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The number of proteins being included in the lipocalin structural family has been steadily increasing since the solution of the structures of beta-lactoglobulin and retinol-binding protein. The family now comprises some 23 proteins and these come from many different sources and display a variety of characteristics. The work described here focused on two of those proteins, beta-lactoglobulin (Blg) and apolipoprotein D (apo D).

The structure of bovine beta-lactoglobulin (Monaco, et al., 1987)from the trigonal crystals grown at pH 7.8 , space group $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$, presents several aspects that are in disagreement with previous work, in particular the positioning of the bound retinol in the surface of the protein and the observation that the protein was in its monomeric form. We have checked these findings independently by extending the resolution of a $6 \AA$ x-ray structure (Green, et al., 1979). A $3.0 \AA$ model is now available though still in the refinement stages. Not surprisingly it was found that the protein is indeed in the dimeric form and that the arrangement of the dimer is very similar to the one found in BlgY (orthorhombic crystal form)(Papiz, et al., 1986), the other independently determined structure. Changes were observed in the threading of the sequence, in particular between residues 75 and 32 where movements of as much as five residues are found, and in the C -terminus between 141 and 150 where a shift of two residues is observed along a $\beta$-strand. These changes result in an overall increase of the hydrophobicity of the pocket. In parallel, cocrystallization of Blg with a variety of ligands has been successful in at least one case where density is evident in the binding cavity.

Human apolipoprotein $D$ is present in the fluid of cysts formed during gross-cysticdisease, which is the most common breast disease in premenopausal women, and is a potential biological marker for breast cancer. The protein is found as well, associated with the lipoproteic system in the blood and is produced in high amounts in regenerating rat peripherial nerves. Several structural aspects of the protein from breast cysts were investigated; four of the cysteines were shown to be forming disulphide bridges and the fifth is not present as a free-cysteine. The influence of the pH on the conformational transitions (investigated by CD) and monomer-multimer balance (investigated by gel filtration) shows occurring parallelism with changes on Blg and in particular the transition seen between pH 6.5 and 5.5 could be due to the onset of the dissociation of the tetramer that populates the higher pHs. From the CD studies a $15 \% \alpha$-helix content was determined, more than the $7-10 \%$ found for Blg or the $7 \%$ for Rbp but very close to the content on insectocyanin, with which shares $30-40 \%$ residue identity. We examined too, the association of this globular protein with lipid vesicles, and electron-micrographs showed the formation of rouleaux structures, an effect commonly observed with other apolipoproteins; CD showed a small change in the amount of $\alpha$-helix of the bound-protein .
The binding of several hydrophobic molecules was monitored by fluorescence and it was shown that arachidonic acid, a fatty acid with intense biological activity, binds with a association constant of $4.1 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$. This ligand provides a possible link between the diverse biological situations where apo $D$ is present. A synthetic antagonist to the thromboxane $A_{2}$ receptor was shown to bind too but with slightly less affinity.

| apo AI | apolipoprotein AI |
| :--- | :--- |
| apo D | apolipoprotein D |
| Blg | beta-lactoglobulin |
| BlgY | Blg crystal form Y (spacegroup $\mathrm{B}_{2} 2_{1} 2$ ) <br> BlgZ |
| EP 092 | Blg crystal form Z (spacegroup $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ ) |
| (see page 38 for full name) |  |
| GCDF | gross-cystic disease fluid |
| GnCl | guanidinium chloride |
| 12 -Hete | (see page 38 for full name) |
| $5,15-$ diHete | (see page 38 for full name) |
| HDL | high-density lipoprotein |
| HgI | any of the several possible complexes formed between |
|  | mercury and iodine |
| Ins | insecticyanin |
| LCAT | lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase <br> momercuric acetic acid |
| MMA | major urinary protein |
| Mup | retinol binding protein |
| Rbp | denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |
| SDS-PAGE |  |
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## Chapter 1 Introduction

This chapter describes the characteristics of the lipocalin family of which betalactoglobulin and apolipoprotein D are members. Blg will be discussed only briefly in the next sections because several extensive reviews are available (Tilley, 1960; Townend, et al., 1969; McKenzie, 1971; Hambling, et al., 1992). The introduction to apolipoprotein D is more extensive since no publication collecting all of the work done so far is yet available.

### 1.1 Lipocalin family

The continuous emergence of three-dimensional protein structures from nuclear magnetic resonance or X-ray crystallographic studies has increased the knowledge of the structural organization of these biological molecules and has brought insight to the relationship between function and structure. In the particular case of the lipocalins, it was with the solution of the structures of human retinol-binding protein ( Rbp ) (Newcomer, et al., 1984) and of bovine beta-lactoglobulin (Blg) (Sawyer, et al., 1985) that the then disparate and apparently unrelated proteins were recognized as sharing not only the same fold but were probably also functionally and genetically related.

A trait of the lipocalin family is the polypeptide fold. The structures share the same calyx shape composed of two orthogonal $\beta$-sheets of four antiparallel strands each and an $\alpha$-helix, forming a "sheltered" pocket, shown in FIG 1.1. There are six such structures described in the literature: the above mentioned Rbp and Blg , insecticyanin (Ins) (Holden, et al., 1987), bilin-binding protein (Bbp) (Huber, et al., 1987a,b), major urinary protein (Mup) and urinary $\alpha_{2}$-globulin (A2u) (Böcskei, et al., 1992). These structures are highly superimposable, FIG. 1.2, and for example the r.m.s. deviation of 97 core $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ between Bbp and Rbp is $1.65 \AA$ (Cowan, et al., 1990).

A simple gathering of the known and proposed functional roles of these proteins raises another common characteristic in that all of the members bind or are thought to bind small hydrophobic molecules. The crystal structures of Rbp, Ins, Bbp, Mup and A2u have in fact revealed the presence of a protein specific ligand in the pocket, establishing a strong relationship between the fold and the functional role of the proteins.

Another distinct structural family of hydrophobic molecule-binding proteins exists, to which the fatty acid binding proteins (Veerkamp, et al., 1991) belong, that has a similar arrangement of pleated sheet surrounding a pocket but differing from the lipocalin family in that they are intracellular with an average molecular weight of 12-15 kDa and are formed by 10 strands of $\beta$-sheet whereas the lipocalins are extracellular, have an average weight of $18-20 \mathrm{kDa}$ and contain 8 strands of sheet.

Another common trait among the lipocalins is the primary structure. Although

FIG. 1.1 Rbp - the structural characteristics of lipocalins

Top: view of the two crossed $\beta$-sheets and the $\alpha$-helix.
Bottom: view into the pocket.
Green arrows are the $\beta$-strands, red cylinder is the $\alpha$-helix, yellow tube are nondefined secondary structure stretches and space-filled molecule is the bound retinol.


FIG. 1.2 Rbp and Mup superimposed.

Rbp and Mup main-chain are represented by a yellow and a green tube, respectively. The two structures were superimposed with the LSQ facility in O.

sequence alignment of the proteins shows low homology with an the average identity of 25-30\% (Godovac-Zimermann, 1988) the following sequence motif is detected (Sawyer, 1987).
--u-x-x-G-x-W-y--//--C--//--T-D-Y-y-x-x-y--
u - basic residue
$y$ - aromatic residue
x - either

Interestingly these conserved residues are found to be clustered at the base of the calyx (North, 1989) raising the hypothesis that this arrangement forms the recognition zone for the protein receptor, while demonstrating enough changes to permit specificity.

The motif, when applied to a search of protein sequence data bases, has allowed the extention of the lipocalin family to include other proteins of unknown threedimensional structure. The family currently comprises some 23 different proteins listed in TABLE 1.1, of diverse provenance and role.

A further common aspect of the lipocalin family members is the primary organization of the genes. Work done by Ali and Clark, (1988) on the intron/exon organization of ovine Blg and comparison with four other proteins of the family demonstrated the parallelism of the exon size and on the relation between the exons and the particular tertiary elements encoded. It was suggested that the proteins compose a divergent but evolutionarily related gene family.

Only common features have been mentioned, however the group is perhaps more interesting in its diversity some details of which will now be described. All of the proteins are soluble in aqueous media but apolipoprotein D is capable of forming interactions with lipid vesicles (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1976; Steyrer and Kostner, 1988) and therefore possibly exists in equilibrium between lipidic and aqueous media (Holmquist, 1990). Also the very diverse sequences allow for different posttranslational modifications, for example, $\alpha 1$-acid glycoprotein (Bennett and Schmid, 1980) and apolipoprotein D (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1976) are highly glycosylated. Some ligands are specific for a particular protein but that protein may present a broad affinity (Blg has numerous known ligands as outlined in section 1.2). Finally prostaglandin D synthase (Nagata, et al., 1991) is known to have enzymatic activity - a property not observed for any of the other members.

Thus, a particular fold seems to have evolved and been applied with a "free hand" to the role of binding small hydrophobic molecules.

TABLE 1.1 Members of the Lipocalin family

| Protein | Source | Putative function | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bovine Blg | Milk | Transport/transfer in gut of young? | (1) |
| $\alpha$-PEG | Human amniotic | ? |  |
|  | fluid |  | (2) |
| Human Rbp | Serum | Retinol transport in complex with transthyretin | (3) |
| Purpurin | Neural retina | Transport retinol across interphotoreceptor matrix | (4) |
| $\alpha 1-\mathrm{Microglobulin}$ | Human serum, urine | Regulation of immune response | (5) |
| Frog Bowman's gland protein | Nasal mucosa | Presentation of odorants to receptors | (6) |
| Cow nasal protein | Nasal mucosa | Presentation of odorants to receptors | (7) |
| Rat odorant binding protein | Nasal mucosa | Presentation of odorants to receptors | (8) |
| Aphrodisin | Hamster vaginal discharge | ? | (9) |
| Apolipoprotein D | Human blood and breast cyst | Growth modulation? |  |
| 人1-Acid glycoprotein | Human serum, urine | Acute phase protein | (10) |
| Rat epididymal protein | Epididymal luminal | Binds retinoic for sperm maturation | (11) |
| A2u | Rat urine, serum | Binds pheromones? | (12) |
| Mup | Mouse urine, serum | Binds pheromones? | (13) |
| Complement--C8 $\gamma$ | Serum | Involved in complement complex | (14) |
| Crustacyanin-A and -C | Crustaceous carapace | Colouration by binding astaxanthin | (15) |
| Insectocyanin | Insect hemolynph | Binds biliverdin IX | (16) |
| Bbp | Insect hemolynph | Binds biliverdin IX | (17) |
| Prostaglandin--D sinthase | Rat and human brains | Converts prostaglandin-H2 to prostaglandin-D2 | (18) |
| Tear pre- | Human tear | Involved in the formation | (19) |
| -prealbumin |  | of the air/tear thin lipid film? | (19) |
| Condrocyte 21 protein | Skeletal tissue | Stabilization of mature cells | (20) |
| Probasin | Prostate epithelial cells | Linked to the state of cell differentiation | (21) |

[^0]This protein is secreted as a major component of the milk of several ruminants like cow and sheep, as well as other mammals like pig and dolphin (Hambling, et al., 1992).

Bovine Blg is a protein of 162 residues (Braunitzer, et al.,1972), 18 kDa . It does not present any chemical post-translational modification in the most common variants. The sequence variability induces differences in its behaviour in solution in that some variants form dimers under physiological conditions while others are present as monomers. In fact, in the same animal, genetic polymorphism can produce molecules that have different properties as is the case with bovine variant $B$ which is five times more soluble than variant A.

Despite the availability of large amounts of pure protein since the first isolation of Blg in the 1930's and subsequent numerous studies to which it was subjected, the function of the protein is still unknown although there is a whole array of evidence that suggests a role in the transport of some essential metabolite for the new-born. Of particular interest are its resistance to acidic conditions and gastric digestion (McAlpine, 1991), the discovery that Blg enhances the retinol uptake in the jejunum and ileum of suckling rats (Said,et al., 1989), the detection of a specific receptor in the neonate calf (Papiz, et al., 1986) and its capacity to bind small hydrophobic ligands.

The protein is known to bind a wide variety of compounds. All are small molecules with low aqueous solubility, some with high biological relevance, see TABLE 1.2. Binding studies have been undertaken using a variety of techniques and attempts have been made to characterize the site, in terms of residues and environment involved in the interaction with the ligand. Fluorescence of the protein or of the ligands (Dufour, et al., 1990; Fugate and Song, 1980), site-direct mutagenesis (Cho, et al., 1993) and X-ray crystallography (Papiz, et al., 1986. Monaco, et al., 1987) were the most promising approaches but disappointingly have not been able to pinpoint the site or sites of binding and so the relevance of the pocket, in contrast to other members of the lipocalin family, is still a matter of discussion. The protein shows conformational and aggregation changes that are pH dependent (Hambling, et al., 1992). They are of interest mainly because the protein can resist acidic conditions without denaturation, allowing it to pass through the stomach upon ingestion. The bovine protein is a monomer below pH 3.5 , then in the range 3.7 to 6.5 it exists in equilibrium between monomer, dimer and octamer. Above pH 6.5 , the predominant forms are the dimer and monomer. These changes are coincident with conformational changes detected by circular dichroism and optical rotatory dispersion in particular the transitions occurring between pH 4 and 6 , and pH 6.5 and 7.8 - often called the Tanford transition. Above pH 8.5 irreversible denaturation is initiated.

## TABLE 1.2 Blg ligands

| Ligand Num | Numb./monomer | Association ${ }^{\text {a }}$ cons <br> (M | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retinol | 1 | $5 \times 10^{7}$ | (1) |
| Stereate | 1 | $1.7 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Palmitate | 1 | $6.8 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Laurate | 1 | $0.5 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Oleate | 1 | $0.4 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Heptane | 1 | $0.5 \times 10^{5}$ | (3) |
| Butane | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \times 10^{3} \\ & \left(5.8 \times 10^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | (4) |
| Pentane | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \times 10^{3} \\ & \left(6.2 \times 10^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | (4) |
| Iodobutane | 1 | $2.8 \times 10^{3}$ | (4) |
| SDS | 1 | $3.1 \times 10^{5}$ | (5) |
| 2,6-MANS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | $3.4 \times 10^{5}$ | (6) |
| Methyl orange | 1 | $0.2 \times 10^{4}$ | (5) |
| $n$-Octylbenzene-p-sulphonate | 1.5 | $6.3 \times 10^{4}$ | (5) |
| $p$-Nitrophenol | 1 | $1.9 \times 10^{4}$ | (7) |
| $p$-Nitrophenylacetate | 1 | $3.1 \times 10^{4}$ | (7) |
| $p$-Nitrophenyl- $\beta$-glucuronide | 1 | $1.6 \times 10^{4}$ | (7) |
| $p$-nitrophenyl sulphate | 1 | $2.0 \times 10^{3}$ | (7) |
| $p$-Nitrophenyl pyridoxal phosphate | hate 1 | $3.1 \times 10^{3}$ | (7) |
| 2-Heptanone | 1 | $0.2 \times 10^{3}$ | (5) |
| 2-Octanone | 1 | $0.5 \times 10^{3}$ | (5) |
| 2-Nonanone | 1 | $2.4 \times 10^{3}$ | (5) |
| Toluene |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \times 10^{3} \\ & \left(5.9 \times 10^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | (8) |
| Trifluorotoluene |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \times 10^{2} \\ & \left(3.1 \times 10^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | (8) |
| Hexafluorobenzene |  | $1.6 \times 10^{3}$ | (8) |
| Protoporphyrin IX | 1 | $4 \times 10^{7}$ | (9) |
| Hemin | 1 | $0.4 \times 10^{7}$ | (9) |
| Ellipticine | 0.5 | $7 \times 10^{5}$ | (10) |

a-Figures in parentheses represent the association constant for the binding of a second ligand at a second site. b- N-methyl-2-anilino-6-naphthalene sulphonate. (1) Fugate and Song (1980);(2) Spector and Fletcher, (1970);(3) Mohammadzadah-K., et al., (1969);(4) Wishnia and Pinder, (1966);(5) O'Neill and Kinsella, (1987);(6) Lovrien and Anderson, (1969);(7) Farrel, et al., (1987);(8) Robillard and Wishnia, (1972a,b);(9) Dufour, et al., (1990);(10) Dodin, et al., (1990)

The crystallographic studies of Blg have been on going for more than thirty years and much of the initial work was performed by D. Green and coworkers. It culminated in the publication of the low resolution structures of four different crystal forms reported in Green, et al., (1979). The work revealed the low content of $\alpha$-helix and the presence of a molecular dyad, both facts in agreement with other studies. At $6 \AA$ however it did not allow much insight into the detailed structure of the molecule.

The extension of the resolution to $2.8 \AA$ in the so-called crystal form Y (space group B22 2 ) was achieved a few years ago and is described in Papiz, et al., (1986). It was shown then that the overall folding consisted of the previously described (in section 1.1) calyx shape and the close resemblance to the Rbp structure was noted. The point is clearly made that the structure suffers from a few problems like the lack of definition of the density of one external loop, as well as poor density for the N - and Ctermini and one of the disulphide bridge (Cys66-160). The existence of a molecular dyad is reaffirmed and the structure shows that the contact surface is established by one of the $\beta$-sheet strands. No density identifying a ligand molecule was found in the pocket but a model for the binding of retinol based on the Rbp binding site was discussed. This model places the retinol in a deeper position inside the calyx than is found in Rbp.

Some of these findings were contested by work on the Z crystal form (space group $\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }_{2}$ 21) (Monaco, et al., 1987) in particular in the assignment of density for a retinol molecule not in the putative binding pocket but on the surface of the protein. The molecule was found to interact with hydrophobic residues in the proximity of Lys 141 with which, and in agreement with biochemical studies (Horwitz and Heller, 1974), it is postulated it will form a Schiff-base interaction. The crystal packing revealed no close interaction between single protein molecules, as found in the Y form, and it was considered that the monomer had been "selected" during crystallization. This is corroborated by the existence of a monomer-dimer equilibrium under the conditions of crystallization but it contradicts the findings described in the low resolution studies (Green, et al., 1979).

### 1.3 Apolipoprotein D

The following sections present a comprehensive literature review of apolipoprotein D. The fields of apo D and GCDFP-24 have evolved separately but these two molecules have since been determined as one protein. This review will attempt to integrate the two fields.

### 1.3.1 Biochemical characteristics

Apolipoprotein D (apo D ) from human plasma has been biochemically
characterized as a glycoprotein, with $18 \%$ (in dry weight) content of carbohydrate (McConathy and Alaupovic, P., 1976) comprising the following sugars: $1.89 \% \mathrm{D}-$ glucose, 4.42\% D-galactose, 2.96\% D-mannose, 4.49\% D-glucosamine and 4.82\% neuraminic acid. Two possible glycosylation sites at Asn45 and Asn75 have been interpreted from the sequence (Drayna, et al., 1986) and later confirmed from peptide sequencing (Balbín, et al., 1990).

The sequence is 169 amino acids long and a molecular weight of 19302 kDa is expected but the associated sugars increase this to $\sim 22 \mathrm{kDa}$ (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1976) and present possible migration problems in many of the normally used systems for molecular weight determination (See and Jackwoski, 1990; Balbín, et al., 1990). It presents an apparent molecular weight by SDS-PAGE of $\sim 30 \mathrm{kDa}$ in the blood form (purified from High Density Lipoproteins - HDL) and $\sim 24 \mathrm{kDa}$ in the cyst form (purified from Gross-Cystic Disease Fluid - GCDF - in human breast).

Apo D purified from GCDF migrates in a gel filtration column as a 100 kDa protein (Balbín, et al., 1990), leading to the assumption that it is possibly present in the GCDF as a tetramer. In the lipoprotein system this protein is found associated to apo AI and lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) as demonstrated by the simultaneous removal of $96 \%$ of apo D, $64 \%$ of LCAT and $11 \%$ of apo AI from high-density lipoproteins particles, with an anti-apo D immunoadsorbent (Albers, et al., 1981). However, Hölmquist, (1989) using gel filtration to purify LCAT, found that only $33 \%$ of this protein is associated with apo D. Also Fielding and Fielding, (1980) suggest the existence of two complexes with the following compositions, apo AI : LCAT : apo D with molar ratio $1.0: 0.9: 1.9$ and apo D : apo $\mathrm{AI}:$ apo AII ( $1.0: 3.8: 2.2$ ). BlancoVaca, et al., $(1990 ; 1992)$ have found evidence for disulphide interchange with other apolipoproteins and suggest that the covalently associated heterodimer apo D : apo AII accounts for $67 \%$ of the apo D in the plasma, while other minor heterodimers are formed with apo B100 in VLDL (very-low density lipoproteins) and LDL (low-density lipoproteins). The reasons for this dimerization are not clear. There is evidence as well, for the existence of free apo D in the plasma as it was found in human urine at a concentration of $1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ (Hölmquist, 1990). This is a higher value than any described for other apolipoproteins which are tightly associated to lipidic particles.

The protein is capable, as well, of establishing interactions with lipids. In particular in the plasma, by disruption of the HDL a lipoprotein sub-particle, the Lp-D, is formed that consists of $65-75 \%$ protein and the rest lipids (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1973; 1976; 1986).

### 1.3.2 Genetic characteristics

The screening of a human cDNA liver library has allowed the determination of the whole gene sequence, translated to 169 amino-acids for the mature apo D plus a 20-
residue amino terminal secretion peptide signal (Drayna, et al., 1986). This work confirmed that the N - terminus is chemically blocked (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1976) by cyclization of the glutamic acid.

The sequencing of several clones indicated a possible polymorphism at position 110, Leu for Phe (Drayna, et al., 1986). Polymorphism was also detected in the blood apo D by isoelectric focusing (IEF) gel where several bands were revealed between pH 4.2-4.9 (Kamboh, et al., 1989). The number of bands is reduced by digestion of the protein with neuraminidase proving that some of the polymorphism is due to differing carbohydrate content and in particular of neuraminic acid. It was also demonstrated (Kamboh, et al., 1989) that the IEF pattern was different for some individuals of African ancestry and that this different phenotype was due to the existence of two alleles for the protein, APOD*1 and APOD*2. The frequency of APOD*2 in Nigerian blacks is $2.2 \%$ and in U.S. blacks $1.3 \%$. This allele was not detected in U.S. whites, Dogrib Indians, Mayan Indians, Aleuts, Kodiak Island Eskimos and St. Lawrence Island Eskimos.

The apo D human gene is divided into at least five exons; three introns are found in the protein-coding region and at least one in the 5 ' untranslated region (Drayna, et al., 1987). The intron positions are similar to those of the Rbp (retinolbinding protein) gene. The unique gene was localized in human chromosome 3 , with $41 \%$ of the signal clustered over the distal long arm.

The rat amino acid sequence deduced from a cDNA library of sciatic nerve showed $73.4 \%$ identity to the human gene (Spreyer, et al., 1990), while the sequence from rabbit testis cDNA library is $80 \%$ identical to the human (Provost, et al., 1990).

### 1.3.3 General distribution

In the work described by Drayna, et al., (1986), the human tissue distribution of apo D mRNA was examined. The mRNA was detected in the pancreas, adrenal gland, kidney, small intestine, placenta, spleen and fetal brain, all of which presented a higher signal of expression than the liver. It was not detected in white blood cells or in monocytes (cell lines U937 and HL60).

The protein has been immuno-localized in the supranuclear area of enterocytes (human intestine cells) and in the perinuclear area of hepatocytes (human liver) (Bouma, et al.,1988). The protein was not detected in the colon (Mazoujian and Haagensen, Jr., 1990).

Apo D has been detected by immunoreaction in HDL (Provost, et al., 1990) of rabbit, pig, dog, cow, goat, sheep, Cynomolgus and Rhesus monkey; it was not detected in guinea pig, cat or rat HDL. In rabbit the apo D mRNA was present in, from highest to lowest level of expression, spleen, adrenal gland, lung, brain, testis, kidney, heart, small intestine, bone marrow, thymus, pancreas, skeletal muscle, liver and
lymph node. The level of expression in the spleen is 59 -fold higher than in the liver.
In the Rhesus monkey (Smith, et al., 1990), apo D mRNA was detected in cells of mesenchymal origin, which were identified as fibroblasts and interstitial cells, and in most of the peripherial tissues examined like spleen, testes, liver, pancreas, skeletal muscle, kidney, jejunum, pituitary, peripherial nerve and brain.

### 1.3.3.1 Distribution in the nervous system

Apo D was detected (Boyles, et al., 1990) in rat sciatic nerve extracts as well as in rat spinal cord and rat dorsal root ganglia but the detection system used could not detect apo D in rat plasma due to very low levels. In particular, apo D was detected by immuno-cytochemistry in spinal cord fibrous astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and protoplasmic astrocytes, though in smaller quantities in the last two cell types. A signal was also detected in giant motor neurons of the ventral horn and in the neuropil of the dorsal horn. In the dorsal root ganglia and sciatic nerve, apo D was detected in cells thought to be neurolemmal or fibroblasts.

Electron microscopy was used to observe the apo D-containing cells in the rat neural tissue (Boyles, et al., 1990). It was found in the endoplasmic reticulum (part of the secretory apparatus) of both spinal cord astrocytes and oligodendrocytes and of the neurolemmal or fibroblasts of the dorsal root ganglia and sciatic nerve. The protein was detected too on the cell surface of neurolemmal cells and associated with the matrix of the nerve. In neurons of both spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia it was observed in small, membrane-bounded compartments and never in the secretory apparatus. The macrophages of the sciatic nerve contained apo D only in lysosomal structures and infoldings or projections of the cell surface. All of this suggests that apo D is a secretory product of astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and neurolemmal or fibroblastic cells while being taken up by macrophages and specific neurons.

Spreyer, et al., (1990), working with regenerating rat sciatic nerve, identified the endoneural fibroblast as the major type cell expressing apo D mRNA; no mRNA was found in macrophages or Schwann cells.

In Rhesus monkey (Smith, et al.,1990) synthesis was detected in cells of the peripherial nerve and brain, like neuroglial cells, cells in the subarachnoid space of the surface of the brain as well as perivascular cells and scattered neurons in the brain .

### 1.3.3.2 Localization in tumour cells

Apo D is in general found at higher concentrations in the cytosol of well differentiated breast tumour than in aggressive poorly differentiated ones (Silva, et al., 1982).

Using polyclonal antibodies and the immunoperoxidase technique (Mazoujian
and Haagensen, Jr., 1990) apo D was localized in sweat gland tumours of apocrine differentiation. It was found as well in metaplastic epocrine epithelium of the breast and in the fluid contained within the cysts though normal breast epithelium did not stain except for a few sporadic cells. Normal adrenal cortex and corpus luteum, peripherial nerves, pituitary and renal tubules demonstrated immunoreactivity. Colon, oesophagus, lung, pancreas, parathyroid glands, stomach and thyroid revealed no staining.

The same study showed that 13 out of 25 cases of breast carcinoma, as well as endometrial (6 out of 8), ovarian (4 out 10), prostatic (5 out of 8) adenocarcinomas and leiomyosarcoma (1 out 1) stained positively for apo D. Some staining was found for carcinomas from the colon, kidney, liver, lung, pancreas and stomach. It was concluded that apo D is localized in steroid-responsive tissues.

In the particular case of sweat glands (Mazoujian, 1990), immunocytochemistry experiments revealed the presence of apo D in normal apocrine glands and ducts but not in eccrine ones. In the sweat gland tumours studied, many stained for apo D. No detection was demonstrated in tumours of eccrine differentiation. It was concluded that apo D is useful for the identification of apocrine differentiation and demonstration of functional activity on this subset of sweat gland tumours.

Several cancer lines excrete apo D. The LNCaP prostate cell line (Simard, et al., 1991) and the human breast ZR-75-1, MCF-7 (Simard, et al., 1990) and T47D (Haagensen, et al., 1992) are ideal models for the study of the physiological function of apo D and of the regulation of its expression.

Though not constituted of tumour cells, cysts are considered to be the consequence of aberration in the environment of the particular tissue leading to hypersecretion (Dogliotti, et al., 1990). Of the proteins found in the fluid of cysts from the human breast gross-cystic disease apo D is the major component (Balbín, et al., 1990)

### 1.3.3.3 Apo D in the lipoprotein system

The protein was first detected in plasma as a distinct apolipoprotein by McConathy and Alaupovic, (1973). That study concluded that in terms of amino acid composition and immunological criteria, the protein is different from other apolipoproteins.

Apo D (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1986) is present as a minor component in the plasma ( $5 \%$ of the proteins) of normolipidemic subjects, at concentrations ranging from $60-100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ of plasma. It is mainly ( $60-65 \%$ ) localized in the HDL (high density lipoproteins) with the following relative distributions; $43 \%$ in the $\mathrm{HDL}_{3}$ subparticle and $21 \%$ in the $\mathrm{HDL}_{2}$ subparticle (Curry, et al., 1977) with trace amounts in the VLDL (very low density lipoproteins) and LDL (low density lipoproteins), and the remainder $(36 \%)$ in the VHDL (very high density lipoproteins) - which can be considered to be a
lipoprotein-free fraction. It was already mentioned above, that by the break-up of the $\mathrm{HDL}_{3}$ a sub-particle is formed designated as Lp-D. It consists of $65-75 \%$ protein, while the remainder is composed by $8.2 \%$ triglycerides, $18.1 \%$ cholesterol, $27.7 \%$ cholesterol ester and $46.5 \%$ phospholipid of which $26.4 \%$ is lysolecithin, $33.3 \%$ sphingomyelin and $40.1 \%$ lecithin. These are unusually high relative values for the first two phospholipids and low for the last when compared with other lipoproteic particles (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1986 and 1976).

Baboon plasma contains apo D which has been partially characterized with respect to the amino acid and carbohydrate (Bojanovski, et al., 1980). Specifically it differs from the human form in that it posesses relatively more basic amino acids and more mannose in its carbohydrate composition.

### 1.3.4 Functional role

The function of apo D is far from clear. The discovery of circumstances in vivo where a particular protein is present, especially conditions where either specific or high expression occur, or where a defined pathology is concurrent with biochemical alterations in the behaviour of a protein (genetic alterations or unbalanced regulation), are of immense use in the determination of the functional role. At the moment, four main manifestations of protein occurrence can be delineated and studied: the association of apo D with other apolipoproteins and lipids in the plasma, the overproduction of protein in injured periphereal nerves of rat, the excretion of the protein by some tumour cells and finally the production of apo D in cysts. These four situations will be outlined separately and the common points among them summarized.

### 1.3.4.1 Plasma

The plasma lipoprotein system is a very dynamic one that fulfils the task of transporting triacylglycerols and cholesterol through the organism (Erkelens, 1989). It is composed of macromolecular structures designated as lipoprotein particles and these have been categorized according to their separation by ultracentrifugation in increasing density as chylomicrons, very-low-density-lipoproteins (VLDL), intermediate-densitylipoproteins (IDL), low-density-lipoproteins (LDL) and high-density-lipoproteins (HDL) (Chapman, 1986). Each displays distinct physical properties, see TABLE 1.3, and is composed of protein and lipids, cholesteryl-esters, triglycerides, phospholipids and cholesterol in the proportions described in TABLE 1.4.

The lipoprotein particle is basically a bilayer system: a "sack" composed of phospholipids, cholesterol and protein, ready to receive neutral lipids and consequently to swell. The apolipoproteins stabilize the structure and size of particular lipoproteins (Erkelens, 1989), see TABLE 1.5, but some have further functions. For example, apo

B48 enables chylomicrons to move through the cellular membrane and apo B100, does the same for the VLDL. Some act as ligands to receptors and therefore "guide" the lipoproteins to catabolic sites, like apo B100 that interacts with apo B/E receptor, and

TABLE 1.3 Physical characteristics of lipoproteins (Gotto,Jr., et al., 1986)

| Lipoprotein | Particle size (nm) | Density ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Molecular weight (Da) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chylomicrons | 75-1200 | 0.93 | $\sim 4 \times 10^{8}$ |
| VLDL | 30-80 | 0.93-1.006 | $1-8 \times 10^{7}$ |
| IDL | 25-35 | 1.006-1.019 | $5-1 \times 10^{7}$ |
| LDL | 18-25 | 1.019-1.063 | $2.3 \times 10^{6}$ |
| HDL2 | 9-12 | 1.063-1.125 | $3.6 \times 10^{5}$ |
| HDL3 | 5-9 | 1.125-1.210 | $1.8 \times 10^{5}$ |

TABLE 1.4 Composition of lipoproteins (Gotto,Jr., et al., 1986)

|  | Surface components (mol\%) |  |  | Core lipids (mol\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cholesterol | Phospholipids | Apolipoproteins | Triglycerides | Cholestery esters |
| Chylomicrons | 35 | 63 | 2 | 95 | 5 |
| VLDL | 43 | 55 | 2 | 76 | 24 |
| IDL | 38 | 60 | 2 | 78 | 22 |
| LDL | 42 | 58 | 0.2 | 19 | 81 |
| HDL2 | 22 | 75 | 2 | 18 | 82 |
| HDL3 | 23 | 72 | 5 | 16 | 84 |

apo E with the apo E and apo $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E}$ receptors. Others are cofactors for the enzymes involved in lipid transport such as apo AI for lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) or apo CII for lipoprotein lipase, or even as inhibitors like apo CIII in the catabolic pathway of the VLDL and chylomicrons. A number of enzymes (Erkelens, 1989) are associated with, or act upon, the system, such as LCAT (which esterifies cholesterol), lipoprotein lipase (which hydrolyses triacylglycerols from chylomicrons and VLDL and is attached to the luminal side of endothelial cells), the hepatic lipases
(which probably hydrolyse triacylglycerols from IDL and interconvert HDL subparticles - $\mathrm{HDL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HDL}_{3}$ ) and there are as well some transfer proteins like cholesteryl-ester transfer protein which are involved in the exchange or transfer of neutral lipids. Essential to the whole process are the already-mentioned receptors that exist on the surface of cells of tissues like the liver, involved in the metabolism of lipids.

TABLE 1.5 Protein composition of the lipoproteins (Gotto,Jr., et al., 1986)

|  | HDL | (mol\%) |  |  | Molecular weight (Da) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apo AI | 100 |  |  |  | 28016 |
| Apo AII | 100 |  |  |  | 17414 |
| Apo AIV |  |  |  |  | 44465 |
| Apo B48 |  |  |  |  | 264000 |
| and |  | 90 | 8 | 2 |  |
| Apo B100 |  |  |  |  | 550000 |
| Apo CI | 97 |  | 1 | 2 | 6630 |
| Apo CII | 60 |  | 10 | 30 | 8900 |
| Apo CIII | 60 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 8800 |
| Apo D | 100 |  |  |  | 22000 |
| Apo E | 50 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 34145 |

The close association of apo D , in the $\mathrm{HDL}_{3}$, with apolipoprotein AI (apo AI ) and especially with LCAT (Albers, et al., 1981; Fielding and Fielding, 1980) which catalyzes the formation of cholesteryl-esters and lysophosphatidyl choline from cholesterol and phospholipids, led to the proposition of the involvement of apo D in the esterification of cholesterol either as an LCAT activator (Kostner, 1974) or as a transfer protein of cholesteryl-ester (Chajek and Fielding, 1978). However, these two possible roles were proved not to be real. The involvement of apo D was verified not to be of a regulatory kind, as it is for apo AI, because very little change in the activity of LCAT was noted in the presence of apo D (Steyrer and Kostner, 1988), and the authors postulated instead, a stabilization effect of apo D on LCAT. Furthermore, the separation of apo D from a protein with cholesteryl-ester transferring properties (Morton and Zilversmit, 1981) and the verification that the removal of most of the apo D from plasma had no effect on the transferring activity (Albers, et al., 1981) eliminated the idea of a role in the direct transport of cholesterol, in the lipoprotein system. The fact
remains however, that a physiological complex exists suggesting that the roles of these three proteins, apo AI, apo D and LCAT are connected.

Several studies have been undertaken to find factors and circumstances that can be correlated to abnormal concentrations of apo D in the plasma. Thus, Albers, et al., (1981), have found that the levels of apo $D$ are slightly higher in men than in women both for normolipidemic and hyperlipidemic adults. This was confirmed by Haffner, et al., (1985) for a random population, though no such correlation had been found by Curry, et al., (1977). Hypertriglyceridemics showed lower levels of apo D (Albers, et al., 1981) while hypercholesterolemics and dysbetalipoproteinemics presented normal concentrations (all these clinical conditions are associated to genetic disorders or disorders like daibetes, hypothyroidism, anorexia, etc. See TABLE 1 in Gotto,Jr., et al., 1986). The same study presented evidence for half the normal apo D levels in subjects with familial LCAT deficiency (having little or no LCAT in the plasma). Curry, et al., (1977) also found that the phenotype designated as hyperchylomicronemia showed half the normal levels of apo D.

Two alleles have been detected (Kamboh, et al., 1989) in the human population (see section 1.3.2) and an attempt was made to find changes associated with the the presence of the very rare allele APOD*2. Though noting that due to the small population examined the results are not statistically relevant, it was concluded that no significant change in the lipid or lipoprotein levels could be found to be associated with the presence of APOD*2.

A thorough collection of data reported by Haffner, et al., (1985) examined the correlation of factors like smoking, intake of alcohol, age, gender and adiposity with apo D, apo AI, apo AII levels and other components of the lipoproteins. It was observed that apo D was positively correlated to the cholesterol content in the subparticle $\mathrm{HDL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{HDL}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$, apo AI and apo AII, while LCAT had a moderate positive correlation with $\mathrm{HDL}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ and a negative correlation with $\mathrm{HDL}_{2} \mathrm{C}$. It was found as well that age was positively correlated with apo D in women while no other factor was correlated to age. The triglycerides in the VLDL were correlated to HDLC, especially $\mathrm{HDL}_{2} \mathrm{C}$, and with LCAT; the same pattern was seen for the body mass index (BMI). Alcohol was positively correlated to HDLC as well as to apo AI and apo AII, both in men and women, while correlated to apo D only in men. Negative correlations were observed for males between smoking and apo AI, D, AII, and in both genders for smoking and $\mathrm{HDL}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ and LCAT.

### 1.3.4.2 Neural tissue

The regeneration of peripheral nerves (Boyles, et al., 1990; Spreyer, et al., 1990) demands an influx of "raw materials" for the re-establishment of the tissue. Schwann cells distal to the injury site, and macrophages, are involved in cleaning the
destroyed cells and in the recuperation of lipids, such as cholesterol, from the cell membrane. In addition, locally produced lipids and lipids entering from the plasma are used for the regeneration.

Lipoproteins seem to have a role in the transport of the lipids during denervation and regeneration (Boyles, 1989) as large amounts of cholesterol-rich lipoproteins with apo E and apo AI were identified in regenerating rat sciatic nerve. In the same work the receptor for apo E was found to be present in regenerating axons. While apo E was shown to be produced by both locally resident macrophages and endothelial cells, apo AI entered the nerve from the plasma as a component of plasma lipoproteins when the blood/nerve barrier is destroyed during injury.

Later, apo D and apo AIV were identified also; they accumulate in the regenerating peripheral nerve (Boyles, et al., 1990), but while apo AIV seemed not to be produced locally as concluded by absence of signal in metabolic labelling experiments with $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ ]methionine, apo D did incorporate the label. A fraction of apo D , $13-15 \%$, was found in the lipoprotein-free fraction indicating that some of the protein is in the free form.

These proteins (apo's AI, AIV, D, E) and albumin are seen (Boyles, et al., 1990) to increase from the first day after injury over several weeks while the regeneration occurs, FIG. 1.3. Apo AI and AIV increased for 2-3 days before decreasing briefly and then peaking at 3 weeks at 26 -fold and 14 -fold respectively of the basal concentration. The concentration decreases to around 3-fold above normal by 6 weeks after injury. Apo D and apo E showed a fast increase and their concentrations peaked at 500 -fold and 250 -fold respectively at $3-4$ weeks after injury, then the concentrations decrease and at 6 weeks the levels are still 200 -fold and 150 -fold respectively of the normal. The increase coincides with the period of axon growth (1-2 weeks after injury) and active myelination (2-8 weeks after injury).

Apo E was demonstrated (Handelmann, et al., 1992) to have a double direct effect in vitro, on the growth of the rabbit dorsal root ganglion neurons, when complexed as a lipoprotein it stimulates neurite growth by increasing the uptake of cholesterol (an essential component of membranes) in the form of $\beta$-VLDL. When in its free-form it decreases branching while promoting extension of the cells.

Another study (Spreyer, et al., 1990) found an increase in apo D mRNA in endoneural fibroblasts present in regenerating rat sciatic nerve. The increase was noted from the second day after injury, peaking at to 40 -fold by the sixth day and then slowly decreasing to 5 -fold after 12 weeks. It is noted that the increase cannot only be accounted for by the 4 - to 8 -fold increase in the number of fibroblasts. The protein was found in the lipoprotein fraction of the medium used to culture the crushed sciatic nerves.

Despite this involvement in the neural tissue, patients with apolipoprotein
deficiencies, like familial hypercholesterolemia where there is a diminished expression of normal functional low density lipoprotein receptors (in particular receptors for apo E ) or with lower levels of apo E, suffer no neurological problems (Boyles, et al., 1990).

FIG. 1.3 Variation of the concentration of albumin, apo's AI, AIV, $D$ and $E$ in regenerating rat sciatic nerve. (Boyles, et al., 1990)

The points were generated by densitometry of immunoblots of reduced SDSPAGE. The concentrations are relative to the level in normal nerve. Graph A shows the changes for albumin (circles), apo AIV (open squares) and AI (filled squares). Graph B shows variations of apo D (filled triangles) and apo E (open triangles). Insets represent detailed variation in the first seven days.


### 1.3.4.3 Breast cysts

Gross-cystic-disease (GCD) is the most common mammary pathology of the human breast tissue occurring in $10 \%$ of the population of premenopausal women. The peak age for full development of the disease is around 40-44 years (Haagensen, 1971). The disease is characterized by the spontaneous formation of cysts in the mammary gland (Molina, et al., 1990). These structures are filled with abundant fluid and lined
by a single layer of epithelium or connective tissue (Haagensen, Jr., et al., 1979).
Though the cysts are not considered to be premalignant lesions (Dogliotti, et al., 1990), several studies have examined the correlation of GCD to carcinoma (Haagensen, 1971; see references in Balbín, et al., 1990) and have found that there is an increase in the risk of developing breast cancer in women exhibiting GCD.

The fluid has been analysed extensively and it has been possible to categorize the cyst into two main groups. The components reported to be present in the cysts were hormones (Dogliotti, et al., 1990; Bradlow, et al.,1981), electrolytes (Dogliotti, et al., 1990) and proteins (Dogliotti, et al., 1990; Balbín, et al., 1990; Haagensen, Jr., et al., 1979). Another approach to categorize cysts has been to examine the morphology of the lining epithelium cells (Dogliotti, et al., 1990).

The determination of the concentration of monovalent cations, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$, and their charge counterpart Cl , allows an immediate separation of cysts in two groups.
Type I presents high $\mathrm{K}^{+} / \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ratios, low concentration of Cl and low pH , while for type II these factors are present as low $\mathrm{K}^{+} / \mathrm{Na}^{+}$, and higher Cl concentration and pH . It is noticeable that the type I presents electrolyte characteristics of an intracellular compartment, while type II is typical of an extracellular medium.

The array of hormones present in the cyst fluid is very large, and some present in extremely unusual concentrations (like androsterone, dehydroisoandrosterone and other 17-ketosteroids) which can be 4 to 110 times higher than in the plasma (Bradlow, et al., 1981). These concentrations are not typical of other cyst fluids. The report by Dogliotti, et al., (1990), states that both free-triiodothyronine and free-thyroxine had higher concentrations in the cyst than in the plasma. This report states too, that significantly higher concentrations of dehydroisoandrosterone are present in cysts of type I compared to in type II.

The fluid contains four major protein components, GCDFP-70 (gross-cystic-disease-fluid-protein) identified as albumin (Haagensen, Jr., et al., 1979; Balbín, et al., 1991), GCDFP-44 identified as Zn - $\alpha 2$-glycoprotein (Haagensen, Jr., et al., 1979), GCDFP-24 that accounts for over half the total protein in the fluid and has been identified as apo D (Balbín, et al., 1990) and GCDFP-15. Some other proteins are present, though in much lower concentrations, like $\alpha$-amylase, epidermal growth factor (Dogliotti, et al., 1990), pepsin C (Sánchez, et al., 1992) and many others (Dogliotti, et al., 1990). Balbín, et al., (1991) have demonstrated that the distinction into the two cyst types can be done by determination of albumin content, as this protein is more concentrated in type II cysts than in type I. Interestingly, two reports have identified enzymatic activity associated with apo D from cyst fluid; Kesner, et al., (1990) discusses that there is associated proteolytic activity and Banerjee, et al., (1990) show evidence for associated esterase activity.

Dogliotti, et al., (1990) discuss the correlation between the cyst type and the
morphology of the epithelium lining the cysts and find that epithelia of type I cysts have higher probability of being constituted by apocrine cells.

The knowledge about breast cysts is still not advanced enough to understand the mechanism of formation and the relevance of these different characteristics. However, some hypotheses have been presented, such as Balbín, et al., (1991) who have proposed that type I cysts are the initial stage of development characterized by activity of the apocrine epithelium explaining the intracellular charateristics of the fluid. It follows that type II would be a later stage of development when the epithelium has been flattened and become more permeable.

Of utmost interest was the discovery of a progesterone binding protein existing in the breast cyst fluid with different properties from other known steroid binding proteins (Pearlman, et al., 1973). This protein was later designated as GCDFP-24 (Haagensen, Jr., et al., 1979 ) and then shown to be apo D (Balbín, et al., 1990). Much work has been done on the binding properties of apo D (as GCDFP-24), in particular the work by Lea, (1988) and Dilley, et al., (1990) who have measured the affinities for several ligands, see TABLE 1.6. These studies have concentrated upon the metabolites of cholesterol as these are so common in breast cyst fluid.

### 1.3.4.4 Tumour cells

The finding of high concentrations of apo D in the cyst fluid and later the discovery, as discussed in section 1.3.3.2, that the protein is present in several cases of breast carcinoma has raised the possibility of its use as a biochemical marker for breast carcinoma.

Søreide, et al., (1987; 1991a; 1991b) have looked at the validity of using apo D as a biological marker for providing diagnostic information. It was concluded that there is significant negative correlation between the presence of apo D and the advance of the tumour towards more aggressive stages, and between the concentration of apo D and the presence of malignant tissue. However it was pointed out that the apo D as a marker of tumour differentiation, is only useful as part of a group of tumor parameters.

