

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

This thesis has been submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree (e.g. PhD, MPhil, DClinPsychol) at the University of Edinburgh. Please note the following terms and conditions of use:

- This work is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, which are retained by the thesis author, unless otherwise stated.
- A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge.
- This thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the author.
- The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the author.
- When referring to this work, full bibliographic details including the author, title, awarding institution and date of the thesis must be given.

# Short telomeres in embryonic stem cells affect stable differentiation

Fabio Pucci



Thesis presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Edinburgh

2013

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis has been composed by myself and the work presented herein is my own, except where stated otherwise. This research has not been submitted for any other degree except as specified.

Fabio Pucci

Edinburgh

October, 2013

## Abstract

Murine embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are self-renewing, pluripotent cells able to differentiate into cells of all three germ layers. Pluripotency and self-renewal are maintained primarily by the core transcriptional factors Nanog, Oct4 and Sox2, but require the cooperation of other factors and coregulators and an efficient telomere maintenance mechanism. In mammals, telomere maintenance is achieved via a telomerase reverse transcriptase (Tert) that acts together with an RNA component (Terc). Maintenance of functional telomeres is essential to allow ESC proliferation, nevertheless if and how it is involved in the achievement and preservation of cell differentiation is still unknown. Here, we used Tert deficient mouse ESCs to elucidate the role of telomere length in differentiation. We found that Tert<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs with critically short telomeres are delayed, but still capable, to achieve differentiation after leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) withdrawal and all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) treatment, but failed to maintain it after LIF re-introduction to the growth medium. Telomere shortening effect on differentiation was accompanied by pluripotency gene dysregulation (e.g. Nanog overexpression), DNA hypomethylation and epigenetic disorders. This phenotype of metastable differentiation could be rescued by telomere lengthening via re-introduction of Tert, depletion of Nanog via short hairpin RNA, or via enforced expression of the de novo DNA methyltransferase 3b. These results reveal an unanticipated role of telomeres in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression and cell fate determination during physiological or pathological processes.

## Acknowledgments

There are many people I would like to thank for their help and support during my Ph.D.

My supervisor, Lea Harrington, a true person of science, for her irreplaceable advices and suggestions, and for always being enthusiastic and supportive, even and above all, when things were not going well. Thank you for helping me to strength my weakness and develop my virtues both as scientist and as person.

Thank you to my Ph.D. committee members: Kei and Irina, and to Adrian for their feedback and imput.

Many sincere thanks to the Wellcome Trust for funding.

I would also like to thank former and current lab members, particularly Laura, Elisa, Sveta, Asia for their support and the fun work environment, and above all Emilie, for making my last month in Edinburgh so joyful.

A big thank you to all my friends here in Edinburgh, to Agata and Rad, Robert, Marta, Diana, Julio, Laura, Lisi, Giuseppe, Nuno, Nadine, Roberta, Dima, Nick, Vanessa, Manuel and Martina. Thank you for four unforgettable years. Thank you for making me feel like at home. A particular thank to Cristina for always been present and reliable. Thank you for being one of the best people I know.

Alla mia famiglia, agli zii ed ai cugini, ed in particolare a mio padre, mia madre e mio fratello, ed ovviamente a Zoe, un grazie di cuore per essere sempre stati al mio fianco, pur essendo lontani.

## Abbreviations

Bp base pair

**BSA** bovine serum albumin

cDNA complementary DNA

CMV cytomegalovirus

Da Dalton

DAPI 4'.6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole

DNA deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTP deoxynucleotide triphosphate

EDTA ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

EGFP enhanced green fluorescent protein

GFP green fluorescent protein

H histone

**h** hour(s)

**IRES** internal ribosome entry sequence

K lysine

Kb kilobase

Min minutes

N number

**PBS** phosphate buffered saline

PCR polymerase chain reaction

**pH** -log10(aH+)

**QRTPCR** quantitative revere transcriptase PCR

**RNA** ribonucleic acid

RNAi RNA interference

**SDS** sodium-dodecyl sulfate

siRNA short interfering RNA

**shRNA** short hairpin RNA

**WB** western blot

Wt wild type

**RIPA** radio immunoprecipitation assay

# **List of figures**

Fig.	Title	Page
1	Schematic representation of early mouse embryo development	3
2	Schematic representation of pluripotency regulatory circuit	7
3	Role of the Wnt canonical signaling network in ESC pluripotency and self-renewal	9
4	The role of LIF/JAK/STAT3 in ESC pluripotency and self-renewal	11
5	Role of LIF/PIK3/AKT pathway in ESC pluripotency and self-renewal	12
6	Schematic representation of Smad-mediated BMP4 inhibition of differentiation	14
7	Schematic representation of the FGF4 signaling network	15
8	Schematic representation of iPS cell reprogramming mediated by the four Yamanaka factors	17
9	Schematic representation of chromatin conformation evolution during ESC differentiation	21
10	Telomere elongation by telomerase in mammals	25
11	Schematic model for the formation of heterochromatin on	

	mammalian telomeric and subtelomeric regions	30
12	Characterisation of telomerase activity and telomere length in Tert-/- ESCs	48
13	Characterisation of cell morphology and cell cycle distribution of Tert-/- ESCs	49
14	Relative gene expression of Wt and <i>Tert<sup>-/-S</sup></i> ESCs analysed by QRT- PCR	49
15	Effect of <i>Tert</i> reintroduction into <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs on telomere length	50
16	Relative gene expression of Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> , <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-L</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR	52
17	Effects of telomere shortening on Nanog protein expression	53
18	FACS analysis of Nanog expression in Wt, <i>TERT</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup>	55
19	Relative gene expression in Wt, <i>Tert<sup>-/-S</sup></i> , <i>Tert<sup>-/-L</sup></i> and <i>Tert<sup>-/-R</sup></i> ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR	56
20	ChIP analysis using an antibody to Nanog in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> cells	57
21	ChIP analysis using antibodies to acetyl H3K9 (left) and acetyl H4	61

	(right) in Wt, $Tert^{-S}$ and $Tert^{-R}$ ESCs.	
22	ChIP analysis using antibodies to acetyl H3K4me3 and H3K27me3	62
23	3D-analysis of cell nuclei	63
24	DNA methylation analysis in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs	65
25	Dnmt expression analysis in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup>	66
26	Effects of enforced Dnmt3b expression in <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs on global methylation and <i>Nanog</i> expression	67
27	Bright field images of Wt, <i>TERT</i> <sup>/-S</sup> and <i>TERT</i> <sup>/-R</sup> ESC populations at day 0 and 6 in media containing 5µM all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) and, following ATRA removal, a further 6 days in LIF-containing media	71
28	Nanog detection in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs treated for 6 days with all trans retinoic acid and for 6 days with LIF	72
29	QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation	73
30	Single colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) and LIF-containing media (6 days)	74

31	The response of <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-L</sup> ESCs to ATRA and subsequent LIF	75
	treatment	
32	QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced	76
	differentiation	
33	Single colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) and,	77
	where indicated, of LIF-containing media (6 days)	
34	<i>Oct4</i> -promoter-driven <i>GFP</i> expression analysis of Wt and $Tert^{-/-S}$	78
	ESCs post-ATRA treatment and after cell sorting for GFP-negative	
	cells	
35	CpG methylation analysis of the Oct4 and Nanog promoters, in Wt,	79
	<i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs, during ATRA treatment followed by culture	
	in LIF-conditioned media	
36	The response of <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-3b</sup> ESCs to ATRA and subsequent LIF	80
	treatment	
37	The response of <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs to Nanog depletion. A) Nanog protein	82
	detection by western blot	
38	Relative gene expression in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs treated with	86
	DMSO or 20 $\mu$ M of PTF- $\alpha$ analysed by QRT-PCR	
39	Relative gene expression in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> and <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> analysed by QRT-	87
	PCR	
40	Relative gene expression in Wt, <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-S</sup> , <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-L</sup> , <i>Tert</i> <sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs	88
1		1

	analysed by QRT-PCR	
41	Schematic model	92
42	Schematic representation of putative models connecting telomere	94
	shortening to <i>de novo</i> Dnmt downregulation and UCCIA	
43	Schematic representation of speculative impact of contrasting	97
	telomere shortening in particular cases of cancer theraphy	
44	Schematic representation of hypothetic mechanism of insulin-	99
	responsive gene control	

# **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1 1
Introduction1
1.1 Embryonic stem cells1
1.2 Pluripotency and self-renewal master regulators4
1.3 Signalling pathways in pluripotency maintenance7
1.4 Induced pluripotent stem cells16
1.5 Chromatin and epigenetic modifications17
1.6 Chromatin state in ESCs19
1.7 Telomere function and biology23
1.8 Tert: telomere commitment vs moonlight activities25
1.9 The role of telomere length in the regulation of telomere chromatin26
1.10 Alternative lengthening of telomeres and the role of telomere chromatin
in the regulation of telomere length27
1.11 Telomeres and telomerase in embryonic stem cells
Chapter 2
Materials and Methods33
2.1 Cell culture and transfection33
2.2 Differentiation assay
2.3 Quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (Q-FISH)
2.4 QRT-PCR
2.5 Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

2.6 Methylation assay3	19
2.7 Bisulphite sequencing analysis3	19
2.8 Telomerase activity assay4	10
2.9. Plasmid construction4	10
2.10 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)4	1
2.11 Protein extraction and western blot analysis4	1
2.12 Immunofluorescence4	2
2.13 3D Analysis of Cell Nuclei4	13
2.14 Inhibition of p53 transcriptional activity4	3
Chapter 34	16
Results 4	16
ESCs with short telomeres show dysregulation of pluripotency genes4	16
3.1 Critically short telomeres lead to increased Nanog both at mRNA and	
protein levels4	8
3.2 <i>Tert<sup>/-</sup></i> cells exhibit an altered Nanog-High/Nanog-Low population	
distribution and pluripotency gene regulation5	54
3.3 <i>Tert<sup>1-</sup></i> cells exhibit altered Nanog-High/Nanog-Low population	
distribution and spread pluripotency gene dysregulation5	56
3.4 Summary of chapter 35	57
Chapter 45	<b>59</b>
Results5	<b>59</b>
<i>Tert<sup>S</sup></i> ESCs display epigenetic alterations at telomere proximal and distal <i>loc</i>	i
	<u>59</u>

4.1 Alteration of histone marks in <i>Terf<sup>/-S</sup></i> cells60
4.2 Telomere shortening leads to DNA hypomethylation induced by <i>de novo</i>
DNA methyltransferase downregulation64
4.3 Enforced expression of <i>Dnmt3b</i> in <i>Tert<sup>-/-S</sup></i> cells restores Nanog to levels
comparable to Wt ESCs68
4.4 Summary of chapter 470
Chapter 5
Results
Delayed and metastable differentiation of ESCs with critically short telomeres
5.1 Delayed response to ATRA treatment and re-gain of pluripotency after
LIF
5.2 Impairment of pluripotency gene promoter methylation after retinoic acid
stimulation, and Dnmt3b-induced differentiation rescue81
5.3 Nanog depletion in <i>Tert<sup>/-</sup></i> ESCs induces spontaneous differentiation and
differentiation maintenance84
5.4 Summary of chapter 586
Chapter 6
Results
Tert <sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs show differential response to p53 inhibition, and altered regulation
of insulin-responsive genes
6.1 Altered Nanog regulation in <i>Tert<sup>-/-S</sup></i> cells upon inhibition of p53
transcriptional activity88

6.2 Upregulation of insulin-pathway genes in <i>Tert<sup>-/-S</sup></i> ESCs
6.3 Summary of chapter 691
Chapter 7
Discussion and Perspectives93
7.1 Telomeres and epigenetic93
7.2 <i>De novo</i> Dnmt downregulation and <u>u</u> ncapped-telomere <u>c</u> aused <u>i</u> nhibition
of differentiation <u>a</u> ctivity (UCCIA)96
7.3 Necessity to examine some cancer therapies from a different angle?99
7.4 Affecting glucose uptake101
7.5 Final remarks102
Bibliography104
Appendix A
Appendix B

## **Chapter 1**

## Introduction

#### **1.1 Embryonic stem cells**

Stem cells are characterized by two major traits: A) They are able to extensively, in some case unlimitedly, self-renew without entering senescence, B) they also possess the ability, called potency, to differentiate into one or more cell types (Hirai et al., 2011; Nichols and Smith, 2009). In mouse, an arbitrary distinction of the different kinds of stem cells can be performed according to the extent of their potency. In this hierarchical model the totipotent stem cells, cells from the early morula, occupy the top position. Zygote undergoes a cleavage division stage that, eventually, leads to the formation of the morula, which is formed by 8-16 cells called blastomeres. Blastomeres ultimately compact together, and the outside cells begin to form the trophoblast. Until compaction, blastomeres are symmetric (each cell has the same features and potency of the others), unresponsive to extrinsic signals, and able to differentiate into any kind of both embryonic and extra-embryonic cell (Hillman et al., 1972; Nichols and Smith, 2009; Selwood and Johnson, 2006).

Pluripotent, or embryonic, stem cells (ESC) arise soon after totipotent ones in terms of potency. Compacted blastomeres give raise to a structure called a blastocyst, formed by the trophoblast and the inner cell mass (ICM). ICM produces both embryonic (epiblast) and extra-embryonic (hypoblast) lineages. ESCs are derived from the epiblast of the inner cell mass of pre-implanted blastocysts (Fig1) (Friel et al., 2005; Nichols and Smith, 2009; Nichols et al., 1998). They are able to give raise to any embryonic (but not extra-embryonic) cell and to form teratomas. In addition, a single pre-implantation epiblast cell, when injected into another blastocyst, can contribute to all cell lineages. Epigenetically, female ESCs are characterized by reactivation of the paternal X chromosome resulting in two active X chromosomes (Friel et al., 2005; Gardner and Beddington, 1988; Nichols and Smith, 2009).

Epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs), derived from post-implantation epiblast, share many features with ESCs, like expression of key pluripotency genes, the ability to form, in *vitro*, cells from the three germ layers, and to form teratomas. However, EpiSCs are incapable to contribute to chimerism when injected into blastocysts, and female cells show random inactivation of one of the two X chromosomes (Heard, 2004; Mak et al., 2004; Nichols and Smith, 2009).

Multipotent, or adult, stem cells are able to differentiate only into cells of a specific organ or tissue or system. They locate into different tissues in the adult organism and their role is to replace mature cells after damage or disease-induced stress (Friel et al., 2005).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of early mouse embryo development. Zygote undergoes multi cell division to origin the morula, which compacts and gives raise to the early blastocyst (bottom left). Blastocyst is formed by the trophoblast (in red), which will generate extraembryonic tissues (e. g. placenta), a fluid-filled space called blastocoel, and the ICM. In the late blastocyst, the ICM is re-organized into epiblast (in blue), which will differentiate into the three embryonic germ layers: endoderm, mesoderm and ectoderm, and hypoblast (in green), which lies beneath the epiblast and generates extra-embryonic primitive endoderm tissues (e.g. yolk sac). ESCs are derived form the epiblast of pre-implantation blastocyst. Figure adapted from (Nichols and Smith, 2009).

The origin of ESC studies in culture can be traced to findings from the 1950's on teratomas, a particular kind of tumour containing cells from the three germ layers: endoderm, mesoderm and ectoderm, and undifferentiated cells called embryonic carcinoma (EC) cells. EC cells, grown on mitotically inactivated fibroblasts, could be expanded in culture for years and were capable of multi-lineage differentiation (Martin and Evans, 1975). EC cells usage for pluripotency studies was severely limited by their tumour-related genetic instability, however they built the basis for future pluripotency studies (Friel et al., 2005; Martin, 1980).

Murine embryonic stem cells from the ICM were first isolated in 1981 by Evans and Kaufman in Cambridge, and Gail Martin at University of California, San Francisco. ESCs cultured either on feeder cells (typically irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts) or on gelatin-coated dishes can be kept in culture for many passages and still maintain the ability to contribute to chimerism after blastocyst injection (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Hirai et al., 2011; Martin, 1981).

#### 1.2 Pluripotency and self-renewal master regulators

The ability to differentiate into cells of the three germ layers and to replicate without entering senescence are the key characteristics of ESCs both in the embryo and *in vitro*. Pluripotency and self-renewal are mainly maintained by the core transcription factors Nanog, Oct4 and Sox2 (Chambers et al., 2003; Nichols and Smith, 2009; Nichols et al., 1998; Yuan et al., 1995). Their targets encompass both transcribed and inactive genes, some of which are involved in the maintenance of ESC self-renewal and pluripotency, whereas others execute critical developmental activities including differentiation into cells of the three germ layers (Loh et al., 2006; Niwa, 2007; Silva

and Smith, 2008). It is worth noting that many of the genes involved in the maintenance of ESC self-renewal and pluripotency are co-occupied by at least two of the core transcription factors, including the Sox2, Oct4, and Nanog promoter themselves (Ivanova et al., 2006; Li, 2010; Silva and Smith, 2008; Tsumura et al., 2006).

Oct4, encoded by the Pou5f1 gene, is a transcription factor that binds to the octameric sequence: ATGCAAAT. During mouse embryo development, Oct4 is expressed at the four-cell stage, and is subsequently restricted to the cells of the ICM of the blastocyst (Yeom et al., 1996). Oct4 expression has to be tightly regulated; as it has been shown that depletion of this factor leads to trophectoderm lineage, whereas its increase over two-fold leads toward endoderm and mesoderm differentiation (Niwa et al., 2000). However, it has been reported recently that heterozygosity for Oct4, and subsequent reduced expression, leads to a strong maintenance of pluripotent undifferentiated state.  $Oct4^{+/-}$  ESCs present an enrichment of Oct4 and Nanog at pluripotency-associated enhancers, a more uniform expression of pluripotency factors, and a delayed differentiation compared to  $Oct4^{+/+}$ 

Sox2 has been identified as target of Oct4 and, just like Oct4, it is expressed in all the cells at the four-cell stage whereas it is restricted to the ICM in the blastocyst (Avilion et al., 2003; Grinnell et al., 2007). Sox2 is able to form a complex with Oct4 to induce transcription of pluripotency genes, and both its depletion and its increase over two-fold lead to differentiation (Kopp et al., 2008; Nakatake et al., 2006; Rodda et al., 2005).

Nanog is the third component of the core pluripotency factors. During mouse development, it first appears in the morula, whereas its expression in the blastocyst is limited to the epiblast cells of the ICM (Chambers et al., 2007; Silva et al., 2009). Differently from Oct4 and Sox2, Nanog has a negative feedback on its own transcription, and its overexpression does not result in cell differentiation, but maintains cells in an undifferentiated state (Chambers et al., 2007; Fidalgo et al., 2011). In addition, although Nanog is dispensable in the maintenance of pluripotency, it is crucial to acquire it both during embryogenesis and during reprogramming. In fact, *Nanog<sup>-/-</sup>* zygotes are unable to form epiblasts, and Nanog presence is fundamental to generate truly pluripotent induce pluripotent stem (iPS) cells, despite the fact that Nanog is unnecessary for the onset of reprogramming, as it is not one of the original Yamanaka factors (Oct4, Sox2, c-Myc and Klf4). Furthermore, forced expression of Nanog alone is able to convert EpiSCs into ESCs. (Chambers et al., 2007; Fidalgo et al., 2011; Li, 2010; Silva et al., 2009; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006).

Although these three core factors locate are at the nexus of the pluripotency regulatory network and reciprocally influence their expression, in order to maintain pluripotency and self-renewal capacity they still need the collaboration of other factors and coregulators (Heng et al., 2010; Li, 2010). Factors like: Essrb, Klf2, Klf4, Tbx3, Tcf3, Sal4, Rex1, Zfp281 and many others have all been shown to regulate and/or be-regulated by the three core factors (Festuccia et al., 2012; Fidalgo et al., 2011; Ivanova et al., 2006; Li, 2010; Navarro et al., 2012). However, although each of the described factors is important to maintain an undifferentiated state, none, apart

from Sox2 and Oct4, not even Nanog, is essential provided that the other pluripotency factors are present and functional (Martello et al., 2012)(Fig. 2).



Figure 2: Schematic representation of pluripotency regulatory circuit. Oct4 and Sox2, which presence is essential for maintaining pluripotency and self-renewal, form the core of the circuit. Adapted from (Fidalgo et al., 2011; Martello et al., 2012).

#### **1.3 Signalling pathways in pluripotency maintenance**

There are many different pathways involved in the regulation and maintenance of pluripotency and self-renewal in embryonic stem cells. One of the main pathways involved in the regulation of pluripotency is the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling network (MacDonald et al., 2009). This network is also targeted, by inhibition of GSK3- $\beta$ , via the 2-inhibitors (2i) method (together with the inhibition of FGF4-MAPK) for ESC

maintenance (Blair et al., 2011).  $\beta$ -catenin has a pivotal role in the canonical Wnt signalling network, where its stability is controlled by a protein complex composed of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC), casein kinase 1 (CK1), Axin, and glycogen synthase kinase-3 $\beta$  (GSK3- $\beta$ ). In absence of binding between Wnt and its receptors,  $\beta$ -catenin is bound by APC and Axin and phosphorylated by CK1 and GSK3- $\beta$ . Phosphorylated  $\beta$ -catenin recruits E3 ubiquitin ligase, which targets  $\beta$ -catenin for proteosomal degradation. The binding of Wnt to its receptors causes a phosphorylation-mediated GSK3- $\beta$  inhibition with subsequent release of  $\beta$ -catenin, which migrates into the nucleus where it interacts with the Tcf3/Lef complex, and suppresses its transcription repression activity (Fig. 3) (Atcha et al., 2007; Sansom et al., 2005).



Figure 3. Role of the Wnt canonical signalling network in ESC pluripotency and self-renewal. Wnt interaction with its receptors causes phosphorylation and subsequent inhibition of GSK3- $\beta$ , which in turn causes nuclear accumulation of  $\beta$ -catenin. In the nucleolus,  $\beta$ -catenin replaces histone de-acetylase 1 (HDAC1) in the Tcf3/Lef complex, suppressing its negative transcriptional regulation of Wnt responsive genes. Adapted from (Atcha et al., 2007; Blair et al., 2011; Martello et al., 2012).

Independently of (or in combination with) the 2i method, it is possible to maintain mouse ESCs in a pluripotent and self-renewing state in culture by addition of the cytokine LIF (leukemia inhibitory factor) to the culture media (Tomida et al., 1984). LIF belongs to the interleukin-6 cytokine family and, when it interacts with its receptor (LIFR), LIF acts on the maintenance of self-renewal and pluripotency through, at least, two signalling pathways: LIF/JAK/STAT3 and LIF/PI3K/AKT (Hirai et al., 2011; Tomida et al., 1984).

The LIF/JAK/STAT3 signalling pathway is triggered by the binding of LIF to its receptor, and consequent dimerization of LIFR and gp130. This dimerization leads to activation of Janus kinases (JAKs) and subsequent recruitment of STAT3 to the receptor complex and its phosphorylation by JAKs. Phosphorylated STAT3 dimerizes and migrates into the nucleus where it activates transcription of target genes (e.g. Klf4) (Fig. 4) (Hirai et al., 2011; Li, 2010; Martello et al., 2012; Matsuda et al., 1999; Nishinakamura et al., 1999).



Figure 4. The role of LIF/JAK/STAT3 in ESC pluripotency and self-renewal. LIF interaction with its receptors leads to hetero-dimerization of LIFR and gp130, with consequent JAK auto-phosphorylation and STAT3 recruitment and phosphorylation. Phosphorylated STAT3 dimerizes and migrates into the nucleus where it activates target gene transcription. Adapted from (Hirai et al., 2011; Martello et al., 2012).

In the LIF/PI3K/AKT cascade, JAKs phosphorylate PI3K, which, in turn, activates AKT (Migone et al., 1998). There are numerous downstream targets of AKT, which positively regulates factors involved in maintenance of self-renewal and pluripotency (e.g. Tbx3, mTOR), and inhibits pro-differentiation agents like GSK3-β, that is able

to repress pluripotency genes also independently by restraining  $\beta$  –catenin activity (Fig. 5) (Hirai et al., 2011; Niwa et al., 2009).

Although both LIF/PI3K/AKT and the canonical Wnt signalling networks target GSK3- $\beta$ , these two networks can act independently of each other. In fact, it has been shown that even high-dosage treatment of mouse ESCs with LIF, as well as forced AKT activation, are incapable of altering  $\beta$ -catenin phosphorylation and nuclear levels (Paling et al., 2004; Watanabe et al., 2006).



Figure 5. Role of LIF/PIK3/AKT pathway in ESC pluripotency and self-renewal. LIF interaction with its receptors causes JAK-dependent PIK3 phosphorylation. Phosphorylated

PIK3 phosphorylates and activates AKT, which can modulate many positive and negative pluripotency regulators (e.g. Tbx3). Adapted from (Hirai et al., 2011; Martello et al., 2012).

However, LIF alone is incapable to maintain cells in a fully pluripotent state. ES cells also require the activation of inhibitor of differentiation (*ID*) genes. ID proteins are negative regulators of basic helix loop helix (bHLH) transcriptional factors, which comprise many pro-differentiation factors (e.g. MyoD, Neurogenin 1, etc.) (Benezra et al., 1990; Ying et al., 2003). In foetal calf serum (FCS)-supplemented growth medium, *ID* genes are activated by multiple pathways (e.g. fibronectin-mediated integrin activation). In serum-free medium, transcription of *ID* genes can be stimulated by bone morphogenic protein 4 (BMP4). BMP4 binding to its receptors, which are heterodimers of serine/threonine kinases, leads to recruitment and phosphorylation of Smad1, 5 and 8 proteins. Phosphorylated Smads can dimerize with Smad4 and migrate into the nucleus where they induce the transcription of target genes (e.g. *ID* genes) and consequent inhibition of bHLH factors (Fig. 6) (Ying et al., 2003).



Figure 6. Schematic representation of Smad-mediated BMP4 inhibition of differentiation. BMP4 binds to its serine-threonine kinase receptors on the cell membrane. This ligand-receptor interaction causes recruitment of Smad1, 5 and 8 proteins to the receptor complex and subsequent phosphorylation. Phosphorylated Smads dimerize with Smad4 and migrate into the nucleus where they mediate certain transcriptional gene targets, such as *ID* genes. ID proteins bind to bHLH transcriptional factors, which are involved in differentiation processes (e.g. neural differentiation), thus preventing their binding to DNA. Adapted from (Ying et al., 2003).

In order to be defined as pluripotent, ESCs need to be able to respond to differentiation signals. In fact, the pluripotency factors Oct4 and Sox2 not only act to maintain ESCs self-renewal, but they also induce the transcription of fibroblast

growth factor 4 (FGF4), which renders ESCs responsive to differentiation cues (Kunath et al., 2007; Silva and Smith, 2008). FGF4 is a secreted factor and, when it binds to its tyrosine kinase receptors, it induces receptor trans-phosphorylation with subsequent activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling cascade through the MEK-Erk1/2 pathway. Once activated by phosphorylation, Erk1/2 can activate downstream transcriptional factors, which ultimately render the cells susceptible to lineage commitment stimuli (Fig. 7) (Kunath et al., 2007).



Figure 7. Schematic representation of the FGF4 signaling network. FGF4 binds to its tyrosine kinase receptors (TKR) on the cell membrane. This ligand-receptor interaction causes receptor activation by trans-phosphorylation. Activated TKRs cause phosphorylation and subsequent activation of MEK, which in turn leads to phosphorylation of Erk1/2 and Erk1/2 downstream target transcriptional factors (TF). Activated TFs migrate into the nucleus where they mediate

the transcription of target genes involved in response to differentiation clues. Adapted from (Kunath et al., 2007).

### **1.4 Induced pluripotent stem cells**

Stem cell research, and above all human embryonic stem cell research, has been the center of deep public debate. Ethical issues were raised about the generation and use of human embryonic material for research. In 2006 Kazutoshi Takahashi and Shinya Yamanaka offered a potential solution to this debate. They found that differentiated cells could be reprogrammed to become embryonic stem cells by the introduction of four factors: Oct4, c-Myc, Sox2 and Klf4. These induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells exhibited the self-renewal potential and morphology of ESCs, reactivated the silenced X-chromosome, and expressed ESC marker genes (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). In addition, subcutaneous transplantation of iPS cells into nude mice resulted in the formation of teratomas. Furthermore, iPS cells that were injected into blastocysts contributed to mouse embryonic development (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006).

It has become evident that reprogramming cannot happen without massive chromatin reorganization. In fact, already early in reprogramming, during the first 72h post transfection, there is a widespread histone modification activity. Changes in DNA methylation happen, instead, in later stages during de-differentiation (Fig. 8) (Koche et al., 2011; Mikkelsen et al., 2008).



Figure 8. Schematic representation of iPS cell reprogramming mediated by the four Yamanaka factors. Differentiated cells infected with retroviral vectors encoding Oct4, Sox2, Klf4 and c-Myc exhibit, soon after infection, widespread histone modifications. Later on during the reprogramming process, genes involved in pluripotency (but not silenced by DNA methylation) are reactivated. DNA demethylation of pluripotency promoter genes happens only in late stages of reprogramming. Figure adapted from (Koche et al., 2011; Mikkelsen et al., 2008).

#### **1.5 Chromatin and epigenetic modifications**

Chromatin condensation is regulated by DNA methylation and by a wide range of histone post-transcriptional modifications. In mammals, DNA methylation at cytosine residues tends to essentially occur at CpG sites (Ramsahoye et al., 2000;

Ziller et al., 2011). In the genome, CpG can cluster into so-called CpG islands, which are regions of DNA, approximately 1000 bp long, characterised by elevated CpG composition and usually unmethylated (Bird et al., 1985; Cross et al., 1994; Deaton and Bird, 2011). Approximately 70% of annotated gene promoters are associated with CpG islands, which usually define transcriptional permissive promoters (Deaton and Bird, 2011; Saxonov et al., 2006).

CpG methylation at CpG island promoters acts to silence gene expression by recruiting chromatin-condensing factors and by direct, methylated-DNA based, transcription factors binding inhibition (Klose and Bird, 2006). CpG promoters can also be silenced by histone modifications mediated by Polycomb complex proteins (see section 1.6).

Among histone modifications, acetylation was the first to be identified (Phillips, 1963). Acetylation neutralises histone positive charges (due to lysine residues, where acetylation occurs) weakening the opposite charge attraction between histone and nucleosomal DNA, and leading to a more relaxed chromatin state that is accessible to transcriptional factors (Zentner and Henikoff, 2013). Lysine acetylation and deacetylation processes are mediated by histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes, which are key regulators of chromatin condensation (Allis et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009). Distinct from other histone modifications, lysine acetylation executes its functions by cumulative charge neutralization more than by lysine-specific acetylation effects (Martin et al., 2004; Zentner and Henikoff, 2013).

Histone lysines can also be mono, bi or tri-methylated and, in contrast to lysine acetylation, histone methylation on specific lysine residues defines very different

18

activities, like activation or repression of transcription (Zentner and Henikoff, 2013), Histone methylation also plays a central role in defining the chromatin state of ESCs (see section 1.6).

Finally, histones also undergo phosphorylation. This modification is triggered by many cellular processes, such as ATM-dependent serine phosphorylation of  $\gamma$ -H2A.X in response to DNA double strand breaks (Paull et al., 2000). In response to DNA damage, histones can also be ADP-ribosylated, and this modification leads to a more relaxed chromatin state that is accessible to the DNA repair machinery (Messner and Hottiger, 2011).

#### **1.6 Chromatin state in ESCs**

The chromatin state of ESCs is different from both progenitor and fully differentiated cells. ESCs show less heterochromatic regions, which results in a more transcriptionally permissive chromatin state compared with differentiated cells. In addition, ESCs are characterized, typically on lineage regulatory gene promoters, by chromatin bivalency (Fig. 9) (Fisher and Fisher, 2011). Bivalent domains consist of regions marked by histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) and histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3). H3K4me3 is a mark of transcriptionally active chromatin, whereas H3K27me3 is a mark of transcriptional repressed promoters (Deaton and Bird, 2011; Smith and Meissner, 2013).

H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 are deposited by Trithorax (Trx)/mixed lineage leukemia (Mll) and Polycomb proteins, respectively. In mammals, there are two Polycomb repressive complexes (PRC): PRC1 and PRC2. PRC2, via its catalytic subunit Ezh2, is responsible for H3K27 trimethylation, whereas PRC1 recognise H3K27me3 and
mediates chromatin compaction and transcription silencing (Fisher and Fisher, 2011; Stock et al., 2007).

It has been proposed that the coexistence of active and repressive marks on lineage regulatory gene promoters may keep genes repressed when under pluripotency conditions, but poises them to become activated in response to differentiation clues (Fisher and Fisher, 2011; Margueron and Reinberg, 2011; Mendenhall et al., 2010; Vastenhouw and Schier, 2012).

Bivalency at gene regulatory regions is resolved either by loss of H3K27me3 and subsequent switch to fully transcription active gene, or by DNA methylation at cytosine residues and subsequent stable gene silencing. Bivalent DNA regions in ESCs are unmethylated and ESCs show an overall decrease in DNA methylation at CpG islands compared to more differentiated cells, although DNA methylation at non CpG islands is increased (Fig.9) (Fisher and Fisher, 2011; Ramsahove et al., 2000). During differentiation, cells opt for stable silencing of some genes and switch from bivalent chromatin to cytosine methylation. In mammals, four DNA methyl transferase (Dnmt) enzymes are assigned to establish DNA methylation: Dnmt1, 3a, 3b and 3L. Dnmt1 is responsible for DNA methylation maintenance, but it has low affinity for unmethylated DNA regions and this limits its de novo methylation activity. Dnmt3a, Dnmt3b and Dnmt3L are deputed to de novo DNA methylation, although they are also important for methylation maintenance as evidenced by severe hypomethylation in Dnmt3a/3b null cells (Li et al., 1992; Okano et al., 1999; Smith and Meissner, 2013; Tsumura et al., 2006). Dnmt3a/3b act in complex with other chromatin compacting factors, such as histone methyltransferases and deacetylases, to establish permanent gene suppression, whereas Dnmt3L does not have an enzymatic activity *per se*, but it interacts with, and stimulates the activity of Dnmt3a and 3b (Hata et al., 2002).



Figure 9. Schematic representation of chromatin conformation evolution during ESC differentiation. In comparison with more differentiated cells, ESCs exhibit less extensive heterochromatin regions, an increase in non-CpG islands methylation and a decrease in CpG islands one. Furthermore, ESC chromatin is also characterized by bivalent domains. Chromatin bivalency is phased out during differentiation. Figure adapted from (Fisher and Fisher, 2011).

Improper DNA methylation at specific *loci*, such as pluripotency gene promoters, can affect maintenance of an undifferentiated state in ESCs. The enzymes deputed to demethylate cytosine residues are the ten-eleven translocation (TET) methyl-cytosine hydroxylase proteins: TET1, 2, 3. TET proteins can, through three subsequent reactions, convert 5-methyl cytosine into 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, then 5-formylcytosine, and finally into 5-carboxylcytosine (Wu and Zhang, 2011).

TET1, in particular, is important to maintain ESCs in an undifferentiated and selfrenewing state, thus preserving the *Nanog* promoter as active and unmethylated. Evidence in support of its crucial role in this process is that *TET1*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs undergo spontaneous differentiation (Ito et al., 2010). Furthermore, TET1 is also important to establish ICM lineage specification, and in the reprogramming of differentiated cells into iPS cells. Specifically, it has been shown that TET1 interacts with Nanog, which can tether it to its target regions (e.g. the *Oct4* and *Esrrb* promoters) (Costa et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2013; Ito et al., 2010; Wu and Zhang, 2011).

However neither DNA methylation nor bivalent domains are crucial to maintain pluripotency, although they are important for achieving and maintaining differentiation. In fact, although lack of H3K27me3 in ESCs leads to increase expression in some lineage commitment genes, cells remain undifferentiated. In addition, ESCs are also able to support global DNA hypomethylation, as evidenced by the ability of cells null for all three Dnmts to persist without defects in self-renewal capacity and/or genomic instability (Schoeftner et al., 2006; Silva and Smith, 2008; Smith and Meissner, 2013; Tsumura et al., 2006).

#### 1.7 Telomere function and biology

Telomeres are specialized DNA-protein complexes that protect chromosome ends from inappropriately timed DNA repair activities such as homologous recombination and non-homologous end joining that can lead to genomic instability. Their average length changes during aging and is highly heterogeneous among different species, different individuals and even among different tissues and compartments in the same organism (Kipling and Cooke, 1990; Luke and Lingner, 2009; Palm and de Lange, 2008; Smogorzewska and de Lange, 2002). In *mus musculus*, which possesses telomeres up to 100k bp (where the average human length at birth is 10k bp), a seven-protein complex (six in humans), named shelterin, associates specifically with telomeres and protects chromosome ends from a DNA damage response. Four shelterin proteins (three in humans, which have only one isoform of Pot1), TRF1, TRF2, POT1a and POT1b, are responsible for the direct recognition of telomeric sequence. They interact with TIN2, TPP1, and Rap1 to form the complete Shelterin complex (Chiodi et al., 2013; Hockemeyer et al., 2006; Palm and de Lange, 2008). Because telomeres become shorten with each cell cycle in somatic tissues that do not

express telomerase, telomere length can regulate cellular lifespan in some cellular contexts. Telomere shortening, in fact, it has been associated with the famous experiments performed in 1961 by Leonard Hayflick, which showed how human cells in culture could proliferate only a certain number of times (Hayflick limit) before entering senescence. Therefore, cells need an efficient telomere maintenance system to prevent the attrition of their ends and consequent cellular senescence (Blackburn, 2001; Hayflick and Moorhead, 1961).

