 0.009 Å to $1.611 \AA$, while the angle of the two intersecting planes could deviate from $41.07^{\circ}$ for the lead phthalocyanine to $19.60^{\circ}$ for the chromium phthalocyanine (Table 2.3).

| Metal Phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a(\AA$ ) | $b$ (Å) | $c$ ( ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | z | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCD |
| PNC[Mg] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCMg}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mg}$ | 1946.871 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3320(5) | 37.3320(5) | 37.3320(5) | 52028.8(12) | 12 | 30.89 | 0.170 |  |
| PNC[AI] | (dipPh) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCAI}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Al}$ | 1949.548 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4064(4) | 37.4064(4) | 37.4064(4) | 52340.5(10) | 12 | 26.48 | 0.062 |  |
| PNC[Si] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCSi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}$ | 1950.652 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.612(7) | 37.612(7) | 37.612(7) | 53208.3 | 12 | 20.17 | 0.023 |  |
| PNC[P] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}$ | 1968.858 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6935(3) | 37.6935(3) | 37.6935(3) | 53554.9 | 12 | 20.80 | 0.015 |  |
| PNC[Sc] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCSC}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SC}$ | 1967.522 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1225(8) | 37.1225(8) | 37.1225(8) | 51157.8 | 12 | 36.94 | 1.072 |  |
| PNC[Ti] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCTI}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti}$ | 1970.433 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5117(9) | 37.5117(9) | 37.5117(9) | 52784(2) | 12 | 25.90 | 0.745 |  |
| PNC[Cr] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCCr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cr}$ | 1974.562 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7555(3) | 37.7555(3) | 37.7555(3) | 53819.6 | 12 | 19.60 | 0.003 |  |
| PNC[Mn] | (dip Pho) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCMn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mn}$ | 1977.504 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2511(5) | 37.2511(5) | 37.2511(5) | 51691.3(12) | 12 | 26.69 | 0.059 | 12 |
| PNC[Fe] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 1978.411 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4562(14) | 37.4562(14) | 37.4562(14) | 52549.8 | 12 | 25.90 | 0.040 |  |
| PNC[Fe-vCl] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 2013.385 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4365(2) | 37.4365(2) | 37.4365(2) | 52466.9 | 12 | 24.90 | 0.056 |  |
| PNC[Zn] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCZn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}$ | 1987.975 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6973(2) | 37.6973(2) | 37.6973(2) | 53571.1 | 12 | 26.74 | 0.419 | 279644 |
| PNC[Ga] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCGa}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ga}$ | 1992.289 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6003(2) | 37.6003(2) | 37.6003(2) | 53158.9(6) | 12 | 28.30 | 0.119 |  |
| PNC[Ge] | (dipPh) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCGe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}$ | 2031.415 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.650(4) | 37.650(4) | 37.650(4) | 53370 | 12 | 27.66 | 0.173 |  |
| PNC[Y] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCY}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Y}$ | 2011.472 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1526 | 37.1526 | 37.1526 | 51282 | 12 | 37.23 | 1.353 |  |
| PNC[Zr] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCZr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zr}$ | 2103.790 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2617(3) | 37.2617(3) | 37.2617(3) | 51735.4 | 12 | 37.35 | 1.186 |  |
| PNC[Mo] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCMo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mo}$ | 2034.128 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5869(5) | 37.5869(5) | 37.5869(5) | 53101.8(2) | 12 | 32.5 | 0.613 |  |
| PNC[Ru] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCRu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}$ | 2023.631 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.700(7) | 37.700(7) | 37.700(7) | 53584(17) | 12 | 24.72 | 0.028 | 761414 |
| PNC[Rh] | (dipPh) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCRh}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}$ | 2025.472 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.396(2) | 37.396(2) | 37.396(2) | 52296.8 | 12 | 16.58 | 0.040 |  |
| PNC[Cd] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCCd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}$ | 2035.208 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3224(3) | 37.3224(3) | 37.3224(3) | 51988.7 | 12 | 36.47 | 1.007 |  |
| PNC[In] | (dipPhO) $)_{8}{ }^{\text {PCln }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{ln}$ | 2037.384 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4428(8) | 37.4428(8) | 37.4428(8) | 52493.4(19) | 12 | 33.92 | 0.995 |  |
| PNC[Sn] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCSn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}$ | 2041.276 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.776(9) | 37.776(9) | 37.776(9) | 53906(23) | 12 | 24.71 | 0.153 |  |
| PNC[Sb] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCSb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{149} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}$ | 2044.146 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3901(4) | 37.3901(4) | 37.3901(4) | 52272.1 | 12 | 29.32 | 1.119 |  |
| PNC[Pr] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCPr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 2063.474 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2220(12) | 37.2220(12) | 37.2220(12) | 51570(3) | 12 | 37.37 | 1.611 |  |
| PNC[Eu] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCEU}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Eu}$ | 2074.530 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.034(3) | 37.034(3) | 37.034(3) | 50792(8) | 12 | 35.55 | 1.428 |  |
| PNC[Tb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCTb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Tb}$ | 2081.491 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3171(3) | 37.3171(3) | 37.3171(3) | 51966.5 | 12 | 38.11 | 1.465 |  |
| PNC[Dy] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCDy}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Dy}$ | 2085.065 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.25810(10) | $37.25810(10)$ | $37.25810(10)$ | 51720.4 | 12 | 38.23 | 1.343 |  |
| PNC[Yb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCYb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Yb}$ | 2095.604 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 36.9702(8) | 36.9702(8) | 36.9702(8) | 50530(19) | 12 | 39.56 | 1.315 |  |
| PNC[Re] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCRe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Re}$ | 2124.315 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6052(2) | 37.6052(2) | 37.6052(2) | 53179.4 | 12 | 27.81 | 0.598 |  |
| PNC[Ir] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ cr | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} / \mathrm{r}$ | 2114.340 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4658(2) | 37.4658(2) | 37.4658(2) | 52590.2 | 12 | 24.77 | 0.052 |  |
| PNC[Au] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCAU}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Au}$ | 2119.533 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.667(4) | 37.667(4) | 37.667(4) | 53442 | 12 | 24.80 | 0.009 |  |
| PNC[Pb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCPb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | 2129.009 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1115(2) | 37.1115(2) | 37.1115(2) | 51122.3 | 12 | 41.07 | 1.391 |  |



Figure 2.24. $\operatorname{PNC}[\mathrm{Mg}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9a)
Figure 2.25. PNC[AI], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9b)~}$


Figure 2.26. PNC[Si], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n\text {(9c)}}$
Figure 2.27. $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{P}]$, cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ (9d)


Figure 2.28. PNC[Sc], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9e)~}$
Figure 2.29. PNC[Ti], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9f)


Figure 2.30. PNC[Cr], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9g)}$


Figure 2.32. PNC[Fe], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9i)
Figure 2.33. $\mathrm{PNC}[v \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Fe}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(\mathbf{9} \mathbf{j})$


Figure 2.34. PNC[Zn], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9k)}$
Figure 2.35. PNC[Ga], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (91)


Figure 2.36. $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{Y}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9n)
Figure 2.37. PNC[Zr], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (90)


Figure 2.38. PNC[Mo], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(9 p)$
Figure 2.39. PNC[Ru], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9q)}$


Figure 2.40. PNC[Rh], cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ (9r)


Figure 2.42. PNC[In], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9t)}$
Figure 2.43. $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{Sn}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(9 \mathrm{u})$


Figure 2.44. PNC[Sb], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9v)~}$
Figure 2.45. PNC[Pr], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9w)~}$


Figure 2.46. PNC[Eu], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9x)}$


Figure 2.47. PNC[Tb], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(9y)~}$


Figure 2.50. $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{Re}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9ab)
Figure 2.51. PNC[Ir], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9ac)


Figure 2.52. PNC[Au], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9ad)
Figure 2.53. $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{Pb}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9ae)
(Note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.)

### 2.7 Single-crystal to single-crystal transformation, axial ligand substitution of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$

The effect on the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ molecular structure within the nanoporous cubic crystal of ligands incorporated via the addition of ligands to the crystallising solution or by subsequent SCSC transformation was also investigated.


Figure 2.54. PNC[Co-vbipy], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (6a) Figure 2.55. PNC[Co-vpic], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (6b)


Figure 2.56. PNC[Co-vpy], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(60)}$
Figure 2.57. PNC[Co-vpy0.82 ${ }^{\text {tBupy }}$ 0.18], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(6d)~}$


Figure 2.58. PNC[vBr0.36-Co-cBr0.51],
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(6 f)$


Figure 2.59. PNC[vBr0.47-Co-cBr0.85], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(\mathbf{6 g})$


Figure 2.60. $\mathrm{PNC}[\nu B r-C o-c B r]$, cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ (6e)
Figure 2.61. PNC[vBuNC-Fe-cBuNC], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(10d)}$


Figure 2.62. PNC[vBuNC-Ru-cBuNC], cubic, $P n \overline{3} n(10 \mathrm{~g})$
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(6 \mathbf{j})$
Figure 2.63. $\mathrm{PNC}[v \mathrm{ClO} .68-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cCl0} .67]$,


Figure 2.65. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cTBA}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (6h)


Figure 2.66. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$ ( 9 h)


Figure 2.67. PNC[vim-Co-cIm], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(61)}$


Figure 2.68. $\mathrm{PNC}[v \mathrm{~lm}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{clm}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(6m)}$
Figure 2.69. PNC[vlu-Co-clu], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(6 n)$


Figure 2.70. PNC[vMeim-Fe-cMeOH],
Figure 2.71. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v\right.$ Meim- $\left.\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$,

```
cubic, \(\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(10c)}\)
```

cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (10f)


Figure 2.72. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n(6 \mathbf{k})$
Figure 2.73. $\mathrm{PNC}[v \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{cOH}]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (9c)


Figure 2.74. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v p i c-\mathrm{Co}_{-}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(6 \mathrm{c})$
Figure 2.75. PNC[vpy-Fe-cBuNC], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(10e)}$


Figure 2.76. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v p y-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (10a)
Figure 2.77. PNC[vpy-Zn], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (10a)
(Note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.) An interesting aspect of the axial ligand exchange was that when the small water ligand on cobalt phthalocyanine was substituted by either pyridine or bipyridine, the crystal system changed from the non-porous monoclinic to the cubic nanoporous structure. It appears that blocking at least one face of the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complex encourages the cubic crystal formation (Figure 2.56). Furthermore, different ligands on the cobalt phthalocyanine have a different effect on the metal extrusion from the phthalocyanine plane and the phthalocyanine curvature (Table 2.4).

| Metal with axial ligand phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ ( ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | $c(A ̊)$ | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $Z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance ( A ) | CCDC |
| PNC[v bipy-Co] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ bipy | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2137.684 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4921(9) | 37.4921(9) | 37.4921(9) | 52701(2) | 12 | 24.93 | 0.159 | 900365 |
| PNC[v pic-Co] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ pic | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2074.626 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5208(2) | 37.5208(2) | 37.5208(2) | 52822.2(5) | 12 | 25.25 | 0.135 | 900366 |
| PNC[ $\nu$ pic-Co- $\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{pic}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2074.626 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1172(4) | 37.1172(4) | 37.1172(4) | 51135.9(10) | 12 | 26.85 | 0.136 | 900367 |
| PNC[v py0.82tBupy0.18-Co] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{py} / \mathrm{tBupy}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2060.599 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5512(3) | 37.5512(3) | 37.5512(3) | 52950.7(7) | 12 | 25.37 | 0.139 | 900368 |
| PNC[ $v \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{c} \mathrm{Br}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.627(4) | 37.627(4) | 37.627(4) | 53272(10) | 12 | 22.92 | 0.011 | 900369 |
| PNC[v Br0.36-Co-c Br0.51] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2791(3) | 37.2791(3) | 37.2791(3) | 51807.9 | 12 | 24.78 | 0.024 | 900370 |
| PNC[v Br0.47-Co-c Br0.85] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.017(4) | 37.017(4) | 37.017(4) | 50722.9 | 12 | 26.08 | 0.040 | 900371 |
| PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{c}$ TBA] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ TBA | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2223.964 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.458(5) | 37.458(5) | 37.458(5) | 52556(12) | 12 | 23.24 | 0.001 | 900372 |
| PNC[ $2 \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{c} \mathrm{Cl}]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.230(4) | 37.230(4) | 37.230(4) | 51605(10) | 12 | 25.60 | 0.022 | 900373 |
| PNC[ $\nu \mathrm{ClO} 068-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{ccl0} 0.67]$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.365(4) | 37.365(4) | 37.365(4) | 52166.9 | 12 | 23.38 | 0.024 | 900374 |
| PNC[v MeOH-Co-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6395(3) | 37.6395(3) | 37.6395(3) | 53325.1(7) | 12 | 21.66 | 0.072 | 900375 |
| PNC[v Im-Co-clm] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+2 \times \mathrm{Im}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 2117.654 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5719(16) | 37.5719(16) | 37.5719(16) | 53038.3 | 12 | 21.35 | 0.017 | 900376 |
| PNC[v Im-Co-c Im] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+2 \times \mathrm{Im}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 2117.654 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.603(3) | 37.603(3) | 37.603(3) | 53170.1 | 12 | 22.99 | 0.010 | 900377 |
| PNC[v lu-Co-clu] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+2 \times \mathrm{lu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ | 2193.790 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3922(2) | 37.3922(2) | 37.3922(2) | 52280.9(5) | 12 | 25.48 | 0.096 | 900378 |
| PNC[vpy-Fe-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2057.511 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.57680(10) | 37.57680(10) | 37.57680(10) | 53059.0(2) | 12 | 25.55 | 0.024 |  |
| PNC[vpy-Zn] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2067.075 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4212(9) | 37.4212(9) | 37.4212(9) | 52403(2) | 12 | 31.61 | 0.510 | 761408 |
| PNC[v py-Co] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2060.599 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5596(4) | 37.5596(4) | 37.5596(4) | 52986.2(10) | 12 | 24.26 | 0.139 | 761407 |
| PNC[ $v$ Meim-Fe-c MeOH] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{MeOH}+$ Meim | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{OH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2092.557 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5202(5) | 37.5202(5) | 37.5202(5) | 52819.6 | 12 | 27.85 | 0.033 | 761415 |
| PNC[ $v$ BuNC-Fe-c BuNC] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+2 \times \mathrm{BuNC}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ | 2144.675 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4083(3) | 37.4083(3) | 37.4083(3) | 52348.5 | 12 | 28.40 | 0.058 | 761409 |
| PNC[v py-Fe-c BuNC] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{BuNC}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2140.643 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5004(9) | 37.5004(9) | 37.5004(9) | 52736.1 | 12 | 28.28 | 0.040 | 761410 |
| PNC[ $v$ Meim-Mn-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{Meim}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2059.608 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4581(15) | 37.4581(15) | 37.4581(15) | 52557.8 | 12 | 25.29 | 0.089 | 761413 |
| PNC[v BuNC-Ru-c BuNC] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRu}+2 \times$ BuNC | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ | 2189.895 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6390(4) | 37.6390(4) | 37.6390(4) | 53323 | 12 | 28.90 | 0.063 | 761411 |
| PNC[ $v$ TEP-Eu] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcEu}+\mathrm{TEP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Eu} \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 2501.128 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.181(4) | 37.181(4) | 37.181(4) | 51400 | 12 | 34.25 | 1.610 |  |
| PNC[ $v \mathrm{Pc}-\mathrm{Tb}$ ] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcTb}+\mathrm{Pc}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Eu} \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8}$ | 2594.016 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.852(4) | 37.852(4) | 37.852(4) | 54233.4 | 12 | 32.81 | 1.405 |  |
| aza PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{azaPcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{136} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1989.403 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3715(2) | 37.3715(2) | 37.3715(2) | 52194.1 | 12 | 17.48 | 0.077 |  |

### 2.8 Incorporation of wall-ties in (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$

In order to increase the stability of the crystal structure, even upon desolvation of the system and to avoid formation of an amorphous structure, SCSC transformation to incorporate a bidentate ligand or co-crystallisation to incorporate a fullerene gave crystals with wall-tie enhancement of stability (Table 2.5).


Figure 2.78. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{CC}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (7I)


Figure 2.80. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{CC}_{60} / \mathrm{Rh}-v \mathrm{Cl}\right]$, cubic, $P n \overline{3} n(7 k)$

Figure 2.81. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{cC}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$ vacuum, cubic, $P n \overline{3} n(7 \mathrm{~g})$


Figure 2.82. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{CC}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}\right]$ desolv. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ (7b)
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n(7 h)$
Figure 2.83. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{CC}_{60} / \mathrm{Cu}\right]$ vacuum,


Figure 2.84. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} / c \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ vacuum,
Figure 2.85. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{cC}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(7 i)$ cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(7 c)$


Figure 2.86. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{cC}_{70} / \mathrm{Co}_{\mathrm{ol}}-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(7 d)$

Figure 2.87. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vO}_{2}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{cC}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}^{-}-\mathrm{vO}_{2}\right]$,
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(7 f)$


Figure 2.88. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{Co} / c \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vCO}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(7 e)$

Figure 2.90. PNC[Co-cbipy-Co],
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (8a)


Figure 2.89. PNC[vpy-Co/cC ${ }_{60} /$ Co-vpy],
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n(7 a)$


Figure 2.91. PNC[Co-cbpm-Co],
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(8 \mathbf{g})$


Figure 2.92. PNC[vBuNC-Fe-cbipy-Fe-vBuNC],
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n(8 e)$

Figure 2.94. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\right.$ cdaa-Co- $\left.\mathrm{vH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$,
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(8 i)$

Figure 2.93. PNC[vBuNC-Fe-cbpm-Fe-vBuNC],
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(8 \mathrm{~h})$

cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(8 \mathrm{c})$


Figure 2.96. $\mathrm{PNC}[v \mathrm{~N}$-Fe-cpidic-Fe- $v \mathrm{~N}]$,


Figure 2.97. PNC[ $v N-R u-c p i d i c-R u-v N]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (8f) cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(8 d)$


Figure 2.98. PNC[vpy-Co-cbipy-Co-vpy], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}n(8b)}$
(Note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.)
The successful incorporation of different bidentate ligands in the cavity resulted in permanent nanoporosity upon desolvation. The nitrogen adsorption measurements provided approximate BET surface areas of $\sim 1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$.

| Metal with axial and bidentate ligands phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a(A ̊)$ | $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | c ( $\AA$ ) | $V\left(\hat{A}^{3}\right)$ | z | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| PNC[Co-c bipy-Co] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+$ bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4119.183 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.698(14) | 37.698(14) | 37.698(14) | 53574(34) | 12 | 15.98 | 0.086 | 761420 |
| PNC[v py-Co-c bipy-Co-v py] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+$ py + bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4277.383 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.647(3) | 37.647(3) | 37.647(3) | 53359(7) | 12 | 19.70 | 0.028 | 761419 |
| PNC[v N-Fe-c bipy-Fe-v N] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+$ bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4113.007 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.603(9) | 37.603(9) | 37.603(9) | 53170(21) | 12 | 14.46 | 0.020 | 761421 |
| PNC[vN-Ru-c pidic-Ru-v N] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCRu}+$ pidic | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4175.394 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7453(4) | 37.7453(4) | 37.7453(4) | 53776.0(10) | 12 | 16.70 | 0.010 | 761418 |
| PNC[v BuNC-Fe-c bipy-Fe-v BuNC] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+$ bipy + BuNC | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right.$ | 4279.271 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8590(2) | 37.8590(2) | 37.8590(2) | 54263.5 | 12 | 14.48 | 0.025 | 614 |
| PNC[ $/ \sim$ N-Fe-c pidic-Fe-v N ] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+$ pidic | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4084.954 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.560(12) | 37.560(12) | 37.560(12) | 52987.9 | 12 | 16.31 | 0.005 | 761422 |
| PNC[v py-Co-c bpm-Co-v py] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{bpm}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right.$ | 4121.159 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6423(2) | 37.6423(2) | 37.6423(2) | 53337 | 12 | 22.53 | 0.091 |  |
| PNC[v BuNC-Fe-cbpm-Co-vBuNC] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ bpm + BuNC | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right.\right.$ | 4287.423 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8046(4) | 37.8046(4) | 37.8046(4) | 54029.9 | 12 | 13.88 | 0.008 |  |
| PNC[ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{c}$ daa-Co-v $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+$ daa | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4147.236 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4908(2) | 37.4908(2) | 37.4908(2) | 52695.6 | 12 | 17.21 | 0.010 |  |
| PNC[Pd/c $\left.\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Pd}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCPd}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4778.607 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} \mathrm{n}$ | 37.3727(12) | 37.3727(12) | $37.3727(12)$ | 52199.1 | 12 | 29.05 | 0.069 |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{PNC}[ }\left[\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Rh}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PRRh}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4771.588 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5844(7) | $37.5844(7)$ | $37.5844(7)$ | 53091.2 | 12 | 23.61 | 0.026 |  |
| PNC[Ag/c $\left.\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCAg}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}\right.$ | 4781.513 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5946(3) | 37.5946(3) | 37.5946(3) | 53134.5 | 12 | 30.97 | 0.15 |  |
| PNC[vpy-Co/c $\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}$-v py] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{Pc} \mathrm{CO}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}^{( }\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4841.843 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.594(2) | 37.594(2) | 37.594(2) | 53131.9 | 12 | 25.95 | 0.153 | 1853490 |
| $\left.{ }^{\text {PNCL[ }} \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{c}_{600} / \mathrm{Co}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4683.643 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.610(10) | 37.610(10) | 37.610(10) | 53199.8 | 12 | 24.70 | 0.004 | 1857087 |
| PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{c}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4719.674 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8101(13) | 37.8101(13) | 37.8101(13) | 54053.5 | 12 | 22.48 | 0.132 | 1851749 |
| PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{c}_{70} / \mathrm{Co-v} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{C}_{70}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}_{8}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{70}$ | 4839.781 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 36.6188(17) | $33.6188(17)$ | 36.6188(17) | 49103.5 | 12 | 28.58 | 0.117 | 1851730 |
| PNC[v $\mathrm{CO}^{\left.\text {- } \mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{cc}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vCO}\right]}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{CO}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}\right.$ | 4739.663 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7788(3) | 37.7788(3) | 37.7788(3) | 53919.3 | 12 | 24.35 | 0.189 | 1851741 |
| PNC[ $\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{cc}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vO}_{2}\right]$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}\right.$ | 4747.641 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8616(2) | 37.8616(2) | 37.8616(2) | 54274.6 | 12 | 24.06 | 0.098 | 1851419 |
| PNC[Ag/c $\left.\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCAg}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}\right.$ | 4781.513 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7144(2) | 37.7144(2) | 37.7144(2) | 53644.1 | 12 | 28.08 | 0.148 | 1851746 |
| PNC[Cu/c $\left.\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Cu}\right]$ | $(\text { dipPhO) })_{8} \mathrm{PCCu}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4692.869 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.55590(16) | 37.55590 (16) | 37.55590 (16) | 52970.6 | 12 | 26.39 | 0.096 | 1851747 |
| PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4569.808 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7340(3) | 37.7340(3) | 37.7340(3) | 53727.7 | 12 | 26.48 | N.A. | 1851748 |

### 2.9 Analysis of the Pc curvature and metal extrusion in (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcM

It can be deduced from comparing the ionic radii and extrusion of the metal from the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ macrocyclic plane that those metal cations which are a good fit with the diameter of the phthalocyanine internal cavity ( $\sim 0.55 \AA$ ) do not extrude greatly from the macrocycle. In contrast those metal cations that are larger than the cavity extrude significantly.