In section 1.3.3.2 it was pointed out that apo D was secreted by several cancer cell lines. Work done with breast cancer cell lines ZR-15, MCF-7 (Simard, et al., 1990; Labrie, et al.,1990), and T47D (Haagensen, et al., 1992) and the prostate cancer cell line LNCaP (Simard, et al., 1991) have demonstrated that there is an inverse relation between apo D secretion and cell growth, modulated by steroid hormones. As an example, dihydrotestosterone when added in increasing concentrations to the LNCaP cell line only induces growth between $10^{-11}$ and $10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$, while the amount of apo D expressed in that range is diminished. Above concentrations of $10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$, the level of apo D is raised sharply by 3.2 -fold. Very similar effects are seen with the other proteic

## TABLE 1.6 Apo D ligands

| Ligand ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Association constant ( $\mathrm{M}^{-1}$ ) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cnolesterol | 0 | (1) |
| Progesterone | $1.3 \times 10^{6}$ | (1) |
|  | $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ | (2) |
| R-5020 | $5.5 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| Org 2058 | $1.3 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| R 1881 | $8 \times 10^{4}$ | (1) |
| Dehydrotestosterone | $1.8 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| Androstenedione | 0 | (1) |
| Dehydroisoandrosterone | $1 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| Tamoxifen | $4.5 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| Estriol | 0 | (1) |
| Estradiol | $3 \times 10^{4}$ | (1) |
|  | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Dehydroisoandrosterone-sulphate | $5 \times 10^{4}$ | (1) |
| Estrone sulphate | $1 \times 10^{4}$ | (1) |
| Pregnenolone | $1.3 \times 10^{6}$ | (2) |
| $5 \beta$-pregnan-3-ol-20-one | $9.1 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| $5 \alpha$-pregnan-3,20-dione | $8.3 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| $5 \beta$-pregnan-3,20-dione | $8.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Promegestone | $4.2 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Megestrol acetate | $2.2 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Medroxyprogesterone acetate | $2.2 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
|  | $1.3 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| 17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-4-pregnene-3,20-dione | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| $17 \alpha$-hydroxy-5-pregnene-3-one-20-ol | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| $20 \alpha$-hydroxy-4-pregnene-3-one | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Deoxycorticosterone | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
|  | $5 \times 10^{4}$ | (1) |
| Estrone | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
|  | $7 \times 10^{4}$ | (1) |
| Cortisol | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
| Testosterone | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |
|  | $1 \times 10^{5}$ | (1) |
| 4-androstene-3,17-dione | $<2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | (2) |

a- for all the ligands there are four binding sites per tetramer of protein. (1) Lea, (1988); (2) Dilley, et al., (1990)
components of the gross-cystic-disease, GCDFP-44 and GCDFP-15 (Haagensen, et al., 1992) in T47D breast cancer cell line. The expression of these proteins and in particular of apo $D$ is closely controled by steroid hormones, and it seems to be connected to the growth of the cells that excrete them.

It is also noted that a report (Albers, et al., 1984) on the effects of the anabolic steroid stanozolol on the proteins of the lipoprotein system LCAT, apo $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Lp}$ (a) (Lipoprotein (a)) and in particular apo D , finds that there is a decrease in the in vivo concentrations of all the proteins except for apo $B$.

As a note, expression of apo D can be detected in normal human diploid fibroblast cultures as well (Provost, et al., 1991).The expression was dependent on the growth state of the culture and it was concluded that it is probably a growth arrest consequence.

The importance of apo D for the modulation of growth is a relevant factor raised by these experiments but that has not yet, to our knowledge, been explained. Nevertheless the relevance of apo D as a biochemical marker of cell development (growth/expansion) in general and tumour cells in particular is, once again, emphasized by these findings.

### 1.3.4.5 Lipocalin member

The determination of the sequence (Drayna, et al., 1986 ) and the recognition of apo $D$ as a member of the lipocalin family has revealed the probable role of the protein in binding small hydrophobic molecules.

A three-dimensional model of apo D based on the structure of insecticyanin and bilin-binding protein (Bbp) (Peitsch and Boguski, 1990) has led to the prediction that apo D binds the same sort of ligands as insecticyanin and Bbp. In fact the ligandbinding experiments described in this article indicate that apo D does bind bilirubin. Most interesting was the fact that the affinity for cholesterol was shown to be extremely low. This is supported by analysing the modelled "binding pocket" where there seems to be enough space for two molecules of cholesterol and insufficient for cholesteryl esters due to their elongated form. It is proposed as well that the binding of the protein to the HDL is favoured by the hydrophobic loop at the entrance to the binding cavity.

### 1.3.4.6 Summary

In the previous sections the properties and characteristics of apo $D$ have been described. They can be summarized in the following way:
a) in the blood - involvement of apo D with the lipoprotein system, which has the function of transporting lipids
b) in the neural system involved in the regeneration process

|  | parallelism with apo E <br> binding of some steroids in wich the cysts are particular <br> rich |
| :--- | :--- |
| c) in breast cysts - | no binding of cholesterol <br> probable biological marker <br> steroids regulate its expression <br> involvement in growth modulation ?? |
| e) as a lipocalin member - $\quad$a small hydrophobic molecule carrier |  |

So it is possible to conclude that apo D is probably involved in the control of or is at least part of growth/regeneration processes. It probably fulfils this role by either removing unecessary or inhibiting metabolites or by bringing metabolites essential for these processes.

### 1.4 Thesis rationale

The work described in this thesis involves the examination of different structural aspects of apo D and Blg.

The structure of Blg presents many problems, as mentioned by Papiz, et al., (1986), and in particular there were several aspects of the trigonal crystal form, BlgZ, that did not seem to agree with previous work (see section 1.2). It was our purpose to obtain a better X-ray structure and at the same time to try to obtain proof of the importance of the "pocket" for the binding of the ligands.

For apo D the questions were of a more general nature with the aim of finding promising leads based on the intention of bringing the different known aspects of the protein, as GCDFP-24 and as apo D, to some sort of unity. The questions asked were of the sort: how is it that a globular protein is involved with and binds to lipoproteins? what ligands does apo D bind when associated to HDL and are they relevant in any of the different situations where apo D is present? what is the three-dimensional structure of apo D and what are the structural characteristics common to other lipocalins?

Chapter 2 Materials and methods

## 2. Materials and Methods

This chapter will describe first, the methods applied to apo D: purification, antibody techniques and general biochemical techniques, deglycosylation procedures, CD studies, aggregation experiments, studies of the cysteine residues, lipid interaction experiments, ligand binding studies and crystallization attempts. Followed by the methods used with Blg: crystallization conditions, heavy atom soaking, crystallographic data collection and programmes used.

### 2.1 HDL preparation

The rationale of the HDL preparation is based on the different densities of the various plasma components and on the use of ultra-centrifugation flotation for their separation. The procedure described (Dr. H.S. Simpson - personal communication) is similar in principle to others reported in the literature (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1973; Kostner and Alaupovic, 1972).

Whole, out-dated blood (around 30 days after collection), obtained from the Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service, Royal Infirmary, Edinburgh was centrifuged at 3000 rpm (Beckman rotor JA-14), $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h with the following additives; $0.01 \%$ EDTA , $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ ascorbic acid, 1 mM PMSF and $0.01 \%$ thimerosal. The supernatant (plasma), which usually presented a red-brown colour from freezethawing haemolysis was removed and the pellet discarded. The density of the plasma, after adding PMSF to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and ascorbic acid to $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, was assumed to be 1.006 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and adjusted to $1.063 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ by adding 0.0834 g of solid KBr per millilitre.

In centrifugation tubes of 60 ml volume, 50 ml of the prepared plasma were overlayed with $1.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of KBr solution to a total volumé of 60 ml . The tubes were spun for 22 h at 45000 rpm (Beckman rotor 45 Ti ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This resulted in a separation into three fractions; the yellow top layer contained all the low density plasma components ( $<=1.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) except for the VLDL which formed a white skin on the wall of the tube. The bottom layer presented a gradient of colour from yellow to red, containing all the components denser than $1.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, of which HDL are part.

The top layer was removed with care, using a thin Pasteur pipette, positioned against the wall of the tube at the liquid-air interface, drawing up the liquid without disturbing the layers. The white skin was subsequently removed from the wall with white tissue paper. The bottom layer was homogenized by gentle mixing with a widebore plastic pipette without disturbing the pellet of cell membrane fragments usually found at the bottom of the tube.

The additives PMSF and ascorbic acid were added to the bottom solution in concentrations of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ respectively and the pH was adjusted to $>7$ with

NaOH , to avoid precipitation.
The density was then adjusted by the following procedure:
a) The solution was weighed and the density determined.
b) The following formula was used to determine the amount of solid KBr added to attain a final density of $1.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

$$
m=V_{o}\left(d_{o}-d\right) / 1-d v
$$

m - amount of KBr to be added (g)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}$-total initial volume of the solution (ml)
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{o}}$ - initial density ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ )
d - final desired density ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ )
$\mathbf{v}$ - specific volume of the salt (for $\mathrm{KBr}=0.312 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$ )
c) It was usually necessary to rectify the density further. This was done by adding small amounts of solid KBr until it was possible to have this solution overlayed by a 1.225 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{KBr}$ solution.

The above setup was centrifuged, using the same conditions as before, inducing the HDL to float to the top of the tube. This layer was collected with a thin Pasteur pipette as described above for the low density components.

Ascorbic acid was added to $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ and the pH was adjusted to $>7$ with NaOH . The solution was diluted to a volume of $\sim 35 \mathrm{ml}$ with a $1.225 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{KBr}$ solution and the density adjusted to $1.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ as described before. After overlaying with a $1.225 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{KBr}$ solution, the sample was spun for 16 h at 30000 rpm (Beckman rotor $45 \mathrm{Ti}), 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This last step has the purpose of further cleaning the HDL.

### 2.2.1 Apo D purification by hydroxylapatite chromatography from plasma

The procedures described are derived from the method presented by McConathy and Alaupovic, (1973). The method exploits the capacity of hydroxylapatite to retain any proteic component of the HDL other than apolipoprotein D (apo D). The modifications are basically a scale-up of the procedure and the removal of any steps that could provoke the denaturation of the protein. This was not a major concern of the original method as it had been conceived to characterize the protein biochemically - amino acid analysis, carbohydrate analysis, immune cross-reactions (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1973 and 1976) - while the structural characterization by X-ray crystallography requires larger quantities of native-state protein.

The HDL, isolated as described above, was dialysed extensively against 1 mM potassium hydrogen phosphate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right), \mathrm{pH} 8,0.5 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ ascorbic acid, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$

PMSF, $0.01 \%$ thimerosal. The dialysate was concentrated approximately 5 fold on an Amicon concentrator using a YM10 ultrafiltration membrane (Amicon) and loaded into a 3.0x60 cm column containing hydroxylapatite (BioRad HTP) which had been extensively equilibrated with $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8$. An amount of $100-200 \mathrm{mg}$ of total concentrated protein solution, evaluated by the Lowry method modified for the presence of lipids (section 2.6), were routinely loaded into the column at a flow rate of $40 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$. This procedure was performed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A non-bound fraction containing apo D was eluted with the equilibration buffer. The elution buffer was then substituted by $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8$ and a second peak emerged which contained all the other components of HDL.

### 2.2.2 Apo D purification by hydroxylapatite chromatography from GCDF

The procedure for isolation of apo D from gross-cystic disease fluid is similar to that for the plasma (section 2.2.1), except that the raw fluid after dialysis against 1 mM $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8$ is spun at 45000 rpm (Beckman rotor 50 Ti ), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before being loaded into $2.6 \times 70 \mathrm{~cm}$ hydroxylapatite column and eluted at a flow rate of $40 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$.

### 2.3 Apo D purification from GCDF by gel filtration

The procedure followed was as described by Balbín, et al., (1990).

Several batches of GCDF were spun for 1 h at 30000 rpm (Beckman rotor $50 \mathrm{Ti}), 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to pellet cell debris. The supernatant was subsequently concentrated 5 -fold with a Centricon-10 system (Amicon), at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Between 0.5 and 0.8 ml of the concentrate were loaded into a preparative gel filtration column (Beckman TSK300SWG 21.5x300 mm, precolumn Beckman Spherogel 7.5x75 mm), attached to a HPLC system (Waters), equilibrated and eluted at $0.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ with 0.1 M ammonium acetate, pH 6 . The runs were performed at room temperature and the 1 ml fractions collected were analysed by SDS-PAGE (section 2.4).

### 2.4 SDS-PAGE

The gel electrophoresis was performed according to the method of Laemmli (Laemmli, 1970), usually at a concentration of $12.5 \%$ acrylamide. The mini-gel system from BioRad was routinely used.

The gels were routinely stained with coomassie blue.

### 2.5 Methods for evaluating the protein concentration

For evaluating the concentration of apo D in solutions of pure protein, the absorption at 280 nm was determined. Both the theoretical molar absorption coefficient of $32320 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, calculated according to (Gill \& von Hippel, 1989), and the molar mass of 19400 g (the carbohydrate components ignored) were used.

For Blg the values used were a molar absortion coefficient at 280 nm of $17600 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Dufour and Haertlé, 1990), and a molar mass of 18000 g .

For the determination of protein content in the HDL or protein concentration in the presence of lipid, a modification of the Lowry method was used. The modifications from the standard method (Peterson, 1979) require the presence of $1 \%$ SDS in the solution A, the incubation time after adding the Folin-Ciocalteau reagent is of 45 min not of 30 min , and the measurement of the absorption is done at 660 nm not at 750 nm . A standard curve was prepared with bovine serum albumin or with apo D , when required.
2.6 Delipidation of the HDL

The delipidation of the HDL (Osborne,Jr., 1986) was performed by dropwise addition of 1 ml of methanol followed by 2 ml of diethylether to $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of lipoprotein solution while vortexing. The mixture was allowed to stand in ice for 10 min . This was followed by centrifugation for 4 min at 1000 rpm in a bench-top centrifuge to remove the supernatant. Diethylether ( 3 ml ) was added while vortexing the slurry, followed by a new centrifugation period and discarding the supernatant. The procedure was repeated twice after which the remaining organic solvent was removed under a stream of nitrogen.
2.7 Polyclonal antibody preparation

The protein was prepared (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1986) by extensive delipidation of HDL, as described in section 2.6 , followed by resolubilization with 8 M urea in $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8$. The solution was then diluted to 2 M urea in the same buffer and loaded directly onto the hydroxylapatite column using the conditions described in 2.2.1.

A 5 mg sample of apo D obtained in this way was further purified by several electrophoresis runs on $12.5 \%$ SDS-PAGE. The gels ( $17 \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were stained with regular Coomassie stainer for 20 min and destained for 20 min so as to detect the transversal band containing apo D . This band was cut and reduced to smaller pieces before being frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

After several gels had been run and the apo D bands cut and pooled, the protein was electroeluted from the gels. The electroelution was achieved by placing the gel pieces in small wells with one open end and the other (in the direction of the elution) closed with dialysis tubing, filling the well and the two tanks with electrophoresis buffer and applying 125 V for 5 hours.

The prepared apo D was dialysed against water and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ (in $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were mixed with $200 \mu$ l of of sodium phosphate buffer ( 10 mM sodium phosphate, $9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ and $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Freund's adjuvant. This mixture was injected intracutaneously in the back quarters of a rabbit (not used previously for antibody raising). Before injection some blood was removed and used to produce pre-immune serum. A boost of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ was administered 10 days later and then every 15 days for two months or until the amount of anti-apo D serum collected was considered to be enough. The animal was not sacrified.

### 2.8 Western blots

The electrophoresis gels were blotted in a semi-dry system (LKB semi dry blotting system) into nitrocellulose. Six pieces of 3MM paper and one piece of nitrocellulose were cut to the same size as the gel to be blotted. Two of the pieces of paper were soaked in anode buffer 1 ( 0.3 M Tris. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 10.4), 20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ methanol, $0.1 \%$ SDS ) and placed, one on top of the other, on the anode plate. A piece of paper soaked in anode buffer $2(25 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 10.4), 20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ methanol, $0.1 \%$ (w/v) SDS) was placed on top of these, followed by the nitrocellulose which had been soaked in water and then the gel which had been soaked in cathode buffer ( 25 mM Tris.HCl (pH 9.4), 20\% (v/v) methanol, $0.1 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) SDS, 40 mM 6-amino-n-hexanoic acid). The remaining 3 pieces of paper were soaked in cathode buffer and layered on the top of the gel. The cathode plate was placed on top of the stack and transfer was achieved by applying a current of 0.8 mA per $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ gel area.

Depending on the procedure to be used for the development of the Western blot, two different methods, described in the following sections, were applied for the reaction with the antibodies.

TBS buffer ( $1.211 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l} \mathrm{Tris} 9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{NaCl},, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) was routinely used for the western blots.

### 2.8.1 Development with 4-chloro-1-naphthol

The membrane was blocked with TBS plus $0.5 \%$ Tween- 20 for 2 h at room temperature or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Incubation with the anti-serum was done at a dilution of $1 / 100$ in TBS for 2 h of gentle agitation at room temperature or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The
membrane was washed for three periods of 15 min with TBS at room temperature.
Incubation with goat anti-rabbit second antibody coupled to horseradish peroxidase (BioRad) was performed at room temperature with gentle agitation for 1 h . This was followed by three washings of 20 min each. The development was done by incubating the membrane with 5 ml of $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} 4$-chloro-1-naphthol in methanol, 25 ml of TBS and $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide for a few minutes, the reaction was stopped with water when it was judged appropriate.

### 2.8.2 Development with the ECL system (Amersham)

The ECL development system (Amersham) was used when permanent records of the western blots were required.

The blocking of the membrane was done by incubation with TBS plus $0.5 \%$ Tween- 20 and $5 \%$ dried skimmed milk (Marvel) for 2 h at room temperature. The incubation with the anti-serum was done at a $1 / 1000$ dilution in TBS, $0.5 \%$ Tween-20, $5 \%$ Marvel at room temperature for 1 h . This was followed by washing once with TBS, $0.5 \%$ Tween- 20 for 30 min plus three periods of 5 min . The membrane was then incubated for 20 min with a dilution of $1 / 5000$ of the goat anti-rabbit second antibody, coupled to horse-radish peroxidase (BioRad) in TBS, $0.5 \%$ Tween-20, 5\% Marvel. The final washes were done with one period of 20 min and 3 periods of 5 min with TBS, $0.5 \%$ Tween. The development was performed according to the manufacturers instructions.

### 2.9 Deglycosylation of apo D

The attempts to remove sugars from apo D were split in two branches, the removal of neuraminic acid, which is easily available to enzymes, and the total removal of the sugar components, which is not allways attained without denaturation ofthe protein.

### 2.9.1 Digestion of sialic acid

The digestion of the sialic acid present on apo D was done with Vibrio cholerae neuraminidase, acylneuraminyl hydrolase (EC 3.2.1.18), (Boehringer) as described in (Walsh, et al., 1990).

An amount of 0.5 mg of apo D in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water was diluted to $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with buffer containing 100 mM sodium acetate, 14 mM calcium chloride, $0.04 \%$ sodium azide, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ TPCK (tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethylketone), pH 5.5 .
Approximately 0.8 mU of enzyme were added to the solution and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,

### 2.9.1.1 Evaluating the sialic acid digestion - Warren's assay

Warren's assay was used to monitor the extent of sialic acid digestion. This method, as described by Chaplin, (1986) detects free sialic acid with a sensitivity of 3$300 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

The protein was dialysed to eliminate any existing free sialic acid. The protein concentration was determined by aborption at 280 nm and the required amount for the assay was chemically desialated by prior incubation in 0.05 M sulphuric acid at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , freeze-dried and then resuspended in $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water.

The composition of the necessary reagents is as follows;
Cyclohexanone (BDH)
Reagent A-4.278 g of sodium metaperiodate (BDH) were dissolved in 4 ml of water. Orthophosphoric acid $(\mathrm{BDH})(58 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the solution made up to 100 ml with water.

Reagent B-10 g of sodium arsenite (Sigma) and 7.1 g of sodium sulphate (BDH) were dissolved in 0.1 M sulphuric acid (BDH), to a final volume of 100 ml .

Reagent C - Thiobarbituric acid (Aldrich) ( 1.2 g ) and sodium sulphate (BDH) $(14.2 \mathrm{~g})$ were dissolved in water to a final volume of 200 ml . This solution is stable for a few weeks only.

To $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of protein solution, $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of reagent A were added and mixed well. The mixture was left at room temperature for 20 min followed by the addition of $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of reagent B while vortexing. After incubating another 5 min at room temperature, 1.2 ml of reagent C were added and vortexed. The vial was capped and put into a boiling bath for 15 min . The solution was rapidly cooled to room temperature and the chromophore was extracted with 1 ml of cyclohexanone with vigourous shaking. The layers were separated by centrifugation and the absorbance of the top layer was measured at 549 nm . The extinction coefficient of sialic acid (in the assay conditions) is $57000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In the blank, water replaces the protein solution.

### 2.9.1.2 Extraction of neuraminidase

Neuraminidase was eliminated from the protein solution by the use of affinity chromatography according to the following protocol (Cuatrecasas and Illiano, 1971).

N -(p-aminophenyl) oxamic acid matrix (Sigma) ( 2 ml ) was equilibrated with 0.05 M sodium acetate, 0.2 mM EDTA, 2 mM calcium chloride, pH 5.5 . The protein mixture was applied to the column in the digestion buffer (section 2.9.1.1).

Neuraminidase was retained in the column and the rest of the protein components were eluted with the equilibration buffer. Neuraminidase was removed from the column with 0.1 M sodium hydrogen carbonate, pH 9.1 .

### 2.9.2 Deglycosylation with endo F / GPase F

The enzyme cocktail contains endo- $\beta$ - N -acetylglucosaminidase F (EC 3.2.1.96) and peptide N -glycohydrolase F (EC 3.2.2.18) from Flavobacterium meningosepticum (Sigma). The different procedures attempted were based on the method described in Biochemica Information, Boehringer Mannheim.

Apo D $(25 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.25 M sodium acetate, 20 mM EDTA, pH 6.5 was incubated with 0.10 U of enzyme for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Various additives such as $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ n -octylglucoside, $10-20 \mathrm{mM}$ mercaptoethanol, $1-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ SDS, $5-6 \mathrm{M}$ guanidinium chloride, $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ CHAPS were included in the buffer, either before or during the reaction in order to optimize deglycosylation conditions.
The extent of deglycosylation was evaluated by SDS-PAGE.

### 2.9.3 Chemical deglycosylation

The method used is that described in Beeley, (1985); Edge, et al., (1981) and is recommended for removal of Ser/Thr-linked sugar units and all but the sugar linked directly to the protein in Asn-liked chains.

Warning: The reagent trifluoromethane sulphonic acid (TFMS) is an extremely corrosive volatile acid and should be handled with care and kept in ice all times during handling (storage at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a vial with teflon-lined cap).

Anisole (Sigma) ( 1 ml ) was mixed with 2 ml of TFMS (Sigma) in a 5 ml Reactivial (Pierce) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thoroughly dried protein ( $5-10 \mathrm{mg}$ ), was dissolved in 1 ml of the above mixture in the reactivial and nitrogen was bubbled through for 30 seconds at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then incubated for at least 1 h at different temperatures. The protein was recovered by the dropwise addition of 2 volumes of diethylether (precooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), followed by 3 ml of ice-cold $50 \%$ (vol/vol) water / pyridine. The precipitate formed was redissolved by vortexing and the ether phase was later discarded.The extraction with ether was repeated 3-4 times. The aqueous phase was dialysed against 2 mM pyridine / acetic acid, $\mathrm{pH} \sim 5.5$ overnight.

The protein precipitated during dialysis probably due to the fact that the pH used is close to the pI of apo D . To redissolve the protein, a subsequent dialysis against 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.3 followed. The samples were analysed by SDSPAGE.

The circular dichroism experiments were kindly done at the Scottish CD facility, Stirling University, by S. Kelly in the laboratory of Dr. N. Price. A Jasco J-6000 spectropolarimeter was used and all the experiments were done at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The buffers used (all at a 10 mM concentration) were the following: cacodylate, pH 5.5 ; sodium acetate, pH 4.5 ; sodium phosphate, pH 6.5 and pH 7.5 ; potassium hydrogen phosphate, pH 7.0 and Tris, pH 8.5 . All experiments were corrected for protein concentration (evaluated by absorbance or modified Lowry (section 2.5) at an optimum value of $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ for apo D) and path length. The CONTIN procedure (Provencher and Glöckner, 1981) was applied to the far UV spectra to evaluate the secondary structure content.

### 2.11 Apo D - apo AI aggregation experiments

Apo AI (Sigma) was resuspended to $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 0.2 M Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.4,1 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF, 0.01 mM TPCK, $1 \%$ ethanol and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The required aliquot of apo AI was diluted to $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ with each of the following three buffers; 0.2 M Tris, pH 7.4 , and 0.1 M citric acid / sodium hydrogen phosphate at pH 7.5 and 5.5. The same procedure was used to prepare samples of apo D. The solution of apo D and apo AI were combined at a ratio of $1: 1(\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$. The pH 7.4 sample was incubated for a couple of hours at room temperature while pH 7.5 and pH 5.5 solutions were incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The latter mixtures were incubated in the presence of 3 M guanidinium chloride $(\mathrm{GnCl})$ for 4 h and then dialysed against decreasing concentrations of GnCl to 0 M in 0.5 M decrements for 4 h each. The samples were analysed in the final incubation buffer by gel filtration as described in 2.12.

### 2.12 Gel filtration experiments

Analytical gel filtration was used to analyse the mobility of apo D under different conditions. For most cases a G3000 SWXL ( $7.8 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with SWXL guard column was used, attached to a Gilson FPLC system. For the apo D / lipid vesicle association experiments, described in section 2.11, a G4000 SWXL columnn ( $7.8 \times 300$ mm ) was used. The absorption at 280 nm was registered.

### 2.13.1 Ellman's assay for thiols

This assay measures the release of a chromophore nitrothiobenzoate (NTB) upon the reaction of a thiol with 5,5-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) (Sigma).

The protein was dissolved in 0.1 M potassium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.3 with or without 6 M guanidinium chloride $(\mathrm{GnCl})$ at a minimum free thiol concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Creighton, 1989). After zeroing the system, $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 3 mM DTNB ( 0.1 M potassium phosphate, pH 7.3 ) were added to 1 ml of sample and blank. Absorption measurements were made at 412 nm . The molar extinction coefficient of NTB is $13700 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GnCl}^{2}$ and $14150 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its absence.

### 2.13.2 Disulphide analysis

The photometric determination of the number of free thiols and disulphide bonds present in apo D was achieved by the method described by Thannhauser, et al., (1984; 1987).

The reagent 2-nitro-5-thiosulphobenzoate (NTSB), was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of Ellman's reagent, 5,5-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (Sigma), in 10 ml of 1 M sodium sulphite. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 . This bright red solution was warmed to $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and oxygen bubbled through, till the colour changed to pale yellow. The solution is stable for 1 year at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The assay was performed by adding at least 8 nmol of protein to 3 ml of $1: 100$ dilution of NTSB stock solution in 50 mM glycine, 100 mM sodium sulphite, 3 mM EDTA, with or without 2 M guanidinium thiocyanate, and the pH was adjusted to 9.5 . The reaction mixture was incubated for 25 min in the dark. After which the absorbance at 412 nm was recorded. The molar extinction coefficient of the chromophore in the presence of guanidinium thiocyanate is $13900 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in its absence it was considered to be $14150 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The stoichiometry of the reaction is such that one mole of chromophore is produced per mole of disulphide bond or mole of free thiol.

### 2.14 Protein / Lipid interaction studies

The lipid vesicles (with and without protein) were prepared by a slight modification of the procedure described in Sizer, et al., 1987.

Typically, $3.8 \mathrm{mg}\left(5.2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ of dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC) (Sigma) were dissolved in $380 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform. A stock solution of cholesterol (Sigma) was prepared at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in chloroform. The protein solution was obtained by dissolving $2.6 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol}$ of apo D in $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 17 mM n-octylglucoside (Sigma), 10 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, $0.1 \%$ sodium azide, 1 mM ascorbic acid, pH 7.5 .

The lipid vesicles were prepared by mixing the DPPC and cholesterol solution
to a final molar ratio of 150:7.5 before evaporating the chloroform in a glass vial under a nitrogen stream. This was followed by freeze-drying for 1 h . The film formed was resuspended in 34 mM n-octylglucoside, 10 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, $0.1 \%$ sodium azide, 1 mM ascorbic acid, pH 7.5 . Usually some aggregates remained. This preparation was sonicated for 10 short bursts in an ice-bath while the protein-detergent solution was sonicated for five short bursts under the same conditions (ice bath and glass vial for rapid cooling). It was necessary to centrifuge the solutions after sonication to eliminate the froth which sonication typically produced. In experiments where protein was going to be incorporated, the sonicated suspensions were mixed together at a molar ratio of 1:200 (protein:DPPC) and 5 bursts of sonication in an ice bath were applied.

The samples, lipid vesicles with or without protein, were dialysed against 10 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, $0.1 \%$ sodium azide, 1 mM ascorbic acid for 48 h (two to three changes of 51 volumes of buffer). The vesicles were isolated by FPLC gel filtration as described in section 2.12.

### 2.15 Apo D/Triton X114 temperature-induced phase partitioning

Apo D and Blg, both at the concentrations of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ concentration were incubated separately at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min in $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.0$, $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Triton X114 (Sánchez-Ferrer, et al., 1990). 5 and $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots from the 100 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ protein solutions respectively, were removed at the end of the incubation and kept at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was then raised up to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min at the end of which the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 11000 g (bench-top centrifuge). Again aliquots ( 5 and $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were removed from the supernatant. The different aliquots and a small amount of the pellet were run in a $12.5 \%$ SDS-PAGE.

### 2.16 Electron microscopy

The buffer of the lipid vesicles (with or without protein) was changed to the following volatile buffer: 0.125 M ammonium acetate, 2.6 mM ammonium carbonate, 0.26 mM EDTA, pH 7.4 . A $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ drop of 2-10x diluted vesicle preparation was laid on the microscope grid, previously coated with collodion and carbon. The adsorption was allowed to occur for 1 min and then the liquid was removed with filter paper. Staining was done by incubating a $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ drop of $2 \%$ PTA (phosphotungstic acid), pH 7.4 on the grid for 30 seconds. The grids were observed with a Phillips CM12 transmission electron microscope with the kind assistance of Mrs.S. Bury.

The ligand-binding experiments were performed in a Perkin-Elmer Luminescence Spectrometer L-50 at $25 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, controlled by a temperature controler Grant LTD6 at the laboratory of Dr.D. Dryden, University of Edinburgh. All the experiments were done in 50 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, pH 7.0 and the ethanol used for dissolving the ligands was of chromatographic grade (Rathburn). The slits were set at 5 nm bandwidth, the sample was excited at 280 nm and the emission was recorded at 340 nm (the maximum wavelength of emission for the protein under these conditions).

To correct for the inner filter effect (Birdsall, et al., 1983), the titration of N-acetyl-tryptophanamide (Sigma) with ligands and ethanol was measured under the same conditions as the protein. Specifically, it is important that the absorbance at the excitation wavelength will be the same as that of the protein.

The protein (in a weakly buffered stock solution) was diluted to a total volume of 1 ml with the buffer described above to attain a concentration of $2.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$. This was centrifuged for 10 minutes in a Eppendorf centrifuge an absorbance spectrum was recorded between 240 nm and 350 nm on $950 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the solution. The protein concentration was determined by subtracting the baseline absorbance measured between 300 and 350 nm (where no absorbance due to the protein is detected) from the maximum absorbance at 280 nm and using the molar extinction coefficient indicated in section 2.6. An aliquot of $900 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this solution was then put into the quartz fluorimeter cuvette and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer were added. The solution was allowed to equilibrate at the experimental temperature.

Ligands were added to 1 ml of protein solution, at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in ethanol, using a $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Hamilton syringe. The ligand was equilibrated with the protein in $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ additions up to $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and then in $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ additions up to a final added volume of 40 $\mu \mathrm{l}$. The solution was mixed thoroughly after each addition with a $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ automatic pipette. The readings were normally stable after the mixing and at least 3 fluorescence values were registered; more if the reading was considered noisy. At least two assays per ligand were carried out and when the ligand appeared to bind, three were registered.

A base line for the effect of the ethanol on the fluorescence of apo D was produced by titrating the protein with ethanol, and the emission spectra were recorded at different stages of the titration.

In each assay the values were averaged and rounded to the nearest unit. The fluorescence difference between the initial and each point was calculated and corrected for concentration, so that all the measurements were extrapolated to a protein
concentration of $2.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$. The corrected values were averaged between assays for the same ligand and finally subtracted from the ethanol baseline (corrected and averaged
as per the ligand titrations). These values were then corrected if the N -acetyltryptophanamide titrations showed significant inner filter effect.

The ligands used were the following: progesterone (4-pregene-3,20-dione), cholesterol (5-cholesten-3 3 -ol) , prostaglandin E1 ((11 $\alpha, 13 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{~S})$-11,15-dihydroxy-9-oxoprosta-13-enoic acid), prostaglandin $\mathrm{F} 2 \alpha((5 \mathrm{Z}, 9 \alpha, 11 \alpha, 13 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{~S})-9,11,15-$ trihydroxyprosta-5,15-dienoic acid), prostaglandin D2 ((5Z,9 $, 13 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{~S})-9,15-$ dihydroxy-11-oxoprosta-5,13-dien-1-oic acid), arachidonic acid (eicosa$5 Z, 8 Z, 11 Z, 14 Z-t e t r a e n o i c ~ a c i d), ~ p a l m i t i c ~ a c i d ~(h e x a d e c a n o i c ~ a c i d), ~ D P P C ~(L-~ \alpha-~$ dipalmitoyl phosphatidyl choline) all from Sigma.

12-Hete (12-hydroxy-[S-(E,Z,Z,Z)]-5,8,10,14-eicosatetraenoic acid), 5,15diHete (5,15-dihydroxy-[S-[R*, R*-(E,E,Z,Z)]]-6,8,11,13-eicosatetraenoic acid, leukotriene D4 ((5S,6R,7E,9E,11Z,14Z)-5-hydroxy-6-(S-cystainylglycinyl) eicosatetraenoic acid), linoleic acid(octadeca-9Z,8Z,11Z,14Z-tetraenoic acid), oleic acid (octadeca-9Z-enoic acid) from Cascade Biochem, Reading.

EP092 ((+5)-endo-(6'-carboxyhex-2'Z-enyl)-\{1-[(N-phenylthiocarbamoyl) hydrazono]-ethyl\} bicyclo [2.2.1] heptane was provided by Drs Wilson, Dawson and Jones from the Department of Pharmacology, University of Edinburgh.
Other ligands, in particular cholesteryl esters, which we wished to test could not be used because of their very low solubility in ethanol, DMSO or DMF.

The analysis of the binding parameters was kindly done by Dr.G. Atkins, University of Edinburgh.

### 2.18 Crystallization conditions for apo D

It was known from the beginning that the crystallization of apo D would not be without problems since its heterogeneity was well described in the literature. In particular, the high level of glycosylation (see section 2.9) induces observable heterogeneous behaviour in SDS-PAGE (diffuse band) and isoelectrofocusing (high number of bands) (Kamboh, et al., 1989). It was shown as well, that the removal of the neuraminic acid would reduce that heterogeneity (Kamboh, et al., 1989), though not totally. Despite all this, it was decided to try to crystallize the native protein isolated from gross-cystic disease fluid and later the neuraminic acid-free protein.

Two approaches were used, the "sparse matrix" described by Jancarik and Kim, (1991) and the "incomplete factorial experiment" described by Carter,Jr. and Carter, (1979); Carter,Jr., et al., (1988); Betts, et al., (1989).

The "sparse matrix " precipitant solutions were prepared as described in the article but two more solutions were added. These had numbers 51- and 52-in sequence with the reported ones.

51- 0.1 M cacodylate, 50 mM cadmium acetate, pH 6.5
$52-1 \mathrm{mM}$ cadmium acetate, 0.1 M cacodylate, $30 \%$ PEG $6000, \mathrm{pH} 6.5$
These two solutions were prepared because some of the members of the superfamily have been crystallized (high quality crystals) in the presence of cadmium or even with cadmium as precipitant (Newcomer, et al., 1984; Böcskei, et al., 1991).

The "incomplete factorial experiment" procedure is well described in the literature referenced above.

### 2.19 Crystallization conditions for BlgZ

The conditions used were based on the ones described by Aschaffenburg, et al., (1965); Bolognesi, et al., (1979); Monaco,et al., (1987).

Dialysis crystallization - pH 7.8 - the protein (Sigma) was dissolved in 0.2 M phosphate buffer (sodium phosphate/potassium phosphate $1: 1$ (mol:mol)), pH 7.8 at 30 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. It was put into a $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dialysis button (Cambridge Repetition Engineers) and dialysed at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ against 20 ml of 0.2 M phosphate buffer, 1.5 M ammonium sulphate, pH 7.4. The buttons were checked regularly and every 3-4 days the concentration of ammonium sulphate was increased by 0.2 M and the pH readjusted. This continued up to the concentration of 1.9 M ammonium sulphate when the increases were reduced to 0.1 M at a time, up to around 2.1 M when crystals would start to appear.

Dialysis crystallization - pH 8.5 - the protein (Sigma) was dissolved in 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5 at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. The button ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was dialysed against 0.1 M Tris, 1 M sodium citrate, $0.20 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 8.5$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The concentration of ammonium sulphate was increased by 0.2 M and pH was controlled. At around a concentration of 0.27 M crystals would start to appear.

Sitting drop - pH 7.8 - To $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a solution of $\mathrm{Blg}(30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ in 0.2 M phosphate buffer (sodium phosphate/potassium phosphate $1: 1$ (mol:mol)) $\mathrm{pH} 7.8,10$ $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of ammonium sulphate $2.17 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ phosphate buffer, pH 7.8 and $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.2 M phosphate buffer pH 7.8 , were added. This mixture was equilibrated against 2.17 M ammonium sulphate, 0.2 M phosphate, pH 7.8 . The crystals would take typically around a week to show up and 2-2.5 weeks to attain maximum size ( $0.3-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the long diagonal of the faces). The crystals would not last more than a month if left in these conditions, but they could be stabilized for two months if changed to 0.2 M phosphate buffer, 3 M ammonium sulphate, pH 7.8 .

Note: the sitting drop technique was routinely used for the growth of BlgZ crystals

The co-crystallization experiments were done both with the sitting-drop (Charles Supper Company) and dialysis button (Cambridge Repetition Engineers) conditions described above. Typically 3 mg of Blg were incubated with the ligand (110x the molar quantity of the protein - if it was an aqueous immiscible liquid, up to 1 ml of the ligand would be added to the incubation mixture while if it was an insoluble solid then an amount as much as 1000 x the molar quantity of protein would be equilibrated with the incubation mixture) in 1 ml of 0.2 M phosphate buffer ( pH 7.8 ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a slow rotating wheel. The mixture would then be concentrated to 30 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ with a Centricon-10 microconcentrator (Amicon), after the immiscible or insoluble ligands, if present, had been separated.

In the initial sitting drop trials, the concentration of ammonium sulphate in the well solution would range from $12 \%$ to $60 \%$ saturation. The drop would be constituted from 1 volume of protein plus ligand and 1 volume of well solution.

The number of known Blg ligands is quite large, as described in section 1.2. For the co-crystallization experiments only a few were used, with the only criteria of being reasonably stable. Attempts were made with other molecules that are not known ligands but similar to known ones. The list is the following: heptane, 2-nonanone, iodobutane, palmitate, hemin, iodonitrobenzene, iodophenol, p-nitrophenol, ascorbic acid, n-octylglucoside.

### 2.21 Heavy atom soaking with Blg

All the heavy atom soaking experiments were performed with the heavy atom dissolved in 3 M ammonium sulphate, 0.2 M phosphate buffer (see section 2.19), pH 7.8 in Linbro plates (normally used for Elisas). There was a wealth of experiments done by Dr.D.W. Green and collaborators and it was possible to repeat or explore further the conditions used as we had access to their data.

The quality of the soak was evaluated by the traditional techniques (Blundell and Johnson, 1976) of observing the aspect of the crystal and in most cases by precession photograph.

Only the conditions for heavy atom soaks where a three-dimensional reflection set was collected and used for the determination of the structure phases are described:

MMA (monomercuric acetic acid) -2 mM for 2 weeks (longer than that leads to an obvious deterioration of the crystals)
$\mathrm{HgI}_{2} . \mathrm{KI} 1: 10(\mathrm{~mol}: \mathrm{mol})$ previously dissolved in water and then mixed together in the final buffer $-50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ overnight
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}-3 \mathrm{mM}$ for 4 days

The three-dimensional data sets were collected at the University of Glasgow (Chemistry Department) and Oxford (Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics) with the kind assistance of Dr.A. Freer, Prof.N. Isaacs and Dr.D. Stuart. In both places, a Xentronics area detector mounted on a rotating anode was used.

The BlgZ crystals present rhombohedral morphology with the $\mathrm{c}^{*}$-axis along the long diagonal, so they were mounted with this diagonal down the Lindemann tube (though due to their shape, the diagonal is never colinear with the Lindemann tube axis) which allows for a minimum of $60^{\circ}$ rotation needed to obtain a complete data set. When the collection of Bijvoet pairs was a specific requirement of the data collection, then the crystals were mounted with the long diagonal perpendicular to the tube axis. This permits the collection of the Bijvoet pairs as close in time as possible or, best of all in the same frame; in this orientation a rotation of $180^{\circ}$ is needed for the collection of a complete set of reflections with reasonable redundancy. The data collection was always done at room temperature.

The data were processed with the packages Xengen or XDS (Kabsch, 1988a; 1988b)

Some data were collected at the Chemistry Department, Edinburgh University using a Siemens-Stoe AED2 four-circle diffractometer installed on a sealed tube generator, fitted with a graphite monochromator. This system was used in the later stages of the project to evaluate the quality of the three-dimensional reflection sets from heavy atom soaking experiments.

### 2.23 Precession photography

To evaluate the quality of the crystals after heavy atom soaking or obtained from co-crystallization experiments, precession photography was performed with a Huber precession camera mounted either on a sealed tube or rotating anode generator, as available. The photographs were taken at room temperature, routinely for $24-36 \mathrm{~h}$ with a $10^{\circ}$ precession angle.

### 2.24 Programs used

Most of the crystallographic handling of the data was done with the CCP4 (LCF version) package (SERC, Daresbury Laboratory) installed in a microVax 3100. The molecular replacement, part of the crystallographic refinement and analysis of the models was performed with the the X-PLOR package (Brünger, A.T., 1992) installed in a ESV10. The display of the molecular models and electron-density maps was done
with O (Jones, et al., 1991) also on an ESV10 workstation. The final model was also analysed with DSSP (Kabsch and Sander, 1983) and PROFILE (Lüthy, et al., 1992).

## Chapter 3 Crystallographic work with Blg

This chapter will deal with the crystallographic work in three general parts. The first (including sections 3.1 to 3.2 ) reports the data collection and analysis, the second (sections 3.3 to 3.7 ) describes the heavy atom phasing and model building. The final part (section 3.8 ) reports the molecular replacement work.

### 3.1 Data quality

In this section several aspects of data processing will be discussed in light of the procedures used and results achieved at different stages of the work.

### 3.1.1 Indexing problems

The processing of the data was done in space group $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$, and there are aspects of this space group that deserve detailed attention, in particular, the possibility of processing reflections in two indexing systems. Both these systems are acceptable within the symmetry restrictions of the space group but the same one has to be used at all times.

The indexing problem arises from the symmetry of reciprocal space, which is described by Laue group $\mathrm{P} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m} 1$. This Laue group generates an hexagonal system where the hexagonal relationship arises from an improper three-fold rotation axis. This means that if a right handed axis system is to be defined in two sequential $60^{\circ}$ of data or hexagonal cells, there are four ways to do so ( 1 to 4, as shown in FIG. 3.1), and these four cells are not equivalent. For example, if two batches corresponding to sequential portions of data, were being compared and one had been indexed with cell 1 and the other with cell 4 , then equivalent reflections (related by a two-fold in this example) would be indexed with same hkil indices and they would be directly comparable. However if the indexings used were 1 and 3 , then reflections with the same indices would not be equivalent and they should not be merged.

This "misindexing" is easily noticeable for batches of the same data set as the merging scale factors will be quite different from the internal scaling factors and the merging residual will be quite poor: for example the BlgZ native data was originally collected in two batches, each with internal residuals of around $6 \%$. In spite of the fact that no changes in the diffraction pattern were observed from one batch to the other, the merging residual was $14 \%$. This problem was solved by choosing a different orientation matrix for the second batch; the final indexing, related to the first by $180^{\circ}$, gave a merging residual of $6.3 \%$. Further, when comparing different data sets, the isomorphous residual will be extremely high for the different indexing systems. For example the MMA derivative data set processed in an inconsistent indexing system to

FIG. 3.1 Four possible axial systems for Laue group $\mathbf{P} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m} 1$

Each axis sytem is defined by a number, 1 to 4 . Represented with different filling patterns are two different reflections and their symmetry equivalents. In the same indexing system, the two different reflections have the same $h$ and $k$ but opposite sign for $l$.

the native data, had an isomorphous residual of $40 \%$ when compared to the latter, while in the same indexing system this value dropped to $25 \%$.

In the cases described, the data were reprocessed in order to change the indexing. However, this is not necessary since the relationship between two equivalent reflections in different indexing systems can be described in the following way; the reflection that in cell 3, Fig. 3.2, is indexed as hkil corresponds in cell 1 to $-k-i-h l$ or by the application of the inversion centre, the three-fold and two-fold symmetry operations, to khil. Thus equivalent reflections are indexed as $h k i$ in one system and $k h i$ in the other, which means that a simple change of $h$ for $k$ will convert one cell into the other. The same result is achieved by reasoning that, as the problem with the indexing is the application of an hexagonal system where there is no six-fold, it should be possible to convert from one cell to another non-equivalent, by the application of that same six-fold. This means that $h k i l$ becomes $-h-k$ - $i l$ with the six-fold proper rotation and then, when reduced to the initial wedge of reciprocal space by applying the inversion center and finally the two-fold, results in khil, as above.

Having found this relationship between non-equivalent cells it is possible by choosing two reflections that are obviously distinct (one very strong and the other very weak) and related by the six-fold transformation ( $h k l$ and $k h l$ ), to distinguish different indexings. For example, in BlgZ data sets the reflections $1,0,10$ and $0,1,10$ have very marked intensity differences and are related by the six-fold rotation, as is verifiable by applying the sequence of transformations described above. Thus in one indexing system $1,0,10$ is much more intense than $0,1,10$ while in the other indexing system the reverse is observed.

The reflection sets were always checked for the intensities ratio of the pairs $1,0,10 / 0,1,10$ and $1,0,23 / 0,1,23$ which, in the CCP4 package correspond to $1,0,10$ $/ 1,0,-10$ and $1,0,23 / 1,0,-23$ (just a different symmetry equivalent). The ratio for these pairs in the indexing system used, was $\gg 1$ for the first and $\ll 1$ for the second. If a change of indexing was required, the data set would be put through a small program to swap $h$ for $k$ and reduce the data to the necessary unique section.

### 3.1.2 Internal data quality

Despite the fact that a dozen data sets have been collected from various different crystals, only the native, the three derivatives used for phasing and the cocrystallization with ascorbic acid will be analysed.

A series of internal statistics considered to be indicators of the precision of data, are presented in TABLES 3.1 to 3.4. Specifically, completeness (observed percentage of total number of reflections), average redundancy (average number of observations per unique reflection), percentage of unique reflections with intensity over sigma of intensity higher than 2 or 3 (both for intensities and for anomalous differences)

FIG. 3.2 Schematic representation of reciprocal space for Laue group $\mathbf{P} \overline{3} \mathrm{~m} 1$.