In the vast majority of cases, telomeres are elongated by telomerase. Carol Greider and Elizabeth Blackburn discovered this reverse transcriptase in 1984 while working with the ciliate Tetrahymena. Telomerase is composed of a catalytic subunit (Tert, telomerase reverse transcriptase) and an RNA component that serves as template (Terc, telomerase RNA). In mammals, telomeres bear the repeating sequence 5'-TTAGGG-3'. Telomerase compensates for telomere erosion by adding TTAGGG repeats onto chromosome ends (Fig.10) (Geserick and Blasco, 2006; Greider and Blackburn, 1985).

Telomerase is constitutively expressed in many unicellular eukaryotes. During mouse development, telomerase activity appears first in blastocyst. Telomerase is, in fact, a stemness hallmark; expression of telomerase in embryonic stem cells is sufficient to fully maintain telomere length (Liu et al., 2007; Shay and Wright, 2010). During differentiation, its activity is largely (but not exclusively) limited to tissues characterized by a highly proliferative grade such as progenitor cell compartments (Liu et al., 2007). It also presents a dynamic regulation, as shown by variable and inducible levels during lymphocyte T maturation and activation (Weng et al., 1996). However, mouse somatic cells present a less strict suppression of telomerase activity compared to human somatic cells (Geserick and Blasco, 2006; Prowse and Greider, 1995).



Figure 10. Telomere elongation by telomerase in mammals. Telomerase binds chromosome ends by the annealing of Tert to telomere DNA. Using Terc as template for reverse transcription, Tert elongates telomeres by adding a repeating nucleotide sequence (TTAGGG). Adapted from (Granger et al., 2002).

#### 1.8 Tert: telomere commitment vs moonlight activities

Apart from well-characterized, telomere-related, functions, Tert has been associated with several non-canonical activities. In 2008, Lee's group reported that Tert overexpression in mouse neurons had an anti-apoptotic effect on N-mehtyl-D-aspartic Acid (NDMA)-induced receptor mediated toxicity by entering the mitochondria and increasing calcium mobilization (Lee et al., 2008).

In addition, Steven Artandi and collaborators observed that Tert overexpression might lead, independently from telomere elongation, to mouse epidermal stem cell proliferation, self-renewal and migration by stimulating Wnt3a and c-Myc. Also, they noticed Wnt-associated development defects in *Tert<sup>-/-</sup>* mice (Choi et al., 2008; Park et al., 2009; Sarin et al., 2005).

However, the hypothesis that Tert possesses non-canonical functions, when expressed at physiological levels, is still unclear. As one example, recent work from Carol Greider and colleagues focused on the analysis of  $Tert^{-+/-}$  and  $Tert^{-/-}$  mice. In this study they looked for Wnt pathway alteration and Wnt-dependent differentiation impairment, but they did not observe any difference between  $Tert^{-/-}$  and wild-type animals (Strong et al., 2011).

## **1.9** The role of telomere length in the regulation of telomere chromatin

Mammalian telomeres and subtelomeric regions exhibit a highly compact chromatin conformation. In particular, both telomeric and subtelomeric regions are characterized by trimethylation of lysine 9 on histone H3 (H3K9me3) and trimethylation of lysine 20 on histone H4 (H3K20me3). In addition, subtelomeric DNA is also heavily methylated (Benetti et al., 2007; Gonzalo and Blasco, 2005).

Telomere shortening and the presence of uncapped telomeres affect heterochromatin conformation at both telomeric and subtelomeric regions. Specifically, it has been observed, in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from late generation (G4) *Terc<sup>-/-</sup>* mice compared to Wt and G1 *Terc<sup>-/-</sup>* MEFs, that cytosine methylation is lost at

subtelomeric regions. At telomeric and subtelomeric regions in  $Terc^{-/2}$  MEFs compared to Wt and G1  $Terc^{-/2}$  cells, the heterochromatin marks H3K9me3 and H4K20me3 are replaced with marks that are diagnostic of open chromatin, e.g. acetyl-H3K9 and acetyl-H4 (Benetti et al., 2007).

These results indicate that telomere shortening and/or uncapped telomeres can trigger chromatin modifications, at least at within telomeric and subtelomeric regions.

## **1.10** Alternative lengthening of telomeres and the role of telomere chromatin in the regulation of telomere length

Besides telomerase, alternative telomere maintenance mechanisms exist. Although these 'alternative lengthening of telomeres' (ALT) mechanisms are not completely understood, they are typically associated with long and heterogeneous telomeres, extra-chromosomal telomeric DNA (both circular and linear), and ALT-associated promyelocytic bodies (APBs) which contain telomere binding proteins and proteins involved in DNA recombination and replication (Lafferty-Whyte et al., 2009; Royle et al., 2008a). ALT cells are also often characterized by loss of function mutation in the chromatin H3.3 assembling complex ATRX/DAXX (Bower et al., 2012). However, it is worth to mention that these characteristics are not only confined to telomerase-inactive cells. It is in fact been reported that both t-circles and telomere recombination can happen in normal cells harboring long telomeres (Neumann et al., 2013; Pickett et al., 2009; Pickett et al., 2011).

ALT mechanisms can occur in both pathological (tumour) and physiological (embryogenesis) contexts. Most human cancers (85%) gain unlimited replicative

potential through the reactivation of telomerase. In the remaining 15% of tumours, telomere maintenance occurs through the activation of ALT (Bryan et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1994).

During embryogenesis, telomerase is absent or present at low levels in cleavagestage embryos, and becomes strongly activated in blastocysts. Interestingly, despite the lack of telomerase, telomeres are elongated considerably during the cleavage stage by an ALT-like mechanism characterized by long telomeres and extensive telomere sister-chromatid exchange (T-SCE) (Liu et al., 2007).

In this regard, a recent study identified Zscan4c as a candidate protein involved in telomerase-independent telomere lengthening during embryogenesis (Zalzman et al., 2010). However, role and mechanism of action of this protein at telomeres are still being elucidated.

Furthermore, it has been shown that despite mouse embryonic stem cells shorten their telomeres from generation to generation of  $Terc^{-/-}$  mice, ESCs from late generation (G4)  $Terc^{-/-}$  mice maintain (without lengthening it though) the same telomere length of the previous generation (G3) (Huang et al., 2011).

Although the mechanisms of the ALT pathway(s) are still undefined, previous studies have shown that ALT requires telomere-telomere recombination (i.e. T-SCE) (Dunham et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2007; Murnane et al., 1994; Royle et al., 2008a). Recent studies in DNA methyl transferase-knockout mouse cells (*Dnmts<sup>-/-</sup>*) (Benetti et al., 2008; Gonzalo et al., 2006a), and in human cells treated with demethylating agents (Vera et al., 2008), showed that hypomethylation of subtelomeric regions leads to increased T-SCE. On the other hand, the relationship between subtelomeric

hypomethylation and ALT does not seem to always hold in human cells (Tilman et al., 2009).

The discovery that telomeres are transcribed into long non-coding RNAs that remain associated with telomeric chromatin may offer new insight into the regulation of telomere length and the structure of telomeric chromatin (Azzalin et al., 2007). In fact, it has been proposed that this telomeric repeat-containing RNA (TERRA) may not only inhibit telomerase activity by pairing with the RNA component of telomerase (Terc) (Luke and Lingner, 2009), but could also play a role in the maintenance of telomere heterochromatin through the recruitment of heterochromatic factors such as DNA methyl transferase 3b (Dnmt3b) and Heterochromatin Protein(s) (HP1) (Fig. 11) (Schoeftner and Blasco, 2010).



Figure 11. Schematic model for the formation of heterochromatin on mammalian telomeric and subtelomeric regions. Methylation of H3K9, on both telomeric and subtelomeric regions, generates a high-affinity site for HP1 (also recruited by TERRA), which in turn can recruit histone methyl-transferase (such as Suv4-20h) causing tri-methylation of H3K9 and H4K20. In parallel, DNA methylation by Dnmts, on subtelomeric regions, also contributes to heterochromatin formation. Adapted from (Schoeftner and Blasco, 2010).

#### 1.11 Telomeres and telomerase in embryonic stem cells

Recently, few telomere-related proteins have been associated to ESC identity. In 2010 for example, Minoru Ko and colleagues identified in the zinc finger and SCAN domain containing 4c (Zscan4c) one of the candidate factors involved in telomerase-independent telomere elongation in cleavage stage of embryo development. In addition they found that in an ESC culture, Zscan4c localized at telomeres and was

transiently expressed by every cell, small proportion of cells per time, and its expression was associated to telomere recombination and elongation (Zalzman et al., 2010).

The Rap1 interacting factor 1 (Rif1), which is recruited on telomeres by the Shelterin protein Rap1, was instead identified as an important factor to maintain pluripotency in an RNAi screening study. Although its mechanism of action is still unclear, it was shown that Rif1 depletion led to ESC differentiation (Loh et al., 2006).

Rap1 itself, instead, has never been linked to ESC functions, so far, but lately it has been shown to possess gene regulation activities apart from telomere protection ones (Martinez et al., 2010).

A further proof of the importance of telomerase and telomere regulation in ESCs is that during reprogramming of somatic cells to embryonic stem cells, telomerase is reactivated and telomeres acquire characteristics of ES cell telomeres (Marion et al., 2009b). Notably, cellular reprogramming is impaired when cells have very short telomeres (G3-G4 *Terc*<sup>-/-</sup> MEFs) and telomerase is absent or non-functional (i.e. when it cannot elongate telomeres). Furthermore, all attempts to produce chimeric mice from telomerase-negative iPS cells (derived from G1, G2 or G3 *Terc*<sup>-/-</sup> MEFs) have failed (Marion et al., 2009b).

In addition, it has been shown that ESCs from late generation (G3-G4) *Terc*<sup>-/-</sup> mice had an impaired ability to form teratoma and to contribute to chimeric animal formation after blastocyst injections (Huang et al., 2011).

The role of telomerase in the ability of ESCs to proliferate indefinitely, the putative telomere-unrelated Tert activities on stem cell biology, and the emerging functions of

telomere-related factors in gene expression and/or ESC identity, strongly pointed toward a major role of telomere biology in stem cell regulation.

Furthermore, the importance of telomere length in iPS cell reprogramming, contribution to teratoma formation and animal chimerism upon blastocyst injections suggested a possible role of telomeres not only in preserving the replicative potential of the cell, but also in undergoing differentiation.

In this study, we decided to question whether absence of Tert and/or telomere shortening and uncapped telomeres could affect also ESC differentiation processes.

## **Chapter 2**

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **2.1 Cell culture and transfection**

Cells employed in this study consisted of a wild type, paternal cell line of E14 ESCs (derived from R129J strain), and two separately generated Tert-/- ESC lines, produced by electroporating E14 ESCs with a targeting construct replacing five exons of mouse Tert with the neomycin resistance gene (Liu et al., 2000). After selection with G418 (0.3 mg/mL), homologous recombinants were identified and confirmed by southern blot and PCR (Liu et al., 2000). ESC lines were cultured on gelatin-covered dishes and maintained in Glasgow's modified Eagle medium (GMEM; GIBCO) supplemented with 15% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.055 mM b-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM MEM nonessential amino acids, 5,000 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 1,000 units/ml LIF and doxycycline 1 µg/ml, and maintained at 37°C with 5% v/v CO<sub>2</sub>. To restore Tert expression to Tert<sup>-/-</sup> <sup>S</sup> ESCs, cells at passage 70 were co-transfected with pTRE-Bi-Tert-IRES-EGFP-Hygro (or a similar vector lacking Tert) and CAG-rtTA advanced (pTET-ON advanced vector, Clontech). For constitutive expression of Tert, Tert-'-S ESCs were transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro or CAG-IRES-Puro. For expression of Dnmt3b, Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs were transfected with CAG-Dnmt3b-IRES-Puro or CAG-IRES-Puro. All transfections employed Fugene6 (Roche) in a 3:1 ratio to DNA

according to the manufacturer's instructions. For *Tert* rescue or *Dnmt3b* reintroduction, cells were propagated for 4 passages under selection with hygromycin (500  $\mu$ g/ml) or puromycin (5  $\mu$ g/ml), and individual colonies were isolated. For Nanog shRNA transduction, cells were infected with commercially available lentiviral particles (Santa Cruz), and selected with puromycin (5  $\mu$ g/ml). Cell transduction with Oct4 promoter-GFP was performed by infection with commercially available lentiviral particles (System Biosciences). All lentiviral infections were performed in presence of Polybrene (5  $\mu$ g/ml) (Santa Cruz). All experiments were performed with more than one clonal isolate.

#### 2.2 Differentiation assay

Cell populations of the indicated genotype  $(1 \times 10^5)$  were plated in non gelatincovered dishes in LIF-free media containing 5  $\mu$ M ATRA (Sigma) for the indicated amount of time, with ATRA-media replaced every 3 days. At the indicated time point, cells were re-plated in gelatin-covered dishes containing LIF-supplemented media. For the single colony formation assay, a set of serial dilutions was performed and the number of viable ES cell colonies assessed with alkaline phosphatase (Millipore).

#### 2.3 Quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (Q-

#### FISH)

The Q-FISH protocol was carried out as described (Liu et al., 2000). Briefly, cells were treated with 0.2  $\mu$ g/mL colcemid for 6 hours, harvested and resuspended in 0.5 mL warm 75 mM KCl at 37 °C for 12 min with gentle stirring to prevent

precipitation. Cells were spun at 128.7 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in 100 µL 75 mM KCl. One mL of 3:1 ice-cold methanol-acetic acid was added drop-by-drop to the cells, which were then incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were spun as above, resuspended in an adequate volume of fixative and stored at -20°C for at least 6 hours before being dropped onto slides and left to air-dry overnight. The next day, cells were fixed in 4% v/v paraformaldehyde (PFA), digested with 100 mg/mL pepsin for 10 min at 37°C and dehydrated in ethanol (5 min in each of 70% v/v, 90% v/v and 100% v/v ethanol). Slides were air-dried and hybridized in the dark with a Tel-Cy3 PNA probe (Panagene) in hybridization solution (70% v/v formamide, 0.25% v/v blocking solution (Roche), 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 0.5 µg/ml Tel-Cy3 PNA probe, 5% v/v MgCl<sub>2</sub>). Slides were then denatured at 80°C for 3 min, left in the dark for at least 2 hours, then washed. DAPI was subsequently added. Metaphase spreads were captured using Metafer 4 software and analyzed using Isis software. Statistical analysis of telomere intensity distribution was performed using Welch's unpaired ttest. The incidence of telomere-signal free ends was defined as the number of chromosome ends possessing a telomere signal (in arbitrary units) between 0 and 600, and statistical significance was assessed using Fisher's exact test (Instat3, GraphPad).

#### 2.4 QRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells using Triazol (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was carried out using 0.5  $\mu$ g of

template RNA, random hexamer primers and smart MMLV reverse transcriptase (Clontech). Diluted cDNA (20 times) was subjected to real-time PCR analysis using a SYBR Green Mastermix (Roche) on a LightCycler480 system (Roche). Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n>3). Oligos employed are listed in Table 1. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values.

#### 2.5 Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP experiments were performed as described (Bergmann et al., 2011), except phenol-chloroform was replaced with a Chelex-100 resin-based DNA isolation method described in (Nelson et al., 2006). Briefly: at day 0,\_protein-A covered magnetic beads (Thermo scientific) were aliquoted in 1.5 mL tubes (1 tube per IP, 20  $\mu$ L per tube). Beads were magnetically precipitated and incubated with 0.5 mL of 0.5% v/v PBS/BSA for 30 min during rotation at 4°C. The supernatant was aspirated and beads were resuspended in 0.5 mL of RIPA-BSA (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1% v/v NP-40, 0.25% w/v Na-deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1  $\mu$ g/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin and pepstatin, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM NaF, BSA 0.5% v/v). 5  $\mu$ g of Ab were added to the resuspended beads and incubated for at least 6h on rotation at 4°C.

Cells (at least 5 x  $10^6$  per sample, including 4 test samples + IgG control) were harvested and spun at 1000 x g for 5 min. The cell pellet was then resuspended in PBS 1X (1 mL of PBS per million of cells). Formaldehyde was added to cells for crosslinking at a final concentration of 1% v/v, and cells were incubated on a rocking plate for 10 min. Formaldehyde was then quenched by adding glycine at a final concentration of 125 mM and incubating cells on a rocking plate for 5 min. Cells were then centrifuged at 3000 x g for 3 min at 4°C. Afterwards, cells were resuspended in TBS (1 mL per million cells) and aliquoted in 1.5 mL tubes (1 mL each) and precipitated at 3000 x g for 3 min at 4°C. After quick removal of supernatant, cells were resuspended in 1 mL of freshly prepared lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5% v/v NP-40, PMSF 1 mM, 1 nM aprotinin, 25 mM pepstatin, 25 mM antipain, 25 mM chymostatin) and incubated for 10 min on ice. After lysis, cells were spun at 1000 x g for 3 min at 4°C and resuspended in 0.5 mL of lysis buffer. 300 µL of dilution buffer 1 (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.2% v/v SDS, 130 mM NaCl, 0.8% v/v triton-x 100, 0.1% v/v sodium deoxycholate, PMSF 1 mM, 1 nM aprotinin, 25 mM pepstatin, 25 mM antipain, 25 mM chymostatin) were added to each sample. Samples were incubated on ice for 5 min. Ice-cold samples were then sonicated for 50 cycles of 30 seconds, and precipitated at 20,800 x g for 10 min at 4°C. Three hundred µL of supernatant from each sample was then collected in a new tube and mixed with 1.2 mL of a buffer composed of 1/6 dilution buffer 1, 2.5/6 of RIPA buffer, and 2.5/6 of dilution buffer 2 (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 130 mM NaCl, 0.8% v/v triton-x 100, 0.1% v/v sodium deoxycholate). Ten percent (150  $\mu$ L) of the resulting input sample was collected and frozen at -80°C.

Meanwhile, dynabeads were magnetically isolated and washed twice with RIPA/BSA buffer. The supernatant was then removed and the beads incubated with

450  $\mu$ L of the remaining input sample. Samples were incubated during rotation for 16h at 4°C.

At day 2, beads were magnetically isolated, supernatant was removed, and beads were washed twice with 1 mL of RIPA buffer, twice with 1 mL of RIPA-500 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1% v/v NP-40, 0.25% w/v Na-deoxycholate, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1  $\mu$ g/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin and pepstatin, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM NaF) and once with 1 mL of Tris-EDTA. The supernatant was then removed and 0.1 mL of 10% w/v Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad) was added to each sample. In parallel, 10  $\mu$ L of stored input for each sample was incubated together with 0.1 mL of 10% w/v Chelex-100.

Samples were boiled at 95°C for 12 min to de-crosslink samples and then treated with 2.5  $\mu$ L of 10 mg/mL RNase A for 30 min at 37°C. Samples were then treated with 2.5  $\mu$ L of 10 mg/mL proteinase K for 1h at 55°C. To inactivate the proteinase K, samples were boiled at 95°C for 10 min. Finally, samples were quickly precipitated and the supernatant was stored at -20°C.

DNA recovered from at least three biological replicates was analyzed by QRT-PCR as described above. For each pair of primers, triplicate measurements were taken, and normalized to input DNA and the amount of DNA recovered from the *GAPDH* promoter (n>3). Antibodies employed were: rabbit anti-Nanog (Bethyl labs); mouse anti-H3K27me3, anti-H3K4me3, anti-acH4, acH3K9 (Abcam) and anti-methyl cytosine (Millipore); and, as control, murine IgG (Sigma). Oligos employed are listed in Table 1. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values. In each experiment, the signal

present after immunoprecipitation with IgG was defined as background and subtracted prior to normalization to input DNA and GAPDH.

#### 2.6 Methylation assay

Relative genomic DNA methylation was assessed using the ELISA-based imprint methylated DNA quantification kit (Sigma) according to manufacturer's instructions and using 100ng of genomic DNA per sample (n>3).

#### 2.7 Bisulphite sequencing analysis

DNA bisulphite conversion was performed as described (Clouaire et al., 2010). After bisulphite conversion of unmethylated cytosines to uracil, samples were resuspended in 1×Tris–EDTA for PCR amplification. PCR products were cloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) vector, and colony PCR was performed. Clones (at least ten per sample) of the correct molecular mass were sequenced and results analyzed with BiQ Analyzer (http://biq-analyzer.bioinf.mpi-inf.mpg.de). Primers employed are listed in Table 1. Statistical analysis of samples employed Fisher's exact test (twosided) using GraphPad Instat3 (www.graphpad.com).

#### 2.8 Telomerase activity assay

The telomere repeat amplification protocol, TRAP, was conducted with the TRAPeze Telomerase Detection Kit, Chemicon International, according to manufacturer's instructions.

#### 2.9. Plasmid construction

The plasmid pTRE-Bi-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP-Hygro was constructed by amplification of *Tert* cDNA by PCR and cloning it into pTRE-Tight-Bi (Clontech) following digestion with EcoRI and SalI. IRES-EGFP sequence was obtained from pCAGMKOSiE (from K.Kaji) and inserted into pTRE-Tight-Bi (following digestion with SalI and EcorV) using SalI and HpaI sites and then inserted into pTRE-Bi-*Tert* using NotI sites. Finally, the hygromycin-resistance gene was cloned by PCR into the XbaI restriction site of pTRE-Tight-Bi and pTRE-Bi-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP vectors to create pTRE-Bi-EGFP-Hygro and pTRE-Bi-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP-Hygro. The pCAG-rtTA-advanced vector was constructed by removal of the MKOS ORFs from CAGMKOSiE with EcoRI and BamHI and replacement with the advanced tetracycline reverse transactivator sequence (Clontech). The plasmid pCAG-*Dnmt3b*-IRES-puromycin vector was constructed by removal of the MKOS ORFs from CAGMKOSiE with EcoRI. *Dnmt3b* was subcloned from a *Dnmt3b* expression vector (Thermo scientific) and inserted pCAG-IRES-EGFP following digestion EcoRI and SalI. IRES-Puro (from pIRESPuro2, Clontech) after

digestion with PmII and PvuII. *Dnm3b* was also subcloned in pTRE-Bi-Hygro using EcoRI and SalI sites.

#### 2.10 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

Hoechst stain (5µg/ml) was added to the cell culture and incubated for 30 minutes. Cells were harvested and resuspended in 0.5 ml of 1X PBS and analyzed for cell cycle distribution using a Becton Dickinson Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorter. After gating on the appropriate channels, the percentage of cells in G1, S, or G2/M were calculated. For FACS analysis of Nanog expression, cells were fixed and stained as indicated (Festuccia and Chambers, 2011). Cell sorting after transduction with Oct4 promoter-GFP was carried out as described in (Zheng and Hu, 2012).

#### 2.11 Protein extraction and western blot analysis

Histones were acid-extracted as follows: Cells were harvested and washed twice with ice cold 1X PBS. Cells were resuspended ( $10^7$  cells/ml) in TEB buffer (PBS 1X, 0.5% v/v Triton X-100, 2 mM PMSF, 0.02% v/v NaN<sub>3</sub>) and left on ice for 10 minutes with gentle stirring to enhance lysis. Cells were spun at 800 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C, washed in TEB buffer, and pelleted as above. Cells were resuspended in 0.2 N HCl (4 x10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Cells were pelleted as above, and the supernatant was recovered and stored at -80°C. Protein extracts were resolved on 15% w/v SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and blocked overnight with 3% w/v BSA in 1X PBS. Rabbit anti-histone H3 (Abcam) and mouse anti H3K27me3 (Abcam) were used as primary antibodies.

For non-histone protein extraction, cells were resuspended in Radio Immunoprecipitation Assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1% v/v NP-40, 0.25% w/v Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% v/v SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin and pepstatin, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM NaF) and lysed for 30 minutes on ice. Cells were then quickly sonicated (10 cycles 30 sec. On and 30 sec. Off), and put back on ice. RIPA buffer allows extraction of all cellular proteins, including membrane-bound ones, due to the combined use of ionic (SDS, Na-deoxycholate) and non-ionic (NP-40) denaturing agents, which disrupt protein-protein interactions, both at cell and nuclear membranes. Cells were then pelleted at 20,800 x g for 10 min at at 4°C. The supernatant was recovered and stored at -80°C. Protein extracts were resolved on 10% w/v SDS PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and blocked overnight with 5% w/v non-fat dry milk in 1X PBS. Rabbit anti-Nanog (Bethyl labs), anti-Dnmt3b (Abcam) and Dnmt1 (Abcam), goat anti-Oct4 (Santa Cruz) and mouse anti- β-Tubulin (Sigma) were used as primary antibodies. Anti mouse and anti rabbit peroxidase-conjugated were used as secondary antibodies followed by detection with ECL Plus luminescent reagent (Amersham Biosciences) or with LI-COR in which instance the secondary antibodies employed were donkey anti-rabbit IRDye 800CW (green) and donkey anti-mouse IRDye680 (red) (Odyssey). All experiments were repeated at least three times.

#### 2.12 Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed in 4% v/v paraformaldehyde (PFA)/PBS according to manufacturer's instructions (Abcam). Rabbit anti-Nanog (Bethyl labs) and Alexa

fluor® Goat anti-rabbit-488 were used as primary and secondary antibodies. Rhodamine-phalloidin (Sigma) was used to detect actin. DNA was stained with DAPI. ImageJ software was employed to define the relative fluorescence intensities of single cells (for channels 488), with DAPI fluorescence as internal control. Individual values were used for quantitative analysis of Nanog expression levels among genotypes as described (Savarese et al., 2009). Statistical analysis was performed using Welch's unpaired t-test.

#### 2.13 3D Analysis of Cell Nuclei

Cells were fixed in 4% v/v paraformaldehyde (PFA)/PBS for 15 min, then treated as described for Q-FISH analysis of telomere fluorescence. At least 25 interphase nuclei were analyzed for Wt, *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* and *Tert<sup>-/-L</sup>*. Images were acquired using a Nikon TE-2000 microscope equipped with a 1.45 numerical aperture  $100 \times$  objective, PIFOC Z-axis focus drive (Physik Instruments), Sedat quad filter set, and CoolSnapHQ High Speed Monochrome charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics). Images were deconvolved from 0.2-µm sections using AutoquantX. Deconvolved images were analyzed for chromosome and telomere distribution using a macro described in (Korfali et al., 2010).

#### 2.14 Inhibition of p53 transcriptional activity

Pifithrin-α (Sigma) was dissolved in DMSO at working concentration of 30 mM.

Cells were treated with 15-30  $\mu$ M of Pifithrin- $\alpha$  (and equivalent volume of DMSO in control cells) and incubated 16h at 37 °C. After incubation cells were harvested, RNA extracted and analysed for Q-RT-PCR.

ChiP	Fw	Rv
GAPDH <sup>F</sup>	5'-AAGCTCATGAGGCACAGAATGGT C-3'	5'TGGGTACATGGTGACTTTCCTAGG C-3'
Gata6 <sup>F</sup>	5'-TGACCCAGGAGGGGGGGGGAGT-3'	5'-CCGCCACCCAGGGCAGAAGA-3'
Nanog <sup>F</sup>	5'-ACTCCAAGGCTAGCGATTCA-3'	5'-AATAGGGAGGAGGGGCGTCTA-3'
Oct4 <sup>D</sup>	5'-CTGTAAGGACAGGCCGAGAG-3'	5'-CAGGAGGCCTTCATTTTCAA-3'
Sub. Telo. Chr. 16 <sup>B</sup>	5'-GATGGGATTTGGAAGGGTATT-3'	5'-ACTCCCTAATTAACTACAACCCAT C-3'
QRT-PCR	Fw	Rv
$Cdx2^{I}$	5'-CCTGCGACAAGGGCTTGTTTAG-3'	5'-TCCCGACTTCCCTTCACCATAC-3'
Dnmt1	5'-TGGGCTGATGCAGGAGAAAAT-3'	5'-GCGCTTCATGGCATTCTCCTT-3'
Dnmt3a2 <sup>s</sup>	5'-AGGGGCTGCACCTGGCCTT-3'	5'-TCCCCCACACCAGCTCTCC-3'
Dnmt3b <sup>Si</sup>	5'-TGGGATCGAGGGCCTCAAAC-3'	5'-TTCCACAGGACAAACAGCGG-3'
Esrrb <sup>I</sup>	5'-CAGGCAAGGATGACAGACG-3'	5'-GAGACAGCACGAAGGACTGC-3'
GAPDH <sup>F</sup>	5'-CCATCACCATCTTCCAGG-3'	5'-CCTGCTTCACCACCTTCTTG-3'
Gata4 <sup>F</sup>	5'-CTGTCATCTCACTATGGGCA-3'	5'-CCAAGTCCGAGCAGGAATTT-3'
Gata6 <sup>F</sup>	5'-TTGCTCCGGTAACAGCAGTG-3'	5'-GTGGTCGCTTGTGTAGAAGGA-3'

Klf4 <sup>T</sup>	5'-AGTGTGACAGGGCCTTTCCAGGT-3'	5'-AAGCTGACTTGCTGGGAACTTGAC C-3'
Nanog <sup>F</sup>	5'-AGGGTCTGCTACTGAGATGCTCT G-3'	5'-CAACCACTGGTTTTTCTGCCACC G-3'
Oct4 <sup>Si</sup>	5'-GGCGTTCGCTTTGGAAAGGTGTT C-3'	5'-CTCGAACCACATCCTTCTCT-3'
$Rex-1^T$	5'-CACCGACAACATGAATGAACAAAA A-3'	5'-CAATCTGTCTCCACCTTCAGCATT T-3'
$Sox2^{T}$	5'-TAGAGCTAGACTCCGGGCGATG A-3'	5'-TTGCCTTAAACAAGACCACGAA A-3'
Lin28 <sup>F</sup>	5'-CGAAGCCTCAAGGAGGGTGA-3'	5'-TGCATTCCTTGGCATGATGG-3'
INSR <sup>So</sup>	5'-GACTTACAGATGGTTGGGCA-3'	5'-AAGACCAACTGTCCTGCCAC-3'
IRS2 <sup>So</sup>	5'-TCCGCGGCTGGAGTACTACGAG-3'	5'-ACAGCAGTCGAGCGCGATCAC-3'
Tbx3 <sup>F</sup>	5'-TCTCCATCGTGGGGACAT-3'	5'-TTGTCGCGGCCTGGCTCCTCG-3'
Tert <sup>J</sup>	5'-TTCTAGACTTGCAGGTGAACAGCC- 3'	5'-TTCCTAACACGCTGGTCAAAGGG A-3'
$Zfp281^F$	5'-TGAGCCCAGGCACCCA-3'	5'-TGGAGAGGTGAAGACAAGCTGA C-3'
Bisulphite	Fw	Rv
Nanog <sup>T</sup>	5'-GATTTTGTAGGTGGGATTAATTGTG AATTT-3'	5'-ACCAAAAAAAACCCACACTCATATC AATATA-3'
Oct4 <sup>Si</sup>	5'-AGGATTTTGAAGGTTGAAAATGAA GG-3'	5'-TCCCTCCCCAATCCCACCCTC-3'

Table 1. List of oligos employed in this study. Superscripts indicate first author initial(Benetti et al., 2007; Dahl et al., 2010; Fidalgo et al., 2011; Ivanova et al., 2006; Jia etal., 2011; Sinkkonen et al., 2008; Softic et al., 2012; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006).Fw, forward primer; Rv, reverse primer.

### **Chapter 3**

### Results

## ESCs with short telomeres show dysregulation of pluripotency genes

One of the most influential findings of the modern molecular biology era is the discovery that cells need to maintain a minimal telomere length to be able to maintain their proliferative capacity, which was established through the introduction of Tert into primary fibroblasts and their subsequent ability to completely and indefinitely bypass the Hayflick limit (Bodnar et al., 1998; Shay and Wright, 2010; Vaziri and Benchimol, 1998). Although telomerase is the primary factor responsible for telomere elongation and maintenance, other telomerase-independent lengthening mechanisms have been described both in physiological and pathological conditions (Liu et al., 2007; Royle et al., 2008b). In addition, it has also been shown that ESCs derived from late generation (G4) mice deficient for the telomerase RNA component, *Terc*, maintain a telomere length similar to the previous generation (Huang et al., 2011). Finally, another factor to be considered is that Tert may have telomere-unrelated functions that affect gene expression (Choi et al., 2008; Park et al., 2009).

This chapter aimed to test the effect of Tert deficiency and telomere shortening on ESC self-renewal and pluripotency gene expression using a wide range of biochemical and microscopy methodologies. Importantly, we took advantage of specific genetic backgrounds: parental E14 ESCs (Wt); ESCs with disrupted *Tert* gene at late passage, and then with short telomeres (*Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup>); ESCs with disrupted *Tert* gene at earlier passage, and then with longer telomeres (*Tert*<sup>-/-L</sup>); and eventually *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs where *Tert* cDNA had been re-introduced (*Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>). We hypothesized that the combination of these different genotypes would have enabled us to distinguish the impact of telomere integrity versus telomerase status on the phenotypes we queried.

#### 3.1 Critically short telomeres lead to increased Nanog both

#### at mRNA and protein levels

First, we decided to investigate the effect of *Tert* deficiency on self-renewal. Initially, we characterized late passage (p70) *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs (*Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup>) (Liu et al., 2000). These cells were negative for telomerase enzymatic activity (Fig. 12A) and exhibited telomere length shortening and a significant accumulation of uncapped (signal-free ends) telomeres relative to Wt cells at same passage. (Fig. 12B, p<0.0001, Fisher's exact test).





Despite telomere shortening and lack of telomerase activity, *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* did not show altered cell morphology or cell cycle distribution compared to Wt ESCs (Fig. 13A, 13B)



Figure 13. Characterisation of cell morphology and cell cycle distribution of Tert-/- ESCs. A) Bright field image of Wt and Tert-/-S cells. Micrograph bars indicate 200 μ m. B) Cell cycle profile of the same samples as in (A).

We then investigated whether the observed telomere shortening and/or the absence of Tert might affect pluripotency gene expression. We used Q-RT-PCR to examine the mRNA levels of the pluripotency core transcriptional factors: *Nanog, Oct4 and Sox2*. Among these three genes, only *Nanog* resulted altered (an  $\approx$  4-fold increase) in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> compared to Wt cells (Fig. 14).



Figure 14. Relative gene expression of Wt and  $Tert^{/-S}$  ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. \*\*\* = p<0.0001. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n>3). Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired t-test.

In order to confirm that the increased *Nanog* levels were Tert and/or telomere-lengthdependent, we reintroduced *Tert* into *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells using an expression vector under the control of the CMV early enhancer/chicken  $\beta$  actin (CAG) promoter (Fig. 15A). Transfected cells (*Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>) exhibited the expected re-acquisition of telomerase enzymatic activity (Fig. 15B) and, after propagation for 4 passages in culture, also exhibited telomere lengthening and a significant reduction of uncapped telomeres (Fig. 15C, 15D).



Figure 15. Effect of *Tert* reintroduction into *Tert*<sup>-/S</sup> ESCs on telomere length. A) Schematic representation of *Tert* expression vector. 1 x  $10^5$  Tert-/-S ESCs were transfected with *Tert* expression vector or empty vector and selected for puromycin resistance. B) TRAP assay performed on protein extracts (the equivalent of 5 x  $10^4$  cells) from *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs after digestion with ribonuclease A (lane 1), untreated *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs (lane 2), and untreated Wt ESCs (lane 3). IC = internal PCR control. B) Q-FISH analysis of indicated genotypes; statistical significance was analysed by Welch's unpaired t-test; The difference in the incidence of signal free ends among *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> (49/417) and *Tert*<sup>-/-L</sup> (14/416) or *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> (4/417) was statistically significant (p<0.00001 for each comparison, Fisher's exact test). L = long telomeres (passage 30); S = short telomeres (passage 70); R = *Tert*-/-S cells after reintroduction of *Tert* (passage 74, including 4 passages under puromycin selection). N = number of chromosome ends; y-axis, number of events; x-axis, telomere signal intensity in arbitrary units. D) Average of mean telomere signal intensity relative to Wt. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3); Number of chromosomes per sample  $\geq$  350. E) Relative gene expression of *Tert* in Wt, *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> (colony 1, indicated as *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>(and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> (colony 2, indicated as *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>) ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n>2). The experiment in panel B was performed by Dr. Laura Gardano.

In parallel, in order to distinguish whether putative effects on *Nanog* expression in *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> cells were due to non canonical Tert activities or to telomere lengthening, we examined *Nanog* mRNA levels in *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> cells at earlier passage (p28) (*Tert*<sup>-/-L</sup>). We observed, both in *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> and in *Tert*<sup>-/-L</sup> a restoration of *Nanog* mRNA levels comparable to Wt ESCs (Fig. 16). This result suggested that Nanog dysregulation was telomerase-independent and determined by critically short telomeres.



Figure 16. Relative gene expression of Wt,  $Tert^{-S}$ ,  $Tert^{-L}$  and  $Tert^{-R}$  ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. \* = p<0.005 \*\* = p<0.001 \*\*\* = p<0.0001. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n>3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values.

We then tested if Nanog was altered at the protein level. The results were consistent with Q-RT-PCR, and an increase in Nanog protein levels was observed in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  compared to  $Tert^{-/-L}$ ,  $Tert^{-/-R}$ , and Wt cells (Fig. 17A, 17B). Oct4 results were also consistent with Q-RT-PCR showing no significant alteration among genotypes (Fig. 17B). Finally,  $Tert^{-/-S}$  cells were transfected with CAG-*Tert*-IRES-*Egfp* or control vectors for 72 hours, an interval of time insufficient to elicit telomere extension, and sorted for EGFP by FACS. No difference in Nanog levels was observed between *Tert* transfected and control cells. These results further confirmed that the Nanog increase in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells is independent from non-canonical Tert activities (Fig 17C).