However, the correlation between cation size and extrusion is weak presumably because of the difference in valency of the metal ion, its coordination chemistry, the preferred geometry of the metal, the hardness or softness of the ligand, and the errors from estimation of the cationic radius.

The analysis will be presented in three different kind of plots, which will be: (i) the cationic radii versus the extrusion of the metal; (ii) the cationic radii versus the curvature of the phthalocyanine and (iii) the extrusion of the metal versus the curvature.

The most investigated phthalocyanine was (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ in which different axial ligands, fullerenes and the bidentate ligands were incorporated. Initial analysis is of the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ complexes with different axial ligands and "wall-ties" acting as bidentate ligands as indicated in the Tables 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8 (Figure 2.99). ${ }^{41,50}$

|  | Cobalt phthalocyanine with axial ligands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ ( A ) | $b$ (A) | $c$ ( A ) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | z | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance ( $\AA$ ) | CCDC |
| 6a | PNC[v bipy-Co] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ bipy | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2137.684 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4921(9) | 37.4921(9) | 37.4921(9) | 52701(2) | 12 | 24.93 | 0.159 | 900365 |
| 6b | PNC[v pic-Co] | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+$ pic | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{Co}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2074.626 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5208(2) | 37.5208(2) | 37.5208(2) | 52822.2(5) | 12 | 25.25 | 0.135 | 900366 |
| 6c | PNC[v pic-Co-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ pic | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2074.626 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1172(4) | 37.1172(4) | 37.1172(4) | 51135.9(10) | 12 | 26.85 | 0.136 | 900367 |
| 6d | PNC[v py0.82tBupy0.18-Co] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{py} / \mathrm{tBupy}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{Co}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2060.599 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5512(3) | 37.5512(3) | 37.5512(3) | 52950.7(7) | 12 | 25.37 | 0.139 | 900368 |
| 6 e | PNC[ $v \mathrm{Br}$-Co-c Br] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.627(4) | 37.627(4) | 37.627(4) | 53272(10) | 12 | 22.92 | 0.011 | 900369 |
| 6 f | PNC[v Bro.36-Co-c Bro.51] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2791(3) | 37.2791(3) | 37.2791(3) | 51807.9 | 12 | 24.78 | 0.024 | 900370 |
| 6 g | PNC[v Bro.47-Co-c Bro.85] | (dip PhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.017(4) | 37.017(4) | 37.017(4) | 50722.9 | 12 | 26.08 | 0.040 | 900371 |
| 6h | PNC[v $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{c} \mathrm{TBA}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ TBA | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{Co}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2223.964 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.458(5) | 37.458(5) | 37.458(5) | 52556(12) | 12 | 23.24 | 0.001 | 900372 |
| 6 i | PNC[v Cl-Co-c Cl$]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.230(4) | 37.230(4) | 37.230(4) | 51605(10) | 12 | 25.60 | 0.022 | 900373 |
| 6 j | PNC[v ClO.68-Co-c ClO 0.67$]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.365(4) | 37.365(4) | 37.365(4) | 52166.9 | 12 | 23.38 | 0.024 | 900374 |
| 6 k | PNC[v MeOH-Co-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6395(3) | 37.6395(3) | 37.6395(3) | 53325.1(7) | 12 | 21.66 | 0.072 | 900375 |
| 61 | PNC[v Im-Co-c Im$]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+2 \times \mathrm{Im}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 2117.654 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5719(16) | 37.5719(16) | 37.5719(16) | 53038.3 | 12 | 21.35 | 0.017 | 900376 |
| 6 m | PNC[v Im-Co-c Im$]$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+2 \times \mathrm{Im}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 2117.654 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.603(3) | 37.603(3) | 37.603(3) | 53170.1 | 12 | 22.99 | 0.010 | 900377 |
| 6 n | PNC[v lu-Co-clu] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+2 \times \mathrm{lu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ | 2193.790 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3922(2) | 37.3922(2) | 37.3922(2) | 52280.9(5) | 12 | 25.48 | 0.096 | 900378 |
| 60 | PNC[v py-Co] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2060.599 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5596(4) | 37.5596(4) | 37.5596(4) | 52986.2(10) | 12 | 24.26 | 0.139 | 761407 |
| 6 p | azaPNC[ $\nu \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{azaPcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{136} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1989.403 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3715(2) | 37.3715(2) | 37.3715(2) | 52194.1 | 12 | 17.48 | 0.077 |  |
|  |  |  | Table 2.6. Different lig | $t$ were incor | corporated with in | m-situ axial lig | and exchange |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Metal phthalocyanines with axial and fullerenes acting as "wall-ties" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| 7 a | PNC[v py-Co/c $\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-v$ py] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4841.843 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.594(2) | 37.594(2) | 37.594(2) | 53131.9 | 12 | 25.95 | 0.153 | 1853490 |
| 7b | PNC[Co/c $\left.\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}\right]$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4683.643 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.610(10) | 37.610(10) | 37.610(10) | 53199.8 | 12 | 24.70 | 0.004 | 1857087 |
| 7 c | PNC[v $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{v} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4719.674 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8101(13) | 37.8101(13) | 37.8101(13) | 54053.5 | 12 | 22.48 | 0.132 | 1851749 |
| 7d | PNC[v $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{70} / \mathrm{Co}-v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{C}_{70}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{70}$ | 4839.781 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 36.6188(17) | 36.6188(17) | $36.6188(17)$ | 49103.5 | 12 | 28.58 | 0.117 | 1851730 |
| 7 e | PNC[v $\left.\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vCO}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{CO}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4739.663 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7788(3) | 37.7788(3) | 37.7788(3) | 53919.3 | 12 | 24.35 | 0.189 | 1851741 |
| 7 f | PNC[vO $\left.{ }_{2}-\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vO}_{2}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{C}_{60}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4747.641 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8616(2) | 37.8616(2) | 37.8616(2) | 54274.6 | 12 | 24.06 | 0.098 | 1851419 |
| 7 g | $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$ | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4781.513 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7144(2) | 37.7144(2) | 37.7144(2) | 53644.1 | 12 | 28.08 | 0.148 | 1851746 |
| 7 h | PNC[Cu/c $\left.\mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Cu}\right]$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCCu}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4692.869 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.55590(16) | 37.55590(16) | 37.55590(16) | 52970.6 | 12 | 26.39 | 0.096 | 1851747 |
| 7 i | $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4569.808 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7340(3) | 37.7340(3) | 37.7340(3) | 53727.7 | 12 | 26.48 | 0 | 1851748 |
| 7 j | PNC[Pd/c $60 / \mathrm{Pd}]$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PCPd}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4778.607 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3727(12) | 37.3727(12) | $37.3727(12)$ | 52199.1 | 12 | 29.05 | 0.069 |  |
| 7k | PNC[Rh/c $60 / \mathrm{Rh}]$ | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCRh}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4771.588 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5844(7) | 37.5844(7) | $37.5844(7)$ | 53091.2 | 12 | 23.61 | 0.026 |  |
| 71 | $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4781.513 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5946(3) | 37.5946(3) | 37.5946(3) | 53134.5 | 12 | 30.97 | 0.158 |  |
|  |  |  | Table 2.7. Metal phthalo | with | d fulleren | as " | , ligan |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Metal phthalocyanines with axial and bidentate ligands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { A }\end{array}\right.$ | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| 8 a | PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4119.183 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.698(14) | 37.698(14) | 37.698(14) | 53574(34) | 12 | 15.98 | 0.086 | 761420 |
| 8 b | PNC[vpy-Co-cbipy-Co-v py] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ py + bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4277.383 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.647(3) | 37.647(3) | 37.647(3) | 53359(7) | 12 | 19.70 | 0.028 | 761419 |
| 8 C | PNC[vN-Fe-c bipy-Fe-v N] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+$ bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4113.007 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.603(9) | 37.603(9) | 37.603(9) | 53170(21) | 12 | 14.46 | 0.020 | 761421 |
| 8d | PNC[vN-Ru-c pidic-Ru-v N] | (dip ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcRu}+$ pidic | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4175.394 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7453(4) | 37.7453(4) | 37.7453(4) | 53776.0(10) | 12 | 16.70 | 0.010 | 761418 |
| 8 e | PNC[vBuNC-Fe-cbipy-Fe-v BuNC] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+$ bipy + BuNC | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4279.271 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8590(2) | 37.8590(2) | 37.8590(2) | 54263.5 | 12 | 14.48 | 0.025 | 761416 |
| 8 f | PNC[ $v$ N-Fe-c pidic-Fe-v N] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+$ pidic | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4084.954 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.560(12) | 37.560(12) | 37.560(12) | 52987.9 | 12 | 16.31 | 0.005 | 761422 |
| 8 g | PNC[vpy-Co-cbpm-Co-vpy] | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{bpm}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 4121.159 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6423(2) | 37.6423(2) | 37.6423(2) | 53337 | 12 | 22.53 | 0.091 |  |
| 8 h | PNC[v BuNC-Fe-cbpm-Co-vBuNC] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{bpm}+\mathrm{BuNC}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 4287.423 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8046(4) | 37.8046(4) | 37.8046(4) | 54029.9 | 12 | 13.88 | 0.008 |  |
| $8 i$ | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{c}$ daa-Co-v $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+$ daa | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 4147.236 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4908(2) | 37.4908(2) | 37.4908(2) | 52695.6 | 12 | 17.21 | 0.010 |  |



Figure 2.99. Plot of different axial ligands of PNC[vL-Co] as well as different "wall-ties" ligand and fullerenes indicating the trend of increasing the curvature of the macrocycle with the extrusion of the metal from the phthalocyanine.

The cationic radius for $\mathrm{CO}^{\prime \prime}$ is $0.58 \AA$ and as can be seen in Figure 2.99, there is a deviation of the extrusion distance from $0.001 \AA$ (for PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-c \mathrm{TBA}\right]$ ) up to $0.159 \AA$ (for PNC[vbipy-Co]) and the angle of curvature is in the range of $17.48^{\circ}$ (for azaPNC[ $\left.v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}\right]$ ) to $26.85^{\circ}$ (for $\mathrm{PNC}[v p i c-$ $\left.\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ ). Thus, there is a weak positive correlation between the metal extrusion distance and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ curvature. The octaazaphthalocyanine ( 6 p ) appears less curved than the standard phthalocyanine. The same trend is followed for bidentate ligands that are acting as "wall-ties" although the curvature is significantly reduced. For the fullerene containing (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ crystals there is no correlation between metal extrusion distance and curvature perhaps due to the curvature being dictated by the Pc-fullerene surface interactions. ${ }^{41,50}$

|  | Metal Phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ ( A ) | c ( A ) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| 9a | PNC[Mg] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMg}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mg}$ | 1946.871 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3320(5) | 37.3320(5) | 37.3320(5) | 52028.8(12) | 12 | 30.89 | 0.170 |  |
| 9b | PNC[AI] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcAl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Al}$ | 1949.548 | cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ | 37.4064(4) | 37.4064(4) | 37.4064(4) | 52340.5(10) | 12 | 26.48 | 0.062 |  |
| 9 c | PNC[Si] | (dip PhO$)_{8} \mathrm{PcSi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}$ | 1950.652 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.612(7) | 37.612(7) | 37.612(7) | 53208.3 | 12 | 20.17 | 0.023 |  |
| 9d | PNC[P] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}$ | 1968.858 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6935(3) | 37.6935(3) | 37.6935(3) | 53554.9 | 12 | 20.80 | 0.015 |  |
| 9 e | PNC[Sc] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSc}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SC}$ | 1967.522 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1225(8) | 37.1225(8) | 37.1225(8) | 51157.8 | 12 | 36.94 | 1.072 |  |
| 9 f | PNC[Ti] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCTi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti}$ | 1970.433 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5117(9) | 37.5117(9) | 37.5117(9) | 52784(2) | 12 | 25.90 | 0.745 |  |
| 9g | PNC[Cr] | (dip PhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cr}$ | 1974.562 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7555(3) | 37.7555(3) | 37.7555(3) | 53819.6 | 12 | 19.60 | 0.003 |  |
| 9h | PNC[Mn] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mn}$ | 1977.504 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2511(5) | 37.2511(5) | 37.2511(5) | 51691.3(12) | 12 | 26.69 | 0.059 | 761412 |
| 9 i | PNC[Fe] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 1978.411 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4562(14) | 37.4562(14) | 37.4562(14) | 52549.8 | 12 | 25.90 | 0.040 |  |
| 9j | PNC[Fe-v Cl] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 2013.385 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4365(2) | 37.4365(2) | 37.4365(2) | 52466.9 | 12 | 24.90 | 0.056 |  |
| 9 k | PNC[Zn] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}$ | 1987.975 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6973(2) | 37.6973(2) | 37.6973(2) | 53571.1 | 12 | 26.74 | 0.419 | 279644 |
| 91 | PNC[Ga] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGa}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ga}$ | 1992.289 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6003(2) | 37.6003(2) | 37.6003(2) | 53158.9(6) | 12 | 28.30 | 0.119 |  |
| 9 m | PNC[Ge] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}$ | 2031.415 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.650(4) | 37.650(4) | 37.650(4) | 53370 | 12 | 27.66 | 0.173 |  |
| 9 n | PNC[Y] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcY}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Y}$ | 2011.472 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1526 | 37.1526 | 37.1526 | 51282 | 12 | 37.23 | 1.353 |  |
| 90 | PNC[Zr] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcZr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zr}$ | 2103.790 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2617(3) | 37.2617(3) | 37.2617(3) | 51735.4 | 12 | 37.35 | 1.186 |  |
| 9 p | PNC[Mo] | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mo}$ | 2034.128 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5869(5) | 37.5869(5) | 37.5869(5) | 53101.8(2) | 12 | 32.50 | 0.613 |  |
| 9 q | PNC[Ru] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}$ | 2023.631 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.700(7) | 37.700(7) | 37.700(7) | 53584(17) | 12 | 24.72 | 0.028 | 761414 |
| 9 r | PNC[Rh] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRh}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}$ | 2025.472 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.396(2) | 37.396(2) | 37.396(2) | 52296.8 | 12 | 16.58 | 0.040 |  |
| 9s | PNC[Cd] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}$ | 2035.208 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3224(3) | 37.3224(3) | 37.3224(3) | 51988.7 | 12 | 36.47 | 1.007 |  |
| 9 t | PNC[In] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcIn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{In}$ | 2037.384 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4428(8) | 37.4428(8) | 37.4428(8) | 52493.4(19) | 12 | 33.92 | 0.995 |  |
| 9 u | PNC[Sn] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcSn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}$ | 2041.276 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.776(9) | 37.776(9) | 37.776(9) | 53906(23) | 12 | 24.71 | 0.153 |  |
| 9 v | PNC[Sb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}$ | 2044.146 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3901(4) | 37.3901(4) | 37.3901(4) | 52272.1 | 12 | 29.32 | 1.119 |  |
| 9w | PNC[Pr] | (dip PhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcPr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 2063.474 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2220(12) | 37.2220(12) | 37.2220(12) | 51570(3) | 12 | 37.37 | 1.611 |  |
| 9 x | PNC[Eu] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcEu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Eu}$ | 2074.530 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.034(3) | 37.034(3) | 37.034(3) | 50792(8) | 12 | 35.55 | 1.428 |  |
| 9 y | PNC[Tb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcTb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Tb}$ | 2081.491 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.3171(3) | 37.3171(3) | 37.3171(3) | 51966.5 | 12 | 38.11 | 1.465 |  |
| 9 y | PNC[Dy] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcDy}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Dy}$ | 2085.065 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.25810(10) | 37.25810(10) | 37.25810(10) | 51720.4 | 12 | 38.23 | 1.343 |  |
| 9 aa | PNC[Yb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcYb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Yb}$ | 2095.604 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 36.9702(8) | 36.9702(8) | 36.9702(8) | 50530(19) | 12 | 39.56 | 1.315 |  |
| 9ab | PNC[Re] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Re}$ | 2124.315 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6052(2) | 37.6052(2) | 37.6052(2) | 53179.4 | 12 | 27.81 | 0.598 |  |
| 9 ac | PNC[Ir] | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pclr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ir}$ | 2114.340 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4658(2) | 37.4658(2) | 37.4658(2) | 52590.2 | 12 | 24.77 | 0.052 |  |
| 9ad | PNC[Pb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | 2129.009 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.1115(2) | 37.1115(2) | 37.1115(2) | 51112.3 | 12 | 41.07 | 1.391 |  |
|  |  | ipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{M}$ that c | in the cubic nanop | cture. Red | coloured were | esized for | urrent PhD | ogramme, | le black | $f$ | rom past group | mbers. |  |


|  | Metal with axial ligand phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c$ ( A ) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| 10a | PNC[v py-Fe-c $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2057.511 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.57680(10) | 37.57680(10) | 37.57680(10) | 53059.0(2) | 12 | 25.55 | 0.024 |  |
| 10b | PNC[vpy-Zn] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2067.075 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4212(9) | 37.4212(9) | 37.4212(9) | 52403(2) | 12 | 31.61 | 0.510 | 761408 |
| 10c | PNC[v Meim-Fe-c MeOH] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+\mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{Mei}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2092.557 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5202(5) | 37.5202(5) | 37.5202(5) | 52819.6 | 12 | 27.85 | 0.033 | 761415 |
| 10d | PNC[v BuNC-Fe-c BuNC] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+2 \times \mathrm{BuNC}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ | 2144.675 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4083(3) | 37.4083(3) | 37.4083(3) | 52348.5 | 12 | 28.40 | 0.058 | 761409 |
| 10e | PNC[ $v$ py-Fe-c BuNC] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{BuNC}+\mathrm{py}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | 2140.643 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5004(9) | 37.5004(9) | 37.5004(9) | 52736.1 | 12 | 28.28 | 0.040 | 761410 |
| 10 f | PNC[v Meim-Mn-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{Meim}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{Ce} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2059.608 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4581(15) | 37.4581(15) | 37.4581(15) | 52557.8 | 12 | 25.29 | 0.089 | 761413 |
| 10g | PNC[v BuNC-Ru-c BuNC] | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCRu}+2 \times \mathrm{BuNC}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ | 2189.895 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6390(4) | 37.6390(4) | 37.6390(4) | 53323 | 12 | 28.90 | 0.063 | 761411 |
| 10h | PNC[ $v$ TEP-Eu] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcEu}+$ TEP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Eu} \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 2501.128 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.181(4) | 37.181(4) | 37.181(4) | 51400 | 12 | 34.25 | 1.610 |  |
| 10 i | PNC[v Pc-Tb] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcTb}+\mathrm{Pc}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Eu} \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8}$ | 2594.016 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.852(4) | 37.852(4) | 37.852(4) | 54233.4 | 12 | 32.81 | 1.405 |  |
|  |  |  | Table 2.10. Different ligand | were | rated w | in-situ axial | xchan |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 2.100. Plot of different PNC[M] with axial ligands indicating the flexibility of the extrusion of the metal in the cavity of the macrocycle.

Table 2.9 provides the data for 30 structures of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with various elements $(\mathrm{M})$ in the central cavity of the macrocycle. ${ }^{40,41}$ Table $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ included further data with ligands that have been introduced by SCSC transformation or by further synthesis using (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$. Figure $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 0}$ indicates that there is a strong correlation between the cationic radius ${ }^{74}$ (Table 2.11) and the metal cation extraction distance both for structure with a single axial ligand (void) and for those with both void and axial sites occupied. Figure $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 1}$ shows a similarly strong correlation between cationic radius ${ }^{74}$ and curvature of the Pc macrocycle. Figure $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 2}$ show the strong positive correlation between metal extrusion and curvature.


Figure 2.101. Plot of different $\operatorname{PNC}[M]$ with axial ligands indicating the flexibility of the phthalocyanine changing the axial ligand.