Viewed down the three-fold axis. Two possible indexing systems are represented, 1 and 3 (see FIG. 3.1 and text). Circles represent one reflection and its symmetry equivalents. The reflections have been labeled with the indexes corresponding to system 1.


## TABLE 3.1 Native and "ascorbic" data quality (processed by XENGEN)

## Native residual $=6.3 \%$

## "Ascorbic"residual $=4.3 \%$

Cell dim. $\mathrm{a}=54.0 \AA, \mathrm{~b}=54.0 \AA, \mathrm{c}=112.7 \AA \quad$ Cell dim. $\mathrm{a}=54.3 \AA, \mathrm{~b}=54.3 \AA, \mathrm{c}=113.3 \AA$

| Res.bins ( $\AA$ ) | red. | complet. | $\% \geq 2$ | 1 | red. | complet. | $\% \geq 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\infty-5.1$ | 2.8 | 96\% | 97\% | I | 4.4 | 99\% | 97\% |
| 5.1-4.0 | 2.7 | 91\% | 96\% | I | 1.9 | 99\% | 97\% |
| 4.0-3.5 | 2.9 | 83\% | 88\% | 1 | 1.9 | 97\% | 95\% |
| 3.5-3.2 | 2.8 | 81\% | 81\% | I | 2.0 | 95\% | 88\% |
| 3.2-3.0 | 2.6 | 73\% | 69\% | I | 1.9 | 90\% | 81\% |
| 3.0-2.8 | 1.7 | 32\% | 56\% | I | 1.2 | 37\% | 63\% |

Cell dim.- cell dimensions, only the cell axes lengths are indicated. The angles were $90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}$.
Res.bins- Resolution bins; red.- redundancy (number of observed reflections/unique number of reflections collected); complet.- completeness (unique number of reflections collected/number of possible reflectionsx100); $\% \geq 2$ - percentage of reflections collected with signal equal or higher than 2xsigma

TABLE 3.2 MMA and Platinum derivative data quality (processed by XDS)

## MMA residual $=6.3 \%$

Platinum residual $=8.5 \%$
Cell dim. $a=54.3 \AA, b=54.3 \AA, c=113.3 \AA \quad$ Cell dim. $a=54.1 \AA, b=54.1 \AA, c=112.9 \AA$

| Res.bins ( $\AA$ ) | red. | complet. | \%>3 | 1 | red. | complet. | $\%>3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\infty-6.3$ | 3.2 | 84\% | 92\% | 1 | 1.8 | 97\% | 89\% |
| 6.3-4.5 | 2.1 | 90\% | 81\% | I | 1.7 | 99\% | 76\% |
| 4.5-3.7 | 1.8 | 84\% | 61\% | I | 1.5 | 87\% | 53\% |
| 3.7-3.2 | 1.1 | 25\% | 31\% | 1 | 1.2 | 71\% | 34\% |

Cell dim.- cell dimensions, only the cell axes lengths are indicated. The angles were $90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}$. Res.bins- Resolution bins; red.- redundancy (number of observed reflections/unique number of reflections collected); complet.- completeness (unique number of reflections collected/number of possible reflectionsx100); \%>3-percentage of reflections collected with signal higher than 3xsigma

TABLE 3.3 HgI data quality (collected by diffractometer)

Cell dimensions $\mathrm{a}=54.2 \AA, \mathrm{~b}=54.2 \AA, \mathrm{c}=113.1 \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=120^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { All data collected from } 43 \AA \text { to } 6.0 \AA & \begin{array}{l}
606 \text { reflections } \\
\text { Redundancy }=1
\end{array} \\
\%>2=76 \%
\end{array}
$$

Redundancy- number of observed reflections/unique number of reflections collected; \% $>2$ - percentage of reflections collected with signal higher than 3xsigma

## TABLE 3.4 MMA anomalous data quality

| Res.bins | complet. | \%>2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\infty-6.6$ | 58\% | 40\% |
| 6.6-4.5 | 65\% | 18\% |
| 4.5-3.7 | 70\% | 6.2\% |
| 3.7-3.2 | 56\% | 4.0\% |

Res.bins- Resolution bins; complet.- completeness (number of unique reflections collected with anomalous signal/number of unique reflections collectedx100); \%>2-percentage of reflections with anomalous signal higher than $2 x$ sigma
resolution and the symmetry residual (defined as $[\Sigma \Sigma(I I(h, i)-I(h) \mid) / \Sigma(I(h))] \times 100$ where $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i})$ is the scaled $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{th}}$ observation of reflection h and $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{h})$ is the merged final intensity of that reflection), were analysed as function of resolution.

During the work with BlgZ several characteristics of this crystal form became apparent. One was the clear drop in intensity of the diffraction pattern at the higher angles and the almost absence of diffraction beyond the $3 \AA$ resolution, as can be observed from the tables for the native and ascorbic acid co-crystals.

Another intrinsic problem in the crystal quality was the disorder observed. This is manifested by the wide shape of the diffraction spots and in the "stains" on photographs taken at the synchrotron source at Daresbury (FIG. 3.3), an effect attributed to thermal diffusion scattering.

The strategy for collection of good data by the rotation method depends on the variation of as many factors as possible (Evans, 1993). In effect this can be translated as the need for observation of each reflection and its symmetry equivalents as many times as possible during the collection process. Unfortunately, the data sets used for the

FIG. 3.3 Rotation photograph of BIgZ data collected in the SRS

An example of a $2.5^{\circ}$ rotation photograph of BlgZ crystal, collected from station 7.2 at the SRS, Daresbury laboratory.

structure determination of BlgZ do not contain great amount of redundancy; the native data set has the best value for the average redundancy.

Both the MMA and the platinum derivatives exhibit a reduction in the resolution of diffraction and a general drop in the intensity of reflections. The deterioration of the derivative crystals may be explained by the low reactivity of the protein (under the crystallization conditions) with the heavy atom compounds and the need for long soaking times (see conditions in section 2.21). Despite the apparent low quality of the anomalous differences, since beyond the resolution of $4.5 \AA$ only around $5 \%$ of the reflections have an amplitude higher than $2 \sigma$ associated, they were essential for the phasing.

The data set collected on the diffractometer suffers from the required increase in time for the collection of reflections and it was only possible to observe diffraction to 6 Å resolution.

The native data set has been recollected at Daresbury SRS station 9.5 using a MAR image plate detector. Once processed, it is the intention to use these data for refinement of the structure as it will be a higher resolution, high redundancy data set.

### 3.2 Analysis of relative characteristics between data sets

Data sets from different crystals need to be put on the same scale for the extraction of structural and crystallographic information. This section will describe statistical aspects of the different comparisons done during the course of this work.

### 3.2.1 Scaling of data sets

The correct scaling of two data sets is of extreme importance. The production of phases involves comparison of native and heavy atom derivative structure factor amplitudes during the Patterson synthesis and phase triangle construction. As this comparison is done on an "one-to-one" amplitude basis, the importance of removing all the differences that are not due to changes in the crystal structure is clear. Blow, et al., (1988) demonstrate that a change of $20 \%$ in the scaling factor affects the value for the phase calculated from a single derivative, by $180^{\circ}$.

The scaling and relative analysis of the different data sets was done initially with the program ANSC (CCP4 package), which calculated and applied an overall relative scaling and temperature factor to the derivative data set. Subsequently, it was considered that due to the rapid deterioration of the heavy atom derivatives during data collection and consequent introduction of systematic errors associated with low redundancy of those data sets, it would be advantageous to scale these reflection sets by local scaling as well. In reality, for the particular case of the HgI data set, which was

obtained from the diffractometer and thus collected and processed with a different strategy from the native data, it was considered advisable to apply a "finer" scaling procedure to try to account for the different systematic errors introduced. The local scaling procedure determines scaling factors by minimizing the differences between each data set, on a restricted number of neighbours around each particular reflection, and not the overall differences or the differences in resolution bins. If the number of reflections used for the scaling calculation is very small then any difference, including real differences, may be removed. The program used is called LOCAL and the number of reflections used in each zone was 25 . The procedure was repeated until the residual stabilized.

The application and effect of the scaling was checked with plots of the scaling factors in resolution bins and in bins of Miller indices to monitor any systematic unaccounted behaviour. Nothing unusual was detected.

### 3.2.2 Analysis after scaling

ANSC performs an analysis of the differences between two data sets by determining the mean isomorphous difference and the mean fractional isomorphous difference as function of resolution. These analyses can give an estimate of the changes, and in particular of the non-isomorphous changes, which occur on adding the heavy atom compound to the crystal. The results after the the two scaling steps are presented in FIG. 3.4 and FIG. 3.5.

The overall mean isomorphous difference for MMA was $27 \%$, for $\mathrm{HgI} 16 \%$, for the platinum $21 \%$ and for the ascorbic data $26 \%$. Both the MMA and platinum derivatives exhibit a peak at around $6 \AA$ on the mean fractional isomorphous difference plot. This is characteristic of heavy atom substitution as it represents the substitution of the first solvent shell by a stronger scatterer. Better isomorphism is expected from the MMA than from the platinum derivative because the mean isomorphous difference decays more rapidly with resolution for the former and the mean fractional isomorphous difference does not increase as much. It should be pointed out that for a totally isomorphous derivative the mean isomorphous difference would drop with resolution due to the decrease in the scattering of the heavy atom at higher angles and to the increase in noise in the weaker high resolution reflections. The mean fractional difference should be stable with increasing resolution, indicating that the changes only result from the introduction of a very electron-dense atom and not from increased disorder at smaller resolution spacings.

The data for these two derivatives were truncated at $3.5 \AA$ because the two indicators presented evidence of non-isomorphism beyond that. A cut-off of $30 \%$ for the mean fractional difference was introduced, and resulted in the removal of amplitudes beyond $3.5 \AA$ for both derivative data sets. This in line with the $40 \%$

FIG. 3.4 Mean isomorphous difference plot over resolution bins for the derivatives and"ascorbic" data sets

Mean isomorphous difference is defined as <|F-Fnat|>, where F and Fnat are the amplitudes of derivative and native data respectively. Ascorbic data ( $\ldots$ ), HgI data ( $-\cdots-$ ), MMA data ( $-\cdots---$ ), platinum data ( -- ).


FIG. 3.5 Mean fractional isomorphous difference plot over resolution bins for the derivatives and "ascorbic" data sets

Mean fractional isomorphous difference or Rsym is defined as $\Sigma(\mathrm{IF}-$ Fnatl) $/ \Sigma($ Fnat ) where F and Fnat are the derivative and native amplitudes, respectively. Ascorbic data ( $-\quad$ ), HgI data ( $-\cdots-$ ), MMA data ( $-\cdots---)$, platinum data ( - - ).

theoretical change resulting from the totally isomorphous introduction of a mercury atom in a 24 kDa protein described by Blundell and Johnson, (1976).

The analysis of the low resolution derivative HgI does not reveal much except that modification of the protein is apparent.

The plots for ascorbic acid show that changes have occurred. A mean fractional isomorphous difference of $26 \%$ was unexpected for an experiment where the only anticipated modification was the binding of the ligand. However the changes are probably isomorphous since the mean diffference plot drops with resolution and the mean fractional plot increases slowly with resolution. This means that the ligand is bound to the molecule without provoking radical distortions.

### 3.3 Heavy atom positioning

The strategy for the determination of the heavy atom positions is usually based on solving one derivative, estimating protein phases from this derivative and then using these phases for difference Fourier syntheses to determine the positions of heavy atoms in other derivatives. The first step is usually achieved by Patterson synthesis of the differences between the structure factor amplitudes of the heavy atom derivative and native crystal. The Patterson synthesis can be described (Woolfson, 1970) as the convolution of the electron density with itself. In other words it is the three-dimensional map that results from the multiplication of the electron density with itself after translation by a defined vector, integrated over the whole unit cell. If the original electron density represents the heavy atoms only, then the resulting Patterson will be zero except at the translated vector coordinates where two equivalent heavy atom positions have been matched. Some of these vector positions are defined by the translation vectors between symmetry equivalent positions of the particular space group and a sub-group will be positioned in well determined parts of the Patterson space, the Harker sections. The Harker sections are defined as the planes or lines where the difference vectors between equivalent positions that have one or more constant coordinates will be positioned. Thus because the mathematical relation between the Patterson space and the real space coordinates is known for this section of space, it is possible to determine the position of the heavy atom in the unit cell.

The difference between the derivative and native structure factors amplitudes is a rough approximation to the heavy atom structure factors, in fact the Patterson synthesis with these terms will have contributions from the protein-protein and proteinheavy atom vectors (Blundell and Johnson, 1976). These extra contributions will be minor as long as there are enough terms and the heavy atom structure factors are small.

Difference Patterson syntheses were produced for the isomorphous differences of the three derivatives atoms and in the case of MMA, an anomalous difference Patterson was prepared too. The Harker sections $w=1 / 3$ of these maps are shown in

FIG. 3.6 to FIG. 3.9. The MMA isomorphous Patterson showed only the peaks due to the heavy atom site; the anomalous Patterson, although noisier, presented the same peaks as the isomorphous map demonstrating that the anomalous data would contribute to phasing. It is clear from the sections that the MMA and the HgI derivatives have been substituted in the same position. The platinum derivative produced a noisy map with a small peak confirming that it was weaker and probably more non-isomorphous than the other derivatives, as already expected from the ANSC analysis (see section 3.2.2). However, because the major peak position in the platinum derivative was different from any of the other derivative sites it would have an important contribution for the determination of phases.

### 3.3.1 Solution of the MMA Patterson function

The MMA derivative was automatically solved with the SHELX-86 package. This program determines atomic coordinates explaining all the Harker vectors present in a Patterson synthesis (Sheldrick, 1991). It produced one solution at the fractional coordinates $\mathrm{x}=0.855, \mathrm{y}=0.482, \mathrm{z}=0.238$, equivalent to $\mathrm{x}=0.37, \mathrm{y}=0.52, \mathrm{z}=0.095$.

The heavy atom parameters for MMA were refined with the program REFINE using FHLE refinement. FHLE refinement (Blundell and Johnson, 1976) minimizes the difference between the absolute values of the calculated heavy atom structure factor (a function of the heavy atom parameters) and the observed heavy atom structure factor. The latter is estimated from the structure factor amplitudes observed for the native and derivative crystals (Dodson, 1976):
for centric reflections $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{\prime} \pm \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right|$
for acentric reflections $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2} \approx \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}^{2}}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}\left[1-1 / 2\left(\mathrm{k} \Delta \mathrm{ano} / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$
 derivative structure factor amplitude, B and K are the overall temperature and scaling factors and $s=2 \sin \Theta / \lambda, F_{H}$ the heavy atom structure factor contribution, $\Delta$ ano is the anomalous difference and k is the ratio between isomorphous and the anomalous heavy atom contributions. These expressions can both be split into two estimates, the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{HLE}}$ (heavy atom lower estimate) and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {HUE }}$ (heavy atom upper estimate) and for most reflections the lower estimate is the more correct.

The expression for the acentric reflections can be further simplified (Dodson, 1976) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{HLE}}^{2} \approx \Delta^{2} \text { iso }+(\mathrm{k} \Delta \mathrm{ano} / 2)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.6 Marker section $w=1 / 3$ of MMA isomorphous Patterson

Only half of the section was calculated and the levels were contoured at one sigma intervals above the average.


FIG. 3.7 Marker section $w=1 / 3$ of MMA anomalous Patterson

Only half of the section was calculated and the levels were contoured at one sigma intervals above the average.


FIG. 3.8 Harker section $w=1 / 3$ of platinum derivative Patterson

Only half of the section was calculated and the levels were contoured at one sigma intervals above the average.


## FIG. 3.9 Harker section w=1/3 of HgI Patterson

Only half of the section was calculated and the levels were contoured at one sigma intervals above the average.

where $\Delta$ iso is the isomorphous difference.
This procedure is ideal for refinement of the heavy atom parameters of a single derivative (Blundell and Johnson, 1976) because the parameters are independent of the minimized function. The procedure works very securely with just centric reflections while with acentric the quality of the parameters depends on the accurate measurement of the anomalous differences. The relative $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ temperature and scale factors are not very well refined nor are occupancy and atomic temperature factor parameters.

The refinement of the MMA positional parameters with isomorphous and anomalous data also permitted the distinction of the "coherent pairs" for the heavy atom hand (Stout, 1979). The need for this "choice" can be understood from the fact there are two possible solutions for the MMA difference Patterson, the previously mentioned solution and its inverse at $x=-0.37, y=-0.52, z=-0.095$. In addition, because with this space group there is a choice between the enantiomorphs $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ or $\mathrm{P} 3_{1} 21$, four possible pairs for the combination of the space group and heavy atom position hands result. At the heavy atom refinement stage, it is only possible to distinguish the "coherent pairs". This means that from the four pairs only two, one for each space group enantiomorph will satisfy the data collected. The rationale is the following: the absolute heavy atom contribution to the diffraction pattern protein crystal is the same either when positioned at $x, y, z$ or $-x,-y,-z$. The direction, however, of the heavy atom vector changes totally, since the phase changes from positive to negative. The net result is that the phase triangle formed by the derivative, native and heavy atom structure factors is different for each position: a difference that is noticeable in the phase and absolute value of the derivative structure factor. However for most reflections the heavy atom contribution is very small when compared to the protein contribution which, with the added uncertainties resulting from the errors present on the observed terms, makes the two triangles quite similar. In other words, the derivative structure factor for one and the other cases are indistinguishable, especially when only the absolute value is considered. The question arises whether distinction between the two heavy atom positions is possible with a selection of well measured native and derivative reflections with large differences. Neverthless, with the anomalous contribution present (which has a fixed direction relative to the heavy atom isomorphous vector) the difference on the triangle constructions increases allowing the distinction of the two positions.

FHLE refinement compares the triangle constructions and this is apparent from expression (1), where both $\Delta$ ano and $\Delta i$ iso can be expressed respectively by the projections of the anomalous and isomorphous components on directions perpendicular and parallel to the derivative structure factor respectively (Blundell and Johnson, 1976). The estimated absolute value for the heavy atom contribution is also dependent on the angle difference between the derivative structure factor and the heavy atom isomorphous structure factor, which is different for each triangle construction. The
triangles will be the same for the pair composed by one hand of the space group and the positive coordinates of the heavy atom and the other pair composed by the other space group hand and the negative coordinates because the coordinate transformation between enantiomorphic space groups is related by an inversion centre too. Thus, for each space group there is one position that gives better agreement between the calculated and the observed heavy atom amplitude. For the wrong pair, the values of heavy atom occupancy and temperature factor and consequently the amplitude of the calculated heavy atom contribution can never account satisfactorly for the differences in the triangle construction.
For the MMA case the results after six cycles of refinement were the following:

|  | Space Group | x | y | z | R | g | corr |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{P} 3_{2} 21$ | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.095 | $55 \%$ | 0.29 | 0.39 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.91 | $75 \%$ | 0.12 | 0.10 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{P}_{1} 21$ | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.095 | $67 \%$ | -0.09 | 0.05 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{P} 3_{1} 21$ | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.91 | $59 \%$ | 0.30 | 0.33 |

where $\mathrm{R}=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {Hobs }}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Hcalc}} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {Hobs }}\right), \mathrm{g}$ is the gradient of $\left(\left\langle\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Hcalc}}{ }^{2}\right\rangle\right)^{1 / 2}$ against $\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {Hobs }}\right|$ and corr is a correlation factor between $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Hobs }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Hcalc}}$, the observed and calculated heavy atom amplitudes respectively. Both $g$ and corr are equal to unity for the perfect case.

It is clear from the results that pairs 1 and 4 present the best statistics and were therefore considered as the real arrangement.

These positions are equivalent to those estimated for mercurial compounds used in previous work (Green, et al., 1979; Monaco, et al., 1987), where the chosen enantiomorph was $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$. It must be noted that, Green, et al., (1979) have used a nonconventional setting, with the crystallographic dyad that defines the origin coincident with the $\mathbf{b}$ axis, which is different from the one described in the International Tables, vol.A, (1983) for $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$. In the work conducted by Monaco, et al., (1987) the mercurial compound position coordinates are the same as described in (Green, et al., 1979), which seems to indicate that the same non-conventional setting was used. The diagrams (Monaco, et al., 1987) however, show the molecular packing in the conventional setting. This inconsistency is confusing as it could indicate that the heavy atom positions were determined with respect to a particular origin but the density was calculated with respect to another.

The parameters of the MMA derivative in $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ after FHLE refinement were:
fractional coordinates $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}: \quad 0.379(2) \quad 0.518(2) \quad 0.094(1)$
atomic temperature factor: $\quad 28.4$
occupancy: 7.1(3)

Phases were determined from the MMA derivative with the program PHASE in the space group used by Green, et al., (1979), $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$. These phases were used to produce difference Fourier maps between all the derivatives and the native.

Observation of these maps allowed determination of the heavy atom coordinates. In the MMA case, it was also possible to check the peak shape of the already-determined position, so as to verify that no distortion indicative of a multiple site was present. No minor sites appeared in the MMA difference map. For the other derivatives, the positions of the major substitutions could be easily determined from the respective difference maps. The positions for the platinum derivative were $\mathrm{x} 1=0.46$, $\mathrm{y} 1=0.2, \mathrm{z} 1=0.026$ and $\mathrm{x} 2=0.34, \mathrm{y} 2=0.72, \mathrm{z} 2=0.07$, while for the HgI the most prominent position was $\mathrm{x}=0.37, \mathrm{y}=0.52, \mathrm{z}=0.09$. This is the same position as that for the MMA derivative, while the top platinum position is very similar to the top solution described for the same compound in Green, et al., (1979).

### 3.3.3 Choice of space group enantiomorph

The procedure described in Blundell and Johnson, (1976) was used to determine the exact enantiomorph. This procedure requires the existence of two heavy atom derivatives data sets, of which one will have measured anomalous differences. All the calculations must done in one of the possible space groups. Two sets of phases are calculated from a single derivative with anomalous measurements, the phases will include isomorphous and anomalous contributions; one set is prepared with the anomalous contribution unchanged and the other set with the signal of the anomalous differences inverted. With these phase sets, two difference Fourier syntheses are calculated for the the second derivative. The highest peaks in both maps indicate the heavy atom position of the second derivative site. The height will not be the same for these common peaks; if the higher peak is present in the map calculated from the phases with unchanged anomalous contribution then the enantiomorph chosen to prepare the maps is the correct one, if the higher peak is found in the map calculated with the inverted anomalous signal then the correct enantiomorph is the opposite of the one used for all these calculations.

The procedure was attempted with the phases calculated from the MMA derivative for both the platinum and HgI difference maps, in $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$. The HgI difference maps showed no difference between each other, probably because the site of this derivative is common to MMA and so the image of the heavy atom used to produce the phases is present in the map.

For the platinum case, the map calculated with an unchanged anomalous signal
presented the highest peak at $x=0.46, y=0.20, y=0.026$ with a height of 1.59 units and an r.m.s. deviation of 0.15 , while the inverted anomalous signal map had its highest peak placed in the same position with a value of 1.17 units and the same r.m.s. deviation. Sections of these two maps are shown on FIG. 3.10, and it is clear that the map with unchanged anomalous signal has the highest peak. This means that the correct enantiomorph is $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$, also confirmed by molecular replacement (see section 3.8.4) and is in line with the choice described in the literature (Green, et al., 1979; Monaco, et al., 1987).

### 3.3.4 Heavy atom refinement and phase calculation

The positions of all the heavy atoms, defined in section 3.3 .2 , were refined with the phase refinement program, MLPHARE. This sort of refinement improves the heavy atom parameters by minimizing the difference between the absolute values of the observed and the calculated derivative structure factors (Blundell and Johnson, 1976). The calculated derivative structure factor amplitude is determined from the following expression:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\text {PHcalc }}=\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {Pcalc }}+\mathrm{F}_{\text {Hcalc }}\right|
$$

$\mathbf{F}_{\text {Pcalc }}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {Hcalc }}$ are the vectors for the calculated protein and heavy atom contributions. This means that the difference between the phases of the protein and heavy atom vector has to be calculated in each cycle of refinement and that the method works correctly when several derivatives with different sites are refined together or when one derivative is being refined against outside phases, but not when the parameters of a single derivative (Dodson, 1976) or multiple derivatives with common sites are being refined. The procedure seems to detect incorrect positions, indicated by an occupancy close to zero, as long as there are well estimated positions being refined at the same time (Blundell and Johnson, 1976) and to be able to refine correctly the relative scale factors and temperature factors between the native and the derivative (Dodson, 1976).

The procedure was applied for all the positions described above, from which the minor site of the platinum derivative was rejected. Subsequently, phases were calculated and difference maps for all derivatives were inspected. Some possible extra sites were put into a few cycles of refinement but rejected.

The final positions and statistics are presented in TABLE 3.5. It is apparent that MMA is the derivative that is contributing the most to the phase calculation. This is demonstrated by the phasing power for both the isomorphous and anomalous contributions which are above 1 over all resolution ranges. The platinum derivative is the weaker one since it has the highest Rcullis and lowest phasing power, even at low

FIG. 3.10 Sections of platinum derivative difference map for the determination of the space group enantiomorph

Top: phases calculated with isomorphous plus positive anomalous contribution Bottom: phases calculated with isomorphous plus negative anomalous contribution
Peaks were contoured at one sigma intervals above the average.

resolution. The HgI with a phasing power just over 1 , is contributing reasonably to the lower resolution ranges.

The phases for BlgZ were calculated with MLPHARE for the resolution range 200 to $3.5 \AA$ and the figure of merit for the final phases is plotted in resolution ranges in FIG. 3.11. This statistical parameter evaluates the sharpness of the phase probability distribution and can be approximated as the cosine of the error in phase angle for the reflection. The real quality of the phases can only be judged from the electron-density map but it was expected that with an average figure of merit value of 0.46 the map would be of poor quality since the average error in the phases was approximately $62^{\circ}$. All the derivatives were used to the full, meaning that the derivatives were used even in ranges where the phasing power was less than one. Specifically, the platinum was kept because by being substituted in a site not common to any of the other derivatives it would contribute for the overall accuracy of the phases.

### 3.4 Phase refinement and extension by solvent flattening

The examination of the multiple isomorphous relacement map permitted the molecular envelope to be distinguished and the recognition of one $\alpha$-helix and a number of $\beta$-strands, as reported in the previous crystallographic models (Papiz, et al., 1986; Monaco, et al., 1987). One of the $\beta$-sheet planes was much better defined than the other, in the "good" part of the density the disulphide bond Cys 106-119 was visible among four reasonably defined strands while the other disulphide bond, Cys66-160 was placed among weak and broken density, FIG. 3.12.

The $\alpha$-helix was easily recognised due to its tubular appearence although structural details like the direction and even the handedness were of ambiguous interpretation. Chain tracing of this map would be extremely difficult, since a lack of continuous density affects the whole molecule but especially the loop regions. Since the clarity of the information contained in the electron-density map is so dependent on the quality of the phases it is essential to refine them in an attempt to improve the density.

The refinement of phases by a density modification procedure is a well established crystallographic step (Podjarny, et al., 1987; Tulinsky, 1985); there are several methods but they all have the same "mechanical" basis. Briefly, the electrondensity has several properties such as positivity (electron-density maps are always positive except in the presence of series termination errors), uniformity of solvent regions (the density corresponding to the solvent regions is generally flat), continuity of the biopolymer chain (at medium resolution biopolymers are represented by continuously connected density), in some cases local symmetry (non-crystallographic symmetry relates density within the molecular envelope) and others. If these characteristics are well understood then they can be explored for density improvement

TABLE 3.5 Refined heavy atom parameters and phasing statistics

## MMA derivative

| $\mathrm{x}=0.372$ | $\mathrm{y}=0.516$ |  | $\mathrm{z}=0.094$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { occ. }=9.7 \\ & \text { ano.occ. }=6.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | bfac. $=30$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res.bins | 17.8 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 | total |
| Phas.pow. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acentric | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Centric | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Anomalous | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.05 | 1.1 |
| Rcullis | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.85 | 0.58 |

Platinum derivative

| $\mathrm{x}=0.467$ | $\mathrm{y}=0.206$ |  | $\mathrm{z}=0.026$ |  | occ . $=3.9$ |  | bfac. $=30$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res.bins | 17.8 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 | total |
| Phas.pow. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acentric | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Centric | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | ${ }_{0}^{0.6}$ | 0.6 0.78 |
| Rcullis | 0.93 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.76 | 0.89 | 1.06 | 0.96 | 0.78 |

## HgI derivative

| $\mathrm{x}=0.375$ | $\mathrm{y}=0.529$ |  | $\mathrm{z}=0.093$ |  | occ. $=4.0$ |  | bfac. $=30$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res.bins | 17.8 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 | total |
| Phas.pow. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acentric | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Centric | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.8 0.73 |
| Rcullis | 0.88 | 0.69 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.73 |

occ.- occupancy; ano.occ.- anomalous occupancy; bfac.- heavy atom temperature factor; Res.bins-
Resolution bins in $\AA$; Phas.pow.- Phasing power (<FHcalc>/<|FPHobs-FPHcalcl>; Rcullis- Cullis Rfactor (<|FPHobs-FPHcalcl>|<|FPHobs-FPobsl> for centric reflections only; FHcalc- heavy atom calculated structure factor amplitude; FPHobs- derivative observed structure factor amplitude; FPHcalcderivative calculated structure factor amplitude; FPobs- native observed structure factor amplitude

## FIG. 3.11 Plot of figure of merit over resolution bins

Fom - represents the average of figure of merit for each resolution bin.

by constraining the overall density to a model of the chosen property. The method is more powerful the larger the change it induces and the larger the number of map grid points it affects (Podjarny, et al., 1987). The general algorithm involves the calculation of an initial map with the highest quality phases possible, modification of the map, back transformation of the modified map and combination of the resulting information, calculated phases and in some cases calculated amplitudes, with the experimental data. A new map is calculated with the modified terms and the procedure is repeated until convergence is achieved.

Map quality is also related to the number of terms present in the Fourier transform, thus an increase in this number either at the same resolution as before or at a higher resolution will result in a more well-defined molecular picture. The density modification methods alter the initial density and introduce extra information of a general nature. During the back Fourier transform of the modified density, extra terms are calculated permiting the extension of phase information (Tulinsky, 1985). The errors in the procedure are filtered out as long as there is experimental information that can be incorporated into the extended terms.

FIG. 3.12 Two pictures of different parts of the density produced with the MIR phases

Top: view of the MIR density around Cys106-119
Bottom: view of the MIR density around Cys66-160
Final model is shown.


The improvement of the density was performed by the application of solvent uniformity because it does not demand any interpretation of the existing density. The following lines will describe the method and the results obtained.

The procedure applied is designated as solvent flattening and is in fact a conjunction of two of the properties described above. The particular algorithm used (Wang, 1985; Leslie, 1988), flattens the solvent and applies a positivity constraint in the molecular region.

The different stages are the following, with the programs used at each stage enclose within brackets. The map was calculated with as many terms as possible (FFTKW). All the negative density present in this map was set to zero (TRUNCMAP), after which the map was back transformed (SFC) and all the structure factors at the resolution range used were calculated. The structure factors were multiplied by a weighting function (HKLWEIGHT) that corresponds in real space to the substitution of the value of the density at a particular grid point by a weighted average of the density within a given radius. This reciprocal space weighting is, in fact, only applied to the low resolution structure factors, at spacings larger than $5 \AA$ (Leslie, 1988), or in other words, applied to the reflections that contain more information about the solvent. With the new structure factors, it was possible to determine the molecular boundaries because the electron density generated (FFTKW) was a "blurred" original map and defined a molecular mask. Thus with knowledge of the crystal solvent content, the solvent part of the cell is easily defined (ENVELOPE). To the density, a constant term is added (FLATMAP) that substitutes the missing F000 term. This added constant satisfies the requisite that the ratio of the average solvent density to the average protein density should be some defined value. After this addition, the density in the solvent regions is set to the average solvent density and the negative parts in the protein envelope are attenuated. The map thus modified is back transformed (SFC) and after scaling (SCALENEW), the calculated phases are combined (COMBINE) with the experimental ones. The procedure is repeated using the refined phases and the same envelope until no further modification is observed. A new envelope is created whenever it is considered that the existing one is not constraining the density anymore.

The essential parameter in this procedure is the solvent content, because it determines the number of the grid points affected by the modification. From Matthews, (1968) formula the solvent content for BlgZ was determined to be $42 \%$, however for the application of the solvent flattening it was decided to use a conservative value of $32 \%$, since it was observed that higher values removed the density corresponding to loops and other solvent exposed parts of the molecule. Another parameter observed to have some effect on the continuity of the density was the averaging radius for the determination of the "blurred" map or mask, with a smaller radius the mask was
detailed but loss of connectivity was observed in the weaker density present on the main-chain $\beta$-strands. The "improved" maps were determined using the combined phases and the observed amplitudes.

The evolution of the improvements was followed by observing the electrondensity maps and by determining the residual between calculated and experimental amplitudes, the overall (and high resolution) average figures of merit and residual. The results are plotted in FIG. 3.13. During phase refinement only two envelopes were determined because the evolution from the first to the second mask was minor. Convergence was attained reasonably quickly as demonstrated by the values plotted. The map produced at the 4th cycle presented more connectivity than the original one but the differences between the fourth and the sixth maps were minute.

### 3.4.2 Phase extension

The extension of the phases by solvent flattening from 3.5 to $3.0 \AA$ was attempted for two reasons. First, it has been reported that the refinement of the original phases continues as new terms are introduced (Zhang and Main, 1988) and second the determination of phases to at least $3.0 \AA$ for $\beta$-sheet proteins can be important since beyond this resolution the "lateral fusion" of the strands disappears and the chain tracing is facilitated.

It has also been reported that the success of the extension is dependent on the completeness of the reflection set (Frederick, et al., 1984; McClarin, et al., 1986) and because the native data set was considered to be incomplete at $3 \AA$, data collected on a weak derivative was used instead. This derivative data set was $>90 \%$ complete to $3.2 \AA$ resolution and $82.5 \%$ complete in the range 3.2 to $3.0 \AA$ and it had a mean isomorphous fractional difference with the native of $13 \%$. It was not used for phase calculation because the unique heavy atom site present had very low occupancy.

The extension was done in the following way: substitution of the native amplitudes for the derivative ones while keeping the original and refined (see section 3.4.1) native phases, refinement of the phases at $3.5 \AA$ to accommodate any difference between data sets, calculation of all the phases for reflections with amplitude present but no experimental phase, refinement of the new phases, extension and refinement of phases to $3.0 \AA$ in three steps, 3.5 to $3.3 \AA, 3.3$ to $3.15 \AA$ and 3.15 to $3.0 \AA$. The extension was done for one to two reciprocal space units at a time which meant that $300-400$ reflections were added in each step. The evolution of the extension can be followed from the figure of merit and residual plotted on FIG. 3.14.

The map after this treatment showed major improvements, it was now possible to recognize the hand of the helix hand (right handed) (FIG. 3.15), and the $\beta$-sheets from the "bad side" (see section 3.4) of the molecule started to appear (FIG. 3.16). It

## FIG. 3.13 Plot of phase refinement evolution

Fom is the average figure of merit, represented by (一-一) for all the data and ( - ) for the resolution range 3.5-4 $\AA$. Rfactor is the residual between the calculated and observed amplitudes, represented by (--------). The black triangle marks the calculation of a new envelope.

has to be recognized that there were still regions of difficult interpretation and that the assignment of residues to the density in some parts of the map was difficult. However, because most of the main-chain could be followed, it was decided to initiate the building of the molecular model.

### 3.5 Model building

The strategy for model building was based on the need to improve the map further. For that, another method of map improvement, already mentioned in section 3.4 was applied. This method (Rice, et al., 1988), constrains the density to a biopolymer continuity by introducing information from partial models, the constraint being the more effective the more atoms are included into the partial model. The "tricky step" with this procedure is the need for interpretation of the density to produce the partial model. This model information is added to the map by combination of the original phases with the partial model phases, and a new map is created from which a better model can be extracted.

With BlgZ, the building of partial models was done with a conservative attitude, because from the beginning of the crystallographic work it was known that the wrong positioning of residues in the density, or wrong threading, was probably the major problem with the BlgY model. The model was built into the electron-density as a polyalanine backbone. However, in the areas where side-chain density existed, chemical reasoning together with the sequence alignment allowed the majority of residues to be identified. The assignment of sequence was started from residue Cys121 and disulphide bridge Cys106-119, since these chemical groups could be easily recognized (the heavy atom site marked the free cysteine and, nearby, the density for the disulphide, connected the two clearly defined $\beta$-strands) and therefore could be used as "anchors" for the start of the threading.

Essential for the building was the partially refined structure of Mup (kindly provided by Prof.A.C.T. North) superimposed with the density. To achieve this, the molecular replacement solution (see section 3.8) for Mup was used. This molecule was expected to be similar to Blg, from sequence alignment (Adams, 1992) and in fact, was seen, from the superimposition of the model into the density, to have many common three-dimensional features. Adding to these reasons was the fact that Mup, as an example of a well-built protein molecule, served as a teaching aid.

Model building proceeded through two partial model stages. The first model built consisted of 118 residues and 816 atoms, distributed in three segments:
first segment - residue 21 to 48 , all alanines
second segment -
residue 67 to 107 , where 67 to 89 were alanines and 90 to 107 the Blg residues

FIG. 3.14 Plot of phase extension evolution

Fom is the average figure of merit, represented by ( $\quad$ ). Rfactor is the residual between calculated and observed amplitudes, represented by (-- ). The triangles mark the cycles where new envelopes or phases were extended. Cycle 6 - new envelope and phases extended to $3.5 \AA$, cycle 9 - extension to $3.3 \AA$, cycle 12 - new envelope, cycle 16 extension to $3.15 \AA$, cycle 18 - new envelope, cycle 21 - extension to $3.0 \AA$, cycle 23 - new envelope.


The second and third segments were connected by the disulphide bond Cys106-119, forming the major segment.

The second model consisted of 131 residues and 1182 atoms distributed in four segments:
first segment second segment third segment fourth segment -
residue 16 to 49, built according to the sequence residue 52 to 60 , built as alanines
residues 67 to 75 , built according to the sequence residues 79 to 158 , where $79-84$ were built according to the sequence, 85-89 as alanines, 90-142 following the sequence, 143 as alanine

FIG. $3.15 \alpha$-helix density after solvent flattening

The density is in blue and the final model is in yellow.


FIG. 3.16 Density of the strands on the "bad" side of the molecule after solvent flattening

The density is in blue and the final model is in yellow.

fifth segment -
residues 145 to 159 , where 145 and 146 were present as alanines, $147-155$ were built according to the sequence and 156-159 as alanines

Each partial model was refined by a molecular dynamics procedure (X-PLOR) to improve the stereochemistry and fit to the X-ray data. The dynamics procedure consists of a search of the protein's conformation by simulating the effect of heating and slow cooling on the dynamics (direction and velocity) of each atom. The atoms should escape false local minima and following a gradient of energy, function by the stereochemical restrictions and agreements with the experimental data, attain an energy minima.

To remove any excessive influence of incorrect parts of the model on the combined phases, a temperature factor refinement was performed in X-PLOR after the
annealing procedure. The reason for applying this procedure is based on the concept that wrongly placed atoms would have higher temperature factors to account for the disagreement with the density. This results in weighting each residue's contribution to the partial phases and consequently to the combined phases.

The phases and calculated amplitudes were produced by SFC and scaled to the experimental data with SCALENEW. The phases were finally combined, with the MIR phases and with the solvent flattened phases, using the program COMBINE (all these programs, SFC, SCALENEW and COMBINE, are part of the CCP4 package).

It was found convenient when rebuilding the model to not only refer to both the MIR and solvent flattened combined with model information but also to the $2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{obs}}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}-\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ maps ( where $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}$ are the observed amplitude, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ the calculated amplitudes from the partial model and the phases obtained from the models) and the original maps, MIR and solvent flattened.

The evolution and details of the dynamics procedure are described in TABLE 3.6. The residual dropped between models, as expected from introducing more atoms into the models. In both models it was necessary to restrict the movement of the terminae of some of the fragments to avoid "flying" and subsequent steric clashes with other parts of the molecules, which would trigger the program to stop. During the minimization and dynamics procedure, the ionic side-chains were kept neutral, to avoid drastic movements of parts of the molecule due to ionic interactions. The maximum temperature of the slowcooling protocol was set at a low value for the first model (2000 K ) and then increased to 3000 K for the second model, this way increasing the possiblity of releasing the molecule from local energy minima.

The evolution of the model and in particular its agreement with experimental data is probably better evaluated from the parameters measured during the phase combination procedure, especially through the comparison of observed and calculated phases since the latter are independent of the refinement done in the previous steps. In TABLE 3.7, the parameters relative to the comparison between the two partial models and the experimental data, amplitudes and phases (MIR and solvent flattened) are displayed. The changes were greater for the MIR data than for the solvent flattened data as expected, since the solvent flattening procedure refined the phase information. From the relative lack of closure and the phase change, it can be concluded that the influence of adding the model information is felt more strongly in the higher resolution ranges. Finally, it seems clear that the second model is an improvement over the first, because the overall relative lack of closure decreases from the first to the second model indicating that the building has evolved correctly.

### 3.6 Final model

The final model consisted of 158 residues and 1516 atoms, the first three

## TABLE 3.6 Dynamics procedure details

| Refinement step | Details | Resolution | R-factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Weight calculation | $\mathrm{Wa}=45388$ | 8-3 A | 50.8\% |
| 2-Minimization | 160 cycles of minimization | 8-3 $\AA$ | 37.3\% |
| 3- Slow cooling | Max.Temp. $=2000 \mathrm{~K}$ <br> no. of steps $=50$ <br> timestep $=0.0005 \mathrm{ps}$ | 8-3 $\AA$ | 33.9\% |
| 4-Minimization | 120 cycles of minimization | 8-3 A | 33.3\% |
| 5-B-factor refinement | 15 steps of refinement side-chain/main-chain | 8-3 $\AA$ | 32.3\% |
| Model 2 (constrained 52, 60, 67, 75) |  |  |  |
| Refinement step | Details | Resolution | R-factor |
| 1-Weight calculation | $\mathrm{Wa}=54044$ | 8-3 | 48.9\% |
| 2-Minimization | 160 cycles of minimization | 8-3 A | 34.1\% |
| 3- Slow cooling | Max.Temp. $=3000 \mathrm{~K}$ no. of steps $=50$ timestep $=0.0005 \mathrm{ps}$ | 8-3 $\AA$ | 29.0\% |
| 4-Minimization | 120 cycles of minimization | 8-3 $\AA$ | 28.1\% |
| 5-B-factor refinement | 15 steps of refinement side-chain/main-chain | 8-3 A | 26.1\% |

## TABLE 3.7 Evolution of map improvement by partial model phase combination

MIR + model 1 combination to $3.5 \AA$

| res.bins $(\AA$ ) | r.lack clos. | no.refl. | old fm | new fm | abs.ph.ch. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50-7.8 | 0.8160 | 250 | 0.689 | 0.797 | 11.90 |
| 7.8-5.5 | 0.6112 | 445 | 0.577 | 0.780 | 23.80 |
| 5.5-4.5 | 0.4552 | 536 | 0.420 | 0.744 | 37.66 |
| 4.5-3.9 | 0.4850 | 606 | 0.322 | 0.718 | 47.96 |
| 3.9-3.5 | 0.5373 | 660 | 0.194 | 0.680 | 62.37 |
| total | 0.5882 | 2497 | 0.391 | 0.733 | 41.64 |

Solvent flattened + model 1 combination to $3.0 \AA$

| res.bins $(\AA$ ) | r.lack clos. | no.refl. | old fm | new fm | abs.ph.ch. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50-6.7 | 0.7698 | 395 | 0.856 | 0.867 | 8.37 |
| 6.7-4.7 | 0.5478 | 682 | 0.807 | 0.822 | 16.35 |
| 4.7-3.9 | 0.4540 | 806 | 0.768 | 0.796 | 21.71 |
| 3.9-3.4 | 0.5529 | 891 | 0.761 | 0.780 | 23.40 |
| 3.4-3.0 | 0.6634 | 842 | 0.740 | 0.747 | 26.15 |
| total | 0.5922 | 3616 | 0.777 | 0.793 | 20.69 |

MIR + model 2 combination to $3.5 \AA$

| res.bins $(\AA$ ) | r.lack clos | no.refl. | old fm | new fm | abs.ph.ch. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50-7.8 | 0.7986 | 250 | 0.689 | 0.805 | 10.41 |
| 7.8-5.5 | 0.4559 | 445 | 0.577 | 0.818 | 29.74 |
| 5.5-4.5 | 0.3556 | 536 | 0.420 | 0.771 | 39.82 |
| 4.5-3.9 | 0.3692 | 606 | 0.322 | 0.758 | 55.48 |
| 3.9-3.5 | 0.4642 | 660 | 0.194 | 0.720 | 59.31 |
| total | 0.5017 | 2497 | 0.391 | 0.766 | 44.03 |

res.bins- resolution bins; r.lack clos.- relative lack of closure (relative lack of closure between calculated and observed structure factors); no.refl.- number of reflections in each bin; old fm- old average figure of merit (figure of merit before combination); new fm- new average figure of merit (figure of merit after combination); abs.ph.ch.- absolute phase change from observed to combined phase.

Solvent flattened + model 2 combination to $3.0 \AA$

| res.bins( $\AA$ ) | r.lack clos. | no.refl. | old fm | new fm | abs.ph.ch. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50-6.7 | 0.7267 | 395 | 0.856 | 0.863 | 8.94 |
| 6.7-4.7 | 0.4223 | 682 | 0.807 | 0.827 | 22.13 |
| 4.7-3.9 | 0.3536 | 806 | 0.768 | 0.798 | 28.74 |
| 3.9-3.4 | 0.4898 | 891 | 0.761 | 0.791 | 26.15 |
| 3.4-3.0 | 0.5882 | 842 | 0.740 | 0.754 | 30.61 |
| total | 0.5094 | 3616 | 0.777 | 0.799 | 25.13 |

res.bins- resolution bins; r.lack clos.- relative lack of closure (relative lack of closure between calculated and observed structure factors); no.refl.- number of reflections in each bin; old fm- old average figure of merit (figure of merit before combination); new fm- new average figure of merit (figure of merit after combination); abs.ph.ch.- absolute phase change from observed to combined phase.
residues at the N -terminus and the last residue (C-terminus) had little or no density and therefore were not included. No stretches of alanines were left. This model was totally rebuilt from the second model. The rebuilding was done from Cys 121 towards the C terminus and towards the centre of the molecule at Cys66. Then, building was restarted from $\operatorname{Trp} 19$ towards the N -terminus and forward, towards Cys66. The two fragments formed in this way, met and closed at the loop between the third and fourth strands following the density from the MIR+2nd model combined map (FIG. 3.17), with no need for extra adjustments on the positions of residues previously positioned. This strategy was used because the density was weaker on the second and especially on the third $\beta$-strand. The model was then refined as before, with the results shown in TABLE 3.8. The residual attained is quite satisfactory for this resolution range, especially if compared with the value obtained for the BlgY model, positioned by molecular replacement (see section 3.8), after the same dynamics procedure. The final residual was $26.2 \%$ for 162 residues and 1548 atoms compared with $22.9 \%$ of the BlgZ model.