Figure 17. Effects of telomere shortening on Nanog protein expression. A) Nanog protein expression, with Li-Cor quantification (n=3). Error bar indicates SD; L = long telomeres (passage 30); S = short telomeres (passage 70); R = Tert rescue (70 passages, followed by clonal selection and a further 4 passages after *Tert* re-introduction). The numeric superscripts 1 and 2

indicate two independently generated *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup>R colonies. EV = empty vector. B) Oct 4 and Nanog protein detection by western blot.  $\beta$  -Tubulin was used as an internal control (Tub). L = long telomeres (passage 70); S = short telomeres (passage 30); n=3 for Oct4 blot, n=10 for Nanog blot. C) Nanog expression in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs 72h post-transfection with CAG-*Tert*-IRES-*EGFP* or CAG-IRES-*EGFP* vectors and cell sorting for EGFP-positive cells.

# 3.2 *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> cells exhibit an altered Nanog-High/Nanog-Low population distribution and pluripotency gene regulation

The ability of ESCs to maintain self-renewal and respond to differentiation signals has been correlated with heterogeneity in the expression of particular pluripotency transcription factors such as Nanog. In particular, cells expressing high levels of this factor are prone to self-renew, whereas cells expressing low levels of this protein tend to differentiate (Chambers et al., 2007; Savarese et al., 2009).

To assess whether Nanog upregulation in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs was reflected in an altered heterogeneity of protein expression, we performed FACS analysis on Wt,  $Tert^{-/-S}$  and  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs Wt and  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs showed a lower percentage of Nanog-high expressing cells, and a higher percentage of Nanog-low expressing cells compared to  $Tert^{-/-S}$  cells (Fig. 18).



#### Nanog-GFP

Figure 18. FACS analysis of Nanog expression in Wt, *TERT*<sup>-/-S</sup> and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>. Note the rightward shift and increase in average Nanog signal intensity in *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup>S ESCs. The red line indicates the mean value of Nanog expression in Wt ESCs.

We also examined the level of expression of other genes involved in the Nanog regulatory network (*Tbx3, Esrrb and Rex1*) (Festuccia et al., 2012; Ivanova et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2006), comprising factors that have a negative impact on Nanog expression (i.e. *Zfp281*) (Fidalgo et al., 2011), and markers of lineage differentiation such as *Cdx2* and the directly negatively regulated by Nanog endoderm markers *Gata6* and *Gata4* (Singh et al., 2007). As expected, the mRNA levels of *Rex1, Esrrb and Tbx3* increased in *Tert*<sup>7-S</sup> ESCs, where *Zpf281* and *Cdx2* levels remained unaltered (Fig. 16). However, the levels of *Gata6* and *Gata4* were also increased (Fig. 19).


Figure 19. Relative gene expression in Wt,  $Tert^{/-S}$ ,  $Tert^{/-L}$  and  $Tert^{/-R}$  ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. \* = p<0.005 \*\* = p<0.001. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n>3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values.

#### 3.3 *Terf*<sup>--</sup> cells show impaired Nanog repression capability

The increased expression in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs of negatively regulated targets of Nanog induced us to investigate the capacity of Nanog to occupy specific promoters. Consistent with our previous observations, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis revealed lower levels of Nanog occupancy on the *Gata6* promoter (Fig. 20). Nevertheless, Nanog recruitment on its own promoter, which represses its own expression (Fidalgo et al., 2011), increased in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs (Fig. 20). Thus, the increased expression of *Nanog* is not the consequence of impaired occupancy of Nanog on its own promoter.



Figure 20. (Lett) CHIT analysis using an antibody to Ivanog in wt, Tett-/-5 and Tett-/-K cens. Relative enrichment was quantified using region specific qPCR primers for Nanog (top) and Gata6 (bottom) promoters. Generic IgG was used as a control (n=3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values Error bars indicate SD. \* = p<0.05; \*\*\* = p<0.0001. (Right) Map of DNA region amplified by QRTPCR after chromatin immunoprecipitation. The red box indicates the position of primer annealing region (PAA) relative to the transcriptional starting site (TSS).

#### 3.4 Summary of chapter 3

In this chapter we characterized *Tert* deficient ESCs with short telomeres for cell cycle distribution, cell morphology and pluripotency gene expression. We found that, although *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells maintained similar morphological characteristics and and a cell cycle profile comparable to Wt ESCs, they presented altered expression of genes

involved both in pluripotency (e.g. *Nanog*, *Esrrb*, etc.) and differentiation (e.g. *Gata6*, etc.). We showed that *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs possess an increased population of Nanog-high cells, and that, in these cells, Nanog repression capability seems to be impaired. Finally, we confirmed that the above-mentioned effects are due to critically short telomeres and are not dictated by telomerase expression alone.

### **Chapter 4**

## Results

## *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs display epigenetic alterations at telomere proximal and distal *loci*

The results in chapter 3 led us to investigate whether epigenetic, transcriptionally active and repressive marks (i.e. H3K4me3 and H3K27me3) were also affected by telomere shortening. It has been reported that telomere shortening may induce loss of heterochromatin marks and DNA methylation at subtelomeric regions (Benetti et al., 2007). In addition, it is known that low levels of trimethylation on H3K27me3 lead to increase number of Nanog-high expressing cells, as well as to promote *Gata6* expression (Lu et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2008; Villasante et al., 2011). Thereby, using western blot and ChIP, we investigated these aspects of epigenetic regulation in *Tert* <sup>/-S</sup> cells.

#### 4.1 Alteration of histone marks in *Tert*<sup>/-S</sup> cells

First, we decided to analyse the enrichment of "open" chromatin marks at subtelomeric regions. Subtelomeric regions are segments of DNA placed just before telomeres. They are characterized by repetitive sequences of DNA, mostly (TTAGGG)-like sequences, and tend to be heavily heterochromatic (Gonzalo et al., 2006b). We focused on the subtelomeric region of the q arm of the chromosome 16, since it has been reported that this region is widely heterochromatic in physiological conditions and that telomere shortening leads to an increased enrichment of acetyl-histone 4 (acH4) and acetyl-histone-3-lysine 9 (acH3K9) on it (Benetti et al., 2007; Gonzalo et al., 2006b). As expected, we found a higher enrichment of these epigenetic marks in the in *Tert*<sup>/-S</sup> cells compared to *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> and Wt ESCs (Fig. 21). These results confirmed the hypothesis that chromatin conformation at region proximal to telomeres is affected by telomere length.



Figure 21. (Top) ChIP analysis using antibodies to acetyl H3K9 (left) and acetyl H4 (right) in Wt, *Tert*<sup>4-S</sup> and *Tert*<sup>4-R</sup> ESCs. Relative enrichment was quantified using region specific qPCR primers for chromosome 16, subtelomeric region. Generic IgG was used as a control (n=3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values Error bars indicate SD. \* = p<0.05; \*\* = p<0.01. (Bottom) Map of DNA region amplified by QRTPCR after chromatin immunoprecipitation. The red box indicates the position of primer annealing region (PAA) relative to the *loci* on chromosome 16q.

We then aimed to investigate whether chromatin conformation at telomere distal *loci* was also perturbed by critically short telomeres. For that purpose, we tested the levels of H3K4me3 for *Nanog* and *Oct4* promoters and H3K27me3 for the promoter regions of *Nanog*, *Oct4 and Gata6*. We observed that, although the total level of H3K27me3 is slightly higher in *Tert* null cells, its enrichment on both *Gata6* and *Nanog* promoters is lower compared to Wt and *Tert* rescue cells (Fig. 22A, 22D). We

could not detect H3K27me3 enrichment on the *Oct4* promoter (Fig. 22A). H3K4me3 levels were unaffected by telomere shortening in both *loci* (Fig 22B). These data implied that telomere length could affect chromatin conformation also at loci distal to telomeres.



Figure 22. ChIP analysis using antibodies to acetyl H3K4me3 and H3K27me3. A) H3K27me3 relative enrichment on *Nanog*, *Gata6* and *Oct4* promoters in Wt, *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* and *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* ESCs. B) H3K4me3 relative enrichment on *Nanog* and *Oct4* promoters in Wt, *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* and *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* ESCs. Generic IgG was used as a control (n=3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values Error bars indicate SD. \*\* = p<0.01. C) Map of DNA region amplified by QRTPCR after chromatin immunoprecipitation. The red box indicates the position of primer annealing region (PAA) relative to the transcriptional starting site (TSS). D) Detection of H3K27me3 and total histone H3 (as a control) by western blot (n=3).

It is known that chromatin conformation may affect chromosome localization (Dostie and Bickmore, 2012). Considering the alteration in the enrichment of chromatin marks in ESCs with short telomeres, we decided to investigate whether this could lead to a re-localization of chromosomes into the nucleus. In order to address this question, we performed a FISH-based 3D analysis of cell nuclei. We then analysed chromatin distribution (assessed by DAPI intensity) and telomere distribution (assessed Cy3 telomeric probe) of Wt and Tert ESCs with long or short telomeres (Fig. 23). However, we did not observe any difference in either chromatin or telomere distribution among genotypes.



Figure 23. 3D-analysis of cell nuclei. DAPI and Cy3 telomeric probe FISH-stained nuclear images were analysed for chromatin and telomere distribution using a macro described in (Korfali et al., 2010), which divided the nuclear area in 5 equal concentric zones, where 1 is the inner one and 5 the most peripheral zone. Y-axis indicates the percentage of signal in each zone.

# 4.2 Telomere shortening leads to DNA hypomethylation induced by *de novo* DNA methyltransferase downregulation

Given the long-range effects of short telomeres on gene expression and histone posttranslation modifications, we analysed whether DNA methylation was also affected in cells with critically short telomeres. As a starting point, since is known that telomere length can affect DNA methylation at subtelomeric regions (Benetti et al., 2007) we used ChiP to examine the enrichment of methyl-cytosine at subtelomeric regions of chromosome 16. Accordingly to what was previously described, we found a loss of DNA methylation in ESCs with short telomeres (Fig. 24A) (Benetti et al., 2007). We next asked whether *Tert*<sup>/-S</sup> ESCs might also possess an altered global genomic DNA methylation profile. Contrary to other cell types, ESCs can tolerate severe hypomethylation and retain proliferative capacity, although it leads to impaired differentiation and differentiation maintenance capability (Feldman et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2004; Sinkkonen et al., 2008; Tsumura et al., 2006). We performed an ELISA-based assay to obtain a relative quantification of genomic DNA of Wt, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> and rescue ESCs. We observed a significant decrease in DNA methylation in *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> cells (approximately 50%), (Fig. 24B). This result suggested that telomere length has an impact not only on subtelomeric methylation, but also upon global DNA methylation.



Figure 24. DNA methylation analysis in Wt, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs. A) (Top) Methyl cytosine (Cytme) relative enrichment, analysed by ChIP, on chromosome 16 subtelomeric region. Relative enrichment on *Nanog*, *Gata6* and *Oct4* promoters. Generic IgG was used as a control (n=3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values. (Bottom) Map of DNA region amplified by QRTPCR after chromatin immunoprecipitation. The red box indicates the position of primer annealing region (PAA) relative to the *loci* of chromosome 16q. B) Relative quantification of global DNA methylation (n=3). Analysis performed by an ELISA-based assay for methyl cytosine. Error bars indicate SD. \* = p<0.05

One possible mechanism for the observed DNA hypomethylation in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells might be an alteration in the expression of enzymes responsible for CpG methylation. In mammals, genomic DNA methylation is principally regulated by three CpG DNA methyl transferases (Dnmts): Dnmt1 (responsible for DNA

methylation maintenance), and the *de novo* methyl transferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b (Li et al., 1992; Okano et al., 1999; Tsumura et al., 2006). We therefore tested Wt, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs for altered expression of Dnmts. We did not notice any difference in Dnmt1 expression among the different cell lines (Fig. 25A). However, we observed a strongly reduced expression of the *de novo* Dnmt3a2 (predominant isoform in ESCs) and Dnmt3b both at RNA and protein level in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells compared to Wt and Tert-rescued cells (Fig. 25A, 25B).



Figure 25. Dnmt expression analysis in Wt, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>. A) Relative gene expression of *Dnmt1*, *Dnmt3b* and *Dnmt3a2* analysed by QRT-PCR. Values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n=4). Error bars indicate SD. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values. \* = p < 0.05, \*\* = p < 0.01, \*\*\* = p < 0.0001. B) (Top) Dnmt3b protein detection by western blot and (bottom) following Li-Cor quantification (n=3). Lane 3 indicates *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs transfected with Dnmt3b and propagated for 60 days (see chapter 4.3). Error bars indicate SD.

#### 4.3 Enforced expression of *Dnmt3b* in *Tert*<sup>/-S</sup> cells restores

#### Nanog to levels comparable to Wt ESCs

While the decreased levels of Dnmt3a and 3b in Tert null ESCs could explain the mechanism for DNA hypomethylation in ESCs with short telomeres, these perturbations may also be the indirect result of changes in gene expression induced by critically short telomeres. To distinguish between these possibilities, we tested whether the enforced re-expression of Dnmt3b was sufficient to elicit a change in global DNA methylation or H3K27 enrichment at the Nanog promoter. It is in fact been shown how severe DNA hypomethylation may lead to redistribution of H3K27me3, with an increase on usually methylated DNA regions and a decrease on typically unmethylated ones (e. g. pluripotency gene promoters) (Brinkman et al., 2012). We selected for ESCs that expressed the Dnmt3b cDNA under the CAG promoter in *Tert* null ESCs (*Tert*<sup>-/-3b</sup>) (Fig. 25B). Dnmt3b detection by western blot results in two bands of the approximate molecular weight of 100 kDa (the expected migration size of Dnmt3b). This may suggest the detection, by the antibody, of an unspecific band. However, although it is not possible to completely exclude this theory without further experiments (i.e. to add a peptide tag to the protein), the fact that exogenous expression of Dnmt3b cDNA leads to an increase of both bands seems to dismiss this hypothesis. The Dnmt3b cDNA exogenous expression rather suggests a situation where either A) Dnmt3b undergoes post-transcriptional modifications detectable by western blot, or B) the two bands belong to two isoforms and the exogenous expression of *Dnmt3b* cDNA positively modulates the expression

of the endogenous gene, or the stability of the endogenous isoforms, resulting in an increased expression of both these isoforms.

After 60 days post transfection, ESCs with critically short telomeres that expressed Dnmt3b comparable to Wt ESCs exhibited a partial restoration of global DNA methylation and H3K27 enrichment on Nanog promoter and reduced the level of Nanog expression closer to Wt (Fig. 26A, 26B, 26C). Therefore, it appears that reduced Dnmt3b expression (possibly in cooperation with Dnmt3a or Dnmt3L—see Discussion on session 7.2) is directly linked to the defect in DNA methylation observed in *Tert* null ESCs with short telomeres.



Figure 26. Effects of enforced Dnmt3b expression in *Tert*<sup>-S</sup> ESCs on global methylation and *Nanog* expression. A) Relative quantification of global DNA methylation (n=3). Analysis

performed by an ELISA-based assay for methyl cytosine. B) (Top) H3K27me3 relative enrichment in Wt, *Tert<sup>/-S</sup>*, *Tert<sup>/-R</sup>* and *Tert<sup>/-3b</sup>*, analysed by ChIP, on Nanog promoter region. Generic IgG was used as a control (n=3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values. (Bottom) Map of DNA region amplified by QRTPCR after chromatin immunoprecipitation. The red box indicates the position of primer annealing region (PAA) relative to the transcription starting site (TSS). C) Detection of Nanog and tubulin (as a control) by western blot (n=3). Error bars indicate SD. \* = p<0.05, \* \*= p<0.01, \*\*\* = p<0.001

#### 4.4 Summary of chapter 4

In this chapter we assessed the epigenetic regulation of DNA methylation and histone post-translational modification in *Tert* null ESCs with critically short telomeres. We found, as already reported, a gain of open chromatin marks (e.g. acH4, etc.) and a loss of DNA methylation at subtelomeric regions (Benetti et al., 2007). Interestingly though, we also found epigenetic alteration at *loci* distant form telomeres in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells. We found that in ESCs with critically short telomeres, despite a global H3K27me3 increase, the promoter regions of *Nanog* and *Gata6* exhibited a reduced enrichment of this transcriptional repressive mark. Finally, we revealed how DNA hypomethylation is not confined to subtelomeric regions, but affects the whole genome. These effects were ameliorated via the restoration of Dnmt3b expression in *Tert* null ESCs with short telomeres. Altogether these results indicate that telomere shortening can affect chromatin conformation even at *loci* distant from telomeres.

## **Chapter 5**

## Results

## Delayed and metastable differentiation of ESCs with critically short telomeres

Our observations that ESCs with short telomeres exhibited an increased proportion of Nanog-high cells, a displacement of chromatin repressive marks, and a decrease in *de novo* Dnmt expression led us to speculate that differentiation could be affected stem cells with critically short telomeres. In this chapter, we tested the kinetics and stability of lineage commitment and differentiation in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs compared to Wt ESCs and *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs in which *Tert* or *Dnmt3b* were ectopically expressed.

#### 5.1 Delayed response to ATRA treatment and re-gain of

#### pluripotency after LIF

To test if pluripotency gene dysregulation in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs affected the response to differentiation signals, we treated Wt,  $Tert^{-/-S}$  and  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs with 5 µM all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) for 6 days in a LIF-free media, followed by removal of ATRA and re-addition of LIF to the media. Contrary to Wt and  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs, after ATRA removal  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs exhibited a delayed acquisition of properties consistent with a differentiated state, and were prone to reacquire a stem cell-like morphology when cultured again in media containing LIF (Fig. 27).



Figure 27. Bright field images of Wt,  $TERT^{/-S}$  and  $TERT^{/-R}$  ESC populations at day 0 and 6 in media containing 5  $\mu$  M all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) and, following ATRA removal, a further 6 days in LIF-containing media. Micrograph bar indicates 200  $\mu$  m.

Differentiated cells cannot spontaneously de-differentiate by simple addition of LIF, and 6 days of 5µM ATRA treatment have been shown to be sufficient to irreversibly differentiate ESCs (Sinkkonen et al., 2008; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Consistently with literature, Wt ESCs treated for 6 days with ATRA, and re-placed for additional 6 days in LIF-containing medium, maintained repression of pluripotency genes (i.e. Nanog). In contrast, immunofluorescence and western blot analysis revealed that *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells were unable to sustain Nanog repression when LIF was re-added to the media after ATRA treatment (Fig. 28).



Figure 28. Nanog detection in Wt,  $Tert^{/-S}$  and  $Tert^{/-R}$  ESCs treated for 6 days with all trans retinoic acid and for 6 days with LIF. (Left), Nanog immunofluorescence analysis (green = Nanog; Red = Actin). (Right), Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub= $\beta$ -tubulin (n=3).

We asked whether the impaired differentiation of *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs might be due to inadequate downregulation of transcriptional factors involved in the maintenance of pluripotency and self-renewal. QRT-PCR analysis revealed that *Nanog*, *Oct4* and *Sox2* mRNA levels were elevated in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs compared to Wt and *Tert* rescue cells after ATRA treatment, and were further up-regulated upon re-addition of LIF (Fig. 30). Perhaps not surprisingly, mRNA expression was a more sensitive read-out of the stability of the differentiated state, since Nanog protein levels were

undetectable after ATRA treatment (Fig 25A, 25B). These results suggest that short telomere ESCs were able to execute only an incomplete, transitory repression of pluripotency genes under differentiation conditions.



Figure 30. QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation. Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to *GAPDH*. N=3.

Next, we performed a single colony formation assay to assess the percentage of cells that were able to form ES colonies when cultured in LIF-containing media after ATRA treatment. As expected, no ES colony was observed in Wt and *Tert<sup>-/-L</sup>* ESCs after ATRA removal and LIF re-addition, which indicates the population had become differentiated (Fig. 31). However, Tert null ESCs with short telomeres exhibited a significant colony growth potential after 12 days in LIF-containing media (Fig. 31). This phenotype was rescued upon telomere elongation after re-introduction of *Tert*, which suggests that critically short telomeres impeded the ability of cells to remain differentiated after ATRA treatment (Fig. 31).



Figure 31. Single colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) and LIF-containing media (6 days) (n=3). A set of serial dilutions was performed and the percentage (n $\geq$ 300) of viable ES cell colonies assessed with alkaline phosphatase assay. The difference in the incidence of colony formation between *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> and all the other genotypes was statistically significant (p<0.0001; ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> values). Error bars indicate SD. N=3. Wt= Wild type (parental ESC population); Tert-/-S = Tert deficient ESCs with short telomeres; Tert-/-R = Tert deficient ESCs with short telomeres, where *Tert* cDNA has been reintroduced; Tert-/-L = Tert deficient ESCs with long telomeres.

We further confirmed the link between telomere length and ability to maintain stable differentiation by testing the response to ATRA, and subsequent LIF re-addition, on *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs with long telomeres. *Tert*<sup>-/-L</sup> cells were able to maintain suppression of pluripotency genes, and were unable to form ES colonies after ATRA treatment and LIF re-addition (Fig. 32A, 32B).



Figure 32. The response of  $Tert^{/-L}$  ESCs to ATRA and subsequent LIF treatment. A) Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub= $\beta$ -tubulin (n=3). B) QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation. Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to *GAPDH*.

We took into consideration the possibility that telomere deficiency and the existence of short telomeres might select against development of differentiation events, and thus inhibit the appearance of differentiated cells. To further examine this point, we performed a longer time course of ATRA treatment (Fig. 33). Indeed, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs require a longer time to suppress pluripotency gene transcription.



Figure 33. QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation. Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to *GAPDH*.

To assess to what extent ATRA-treated cells were able to support colony formation (without re-addition of LIF-containing media), we plated *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs after 6 days of ATRA treatment followed by 6 days in LIF-free media, and observed a very reduced colony formation capacity, compared with cells growth in LIF-containing media (Fig. 34).



Figure 34. Single colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) and, where indicated, of LIF-containing media (6 days) (n=3). A set of serial dilutions was performed and the percentage ( $n\geq300$ ) of viable ES cell colonies assessed with alkaline phosphatase assay. The difference in the incidence of colony formation between  $Tert^{-/-S}$  (after LIF re-addition) and all the other genotypes (and  $Tert^{-/-S}$  without LIF re-addition) was statistically significant (p<0.0001; ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with  $Tert^{-/-S}$  values). Error bars indicate SD. N=3.

We sought to independently verify this result by transducing lentiviral particles containing *GFP* under control of the *Oct4* promoter into *Tert<sup>7-S</sup>* and Wt cells. After selection, cells were treated with ATRA for 12 days and then sorted for GFP-negative cells by FACS. GFP-negative cells (after sorting) were re-plated in LIF-containing media and analysed again for GFP expression (by microscopic analysis) 10 days later. The results showed clearly that, apart from a small percentage, *Tert<sup>7-S</sup>* cells were competent to suppress GFP after ATRA treatment. However, they also showed that GFP-negative selected *Tert<sup>7-S</sup>* cells were able to reacquire significant levels of GFP expression after cultured in LIF-containing media and thus could not stably maintain differentiation (Fig. 35).



Figure 35. Oct4-promoter-driven GFP expression analysis of Wt and Tert–/–S ESCs post-ATRA treatment and after cell sorting for GFP-negative cells. Wt and Tert–/–S ESCs were transduced with a vector expressing GFP under the control of Oct4 promoter. Cells were treated with ATRA for 12 days and then sorted by FACS between GFP-positive 9 (green dots) and GFP-negative (black dots) cells. The upper graph shows the percentage of GFP-positive cells determined by FACS after 12 days of ATRA treatment. The lower chart shows the percentage of GFP-positive cells derived from sorted GFP-negative cells plated in LIF-containing media for 10 days. The difference in the incidence of GFP-positive cells, between Tert–/–S and Wt cells, was statistically significant (p < 0.0001; Welch's unpaired t test). Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

## 5.2 Impairment of pluripotency gene promoter methylation after retinoic acid stimulation, and Dnmt3b-induced differentiation rescue

Methylation of CpG islands of pluripotency gene promoters is a key step in differentiation process (Altun et al., 2010). We used DNA bisulphite sequencing to test whether short telomere cells would exhibit an altered methylation profile of *Nanog* and *Oct4* promoters. In undifferentiated conditions, the promoters of all cell genotypes were entirely or almost entirely unmethylated. However, when treated with ATRA, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells showed a much weaker increase in promoter methylation compared to Wt and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> cells. In addition, once we re-plated cells in LIF-containing media, after ATRA treatment, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells showed a loss of cytosine methylation on *Nanog* and *Oct4* promoters (Fig. 36).



Figure 36. (TOP) CpG methylation analysis of the *Oct4* and *Nanog* promoters, by bisulphite sequencing, in Wt, *Tert<sup>1/-S</sup>* and *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* ESCs, during ATRA treatment followed by culture in LIF-conditioned media. Each row represents CpGs in a sequenced clone. Full dots symbolize methylated CpGs, empty dots symbolize unmethylated CpGs, and grey dots indicate an uncertain methylation status or an undefined sequence. Percentage values indicate the proportion of methylated cytosines relative to total cytosine residues (n≥8). (Bottom) Map of DNA region amplified and sequenced by bisulphite sequencing. The red box indicates the position of primer annealing region (PAA) relative to the transcription starting site (TSS).

To further confirm the importance of Dnmt down-regulation in the maintenance of differentiation of ESCs with critically short telomeres, we evaluated the ability *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> <sup>3b</sup> to suppress and maintain suppress pluripotency genes. We found that, although not completely, Dnmt3b expression rescued the ability of *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs to differentiate as assessed by the ability to suppress *Nanog*, *Oct4* and *Sox2* during ATRA treatment (Fig. 37A, 37B, 37C) and to maintain differentiation (Fig. 37C).



Figure 37. The response of  $Tert^{r,3b}$  ESCs to ATRA and subsequent LIF treatment A) (Left), Nanog immunofluorescence analysis (green = Nanog; Red = Actin). (Right), Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub= $\beta$ -tubulin. B) QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation). (Wt,  $Tert^{r/s}$  and  $Tert^{r/s}$  same as in figure 25, samples analysed together). Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to *GAPDH*. C) Single colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) and LIF-containing media (6 days) (n=3). (First 3 columns same as in figure 34, samples analysed together). A set of serial dilutions was performed and the percentage (n≥300) of viable ES cell colonies assessed with alkaline phosphatase assay. Error bar= SD. Wt= Wild type (parental ESC population); Tert-/-S = Tert deficient ESCs with short telomeres; Tert-/-R = Tert deficient ESCs with short telomeres, where *Tert* cDNA has been reintroduced. Tert-/-3b = Tert deficient ESCs with short telomeres ectopically expressing Dnmt3b. This result suggested that both Nanog upregulation and reduced repressive ability of pluripotency genes upon ATRA treatment, and the ineffective ability to maintain differentiation of *Tert* null cells could be, at least in part, explained by impaired *de novo* methylation caused by downregulation of *de novo* Dnmt expression

### 5.3 Nanog depletion in *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs induces spontaneous

#### differentiation and differentiation maintenance

Finally, we decided to test whether we could bypass the impact of critically short telomeres and *de novo* Dnmts downregulation-induced impaired differentiation of *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs by depleting Nanog. We then transduced *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells with a lentiviral vector expression short hairpin RNA (shRNA) for *Nanog*.

Nanog depletion (Fig. 38A, 38B) induced loss of ESC morphology and a decrease in pluripotency gene expression (38B, 38C). In addition, *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells transduced with shRNA targeting Nanog also exhibited in the ability to maintain differentiation (38D). These data implied that, although *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs presented both increase in some pluripotency genes and defect in differentiation, they were still responsive to alterations to the core pluripotency regulatory network.



Figure 38.  $Tert^{-/S}$  ESCs response to Nanog depletion. A) Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub= $\beta$ -tubulin. B) Relative gene expression in Wt,  $Tert^{-/S}$ ,  $Tert^{-/L}$ ,  $Tert^{-/R}$ ,  $Tert^{-/S}$  shNanog,  $Tert^{-/S}$  shCtrl ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. \*\* = p<0.001. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* (n>3). Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and related Dunnett's test comparing every group with Wt values. C) Bright field images of  $Tert^{-/S}$  ESCs transduced with shNanog and shCtrl. Micrograph bar indicates 200  $\mu$  m. D) Single colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) and LIF-containing media (6 days) (n=3). (First 4 columns same as in figure 37, samples analysed together). A set of serial dilutions was performed and the percentage (n≥300) of viable ES cell colonies assessed with alkaline phosphatase assay. Error bar= SD.

#### 5.4 Summary of chapter 5

In this chapter we tested the impact of critically short telomeres in mouse ESCs and observed a delay in the kinetics of differentiation upon ATRA treatment. This delay was associated with a metastable differentiation state where *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells were able to re-express, under LIF stimulation, pluripotency genes suppressed under ATRA-induced differentiation.

We showed how this phenotype could be, at least partially, rescued by enforced expression of Dnmt3b, independent of telomere length. In this regard, we noticed how methylation of pluripotency gene promoters, under ATRA-induced differentiation was impaired in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  cells.

Finally, we demonstrated how this metastable differentiation phenotype could also be rescued independently of telomere length via Nanog depletion, thus underscoring the importance of this factor in maintaining *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells in an undifferentiated state and impeding their responsiveness to differentiation stimuli. **Chapter 6** 

## Results

## *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs show differential response to p53 inhibition, and altered regulation of insulinresponsive genes

The results illustrated in chapter 3, 4 and 5 presented a picture where *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs exhibited a tendency to undergo dysregulation of pluripotency gene expression and impairment in achieving and maintaining differentiation. In this chapter, we show preliminary data indicating that ESCs possessing critically short telomeres may also exhibit alterations of in p53 and insulin signalling networks.

## 6.1 Altered Nanog regulation in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells upon inhibition of p53 transcriptional activity

It is well known that uncapped telomeres lead to p53 activation (Chin et al., 1999). In addition, p53, which is highly abundant in mouse ESCs (Sabapathy et al., 1997), may serve an emerging, and controversial, role in regulation of self-renewal, pluripotency and iPS reprogramming (Abdelalim and Tooyama, 2012; Kawamura et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2005; Marion et al., 2009a). Despite the fact that the role of p53 role in the inhibition of reprogramming has been widely established (Kawamura et al., 2009; Marion et al., 2009a), its role in ESC self-renewal and differentiation is much less clear with studies attributing it both pro-differentiation (Lin et al., 2005; Qin et al., 2007) and anti-differentiation activities (Abdelalim and Tooyama, 2012; Lee et al., 2010).

We decided to assess *Nanog* and *Oct4* expression on Wt and *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs upon treatment with pifithrin- $\alpha$  (PFT- $\alpha$ ), a chemical inhibitor of p53 (Komarov et al., 1999). Although Oct4 levels were unchanged after 16h of treatment, Wt and *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells showed an inverse regulation of *Nanog* expression (Fig. 39).



Figure 39. Relative gene expression in Wt, *Tert*<sup>/-S</sup> and *Tert*<sup>/-R</sup> ESCs treated with DMSO or 20 $\mu$ M of PTF- $\alpha$  analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* N=2.

#### 6.2 Upregulation of insulin-pathway genes in *Terf*<sup>/-S</sup> ESCs

One of the characteristics of highly proliferative cells, included ESCs, is an increased ratio of anaerobic glycolysis over oxidative phosphorylation metabolism (Folmes et al., 2012). We then decided to investigate whether insulin –responsive genes were differentially regulated in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs. We found that both insulin receptor (*INSR*) and insulin receptor substrate 2 (*IRS2*) mRNA were higher in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> compared to Wt

and *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* cells (Fig. 40), suggesting that glucose metabolism might be altered in these cells.



Figure 40. Relative gene expression in Wt,  $Tert^{/-S}$  and  $Tert^{/-R}$  ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH* N=2.

Finally, we decided to analyse the levels of Lin28 in Wt and *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells. Lin28, a RNA-binding protein (Ambros and Horvitz, 1984), is not only important for iPS reprogramming and for maintaining pluripotency (Moss and Tang, 2003; Yu et al., 2007), but it has also a role in glucose metabolism via its positive regulation of the

insulin-responsive genes *INSR* and *IRS2* (through suppression of *let-7* microRNA family, a Lin28 target) (Zhu et al., 2011). Quite surprisingly however, we found that *Lin28* was downregulate in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* compared to Wt and *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* cells (Fig. 41). This result indicates not only that Lin28 is the only pluripotency factor found downregulated in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* compared to Wt, but also that the increase in the mRNA of insulin-pathway genes, in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells, is independent of Lin28 overexpression.



Figure 41. Relative gene expression in Wt, *Terf<sup>'-S</sup>*, *Terf<sup>'-R</sup>*, *Terf<sup>'-R</sup>* ESCs analysed by QRT-PCR. Data are represented as mean ± SD. \*\* = p<0.001. Background values (no reverse transcriptase added) were subtracted, and values were normalized to *GAPDH*. N=5.

#### 6.3 Summary of chapter 6

In this chapter, we tested the impact of critically short telomeres on other signalling networks known to influence cell pluripotency, and obtained preliminary evidence of the involvement of p53,in pluripotency gene dysregulation and, by inference, in impaired differentiation of  $Tert^{-/-S}$  cells.
We also observed that *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* cells exhibit altered glucose metabolism. Surprisingly though, we found the Lin28, a hypothesized main candidate for increased insulin-pathway gene levels, was downregulated in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* compared to *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* and Wt ESCs.

## **Chapter 7**

## **Discussion and Perspectives**

## 7.1 Telomeres and epigenetic

The connection between telomere length and chromatin compaction has been already established, at least at telomeric and subtelomeric regions, by the work of Maria Blasco and collaborators (Benetti et al., 2007). In this study, we uncovered a role of critically short telomeres in chromatin conformation both at telomeric and subtelomeric *loci*, and globally through the genome. In particular, we found that *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> <sup>-/-S</sup> exhibited widespread cytosine hypomethylation compared to Wt and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs. Furthermore, we also noticed, in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESC, an increase of open chromatin marks acH4 and acH3K9 at subtelomeric regions as predicted by (Benetti et al., 2007), and an overall increase, including a site-specific (e.g. *Nanog* promoter) displacement, of the repressive histone mark H3K27me3.

PRC2 mediates trimethylation of H3K27, which, although dispensable for maintaining an undifferentiated and self-renewing state, characterises ESC identity (Shen et al., 2008). H3K27me3 is one of the main histone repression marks, and its reduction, in ESCs, on *Nanog* and *Gata6* promoters has been connected to an increased expression of these genes (Lu et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2008; Villasante et al., 2011). Despite the overall increase of H3K27me3 in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs, consistent with recent works that link H3K27me3 enrichment to unmethylated CpG contents

(Lynch et al., 2012; Mendenhall et al., 2010), its enrichment on the promoters of *Nanog* and *Gata6* was diminished. These results are in keeping with the observation that CpG loss of methylation leads to a redistribution, and overall increase, of H3K27me3 on regions which are normally methylated, but a reduction of H3K27me3 on regions that are ordinarily unmethylated (Brinkman et al., 2012). Our results suggest a working model (Fig.42) whereby uncapped-telomere induced Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b downregulation results into subtelomeric and global DNA hypomethylation and displacement of H3K27me3 at gene promoters. Reduced H3K27me3 at gene control elements, in turn, affects the ability of the cell to repress pluripotency factors, which is a key step to achieve stable differentiation.



Figure 42. Schematic model: telomere shortening impairs expression of Dnmt3 isoforms, leading to genome-wide DNA hypomethylation, which in turn affects H3K27me3 enrichment on specific

loci (e.g. *Nanog*), thus impairing the ability to sustain pluripotency factor repression after differentiation and growth re-stimulation.

Notably, due to the impossibility, for unclear reasons, to generate  $Tert^{-/-}$  embryos from our  $Tert^{+/-}$  mice (Liu et al., 2000), and lack of time to perform Tert gene targeting on early passage ESCs, we had to use previously generated  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs (Liu et al., 2000). This approach has many disadvantages compared to using new *exvivo* isolated ESCs. First of all, it implies that, in order to generate short telomere  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs, we had to maintain  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs in culture for many passages (70 or more passages). The extended growth of cells in culture may lead to accumulation of DNA mutations, or to a selection of cells with a higher preference to grow in culture than to differentiate. However, the observation of similar defects in differentiation in two independently generated  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs (Liu et al., 2000) compared to parental ESCs, and the rescue phenotype after reintroduction of *Tert* in late passages  $Tert^{-/-}$ ESCs rather suggested a telomere-based effect. Nevertheless we cannot completely exclude the possibility that secondary mutation(s) accumulated, over passages, in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs and played a role in the described effects.

In any case, assuming the observed effects are indeed due to a telomere shortening, many questions still remain to be addressed: What is the molecular link between critically short telomeres and *de novo* Dnmts downregulation? Can these data suggest a different way to look at (some) kinds of tumours? What is the connection among short telomeres, downregulation of Lin28 and altered glucose metabolism?