Figure 2.102. Plot of different axial and bidentate ligands of PNC[M] indicating the trend of increasing the curvature of the macrocycle with the extrusion of the metal from the phthalocyanine.

| Acronym | Charge | Coordination | HS / LS | Crystal radius | Ionic radius | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  | -0.24 | -0.38 | 0 | 0 |
| (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ | 1 | I |  | -0.24 | -0.38 | 0 | 0 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | 3 | IV |  | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | 3 | IV |  | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{6}$ subPcB | 3 | IV |  | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 |
| PNC[Mg] | 2 | VI |  | 0.86 | 0.72 | 30.89 | 0.170 |
| PNC[AI] | 3 | VI |  | 0.675 | 0.535 | 26.48 | 0.062 |
| PNC[Si] | 4 | VI |  | 0.54 | 0.4 | 20.17 | 0.023 |
| PNC[P] | 5 | VI |  | 0.52 | 0.38 | 20.8 | 0.015 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcK}$ | 1 | IV |  | 1.51 | 1.37 | 0 | 0 |
| PNC[Sc] | 3 | VI |  | 0.885 | 0.745 | 36.94 | 1.072 |
| PNC[Ti] | 4 | VI |  | 0.745 | 0.605 | 25.9 | 0.745 |
| PNC[Cr] | 3 | VI |  | 0.755 | 0.615 | 19.6 | 0.003 |
| PNC[Mn] | 4 | VI |  | 0.67 | 0.53 | 26.69 | 0.059 |
| (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | 2 | VI | HS | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0 | 0.666 |
| PNC[Fe] | 2 | VI | HS | 0.92 | 0.78 | 25.9 | 0.040 |
| PNC[Fe-v Cl$]$ | 3 | V |  | 0.72 | 0.58 | 24.9 | 0.056 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | 2 | IV | HS | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0 | 0 |
| (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | 2 | IV |  | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | 2 | IV |  | 0.71 | 0.57 | 0 | 0 |
| PNC[Zn] | 2 | V |  | 0.82 | 0.68 | 26.74 | 0.419 |
| PNC[Ga] | 3 | VI |  | 0.76 | 0.62 | 28.3 | 0.119 |
| (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | 4 | VI |  | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0 | 0 |
| PNC[Ge] | 4 | VI |  | 0.67 | 0.53 | 27.66 | 0.173 |
| PNC[Y] | 3 | VI |  | 1.04 | 0.9 | 37.23 | 1.353 |
| PNC[Zr] | 4 | VI |  | 0.86 | 0.72 | 37.35 | 1.186 |
| PNC[Mo] | 4 | VI |  | 0.79 | 0.65 | 32.5 | 0.613 |
| PNC[Ru] | 4 | VI |  | 0.76 | 0.62 | 24.72 | 0.028 |
| PNC[Rh] | 4 | VI |  | 0.74 | 0.6 | 16.58 | 0.040 |
| PNC[Pd] | 2 | IVSQ |  | 0.78 | 0.64 | 29.05 | 0.069 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}$ | 2 | IV |  | 0.93 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 |
| PNC[Cd] | 2 | V |  | 1.01 | 0.87 | 36.47 | 1.007 |
| PNC[In] | 3 | VI |  | 0.94 | 0.8 | 33.92 | 0.995 |
| PNC[Sn] | 4 | VI |  | 0.83 | 0.69 | 24.71 | 0.153 |
| PNC[Sb] | 3 | V |  | 0.94 | 0.8 | 29.32 | 1.119 |
| PNC[Pr] | 4 | VI |  | 0.99 | 0.85 | 37.37 | 1.611 |
| PNC[Eu] | 3 | VI |  | 1.087 | 0.947 | 35.55 | 1.428 |
| PNC[Tb] | 4 | VI |  | 0.9 | 0.76 | 38.11 | 1.465 |
| PNC[Dy] | 3 | VI |  | 1.052 | 0.912 | 38.23 | 1.343 |
| PNC[Yb] | 3 | VI |  | 1.008 | 0.868 | 39.56 | 1.315 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ | 4 | VI |  | 0.85 | 0.71 | 36.34 | 1.147 |
| PNC[Re] | 5 | VI |  | 0.72 | 0.58 | 27.81 | 0.598 |
| PNC[Ir] | 4 | VI |  | 0.765 | 0.625 | 24.77 | 0.052 |
| PNC[Au] | 3 | VI |  | 0.99 | 0.85 | 24.8 | 0.009 |
| PNC[Pb] | 2 | IV |  | 1.12 | 0.98 | 41.07 | 1.391 |
| (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcTh}$ | 4 | VI |  | 1.08 | 0.94 | 33.52 | 1.514 |

Table 2.11. Correlation of ionic radius of metals, extrusion distance and curvature of the phthalocyanines

### 2.10 Conclusions

Within this chapter, the synthesis of a variety of metal phthalocyanines was described, along with their crystallographic analysis, which further indicates the structural versatility of this cubic system. Each complex was found to crystallise in the cubic space group Pn $\overline{3} n$. In particular, interesting porous crystals were prepared from complex of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with large metal cations, where they displayed a significant effect on the curvature on the phthalocyanine macrocycle, and a large deviation of the metal from the plane of the pyrrolic nitrogen. Furthermore, detailed analysis was conducted on the extrusion distance and curvature versus the ionic radii of the metals. The updated PNC periodic able is shown in Figure 2.103.


Cubic structure

Figure 2.103. Periodic table depicting the state of play at the end of the PhD program with blue shading for elements that form complexes with phthalocyanines, red shading for the elements that form cubic nanoporous complexes with (dipPhO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pc}$ and with yellow shading for the elements that do not form cubic structure as characterised by sc-XRD analysis.

## Chapter III.

## Phthalocyanine nanoporous co-crystals

### 3.1 Introduction

Previous work in the McKeown group showed that the formation of co-crystals by the insertion of bidentate ligands ${ }^{40,41}$ or fullerenes, ${ }^{50}$ such as $C_{60}$ and $C_{70}$, proved to be successful in avoiding structural collapsing during solvent removal. In addition, fullerenes favoured the formation of cubic crystals for those phthalocyanine derivatives such as (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ which previously formed crystals with different symmetry. ${ }^{50}$ Suitable bidentate ligands were bipyridine (bipy) and 1,4-phenylene diisocyanide. These act as "wall-ties", preventing the collapse of the cubic nanoporous structure upon desolvation, but also because of their different electron configuration, they are likely to influence the properties of the metal in the phthalocyanine. Reported in this chapter is work on a new wall-tie, bipyrimidine (bpm), which was assumed to possess an additional metal binding site. The target by adding another metal on the bidentate ligand was to notice if there is any interaction between the macrocyclic metals with the bidentate ligand metal and analyse it with EPR or NMR and check the reactivity of the metal that would bind. In addition, co-crystallisations of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes with metal-free or metallated tetraphenylporphyrins was also found to form the cubic nanoporous structure, including co-crystals with (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$, (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$, which previously formed crystals with different nonporous structures. These concepts were combined by the insitu and SCSC insertion of bidentate ligands within co-crystallised phthalocyanine and porphyrin components providing stability to the structure even upon desolvation. The exploration of metal to metal interactions through space was the primary target for the addition of the porphyrin through EPR spectroscopy, since it is of major interest, as well as to detect if the binding strength to gasses would change. The synthesis and crystallographic characterisation of these complexes will be discussed. As aforementioned, note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.

### 3.2 Synthesis of 4,4'-bipyrimidine (bpm)

The synthesis of this bidentate ligand was achieved readily by performing a sodium metal mediated coupling of pyrimidine (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{75}$


Scheme 3.1. Reaction scheme of bipyrimidine.

### 3.3 Preparation of PNC[M-cbipy-M] and PNC[M-cbpm-M] complexes

The stabilization of the phthalocyanine nanoporous crystal structure was successfully performed by the insertion of bipyridine (bipy) or bipyrimidine (bpm) bidentate ligands. The incorporation was achieved either by solubilising both the phthalocyanine and the bidentate ligand and then inducing co-crystallisation by the slow diffusion of a non-solvent or by introducing the bidentate ligand to the non-solvent in contact with premade phthalocyanine crystals to effect single crystal to single crystal transformation or in-situ coordination (Figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1. Single-crystal to single-crystal transformation by the insertion of bipy. First structure has a pyridine as axial ligand, while in the second structure a bidentate ligand was incorporated reducing the distance between two metals. In the third structure the removal of the solvent and axial ligand (pyridine) was performed, and further reduction of metal to metal distance was observed. ${ }^{40}$

### 3.4 Crystallographic analysis of PNC[M-cbpm-M]

XRD analysis was performed on the novel PNC[vpy-Co-cbpm-Co-vpy] (Figure 3.2) showing that the bpm was successfully incorporated by either co-crystallisation or SCSC transformation and it was coordinated to the two metal cations across the cavity. As previously observed for the bipy
ligand, the distance between the two phthalocyanines was reduced from 12.8 to 11.3 Å on bpm insertion. The bpm is disordered over 4 positions by symmetry. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cbpm}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ] was analysed at Beamline I19 of the Diamond Light Source (DLS) in a gas cell sc-XRD experiment (see below). When it was in ambient environment, water occupied the axial position with 100\% occupancy. When high vacuum was applied to the system the water molecule was evacuated totally and crystal order was maintained.


Figure 3.2. Molecular structure of PNC[vpy-Co-cbpm-Co-vpy] edge-on projection.
Similarly the bpm bidentate ligand was shown to be incorporated into PNC[vtBuNC-Fe-cbpm-Co$v t B u N C]$ XRD analysis (Figure 3.3) and caused a reduction of the distance between the two phthalocyanines.

For both complexes, an insufficient amount of material was prepared to prove permanent porosity using gas adsorption.


Figure 3.3. Molecular structure of PNC[vtBuNC-Fe-cbpm-Co-vtBuNC] edge-on projection.

### 3.5 PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] comparison with gas cell XRD experiment

The chemisorption of carbon monoxide (CO) and nitric oxide (NO) at the open $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ axial binding sites in two phthalocyanine nanoporous crystals (PNCs) with bipy (PNC[Co-cbipy-Co]) or bpm (PNC[Co-cbpm-Co]) wall ties was examined in-situ by synchrotron single crystal X-ray crystallography using a gas cell attached to Beamline I19 of the Diamond Light Source (DLS) in collaboration with Dr. Charlie McMonagle, Dr. Stephen Moggach (both UoE), Gemma Turner (UWA) and Dr. Mark Warren (DLS). Complete activation of the nanovoid binding sites was not observed after heating the crystals to 300 K under vacuum ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$ ), so gas loading into the pores was carried out in the presence of a small quantity of residual crystallisation solvent or adsorbate. No chemisorbed solvent was found in the partially evacuated macrocycle by X-ray crystallography.

Crystallographic refinement and uptake data for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] during gas loading and evacuation are given in Table 3.1. Dosing PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpmCo] with NO at 2.63 bar and 4.28 bar, respectively, led to the irreversible chemisorption of nitrosyl at the open $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ axial coordination sites in a single crystal to single crystal (SCSC) transformation.

The respective Co-NO bond distances of $1.882 \AA$ and $1.935 \AA$ and CoNO angles of $118.63^{\circ}$ and $116.26^{\circ}$ in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] in the partially evacuated structures are close to the reported values in porphyrin ${ }^{76}$ and cobalamin ${ }^{77}$ complexes (Co-NO $=1.828-1.940 \AA$, CoNO $=121.23-123.73^{\circ}$ ).

The bent coordination geometry of the chemisorbed nitrosyl is unsurprising for cobalt phthalocyanine complexes, which may be expressed as $\{\mathrm{CoNO}\}^{8}$ in Enemark-Feltham nomenclature. ${ }^{78}$ The superscript refers to the number of $\pi$-electrons in metal-nitrosyl fragment. Metal-nitrosyl complexes rich in $\pi$-electrons (>6) are characterised by anionic NO- ligands that form a covalent bond with the metal instead of a dative coordination bond, as is formed by linear cationic nitrosyls, $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$. The lone-pair on the nitrosyl N -atom distorts the nitrosyl $\pi^{*}$-anti-bonding LUMO out of the plane of the $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ d-orbitals leading to attenuation of the metal-to-ligand backbonding and lessening of the trans-effect of the 4,4'-bipyridyl and 4,4'-bipyrimidyl ligands on the strength of the Co-NO binding. However, the trans-effect of the 4,4'-bipyridyl and 4,4'bipyrimidyl wall ties in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] is still considerable.

The comparison of the occupancy of the coordinated N -atom of the nitrosyl ligand during crystallographic refinement for the dosed phthalocyanines indicated a NO uptake of 0.40 mmol $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and an uptake of $0.33 \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ in PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] (ca. theoretical NO uptakes of $0.484 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and $0.485 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, respectively). These values are comparable to the ${ }^{\sim} 0.8 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{NO}$ uptake reported in a Ca-based metal-organic framework, BioMIL-3 at 1 bar of loading pressure, which is a promising material for the controlled release of NO in biomedical applications. ${ }^{79}$ Subsequent treatment of the crystals under vacuum resulted in a $17.9 \%$ loss of the chemisorbed NO from PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and a $27.4 \%$ loss from PNC[Co-cbpm-Co], according to the decrease in the nitrosyl N -atom q-peak intensity.

The uptake behaviours of the macrocycles may reflect the electronic properties of the transligands. Higher gas uptake and retention under vacuum for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] over PNC[Co-cbpmCo] is expected due to the lesser ability of the 4,4'-bipyridyl ligand to participate in back-bonding with the $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ centre compared with the 4,4'-bipyrimidyl ligand, which increases the strength of the metal-nitrosyl or metal-carbonyl bond. This effect is also seen in the increase of the CoNO
angle in the nitrosyl complexes by $2.37^{\circ}$ from PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] to PNC[Co-cbipy-Co], which corroborates previously reported ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR studies where larger CoNO angles are observed in complexes with more shielding ligand sets. ${ }^{80}$

A considerably lower uptake of CO compared with NO was observed for both crystals when dosed with CO at 5 bar of loading pressure. The $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ binding sites in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] remained vacant after gas loading, whilst a quarter of sites were occupied by CO in PNC[Co-cbpm-Co], corresponding to an uptake of $0.12 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. The measured Co-CO bond length of $1.644 \AA$ is in agreement with bond distances reported in the literature (1.786-1.855 Å)..$^{81,82}$ Uptake of CO in PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] is assumed to be fully reversible , owing to the short Co-CO bond measured in the evacuated structure (Table 3.1), the small q-peak corresponding to the carbonyl C-atom (<1 $e^{-}$) and the instability of the structure model during crystallographic refinement. Counterintuitively, a larger uptake of CO is seen in the 4,4'-bipyrimidyl containing macrocycles where the $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ binding sites are less electrophilic than its $4,4^{\prime}$-bipyridyl counterpart. Further experiments are required to determine the cause of this discrepancy.

Whilst the capability of phthalocyanine nanoporous crystals to coordinate small molecular species at the open metal sites situated in the void has previously been recognised, ${ }^{40,41}$ the electronic trans-effect of the ligands on the binding site adsorption properties is unreported. Demonstrably, modifications of the trans-ligand, which is achieved in a facile SCSC transformation, can change the NO and CO uptake capabilities of the open cobalt binding site, offering the possibility of the crystals with tuneable adsorption and desorption kinetics. The partially reversible chemisorption of NO in the voids of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] is promising for the potential application of these materials as hosts for the controlled delivery of NO in biomedical settings, or as anti-thrombotic lining materials for catheters and stents. ${ }^{83}$

According to the review ${ }^{84}$ and a publication by Jeffrey Long, ${ }^{85}$ metal organic frameworks have been widely used for gas sorption studies, but the main difference between the present system and MOFs is that the first can be solubilized and reassemble to the nanoporous structure while the latter cannot. So, the next step after the insertion of the bpm in the cavity was to determine what changes are made on the metal between bipy and bpm. The two systems were examined
by gas cell XRD experiments to see the reactivity of the metal centre with different gases and to observe if there would be physisorption or chemisorption.

The procedure for both samples was performed at 180 K unless other specified. Initially, a data set of the crystal was obtained under ambient conditions, then high vacuum was applied for at least 10 minutes in order to determine if the samples' crystallinity is retained. The gas cell was then loaded with 5.00 bar of CO and the dataset collected to determine if there is binding of the CO at the metal. High vacuum was then applied in order to remove the CO and the system was purged with nitrogen and the high vacuum was reapplied for at least 20 minutes. After that the system was filled with 4.28 bar of NO gas and the dataset was collected. Lastly, sequential high vacuum and nitrogen purge was applied to attempt removal of the NO.

PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] was found to be stable under high vacuum. No change to the electron density around the axial metal site was observed on applying 5.00 bar of CO gas within the gas cell. Following a repeated evacuation at high pressure, the crystal was exposed to 4.28 bar of NO gas and crystallography showed that NO was successfully bound to the metal centre. The application of both high vacuum and heat did not result in the release of the NO.

For PNC[Co-cbpm-Co], following the same procedure, CO was reversibly bound to the metal suggesting that the electronic properties of the bpm wall-tie ligand provided a more active metal centre. NO was bound irreversibly at 2.63 bar.

Table 3.1. Uptake of NO and CO in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] during gas loading and evacuation. Vacuum is at $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$ for 10 min after NO dosing and 43 min after CO dosing. Q1 is the unassigned donor nitrogen or carbon atom in the NO or CO ligand. Theoretical uptake values of NO and CO in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co], respectively, are $0.484 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ and $0.485 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$.

|  | PNC[Co-cbipy-Co-vNO] |  | PNC[Co-cbpm-Co-vNO] |  | PNC[Co-cbpm-Co-vCO] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2.63 bar NO | Vacuum | 4.28 bar NO | Vacuum | 5 bar CO | Vacuum |
| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | 180 | 298 | 180 | 298 | 180 | 298 |
| q1 (e) | 5.78 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 3.40 | 1.71 | 0.93 |
| Uptake (\%) | 82.6 | 67.7 | 66.9 | 48.6 | 24.4 | 13.3 |
| Uptake (g mmol-1) | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| Co-L (Å) | 1.880 | 1.904 | 1.859 | 1.936 | 1.644 | 1.193 |
| CoNO $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 122.2 | 118.3 | 120.4 | 116.2 | 180.0 | 180.0 |



Figure 3.4. Crystal structure of a. PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] before gas loading, b. after loading with 5 bar CO showing reversibility under vacuum and c. after loading with 4.28 bar NO. Crystal structure of d. PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] before gas loading and e. after loading with 2.63 bar NO. f. Crystal structure of PNC[Co-cbipyCo] with the void highlighted in green.

### 3.6 Brief introduction to cw-EPR ${ }^{86}$

The energy differences studied in EPR spectroscopy are due predominately to the interaction of an unpaired electron in the sample with a magnetic field, $B_{0}$, produced by a magnet in the apparatus. This effect is called the Zeeman effect. Because the electron has a magnetic moment, it acts like a compass or a bar magnet when it is placed in a magnetic field. Since energies are quantized, a single unpaired electron has only two allowed energy states. It has a state of lower energy when the moment of the electron, $\mu$, is aligned with the magnetic field and a higher energy state when $\mu$ is aligned against the magnetic field (Figure 3.5). The difference between the energies of these two states, caused by the interaction between the electron spin and the magnetic field, is shown in:
$\Delta E=g \mu_{B} B_{0} \Delta m_{s}=g \mu_{B} B_{0}$
where $g$ is the $g$-factor, $\mu_{B}$ is the Bohr magneton, which is the natural unit of the electron's magnetic moment, and the change in spin state is $\Delta m_{s}= \pm 1$. The energy, $\Delta E=h v$, that is required to cause a transition between the two spin states is given by $\Delta E=h v=g \mu_{B} B_{0}$ and $\mu_{B}=g_{e} B / 2$.


Figure 3.5. When the magnetic field is scanned, the energies of the two spin states of an unpaired electron diverge.

Because the energy difference between the two spin states can be varied by changing the magnetic field strength, there are two potential approaches to obtaining spectra. The magnetic field could be held constant while the frequency of the electromagnetic radiation is scanned,
which would be analogous to UV-VIS spectroscopy. Alternatively, the electromagnetic radiation frequency could be held constant while the magnetic field is scanned as shown in Figure 3.5. Absorption of energy occurs when the magnetic field "tunes" the two spin states such that the energy difference matches the energy of the applied radiation. This field is called the "field for resonance". Because of difficulties in scanning microwave frequencies and because of the use of a resonant cavity for signal detection, most EPR spectrometers operate at constant microwave frequency and scan the magnetic field.

The samples contain a large number of spins allocated in the continuous magnetic field between the two allowed states. The relative population of the two states is described with the Boltzmann distribution:
$N_{+} / N_{-}=\exp (\Delta E / k T)$
where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature of the sample. For the uncoupled electron with $g_{e}=2.0023$, the ratio of spin population is around 1.0015 in a 3400 Gauss field. The magnetic field in the resonance frequency $v_{0}$ causes transitions with same probability.

The population of the lower energy state is bigger than that of the higher energy state, causing a large number of transitions towards the higher energy state, with distinctive absorption of energy from the sample. This phenomenon is the basis for the simple continuous wave EPR experiment. The sample has paramagnetic species, which gets excited with microwave radiation with constant frequency whilst the magnetic field is changing (scanning).

### 3.7 PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] single crystal, powder and frozen solution cw-EPR experiments

The chemisorption of oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ as a superoxide radical at the open $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ axial binding sites in two phthalocyanine nanoporous crystals (PNCs) with bipy (PNC[Co-cbipy-Co]) or bpm (PNC[Co-cbpm-Co]) wall ties was examined in-situ by continuous-wave (cw) electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) using a Bruker X-band EPR spectrometer equipped with a goniometer that enables a $360^{\circ}$ crystal rotation around a chosen direction in collaboration with Dr. Floriana Tuna of the EPSRC National EPR facility.

Given the cubic symmetry of the crystal and existence of dimeric molecules that pack perpendicularly to one another, the single crystal was oriented such that the $z$-axis (which is along bpm) of one of such PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] dimers is perpendicular to the magnetic field of the EPR setup; by symmetry, same axis is also parallel to the $x, y$ plane of the PNC-Co entities belonging to another dimer that packs perpendicularly to the first one. Thus, the crystal was rotated around this axis and collected spectra at room temperature at every 10 degrees, in order to identify an orientation that brings the $z$-axis of one of the dimers parallel with the magnetic field, while also placing the $x, y$ plane of another dimer perpendicular to the magnetic field. Such orientation allows the extraction of both the $g_{z}$ and $g_{x, y}$ values, as well as the ${ }^{59}$ Co hyperfine coupling constants, $A_{z}$ and $A_{x, y}$. Because of the high symmetry of the crystal, spectra recorded at every 90 degrees display identical spectral features (Figures 3.6, 3.7).


Figure 3.6. Waterfall representation of the X-band cw-EPR spectra of a single crystal of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] that has followed rotation by 10 degrees around the $z$-axis of one of the dimers present in the structure.


Figure 3.7. 2D representation of the X-band cw-EPR spectra of a single crystal of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] that has undergone rotation in similar conditions as noted in Figure 3.6.

An EPR road map study of one well oriented PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] single crystal are presented in Figure 3.6-3.8 and discussed below. Hyperfine coupling structure of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ ion coordinated to a phthalocyanine (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ was detected and analysed for angular dependence at room temperature. EPR spectra for PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] and its angular dependence exhibited the presence of five equivalent centres in the crystal at 80, 170, 260, 350 and 440 degrees (Figure 3.8) and the linewidths for all centres remain practically constant in the measured temperature range.