Bearing in mind that the model is far from refined, several analyses were performed. A geometry analysis using X-PLOR, which evaluates the deviation from ideal values for bond lengths, bond angles and dihedral angles, indicated that some regions of the molecule contained very poor geometry (FIG. 3.18). The program calculated the ratio between the empirical energy (function of bond lengths, bond angles and dihedral angles) and the value of the root mean square deviation for each residue. This value indicates the stretches of model with worst stereochemistry and a well refined structure typically presents values of $0-3$ for this ratio. The residues that present

FIG. 3.17 Density for loop between the third and fourth strands

Density in blue and model in yellow.

problems are:

Ala26 - At the end of the first $\beta$-strand, for the moment this was built with an unusual bond angle formed by the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C} \alpha$ and C atoms.

Pro50 - Positioned in the loop between the second and third $\beta$-strand, the high energy value is due to unusual dihedral angle in the ring, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \gamma$.

Asp53 - Positioned after the loop between the second and third $\beta$-strand. It has an unusual bond angle, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C} \beta$. The stretch from Thr 49 to Asp53 has been difficult to model. The density for the main-chain was present but the exact position of the sidechains and therefore the overall aspect of the loop was not well determined.

Lys60 - Positioned at the end of the third $\beta$-strand, it presents two unusual dihedral angles, $\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma-\mathrm{C} \delta$, and $\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma-\mathrm{C} \delta-\mathrm{C} \varepsilon$. Density was only visible in maps produced with combined phases.

Pro79 - Positioned in the loop between the fourth and fifth $\beta$-strand. Bad bond angle, $\mathrm{C}(79)-\mathrm{N}(80)-\mathrm{C} \alpha(80)$. The main-chain density for this loop was clearly seen but without much detail resulting in a difficult building of the residues.

Glu108 to Leu117 - All of these residues are positioned in a loop (between the seventh and eighth $\beta$-strands) for which not much density exists, it was just possible to guess the main-chain from the MIR map.

Thr 125 , Pro126 and Glu127-Loop between eighth $\beta$-strand and $\alpha$-helix. Density present but it was difficult to build.

Glu134, Lys135 and Phe136-In the middle of the $\alpha$-helix. It was badly built. The problem is simple to correct.

## TABLE 3.8 Final model refinement

## Final model

| Refinement step | Details | Resolution | R-factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Weight calculation | $\mathrm{Wa}=65935$ | 8-3 $\AA$ | 44.2\% |
| 2-Minimization | 100 cycles of minimization | 8-3 $\AA$ | 32.0\% |
| 3-Slow cooling | Max.Temp. $=4000 \mathrm{~K}$ <br> no. of steps $=50$ <br> timestep $=0.0005 \mathrm{ps}$ | 8-3 $\AA$ | 23.9\% |
| 4- Minimization | 160 cycles of minimization | 8-3 $\AA$ | 22.9\% |

FIG. 3.18 Geometry plot for the final model.
An empirical energy was evaluated, which is function of bond length, bond angle and dihedral angle. The parameter plotted is the ratio between this energy and the root mean square deviation from ideal energy. A well refined structure has values between 0 and 3 . Values were rounded up to the next unit and are plotted for the sequence number. Bad points are discussed in the text.
Geometry plot


Pro144, Met145 and His146-Positioned in the loop between the $\alpha$-helix and the last $\beta$-strand. Not much density was present.

Asn152-Positioned at the beginning of a loop (end of the last $\beta$-strand). It has two short bond distances, $\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C} \beta$ and $\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma$.

From the analysis of these "bad points", manual intervention should improve the geometry of most of these residues. The hardest spots are certainly the two loops (Glu108-Leu117) and (Pro144-His146) because the density is non-existent or very weak.

Crystal packing contacts shorter than $4 \AA$ for non-hydrogen atoms were analysed using X-PLOR. The majority result from the dimer interaction but because changes will occur with refinement no analysis will be performed.

### 3.6.1 Comparison of the final BlgZ model with BlgY and Rbp

The comparison of the model obtained for BlgZ with the existing BlgY model was done in order to evaluate the relevance of the changes introduced during this work. No comparison to the model available for the published structure of BlgZ (Monaco, et al., 1987) was done, the reasons being:

- the model contained information from the BlgY model.
- as demonstrated by the molecular replacement work done in parallel and described in section 3.8, the published BlgZ model seems to have little similarity with the structure here described.

The models of BlgZ and BlgY were compared by determining the root mean square difference (rmsd) between the coordinates of the same residues. The results are plotted in FIG. 3.19. For non-refined, medium resolution structures like these two it seems reasonable to only comment about residues where the main-chain rmsd is above $2.5 \AA$. The regions this way selected are, in general, the ones where changes in sequence threading where applied or where main-chain density for BlgZ did not agree with the existing BlgY model. The discussion below will deal with the whole model and will mention the analysis results from DSSP, a program by Kabsch and Sander, (1983). The residue numbering is from the BlgZ model, unless otherwise stated.

Thr4 - Asp28-No major changes.

Ile29-Gly64 - The fragment from Ile29 to Gly64 presents the largest changes between the two models, as much as $16 \AA$ for Leu57. It includes the second and third

$-\beta$-strands and three loops. The discrepancy was a result of the shortening of the loop between the third and fourth $\beta$-strands and the extension of the loop between the first and second $\beta$-strands. Because for the shortened loop the density was weak, building was done according to the strategy described above, from $\operatorname{Trp19}$ (a conserved residue) towards the centre of the molecule at Cys66. This produced threading shifts, the extra long loop, the unambiguous linking with fragment at Cys66 (FIG. 3.17) and consequent shortening of a loop. In more detail:

Ile29 - Leu32 - This fragment forms an $\alpha$-helical turn in BlgZ (FIG. 3.20), while in BlgY it is similar but was only recognized as a turn by DSSP.

Asp33 - Val41 - This stretch (FIG.3.20) makes connection to the second strand. It is much longer in BlgZ than in BlgY and because of that the residue just before the strand is Gln35 in BlgY and Val41 in BlgZ.

Interestingly, the same sort of structure, helix plus extended loop, is present in the Mup structure, and this accounts for the close sequence alignment between the two molecules described by Adams, (1992) that was not seen in the BlgY structure for this region.

Tyr42-Thr49 - It was identified as $\beta$-sheet in BlgZ and is totally superimposable by a similar stretch in BlgY (Ser36-Glu44). This means that a threading shift of five residues is present betweeen the two models.

Asp53 - Lys60 - This secord strand, as defined by DSSP, is superimposed with the BlgY fragment Thr49-Ile55. The same threading shift as above is present.

These shifts result in different side-chains being present in the pocket of the molecule. For Blg Y the following residues, belonging to this part of the sequence, have their side-chain into the pocket:

Pro38, Val41, Val43, Glu51 and Asp53.

In BlgZ they were substitued by:
Val43, Leu46, Pro48, Leu54, Ile56 and Leu58.

FIG. 3.20 Tube representation of new model and BlgY main-chain atoms between Ile29 and Arg40.

BlgZ new model in red, BlgY in yellow. The N-terminus of both fragments is towards the left of the picture.


It is clearly seen that in BlgY there are two charged residues present in the hydrophobic environment, while in BlgZ no such feature is observed. This results in an increase of the hydrophobicity of the pocket of BlgZ and confers some credibility to the changes between models.

Thr49-Gly52 - Forms the small loop betwen the third and fourth strands which is equivalent to a slightly different shaped loop in BlgY (Glu45-Pro48).

Trp61 - Glu65 - This loop (FIG. 3.21) is much smaller in BlgZ than in BlgY, where it is eleven residues long (Ile56-Glu65). Part of this BlgY loop there are three solvent exposed residues Ile56, Leu57 and Leu58 which are not present in the same situation in BlgZ. In BlgZ, Trp61 is present in a small cleft closer to the "bulk" of the molecule, while in BlgY, it is quite exposed to the solvent. This change correlates with some spectroscopic data which suggest that the two tryptophans have similar environments (Mills, 1976). At the end of this loop the models are back in frame with each other.

Glu65 - Lys75 - In this stretch that includes a $\beta$-strand, Cys66 forms a disulphide bridge with Cys160 in both BlgZ and BlgY. The residues Ala67-Lys69 follow different paths such that in BlgZ it is Lys69 rather then Lys70 which has access to the binding pocket. This residue is important because a lysine has been implicated in an interaction with retinol (Horwitz and Heller, 1974). Cho, et al., (1993) tried to establish the importance of the pocket and in particular of Lys70 for the binding of that ligand, by site-directed mutagenesis. However, their results were not conclusive and could be explained by having concentrated their efforts in the wrong residue. This stretch in BlgZ is out of frame with BlgY from Lys69 up to Lys75.

Thr76-Asp85-Similar loop and $\beta$-strand.

Ala86-Glu89-Loop without much density in BlgZ.

Asn90-Glu108 - Includes two $\beta$-strands and one loop that suffered just small adjustments.

Asn109-Leu117-Loop that has changed place. In BlgZ there was just density enough to guess the main-chain.

Val118-Glu127- $\beta$-strand and loop, that includes one of the residues involved in the well defined disulphide bridge Cys106-119 and the thiol of Cys121. Only small adjustments were observed.

Val128 - Asp129-Connection to $\alpha$-helix. It was to decided make the connection in a slightly different way from BlgY. Not much density.

Asp130-Ala142-This stretch forms the long $\alpha$-helix. There were no changes introduced except the addition of an extra turn to the end of the helix. This extra turn resulted from the inclusion of residues Leu140, Lys141 as part of the $\alpha$-helix.

Leu143 - His 146 - The loop is different in BlgZ from BlgY.

Ile147-Phe151 - This is the last $\beta$-strand, it establishes the interaction with the other molecule in the dimer. The residues involved in the interaction have changed due to the two residue thread change towards the C -terminus.

FIG. 3.21 Loop Trp61-Glu65 in the final model

Tubular representation of main-chain atoms of BlgZ final model (red) - Trp61 to Glu65 - and BlgY (yellow) - Ile56 to Glu 65.


Asn152 - His161 - It includes a new loop in BlgZ, with a helical turn between Pro153 and Leu156. Cys 160 forms the disulphide bridge Cys66-160 in both models.

The structures of $\mathrm{BlgY}, \mathrm{Rbp}$ and BlgZ model were run through the program PROFILE, which evaluates and scores each residue according to its environment (Lüthy, et al., 1992). The Rbp structure was analysed as a control of a refined lipocalin. The environments are evaluated in terms of the area of the residue that is buried, fraction of side-chain area covered by polar atoms and local secondary structure. The total score for the whole sequence is a function of the how consistent the sequence and fold are with each other, meaning that wrongly traced structures will score lower. The total score for BlgY was 27.14 , for BlgZ final model 55.37 and for Rbp 48.09. By averaging the scores of 21 sequential residues and attributing this value to the central residue of that stretch it is possible to detect wrong parts of the structure. This was done for BlgY, BlgZ and Rbp and the result is presented in FIG. 3.22. For correct structures this value is usually between 0.2 and 0.5 and never close to zero or negative, just as demonstrated in the Rbp plot. The plot of BlgY shows two marked
FIG. 3.22 Plots of the profiles of Rbp, BlgY and BlgZ
Plot of BlgY and BlgZ final model profiles averaged over a window of 21residues. Continuous line BlgY. Broken line BlgZ.

FIG. 3.22 Continuation
Plot of Rbp and BlgZ final model profiles averaged over a window of 21 residues. Continuous line Rbp . Broken line BlgZ.

stretches where the values are close to zero and even negative, from Ser 30 to Ile 71 plus the smaller stretch from Lys77 to Val81 and the stretch from Ala143 to the C-terminus. On the other hand, the BlgZ model presented values above 0.2 for all the sequence. These results mean that the three-dimensional profile of the BlgY model is not consistent with its sequence or in other words that BlgY has not been correctly built. Also interesting is the fact the parts where the larger differences between the two models, BlgY and BlgZ, are found are the stretches where BlgY scores badly. This observation gives more weight to the changes introduced and demonstrates the value of this program to detect problems with structural models.

The changes observed between the two models (BlgY and BlgZ) can not be attributed to conformational or crystal packing differences except maybe for the positioning of loops involving residues 86 to 89 and 109 to 117 . The other changes were most probably due to mis-interpretation of density for the BlgY model. The calculated profiles for BlgZ and BlgY confirmed that the trigonal model is a better structure and gave credit to the hope that the alterations will be confirmed by the full refinement of the BlgZ model and re-building of BlgY .

The final model for BlgZ can be described with the same cartoon representation used for BlgY by Papiz, et al., (1986), showing the residues that form the different secondary structure elements (FIG. 3.23). A thorough analysis of the new model and a full interpretation of biochemical data based on the many modifications has not yet been done at this stage since some details are likely to change during refinement.
3.7 Preliminary analysis of the "ascorbic acid" data

As already discussed in section 3.2.2, the co-crystal of Blg and ascorbic acid resulted in a data set with a high mean fractional isomorphous difference (26\%) from the native data. It was then argued, that despite this unexpected value it seemed that the structures in the two crystals were isomorphous. To verify what happened during cocrystallization, a difference map between the "ascorbic acid" and the native data was produced with the phases from the final BlgZ model.

The map shows positive density at a $3 \sigma$ level above the average only in the centre of the pocket and close to the Cys 121 . The density by the cysteine is round shaped indicating that during co-crystallization an heavy atom compound was present and reacted with the free-thiol. The presence of this peak explains the high mean fractional isomorphous difference.

The density observed in the centre of the pocket (FIG. 3.24) seems to belong to a long flat molecule, maybe a two or three ringed-structure with a smaller "detached" group positioned towards the opening of the pocket. Attempts to fit the ascorbic acid molecule to the density revealed that the density corresponds to a much larger molecule

FIG. 3.23 Cartoon of BlgY (Papiz, et al., 1986) and BIgZ secondary structure elements

Squares represent the $\beta$-strands, circles represent $\alpha$-helix. The numbering indicates the residues that constitute each secondary structure element. The definition of the elements in Blgz was done with DSSP.


FIG. 3.24 Density found inside the pocket of Blg crystallized in the presence of ascorbic acid

In green, tubular represenation of BlgZ final model main-chain atoms. In blue the density found inside the pocket from a difference map between the ascorbic and the native data sets.
Top: view of density in between strands.
Bottom: view into the pocket.

than vitamin C. It seems improbable, as well, that this polar molecule will bind into the pocket especially since it became much more apolar due to the alterations on the threading discussed above. It seems more probable that, since the particular batch of protein was used only for this experiment, an apolar solvent used during the purification of the protein remained bound to the protein or that a contaminant with affinity for Blg was present in the co-crystallization experiment.

Despite the difficulties in determining the true nature of the ligand, the structural role of the pocket in Blg has now been established without doubt. As discussed in section 1.2 , the fact that the protein binds small hydrophobic molecules has been long known but the location and environment of the binding site was never totally understood and contradictory results were leading to the idea that the pocket was not biologically functional in Blg. The finding of density has re-stated the importance of the pocket and the possibility of crystallographic analysis of the interactions between ligands and the protein.


#### Abstract

Addendum

The calculation of difference maps for the $p$-nitrophenol (pnp) and palmitic acid co-crystals revealed density inside the pocket. Surprisingly, that density presented the same shape and was positioned in the same region of the pocket as the density observed for the ascorbic acid co-crystallization. Changes in the resolution range did not result in any alteration of the common charateristics of the three difference maps. It was verified that the information was not present as a "ghost" in the phases used, since no comparable density could be observed in a difference map calculated for a weak derivative (mean fractional isomorphous difference of $13 \%$ ). It should be noted as well, that the mean fractional isomorphous difference for both the palmitic acid and pnp against the native was quite high, 26 and $30 \%$ respectively. It was concluded that changes have occurred either in the overall packing or in the protein conformation or both, which cannot be considered isomorphous. Therefore the basic principle for the use of the difference map is not valid and the density observed is a result of the overall alterations. For the full understanding of the modifications in the co-crystals, including the positioning of the ligand it will be necessary to refine the positioning of the whole molecule first and then refine the position of residues in the protein. For the moment it can only be concluded that modifications occur in the crystals upon co-crystallization and that the nature of molecular interactions of ligands to the binding pocket is still unknown.


### 3.8 Molecular replacement

In parallel to the structure solution by isomorphous replacement, molecular replacement was also undertaken to obtain approximate phases from BlgY (bovine betalactoglobulin - crystal form $\mathrm{B} 22_{1} 2$ ) and Mup (major urinary protein) models (see section 1.1). Mup was chosen since it was demonstrated to be one of the lipocalin family members most closely related to Blg (Ali, 1989; Adams, 1992). The model was kindly provided by A.C.T. North and had been refined to $\sim 27 \%$ at $2.4 \AA$ with XPLOR.

The molecular replacement strategy consisted of both an "automatic" and a "manual" approach. The "automatic" molecular replacement was carried out mainly with the package X-PLOR using the independently determined BlgY model and the Mup model and is described in sections 3.8.1 and 3.8.2. The "manual" procedure was devised because it was foreseen that some difficulties would be encountered when looking for a solution using a traditional molecular replacement procedure with nonfully refined models (Tickle, 1992; Dauter, 1992) of proteins mainly constituted by $\beta$ sheet (Blundell and Tickle, 1985). The "manual" approach involved the use of the derivative heavy atom constellations in each of BlgY and BlgZ crystal forms as a basis for the determination of the rotation and translation parameters and will be discussed in more detail in section 3.8.5.

### 3.8.1 X-PLOR rotation function

For a predominantly $\beta$-sheet protein, Blundell and Tickle, (1985) recommended that a complete data set to roughly $3 \AA$ be used. As the native data set were incomplete to this resolution, missing reflections were supplemented (see TABLE 3.9) from a data set of a very weak derivative (Riso $13 \%$ ), shown subsequently by difference Fouriers 1 to be genuinely isomorphous with the native except for the presence of an heavy atom
with low occupancy.
The procedure is a real space Patterson search (Huber, 1985). In other words the Patterson function of the model, placed in a cell with P1 symmetry, and including around $70-80 \%$ of the model (Blow, 1985), is rotated over the Patterson map calculated from the measured intensities. The rotation is performed over a particular range of Eulerian angles defined by the asymmerric unit of rotation space and a correlation function between the maps is calculated at intervals. The origin peak is removed from the maps and only a set number of the strongest features is used. The correlation function calculated is the product function of the two maps.

Most of the recommended default values presented in the X-PLOR manual were used. To summarize, the top 6000 peaks in the rotation map were selected, the threshold of the peak height was set to 0.0 , a $2.5^{\circ}$ grid interval was used for the search. Data with spacings in the range $8 \AA$ to $4 \AA$ were used, where the low resolution limit was chosen to

TABLE 3.9 Completeness of the native data and supplemented data sets

| Bins of resolution ( $\AA$ ) | native \% complete | supplemented \% complete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.4 | 95.4 | 96.0 |
| 5.0 | 98.5 | 99.1 |
| 4.3 | 97.8 | 98.5 |
| 3.8 | 96.0 | 97.8 |
| 3.5 | 95.2 | 96.5 |
| 3.2 | 90.4 | 93.9 |
| 3.0 | 83.0 | 87.1 |

remove the solvent and associated salt features from the Patterson maps (Blow, 1985), while the high resolution limit was the maximum (see above) allowed by the restrictive computer memory available.

The maps were restricted to a maximum distance of $18 \AA$ from the origin, a value considered enough to include most of the intra-molecular vectorsbut exclude most inter molecular vectors and a minimum distance of $5 \AA$ chosen for the prupose of origin removal. The Latman angle range for the search was established (Rao et al., 1980) to be $0^{\circ}$ to $120^{\circ}$ for $\Theta$-, $0^{\circ}$ to $90.0^{\circ}$ for $\Theta 2$ and $0^{\circ}$ to $720^{\circ}$ for $\Theta+$.

Prior to the use of Mup as a search model, its coordinates were aligned with those of the BlgY model using the LSQ facility of the program O (Jones, et al., 1991). This step was intended to facilitate the recognition of real solutions by comparing the
results from the two models.
The results for the rotation functions of both search models, BlgY and Mup were never clear since the top positions were not obviously separated from other solutions. The ten top positions for the two search models are presented in TABLE 3.10. It is apparent that the solutions are in the same area of space and it seems reasonable to assume that the real solution will be among the top 100 .

## TABLE 3.10 Top rotation solutions for Mup and BIgY models

| BlgY angles | Rotation correl. <br> (Sigma $=0.312)$ | Mup angles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Rotation correl. |
| :---: |
| (Sigma=0.301) |

The rotation was immediately followed by PC-refinement (Patterson correlation refinement) on all the peaks produced in the previous step. This procedure correlates the observed normalized structure factors and the normalized structure factors calculated from the rotated model. The latter are a function of the overall orientation parameters and of the translation and rotation parameters for selected rigid bodies. Thus the procedure allows for the filtering of a subset of orientations and it actually improves both the overall orientation parameters and packing relationships of different parts of the molecule. The range of resolution used was extended to $10-3.2 \AA$ to try to use all the available information in determining the orientation. Because of the structural characteristics of the members of the lipocalin family as compact and single-domain proteins, no fragmentation of the molecules was
conceivable and so the refinement was applied only to the overall rotational parameters. The results for the two search models are shown in FIG. 3.25.

FIG. 3.25 PC-refinement solutions for BIgY and Mup

Plot of the Patterson correlation value for BlgY and Mup after refinement of the rotational parameters. The correlation values were plotted according to the ranking of the rotation function solutions.



For BlgY, two solutions emerge having the same correlation factor of 0.0856 :
a) $\Theta 1=312.1^{\circ}, \Theta 2=82.0^{\circ}, \Theta 3=268.1^{\circ}$
b) $\Theta 1=132.0^{\circ}, \Theta 2=98.0^{\circ}, \Theta 3=31.8^{\circ}$

The two solutions are in fact related by $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the $y$ axis of the trigonal space group, as determined by the ROTMAN facility in X-PLOR. This means that they are crystallographically related though the selection of the rotation asymmetric unit and the cluster analysis should have removed equivalent orientations. It is possible that after the rotation they presented angular values that were not related under the imposed threshold criteria and it was the PC-refinement that induced the convergence.

The PC-refinement has a wide radius of convergence because the top two rotation solutions are quite different (at least $10^{\circ}$ difference in $\Theta 3$ ) and they converge into the same final solution after refinement.

In the case of the Mup model, one of the top solutions was found at $\Theta 1=$ $313.7, \Theta 2=80.0, \Theta 3=272.2$, with the same program settings used for Blg . It is interesting to note the lack of the second solution, although there was another position emerging at $\Theta 1=128.2, \Theta 2=87.7, \Theta 3=40.1$, but with a much lower score for the correlation factor ( 0.040 compared to 0.053 for the top solution). Note as well that the scores for Mup are understandably lower than those obtained for BlgY since the sequence identity is reduced.

### 3.8.2 Translation solution

The translation solution for BlgY solved in two stages. Initially a rough solution was obtained using the parallelized version (Adams, 1992) of the program BRUTE (Fujinaga and Read, 1987) and then it was repeated in X-PLOR but in a reduced volume around the BRUTE top position.

The BRUTE search was done in space group $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ with a $0.5 \AA$ grid spacing over half of the unit cell (the translation along $\mathbf{z}$ varied between 0 and $1 / 2$ ) and resolution range $8-4 \AA$. It was found that the grid was of utmost importance since the use of $1 \AA$ grid resulted in failure to detect the correct solution. The top positions shown in TABLE 3.11, were produced for the search with the orientation solution a). The resulting top position was put into X-PLOR with a resolution range $15-4 \AA$, a grid of $0.5 \AA$ and the correlation of the square of the normalized structure factors was computed between a fractional grid of 0.65 to 1.0 for $\mathbf{x}, 0.0$ to 0.1 for $\mathbf{y}$ and 0.10 to 0.25 for $\mathbf{z}$ for orientation a) and 0.0 to 0.15 for $\mathbf{x}, 0.0$ to 0.15 for $\mathbf{y}$ an -0.10 to 0.10 for $\mathbf{z}$ in the case of orientation b). The results for the two orientation solutions are

## TABLE 3.11 Top BRUTE translation positions for BlgY, solution

 a)The grid on the sections is orthogonalized with a parallel to translation x and c parallel to translation $\mathrm{z} . \mathrm{z}$ was calculated in 200 sections and x and y in 100 sections.
The starting position was $-1,-1,-1$.

| Position (Maximum of section) | Translation correlation (Sigma=0.0613) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 100, 2, 31 | 0.4593 |
| 92, 97, 27 | 0.4350 |
| 85, 96, 70 | 0.4238 |
| 59, 30, 98 | 0.4216 |
| 27, 64, 56 | 0.4147 |
| 51, 29, 41 | 0.4090 |
| 17, 51, 8 | 0.4087 |
| 17, 62, 21 | 0.4054 |
| 93, 98, 40 | 0.4020 |
| 53, 20, 37 | 0.4020 |

shown in TABLE 3.12. It is evident that the top solutions are very close to each other and differ only by fractions of an Ångstrom in $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, while $\mathbf{z}$ is constant. This can be explained by the way the molecule is oriented in the cell, the $\mathbf{c}$ axis collinear with a fictitious molecular axis that goes through the pocket, such that slight adjustments in $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ compensate for the "breathing" of the $\beta$-strands.

The packing values, which correspond to the solvent content in the unit cell, were very sensitive to the validity of the solution since only the correct one gave values close to $42 \%$, which is consistent with the calculations of Matthews' Vm (Matthews, 1968). The residual was also diagnostic, although not as evident, only dropping below $55 \%$ for the true solution.

The Mup rotation solution was submitted to an X-PLOR translation search consisting of four scans, each of $1 / 8$ of the asymmetric unit (used because of demand on computer time and memory) on a $0.5 \AA$ grid. The translation search produced the solutions shown in TABLE 3.13. The chosen solution is the first solution in the top table. It is not clear that the true solution is present because both the packing ( $39 \%$ ) and the residual $(54.8 \%)$ are not as good as for Blg. The packing function is clearly higher for the chosen solution but the correlation function is worse, an explanation could be that the packing function values are relative to the unit cell volume while the correlation function is probably scaled internally and so cannot be compared between different scans. However, by applying the rotation and translation parameters found for Mup to

## TABLE 3.12 X-PLOR translation function solutions for BlgY orientations

The results are for the two orientations defined for $\mathrm{Blg} \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{T}$ is the translation correlation function value and P the packing function, which is equivalent to the solvent content of the unit cell. The positions are defined in Ångstroms as orthogonal coordinates, with a parallel to x translation and c parallel to z translation.

Orientation solution a)

| Position | $\mathrm{T}^{\text {a }}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53.037, 0.425, 16.905 | 0.3929 | 0.4141 |
| $52.798,0.425,16.905$ | 0.3917 | 0.4132 |
| $52.920,0.213,16.905$ | 0.3915 | 0.4134 |
| 52.681, $0.213,16.905$ | 0.3877 | 0.4130 |
| 53.160, $0.213,16.905$ | 0.3876 | 0.4130 |
| 52.914, $0.638,16.905$ | 0.3858 | 0.4145 |
| 53.276, $0.425,16.905$ | 0.3836 | 0.4145 |
| 53.153, $0.638,16.905$ | 0.3820 | 0.4146 |
| 52.558, $0.425,16.905$ | 0.3816 | 0.4124 |
| $52.804,0.000,16.905$ | 0.3795 | 0.4135 |

a- Sigma of translation function $=0.050$

Orientation solution b)

| Position | $\mathrm{T}^{\text {a }}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.123, 1.063, 1.753 | 0.3947 | 0.4132 |
| $0.245,1.275,1.753$ | 0.3944 | 0.4134 |
| $0.368,1.063,1.753$ | 0.3915 | 0.4130 |
| $0.000,1.275,1.753$ | 0.3900 | 0.4140 |
| $0.491,1.275,1.753$ | 0.3892 | 0.4115 |
| $0.245,0.850,1.753$ | 0.3867 | 0.4131 |
| $0.368,1.488,1.753$ | 0.3855 | 0.4138 |
| -0.123, 1.063, 1.753 | 0.3854 | 0.4132 |
| $0.000,0.850,1.753$ | 0.3845 | 0.4133 |
| $0.123,1.488,1.753$ | 0.3830 | 0.4112 |

[^1]
## TABLE 3.13 X-PLOR translation solutions for Mup

The two tables show the five top solutions of two $1 / 8$ of the unit cell scans (see text for more details). The real solution is present in the top table. T is the translation correlation function value and P the packing function, which is equivalent to the solvent content of the unit cell. The positions are defined as Ångstroms of orthogonal coordinates, with a parallel to $x$ translation and $c$ parallel to z translation.

## Search $x=0.75-1.0, y=0.0-1.0, z=0.0-0.5$



Sigma of translation function $=0.049$

Search $x=0.50-0.75, y=0.0-1.0, z=0.0-0.5$

| Position | $\mathrm{T}^{\text {a }}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26.073, 14.549, 12.075 | 0.3444 | 0.2829 |
| 26.314, 14.549, 12.075 | 0.3436 | 0.2825 |
| 26.193, 14.341, 12.075 | 0.3435 | 0.2823 |
| 25.952, 14.341, 12.075 | 0.3423 | 0.2823 |
| 26.194, 14.757, 12.075 | 0.3404 | 0.2835 |

a- Sigma of translation function $=0.048$

BlgY it was possible to verify that the "bad" residual and packing values are only caused by the low identity between the two proteins, indicating therefore that the molecular replacement has been solved for Mup too.

### 3.8.3 Rigid body refinement

The X-PLOR rigid body refinement routine was used with the entire molecule refined as a single rigid body.

Refinement of the positional and orientation parameters of the top translation
solution from BlgY rotation solution b) resulted in a drop of the residual from $51.9 \%$ to $50 \%$ with 30 cycles at $15-6 \AA$ and then down to $49.2 \%$ with 50 cycles at $15-3.2 \AA$. The $2 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {obs }}-\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ Fourier synthesis created from this model presents very reasonable density covering the whole protein. The same happened for solution a).

For Mup, rigid body refinement reduced the residual from $54.8 \%$ to $53.5 \%$ after 50 cycles at 15-3.2 $\AA$.

### 3.8.4 Distinction between the space group enantiomorphs

The space group choice between $\mathrm{P} 3_{2} 21$ and $\mathrm{P} 3_{1} 21$ can be done at the stage of the translation function. When using a real space translation function the search vector is applied to the model and to its symmetry equivalents, thus the correlation depends on the position of all the molecules in the unit cell. It is then possible to distinguish a left from a right handed arrangement of the molecules since the correct arrangement will produce a higher correlation and a lower residual.

This was verified by doing the search in BRUTE with BlgY. The orientation matrix for solution a) was used and the search was done in each enantiomorphic space group. For $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ the top solution had a correlation value of 0.4593 and the residual was calculated to be $50.4 \%$, while for $\mathrm{P}_{1} 21$ the top solution had a correlation value of 0.4481 and a residual of $53.1 \%$. The choice is not as clear as in the case described by Baldwin, et al., (1991) where the results with CORELS were 0.64 for the correlation factor, $44 \%$ for the residual in $\mathrm{P}_{1} 21$, while for the other enantiomorph the correlation was 0.428 and the residual $48.9 \%$. However the choice of the enantiomorph $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ as the correct enantiomorph based on the translation function is consistent with the isomorphous replacement results (see section 3.3.3).

### 3.8.5 "Manual" procedure

As previously discussed the manual approach was devised to surpass difficulties anticipated with a more traditional use of the molecular replacement technique.

The low resolution work described by Green, et al., (1979) presents the idea that Blg is dimeric in both the trigonal and orthorhombic space groups. This idea was supported by the fact that the arrangement of the common heavy atoms in derivative crystals is identical along the crystallographic dyads, as shown in FIG. 3.26, and is consistent with the known dimeric form of the protein at neutral pH .

The "manual "approach involved the use of the coordinates of the three heavy atoms common to BlgY (orthorhombic) and BlgZ (trigonal) derivatives to determine the rotation matrix and the translation parameters. The assumption was made that the heavy atoms were bound to the same sites in both crystal forms, an assumption supported by

## FIG. 3.26 Arrangement of BlgY and BlgZ heavy atoms around the crystallographic dyad (adapted from Green, et al., 1979)

The figure represents a projection down the molecular dyad of the positions of the heavy atom compounds MMA (circle), $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}^{-2}$ (square) and $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}$ (triangle) in Blg crystal lattices Z (open), Y (open with black dot) and X (filled). The dyad is represented by the $\boldsymbol{}$.

their common arrangement around their repective dyad axes, as already described above, and by the similar reaction conditions (both pH and salt concentrations are very similar for the growth of these two crystal forms).

The mathematical procedure required the following steps:

1) Definition of a common reference system. This was achieved by aligning the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ axes of both space groups and defining them as the x and z directions while the y direction was defined by a right hand orthogonal system. The base vectors of the coordinate system had the modulus of $1 \AA$.
2) Definition of the heavy atom positions or symmerry equivalents that correspond to one and the same protein molecule in each crystal form and determination of their positions relative to the new reference system. The positions of the heavy atoms of MMA, $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{-2}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}$ were described for BlgY by Papiz, (1982), and by inspecting a plot with all the equivalent positions, three were chosen that appeared to have a reasonable arrangement around the 2 -fold axis (the c axis in this case). The same was done in BlgZ for positions arranged around the crystallographic dyad at $\mathrm{z}=0$. In this crystal form the positions of MMA and $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}$ had been determined at a resolution of $3.5 \AA$, as described in section 3.3 .4 , while the position of $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{-2}$ was obtained from Green, et al., (1979). Thus the vectors determined were:

| for $\mathbf{M M A}$ | $\mathbf{M Y}=13.4,2.6,21.2$ | $\mathbf{M Z}=6.1,24.2,10.5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| for $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathbf{P Y}=7.0,-10.9,17.6$ | $\mathbf{P Z}=-0.9,22.7,-2.1$ |
| for $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{I Y}=4.1,-2.5,19.4$ | $\mathbf{I Z}=6.6,21.0,0.223$ |

where $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ define the BlgY and BlgZ crystal forms respectively.
3) Calculation of the normalized vectors for each heavy atom. It is the direction of these vectors that matters for the the orientation matrix, while their modulus is a function of the translation. The values calculated were the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{m Y}=0.53,0.10,0.84 ; \mathbf{p} \mathbf{Y}=0.32,-0.50,0.80 ; \mathbf{i} \mathbf{Y}=0.20,-0.12,0.97 \\
& \mathbf{m Z}=0.22,0.89,0.39 ; \mathbf{p Z}=-0.039,1.00,-0.092 ; \mathbf{i} \mathbf{Z}=0.30,0.95,0.010
\end{aligned}
$$

4) Solution of the $[Y] R=[Z]$ equation, where $[Y]=[m Y, i Y, p Y]^{T}$ and $[Z]=[m Z, i Z$, $\mathrm{pZ}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ are the matrices composed of the vectors defined in 3) which define the orientation of the molecule in each crystal form, while R is the rotation matrix that transforms one onto the other. The solution requires the calculation of $[\mathrm{Y}]^{-1}$ and the final result for the rotation matrix R is:

$R=$| $\mid-0.436$ | 0.406 | $0.751 \mid$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mid 0.562$ | -0.362 | 0.539 |
| $\mid 0.473$ | 0.850 | -0.079 |

5) The differences between the rotated MY, IY, PY vectors and MZ, IZ, PZ define the translation vectors. In fact it was decided to use the center of gravity of the rotated three positions in Y. This resulted in $\operatorname{cog}$ (rotated) $=3.6,21.1,2.7$ and the distance to the center of gravity of the Z crystal form is
$\Delta \boldsymbol{c o g}=0.3,1.5,0.2$. As the necessary translation was very small, the translation vector used was ( $0.0,1.5,0.0$ ).
6) the rotation was applied to the BlgY model using X-PLOR (in fact X-PLOR required the transpose of the matrix shown in 4)) and then the result was translated. Finally 60 steps of rigid body refinement at $15-6 \AA$ dropped the residual from $58 \%$ to $50.6 \%$ (the residual was $52.3 \%$ when the range was $15-4 \AA$ ).

The resulting 2Fobs-Fcalc map was not satisfactory since the main chain density was frequently interrupted. However, the position of the mercury atom in relation to the free cysteine, Cys121, was quite acceptable which indicates that the molecule is approximately in the correct position and that the procedure for the positioning is self-consistent.

The orientated molecule was entered into a simulated annealing procedure to relieve any twists or alterations in the $\beta$-sheet structure from BlgY to BlgZ . The procedure was run in X-PLOR with the settings presented in the manual. The final residual value was $30 \%$ and the 2 Fobs-Fcalc map was perfectly acceptable. The changes between the model before and after the simulated annealing can be described as "breathing" movements of all the $\beta$-strands. It was clear that no drastic movement of the model had occurred.

As a way of checking the reliability of the model after simulated annealing, the "manually" positioned molecule was randomly rotated over itself and put through a simulated annealing procedure. The center of gravity was determined with the use of the program COGCAL, (personal communication by Dr. P. Taylor), and the molecule was rotated around that point by $40^{\circ}$ around $\mathbf{z}$ and $20^{\circ}$ around the new $\mathbf{x}$. After the procedure the residual was again $30 \%$, but the empirical energy values were much higher and the molecule was visibly deformed. Furthermore the 2Fobs-Fcalc map was poor, showing, for example, no density for the disulphide bridge 106-119. This test lent confidence to the "manual" solution and the model produced from it.

### 3.8.6 Discussion

The work done with the molecular replacement techniques, although not used in the calculation of density, provided confirmation of the space group enantiomorph and of the suspicions that severe problems existed in the structure presented by Monaco, et al., (1987).

The former work at medium resolution in the trigonal space group (Monaco, et al.,1987) concluded that the Blg molecule was not present as a dimer since the interactions among molecules related by 2 -fold axes were found to be very distant and
no special interactions were observed between pairs of molecules. Some short contacts were described, "...the contacts are so tight that interpretation of the electron density map is not straightforward." (Monaco, et al., 1987) and it was concluded that the protein was in some state of polymeric aggregation.

The molecular replacement solution obtained during this study shows a clear association between two monomers and that this association is related by a crystallographic dyad. It also shows that the dimer interactions appear to be generally the same in BlgY and BlgZ , being established by the $\beta$-strand closest to the C -terminus. In fact the overall packing of the molecules is entirely different from that described by Monaco, et al., (1987), and no evidence for any kind of polymeric aggregation other than the described dimer formation, is observed. A comparison of the positioning in the unit cell of the present structure solution with that of Monaco, et al., (1987) is illustrated in FIG. 3.27.

The comparison of the "manual" and automatic solutions reveals the power of the molecular replacement algorithms in X-PLOR, especially in their capacity to refine the orientation matrix. Despite the use of information from the heavy atoms and rigid body refinement, the "manual" solution only produced an acceptable map after simulated annealing. This can be explained by the small misorientation of the "manual" solution observed when compared with the automatic one. The "manually" produced solution is located in the same position as the "automatic" solution, however a slight but obvious difference in orientation is apparent between the two final models. It would be expected that rigid body refinement could ease this misorientation; instead there was a requirement for application of shifts to the each $\beta$-strand during the simulated annealing procedure to bring the resulting model closer to the automatic solution. Based on considerations of map quality the "automatic" solution was chosen as the better representation.

The translation function permitted the confirmation of $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ as the correct space group, since both the residual and the correlation values of the top solution are favourable to the search performed with this enantiomorph.

Finally, both the Blg and Mup models produced solutions for the molecular replacement procedure. The solution obtained with Mup is not as clear as the ones for Blg but it was still possible to recognize the correct from the wrong orientation and position parameters. It will be interesting to see if the results for molecular replacement are more obvious when the refined models are used. It must be emphasized that both the BlgY and Mup molecular replacement solutions were used as helplines in the building of the model of BlgZ, as discussed in section 3.5.

FIG. 3.27 Position of molecules in two studies of BlgZ crystal form

View of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ unit cell section closest to the origin. The unit cell is represented by red lines with the origin and both the $x$ and $y$ axes marked. The diagonal between the origin and the far corner on the background is the crystallographic dyad. In yellow, a tubular representation of BlgZ new model and in blue, the tubular representation of BlgZ from Monaco, et al., (1987).


Chapter 4 Experiments involving apo D

## 4. Experiments involving apo D

The following sections will describe the various experiments done with apo $D$ in order to gain insight into the biochemistry of this protein. It is important to re-state that most of the experiments are only preliminary, nothing more than "opening doors" into possibly relevant aspects of apo D . The different purification methods will be commented upon, followed by the structural and biological characterizations performed.

### 4.1 Purification of apo D from plasma

At the onset of this work the source of apo $D$ was the plasma. The method of purification had been established since the detection and initial characterization of the protein (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1973). It was only necessary to scaleup the method (see section 2.1 and following) to purify larger amounts of protein for crystallization trials and general structural characterization.

A typical chromatography trace is shown on FIG. 4.1. Peak A was eluted with

## FIG. 4.1 Chromatography trace from hydroxylapatite column

Peak A eluted with $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ (the loading buffer), peak B was eluted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$.

the loading buffer ( $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ ), peak B was eluted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$, pH 8.0. The fractions of peak A and B were run in the SDS-PAGE shown on FIG. 4.2, peak A fractions contain two broad bands, while peak B fractions contain apo AI and all the other components of the HDL (see section 1.3.4.1). Apo D has been identified as tne major broad band with a molecular weight at 30 kDa in peak A . The identification was confirmed (data not shown) by cross-reaction with antibodies against apo D, kindly provided by Dr. Kostner from Graz, Austria, and by confirming that the sequence (performed by Dr.C. Lopez-Otín and Mr.L.-M. Sanchez in Oviedo, Spain) of the first five residues was identical to the sequence reported in the literature (Drayna, et al.,1986). The band that migrates at 67 kDa was tentatively identified as one of the following: albumin, a contaminant from the plasma, LCAT (lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (see section 1.3.1), a contaminant from the HDL, or a dimer of apo D. The last possibility was shown to be the most probable by a series of facts:
a) no-cross reaction with antibodies raised against albumin (data not shown)
b) cross-reaction of the band with antibodies raised against protein electroeluted from the major band (see below)
c) observation of the same extra high molecular weight, in gels of the protein purified from gross-cystic-disease fluid. This higher molecular weight band crossreacted with antibodies raised against the plasma protein.

The yield of the procedure was $10-20 \%$, since one liter of plasma, containing $60-100 \mathrm{mg}$ of apo D (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1986), produced $10-20 \mathrm{mg}$ of pure apo D.

### 4.2 Characterization of the antibodies raised against apo D

Polyclonal antibodies were produced according to the method described in section 2.7. The protein was further purified by SDS-PAGE and electroelution because it was thought at the time that the minor band at $\sim 67 \mathrm{kDa}$ was a contaminant. The electroelution of apo D from the gel bands was a long and difficult process where a lot of protein was lost, caused by the excessive density of the gels.

The production of antibodies was followed by Western blotting and crossreaction was observed at the first bleeding (data not shown). In FIG. 4.3, the result of a Western blot of HDL proteins and purified apo $D$ is shown. The biot was incubated with immune and pre-immune serum. The SDS-PAGE (FIG. 4.3) of the HDL sample shows the numerous proteins that compose that lipoprotein particle. The immune serum recognized a band corresponding to apo D , a band corresponding to the dimer of apo D and a higher aggregate on the boundary between the stacking and separation gels, in both the HDL and purified protein. The pre-immune serum did not show any crossreaction against the same samples.

FIG. 4.2 SDS-PAGE of fractions from hydroxylapatite column
12.5\% SDS-PAGE. Fractions of peak A were run in lanes 2 to 6 , fractions from peak B were run in lanes 10 to 12 . Molecular weight markers were run in lane 13 and the loaded sample is present in lane 1. All other lanes were loaded with fractions between peak A and B. M.W. markers- $94,67,43,30,20,14 \mathrm{kDa}$

4.3 Apo D purification from gross-cystic disease fluid (GCDF)

The sequencing of the major protein (GCDF-24) from gross-cystic-disease fluid (GCDF) led to its identification as apo D (Balbín, et al., 1990). Further, the fluid proved to be an excellent source of apo $D$ since concentrations in the range of $10-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ can be obtained.

The first attempt to isolate apo D from GCDF was done with the assistance of the workers in the laboratory of Dr.C. López-Otín in Oviedo, Spain, using the method described by Balbín, et al., (1990) (section 2.3). Unfortunately, the preparative gel

FIG. 4.3 Western blot with antibodies raised against plasma apoD

Left: western blot of purified plasma apo D and HDL , incubated with preimmune serum. Lane 1-apo D purified from plasma, lane 2 - HDL.
Middle: western blot of purified plasma apo $D$ and HDL, incubated with immune serum. Lane 1 - apo D purified from plasma, lane 2 - HDL. Right: SDS-PAGE of purified HDL after delipidation. Lanes 2 and 3 - HDL samples, 2 and 1 ul respectively. Lanes 1 and 4 -molecular weight markers. $94,67,43,30,20,14 \mathrm{kDa}$

filtration column used was old, not permitting good resolution of the peaks. Therefore the resultant apo D samples were heavily contaminated. Back in Edinburgh, the method previously used for the purification of apo D from plasma was slightly modified (section 2.2.2), and applied to the partially purified material. This resulted in an apparent total isolation of apo $D$ from the other proteic components of cyst fluid. From this point onwards, apo $D$ was routinely purified from GCDF by the single step hydroxylapatite column method.

The protein from GCDF migrates in SDS-PAGE at a lower molecular weight than the plasma protein (FIG. 4.4), which can be possibly explained by differences in glycosylation. The antibodies raised against plasma apo D cross-react against the GCDF apo D and its high molecular weight complex (data not shown).

Routinely, $10-12 \mathrm{mg}$ of pure apo D were purified from 1-2 ml of GCDF.

### 4.4 Analysis of the cysteine residues

The five cysteines present in the GCDF apo D were characterized into three possible chemical states: free-thiol, disulphide bridge, other unknown oxidized state.

Ellman's reagent produces a chromophore allowing for the colorimetric determination of the number of free-thiols present in solution (see section 2.13.1). One

## FIG. 4.4 SDS-PAGE of apo D from plasma and GCDF

12.5\% SDS-PAGE. Lanes 1 - apo D from plasma, lane 2 - apo $D$ from GCDF.
mole of chromophore is produced from the reaction with one mole of free-thiol.
The results with and without guanidinium chloride are presented in TABLE 4.1. Papain and Blg were used as controls; papain is known to have one free-thiol in the active site and one disulphide bond while Blg has one free-thiol and two disulphide bonds. It was shown that the free-thiol of Blg was not accessible to the reagent in the native conformation, a result consistent with the X-ray crystal structure that shows the Cys121 semi-buried under the $\alpha$-helix (Papiz, et al., 1986). On the other hand the cysteine in the active-site of papain was accessible to the reagent. Apo $D$ behaves the same way as Blg , since no reaction was detected.