#### 7.2 De novo Dnmt downregulation and uncapped-telomere

### caused inhibition of differentiation activity (UCCIA)

In chapters 4 and 5, we showed that  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs exhibit a reduced expression of *de novo* Dnmts compared to Wt and  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs, and demonstrated that this downregulation led to improper pluripotency promoter methylation and unstable differentiation. We also showed that enforced expression of Dnmt3b was able to almost completely rescue proper differentiation in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  cells, independently of telomere length. Lack of complete rescue could be due to fact that *Dnmt3b* was reintroduced, but not *Dnmt3a* (which was also found downregulated in  $Tert^{-/-S}$ ) and *Dnmt3L* (whose expression levels were not tested).

The concept that reduced *de novo* methylation may lead to a metastable state of differentiation is not, *per se*, surprising, having been already established in the literature (Feldman et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2004; Sinkkonen et al., 2008). What we found unexpected and fascinating was that critically short telomeres could trigger this mechanism. However, a few points should be considered regarding the apparent reversibility into ESCs of *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells which lost the expression of pluripotency markers. First, simply taking the loss of expression of pluripotency genes as the sole indicator of differentiation, without monitoring the appearance of differentiation markers, may hide a situation where some *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells strongly reduce, but do not suppress, the expression of pluripotency genes under ATRA treatment and re-express them after re-introduction of LIF into the culture medium. Second, even if the loss of expression of pluripotency in the loss of expression of pluripotency in the loss of expression of pluripotency is a sufficient indicator of differentiation of LIF into the culture medium. Second, even if the loss of expression of pluripotency is a sufficient indicator of differentiation, it would not be possible to exclude that a small percentage of *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup>

cells, resistant to differentiation, persisted in the population of properly differentiated cells and expanded after re-addition of LIF into the culture medium.

Nevertheless, whether *Tert*<sup>7-S</sup> cells undergo a full (from completely differentiated cells) or partial (from incompletely differentiated cells) de-differentiation event or whether a sub-population of cells insensitive to differentiation clues can expand, it remains to be determined how telomere shortening affects Dnmt expression. A possible hypothesis could be that this uncapped-telomere caused inhibition of differentiation activity (UCCIA) is triggered by a DNA damage response originating from unprotected telomeres. We have, in fact, already seen in chapter 6 how the inhibition of p53 in *Tert*<sup>7-S</sup> ESCs can restore *Nanog* expression to levels closer to Wt ESCs. So, it would be interesting to test whether inhibiting a telomere-dependent DNA damage response could rescue Dnmt expression. However, it should be noticed that Nanog downregulation in *Tert*<sup>7-S</sup> treated with PFT- $\alpha$  is hardly due to a putative effect on Dnmt expression. In fact, even in the presence of a rescue of Dnmt expression, an incubation of 16h would have not been sufficient to restore genomic DNA methylation. This result suggests that dysregulation of pluripotency genes in *Tert*<sup>7-S</sup> may be due to more than one mechanism (Fig. 43A, 43B).

Another hypothesis, which should be tested, is whether UCCIA may be caused by genomic mislocalization of telomeric factors such as Rap1 or Rif1 following telomere shortening (Fig. 43C).



Figure 43. Schematic representation of putative models connecting telomere shortening to *de novo* Dnmt downregulation and UCCIA. A) Uncapped telomeres trigger a DNA damage response, which mediates *de novo* Dnmt downregulation. B) Uncapped telomeres trigger DNA damage response and/or unknown mechanisms to downregulate Dnmts. In parallel DNA damage response may have Dnmt-independent effects upon UCCIA. C) Telomere shortening leads to misplacement of telomeric factors involved in gene regulation and pluripotency maintenance, with subsequent induction of UCCIA.

#### 7.3 Necessity to examine some cancer therapies from a

### different angle?

Cancer cells are highly proliferative and they require an appropriate maintenance of telomere length to safeguard their replicative potential. In approximately 85% of cases telomeres are preserved by re-activation of telomerase (Matsuo et al., 2009). When telomerase is inhibited or deleted in culture, telomerase-positive cancer cells shorten their telomeres with each cell division and eventually enter senescence (Hahn et al., 1999; Taboski et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 1999). In the other 15% of cases, instead, cancer cell telomeres undergo ALT-mechanisms (Cesare and Reddel, 2010). Thus, an obvious rationale is to target the inhibition of telomerase and/or telomere maintenance as a putative cancer therapy (Biffi et al., 2013; Folini et al., 2011; Roth et al., 2010).

The regulation of many factors involved in pluripotency and differentiation has not only effects on physiological development, but is also important in human disease. For example, it is known that some pluripotency factors (e.g. Nanog, Oct4) tend to be highly abundant in undifferentiated cancer cells and putative cancer stem cells (Tysnes, 2010). Furthermore, treatment of some kind of tumours (e.g. promyelocytic leukemia) requires the usage of pro-differentiating chemicals such as retinoic acid. (Petrie et al., 2009).

A question that rises spontaneously is whether critically short telomeres affect the response of cancer cells to pro-differentiating agents, and in this case whether a

telomere/telomerase targeting strategy may have, in such a situation, the undesirable effect to impede cell differentiation. Speculating further, one may propose that, in particular cases of undifferentiated tumours, a telomere-elongation approach, in combination with other treatments, could improve the response to the therapy (Fig. 44). In support of this hypothesis, it is worth mentioning a very recent work from Hiroyuki Seimiya and colleagues, which have shown how lengthening telomeres of human prostatic cancer cells with short telomeres led to differentiation of tumour cells (Hirashima et al., 2013).



Figure 44. Schematic representation of speculative impact of contrasting telomere shortening in

particular cases of cancer therapy.

differentiation-impaired cells!

#### 7.4 Affecting glucose uptake

*Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs appear to have higher levels of mRNA of the insulin-pathway genes *INSR* and *IRS2* compared to Wt ESCs, suggesting that these cells might have enhanced glucose uptake and glycolysis. This result itself may not be surprising, considering that ESCs have an increased anaerobic over aerobic metabolism ratio compared to differentiated cells (Folmes et al., 2012), and that *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> showed an overall increase in pluripotency and self-renewing genes compared to Wt and *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> ESCs. In fact the increase in IRS2 and INSR could simply reflect the upregulation of pluripotency genes such as *Nanog* and *Essrb* in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells. However, the fact that *Lin28*, one of the main positive regulators of insulin-pathway genes (Zhu et al., 2011), has been found as the only pluripotency factor that is repressed in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup>, (among those tested), is somewhat puzzling. In addition, both *Lin28* downregulation and telomere shortening have been connected, separately, to Diabetes mellitus type 2, a disease characterised by insulin resistance (Guo et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2011).

Our data demonstrate a decrease in *Lin28* expression in ESCs with critically short telomeres, and thus may offer a possible molecular link between the two phenotypes. In *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells, insulin-pathway genes could be upregulated, regardless of *Lin28* downregulation, due to the overexpression of other pluripotency genes. It would be very interesting to assess whether, in differentiated cells with critically short telomeres, Lin28 and insulin pathway genes are downregulated, and whether telomere elongation can rescue their expression (Fig. 45).



Figure 45. Schematic representation of hypothetical mechanism of insulin pathway gene control. *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs show increase in insulin pathway genes, despite reduced Lin28, perhaps as a response to an overall increase in pluripotency gene expression. In differentiated cells, short telomeres lead to Lin28 downregulation and subsequent repression of insulin pathway genes.

#### 7.5 Final remarks

The results presented in this work provided conceptual advances in the field of telomere biology and its effects on chromatin and gene expression, and in the field of pluripotency maintenance in ESCs. Having found that critically short telomeres affect chromatin compaction even at a global genomic level, this work completes a picture initiated by other studies (Benetti et al., 2007), which observed telomere-shortening effects on chromatin only at regions proximal to telomeres.

As for the discovery of uncapped telomeres impacting on pluripotency gene regulation, this study provides us with new information about the complex network of factors and mechanisms necessary to regulate the pluripotent state of embryonic stem cells.

Finally, our discovery of the role of critically short telomeres in regulating the kinetics and stability of ESC differentiation opens new perspectives on the functions of telomeres in the cell.

The fact that telomere regulation seems to be a sophisticated process, which not only provides the cell with replicative potential, but that also affects cell fate decisions is intriguing, and clearly demands further studies to investigate its role in different contexts (e.g. progenitor cells, cancer cells, etc.) in order to gain a complete picture of the intricate interplay between these processes.

# **Bibliography**

Abdelalim, E.M., and Tooyama, I. (2012). The p53 inhibitor, pifithrin-alpha, suppresses self-renewal of embryonic stem cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 420, 605-610.

Allis, C.D., Berger, S.L., Cote, J., Dent, S., Jenuwien, T., Kouzarides, T., Pillus, L., Reinberg, D., Shi, Y., Shiekhattar, R., *et al.* (2007). New nomenclature for chromatin-modifying enzymes. Cell *131*, 633-636.

Altun, G., Loring, J.F., and Laurent, L.C. (2010). DNA methylation in embryonic stem cells. J Cell Biochem *109*, 1-6.

Ambros, V., and Horvitz, H.R. (1984). Heterochronic mutants of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Science *226*, 409-416.

Atcha, F.A., Syed, A., Wu, B., Hoverter, N.P., Yokoyama, N.N., Ting, J.H., Munguia, J.E., Mangalam, H.J., Marsh, J.L., and Waterman, M.L. (2007). A unique DNA binding domain converts T-cell factors into strong Wnt effectors. Mol Cell Biol *27*, 8352-8363.

Avilion, A.A., Nicolis, S.K., Pevny, L.H., Perez, L., Vivian, N., and Lovell-Badge,R. (2003). Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. Genes Dev *17*, 126-140.

Azzalin, C.M., Reichenbach, P., Khoriauli, L., Giulotto, E., and Lingner, J. (2007). Telomeric repeat containing RNA and RNA surveillance factors at mammalian chromosome ends. Science *318*, 798-801.

Benetti, R., Garcia-Cao, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2007). Telomere length regulates the epigenetic status of mammalian telomeres and subtelomeres. Nat Genet *39*, 243-250.

Benetti, R., Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Munoz, P., Gonzalez, S., Schoeftner, S., Murchison, E., Andl, T., Chen, T., Klatt, P., *et al.* (2008). A mammalian microRNA cluster controls DNA methylation and telomere recombination via Rbl2-dependent regulation of DNA methyltransferases. Nat Struct Mol Biol *15*, 998.

Benezra, R., Davis, R.L., Lockshon, D., Turner, D.L., and Weintraub, H. (1990). The protein Id: a negative regulator of helix-loop-helix DNA binding proteins. Cell *61*, 49-59.

Bergmann, J.H., Rodriguez, M.G., Martins, N.M., Kimura, H., Kelly, D.A., Masumoto, H., Larionov, V., Jansen, L.E., and Earnshaw, W.C. (2011). Epigenetic engineering shows H3K4me2 is required for HJURP targeting and CENP-A assembly on a synthetic human kinetochore. Embo J *30*, 328-340.

Biffi, G., Tannahill, D., McCafferty, J., and Balasubramanian, S. (2013). Quantitative visualization of DNA G-quadruplex structures in human cells. Nat Chem 5, 182-186.

Bird, A., Taggart, M., Frommer, M., Miller, O.J., and Macleod, D. (1985). A fraction of the mouse genome that is derived from islands of nonmethylated, CpG-rich DNA. Cell *40*, 91-99.

Blackburn, E.H. (2001). Switching and signaling at the telomere. Cell 106, 661-673.

Blair, K., Wray, J., and Smith, A. (2011). The liberation of embryonic stem cells. PLoS Genet 7, e1002019.

Bodnar, A.G., Ouellette, M., Frolkis, M., Holt, S.E., Chiu, C.P., Morin, G.B., Harley, C.B., Shay, J.W., Lichtsteiner, S., and Wright, W.E. (1998). Extension of life-span by introduction of telomerase into normal human cells. Science *279*, 349-352.

Bower, K., Napier, C.E., Cole, S.L., Dagg, R.A., Lau, L.M., Duncan, E.L., Moy, E.L., and Reddel, R.R. (2012). Loss of wild-type ATRX expression in somatic cell hybrids segregates with activation of Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres. PLoS One 7, e50062.

Brinkman, A.B., Gu, H., Bartels, S.J., Zhang, Y., Matarese, F., Simmer, F., Marks, H., Bock, C., Gnirke, A., Meissner, A., *et al.* (2012). Sequential ChIP-bisulfite sequencing enables direct genome-scale investigation of chromatin and DNA methylation cross-talk. Genome Res.

Bryan, T.M., Englezou, A., Gupta, J., Bacchetti, S., and Reddel, R.R. (1995). Telomere elongation in immortal human cells without detectable telomerase activity. Embo J *14*, 4240-4248.

Cesare, A.J., and Reddel, R.R. (2010). Alternative lengthening of telomeres: models, mechanisms and implications. Nat Rev Genet *11*, 319-330.

Chambers, I., Colby, D., Robertson, M., Nichols, J., Lee, S., Tweedie, S., and Smith, A. (2003). Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. Cell *113*, 643-655.

Chambers, I., Silva, J., Colby, D., Nichols, J., Nijmeijer, B., Robertson, M., Vrana, J., Jones, K., Grotewold, L., and Smith, A. (2007). Nanog safeguards pluripotency and mediates germline development. Nature *450*, 1230-1234.

Chin, L., Artandi, S.E., Shen, Q., Tam, A., Lee, S.L., Gottlieb, G.J., Greider, C.W., and DePinho, R.A. (1999). p53 deficiency rescues the adverse effects of telomere loss and cooperates with telomere dysfunction to accelerate carcinogenesis. Cell *97*, 527-538.

Chiodi, I., Belgiovine, C., Zongaro, S., Ricotti, R., Horard, B., Lossani, A., Focher, F., Gilson, E., Giulotto, E., and Mondello, C. (2013). Super-telomeres in transformed human fibroblasts. Biochim Biophys Acta.

Choi, J., Southworth, L.K., Sarin, K.Y., Venteicher, A.S., Ma, W., Chang, W., Cheung, P., Jun, S., Artandi, M.K., Shah, N., *et al.* (2008). TERT promotes epithelial proliferation through transcriptional control of a Myc- and Wnt-related developmental program. PLoS Genet *4*, e10.

Clouaire, T., de Las Heras, J.I., Merusi, C., and Stancheva, I. (2010). Recruitment of MBD1 to target genes requires sequence-specific interaction of the MBD domain with methylated DNA. Nucleic Acids Res *38*, 4620-4634.

Costa, Y., Ding, J., Theunissen, T.W., Faiola, F., Hore, T.A., Shliaha, P.V., Fidalgo, M., Saunders, A., Lawrence, M., Dietmann, S., *et al.* (2013). NANOG-dependent function of TET1 and TET2 in establishment of pluripotency. Nature *495*, 370-374.

Cross, S.H., Charlton, J.A., Nan, X., and Bird, A.P. (1994). Purification of CpG islands using a methylated DNA binding column. Nat Genet *6*, 236-244.

Dahl, J.A., Reiner, A.H., Klungland, A., Wakayama, T., and Collas, P. (2010). Histone H3 lysine 27 methylation asymmetry on developmentally-regulated promoters distinguish the first two lineages in mouse preimplantation embryos. PLoS One *5*, e9150.

Deaton, A.M., and Bird, A. (2011). CpG islands and the regulation of transcription. Genes Dev 25, 1010-1022.

Dostie, J., and Bickmore, W.A. (2012). Chromosome organization in the nucleus - charting new territory across the Hi-Cs. Curr Opin Genet Dev *22*, 125-131.

Dunham, M.A., Neumann, A.A., Fasching, C.L., and Reddel, R.R. (2000). Telomere maintenance by recombination in human cells. Nat Genet *26*, 447-450.

Evans, M.J., and Kaufman, M.H. (1981). Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. Nature *292*, 154-156.

Feldman, N., Gerson, A., Fang, J., Li, E., Zhang, Y., Shinkai, Y., Cedar, H., and Bergman, Y. (2006). G9a-mediated irreversible epigenetic inactivation of Oct-3/4 during early embryogenesis. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 188-194.

Festuccia, N., and Chambers, I. (2011). Quantification of pluripotency transcription factor levels in embryonic stem cells by flow cytometry. Curr Protoc Stem Cell Biol *Chapter 1*, Unit 1B 9.

Festuccia, N., Osorno, R., Halbritter, F., Karwacki-Neisius, V., Navarro, P., Colby, D., Wong, F., Yates, A., Tomlinson, S.R., and Chambers, I. (2012). Esrrb is a direct Nanog target gene that can substitute for Nanog function in pluripotent cells. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 477-490.

Fidalgo, M., Shekar, P.C., Ang, Y.S., Fujiwara, Y., Orkin, S.H., and Wang, J. (2011). Zfp281 functions as a transcriptional repressor for pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells *29*, 1705-1716.

Fisher, C.L., and Fisher, A.G. (2011). Chromatin states in pluripotent, differentiated, and reprogrammed cells. Curr Opin Genet Dev *21*, 140-146.

Folini, M., Venturini, L., Cimino-Reale, G., and Zaffaroni, N. (2011). Telomeres as targets for anticancer therapies. Expert Opin Ther Targets *15*, 579-593.

Folmes, C.D., Dzeja, P.P., Nelson, T.J., and Terzic, A. (2012). Metabolic plasticity in stem cell homeostasis and differentiation. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 596-606.

Friel, R., van der Sar, S., and Mee, P.J. (2005). Embryonic stem cells: understanding their history, cell biology and signalling. Adv Drug Deliv Rev *57*, 1894-1903.

Gao, Y., Chen, J., Li, K., Wu, T., Huang, B., Liu, W., Kou, X., Zhang, Y., Huang, H., Jiang, Y., *et al.* (2013). Replacement of Oct4 by Tet1 during iPSC induction

reveals an important role of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in reprogramming. Cell Stem Cell *12*, 453-469.

Gardner, R.L., and Beddington, R.S. (1988). Multi-lineage 'stem' cells in the mammalian embryo. J Cell Sci Suppl *10*, 11-27.

Geserick, C., and Blasco, M.A. (2006). Novel roles for telomerase in aging. Mech Ageing Dev 127, 579-583.

Gonzalo, S., and Blasco, M.A. (2005). Role of Rb family in the epigenetic definition of chromatin. Cell Cycle *4*, 752-755.

Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Fraga, M.F., Chen, T., Li, E., Esteller, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2006). DNA methyltransferases control telomere length and telomere recombination in mammalian cells. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 416-424.

Granger, M.P., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (2002). Telomerase in cancer and aging. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol *41*, 29-40.

Greider, C.W., and Blackburn, E.H. (1985). Identification of a specific telomere terminal transferase activity in Tetrahymena extracts. Cell *43*, 405-413.

Grinnell, K.L., Yang, B., Eckert, R.L., and Bickenbach, J.R. (2007). Dedifferentiation of mouse interfollicular keratinocytes by the embryonic transcription factor Oct-4. J Invest Dermatol *127*, 372-380.

Guo, N., Parry, E.M., Li, L.S., Kembou, F., Lauder, N., Hussain, M.A., Berggren, P.O., and Armanios, M. (2011). Short telomeres compromise beta-cell signaling and survival. PLoS One *6*, e17858.

Hahn, W.C., Stewart, S.A., Brooks, M.W., York, S.G., Eaton, E., Kurachi, A., Beijersbergen, R.L., Knoll, J.H., Meyerson, M., and Weinberg, R.A. (1999). Inhibition of telomerase limits the growth of human cancer cells. Nat Med *5*, 1164-1170.

Hata, K., Okano, M., Lei, H., and Li, E. (2002). Dnmt3L cooperates with the Dnmt3 family of de novo DNA methyltransferases to establish maternal imprints in mice. Development *129*, 1983-1993.

Hayflick, L., and Moorhead, P.S. (1961). The serial cultivation of human diploid cell strains. Exp Cell Res *25*, 585-621.

Heard, E. (2004). Recent advances in X-chromosome inactivation. Curr Opin Cell Biol *16*, 247-255.

Heng, J.C., Orlov, Y.L., and Ng, H.H. (2010). Transcription factors for the modulation of pluripotency and reprogramming. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol *75*, 237-244.

Hillman, N., Sherman, M.I., and Graham, C. (1972). The effect of spatial arrangement on cell determination during mouse development. J Embryol Exp Morphol 28, 263-278.

Hirai, H., Karian, P., and Kikyo, N. (2011). Regulation of embryonic stem cell selfrenewal and pluripotency by leukaemia inhibitory factor. Biochem J *438*, 11-23.

Hirashima, K., Migita, T., Sato, S., Muramatsu, Y., Ishikawa, Y., and Seimiya, H. (2013). Telomere length influences cancer cell differentiation in vivo. Mol Cell Biol.

Hockemeyer, D., Daniels, J.P., Takai, H., and de Lange, T. (2006). Recent expansion of the telomeric complex in rodents: Two distinct POT1 proteins protect mouse telomeres. Cell *126*, 63-77.

Huang, J., Wang, F., Okuka, M., Liu, N., Ji, G., Ye, X., Zuo, B., Li, M., Liang, P., Ge, W.W., *et al.* (2011). Association of telomere length with authentic pluripotency of ES/iPS cells. Cell research *21*, 779-792.

Ito, S., D'Alessio, A.C., Taranova, O.V., Hong, K., Sowers, L.C., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Role of Tet proteins in 5mC to 5hmC conversion, ES-cell self-renewal and inner cell mass specification. Nature *466*, 1129-1133.

Ivanova, N., Dobrin, R., Lu, R., Kotenko, I., Levorse, J., DeCoste, C., Schafer, X., Lun, Y., and Lemischka, I.R. (2006). Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference. Nature *442*, 533-538.

Jackson, M., Krassowska, A., Gilbert, N., Chevassut, T., Forrester, L., Ansell, J., and Ramsahoye, B. (2004). Severe global DNA hypomethylation blocks differentiation and induces histone hyperacetylation in embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol *24*, 8862-8871.

Jiang, H., Ju, Z., and Rudolph, K.L. (2007). Telomere shortening and ageing. Z Gerontol Geriatr *40*, 314-324.

Karwacki-Neisius, V., Goke, J., Osorno, R., Halbritter, F., Ng, J.H., Weisse, A.Y., Wong, F.C., Gagliardi, A., Mullin, N.P., Festuccia, N., *et al.* (2013). Reduced oct4 expression directs a robust pluripotent state with distinct signaling activity and increased enhancer occupancy by oct4 and nanog. Cell Stem Cell *12*, 531-545.

Kawamura, T., Suzuki, J., Wang, Y.V., Menendez, S., Morera, L.B., Raya, A., Wahl, G.M., and Izpisua Belmonte, J.C. (2009). Linking the p53 tumour suppressor pathway to somatic cell reprogramming. Nature *460*, 1140-1144.

Kim, N.W., Piatyszek, M.A., Prowse, K.R., Harley, C.B., West, M.D., Ho, P.L., Coviello, G.M., Wright, W.E., Weinrich, S.L., and Shay, J.W. (1994). Specific association of human telomerase activity with immortal cells and cancer. Science *266*, 2011-2015.

Kipling, D., and Cooke, H.J. (1990). Hypervariable ultra-long telomeres in mice. Nature 347, 400-402.

Klose, R.J., and Bird, A.P. (2006). Genomic DNA methylation: the mark and its mediators. Trends Biochem Sci *31*, 89-97.

Koche, R.P., Smith, Z.D., Adli, M., Gu, H., Ku, M., Gnirke, A., Bernstein, B.E., and Meissner, A. (2011). Reprogramming factor expression initiates widespread targeted chromatin remodeling. Cell Stem Cell *8*, 96-105.

Komarov, P.G., Komarova, E.A., Kondratov, R.V., Christov-Tselkov, K., Coon, J.S., Chernov, M.V., and Gudkov, A.V. (1999). A chemical inhibitor of p53 that protects mice from the side effects of cancer therapy. Science *285*, 1733-1737.

Kopp, J.L., Ormsbee, B.D., Desler, M., and Rizzino, A. (2008). Small increases in the level of Sox2 trigger the differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells *26*, 903-911.

Korfali, N., Wilkie, G.S., Swanson, S.K., Srsen, V., Batrakou, D.G., Fairley, E.A., Malik, P., Zuleger, N., Goncharevich, A., de Las Heras, J., *et al.* (2010). The leukocyte nuclear envelope proteome varies with cell activation and contains novel transmembrane proteins that affect genome architecture. Mol Cell Proteomics *9*, 2571-2585.

Kunath, T., Saba-El-Leil, M.K., Almousailleakh, M., Wray, J., Meloche, S., and Smith, A. (2007). FGF stimulation of the Erk1/2 signalling cascade triggers transition of pluripotent embryonic stem cells from self-renewal to lineage commitment. Development *134*, 2895-2902.

Lafferty-Whyte, K., Cairney, C.J., Will, M.B., Serakinci, N., Daidone, M.G., Zaffaroni, N., Bilsland, A., and Keith, W.N. (2009). A gene expression signature classifying telomerase and ALT immortalization reveals an hTERT regulatory network and suggests a mesenchymal stem cell origin for ALT. Oncogene *28*, 3765-3774.

Lee, J., Sung, Y.H., Cheong, C., Choi, Y.S., Jeon, H.K., Sun, W., Hahn, W.C., Ishikawa, F., and Lee, H.W. (2008). TERT promotes cellular and organismal survival independently of telomerase activity. Oncogene *27*, 3754-3760.

Lee, K.H., Li, M., Michalowski, A.M., Zhang, X., Liao, H., Chen, L., Xu, Y., Wu, X., and Huang, J. (2010). A genomewide study identifies the Wnt signaling pathway as a major target of p53 in murine embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *107*, 69-74.

Li, E., Bestor, T.H., and Jaenisch, R. (1992). Targeted mutation of the DNA methyltransferase gene results in embryonic lethality. Cell *69*, 915-926.

Li, Y.Q. (2010). Master stem cell transcription factors and signaling regulation. Cellular reprogramming *12*, 3-13.

Lin, T., Chao, C., Saito, S., Mazur, S.J., Murphy, M.E., Appella, E., and Xu, Y. (2005). p53 induces differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells by suppressing Nanog expression. Nat Cell Biol 7, 165-171.

Liu, L., Bailey, S.M., Okuka, M., Munoz, P., Li, C., Zhou, L., Wu, C., Czerwiec, E., Sandler, L., Seyfang, A., *et al.* (2007). Telomere lengthening early in development. Nat Cell Biol *9*, 1436-1441.

Liu, Y., Snow, B.E., Hande, M.P., Yeung, D., Erdmann, N.J., Wakeham, A., Itie, A., Siderovski, D.P., Lansdorp, P.M., Robinson, M.O., *et al.* (2000). The telomerase reverse transcriptase is limiting and necessary for telomerase function in vivo. Curr Biol *10*, 1459-1462.

Loh, Y.H., Wu, Q., Chew, J.L., Vega, V.B., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Bourque, G., George, J., Leong, B., Liu, J., *et al.* (2006). The Oct4 and Nanog transcription network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat Genet *38*, 431-440.

Lu, R., Yang, A., and Jin, Y. (2011). Dual functions of T-box 3 (Tbx3) in the control of self-renewal and extraembryonic endoderm differentiation in mouse embryonic stem cells. J Biol Chem *286*, 8425-8436.

Luke, B., and Lingner, J. (2009). TERRA: telomeric repeat-containing RNA. Embo J 28, 2503-2510.

Lynch, M.D., Smith, A.J., De Gobbi, M., Flenley, M., Hughes, J.R., Vernimmen, D., Ayyub, H., Sharpe, J.A., Sloane-Stanley, J.A., Sutherland, L., *et al.* (2012). An interspecies analysis reveals a key role for unmethylated CpG dinucleotides in vertebrate Polycomb complex recruitment. Embo J *31*, 317-329.

MacDonald, B.T., Tamai, K., and He, X. (2009). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Dev Cell *17*, 9-26.

Mak, W., Nesterova, T.B., de Napoles, M., Appanah, R., Yamanaka, S., Otte, A.P., and Brockdorff, N. (2004). Reactivation of the paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. Science *303*, 666-669.

Margueron, R., and Reinberg, D. (2011). The Polycomb complex PRC2 and its mark in life. Nature *469*, 343-349.

Marion, R.M., Strati, K., Li, H., Murga, M., Blanco, R., Ortega, S., Fernandez-Capetillo, O., Serrano, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2009a). A p53-mediated DNA damage response limits reprogramming to ensure iPS cell genomic integrity. Nature *460*, 1149-1153.

Marion, R.M., Strati, K., Li, H., Tejera, A., Schoeftner, S., Ortega, S., Serrano, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2009b). Telomeres acquire embryonic stem cell characteristics in induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell *4*, 141-154.

Martello, G., Sugimoto, T., Diamanti, E., Joshi, A., Hannah, R., Ohtsuka, S., Gottgens, B., Niwa, H., and Smith, A. (2012). Esrrb is a pivotal target of the Gsk3/Tcf3 axis regulating embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 491-504.

Martin, A.M., Pouchnik, D.J., Walker, J.L., and Wyrick, J.J. (2004). Redundant roles for histone H3 N-terminal lysine residues in subtelomeric gene repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *167*, 1123-1132.

Martin, G.R. (1980). Teratocarcinomas and mammalian embryogenesis. Science *209*, 768-776.

Martin, G.R. (1981). Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *78*, 7634-7638.

Martin, G.R., and Evans, M.J. (1975). Differentiation of clonal lines of teratocarcinoma cells: formation of embryoid bodies in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *72*, 1441-1445.

Martinez, P., Thanasoula, M., Carlos, A.R., Gomez-Lopez, G., Tejera, A.M., Schoeftner, S., Dominguez, O., Pisano, D.G., Tarsounas, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2010). Mammalian Rap1 controls telomere function and gene expression through binding to telomeric and extratelomeric sites. Nat Cell Biol *12*, 768-780.

Matsuda, T., Nakamura, T., Nakao, K., Arai, T., Katsuki, M., Heike, T., and Yokota, T. (1999). STAT3 activation is sufficient to maintain an undifferentiated state of mouse embryonic stem cells. Embo J *18*, 4261-4269.

Matsuo, T., Shimose, S., Kubo, T., Fujimori, J., Yasunaga, Y., and Ochi, M. (2009). Telomeres and telomerase in sarcomas. Anticancer Res *29*, 3833-3836.

Mendenhall, E.M., Koche, R.P., Truong, T., Zhou, V.W., Issac, B., Chi, A.S., Ku, M., and Bernstein, B.E. (2010). GC-rich sequence elements recruit PRC2 in mammalian ES cells. PLoS Genet *6*, e1001244.

Messner, S., and Hottiger, M.O. (2011). Histone ADP-ribosylation in DNA repair, replication and transcription. Trends Cell Biol *21*, 534-542.

Migone, T.S., Rodig, S., Cacalano, N.A., Berg, M., Schreiber, R.D., and Leonard, W.J. (1998). Functional cooperation of the interleukin-2 receptor beta chain and Jak1 in phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase recruitment and phosphorylation. Mol Cell Biol *18*, 6416-6422.

Mikkelsen, T.S., Hanna, J., Zhang, X., Ku, M., Wernig, M., Schorderet, P., Bernstein, B.E., Jaenisch, R., Lander, E.S., and Meissner, A. (2008). Dissecting direct reprogramming through integrative genomic analysis. Nature *454*, 49-55.

Moss, E.G., and Tang, L. (2003). Conservation of the heterochronic regulator Lin-28, its developmental expression and microRNA complementary sites. Dev Biol *258*, 432-442.

Murnane, J.P., Sabatier, L., Marder, B.A., and Morgan, W.F. (1994). Telomere dynamics in an immortal human cell line. Embo J *13*, 4953-4962.

Nakatake, Y., Fukui, N., Iwamatsu, Y., Masui, S., Takahashi, K., Yagi, R., Yagi, K., Miyazaki, J., Matoba, R., Ko, M.S., *et al.* (2006). Klf4 cooperates with Oct3/4 and

Sox2 to activate the Lefty1 core promoter in embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol *26*, 7772-7782.

Navarro, P., Festuccia, N., Colby, D., Gagliardi, A., Mullin, N.P., Zhang, W., Karwacki-Neisius, V., Osorno, R., Kelly, D., Robertson, M., *et al.* (2012). OCT4/SOX2-independent Nanog autorepression modulates heterogeneous Nanog gene expression in mouse ES cells. Embo J.

Nelson, J.D., Denisenko, O., and Bomsztyk, K. (2006). Protocol for the fast chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) method. Nature protocols *1*, 179-185.

Neumann, A.A., Watson, C.M., Noble, J.R., Pickett, H.A., Tam, P.P.L., and Reddel, R.R. (2013). Alternative lengthening of telomeres in normal mammalian somatic cells. Genes Dev *27*, 18-23.

Nichols, J., and Smith, A. (2009). Naive and primed pluripotent states. Cell Stem Cell 4, 487-492.

Nichols, J., Zevnik, B., Anastassiadis, K., Niwa, H., Klewe-Nebenius, D., Chambers, I., Scholer, H., and Smith, A. (1998). Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4. Cell *95*, 379-391.

Nishinakamura, R., Matsumoto, Y., Matsuda, T., Ariizumi, T., Heike, T., Asashima, M., and Yokota, T. (1999). Activation of Stat3 by cytokine receptor gp130 ventralizes Xenopus embryos independent of BMP-4. Dev Biol *216*, 481-490.

Niwa, H. (2007). How is pluripotency determined and maintained? Development 134, 635-646.

Niwa, H., Miyazaki, J., and Smith, A.G. (2000). Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells. Nat Genet *24*, 372-376.

Niwa, H., Ogawa, K., Shimosato, D., and Adachi, K. (2009). A parallel circuit of LIF signalling pathways maintains pluripotency of mouse ES cells. Nature *460*, 118-122.

Okano, M., Bell, D.W., Haber, D.A., and Li, E. (1999). DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. Cell *99*, 247-257.

Paling, N.R., Wheadon, H., Bone, H.K., and Welham, M.J. (2004). Regulation of embryonic stem cell self-renewal by phosphoinositide 3-kinase-dependent signaling. J Biol Chem *279*, 48063-48070.

Palm, W., and de Lange, T. (2008). How shelterin protects mammalian telomeres. Annual review of genetics *42*, 301-334.

Park, J.I., Venteicher, A.S., Hong, J.Y., Choi, J., Jun, S., Shkreli, M., Chang, W., Meng, Z., Cheung, P., Ji, H., *et al.* (2009). Telomerase modulates Wnt signalling by association with target gene chromatin. Nature *460*, 66-72.

Paull, T.T., Rogakou, E.P., Yamazaki, V., Kirchgessner, C.U., Gellert, M., and Bonner, W.M. (2000). A critical role for histone H2AX in recruitment of repair factors to nuclear foci after DNA damage. Curr Biol *10*, 886-895.

Petrie, K., Zelent, A., and Waxman, S. (2009). Differentiation therapy of acute myeloid leukemia: past, present and future. Curr Opin Hematol *16*, 84-91.

Phillips, D.M. (1963). The presence of acetyl groups of histones. Biochem J *87*, 258-263.

Pickett, H.A., Cesare, A.J., Johnston, R.L., Neumann, A.A., and Reddel, R.R. (2009). Control of telomere length by a trimming mechanism that involves generation of t-circles. The EMBO journal *28*, 799-809.

Pickett, H.A., Henson, J.D., Au, A.Y., Neumann, A.A., and Reddel, R.R. (2011). Normal mammalian cells negatively regulate telomere length by telomere trimming. Hum Mol Genet *20*, 4684-4692.

Prowse, K.R., and Greider, C.W. (1995). Developmental and tissue-specific regulation of mouse telomerase and telomere length. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *92*, 4818-4822.

Qin, H., Yu, T., Qing, T., Liu, Y., Zhao, Y., Cai, J., Li, J., Song, Z., Qu, X., Zhou, P., *et al.* (2007). Regulation of apoptosis and differentiation by p53 in human embryonic stem cells. J Biol Chem *282*, 5842-5852.

Ramsahoye, B.H., Biniszkiewicz, D., Lyko, F., Clark, V., Bird, A.P., and Jaenisch, R. (2000). Non-CpG methylation is prevalent in embryonic stem cells and may be mediated by DNA methyltransferase 3a. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *97*, 5237-5242.

Rodda, D.J., Chew, J.L., Lim, L.H., Loh, Y.H., Wang, B., Ng, H.H., and Robson, P. (2005). Transcriptional regulation of nanog by OCT4 and SOX2. J Biol Chem *280*, 24731-24737.

Roth, A., Harley, C.B., and Baerlocher, G.M. (2010). Imetelstat (GRN163L)-telomerase-based cancer therapy. Recent Results Cancer Res *184*, 221-234.

Royle, N.J., Foxon, J., Jeyapalan, J.N., Mendez-Bermudez, A., Novo, C.L., Williams, J., and Cotton, V.E. (2008a). Telomere length maintenance--an ALTernative mechanism. Cytogenet Genome Res *122*, 281-291.

Royle, N.J., Foxon, J., Jeyapalan, J.N., Mendez-Bermudez, A., Novo, C.L., Williams, J., and Cotton, V.E. (2008b). Telomere length maintenance--an ALTernative mechanism. Cytogenet Genome Res *122*, 281-291.

Sabapathy, K., Klemm, M., Jaenisch, R., and Wagner, E.F. (1997). Regulation of ES cell differentiation by functional and conformational modulation of p53. Embo J *16*, 6217-6229.

Sansom, O.J., Reed, K.R., van de Wetering, M., Muncan, V., Winton, D.J., Clevers, H., and Clarke, A.R. (2005). Cyclin D1 is not an immediate target of beta-catenin following Apc loss in the intestine. J Biol Chem *280*, 28463-28467.