Figure 3.8. EPR road map of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] single crystal.
Cobalt(II) has two isotopes ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Co}$ and ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ with natural abundance of 100 and $0 \%$ respectively. A characteristic of the EPR spectrum for PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] crystal is a strong central line from $g_{x y}$ components and the eight lines $(I=7 / 2)$ splitting to the right side correspond to hyperfine transitions from $g_{z}$ component.

Next, the most shifted spectrum ( $260^{\circ}$ ) was chosen in order to obtain key parameters. As can be seen in Figure 3.9, the simulation of the most shifted single crystal spectrum displayed a $g_{z}$ smaller than $g_{x y}$ with g-factor values of 1.983 and 2.297 , respectively, and hyperfine coupling interactions of 35 MHz for the $A_{x y}$ component and 280 MHz for the zeta component $\left(A_{z}\right)$. Moreover, the spectrum revealed nitrogen super hyperfine coupling splitting in the $A_{z}$ component due to the interaction of the electronic spin of cobalt with the nitrogen nuclear spin ( 75 MHz ).


Figure 3.9. EPR spectrum of most shifted PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] single crystal.

In order to confirm these results, some measurements from the powder form of PNC[Co-cbpmCo] were performed at room and low temperature. As shown in Figure 3.10, the simulation for powder at room temperature presented a $g_{z}$ smaller than $g_{x y}$ with $g$-factor values of 2.009 and 2.306, respectively, and hyperfine coupling interaction of 55 MHz for $A_{x y}$ component and 290 MHz for zeta component $\left(A_{z}\right)$. Similar parameters were recorded in cw Q-band.


Figure 3.10. EPR spectra of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] in powder obtained using $X$ and $Q$ bands at room temperature.

Thereafter, the temperature was cooled to 77 K and the predominant signal was identified as a strong isotropic superoxide radical with g-factor 2.0075 (Figure 3.11A) due to the high interaction of cobalt with the electron of the radical. The parameters found for $g_{x y}, g_{z}, A_{x y}$ and $A_{z}$ were 2.285, 2.004, 20 MHz and 295 MHz , respectively. Subsequently, the sample was kept under vacuum using a Schlenk flask tube in order to degas the sample, avoiding the interaction between the metal and paramagnetic oxygen, and a clearer spectrum was obtained (Figure 3.11B). However, the parameters found at the degassed sample at 77 K were the same as for the sample containing the superoxide radical signal, as well as of the regasified sample (Figure 3.11C) that confirmed its reversibility.


Figure 3.11. X -band powder EPR spectra of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] at 77K.

The spectra of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] in frozen solution ( 77 K ) gave provided more information. As can be seen in Figure 3.12A, the spectrum showed the same superoxide radical signal with gfactor 2.0055. However, other features such as nitrogen splitting and at least two different species could be observed, one with $g_{z}<g_{x y}$ and nitrogen super hyperfine coupling splitting in the $A_{z}$ and the other with $g_{x y} \gg g_{z}$ without nitrogen splitting. The parameters found for the first species with $g_{z}<g_{x y}$ were $g_{x y}=2.273, g_{z}=2.006, A_{x y}=0 \mathrm{MHz}, A_{z}=290 \mathrm{MHz}$ and $A_{z N}=50 \mathrm{MHz}$, respectively, whereas, the parameters for the second species $g_{x y} \gg g_{z}$ were $g_{x y}=2.270, g_{z}=2.849$, $A_{x y}=0 \mathrm{MHz}$, and $A_{z}=650 \mathrm{MHz}$, respectively. The solution and a clearer spectrum was obtained (Figure 3.12B). However, the parameters found for the first $g_{z}<g_{x y}$ species in the degassed frozen solution were $g_{x y}=2.282, g_{z}=2.018, A_{x y}=0 \mathrm{MHz}, A_{z}=290 \mathrm{MHz}$ and $A_{z N}=50 \mathrm{MHz}$, respectively. In contrast, the parameters for the $g_{x y} \gg g_{z}$ second species were $g_{x y}=2.282, g_{z}=$ 2.840, $A_{x y}=0 \mathrm{MHz}$, and $A_{z}=650 \mathrm{MHz}$, respectively. The re-aerated frozen solution (Figure 3.12C) demonstrated the return of the superoxide radical signal with g-factor $=2.0055$ and the parameters for $g_{z}<g_{x y}$ are the same as those for the frozen solution before degassing. Nevertheless, the parameters for $g_{x y} \gg g_{z}$ (second species) show a slight shift.


Figure 3.12. X -band frozen solution EPR spectra of PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] at 77 K .

### 3.8 PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] single crystal, powder, and frozen solution cw-EPR experiments

Figure 3.13 show the spectrum and the simulation of most shifted single crystal spectrum ( $212{ }^{\circ}$ ) of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] displayed a $g_{z}$ smaller than $g_{x y}$ with $g$-factor values of 1.948 and 2.353 , respectively and hyperfine coupling interaction of 60 MHz for $A_{x y}$ component and 160 MHz for zeta component $\left(A_{z}\right)$. Moreover, the obtained spectrum revealed cobalt super hyperfine coupling splitting in both components due to the interaction of electron spin of cobalt with cobalt nuclear spin, at 60 and 160 MHz .


Figure 3.13. EPR spectrum of most shifted PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] single crystal.

These results were obtained from powder samples at room and low temperature. As shown in
Figure 3.14, the simulation for powder at room temperature presented a $g_{z}$ smaller than $g_{x y}$ with g-factor values of 2.007 and 2.301 , respectively and hyperfine coupling interaction of 60 MHz for $A_{x y}$ component and 30 MHz for zeta component $\left(A_{z}\right)$. Similar parameters were recorded in c.w. Q-band.


Figure 3.14. EPR powder spectrum of most shifted PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] in $X$ and $Q$ bands at room temperature.

For the spectrum obtained at 77 K , a strong isotropic superoxide radical with g-factor 2.0075 (Figure 3.15A) was observed due to the strong interaction of cobalt with radical electron. The
parameters found for $g_{x y}, g_{z}, A_{x y}$ and $A_{z}$ were $2.301,2.007,60 \mathrm{MHz}$ and 300 MHz , respectively. Subsequently, the sample was degassed under vacuum using a Schlenk flask tube resulting in a reduced superoxide peak (Figure 3.15B). However, the parameters found at the degassed sample at 77 K were the same as those of the sample containing superoxide radical signal, as well as that of the freshly aerated sample (Figure 3.15C) confirming reversibility.


Figure 3.15. X-band powder EPR spectra of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] at 77K.
The interesting conclusion of this investigation is that when the same experiments were carried out for the frozen solution at the stage of the degassing the superoxide radical disappears while in the powder spectrum still exists (Figure 3.16).


Figure 3.16. X-band frozen solution EPR spectra of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] at 77K.

### 3.9 PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] and PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] comparison with cw-EPR experiment

There have been no previous reports on the EPR study of nanoporous phthalocyanine crystals or, indeed, studies of highly symmetric crystals made from phthalocyanines. The two complexes that were the focus of the study were the PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] and the PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] as powder samples. Powder X-band EPR spectroscopy was measured for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] at 77 K and the spectrum (Figure 3.17) showed the signal of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}(\mathrm{S}=1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{I}=7 / 2)$ with cobalt hyperfine coupling split at high field with $g_{z}>g_{x y}$. However, a second species may be observed at low field with $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{z}}<\mathrm{g} x y$. Additionally, this compound was interacting with air at low temperature resulting in the characteristic intense signal of a superoxide radical.

In order to clarify the hyperfine splitting at high field, the sample was degassed (Figure 3.17 red) and a decrease in the intensity of the superoxide radical was observed. On re-exposure to the ambient environment, the peak was restored to its original intensity (Figure 3.17 blue).


Figure 3.17. Powder cw-EPR spectrum of $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{VO}_{2}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cbipy}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vO}_{2}\right]$ in ambient environment (black), degassed with the diminished superoxide radical peak (red), and re-exposed to ambient environment (blue).

Similarly, powder X-band EPR spectroscopy was performed on PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] at 77 K and the spectrum (Figure 3.18 black) showed the signal of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}(\mathrm{S}=1 / 2$ and $\mathrm{I}=7 / 2)$ similar to that of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] , with strong evidence of the superoxide radical bound onto the metal centre.

However, upon degassing of the sample, the superoxide radical disappeared completely (Figure 3.18 red) but upon aeration of the sample the radical could be observed with the same intensity (Figure 3.18 blue).


Figure 3.18. Powder cw-EPR spectrum of $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{VO}_{2}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cbpm}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{vO}_{2}\right]$ in ambient environment (black), degassed with disappearance of the superoxide radical peak (red), and re-exposed to ambient environment (blue).

Comparing the Figures 3.17 and 3.18, it can be observed a clear difference between these two complexes is the strength of the physisorption of the oxygen forming the superoxide radical, which indicates a potential difference in reactivity due to the choice of wall-tie ligand for catalytic oxidation reactions. This conclusion is similar to that observed for the gas cell XRD experiments.

### 3.10 Co-crystallisations with TPP

Previously, the PNCs were obtained for some double decker lanthanide (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcMPc complexes ( $M=T b, Y, D y, P r, Y b$ ) within which the smaller unsubstituted Pc ligands face into the voids (unpublished results, Luke Burt, PhD thesis). A similar result was obtained for the dimeric $\mu$-nitrido complex (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pc}, \mathrm{PNC}[v P c F e-N-F e]$, (Figure 3.19). Therefore, it was of interest to synthesize an $\mu$-nitrido bridge between (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ and the commonly available iron tetraphenylporphyrin (FeTPP, Figure 3.20) following the procedure of C. Ercolani. ${ }^{87}$ This porphyrin-phthalocyanine sandwich complex also crystallised in the desired nanoporous cubic crystal.


Figure 3.19. Molecular crystal structure of $\mathrm{PNC}[v \mathrm{PcFe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Fe}]$.


Figure 3.20. Molecular crystal structure of PNC[VTPPFe-N-Fe].
The clear symmetry-driven complementarity between the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ and TPPFe macrocycles within this complex prompted an investigation into the co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ and the $\mathrm{TPPH}_{2}$. Co-crystals are solids that are crystalline materials composed of two or more molecules in the same crystal lattice. ${ }^{88}$ The formation of such supramolecular adducts was also deemed viable by Spartan modelling with the phenyl groups of the TPP nestled within the gaps between the di-iso-propylphenyl units. The variables that were used for the modelling were the DFT B3LYP with a 6-31g* basis set, but since it was time consuming the semi empirical was used in the end providing the following model (Figure 3.21). The successful incorporation of TPPH ${ }_{2}$ within the PNC structure derived from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ is particularly pleasing because (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ does not normally crystallize in the nanoporous cubic space group, although PNC co-crystals with fullerenes have been successfully obtained. ${ }^{50}$


Figure 3.21. Spartan modelling of $\operatorname{PNC}\left[v T P P H_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$.
Based on this encouraging result, the incorporation of TPP within crystals formed by a range of (dipPhO)8PcM complexes was investigated. In addition, a range of metal cations metals were incorporated within the TPP. The following denomination will be followed for describing the MTPP (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} P c \mathrm{~cm}$ complex: PNC[vMTPP / M-cBidL-M / vMTPP] meaning that PNC is a phthalocyanine based nanoporous crystal, $v$ denoting the void, $c$ denoting the cavity, / denoting the floating of the TPP over the ( $\mathrm{dipPhO}_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$, and - denoting the bond between the metal with the BidL which is the bidentate ligand. (i.e. PNC[vH2TPP / Co-cbipy-Co / vH2TPP].

### 3.11 Co-crystallisation of PNC[vMTPP / M]

The co-crystallisation was tried with different ratios between the two compounds and in different solvent systems, but the most effective parameters were 1:1 ratio and co-crystallisation with slow diffusion of methanol in chloroform.

### 3.12 Characterisation of aggregates of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP

Initially, no obvious indication of aggregation of $\mathrm{TPPH}_{2}$ with (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ was noted by UV-Vis spectroscopic characterisation of solutions containing the two macrocycles. However, by adding the non-solvent methanol ( 0.16 ml to 3.5 ml of DCM solution), which is used to induce cocrystallisation, changes in the UV-Vis spectra consistent with aggregation could be observed (Figure 3.22). The peaks at 525 to 575 nm correspond to those of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP and those at 675 to 700
nm are distinctive split Q -band of the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$. As more $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP is added there is an increasing peak at 725 to 750 nm which corresponds to the dimeric aggregate.


Figure 3.22. UV-Vis spectra differentiating the ratio of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP against (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ to obtain the maximum aggregation between the two compounds.

### 3.13 Analysis of the molecular structures of Pc/porphyrin dimers from single crystal XRD

Initially, the analysis was repeated following the methodology described in the previous chapter based on the distance of the metal extrusion from the plane of the four pyrrolic nitrogen atoms and the curvature of the macrocycle. Furthermore, the distance of the metal to metal centre between the two macrocycles and also the distance between the pyrrolic nitrogens of the PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{vH} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{MTPP} / \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{M}\right]$ (Figure 3.23) were compiled.


Figure 3.23. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CoTPP} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$. Distance of the metal to metal and nitrogen to nitrogen of the two macrocycles.

### 3.14 Analysis of cubic PNC from MTPP and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcM

The first complex that was characterised by XRD was the $\operatorname{PNC}\left[v H_{2} T P P / H_{2}\right]$, where the results were interesting, for two main reasons. Firstly, normally the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ when it crystallised by itself does not do so in the PNC cubic structure; with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP the porous crystal was obtained successfully. Secondly, the structure of the dimer formed by the two macrocycles was as predicted by modelling with close interactions between the ortho protons of the phenyls of the TPP with the nitrogen atoms at the meso position of the phthalocyanine ( $2.444 \AA$; Figure 3.24).


Figure 3.24. Molecular crystal structure of $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vH}_{2} T P P / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$.
Different (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes were crystallised with the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP. The metals that were incorporated in the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ are shown in Figure 3.25 and in Table 3.1.


Cubic structure

Figure 3.25. Periodic table depicting with red shading the elements M for which (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ cocrystallize in the PNC structure with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP.

An interesting result was that (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCl}$ had previously not crystallised in the PNC structure (although (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ does form a PNC when co-crystallised with $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) ${ }^{50}$ but by co-crystallisation with the TPPH $_{2}$ the PNC was obtained with the TPP incorporated into the void (Table 3.1).

In the case of PNC[vH2TPP / Al], the percentage of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP deviates from $91 \%$ up to $100 \%$ occupancy, presumably due to the axial ligands that are bound onto the metal. More specifically, the metal has an 75 : 25 occupancy with the $75 \%$ the metal located towards the cavity and $25 \%$ located towards the void. In that 25 \%, the TPP has co-crystallised with the metallated Pc 91 \% while $9 \%$ the TPP has not, but the interesting phenomenon is that the cubic structure is retained as well as the nanoporosity. The same applied for the PNC[vH2TPP / Zn].

Furthermore, larger radius metals such as $\mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Ag}$ and Au were used for the co-crystallisation experiments, and demonstrated that the metal can extrude out the plane of the macrocycle but pointing towards the cavity when the side of the Pc facing the void is blocked by the TPP (e.g. Figure 3.43). Similarly, the axial ligands are forced into the cavity (e.g. Figure 3.34).


Figure 3.26. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ TPP/ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$, cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$
Figure 3.27. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} T P P / \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ dried, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$


Figure 3.28. azaPNC[vH2TPP/ $H_{2}$ ], cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$
Figure 3.29. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\nu \mathrm{H}_{2} T P P / A 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n(2 \mathrm{~A})$


Figure 3.30. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP} / \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$,
Figure 3.31. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vH}_{2}\right.$ TPP/ $\left.\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{cCl}\right]$,
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ (2B)
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n(2 C)$


Figure 3.32. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}\right.$ TPP- $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$,
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$
Figure 3.33 PNC[ $\mathrm{VH}_{2}$ TPP/Fe-cCl],
cubic, $\operatorname{Pu} n \overline{3}$ c, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (2D)


Figure 3.34. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\mathrm{vH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$,
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ (2E)

Figure 3.35. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CoTPP} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$,
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$


Figure 3.36. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v C u T P P / C O-\mathrm{cH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$
Figure 3.37. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[\nu \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ TPP/Ni], cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ (2F)


Figure 3.38. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} T P P / C u\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$ (2G) Figure 3.39. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} T P P / Z n-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n(2 \mathrm{H})$



(Note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.)

| Phthalocyanine tetraphenylporphyrin complexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c(A)$ | $V\left(A^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance ( $\AA$ ) | Macrocycle macrocycle distance ( $\AA$ ) | Metal to metal distance (Å) |
| PNC[ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ TPP/ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2539.319 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7730(2) | 37.7730(2) | 37.7730(2) | 53894.5 | 12 | 18.6 | N.A | 3.461 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ] Dried | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2539.319 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 38.0858(3) | 38.0858(3) | 38.0858(3) | 55244.5 | 12 | 14.59 | N.A | 3.442 | N.A. |
| azaPNC[ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ] | (dipPh) $)_{8} \mathrm{azaPCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{136} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2547.224 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5049(2) | 37.5049(2) | 37.5049(2) | 52755 | 12 | 12.5 | N.A | 3.419 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/AI] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCAl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2564.285 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7939(3) | 37.7939(3) | 37.7939(3) | 53984 | 12 | 15.17 | -0.407 | 3.546 | N.A. |
| PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Ti] | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCTi}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2585.170 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7674(2) | 37.7674(2) | 37.7674(2) | 53870.5 | 12 | 12.3 | -0.676 | 3.550 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Mn-c Cl$]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMn}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2592.241 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7985(5) | 37.7985(5) | 37.7985(5) | 54003.7 | 12 | 14.07 | -0.248 | 3.518 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\left[\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{VFeTPP}-\mathrm{N}\right.$-Fe- $\left.\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+\mathrm{FeTPP}^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 2660.985 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8683(6) | 37.8683(6) | 37.8683(6) | 54303.5 | 12 | 14.27 | 0.104 | 3.566 | 3.281 |
| PNC[ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Fe- $\mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {a }}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCFe}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2593.149 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8603(6) | 37.8603(6) | 37.8603(6) | 54269 | 12 | 11.22 | -0.297 | 3.485 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $V \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/ $\left./ \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CoCCO}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2596.237 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8048(2) | 37.8048(2) | 37.8048(2) | 54030.7 | 12 | 15.5 | -0.052 | 3.504 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\left[\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{vCoTPP} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{CoTPP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{Co}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Co}$ | 2653.154 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8225(4) | 37.8225(4) | 37.8225(4) | 54106.7 | 12 | 15.35 | -0.003 | 3.472 | 3.524 |
| PNC[V CuTPP/Co-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{CuTPP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CoC}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cu}$ | 2657.767 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.85440(10) | 37.85440(10) | 37.85440(10) | 54243.7 | 12 | 14.17 | -0.073 | 3.424 | 3.530 |
| PNC[V $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/ $/ \mathrm{Ni}$ ] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCNi}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2595.997 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.73920(10) | 37.73920(10) | 37.73920(10) | 53750 | 12 | 16.71 | -0.024 | 3.503 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\left[1 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ TPP/ $\left./ \mathrm{Cu}\right]$ | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2600.849 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.9675(2) | 37.9675(2) | 37.9675(2) | 54731.3 | 12 | 15.15 | -0.042 | 3.475 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $/ \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Zn] | $(\text { dipPhO) })_{8} \mathrm{PCZn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{ZnC}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2602.712 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8110(2) | 37.8110(2) | 37.8110(2) | 54057.3 | 12 | 20.46 | -0.865 | 3.228 | N.A. |
| PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Ga-ccl] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCGa}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ga} \mathrm{Ca}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2607.027 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8515(2) | 37.8515(2) | 37.8515(2) | 54231.2 | 12 | 13.43 | -0.444 | 3.523 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ TPP/Ag] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PCAg}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{Ca4t}^{48} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2645.172 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8701(2) | 37.8701(2) | 37.8701(2) | 54311.2 | 12 | 20.91 | -0.149 | 3.448 | N.A. |
| PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP//In-cll] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCln}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{ln}^{444} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2652.122 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.9239(2) | 37.9239(2) | 37.9239(2) | 54543 | 12 | 10.6 | -1.074 | 3.475 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ TPP/Au-v cl$]$ | $(\text { dip PhO) })_{8} \mathrm{PCAu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Au} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2769.723 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7974(3) | 37.7974(3) | 37.7974(3) | 53999 | 12 | 14.44 | -0.020 | 3.416 | N.A. |
| Table 3.1. PNC[vH $\left./ \mathrm{MTPP} / \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{M}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3.15 Comparison of the curvature and metal extrusion between PNCs derived from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and PNCs co-crystals from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP

The curvature and the metal extrusion within the PNCs derived from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and within the PNCs co-crystals from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP are compared (Table 3.2).