Under denaturing conditions papain still only showed one free-thiol accessible, while in Blg the free-thiol was now accessible to the reagent. Denatured apo D, however, did not seem to have any free-thiol, as no production of chromophore was detected. An increase in incubation time with denaturant did not alter the results.

An attempt to reduce the cysteines by incubating the proteins with DTT followed by extensive dialysis, before the colorimetric reaction, was not successful because the results were very dependent on the need for total removal of the reducing agent and the longer the dialysis time the greater the probability of the re-oxidation of the cysteines.

The number of disulphide bridges present in the proteins was determined by the method described by Thannhauser, et al., (1984; 1987) (see section 2.13.2). By chemical modification of Ellman's reagent, 2-nitro-5-thiosulphobenzoate (NTSB) was obtained which when upon reaction with one mole of disulphide or one mole of free-

## TABLE 4.1 Results of cysteine studies with Ellman's reagent

DTNB native conditions

|  | moles of protein | moles of chromophore | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Papain | 2 nmol | 2.2 nmol | 1.1 |
|  | 4 nmol | 4.4 nmol | 1.1 |
|  | 8 nmol | 8.8 nmol | 1.1 |
| Apo D | 4 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
|  | 8 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
|  | 10 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
| Blg | 4 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
|  | 8 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
|  | 8 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |

DTNB denaturing conditions

|  | moles of protein | moles of chromophore | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Papain | 4 nmol | 5.2 nmol | 1.3 |
|  | 8 nmol | 9.6 nmol | 1.2 |
| Apo D | 4 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
|  | 8 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
|  | 10 nmol | 0 nmol | 0 |
| Blg | 10 nmol | 9.6 nmol | 0.96 |
|  | 10 nmol | 9.6 nmol | 0.96 |

ratio- number of moles of chromophore per mole of protein
thiol produces one mole of chromophore. The results are presented in TABLE 4.2. The two moles of chromophore produced for papain under denaturing conditions are due to the presence of one free-thiol, as demonstrated with Ellman's reagent, and one disulphide bridge. Apo D under denaturing conditions, presented two reactive groups, possibly corresponding to the two disulphide bonds. For Blg, under denaturing conditions, it was expected that three moles of chromophore would be produced per mole of protein, however an average of 2.5 reactive groups were determined. A possible explanation is indicated in the literature (Thannhauser, et al., 1984; 1987), where the reactions that give rise to the chromophore are described as reversible at pH 9.5 . Thus if the denaturant was not totally effective with Blg, the local concentration of

## TABLE 4.2 Results of cysteine studies with NTSB

NTSB native conditions

|  | moles of protein | moles of chromophore | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blg | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{nmol} \\ & 6 \mathrm{nmol} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \mathrm{nmol} \\ & 6.1 \mathrm{nmol} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Apo D | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{nmol} \\ & 6 \mathrm{nmol} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \mathrm{nmol} \\ & 3.2 \mathrm{nmol} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & 0.53 \end{aligned}$ |

NTSB denaturing conditions

|  | moles of protein | moles of chromophore | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Papain | 6 nmol | 12.0 nmol | 2 |
| Apo D | 4 nmol | 7.3 nmol | 1.8 |
|  | 6 nmol | 12.3 nmol | 2.1 |
|  | 10 nmol | 21.0 nmol | 2.1 |
| Blg | 4 nmol | 9.4 nmol | 2.4 |
|  | 6 nmol | 14.7 nmol | 2.4 |
|  | 12 nmol | 30.1 nmol | 2.6 |
|  | 10 nmol | 26.0 nmol | 2.6 |

ratio- number of moles of chromophore per mole of protein
the cysteines that form one of the disulphides may have been high enough for the reactions not being totally drawn into the chromophore production. This averaged effect would result in the determination of half a mole of chromophore per mole of protein. Then the value 2.5 may include the free-thiol, detected above, one of the disulphide bonds and "half" of another disulphide bond.

In non-denaturing conditions, Blg produced one chromophore per mole of protein. The chromophore was being produced from the reaction with one of the disulphide bridges and not from the free-thiol, because the latter was not detected with Ellman's reagent. This is acceptable from the point of view of the three-dimensional structure since one of the disulphide bonds (Cys66-160) is exposed to the solvent. This conclusion when associated with the half chromophore detected under denaturing conditions leads to the argument that the "difficult" disulphide bridge (the one that is not totally detected) is the Cys106-119. This bond is situated between adjacent $\beta$-strands and so its stability is reinforced by the extensive hydrogen bonding characteristic of this
secondary structure element. For apo D under non-denaturing conditions, only half of a chromophore was detected. The existing three-dimensional model, based on the X-ray structure of insecticyanin (Ins) (Peitsch and Boguski, 1990), shows that the arrangement of the disulphide bonds is different from Blg. In apo D the disulphides are supposed to form between residues in the C - and N - terminae and residues situated in the middle of the sequence (Cys8-114 and Cys41-165). Both of these disulphide bridges are exposed to the solvent in the model. The result above, however, seems to indicate that one of the disulphides is more exposed than the other, even if not fully reactive.

The simple conclusion from these experiments is that the apo $D$ has one cysteine not involved in disulphide bond and that under the conditions of purification used is not present as a free-thiol. Thus, in the crystallization of apo D from GCDF it would be advisable to include reducing agents during the purification and crystallization procedure so that this reactive group can be used for specific heavy-atom binding. It may happen of course, that the cysteine is oxidized in vivo, by being involved in thioester, thio-ether connections or simply is present as cysteic acid. Balbín, et al., (1990) have sequenced apo D from GCDF and all cysteines were detected as cysteic acids; no mention is made of any unusual behaviour of the cysteines. Thus the possibility of the fifth cysteine sulfur being involved in covalent bonds with other molecules is very low. Just as a note, $67 \%$ of apo D in the plasma seems to be involved in inter-protein disulphide bonds with other apolipoproteins (Blanco-Vaca, et al., 1990; 1992). In that work, none of the heterodimers was purified by hydroxylapatite column, which may explain why no such complexes were observed for apo D from GCDF during this work.

### 4.5 Protein / lipid interactions

The interations of apo D with lipid vesicles have been previously observed in the formation of the lipoprotein particle, Lp-D, by disruption of HDL (McConathy and Alaupovic, 1973) and in the association of apo D to lipid vesicles described by Steyrer and Kostner, (1988).

Lipid vesicles, with DPPC and cholesterol, with or without apo D were prepared as described in section 2.14. Gel filtration trace of the vesicles (FIG. 4.5) prepared in the presence of apo D , is quite different from the one obtained from a sample with only apo D. In the sample with lipid vesicles plus protein, apo D emerged earlier than in the runs of protein only, as concluded from running the fractions from the peaks in SDS-PAGE. This seems to indicate that the protein was associated to the lipid vesicles.

The procedure used for the preparation of the vesicles is ideal to obtain small homogeneous structures mainly because of the sonication step. However, it could be

FIG. 4.5 Gel filtration traces of the lipid/apo D interaction work
Top: trace sample containing of vesicles prepared with apo D Bottom: trace of sample containing apo D only
For experimental conditions see sections 2.14 and 2.12


considered that the formation of protein-lipid complexes was only caused by the denaturation of the protein during sonication, as the denatured protein would expose hydrophobic residues that would mediate the interaction with the lipids. To check that the association did not depend on denaturation, two different experiments were done:the protein was extracted from solution by triton X-114 as described in section 2.15 , and the secondary structural elements were determined by circular dichroism (section 2.10).

Triton X-114 has a phase change at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; below $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the detergent forms clear micellar solutions but above $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ two phases separate, a very dense, detergent-rich phase and a detergent-poor phase (Sánchez-Ferrer, et al., 1990). Any protein that associates strongly to the lipids will be extracted from the aqueous phase above $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this case, apo D and Blg were added separately to the mixture at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the temperature was raised to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Blg remained in the detergent-poor phase while apo D was extracted and was found in the detergent-rich phase (FIG. 4.6). This indicates that apo D characteristically associates with the detergent or hydrophobic phase.

## FIG. 4.6 SDS-PAGE of extraction of apo D by triton X-11 4

Lane 1 and 2 - supernatant of apo D experiment before incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 15 and $5 \mu$. Lane 3 and 4 - supernatant of apo $D$ experiment after incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ and $5 \mu$. Lanes 5 and 6 - loaded with the pellet formed after incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of experiment with apo D. Lanes 6 and 7 - supernatant of Blg experiment before incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Lanes 8 and 9 supernatant of Blg experiment after incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ and $5 \mu$. For all cases the 5 and $15 \mu$ l aliquots were removed from samples at a protein concentration of 100 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively.


The analysis of apo D secondary structure when associated to lipids or free in solution was done with circular dichroism as described in section 2.10. The spectra of the protein without lipids, associated to DPPC and cholesterol vesicles and in the presence of $4 \%$ ethanol are presented in FIG. 4.7. The spectrum of lipid vesicles without protein showed negligible signal (data not shown) and no correction was applied to the protein-lipid complexes. By comparing the spectra it is visible that they are very similar over all the range. By analysing the spectra with the CONTIN algorithm (Provencher and Glöckner, 1981) the values for the secondary structure content were produced and the changes between native and the other two sets of conditions are presented on TABLE 4.3. The analysis at the 190 to 260 nm range provides, generally, only reliable secondary structure information on the amount of $\alpha$ helix (Johnson,Jr., 1990). From the analysis of the spectra it is shown that apo D kept its integrity when associated to lipids with a small increase in the $\alpha$-helix content. The apo D-ethanol mixture showed an increase in the amount of $\alpha$-helix, as well. This was not observed for Blg (Dufour and Haertlé, 1990), which is supposed to have a similar structure, an increase of $3 \%$ in $\beta$-sheet was detected only at a $20 \%$ ethanol concentration while the $\alpha$-helix content increased at $30 \%$ ethanol. For apo D, all the determined changes can, however, be attributed to increased noise level in the range $190-195 \mathrm{~nm}$, at which the CONTIN algorithm is particular sensitive. It seems thus, based on these results alone, incorrect to withdraw conclusions about the efffect of polarity changes on the medium. It is clear, however, that the protein associates to lipid vesicles without denaturation.

## TABLE 4.3 Changes in $\alpha$-helix content relative to native apo D

| conditions | $\alpha$-helix change |
| :---: | :---: |
| pH 7.0, $4 \%$ ethanol, $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ apo D, 0.02 cm cell path | +5士2.3\% |
| $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,0.165 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ apo D (associated to lipid vesicles), 0.05 cm cell path | +3さ2.3\% |

The DPPC and cholesterol vesicles with and without protein were observed in the electron-microscope as described in section 2.16. The samples without protein (FIG. 4.8) presented structures with an homogeneous globular aspect, with most structures showing a single bilayer although occasionally some presented multilayer

FIG. 4.7 Circular dichroism spectra of apo $D$, apo $D$ associated to lipid vesicles and in the presence of $4 \%$ ethanol


FIG. 4.8 Electron-microscope photograph of lipid vesicles without apo D. Scale -1 cm for $0.26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIG. 4.9 Electron-microscope photograph of lipid vesicles with apo D. Scale -1 cm for $7.7 \times 10^{-2} \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

organization. The protein-lipid complex samples were observed (FIG. 4.9) after partial fractionation to homogeneous size by gel filtration. Discoidal structures just like the ones described for other apolipoproteins like apo AI (Tall, et al., 1977; Guo, et al., 1980) were present. These structures, already observed for apo D by Segrest and Kostner, (1988), have less of a globular aspect and under the conditions used for electron-microscopy can stack together. A model (FIG. 4.10) for the macromolecular organization of apo AI discoidal particles was presented by Tall, et al., (1977) based on thermodynamic and electron-microscope considerations and was later confirmed by small angle X-ray scattering (Atkinson, et al., 1980). In this model the discoidal particles are composed of a single bilayer arrangement of the lipid with protein ddisposed around the edge covering the hydrophobic tails of the phospholopid.

Apo AI is a typical apolipoprotein, its primary structure allows for a maximum flexibility and maximum interaction with the lipid, so as to stabilize the formation of the complex lipid particles (Segrest, et al., 1974; Boguski, et al., 1986). Free-apo AI has a variable $\alpha$-helix content (around $54 \%$ ) which increases to $\sim 70 \%$ when associated with lipids in the discoidal complexes (Wald, et al., 1990) and it is the existence of several stretches of amphipathic $\alpha$-helix that permits the association with lipids. Apo D in the plasma is a minor component of HDL (section 1.3.4.1), forming tight complexes with apo AI and LCAT but is also a member of the lipocalin structural family, sharing therefore the globular characteristics of Blg and the

## FIG. 4.10 Discoidal particles model

The particle here depicted corresponds to each of the "segments" that form the stacked structures of FIG. 4.9

others. These proteins are very different from apolipoproteins; the free-energy of denaturation of the apolipoproteins is less than $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ while for Blg that value is $22.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$, which is a high value even when compared with other globular proteins like lysozyme ( $9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and ribonuclease ( $16.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) (Pace and Vanderburg, 1979; Boguski, et al., 1986). This high value results from a rigid and compact structure, mainly $\beta$-sheet, as opposed to flexible apolipoprotein molecules which are mostly $\alpha$-helix.

There are some other facts that may be related to the association of apo $D$ to the lipid vesicles. A Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity plot (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982) of apo D shows that there is a stretch of roughly 10 residues $(115-135)$ which is much more hydrophobic than any other part of the sequence (FIG. 4.11). Peitsch and Boguski, (1990) have noticed, in their apo D three-dimensional model, that those residues and others form a cluster of solvent exposed hydrophobic residues (Phe3, Leu5, Ile117, Ile118, Leu120, Phe121, Val123) in two closely positioned loops that are part of the "mouth" of the pocket. They have postulated that the interaction with the lipid particle is established by this arrangement of apolar residues.

The apparently contradictory behaviour of apo D, associating with lipid vesicles to form the same, albeit smaller (Steyrer and Kostner, 1988), discoidal particles as apo AI while remaining a water soluble, globular protein is certainly worth studying further.

FIG. 4.11 Kyte-Doolitle hydrophilicity plot for apo D

Hydrophobic parts of the molecule are represented when the tracing is below the zero line.


The pH -dependent conformation of apo D was analysed by circular dichroism and gel filtration.

The far UV ( $190-260 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) circular dichroism spectra for apo D between pH 's 4.5 and 8.5 (in one pH unit intervals) are shown in FIG. 4.12 . They are clearly very similar except for the pH 8.5 spectrum, but the application of the CONTIN algorithm (Provencher and Glöckner, 1981) applied to the spectra at pH 7.5 and 8.5 produced very similar values for the secondary structure elements content:
$\mathrm{pH} 7.5 \quad 15 \pm 1.6 \% \alpha$-helix, $57 \pm 3.1 \% \beta$-sheet, $27 \pm 2.7 \%$ remainder
$\mathrm{pH} 8.5 \quad 14 \pm 3.6 \% \alpha$-helix, $60 \pm 3.7 \% \beta$-sheet, $26 \pm 6.4 \%$ remainder
The $\alpha$-helix content is generally the only reliable determination at this range (Johnson, Jr., 1990). The value of $15 \% \alpha$-helix content is in a agreement with what is expected from other members of the lipocalin family, for example, Rbp and Mup are constituted of $7 \%$ of $\alpha$-helix, and in particular Ins, which is a closer "relative" of apo D, presents $12 \%$.

The near UV spectra ( $260-320 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) provide information about the aromatic sidechain environment in the protein. The spectra shown on FIG. 4.13, demonstrate a difference between pH 's $4.5,5.5,8.5$ and pH 's $6.5,7.5$. This seems to indicate that there are conformational changes between $\mathrm{pH} 5.5-6.5$ and $\mathrm{pH} 7.5-8.5$. The conformational characteristics at the lower and higher range of pH analysed seem to be similar since the spectra are similar.

Changes at lower pH are visible by gel filtration too. The chromatograms shown on FIG. 4.14, were from FPLC runs of pure apo D at pH 5.5 and 7.5. It is clear that a lower molecular weight peak was present at pH 5.5 and not at pH 7.5 . Balbín, et al., (1990) have isolated apo D from GCDF by gel filtration at pH 6.0 , under those conditions the protein had a retention time corresponding to 100 kDa . Therefore, apo D close to physiological pH , is thought to be a tetramer. Thus, the conformational change detected by circular dichroism between pH 5.5 and 6.5 could be associated with a change from a state ( pH 5.5 ) where an equilibrium exists between a tetramer and monomer to a state ( pH 6.0 ) where the tetramer predominates. This pH effect is observed as well for Blg where a conformational transition between pH 's 6.5 and 7.5 is associated with a change in the components of the aggregation equilibrium (see section 1.2).

It was observed as well, that if SDS (López-Otín - personal communication) or $0.1 \%$ triton X - 100 were present in the elution buffer (data not shown) during the
FIG. 4.12 Far UV circular dichroism spectra

FIG. 4.13 Near UV circular dichroism spectra


FIG. 4.14 Chromatograms of gel filtration experiments
Top: apo D run at pH 7.5
Bottom: apo D run at pH 5.5


Abs. 280 nm
(scaled to major peak)

purification of apo D by gel filtration at pH 6.0 , the peak containing apo D emerged at lower molecular weight. This suggests that the interactions beween the subunits in the tetramer are dependent on an hydrophobic component too.

The close association reported for apo D and apo AI in the plasma (section 1.3.1), led to the attempts to form a complex between these two proteins without the presence of lipids. The possibility of forming a complex was explored by a series of experiments described in section 2.11. In these experiments apo AI and apo D were mixed together at different pHs before or after previous denaturation by guanidinium chloride. In the latter case, step dialysis was carried out to remove the denaturant. The samples were run in a gel filtration column so that any new molecular complexes formed could be detected. No new peaks were observed in any of the differing sets of conditions. That is not totally unexpected because the reports mentioning an interaction between apo AI and apo D (see section 1.3.1) are not clear as to the nature of the interaction, whether it is protein-protein or a lipid mediated. These experiments are inconclusive and so do not favour any of the possibilities.

### 4.7 Deglycosylation of apo D

The initial intention of the work with apo $D$ was its crystallization. It was expected to be a difficult problem since the sugar residues ( $18 \%$ in weight for plasma apo D ) increase the heterogeneity of the protein samples and reduce the probability of obtaining crystals (Lorber and Giegé, 1992). Thus, it was neccessary to determine conditions for partial or total removal of the sugars.

In the first instance, the removal of neuraminic acid was studied. This sugar is charged and is known to be responsible for the existence of several isoforms of plasma apo D (Kamboh, et al., 1989). Digestion with neuraminidase (section 2.9.1) resulted in a slight shift to lower molecular weight in SDS-PAGE (FIG. 4.15).

To confirm this result, the chemical determination of the amount of sialic acid still present after enzymatic digestion was carried out (section 2.9.1.1), and from two different assays it was concluded that $87 \%$ of the neuraminic acid was removed. Unfortunately, the removal of neuraminic acid does not result in a homogeneous band in SDS-PAGE. This may indicate that other factors, the rest of the sugar chain for example, cause the non-homogeneous behaviour of the protein in the gel and therefore the diffuse band.

Total deglycosylation was attempted by both enzymatic and chemical procedures. The total enzymatic digestion of apo $D$ sugars was tried (section 2.9.2) with a "cocktail" of two enzymes that were a priori the most suited, since they are relatively non-specific to the type of sugar structures. The initial trial with and without n-octylglucoside in the incubation mixture, resulted in a small migration change in SDS-PAGE (FIG. 4.16). The apo D band still presented a diffuse aspect and the

## FIG. 4.15 SDS-PAGE of neuraminidase digested apo D

Lane 1 - non-digested apo D, lane 2 - apo D digested with neuraminidase.


FIG. 4.16 Preliminary digestions with endoglycosidases

Lane 1-non-digested apo D, lane 2 - apo D digested with endoglycosidases, lane - 3 apo $D$ digested with endoglycosidases in the presence of $n$ octylglucoside. Lane 4 -molecular weight markers. $94,67,43,30,20,14 \mathrm{kDa}$

change in molecular weight was very small. Both facts indicate that the digestion is far from complete. In fact, it is not uncommon that steric hindrance will prevent effective action of the endoglycosidases and denaturation of the glycoprotein is recommended for a complete digestion (Biochemica Information, Boehringer Mannheim).

In the following trial of conditions, guanidinium chloride or SDS was present in the incubation mixture. All of the trials were done under reductive conditions by adding mercaptoethanol. Apo D was boiled in the presence of SDS, after which n octylglucoside was added before the enzyme to prevent its denaturation by SDS(Biochemica Information, Boehringer Mannheim). After incubation with guanidinium chloride, n -octylglucoside was added in an attempt to keep the protein denatured (stabilizing the solvent exposed hydrophobic regions) while the denaturant was removed by dialysis. The SDS-PAGE (FIG. 4.17) of both samples shows an improvement in the deglycosylation relative to the conditions where no denaturation occurred, because the decrease in molecular weight was larger. Another interesting point is that the the diffuse aspect of the protein bands was reduced, as can be seen by comparing SDS or guanidinium chloride lanes with the controls. These facts point to a digestion of the carbohydrate moiety when denaturation of the protein occurs; however the digestion does not seem to be total because, even in the trial where the protein was boiled in the presence of SDS and mercaptoethanol, the apparent molecular weight of the protein is far from that expected from the amino acid sequence ( 19 kDa ). From this set of experiments, guanidinium chloride emerged as a promising starting point of the search for better consitions.

On FIG. 4.18, an SDS-PAGE of several trials of different conditions is displayed. The conditions were:
with native apo $D$
lane 2) guanidinium chloride $+n$-octylglucoside
lane 3) guanidinium chloride + chaps
lane 4) guanidinium chloride + mercaptoethanol
lane 5) guanidinium chloride $+n$-octylglucoside + mercaptoethanol
lane 6) SDS + mercaptoethanol + n-octylglucoside
with apo D after neuraminidase digestion
lane 7) guanidinium chloride $+n$-octylglucoside
lane 8) guanidinium chloride + chaps
lane 9) guanidinium chloride + mercaptoethanol
lane 10) SDS + mercaptoethanol + n-octylglucoside

The conclusions from this set of conditions were: mercaptoethanol is essential for a successful deglycosylation because it facilitates the denaturation of the protein,

## FIG. 4.17 SDS-PAGE of endoglycosidases digestion under denaturing conditions

Lane 1 - apo D incubated with endoglycosidases after denaturation with SDS and boiling and reduction with mercaptoethanol, lane 2 - apo $D$ incubated with endoglycosidases after denaturation with guanidinium chloride and reduction with mercaptoethanol, lane 3-apo D incubated with no denaturant but reduced, lane 4 - apo D incubated in the same conditions as lane 3 but without enzyme. Lane 5 - molecular weight markers. $94,67,43,30,20,14 \mathrm{kDa}$


## FIG. 4.18 SDS-PAGE of apo D deglycosylation

Lane 1 - non-digested apo D, lane 2 - apo D + Guanidinium chloride $(\mathrm{GnCl})+$ n-octylglucoside, lane 3 - apo $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{GnCl}+$ chaps, lane $4-\mathrm{GnCl}+$ mercaptoethanol $(\mathrm{SH})$, lane $4-$ apo $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{GnCl}+$ n-octylglucoside +SH , lane $6-$ apo $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{SDS}+$ n-octylglucoside +SH , lane $7-$ apo D pre-digested with neuraminidase (apo D N -) $+\mathrm{GnCl}+\mathrm{n}$-octylglucoside, lane $8-$ apo $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{N}-+\mathrm{GnCl}$ + chaps, lane $9-$ apo $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{N}-+\mathrm{GnCl}+\mathrm{SH}$, lane $10-$ apo $\mathrm{DN}-+\mathrm{SDS}+\mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{n}-$ octylglucoside.

detergents (besides stabilizing the enzyme) do not influence the outcome of the experiment and the previous removal of neuraminic acid does not influence the removal of the remaining sugars.

The need for extensive denaturation and reduction of apo $D$ to obtain reasonable deglycosylation, raises the problem of refolding the protein after deglycosylation. Further, the enzymatic deglycosylation seems not to be complete since the digested protein never presents in SDS-PAGE, the molecular weight expected from the sequence. It most be noted, as well, that the high cost of the enzymes makes the procedure almost prohibitive.

The chemical approach was followed by using the trifluoromethane sulphonic acid (TFMS) method described in section 2.9.3. Edge, et al., (1981) have discussed the influence of temperature on the deglycosylation by TFMS, particularly on the degradation of the proteic part of the molecule. Based on that report, trials at room temperature, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were setup and incubated for different times. The samples were run in SDS-PAGE and two of the gels are shown on FIG. 4.19. The higher the temperature, the earlier the protein was observed to degrade, as is concluded by comparing the 3 hour trial at room temperature with the 4 hour incubation at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Another fact observed was that no one set of conditions resulted in the formation of a single band. In fact, several populations were formed as seen by the many bands on the gels. One band was detected just below the 20 kDa standard that could have been the totally deglycosylated protein, but still the majority of the protein only showed a small molecular weight shift.

It is not clear if the total removal of sugars occurred or not, in either of the two methods. Another procedure, more specific than SDS-PAGE for the presence of sugars will have to be used to determine if deglycosylation is effective or not.

### 4.8 Apo D crystallization attempts

The methods described in section 2.18 were applied on either native apo D or neuraminidase digested apo $D$, after neuraminidase extraction (see section 2.9.1.2).

The "incomplete factorial experiment" should have provided information about the most favourable factors (type of precipitant, pH , importance of ions, etc.) for crystallization. The procedure is very dependent on the grading of the results and when no crystals appear it becomes "tea leaf reading" because distinctions between amorphous precipitate and crystalline precipitate, which are not always clear cut, have to be established.

No crystals were observed with the "sparse matrix" method, either.
For both types of samples the results were discouraging, no crystals were grown probably because these samples are still very non-homogeneous as seen by the diffuse bands formed in SDS-PAGE.

## FIG. 4.19 SDS-PAGE of chemical deglycosylation trials

Top gel: lanes 1 to 3 - apo D incubated at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48,25 and 8 hours respectively. Lanes 5 to 7 - apo D incubated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12,8 and 6 hours, respectively. Lane 4 -molecular weight markers.
Bottom gel: lanes 1 and 2 - apo d incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 and 1 hour, respectively. Lanes 4 to $6-5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of apo D incubated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4,2 and 1 hours respectively. Lanes 7 to 9 - the same as 4 to 6 but $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of solution. Lane 10 -non-incubated apo D. Lane 3 -molecular weight markers. $94,67,43,30,20,14 \mathrm{kDa}$


### 4.9 Ligand binding studies

Apo D has long been thought to be a small hydrophobic molecule carrier (Chajek and Fielding, 1978). This idea was reinforced by its inclusion in the lipocalin family (Drayna, et al., 1986) and finally confirmed by the discovery that the progesterone-binding protein from gross-cystic disease fluid was apo D (Balbín, et al., 1990). The method chosen to evaluate the binding of molecules was fluorescence spectroscopy, in particular the detection of quenching of the protein fluorescence. This technique has been used for ligand-binding studies with many proteins (Ward, 1985) and in particular with Blg (see section 1.2). In Blg, the existence of one tryptophan in the pocket provides a good reporting group of any change in its environment. Human apo $D$ has four tryptophans, of which, according to the three-dimensional model (Peitsch and Boguski, 1990), two are situated inside the pocket. Because of this, it was thought that the signal obtained would be sensitive to the binding of ligands. The other reason for choosing fluorescence quenching was the speed of each titration. Like most spectrophotometric phenomena (Bagshaw and Harris, 1987), fluorescence changes may be detected instantaneously, which is particularly important in cases where degradation of any component of the system is probable, like the oxidation of the ligands tested in this work.

The ethanol used to dissolve the ligands, was observed to quench the fluorescence of apo D (FIG. 4.20). In order to eliminate the possibility that the effect

## FIG. 4.20 Ethanol quenching

Plotted is the ratio between initial protein fluorescence (no ethanol present) and fluorescence at each ethanol addition versus volume of ethanol added.

was associated with protein conformational changes, during which the binding properties would be altered, several controls were made. The emission spectra at different ethanol concentrations were measured (FIG. 4.21), and no wavelength shift of the maximum of emission with increasing ethanol concentration was observed, indicating that no major conformation change was happening since the solvent-sheltered fluorescent groups were not being exposed. The absorbance spectra of apo D (240-350 nm ), registered in the presence of ethanol within the concentration range $0-4 \%$, did not reveal any changes besides a rise in the overall base line. The circular dichroism spectrum of apo $D$ in the presence of $4 \%$ ethanol was registered between $190-260 \mathrm{~nm}$ and compared to the native spectrum (FIG. 4.7). The analysis of the spectra with the

## FIG. 4.21 Emission spectra

Emission spectra A to D were recorded at $0,12,28$ and $40 \mu$ l of added ethanol.


CONTIN algorithm produced a $5 \%$ increase in $\alpha$-helix content. However, it was noticed that the spectra were superimposable except for the 190-200 nm range, where noise was clearly greater. Thus, it was pointed out (Ms.S. Kelly and Dr.N. Price from the CD Scottish facility at Stirling University -personnal communication) that the differences determined by the analysis algorithm were most certainly due to the noise increase in that range. All these facts indicate that no major conformational change occurs in the range of ethanol concentrations to which apo D was exposed. The ethanol effect was removed from the ligand titration curves by subtraction of the ethanol quenching from the overall ethanol/ligand quenching.

The titration curves for progesterone and cholesterol, after correction for ethanol and protein concentration, are presented in FIG. 4.22. These two molecules were used

## FIG. 4.22 Cholesterol and progesterone titration curves

Cholesterol - black diamonds. Progesterone - dotted squares.
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to test the method because it was known that progesterone (Lea, 1988; Dilley, et al., 1990) was a strong ligand and cholesterol (Lea, 1988) was demonstrated not to bind to apo D . The marked difference between the titration curves, showing that progesteronequenched protein fluorescence reaches a saturation point while cholesterol did not affect the fluorescence at all, demonstrate that the method was adequate for detection of binding.

By chance, (the molecule was available in the laboratory following an X-ray structure determination) EP092 was tested and shown to bind (FIG. 4.23). It is an analogue of prostaglandins (Wilson and Jones, 1985), an antagonist of thromboxane A2, and so led to the search among the prostaglandins and related compounds, for molecules with affinity for apo D . The titration curves of the few tested: prostaglandin E1, prostaglandin $\mathrm{F} 2 \alpha$, prostaglandin D2, arachidonic acid, 12 -HETE and 5,15diHETE (hydroxy and dihydroxyeicosatetranoic acid) are presented in FIG. 4.23. Only

## FIG. 4.23 Prostaglandins and related compounds titration curves

1- EP092, 2- prostagl.D2, 3-prostagl.F2 $\alpha, 4$ - prostagl.E1, 5 -arachidonic acid, 6-12-hete, 7-5,15-dihete


Ligand concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ )
arachidonic acid and EP092 demostrated affinity for apo D as judged by the quenching of apo D fluorescence. Leukotriene D4 was tried too, but this compound has a strong absorption band at 280 nm and the emission spectra of the protein and small molecule are then superimposed. Not even with correction for the inner filter effect was it possible to separate the two signals. On the other other hand, the correction for the inner filter effect was necessary and successful in the case of EP092. In FIG. 4.24, the inner filter effects from several ligands are plotted from which the difference in the behaviour of EP092 from other ligands can be clearly seen.

A small series of fatty acids (arachidonic (C20:4), linoleic (C18:2), oleic (C18:1) and palmitic (C16:0)) and one phospholipid (dipalmitoyl phosphatidyl choline) were tested. Only arachidonic, as seen above, showed any affinity for apo D.

The analysis of the data for the extraction of association constants and number of binding sites per protein molecule was done with the help of Dr.G. Atkins, Biochemistry Department, University of Edinburgh. The simplest model used to explain the binding, where one molecule of protein binds an unknown number of molecules of ligand with the same affinity and in a random order, fitted the data. This same model was tested with the data extracted from FIG. 6 in Dilley, et al., (1990), where binding was determined by gel filtration separation of the bound from unbound ligand. The values obtained for number of binding of sites and association constant were very similar to the ones presented in that report, conferring validity to the chosen model.

The calculated fluorescence drop, function of the number of binding sites ( n ), dissociation constant ( Kd ) and a concentration-fluorescence conversion factor ( q ) was fitted by a non-linear regression programme written by Dr.G. Atkins to the experimental data as function of the total concentration of ligand. It was observed, for all ligands, that q was highly correlated with n and no good estimation of these values could be obtained. On the other hand the value for the dissociation constant was invariable, within the experimental error. The reason for the " bad behaviour" of q and n can be understood by a non-constant fluorescence drop for each newly occupied site in the apo D tetramer. This sort of effect was observed for lactate dehydrogenase (Holbrook, 1972), where the fluorescence presented a non-linear relation with the fraction of occupied binding sites. In fact, if $[\mathrm{Lig}] / \alpha$ versus $1 /(1-\alpha)$ is plotted for the apo D titration with progesterone it results in a non-straight line ( $[\mathrm{Lig}]$ is the total ligand concentration, $\alpha$ is the fraction of occupied binding sites which is equal to $\mathrm{Fo}-\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Fo}-$ Fmin, with Fo being the protein fluorescence with no ligand bound, Fmin the protein fluorescence with all the sites occupied and F the fluorescence at each point of the titartion curve). This, according to Ward, (1985) and Bagshaw and Harris, (1987) is due either to non-linearity between $\alpha$ and F or to cooperativity effects. Since the latter effect was not observed by Dilley, et al., (1988) and an attempt to apply, to the
fluorescence data, a model that would account for the cooperative effect was unsuccessful, then the non-linear fluorescence quenching effect must be present and be the reason for the non-determination of the number of binding sites.

The association constants determined for the ligands were the following: progesterone $-2.1 \pm 1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ which is close to the value determined by Dilley, et al., (1990) - $1.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ and Lea, (1988) $-1.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { EP092- } 1.2 \pm 1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \\
& \text { arachidonic acid }-4.1 \pm 4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## FIG. 4.24 Inner filter effect

1- EP092, 2- cholesterol, 3-prostagl.D2, 4-prostagl.F2 $\alpha, 5$ - prostagl.E1, 6progesterone, 7 -arachidonic acid, 8-palmitate


### 4.9.1 Discussion

This study confirmed the affinity of apo D for progesterone, which was known to present the highest association constant among the steroids studied (see TABLE 1.6). Any of these steroids exist in the gross-cyst disease fluid at concentrations several fold higher than in plasma (Bradlow, et al., 1981), but progesterone is present at a concentration $\left(\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at which it will, probably, be the major ligand of the protein in the cyst fluid.

The first role proposed for apo D was that it was involved in cholesterol metabolism in the HDL (see section 1.3.4.1), where the protein would either be an activator of LCAT or a transfer protein of the cholesteryl-esters formed. Peitsch and Boguski, (1990) have shown some evidence that cholesterol has low affinity for apo D and proposed, based on the three-dimensional model then presented, that the affinity for cholesteryl-esters would be weak too. The work of Lea, (1988) showed that apo D, as GCDF-24, had very little affinity for cholesterol. This result has now been confirmed by fluorescence quenching. Unfortunately, it was not possible to study cholesteryl-esters due to their low solubility in the solvent and another method will have to be used to determine the affinity of apo D for these molecules and to understand fully the role of apo D in the HDL.

Two new ligands, arachidonic acid and EP092, were found for apo D. The latter is an analogue of prostaglandins and led to the testing of several of these compounds including arachidonic acid. This ligand, arachidonic acid, is a very important molecule because it is the precursor (FIG. 4.25) of prostaglandins, lipoxins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes and eicosatetraenoic acids. Interestingly, none of the metabolites of arachidonic acid that were tried demonstrated any affinity for apo D . This is not surprising, considering that the molecules tried are more hydrophilic than arachidonic acid. As a note, the close resemblance of EP092 and thromboxane A2 may indicate that this compound may bind too. The small series of fatty acids tried revealed a high specificity for arachidonic acid, which is most probably due to the "flat" part of the molecule induced by the four cis double bonds. The association constant determined for arachidonic acid is 10 -fold higher than the one for progesterone making it the strongest apo D ligand known to the moment.

The relevance in vivo of a ligand found in vitro is difficult to establish without further experimental work but a small summary about the biology of the eicosanoids will now be presented and some points will emerge that can be considered to be relevant.

These compounds, the eicosanoids, are involved in a wide variety of biological phenomena, from platelet aggregation, sensitization to pain, involvement in inflammation, neurotropic effects, chemotactic action on leukocytes, and many others
(von Euler, 1988). The full understanding of their action is far from clear and is impaired due to their multiple, complex, and sometimes contradictory, action. However, it is accepted that these compounds are produced locally, throughout the organism and are not pre-stored (Deby, 1988) and, because of that, the major stepdependent factor for their production is the presence of the precursors, arachidonic acid, eicosapentanoic acid and homo- $\gamma$-linolenic acid. Arachidonic acid is produced from one of the essential fatty acids, linoleic acid, and is stored in the form of phospholipids (in any cellular membrane, and in lipoproteins), triglycerides (only in the renal medulla) and cholesteryl-ester (in lipoproteins). The release of arachidonic acid from these non-active forms depends mainly upon the regulation of the activity of phospholipases A2 and C on the membrane phospholipids, of LCAT (lecithincholesterol acyltransferase), and of a cholesterol-esterase (Behrman and Armstrong, 1969). It is through its association with LCAT (section 1.3.1), that apo D may have a role to play in the metabolism of arachidonic acid. LCAT is known to be able to act as a phospholipase and as an esterase separately (Kitabatake, et al., 1979; Francone, et al., 1993), but its usual role is a conjunction of the two activities by forming cholesterylesters from cholesterol and phosphatidylcholine. It is possible to envisage a role for apo D in the retention of arachidonic acid, avoiding further metabolisation and therefore resulting in a "fast" mobilization reserve. It is interesting too, that both apo D (see section 1.3) and the eicosanoids are involved in growth/regeneration processes. In fact, arachidonic acid metabolism has direct connections with cyclic adenosine monophosphate formation (Lagarde, 1988) in, for example, sensitization to pain, activity of nervous cells and cell proliferation. Apo D, on the other hand, is overexpressed during regeneration of peripherial rat nerve and during the non-growth stages of several cell lines, in particular cancerous cells. Thus, the presence of the two molecules can be related, apo D acting as a carrier of arachidonic acid to or from the "hot spot". In conclusion, the binding of arachidonic acid by apo D constitutes the establishment of a connection between the many apparently non-related biological situations where the protein is found.

FIG. 4.25 Prostaglandins and related eicosanoids

Diagram describing the metabolic conversion of these compounds.


Chapter 5 Conclusions and prospects

## 5. Conclusions and prospects

This chapter will repeat and summarize the observations and discussions already made in the previous sections. An attempt will be made to connect some aspects from different sections.

### 5.1 Blg

Bovine $\beta$-lactoglobulin was studied by crystallographic techniques, and the structure of the crystal form BlgZ (space group $\mathrm{P}_{2} 21$ ) was redetermined. The need for this redetermination arose from the inconsistencies between the medium resolution published structure (Monaco, et al., 1987) and the low resolution study by Green, et al., (1979), in particular the different packing of the protein molecules. By molecular replacement using the existing models of BlgY (model solved from crystal form in space group B22 2 ) and Mup it was possible to confirm the low resolution findings that the protein crystallizes as a dimer and not as stated by Monaco, et al., (1987) in a high polymeric aggregation state. This led to the conclusion that the latter work was incorrectly approached which may have happened in the determination of the heavy atom positions using an unconventional origin choice and subsequent calculation of electron-density in the International Tables setting. Though improbable, it is possible that the crystals used in that work belong to yet another crystal form, different from the one studied here.

The re-solution of BlgZ by the heavy atom replacement method, produced a new molecular model that is different from any of the models available for Blg and in particular from the BlgY model, from which all the other models have been obtained. The phases determined from the derivatives data were refined and extended by solvent flattening so that model building could be initiated. Further improvement of the electron-density map was achieved by partial model phase combination before a model including 158 residues was finished.

This model was compared to the existing model of BlgY. It was clear that the overall folding was not changed but that sequence shifts or threading shifts were applied on two stretches of the structure. The first stretch includes the second and third $\beta$-strands and flanking loops (residues 29 to 65 ), where a five residue movement of the sequence towards the N -terminus took place. This resulted in the shortening of the loop between the third and fourth $\beta$-strands while extending the loop between the first and second $\beta$-strands. A similar change affected the last $\beta$-strand, where a two residue sequence shift towards the C -terminus was applied. This strand is the one responsible for the monomer-monomer interaction and so the residues responsible for the integrity of the dimer have been changed.

The quality of the new model can be evaluated by a series of factors. A lower residual of the new model when compared to the BlgY molecular replacement solution after a dynamics procedure ( $23 \%$ versus $26 \%$ ) indicates a better fit of the new model to the data. The changes applied seem to be chemically reasonable like the movement of two charged side-chains (Asp53 and Glu51) from the inside (hydrophobic environment) to the outside of the pocket (a more solvent exposed position) and the sheltering of two of the three solvent exposed residues (Ile56, Leu57 and Leu57) that in BlgY are present in the loop between the third and fourth strands. The programme PROFILE confirmed the improvement in the new model since the plot of the averaged environment score does not present stretches below or close to zero which are observed for the BlgY model. The "bad" BlgY stretches revealed by that plot, map the stretches where the two models are different therefore conferring certainty to the changes applied. It must be emphasised that the differences between the models resulted from the incorrect interpretation of the BlgY density and not from conformational changes or different crystal packing constraints.

The structure still needs manual intervention at several points, in particular in some loops and the final refinement will take place in the near future, making use of a complete, high redundancy, $2.8 \AA$ resolution image plate data set, collected at the Daresbury synchrotron. If the refinement procedes as expected, confirming the validiy of this model then it may be used to correct BlgY and BlgX and therefore make use of the very high resolution diffraction data, typically 2.0-1.9 $\AA$, available for these two crystal forms.

The co-crystallization of Blg with ascorbic acid resulted in marked changes in the crystal structure, which have not yet been characterized. Future work will be based on the refinement of the model with the bound ligand, this will hopefully provide a clear picture of what residues are essential for binding and what conformational changes, if any, occur during binding. The extension of the same approach to other ligands will be important so that the relevance of the pocket and the possible existence of other binding sites can be evaluated.

### 5.2 Apo D

Apo $D$ was purified both from plasma and gross-cystic disease fluid but the latter source was preferred for regular preparation of protein. The studies involving this protein covered several aspects of its biochemistry, in particular the analysis of cysteine residues, protein / lipid interactions, pH dependent conformational changes and ligand binding studies. Crystallization of native and partially carbohydrate-digested apo D was also attempted.

The analysis of the cysteines revealed that from the five residues present in apo D, four seem to be forming disulphide bonds, and the remaining one is oxidized either
as cysteic acid or, more improbably, as a thio-ester or thio-ether.
The association of apo $D$ to lipid macro-structures was demonstrated for dipalmitoyl lecithin/cholesterol vesicles and Triton X-114. The observation by CD, that the content of $\alpha$-helix does not increase very much upon lipid vesicle association clashes with the formation of discoidal particles which are typically the result of interaction between apolipoproteins and lipid vesicles. If apo $D$ belongs to the lipocalin structural family then it will share their compact, mainly $\beta$-sheet three-dimensional characteristics which are quite different from the apolipoproteins, $\alpha$-helix structures responsible for the stabilization of the lipid particles. The interaction between apo D and the lipid structures could be established by the hydrophobic peptide clearly seen in Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity plots. Peitsch and Boguski, (1990) have also postulated that this same peptide forms an hydrophobic loop close to the mouth of the pocket, interacting with the HDL and allowing an easy access of any ligand from the lipid moiety to the protein. There is as well, the possibility of having a cysteine forming a thio-ester bond with a fatty acid, like the one observed for ADP-ribosylation factor, which would be in line with the oxidized cysteine and the formation of apo D-lipid complexes. More experimental work is necessary to establish the nature of the apo D binding to lipid vesicles, in particular the determination of what part of the molecule establishes the hydrophobic contacts and how does the apo D structure fit the model for the discoidal particles.

The pH dependent conformational changes observed for apo D are similar to the ones observed for Blg , where the changes in CD spectra are associated with aggregation changes. But while in Blg these can be physiologically important because the protein when ingested as part of milk goes through a series of pH changes without denaturation, for apo D no immediate relevance can be seen for these conformational alterations since all the biological situations where apo $D$ has been reported share the extracellular, controlled pH characteristic.

Finally, the ligand binding studies using fluorescence quenching have produced a new molecule which seems to present a higher affinity for apo $D$ than any other so far. The importance of arachidonic acid for the biological role of apo $D$ is evident as well, since it could provide a link between the different and apparently unrelated biological phenomena with which apo D is involved. Thus, apo D might, stop convertion of this fatty acid to its cholesterol-ester in the HDL, forming a fast mobilization reserve. At the cellular level, it might be used to excrete the excess of arachidonic acid and therefore stop its action as a precursor of prostaglandins and related compounds. These hypotheses have to be confirmed by determining the nature of the molecules that apo D binds when associated to LCAT (lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase) and apo AI in HDL and by analysing the effects of the presence of apo D on the growth processes of some cancer cell lines.

Another approach for the understanding of many of these aspects would be to determine the three-dimensional structure of this protein. This would certainly provide information about the possible areas of interaction with lipids and would allow an examination of the pocket, determining the causes for a higher specificity for ligands than is found with Blg, for example. Unfortunately, it seems improbable that the protein will crystallize while the heterogeneity caused by the sugars has not been reduced. This can be achieved by cloning and expressing the protein in a organism that either does not insert sugars in proteins, like $E$. coli, or that adds carbohydrate chains which are easily removed or are short and homogeneous, like some yeast strains.