Sarin, K.Y., Cheung, P., Gilison, D., Lee, E., Tennen, R.I., Wang, E., Artandi, M.K., Oro, A.E., and Artandi, S.E. (2005). Conditional telomerase induction causes proliferation of hair follicle stem cells. Nature *436*, 1048-1052.

Savarese, F., Davila, A., Nechanitzky, R., De La Rosa-Velazquez, I., Pereira, C.F., Engelke, R., Takahashi, K., Jenuwein, T., Kohwi-Shigematsu, T., Fisher, A.G., *et al.* (2009). Satb1 and Satb2 regulate embryonic stem cell differentiation and Nanog expression. Genes Dev *23*, 2625-2638.

Saxonov, S., Berg, P., and Brutlag, D.L. (2006). A genome-wide analysis of CpG dinucleotides in the human genome distinguishes two distinct classes of promoters. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *103*, 1412-1417.

Schoeftner, S., and Blasco, M.A. (2010). Chromatin regulation and non-coding RNAs at mammalian telomeres. Semin Cell Dev Biol *21*, 186-193.

Schoeftner, S., Sengupta, A.K., Kubicek, S., Mechtler, K., Spahn, L., Koseki, H., Jenuwein, T., and Wutz, A. (2006). Recruitment of PRC1 function at the initiation of X inactivation independent of PRC2 and silencing. Embo J *25*, 3110-3122.

Selwood, L., and Johnson, M.H. (2006). Trophoblast and hypoblast in the monotreme, marsupial and eutherian mammal: evolution and origins. Bioessays *28*, 128-145.

Shay, J.W., and Wright, W.E. (2010). Telomeres and telomerase in normal and cancer stem cells. FEBS Lett *584*, 3819-3825.

Shen, X., Liu, Y., Hsu, Y.J., Fujiwara, Y., Kim, J., Mao, X., Yuan, G.C., and Orkin, S.H. (2008). EZH1 mediates methylation on histone H3 lysine 27 and complements EZH2 in maintaining stem cell identity and executing pluripotency. Molecular cell *32*, 491-502.

Shi, W., Wang, H., Pan, G., Geng, Y., Guo, Y., and Pei, D. (2006). Regulation of the pluripotency marker Rex-1 by Nanog and Sox2. J Biol Chem *281*, 23319-23325.

Silva, J., Nichols, J., Theunissen, T.W., Guo, G., van Oosten, A.L., Barrandon, O., Wray, J., Yamanaka, S., Chambers, I., and Smith, A. (2009). Nanog is the gateway to the pluripotent ground state. Cell *138*, 722-737.

Silva, J., and Smith, A. (2008). Capturing pluripotency. Cell 132, 532-536.

Singh, A.M., Hamazaki, T., Hankowski, K.E., and Terada, N. (2007). A heterogeneous expression pattern for Nanog in embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells *25*, 2534-2542.

Sinkkonen, L., Hugenschmidt, T., Berninger, P., Gaidatzis, D., Mohn, F., Artus-Revel, C.G., Zavolan, M., Svoboda, P., and Filipowicz, W. (2008). MicroRNAs control de novo DNA methylation through regulation of transcriptional repressors in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol *15*, 259-267.

Smith, Z.D., and Meissner, A. (2013). DNA methylation: roles in mammalian development. Nat Rev Genet 14, 204-220.

Smogorzewska, A., and de Lange, T. (2002). Different telomere damage signaling pathways in human and mouse cells. Embo J *21*, 4338-4348.

Softic, S., Kirby, M., Berger, N.G., Shroyer, N.F., Woods, S.C., and Kohli, R. (2012). Insulin concentration modulates hepatic lipid accumulation in mice in part via transcriptional regulation of fatty acid transport proteins. PLoS One *7*, e38952.

Stock, J.K., Giadrossi, S., Casanova, M., Brookes, E., Vidal, M., Koseki, H., Brockdorff, N., Fisher, A.G., and Pombo, A. (2007). Ring1-mediated ubiquitination of H2A restrains poised RNA polymerase II at bivalent genes in mouse ES cells. Nat Cell Biol *9*, 1428-1435.

Strong, M.A., Vidal-Cardenas, S.L., Karim, B., Yu, H., Guo, N., and Greider, C.W. (2011). Phenotypes in mTERT(+)/(-) and mTERT(-)/(-) mice are due to short telomeres, not telomere-independent functions of telomerase reverse transcriptase. Mol Cell Biol *31*, 2369-2379.

Taboski, M.A.S., Sealey, D.C.F., Dorrens, J., Tayade, C., Betts, D.H., and Harrington, L. (2012). Long telomeres bypass the requirement for long telomeres in human tumorigenesis. Cell Reports *1*, 91-98.

Takahashi, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2006). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell *126*, 663-676.

Tilman, G., Loriot, A., Van Beneden, A., Arnoult, N., Londono-Vallejo, J.A., De Smet, C., and Decottignies, A. (2009). Subtelomeric DNA hypomethylation is not required for telomeric sister chromatid exchanges in ALT cells. Oncogene *28*, 1682-1693.

Tomida, M., Yamamoto-Yamaguchi, Y., and Hozumi, M. (1984). Purification of a factor inducing differentiation of mouse myeloid leukemic M1 cells from conditioned medium of mouse fibroblast L929 cells. J Biol Chem *259*, 10978-10982.

Tsumura, A., Hayakawa, T., Kumaki, Y., Takebayashi, S., Sakaue, M., Matsuoka, C., Shimotohno, K., Ishikawa, F., Li, E., Ueda, H.R., *et al.* (2006). Maintenance of self-renewal ability of mouse embryonic stem cells in the absence of DNA

methyltransferases Dnmt1, Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b. Genes to cells : devoted to molecular & cellular mechanisms *11*, 805-814.

Tysnes, B.B. (2010). Tumor-initiating and -propagating cells: cells that we would like to identify and control. Neoplasia *12*, 506-515.

Vastenhouw, N.L., and Schier, A.F. (2012). Bivalent histone modifications in early embryogenesis. Curr Opin Cell Biol *24*, 374-386.

Vaziri, H., and Benchimol, S. (1998). Reconstitution of telomerase activity in normal human cells leads to elongation of telomeres and extended replicative life span. Curr Biol *8*, 279-282.

Vera, E., Canela, A., Fraga, M.F., Esteller, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2008). Epigenetic regulation of telomeres in human cancer. Oncogene *27*, 6817-6833.

Villasante, A., Piazzolla, D., Li, H., Gomez-Lopez, G., Djabali, M., and Serrano, M. (2011). Epigenetic regulation of Nanog expression by Ezh2 in pluripotent stem cells. Cell cycle *10*, 1488-1498.

Wang, Z., Zang, C., Cui, K., Schones, D.E., Barski, A., Peng, W., and Zhao, K. (2009). Genome-wide mapping of HATs and HDACs reveals distinct functions in active and inactive genes. Cell *138*, 1019-1031.

Watanabe, S., Umehara, H., Murayama, K., Okabe, M., Kimura, T., and Nakano, T. (2006). Activation of Akt signaling is sufficient to maintain pluripotency in mouse and primate embryonic stem cells. Oncogene *25*, 2697-2707.

Weng, N.P., Levine, B.L., June, C.H., and Hodes, R.J. (1996). Regulated expression of telomerase activity in human T lymphocyte development and activation. J Exp Med *183*, 2471-2479.

Wu, H., and Zhang, Y. (2011). Mechanisms and functions of Tet protein-mediated 5methylcytosine oxidation. Genes Dev *25*, 2436-2452.

Yeom, Y.I., Fuhrmann, G., Ovitt, C.E., Brehm, A., Ohbo, K., Gross, M., Hubner, K., and Scholer, H.R. (1996). Germline regulatory element of Oct-4 specific for the totipotent cycle of embryonal cells. Development *122*, 881-894.

Ying, Q.L., Nichols, J., Chambers, I., and Smith, A. (2003). BMP induction of Id proteins suppresses differentiation and sustains embryonic stem cell self-renewal in collaboration with STAT3. Cell *115*, 281-292.

Yu, J., Vodyanik, M.A., Smuga-Otto, K., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Frane, J.L., Tian, S., Nie, J., Jonsdottir, G.A., Ruotti, V., Stewart, R., *et al.* (2007). Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. Science *318*, 1917-1920.

Yuan, H., Corbi, N., Basilico, C., and Dailey, L. (1995). Developmental-specific activity of the FGF-4 enhancer requires the synergistic action of Sox2 and Oct-3. Genes Dev *9*, 2635-2645.

Zalzman, M., Falco, G., Sharova, L.V., Nishiyama, A., Thomas, M., Lee, S.L., Stagg, C.A., Hoang, H.G., Yang, H.T., Indig, F.E., *et al.* (2010). Zscan4 regulates telomere elongation and genomic stability in ES cells. Nature *464*, 858-863.
Zentner, G.E., and Henikoff, S. (2013). Regulation of nucleosome dynamics by histone modifications. Nat Struct Mol Biol *20*, 259-266.

Zhang, X., Mar, V., Zhou, W., Harrington, L., and Robinson, M.O. (1999). Telomere shortening and apoptosis in telomerase-inhibited human tumor cells. Genes Dev *13*, 2388-2399.

Zheng, X., and Hu, G. (2012). Oct4GiP reporter assay to study genes that regulate mouse embryonic stem cell maintenance and self-renewal. J Vis Exp.

Zhu, H., Shyh-Chang, N., Segre, A.V., Shinoda, G., Shah, S.P., Einhorn, W.S., Takeuchi, A., Engreitz, J.M., Hagan, J.P., Kharas, M.G., *et al.* (2011). The Lin28/let-7 axis regulates glucose metabolism. Cell *147*, 81-94.

Ziller, M.J., Muller, F., Liao, J., Zhang, Y., Gu, H., Bock, C., Boyle, P., Epstein, C.B., Bernstein, B.E., Lengauer, T., *et al.* (2011). Genomic distribution and intersample variation of non-CpG methylation across human cell types. PLoS Genet *7*, e1002389.



I declare to have been allowed to reproduce the following contents from Elsevier.

License number: 3159540195836.





# Short Telomeres in ESCs Lead to Unstable Differentiation

Fabio Pucci,<sup>1</sup> Laura Gardano,<sup>1</sup> and Lea Harrington<sup>1,2,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology and Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, Michael Swann Building, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, Scotland, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institut de Recherche en Immunologie et en Cancérologie, Université de Montréal, 2950 Chemin de Polytechnique, Pavillon Marcelle-Coutu, Montréal, Québec H3T 1J4, Canada

\*Correspondence: lea.harrington@umontreal.ca http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.01.018

### SUMMARY

Functional telomeres are critical for stem cell proliferation; however, whether they are equally important for the stability of stem cell differentiation is not known. We found that mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) with critically short telomeres (Tert-ESCs) initiated normal differentiation after leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) withdrawal but, unlike control ESCs, failed to maintain stable differentiation when LIF was reintroduced to the growth medium. ESCs expressed higher levels of Nanog Tert<sup>-/</sup> and, overall, had decreased genomic CpG methylation levels, which included the promoters of Oct4 and Nanog. This unstable differentiation phenotype could be rescued by telomere elongation via reintroduction of Tert, via suppression of Nanog by small hairpin RNA (shRNA) knockdown, or via enforced expression of the de novo DNA methyltransferase 3b. These results demonstrate an unexpected role of functional telomeres in the genome-wide epigenetic regulation of cell differentiation and suggest a potentially important role of telomere instability in cell fate during development or disease.

#### INTRODUCTION

Murine embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are self-renewing, pluripotent cells able to differentiate into cells of all three germ layers. Pluripotency and self-renewal are maintained primarily by the core transcriptional factors Nanog, Oct4, and Sox2 (Heng et al., 2010) but require both the cooperation of other factors and coregulators (Li, 2010) and an efficient telomere maintenance mechanism (Huang et al., 2011). In mammals, telomere maintenance is achieved via a telomerase reverse transcriptase (Tert) and an integral RNA component (Terc) that synthesize new telomeric DNA during cell proliferation. An appropriate telomere maintenance system is important for ESC replicative potential (Agarwal et al., 2010; Batista et al., 2011; Marion et al., 2009). During the reprogramming of differentiated cells into stem cells, an increase in telomerase activity leads to telomere elongation and the acquisition of epigenetic marks charac-

CrossMark

teristic of longer telomeres (Marion et al., 2009). Notably, the teratoma-forming ability of ESCs derived from late generation (G3–G4)  $Terc^{-/-}$  mice with critically short telomeres is greatly reduced (Huang et al., 2011).

#### RESULTS

#### Critically Short Telomeres in ESCs Lead to Elevated Basal Levels of Nanog

We sought to address the impact of telomere dysfunction not only upon the capacity for cell differentiation but also upon the maintenance of a differentiated state. Late-passage  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs ( $Tert^{-/-S}$ ) (Liu et al., 2000) that possessed shorter telomeres and a significant accumulation of telomere signal-free ends relative to wild-type (WT) ESCs or  $Tert^{-/-}$  cells at earlier passages (Tert<sup>-/-L</sup>) (Figures S1A-S1C available online; p < 0.0001; Fisher's exact test) were nonetheless proliferationcompetent and did not exhibit an altered doubling time, cell morphology, or cell-cycle distribution (Figures S1D and S1E; data not shown). However, Nanog messenger RNA (mRNA) and protein levels were significantly elevated (Figures 1A-1C and S1F-S1G). No difference was observed in Oct4, Sox2, and Klf4 expression (Figures 1C and S1F). To test whether the difference in Nanog expression was related to telomere dysfunction, we reintroduced WT *Tert* into late-passage *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs (*Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup>), and, after the propagation of clonal lines expressing Tert, we observed the reparation of telomere signalfree ends and a restoration of Nanog levels closer to the levels observed in WT ESCs and  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs at early passage (Figures 1A–1D, S1A–S1C, S1F, and S1G). Transient expression of Tert for 72 hr, a period of time insufficient to permit telomere extension, failed to restore Nanog to levels comparable to WT ESCs (data not shown). These data suggest that the dysregulation of Nanog in  $\mathit{Tert^{-/-S}}$  ESCs is a consequence of critically short telomeres.

ESCs that express high levels of Nanog tend to self-renew, whereas cells that express low levels of this factor tend to differentiate (Chambers et al., 2007; Savarese et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2007). Immunofluorescence analysis of  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs cultured on gelatin in leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF)-containing media revealed a significant increase in the percentage of Nanoghigh cells in comparison to WT and  $\textit{Tert}^{-/-R}$  ESCs (Figures 1A and S1G) (Savarese et al., 2009). We confirmed elevated Nanog expression in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs via fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis (Figure 1B). We also measured the



Cell Stem Cell

Short Telomeres Affect ESC Differentiation



#### Figure 1. Analysis of Pluripotency Factors in WT and Tert"- ESCs

(A) Quantification of Nanog levels normalized over DAPI (see Figure S1G for corresponding immunofluorescence images). Note a significant shift (p < 0.0001) from Nanog-low (DAPI to Nanog-488  $\geq$  1.8) to Nanog-high (DAPI to Nanog-488 < 1.5) cells in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* in comparison to WT and *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* ESCs ( $n \geq$  100 per cell population).

(B) FACS analysis of the Nanog expression profile in the same genotypes as in (A). Note the rightward shift and increase in average Nanog signal intensity in Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs. (C) Relative gene expression analyzed by qRT-PCR, normalized to *GAPDH* (n = 4). Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(0) from Nanog protein expression with LL-COR quantification below (n = 3). Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD; L, long telomeres (passage 30); S, short telomeres (passage 70); R, *Tert* rescue (70 passages, followed by clonal selection and an additional 4 passages after *Tert* reintroduction). The superscripts 1 and 2 indicate two independently generated *Tert<sup>-/-R</sup>* colonies.

(E) ChIP analysis using an antibody to H3K27me3 and H3K4me3. Relative enrichment was quantified with the use of region-specific qPCR primers for *Nanog*, *Oct4*, and *Gata6* promoters. Generic IgG was used as a control (n = 3). Data are represented as mean ± SD.\*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.001. See also Figure S1 and Table S1.

expression of other factors involved in the pluripotency regulatory network (*Rex1, Esrrb*, and *Tbx3*) (Festuccia et al., 2012; Ivanova et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2006), including pluripotency factors that negatively regulate Nanog expression (*Zlp281*) (Fidalgo et al., 2011) and lineage differentiation markers (*Cdx2*) and the endoderm markers (*Gata6* and *Gata4*) that are negatively regulated by Nanog (Singh et al., 2007). As anticipated, *Rex1, Esrrb*, and *Tbx3* mRNA levels were increased in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs, whereas *Zpf281* and *Cdx2* levels were unaffected (Figure 1C).

However, Gata6 and Gata4 were also increased (Figure 1C). Consistent with these observations, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis revealed lower levels of Nanog occupancy on the Gata6 promoter (Figure S1). Nevertheless, the recruitment of Nanog to its own promoter, which represses its own expression (Fidalgo et al., 2011), increased in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs (Figure S1I). Thus, the increased expression of *Nanog* is not a consequence of the impaired occupancy of Nanog on its own promoter.

Short Telomeres Affect ESC Differentiation

#### Perturbations in H3K27me3 Are Associated with Critically Short Telomeres

Telomere attrition is associated with the loss of certain heterochromatin markers and DNA hypomethylation at telomeric and subtelomeric regions (Benetti et al., 2007). We postulated that the increase in Nanog expression could be linked to a general dysregulation of epigenetic repression, given that low levels of trimethylation on histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3) promote Nanog and Gata6 expression (Lu et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2008; Villasante et al., 2011). H3K27me3 was reduced at Nanog and Gata6 promoters in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs, whereas H3K4me3 levels at the Nanog promoter were unaffected (Figure 1E). H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 enrichment on the Oct4 promoter was unaffected (Figure 1E). These perturbations, including a slightly increased level of global H3K27me3 in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs, were restored upon telomere elongation (Figures 1E and S1H). These changes were not accompanied by a significant alteration in the three-dimensional localization of telomere DNA or chromatin in interphase nuclei (Figure S1J). Thus, the altered expression of Nanog and Gata6 reflects changes in heterochromatin at their respective promoters independent of Nanog occupancy. Moreover, these results demonstrate that critically short telomeres also affect chromatin organization at loci distal to telomeres.

# Critically Short Telomeres Perturb the Ability of ESCs to Remain Stably Differentiated

The impact of Nanog misregulation upon differentiation was tested by treating ESCs with 5 µM all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), which was followed by the removal of ATRA and the readdition of LIF-containing media (Figure 2). Although longer ATRA treatment times were required to achieve suppression of Oct4, Nanog, and Sox2 mRNA and protein to levels comparable to WT or  $Tert^{-/-}$  ESCs with longer telomeres (Figures 2A–2D and S2),  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs nevertheless exhibited a low proliferative capacity after ATRA treatment, which was consistent with a differentiated state (Figure 2E). However, after the readdition of LIF-containing media,  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs failed to maintain repression of Nanog and exhibited robust colony formation only 6 days after the readdition of LIF-containing media (Figures 2 and S2). As an independent marker of differentiation, WT and Tert cells were transduced with an Oct4 promoter-driven green fluorescent protein (GFP) construct, treated with ATRA for 12 days, and then sorted to allow the selection of the GFP-negative population by FACS. Sorted GFP-negative cells were plated in the presence of LIF-containing media for 10 days, followed by an assessment of the percentage of GFP-positive cells. Tert cells exhibited a high percentage of GFP-positive cells after the readdition of LIF-containing media (Figure 2F). These results demonstrate that ESCs with telomere dysfunction were able to execute only an incomplete, transitory repression of pluripotency genes in response to differentiation cues.

# ESCs with Short Telomeres Exhibit DNA Hypomethylation

Critically short telomeres are associated with DNA hypomethylation at subtelomeric DNA (Benetti et al., 2007). Given that we observed chromatin alterations at loci distal to telomeres, we tested whether Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs also exhibited altered DNA methylation throughout the genome. Bisulphite-sequencing analysis

of the Nanog and Oct4 promoters revealed a significant reduction in the acquisition of methylated cytosine in Tert $^{-/-S}$  ESCs treated with ATRA relative to WT or Tert $^{-/-R}$  ESCs (p  $\leq 0.01$ and p < 0.0001, respectively; Fisher's exact test) (Figure 3A). Furthermore, Tert-/-S ESCs failed to maintain even this level of cytosine methylation after the readdition of the LIF-containing media (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.03, respectively). At both promoters. this impairment was rescued in  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs (p > 0.05; Figure 3A). Genome-wide methylation measured by an ELISAbased detection system against methylcytosine was also significantly reduced in  $\mathit{Tert^{-/-S}}$  ESCs (Figure 3B). Nonspecific epigenetic drift appeared improbable, given that WT and  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs did not exhibit these changes after a similar propagation period. Although ESCs can tolerate DNA hypomethylation without impairment of cell proliferation (Tsumura et al., 2006), hypomethylation nonetheless impairs the capability of ESCs to achieve, and maintain a differentiated state (Feldman et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2004; Sinkkonen et al., 2008). Thus, DNA hypomethylation in *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs arose in response to crit-</sup> ically short telomeres and impeded their stable differentiation.

# Restoration of Dnmt3b or Depletion of Nanog Rescue the Stable Differentiation of ESCs with Short Telomeres

We tested whether the restoration of DNA methylation might restore the differentiation capability of  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs. In mammals, genomic DNA methylation is principally regulated by three DNA methyltransferases (Dnmts): Dnmt1 (methylation maintenance) and the de novo methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b (Li et al., 1992; Okano et al., 1999). Although Dnmt1 expression was unaffected in  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs, the expression of de novo methylases was reduced (Figure 3C). Enforced expres-sion of Dnmt3b in *Tert<sup>-/-S</sup>* ESCs restored repression of Nanog (Figures 3D, 3E, and S3(A) and restored the repression of Nanog, Oct4, and Sox2 mRNA upon ATRA treatment (Figures 4A and 4B). Dnmt3b expression also led to a significant reduction in the colony formation of  $Tert^{-/-S}$  ESCs after the readdition of LIF-containing media (Figure 4C). The level of H3K27me3 at the Nanog promoter was also partially rescued in Tert-ESCs that expressed elevated Dnmt3b (Figure 4D). Consistent with a direct role of Nanog suppression in the maintenance of stable differentiation, Nanog depletion by small hairpin RNA (shRNA) was sufficient to overcome the inability of Tert-/ ESCs to remain differentiated (Figure 4C), and all genotypes transduced with Nanog shRNA exhibited a decrease in pluripotency gene expression (Figure S4). These results demonstrate that the mechanism of impaired ability to maintain stable differentiation in Tert-/-S ESCs acts via the perturbation of de novo DNA methylation, which, in turn, influences chromatin organization and the ability to repress pluripotency factors such as Nanog under differentiation conditions

#### DISCUSSION

Here, we report that critically short telomeres led to genomewide DNA hypomethylation and that changes in H3K27 trimethylation occurred at loci distal to telomeres. The trimethylation of H3K27 is mediated by the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) and is associated with ESC identity (Shen et al., 2008). H3K27me3 is one of the principal histone repression markers,





Short Telomeres Affect ESC Differentiation



Figure 2. Differentiation Analysis of Tert<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs (A) Bright field images of ESC populations at day 0 and day 6 in media containing 5 μM all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) and, after ATRA removal, an additional 6 days in LIF-containing media. The micrograph bar indicates 200 µm for bright field images and 15 µm for immunofluorescence images

(B) Nanog immunofluorescence analysis (green, Nanog; red, Actin). (C) Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub,  $\beta$ -tubulin (n = 3).

(C) Nanog protein detection by western blot, Tub, [-tubulin (n = 3), (D) QRT-CRC analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation. Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100, and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to GAPDH. (E) Single-colony formation assay after ATRA treatment (6 days) is shown, and, where indicated, the readdition of LIF-containing media (6 days) (n = 3) is shown. The difference in the incidence of colony formation between Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> [after LIF readdition] and all the other genotypes (or Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> without LIF) was statistically significant (p < 0.0001; ANOVA and related Dunnet's test comparing every group with Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> values). The y axis indicates colony number. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (F) Oct4-promoter-driven GFP expression analysis of WT and Tert<sup>-/-S</sup> values). The y axis indicates colony number. Data are represented as mean ± SD. statistically significant (p < 0.00001; Welch's unpaired t test). Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. See also Figure S2.

and its diminished enrichment on Nanog and Gata6 promoters has been linked to the upregulation of these genes (Kim et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2008; Villasante et al., 2011). Although the global level of H3K27me3 increased in  $\mathit{Tert^{-/-S}}$ ESCs similar to recent studies that associate H3K27me3 enrichment with unmethylated CpG islands, its presence at Nanog and Gata6 promoters was reduced (Lynch et al., 2012; Mendenhall et al., 2010). These data support the observation

that DNA hypomethylation leads to overall increased levels of H3K27me3 in normally methylated regions but decreased levels of H3K27me3 in ordinarily unmethylated regions (Brinkman et al., 2012). Our data suggest a model whereby telomere-shortening-induced de novo Dnmt downregulation leads to DNA hypomethylation and altered H3K27me3 enrichment at pro-moters, which, in turn, affects the ability to repress pluripotency factors critical to stable differentiation in ESCs (Figure 4E).

Short Telomeres Affect ESC Differentiation





Figure 3. Expression of DNA Methyltransferases in ESCs Lacking Tert (A) CpG methylation analysis of the Oct4 and Nanog promoters during ATRA treatment, followed by culture in LIF-containing media. Each column represents CpGs in a sequenced clone. Full dots symbolize methylated CpGs, and empty dots symbolize unmethylated CpGs. Percentage values indicate the proportion of methylated cytosine relative to total cytosine residues (n = 10). (B) Relative quantification of global DNA methylation (n = 3) is shown. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(C) Relative gene expression of Dnmt1, Dnmt3b, and Dnmt3a2 analyzed by gRT-PCR. Values were normalized to GAPDH (n = 4). Data are represented as

mean ± SD.

(D) (Top) Dnmt3b protein detection by western blot and (bottom) after LI-COR quantification (n = 3). Data are represented as mean ± SD. (E) Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub, β-tubulin (n = 5); R, Tert rescue; 3b, Dnmt3b rescue. Passage numbers are as in Figure 1.\*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.01;</p> \*\*, p < 0.0001.

See also Figure S3.

The regulation of factors that affect pluripotency and differentiation are important not only to development but also to disease. For example, pluripotency factors such as Nanog tend to be highly expressed in undifferentiated tumors and in putative cancer stem cells (Tysnes, 2010). In addition, some cancer therapies employ differentiation-inducing agents such as retinoic acid in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia (Petrie et al., 2009). Thus, it will be important to test whether critically short telomeres also influence cell fate in human cancer cells. particularly in the case of telomerase-inhibition strategies designed to instigate telomere instability.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### Cell Culture and Transfection

Cell Culture and Transfection All experiments employed two separately generated ESC lines containing a disruption of endogenous Terf, as previously described (Liu et al., 2000), ESC lines were cultured on gelatin-covered dishes and maintained in Glas-gow's Modified Eagle's Medium (GMEM; GIBCO) supplemented with

15% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.055 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-15% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.055 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-dkrich), 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM GMEM nonessential amino acids, 5,000 units/ml penicillin and streptomycin, 1,000 units/ml of recombinant LIF (Chemicon), and 1 µg/ml doxycycline and maintained at 37 C wlth 5% v/c Co<sub>2</sub>, To restore *Tert* expression to *Tert<sup>-1/2</sup>* ESCs cells at passage, we cotransfected 70, ESCs with pTRE-BI-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP-Hygro (or a similar vector lacking *Tert*) and CAG-rtTA advanced (pTET-ON advanced vector; Cohntech). For constitutive expression to *Tert*, *Tert*-*T*-ESCs were transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro or CAG-IRES-Puro. For expression to Tert, *Tert*-*T*-<sup>2</sup> ESCs were transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro or CAG-IRES-Puro. For expression to Tert, *Tert*-*T*-<sup>2</sup> ESCs were transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro are transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro. For expression to Tert, *Tert*-*T*-<sup>2</sup> ESCs were transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro are transfected with CAG-mTert-IRES-Puro. Domt3b, Tert<sup>-2-S</sup> ESCs were transfected with CAG-Dmt3b-IRES-Puro or CAG-IRES-Puro. All transfections employed Fugene 6 (Roche) in a 3:1 ratio to DNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. For Tert rescue or The bound according to the manufacture simulations, for the toscue of Damt3b reintroduction, cells were propagated for four passages under selection with hygromycin (500  $\mu$ g/ml) or puromycin (5  $\mu$ g/ml), and individual colonies were isolated. For Nanog shRNA transduction, cells were infected with commercially available lentiviral particles (Santa Cruz Biotechnobgy) and selected with puromycin (5 $\mu_d$ /m)). Cell transduction with CcH-promoter GFP was performed by infection with commercially available lentiviral particles (System Biosciences). All lentiviral infections were performed in the presence of Polybrene (5 µg/ml; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). All experiments were performed with more than one clonal isolate.

Short Telomeres Affect ESC Differentiation





#### Figure 4. Differentiation Ability of Tert<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs after Enforced Expression of Dnmt3b

(A) Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub, β-tubulin (n = 3). The first two panels on the left are reproduced from Figure 2C.

(B) qRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes upon ATRA-induced differentiation. Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to GAPDH. The first two genotypes were reproduced from Figure 2D. The time course were expressed as a fault to construct the instruction of the course of the course

growth restimulation.

See also Figure S4.

#### **Differentiation Assay**

Cell populations of the indicated genotype (1 ×  $10^5$ ) were plated in non-gelatin-covered dishes in LIF-free media containing 5  $\mu$ M ATRA (Sigma -Aldrich) for the indicated amount of time with ATRA-media replaced every 3 days. At the indicated time point, cells were replated in gelatin-covered dishes with LIF-containing media. For the single colony formation assay, a set of serial dilutions was performed, and the number of viable ES cell colonies was assessed with alkaline phosphatase (Millipore).

#### Quantitative Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization

The quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (Q-FISH) protocol was carried out as described previously (Liu et al., 2000). Metaphase spreads were captured with the use of Metafer 4 software and analyzed with Isis

484 Cell Stem Cell 12, 479-486, April 4, 2013 ©2013 Elsevier Inc.

software. Statistical analysis of telomere intensity distribution was performed with Welch's unpaired t test. The incidence of telomere signal-free ends was defined as the number of chromosome ends possessing a telomere signal (in arbitrary units) between 0 and 600, and statistical significance was assessed with Fisher's exact test (InStat 3, GraphPad).

### qRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells with the use of Triazol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was carried out with the use of  $0.5\,\mu g$  of template RNA, random hexamer primers, and smart MMLV reverse transcriptase (Clontech), Diluted complementary DNA (20×) was subjected to real-time PCR analysis using a SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche) on a LightCycler 480 system (Roche). Background values (no reverse

Short Telomeres Affect ESC Differentiation



transcriptase added) were subtracted and values were normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (n > 3). The oligos employed are listed in Table S1. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and a related Dunnett's test comparing every group with WT values.

#### **ChIP Sequencing**

ChIP experiments were performed as described in Bergmann et al., 2011, except phenol-chloroform was replaced with a Chelex, 100-based DNA isolation method described in Neison et al., 2006. Recovered DNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described in Neison et al., 2006. Recovered DNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described above. For each pair of primers, triplicate measurements were taken and normalized to input DNA and the amount of DNA recovered from the *GAPOH* promoter (n > 3). Antibodies employed were as follows: rabbit anti-Nanog (Bethyl Laboratories); mouse anti-H3K27me3 and anti-H3K4me3 (Abcam); and murine IgG (Sigma-Aldrich). Oligos employed are listed in Table 51. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and a related Dunnett's test comparing every group with WT values. In each experiment, the signal present after immunoprecipitation with IgG was defined a background and subtracted prior to normalization to input DNA and *GAPDH*.

#### Methylation Assay

Relative genomic DNA methylation was assessed with the use of the ELISAbased Imprint Methylated DNA Quantification kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with the use of 100 ng of genomic DNA per sample (n > 3).

#### **Bisulphite Sequencing Analysis**

DNA bisulphite conversion was performed as described previously (Clouaire et al., 2010). After bisulphite conversion of unmethylated cytosines to uracit, samples were resuspended in 1 × Tris-EDTA for PCR amplification. PCR products were cloned into pcDNA3.1 Directional TOPO Expression (Invitrogen) vector and colony PCR was performed. Clones (at least ten per sample) of the correct molecular mass were sequenced, and results were analyzed with BIO Analyzer (http://biq-analyzer.bioinf.mpi-inf.mpg.de). Primers employed are listed in Table S1. Statistical analysis of samples employed Fisher's exact test (two-sided) using GraphPad InStat (www.graphpad.com).

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information contains Supplemental Experimental Procedures, four figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.01.018.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A. Bird, K. Kaji, I. Stancheva, and L.H. Wong for discussion and input; C. Furlan, S. Catania, D. Kely, N. Martins, M. Robson, A. Termanis, M. Waterfall, and G. Vargiu for technical assistance; and K. Kaji for the pCAG-MKOSIE plasmid. This work was funded by Welcome Trust grants 086580 and 084637 to I. H.

Received: September 10, 2012 Revised: December 24, 2012 Accepted: January 28, 2013 Published: April 4, 2013

#### REFERENCES

Agarwal, S., Loh, Y.H., McLoughin, E.M., Huang, J., Park, I.H., Miller, J.D., Huo, H., Okuka, M., Dos Reis, R.M., Loewer, S., et al. (2010). Telomere elongation in induced pluripotent stem cells from dyskeratosis congenita patients. Nature 464, 292–296.

Batista, L.F., Pech, M.F., Zhong, F.L., Nguyen, H.N., Xie, K.T., Zaug, A.J., Crary, S.M., Choi, J., Sebastiano, V., Cherry, A., et al. (2011). Telomere shortening and loss of self-renewal in dyskeratosis congenita induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature 474, 399-402.

Benetti, R., García-Cao, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2007). Telomere length regulates the epigenetic status of mammalian telomeres and subtelomeres. Nat. Genet. 39, 243–250.

Bergmann, J.H., Rodriguez, M.G., Martins, N.M., Kimura, H., Kely, D.A., Masumoto, H., Larionov, V., Jansen, L.E., and Earnshaw, W.C. (2011). Epigenetic engineering shows H3K4me2 is required for HJURP targeting and CENP-A assembly on a synthetic human kinetochore. EMBO J. 30, 328-340.

Brinkman, A.B., Gu, H., Bartels, S.J., Zhang, Y., Matarese, F., Simmer, F., Marks, H., Bock, C., Gnirke, A., Meissner, A., and Stunnenberg, H.G. (2012). Sequential ChIP-bisulfite sequencing enables direct genome-scale investigation of chromatin and DNA methylation cross-talk. Genome Res. 22, 1128– 1138.

Chambers, I., Silva, J., Colby, D., Nichols, J., Nijmeijer, B., Robertson, M., Vrana, J., Jones, K., Grotewold, L., and Smith, A. (2007). Nanog safeguards pluripotency and mediates germline development. Nature 450, 1230–1234.

Clouaire, T., de Las Heras, J.I., Merusi, C., and Stancheva, I. (2010). Recruitment of MBD1 to target genes requires sequence-specific interaction of the MBD domain with methylated DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, 4620–4634.

Feldman, N., Gerson, A., Fang, J., Li, E., Zhang, Y., Shinkai, Y., Cedar, H., and Bergman, Y. (2006). G9a-mediated inversible epigenetic inactivation of Oct-3/4 during early embryogenesis. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 188–194.

Festuccia, N., Osomo, R., Halbritter, F., Karwacki-Neisius, V., Navarro, P., Colby, D., Wong, F., Yates, A., Tomfinson, S.R., and Chambers, I. (2012). Earth is a direct Nanog target gene that can substitute for Nanog function in pluripotent cells. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 477–490.

Fidalgo, M., Shekar, P.C., Ang, Y.S., Fujiwara, Y., Orkin, S.H., and Wang, J. (2011). Zfp281 functions as a transcriptional repressor for pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 29, 1705–1716.

Heng, J.C., Orlov, Y.L., and Ng, H.H. (2010). Transcription factors for the modulation of pluripotency and reprogramming. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 75, 237–244.

Huang, J., Wang, F., Okuka, M., Liu, N., Ji, G., Ye, X., Zuo, B., Li, M., Liang, P., Ge, W.W., et al. (2011). Association of telomere length with authentic pluripotency of ES/IPS cells. Cell Res. 21, 779–792.

Ivanova, N., Dobrin, R., Lu, R., Kotenko, I., Levorse, J., DeCoste, C., Schafer, X., Lun, Y., and Lemischka, I.R. (2006). Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference. Nature 442, 533–538.

Jackson, M., Krassowska, A., Gilbert, N., Chevassut, T., Forrester, L., Ansell, J., and Ramsahoye, B. (2004). Severe global DNA hypomethylation blocks differentiation and induces histone hyperacetylation in embryonic stem cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 8862–8871.

Kim, J., Chu, J., Shen, X., Wang, J., and Orkin, S.H. (2008). An extended transcriptional network for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells. Cell 132, 1049–1061.

Li, Y.Q. (2010). Master stem cell transcription factors and signaling regulation. Cell Reprogram 12, 3–13.

Li, E., Bestor, T.H., and Jaenisch, R. (1992). Targeted mutation of the DNA methyltransferase gene results in embryonic lethality. Cell 69, 915–926.

Liu, Y., Snow, B.E., Hande, M.P., Yeung, D., Erdmann, N.J., Wakeham, A., Itie, A., Siderovski, D.P., Lansdorp, P.M., Robinson, M.O., and Harrington, L. (2000). The telomerase reverse transcriptase is limiting and necessary for telomerase function in vivo. Curr. Biol. 10, 1459–1462.

