

Data Sharing in Clinical Trials

Practical guidance on anonymizing trial datasets



C Tuck, S Lewis, G Milne, C Keerie



Today's talk

- Background to data sharing
- Current guidance
- Our work on anonymization
- How we use Datashare







Data sharing (1)









Data sharing (2)



- Usually at the end of the study
- Sharing data with unspecified secondary researchers
- Sharing data to the individual participant level





Anonymization (1)



- Anonymization and deidentification used interchangeably
- "information which does not relate to an identified or identifiable natural person or to personal data rendered anonymous in such a manner that the data subject is not or no longer identifiable" [Recital 26]
- Allows for wider use of information





Anonymization (2)



Trial ID Date of Enrolment Ini	tials	Age at Enrolment	Postcode	Gender
		67	EH1	Μ
		56	OX4	Μ
Uhe Batly	U	72	IP2	Μ
Friday, 18 May 2012	G au	86	SW1A	F
Queen entere alinical trial		82	КТ6	F
Buckingham Palace appouncement came a	tt he Rer	79	EH4	Μ
officials have today Royal Family moved confirmed that the Queen quash rumours of H	to foll er imp	65	IP3	F
entered a clinical trial this Majesty's ill-health week. The shock	The			





The balancing act Funders Privacy Groups Publishers tection Act **Researchers** Other resear Sponsor Open Access Groups







MRC guidance (1)

Usher Institute Population Health Sciences & Informatics

www.ed.ac.uk/usher

- Aimed at CTUs
- Recommends a controlled access model
- Published April 2015

GOOD PRACTICE PRINCIPLES FOR SHARING INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPANT DATA FROM PUBLICLY FUNDED CLINICAL TRIALS







Good Practice Principles for Sharing Individual Participant Data from Publicly Funded Clinical Trials. Tudur Smith C, Hopkins C, Sydes M, Woolfall K, Clarke M, Murray G, Williamson P. April 2015.





MRC guidance (2)









240 participants

TOPPIC trial

Mercaptopurine versus placebo to prevent recurrence of Crohn's disease after surgical resection (TOPPIC): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial

Craig Mowat, Ian Arnott, Aiden Cahill, Malcolm Smith, Tariq Ahmad, Sreedhar Subramanian, Simon Travis, John Morris, John Hamlin, Anjan Dhar, Chuka Nwokolo, Cathryn Edwards, Tom Creed, Stuart Bloom, Mohamed Yousif, Linzi Thomas, Simon Campbell, Stephen J Lewis, Shaji Sebastian, Sandip Sen, Simon Lal, Chris Hawkey, Charles Murray, Fraser Cummings, Jason Goh, James O Lindsay, Naila Arebi, Lindsay Potts, Aileen J McKinley, John M Thomson, John A Todd, Mhairi Collie, Malcolm G Dunlop, Ashley Mowat, Daniel R Gaya, Jack Winter, Graham D Naismith, Holly Ennis, Catriona Keerie, Steff Lewis, Robin J Prescott, Nicholas A Kennedy, Jack Satsangi, for the TOPPIC Study Group*

Summary

Background Up to 60% of patients with Crohn's disease need intestinal resection within the first 10 years of diagnosis, and postoperative recurrence is common. We investigated whether mercaptopurine can prevent or delay postoperative clinical recurrence of Crohn's disease.

Methods We did a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial at 29 UK secondary and tertiary hospitals of patients (aged >16 years in Scotland or >18 years in England and Wales) who had a confirmed diagnosis of Crobn's

Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 1: 273–82

Published Online August 30, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S2468-1253(16)30078-4

The test case

www.ed.ac.uk/usher

Institute

Usher

Population Health Sciences & Informatics









- 28 participant identifiers (Hrynaszkiewicz & colleagues)
- Both direct and indirect identifiers to consider