|  | Metal Phthalocyanines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c$ ( A ) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | CCDC |
| 2a | PNC[AI] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Al}$ | 1949.548 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4064(4) | 37.4064(4) | 37.4064(4) | 52340.5(10) | 12 | 26.48 | 0.062 |  |
| 2b | PNC[Ti] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcTi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti}$ | 1970.433 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.5117(9) | 37.5117(9) | 37.5117(9) | 52784(2) | 12 | 25.90 | 0.745 |  |
| 2 C | PNC[Mn] | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mn}$ | 1977.504 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.2511(5) | 37.2511(5) | 37.2511(5) | 51691.3(12) | 12 | 26.69 | 0.059 | 761412 |
| 2d | PNC[Fe-v Cl] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | 2013.385 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4365(2) | 37.4365(2) | 37.4365(2) | 52466.9 | 12 | 24.90 | 0.056 |  |
| 2 e | PNC[v MeOH-Co-c $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | 1981.499 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6395(3) | 37.6395(3) | 37.6395(3) | 53325.1(7) | 12 | 21.66 | 0.072 | 900375 |
| 2 f | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni}$ | 1981.259 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ | 21.370(2) | 16.88255(18) | 18.726(2) | 6098.7(11) | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 g | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}$ | 1986.112 | monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ | 38.607(2) | 16.8676(10) | 18.4282(11) | 11997 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2h | PNC[Zn] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}$ | 1987.975 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6973(2) | 37.6973(2) | 37.6973(2) | 53571.1 | 12 | 26.74 | 0.419 | 279644 |
| 2 i | PNC[Ga] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGa}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ga}$ | 1992.289 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.6003(2) | 37.6003(2) | 37.6003(2) | 53158.9(6) | 12 | 28.30 | 0.119 |  |
| 2 j | PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}_{60} / \mathrm{Ag}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}+\mathrm{C}_{60}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ | 4781.513 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7144(2) | 37.7144(2) | 37.7144(2) | 53644.1 | 12 | 28.08 | 0.148 | 1851746 |
| 2k | PNC[In] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcIn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{In}$ | 2037.384 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.4428(8) | 37.4428(8) | 37.4428(8) | 52493.4(19) | 12 | 33.92 | 0.995 |  |
| 21 | PNC[Au] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Au}$ | 2119.533 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.667(4) | 37.667(4) | 37.667(4) | 53442 | 12 | 155.20 | 0.009 |  |
| 2A | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/AI] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcAl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2564.285 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7939(3) | 37.7939(3) | 37.7939(3) | 53984 | 12 | 15.17 | -0.407 |  |
| 2B | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Ti] | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcTi}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2585.170 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7674(2) | 37.7674(2) | 37.7674(2) | 53870.5 | 12 | 12.3 | -0.676 |  |
| 2 C | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Mn-cl] | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2592.241 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7985(5) | 37.7985(5) | 37.7985(5) | 54003.7 | 12 | 14.07 | -0.248 |  |
| 2D | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Fe-c Cl$]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{FeCC}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2593.149 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8603(6) | 37.8603(6) | 37.8603(6) | 54269 | 12 | 11.22 | -0.297 |  |
| 2 E | PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Co-c $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2596.237 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8048(2) | 37.8048(2) | 37.8048(2) | 54030.7 | 12 | 15.5 | -0.052 |  |
| 2F | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Ni] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2595.997 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.73920 (10) | 37.73920(10) | 37.73920(10) | 53750 | 12 | 16.71 | -0.024 |  |
| 2G | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/ Cu$]$ | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2600.849 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.9675(2) | 37.9675(2) | 37.9675(2) | 54731.3 | 12 | 15.15 | -0.042 |  |
| 2 H | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Zn] | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2602.712 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8110(2) | 37.8110(2) | 37.8110(2) | 54057.3 | 12 | 20.46 | -0.865 |  |
| 21 | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Ga-c Cl$]$ | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcGa}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ga} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2607.027 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8515(2) | 37.8515(2) | 37.8515(2) | 54231.2 | 12 | 13.43 | -0.444 |  |
| 2J | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Ag] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2645.172 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8701(2) | 37.8701(2) | 37.8701(2) | 54311.2 | 12 | 20.91 | -0.149 |  |
| 2K | PNC[ $\sim \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP//n-cCl] | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PCln}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2652.122 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.9239(2) | 37.9239(2) | 37.9239(2) | 54543 | 12 | 10.6 | -1.074 |  |
| 2L | PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Au-vCl] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Au} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2769.723 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.7974(3) | 37.7974(3) | 37.7974(3) | 53999 | 12 | 14.44 | -0.020 |  |
|  |  | Table | Comparison of extrusio | me | and of the phth | anine cur | e betwee | C[M] and | $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP |  |  |  |  |

It is clear from Figure 3.44 that when $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP is incorporated into the PNC structure, all the values of curvature are much smaller (by around $10^{\circ}$ ). Hence the interaction with the planer TPP appears to flatten the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$. This effect is most obvious for (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcIn}$, which without TPP demonstrates a very large curvature ( $\sim 35^{\circ}$ ) but with TPP is one of the least curved Pcs found in a PNC. This is due to the effect of the large metal being forced into the cavity by the TPP instead of protruding into the void (Figure 3.45).


Figure 3.44. Comparing plot of different $\operatorname{PNC}[M]$ versus $\operatorname{PNC[vH_{2}TPP~/~M]~indicating~the~flexibility~and~}$ rigidity of the two phthalocyanine systems.

The magnitude of the extrusion distance of the metal from the plane of the phthalocyanine is very similar for both PNCs with and without the TPP, however, when the TPP is incorporated the metal is forced into the cavity as the axial position facing the void is blocked by the TPP. Once again, this effect is most dramatic for (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc} \mathrm{In}$.


Figure 3.45. A comparison of the metal cation extrusion distances for different PNC[M] versus those for PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/M].

### 3.16 SCSC transformations of PNC[ $\left.\mathrm{vH}_{2} / \mathrm{MTPP} / \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{M}\right]$

For the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP co-crystals in the PNC structure, it was attempted to do single crystal to single metallations. The single-crystal to single-crystal transformations were performed within a single vial containing five crystals. One of these crystals was selected after the metalation was completed and was analysed with XRD. The same procedure was followed when the metalation and the bidentate ligand were inserted into the two macrocycles. There was no drop in data quality as the reflections could only reach a maximum of $1 \AA$, however after a number of data collections the crystal would no longer reflect. The experiment was performed by solubilising an excess of cobalt salt in MeOH and then adding this into the mother liquor in contact with the crystals. After three days, the crystals were analysed with XRD and the
experiment was successful, incorporating cobalt in both macrocycles with $100 \%$ occupancy (Figure 3.46).


Figure 3.46. Molecular crystal structure of PNC[vH2O-CoTPP / Co-cH2O], cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$.
Similarly for the co-crystal derived from (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP (PNC[ $\mathrm{vH}_{2}$ TPP/Co] metalation of the TPP was attempted by adding an excess of $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ to the mother liquor that contained the crystals and after leaving for 3 days, the sample was analysed by XRD. Successful insertion of the copper in the TPP was observed with 100\% occupancy to give PNC[vCuTPP /Co] (Figure 3.47).


Figure 3.47. Molecular crystal structure of PNC[vCuTPP / Co-CH2O], cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$.
The next logical step was to do an in-situ incorporation of bipy and bpm, to act as a bidentate ligand to stabilise the crystal towards solvent removal. An excess of bipy was dissolved in MeOH and the solution was poured into the mother liquor in contact with the crystals. After three days the samples were analysed with XRD, and the insertion of the "wall-ties" was shown to be successful, binding across the cavity to the two cobalt cations of the phthalocyanines (Table 3.3).

| Phthalocyanine tetraphenylporphyrin bidentate ligands complexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | Name | Chemical formula | $M_{r}$ | Crystal system, space group | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c$ (Å) | $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $z$ | Phthalocyanine curvature (degrees) | Metal to macrocycle distance (Å) | Macrocycle macrocycle distance (Å) | Metal to metal distance ( $\AA$ ) |
| PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{v}$ CoTPP/Co-c bipy-Co/v CoTPP-v $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcCo + CoTPP + bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 5462.493 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8191(5) | 37.8191(5) | 37.8191(5) | 54092.1 | 12 | 16.02 | 0.061 | 3.491 | 3.607 |
| PNC[v CuTPP/Co-c bipy-Co/v CuTPP] | (dipPhO) $8_{8}$ PcCo + CuTPP + bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 5471.718 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.91000(10) | 37.91000(10) | 37.91000(10) | 54483 | 12 | 14.5 | 0.064 | 3.459 | 3.514 |
| PNC[v CuTPP/Co-c bpm-Co/v CuTPP] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{CuTPP}+$ bpm | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 5473.694 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.80340(10) | 37.80340(10) | 37.80340(10) | 54024.7 | 12 | 15.630 | 0.065 | 3.428 | 3.488 |
| PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Co-c bipy-Co/v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP] | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP + bipy | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 5348.658 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.8503(2) | 37.8503(2) | 37.8503(2) | 54226.1 | 12 | 15.58 | 0.053 | 3.480 | N.A. |
| PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Co-c bpm-Co/v ${ }_{2}$ TPP] | (dipPhO)88PCO $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+\mathrm{bpm}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 5350.634 | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | 37.92800(10) | 37.92800(10) | 37.92800(10) | 54560.7 | 12 | 14.26 | 0.072 | 3.482 | N.A. |



Figure 3.48. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ TPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vH2TPP]
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$


Figure 3.50. PNC[vCuTPP/Co-cbipy-Co / vCuTPP]
cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$
cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$


Figure 3.52. $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$-CoTPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vH2O-CoTPP $]$, cubic, $\mathrm{P} n \overline{3} n$
(Note that the legends shaded in red indicate structures obtained during the PhD programme.)
Sufficient sample of PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vH2TPP] was prepared in order to analyse using nitrogen adsorption at 77 K providing a value for the BET surface area of $450 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ with a pore volume of $0.22 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ (Figure 3.53). Both of these values are approximately half those obtained for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] showing that the six TPP incorporated into each voids occupies around a half of the total porosity of the PNC structure.


Figure 3.53. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sorption isotherms of $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP} / \mathrm{Co}\right.$-cbipy-Co/vH $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}\right]$.

### 3.17 Cw-EPR of $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{CuTPP}$ (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcCo bipy

Cw-EPR experiments were performed on both powder samples and single crystals of PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ CTPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vH2TPP] and PNC[vCuTPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vCuTPP]. Firstly, the powder cw -EPR spectra of the compounds at diverse temperatures will be described. This will be followed by the results from single crystal cw-EPR spectroscopy.

For, PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TP /Co-cbipy-Co/vH2TPP], spectra were recorded at room temperature ( $c a .296 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and at four other temperatures: $220 \mathrm{~K}, 120 \mathrm{~K}, 40 \mathrm{~K}$ and 5 K . As can be observed in Figure 3.54, the spectral line intensity increases when the temperature is lowered, and the overall shape of the spectrum also changes, displaying better resolved peaks. Characteristic ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Co}(I=7 / 2)$
hyperfine components are observed, along with a sharper signal at ca. 2000 G due to a Co (III)-OO superoxo radical. The latter confirms the successful binding of oxygen to the metal.


Figure 3.54. Powder cw-EPR spectra of PNC[ $\mathrm{vH}_{2}$ TPP Co-cbipy-Co/vH2TPP] at different temperatures.
For PNC[vCuTPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vCuTPP] spectra were acquired at room temperature (ca. 296 K ) and at other four temperatures: $70 \mathrm{~K}, 40 \mathrm{~K}, 20 \mathrm{~K}$ and 5 K . On lowering the temperature, a clear quadruplet at 1200 to 1600 G is observed, which indicates the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(I=3 / 2)$, while at higher field the Co spectrum is observed (Figure 3.55). The super oxo radical signal is observed at ca. $3600 \mathrm{G}(g=2)$, confirming the successful binding of oxygen to the Co metal.


Figure 3.55. Powder cw-EPR spectra of PNC[vCuTPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vCuTPP] at different temperatures.
Single crystal EPR data for PNC[ $v \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vH2TPP] were recorded at every 10 degrees of rotation at room temperature. Because of the high symmetry of the crystal, similar spectra at every 90 degrees are acquired (Figures 3.56 and 3.57).


Figure 3.56. Waterfall representation of the $X$-band cw-EPR spectra of a single crystal of $\mathrm{PNC}\left[v \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP} / \mathrm{Co}-\right.$ cbipy- $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{vH}_{2}$ TPP] that has followed rotation by 10 degrees around the $z$-axis of one of the dimers present in the structure.


Figure 3.57. 2D representation of the X-band cw-EPR spectra of a single crystal of PNC[vH2TPP/Co-cbipy$\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{vH}_{2}$ TPP] that has undertaken rotation in similar conditions as in Figure 3.56.

### 3.18 Conclusions

Co-crystals of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes with the novel wall-tie ligand bipyrimidine incorporated into the PNC structure were successfully prepared and found to resist structural collapse on removal of included solvent. The furthermore insertion of different metals on the nitrogen atoms on the bidentate ligand was unsuccessful but the chemisorption of small gases to the cobalt cation was investigated by single crystal XRD coupled to a gas cell (CO and NO) and by EPR ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). These studies revealed significant differences between the reactivity of the cobalt centre when bipyrimidine is used as wall-tie as compared to 4,4-bipyridyl.

Co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with metal free TPP produced a remarkable series of NPC structures in which the TPP was incorporated into the void of the crystals due to a symmetry dependent cofacial interaction between the phthalocyanine and porphyrin. Metals could be incorporated within the TPP via SCSC transformations, providing a system where two metals of
choice can be forced into close contact within a predictable crystal system. It was demonstrated that such metal-metal interactions can be probed using cw-EPR.

## Chapter IV.

## Conclusions and Future work

### 4.1 Conclusions

The work reported in this thesis further demonstrates the versatility of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes for the construction of porous molecular crystals. New metals have been incorporated into the macrocycle whilst retaining the cubic nanoporous structure. An analysis of the molecular structures within the PNCs shows that the structure tolerates large variations in phthalocyanine curvature and the extrusion distance of the metal cation from the macrocyclic plane.

Co-crystals which incorporate bidentate wall-ties stabilise the PNC structure but can also be used to modify the reactivity of the metal cation towards the chemisorption of small gases such as CO and NO, as probed by single crystal XRD combined with a gas cell, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as probed by EPR. The reversible binding of NO may have medical applications where the slow release of NO is beneficial for wound healing and as a disinfectant.

The co-crystallisation of TPP with (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ complexes has opened new possibilities for structural diversity with a large number of different combinations of metals within the PNC structure now possible. The close distance between the metals allows interactions, as demonstrated by EPR spin coupling, which may allow modifications of the reactivity of the accessible metalloporphyrin - one of the most studied class of molecular catalyst.

### 4.2 Future work:

### 4.2.1 Incorporation of the remaining elements in the phthalocyanine derivative

Most metallic elements have now been incorporated within the PNC structure as described in Chapter 2, especially if fullerene or TPP are used to make co-crystals, the latter being described in Chapter 3. However, some metals still remain unsuccessfully incorporated (e.g. B, K, Hf, Ge, Th ) and may remain so and some are, as yet, unstudied ( $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{Os}, \mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Tl}$, La, Ce, Nd, Pm, Sm, Gd, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu) (Figure 4.1). Due to their similar reactivities and ability to form Pc sandwich complexes the remaining lanthanide metals should be readily incorporated. In contrast, it is likely that the alkali metals will form similar non-PNC crystals as K. Radioactive elements (e.g. Tc and the Actinides) present difficulties for synthesis and would need to be investigated by a specialist research group. The other elements remain good cadicated for PNC inclusion.


Cubic structure $\square$ Not cubic structure

Figure 4.1. Periodic table depicting elements that form complexes with unsubstituted phthalocyanines shaded blue, red and yellow, with red the elements that form cubic nanoporous complexes with (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc}$ and with yellow the elements that do not form cubic structure as characterised by sc-XRD analysis.

### 4.2.2 Incorporation of different metals in (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM} /$ TPP co-crystals.

As presented in Chapter 3, the co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with TPP allows PNC formation with a range of metals in either the Pc or porphyrin macrocycles. As there are around 40 metals that can be incorporated within the central cavity of Pcs some 1600 combinations could be attempted. Those for which useful reactivity could be modified by the presence of a neighbouring metal, that show interesting metal-metal spin-coupling, or for which tandem catalysis could be performed should be prioritised. In addition, gas cell XRD could be used to investigate chemisorption and a sample of PNC[vCoTPP/Co-cbipy-Co/vCoTPP] and PNC[vCuTPP /Co-cbipy-Co/vCuTPP] have been submitted for study at the DLS.

### 4.2.3 The incorporation of substituted TPPs into PNCs

The investigation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and the MTPP co-crystallisation has been made the topic of one of the Year 4 undergraduate mini-research projects within the School of Chemistry. Students are asked to prepare derivatives of TPP and study the aggregation with (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcCo using UVVis, mass spectrometry, NMR, XRD. As an example, a non PNC co-crystal (space group = Pnma) was prepared from metal-free tetrakis(4-methylphenyl)porphyrin and (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ which indicates that substitution of the TPP at the para is not compatible with PNC formation, despite a neat 1:1 co-crystal being formed (Figure 4.2). This project will run regularly so that further data on this system will be acquired.


Figure 4.2. Molecular structure within the non-PNC (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPPMe}_{4}$ co-crystal.

### 4.2.4 Incorporation of POMs as bidentate ligands or as axial ligands in the void.

Polyoxometalates (POMs) and phthalocyanines (Pc) are both widely studied as components of functional materials, ${ }^{89,90}$ such as catalysts ${ }^{91}$ and photonics, because each possesses enormous potential for structural variation and tuning of their electronic properties. In addition to POMs being good electron acceptors, in many ways the diverse photochemical, and coordination chemistry of phthalocyanines and POMs are complementary; therefore, robust complexes that photonically couple phthalocyanines and POMs should have unique properties. There are reports of materials wherein cationic phthalocyanines or porphyrins and POMs are mixed an axially bound POM counterion on $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{V})$ Por, ${ }^{92-95}$ and other porphyrin - POM structures, ${ }^{96,97}$ but during the PhD programme it was attempted to prepare discrete ternary systems wherein a central metal ion is coordinated to both the PNC-forming (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ and a defect site in a lacunary POM. Following the previously reported procedure to prepare $\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{IV})$ and $\mathrm{Hf}(\mathrm{IV})$ porphyrins and phthalocyanines and the lacunary $\mathrm{POM},{ }^{98,99} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}{ }^{4-}$ (Figure 4.3), the synthesis of the required (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ with POM axial substituent was attempted.


$$
(\mathrm{TPyP}) \mathrm{Hf}(\mathrm{IV}) \mathrm{OAc}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\left[\mathrm{TBA}_{4} \rightarrow(\mathrm{TPyP}) \mathrm{Hf}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)[\mathrm{TBA}]_{5}\right.
$$

Figure 4.3. Molecular structures of the ternary complex TPP-Hf-POM.

The preparation of the lacunary polyoxometalate that was used for the synthesis of the ternary complexes of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcHf-LPOM proved straightforward, ${ }^{100}$ by using a slight modification of the synthesis by Ho and Klemperer and affords a product which can be used without any further purification (Scheme 4.1).

$$
\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}+\mathrm{TBABr} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{TBA}_{4}
$$

Scheme 4.1. Preparation of lacunary polyoxometalate.

The preparation of the complex of the lacunary polyoxometalate with the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ that following the procedure from Drain (Scheme 4.2), ${ }^{98,99}$ where the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ was dissolved in a mixture of DCM/MeOH (1:1) and the LPOM was dissolved in MeCN as well as the counter ion TBA $[\mathrm{Br}]$ in MeCN. The resulting clear LPOM solution was added dropwise to the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ after which the counterion was added. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight, centrifuged, and then filtered through celite.
$\mathrm{PcHfCl}_{2}+\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}+\mathrm{TBABr} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PcHfPW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{TBA}_{5}$
Scheme 4.2. Preparation of ternary complex (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}-\mathrm{POM}$.
The complex was characterized by UV-Vis, mass spectrometry and XRD, which the first method did not show the anticipated shift of the (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ peaks according to the publications. ${ }^{98,99}$ When it was analysed with electron spray ionisation spectrometry (ESI - MALDI - TOF), the complex was formed and it was stable (Figure 4.4). Unfortunately, no crystals could be formed from this large complex.


Figure 4.4. ESI spectrum of ternary complex $\mathrm{Pc} 1 \mathrm{HfPW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}$ with counter ion TBA and the simulation.

## Chapter V:

## Experimental

### 5.1 General

All reagents and solvents were purchased from Fisher Scientific, Alfa Aesar, Sigma-Aldrich or VWR and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Dry solvents were obtained were obtained from the lab solvent purification system where they are purified over activated alumina (diethyl ether, THF, toluene) of activated molecular sieves (hexane). All reactions using air/moisture sensitive reagents were conducted in oven-dried apparatus under a nitrogen atmosphere. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was carried out using aluminium- backed plates coated with Merck TLC silica gel 60 F254. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel from Sigma Aldrich (Particle size: $40-80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, pore size: $60 \AA$ ), or in cases where specified, neutral alumina from Sigma Aldrich (particle size: 50-150 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).

### 5.2 Instrumentation

## Microwave reactor

The reactions carried on a CEM Discover SP Microwave with ActiVent ${ }^{T M}$ technology apparatus to a maximum of $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of temperature and 300 W of power.

## Melting point analysis

Melting points were recorded on a Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus to a maximum of $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and are uncorrected.

Thermogravimetric analysis
Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) data were recorded on a TA SDT Q600 TGA system under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

## Infrared spectroscopy

Infra-red-spectra were recorded in the range of $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ using a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1S FTIR spectrophotometer as a solid powder.

## UV-visible (UV-vis)

UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded in the range of 800 - 200 nm using a Shimadzu UV1800 spectrophotometer.

## Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in a suitable deuterated solvent using an Advanced Bruker AVA400 ( 400 MHz ) spectrometer, AVA500 ( 500 MHz ) spectrometer equipped with a DCH cryoprobe, or PRO500 ( 500 MHz ) spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy cryo-probe, with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR recorded at $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ and 125 MHz respectively. Chemical shifts ( $\delta_{H}$ and $\delta_{C}$ ) are reported in parts per million relative to the traces of non-deuterated molecules in each corresponding deuterated solvent. The abbreviations $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{m}$ and br . refer to singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, septuptlet, multiplet and broad resonances; all coupling constants were recorded in Hertz (Hz).

## Mass spectrometry

MALDI-TOF-TOF mass spectroscopic analysis was performed on a Bruker Ultraflex Extreme spectrometer. Small molecule ( $<1000 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1}$ ) low resolution mass spectrometry (LRMS) were performed using a Thermo Finnigan Sector instrument using electron ionisation (EI). Large molecule ( $>3000 \mathrm{gmol}^{-1}$ ) high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) were performed with electron spray ionization using a Bruker MicrOTOF II UltrafleXreme with a MAT 900 sector which is internally calibrated using sodium formate.

### 5.3 General experimental procedure for metallated phthalocyanines

Preparation of metal phthalocyanines from phthalonitrile in bulk reaction with the use of microwave irradiation ${ }^{101}$

In a typical procedure, 4,5-di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile (Pn1) ( 0.20 mmol ) and the appropriate metal salt ( 0.05 mmol ) were heated by microwave irradiation at the required temperature and for the appropriate time depending on the metal. The product was then solubilised into DCM and precipitated with methanol.

### 5.4 Experimental procedures

## 4,5-Dichlorophthalonitrile ${ }^{48}$



4,5-Dichlorophthalic acid ( $25.00 \mathrm{~g}, 106.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic anhydride ( 60.0 ml ) were heated under gentle reflux for 5 h with slow distillation of solvent ( 15.0 ml ). After cooling, the solid was filtered, washed with petroleum ether then dried under vacuum to obtain 5,6-dichlorobenzofuran-1,3-dione as a white powder ( $21.00 \mathrm{~g}, 96.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ); m.p. $184-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit ${ }^{48}$ m.p. $184-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) $1830,1786,1378,1310,1248,1094,915,733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{C}, \mathrm{ppm} 161.1,139.1,131.1$, 127.0; $m / z(E I, L R M S)$ cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=216 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ formula cluster at $\left.217.005 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.