Lu, R., Yang, A., and Jin, Y. (2011). Dual functions of T-box 3 (Tbx3) in the control of self-renewal and extraembryonic endoderm differentiation in mouse embryonic stem cells. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 8425–8436.

Lynch, M.D., Smith, A.J., De Gobbi, M., Flenley, M., Hughes, J.R., Vernimmen, D., Ayyub, H., Sharpe, J.A., Sloane-Stanley, J.A., Sutherland, L., et al. (2012). An interspecies analysis reveals a key role for unmethylated CpG dinucleotides in vertebrate Polycomb complex recruitment. EMBO J. 37, 317–329.

Marion, R.M., Strati, K., Li, H., Tejera, A., Schoeftner, S., Ortega, S., Serrano, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2009). Telomeres acquire embryonic stem cell characteristics in induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 4, 141–154.

Mendenhall, E.M., Koche, R.P., Truong, T., Zhou, V.W., Issac, B., Chi, A.S., Ku, M., and Bernstein, B.E. (2010). GC-rich sequence elements recruit PRC2 in mammalian ES cells. PLoS Genet. 6, e1001244.



Nelson, J.D., Denisenko, O., and Bomsztyk, K. (2006). Protocol for the fast chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) method. Nat. Protoc. 1, 179–185.Okano, M., Bel, D.W., Haber, D.A., and Li, E. (1999). DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt5b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. Cell 99, 247–257.

Petrie, K., Zelent, A., and Waxman, S. (2009). Differentiation therapy of acute myeloid leukemia: past, present and future. Curr. Opin. Hematol. **16**, 84–91. Savarese, F., Dávila, A., Nechanitzky, R., De La Rosa-Velazquez, I., Pereira, C.F., Engelke, R., Takahashi, K., Jenuwein, T., Kohwi-Shigematsu, T., Fisher, A.G., and Grosschedl, R. (2009). Satb 1 and Satb2 regulate embryonic stem cell differentiation and Nanog expression. Genes Dev. **32**, 2625–2638. Shen, X., Liu, Y., Hsu, Y.J., Fujiwara, Y., Kim, J., Mao, X., Yuan, G.C., and

Shen, X., Liu, Y., Hsu, Y.J., Fujiwara, Y., Kim, J., Mao, X., Yuan, G.C., and Orkin, S.H. (2008). EZH1 mediates methylation on histone H3 lysine 27 and complements EZH2 in maintaining stem cell identity and executing pluripotency. Mol. Cell 32, 491–502.

Shi, W., Wang, H., Pan, G., Geng, Y., Guo, Y., and Pei, D. (2006). Regulation of the pluripotency marker Rex-1 by Nanog and Sox2. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 23319– 23325.

Singh, A.M., Hamazaki, T., Hankowski, K.E., and Terada, N. (2007). A heterogeneous expression pattern for Nanog in embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 25, 2534–2542.

Sinkkonen, L., Hugenschmidt, T., Berninger, P., Gaidatzis, D., Mohn, F., Artus-Revel, C.G., Zavolan, M., Svoboda, P., and Filipowicz, W. (2008). MicroRNAs control de novo DNA methylation through regulation of transcriptional repressors in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *15*, 259–267.

Z39-207.
Tsumura, A., Hayakawa, T., Kumaki, Y., Takebayashi, S., Sakaue, M., Matsuoka, C., Shimotohno, K., Ishikawa, F., Li, E., Ueda, H.R., et al. (2006). Maintenance of self-renewal ability of mouse embryonic stem cells in the absence of DNA methytransferases Dnmt1, Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b. Genes Cells 11, 805-814.

Ysnes, B.B. (2010). Tumor-initiating and -propagating cells: cells that we would like to identify and control. Neoplasia 12, 506–515.

Villasante, A., Piazzolla, D., Li, H., Gomez-Lopez, G., Djabali, M., and Serrano, M. (2011). Epigenetic regulation of Nanog expression by Ezh2 in pluripotent stem cells. Cell Cycle 10, 1488–1498.

**Supplementary Data:** 

# Short Telomeres in ESCs Lead to Unstable Differentiation

Fabio Pucci, Laura Gardano, Lea Harrington

Supplemental Figures S1-S4

Supplemental Table S1

Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Supplemental References



Figure S1 (related to Fig. 1). Characterization of telomerase activity, telomere length, cell cycle profile, morphology and pluripotency gene expression in  $Tert^{/-}$  ESCs. A) Telomere repeat assay protocol (TRAP) performed on protein extracts (the equivalent of 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells) from Wt ESCs (lanes 1, 2),  $Tert^{-/-R}$  ESCs (3 independent

rescued clones; lanes 1, 2, 5, 6) untreated or after digestion with ribonuclease A (RN) and *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> ESCs (lane 7) (cells at between 67 and 74 passages); IC = internal PCRcontrol. B) Q-FISH analysis of indicated genotypes; statistical significance was analyzed by Welch's unpaired t-test; L = long telomeres (passage 30); S = shorttelomeres (passage 70);  $R = Tert^{-S}$  cells after reintroduction of Tert (passage 74, including 4 passages under hygromycin selection). The difference in the incidence of signal-free ends relative to total ends between  $Tert^{-S}$  (49/417) and  $Tert^{-L}$  (14/416) or *Tert*<sup>-/-R</sup> (4/417) was statistically significant (p < 0.00001 for each comparison, Fisher's exact test). N = number of chromosome ends; y-axis, number of events; xaxis, telomere signal intensity in arbitrary units. C) Average of mean telomere signal intensity relative to Wt. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3); Number of chromosomes per sample > 350 D) Cell cycle profile of Wt and *Tert*<sup>-/-S</sup> cells. E) Bright field image of the same samples as in (D), Micrograph bars indicate 200µm. F) Oct 4 and Nanog protein detection by western blot. β-Tubulin was used as an internal control (Tub). L = long telomeres (passage 70); S = short telomeres (passage 30); n=3 for Oct4 blot, n=10 for Nanog blot. G) Immunofluorescence analysis of Nanog expression in ESCs. Micrograph bar indicates 15µm. H) Detection of H3K27me3 and histone H3 (as a control) by western blot (n=3). I) ChIP analysis of Nanog occupancy on Nanog and Gata6 promoters (see Supplementary Experimental Procedures for details). A murine IgG antibody was used as a negative control. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3). \* = p<0.05; \*\*\* = p<0.0001. J) 3D-FISH analysis of chromatin (top) and telomere DNA distribution (bottom) in ESC nuclei (n=3). The nuclear area has been divided in 5 equal concentric zones, where 1 is the

inner and 5 the most peripheral zone. Y-axis indicates the percentage of signal in each zone. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures.



Figure S2 (related to Fig. 2). Analysis of *Nanog*, *Sox2*, and *Oct4* expression in response to all-trans retinoic acid and LIF. A) Pluripotency factor expression in *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESC with long telomeres (*Tert*<sup>-/-</sup>). (Left) Detection of Nanog and  $\beta$ -tubulin by western blot (n=3); (Right) QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation and LIF re-addition. Gene expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Sample nomenclature as indicated in Figure 1. C) QRT-PCR analysis of pluripotency genes after ATRA-induced differentiation and LIF re-addition. Gene expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression at day 0 was arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression at day 0 as arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression at day 0 as arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression at day 0 as arbitrarily set as 100 and the expression through the time course was normalized to mRNA levels at day 0. Values were expressed as a ratio to *GAPDH*.



**Figure S3** (**related to Fig. 3**). Nanog immunofluorescence analysis after ectopic expression of *Dnmt3b* in *Tert* null ESCs with short telomeres. Cells were analyzed for Nanog via immunofluorescence at d0, day 0; d6 ATRA, 6 days of treatment with ATRA; d6 LIF, a further 6 days after removal of ATRA and re-addition of LIFconditioned media. Note that the top nine panels are identical to Figure 2B, but are reproduced here because all samples were analyzed contemporaneously (n= 3). Micrograph bars indicate  $15\mu m$  (n= 3).



Figure S4 (related to Fig. 4). Knockdown of *Nanog* in *Tert* null cells A) Bright field image of shNanog and shControl transduced *Tert* null cells. B) Nanog protein detection by western blot. Tub=  $\beta$ -tubulin (n=3). C) Relative gene expression of shNanog and shControl transduced cells analyzed by QRT-PCR, normalized over GAPDH (n=3). Data are represented as mean ± SD. \* = p<0.05; \*\* = p<0.01

$Rex-1^T$	5'-CACCGACAACATGAATGAACAAAA A-	5'-CAATCTGTCTCCACCTTCAGCATT T-
ChiP	<sup>3'</sup> Fw	3' Rv
$Sox 2^{T}$	5'-TAGAGCTAGACTCCGGGCGATG A-3'	5'-TTGCCTTAAACAAGACCACGAA A-
$GAPDH^{F}$	5'-AAGCTCATGAGGCACAGAATGGT C-3'	5 <sup>3</sup> TGGGTACATGGTGACTTTCCTAGG C-
$Tbx3^{F}$	5'-TCTCCATCGTGGGGGACAT-3'	3' 5'-TTGTCGCGGCCTGGCTCCTCG-3'
$Gata6^{F}$	5'-TGACCCAGGAGGGGGGGGGGAGT-3'	5'-CCGCCACCCAGGGCAGAAGA-3'
$Zfn281^F$	5'-TGAGCCCAGGCACCCA-3'	5'-TGGAGAGGTGAAGACAAGCTGA C-
Nanog <sup>F</sup>	5'-ACTCCAAGGCTAGCGATTCA-3'	5 <sup>3</sup> -AATAGGGAGGAGGGGCGTCTA-3 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Bisulphite</b> Oct4	F.W. 5'-UTGTAAGGACAGGCCGAGAG-3'	<b>Ry</b> 5-CAGGAGGCCTTCATTTTCAA-3'
Nanog <sup>T</sup> QRT-PCR	5'-GATTTTGTAGGTGGGATTAATTGTG Fw AATTT-3'	5'-ACCAAAAAAAACCCACACTCATATC Rv AATATA-3'
Odr4 <sup>st</sup>	5'-SCOAGAACAACCIIIAAAAATGAA GG-	5'=TCCCGACTTAATTCACCAT&G-3'
Dnmtl	- 5'-TGGGCTGATGCAGGAGAAAAT-3'	-5'-GCGCTTCATGGCATTCTCCTT-3'
Dnmt3a2 <sup>Si</sup>	5'-AGGGGCTGCACCTGGCCTT-3'	5'-TCCCCCACACCAGCTCTCC-3'
Dnmt3b <sup>Si</sup>	5'-TGGGATCGAGGGCCTCAAAC-3'	5'-TTCCACAGGACAAACAGCGG-3'
Esrrb <sup>I</sup>	5'-CAGGCAAGGATGACAGACG-3'	5'-GAGACAGCACGAAGGACTGC-3'
$GAPDH^{F}$	5'-CCATCACCATCTTCCAGG-3'	5'-CCTGCTTCACCACCTTCTTG-3'
Gata4 <sup>F</sup>	5'-CTGTCATCTCACTATGGGCA-3'	5'-CCAAGTCCGAGCAGGAATTT-3'
$Gata6^{F}$	5'-TTGCTCCGGTAACAGCAGTG-3'	5'-GTGGTCGCTTGTGTAGAAGGA-3'
$Klf4^{T}$	5'-AGTGTGACAGGGCCTTTCCAGGT-3'	5'-AAGCTGACTTGCTGGGAACTTGAC C-3'
Nanog <sup>F</sup>	5'-AGGGTCTGCTACTGAGATGCTCT G-3'	5'-CAACCACTGGTTTTTCTGCCACC G- 3'
Oct4 <sup>S</sup>	5'-GGCGTTCGCTTTGGAAAGGTGTT C-3'	5'-CTCGAACCACATCCTTCTCT-3'
$Rex-1^T$	5'-CACCGACAACATGAATGAACAAAA A- 3'	5'-CAATCTGTCTCCACCTTCAGCATT T- 3'

Table S1 (related to Fig. 1). List of oligos employed in this study. Superscripts indicate first author initial (Dahl et al., 2010; Fidalgo et al., 2011; Ivanova et al., 2006; Sinkkonen et al., 2008; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Fw, forward primer; Rv, reverse primer.

## **Supplemental Experimental Procedures:**

**Telomerase activity assay.** The telomere repeat amplification protocol, TRAP, was conducted with the TRAPeze Telomerase Detection Kit, Chemicon International, according to manufacturer's instructions.

Plasmid construction. The plasmid pTRE-Bi-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP-Hygro was constructed by amplification of *Tert* cDNA by PCR and cloning it into pTRE-Tight-Bi (Clontech) following digestion with EcoRI and SalI. IRES-EGFP sequence was obtained from pCAGMKOSiE (from K.Kaji) and inserted into pTRE-Tight-Bi (following digestion with SalI and EcorV) using SalI and HpaI sites and then inserted into pTRE-Bi-*Tert* using NotI sites. Finally, the hygromycin-resistance gene was cloned by PCR into the XbaI restriction site of pTRE-Tight-Bi and pTRE-Bi-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP vectors to create pTRE-Bi-EGFP-Hygro and pTRE-Bi-*Tert*-IRES-EGFP-Hygro. The pCAG-rtTA-advanced vector was constructed by removal of the MKOS ORFs from CAGMKOSiE with EcoRI and BamHI and replacement with the advanced tetracycline reverse transactivator sequence (Clontech). The plasmid pCAG-*Dnmt3b*-IRES-puromycin vector was constructed by removal of the MKOS ORFs from CAGMKOSiE with EcoRI. *Dnmt3b* was subcloned from a *Dnmt3b* expression vector (Thermo scientific) and inserted pCAG-IRES-EGFP following

147

digestion EcoRI and Sall. IRES-EGFP was replaced with IRES-Puro (from pIRESPuro2, Clontech) after digestion with PmlI and PvuII.

**Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).** Hoechst stain (5µg/ml) was added to the cell culture and incubated for 30 minutes. Cells were harvested and resuspended in 0.5 ml of 1X PBS and analyzed for cell cycle distribution using a Becton Dickinson Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorter. After gating on the appropriate channels, the percentage of cells in G1, S, or G2/M were calculated. For FACS analysis of Nanog expression, cells were fixed and stained as indicated (Festuccia and Chambers, 2011). Cell sorting after transduction with Oct4-GFP was carried out as described in Zheng and Hu, 2012.

**Protein extraction and western blot analysis.** Histones were acid-extracted as follows: Cells were harvested and washed twice with ice cold 1X PBS. Cells were resuspended (107 cells/ml) in TEB buffer (PBS 1X, 0.5% v/v Triton X-100, 2 mM PMSF, 0.02% v/v NaN<sub>3</sub>) and left on ice for 10 minutes with gentle stirring to enhance lysis. Cells were spun at 800 xg for 10 minutes at 4°C, washed in TEB buffer, and pelleted as above. Cells were resuspended in 0.2 N HCl (4 x10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Cells were pelleted as above, and the supernatant was recovered and stored at -80°C. Protein extracts were resolved on 15% w/v SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and blocked overnight with 3% w/v BSA in 1X PBS. Rabbit anti-histone H3 (Abcam) and mouse anti H3K27me3 (Abcam) were used as primary antibodies.

For non-histone protein extraction, cells were lysed for 30 minutes on ice in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1% v/v NP-40, 0.25% w/v Na-deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin and

pepstatin, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM NaF). Cells were pelleted at 20,800 xg for 10 min at at 4°C. The supernatant was recovered and stored at -80°C. Protein extracts were resolved on 10% w/v SDS PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and blocked overnight with 5% w/v non-fat dry milk in 1X PBS. Rabbit anti-Nanog (Bethyl labs), anti-Dnmt3b (Abcam) and Dnmt1 (Abcam), goat anti-Oct4 (Santa Cruz) and mouse anti- $\beta$ -Tubulin (Sigma) were used as primary antibodies. Anti mouse and anti rabbit peroxidase-conjugated were used as secondary antibodies followed by detection with ECL Plus luminescent reagent (Amersham Biosciences) or with LI-COR in which instance the secondary antibodies employed were donkey anti-rabbit IRDye 800CW (green) and donkey anti-mouse IRDye680 (red) (Odyssey). All experiments were repeated at least three times.

**Immunofluorescence.** Cells were fixed in 4% v/v paraformaldehyde (PFA)/PBS according to manufacturer's instructions (Abcam). Rabbit anti-Nanog (Bethyl labs) and Alexa fluor® Goat anti-rabbit-488 were used as primary and secondary antibodies. Rhodamine-phalloidin (Sigma) was used to detect actin. DNA was stained with DAPI. ImageJ software was employed to define the relative fluorescence intensities of single cells (for channels 488), with DAPI fluorescence as internal control. Individual values were used for quantitative analysis of Nanog expression levels among genotypes as described (Savarese et al., 2009). Statistical analysis was performed using Welch's unpaired t-test.

**3D** Analysis of Cell Nuclei. Cells were fixed in in 4% v/v paraformaldehyde (PFA)/PBS for 15 min, then treated as described for Q-FISH analysis of telomere fluorescence. At least 25 interphase nuclei were analyzed for Wt,  $Tert^{-/-S}$  and  $Tert^{-/-L}$ . Images were acquired using a Nikon TE-2000 microscope equipped with a 1.45

149

numerical aperture 100× objective, PIFOC Z-axis focus drive (Physik Instruments), Sedat quad filter set, and CoolSnapHQ High Speed Monochrome charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics). Images were deconvolved from 0.2-µm sections using AutoquantX.

Deconvolved images were analyzed for chromosome and telomere distribution using a macro described in (Korfali et al., 2010).

## **Supplemental References:**

Abdelalim, E.M., and Tooyama, I. (2012). The p53 inhibitor, pifithrin-alpha, suppresses self-renewal of embryonic stem cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun *420*, 605-610.

Allis, C.D., Berger, S.L., Cote, J., Dent, S., Jenuwien, T., Kouzarides, T., Pillus, L., Reinberg, D., Shi, Y., Shiekhattar, R., *et al.* (2007). New nomenclature for chromatin-modifying enzymes. Cell *131*, 633-636.

Altun, G., Loring, J.F., and Laurent, L.C. (2010). DNA methylation in embryonic stem cells. J Cell Biochem *109*, 1-6.

Ambros, V., and Horvitz, H.R. (1984). Heterochronic mutants of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Science *226*, 409-416.

Atcha, F.A., Syed, A., Wu, B., Hoverter, N.P., Yokoyama, N.N., Ting, J.H., Munguia, J.E., Mangalam, H.J., Marsh, J.L., and Waterman, M.L. (2007). A unique DNA binding domain converts T-cell factors into strong Wnt effectors. Mol Cell Biol *27*, 8352-8363.

Avilion, A.A., Nicolis, S.K., Pevny, L.H., Perez, L., Vivian, N., and Lovell-Badge,R. (2003). Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. Genes Dev *17*, 126-140.

Azzalin, C.M., Reichenbach, P., Khoriauli, L., Giulotto, E., and Lingner, J. (2007). Telomeric repeat containing RNA and RNA surveillance factors at mammalian chromosome ends. Science *318*, 798-801.

Benetti, R., Garcia-Cao, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2007). Telomere length regulates the epigenetic status of mammalian telomeres and subtelomeres. Nat Genet *39*, 243-250.

Benetti, R., Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Munoz, P., Gonzalez, S., Schoeftner, S., Murchison, E., Andl, T., Chen, T., Klatt, P., *et al.* (2008). A mammalian microRNA cluster controls DNA methylation and telomere recombination via Rbl2-dependent regulation of DNA methyltransferases. Nat Struct Mol Biol *15*, 998.

Benezra, R., Davis, R.L., Lockshon, D., Turner, D.L., and Weintraub, H. (1990). The protein Id: a negative regulator of helix-loop-helix DNA binding proteins. Cell *61*, 49-59.

Bergmann, J.H., Rodriguez, M.G., Martins, N.M., Kimura, H., Kelly, D.A., Masumoto, H., Larionov, V., Jansen, L.E., and Earnshaw, W.C. (2011). Epigenetic engineering shows H3K4me2 is required for HJURP targeting and CENP-A assembly on a synthetic human kinetochore. Embo J *30*, 328-340.

Biffi, G., Tannahill, D., McCafferty, J., and Balasubramanian, S. (2013). Quantitative visualization of DNA G-quadruplex structures in human cells. Nat Chem *5*, 182-186.

Bird, A., Taggart, M., Frommer, M., Miller, O.J., and Macleod, D. (1985). A fraction of the mouse genome that is derived from islands of nonmethylated, CpG-rich DNA. Cell *40*, 91-99.

Blackburn, E.H. (2001). Switching and signaling at the telomere. Cell 106, 661-673.

Blair, K., Wray, J., and Smith, A. (2011). The liberation of embryonic stem cells. PLoS Genet 7, e1002019.

Bodnar, A.G., Ouellette, M., Frolkis, M., Holt, S.E., Chiu, C.P., Morin, G.B., Harley, C.B., Shay, J.W., Lichtsteiner, S., and Wright, W.E. (1998). Extension of life-span by introduction of telomerase into normal human cells. Science *279*, 349-352.

Bower, K., Napier, C.E., Cole, S.L., Dagg, R.A., Lau, L.M., Duncan, E.L., Moy, E.L., and Reddel, R.R. (2012). Loss of wild-type ATRX expression in somatic cell hybrids segregates with activation of Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres. PLoS One 7, e50062.

Brinkman, A.B., Gu, H., Bartels, S.J., Zhang, Y., Matarese, F., Simmer, F., Marks, H., Bock, C., Gnirke, A., Meissner, A., *et al.* (2012). Sequential ChIP-bisulfite sequencing enables direct genome-scale investigation of chromatin and DNA methylation cross-talk. Genome Res.

Bryan, T.M., Englezou, A., Gupta, J., Bacchetti, S., and Reddel, R.R. (1995). Telomere elongation in immortal human cells without detectable telomerase activity. Embo J *14*, 4240-4248.

Cesare, A.J., and Reddel, R.R. (2010). Alternative lengthening of telomeres: models, mechanisms and implications. Nat Rev Genet *11*, 319-330.

Chambers, I., Colby, D., Robertson, M., Nichols, J., Lee, S., Tweedie, S., and Smith, A. (2003). Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. Cell *113*, 643-655.

Chambers, I., Silva, J., Colby, D., Nichols, J., Nijmeijer, B., Robertson, M., Vrana, J., Jones, K., Grotewold, L., and Smith, A. (2007). Nanog safeguards pluripotency and mediates germline development. Nature *450*, 1230-1234.

Chin, L., Artandi, S.E., Shen, Q., Tam, A., Lee, S.L., Gottlieb, G.J., Greider, C.W., and DePinho, R.A. (1999). p53 deficiency rescues the adverse effects of telomere loss and cooperates with telomere dysfunction to accelerate carcinogenesis. Cell *97*, 527-538.

Chiodi, I., Belgiovine, C., Zongaro, S., Ricotti, R., Horard, B., Lossani, A., Focher,F., Gilson, E., Giulotto, E., and Mondello, C. (2013). Super-telomeres in transformed human fibroblasts. Biochim Biophys Acta.

Choi, J., Southworth, L.K., Sarin, K.Y., Venteicher, A.S., Ma, W., Chang, W., Cheung, P., Jun, S., Artandi, M.K., Shah, N., *et al.* (2008). TERT promotes epithelial proliferation through transcriptional control of a Myc- and Wnt-related developmental program. PLoS Genet *4*, e10.

Clouaire, T., de Las Heras, J.I., Merusi, C., and Stancheva, I. (2010). Recruitment of MBD1 to target genes requires sequence-specific interaction of the MBD domain with methylated DNA. Nucleic Acids Res *38*, 4620-4634.

Costa, Y., Ding, J., Theunissen, T.W., Faiola, F., Hore, T.A., Shliaha, P.V., Fidalgo, M., Saunders, A., Lawrence, M., Dietmann, S., *et al.* (2013). NANOG-dependent function of TET1 and TET2 in establishment of pluripotency. Nature *495*, 370-374.

Cross, S.H., Charlton, J.A., Nan, X., and Bird, A.P. (1994). Purification of CpG islands using a methylated DNA binding column. Nat Genet *6*, 236-244.

Dahl, J.A., Reiner, A.H., Klungland, A., Wakayama, T., and Collas, P. (2010). Histone H3 lysine 27 methylation asymmetry on developmentally-regulated promoters distinguish the first two lineages in mouse preimplantation embryos. PLoS One *5*, e9150.

Deaton, A.M., and Bird, A. (2011). CpG islands and the regulation of transcription. Genes Dev 25, 1010-1022.

Dostie, J., and Bickmore, W.A. (2012). Chromosome organization in the nucleus - charting new territory across the Hi-Cs. Curr Opin Genet Dev *22*, 125-131.

Dunham, M.A., Neumann, A.A., Fasching, C.L., and Reddel, R.R. (2000). Telomere maintenance by recombination in human cells. Nat Genet *26*, 447-450.

Evans, M.J., and Kaufman, M.H. (1981). Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. Nature *292*, 154-156.

Feldman, N., Gerson, A., Fang, J., Li, E., Zhang, Y., Shinkai, Y., Cedar, H., and Bergman, Y. (2006). G9a-mediated irreversible epigenetic inactivation of Oct-3/4 during early embryogenesis. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 188-194.

Festuccia, N., and Chambers, I. (2011). Quantification of pluripotency transcription factor levels in embryonic stem cells by flow cytometry. Curr Protoc Stem Cell Biol *Chapter 1*, Unit 1B 9.

Festuccia, N., Osorno, R., Halbritter, F., Karwacki-Neisius, V., Navarro, P., Colby, D., Wong, F., Yates, A., Tomlinson, S.R., and Chambers, I. (2012). Esrrb is a direct Nanog target gene that can substitute for Nanog function in pluripotent cells. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 477-490.

Fidalgo, M., Shekar, P.C., Ang, Y.S., Fujiwara, Y., Orkin, S.H., and Wang, J. (2011). Zfp281 functions as a transcriptional repressor for pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells *29*, 1705-1716.

Fisher, C.L., and Fisher, A.G. (2011). Chromatin states in pluripotent, differentiated, and reprogrammed cells. Curr Opin Genet Dev *21*, 140-146.

Folini, M., Venturini, L., Cimino-Reale, G., and Zaffaroni, N. (2011). Telomeres as targets for anticancer therapies. Expert Opin Ther Targets *15*, 579-593.

Folmes, C.D., Dzeja, P.P., Nelson, T.J., and Terzic, A. (2012). Metabolic plasticity in stem cell homeostasis and differentiation. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 596-606.

Friel, R., van der Sar, S., and Mee, P.J. (2005). Embryonic stem cells: understanding their history, cell biology and signalling. Adv Drug Deliv Rev *57*, 1894-1903.

Gao, Y., Chen, J., Li, K., Wu, T., Huang, B., Liu, W., Kou, X., Zhang, Y., Huang, H., Jiang, Y., *et al.* (2013). Replacement of Oct4 by Tet1 during iPSC induction reveals an important role of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in reprogramming. Cell Stem Cell *12*, 453-469.

Gardner, R.L., and Beddington, R.S. (1988). Multi-lineage 'stem' cells in the mammalian embryo. J Cell Sci Suppl *10*, 11-27.

Geserick, C., and Blasco, M.A. (2006). Novel roles for telomerase in aging. Mech Ageing Dev *127*, 579-583.

Gonzalo, S., and Blasco, M.A. (2005). Role of Rb family in the epigenetic definition of chromatin. Cell Cycle *4*, 752-755.

Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Fraga, M.F., Chen, T., Li, E., Esteller, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2006a). DNA methyltransferases control telomere length and telomere recombination in mammalian cells. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 416-424.

Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Fraga, M.F., Chen, T., Li, E., Esteller, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2006b). DNA methyltransferases control telomere length and telomere recombination in mammalian cells. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 416-424.

Granger, M.P., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (2002). Telomerase in cancer and aging. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol *41*, 29-40.

Greider, C.W., and Blackburn, E.H. (1985). Identification of a specific telomere terminal transferase activity in Tetrahymena extracts. Cell *43*, 405-413.

Grinnell, K.L., Yang, B., Eckert, R.L., and Bickenbach, J.R. (2007). Dedifferentiation of mouse interfollicular keratinocytes by the embryonic transcription factor Oct-4. J Invest Dermatol *127*, 372-380.

Guo, N., Parry, E.M., Li, L.S., Kembou, F., Lauder, N., Hussain, M.A., Berggren, P.O., and Armanios, M. (2011). Short telomeres compromise beta-cell signaling and survival. PLoS One *6*, e17858.

Hahn, W.C., Stewart, S.A., Brooks, M.W., York, S.G., Eaton, E., Kurachi, A.,Beijersbergen, R.L., Knoll, J.H., Meyerson, M., and Weinberg, R.A. (1999).Inhibition of telomerase limits the growth of human cancer cells. Nat Med *5*, 1164-1170.

Hata, K., Okano, M., Lei, H., and Li, E. (2002). Dnmt3L cooperates with the Dnmt3 family of de novo DNA methyltransferases to establish maternal imprints in mice. Development *129*, 1983-1993.

Hayflick, L., and Moorhead, P.S. (1961). The serial cultivation of human diploid cell strains. Exp Cell Res *25*, 585-621.

Heard, E. (2004). Recent advances in X-chromosome inactivation. Curr Opin Cell Biol *16*, 247-255.

Heng, J.C., Orlov, Y.L., and Ng, H.H. (2010). Transcription factors for the modulation of pluripotency and reprogramming. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol *75*, 237-244.

Hillman, N., Sherman, M.I., and Graham, C. (1972). The effect of spatial arrangement on cell determination during mouse development. J Embryol Exp Morphol *28*, 263-278.

Hirai, H., Karian, P., and Kikyo, N. (2011). Regulation of embryonic stem cell selfrenewal and pluripotency by leukaemia inhibitory factor. Biochem J *438*, 11-23.

Hirashima, K., Migita, T., Sato, S., Muramatsu, Y., Ishikawa, Y., and Seimiya, H.(2013). Telomere length influences cancer cell differentiation in vivo. Mol Cell Biol.

Hockemeyer, D., Daniels, J.P., Takai, H., and de Lange, T. (2006). Recent expansion of the telomeric complex in rodents: Two distinct POT1 proteins protect mouse telomeres. Cell *126*, 63-77.

Huang, J., Wang, F., Okuka, M., Liu, N., Ji, G., Ye, X., Zuo, B., Li, M., Liang, P., Ge, W.W., *et al.* (2011). Association of telomere length with authentic pluripotency of ES/iPS cells. Cell research *21*, 779-792.

Ito, S., D'Alessio, A.C., Taranova, O.V., Hong, K., Sowers, L.C., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Role of Tet proteins in 5mC to 5hmC conversion, ES-cell self-renewal and inner cell mass specification. Nature *466*, 1129-1133.

Ivanova, N., Dobrin, R., Lu, R., Kotenko, I., Levorse, J., DeCoste, C., Schafer, X., Lun, Y., and Lemischka, I.R. (2006). Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference. Nature *442*, 533-538. Jackson, M., Krassowska, A., Gilbert, N., Chevassut, T., Forrester, L., Ansell, J., and Ramsahoye, B. (2004). Severe global DNA hypomethylation blocks differentiation and induces histone hyperacetylation in embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol *24*, 8862-8871.

Jia, W., Wang, S., Horner, J.W., Wang, N., Wang, H., Gunther, E.J., DePinho, R.A., and Zhu, J. (2011). A BAC transgenic reporter recapitulates in vivo regulation of human telomerase reverse transcriptase in development and tumorigenesis. Faseb J *25*, 979-989.

Jiang, H., Ju, Z., and Rudolph, K.L. (2007). Telomere shortening and ageing. Z Gerontol Geriatr *40*, 314-324.

Karwacki-Neisius, V., Goke, J., Osorno, R., Halbritter, F., Ng, J.H., Weisse, A.Y., Wong, F.C., Gagliardi, A., Mullin, N.P., Festuccia, N., *et al.* (2013). Reduced oct4 expression directs a robust pluripotent state with distinct signaling activity and increased enhancer occupancy by oct4 and nanog. Cell Stem Cell *12*, 531-545.

Kawamura, T., Suzuki, J., Wang, Y.V., Menendez, S., Morera, L.B., Raya, A., Wahl, G.M., and Izpisua Belmonte, J.C. (2009). Linking the p53 tumour suppressor pathway to somatic cell reprogramming. Nature *460*, 1140-1144.

Kim, N.W., Piatyszek, M.A., Prowse, K.R., Harley, C.B., West, M.D., Ho, P.L., Coviello, G.M., Wright, W.E., Weinrich, S.L., and Shay, J.W. (1994). Specific association of human telomerase activity with immortal cells and cancer. Science *266*, 2011-2015.

Kipling, D., and Cooke, H.J. (1990). Hypervariable ultra-long telomeres in mice. Nature *347*, 400-402.

Klose, R.J., and Bird, A.P. (2006). Genomic DNA methylation: the mark and its mediators. Trends Biochem Sci *31*, 89-97.

Koche, R.P., Smith, Z.D., Adli, M., Gu, H., Ku, M., Gnirke, A., Bernstein, B.E., and Meissner, A. (2011). Reprogramming factor expression initiates widespread targeted chromatin remodeling. Cell Stem Cell *8*, 96-105.

Komarov, P.G., Komarova, E.A., Kondratov, R.V., Christov-Tselkov, K., Coon, J.S., Chernov, M.V., and Gudkov, A.V. (1999). A chemical inhibitor of p53 that protects mice from the side effects of cancer therapy. Science *285*, 1733-1737.

Kopp, J.L., Ormsbee, B.D., Desler, M., and Rizzino, A. (2008). Small increases in the level of Sox2 trigger the differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells *26*, 903-911.

Korfali, N., Wilkie, G.S., Swanson, S.K., Srsen, V., Batrakou, D.G., Fairley, E.A., Malik, P., Zuleger, N., Goncharevich, A., de Las Heras, J., *et al.* (2010). The leukocyte nuclear envelope proteome varies with cell activation and contains novel transmembrane proteins that affect genome architecture. Mol Cell Proteomics *9*, 2571-2585.

Kunath, T., Saba-El-Leil, M.K., Almousailleakh, M., Wray, J., Meloche, S., and Smith, A. (2007). FGF stimulation of the Erk1/2 signalling cascade triggers transition of pluripotent embryonic stem cells from self-renewal to lineage commitment. Development *134*, 2895-2902.

Lafferty-Whyte, K., Cairney, C.J., Will, M.B., Serakinci, N., Daidone, M.G., Zaffaroni, N., Bilsland, A., and Keith, W.N. (2009). A gene expression signature classifying telomerase and ALT immortalization reveals an hTERT regulatory network and suggests a mesenchymal stem cell origin for ALT. Oncogene *28*, 3765-3774.

Lee, J., Sung, Y.H., Cheong, C., Choi, Y.S., Jeon, H.K., Sun, W., Hahn, W.C., Ishikawa, F., and Lee, H.W. (2008). TERT promotes cellular and organismal survival independently of telomerase activity. Oncogene *27*, 3754-3760.

Lee, K.H., Li, M., Michalowski, A.M., Zhang, X., Liao, H., Chen, L., Xu, Y., Wu, X., and Huang, J. (2010). A genomewide study identifies the Wnt signaling pathway as a major target of p53 in murine embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *107*, 69-74.

Li, E., Bestor, T.H., and Jaenisch, R. (1992). Targeted mutation of the DNA methyltransferase gene results in embryonic lethality. Cell *69*, 915-926.

Li, Y.Q. (2010). Master stem cell transcription factors and signaling regulation. Cellular reprogramming *12*, 3-13.

Lin, T., Chao, C., Saito, S., Mazur, S.J., Murphy, M.E., Appella, E., and Xu, Y. (2005). p53 induces differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells by suppressing Nanog expression. Nat Cell Biol *7*, 165-171.

Liu, L., Bailey, S.M., Okuka, M., Munoz, P., Li, C., Zhou, L., Wu, C., Czerwiec, E., Sandler, L., Seyfang, A., *et al.* (2007). Telomere lengthening early in development. Nat Cell Biol *9*, 1436-1441.

Liu, Y., Snow, B.E., Hande, M.P., Yeung, D., Erdmann, N.J., Wakeham, A., Itie, A., Siderovski, D.P., Lansdorp, P.M., Robinson, M.O., *et al.* (2000). The telomerase reverse transcriptase is limiting and necessary for telomerase function in vivo. Curr Biol *10*, 1459-1462.

Loh, Y.H., Wu, Q., Chew, J.L., Vega, V.B., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Bourque, G., George, J., Leong, B., Liu, J., *et al.* (2006). The Oct4 and Nanog transcription network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat Genet *38*, 431-440.

Lu, R., Yang, A., and Jin, Y. (2011). Dual functions of T-box 3 (Tbx3) in the control of self-renewal and extraembryonic endoderm differentiation in mouse embryonic stem cells. J Biol Chem *286*, 8425-8436.

Luke, B., and Lingner, J. (2009). TERRA: telomeric repeat-containing RNA. Embo J 28, 2503-2510.

Lynch, M.D., Smith, A.J., De Gobbi, M., Flenley, M., Hughes, J.R., Vernimmen, D., Ayyub, H., Sharpe, J.A., Sloane-Stanley, J.A., Sutherland, L., *et al.* (2012). An interspecies analysis reveals a key role for unmethylated CpG dinucleotides in vertebrate Polycomb complex recruitment. Embo J *31*, 317-329. MacDonald, B.T., Tamai, K., and He, X. (2009). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Dev Cell *17*, 9-26.