Adams, P. (1992) Structural studies and molecular modelling of Alpha-2u-globulin, PhD. thesis, University of Edinburgh

Åkerström, B. and Lögdberg (1990) TIBS 15:240-243

Albers, J.J., Cheng, M.C., Ewens, S.L., Tollefson, J.H. (1981) Atherosclerosis 39:395-409

Albers, J.J., Taggart, H.McA., Appleboum-Bowden, D., Haffner, S., Chestnut III, C.H., Hazzard, W.R. (1984) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 795:293-296

Ali, S. and Clark, J. (1988) J. Mol. Biol. 199:415-426

Ali, S. (1989) Structure and expression of the gene encoding ovine $\beta$-lactoglobulin, PhD. thesis, University of Edinburgh

Aschaffenburg, R., Green, D.W., Simmons, R.M. (1965) J. Mol. Biol. 13:194-201

Atkinson, D., Small, D.M., Shipley, G.G. (1980) Annals N.Y. Acad. of Sci. 348:284298

Bagshaw, C.R. and Harris, D.A. (1987) Spectrophotometry and spectrofluorimetry - a practical approach, IRL press, Harris, D.A. and Bashford, C.L. (eds.) 91-113

Balbín, M., Freije, J.M.P., Fueyo, A., Sánchez, L.M., López-Otín, C. (1990) Biochem. J. 271:803-807

Balbín, M., Vizoso, F., Sánchez, L.M., Vents, R., Ruibal, A., Fueyo, A., LópezOtín, C. (1991) Clinical Chem. 37:547-551

Baldwin, E.T., Weber, I.T., Charles, R.S., Xuan, J.-C., Appela, E., Yamada, M., Matsushima, K., Edwards, B.F.P., Clore, G.M., Gronenborn, A.M., Woldawer, A. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88:502-506

Banerjee, S., Katz, J., Levitz, M., Finlay, T.H. (1990) Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci. 586:204-212

Beeley, J.G. (1985) Glycoprotein and proteoglycan techniques - laboratory techniques
in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 16:153-300

Behrman, H.R. and Armstrong, D.T. (1969) Endocrinology 85:474-480
Bennett, M. and Schmid, K. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad, Sci. U.S.A. 77:6109-6113

Berman, P., Gray, P., Chen, E., Keyser, K., Ehrlich, D., Karten, H., LaCorbiere, M., Esch, F., Schubert, D. (1987) Cell 51:135-142

Betts, L., Frick, L., Wolfenden, R., Carter, Jr., C.W. (1989) J. Bio. Chem. 264:6737-6740

Birdsall, B., King, R.W., Whoeler, M.R., Lewis, Jr., C.A., Goode, S.R., Dunlap, R.B., Roberts, G.C.K. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132:353-361

Blanco-Vaca, F., Via, D.P., Pownall, H.J., Massey, J.B. (1990) Arteriosclerosis 10:824a-825a

Blanco-Vaca, F., Via, D.P, Yang, C.-Y., Massey, J.B., Pownall, H. (1992) J. of Lipid Res. 33:1785-1796

Blow, D.M. (1985) Molecular replacement (Proc. of the Daresbury Study Weekend) Machin, P.A. (compiler) SERC, Daresbury laboratory 2-7

Blow, D.M., Henrick, K., Vrielink, A. (1988) Improving protein phases (Proc. of the Daresbury Study Weekend) Bailey, S. Dodson, E., Phillips, S. (compilers) SERC, Daresbury laboratory 32-38

Blundell, T.L. and Johnson, L.N. (1976) Protein crystallography, Academic Press

Blundell, T.L. and Tickle, I.J. (1985) Molecular replacement (Proc. of the Daresbury Study Weekend) Machin, P.A. (compiler) SERC, Daresbury laboratory 89-91

Böcskei, Z., Findlay, J.B.C., North, A.C.T., Phillips, S.E.V., Somer, W.S., Wright, C.E., Lionetti, C., Tirindelli, R., Cavaggioni, A. (1991) J. Mol. Biol. 218:699-701

Böcskei, Z., Groom, C.R., Flower, D.R., Wright, C.E., Phillips, S.E.V., Cavaggioni, A., Findlay, J.B.C., North, A.C.T. (1992) Nature 360:186-188

Boguski, M.S., Freeman, M., Elshourbagy, Taylor, J.M., Gordon, J.I. (1986) J.

Lipid Res. 27:1011-1034

Bojanovski, D., Alaupovic, P., McConathy, W.J., Kelly, J. (1980) FEBS Letts. 112:251-254

Bolognesi, M., Liberatori, J., Oberti, R., Ungaretti, L. (1979) J. Mol. Biol. 131:411413

Bouma, M.-E., de Bandt, J.-P., Ayrault-Jarrie, M., Burdin, J., Verthier, N., Raisonnier, A. (1988) Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 23:477-483

Boyles, J.K., Zoellner, C.D., Anderson, L.J., Kosik, L.M., Pitas, R.E., Weisgraber, K.H., Hui, D.Y., Mahley, R.W., Gebicke-Haerter, P.J., Ignatius, M.J., Shooter, E.M. (1989) J. Clin. Invest. 83:1015-1031

Boyles, J.K., Notterpek, L.M., Anderson, L.J. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265:1780517815

Bradlow, H.L., Rosenfeld, R.S., Kream, J., Fleisher, M., O'Connor, J., Schwartz, K. (1981) Cancer Res. 41:105-107

Brady, L. and Jian-Sheng, J. (1992) Molecular replacement (Proc. of the CCP4 Study Weekend) SERC, Daresbury laboratory, Dodson, E.J., Gover, S., Wolf, W. (compilers) 170-177

Braunitzer, G., Chen, R., Schrank, B., Stangl, A. (1972) Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 354:867-878

Brooks, D.E., Means, A.R., Wright, E.J., Singh, S.P., Tiver, K.K. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261:4956-4861

Brünger, A.T. (1992) X-PLOR manual, version 3.0

Cancedda, F.D., Asaro, D., Molina, F., Cancedda, R., Caruso, C., Camardella, L., Negri, a., Ronchi, S. (1990) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 168:933-938

Carter, Jr., C.W. and Carter, C.W. (1979) J. Biol. Chem. 254:12219-12223

Carter, Jr., C.W., Baldwin, E.T., Frick, L. (1988) J. Crystal Growth 90:60-73

Chajek, T. and Fielding, C.J. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:3445-3449

Chaplin, M.F. (1986) Carbohydrate analysis - a practical approach, IRL Press, Chaplin, M.F. and Kenedy, J.F. (eds.) 1-36

Chapman, MJ. (1986) Methods in enzymology, Segrest, J.P. and Albers, J.J. (eds.) 128:70-143

Cho, Y., Batt, C.A., Sawyer, L. (1993) Submitted

Clark, A.J., Clissold, P.M., Shaw, A., Beattie, P., Bishop, J. (1984) EMBO J. 3:1045-1052

Cooper, R., Eckely, D.M., Papaconstantinou, J. (1987) Biochemistry 26:5244-5250

Cowan, S.W., Newcomer, M.E., Jones, T.A. (1990) Proteins: structure, function and genetics 8:44-61

Creighton, T.E. (1989) Protein structure - a practical approach, IRL Press, Creighton, T.E. (ed.) 155-167

Cuatrecasas, P. and Illiano, G. (1971) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 44:178-184

Curry, M.D., McConathy, W.J., Alaupovic, P. (1977) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 49:232-241

Dauter, Z. (1992) Molecular replacement (Proc. of the CCP4 Study Weekend) SERC, Daresbury laboratory, Dodson, E.J., Gover, S., Wolf, W. (compilers) 163-169

Deby, C. (1988) Prostaglandins, Biology and Chemistry of prostaglandins and related eicosanoids, Churchill-Livingstone, Curtis-Prior, P.B. (ed.) 11-36

Dilley, W.G., Haagensen, D.E., Cox, C.E., Wells,Jr., S.A. (1990) Breast Cancer Res. and Treatment 16:253-260

Dodin, G., Andrieux, M., Alkabbani, H. (1990) Eur. J. Biochem. 193:697-700

Dodson, E.J. (1976) Crystallographic computing techniques, Ahmed, F.R. (ed.)
Munksgaard, Copenhagen
Dogliotti, L., Orlandi, F., Caraci, P., Puligheddu, B., Torta, M., Angeli, A. (1990)

Drayna, D., Fielding, C., McLean, J., Baer, B., Castro, G., Chen, Comstock, L., Henzel, W., Kohr, N., Rhee, L., Wion, K., Lawn, R. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261:16535-16539

Drayna, D.T., McLean, J.W., Wion, K.L., Trent, J.M., Drabkin, H.A., Lawn, R.M. (1987) DNA 6:199-204

Dufour, E. and Haertlé, T. (1990) Protein Engineering 4:185-190

Dufour, E., Marden, M.C., Haertlé, T. (1990) FEBS Letts. 277:223-226

Edge, A.S.B., Faltynek, C.R., Hof, L., Reichert,Jr., L.E., Weber, P. (1981) Anal. Biochem. 118:131-137

Erkelens, D.W. (1989) Postgraduate Medical J. 65:275-281
von Euler, U.S. (1988) Prostaglandins, Biology and Chemistry of prostaglandins and related eicosanoids, Churchill-Livingstone, Curtis-Prior, P.B. (ed.) 1-7

Evans, P. (1993) Data collection and processing (Proc. of the CCP4 Study Weekend), SERC, Daresbury laboratory (In press)

Farrel, H.M., Bede, M.J., Enyeart, J.A. (1987) J. Dairy Sci. 70:252-258

Fielding, P.E. and Fielding, C.J. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 77:3327-3330

Francone, O.L., Evangelista, L., Fielding, C.J. (1993) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 1166:301304

Frederick, C.A., Grable, J., Melia, M., Samudzi, C., Jen-Jacobson, L., Wang, B.C., Greene, P., Boyer, H.W., Rosenberg, J.M. (1984) Nature 309:327-331

Fugate, R.D. and Song, P.-S. (1980) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 625:28-42

Fujinaga, M. and Read, R.J. (1987) J. Appl. Cryst. 20:517-521

Gill, S.C. and von Hippel, P.H. (1989) Anal. Biochem. 182:319-326

Godovac-Zimmerman, J. and Braunitzer, (1987) Biol. Chem. Hoppe-Seyler 366:431-434

Godovac-Zimmerman, J. (1988) TIBS 13:64-66

Gotto,Jr., A.M., Pownall, H.J., Havel, R.J. (1986) Methods in enzymology, Segrest, J.P. and Albers, J.J. (eds.) 28:3-41

Green, D.W., Aschaffenburg, R., Camerman, A., Coppola, J.C., Dunnill, P., Simmons, R.M., Komorowski, E.S., Sawyer, L., Turner, E.M.C., Woods, K,F. (1979) J. Mol. Biol. 131:375-397

Guo, L.S.S., Hamilton, R.L., Goerke, J., Weinstein, J.N., Havel, R.J. (1980) J. Lipid Res. 21:993-1003

Haagensen, C.D. (1971) Diseases of the breast, Saunders (ed.) 155-176

Haagensen,Jr., D.E., Mazoujian, G., Dilley, W.G., Pederson, C.E., Kister, S.J., Wells,Jr., S.A. (1979) J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:239-247

Haagensen, D.E., Stewart, P., Dilley, W.G., Wells, S.A. (1992) Breast Cancer Res. and Treatment 23:77-86

Haefliger, J.-A., Jenue, D., Stanley, K.K., Tschopp, J. (1987) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 149:750-754

Haffner, S.M., Applebaum-Bowden, D., Wahl, P.W., Hoover, J.J., Warnick, G.R., Albers, J.J., Hazzard, W.R. (1985) Arteriosclerosis 5:169-177

Hambling, S.G., McAlpine, A.S., Sawyer, L. (1992) Advanced dairy chemistry I, Elsevier, Fox, P.F. (ed.) 141-190

Handelmann, G.E., Boyles, J.K., Weisgraber, K.H., Mahley, R.W., Pitas, R.E. (1992) J. of Lipid Res. 33:1677-1688

Henzel, W.J., Rodriguez, H., Singer, A.G., Stults, J.T., Macrides, F., Agosta, W.C., Niall, H. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263:16682-16687

Holbrook, J.J. (1972) Biochem. J. 128:921-931

Holden, H.M., Rypniewski, W.R., Law, J.H., Rayment, I. (1987) EMBO J. .6:1565-1570

Hölmquist, L. (1989) J. of Bioch. and Bioph. methods 19:93-104

Hölmquist, L. (1990) Electrophoresis 11:93-94

Horwitz, J. and Heller, J. (1974) J. Biol. Chem. 249:4712-4719

Huber, R. (1985) Molecular replacement (Proc. of the Daresbury Study Weekend) Machin, P.A. (compiler), SERC, Daresbury laboratory 58-61

Huber, R., Schneider, M., Epp, O., Mayr, I., Messerschmidt, A., Pfulgrath, J., Kayser, H. (1987a) J. Mol. Biol. 195:423-434

Huber, R., Schneider, M., Mayr, I., Müller, R., Dentzmam, R., Suter, F., Zuber, H., Falk, H., Kayser, H. (1987b) J. Mol. Biol. 198:499-513

International tables (1983), IUC, Hahn, T. (ed.) Vol.A

Jancarik, J. and Kim, S.-H. (1991) J. Appl. Cryst. 24:409-411

Johnson,Jr., W.C. (1990) Proteins: structure, function and genetics 7:205-214

Jones, T.A., Zou, J.-Y., Cowan, S.W., Kjeldgaard, M. (1991) Acta Cryst. A47:110119

Julkunen, M., Seppala, M., Janne, O.A. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85:8845-8849

Kabsch, W. (1988a) J. Appl. Cryst. 21:67-71

Kabsch, W. (1988b) J. Appl. Cryst. 21:916-924

Kabsch, W. and Sander, C. (1983) Biopolymers 22:2577-2637

Kamboh, M.I., Albers, J.J., Majurnder, P.P., Ferrel, R.E. (1989) Am. J. Human Genet. 45:147-154

Keen, J.N., Caceres, I., Eliopolous, E.E., Zagalsky, P.F., Findlay, P.F., (1990) Eur.
J. Biochem. 197:407-417

Kesner, L., Yu, W., Bradlow, H.L. (1990) Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci. 586:198-203

Kitakabe, K., Piran, U., Kamio, Y., Doi, Y., Nishida, T. (1979) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 573:145-154

Kostner, G. (1974) Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. 33(supplement 137):19-21
Kostner, G. and Alaupovic, P. (1972) Biochemistry 11:3419-3428

Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R.R. (1982) J. Mol. Biol. 157:105-132

Labrie, F., Simard, J., Poulin, R., Hatton, A.-C., Labrie, C., Dauvois, S., Zhao, H., Petitclerc, L., Couet, J., Dumont, M., Haagensen,Jr., D.E. (1990) Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci. 586:174-187

Lagarde, M. (1988) Prostaglandins, Biology and Chemistry of prostaglandins and related eicosanoids, Churchill-Livingstone, Curtis-Prior, P.B. (ed.), 147-151

Laemmli, U.K. (1970) Nature 227:680-685

Lea, O. (1988) Steroids 52:337-338

Lee, K.-H., Wells, R.G., Beed, R.D. (1987) Science 235:1053-1056

Leslie, A.G.M. (1988) Improving protein phases (Proc. of the CCP4 Study Weekend) Bailey, S., Dodson, E., Phillips, S. (compilers) SERC, Daresbury laboratory 25-31

Lorber, B. and Giegé, R. (1992) Crystallization of nucleic acids and proteins - a practical approach, IRL Press Ducruix, A. and Giegé, R. (eds.) 19-45

Lovrien, R. and Anderson, W.F. (1969) Arch. Biochem. and Biophys. 131:139-144

Lüthy, R., Bowie, J.U., Eisenberg, D. (1992) Nature 356:83-85

Matthews, B.W. (1968) J. Mol. Biol. 33:491-493

Matthews, J.A., Frederick, C.A., Wang, B.C., Greene, P., Boyer, H.W., Grable, J., Rosenberg, J.M. (1986) Science 234:1526-1541
.Mazoujian, G. (1990) American J. of Dermatopathology 12:452-457

Mazoujian, G. and Haagensen,Jr., D.E. (1990) Annals N.Y. Acad.Sci. 586:188-197

McAlpine, A.S. (1991) Structural and functional studies on bovine $\beta$-lactoglobulin, $P h D$. thesis, University of Edinburgh

McClarin, J.A., Frederick, C.A., Wang, B.C., Greene, P., Boyer, H.W., Grable, J., Rosenberg, J.M. (1986) Science 234:1526-1541

McConathy, W.J. and Alaupovic, P. (1973) FEBS Letts. 37:178-182

McConathy, W.J. and Alaupovic, P. (1976) Biochemistry 15:515-520

McConathy, W.J. and Alaupovic, P. (1986) Methods in enzymology, Segrest, J.P. and Albers, J.J. (eds.) 128:297-311

McKenzie, H.A. (1971) Milk proteins - II, Academic press, McKenzie, H.A. (ed.) 257-330

Mills, O.E. (1976) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 434:24-332

Mohammadzadeh, A., Feeney, R.E., smith, L.M. (1969) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 194:246255

Molina, R., Filella, X., Herranz, M., Prats, M., Velasco, A., Zanon, G., MartinezOsaba, M.J., Ballesta, A.M. (1990) Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci. 586:29-42

Monaco, H.L., Zanotti, G., Spadon, P., Bolognesi, M., Sawyer, L., Eliopoulos, E.E. (1987) J. Mol. Biol. 197:695-706

Morton, R.E. and Zilversmit, D.B. (1981) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 663:350-355

Nagata, A., Suzuki, Y., Igarashi, m., Eguchi, N., Toh, H., Urade, Y., Hayaishi, O, (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88:4020-4024

Newcomer, M.E., Liljas, A., Sundelin, J., Rask, L., Peterson, P.A. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259:5230-5231

Newcomer, M.E., Jones, T.A., Åquist, J., Sundelin, J., Eriksson, U., Rask, L., Peterson, P.A. (1984) EMBO J. 3:1451-1454

North, A.C.T. (1989) Int. J. of Biol. Macromolecules 11:56-58
O'Neill, T.E. and Kinsella, J.E. (1987) J. Agric. Food Chem. 35:770-774
Osborne,Jr., J.C. (1986) Methods in enzymology, Segrest, J.P. and Albers, J.J. (eds.) 128:213-222

Pace, C.N. and Vanderburg, K.E. (1979) Biochemistry 19:288-292
Papiz, M. (1982) Crystallographic studies of beta-lactoglobulin at $2.8 \AA$ resolution, PhD. thesis, University of Edinburgh

Papiz, M.Z., Sawyer, L., Eliopoulos, E.E., North, A.C.T., Lindlay, J.B.C., Sivaprasadaro, R., Jones, T.A., Newcomer, M.E., Kraulis, P.J. (1986) Nature 324:383-385

Pearlman, W.H., Guériguian, J.L., Sawyer, M.E. (1973) J. Biol. Chem. 248:57365741

Peitsch, M.C. and Boguski, M.S. (1990) New Biologist 2:1-10
Pervaiz, S. and Brew, K. (1985) Science 228:335-337
Peterson, G.L. (1979) Anal. Biochem. 100:201-220
Pevsner, J., Reed, R.R., Feinstein, P.G., Suyder, S.H. (1988) FEBS Letts. 212:225228

Podjarny, A.D., Bhat, T.N., Zwick, M. (1987) Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem. 16:351-373

Provencher, S.W. and Glöckner, J. (1981) Biochemistry 20:33-37
Provost, P.R., Weech, P.K., Tremblay, N.M., Marcel, Y.L., Rassart, E. (1990) J. Lipid Res. 31:2057-2065

Provost, P.R., Marcel, Y.L., Milne, R.W., Weech, P.K., Rassart, E. (1991) FEBS

Rao, S.N., Jih, J.-H., Hartsuck, J.A. (1980) Acta Cryst. A36:878-884
Rask, L., Anundi, H., Peterson, P.A. (1979) FEBS Letts. 104:55-58

Redl, B., Holzfeind, Lottspeich, F. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267:20282-20287

Rice, D.W., Anderson, B.F., Baker, E.N. (1988) Improving protein phases (Proc. Of the Daresbury Study Weekend) Bailey, S., Dodson, E., Phillips, S. (compilers), SERC, Daresbury laboratory, 113-120

Riley, C.T., Barbean, B.K., Keim, P.S., Kezdy, F.J., Heinrikson, R.L., Law, J.H. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259:13159-13165

Robillard, K.A. and Wishnia, A. (1972) Biochemistry 11:3841-3845

Said, H.M., Ong, D.E., Singleton, J.L. (1989) Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 49:690-694

Sánchez, L.M., Freije, J.P., Merino, A.M., Vizoso, F., Foltmann, B., López-Ótin, C. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267:24725-24731

Sánchez-Ferrer, A., Bru, R., Garcia-Carmona, F. (1990) Anal. Biochem. 184:279-282

Sawyer, L. (1987) Nature 327:659

Sawyer, L., Papiz, M.Z., North, A.C.T., Eliopoulos, E.E. (1985) Biochem. Soc. Trans. 13:265-266

See, Y.P. and Jackowski, G. (1989) Protein structure - a practical approach, IRL press, Creighton, T.E. (ed.) 1-21

Segrest, J.P., Jackson, R.L., Morrisett, J.D., Gotto,Jr., A.M. (1974) FEBS Letts. 38:247-253

Sheldrick, G.M. (1991) Crystallographic computing 5, from chemistry to biology IUCR, Oxford university press, Moras, A.D., Podjarny, A.D., Thierry, J.C. (eds.) 145-157

Silva, J.S., Cox, C.E., Wells, S.A., Paull, D., Dilley, W.G., McCarty, K.S., Fetter,
B.F., Glaubitz, L.C., McCarty,Jr., K.S. (1982) Surgery 92:443-449

Simard, J., Dauvois, S., Haagensen, D.E., Levésque, C., Méraud, Y., Labrie, F. (1990) Endocrinology 126:3223-3231

Simard, J., Veilleux, R., de Lauvoit, Y., Haagensen, D.E., Labrie, F. (1991) Cancer Res. 51:4336-4341

Sizer, P.J.H., Miller, A., Watts, A. (1987) Biochemistry 26:5106-5113
Smith, K.M., Lawn, R.M., Wilcox, J.N. (1990) J. Lipid Res. 31:995-1004

Søreide, J.A., Lea, O., Kvinnsland, S. (1987) Breast Cancer Res. and Treatment 9:123-128

Søreide, J.A., Lea, O., Anda, O., Skarstein, A., Varhang, J.E., Kvinnsland, S. (1991a) Anticancer Res. 11:601-606

Søreide, J.A., Lea, O., Kvinnsland, S. (1991b) Anticancer Res. 11:1323-1326

Spector, A.A.and Fletcher, J.E. (1970) Lipids 5:403-411

Spence, A.M., Sheppard, P.C., Davie, J.R., Matuo, Y., Nishi, N., McKeehan, W.L., Dodd, J.G., Matusik, R.J. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86:7843-7847

Spreyer, P., Schaal, H., Kuhn, G., Rothe, T., Unterbeck, A., Olek, K., Müller, H.W. (1990) EMBO J. 9:2479-2484

Steyrer, E. and Kostner, G.M. (1988) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 958:484-491

Stout, C.D. (1979) Nature, 279:83-84

Sutor, F., Kayser, H., Zuber, H. (1988) Biol. Chem. Hoppe-Seyler 369:497-505
Tall, A.R., Small, D.M., Deckelbaum, R.J., Shipley, G.G. (1977) J. Biol. Chem. 252:4701-4711

Thannhauser, T.W., Konishi, Y., Sheraga, H.A. (1984) Anal. Biochem. 138:181-188

Thannhauser, T.W., Konishi, Y., Sheraga, H.A (1987) Methods in enzymology,

Jacoby, W.B. and griffith, O.W. (eds.) 143:115-118

Tickle, I.J. (1992) Molecular replacement (Proc. of the CCP4 Study Weekend)
Dodson, E.J., Gover, S., Wolf, W. (compilers), SERC, Daresbury laboratory 20-32
Tilley, J.M.A. (1960) Dairy Science Abstract 22:111-125

Townend, R., Herskovits, T.T., Timasheff, S.N., Gorbunoff, M.J. (1969) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 129:567-580

Tulinsky, A. (1985) Methods in enzymology, Whyckoff, H.W., Hirs, C.H.W., Timasheff, S.N. (eds.) 115:77-89

Unterman, R.D., Lynch, K.R., Nakhasi, H.L., Dolan, K.P., Hamilton, J.W., Cohn, D.V., Feigelson, P. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 78:3478-3482

Veerkamp, J.H., Peeters, R.A., Maotman, R.G.H.G. (1991) Bioch. Bioph. Acta 1081:1-24

Wald, J.H., Krul, E.S., Jonas, A. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265:20037-20043

Walsh, M.T., Watzlawick, H., Putnam, F.W., Schmid, K., Brossmer, R. (1990) Biochemistry 29:6250-6252

Wang, B.-C. (1985) Methods in enzymology, Wyckoff, H.W., Hirs, C.H.W., Timasheff, S.N. (eds.) 115:90-112

Ward, L.D. (1985) Methods in enzymology, Hirs, C.H.W. and Timasheff, S.N. (eds.) 117:400-414

Wilson, N.H. and Jones, R.L. (1985) Thromboxane Leukotriene Res. 14:393-425

Wishnia, A, and Pinder, T.W. (1966) Biochemistry 5:1534-1542

Woolfson, M.M. (1970) An introduction to X-ray crystallography, Cambridge university press

Zhang, K.Y.J., Main, P. (1988) Improving protein phases (Proc. of the CCP4 Study Weekend), Bailey, S., Dodson, E., Phillips, S. (compilers), SERC, Daresbury laboratory, 57-64

Appendix 1

## REMARK FILENAME="slowc_model3.pdb"

 REMARK Written by 0 version 5.8 ,REMARK Sun Mar $2115: 47: 291993$
REMARK DATE:20-Apr-93 04:01:51
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATOM
ATO ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM ATOM

| 1 | CB | THR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | OG1 | THR |
| 3 | HG1 | THR |
| 4 | CG2 | THR |
| 5 | C | THR |
| 6 | 0 | THR |
| 7 | HT1 | THR |
| 8 | HT2 | THR |
| 9 | N | THR |
| 10 | HT3 | THR |
| 11 | CA | THR |
| 12 | N | GLN |
| 13 | H | GLN |
| 14 | CA | GLN |
| 15 | CB | GLN |
| 16 | CG | GLN |
| 17 | CD | GLN |
| 18 | OE1 | GLN |
| 19 | NE2 | GLN |
| 20 | HE21 | GLN |
| 21 | HE22 | GLN |
| 22 | C | GLN |
| 23 | 0 | GLN |
| 24 | N | THR |
| 25 | H | THR |
| 26 | CA | THR |
| 27 | CB | THR |
| 28 | OG1 | THR |
| 29 | HG1 | THR |
| 30 | CG2 | THR |
| 31 | C | THR |
| 32 | 0 | THR |
| 33 | N | MET |
| 34 | H | MET |
| 35 | CA | MET |
| 36 | CB | MET |
| 37 | CG | MET |
| 38 | SD | MET |
| 39 | CE | MET |
| 40 | C | MET |
| 41 | 0 | MET |
| 42 | N | LYS |
| 43 | H | LYS |
| 44 | CA | LYS |
| 45 | CB | LYS |
| 46 | CG | LYS |
| 47 | CD | LYS |
| 48 | CE | LYS |
| 49 | NZ | LYS |
| 50 | HZ1 | LYS |
| 51 | HZ2 | LYS |
| 52 | HZ3 | LYS |
| 53 | C | LYS |
| 54 | 0 | LYS |
| 55 | N | GLY |
| 56 | H | GLY |
| 57 | CA | GLY |
| 58 | C | GLY |
| 59 | 0 | GLY |
| 60 | N | LEU |
| 61 | H | LEU |
| 62 | CA | LEU |



| 81 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.263 | 19.851 | 13.804 |  |
| 20.920 | 20.101 | 13.138 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 22.105 | 19.297 | 15.407 | 1.0015 |
| 18.497 | 20.549 | 16.225 | 1.00 |
| 17.513 | 20.165 | 15.583 | 1.001 |
| 19.689 | 17.471 | 16.096 |  |
| 8.986 | 18.443 | 14.915 | 15.00 |
| 19.159 | 18.343 | 15.941 | 1.00 |
| 18.185 | 18.283 | 16.312 | 1.001 |
| 9.729 | 19.643 | 16.265 | 0 |
| 18.517 | 21.715 | 16.878 | 1.0015 |
| 19.287 | 21.937 | 17.440 | 1.0015 |
| 17.370 | 22.615 | 16.983 | 1.00 |
| 16.818 | 22.934 | 15.527 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.946 | 24.205 | 15.499 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.312 | 24.640 | 14.170 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.093 | 24.861 | 14.058 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 16.091 | 24.790 | 13.094 |  |
| 17.045 | 24.587 | 13.151 | 1.0015 |
| 15.640 | 25.102 | 12.284 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 16.329 | 21.961 | 17.928 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.765 | 20.893 | 17.753 | 1.0015 |
| 16.294 | 22.528 | 19.107 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 16.889 | 23.273 | 19.325 | 1.0015 |
| 5.385 | 22.164 | 20.179 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 16.080 | 21.347 | 21.270 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 17.481 | 21.593 | 21.206 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 17.934 | 20.974 | 21.797 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.816 | 19.887 | 21.096 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.963 | 23.512 | 20.750 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.644 | 24.531 | 20.593 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 13.810 | 23.573 | 21.371 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 13.300 | 22.750 | 21.506 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 13.267 | 24.822 | 21.861 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 1.800 | 24.438 | 22.254 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 10.759 | 25.515 | 22.519 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 10.45 | 26.506 | 21.032 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 11.601 | 27.865 | 21.129 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.109 | 25.463 | 22.991 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.139 | 24.961 | 23.448 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 13.704 | 26.652 | 23.418 | 1.0015 |
| 12.954 | 27.068 | 22.967 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.25 | 27.297 | 24.591 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.380 | 28.822 | 24.438 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.905 | 29.598 | 25.671 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.855 | 31.128 | 25.512 | 0015.00 |
| 15.857 | 31.567 | 24.416 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 15.807 | 32.994 | 24.097 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 14.869 | 33.234 | 23.717 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 16.537 | 33.216 | 23.390 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 982 | 33.544 | 24.962 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 13.133 | 26.984 | 25.550 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 12.769 | 25.819 | 25.638 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 12.456 | 27.922 | 26.212 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 12.556 | 28.872 | 26.019 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 11.502 | 27.583 | 27.233 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 10.253 | 27.114 | 26.565 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 9.416 | 27.908 | 26.141 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 10.191 | 25.819 | 26.359 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 0.985 | 25.277 | 26.554 | 1.0015 .00 |
| 9.013 | 25.207 | 25.825 | 1.0015 .00 |