Potential identifiers examples Usher Institute



Sciences & Informatics



Direct Identifiers	Indirect Identifiers
Name	Place of treatment
Initials	Sex
Address, including full or partial postal code	Rare disease or treatment
Dates related to an individual (inc. date of birth)	Year of birth or age
Unique identifying numbers	Small denominators - population size of <100
Medical device identifier	Very small numerators - event counts of <3







- 28 participant identifiers (Hrynaszkiewicz & colleagues)
- Both direct and indirect identifiers to consider
- Also remove superfluous data (e.g audit)





Anonymisation process









Anonymisation process 1st pass

Variables coded and assigned value

Population Health

Sciences & Informatics www.ed.ac.uk/usher

- Direct identifiers 01-14 (+15, superfluous)
- Indirect identifiers A-N
- 1st pass of data dictionary
 - Either direct/superfluous, indirect, or not requiring modification
 - Direct identifiers assign method of anonymization
 - Indirect identifiers flagged





1st pass example



www.ed.ac.uk/usher

edinUniUsher

Variable name	Description	Data type	Require anon (Y/N/?)	Reason code	Method
SubjectNo	Subject Number.	int	Y	06	Recode
AEDescription	description of the AE	int	?	C, N	
Surgery		int	?	С	
StartDD	Start Date (day)	varchar	Y	14	Study day
StartMMM	Start Date (month)	varchar	Y	14	Study day
StartYYYY	Start Date (year)	varchar	Y	14	Study day
AECategory ID		int	Ν		

Reason code 06 = Unique identifying number

Reason code 14 = Dates related to an individual

Reason code C = Rare disease or treatment

Reason code N = Verbatim responses or transcripts





Study day modification



- Use date of randomisation as day 0
- All other dates relative to date of randomisation
- e.g. date of randomisation 15/01/2014, start date of AE 16/01/2014
- New study date of AE = 1





Anonymisation process 2nd pass



- Indirect identifiers decision to anonymise or leave alone
- Currently use a consensus model
- Some summarized to determine risk (event counts)
- May need medical input





2nd pass example



www.ed.ac.uk/usher



Variable name	Description	Data type	Require anon (Y/N/?)	Reason code	Method
SubjectNo	Subject Number.	int	Y	06	Recode
AEDescription	description of the AE	int	? Y on 2 nd pass	C, N	
Surgery		int	? N on 2 nd pass	С	
StartDD	Start Date (day)	varchar	Y	14	Study day
	Start Date (month)	varchar	Y	14	Study day
	Start Date (year)	varchar	Y	14	Study day
		int	Ν		

Reason code 06 = Unique identifying number Reason code 14 = Dates related to an individual Reason code C = Rare disease or treatment Reason code N = Verbatim responses or transcripts





Dataset release



@EdinUniUsher

• Is it anonymous?

- Motivated intruder test
- May not be required for every dataset (risk based approach)
- Is it useful?
 - Re-run analysis with modified dataset
 - Further QC checking might be required





Summary on anonymization



- www.ed.ac.uk/usher
- Generic rules can be created for direct identifiers
- Decisions on indirect identifiers on a trial-by-trial basis
- Balance anonymisation, data utility and practicality









www.ed.ac.uk/usher

¿DataShare

INFORMATION SERVICES

🕈 Edinburgh DataShare / College of Medicine & Veterinary Medicine / Edinburgh Medical School / Molecular, Genetic and Population Health Sci

Citation

Satsangi, Professor J. (2016). Toppic study, 2007-2015 [dataset]. University of Edinburgh. Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit.

Description

Anonymised TOPPIC trial dataset

Protocol No MRC G060329 Version 12 03 October 2013.pdf (1.167Mb)

Da TOPPIC Anonymised data dictionary.pdf (305.6Kb)

DATASET IN CSV FILES (566.7Kb)

TOPPIC -Annotated CRFs.pdf (3.644Mb)



Ty dat

Pu

Ur Cli













Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research







Questions?

F

Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit



www.ed.ac.uk/usher

GedinUniUsher