Mak, W., Nesterova, T.B., de Napoles, M., Appanah, R., Yamanaka, S., Otte, A.P., and Brockdorff, N. (2004). Reactivation of the paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. Science *303*, 666-669.

Margueron, R., and Reinberg, D. (2011). The Polycomb complex PRC2 and its mark in life. Nature *469*, 343-349.

Marion, R.M., Strati, K., Li, H., Murga, M., Blanco, R., Ortega, S., Fernandez-Capetillo, O., Serrano, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2009a). A p53-mediated DNA damage response limits reprogramming to ensure iPS cell genomic integrity. Nature *460*, 1149-1153.

Marion, R.M., Strati, K., Li, H., Tejera, A., Schoeftner, S., Ortega, S., Serrano, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2009b). Telomeres acquire embryonic stem cell characteristics in induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell *4*, 141-154.

Martello, G., Sugimoto, T., Diamanti, E., Joshi, A., Hannah, R., Ohtsuka, S., Gottgens, B., Niwa, H., and Smith, A. (2012). Esrrb is a pivotal target of the Gsk3/Tcf3 axis regulating embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Cell Stem Cell *11*, 491-504.

Martin, A.M., Pouchnik, D.J., Walker, J.L., and Wyrick, J.J. (2004). Redundant roles for histone H3 N-terminal lysine residues in subtelomeric gene repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *167*, 1123-1132.

Martin, G.R. (1980). Teratocarcinomas and mammalian embryogenesis. Science *209*, 768-776.

Martin, G.R. (1981). Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78, 7634-7638.

Martin, G.R., and Evans, M.J. (1975). Differentiation of clonal lines of teratocarcinoma cells: formation of embryoid bodies in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 72, 1441-1445.

Martinez, P., Thanasoula, M., Carlos, A.R., Gomez-Lopez, G., Tejera, A.M., Schoeftner, S., Dominguez, O., Pisano, D.G., Tarsounas, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2010). Mammalian Rap1 controls telomere function and gene expression through binding to telomeric and extratelomeric sites. Nat Cell Biol *12*, 768-780.

Matsuda, T., Nakamura, T., Nakao, K., Arai, T., Katsuki, M., Heike, T., and Yokota, T. (1999). STAT3 activation is sufficient to maintain an undifferentiated state of mouse embryonic stem cells. Embo J *18*, 4261-4269.

Matsuo, T., Shimose, S., Kubo, T., Fujimori, J., Yasunaga, Y., and Ochi, M. (2009). Telomeres and telomerase in sarcomas. Anticancer Res *29*, 3833-3836.

Mendenhall, E.M., Koche, R.P., Truong, T., Zhou, V.W., Issac, B., Chi, A.S., Ku, M., and Bernstein, B.E. (2010). GC-rich sequence elements recruit PRC2 in mammalian ES cells. PLoS Genet *6*, e1001244.

Messner, S., and Hottiger, M.O. (2011). Histone ADP-ribosylation in DNA repair, replication and transcription. Trends Cell Biol *21*, 534-542.

Migone, T.S., Rodig, S., Cacalano, N.A., Berg, M., Schreiber, R.D., and Leonard, W.J. (1998). Functional cooperation of the interleukin-2 receptor beta chain and Jak1 in phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase recruitment and phosphorylation. Mol Cell Biol *18*, 6416-6422.

Mikkelsen, T.S., Hanna, J., Zhang, X., Ku, M., Wernig, M., Schorderet, P., Bernstein, B.E., Jaenisch, R., Lander, E.S., and Meissner, A. (2008). Dissecting direct reprogramming through integrative genomic analysis. Nature *454*, 49-55.

Moss, E.G., and Tang, L. (2003). Conservation of the heterochronic regulator Lin-28, its developmental expression and microRNA complementary sites. Dev Biol *258*, 432-442. Murnane, J.P., Sabatier, L., Marder, B.A., and Morgan, W.F. (1994). Telomere dynamics in an immortal human cell line. Embo J *13*, 4953-4962.

Nakatake, Y., Fukui, N., Iwamatsu, Y., Masui, S., Takahashi, K., Yagi, R., Yagi, K., Miyazaki, J., Matoba, R., Ko, M.S., *et al.* (2006). Klf4 cooperates with Oct3/4 and Sox2 to activate the Lefty1 core promoter in embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol *26*, 7772-7782.

Navarro, P., Festuccia, N., Colby, D., Gagliardi, A., Mullin, N.P., Zhang, W., Karwacki-Neisius, V., Osorno, R., Kelly, D., Robertson, M., *et al.* (2012). OCT4/SOX2-independent Nanog autorepression modulates heterogeneous Nanog gene expression in mouse ES cells. Embo J.

Nelson, J.D., Denisenko, O., and Bomsztyk, K. (2006). Protocol for the fast chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) method. Nature protocols *1*, 179-185.

Neumann, A.A., Watson, C.M., Noble, J.R., Pickett, H.A., Tam, P.P.L., and Reddel, R.R. (2013). Alternative lengthening of telomeres in normal mammalian somatic cells. Genes Dev *27*, 18-23.

Nichols, J., and Smith, A. (2009). Naive and primed pluripotent states. Cell Stem Cell *4*, 487-492.

Nichols, J., Zevnik, B., Anastassiadis, K., Niwa, H., Klewe-Nebenius, D., Chambers, I., Scholer, H., and Smith, A. (1998). Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4. Cell *95*, 379-391.

Nishinakamura, R., Matsumoto, Y., Matsuda, T., Ariizumi, T., Heike, T., Asashima, M., and Yokota, T. (1999). Activation of Stat3 by cytokine receptor gp130 ventralizes Xenopus embryos independent of BMP-4. Dev Biol *216*, 481-490.

Niwa, H. (2007). How is pluripotency determined and maintained? Development *134*, 635-646.

Niwa, H., Miyazaki, J., and Smith, A.G. (2000). Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells. Nat Genet *24*, 372-376.

Niwa, H., Ogawa, K., Shimosato, D., and Adachi, K. (2009). A parallel circuit of LIF signalling pathways maintains pluripotency of mouse ES cells. Nature *460*, 118-122.

Okano, M., Bell, D.W., Haber, D.A., and Li, E. (1999). DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. Cell *99*, 247-257.

Paling, N.R., Wheadon, H., Bone, H.K., and Welham, M.J. (2004). Regulation of embryonic stem cell self-renewal by phosphoinositide 3-kinase-dependent signaling. J Biol Chem *279*, 48063-48070.

Palm, W., and de Lange, T. (2008). How shelterin protects mammalian telomeres. Annual review of genetics *42*, 301-334.

Park, J.I., Venteicher, A.S., Hong, J.Y., Choi, J., Jun, S., Shkreli, M., Chang, W., Meng, Z., Cheung, P., Ji, H., *et al.* (2009). Telomerase modulates Wnt signalling by association with target gene chromatin. Nature *460*, 66-72.

Paull, T.T., Rogakou, E.P., Yamazaki, V., Kirchgessner, C.U., Gellert, M., and Bonner, W.M. (2000). A critical role for histone H2AX in recruitment of repair factors to nuclear foci after DNA damage. Curr Biol *10*, 886-895.

Petrie, K., Zelent, A., and Waxman, S. (2009). Differentiation therapy of acute myeloid leukemia: past, present and future. Curr Opin Hematol *16*, 84-91.

Phillips, D.M. (1963). The presence of acetyl groups of histones. Biochem J 87, 258-263.

Pickett, H.A., Cesare, A.J., Johnston, R.L., Neumann, A.A., and Reddel, R.R. (2009). Control of telomere length by a trimming mechanism that involves generation of t-circles. The EMBO journal *28*, 799-809.
Pickett, H.A., Henson, J.D., Au, A.Y., Neumann, A.A., and Reddel, R.R. (2011). Normal mammalian cells negatively regulate telomere length by telomere trimming. Hum Mol Genet *20*, 4684-4692.

Prowse, K.R., and Greider, C.W. (1995). Developmental and tissue-specific regulation of mouse telomerase and telomere length. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *92*, 4818-4822.

Qin, H., Yu, T., Qing, T., Liu, Y., Zhao, Y., Cai, J., Li, J., Song, Z., Qu, X., Zhou, P., *et al.* (2007). Regulation of apoptosis and differentiation by p53 in human embryonic stem cells. J Biol Chem *282*, 5842-5852.

Ramsahoye, B.H., Biniszkiewicz, D., Lyko, F., Clark, V., Bird, A.P., and Jaenisch, R. (2000). Non-CpG methylation is prevalent in embryonic stem cells and may be mediated by DNA methyltransferase 3a. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *97*, 5237-5242.

Rodda, D.J., Chew, J.L., Lim, L.H., Loh, Y.H., Wang, B., Ng, H.H., and Robson, P. (2005). Transcriptional regulation of nanog by OCT4 and SOX2. J Biol Chem *280*, 24731-24737.

Roth, A., Harley, C.B., and Baerlocher, G.M. (2010). Imetelstat (GRN163L)-telomerase-based cancer therapy. Recent Results Cancer Res *184*, 221-234.

Royle, N.J., Foxon, J., Jeyapalan, J.N., Mendez-Bermudez, A., Novo, C.L., Williams, J., and Cotton, V.E. (2008a). Telomere length maintenance--an ALTernative mechanism. Cytogenet Genome Res *122*, 281-291.

Royle, N.J., Foxon, J., Jeyapalan, J.N., Mendez-Bermudez, A., Novo, C.L., Williams, J., and Cotton, V.E. (2008b). Telomere length maintenance--an ALTernative mechanism. Cytogenet Genome Res *122*, 281-291.

Sabapathy, K., Klemm, M., Jaenisch, R., and Wagner, E.F. (1997). Regulation of ES cell differentiation by functional and conformational modulation of p53. Embo J *16*, 6217-6229.

Sansom, O.J., Reed, K.R., van de Wetering, M., Muncan, V., Winton, D.J., Clevers, H., and Clarke, A.R. (2005). Cyclin D1 is not an immediate target of beta-catenin following Apc loss in the intestine. J Biol Chem *280*, 28463-28467.

Sarin, K.Y., Cheung, P., Gilison, D., Lee, E., Tennen, R.I., Wang, E., Artandi, M.K., Oro, A.E., and Artandi, S.E. (2005). Conditional telomerase induction causes proliferation of hair follicle stem cells. Nature *436*, 1048-1052.

Savarese, F., Davila, A., Nechanitzky, R., De La Rosa-Velazquez, I., Pereira, C.F., Engelke, R., Takahashi, K., Jenuwein, T., Kohwi-Shigematsu, T., Fisher, A.G., *et al.* (2009). Satb1 and Satb2 regulate embryonic stem cell differentiation and Nanog expression. Genes Dev *23*, 2625-2638.

Saxonov, S., Berg, P., and Brutlag, D.L. (2006). A genome-wide analysis of CpG dinucleotides in the human genome distinguishes two distinct classes of promoters. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *103*, 1412-1417.

Schoeftner, S., and Blasco, M.A. (2010). Chromatin regulation and non-coding RNAs at mammalian telomeres. Semin Cell Dev Biol *21*, 186-193.

Schoeftner, S., Sengupta, A.K., Kubicek, S., Mechtler, K., Spahn, L., Koseki, H., Jenuwein, T., and Wutz, A. (2006). Recruitment of PRC1 function at the initiation of X inactivation independent of PRC2 and silencing. Embo J *25*, 3110-3122.

Selwood, L., and Johnson, M.H. (2006). Trophoblast and hypoblast in the monotreme, marsupial and eutherian mammal: evolution and origins. Bioessays *28*, 128-145.

Shay, J.W., and Wright, W.E. (2010). Telomeres and telomerase in normal and cancer stem cells. FEBS Lett *584*, 3819-3825.

Shen, X., Liu, Y., Hsu, Y.J., Fujiwara, Y., Kim, J., Mao, X., Yuan, G.C., and Orkin, S.H. (2008). EZH1 mediates methylation on histone H3 lysine 27 and complements EZH2 in maintaining stem cell identity and executing pluripotency. Molecular cell *32*, 491-502.

Shi, W., Wang, H., Pan, G., Geng, Y., Guo, Y., and Pei, D. (2006). Regulation of the pluripotency marker Rex-1 by Nanog and Sox2. J Biol Chem *281*, 23319-23325.

Silva, J., Nichols, J., Theunissen, T.W., Guo, G., van Oosten, A.L., Barrandon, O., Wray, J., Yamanaka, S., Chambers, I., and Smith, A. (2009). Nanog is the gateway to the pluripotent ground state. Cell *138*, 722-737.

Silva, J., and Smith, A. (2008). Capturing pluripotency. Cell 132, 532-536.

Singh, A.M., Hamazaki, T., Hankowski, K.E., and Terada, N. (2007). A heterogeneous expression pattern for Nanog in embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells *25*, 2534-2542.

Sinkkonen, L., Hugenschmidt, T., Berninger, P., Gaidatzis, D., Mohn, F., Artus-Revel, C.G., Zavolan, M., Svoboda, P., and Filipowicz, W. (2008). MicroRNAs control de novo DNA methylation through regulation of transcriptional repressors in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol *15*, 259-267.

Smith, Z.D., and Meissner, A. (2013). DNA methylation: roles in mammalian development. Nat Rev Genet *14*, 204-220.

Smogorzewska, A., and de Lange, T. (2002). Different telomere damage signaling pathways in human and mouse cells. Embo J *21*, 4338-4348.

Softic, S., Kirby, M., Berger, N.G., Shroyer, N.F., Woods, S.C., and Kohli, R. (2012). Insulin concentration modulates hepatic lipid accumulation in mice in part via transcriptional regulation of fatty acid transport proteins. PLoS One *7*, e38952.

Stock, J.K., Giadrossi, S., Casanova, M., Brookes, E., Vidal, M., Koseki, H., Brockdorff, N., Fisher, A.G., and Pombo, A. (2007). Ring1-mediated ubiquitination of H2A restrains poised RNA polymerase II at bivalent genes in mouse ES cells. Nat Cell Biol *9*, 1428-1435.

Strong, M.A., Vidal-Cardenas, S.L., Karim, B., Yu, H., Guo, N., and Greider, C.W. (2011). Phenotypes in mTERT(+)/(-) and mTERT(-)/(-) mice are due to short

telomeres, not telomere-independent functions of telomerase reverse transcriptase. Mol Cell Biol *31*, 2369-2379.

Taboski, M.A.S., Sealey, D.C.F., Dorrens, J., Tayade, C., Betts, D.H., and Harrington, L. (2012). Long telomeres bypass the requirement for long telomeres in human tumorigenesis. Cell Reports *1*, 91-98.

Takahashi, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2006). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell *126*, 663-676.

Tilman, G., Loriot, A., Van Beneden, A., Arnoult, N., Londono-Vallejo, J.A., De Smet, C., and Decottignies, A. (2009). Subtelomeric DNA hypomethylation is not required for telomeric sister chromatid exchanges in ALT cells. Oncogene *28*, 1682-1693.

Tomida, M., Yamamoto-Yamaguchi, Y., and Hozumi, M. (1984). Purification of a factor inducing differentiation of mouse myeloid leukemic M1 cells from conditioned medium of mouse fibroblast L929 cells. J Biol Chem *259*, 10978-10982.

Tsumura, A., Hayakawa, T., Kumaki, Y., Takebayashi, S., Sakaue, M., Matsuoka, C., Shimotohno, K., Ishikawa, F., Li, E., Ueda, H.R., *et al.* (2006). Maintenance of self-renewal ability of mouse embryonic stem cells in the absence of DNA methyltransferases Dnmt1, Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b. Genes to cells : devoted to molecular & cellular mechanisms *11*, 805-814.

Tysnes, B.B. (2010). Tumor-initiating and -propagating cells: cells that we would like to identify and control. Neoplasia *12*, 506-515.

Vastenhouw, N.L., and Schier, A.F. (2012). Bivalent histone modifications in early embryogenesis. Curr Opin Cell Biol *24*, 374-386.

Vaziri, H., and Benchimol, S. (1998). Reconstitution of telomerase activity in normal human cells leads to elongation of telomeres and extended replicative life span. Curr Biol *8*, 279-282.

Vera, E., Canela, A., Fraga, M.F., Esteller, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2008). Epigenetic regulation of telomeres in human cancer. Oncogene *27*, 6817-6833.

Villasante, A., Piazzolla, D., Li, H., Gomez-Lopez, G., Djabali, M., and Serrano, M. (2011). Epigenetic regulation of Nanog expression by Ezh2 in pluripotent stem cells. Cell cycle *10*, 1488-1498.

Wang, Z., Zang, C., Cui, K., Schones, D.E., Barski, A., Peng, W., and Zhao, K. (2009). Genome-wide mapping of HATs and HDACs reveals distinct functions in active and inactive genes. Cell *138*, 1019-1031.

Watanabe, S., Umehara, H., Murayama, K., Okabe, M., Kimura, T., and Nakano, T. (2006). Activation of Akt signaling is sufficient to maintain pluripotency in mouse and primate embryonic stem cells. Oncogene *25*, 2697-2707.

Weng, N.P., Levine, B.L., June, C.H., and Hodes, R.J. (1996). Regulated expression of telomerase activity in human T lymphocyte development and activation. J Exp Med *183*, 2471-2479.

Wu, H., and Zhang, Y. (2011). Mechanisms and functions of Tet protein-mediated 5methylcytosine oxidation. Genes Dev 25, 2436-2452.

Yeom, Y.I., Fuhrmann, G., Ovitt, C.E., Brehm, A., Ohbo, K., Gross, M., Hubner, K., and Scholer, H.R. (1996). Germline regulatory element of Oct-4 specific for the totipotent cycle of embryonal cells. Development *122*, 881-894.

Ying, Q.L., Nichols, J., Chambers, I., and Smith, A. (2003). BMP induction of Id proteins suppresses differentiation and sustains embryonic stem cell self-renewal in collaboration with STAT3. Cell *115*, 281-292.

Yu, J., Vodyanik, M.A., Smuga-Otto, K., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Frane, J.L., Tian, S., Nie, J., Jonsdottir, G.A., Ruotti, V., Stewart, R., *et al.* (2007). Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. Science *318*, 1917-1920.

Yuan, H., Corbi, N., Basilico, C., and Dailey, L. (1995). Developmental-specific activity of the FGF-4 enhancer requires the synergistic action of Sox2 and Oct-3. Genes Dev *9*, 2635-2645.

Zalzman, M., Falco, G., Sharova, L.V., Nishiyama, A., Thomas, M., Lee, S.L., Stagg, C.A., Hoang, H.G., Yang, H.T., Indig, F.E., *et al.* (2010). Zscan4 regulates telomere elongation and genomic stability in ES cells. Nature *464*, 858-863.

Zentner, G.E., and Henikoff, S. (2013). Regulation of nucleosome dynamics by histone modifications. Nat Struct Mol Biol *20*, 259-266.

Zhang, X., Mar, V., Zhou, W., Harrington, L., and Robinson, M.O. (1999). Telomere shortening and apoptosis in telomerase-inhibited human tumor cells. Genes Dev *13*, 2388-2399.

Zheng, X., and Hu, G. (2012). Oct4GiP reporter assay to study genes that regulate mouse embryonic stem cell maintenance and self-renewal. J Vis Exp.

Zhu, H., Shyh-Chang, N., Segre, A.V., Shinoda, G., Shah, S.P., Einhorn, W.S., Takeuchi, A., Engreitz, J.M., Hagan, J.P., Kharas, M.G., *et al.* (2011). The Lin28/let-7 axis regulates glucose metabolism. Cell *147*, 81-94.

Ziller, M.J., Muller, F., Liao, J., Zhang, Y., Gu, H., Bock, C., Boyle, P., Epstein, C.B., Bernstein, B.E., Lengauer, T., *et al.* (2011). Genomic distribution and intersample variation of non-CpG methylation across human cell types. PLoS Genet *7*, e1002389.

# **Appendix B**

Main contributions to the work: A) Performed Q-FISH experiments (as described in material and methods). B) Introduction, and selection for positive colonies, of mouse *Tert* cDNA into *Tert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs (as described in material and methods).

#### Telomeres, a busy platform for cell signaling 002

003

Laura Gardano<sup>1\*†</sup>, Fabio Pucci<sup>1</sup>, Larissa Christian<sup>1</sup>, Thierry Le Bihan<sup>2</sup> and Lea Harrington<sup>1,3\*</sup>

- 005 <sup>1</sup> Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 006 Centre for Systems Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Uk

- 058 059 060 061 062 063 064 065 066 067 068 069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

080

081

082

083

084

085

086

087

088

089

090

100

101

<sup>3</sup> Institut de Recherche en Immunologie et Cancérologie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada 007 008 009 Edited by Telomeres are the terminal structures at the ends of linear chromosomes that represent a Claus M. Azzalin, ETH Zurich, Switzerland 010 solution to the end replication problem. Specific binding of the six-protein subunit complex 011 shelterin to telomeric, repetitive TTAGGG DNA sequences contributes to the stable archi-Susan Bailey, Colorado State 012 tecture and maintenance of telomeres. Proteins involved in the DNA damage response are University, USA 013 Reviewed by also localized at telomeres, and play a role in the surveillance and maintenance of telomere 014 Tara Lyn Beattie, University of Calgary integrity. The enzyme responsible for telomere extension is telomerase, a ribonucleoprotein 015 Canada with reverse transcriptase activity. In the absence of telomerase, telomeres shorten to a 016 Michael Chang, European Research length threshold that triggers the DNA damage response and replicative senescence. Here, Institute for the Biology of Ageing, 017 we will summarize the latest findings concerning vertebrate telomere structure and epige-Netherlands 018 netics, and we present data regarding the impact of short telomeres upon cell signaling. In 019 \*Correspondence: Laura Gardano, INSERM U978, UFR SMBH, University Paris 13, 74 rue particular, in murine embryonic stem cells lacking telomerase, we found that distribution 020 of cytosolic/nuclear β-catenin, a key component of the Wnt signaling pathway, changes 021 Marcel Cachin, 93017 Bobigny, when telomeres become critically short. We discuss implications and future perspectives 022 France of the effect of epigenetic modifications and/or conformational changes of telomeres on 023 e-mail: laura.gardano@univ-paris13.fr; Lea Harrington. Institut de Recherche cell metabolism and signaling networks. Such an analysis may unveil potential therapeutic 024 en Immunologie et en Cancérologie, Université de Montréal, Pavillon 025 targets for pathologies like cancer, where the integrity of telomeres is altered. 026 Marcelle-Coutu. 2950 Chemin de rds: telomere, telomerase, shelterin, Wnt signaling, β-catenin, APC 027 Polytechnique, Montréal, QC H3T 028 1J4, Canada. 029 e-mail: lea.harrington@umontreal.ca 030 \*Present address: Laura Gardano, INSERM U978, Université Paris 13, Bobigny, France 031 032 033 INTRODUCTION 034 TELOMERE STRUCTURE IN VERTEBRATES 035 Telomeres are the structures at the ends of chromosomes that 036 protect them from end-to-end fusions and solve the problem of 037 end replication, i.e., the loss of genetic material due to inherent 038 limitations in the DNA replication process (Blackburn, 1991). 039 Telomeres consists of a repeated six-nucleotide G-rich sequence, 040 5'-TTAGGG-3', that is folded into a telomeric loop (t-loop) (Grif-041 fith et al., 1999). The telomere contains a double-stranded region 042 and a single-stranded overhang, also referred to as the G-strand 043 overhang, whose length is tightly regulated (Wright et al., 1997; 044 Sfeir et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2012). Telomeres are protected and 045 regulated by a specific hexaprotein complex, called shelterin (i.e., 046 TRF1, TRF2, RAP1, TIN2, POT1, TPP1) (Figure 1A), and addi-047 tional non-telomere specific proteins that are implicated in the 048 cellular DNA damage response (de Lange, 2005; Longhes 049 Shelterin inhibits the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and 050 ATM and Rad3-related (ATR)-dependent DNA damage response, 051 052 non-homologous end joining and homologous recombination DNA repair pathways, and resection by 5'-exonucleases (Sfeir and 053

de Lange, 2012). Some of these activities are specific to shelterin 054 whereas other activities that inhibit non-homologous end joining 055

050 and resection are supported by other telomere-associated proteins

such as Ku70/80 and 53BP1, respectively (Sfeir and de Lange, 057

www.frontiersin.org

2012). As the enzyme responsible for telomere extension, telom-091 erase is a key factor that contributes to chromosome end protection 092 (Blackburn et al., 1989). Telomerase is a reverse transcriptase 093 that copies a stably associated RNA template into telomere DNA 094 (Greider and Blackburn, 1987, 1989; Shippen-Lentz and Black-095 burn, 1990). In mice, the extension of telomeres occurs during 096 S-phase and telomerase extends the shortest telomeres preferen-097 tially (Hemann and Greider, 1999; Samper et al., 2001; Stern and 098 Bryan, 2008). 099

#### TELOMERE EPIGENETICS

Telomeric DNA contains nucleosomes, although the nature of 102 telomeric chromatin is peculiar (Makarov et al., 1993) (Figure 1B). 103 Simplistically, nucleosomes and shelterin compete with each other 104 for the binding of telomeric DNA, hence it is not surprising that 105 TRF2 influences the positioning of the nucleosomes, i.e., the nucle-106 osome abundance at telomeres is inversely correlated with the 107 amount of TRF2 (Benetti et al., 2008b; Galati et al., 2012). Nucle-108 osome spacing by TRF2 occurs in S/G2 phase, which coincides 109 with the end of DNA replication and telomere replication (Galati 110 et al., 2012). Epigenetic marks, notably histone and DNA methy-111 lation, in sub-telomeric and telomeric regions contribute further 112 to telomere maintenance and stability (Blasco, 2007) (Figure 1B). 113 A high degree of DNA methylation guarantees a closed chromatin 114



Gardano et al

#### Gardano et al.

294

295

296

297

229 2007; Schoeftner and Blasco, 2008) (Figure 1B). The length and amount of TERRAs are directly correlated with telomere length

amount of TERRAs are directly correlated with telomere length
 and vary with the cell cycle. The precise role of TERRAs has not yet
 been established fully, but TERRAs are proving to be an interesting

233 regulator of telomere dynamics.

234

#### 235 TELOMERES, TELOMERASE, AND THE WNT SIGNALING PATHWAY

Telomere dysfunction is also linked to perturbation of other 236 cellular processes that include the Wnt/β-catenin signaling path 237 238 way. The Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade controls many aspects 239 of organism development, cell proliferation, and differentiation (Valenta et al., 2012). In the absence of Wnt, B-catenin is phos-240 phorylated and rapidly degraded by a destruction complex con-241 taining Axin, APC, CK1, and GSK3β (Clevers and Nusse, 2012) 242 243 However, in the presence of Wnt, β-catenin is stabilized and imported into the nucleus where, together with the transcrip-244 tion complex TCF/LEF, it regulates the transcription of Wnt target 245 genes (Behrens et al., 1996; Molenaar et al., 1996). Cytoplasmic 246  $\hat{\beta}$ -catenin localizes to the cell membrane through an interaction 247 with E-cadherin and serves to stabilize cell adhesion (Ozawa et al. 24 249 1989).

The first link between telomerase and Wnt signaling was sug-250 251 gested from an analysis of transcription profiles of mouse and human cells expressing catalytically active or inactive Tert (Choi 252 253 et al., 2008). Stem cells that express mTert, irrespective of its competence for catalytic activity, exhibit transcriptional activation of 254 genes regulated by Wnt (Choi et al., 2008). In addition, mTert 255 is localized to the promoters of genes regulated by Wnt3a and 256 β-catenin (Park et al., 2009). In mESC over-expressing mTert, 257 258 the activation of Wnt signaling by LiCl leads to the transcriptional activation of β-catenin (Park et al., 2009). However, another 259 260 study compared the transcriptional profile of cells from mTert-261 mice with mTerc-/- mice, and observed no substantial difference in gene expression. In particular, the Wnt signaling network 262 was unaffected, and the authors suggested that the link between 263 264 telomerase and Wnt signaling might be a neomorph due to telom erase over-expression (Vidal-Cardenas and Greider, 2010). More 265 recently, it has been found that β-catenin can regulate mTert 266 267 transcription in mESC (Hoffmeyer et al., 2012). This regulation involves Klf4, one of the four transcription factors required to 268 269 induce pluripotent stem cells. The control operated on mTert by 270  $\beta$ -catenin may be direct because  $\beta$ -catenin occupies the *mTeri* promoter (Hoffmeyer et al., 2012). β-catenin also activates TRF2 271 272 transcription (Diala et al., 2013). Finally, c-myc, which is also under the control of  $\beta$ -catenin/Wnt signaling, is a known regulator of 273 mTert transcription (Wang et al., 1998), thus implying a very tight 274 regulation of this gene and the involvement of multiple signaling 27 276 networks (Greider, 2012). 273 Telomere attrition triggers activation of the DNA damage 278 response and other changes that herald the onset of genome instability (Cimprich and Cortez, 2008; Schoeftner and Blasco, 2010). 279 To dissect the complexity of such processes, it is important to dis-280

tinguish between the impact of telomerase loss versus the impact
on telomere length. In this regard, murine embryonic stem cells
represent a valuable model system and in ESC lacking *mTert*, we

284 show that critically short telomeres (and not telomerase presence 285 per se) can impact cell signaling cascades even in the cytoplasm.

www.frontiersin.org

We focused on  $\beta$ -catenin because of its known link to telomere function and because its dynamic phosphorylation-dependent regulation appeared a logical choice for a first examination of the impact of DNA damage signaling at the telomere in the cytoplasm. Our data suggest that alteration of telomere structure or epigenetic modifications elicited by telomere shortening impacts cell signaling in extra-nuclear locations, which in turn may affect cell adhesion, metabolism, and protein turnover. 290

#### RESULTS

## SHORT TELOMERES AFFECT CELL ADHESION AND $\boldsymbol{\beta}\text{-CATENIN}$ distribution

Murine ESC lacking the telomerase reverse transcriptase were gen-298 erated and characterized previously and show an accumulation of 299 telomere signal-free ends at late passage (Liu et al., 2000; Erdmann 300 et al., 2004). We queried whether the abundance of key signal-301 ing factors would be altered in the presence of short telomeres. 302 and focused our investigation on β-catenin, a critical component 303 of the Wnt signaling network that controls cell proliferation and 304 differentiation (Clevers and Nusse, 2012). β-catenin distribution 305 and post-translational modifications were compared in mTert-306 at late passage (>60 passages) and wild-type ESC at a similar pas-307 sage number (Figure 2A). We observed that cytosolic β-catenin 308 was significantly more abundant in  $mTert^{-/-}$  ESC with critically 309 short telomeres compared to wild-type cells (Figure 2B, Student's 310 t-test P = 0.003) while the total content remained unchanged 311 (Figure 2B, P = 0.968). Accordingly, higher levels of nuclear  $\beta$ -312 catenin were observed in wild-type cells (Figure 2C, P = 0.027). 313 Taken together, these results indicate that the distribution of 314 -catenin differed between the two cell types. 315

 $\beta$ -Catenin is a target of the GSK3 $\beta$  kinase which phosphorylates 316 the residues S33/37, and T41. The tri-phosphorylated form of β-317 catenin is rapidly degraded by the proteasome (Liu et al., 2002). We 318 used an antibody specific for the triple-phosphorylated β-catenin 319 (S33/37, T41) to assess the phosphorylation status of  $\beta$ -catenin in 320 ESCs with or without short telomeres, and found no difference 321 in the levels of phosphorylated, cytosolic β-catenin (Figure 2E). 322 Since the degradation of phospho-β-catenin occurs very rapidly 323 and may mask subtle differences in abundance, we treated ESCs 324 with the proteasome inhibitor MG132. In the presence of MG132, 325 the difference in the phosphorylation status of cytosolic  $\beta$ -catenin 326 in wild-type cells compared to mTert-/- ESC achieved statistical 327 significance (**Figure 2D**, P = 0.0014). These results suggest that  $\beta$ -catenin is degraded less rapidly in  $mTert^{-/-}$  ESC with short 328 329 telomeres, or that there is a pool of β-catenin in cells with crit-330 ically short telomeres that is immune to proteasome-dependent 331 degradation. 332

As GSK3β activity is inhibited by the phosphorylation of a 333 serine at amino acid position 9 (Sutherland et al., 1993; Desbois-334 Mouthon et al., 2001; Fukumoto et al., 2001), we assessed the serine 335 9 phosphorylation status of GSK3β. We did not detect a signifi-336 cant difference between wild-type and *mTert<sup>-/-</sup>* ESCs (Figure 2E). 337 GSK36 is phosphorylated by the kinase AKT, whose activity is reg-338 ulated by the phosphorylation of serine 473 (Alessi et al., 1997; 339 Fukumoto et al., 2001). Furthermore, we did not observe a sig-340 nificant difference in the level of AKT phosphorylation between 341 wild-type and  $mTert^{-/-}$  cells (Figure 2E). These results suggest 342





#### Gardano et al

and cyclinD1 are also regulated by Wnt and many other signaling

networks, but did not exhibit a statistically significant trend in

response to Wnt3a (Burdon et al., 2002; Jho et al., 2002) (data not

In order to identify factors responsible for  $\beta$ -catenin cytoso-lic accumulation in *mTert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs with short telomeres, we

compared the profile of  $\beta$ -catenin interacting proteins using

mass spectrometry. Three independent β-catenin immunopre-

cipitations from total lysates were performed and only pro-

teins recovered in all three experiments were considered (152

that downstream effectors of Wnt signaling remain unaltered in mTert-/- cells with critically short telomeres 

#### COMPARISON OF WNT SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION

LiCl is an inhibitor of GSK3β that triggers phosphorylation on ser-

ine 9 through an as vet unknown mechanism (Rao et al., 2005a). 

- Because inactivation of the kinase activity of GSK3 $\beta$  results in the
- inhibition of phosphorylation of  $\beta$ -catenin and its stabilization,
- LiCl treatment is often used to activate Wnt (Rao et al., 2005b).
- To assess whether the different distribution of  $\beta$ -catenin was asso-
- ciated with a difference in Wnt signaling, we treated mESC with

Q3 474 



shown).

WТ KO FIGURE 3 | Wnt signaling in telomerase knock-out mESC with short 3 days. The histogram represents the average (±standard deviation) of teleomeres and  $\beta$ -catenin interactors. (A) Immunoblot and quantification of  $\beta$ -catenin detected in cytosolic cell extracts of mESC treated with LiCl (30 mM) for 3 h. The intensity of  $\beta$ -catenin was three independent qRT-PCR experiments, each performed with three replicates. (C) Transcriptional output of  $\beta$ -catenin activity measured using the Top-Flash Luciferase Assay. The histogram represents the average average (±standard deviation) of β-tubulin, The histogram represents the average (±standard deviation) of three independent experiments. (B) qRT-PCR to measure the transcript of Axin2 used to quantify Wnt (±standard deviation) of the ratio of Renilla normalized firefly lucifera activity in Top versus Fop plasmid transfected cells of two independent experiments. Using a student's t-test, no difference was noted in the activation. WT and KO cells were treated with Wnt3a (100 ng/mL) for presence or absence of Wnt3a (P = 0.223) 

Wnt3a

www.frontiersin.org

Telomeres and cell signaling

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

Accession ID	Description	Total peptides	Peptides quantified	Average intensity WT a.u.	Average intensity KO a.u.	P value	Ratio KO/WT
Gi 86262157 ref NP_808386.2	Hypothetical protein LOC239796	4	3	0.00343	0.00155	0.00037	0.45091
Gi 124486588 ref NP_001074475.1	Sickle tail protein isoform c	28	28	0.05906	0.02017	0.00154	0.34160
Gi 40254129 ref NP_258435.2	Armadillo repeat protein deleted in velo-cardio-facial syndrome homolog	17	17	0.06524	0.05290	0.01504	0.81088
Gi 6755368 ref NP_035426.1	40S Ribosomal protein S18	5	5	0.01326	0.00710	0.01607	0.53595
Gi 31982755 ref NP_035831.2	Vimentin	11	8	0.00262	0.00749	0.01611	2.85760
Gi 31542151 ref NP_0388272	Arginyl-tRNA-protein transferase 1 isoform 1	8	8	0.03619	0.06278	0.02867	1.73447
Gi 112807186 ref NP_766307.2	GCN1 general control of amino acid synthesis 1-like 1	2	2	0.00068	0.00027	0.02990	0.40295
Gi 110225370 ref NP_031488.2	Adenomatosus polyposis coli protein (APC)	35	35	0.05596	0.09804	0.03139	1.75192
Gi[79750409 ref NP_075025.2]	Hamartin	7	7	0.00687	0.01120	0.03649	1.63012

593
 594
 594 Nine proteins were differently represented in β-catenin immunoprecipitations of WT and mTert<sup>-+</sup> mESC. The stringency criteria used to determine hits are described
 595 in Section "Materials and Methods." Note that β-catenin was immunoprecipitated in WT and mTert<sup>-+</sup> cells with a comparable efficiency [over 20 peptides in all IPS

596 and peptide intensity ratio (KO/WT) of 0.97].

597

implicated in the regulation of the nuclear export of β-catenin
 and, therefore, influence the balance between nuclear and cyto plasmic β-catenin independently of Wnt signaling (Henderson,

<sup>601</sup> 2000). Eight other proteins were also identified as novel interactors of  $\beta$ -catenin and have not yet been further characterized <sup>603</sup> (**Table 1**).