| ATOM | 63 | CB | LEU | 10 | 9.301 | 23.748 | 25.590 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 64 | CG | LEU | 10 | 8.174 | 22.833 | 25.136 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 65 | CD1 | LEU | 10 | 7.865 | 23.012 | 23.662 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 66 | CD2 | LEU | 10 | 8.615 | 21.406 | 25.394 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 67 | C | LEU | 10 | 8.040 | 25.417 | 26.973 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 68 | 0 | LEU | 10 | 8.116 | 24.775 | 28.035 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 69 | N | ASP | 11 | 7.156 | 26.386 | 26.800 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 70 | H | ASP | 11 | 7.178 | 26.928 | 25.977 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 71 | CA | ASP | 11 | 6.210 | 26.690 | 27.845 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 72 | CB | ASP | 11 | 5.903 | 28.187 | 27.748 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 73 | CG | ASP | 11 | 5.236 | 28.807 | 28.981 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 74 | OD1 | ASP | 11 | 4.003 | 28.914 | 28.966 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 75 | OD2 | ASP | 11 | 5.949 | 29.194 | 29.937 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 76 | C | ASP | 11 | 5.047 | 25.796 | 27.502 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 77 | 0 | ASP | 11 | 4.032 | 26.264 | 27.050 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 78 | N | ILE | 12 | 5.171 | 24.493 | 27.673 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 79 | H | ILE | 12 | 6.029 | 24.198 | 28.050 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 80 | CA | ILE | 12 | 4.163 | 23.498 | 27.355 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 81 | CB | ILE | 12 | 4.410 | 22.269 | 28.211 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 82 | CG2 | ILE | 12 | 3.293 | 21.279 | 28.155 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 83 | CG1 | ILE | 12 | 5.640 | 21.577 | 27.666 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 84 | CD1 | ILE | 12 | 6.431 | 20.745 | 28.737 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 85 | C | ILE | 12 | 2.750 | 23.971 | 27.531 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 86 | 0 | ILE | 12 | 2.007 | 23.884 | 26.576 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 87 | N | GLN | 13 | 2.318 | 24.570 | 28.627 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 88 | H | GLN | 13 | 2.944 | 24.732 | 29.362 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 89 | CA | GLN | 13 | 0.929 | 25.015 | 28.736 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 90 | CB | GLN | 13 | 0.715 | 25.588 | 30.182 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 91 | CG | GLN | 13 | 0.412 | 24.492 | 31.292 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 92 | CD | GLN | 13 | 1.573 | 23.805 | 32.040 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 93 | OE1 | GLN | 13 | 2.633 | 24.416 | 32.253 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 94 | NE2 | GLN | 13 | 1.449 | 22.543 | 32.474 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 95 | HE21 | GLN | 13 | 2.216 | 22.183 | 32.961 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 96 | HE22 | GLN | 13 | 0.617 | 22.043 | 32.326 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 97 | C | GLN | 13 | 0.495 | 25.995 | 27.635 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 98 | 0 | GLN | 13 | -0.657 | 26.008 | 27.233 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 99 | N | LYS | 14 | 1.395 | 26.735 | 27.033 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 100 | H | LYS | 14 | 2.304 | 26.756 | 27.373 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 101 | CA | LYS | 14 | 1.054 | 27.544 | 25.902 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 102 | CB | LYS | 14 | 2.109 | 28.618 | 25.683 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 103 | CG | LYS | 14 | 2.022 | 29.876 | 26.515 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 104 | CD | LYS | 14 | 3.244 | 30.765 | 26.264 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 105 | CE | LYS | 14 | 2.859 | 32.168 | 25.782 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 106 | NZ | LYS | 14 | 2.504 | 32.154 | 24.378 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 107 | HZ1 | LYS | 14 | 3.343 | 31.922 | 23.814 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 108 | Hz2 | LYS | 14 | 2.141 | 33.086 | 24.093 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 109 | HZ3 | LYS | 14 | 1.774 | 31.431 | 24.223 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 110 | C | LYS | 14 | 0.894 | 26.748 | 24.601 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 111 | 0 | LYS | 14 | 0.467 | 27.385 | 23.632 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 112 | N | VAL | 15 | 1.209 | 25.461 | 24.386 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 113 | H | VAL | 15 | 1.599 | 24.929 | 25.103 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 114 | CA | VAL | 15 | 0.934 | 24.850 | 23.078 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 115 | CB | VAL | 15 | 2.117 | 23.975 | 22.464 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 116 | CG1 | VAL | 15 | 3.280 | 24.877 | 22.120 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 117 | CG2 | VAL | 15 | 2.495 | 22.822 | 23.383 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 118 | C | VAL | 15 | -0.280 | 23.928 | 23.102 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 119 | 0 | VAL | 15 | -0.447 | 23.032 | 22.267 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 120 | N | ALA | 16 | -1.139 | 24.101 | 24.089 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 121 | H | ALA | 16 | -1.016 | 24.854 | 24.701 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 122 | CA | ALA | 16 | -2.300 | 23.248 | 24.211 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 123 | CB | ALA | 16 | -2.824 | 23.440 | 25.633 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 124 | C | ALA | 16 | -3.403 | 23.510 | 23.155 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 125 | 0 | ALA | 16 | -3.381 | 24.480 | 22.372 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 126 | N | GLY | 17 | -4.385 | 22.593 | 23.118 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 127 | H | GLY | 17 | -4.237 | 21.752 | 23.604 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 128 | CA | GLY | 17 | -5.535 | 22.643 | 22.218 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 129 | C | $Y$ | 17 | -5.444 | 21.646 | 21.040 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Атом | 130 | $\bigcirc$ | GLY | 17 | -4.931 | 20.526 | 21.170 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 131 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ | THR | 18 | -5.943 | 22.098 | 19.871 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 132 | H | THR | 18 | -6.133 | 23.045 | 19.739 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 133 | CA | THR | 18 | -6.065 | 21.323 | 18.639 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 134 | CB | THR | 18 | -7.395 | 21.631 | 17.905 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 135 | OG1 | THR | 18 | -7.601 | 23.047 | 17.990 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 136 | HG1 | THR | 18 | -8.130 | 23.315 | 17.221 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 137 | CG2 | THR | 18 | -8.573 | 20.886 | 18.502 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 138 | C | THR | 18 | -4.945 | 21.686 | 17.718 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 139 | $\bigcirc$ | THR | 18 | -4.590 | 22.861 | 17.634 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 140 | N | TRP | 19 | -4.449 | 20.717 | 16.979 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 141 | H | TRP | 19 | -4.866 | 19.826 | 16.979 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 142 | CA | TRP | 19 | -3.442 | 20.969 | 15.964 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 143 | CB | TRP | 19 | -2.102 | 20.459 | 16.426 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 144 | CG | TRP | 19 | -1.487 | 21.368 | 17.438 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| АтоM | 145 | CD2 | TRP | 19 | -0.901 | 22.580 | 17.201 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 146 | CE2 | TRP | 19 | -0.572 | 22.962 | 18.460 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 147 | CE3 | TRP | 19 | -0.586 | 23.410 | 16.163 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 148 | CD1 | TRP | 19 | -1.520 | 21.012 | 18.735 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 149 | NE1 | TRP | 19 | -0.952 | 22.014 | 19.338 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 150 | HE1 | TRP | 19 | -0.876 | 22.125 | 20.318 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 151 | Cz2 | TRP | 19 | 0.059 | 24.162 | 18.677 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 152 | Cz3 | TRP | 19 | 0.045 | 24.601 | 16.364 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 153 | CH2 | TRP | 19 | 0.364 | 24.971 | 17.625 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 154 | C | TRP | 19 | -3.828 | 20.231 | 14.696 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 155 | 0 | TRP | 19 | -4.536 | 19.224 | 14.813 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 156 | N | TYR | 20 | -3.374 | 20.689 | 13.515 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 157 | H | TYR | 20 | -2.839 | 21.497 | 13.525 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 158 | CA | TYR | 20 | -3.537 | 19.988 | 12.227 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 159 | CB | TYR | 20 | -4.314 | 20.845 | 11.164 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 160 | CG | TYR | 20 | -5.784 | 20.592 | 11.385 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 161 | CD1 | TYR | 20 | -6.606 | 21.592 | 11.823 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 162 | CE1 | TYR | 20 | -7.900 | 21.290 | 12.231 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 163 | CD2 | TYR | 20 | -6.247 | 19.290 | 11.312 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 164 | CE2 | TYR | 20 | -7.532 | 18.967 | 11.717 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 165 | Cz | TYR | 20 | -8.367 | 19.972 | 12.191 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 166 | OH | TYR | 20 | -9.635 | 19.633 | 12.691 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 167 | HH | TYR | 20 | -16.068 | 20.421 | 13.050 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 168 | c | TYR | 20 | -2.184 | 19.623 | 11.638 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 169 | $\bigcirc$ | TYR | 20 | -1.353 | 20.514 | 11.403 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 170 | N | SER | 21 | -1.893 | 18.340 | 11.423 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 171 | H | SER | 21 | -2.535 | 17.638 | 11.664 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 172 | CA | SER | 21 | -0.623 | 17.934 | 10.836 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 173 | CB | SER | 21 | -0.439 | 16.439 | 10.923 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 174 | OG | SER | 21 | -0.427 | 16.148 | 12.300 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 175 | HG | SER | 21 | 0.478 | 15.848 | 12.485 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 176 | C | SER | 21 | -0.501 | 18.332 | 9.396 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 177 | - | SER | 21 | -0.527 | 17.509 | 8.504 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 178 | N | LEU | 22 | -0.297 | 19.600 | 9.132 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 179 | H | Leu | 22 | -0.120 | 20.224 | 9.868 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 180 | CA | LEU | 22 | -0.228 | 20.070 | 7.784 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 181 | CB | LeU | 22 | -0.199 | 21.575 | 7.925 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 182 | CG | LEU | 22 | -0.040 | 22.653 | 6.861 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 183 | CD1 | LEU | 22 | 1.369 | 23.141 | 6.833 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 184 | CD2 | LEU | 22 | -0.570 | 22.121 | 5.543 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 185 | C | LEU | 22 | 0.944 | 19.471 | 7.026 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 186 | $\bigcirc$ | LEU | 22 | 0.881 | 19.566 | 5.817 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 187 | N | ALA | 23 | 1.961 | 18.763 | 7.504 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 188 | H | ALA | 23 | 1.896 | 18.379 | 8.399 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 189 | CA | ALA | 23 | 3.099 | 18.354 | 6.679 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 190 | CB | ALA | 23 | 4.073 | 19.525 | 6.651 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 191 | C | ALA | 23 | 3.755 | 17.087 | 7.236 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 192 |  | ALA | 23 | 3.142 | 16.590 | 8.163 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 193 | N | MET | 24 | 4.880 | 16.450 | 6.878 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 194 | H | MET | 24 | 5.362 | 16.762 | 6.081 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 195 | CA | MET | 24 | 5.363 | 15.188 | 7.487 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 196 | CB | MET | 24 | 4.458 | 13.986 | 7.280 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 197 | CG | MET | 24 | 3.575 | 13.673 | 8.445 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 198 | SD | MET | 24 | 2.244 | 12.505 | 8.107 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 199 | CE | MET | 24 | 0.837 | 13.416 | 8.659 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 200 | C | MET | 24 | 6.630 | 14.835 | 6.753 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 201 | 0 | MET | 24 | 6.665 | 15.175 | 5.570 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 202 | N | ALA | 25 | 7.654 | 14.148 | 7.259 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 203 | H | ALA | 25 | 7.589 | 13.710 | 8.137 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 204 | CA | ALA | 25 | 8.881 | 14.002 | 6.497 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 205 | CB | ALA | 25 | 9.608 | 15.323 | 6.564 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 206 | C | ALA | 25 | 9.830 | 12.899 | 6.931 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 207 | 0 | ALA | 25 | 9.444 | 11.926 | 7.572 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 208 | N | ALA | 26 | 11.082 | 13.115 | 6.505 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 209 | H | ALA | 26 | 11.163 | 13.717 | 5.740 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 210 | CA | ALA | 26 | 12.329 | 12.432 | 6.865 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 211 | CB | ALA | 26 | 12.935 | 13.187 | 8.068 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 212 | C | ALA | 26 | 12.512 | 10.933 | 7.128 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 213 | 0 | ALA | 26 | 11.627 | 10.086 | 7.272 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 214 | N | SER | 27 | 13.822 | 10.729 | 6.966 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 215 | H | SER | 27 | 14.317 | 11.493 | 6.607 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 216 | CA | SER | 27 | 14.571 | 9.493 | 7.103 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 217 | CB | SER | 27 | 14.679 | 9.069 | 8.566 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 218 | OG | SER | 27 | 15.388 | 10.041 | 9.323 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 219 | HG | SER | 27 | 14.821 | 10.814 | 9.345 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 220 | C | SER | 27 | 14.094 | 8.301 | 6.310 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 221 | 0 | SER | 27 | 14.820 | 7.978 | 5.350 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 222 | N | ASP | 28 | 12.950 | 7.639 | 6.524 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 223 | H | ASP | 28 | 12.237 | 8.038 | 7.081 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 224 | CA | ASP | 28 | 12.694 | 6.466 | 5.725 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 225 | CB | ASP | 28 | 12.799 | 5.253 | 6.607 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 226 | CG | ASP | 28 | 13.535 | 4.070 | 5.994 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 227 | OD1 | ASP | 28 | 12.968 | 3.379 | 5.155 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 228 | OD2 | ASP | 28 | 14.674 | 3.819 | 6.379 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 229 | C | ASP | 28 | 11.362 | 6.507 | 5.031 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 230 | 0 | ASP | 28 | 10.305 | 6.800 | 5.564 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 231 | N | ILE | 29 | 11.501 | 6.204 | 3.765 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 232 | H | ILE | 29 | 12.421 | 6.093 | 3.472 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 233 | CA | ILE | 29 | 10.405 | 6.064 | 2.823 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 234 | CB | ILE | 29 | 11.081 | 5.689 | 1.492 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 235 | CG2 | ILE | 29 | 11.960 | 4.441 | 1.729 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 236 | CG1 | ILE | 29 | 10.116 | 5.376 | 0.384 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 237 | CD1 | ILE | 29 | 11.007 | 5.005 | -0.839 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 238 | C | ILE | 29 | 9.317 | 5.069 | 3.232 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 239 | 0 | ILE | 29 | 8.134 | 5.308 | 2.982 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 240 | N | SER | 30 | 9.718 | 3.959 | 3.875 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 241 | H | SER | 30 | 10.641 | 3.854 | 4.171 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 242 | CA | SER | 30 | 8.798 | 2.902 | 4.233 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 243 | CB | SER | 30 | 9.571 | 1.694 | 4.831 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 244 | OG | SER | 30 | 10.652 | 2.033 | 5.681 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 245 | HG | SER | 30 | 11.042 | 1.215 | 6.016 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 246 | C | SER | 30 | 7.797 | 3.470 | 5.217 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 247 | 0 | SER | 30 | 6.611 | 3.601 | 4.910 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 248 | N | LEU | 31 | 8.390 | 3.997 | 6.300 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 249 | H | LEU | 31 | 9.368 | 4.034 | 6.295 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 250 | CA | LEU | 31 | 7.696 | 4.500 | 7.449 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 251 | CB | LEU | 31 | 8.613 | 5.192 | 8.407 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 252 | CG | LEU | 31 | 9.935 | 4.566 | 8.802 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 253 | CD1 | LEU | 31 | 10.460 | 5.451 | 9.953 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 254 | CD2 | LEU | 31 | 9.817 | 3.065 | 9.111 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 255 | C | LEU | 31 | 6.616 | 5.468 | 7.120 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 256 | 0 | LEU | 31 | 5.708 | 5.427 | 7.940 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 257 | N | LEU | 32 | 6.591 | 6.278 | 6.066 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 258 | H | LEU | 32 | 7.311 | 6.257 | 5.402 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 259 | CA | LEU | 32 | 5.437 | 7.137 | 5.863 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 260 | CB | LEU | 32 | 5.830 | 8.607 | 5.754 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 261 | CG | LEU | 32 | 6.164 | 9.562 | 6.915 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 262 | CD1 | LEU | 32 | 7.428 | 9.247 | 7.698 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 263 | CD2 | LEU | 32 | 6.352 | 10.906 | 6.240 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 264 | C | LEU | 32 | 4.612 | 6.824 | 4.615 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 265 | 0 | LEU | 32 | 3.687 | 7.590 | 4.253 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 266 | N | ASP | 33 | 4.905 | 5.738 | 3.877 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 267 | H | ASP | 33 | 5.535 | 5.054 | 4.187 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 268 | CA | ASP | 33 | 4.145 | 5.528 | 2.652 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 269 | CB | ASP | 33 | 4.965 | 4.609 | 1.642 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 270 | CG | ASP | 33 | 5.431 | 3.164 | 1.908 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 271 | OD1 | ASP | 33 | 6.631 | 2.972 | 2.088 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 272 | OD2 | ASP | 33 | 4.641 | 2.208 | 1.854 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 273 | C | ASP | 33 | 2.744 | 4.972 | 2.948 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 274 | 0 | ASP | 33 | 2.569 | 3.840 | 3.402 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 275 | N | ALA | 34 | 1.734 | 5.851 | 2.802 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 276 | H | ALA | 34 | 2.004 | 6.792 | 2.782 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 277 | CA | ALA | 34 | 0.309 | 5.520 | 2.931 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 278 | CB | ALA | 34 | -0.103 | 4.344 | 2.089 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 279 | C | ALA | 34 | -0.217 | 5.182 | 4.316 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 280 | 0 | ALA | 34 | 0.494 | 4.696 | 5.185 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 281 | N | GLN | 35 | -1.518 | 5.361 | 4.550 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 282 | H | GLN | 35 | -2.088 | 5.616 | 3.799 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 283 | CA | GLN | 35 | -2.114 | 5.232 | 5.874 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 284 | CB | GLN | 35 | -3.634 | 5.413 | 5.844 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 285 | CG | GLN | 35 | -4.428 | 6.473 | 5.028 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 286 | CD | GLN | 35 | -4.582 | 7.898 | 5.564 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 287 | OE1 | GLN | 35 | -4.383 | 8.898 | 4.864 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 288 | NE2 | GLN | 35 | -4.974 | 8.113 | 6.806 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 289 | HE21 | GLN | 35 | -5.125 | 9.052 | 7.018 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 290 | HE22 | GLN | 35 | -5.077 | 7.373 | 7.436 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 291 | C | GLN | 35 | -1.848 | 3.888 | 6.518 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 292 | 0 | GLN | 35 | -2.183 | 3.701 | 7.672 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 293 | N | SER | 36 | -1.304 | 2.916 | 5.809 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 294 | H | SER | 36 | -1.142 | 3.009 | 4.853 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 295 | CA | SER | 36 | -0.919 | 1.628 | 6.377 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 296 | CB | SER | 36 | -0.681 | 0.566 | 5.273 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 297 | OG | SER | 36 | -1.375 | 0.822 | 4.057 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 298 | HG | SER | 36 | -1.123 | 0.141 | 3.425 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 299 | C | SER | 36 | 0.381 | 1.653 | 7.187 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 300 | 0 | SER | 36 | 0.776 | 0.648 | 7.798 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 301 | N | ALA | 37 | 1.120 | 2.748 | 7.077 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 302 | H | ALA | 37 | 0.721 | 3.578 | 6.752 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 303 | CA | ALA | 37 | 2.472 | 2.767 | 7.569 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 304 | CB | ALA | 37 | 3.210 | 3.779 | 6.733 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 305 | C | ALA | 37 | 2.652 | 3.058 | 9.049 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 306 | 0 | ALA | 37 | 1.700 | 3.407 | 9.748 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 307 | N | PRO | 38 | 3.863 | 2.870 | 9.581 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 308 | CD | PRO | 38 | 4.818 | 1.876 | 9.127 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 309 | CA | PRO | 38 | 4.271 | 3.347 | 10.882 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 310 | CB | PRO | 38 | 5.731 | 2.992 | 10.938 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 311 | CG | PRO | 38 | 5.690 | 1.617 | 10.345 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 312 | C | PRO | 38 | 3.992 | 4.804 | 11.229 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 313 | 0 | PRO | 38 | 2.855 | 5.125 | 11.586 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 314 | N | LEU | 39 | 4.925 | 5.742 | 11.110 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 315 | H | LEU | 39 | 5.720 | 5.599 | 10.559 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 316 | CA | LEU | 39 | 4.619 | 7.039 | 11.619 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 317 | CB | LEU | 39 | 5.943 | 7.585 | 11.991 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 318 | CG | LEU | 39 | 6.506 | 7.020 | 13.262 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 319 | CD1 | LEU | 39 | 7.886 | 6.523 | 13.053 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 320 | CD2 | LEU | 39 | 6.488 | 8.099 | 14.302 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 321 | C | LEU | 39 | 3.777 | 7.966 | 10.746 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 322 | 0 | LEU | 39 | 3.960 | 9.191 | 10.663 |  |  |
| ATOM | 323 | N | ARG | 40 | 2.753 | 7.381 | 10.131 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 324 | H | ARG | 40 | 2.534 | 6.436 | 10.263 9.408 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 325 | CA | ARG | 40 | 1.804 | 8.159 7.535 | 9.408 8.104 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 326 | CB | ARG | 40 | 1.444 | 7.535 | 8.104 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 327 | CG | ARG | 40 | 0.978 | 8.622 | 7.126 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 328 | CD | ARG | 40 | 0.871 | 8.086 | 5.680 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 329 | NE | ARG | 40 | 0.842 | 9.136 | 5.680 4.678 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 330 | HE | ARG | 40 | 1.499 | 9.861 | 4.728 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 331 | Cz | ARG | 40 | -0.042 | 9.137 | 3.689 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 332 | NH1 | ARG | 40 | -0.962 | 8.213 | 3.510 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 333 | HH11 | ARG | 40 | -1.596 | 8.291 | 2.741 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 334 | HH12 | ARG | 40 | -1.049 | 7.453 | 4.153 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 335 | NH2 | ARG | 40 | -0.013 | 10.127 | 2.841 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 336 | HH21 | ARG | 40 | 0.663 | 10.858 | 2.940 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 337 | HH22 | ARG | 40 | -0.661 | 10.135 | 2.081 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 338 | C | ARG | 40 | 0.627 | 8.085 | 10.344 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 339 | 0 | ARG | 40 | -0.315 | 7.299 | 10.169 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 340 | N | VAL | 41 | 0.800 | 8.977 | 11.344 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 341 | H | VAL | 41 | 1.552 | 9.602 | 11.257 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 342 | CA | VAL | 41 | -0.117 | 9.219 | 12.467 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 343 | CB | VAL | 41 | 0.750 | 8.864 | 13.749 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 344 | CG1 | VAL | 41 | 1.666 | 9.995 | 14.150 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 345 | CG2 | VAL | 41 | -0.168 | 8.431 | 14.844 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 346 | C | VAL | 41 | -0.576 | 10.685 | 12.283 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 347 | 0 | VAL | 41 | 0.224 | 11.458 | 11.724 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 348 | N | TYR | 42 | -1.813 | 11.117 | 12.661 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 349 | H | TYR | 42 | -2.377 | 10.490 | 13.161 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 350 | CA | TYR | 42 | -2.384 | 12.498 | 12.416 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 351 | CB | TYR | 42 | -3.614 | 12.464 | 11.544 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 352 | CG | TYR | 42 | -3.362 | 11.765 | 10.247 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 353 | CD1 | TYR | 42 | -4.191 | 10.728 | 9.924 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 354 | CE1 | TYR | 42 | -3.997 | 10.093 | 8.728 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 355 | CD2 | TYR | 42 | -2.341 | 12.189 | 9.423 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 356 | CE2 | TYR | 42 | -2.159 | 11.556 | 8.229 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 357 | CZ | TYR | 42 | -2.994 | 10.515 | 7.894 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 358 | OH | TYR | 42 | -2.820 | 9.871 | 6.691 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 359 | HH | TYR | 42 | -3.508 | 10.139 | 6.067 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 360 | C | TYR | 42 | -2.820 | 13.341 | 13.629 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 361 | 0 | TYR | 42 | -3.761 | 12.966 | 14.339 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 362 | N | VAL | 43 | -2.220 | 14.496 | 13.897 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 363 | H | VAL | 43 | -1.753 | 14.987 | 13.188 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 364 | CA | VAL | 43 | -2.409 | 15.098 | 15.192 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 365 | CB | VAL | 43 | -1.080 | 15.989 | 15.447 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 366 | CG1 | VAL | 43 | -1.124 | 16.771 | 16.758 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 367 | CG2 | VAL | 43 | 0.152 | 15.068 | 15.675 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 368 | C | VAL | 43 | -3.756 | 15.809 | 15.241 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 369 | 0 | VAL | 43 | -4.135 | 16.537 | 14.332 | +1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 370 | N | GLU | 44 | -4.533 | 15.437 | 16.258 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 371 | H | GLU | 44 | -4.232 | 14.724 | 16.858 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 372 | CA | GLU | 44 | -5.828 | 16.042 | 16.462 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 373 | CB | GLU | 44 | -6.803 | 15.008 | 17.015 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 374 | CG | GLU | 44 | -8.282 | 15.479 | 16.878 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 375 | CD | GLU | 44 | -9.346 | 14.534 | 17.475 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 376 | OE1 | GLU | 44 | -9.242 | 14.102 | 18.641 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 377 | OE2 | GLU | 44 | -10.291 | 14.221 | 16.739 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 378 | C | GLU | 44 | -5.710 | 17.199 | 17.436 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 379 | 0 | GLU | 44 | -6.172 | 18.324 | 17.188 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 380 | N | GLU | 45 | -5.091 | 16.904 | 18.596 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 381 | H | GLU | 45 | -4.748 | 15.994 | 18.734 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 382 | CA | GLU | 45 | -4.865 | 17.870 | 19.668 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 383 | CB | GLU | 45 | -6.154 | 18.079 | 20.415 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 384 | CG | GLU | 45 | -6.360 | 17.294 | 21.704 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 385 | CD | GLU | 45 | -7.806 | 17.421 | 22.082 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 386 | OE1 | GLU | 45 | -8.100 | 18.300 | 22.913 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 387 | OE2 | GLU | 45 | -8.595 | 16.661 | 21.495 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 388 | C | GLU | 45 | -3.786 | 17.385 | 20.636 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 389 | 0 | GLU | 45 | -3.508 | 16.184 | 20.811 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 390 | N | LEU | 46 | -3.223 | 18.364 | 21.318 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 391 | H | LEU | 46 | -3.617 | 19.258 | 21.321 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 392 | CA | LEU | 46 | -2.088 | 18.155 | 22.193 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 393 | CB | LEU | 46 | -0.954 | 19.084 | 21.694 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Атом | 394 | CG | LEU | 46 | 0.538 | 18.806 | 21.487 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 395 | CD1 | LEU | 46 | 0.791 | 17.718 | 20.434 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 396 | CD2 | LEU | 46 | 1.166 | 20.132 | 21.077 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 397 | C | LEU | 46 | -2.651 | 18.572 | 23.554 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 398 | 0 | LEU | 46 | -3.237 | 19.663 | 23.697 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 399 | N | LYS | 47 | -2.560 | 17.728 | 24.578 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 400 | H | LYS | 47 | -2.184 | 16.826 | 24.474 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 401 | CA | LYS | 47 | -3.004 | 18.149 | 25.872 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 402 | CB | LYS | 47 | -4.083 | 17.305 | 26.399 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 403 | CG | LYS | 47 | -5.284 | 17.010 | 25.530 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 404 | CD | LYS | 47 | -6.064 | 15.948 | 26.332 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 405 | CE | LYS | 47 | -5.253 | 14.657 | 26.755 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 406 | NZ | LYS | 47 | -5.628 | 14.179 | 28.092 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 407 | Hz1 | LYS | 47 | -5.190 | 13.255 | 28.270 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 408 | Hz2 | LYS | 47 | -6.662 | 14.085 | 28.144 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 409 | Hz3 | LYS | 47 | -5.301 | 14.864 | 28.802 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 410 | C | LYS | 47 | -1.771 | 17.883 | 26.691 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 411 | 0 | LYS | 47 | -1.328 | 16.741 | 26.854 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 412 | N | PRO | 48 | -1.122 | 18.953 | 27.139 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 413 | CD | PRO | 48 | -1.229 | 20.293 | 26.595 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 414 | CA | PRO | 48 | -0.293 | 18.973 | 28.336 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 415 | CB | PRO | 48 | 0.112 | 20.401 | 28.489 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 416 | CG | PRO | 48 | -0.999 | 21.165 | 27.830 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 417 | C | PRO | 48 | -1.049 | 18.464 | 29.556 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 418 | 0 | PRO | 48 | -2.293 | 18.416 | 29.538 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 419 | N | THR | 49 | -0.331 | 18.077 | 30.614 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 420 | H | THR | 49 | 0.644 | 18.056 | 30.564 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 421 | CA | THR | 49 | -1.007 | 17.736 | 31.850 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 422 | CB | THR | 49 | -0.353 | 16.462 | 32.485 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 423 | OG1 | THR | 49 | 1.046 | 16.640 | 32.367 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 424 | HG1 | THR | 49 | 1.242 | 16.437 | 31.435 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 425 | CG2 | THR | 49 | -0.775 | 15.151 | 31.845 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 426 | C | THR | 49 | -0.9n4 | 18.966 | 32.787 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 427 | $\bigcirc$ | THR | 49 | -0.136 | 19.919 | 32.543 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 428 | N | PRO | 50 | -1.653 | 18.996 | 33.904 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 429 | CD | PRO | 50 | -3.049 | 18.563 | 34.027 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 430 | CA | PRO | 50 | -1.241 | 19.581 | 35.186 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 431 | CB | PRO | 50 | -2.134 | 18.887 | 36.241 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 432 | CG | PRO | 50 | -3.027 | 17.909 | 35.443 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 433 | C | PRO | 50 | 0.237 | 19.422 | 35.455 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 434 | $\bigcirc$ | PRO | 50 | 0.926 | 20.405 | 35.660 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 435 | N | GLU | 51 | 0.773 | 18.227 | 35.303 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 436 | H | GLU | 51 | 0.235 | 17.518 | 34.908 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 437 | CA | GLU | 51 | 2.176 | 18.016 | 35.582 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 438 | CB | GLU | 51 | 2.374 | 16.561 | 36.056 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 439 | CG | GLU | 51 | 1.274 | 15.540 | 35.753 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 440 | CD | GLU | 51 | -0.025 | 15.646 | 36.557 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 441 | OE1 | GLU | 51 | -0.824 | 14.717 | 36.471 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 442 | OE2 | GLU | 51 | -0.256 | 16.631 | 37.258 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 443 | C | GLU | 51 | 3.101 | 18.328 | 34.401 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 444 | - | GLU | 51 | 4.135 | 17.667 | 34.265 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 445 | N | GLY | 52 | 2.790 | 19.283 | 33.504 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 446 | H | GLY | 52 | 1.964 | 19.795 | 33.629 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 447 | CA | GLY | 52 | 3.671 | 19.592 | 32.390 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 448 | C | GLY | 52 | 4.004 | 18.450 | 31.382 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 449 | 0 | GLY | 52 | 4.743 | 18.750 | 30.422 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 450 | N | ASP | 53 | 3.563 | 17.162 | 31.500 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 451 | H | ASP | 53 | 3.132 | 16.897 | 32.338 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 452 | CA | ASP | 53 | 3.786 | 16.146 | 30.441 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 453 | CB | ASP | 53 | 3.418 | 14.712 | 30.658 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 454 | CG | ASP | 53 | 3.455 | 14.159 | 32.044 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 455 | OD1 | ASP | 53 | 2.401 | 13.622 | 32.427 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 456 | OD2 | ASP | 53 | 4.519 | 14.248 | 32.680 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 457 | C | ASP | 53 | 2.846 | 16.348 | 29.275 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 458 | - | ASP | 53 | 1.706 | 16.759 | 29.525 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 459 | N | LEU | 54 | 3.231 | 16.013 | 28.049 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 460 | H | LEU | 54 | 4.080 | 15.550 | 27.895 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 461 | CA | LEU | 54 | 2.240 | 16.047 | 27.002 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 462 | CB | LEU | 54 | 2.807 | 16.287 | 25.624 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 463 | CG | LEU | 54 | 3.367 | 17.643 | 25.390 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 464 | CD1 | LEU | 54 | 3.970 | 17.681 | 24.003 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 465 | CD2 | LEU | 54 | 2.282 | 18.681 | 25.566 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 466 | C | LEU | 54 | 1.648 | 14.649 | 26.987 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 467 | 0 | LEU | 54 | 2.199 | 13.684 | 27.543 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 468 | N | GLU | 55 | 0.467 | 14.610 | 26.373 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 469 | H | GLU | 55 | 0.008 | 15.460 | 26.189 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 470 | CA | GLU | 55 | -0.264 | 13.417 | 25.984 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 471 | CB | GLU | 55 | -1.443 | 13.148 | 26.842 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 472 | CG | GLU | 55 | -1.263 | 12.023 | 27.814 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 473 | CD | GLU | 55 | -2.452 | 11.885 | 28.761 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 474 | OE1 | GLU | 55 | -3.610 | 12.092 | 28.370 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 475 | OE2 | GLU | 55 | -2.205 | 11.564 | 29.918 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 476 | C | GLU | 55 | -0.782 | 13.937 | 24.657 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 477 | 0 | GLU | 55 | -1.316 | 15.062 | 24.560 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 478 | N | ILE | 56 | -0.547 | 13.105 | 23.641 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 479 | H | ILE | 56 | -0.301 | 12.198 | 23.862 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 480 | CA | ILE | 56 | -0.825 | 13.389 | 22.240 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 481 | CB | ILE | 56 | 0.303 | 12.812 | 21.307 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 482 | CG2 | ILE | 56 | 0.206 | 13.597 | 20.019 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 483 | CG1 | ILE | 56 | 1.733 | 12.975 | 21.810 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 484 | CD1 | ILE | 56 | 2.350 | 14.382 | 21.902 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 485 | C | ILE | 56 | -2.149 | 12.670 | 21.987 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 486 | 0 | ILE | 56 | -2.361 | 11.524 | 22.419 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 487 | N | LEU | 57 | -3.050 | 13.398 | 21.344 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 488 | H | LEU | 57 | -2.839 | 14.320 | 21.087 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 489 | CA | LEU | 57 | -4.340 | 12.882 | 21.005 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 490 | CB | LEU | 57 | -5.348 | 13.934 | 21.428 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 491 | CG | LEU | 57 | -6.631 | 13.612 | 22.236 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 492 | CD1 | LEU | 57 | -7.577 | 12.736 | 21.387 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 493 | CD2 | LEU | 57 | -6.249 | 12.955 | 23.582 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 494 | C | LEU | 57 | -4.262 | 12.653 | 19.501 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 495 | 0 | LEU | 57 | -4.251 | 13.539 | 18.628 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 496 | N | LEU | 58 | -4.273 | 11.377 | 19.211 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 497 | H | LEU | 58 | -4.479 | 10.707 | 19.898 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 498 | CA | LEU | 58 | -3.986 | 10.931 | 17.874 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 499 | CB | LEU | 58 | -2.657 | 10.278 | 17.974 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 500 | CG | LEU | 58 | -1.493 | 11.124 | 17.630 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 501 | CD1 | LeU | 58 | -0.295 | 10.244 | 17.971 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 502 | CD2 | LEU | 58 | -1.529 | 11.616 | 16.174 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 503 | C | LEU | 58 | -4.833 | 10.080 | 16.926 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 504 | 0 | LEU | 58 | -5.237 | 8.976 | 17.270 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 505 | N | GLN | 59 | -5.063 | 10.508 | 15.687 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 506 | H | GLN | 59 | -4.674 | 11.355 | 15.374 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 507 | CA | GLN | 59 | -5.718 | 9.640 | 14.741 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 508 | CB | GLN | 59 | -6.409 | 10.450 | 13.660 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 509 | CG | GLN | 59 | -7.436 | 11.555 | 13.995 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 510 | CD | GLN | 59 | -7.448 | 12.634 | 12.896 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 511 | OE1 | GLN | 59 | -6.524 | 12.740 | 12.095 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 512 | NE2 | GLN | 59 | -8.433 | 13.505 | 12.729 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 513 | HE21 | GLN | 59 | -9.192 | 13.458 | 13.336 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 514 | HE22 | GLN | 59 | -8.308 | 14.194 | 12.037 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 515 | C | GLN | 59 | -4.585 | 8.820 | 14.134 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 516 | 0 | GLN | 59 | -3.427 | 9.227 | 14.162 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 517 | N | LYS | 60 | -4.871 | 7.676 | 13.556 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 518 | H | LYS | 60 | -5.812 | 7.402 | 13.521 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 519 | CA | LYS | 60 | -3.921 | 6.758 | 12.928 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 520 | CB | LYS | 60 | -3.389 | 5.733 | 13.950 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 521 | CG | LYS | 60 | -1.881 | 5.388 | 13.943 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 522 | CD | LYS | 60 | -1.495 | 3.915 3.960 | 13.642 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 523 | CE | LYS | 60 | -0.513 | 3.960 | 12.446 11.306 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 524 | NZ | LYS | 60 | -1.025 | 4.730 | 11.306 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 525 | HZ1 | LYS | 60 | -1.937 | 4.332 | 11.003 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 526 | HZ2 | LYS | 60 | -0.344 | 4.673 | 10.522 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATCM | 527 | HZ3 | LYS | 60 | -1.154 | 5.726 | 11.578 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 528 | C | LYS | 60 | -4.803 | 6.036 | 11.895 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 529 | 0 | LYS | 60 | -5.884 | 6.548 | 11.530 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 530 | N | TRP | 61 | -4.406 | 4.855 | 11.387 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 531 | H | TRP | 61 | -3.483 | 4.557 | 11.547 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 532 | CA | TRP | 61 | -5.204 | 4.029 | 10.455 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 533 | CB | TRP | 61 | -5.081 | 4.569 | 8.996 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 534 | CG | TRP | 61 | -6.091 | 4.021 | 7.994 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 535 | CD2 | TRP | 61 | -7.263 | 4.610 | 7.611 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 536 | CE2 | TRP | 61 | -7.781 | 3.676 | 6.740 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 537 | CE3 | TRP | 61 | -7.974 | 5.761 | 7.862 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 538 | CD1 | TRP | 61 | -5.905 | 2.820 | 7.381 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 539 | NE1 | TRP | 61 | -6.952 | 2.644 | 6.624 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 540 | HE1 | TRP | 61 | -7.074 | 1.870 | 6.035 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 541 | CZ2 | TRP | 61 | -8.999 | 3.872 | 6.123 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 542 | CZ3 | TRP | 61 | -9.201 | 5.966 | 7.244 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 543 | CH2 | TRP | 61 | -9.717 | 5.027 | 6.376 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 544 | C | TRP | 61 | -4.516 | 2.663 | 10.605 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 545 | 0 | TRP | 61 | -3.568 | 2.297 | 9.894 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 546 | N | GLU | 62 | -4.883 | 1.890 | 11.620 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 547 | H | GLU | 62 | -5.692 | 2.100 | 12.134 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 548 | CA | GLU | 62 | -4.230 | 0.612 | 11.848 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 549 | CB | GLU | 62 | -3.500 | 0.539 | 13.204 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 550 | CG | GLU | 62 | -3.399 | -0.816 | 13.988 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 551 | CD | GLU | 62 | -4.584 | -1.199 | 14.897 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 552 | OE1 | GLU | 62 | -4.879 | -2.405 | 15.015 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 553 | OE2 | GLU | 62 | -5.206 | -0.280 | 15.473 | 1. .00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 554 | C | GLU | 62 | -5.423 | -0.270 | 11.890 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 555 | 0 | GLU | 62 | -6.460 | 0.087 | 12.472 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 556 | N | ASN | 63 | -5.173 | -1.358 | 11.163 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 557 | H | ASN | 63 | -4.331 | -1.377 | 10.660 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 558 | CA | ASN | 63 | -6.046 | -2.518 | 11.046 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 559 | CB | ASN | 63 | -5.334 | -3.647 | 11.791 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 560 | CG | ASN | 63 | -5.166 | -4.856 | 10.893 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 561 | OD1 | ASN | 63 | -4.102 | -5.051 | 10.295 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 562 | ND2 | ASN | 63 | -6.215 | -5.667 | 10.750 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 563 | HD21 | ASN | 63 | -6.100 | -6.454 | 10.184 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 564 | HD22 | ASN | 63 | -7.048 | -5.423 | 11.214 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 565 | C | ASN | 63 | -7.532 | -2.481 | 11.452 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 566 | 0 | ASN | 63 | -8.115 | -3.509 | 11.817 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 567 | N | GLY | 64 | -8.202 | -1.334 | 11.321 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 568 | H | GLY | 64 | -7.727 | -0.513 | 11.085 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 569 | CA | GLY | 64 | -9.593 | -1.171 | 11.739 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 570 | C | GLY | 64 | -10.022 | 0.305 | 11.772 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 571 | 0 | GLY | 64 | -10.369 | 0.920 | 12.793 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 572 | N | GLU | 65 | -10.001 | 0.784 | 10.522 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 573 | H | GLU | 65 | -9.708 | 0.168 | 9.826 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 574 | CA | GLU | 65 | -10.344 | 2.130 | 10.124 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 575 | CB | GLU | 65 | -11.814 | 2.387 | 10.560 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 576 | CG | GLU | 65 | -12.943 | 1.558 | 9.894 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 577 | CD | GLU | 65 | -13.891 | 0.834 | 10.872 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 578 | OE1 | GLU | 65 | -15.089 | 0.717 | 10.552 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 579 | OE2 | GLU | 65 | -13.416 | 0.376 | 11.934 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 580 | C | GLU | 65 | -9.355 | 3.146 | 10.710 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 581 | 0 | GLU | 65 | -8.189 | 2.822 | 11.036 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 582 | N | CYS | 66 | -9.837 | 4.395 | 10.775 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 583 | H | CYS | 66 | -10.770 | 4.563 | 10.549 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 584 | CA | CYS | 66 | -9.096 | 5.545 | 11.243 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 585 | C | CYS | 66 | -9.175 | 5.348 | 12.752 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 586 | 0 | CYS | 66 | -10.057 | 5.867 | 13.433 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 587 | CB | CYS | 66 | -9.855 | 6.772 | 10.664 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 588 | SG | CYS | 66 | -9.080 | 8.426 | 10.519 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 589 | N | ALA | 67 | -8.315 | 4.501 | 13.290 |  |  |
| ATOM | 590 | H | ALA | 67 | -7.700 | 4.019 | 12.701 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 591 | CA | ALA | 67 | -8.288 | 4.238 | 14.714 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 592 | CB | ALA | 67 | -7.522 | 2.916 | 14.956 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 593 | C | ALA | 67 | -7.666 | 5.336 | 15.588 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 594 | 0 | ALA | 67 | -6.487 | 5.637 | 15.421 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 595 | N | GLN | 68 | -8.368 | 5.992 | 16.514 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 596 | H | GLN | 68 | -9.306 | 5.751 | 16.620 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 597 | CA | GLN | 68 | -7.751 | 6.967 | 17.440 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 598 | CB | GLN | 68 | -8.761 | 7.536 | 18.444 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 599 | CG | GLN | 68 | -10.169 | 7.982 | 18.002 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 600 | CD | GLN | 68 | -11.251 | 7.980 | 19.122 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 601 | OE1 | GLN | 68 | -10.966 | 7.933 | 20.334 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 602 | NE2 | GLN | 68 | -12.550 | 8.031 | 18.766 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 603 | HE21 | GLN | 68 | -12.787 | 8.063 | 17.818 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 604 | HE22 | GLN | 68 | -13.193 | 8.040 | 19.504 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 605 | C | GLN | 68 | -6.623 | 6.325 | 18.294 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 606 | 0 | GLN | 68 | -6.601 | 5.107 | 18.504 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 607 | N | LYS | 69 | -5.719 | 7.099 | 18.895 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 608 | H | LYS | 69 | -5.822 | 8.069 | 18.818 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 609 | CA | LYS | 69 | -4.575 | 6.649 | 19.686 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 610 | CB | LYS | 69 | -3.300 | 6.629 | 18.852 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 611 | CG | LYS | 69 | -3.125 | 5.600 | 17.727 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 612 | CD | LYS | 69 | -2.911 | 4.174 | 18.241 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 613 | CE | LYS | 69 | -1.716 | 3.986 | 19.210 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 614 | NZ | LYS | 69 | -2.003 | 4.405 | 20.583 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 615 | HZ1 | LYS | 69 | -2.847 | 3.906 | 20.930 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 616 | HZ2 | LYS | 69 | -2.165 | 5.431 | 20.617 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 617 | HZ3 | LYS | 69 | -1.189 | 4.171 | 21.187 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 618 | C | LYS | 69 | -4.372 | 7.682 | 20.792 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 619 | 0 | LYS | 69 | -4.661 | 8.849 | 20.525 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 620 | N | LYS | 70 | -3.957 | 7.391 | 22.038 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 621 | H | LYS | 70 | -3.847 | 6.453 | 22.291 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 622 | CA | LYS | 70 | -3.542 | 8.412 | 23.042 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 623 | CB | LYS | 70 | -4.325 | 8.367 | 24.367 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 624 | CG | LYS | 70 | -5.549 | 9.272 | 24.548 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 625 | CD | LYS | 70 | -5.652 | 9.523 | 26.074 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 626 | CE | LYS | 70 | -6.815 | 10.395 | 26.578 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 627 | NZ | LYS | 70 | -8.033 | 9.610 | 26.757 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 628 | HZ1 | LYS | 70 | -8.305 | 9.178 | 25.852 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 629 | Hz2 | LYS | 70 | -8.798 | 10.228 | 27.094 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 630 | HZ3 | LYS | 70 | -7.857 | 8.866 | 27.461 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 631 | C | LYS | 70 | -2.074 | 8.076 | 23.401 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 632 | 0 | LYS | 70 | -1.815 | 7.005 | 23.970 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 633 | N | ILE | 71 | -1.080 | 8.895 | 23.019 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 634 | H | ILE | 71 | -1.310 | 9.778 | 22.655 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 635 | CA | ILE | 71 | 0.320 | 8.581 | 23.277 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 636 | CB | ILE | 71 | 1.309 | 8.915 | 22.140 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 637 | CG2 | ILE | 71 | 2.716 | 8.648 | 22.630 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 638 | CG1 | ILE | 71 | 1.064 | 8.073 | 20.918 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 639 | CD1 | ILE | 71 | 1.048 | 6.556 | 21.158 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 640 | C | Ile | 71 | 0.636 | 9.510 | 24.392 | 1.09 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 641 | 0 | ILE | 71 | 0.613 | 10.718 | 24.225 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 642 | N | ILE | 72 | 0.911 | 8.952 | 25.545 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 643 | H | ILE | 72 | 1.071 | 7.987 | 25.575 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 644 | CA | ILE | 72 | 1.222 | 9.765 | 26.703 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 645 | CB | ILE | 72 | 1.031 | 8.933 | 27.945 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 646 | CG2 | ILE | 72 | 1.160 | 9.851 | 29.134 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 647 | CG1 | ILE | 72 | -0.317 | 8.224 | 27.900 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 648 | CD1 | ILE | 72 | -0.584 | 7.112 | 28.931 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 649 | C | ILE | 72 | 2.673 | 10.062 | 26.435 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 650 | 0 | ILE | 72 | 3.390 | 9.152 | 26.000 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 651 | N | ALA | 73 | 3.113 | 11.290 | 26.610 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 652 | H | ALA | 73 | 2.545 | 11.974 | 27.008 |  | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 653 | CA | ALA | 73 | 4.498 4.575 | 11.592 12.626 | 26.306 25.199 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 654 | CB | ALA | 73 73 | 4.575 5.060 | 12.626 12.161 | 25.199 27.576 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 655 656 | C | ALA | 73 73 | 5.060 4.867 | 13.361 | 27.833 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 657 | N | GLU | 74 | 5.726 | 11.350 | 28.411 | 1.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 658 | H | GLU | 74 | 6.070 | 10.481 | 28.109 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 659 | CA | GLU | 74 | 6.163 | 11.930 | 29.668 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 660 | CB | GLU | 74 | 6.279 | 10.911 | 30.827 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 661 | CG | GLU | 74 | 6.492 | 9.420 | 30.587 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 662 | CD | GLU | 74 | 5.251 | 8.640 | 30.145 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 663 | OE1 | GLU | 74 | 4.138 | 8.978 | 30.587 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 664 | OE2 | GLU | 74 | 5.418 | 7.670 | 29.383 | 1.001 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 665 | C | GLU | 74 | 7.480 | 12.668 | 29.574 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 666 | 0 | GLU | 74 | 8.475 | 12.285 | 28.963 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 667 | N | LYS | 75 | 7.224 | 13.863 | 30.095 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 668 | H | LYS | 75 | 6.303 | 14.002 | 30.388 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 669 | CA | LYS | 75 | 8.121 | 14.982 | 30.272 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 670 | CB | LYS | 75 | 7.387 | 15.831 | 31.312 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 671 | CG | LYS | 75 | 8.046 | 16.942 | 32.123 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 672 | CD | LYS | 75 | 8.488 | 18.077 | 31.197 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 673 | CE | LYS | 75 | 8.271 | 19.439 | 31.886 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 674 | NZ | LYS | 75 | 6.852 | 19.718 | 32.047 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 675 | HZ1 | LYS | 75 | 6.390 | 19.736 | 31.116 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 676 | HZ2 | LYS | 75 | 6.736 | 20.641 | 32.512 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 677 | HZ3 | LYS | 75 | 6.420 | 18.977 | 32.636 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 678 | C | LYS | 75 | 9.519 | 14.533 | 30.660 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 679 | 0 | LYS | 75 | 9.638 | 13.538 | 31.376 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 680 | N | THR | 76 | 10.592 | 15.168 | 30.189 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 681 | H | THR | 76 | 10.525 | 15.960 | 29.611 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 682 | CA | THR | 76 | 11.931 | 14.739 | 30.568 | 1.001 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 683 | CB | THR | 76 | 12.563 | 13.944 | 29.379 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 684 | OG1 | THR | 76 | 12.870 | 12.674 | 29.940 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 685 | HG1 | THR | 76 | 12.044 | 12.282 | 30.255 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 686 | CG2 | THR | 76 | 13.818 | 14.515 | 28.772 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 687 | C | THR | 76 | 12.650 | 16.026 | 30.895 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 688 | 0 | THR | 76 | 12.242 | 17.103 | 30.453 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 689 | N | LYS | 77 | 13.714 | 15.893 | 31.676 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 690 | H | LYS | 77 | 13.969 | 14.985 | 31.929 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 691 | CA | LYS | 77 | 14.495 | 17.006 | 32.177 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 692 | CB | LYS | 77 | 15.757 | 16.383 | 32.820 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 693 | CG | LYS | 77 | 16.994 | 16.038 | 31.940 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 694 | CD | LYS | 77 | 17.528 | 14.583 | 31.999 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 695 | CE | LYS | 77 | 16.770 | 13.585 | 31.099 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 696 | NZ | LYS | 77 | 15.355 | 13.480 | 31.440 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 697 | HZ1 | LYS | 77 | 14.899 | 14.397 | 31.273 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 698 | HZ2 | LYS | 77 | 15.249 | 13.212 | 32.439 | 1.001 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 699 | HZ3 | LYS | 77 | 14.909 | 12.760 | 30.837 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 700 | C | LYS | 77 | 14.872 | 18.154 | 31.211 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 701 | 0 | LYS | 77 | 15.346 | 19.208 | 31.656 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 702 | N | ILE | 78 | 14.723 | 17.989 | 29.886 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 703 | H | ILE | 78 | 14.199 | 17.238 | 29.547 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 704 | CA | ILE | 78 | 15.051 | 19.010 | 28.908 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 705 | CB | ILE | 78 | 15.849 | 18.488 | 27.700 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 706 | CG2 | ILE | 78 | 16.193 | 19.710 | 26.892 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 707 | CG1 | ILE | 78 | 17.144 | 17.823 | 28.021 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 708 | CD1 | ILE | 78 | 17.666 | 17.132 | 26.747 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 709 | C | ILE | 78 | 13.664 | 19.345 | 28.389 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 710 | 0 | ILE | 78 | 13.049 | 18.413 | 27.852 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 711 | N | PRO | 79 | 13.118 | 20.571 | 28.477 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 712 | CD | PRO | 79 | 13.477 | 21.559 | 29.464 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 713 | CA | PRO | 79 | 11.992 | 21.025 | 27.668 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 714 | CB | PRO | 79 | 11.723 | 22.411 | 28.192 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 715 | CG | PRO | 79 | 12.181 | 22.318 | 29.612 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 716 | C | PRO | 79 | 12.310 | 20.960 | 26.149 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 717 | 0 | PRO | 79 | 13.267 | 21.540 | 25.613 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 718 | N | ALA | 80 | 11.525 | 20.019 | 25.606 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 719 | H | ALA | 80 | 11.017 | 19.489 | 26.258 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 720 | CA | ALA | 80 | 11.364 | 19.544 | 24.242 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 721 | CB | ALA | 80 | 12.484 | 19.888 | 23.267 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 722 | C | ALA | 80 | 11.365 | 18.011 | 24.327 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 723 | 0 | ALA | 80 | 10.400 | 17.409 | 23.869 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 724 | N | VAL | 81 | 12.326 | 17.306 | 24.925 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATCM | 725 | H | VAL | 81 | 12.890 | 17.738 | 25.600 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 726 | CA | VAL | 81 | 12.363 | 15.856 | 24.808 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 727 | CB | VAL | 81 | 13.823 | 15.409 | 25.000 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 728 | CG1 | VAL | 81 | 14.039 | 13.917 | 25.075 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 729 | CG2 | VAL | 81 | 14.546 | 15.888 | 23.765 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 730 | C | VAL | 81 | 11.433 | 15.293 | 25.860 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 731 | 0 | VAL | 81 | 11.426 | 15.801 | 27.002 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 732 | N | PHE | 82 | 10.609 | 14.303 | 25.487 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 733 | H | PHE | 82 | 10.631 | 13.984 | 24.557 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 734 | CA | PHE | 82 | 9.711 | 13.645 | 26.427 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 735 | CB | PHE | 82 | 8.257 | 14.028 | 26.173 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 736 | CG | PHE | 82 | 7.807 | 15.490 | 26.342 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 737 | CD1 | PHE | 82 | 6.902 | 15.846 | 27.332 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 738 | CD2 | PHE | 82 | 8.237 | 16.479 | 25.488 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 739 | CE1 | PHE | 82 | 6.443 | 17.150 | 27.451 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 740 | CE2 | PHE | 82 | 7.783 | 17.778 | 25.605 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 741 | CZ | PHE | 82 | 6.885 | 18.122 | 26.581 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 742 | C | PHE | 82 | 9.913 | 12.170 | 26.110 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 743 | 0 | PHE | 82 | 10.039 | 11.854 | 24.916 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 744 | N | LYS | 83 | 10.002 | 11.218 | 27.059 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 745 | H | LYS | 83 | 9.830 | 11.446 | 27.993 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 746 | CA | LYS | 83 | 10.187 | 9.817 | 26.711 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 747 | CB | LYS | 83 | 10.861 | 9.070 | 27.811 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 748 | CG | LYS | 83 | 11.444 | 7.793 | 27.234 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 749 | CD | LYS | 83 | 11.976 | 6.863 | 28.347 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 750 | CE | LYS | 83 | 13.138 | 5.894 | 27.983 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 751 | NZ | LYS | 83 | 12.837 | 4.911 | 26.940 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 752 | HZ1 | LYS | 83 | 13.696 | 4.366 | 26.726 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 753 | HZ2 | LYS | 83 | 12.512 | 5.402 | 26.083 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 754 | HZ3 | LYS | 83 | 12.091 | 4.269 | 27.276 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 755 | C | LYS | 83 | 8.755 | 9.340 | 26.563 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 756 | 0 | LYS | 83 | 7.915 | 9.678 | 27.419 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 757 | N | ILE | 84 | 8.396 | 8.697 | 25.439 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 758 | H | ILE | 84 | 9.044 | 8.634 | 24.719 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 759 | CA | ILE | 84 | 7.020 | 8.272 | 25.253 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 760 | CB | ILE | 84 | 6.427 | 8.887 | 23.950 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 761 | CG2 | ILE | 84 | 6.804 | 10.353 | 23.964 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 762 | CG1 | ILE | 84 | 6.945 | 8.279 | 22.661 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 763 | CD1 | ILE | 84 | 6.108 | 8.660 | 21.392 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 764 | C | ILE | 84 | 6.715 | 6.778 | 25.245 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 765 | 0 | ILE | 84 | 5.525 | 6.439 | 25.401 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 766 | N | ASP | 85 | 7.731 | 5.871 | 25.097 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 767 | H | ASP | 85 | 8.626 | 6.250 | 24.971 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 768 | CA | ASP | 85 | 7.563 | 4.391 | 25.061 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 769 | CB | ASP | 85 | 7.478 | 3.880 | 26.546 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 770 | CG | ASP | 85 | 7.575 | 2.356 | 26.777 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 771 | OD1 | ASP | 85 | 8.522 | 1.756 | 26.267 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 772 | OD2 | ASP | 85 | 6.725 | 1.762 | 27.472 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 773 | C | ASP | 85 | 6.328 | 3.907 | 24.218 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 774 | 0 | ASP | 85 | 5.502 | 3.112 | 24.693 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 775 | N | ALA | 86 | 6.162 | 4.303 | 22.933 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 776 | H | ALA | 86 | 6.855 | 4.866 | 22.519 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 777 | CA | ALA | 86 | 4.967 | 4.009 | 22.130 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 778 | CB | ALA | 86 | 3.785 | 4.897 | 22.508 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 779 | C | ALA | 86 | 5.149 | 4.222 | 20.629 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 780 | 0 | ALA | 86 | 5.780 | 5.187 | 20.153 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 781 | N | LEU | 87 | 4.561 | 3.253 | 19.895 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 782 | H | LEU | 87 | 4.125 | 2.529 | 20.387 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 783 | CA | LEU | 87 | 4.558 | 3.219 | 18.436 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 784 | CB | LEU | 87 | 3.822 2.806 | 4.499 4.565 | 17.974 16.849 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 785 786 | CG1 | LEU | 87 87 | 2.806 2.449 | 4.565 6.036 | 16.849 16.682 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 786 787 | CD1 | LEU | 87 87 | 2.449 3.349 | 6.036 3.983 | 15.527 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 788 | C | LEU | 87 | 6.011 | 3.141 | 17.938 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 789 | 0 | LEU | 87 | 6.437 | 3.910 | 17.077 | 1.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 790 | N | ASN | 88 | 6.800 | 2.222 | 18.543 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 791 | H | ASN | 88 | 6.369 | 1.656 | 18.543 19.215 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 792 | CA | ASN | 88 | 8.251 | 2.001 | 18.331 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 793 | CB | ASN | 88 | 8.641 | 1.532 | 16.908 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 794 | CG | ASN | 88 | 8.163 | 0.153 | 16.450 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 795 | OD1 | ASN | 88 | 6.984 | -0.242 | 16.465 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 796 | ND2 | ASN | 88 | 9.100 | -0.668 | 16.006 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 797 | HD21 | ASN | 88 | 10.031 | -0.359 | 16.034 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 798 | HD22 | ASN | 88 | 8.815 | -1.523 | 15.627 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 799 | C | ASN | 88 | 9.075 | 3.263 | 18.572 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 800 | 0 | ASN | 88 | 10.277 | 3.277 | 18.304 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 801 | N | GLU | 89 | 8.498 | 4.333 | 19.131 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 802 | H | GLU | 89 | 7.553 | 4.331 | 19.381 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 803 | CA | GLU | 89 | 9.208 | 5.559 | 19.346 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 804 | CB | GLU | 89 | 8.336 | 6.736 | 19.037 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 805 | CG | GLU | 89 | 7.926 | 6.670 | 17.591 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 806 | CD | GLU | 89 | 9.075 | 6.756 | 16.567 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 807 | OE1 | GLU | 89 | 9.427 | 7.879 | 16.186 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 808 | OE2 | GLU | 89 | 9.601 | 5.726 | 16.118 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 809 | C | GLU | 89 | 9.596 | 5.638 | 20.774 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 810 | 0 | GLU | 89 | 8.686 | 5.650 | 21.590 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 811 | N | ASN | 90 | 10.884 | 5.618 | 21.142 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 812 | H | ASN | 90 | 11.549 | 5.342 | 20.480 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 813 | CA | ASN | 90 | 11.260 | 5.906 | 22.535 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 814 | CB | ASN | 90 | 12.810 | 5.847 | 22.742 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 815 | CG | ASN | 90 | 13.444 | 6.486 | 24.026 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 816 | OD1 | ASN | 90 | 13.244 | 7.669 | 24.329 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 817 | ND2 | ASN | 90 | 14.295 | 5.837 | 24.837 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 818 | HD21 | ASN | 90 | 14.545 | 4.916 | 24.634 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 819 | HD22 | ASN | 90 | 14.621 | 6.347 | 25.605 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 820 | C | ASN | 90 | 10.767 | 7.308 | 22.945 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 821 | 0 | ASN | 90 | 10.145 | 7.393 | 24.005 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 822 | N | LYS | 91 | 10.919 | 8.355 | 22.105 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 823 | H | LYS | 91 | 11.191 | 8.183 | 21.186 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 824 | CA | LYS | 91 | 10.661 | 9.760 | 22.449 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 825 | CB | LYS | 91 | 11.921 | 10.357 | 23.031 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 826 | CG | LYS | 91 | 13.099 | 10.284 | 22.118 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 827 | CD | LYS | 91 | 14.167 | 10.860 | 22.965 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 828 | CE | LYS | 91 | 15.494 | 10.704 | 22.301 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 829 | NZ | LYS | 91 | 15.557 | 11.490 | 21.088 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 830 | HZ1 | LYS | 91 | 15.386 | 12.492 | 21.303 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 831 | HZ2 | LYS | 91 | 14.829 | 11.146 | 20.430 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 832 | HZ3 | LYS | 91 | 16.499 | 11.380 | 20.659 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 833 | C | LYS | 91 | 10.164 | 10.739 | 21.363 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 834 | 0 | LYS | 91 | 10.299 | 10.492 | 20.160 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 835 | N | VAL | 92 | 9.610 | 11.860 | 21.847 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 836 | H | VAL | 92 | 9.690 | 11.992 | 22.819 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 837 | CA | VAL | 92 | 9.051 | 12.994 | 21.116 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 838 | CB | VAL | 92 | 7.621 | 13.290 | 21.642 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 839 | CG1 | VAL | 92 | 7.115 | 14.608 | 21.212 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 840 | CG2 | VAL | 92 | 6.638 | 12.455 | 20.954 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 841 | C | VAL | 92 | 10.012 | 14.154 | 21.440 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 842 | 0 | VAL | 92 | 10.723 | 14.105 | 22.451 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 843 | N | LEU | 93 | 10.158 | 15.192 | 20.642 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 844 | H | LEU | 93 | 9.800 | 15.153 | 19.728 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 845 | CA | LEU | 93 | 10.917 | 16.349 | 21.040 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 846 | CB | LEU | 93 | 12.434 | 16.080 | 20.881 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 847 | CG | LEU | 93 | 13.018 | 15.446 | 19.633 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 848 | CD1 | LEU | 93 | 13.460 | 16.530 | 18.701 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 849 | CD2 | LEU | 93 | 14.284 | 14.694 | 19.929 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 850 | C | LEU | 93 | 10.439 | 17.523 | 20.196 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 851 | 0 | LEU | 93 | 10.414 | 17.516 | 18.938 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 852 | N | VAL | 94 | 9.969 | 18.539 | 20.933 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 853 | H | VAL | 94 | 10.044 | 18.495 | 21.907 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 854 | CA | VAL | 94 | 9.391 | 19.697 | 20.265 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 855 | CB | VAL | 94 |  | 8.541 | 20.498 | 21.277 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ATOM | 856 | CG1 | VAL | 94 | 7.637 | 21.423 | 20.518 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 857 | CG2 | VAL | 94 | 7.634 | 19.597 | 22.084 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 858 | C | VAL | 94 | 10.550 | 20.505 | 19.719 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 859 | O | VAL | 94 | 11.371 | 20.860 | 20.576 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 860 | N | LEU | 95 | 10.711 | 20.748 | 18.391 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 861 | H | LEU | 95 | 10.035 | 20.449 | 17.749 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 862 | CA | LEU | 95 | 11.858 | 21.544 | 17.918 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 863 | CB | LEU | 95 | 12.326 | 21.321 | 16.483 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 864 | CG | LEU | 95 | 12.696 | 20.008 | 15.820 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 865 | CD1 | LEU | 95 | 13.410 | 20.342 | 14.526 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 866 | CD2 | LEU | 95 | 13.661 | 19.191 | 16.622 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 867 | C | LEU | 95 | 11.580 | 23.038 | 17.907 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 868 | O | LEU | 95 | 12.472 | 23.803 | 18.273 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 869 | N | ASP | 96 | 10.395 | 23.518 | 17.490 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 870 | H | ASP | 96 | 9.680 | 22.894 | 17.237 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 871 | CA | ASP | 96 | 10.145 | 24.964 | 17.370 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 872 | CB | ASP | 96 | 10.944 | 25.417 | 16.103 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 873 | CG | ASP | 96 | 10.867 | 26.839 | 15.576 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 874 | OD1 | ASP | 96 | 11.676 | 27.185 | 14.733 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 875 | OD2 2 | ASP | 96 | 9.994 | 27.600 | 15.956 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 876 | C | ASP | 96 | 8.627 | 25.270 | 17.317 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 877 | O | ASP | 96 | 7.872 | 24.556 | 16.673 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 878 | N | THR | 97 | 8.120 | 26.328 | 17.939 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 879 | H | THR | 97 | 8.720 | 27.003 | 18.324 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 880 | CA | THR | 97 | 6.710 | 26.596 | 18.088 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 881 | CB | THR | 97 | 6.225 | 25.688 | 19.258 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 882 | OG1 | THR | 97 | 4.829 | 25.919 | 19.341 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 883 | HG1 | THR | 97 | 4.385 | 25.457 | 18.617 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 884 | CG2 | THR | 97 | 6.865 | 25.939 | 20.630 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 885 | C | THR | 97 | 6.538 | 28.091 | 18.364 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 886 | O | THR | 97 | 7.442 | 28.720 | 18.944 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 914 | CG | 915 | CD | LYS | 100 | 100 | 0.90 | ATOM |