5,6-Dichlorobenzofuran-1,3-dione ( $21.00 \mathrm{~g}, 96.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in formamide ( 40.0 ml ) was heated at reflux for 3 h , under stirring. After cooling, the precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried under vacuum to obtain 5,6-dichloroisoindoline-1,3-dione as an off-white powder (20.50 g,
$94.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%) ;$ m.p. $202-203^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{lit}^{48} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .193-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; IR ( $\left.\tilde{v}\right) 3225,1711,1345,1059,906$, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{C}, \mathrm{ppm}$ 167.0, 136.9, 132.4, 124.9; $m / z$ (EI, LRMS) cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=215 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NCl}_{2}$ formula cluster at $216.021 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).


5,6-Dichloroisoindoline-1,3-dione ( $20.50 \mathrm{~g}, 94.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 24 h in $25 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ( 250.0 ml ), then $33 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(100.0 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and stirring was continued for another 24 h . The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried under vacuum to obtain 4,5'-dichlorobenzene-1,2-diamide as a white powder (15.00 g, $64.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%)$; m.p. $235-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit ${ }^{48}$ m.p. $245-247^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) $3426,3296,3131,1667,1608,1173,1121,916,897 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$, ppm 7.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.71 (s,2H, ArH), 7.46 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta, \mathrm{ppm} 167.3,136.2,131.4,129.3 ; m / z(E I, L R M S)$ cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=232 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ formula cluster at $233.051 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).


At $0^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ SOCl $_{2}(100.0 \mathrm{ml})$ was added under stirring and a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere to anhydrous DMF (150.0 ml ). After $2 \mathrm{~h}, 4,5$ '-dichlorobenzene-1,2-diamide ( $26.70 \mathrm{~g}, 114.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , then at room temperature for 20 h . The mixture was slowly added to ice water to quench the excess of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$. The white product was collected by filtration and washed with water then recrystallised twice from methanol to obtain 4,5dichlorophthalonitrile as an off-white crystalline material ( $17.50 \mathrm{~g}, 89.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ); m.p. 180 $-183^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit ${ }^{48}$ m.p. $182-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR ( $\left.\tilde{v}\right) 2916,2237,1815,1467,1348,1262,1217,1132,917,683$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{c}, \mathrm{ppm}$
139.0, 134.9, 114.9, 113.6; $m / z$ (EI, LRMS) cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=197 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ formula cluster at $197.021 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).

## 4,5-Di(2',6'-di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalonitrile (Pn1) ${ }^{102}$



4,5-Dichlorophthalonitrile (7.00 g, 35.53 mmol ) was dissolved into anhydrous dimethylformamide ( 105.0 ml ), under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Anhydrous potassium carbonate ( 19.00 g , 137.86 mmol ) and then 2,6-di-iso-propylphenol ( $19.5 \mathrm{ml}, 103.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and stirred for 3 days at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was cooled and was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and left stirring for 10 mins. The solid was filtered and washed with MeOH to remove the excess of the di-isopropylphenol (purple colour) and dried under suction. The light blue product was purified by recrystallisation from $\mathrm{MeOH}(11.00 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%) ;$ m.p. $161-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) $3080,2228,1589,1503$, 1332, 1096, 881, $795 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 2.87 (sept, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ) $1.18-1.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{c}, \mathrm{ppm} 151.4,147.0,140.6,127.3,125.1,117.7,115.3,109.1,27.4,24.1,22.4 ; m / z$ (EI, LRMS) $\mathrm{M}^{\bullet+} 481 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (EI, LRMS) cluster of peaks centred at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=481 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17}\right)_{2}$ formula cluster at $\left.480.641 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

## 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ]



In a typical procedure lithium metal was added to a solution of 4,5-di( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{di}$-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in refluxing anhydrous $n$-pentanol $(15.0 \mathrm{ml})$ under nitrogen and with stirring. The mixture of reaction was refluxed for 5 h and then cooled and acetic acid was added ( $3.0 \mathrm{ml}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude green product, which was purified by reprecipitation from chloroform solution with methanol to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ as a light green powder ( $1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ ); m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 3576,2962 , 1439, 1328, 1265, 1184, 1093, 1017, 877, $754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 702.5, 667.5, 607, 420.5, 348.5, 297, $229.5 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.13$ (br, 8 H ), 7.50 (m, 24H, ArH), 3.37 (sept, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.28 (br m, $96 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), $-0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{c}, \mathrm{ppm} 152.6,151.0,149.1,141.7,139.8,132.2,126.4,124.7,107.4,27.4,23.8$, 23.6; $m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=1925.710 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ formula $1924.585 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); elemental analysis calc (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C 79.88, H 7.65, N 5.82, found C 80.04, H 7.70, N 5.70; crystal data: first polymorph (DCM/MeOH) monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=2.1293(7) \mathrm{nm}, b=1.6896(5) \mathrm{nm}, c=1.8283(6) \mathrm{nm}, b=$ 114.657(4), $V=5.9778(7) \mathrm{nm}^{3}, Z=2, R_{l}=0.0838$. The asymmetric unit contains $1 / 2$ of Pc 1 with a solvent methanol molecule; second polymorph (EtOAc - DCM/MeOH) orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=1.94927$ (12) $\mathrm{nm}, b=1.62918$ (10) $\mathrm{nm}, c=3.8420$ (2) $\mathrm{nm}, V=1.2201$ (1) $\mathrm{nm}^{3}, Z=4$, $R_{I}=0.0677$. The asymmetric unit contains $1 / 2$ of $(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ with 2 solvent ethyl acetate molecules.

## Cobalt(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

[(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}\right]^{39}$


A stirred solution of 4,5-di(2',6'-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cobalt(II) acetate ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.735 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous NMP ( 2.0 ml ) was heated at $165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 $h$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into water $(15.0 \mathrm{ml})$. The crude product was collected by filtration and then purified by reprecipitation from chloroform solution with methanol to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ as dark blue-green powder ( 0.60 g , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2961, 1612, 1462, 1456, 1413, 1353, 1269, 1186, 1095, 1050, 904, 864, 799, 777, 755, $729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 673,608,404,331,302,229 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=1982.055 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ formula $1982.935 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); elemental analysis calc (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ : C 77.59, H 7.32, N 5.66 found C 77.68, H 7.52, N 5.52; crystal data: first polymorph (DCM/acetone) monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=3.8714(3) \mathrm{nm}, b=1.68469(12) \mathrm{nm}, c=1.82104(13), b=90.7290(10), V=$ $11.8761(15) \mathrm{nm}^{3}, Z=4, R_{l}=0.0792$, the asymmetric unit contains 1 molecule of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ with 2 disordered DCM solvent molecules; second polymorph (DCM/MeOH) cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=$ $c=3.7391(3) \mathrm{nm}, V=52.2759 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0983$. The asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ molecule together with a solvent atom, assumed to be water at an occupancy of $50 \%$. In the axial sites there is a water/ MeOH molecule.

## Zinc(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

 [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcZn] ${ }^{39,40}$

4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and zinc(II) acetate ( 0.11 g , 0.60 mmol ) in anhydrous NMP ( 1.0 ml ) were reacted as described in the general procedure for 1.5 h at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pc} \mathrm{Cn}$ as a dark green powder ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2963, 1609, 1456, 1400, 1329, 1271, 1186, 1092, 1025, 894, $795 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 683, 653, 615, 347, 296, $229 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{н}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.37 (sept, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.28 (br m, $96 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{c}, \mathrm{ppm} 152.6,150.7,149.3,141.8,139.8,132.2,126.3,124.7,107.4,27.5,23.8$, 23.6; $m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=1988.623 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}$ formula $1989.012 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); elemental analysis calc (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}: \mathrm{C}$ 76.64, H 7.34, N 5.59 found C 76.95, H 7.53, N 5.58; crystal data (DCM/MeOH) cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=c=3.7391(3) \mathrm{nm}, V=52.2759 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0983$. The asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ molecule together with a solvent atom, assumed to be MeOH at an occupancy of $50 \%$.

## Copper(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

## $\left[(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}\right]^{39,40}$

$$
(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }} \text { Acetate } \frac{\text { n-pentanol }}{\text { reflux }}(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}
$$

2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2', $6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ] ( 0.10 g , $0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and copper(II) acetate ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in n -pentanol ( 5.0 ml ) were heated at reflux for 4 h . After cooling, the slurry of reaction was dissolved in toluene and filtered to remove the excess of Cullacetate, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude
product was purified by reprecipitation with methanol from its solution in DCM to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ as dark green powder ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 1611, 1461, 1406, 1351, 1271, 1186, 1095, 1034, 899, 797, $775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 682, 614, 405, 343, 295, $230 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1988.334 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}$ formula cluster at $1987.842 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); elemental analysis calc (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}$ : C 77.41, H 7.31, N 5.64 found C 77.97, H 7.52, N 5.36; crystal data (DCM/acetone) monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=3.8607(2) \mathrm{nm}, b=1.68676(10) \mathrm{nm}, c=$ $1.84282(11) \mathrm{nm}, B=91.3750, V=11.997 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=4, R_{I}=0.0747$, the asymmetric unit contains 1 molecule of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ with a solvent acetone molecule and disordered solvent assumed to be DCM.

## Nickel(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

[(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}\right]^{39,40}$


4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and nickel (II) chloride ( 0.10 $\mathrm{g}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous quinoline ( 1.0 ml ) were heated at $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into a mixture of methanol - water ( 20.0 ml , 1:1) then filtered off. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ as dark green powder ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 1464, 1417, 1359, 1272, 1189, 1095, 1051, $905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 673,608,405,309,234 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.56 (m, 24H, ArH), 7.45 (d, 16H, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) 3.42 (sept, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.25 (br m, $96 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{C}, \mathrm{ppm} 152.4,150.8,149.1,145.3,141.7$, 139.6, 131.4, 106.5, 132.4, 126.1, 125.2, 107.6, 27.4, 23.8, 23.6; m/z (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=1980.657 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni}$ formula $1979.012 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-}$
${ }^{1}$ ); Elemental analysis calc (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C 77.60, H 7.33, N 5.66 found C 77.67, H 7.22, N 5.27; crystal data ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=2.1370(2) \mathrm{nm}, b=$ $1.688255(18) \mathrm{nm}, c=1.8726(2) \mathrm{nm}, b=115.0680(10), V=6.0987(11) \mathrm{nm}^{3}, Z=2, R_{l}=0.0550$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 2$ molecule of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ with a fully occupied $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecule.

## 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine metal derivatives



Molybdenum(IV)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}\right]^{103}$

$+\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{24} \times 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \xrightarrow[250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.5 \mathrm{~h}]{\text { Microwave }}(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$
4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium heptamolybdate ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were reacted as described in the general procedure for 1.5 h at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$ as dark green powder ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 3062,

2960, 2868, 2358, 1606, 1456, 1394, 1327, 1269, 1184, 1080, 1028, 902, 858, 792, 775, 748, 727 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 706,667.8,637,601.8,379 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHZ}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.22(\mathrm{~s}$, 8H, ArH), 7.62 (t, 8H, ArH), 7.51 (d, 16H, ArH), $3.45-3.39$ (m, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.44 - 1.08 (m, $96 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHZ}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{c}, \mathrm{ppm} 152,151,149,142,131,127,125,107,28,27$, 24, 23; $m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2034.128 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{MoO}$ formula $2035.014 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); crystal data $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=c=3.75869$ (5) nm, $V=53.1018$ (2) $\mathrm{nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.1831$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ molecule of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$ with a fully occupied $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecule and with $50 \%$ occupancy of the axial oxygen on either side.

## Rhenium(V)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}{ }^{104}$



4,5-Di( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium perrhenate $(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted as described in general procedure for 45 mins at $290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}$ as dark green powder ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 3680,3062 , $3022,3005,2962,2924,2868,2845,1606,1458,1438,1398,1361,1346,1327,1271,1257,1184$, 1170, 1145, 1095, 1058, 1045, 1031, 904, 862, 796, 777, 754, $729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 703,671$, 631.2, 433.8, 364.2, 344.2, 304.2, 282.2, $258.2 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}) 3.44$ (sept, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.35 (br m, $96 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{C}, \mathrm{ppm} 151.7,148.8,147.9$, $131.5126 .5,124.8,107.1,27.4 ; m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2124.315 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{ReN}$ formula cluster at $2123.073 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); crystal data $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ : Cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=c=3.76052$ (2) nm, $V=53.1794 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{\text {I }}$ $=0.1078$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of the molecule of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}$ with one molecule of
nitrogen in the axial position, together with two molecules of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 217, calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 4 molecules of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ per unit cell.

Bismuth(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2' ${ }^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcBi}{ }^{105}$


4,5-Bi(2',6'-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bismuth(III) chloride $(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ were irradiated by microwave for 2 h at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described in general procedure. The product was purified by column chromatography on neutral alumina eluting with Hex/DCM (4/1) and then gradually increasing the polarity to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcBi}$ as dark green powder ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 2929, 1606, 1492, 1456, 1436, 1396, 1384, 1361, 1344, 1327, 1263, 1182, 1082, 1026, 935, 879, 792, 771, 744, 736, $719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 737.8,664.6,480.6,401.2,293.6,248.4 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHZ}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H}, \mathrm{ppm} 8.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.65-7.61$ (m, 8H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 16H, ArH), 3.41 (hept, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.33 (d, 96H, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); $m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2130.994 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Bi}$ formula cluster at $2131.094 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).

## Iridium(III)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

## [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcIr}\right]^{106}$



4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iridium(III) chloride $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ were irradiated by microwave for 2 h at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described in general procedure. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcIr}$ as dark green powder ( $0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2964, $2868,2229,1595,1579,1568,1500,1438,1400,1384,1327,1282,1251,1203,1174,1145,1093$, 1074, 1058, 1031, 887, 848, 790, 756, 744, $530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 701.8, 666.4, 653.8. 637.6, $605,342 \mathrm{~nm} ; m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2114.340 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ Ir formula cluster at $2115.077 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); crystal data ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): Cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=c=3.74658$ (2) nm, $V=52.5902 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.1172$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pclr}$ with one molecule of chloride in the axial positions with $50 \%$ occupancy, together with one molecule of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 1553, calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 40 molecules of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and methanol per unit cell.

# Hafnium(IV)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}\right]^{107}$ 



4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile (Pn1) ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hafnium(IV) chloride ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were reacted according to the general procedure for 30 mins at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ as dark green powder ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2964, $2929,2870,2229,1720,1595,1500,1438,1400,1327,1282,1251,1203,1172,1145,1093,1074$, $848,790,756,530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 764.6, 703.6, 668, 648.6, 638.2, 605.4, 577.8, 555, 401.4, $343 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2171.957$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{HfCl}_{2}$ formula cluster at 2170.445 ).

## Monolacunary polyoxometalate $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{TBA} \mathbf{4}^{100}$

$$
\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}+\mathrm{TBABr} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{TBA}_{4}
$$

Sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in water ( 20 ml ) and sodium tungstate dihydrate ( $10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 30.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(2.0 \mathrm{ml}, 12 \mathrm{M})$ was then added with stirring over 15 mins. As the HCl was added, white flakes formed, which were allowed to dissolve before adding more acid. The solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature during which a white precipitate formed. Hydrochloric acid (circa. 2.0 ml ) was added until the pH reached 5.5. The white precipitate dissolved by the end of the addition. For another 30 mins, the pH of the solution was monitored continuously and dilute HCl was added as necessary to maintain the pH between 5.0 and 5.5. A solution of tetrabutylammonium bromide $(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 30.0 ml ) was added and the precipitation of the product began at once. The precipitation was completed by adding HCl (circa. $3.0 \mathrm{ml}, 3 \mathrm{M}$ ) until the pH of the
solution remained between 1.1 for 5 mins. The product was filtered immediately on a coarse glass frit and washed with water and diethyl ether as well as it was dried in vacuo for 24 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $9.50 \mathrm{~g}, 28.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 1107, 1054, 957, 886, 808, 754, 596, $519 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Ternary Hafnium(IV)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}\right] \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{TBA}_{4}$ complex ${ }^{98,99,107}$

$$
\mathrm{PcHfCl}_{2}+\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}+\mathrm{TBABr} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PcHfPW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{TBA}_{5}
$$

Hafnium phthalocyanine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a $1: 1$ mixture of DCM : MeOH (10 $\mathrm{ml})$. Monolacunary polyoxometalate ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\operatorname{TBABr}(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved separately in MeCN ( 5 ml ) containing $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ triethylamine. The resulting clear POM solution as well as the TBABr were added drop-wise over the course of 5 mins to the stirring hafnium phthalocyanine solution, and the reaction was left to run overnight, whereupon a small amount of precipitate was observed at the bottom of the test tube. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of DCM and filtered to remove a small amount of insoluble salts ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-ESI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=5746.6199 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for the singly charged $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{4}$ formula cluster at $5748.4392 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the tetracoordinated TBA); cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=5505.3080 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for singly charged $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{3}$ formula cluster at $5505.1525 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the tricoordinated TBA); cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=5262.8675 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for singly charged $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ formula cluster at $5262.8675 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the dicoordinated TBA); cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2629.9636 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for doubly charged $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}$ formula cluster at $2632.4413 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the dicoordinated TBA); cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2511.2987 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for doubly charged $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Hf}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{1}$ formula cluster at $2511.2987 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the monocoordinated TBA).

## $\left[\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{py}_{2}\right]^{108}$



In a typical experiment, sodium tungstate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 12.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were taken in pyridine ( 30.0 ml ) and the mixture was refluxed for 90 mins. The starting colour was green which finally changed to brown. Then the mixture was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 7.0 ml ) and diluted with water ( 250.0 ml ) and boiled for 30 mins and was kept at RT overnight. The green solid was filtered and washed with dilute hydrochloric acid, methanol and diethyl ether and dried. This crude was extracted with DCM, and the extracts were taken to dryness in vacuo to give the pure green product. ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, $15 \%$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 1760, 1648, 310, $287 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{WNCl}_{3}{ }^{109}$

$$
\text { TMSA + } \mathrm{WCl}_{6} \xrightarrow[85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \text { days }]{\stackrel{\text { reflux } / \mathrm{N}_{2}}{ } \mathrm{WNCl}_{3} .}
$$

In a typical preparation, a solution of DCE ( 1.3 ml ) and TMSA ( $0.04 \mathrm{ml}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a refluxing solution of $\mathrm{WCl}_{6}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCE ( 1.3 ml ). During the reaction, the colour of the solution remained purple but the colour of the particulate solid changed from purple to orange brown after 2 days. The reaction solution was refluxed for 3 days. Solid orange brown $\mathrm{WNCl}_{3}$ was isolated by filtration and purified by a 2-day Soxhlet extraction with DCE to remove soluble silane compounds or $\mathrm{WCl}_{6}$. The solid was then dried under vacuum for a period not less than 12 h under flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere ( $0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 1086, 1082, 685, $400,384,371,365,358,349,343,315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Tungsten(V)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

 [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcW}\right]^{110}$

4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{WNCl}_{3}(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10$ mmol ) were irradiated by microwave for 20 mins at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described in general procedure. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcW}$ as dark green powder ( $0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2964, 2929, 2870, 2229, 1720, 1595, 1500, 1438, 1400, 1327, 1282, 1251, 1203, 1172,1145, 1093, 1074, $848,790,756,530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 764.6, 703.6, 668, 648.6, 638.2, 605.4, 577.8, 555, 401.4, $343 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2121.195 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{WN}$ formula cluster at $\left.2119.066 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

## Platinum(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

## [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPt}\right]^{111}$



4,5-Di(2', $6^{\prime}$-di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalonitrile ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and platinum(II) chloride $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ were irradiated by microwave for 1 h at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described in general procedure. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} P c P t$ as dark green powder ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, $2929,1595,1504,1462,1456,1435,1409,1384,1361,1325,1278,1255,1190,1168,1145,1093$,

881, 866,794, 779, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 655.8, 628.2, 591.4, 568.8, 549, 420.6, 370, 295.2 $\mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H} 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH) 3.47 (sept, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.28 (br m, $96 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{C} 151.7,148.8,147.9,131.5126 .5,124.8,107.1,27.4 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=2116.430 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pt}$ formula cluster at 2117.078 g $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$;

## Germanium(III)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

 [(dipPhO) $\left.)_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}\right]^{112}$

2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2', $6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ] ( 0.10 g , 0.05 mmol ) and germanium (IV) chloride ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in quinoline ( 1.0 ml ) were heated at $240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by microwave irradiation for 4 h . The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and poured into diluted $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{M})$ and then filtered under suction. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ as dark green powder ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 2926, 2868, 1722, 1608, 1462, 1436, 1408, $1384,1352,1327,1273,1222,1186,1145,1093,1060,1045,1035,902,881,862,796,775,744$, 731, 624, $520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 695.6, 664.8, 624.8, 575.6, 445.6, 366.6, 346.8, 301.8, 281.6, $255 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2031.415 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{GeCl}$ formula cluster at $2030.004 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); crystal data (toluene/ MeOH ): Cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=c=3.7650$ (4) nm, $V=53.370$ (9) $\mathrm{nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0552$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ with one chloride in the axial position. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 1061, calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 30 molecules of toluene and methanol per unit cell.

## Cadmium(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

 $\left[(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}\right]^{113}$$$
(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \xrightarrow{\text { n-pentanol }} \mathrm{140}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}_{2}(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}
$$

A solution of $2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-$ octa( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ] ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in n -pentanol ( 1.00 ml ) was brought to reflux and then cadmium (II) acetate ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Reflux was continued for 45 mins. The hot mixture was added to excess cold $\mathrm{MeOH}(150.0 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the flask left in the fridge overnight. The green solid was filtered and washed with MeOH . The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}$ as dark green powder ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 2927, 2870, 1598, 1435, 1384, 1361, 1327, 1255, 1197, 1172, 1095, 850, 771, 740, $617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 687.4, 661.4, 619.6, 362.6 nm ; $m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2035.208 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the Cd and $m / z=$ $2052.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the Cd with an axial ligand ${ }^{-} \mathrm{OH}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CdOH}$ formula cluster at $2035.018 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $2052.020 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively); crystal data ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): Cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b=c=37.3224$ (3) nm, $V=51.9887 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=$ $12, R_{I}=0.0552$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}$, along with one molecule of methanol and one molecule of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 959, calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 25 molecules of chloroform and methanol per unit cell.