### 605 RESCUE OF CYTOSOLIC β-CATENIN WITH TELOMERE LENGTHENING

To address whether the reintroduction of telomerase and exten 606 607 sion of telomeres could restore the level of cytosolic β-catenin, we reintroduced mTert into mTert-/- ESCs under the control of 608 a tetracycline-inducible promoter and, after selection of mTert-609 positive clones, cells were propagated under *mTert* induction 610 611 conditions (+Dox) for 70 days (Figures 4A,B). The reactivation 612 of telomerase upon addition of doxycycline was confirmed by 613 TRAP (telomerase repeat amplification protocol, data not shown) 614 and the extension of the telomeres verified by Q-FISH analysis (Figure 4B). At this point, the culture was split in two and 615 propagated in the absence (-Dox) or presence (+Dox) of mTert 616 for an additional four population doublings (Figure 4B). Analy 617 618 sis of the level of cytosolic  $\beta$ -catenin in ESCs with extended 619 telomeres, irrespective of mTert expression, revealed a rescue of the cytosolic B-catenin to levels comparable to wild-type 620 ESCs (Figure 4C, P = 0.20). This result suggests that the dis-621 tribution of β-catenin is dependent on telomere length rather 622 than telomerase activity. This result is similar to the finding 623 624 that mice or ESCs lacking telomerase activity do not exhibit phenotypes until telomeres become critically shortened (Erd-mann and Harrington, 2009; Strong et al., 2011). Instead, a 625 626 loss of tissue self-renewal is evident at generations above G4, 627

Frontiers in Oncology | Cancer Molecular Targets and Therapeutics

underscoring the dependence of the phenotype upon loss of telomere integrity (Vidal-Cardenas and Greider, 2010; Strong et al., 2011).

#### DISCUSSION

Here, we discussed the impact of telomere integrity on cell signal-660 ing. We show new data that mESC with short telomeres undergo an 661 accumulation of cytosolic β-catenin. Although the level of nuclear 662  $\beta$ -catenin is higher in wild-type cells, this difference does not result 663 in an induction in the transcription of Wnt target genes. This 664 observation is in general agreement with the finding that acti-665 vation of Wnt signaling leads to β-catenin nuclear import, but 666 there is no relationship between the level of nuclear β-catenin 667 and Wht activation (Guger and Gumbiner, 2000). The higher cytosolic content of  $\beta$ -catenin in *mTert*<sup>-/-</sup> ESCs might be the 668 669 result of an altered balance of β-catenin nuclear import/export 670 or of β-catenin degradation/stabilization. In support of the first 671 explanation, we observed an enrichment of APC in β-catenin 672 immunoprecipitates from  $mTert^{-/-}$  cells. APC shuttles between 673 the nucleus and the cytoplasm independently from other factors 674 of the destruction complex (Henderson, 2000). The destruction 675 complex is not disassembled in the presence of Wnt; instead, 676 degradation of  $\beta$ -catenin by the proteasome is altered upon Wnt stimulation (Hilger and Mann, 2012; Li et al., 2012). This find-677 678 ing may explain why an increased level of APC-β-catenin complex 679 might not necessarily result in higher β-catenin degradation. Fur-680 thermore, our finding that the phosphorylation of β-catenin is 681 increased in wild-type ESCs but not mTert-/- ESCs in the pres-682 ence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 supports the notion 683 that the activity of the proteasome is altered in mTert-ESCs 684

May 2013 | Volume 3 | Article 146 | 6



www.frontiersin.org

and  $\alpha$ -catenin to stabilize cell-cell adhesion structures but also to

740

741

May 2013 | Volume 3 | Article 146 | 7

increases H3K4 methylation at the c-myc promoter through its

797





#### Gardano et al

936

999

1000

1013

1019

1020

reasonable to postulate that the alteration of binding of telom-913 eric proteins or epigenetic modifications can trigger signaling 914 cascades that might culminate in changes at the plasma mem-915 brane and alter communication with the environment (Figure 4). 916 917 Although the precise means by which short telomeres elicit 918 genome-wide changes in gene expression is unknown, one candidate mechanism is RAP1, a transcription factor that binds 919 extra-telomeric sites and in whose absence there are a number 920 of changes in gene expression in processes related to cell metab-921 922 olism, cell adhesion, and cancer (Martinez et al., 2010; Martinez and Blasco, 2011). Moreover, together with Trf2, Rap1 transcrip-923 tion is directly regulated by  $\beta$ -catenin (Diala et al., 2013). Taken 924 together, these findings reinforce the notion that  $\beta$ -catenin and 925 926 telomere structure and function are interconnected. Clearly, the 927 future promises to uncover additional intriguing links between 928 the impact of critically short telomeres and cytoplasmic cell 929 signaling. 930 **MATERIALS AND METHODS** 931 CELL CULTURE 932 Wild-type and telomerase reverse transcriptase-deficient mESC 933 934 (E14) were cultured in GMEM, 15% v/v FBS (Hyclone, UK), β-mercaptoethanol, penicillin/streptomycin, and leukemia 935 inhibitory factor (Sigma, UK), and split according to a ratio of 1:8

933 every 3 days, as described in Erdmann et al. (2004). The absence or presence of telomerase activity was assessed by the TRAP (telom-938 939 erase repeat amplification protocol), performed following manufacturer's instructions (TRAPeze, Millipore, UK). Cells grown 940 in 6-well plates were lysed in 50  $\mu L$  of CHAPS 1  $\times$  buffer. Two 941 942 microliters of cell lysate were assayed in the TRAP. 943 944 **CELL FRACTIONATION AND IMMUNOBLOTTING** 945 Cells grown in 10-cm diameter plates were washed and scraped in PBS. For cell fractionation, cells were pelleted for 5 min at 946  $1500 \times g$  and re-suspended in hypotonic lysis buffer (50 mM 947 Tris, pH 7.8, 250 mM sucrose, 2 mM EDTA) supplemented with 948 Roche's Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail and PhoSTOP Phos-

949 phatase Inhibitor Cocktail. Cells were homogenized with 20 950 strokes in a Dounce homogenizer and then centrifuged for 10 min 951 at  $2800 \times g$  to precipitate the nuclei. The supernatant represented 952 953 the cytosolic fraction. The nuclear pellet was re-suspended in 954 buffer S1 (0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), layered over an equal volume of buffer S3 (0.88 M sucrose, 0.5 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>), and cen-955 956 trifuged at  $2800 \times g$  for 10 min. The pellet was re-suspended in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% v/v NP-957 40, 0.5% w/v deoxycholic acid) containing protease and phos-958 959 phatase inhibitors. The nuclear extract was sonicated and cen 960 trifuged at maximum speed  $16,000 \times g$  for 10 min to obtain the 961 nuclear extract. The protein concentrations of the cytosolic and nuclear lysates were measured using the Bradford method prior 962 to loading onto gels for SDS-PAGE. In all experiments, 10 µg 963 of cytosolic protein and 2 µg of nuclear protein were loaded. 964 For total cell extracts, cells were scraped and re-suspended in 96 RIPA buffer. Ten micrograms of total protein lysate, measured 966 967 by the Bradford method, were loaded onto gels for SDS-PAGE. SDS-PAGE was performed with NuPAGE Bis-Tris 4-12% w/v 968

gradient gels (Invitrogen, UK). After electrophoresis, the proteins 969

www.frontiersin.org

were transferred onto an Immobilon-FL membrane (Millipore) 970 at a constant 100 V for 1 h. The membrane was blocked in 5% 971 w/v skimmed milk powder (non-fat) in TBST. Primary anti-972 bodies were incubated with the membrane overnight at 4 °C in 973 2.5% w/v skimmed milk powder in TBST. The primary antibod-974 ies used were as follows: rabbit polyclonal anti-8-catenin (1:4000; 975 Bethyl Laboratories, Inc., USA); rabbit monoclonal anti-β-catenin, 976 clone E247 (1:4000; Millipore); rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-977 β-catenin (Ser33/37/Thr41) (1:2000; Cell Signaling UK); anti-978 E-cadherin (1:4000; BD Biosciences UK); rabbit anti-phospho-979 GSK3B (S9) and mouse anti-GSK3B total (both at 1:1000; Cell 980 Signaling); rabbit anti-phospho-AKT (S473) and rabbit anti-981 AKT total (both at 1:2000; Cell Signaling). Mouse anti-β-tubulin 982 (1:4000; Sigma) was used as a loading control for the total pro-983 tein extracts and cytosolic fractions, whereas rabbit anti-lamin 984 B1 (1:2000; a gift from Dr. Eric Schirmer) was used for nuclear 985 fractions. Secondary antibodies were HRP-conjugated anti-mouse 986 and anti-rabbit (1:10000 and 1:5000, respectively; GE Health-987 care). For quantification of the immunoblot bands, secondary 988 antibodies were donkey anti-mouse (IRDye 800) and donkey anti-989 rabbit (IRDye 680) (1:10000 and 1:5000, respectively; LI-COR 990 Biosciences, UK). For Wnt3a treatment, 100 ng/mL of recombi-991 nant mouse Wnt3a (Millipore) was added to the culture medium 992 for 3 days. For LiCl treatment, 30 mM LiCl was added to the 993 culture medium for 4 h. For the inhibition of the proteasome, 994 cells were treated with  $10\,\mu M$  of MG132 (Sigma, UK, dissolved 995 in DMSO) for 6 h. Cells were collected by scraping and lysed 996 as previously described for cell fractionation and western blot 997 analysis. 998

#### WESTERN BLOT QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Images of membranes probed with secondary IRDye antibody 1001 were acquired with an Odyssey scanner and analyzed with Odyssey 1002 software (Licor Biosciences). Excel and GraphPad Prism v.5 were 1003 used for statistical analysis. Briefly, two rectangles of the same size 1004 were placed over β-catenin and the relevant control (β-tubulin 1005 or lamin B1 for cytosol or nuclear extract, respectively). The 1006 intensity of B-catenin was normalized to the value of the loading 1007 control within the same lane and averaged against at least three 1008 independent replicates. The Student's t-test was used to evalu-1009 ate the statistical significance of the comparison (Gardano et al., 1010 1011 1012

#### QUANTITATIVE FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION

The Q-FISH protocol was carried out as described (Liu et al., 1014 2000). Metaphase spreads were captured using Metafer 4 software 1015 and analyzed using Isis software. Statistical analysis of telom-1016 ere intensity distribution was performed using Welch's unpaired 1017 t-test 1018

#### aRT-PCR

RNA was extracted from cells grown in 6-well plates using 1021 Qiagen's RNeasy Mini Kit. The RNA was treated with 1022 DNase for 1 h prior to the reverse transcription reaction. 1023 One microgram of RNA was retrotranscribed with ran-1024 dom primers (Invitrogen) using SMART MMLV reverse tran-1025 scriptase (Clontech Laboratories, Inc., USA). The cDNA 1026

#### Gardano et al.

mixture was diluted 20 times in water containing RNase 1027 before proceeding with the qPCR (Lightcycler 480, Roche, 1028 UK). The sequences of the primers used were as fol-1029 lows: Axin2 forward 5'-AGCGCCAACGACAGCGAGTT-3'; Axin2 1030 1031 reverse 5'-TCCCCATGCGGTAAGGAGGGAC-3': GAPDH forward 5'-AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG-3'; GAPDH reverse 1032 5'-TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA-3'mTERT forward 5' 1033 TTCTAGACTTGCAGGTGAACAGCC-3'; mTERT reverse 5'-1034 TTCCTAACACGCTGGTCAAAGGGA-3'. Data were analyzed 1035 1036 with Excel and GraphPad Prism v.5.

#### 1038 TOP-FLASH EXPERIMENTS

1037

Cells were seeded at a concentration of  $2.5 \times 10^4$  mL in 12-1039 well dishes and, 24 h later, Extreme Gene 9 (Roche, UK) was 1040 1041 used to transfect 0.5 µg DNA (in total) consisting of Top-firefly 1042 luciferase plasmid or the negative control Fop-firefly luciferase (Millipore) and 0.05 µg of Renilla plasmid transcribed with a 1043 SV40 promoter, pRL (Promega). Cell lysis was performed 48 h 1044 after transfection with the Passive Lysis buffer supplied by the 1045 Dual luciferase assay (Promega, UK). Firefly and Renilla luciferase 1040 activities were monitored following manufacturer's instructions. 1047 Luciferase activity was recorded using an Infinite 200 instru-1048 ment (Tecan group Ltd.). Wnt3a treatment was performed as 1049 previously described. Top-firefly luciferase signals were normal-1050 1051 ized to renilla luciferase values and then normalized to Fopluciferase activity for each respective treatment, i.e., with or 1052 1053 without Wnt3a. Graphpad Prism v.5 was used for statistical analysis 1054 1055

#### 1056 mTERT CELL TRANSFECTION AND PLASMIDS

The plasmid pTRE-Bi-Tert-IRES-EGFP-Hygro was constructed by 1057 amplification of Tert cDNA by PCR and insertion into pTRE 1058 Tight-Bi (Clontech) following digestion with EcoRI and Sall 1059 IRES-EGFP sequence was obtained from pCAGMKOSiE (kindly 1060 provided by K. Kaji) and inserted into pTRE-Tight-Bi (follow 1061 ing digestion with SalI and EcoRV) using SalI and HpaI sites 1062 and then inserted into pTRE-Bi-Tert using NotI sites. Finally, 1063 the hygromycin resistance gene was cloned by PCR into the 1064 XbaI restriction site of pTRE-Tight-Bi and pTRE-Bi-Tert-IRES-1065 EGFP vectors to create pTRE-Bi-EGFP-Hygro and pTRE-Bi-Tert-1066 IRES-EGFPHygro. The pCAG-rtTA-advanced vector was con-1067 106 structed by removal of the MKOS ORFs from CAGMKOSiE with EcoRI and BamHI and replacement with the advanced tetra-1069 cycline reverse transactivator sequence (Clontech) (Pucci et al., 1070 2013). 1071 1072 IMMUNOPRECIPITATION AND MASS SPECTROMETRY 1073 1074 Wild-type and mTert<sup>-/-</sup> ESC were propagated in three 15-cm

diameter plates for each immunoprecipitation. Three indepen-1075 dent immunoprecipitations were performed contemporaneously 1076 on wild-type and mTert-/- ESC. Cells were lysed in 1 mL of 1077 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM NaF, 10% 1078 v/v glvcerol, 0.1% v/v NP-40, 1 mM DTT) supplemented with 1079 Roche's Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail. Following cen-1080 trifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 10 min, the amount of total pro-1081 tein in all the samples was assessed by the Bradford method 1082 Ten micrograms of rabbit monoclonal anti-β-catenin antibody 1083

Frontiers in Oncology | Cancer Molecular Targets and Therapeutics

(clone E247, Millipore) were added to each lysate and incu-1084 bated for 2 h at 4 °C with rocking. Magnetic Dynabeads Pro-1085 tein A (Invitrogen) was equilibrated in the lysis buffer prior to 1086 addition to cell lysates (10 µL beads added to each immuno-1087 precipitation). The bead/lysate mixtures were then incubated for 1088 40 min at 4 °C. Following four washes with a washing buffer 1089 (lysis buffer without glycerol), the beads were re-suspended in 1090 20 µL washing buffer. The samples were boiled in Laemmli buffer 1091 and loaded onto a NuPAGE Bis-Tris 4-12% v/v gradient gel 1092 for SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained with SimplyBlue SafeStain 1093 (Life Technologies, UK). Each entire gel lane was sliced into six 1094 pieces, then processed according to an in-gel protocol for trypsin 1095 digestion. 1096

Capillary-HPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed on an on-line 1097 system consisting of a micro-pump (1200 binary HPLC system, 1098 Agilent, UK) coupled to a hybrid LTQ-Orbitrap XL instrument 1099 (Thermo-Fisher, UK), MS/MS data was searched using MASCOT 1100 (Matrix Science Ltd, UK) against the Mus musculus subset of the 1101 NCBI protein database using a maximum missed-cut value of 1102 2. Variable methionine oxidation, ST and Y phosphorylation, and 1103 N-term acetylation were used and fixed cysteine carbamidomethy-1104 lation were used in all searches; precursor mass tolerance was set to 1105 7 ppm and MS/MS tolerance to 0.4 amu. The significance thresh-1106 old (p) was set below 0.05 (MudPIT scoring). A peptide Mascot 1107 score cut-off of 20 was used in the final analysis, which corresponds 1108 to a global false discovery rate of 3.6% using a decoy database 1109 search. LC-MS label-free quantification was performed using Pro-1110 genesis (Non-linear Dynamics, UK). For label-free quantitation, 1111 the total number of Features (i.e., intensity signal at a given reten-1112 tion time and m/z) was reduced to MS/MS peaks with charge 1113 of 2, 3, or 4+ and only five most intense MS/MS spectra were 1114 retained per "Feature." The subset of multicharged ions (2+, 3+, 1115 4+) was extracted from each LC-MS run. Protein quantification 1116 was performed as follows; for each protein, the associated unique 1117 peptide ions were summed to generate an abundance value and 1118 normalized by dividing the protein intensity by the bait intensity 1119 (β-catenin). The within group means were calculated to determine 1120 the fold change and a t-test was used between the two groups. 1121 Regarding quantitative cut-off, proteins were considered a hit if 1122 two or more peptides were detected with an absolute ratio of 1123 at least 1.5 (i.e., 1.5 fold increase, or 0.667 decrease) and a sig-1124 nificance of p < 0.05. Nine proteins met this threshold criteria 1125 (Table 1). 1126 1127

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Mike Tyers and his group for their scientific 1129 and technical support and Dr. Eric Schirmer for supplying the 1130 lamin B1 antibody. We gratefully acknowledge all the members 1131 of the Harrington Laboratory, Dr. Marcia Roy, Dr. Lionel Gui-1132 ttat, and Dr. Olivier Cordin for constructive discussions during 1133 the preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported by 1134 the Medical Research Council UK (G0800081 to Lea Harring-1135 ton), the Wellcome Trust (084637 and 086580 to Lea Harrington). 1136 and the Centre for Systems Biology at Edinburgh (CSBE) which 1137 is a Centre for Integrative Systems Biology (CISB) funded by 1138 the BBSRC and EPSRC (reference BB/D019621/1 to Thierry Le 1139 Bihan). 1140

May 2013 | Volume 3 | Article 146 | 10

### Gardano et al.

### **REFERENCES**

1142	Alessi, D. R., James, S. R., Downes, C. P.,	
1143	Holmes, A. B., Gaffney, P. R., Reese,	
1144	of a 3-phosphoinositide-dependent	
1145	protein kinase which phosphory-	
1146	lates and activates protein kinase	С
1147	Balpha. Curr. Biol. 7, 261-269.	
1148	doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(06)00	
1149	Azzalin C M Reichenbach P Kho-	
1150	riauli, L., Giulotto, E., and Lingner,	
1151	J. (2007). Telomeric repeat con-	
1152	taining RNA and RNA surveil-	
1153	lance factors at mammalian chro-	С
1154	doi:10.1126/science.1147182	
1155	Baur, J. A., Zou, Y., Shav, J. W., and	
1156	Wright, W. E. (2001). Telom-	
1157	ere position effect in human	С
1158	cells. Science 292, 2075–2077.	
1159	Behrens I. Von Kries I. P. Kuhl M.	
1160	Bruhn, L., Wedlich, D., Grosschedl,	d
1161	R., et al. (1996). Functional interac-	
1162	tion of beta-catenin with the tran-	
1163	scription factor LEF-1. Nature 382,	
1164	638-642. doi:10.1038/382638a0 Benetti R. Garcia Cao M. and Blasco	п
1165	M. A. (2007a). Telomere length	D
1166	regulates the epigenetic status of	
1167	mammalian telomeres and sub-	
1168	telomeres. Nat. Genet. 39, 243-250.	
1169	doi:10.1038/ng1952 Benetti R. Gonzalo S. Jaco I. Schotta	
1170	G., Klatt, P., Jenuwein, T., et al.	
1171	(2007b). Suv4-20h deficiency results	
1172	in telomere elongation and dere-	
1173	pression of telomere recombina-	D
1174	tion. J. Cell Biol. 178, 925–936. doi:10.1083/icb.200703081	
1175	Benetti, R., Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I.,	
1176	Munoz, P., Gonzalez, S., Schoeft-	
1177	ner, S., et al. (2008a). A mammalian	
1178	microRNA cluster controls DNA	Q5
1170	bination via Rbl2-dependent regu-	E
1180	lation of DNA methyltransferases.	
1181	Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 15, 998.	
1182	doi:10.1038/nsmb.1399	
1183	Benetti, R., Schoeftner, S., Munoz, P.,	Б
1194	TRF2 in the assembly of telomeric	E
1104	chromatin. Cell Cycle 7, 3461–3468.	
1105	doi:10.4161/cc.7.21.7013	
1100	Blackburn, E. H. (1991). Structure and	
1189	function of telomeres. Nature 350,	
1180	505–575. doi:10.1038/350569a0 Blackburn, E. H. Greider C. W.	F
1109	Henderson, E., Lee, M. S., Sham-	τ.
1190	pay, J., and Shippen-Lentz, D.	
1102	(1989). Recognition and elongation	
1192	of telomeres by telomerase. Genome	
1193	51, 555-560, doi:10.1159/g89-104 Blasco M A (2007) The enigenetic	
1194	regulation of mammalian telom-	G
1195	eres. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8, 299-309.	

eres. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8, 299–3 doi:10.1038/nrg2047

www.frontiersin.org

Burdon, T., Smith, A., and Savatier, P. (2002). Signalling, cell cycle and pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. *Trends Cell Biol.* 12, 432–438. doi:10.1016/S0962-8924(02)02 352-8

Choi, J., Southworth, L. K., Sarin, K. Y., Venteicher, A. S., Ma, W., Chang, W., et al. (2008). TERT promotes epithelial proliferation through transcriptional control of a Myc- and Wnt-related developmental program. *PLoS Genet.* 4:e10. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0040010 Cimprich, K. A., and Cortez, D. (2008). ATR: an essential regulator of genome integrity. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 616–627.

- doi:10.1038/nrm2450 Zevers, H., and Nusse, R. (2012). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and disease. *Cell* 149, 1192–1205. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.012
- e Lange, T. (2005). Shelterin: the protein complex that shapes and safeguards human telomeres. *Genes Dev.* 19, 2100–2110. doi:10.1101/gad.1346005

esbois-Mouthon, C., Cadoret, A., Bivet-Van Eggelpoel, M. J., Bertrand, F., Cherqui, G., Perret, C., et al. (2001). Insulin and IGF-1 stimulate the betacatenin pathway through two signalling cascades involving GSK-3beta inhibition and Ras activation. Oncogne 20, 252–259. doi:10.1038/sjonc.1204064

Diala, I., Wagner, N., Magdinier, F., Shkreli, M., Sirakov, M., Bauvens, S., et al. (2013). Telomere protection and TRF2 expression are enhanced by the canonical Wnt signalling pathway. *EMBO Rep.* **2** doi:10.1038/embor.2013.16 Erdmann, N., and Harrington, L. A.

(2009). No attenuation of the ATMdependent DNA damage response in murine telomerase-deficient cells. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* 8, 347–353. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2008.11.009 rdmann, N., Liu, Y., and Harrington, L. (2004). Distinct dosage requirements for the maintenance of long and short telomeres in

MTert heterozygous mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 6080–6085. doi:10.1073/pnas.0401580101 Fukumoto, S., Hsieh, C. M., Maemura, K., Layne, M. D., Yet, S. F., Lee, K. H., et al. (2001). Akt

participation in the Wnt signaling pathway through Dishevelled. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 17479–17483. doi:10.1074/jbc.C000880200 Galati, A., Magdinier, F., Colasanti, V., Bauwens, S., Pinte, S., Ricordy,

V., Bauwens, S., Pinte, S., Ricordy, R., et al. (2012). TRF2 controls telomeric nucleosome organization in a cell cycle phase-dependent manner. *PLoS ONE* 7:e34386. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034386

- Gardano, L., Holland, L., Oulton, R., Le Bihan, T., and Harrington, L. (2011). Native gel electrophreesis of human telomerase distinguishes active complexes with or without dyskerin. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, e36. doi:10.1083/osrefer123.
- doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1243 Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Fraga, M. F., Chen, T., Li, E., Esteller, M., et al. (2006). DNA methyltransferases control telomere length and telomere recombination in mammalian cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 416–424. doi:10.1038/ncb1386
- Gottschling, D. E., Aparicio, O. M., Billington, B. L., and Zakian, V. A. (1990). Position effect at *S. cerevisiae* telomeres: reversible repression of Pol II transcription. *Cell* 63, 751–762. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90141-Z
- Greider, C. W. (2012). Molecular biology. Wnt regulates TERT – putting the horse before the cart. Science 336, 1519–1520. doi:10.1126/science.1223785 Greider, C. W., and Blackburn, E. H.
- Greider, C. W., and Blackburn, E. H. (1987). The telomere terminal transferase of Tetrahymena is a ribonucleoprotein enzyme with two kinds of primer specificity. *Cell* 51, 887–898. doi:10.106/0092-8674(87)90576-9 Greider, C. W., and Blackburn, E. H.
- (1989). A telomeric sequence in the RNA of Tetrahymena telomerase required for telomere repeat synthesis. *Nature* 337, 331–337. doi:10.1038/337331a0
- Griffith, J. D., S. Osmeau, L., Rosenfield, S., Stansel, R. M., Bianchi, A., Moss, H., et al. (1999). Mammalian telomeres end in a large duplex loop. *Cell* 97, 503–514. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80760-6
- 97, 503–514. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80760-6 Grillari, J., Katinger, H., and Voglauer, R. (2006). Aging and the ubiquitionme: traditional and non-traditional functions of ubiquitin in aging cells and tissues. *Exp. Gerontol.* 41, 1067–1079. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2006.07.003
- Guger, K. A., and Gumbiner, B. M. (2000). A mode of regulation of beta-catenin signaling activity in Xenopus embryos independent of its levels. *Dev. Biol.* 223, 441–448. doi:10.1006/dbio.2000.9770
- Hamada, F., and Bienz, M. (2004). The APC tumor suppressor binds to C-terminal binding protein to divert nuclear beta-catenin from TCE. Dev. Cell 7, 677–685. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2004.08. 022

Hart, M. J., De Los Santos, R., Albert, I. N., Rubinfeld, B., and Polakis, P. (1998). Downregulation of beta-catenin by human Axin and its association with the APC tumor suppressor, beta. *2uro* catenin and GSK3 beta. *Curr.* 1203 *Biol.* 8, 573–581. doi:10.1016/S0960-1204

- 9822(98)70226-X Hemann, M. T., and Greider, C. W. (1999). G-strand overhangs on telomeres in telomerasedeficient mouse cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 27, 3964–3969, doi:10.1093/nar/27.20.3964 1210
- Henderson, B. R. (2000). Nuclearcytoplasmic shuttling of APC regulates beta-catenin subcellular localization and turnover. Nat. Cell Biol. 2, 653–660. doi:10.1038/35046558
   1210
- 2, 653–660. doi:10.1038/35046558
   1214

   Hilger, M., and Mann, M. (2012). Triple
   1214

   SILAC to determine stimulus specific interactions in the Wnt pathway. J. Proteome Res. 11, 982–994.
   1215

   doi:10.1021/p7200740a
   1218
- doffneyer, K., Raggioli, A., Rudloff, S., Anton, R., Hierholzer, A., Del Valle, I., et al. (2012). Wnt/betacatenin signaling regulates telomerase in stem cells and cancer cells. *Science* 336, 1549–1554.
   1223
- doi:10.1126/science.12183/0
   1224

   Jho, E. H., Zhang, T., Domon, C.,
   Jonomo, C.,

   Joo, C. K., Freund, J. N., and
   1225

   Costantini, F. (2002). Wnt/beta 1226

   catenin/Tcf signaling induces the
   1227

   transcription of Axin2, a negative
   1228

   Mol. Cell. Biol. 22, 1172–1183.
   1229

   disl.10.1128/MCB.22.4.1172 1230
- Korinek, V., Barker, N., Willert, K.,
  Molenaar, M., Roose, J., Wagenaar,
  G., et al. (1998). Two members of the
  Tcf family implicated in Wnt/betacatenin signaling during embryogenesis in the mouse. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*1236 18, 1248–1256.
  Levis, R., Hazelrigg, T., and Rubin, G.
  1238
- Levis, K., Hazelrigg, I., and Rubin, G.
   1238

   M. (1985). Effects of genomic position on the expression of transduced copies of the white gene of
   1240

   Drosophila. Science. 229, 558–561.
   1241

   doi:10.1126/science.2992080
   1242
- Li, V. S., Ng, S. S., Boersema, P. J., Low, T. Y., Karthaus, W. R., Gerlach, J. P., et al. (2012). Wnt signaling through inhibition of betacatenin degradation in an intact Axini complex. *Cell* 149, 1245–1256. 1247 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.002
- Liu, C., Li, Y., Semenov, M., Han, C., Baeg, G. H., Tan, Y., et al. (2002). Control of beta-caterin phosphorylation/degradation by a dual-kinase mechanism. *Cell* 108, 837–847. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00 1250 685-2 1254

1255	Liu, J. P. (1999). Studies of the mole-	Nimmo, E. R., Cranston, G., and	polymerase II. Nat. Cell Biol. 10,	response: a critical examination
1256	cular mechanisms in the regulation	Allshire, R. C. (1994). Telomere-	228–236. doi:10.1038/ncb1685 Schoeftner S and Blasco M A	of telomere length maintenance-
1257	2091-2104.	fission yeast results in variegated	(2010). Chromatin regula-	Nucleic Acids Res. 38, 60–71.
1258	Liu, Y., Snow, B. E., Hande, M. P.,	expression of adjacent genes. EMBO	tion and non-coding RNAs at	doi:10.1093/nar/gkp855
1259	Yeung, D., Erdmann, N. J., Wake-	J. 13, 3801-3811.	mammalian telomeres. Semin.	Wang, J., Xie, L. Y., Allan, S.,
1260	ham, A., et al. (2000). The telom-	Ozawa, M., Baribault, H., and Kemler,	Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 186-193.	Beach, D., and Hannon, G. J.
1261	erase reverse transcriptase is lim-	R. (1989). The cytoplasmic domain	doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2009.09.015	(1998). Myc activates telom-
1262	iting and necessary for telom-	of the cell adhesion molecule uvo-	Steir, A., and de Lange, I. (2012).	erase. Genes Dev. 12, 1/69-1//4.
1263	10. 1459-1462. doi:10.1016/S0960-	pendent proteins structurally related	the telomere end-protection	Wright, W. E., Tesmer, V. M., Huff-
1264	9822(00)00805-8	in different species. EMBO J. 8,	problem. Science 336, 593-597.	man, K. E., Levene, S. D., and
1265	Longhese, M. P. (2008). DNA dam-	1711–1717.	doi:10.1126/science.1218498	Shay, J. W. (1997). Normal human
1266	age response at functional and dys-	Park, J. I., Venteicher, A. S., Hong, J.	Sfeir, A. J., Chai, W., Shay, J. W., and	chromosomes have long G-
1267	functional telomeres. Genes Dev.	Y., Choi, J., Jun, S., Shkreli, M., et	Wright, W. E. (2005). Telomere-	rich telomeric overhangs at one
1268	22, 125–140. doi:10.1101/gad.1626	al. (2009). Telomerase modulates	end processing the terminal	end. Genes Dev. 11, 2801-2809.
1269	Ivashenko, N., Winter, M., Migliorini,	target gene chromatin Nature	somes Mol Cell 18, 131–138	Wu, P. Takai, H. and De Lange.
1270	D., Biechele, T., Moon, R. T., and	460, 66-72. doi:10.1038/nature0	doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2005.02.035	T. (2012). Telomeric 3' overhangs
1271	Hartmann, C. (2011). Differential	8137	Shippen-Lentz, D., and Blackburn,	derive from resection by Exo1
1272	requirement for the dual functions	Pucci, F., Gardano, L., and Harring-	E. H. (1990). Functional evi-	and Apollo and fill-in by POT1b-
1272	of beta-catenin in embryonic stem	ton, L. (2013). Short telomeres in	dence for an RNA template in	associated CST. Cell 150, 39-52.
1275	cell self-renewal and germ layer for-	ESCs lead to unstable differentia-	telomerase. Science 247, 546-552.	doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.026
1274	doi:10.1038/ncb2260	doi:10.1016/i stem 2013.01.018	Sierra, I. Yoshida, T. Joazeiro, C. A. and	F. Weis, W. L. and Nelson.
12/5	Makarov, V. L., Lejnine, S., Bedoyan,	Rao, A. S., Kremenevskaja, N., Resch,	Jones, K. A. (2006). The APC tumor	W. J. (2005). Deconstruct-
12/6	J., and Langmore, J. P. (1993).	J., and Brabant, G. (2005a).	suppressor counteracts beta-catenin	ing the cadherin-catenin-actin
12//	Nucleosomal organization	Lithium stimulates proliferation	activation and H3K4 methylation at	complex. Cell 123, 889-901.
1278	of telomere-specific chro-	in cultured thyrocytes by activat-	Wnt target genes. Genes Dev. 20,	doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.020
1279	matin in rat. Cell 73, 775-787.	ing Wnt/beta-catenin signalling.	586-600. doi:10.1101/gad.1385806	Conflict of Interest Statements The
1280	Martinez, P. and Blasco, M. A. (2011)	doi:10.1530/eie.1.02038	Telomerase recruitment to telom-	authors declare that the research was
1281	Telomeric and extra-telomeric roles	Rao, R., Zhang, M. Z., Zhao, M.,	eres. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 122,	conducted in the absence of any com-
1282	for telomerase and the telomere-	Cai, H., Harris, R. C., Breyer, M.	243-254. doi:10.1159/000167810	mercial or financial relationships that
1283	binding proteins. Nat. Rev. Cancer	D., et al. (2005b). Lithium treat-	Strong, M. A., Vidal-Cardenas, S. L.,	could be construed as a potential con-
1284	11, 161–176. doi:10.1038/nrc3025	ment inhibits renal GSK-3 activ-	Karim, B., Yu, H., Guo, N., and	flict of interest.
1285	Martinez, P., Thanasoula, M., Carlos,	ity and promotes cyclooxygenase 2- dependent polymria Am I Physic	Greider, C. W. (2011). Pheno- times in mTEPT+ and mTEPT-/-	Pacainadi 28 January 2013, accaptadi 21
1286	M. Schoeftner, S. et al. (2010)	ial Renal Physial 288, F642–F649	mice are due to short telomeres.	May 2013: published online: xx May
1287	Mammalian Rap1 controls telom-	doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00287.2004	not telomere-independent func-	2013.
1288	ere function and gene expression	Rubinfeld, B., Souza, B., Albert,	tions of TERT. Mol. Cell. Biol.	Citation: Gardano L, Pucci F, Chris-
1289	through binding to telomeric and	I., Muller, O., Chamberlain,	Q6 doi:10.1128/MCB.05312-11	tian L, Bihan TL and Harrington L
1290	extratelomeric sites. Nat. Cell Biol.	S. H., Masiarz, F. R., et al.	Sutherland, C., Leighton, I. A., and	(2013) Telomeres, a busy platform for
1291	12, 768-780. doi:10.1038/ncb2081 Molenaar M. Van De Wetering M	(1993). Association of the	Cohen, P. (1993). Inactivation of alwogen synthese kinase 3 beta by	cell signaling. Front. Oncol. 3:146. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2013.00146
1292	Oosterwegel, M., Peterson-Maduro,	catenin. Science 262, 1731-1734.	phosphorylation: new kinase con-	This article was submitted to Frontiers in
1293	J., Godsave, S., Korinek, V., et al.	doi:10.1126/science.8259518	nections in insulin and growth-	Cancer Molecular Targets and Therapeu-
1294	(1996). XTcf-3 transcription factor	Samper, E., Flores, J. M., and Blasco, M.	factor signalling. Biochem. J. 296(Pt	tics, a specialty of Frontiers in Oncology.
1295	mediates beta-catenin-induced axis	A. (2001). Restoration of telomerase	1), 15–19.	Copyright © 2013 Gardano, Pucci, Chris-
1296	formation in Xenopus embryos. Cell	activity rescues chromosomal insta-	Valenta, T., Hausmann, G., and	tian, Bihan and Harrington. This is an
1297	8674(00)80112-9	mice with short telomeres FMBO	faces and functions of beta-	terms of the Creative Commons Attribu-
1298	Mosimann, C., Hausmann, G., and	Rep. 2, 800-807, doi:10.1093/embo-	catenin. EMBO I. 31, 2714-2736.	tion License, which permits use, distrib-
1299	Basler, K. (2009). Beta-catenin	reports/kve174	doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.150	ution and reproduction in other forums,
1300	hits chromatin: regulation of	Schoeftner, S., and Blasco, M. A.	Vidal-Cardenas, S. L., and Greider,	provided the original authors and source
1301	Wnt target gene activation. Nat.	(2008). Developmentally regu-	C. W. (2010). Comparing effects	are credited and subject to any copy-
1202	Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10, 276–286.	lated transcription of mammalian	of mTR and mTERT deletion on	right notices concerning any third-party
1302	doi:10.1038/nrm2654	telomeres by DINA-dependent KINA	gene expression and DNA damage	graphics etc.
1203				
1304				
1305				
1306				
1307				
1308				
1309				
1310				
1311				

May 2013 | Volume 3 | Article 146 | 12

1314 1315

- 1317
- 1320 1321 1326
- 1328 1329 1330 1331
- 1334

1337 

1343 1345

1347 1348

1350 1352 1353 1356 1359

## 3CAFD43793