| ATOM | 921 | C | LYS | 100 | -0.760 | 30.276 | 16.709 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 922 | 0 | LYS | 100 | -1.799 | 29.693 | 16.402 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 923 | N | LYS | 101 | 0.310 | 30.242 | 15.911 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 924 | H | LYS | 101 | 1.138 | 30.644 | 16.232 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 925 | CA | LYS | 101 | 0.263 | 29.578 | 14.619 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 926 | CB | LYS | 101 | 0.686 | 30.640 | 13.639 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 927 | CG | LYS | 101 | -0.594 | 31.492 | 13.501 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 928 | CD | LYS | 101 | -1.722 | 30.628 | 12.838 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 929 | CE | LYS | 101 | -3.116 | 31.283 | 12.719 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 930 | NZ | LYS | 101 | -4.051 | 30.461 | 11.965 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 931 | Hz1 | LYS | 101 | -4.152 | 29.534 | 12.426 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 932 | Hz2 | LYS | 101 | -3.689 | 30.330 | 10.999 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 933 | Hz3 | LYS | 101 | -4.975 | 30.936 | 11.929 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 934 | C | LYS | 101 | 0.952 | 28.234 | 14.331 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 935 | 0 | LYS | 101 | 0.209 | 27.251 | 14.182 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 936 | N | TYR | 102 | 2.296 | 28.078 | 14.227 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 937 | H | TYR | 102 | 2.854 | 28.872 | 14.270 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 938 | CA | TYR | 102 | 2.940 | 26.779 | 14.002 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 939 | CB | TYR | 102 | 4.027 | 27.036 | 12.976 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 940 | CG | TYR | 102 | 5.255 | 27.845 | 13.362 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 941 | CD1 | TYR | 102 | 6.409 | 27.191 | 13.729 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 942 | CE1 | TYR | 102 | 7.588 | 27.877 | 13.903 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 943 | CD2 | TYR | 102 | 5.292 | 29.207 | 13.197 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 944 | CE2 | TYR | 102 | 6.475 | 29.902 | 13.368 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 945 | CZ | TYR | 102 | 7.619 | 29.227 | 13.708 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 946 | OH | TYR | 102 | 8.828 | 29.879 | 13.750 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 947 | HH | TYR | 102 | 8.756 | 30.714 | 13.280 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 948 | C | TYR | 102 | 3.499 | 25.919 | 15.159 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 949 | 0 | TYR | 102 | 3.619 | 26.425 | 16.269 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 950 | N | LEU | 103 | 3.871 | 24.639 | 15.021 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 951 | H | LEU | 103 | 3.655 | 24.186 | 14.175 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 952 | CA | LEU | 103 | 4.552 | 23.865 | 16.068 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 953 | CB | LEU | 103 | 3.643 | 23.236 | 17.138 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 954 | CG | LEU | 103 | 4.145 | 22.354 | 18.122 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 955 | CD1 | LEU | 103 | 4.327 | 22.835 | 19.556 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 956 | CD2 | LEU | 103 | 3.930 | 20.954 | 18.040 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 957 | C | LEU | 103 | 5.280 | 22.693 | 15.432 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 958 | 0 | LEU | 103 | 4.741 | 21.622 | 15.198 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 959 | N | LEU | 104 | 6.531 | 22.872 | 15.105 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 960 | H | LEU | 104 | 6.947 | 23.720 | 15.329 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 961 | CA | LEU | 104 | 7.333 | 21.856 | 14.482 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 962 | CB | LEU | 104 | 8.495 | 22.585 | 13.818 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 963 | CG | LEU | 104 | 8.403 | 23.410 | 12.520 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 964 | CD1 | LEU | 104 | 6.993 | 23.753 | 12.071 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 965 | CD2 | LEU | 104 | 9.244 | 24.632 | 12.787 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 966 | C | LEU | 104 | 7.809 | 20.860 | 15.536 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 967 | 0 | LEU | 104 | 8.509 | 21.286 | 16.471 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 968 | N | PHE | 105 | 7.480 | 19.570 | 15.476 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 969 | H | PHE | 105 | 6.914 | 19.249 | 14.748 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 970 | CA | PHE | 105 | 8.068 | 18.582 | 16.389 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 971 | CB | PHE | 105 | 7.056 | 18.079 | 17.438 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 972 | CG | PHE | 105 | 5.954 | 17.162 | 16.984 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 973 | CD1 | PHE | 105 | 6.110 | 15.812 | 17.105 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 974 | CD2 | PHE | 105 | 4.812 | 17.678 | 16.445 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 975 | CE1 | PHE | 105 | 5.115 | 14.968 | 16.679 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 976 | CE2 | PHE | 105 | 3.814 | 16.842 | 16.018 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 977 | CZ | PHE | 105 | 3.969 | 15.486 | 16.134 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 978 | C | PHE | 105 | 8.622 | 17.365 | 15.643 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 979 | 0 | PHE | 105 | 8.352 | 17.197 | 14.440 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 980 | N | CYS | 106 | 9.439 | 16.526 | 16.266 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 981 | H | CYS | 106 | 9.736 | 16.684 | 17.189 | 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 982 | CA | CYS | 106 | 9.840 | 15.321 | 15.590 16.592 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 983 | C | CYS | 106 | 9.748 | 14.214 | 16.592 17.782 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 984 | $\bigcirc$ | CYS | 106 | $\begin{array}{r}9.673 \\ \hline 11.254\end{array}$ | 14.444 15.403 | 17.782 15.065 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 985 | CB | CYS | 106 | 11.254 | 15.403 16.457 | 15.065 13.597 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 986 | SG | CYS | 106 | 11.528 | 16.457 | 13.597 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 987 | N | MET | 107 | 9.626 | 12.999 | 16.160 | 1.00 | 15. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 988 | H | MET | 107 | 9.637 | 12.817 | 15.202 | 1.00 |  |
| ATOM | 989 | CA | MET | 107 | 9.581 | 11.906 | 17.076 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 990 | CB | MET | 107 | 8.426 | 11.005 | 16.675 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 991 | CG | MET | 107 | 7.169 | 11.734 | 16.197 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 992 | SD | MET | 107 | 5.719 | 11.740 | 17.269 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 993 | CE | MET | 107 | 5.630 | 9.961 | 17.305 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 994 | C | MET | 107 | 10.959 | 11.240 | 16.940 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 995 | 0 | MET | 107 | 11.841 | 11.830 | 16.301 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 996 | N | GLU | 108 | 11.161 | 10.011 | 17.460 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 997 | H | GLU | 108 | 10.365 | 9.543 | 17.776 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 998 | CA | GLU | 108 | 12.460 | 9.355 | 17.677 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 999 | CB | GLU | 108 | 12.482 | 7.912 | 17.152 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1000 | CG | GLU | 108 | 12.448 | 6.845 | 18.232 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1001 | CD | GLU | 108 | 13.772 | 6.416 | 18.829 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1002 | OE1 | GLU | 108 | 13.886 | 5.285 | 19.276 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1003 | OE2 | GLU | 108 | 14.701 | 7.198 | 18.866 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1004 | C | GLU | 108 | 13.770 | 9.949 | 17.210 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1005 | 0 | GLU | 108 | 14.285 | 9.620 | 16.145 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1006 | N | ASN | 109 | 14.259 | 10.787 | 18.133 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1007 | H | ASN | 109 | 13.635 | 11.053 | 18.834 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1008 | CA | ASN | 109 | 15.558 | 11.411 | 18.111 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1009 | CB | ASN | 109 | 16.542 | 10.296 | 18.416 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1010 | CG | ASN | 109 | 17.945 | 10.677 | 17.986 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1011 | OD1 | ASN | 109 | 18.566 | 11.671 | 18.414 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1012 | ND2 | ASN | 109 | 18.354 | 9.909 | 16.986 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1013 | HD21 | ASN | 109 | 17.722 | 9.241 | 16.668 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1014 | HD22 | ASN | 109 | 19.248 | 10.019 | 16.608 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1015 | C | ASN | 109 | 16.011 | 12.244 | 16.906 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1016 | 0 | ASN | 109 | 16.412 | 11.705 | 15.867 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1017 | N | SER | 110 | 16.093 | 13.576 | 17.060 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1018 | H | SER | 110 | 15.896 | 13.958 | 17.935 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1019 | CA | SER | 110 | 16.530 | 14.456 | 15.987 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1020 | CB | SER | 110 | 15.826 | 15.764 | 16.269 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1021 | OG | SER | 110 | 16.179 | 16.842 | 15.449 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1022 | HG | SER | 110 | 15.387 | 17.303 | 15.136 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1023 | C | SER | 110 | 18.058 | 14.573 | 15.825 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1024 | 0 | SER | 110 | 18.593 | 15.692 | 15.655 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1025 | N | ALA | 111 | 18.697 | 13.366 | 15.896 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1026 | H | ALA | 111 | 18.132 | 12.578 | 16.018 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1027 | CA | ALA | 111 | 20.095 | 12.983 | 15.645 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1028 | CB | ALA | 111 | 21.074 | 14.154 | 15.745 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1029 | C | ALA | 111 | 20.823 | 11.870 | 16.429 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1030 | 0 | ALA | 111 | 20.864 | 10.731 | 15.955 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1031 | N | GLU | 112 | 21.286 | 12.116 | 17.670 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1032 | H | GLU | 112 | 20.746 | 12.743 | 18.190 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1033 | CA | GLU | 112 | 22.344 | 11.361 | 18.390 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1034 | CB | GLU | 112 | 22.522 | 12.222 | 19.688 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1035 | CG | GLU | 112 | 22.806 | 11.748 | 21.138 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1036 | CD | GLU | 112 | 24.175 | 11.175 | 21.530 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1037 | OE1 | GLU | 112 | 24.269 | 9.956 | 21.744 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1038 | OE2 | GLU | 112 | 25.124 | 11.959 | 21.684 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1039 | C | GLU | 112 | 22.557 | 9.841 | 18.717 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1040 | 0 | GLU | 112 | 21.774 | 9.147 | 19.381 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1041 | N | PRO | 113 | 23.750 | 9.329 | 18.327 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1042 | CD | PRO | 113 | 24.379 | 8.089 | 18.802 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1043 | CA | PRO | 113 | 24.671 | 10.024 | 17.429 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1044 | CB | PRO | 113 | 26.048 | 9.314 | 17.683 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1045 | CG | PRO | 113 | 25.893 | 8.451 | 18.917 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1046 | C | PRO | 113 | 24.070 | 9.899 | 16.006 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1047 | 0 | PRO | 113 | 23.282 | 8.978 | 15.750 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1048 | N | GLU | 114 | 24.398 | 10.906 | 15.169 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1049 | H | GLU | 114 | 25.102 | 11.510 | 15.465 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1050 | CA | GLU | 114 | 23.869 | 11.135 | 13.821 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1051 | CB | GLU | 114 | 24.972 | 11.900 | 12.987 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1052 | CG | GLU | 114 | 25.344 | 13.440 | 13.179 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 1053 | CD | GLU | 114 | 26.522 | 13.980 | 14.065 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Атом | 1054 | OE1 | GLU | 114 | 27.081 | 13.274 | 14.924 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1055 | OE2 | GLU | 114 | 26.885 | 15.153 | 13.887 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1056 | c | GLU | 114 | 23.300 | 9.980 | 12.951 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1057 | $\bigcirc$ | GLU | 114 | 24.047 | 9.169 | 12.379 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1058 | N | GLN | 115 | 21.936 | 9.947 | 12.947 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1059 | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | GLN | 115 | 21.503 | 10.478 | 13.658 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1060 | CA | GLIN | 115 | 20.957 | 9.171 | 12.151 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1061 | CB | GLN | 115 | 21.294 | 7.635 | 12.152 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1062 | CG | GLN | 115 | 20.760 | 6.770 | 10.952 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1063 | CD | GLN | 115 | 19.352 | 6.099 | 11.001 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1064 | OE1 | GLN | 115 | 18.353 | 6.476 | 10.373 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1065 | NE2 | GLN | 115 | 19.167 | 5.070 | 11.808 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1066 | HE21 | GLN | 115 | 19.903 | 4.779 | 12.382 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1067 | HE22 | GLN | 115 | 18.289 | 4.634 | 11.785 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| атом | 1068 | C | GLN | 115 | 19.574 | 9.455 | 12.861 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1069 | 0 | GLN | 115 | 19.447 | 10.425 | 13.649 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1070 | N | SER | 116 | 18.535 | 8.656 | 12.468 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1071 | H | SER | 116 | 18.624 | 8.241 | 11.593 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| атом | 1072 | CA | SER | 116 | 17.171 | 8.510 | 13.024 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1073 | CB | SER | 116 | 17.300 | 8.229 | 14.557 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1074 | OG | SER | 116 | 18.244 | 7.231 | 15.007 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1075 | HG | SER | 116 | 19.054 | 7.708 | 15.234 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1076 | C | SER | 116 | 16.187 | 9.688 | 12.760 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1077 | $\bigcirc$ | SER | 116 | 16.734 | 10.787 | 12.646 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1078 | N | LEU | 117 | 14.830 | 9.515 | 12.540 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1079 | H | LEU | 117 | 14.503 | 8.604 | 12.425 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1080 | CA | LEU | 117 | 13.835 | 10.583 | 12.416 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1081 | CB | LEU | 117 | 14.289 | 11.725 | 11.648 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Atom | 1082 | CG | LEU | 117 | 14.391 | 13.013 | 12.390 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1083 | CD1 | LEU | 117 | 14.167 | 12.914 | 13.903 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1084 | CD2 | LEU | 117 | 15.763 | 13.490 | 12.027 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1085 | C | LEU | 117 | 12.494 | 10.385 | 11.796 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1086 | - | LEU | 117 | 12.414 | 9.578 | 10.911 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1087 | N | VAL | 118 | 11.481 | 11.193 | 12.123 | i. 00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1088 | H | VAL | 118 | 11.646 | 11.806 | 12.867 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1089 | CA | VAL | 118 | 10.150 | 11.193 | 11.491 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Atom | 1090 | CB | VAL | 118 | 9.484 | 9.871 | 11.906 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1091 | CG1 | VAL | 118 | 9.215 | 9.839 | 13.384 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1092 | CG2 | VAL | 118 | 8.241 | 9.685 | 11.109 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1093 | c | VAL | 118 | 9.252 | 12.419 | 11.794 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1094 | - | VAL | 118 | 8.126 | 12.385 | 12.265 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1095 | N | CYS | 119 | 9.789 | 13.591 | 11.566 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1096 | H | CYS | 119 | 10.635 | 13.608 | 11.081 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1097 | CA | CYS | 119 | 9.154 | 14.864 | 11.884 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1098 | c | CYS | 119 | 7.817 | 15.152 | 11.227 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1099 | 0 | CYS | 119 | 7.464 | 14.532 | 10.230 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1100 | CB | CYS | 119 | 10.107 | 15.935 | 11.503 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1101 | SG | CYS | 119 | 11.759 | 15.374 | 11.932 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1102 | N | GLN | 120 | 7.091 | 16.137 | 11.725 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1103 | H | GLN | 120 | 7.473 | 16.670 | 12.461 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1104 | CA | GLN | 120 | 5.803 | 16.568 | 11.207 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1105 | CB | GLN | 120 | 4.613 | 16.029 | 11.948 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1106 | CG | GLN | 120 | 4.413 | 14.525 | 11.870 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1107 | CD | GLN | 120 | 3.000 | 14.141 | 12.235 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1108 | OE1 | GLN | 120 | 2.133 | 15.012 | 12.351 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1109 | NE2 | GLN | 120 | 2.719 | 12.861 | 12.400 | 3.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1110 | HE21 | GLN | 120 | 3.452 | 12.214 | 12.333 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1111 | HE22 | GLN | 120 | 1.777 | 12.612 | 12.507 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1112 | C | GLN | 120 | 5.790 | 18.045 | 11.440 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1113 |  | GLN | 120 | 6.810 | 18.609 | 11.811 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1114 | N | CYS | 121 | 4.682 | 18.718 | 11.271 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1115 | H | CYS | 121 | 3.846 | 18.267 | 11.033 | 1.00 |  |
| ATOM | 1116 | CA | CYS | 121 | 4.666 |  | 11.380 10.198 | 1.00 |  |
| ATOM | 1117 | CB | CYS | 121 | 5.284 | 20.754 | 10.198 9.978 | 1. | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1118 | SG | CYS | 121 | 4.614 | 22.391 | 9.978 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 1119 | C | CYS | 121 | 3.224 | 20.547 | 11.417 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Атом | 1120 | 0 | CYS | 121 | 2.566 | 20.578 | 10.388 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1121 | N | LEU | 122 | 2.766 | 20.809 | 12.636 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1122 | H | LEU | 122 | 3.419 | 20.907 | 13.362 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1123 | CA | LEU | 122 | 1.384 | 21.077 | 12.949 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1124 | CB | LEU | 122 | 1.168 | 20.850 | 14.431 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1125 | CG | LEU | 122 | 1.587 | 19.524 | 15.040 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1126 | CD1 | LEU | 122 | 1.243 | 19.388 | 16.495 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1127 | CD2 | LEU | 122 | 0.838 | 18.469 | 14.311 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| АтоM | 1128 | C | LEU | 122 | 1.020 | 22.489 | 12.606 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1129 | 0 | LEU | 122 | 1.880 | 23.320 | 12.334 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1130 | N | VAL | 123 | -0.271 | 22.767 | 12.673 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| АтоM | 1131 | , | VAL | 123 | -0.913 | 22.032 | 12.735 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1132 | CA | VAL | 123 | -0.799 | 24.111 | 12.531 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| АтоM | 1133 | CB | VAL | 123 | -0.965 | 24.295 | 10.990 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1134 | CG1 | VAL | 123 | -2.330 | 24.880 | 10.567 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| атом | 1135 | CG2 | VAL | 123 | 0.191 | 25.193 | 10.576 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1136 | c | VAL | 123 | -2.089 | 24.260 | 13.384 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1137 | 0 | VAL | 123 | -2.884 | 23.317 | 13.588 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1138 | N | ARG | 124 | -2.271 | 25.408 | 14.046 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1139 | H | ARG | 124 | -1.580 | 26.108 | 14.014 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1140 | CA | ARG | 124 | -3.501 | 25.693 | 14.784 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1141 | CB | ARG | 124 | -3.353 | 26.904 | 15.708 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1142 | CG | ARG | 124 | -4.705 | 27.477 | 16.203 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1143 | CD | ARG | 124 | -4.957 | 28.959 | 15.791 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Atom | 1144 | NE | ARG | 124 | -6.329 | 29.425 | 16.021 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1145 | HE | ARG | 124 | -6.981 | 28.824 | 16.437 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1146 | Cz | ARG | 124 | -6.707 | 30.663 | 15.664 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1147 | NH1 | ARG | 124 | -5.858 | 31.541 | 15.085 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1148 | HH11 | ARG | 124 | -4.911 | 31.281 | 14.900 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1149 | HH12 | ARG | 124 | -6.181 | 32.455 | 14.838 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1150 | NH2 | ARG | 124 | -7.976 | 31.016 | 15.877 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1151 | HH21 | ARG | 124 | -8.612 | 30.365 | 16.291 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1152 | HH22 | ARG | 124 | -8.288 | 31.933 | 15.628 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1153 | C | ARG | 124 | -4.435 | 26.050 | 13.650 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1154 | - | ARG | 124 | -4.278 | 27.057 | 12.953 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1155 | N | THR | 125 | -5.383 | 25.148 | 13.475 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1156 | H | THR | 125 | -5.397 | 24.393 | 14.091 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1157 | CA | THR | 125 | -6.407 | 25.254 | 12.444 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1158 | CB | THR | 125 | -7.675 | 26.018 | 13.119 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1159 | OG1 | THR | 125 | -7.343 | 26.691 | 14.367 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1160 | HG1 | THR | 125 | -6.668 | 27.350 | 14.141 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1161 | CG2 | THR | 125 | -8.812 | 24.948 | 13.359 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1162 | C | THR | 125 | -6.094 | 25.820 | 11.038 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1163 | 0 | THR | 125 | -5.698 | 26.970 | 10.891 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1164 | N | PRO | 126 | -6.497 | 25.004 | 10.019 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1165 | CD | PRO | 126 | -7.741 | 24.216 | 10.053 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1166 | CA | PRO | 126 | -5.996 | 24.918 | 8.637 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1167 | CB | PRO | 126 | -7.019 | 23.962 | 8.016 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1168 | CG | PRO | 126 | -8.308 | 24.322 | 8.679 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1169 | C | PRO | 126 | -5.604 | 26.045 | 7.649 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1170 |  | PRO | 126 | -5.019 | 27.055 | 8.020 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1171 | N | GLU | 127 | -5.961 | 25.948 | 6.352 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1172 | H | GLU | 127 | -6.715 | 25.380 | 6.122 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1173 | CA | GLU | 127 | -5.324 | 26.650 | 5.251 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1174 | CB | GLU | 127 | -5.264 | 28.138 | 5.359 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1175 | CG | GLU | 127 | -6.442 | 28.936 | 4.854 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1176 | CD | GLU | 127 | -6.313 | 30.385 | 5.326 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1177 | OE1 | GLU | 127 | -6.840 | 30.728 | 6.416 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1178 | OE2 | GLU | 127 | -5.644 | 31.140 | 4.594 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| Атом | 1179 | C | GLU | 127 | -3.905 | 26.156 | 5.360 | 1.00 1.00 | 15.00 15.00 |
| Атом | 1180 | $\bigcirc$ | GLU | 127 | -3.648 | 25.120 | 5.977 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1181 | N | VAL | 128 | -2.928 |  | 4.795 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1182 | CA | VAL | 128 | -3.030 | 27.745 26.276 | 4.731 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1183 1184 | CA | VAL | 128 | -1.519 | 25.738 | 3.260 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 1185 | CG1 | VAL | 128 | -0.111 | 25.816 | 2.774 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 1186 | CG2 | VAL | 128 | -1.931 | 24.267 | 3.150 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1187 | C | VAL | 128 | -0.797 | 27.520 | 5.099 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1188 | 0 | VAL | 128 | -1.172 | 28.677 | 4.839 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1189 | N | ASP | 129 | 0.310 | 27.302 | 5.785 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1190 | H | ASP | 129 | 0.602 | 26.387 | 5.976 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1191 | CA | ASP | 129 | 1.137 | 28.438 | 6.188 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1192 | CB | ASP | 129 | 1.480 | 28.347 | 7.731 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1193 | CG | ASP | 129 | 2.044 | 29.629 | 8.369 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1194 | OD1 | ASP | 129 | 2.803 | 30.340 | 7.707 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1195 | OD2 | ASP | 129 | 1.716 | 29.937 | 9.520 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1196 | C | ASP | 129 | 2.396 | 28.301 | 5.313 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1197 | 0 | ASP | 129 | 3.116 | 27.313 | 5.542 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1198 | N | ASP | 130 | 2.756 | 29.145 | 4.317 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1199 | H | ASP | 130 | 2.158 | 29.859 | 4.013 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1200 | CA | ASP | 130 | 3.980 | 28.822 | 3.633 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1201 | CB | ASP | 130 | 4.129 | 29.471 | 2.256 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1202 | CG | ASP | 130 | 5.058 | 28.568 | 1.402 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1203 | OD1 | ASP | 130 | 6.269 | 28.813 | 1.345 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1204 | OD2 | ASP | 130 | 4.598 | 27.574 | 0.818 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1205 | C | ASP | 130 | 5.105 | 29.277 | 4.518 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1206 | 0 | ASP | 130 | 6.146 | 28.620 | 4.510 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1207 | N | GLU | 131 | 4.904 | 30.290 | 5.373 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1208 | H | GLU | 131 | 4.067 | 30.789 | 5.346 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1209 | CA | GLU | 131 | 5.959 | 30.694 | 6.297 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1210 | CB | GLU | 131 | 5.555 | 31.987 | 7.072 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1211 | CG | GLU | 131 | 5.622 | 33.333 | 6.262 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1212 | CD | GLU | 131 | 5.242 | 34.718 | 6.901 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1213 | OE1 | GLU | 131 | 4.526 | 35.468 | 6.210 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1214 | OE2 | GLU | 131 | 5.672 | 35.102 | 8.018 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1215 | C | GLU | 131 | 6.248 | 29.555 | 7.287 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1216 | 0 | GLU | 131 | 7.257 | 29.603 | 7.961 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1217 | N | ALA | 132 | 5.439 | 28.498 | 7.462 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1218 | H | ALA | 132 | 4.617 | 28.448 | 6.943 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1219 | CA | ALA | 132 | 5.788 | 27.369 | 8.317 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1220 | CB | ALA | 132 | 4.617 | 26.887 | 9.167 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1221 | C | ALA | 132 | 6.175 | 26.221 | 7.410 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1222 | 0 | ALA | 132 | 7.265 | 25.690 | 7.513 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1223 | N | LEU | 133 | 5.328 | 25.839 | 6.457 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1224 | H | LEU | 133 | 4.477 | 26.307 | 6.390 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1225 | CA | LEU | 133 | 5.564 | 24.751 | 5.520 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1226 | CB | LEU | 133 | 4.507 | 24.761 | 4.453 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1227 | CG | LEU | 133 | 3.619 | 23.552 | 4.415 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1228 | CD1 | LEU | 133 | 2.881 | 23.579 | 3.103 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1229 | CD2 | LEU | 133 | 4.397 | 22.254 | 4.467 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1230 | C | LEU | 133 | 6.921 | 24.706 | 4.814 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1231 | 0 | LEU | 133 | 7.479 | 23.652 | 4.427 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1232 | N | GLU | 134 | 7.503 | 25.874 | 4.610 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1233 | H | GLU | 134 | 7.059 | 26.733 | 4.790 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1234 | CA | GLU | 134 | 8.809 | 25.853 | 4.023 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1235 | CB | GLU | 134 | 8.561 | 26.302 | 2.506 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1236 | CG | GLU | 134 | 7.391 | 25.727 | 1.544 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1237 | CD | GLU | 134 | 7.244 | 24.219 | 1.105 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1238 | OE1 | GLU | 134 | 6.177 | 23.588 | 1.301 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1239 | OE2 | GLU | 134 | 8.185 | 23.670 | 0.510 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1240 | C | GLU | 134 | 9.618 | 26.767 | 4.987 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1241 | 0 | GLU | 134 | 10.079 | 27.839 | 4.628 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1242 | N | LYS | 135 | 9.635 | 26.348 | 6.286 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1243 | H | LYS | 135 | 8.951 | 25.671 | 6.454 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1244 | CA | LYS | 135 | 10.403 | 26.798 | 7.511 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1245 | CB | LYS | 135 | 9.634 | 27.544 | 8.618 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1246 | CG | LYS | 135 | 10.409 | 27.839 | 9.930 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1247 | CD | LYS | 135 | 9.641 | 28.787 | 10.831 10.125 | 1.00115 .00 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1248 | CE | LYS | 135 | 9.524 | 30.151 30.944 | 10.125 10.549 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1249 | NZ | LYS | 135 | 8.376 8.426 | 30.944 31.108 | 11.575 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1250 | HZ1 | LYS | 135 | 8.426 | 31.108 |  | 1.0015 .00 |


| ATOM | 1251 | HZ2 | LYS | 135 | 7.499 | 30.431 | 10.324 | 1.0015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 1252 | HZ3 | LYS | 135 | 8.381 | 31.856 | 10.048 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1253 | C | LYS | 135 | 10.786 | 25.507 | 8.203 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1254 | 0 | LYS | 135 | 11.795 | 25.351 | 8.873 | 1.0015 .00 |
| АTOM | 1255 | N | PHE | 136 | 9.783 | 24.645 | 8.080 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1256 | H | PHE | 136 | 8.903 | 25.057 | 8.016 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1257 | CA | PHE | 136 | 9.773 | 23.212 | 8.213 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1258 | CB | PHE | 136 | 8.565 | 22.639 | 7.513 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1259 | CG | PHE | 136 | 8.304 | 21.158 | 7.645 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1260 | CD1 | PHE | 136 | 8.537 | 20.502 | 8.827 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1261 | CD2 | PHE | 136 | 7.738 | 20.519 | 6.589 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1262 | CE1 | PHE | 136 | 8.181 | 19.196 | 8.952 | +.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1263 | CE2 | PHE | 136 | 7.386 | 19.210 | 6.722 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1264 | CZ | PHE | 136 | 7.602 | 18.552 | 7.891 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1265 | C | PHE | 136 | 10.995 | 22.842 | 7.425 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1266 | 0 | PHE | 136 | 11.927 | 22.406 | 8.079 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1267 | N | ASP | 137 | 11.052 | 23.077 | 6.096 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1268 | H | ASP | 137 | 10.235 | 23.366 | 5.641 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1269 | CA | ASP | 137 | 12.223 | 22.788 | 5.294 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1270 | CB | ASP | 137 | 12.145 | 23.518 | 3.995 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1271 | CG | ASP | 137 | 11.092 | 22.926 | 3.047 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1272 | OD1 | ASP | 137 | 10.366 | 21.983 | 3.447 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1273 | OD2 | ASP | 137 | 11.021 | 23.409 | 1.898 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1274 | C | ASP | 137 | 13.473 | 23.183 | 6.001 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1275 | 0 | ASP | 137 | 14.298 | 22.309 | 6.203 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1276 | N | LYS | 138 | 13.527 | 24.407 | 6.533 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1277 | H | LYS | 138 | 12.771 | 25.013 | 6.440 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1278 | CA | LYS | 138 | 14.691 | 24.850 | 7.304 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1279 | CB | LYS | 138 | 14.510 | 26.305 | 7.847 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1280 | CG | LYS | 138 | 15.561 | 27.357 | 7.337 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1281 | CD | LYS | 138 | 15.696 | 27.582 | 5.782 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1282 | CE | LYS | 138 | 14.608 | 28.372 | 5.003 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1283 | NZ | LYS | 138 | 14.487 | 29.772 | 5.426 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1284 | HZ1 | LYS | 138 | 13.778 | 30.250 | 4.833 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1285 | HZ2 | LYS | 138 | 15.406 | 30.247 | 5.322 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1286 | HZ3 | LYS | 138 | 14.188 | 29.806 | 6.421 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1287 | C | LYS | 138 | 15.036 | 23.947 | 8.476 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1288 | 0 | LYS | 138 | 16.159 | 23.473 | 8.452 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1289 | N | ALA | 139 | 14.227 | 23.639 | 9.491 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1290 | H | ALA | 139 | 13.317 | 24.006 | 9.484 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1291 | CA | ALA | 139 | 14.642 | 22.729 | 10.564 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1292 | CB | ALA | 139 | 13.514 | 22.455 | 11.533 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1293 | C | ALA | 139 | 15.049 | 21.394 | 9.982 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1294 | 0 | ALA | 139 | 16.154 | 20.874 | 10.113 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1295 | N | LEU | 140 | 14.153 | 20.939 | 9.149 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1296 | H | LEU | 140 | 13.409 | 21.520 | 8.893 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1297 | CA | LEU | 140 | 14.284 | 19.665 | 8.508 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1298 | CB | LEU | 140 | 12.940 | 19.379 | 7.829 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1299 | CG | LEU | 140 | 12.611 | 18.181 | 6.972 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1300 | CD1 | LEU | 140 | 12.715 | 16.870 | 7.708 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1301 | CD2 | LEU | 140 | 11.179 | 18.363 | 6.536 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1302 | C | LEU | 140 | 15.446 | 19.626 | 7.530 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1303 | 0 | LEU | 140 | 15.765 | 18.530 | 7.066 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1304 | N | LYS | 141 | 16.176 | 20.705 | 7.279 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1305 | H | LYS | 141 | 16.049 | 21.537 | 7.778 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1306 | CA | LYS | 141 | 17.119 | 20.677 | 6.172 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1307 | CB | LYS | 141 | 17.701 | 22.066 | 5.915 | i.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1308 | CG | LYS | 141 | 17.994 | 22.402 | 4.451 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1309 | CD | LYS | 141 | 18.449 | 23.883 | 4.426 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1310 | CE | LYS | 141 | 19.187 | 24.360 | 3.142 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1311 | NZ | LYS | 141 | 19.335 | 25.815 | 3.148 3.969 | 1.0015 .00 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1312 | HZ1 | LYS | 141 | 19.905 | 26.102 | 3.969 3.205 | 1.0015 .00 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1313 | HZ2 | LYS | 141 | 18.396 | 26.258 26.118 | 3.205 2.274 | 1.0015 .00 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1314 1315 | Hz3 | LYS | 141 | 19.811 18.259 | 26.118 19.708 | 2.274 6.355 | 1.0015 .00 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1315 | C | LYS | 141 | 18.259 18.705 | 19.708 | 6.355 5.346 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1316 | 0 | LYS | 141 | 18.705 | 19.167 | 5.346 | 1.0015 .00 |


| ATOM | 1317 | N | ALA | 142 | 18.728 | 19.404 | 7.565 | 1.0015 .00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 1318 | H | ALA | 142 | 18.265 | 19.754 | 8.355 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1319 | CA | ALA | 142 | 19.871 | 18.490 | 7.690 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1320 | CB | ALA | 142 | 20.969 | 19.079 | 8.578 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1321 | C | ALA | 142 | 19.541 | 17.133 | 8.272 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1322 | 0 | ALA | 142 | 20.429 | 16.370 | 8.641 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1323 | N | LEU | 143 | 18.291 | 16.772 | 8.431 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1324 | H | LEU | 143 | 17.576 | 17.264 | 7.972 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1325 | CA | LEU | 143 | 18.040 | 15.449 | 8.949 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1326 | CB | LeU | 143 | 16.718 | 15.566 | 9.679 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1327 | CG | LEU | 143 | 16.644 | 16.739 | 10.633 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1328 | CD1 | LEU | 143 | 15.343 | 16.783 | 11.353 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1329 | CD2 | LEU | 143 | 17.680 | 16.590 | 11.666 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1330 | C | LEU | 143 | 18.055 | 14.507 | 7.718 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1331 | $\bigcirc$ | LEU | 143 | 18.152 | 14.982 | 6.585 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1332 | N | PRO | 144 | 18.015 | 13.182 | 7.762 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1333 | CD | PRO | 144 | 18.489 | 12.372 | 8.858 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1334 | CA | PRO | 144 | 17.668 | 12.383 | 6.605 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1335 | CB | PRO | 144 | 17.646 | 11.014 | 7.175 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1336 | CG | PRO | 144 | 18.799 | 11.109 | 8.109 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1337 | C | PRO | 144 | 16.418 | 12.728 | 5.766 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1338 | $\bigcirc$ | PRO | 144 | 15.345 | 13.283 | 6.071 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1339 | N | MET | 145 | 16.660 | 12.077 | 4.658 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1340 | H | MET | 145 | 17.403 | 11.441 | 4.653 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1341 | CA | MET | 145 | 15.913 | 12.192 | 3.437 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1342 | CB | MET | 145 | 16.997 | 11.803 | 2.324 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1343 | CG | MET | 145 | 17.748 | 10.422 | 2.344 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1344 | SD | MET | 145 | 16.788 | 8.877 | 2.482 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1345 | CE | MET | 145 | 17.473 | 8.257 | 3.994 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1346 | C | MET | 145 | 14.600 | 11.414 | 3.356 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1347 | - | MET | 145 | 13.811 | 11.276 | 4.277 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1348 | N | HIS | 146 | 14.435 | 10.930 | 2.129 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1349 | H | HIS | 146 | 14.977 | 11.305 | 1.408 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1350 | CA | HIS | 146 | 13.447 | 10.055 | 1.595 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1351 | CB | HIS | 146 | 13.252 | 8.754 | 2.357 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1352 | CG | HIS | 146 | 13.960 | 7.529 | 1.723 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1353 | CD2 | HIS | 146 | 13.681 | 6.995 | 0.481 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1354 | ND1 | HIS | 146 | 14.863 | 6.715 | 2.285 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1355 | HD1 | HIS | 146 | 15.374 | 6.922 | 3.108 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1356 | CE1 | HIS | 146 | 15.105 | 5.731 | 1.456 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1357 | NE2 | HIS | 146 | 14.384 | 5.902 | 0.373 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1358 | HE2 | HIS | 146 | 14.248 | 5.230 | -0.339 | i. 0015.00 |
| Атом | 1359 | C | HIS | 146 | 12.125 | 10.659 | 1.489 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1360 | - | HIS | 146 | 11.731 | 10.627 | 0.331 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1361 | N | ILE | 147 | 11.445 | 11.246 | 2.456 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1362 | H | ILE | 147 | 11.817 | 11.473 | 3.338 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1363 | CA | ILE | 147 | 10.079 | 11.586 | 2.099 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1364 | CB | ILE | 147 | 9.298 | 10.300 | 2.547 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1365 | CG2 | ile | 147 | 9.229 | 10.325 | 4.058 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1366 | CG1 | ILE | 147 | 7.938 | 10.169 | 1.866 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1367 | CD1 | ILE | 147 | 7.422 | 8.705 | 1.768 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1368 | c | Ile | 147 | 9.487 | 12.900 | 2.569 | 1.0015 .00 |
| AtOM | 1369 | $\bigcirc$ | ILE | 147 | 9.788 | 13.277 | 3.704 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1370 | N | ARG | 148 | 8.738 | 13.656 | 1.749 | 1.0015 .00 |
| атом | 1371 | + | ARG | 148 | 8.580 | 13.364 | 0.830 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1372 | CA | ARG | 148 | 7.959 | 14.772 | 2.271 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1373 | CB | ARG | 148 | 8.386 | 16.120 | 1.771 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1374 | CG | ARG | 148 | 9.263 | 16.675 | 2.840 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1375 | CD | ARG | 148 | 9.586 | 18.124 | 2.635 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1376 | NE | ARG | 148 | 8.376 | 18.927 | 2.579 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1377 | HE | ARG | 148 | 7.585 | 18.648 | 3.087 | 1.0015 .00 |
| Атом | 1378 | Cz | ARG | 148 | 8.332 | 20.048 | 1.847 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1379 | NH1 | ARG | 148 | 9.411 | 20.436 | 1.147 | 1.0015 .00 |
| ATOM | 1380 | HH11 | ARG | 148 | 10.251 | 19.895 | 1.161 0.600 | -. 1.001515 .00 |
| ATOM | 1381 | HH12 | ARG | 148 | 9.372 | 21.272 20.806 | 1.835 | 1.001515 |
| ATOM | 1382 | NH2 | ARG | 148 | 7.214 | 20.806 | 1.835 | 1.0015 .00 |



| ATOM | 1449 | OE1 | GLN | 155 | -4.635 | 14.536 | 1.684 | 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOM | 1450 | NE2 | GLN | 155 | -4.583 | 16.649 | 0.982 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1451 | HE21 | GLN | 155 | -5.120 | 17.418 | 0.696 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1452 | HE22 | GLN | 155 | -3.616 | 16.611 | 0.895 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1453 | C | GLN | 155 | -7.993 | 17.404 | 4.345 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1454 | 0 | GLN | 155 | -8.398 | 16.419 | 4.966 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1455 | N | LEU | 156 | -7.353 | 18.473 | 4.844 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1456 | H | LEU | 156 | -7.111 | 19.184 | 4.225 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1457 | CA | LEU | 156 | -6.986 | 18.625 | 6.266 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1458 | CB | LEU | 156 | -6.253 | 19.993 | 6.470 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1459 | CG | LEU | 156 | -4.811 | 20.079 | 7.011 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1460 | CD1 | LEU | 156 | -3.938 | 18.911 | 6.562 | I. 00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1461 | CD2 | LEU | 156 | -4.223 | 21.381 | 6.519 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1462 | C | LEU | 156 | -8.181 | 18.523 | 7.202 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1463 | 0 | LEU | 156 | -8.075 | 17.906 | 8.264 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1464 | N | GLU | 157 | -9.339 | 19.100 | 6.884 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1465 | H | GLU | 157 | -9.444 | 19.634 | 6.069 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1466 | CA | GLU | 157 | -10.420 | 18.892 | 7.802 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1467 | CB | GLU | 157 | -11.353 | 19.993 | 7.652 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1468 | CG | GLU | 157 | -11.200 | 20.608 | 8.995 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1469 | CD | GLU | 157 | -12.064 | 21.831 | 9.038 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1470 | OE1 | GLU | 157 | -13.165 | 21.747 | 9.576 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1471 | OE2 | GLU | 157 | -11.643 | 22.861 | 8.499 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1472 | C | GLU | 157 | -11.167 | 17.582 | 7.727 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1473 | 0 | GLU | 157 | -12.062 | 17.358 | 8.544 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1474 | N | GLU | 158 | -10.887 | 16.684 | 6.791 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1475 | H | GLU | 158 | -10.067 | 16.762 | 6.262 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1476 | CA | GLU | 158 | -11.604 | 15.416 | 6.792 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1477 | CB | GLU | 158 | -11.605 | 14.817 | 5.363 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1478 | CG | GLU | 158 | -12.528 | 15.643 | 4.401 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1479 | CD | GLU | 158 | -12.592 | 15.275 | 2.885 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1480 | OE1 | GLU | 158 | -11.551 | 15.172 | 2.201 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1481 | OE2 | GLU | 158 | -13.702 | 15.107 | 2.351 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1482 | C | GLU | 158 | -10.8こС | 14.581 | 7.814 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1483 | 0 | GLU | 158 | -9.766 | 14.999 | 8.285 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1484 | N | GLN | 159 | -11.347 | 13.450 | 8.303 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1485 | H | GLN | 159 | -12.224 | 13.174 | 7.977 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1486 | CA | GLN | 159 | -10.650 | 12.599 | 9.287 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1487 | CB | GLN | 159 | -11.612 | 11.538 | 9.778 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1488 | CG | GLN | 159 | -11.244 | 10.673 | 10.954 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1489 | CD | GLN | 159 | -12.196 | 10.903 | 12.120 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1490 | OE1 | GLN | 159 | -13.198 | 11.630 | 11.997 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1491 | NE2 | GLN | 159 | -11.913 | 10.328 | 13.297 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1492 | HE21 | GLN | 159 | -11.125 | 9.752 | 13.373 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1493 | HE22 | GLN | 159 | -12.547 | 10.503 | 14.023 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1494 | C | GLN | 159 | -9.473 | 11.937 | 8.570 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1495 | 0 | GLN | 159 | -9.475 | 11.911 | 7.334 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1496 | N | CYS | 160 | -8.528 | 11.320 | 9.274 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1497 | H | CYS | 160 | -8.590 | 11.285 | 10.247 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1498 | CA | CYS | 160 | -7.362 | 10.730 | 8.664 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1499 | C | CYS | 160 | -6.659 | 11.407 | 7.490 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1500 | 0 | CYS | 160 | -6.406 | 10.744 | 6.469 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1501 | CB | CYS | 160 | -7.691 | 9.340 | 8.267 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1502 | SG | CYS | 160 | -7.274 | 8.307 | 9.668 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1503 | N | HIS | 161 | -6.279 | 12.703 | 7.597 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1504 | H | HIS | 161 | -6.393 | 13.202 | 8.436 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1505 | CA | HIS | 161 | -5.544 | 13.469 | 6.575 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1506 | CB | HIS | 161 | -6.538 | 14.094 | 5.602 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1507 | CG | HIS | 161 | -7.040 | 13.098 | 4.562 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1508 | CD2 | HIS | 161 | -8.174 | 12.317 | 4.699 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1509 | ND1 | HIS | 161 | -6.517 | 12.805 | 3.366 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1510 | HD1 | HIS | 161 | -5.639 | 13.113 | 3.041 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1511 | CE1 | HIS | 161 | -7.294 | 11.896 | 2.798 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1512 | NE2 | HIS | 161 | -8.292 | 11.601 | 3.610 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1513 | HE2 | HIS | 161 | -8.936 | 10.872 | 3.496 |  | 15.00 15.00 |
| ATOM | 1514 | C | HIS | 161 | -4.703 | 14.544 | 7.279 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


| ATOM | 1515 | O1 | HIS | 161 | -4.194 | 14.204 | 8.338 | 1.00 | 15.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ATOM | 1516 | O2 | HIS | 161 | -4.547 | 15.678 | 6.814 | 1.00 | 15.00 |


[^0]:    (1) Godovac-Zimmermann and Braunitzer, (1987);(2) Julkunen, etal., (1988);(3) Rask, et al., (1979);(4) Berman, et al., (1987);(5) Åkerström and Lögdberg, (1990);(6) Pervaiz and Brew, (1985);(7) Lee, et al., (1987);(8) Pevsner, et al., (1988);(9) Henzel, et al., (1988);(10) Cooper, et al., (1987);(11) Brooks, et al., (1986);(12) Unterman, et al., (1981);(13) Clark, et al., (1987);(14) Haefliger, et al., (1987);(15) Keen, et al., (1990);(16) Riley, et al., (1984);(17) Sutor, et al., (1988);(18) Nagata, et al. (1991);(19) Redl, et al., (1992);(20) Cancedda, et al., (1990);(21) Spence, et al., (1989)

[^1]:    a- Sigma of translation function $=0.063$