## Lead(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine $\left[(\mathrm{dipPhO}){ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}{ }^{114}\right.$

$$
(\operatorname{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \xrightarrow{\text { n-pentanol }}\left(\mathrm{din}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}_{2} \quad(\operatorname{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}\right.
$$

A mixture of $2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24$-octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8}$ PcH $_{2}$ ] ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and lead(II) acetate ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in n -pentanol ( 4.0 ml ) was heated at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 8 h . The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$ as dark green powder ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 2929,
$2868,1064,1516,1485,1456,1436,1382,1361,1327,1265,1219,1184,1093,1043,1022,999$, 879, 792, 754, 746, 721, $667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 718.4, 691.4, 644.2, 401.6, 364.2, 282.6; m/z (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2129.009 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Pb}$ formula cluster at $2130.091 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); Crystal data ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): Cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b$ $=c=37.1115(2) \mathrm{nm}, V=51.1123 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0951$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4 /$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$, along with one molecule of methanol and one molecule of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 2750 , calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 72 molecules of chloroform and methanol per unit cell.

## Iron(III)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}\right]^{115}$

$$
(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text { Quinoline }}{ }_{180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}_{2}}^{(\text {dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}}
$$

A mixture of $2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-$ octa( $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ] ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iron(III) chloride ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in quinoline were heated at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under nitrogen atmosphere for 4 h . After that $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ was added and the product precipitated. The crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ as dark green powder ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\left.\tilde{v}\right) 2961,1612,1456,1462,1413,1353,1269,1168,1095,1050,904,864,799,777$, $755,729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 672.6, 612.6, 589.2, 415.8, 348.2, 334.4, 312.2, 299.2, 286.8; m/z (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2013.385 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{FeCl}$ formula cluster at $2015.033 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); crystal data ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): Cubic, space group $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n, a=b$ $=c=3.74365$ (2) nm, $V=52.4669 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0893$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ with one chloride in the axial position, along with one molecule of methanol and one molecule of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 797, calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 21 molecules of chloroform and methanol per unit cell.

## Phosphorus(V)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine

 $\left[(\text { dipPhO })_{8} P c P\right]^{116}$$$
(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{PBr}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text { pyridine }}\left({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcP}\right.
$$

In a round bottom flask, 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2', $6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ] ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 1.0 ml ) was heated to $118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a large excess of phosphorus(III) bromide ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 h . Then the volume was reduced and $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ added and the solid filtered. The solid was dissolved in DCM and filtered again to remove the pyridinium bromide. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica eluting with $95 / 5 \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcP}$ as dark green powder ( 0.100 g, $0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ); m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 2962, 2926, 2358, 2341, 1720, 1604, $1541,1485,1463,1419,1384,1359,1325,1255,1190,1051,935,887,866,773,748,729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 719.2,696.8,648.8,622.4,455,341.8,302.8 ; m / z$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=1968.858 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ and $m / z=2014.902 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{PO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ formula cluster at $1969.082 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $2015.124 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively); crystal data ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): Cubic, space group Pn $\overline{3} n, a=b=c=$ 3.76935 (3) nm, $V=53.5549 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0857$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcP}$ with one oxygen and one methoxy group in the axial positions, along with one molecule of methanol and one molecule of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 3203, calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 84 molecules of chloroform and methanol per unit cell.

## Antimony(II)2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine $\left[(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}\right]^{117}$

$$
\underset{\text { (dipPhO) } \left.)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2^{+}} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \xrightarrow[\text { DBU } / 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text {, overnight }]{\text { n-pentanol/dichlorobenzene }}(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}\right)}{ }
$$

In a round bottom flask, DBU ( 0.5 ml ) was added into a solution of 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ ] ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in n pentanol/dichlorobenzene 1:1 ( 0.5 ml ) and left for 20 mins. A large excess of antimony(III) iodide
( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified be reprecipitation with methanol from DCM solution to give (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}$ as dark green powder ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ); m.p. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 3116, 2960, 2927, 2868, 1645, 1608, 1581, 1436, 1398, 1382, 1361, 1323, 1263, 1145, 1091, 1014, 875, 848, 788, 769, 754, $705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda$ 764.6, 701.6, 666.4, 650.6, $636.8,602.8 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=2044.146 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}$ formula cluster at $2044.018 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ); crystal data ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): Cubic, space group $P n \overline{3} n, a=b=c=3.73901$ (4) nm, $V=52.2721 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}, Z=12, R_{l}=0.0948$, the asymmetric unit contains $1 / 4$ of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}$, along with one molecule of methanol and one molecule of chloroform that can be solved crystallographically. The calculated residual electron count per unit cell was 882 , calculated by SQUEEZE relating to a possible 23 molecules of chloroform and methanol per unit cell.

## Potential bidentate ligands synthesis

## 4,4'-Bipyrimidine ${ }^{75}$



To a solution of pyrimidine $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5.0 ml ) was added sodium metal ( 0.17 g , $7.50 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution, which turned purple and then yellow, was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{EtOH}(4.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and trimethylamine ( 0.2 ml ) and air was bubbled through for 1 h to promote oxidation. The reaction mixture was dissolved in DCM and washed three times with water. The bipyrimidine was purified by recrystallisation from MeOH ( 0.100 g, 0.63 mmol, 25\%); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 1963, 1631, 1566, 1537, 1460, 1392, 1273, 1176, 1066, $985,966,839,752,692,684,634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{H} 9.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.30 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NCHN), 8.97 (d, 2H, J = $5.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCHCH}$ ), 8.44 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCHCH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{C} 161.0,159.4,159.1,118.2$.

## Octamethyl Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS) ${ }^{118}$



Diglyme ( 10.0 ml ) then tetramethyl orthosilicate ( $0.6 \mathrm{ml}, 3.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{KOH} 1 \mathrm{M}(0.3 \mathrm{ml})$ were added into a septum vial. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h for allowing the MeTMOS hydrolysis to occur. The solution was then placed in microwave reactor and the solution was irradiated at 100 W for 6 mins. After microwave irradiation, the reaction mixture changed to a suspension. The solid was filtered and washed with hexane ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$, $53 \%$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) $2972,1271,1124,773 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
Lindqvist type polyoxometallate $\mathbf{M o}_{6} \mathbf{O}_{19}{ }^{199,120}$


Sodium molybdate dihydrate ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 10.0 ml ) was acidified with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(2.9 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{~N}, 17.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ while stirring. Tetrabutylammonium bromide ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 2.0 ml ) was then added causing immediate formation of a white precipitate. The resulting slurry was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 45 mins. The white solid changed to yellow and the product was filtered on a sinter and washed with water. Crystallisation was accomplished by dissolving the product in hot acetone and cooling the solution to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pale yellow crystals were filtered and washed with diethyl ether ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 988, 956, 890, 880, 800, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Keggin type polyoxometallate $\mathrm{SiMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}{ }^{121}$
$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \times 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{3}+\mathrm{TBABr} \xrightarrow{30 \mathrm{mins} 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}\left[\mathrm{SiMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right](\mathrm{TBA})_{4}$

In a sodium molybdate dihydrate ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) aquatic solution concentrated $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(3.0$ $\mathrm{ml}, 13 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added. Then sodium silicate solution ( 5.0 ml ) was added dropwise resulting in a yellow solution. After 30 mins at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during which the $\beta$ to $\alpha$ isomerisation took place, the
precipitation was performed by adding tetrabutylammonium bromide ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 1.0 ml ). The precipitate was filtered and washed with water, ethanol and diethyl ether. Recrystallisation was performed with acetone ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ); IR ( $\tilde{v}$ ) 982, 945, 895, 857, $800,737,627,537,497,415,392,377,365,340,288 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Molecular cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{6} \mathrm{Te}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{122}$



Dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tributyl phosphine ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 7.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and elemental $\mathrm{Te}^{0}(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in toluene ( 6.0 ml ), stirred at room temperature for 30 mins and then heated at reflux for 20 h . The resulting mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to approximately 2 ml . Pentane ( 5.0 ml ) was added and the solution was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to initiate crystallisation. After 24 h the supernatant liquor was decanted and the crystalline solid was washed ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ pentane) and dried in vacuo to give $\mathrm{Co}_{6} \mathrm{Te}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right)_{6}$ as a very dark red - black crystalline solid ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ); UV/Vis (DCM) $\lambda 538,476,400 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Octaphenyl Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS) ${ }^{123}$



Phenyl trichlorosilane ( $8.0 \mathrm{ml}, 50.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene ( 50.0 ml ). The solution was transferred to a separating funnel and shaken with water ( $20.0 \mathrm{ml}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) until heat was produced. The aqueous layer was removed, and the organic layer washed thrice with water ( $3 \times 20$ ml ). Methanolic benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide $30 \%$ ( $1.6 \mathrm{ml}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was heated under reflux for 16.5 h . The reaction was left to stand for 52 h , before being heated at reflux for further 24 h . Mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white solid collected via vacuum filtration and washed thrice with ice cold toluene ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).

## Octahydroxy Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS) ${ }^{124}$



Tetraethoxysilane ( $25.0 \mathrm{ml}, 111.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and choline hydroxide solution ( $12.6 \mathrm{ml}, 711.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After the completion of the stirring, 2-propanol (50 $\mathrm{ml})$ was added to the solution. The solution was further stirred for 30 mins and then cooled to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to precipitate a crude product out of the solution. The precipitated crude product was filtered out, washed with 2-propanol and dried ( $18.50 \mathrm{~g}, 24.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).

## Octadimethyloxosilane Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS) ${ }^{124}$

## Octahydroxy POSS $+\mathrm{CI}-\mathrm{SiH}_{\mathrm{iH}} \longrightarrow$ Octadimethyloxosilane POSS

Dimethylchlorosilane ( $100 \mathrm{ml}, 7.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 20 ml ) and 2-propanol ( 5 ml ) were stirred and octahydroxy POSS ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into the flask. The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After the completion of the stirring, the solution was reduced in volume. To the product toluene ( 50 ml ) was added and washed thrice with water ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to precipitate a crude product, which was washed with methanol and dried ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ).

2,7-Diazapyrene ${ }^{125}$


1,4,5,8-Naphthalenetetracarboxylic dianhydride ( $12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 46.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in concentrated ammonium hydroxide solution ( $625.0 \mathrm{ml}, 29.5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours under nitrogen. During that time, the diimide
precipitated as yellow product, which was filtered, washed with water, and dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight ( $10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 37.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ). M.P. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{H} 8.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{C} 165.5,134.9,127.8,125.4$.



1,4,5,8-Napthalenetetracarboxylic diimide ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 40.0 ml ) and to this 1 M solution of $\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{THF}(80 \mathrm{ml})$ was added slowly, via dropping funnel, at room temperature. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 60 h . during that time there was hydrogen evolution and the colour of the reaction mixture turned to orange. After cooling to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.0 \mathrm{ml})$. after stirring for 30 mins at room temperature, $\mathrm{HCl}(10.0 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{M})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . The liquid was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aqueous saturated potassium carbonate solution was added with stirring until the pH was basic. All of the liquid was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was dried completely under vacuum at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dry solid was extracted with refluxing benzene in a Soxhlet extractor for a week. The benzene was removed from the pale-yellow product, which can be recrystallised from benzene ( 1.00 g , $4.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%) ;$ m.p. $=216-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{H} 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{C} 132.9,127.9,120.3,48.9$.


1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2,7-diazapyrene ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 250.0 ml ), activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 115.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The solution was refluxed with a dean stark trap (for
water removal) for 24 h and the hot solution was filtered, and the benzene solution was kept. The filtered $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ was dried under vacuum and subjected to a Soxhlet extraction with the benzene solution of the filtrate for 3 days. 2,7-diazapyrene was obtained after the removal of the benzene ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ ); m.p. $280-285{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{H} 9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ) 8.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{C} 144.3,125.3,125.2,124.8$.

Iron2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ ] iron5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylporphin [FeTPP] dimers PNC[Fe-N-vFeTPP]

Iron5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylporphin azide ( $\mathbf{N}_{3} \mathrm{Fe} T P$ P $^{87,126-130}$


Iron5, 10, 15, 20-tetraphenylporphin ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(100 \mathrm{ml})$. Sodium azide ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 21.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in water $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution was made acidic with concentrated sulfuric acid. The two solutions were stirred together for 18 h . The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvent was removed from the product under vacuum. The $\mathrm{N}_{3} F e$ TPP was recrystallised from either DCM or benzene by slow diffusion with pentane ( 0.07 g, $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).

## Deactivation of sodium azide

The operation must be carried out in a chemical hood due to the formation of nitric oxide. An aqueous solution containing no more than $5 \%$ sodium azide is put into a three-necked flask equipped with a stirrer, a dropping funnel, and an outlet with plastic tubing to carry nitrogen oxides to the laboratory chemical hood flow. A $20 \%$ aqueous solution of sodium nitrite containing 1.5 g (about $40 \%$ excess) of sodium nitrite per gram of sodium azide is added with stirring. A $20 \%$ aqueous solution of sulfuric acid is then added gradually until the reaction mixture is acidic to Ph paper.

Caution: This order of addition is essential. If the acid is added before the nitrite, poisonous volatile $\mathrm{HN}_{3}$ will be evolved. When the evolution of nitrogen oxides is over, the acidic solution is tested with starch-iodide paper; if it turns blue, it means that excess nitrite is present, and decomposition is complete. The reaction mixture can safely be washed down the drain.
$\mu$-nitrido bridge iron2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6’di-iso-propylphenoxy)phthalocyanine iron5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylporphin PNC[Fe-N-vFeTPP] ${ }^{87,126-130}$

$$
(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{FeTPP} \xrightarrow[120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}_{2}]{\text { o-xylene }} \text { PNC[Fe-N-vFeTPP] }
$$

Iron2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-Octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of finely ground iron nitride 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphin ( 0.07 g , 0.05 mmol ) in o-xylene ( 20.0 ml ). The mixture was refluxed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with constant stirring for 8 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and o-xylene was separated from the solid residue which was washed with o-xylene until the washings were light green colour and the excess of unreacted tetraphenylporphyrin complex was completely eliminated ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (MALDI-TOF): cluster of peaks centred at $m / z=2659.920 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (simulated for $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}$ formula cluster at $2661.230 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).

## 4,5-di(2',6'-di-iso-propylphenoxy)azaphthalonitrile (azaPn1) ${ }^{39}$



5,6-Dichloro-2,3-dicyanopyrazine ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved into MeCN ( 100 ml ), under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $8.01 \mathrm{~g}, 58.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and then 2,6-di-iso-propylphenol (4.16 $\mathrm{ml}, 22.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and stirred for 1 day at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 day the mixture was cooled and was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and left stirring for 10 mins. The solid was filtered and washed with MeOH to remove the excess of the di-iso-propylphenol (purple color) and dried under suction. The product purified by recrystallisation from MeOH ( $1.93 \mathrm{~g}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ).

## $\mathbf{2 , 3 , 9 , 1 0 , 1 6 , 1 7 , 2 3 , 2 4 - O c t a}\left(\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 6^{\prime} \text { di-iso-propylphenoxy)azaphthalocyanine [(dipPhO) } \mathbf{8 a z a P c H}_{2}\right]^{39}$



4,5-di(2',6'-di-iso-propylphenoxy) azaphthalonitrile ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in quinoline and was heated at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. Then was cooled and water and HCl were added $(3.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and the precipitate was filtered and recrystallised with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$, $35 \%) ;$ m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.5 General crystallisation procedures

(a) For crystallisation of metal-free or metallated phthalocyanines, co-crystallisation with metal-free tetraphenylporphyrin and bidentate ligands in bulk

In a typical procedure 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} /(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcM}\right](0.10 \mathrm{~g}), 5,10,15,20-$ Tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphine ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP / MTPP) ( 0.03 g ) or both were solubilised in appropriate solvent in a petri dish with watch hour glass on top and through vapour diffusion with appropriate miscible anti-solvent in a container was crystallised.
(b) For crystallisation of metal-free or metallated phthalocyanines, co-crystallisation with metal-free tetraphenylporphyrin and bidentate ligands in bulk

In a typical procedure $2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24$-octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} /(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcM}\right](0.10 \mathrm{~g}), 5,10,15,20-$ Tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphine ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP / MTPP) ( 0.03 g ) or both were solubilised in appropriate solvent and through slow evaporation was crystallised.
(c) For crystallisation of metal-free or metallated phthalocyanines, co-crystallisation with metal-free tetraphenylporphyrin and bidentate ligands in bulk

In a typical procedure 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2',6'di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.{ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} /(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcM}\right](0.10 \mathrm{~g}), 5,10,15,20-$ Tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphine ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP / MTPP) ( 0.03 g ) or both were solubilised in appropriate solvent and through layering with appropriate miscible anti-solvent was crystallised.
(d) For single-crystal to single-crystal transformation of metallated and metal-free phthalocyanines, metallated tetraphenyl porphyrin by inserting bidentate ligands or metals in the macrocycles.

In a typical procedure in already formed nanoporous crystals of 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa(2', $6^{\prime}$ di-iso-propylphenoxy) phthalocyanine [(dipPhO) $\left.)_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} /(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcM}\right](0.10 \mathrm{~g}), 5,10,15,20-$ Tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphine ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP / MTPP) ( 0.03 g ) or both, a bidentate ligand or a metal was solubilised and was poured in the mother liquor with the crystals and left for 3 days.

### 5.6 Crystallisations

## Crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2} /(\text { dipPhO })_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$



As described above in the general crystallisation procedures, there are mainly three ways that were used to grow crystals as will be shown in the next table.

| No. | Name | Structure | Method | Data | Solvent | Anti-solvent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 2 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 3 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 4 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 5 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcP}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 6 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 7 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}^{\text {P }}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | Toluene / Dichlorobenzene | MeOH |
| 8 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 9 | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 10 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 11 | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcW}$ | No | a, b, c | a | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 12 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 13 | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{Pclr}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 14 | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{PcPt}$ | No | a, b, c | a | Toluene / Dichlorobenzene | MeOH |
| 15 | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 16 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcBi}$ | No | a, b, c | a | Toluene / Dichlorobenzene | MeOH |
| 17 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcHf}$ | No | a, b, c | a | Toluene / Dichlorobenzene | MeOH |
| 18 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcHfPOM}$ | Pnma | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl ${ }_{3}$ | THF |

## Co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with bidentate ligands PNC[M-cL-M]



Bidentate ligands
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$


As described above in the general crystallisation procedures, there are mainly four ways that were used to co-crystallize or do single-crystal to single-crystal transformation of the phthalocyanine derivative with a bidentate ligand as shown in the following table.

| No. | Name | Ligand | Structure | Method | Data | Solvent | Anti-solvent |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | Bpm | Cubic | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 2 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | Bipy | Cubic | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |

## Co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP PNC[M/vH2TPP]




As described above in the general crystallisation procedures, there are mainly four ways that were used to co-crystallize or do single-crystal to single-crystal transformation of the phthalocyanine derivative with the metal-free tetraphenyl porphyrin as shown in the following table.

| No. | Name | MPc | MTPP | Structure | Method | Data | Solvent | Anti-solvent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 2 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Cu | Cu | Cubic | d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 3 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcSc}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Sc | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | No | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 4 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcTi}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Ti | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 5 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcV}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | V | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | No | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 6 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCr}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | Cr | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | No | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 7 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcMn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Mn | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 8 | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | Fe | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 9 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Co | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 10 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Co | Cu | Cubic | d | d | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 11 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Co | Co | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 12 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | Ni | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 13 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Cu | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 14 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Cu | Cu | Cubic | d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 15 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Zn | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |
| 16 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | AI | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl ${ }_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 17 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcGa}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Ga | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 18 | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcIn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | In | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 19 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAg}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Ag | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 20 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | Pb | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | No | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 21 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcSn}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Sn | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | No | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 22 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcAu}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Au | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 23 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Fe}$ TPP | Fe | Fe | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 24 | (dipPhO) $)_{8} \mathrm{azaPcH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Cubic | a, b, c | a | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 25 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{azaPcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP | Co | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | No | a, b, c | a | DCM / CHCl 3 | MeOH |

## Co-crystallisation of (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcM}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} /$ MTPP and bidentate ligands PNC[vH ${ }_{2}$ TPP/M-cLM/vH2TPP]



As described above in the general crystallisation procedures, there are mainly four ways that were used to co-crystallize and do single-crystal to single-crystal transformation of the phthalocyanine derivative with the metal-free tetraphenyl porphyrin and a bidentate ligand as shown in the following table.

| No. | Name | MPc | MTPP | Ligand | Structure | Method | Data | Solvent | Anti-solvent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+$ Bipy | Co | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Bipy | Cubic | a, b, c, d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 2 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+$ Bipy | Co | Cu | Bipy | Cubic | a, b, c, d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
|  | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+$ Bipy | Co | Co | Bipy | Cubic | a, b, c, d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 4 | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}+\mathrm{CuTPP}+\mathrm{Bipy}$ | Cu | Cu | Bpm | Cubic | a, b, c, d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| 5 | $\left(\right.$ dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+\mathrm{Bpm}$ | Co | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | Bpm | Cubic | a, b, c, d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |
|  | $(\mathrm{dipPhO})_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+\mathrm{Bpm}$ | Co | Cu | Bpm | Cubic | a, b, c, d | d | DCM / $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | MeOH |

### 5.7 Crystallography

## Atlas and EH1

## Single crystal X-ray analysis

X-ray crystal structure analysis was accomplished using a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer with $\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}$ radiation $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ at 150 K; Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}$ radiation $\lambda=1.5405 \AA$ at 120 K , or using synchrotron radiation at Diamond Light Source (Station I19-1) on a 3-circle diffractometer collecting data on a Pilatus 2 M detector with $\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}$ radiation $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ at 100 K for the EH 1 hutch.

| Crystal data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcH}_{2}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | (dip PhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcZn}$ | (dip PhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCu}$ | (dip PhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcNi}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcMo}$ |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ni}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Mo}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 1925.710 | 1925.710 | 1980.499 | 1980.499 | 1988.623 | 1988.334 | 1980.657 | 2034.128 |
| Crystal system, space group | monoclinic, P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | orthorhombic, Pbca | monoclinic, P2 $1_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $\operatorname{Pr} \overline{3} n$ | monoclinic, P2 $1^{\prime}$ / | monoclinic, P2 $1 / \mathrm{c}$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 100 |
| $a$ (Å) | 21.293(7) | 19.4927(4) | 38.714(3) | 37.391(3) | 37.391(3) | 38.607(2) | 21.370(2) | 37.5869(5) |
| $b$ (A) | 16.896(5) | 16.2918(10) | 16.8469(12) | 37.391(3) | 37.391(3) | 16.8676(10) | 16.88255(18) | 37.5869(5) |
| $c$ (Å) | 18.283(6) | 38.420(2) | 18.2104(13) | 37.391(3) | 37.391(3) | 18.4282(11) | 18.726(2) | 37.5869(5) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 59778(7) | 12201(1) | 11876.1(15) | 52275.9 | 52275.9 | 11997 | 6098.7(11) | 53101.8(2) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| Radiation type | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {к }}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{K a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{K a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {K } a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {K } a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{K a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {к } a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ | $0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ | $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0838 | 0.0677 | 0.0792 | 0.0983 | 0.0983 | 0.0747 | 0.0550 | 0.1831 |


| Crystal data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcRe}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pclr}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcGe}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcCd}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcPb}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcFe}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcP}$ | (dipPhO) $8_{8} \mathrm{PcSb}$ |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Re}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ir}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ge}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Fe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 2124.315 | 2114.340 | 2031.415 | 2035.208 | 2129.009 | 2013.385 | 1968.858 | 2044.146 |
| Crystal system, space group | ${ }_{\text {cubic, }} \operatorname{Pr} \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | ${ }_{\text {cubic, }} P n \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | ${ }_{\text {cubic, }} \operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ | ${ }_{\text {cubic, }} \operatorname{Pr} \overline{3} n$ | ${ }_{\text {cubic, },} P n \overline{3} n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 100 | 100 | 120 |
| $a$ (Å) | 37.9400(3) | 37.6003(2) | 37.650(4) | 37.1526(2) | 37.2220(12) | 37.037(4) | 37.3171(4) | 37.2581(1) |
| $b$ (Å) | 37.9400(3) | 37.6003(2) | 37.650(4) | 37.1526(2) | 37.2220(12) | 37.037(4) | 37.3171(4) | 37.2581(1) |
| $c(A)$ | 37.9400(3) | 37.6003(2) | 37.650(4) | 37.1526(2) | 37.2220(12) | 37.037(4) | 37.3171(4) | 37.2581(1) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 54612.4(7) | 53158.9(6) | 53370 | 51282.6(6) | 51570 | 50792 | 51966.4(7) | 51720.4(7) |
| Z | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Radiation type | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {Ka }}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {K } a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {K }}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {Ka }}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {Ka }}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{k a}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1078 | 0.1172 | 0.0552 | 0.0552 | 0.0951 | 0.0893 | 0.0857 | 0.0948 |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{ल}{0} \\ \hline 0 \times \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |  |  | Or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | M | $\stackrel{\text { m}}{ }$ |  |  | - |  |  | O |

Crystal data



| 54731.3(9) |
| :--- |
| 12 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{\text {Ka }}$, |
| $\lambda=1.5405 \AA$ |
| $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| 0.0768 |


$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {Ka }}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \\ & 0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10\end{aligned}$ $\hat{\circ}$
0
0
0
0


## Acronym

PNC[ $\mathrm{In} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP]
(dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{Pcln}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP
$\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$

cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$
37.9239(2)
$37.9239(2)$
54543.0(9)

| $Z(\mathrm{~A})$ | 12 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $z$ | $\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{Cu}_{\text {Ka }} \\ \lambda=1.5405 \AA\end{array}$ |
| Radiation type | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| Crystal size $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.236 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ |  |

$$
\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
& { }_{\text {cubic, }}^{2645.172} \text { Pn } \overline{3} \\
& { }_{120}^{\text {cubic, }} P n \overline{3} n \\
& \text { 37.8701(2) } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
37.8701(2) \\
37.8701(2)
\end{array} \\
& \text { 54311.2(9) }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Crystal data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | azaPNC[ $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP] | PNC[ $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPMeP] | PNC[Cu/v CuTPMeP] |
| Name | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{azaPCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPMeP}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCu}+\mathrm{CuTPMeP}$ |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cu}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 2555.287 | 2599.458 | 2722.518 |
| Crystal system, space group | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | orthorhombic, Pnma | orthorhombic, Pnma |
| Temperature ( K ) | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| $a(\hat{A})$ | 37.5049(2) | 20.2254(3) | 20.3161(6) |
| $b$ (A) | 37.5049(2) | 39.3105(7) | 39.2808(12) |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | 37.5049(2) | 20.5963(4) | 20.6770(5) |
| $V\left(\hat{A}^{3}\right)$ | 52755.1(8) | 16375.5(5) | 16500.9(8) |
| z | 12 | 2 | 2 |
| Radiation type | $\begin{aligned} & C_{u_{k a},} \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{Ka},} \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\text {кa, }} \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.05 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$ | $0.05 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1304 | 0.1066 | 0.0993 |


| Crystal data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acronym | PNC[v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP/Co-c bipy-Co/v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP] | PNC[v CoTPP/Co-c bipy-Co/v CoTPP] | PNC[v CuTPP/Co-c bipy-Co/v CuTPP] |
| Name | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP + bipy | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCO}+\mathrm{CoTPP}+$ bipy | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{CuTPP}+$ bipy |
| Chemical formula | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Co}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 5348.658 | 5462.493 | 5471.718 |
| Crystal system, space group | ${ }_{\text {cubic, }} P n \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | ${ }_{\text {cubic, }} P n \overline{3} n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| $a(\hat{A})$ | 37.8503(2) | 37.8191(5) | 37.91000(10) |
| $b$ (Å) | 37.8503(2) | 37.8191(5) | 37.91000(10) |
| c (Å) | 37.8503(2) | 37.8191(5) | 37.91000(10) |
| $V\left(\hat{A}^{3}\right)$ | 54226.0(9) | 54092(2) | 54483.0(4) |
| Z | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Radiation type | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{~K}_{\text {a }} \\ \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{ka},} \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{Ka},}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0825 | 0.1459 | 0.1144 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Crystal data |  |  |  |
| Acronym | PNC[v CuTPP/Cu-c bipy-Cu/v CuTPP] | PNC[v ${ }_{2}$ TPP/Co-cbpm-Co/v $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP] | PNC[v CuTPP/Co-c bpm-Co/v CuTPP] |
| Name | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCu}+\mathrm{CuTPP}+$ bipy | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PCCo}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{TPP}+\mathrm{bpm}$ | (dipPhO) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{PcCo}+\mathrm{CuTPP}+\mathrm{bpm}$ |
| Chemical formula | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cu}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 5480.944 | 5352.650 | 5475.710 |
| Crystal system, space group | cubic, $^{2} \times n \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ | cubic, $P n \overline{3} n$ |
| Temperature ( K ) | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| $a(\hat{\text { a }}$ ) | 37.7983(5) | 37.8496(6) | 37.80340(10) |
| $b$ (Å) | 37.7983(5) | $37.8496(6)$ | 37.80340(10) |
| c (Å) | 37.7983(5) | 37.8496(6) | 37.80340(10) |
| $V\left(\hat{A}^{3}\right)$ | 54004(2) | 54132.3(6) | 54024.7(4) |
| Z | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Radiation type |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{ka},} \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{Ka},}, \\ & \lambda=1.5405 \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ | $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1139 | 0.0935 | 0.1264 |

## I-19 Gas cell experimental procedure

## Gas cell experiments

Gas cell experiments were carried out on station 119 using a quartz capillary static cell with a 5 mm outer diameter. The cell was attached to a goniometer head containing a standard Mitegen mount in which crystals of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] were glued in order to avoid movement of the sample on application of pressure. The goniometer head was connected to a gas rig though stainless-steel capillary tubing (Swagelok SS-T1-S-014-6ME). Data were collected from high-vacuum (for at least 10 minutes, vacuum $=10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) to 5 bar in CO and up to 28 bar in NO. The gas cell was used to collect evacuated crystals held under high-vacuum in order to remove as much solvent as possible. After exposing PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] to CO or NO, the crystal was then evacuated for at least 10 minutes to determine the reversibility of the gas uptake in the pores. Collections were carried out successively on a single crystal of either PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] or and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co].

Data collections were carried out using an exposure time and a step size of 1 second and 0.5 degrees respectively using a Pilatus 300K detector photon counting pixel array detector. For each data set, a full sphere of data was collected. Data processing were carried out using the program xia2, ${ }^{131}$ while the adsorption correction was carried out using the program AIMLESS. ${ }^{132}$

Structure refinements were carried in Olex2. ${ }^{133}$ All structures were refined against $F^{2}$ with an $I / \sigma$ cut-off of 3 . The aromatic rings in the organic linkers were restrained to hexagonal geometry and are rotationally disordered over two sites of equal occupancy. All other bond distances and angles were refined freely. Vibrational and thermal similarity restraints were applied to the phenyl rings and isopropyl groups on the phthalocyanine unit and to the CO and NO ligands, where applicable. The occupancy of the C and N -atoms in the CO and NO ligands were refined freely. All hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically and were constrained to ride on their host atoms. The pore volume and electron-count per unit cell was calculated using the SQUEEZE algorithm in Platon. ${ }^{134}$ To determine if the ligands were bound at the open metal sites, structure models were refined both including and excluding the CO or NO ligand so that the integrity of the models could be compared.

Supplement Table 1. Experimental details for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] during evacuation, and exposure to CO and NO.

| Experimental gas cell conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vacuum ( } 10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, \\ & 10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | 5 bar CO (180 K) | 2.63 bar NO (180 K) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vacuum ( } 10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, \\ & 10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal data |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical formula | $\begin{aligned} & 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right) \mathrm{cbipy} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2( } \mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co} \\ & \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \text { )cbipy } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}-\mathrm{vNO}\right) \mathrm{cbipy} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}-\mathrm{vNO}\right) \mathrm{cbipy} \end{aligned}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 4267.294 | 4267.294 | 4297.300 | 4297.300 |
| Crystal <br> system, space <br> group | Cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}} n$ | Cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}} n$ | Cubic, $\operatorname{Pn\overline {3}} n$ | Cubic, $\operatorname{Pr\overline {3}} n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 293 | 180 | 180 | 293 |
| $a($ A) | 37.8156(2) | 37.438(2) | 37.9704(2) | 37.785(2) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 54077.0(5) | 54628.9(5) | 54743.9(5) | 53946.7(5) |
| Z | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Radiation type | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.125 | 0.127 |
| Crystal size <br> (mm) | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Data Collection |  |  |  |  |
| Diffractometer | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K |
| Absorption correction | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged intensities, absorption | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: <br> AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : 12/12/16 <br> Scaling \& analysis of unmerged intensities, absorption | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: AIMLESS, version 0.5.31: 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged intensities, absorption | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: AIMLESS, version 0.5 .31 : 12/12/16 <br> Scaling \& analysis of unmerged intensities, absorption |


|  | correction using spherical harmonics | correction using spherical harmonics | correction using <br> spherical <br> harmonics | correction using spherical harmonics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.976, 1.000 | 0.977, 1.000 | 0.974, 1.000 | 0.984, 1.000 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed [ $/>$ 2.0б(I)] reflections | 165909, 4737, 3481 | 268480, 4792, 3836 | 167841, 4761, 3956 | 198292, 6489, 4583 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.1019 | 0.0975 | 0.0820 | 0.0853 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 20.3 | 21.3 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], \\ & w R\left(F^{2}\right), S \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1030, & 0.3441 \\ 1.292 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.0931, & 0.2752 \\ 1.040 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.0872, & 0.2641 \\ 1.032 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1015, & 0.3326, \\ 1.298 \end{array}$ |
| No. of reflections | 6489 | 4761 | 4792 | 4737 |
| No. of parameters | 268 | 268 | 274 | 280 |
| No. of restraints | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| H -atom treatment | H -atom parameters constrained | H -atom parameters constrained | H -atom parameters constrained | H-atom parameters constrained |
| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta>_{\text {max }}, \Delta>_{\text {min }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0.6316, -0.4831 | 0.7731, -0.8052 | 0.9384, -0.6565 | 0.8865, -0.7303 |

Supplement Table 2. Experimental details for $\mathrm{PNC}[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{cbpm}-\mathrm{Co}]$ during evacuation, and exposure to CO and NO.

| Experimental <br> gas cell conditions | Vacuum $\begin{aligned} & \left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 10\right. \\ & \min , 298 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \text { bar CO } \\ & (180 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | Vacuum $\begin{aligned} & \left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 38\right. \\ & \min , 298 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-28 bar NO } \\ & (180 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | Vacuum $\begin{aligned} & \left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 10\right. \\ & \min , 298 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical formula | $\begin{aligned} & 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right) \mathrm{cbpm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right) \mathrm{cbpm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right) \mathrm{cbpm} \end{aligned}$ | $2\left(\mathrm{C}_{128} \mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ )cbpmvNO | 2 (C128 $\mathrm{H}_{144} \mathrm{Co}$ <br> $\mathrm{N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ )cbpm $v \mathrm{NO}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 4279.310 | 4279.310 | 4279.310 | 4309.316 | 4309.316 |
| Crystal system, space group | Cubic, Pn $\overline{3} n$ | Cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ | Cubic, $\operatorname{Pn} \overline{3} n$ | Cubic, Pn $\overline{3} n$ | Cubic, Pn $\overline{3} n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 293 | 180 | 293 | 180 | 293 |
| $a$ (Å) | 37.7457(3) | 37.8873(2) | 37.7086(3) | 37.9112(2 | 37.6730(5) |
| $V\left({ }^{3}{ }^{3}\right)$ | 53777.7(6) | 54385.2(6) | 53619.0(7) | 54488.2(6) | 53467.6(11) |
| $Z$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Radiation type | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA \AA$ | Synchrotron, $\lambda=0.6889 \AA \AA$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.142 | 0.125 | 0.127 | 0.141 | 0.128 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ |
| Data Collection |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diffractometer | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K | Pilatus 300K |
| Absorption correction | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: <br> AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : <br> 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: <br> AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : <br> 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: <br> AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : <br> 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: <br> AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : <br> 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged | Empirical (using intensity measurements) CCP4 7.0.027: <br> AIMLESS, version 0.5.31 : <br> 12/12/16 Scaling \& analysis of unmerged |


|  | intensities, absorption correction using spherical harmonics | intensities, absorption correction using spherical harmonics | intensities, absorption correction using spherical harmonics | intensities, absorption correction using spherical harmonics | intensities, absorption correction using spherical harmonics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.986, 1.000 | 0.985, 1.000 | 0.986, 1.000 | 0.985, 1.000 | 0.991, 1.000 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed [ $/>2.0 \sigma(l)]$ reflections | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162816, 4705, } \\ & 3116 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 164708,4746, \\ & 3615 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161934, 4687, } \\ & 4037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 164732,4741, \\ & 3616 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160535, 4664, } \\ & 2883 \end{aligned}$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0814 | 0.0792 | 0.0833 | 0.0873 | 0.0985 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 20.1 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 20.1 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], \\ & w R\left(F^{2}\right), S \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1037, & 0.3488, \\ 1.267 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1008, & 0.3331 \\ 1.304 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1042, & 0.3515, \\ 1.249 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1013, & 0.3270, \\ 1.298 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.1207, & 0.3831, \\ 1.296 & \end{array}$ |
| No. of reflections | 4705 | 4746 | 4687 | 4741 | 4665 |
| No. of parameters | 268 | 268 | 268 | 266 | 266 |
| No. of restraints | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| H -atom treatment | H -atom parameters constrained | H-atom parameters constrained | H-atom parameters constrained | H -atom parameters constrained | H-atom parameters constrained |
| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta>_{\text {max }} \Delta>_{\text {min }}(\mathrm{e} \\ & \left.\AA^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0.8321, -0.4481 | 0.8136, -0.6720 | 0.7594, -0.4068 | 0.7413, -0.4480 | 1.0190, -0.4202 |

Computer programs: DIALS 2theta refinement, DIALS 1.4.2-g92ff257-release, aimless (Evans, P. R. and Murshudov, G. N., 2013) CCP4 (Winn, M. D. et al., 2011) DIALS 1.4.2-g92ff257-release pointless (Evans, P., 2006) XIA2 0.5.170-g7abc92b-dials-1.4 (Winter, G., 2010), Olex2 1.2 (Dolmanov, L. J. et al, 2009)

Supplement Table 3. Structural refinement details for the evacuated pre-crystals of PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co]. Electron-counts in the void were calculated using the SQUEEZE algorithm in Platon. ${ }^{134}$ $q 1$ is the nearest peak to the $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ binding site in the void, belonging to potentially adsorbed solvent.

| PNC | PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] | PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Experimental gas cell <br> conditons | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ |
| Void $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 18747 | 18517 |
| Void electron-count | 1417 | 4025 |
| Cavity $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3783 | 3726 |
| Cavity electron-count | 654 | 570 |
| $q 1\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$ | 1.05 | 0.63 |
| Co-q1 $(\AA \AA)$ | 0.873 | 2.435 |

Supplement Table 4. Structural refinement details Co-L (L = CO or NO) bond for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] during evacuation, and exposure to CO and NO , modelled with the CO or NO ligand bound to Co (Co-q1-q2 where $q 1$ is assigned as either C or N and $q 2$ is assigned as O ).

| Experimental gas cell <br> conditions | 5 bar CO <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ | 2.63 bar NO <br> $\mathrm{K})$ | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 10 \mathrm{~min}\right.$, <br> $298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| q1 peak (e-) | 1.07 | 5.78 | 4.74 |
| q2 peak (e-) | 1.10 | 1.55 | 1.93 |
| Co-L (Å) | 1.898 | 1.880 | 1.904 |
| CoC/N-O (Å) | 1.117 | 1.105 | 1.204 |
| Co-C/N-O ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 180.0 | 122.2 | 118.3 |
| L Occupancy | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $R_{1}($ L in model $)$ | 0.0938 | 0.0872 | 0.1029 |
| $S($ L in model $)$ | 1.040 | 1.032 | 1.298 |
| $R_{1}($ L not in model) | 0.0910 | 0.1059 | 0.1307 |
| $S($ L not in model) | 1.040 | 1.535 | 1.635 |

Supplement Table 5. Structural refinement details Co-L ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{CO}$ or NO) bond for PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] during evacuation, and exposure to CO and NO, modelled with the CO or NO ligand bound to Co (Co-q1-q2 where $q 1$ is assigned as either C or N and $q 2$ is assigned as O ).

| Experimental gas cell <br> conditions | 5 bar CO <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}\right.$, <br> $38 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $4-28 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{NO}$ <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}, 10\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{min}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $q 1$ peak (e-) | 1.71 | 0.93 | 4.68 | 3.40 |
| q2 peak (e) | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.97 | 0.80 |
| Co-L (Å) | 1.644 | 1.193 | 1.859 | 1.936 |
| CoC/N-O (Å) | 1.424 | 1.114 (fixed) | 1.097 | 1.124 |
| Co-C/N-O ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 180.0 | 180.0 | 120.4 | 116.2 |
| L Occupancy | 0.31 | 0.25 (fixed) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $R_{1}$ (L in model) | 0.1042 | 0.1081 | 0.1013 | 0.1207 |
| $S$ (L in model) | 1.315 | 0.262 | 1.298 | 1.296 |
| $R_{1}$ (L not in model) | 0.1042 | 0.1042 | 0.1144 | 0.1387 |
| $S$ (L not in model) | 1.304 | 1.249 | 1.463 | 1.434 |

Supplement Table 6. Void volume and electron-count within the void for PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] during evacuation and exposure to CO and NO calculated using the SQUEEZE algorithm in Platon. ${ }^{134}$

| Experimental gas <br> cell conditions | Vacuum (10-6 <br> $\mathrm{mbar}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$, <br> $298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 5 bar CO <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ | 2.63 bar NO <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}\right.$, <br> $10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Void $(x, y, z)$ | $0.000,0.000$, <br> 0.000 | $0.000,-0.002$, <br> 0.000 | $-0.001,-0.002,-$ <br> 0.001 | $0.000,-0.001$, <br> 0.000 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 18747 | 19260 | 18953 | 18440 |
| Electron-count | 1417 | 2655 | 3137 | 1364 |
| No. of L <br> molecules* | N/A | 190 | 209 | 91 |

*Assuming all electrons-density is associated with CO or NO.

Supplement Table 7. Void volume and electron-count within the void for PNC[Co-cbpm-Co] during evacuation and exposure to CO and NO calculated using the SQUEEZE algorithm in Platon. ${ }^{134}$

| Experimental gas cell conditions | Vacuum <br> ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$, <br> 10 min , <br> $298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 5 bar CO (180 K) | Vacuum <br> ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$, <br> 10 min , <br> $298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-28 bar NO } \\ & (180 \mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | Vacuum <br> ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$, <br> $10 \mathrm{~min}, 298$ <br> K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Void ( $x, y, z$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.000,- \\ & 0.001,0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.001,- \\ & 0.002,-0.001 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.000,- \\ & 0.001,0.000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 18517 | 19266 | 18518 | 18748 | 17989 |
| Electroncount | 4025 | 2535 | 1300 | 3144 | 1524 |
| No. of L molecules* | N/A | 181 | 93 | 210 | 102 |

*Assuming all electrons-density is associated with CO or NO.

Supplement Table 8. Structural trans-effect of axial NO on Co-N(bipy) and Co-N(bpm) bond lengths in PNC[Co-cbipy-Co] and PNC[Co-cbpm-Co].

| PNC | PNC[Co-cbipy- <br> Co] | PNC[Co-cbipy-Co- <br> $v N O]$ | PNC[Co-cbpm- <br> Co] | PNC[Co-cbpm- <br> Co-vNO] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Experimental gas <br> cell conditions | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}\right.$, <br> $10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 2.63 bar NO <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ | Vacuum <br> $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}\right.$, <br> $10 \mathrm{~min}, 298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $4-28 \mathrm{bar}$ NO <br> $(180 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| Co-(bipy/bpm) <br> (Å) | 2.168 | 2.386 | 2.392 | 2.566 |
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