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The fundamental link between prespectral measures and Banach function spaces is to be found in a theorem of T.A.Gillespie which relates cyclic spaces isomorphically to certain Banach function spaces. We obtain here an extension of this result to the wider class of precyclic spaces.

We then consider the properties of weak sequential completeness and reflexivity in Banach function spaces: necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained which in turn, via the afore-mentioned isomorphisms., both extend and simplify analogously formulated existing results for cyclic spaces.

Finally the concept of a homomorphism between pairs of Banach function spaces is examined.The class of such mappings is determined and a complete description obtained in the form of a (unique) disjoint sum of two mappings, one of which is always an isomorphism and the other of which is arbitrary in a certain sense, or null.It is shown moreover that the isomorphic component itself is composed of two other isomorphisms in a manner analogous to the geometrical composition of a rotation and a dilatation.
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## PREFACE

The theory of normed vector lattices of functions was initiated in the thirties by GiKöthe, then pursued by several others, most notably by Toeplitz. These function lattices became known as normed Köthe spaces, or also, when their norm is complete, as Banach function spaces.

The theory was properly established and standardised by A.C. Zaanen and W.A.J. Luxemburg who produced a series of very detailed papers entitled "Notes on Banach function spaces" in Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam and Indagationes Mathematicae. This extensive material was later distilled into the succinct and attractive theory rendered in [z], § 63-73.

The fundamental link between Banach function spaces and prespectral measures was made by T.A. Gillespie in 1978 when a Representation Theorem for cyclic spaces was obtained in terms of certain Banach function spaces. This theorem, as one might expect, will be exploited quite considerably in this thesis. Indeed after Chapter I which summarises the background notions pertinent to the following chapters, we begin, in Chapter II, by extending the theorem to yield a representation for the wider class of precyclic spaces.

The impulse for Chapter III came from two papers by L. Tzafriri ( $\left.\left[T_{1}\right],\left[T_{2}\right]\right)$ where necessary and sufficient conditions for weak sequential completeness and for reflexivity of cyclic spaces are discussed. The important results are proved again here differently and more concretely by invoking the representation theorems and working within function spaces. In the process they are extended as well as simplified.

In Chapter IV which pertains essentially only to Banach function space theory, the object was to explore the concept of a homomorphic relation between Banach function spaces. The literature appears to have a gap in this area, beyond the mere application of Riesz homomorphism theory to this subclass of Riesz spaces. Riesz spaces in general are not endowed with a norm and it was found that the function norm, by its monotonicity, forces any pair of Riesz (i.e. lattice-) homomorphic Banach function spaces to be homomorphic in a given sense in the norm topology. Moreover it turns out that every Riesz homomorphism of one Banach function space onto another arises as the restriction to the former space of a unique surjective Riesz homomorphism between the respective parent spaces of measurable functions. We show that this always consists of two uniquely defined disjoint components, one of which is injective (and consequently an isomorphism on its domain) and continuous in the order topology, whilst the other is nowhere injective and everywhere discontinuous in this topology, unless it vanishes. It is also shown that the isomorphic component has a strongly geometric character in that it is composed of two isomorphisms in a manner analogous to the Euclidean composition of a rotation and adilatation.

CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

In this chapter, we present a brief account, in two independent sections, of the elementary properties of prespectral measures and of Banach function spaces, as far as is relevant to the rest of this thesis. A comprehensive account can be found for $\S 1$ in [DS ${ }_{2}$ ] and for § 2 in [ Z ].

We begin by giving some notation, for the most part standard.

If $X$ is a Banach space, $X *$ will denote its Banach dual space and $B(X)$ will denote the set of bounded linear operators on X . When $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{X}^{*},\langle\mathrm{X}, \varphi\rangle$ will denote the value of the functional $\varphi$ at the point $x$.

All spaces will be over $\mathbb{C}$ unless otherwise specified. The symbol \|.\| will always denote the usual norm on any given space, e.g. if $\varphi \in X^{*},\|\varphi\|$ will mean the dual norm of $\varphi$, when no confusion arises from this convention.

If $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a measure space, $L^{1}(\mu)$ and $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ will denote respectively the usual spaces of (equivalence classes of) $\mu$-integrable and $\mu$-essentially bounded functions defined on $\Omega$.

The linear span of elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$. will be denoted by $\operatorname{lin}\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,\right\}$ and their closed iinear span by $\overline{\operatorname{In}}\left\{x_{1}, x_{2,0 \cdot 0}\right\}$.

The symbols $v$, $\wedge$ will denote lattice supremum and infimum respectively.
§ 1. Prespectral and spectral measures.
Let $X$ be a Banach space.
1.1 Definitions. A Boolean algebra of projections $B$ on $X$ is a commutative subset of $B(X)$ such that
(i) $P^{2}=P(P \in B)$,
(ii) $O \in B$
(iii) if $P \in B$ then $I-P \in B$ (where $I$ is the identity operator on X ),
(iv) if $P, Q \in B$ then $P \vee Q=P+Q-P Q \in B$ and $P \wedge Q=P Q \in B$.

A Boolean algebra of projections $B$ is called bounded if there is a real constant $K$ with $\|P\| \leq K(P \in B)$.

The Boolean algebra $B$ is said to be abstractly $\sigma$-complete if each sequence in $B$ has a greatest lower bound and a least upper bound in $B ; B$ is said to be $\sigma$-complete if it is abstractly $\sigma$-complete and if for every sequence $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ in $B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left({\underset{n}{V}}_{P_{n}}\right) x=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{P_{n} x: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}, \\
& \left(\hat{n}_{n}\right) x=\bigcap_{n}\left\{P_{n} x: n=1,2, \ldots\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

1.2 LEMMA ([DS $\left.{ }_{2}\right], X V I T .3 .3$ ). If a Boolean algebra of projections is abstractly $\sigma$-complete, then it is bounded.
1.3 LEMMA ([DS 2 ],XVII.3.11). The restriction of a $\sigma$ complete Boolean algebra of projections to an invariant subspace is o-complete.

A subset $\Gamma$ of $X^{*}$ is called total whenever $Y \in X$ and
$\langle y, \varphi\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in \Gamma$ together imply that $y=0$.
1.4 Definition. A prespectral measure of class ( $\Sigma, \Gamma$ ) with values in $B(X)$ is a mapping $E(\cdot)$ from some $\sigma-$ algebra $\Sigma$ of subsets of an arbitrary set $\Omega$ into a Boolean algebra of projections on $X$, satisfying the following conditions for all $\delta, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in \Sigma$ :
(i) $E\left(\delta_{1}\right)+E\left(\delta_{2}\right)=E\left(\delta_{1} U \delta_{2}\right)+E\left(\delta_{1}\right) E\left(\delta_{2}\right)$;
(ii) $E\left(\delta_{1}\right) E\left(\delta_{2}\right)=E\left(\delta_{1} \cap \delta_{2}\right)$;
(iii) $E(\Omega)=I ;$
(iv) $\|E(\delta)\| \leq K$ for some constant $K>0$;
(v) if $\Gamma=\left\{\varphi \in X^{*}:\langle E(\cdot) x, \varphi\rangle\right.$ is a countably additive complex measure on $\sum$ for every $\left.x \in X\right\}$, then $\Gamma$ is a total linear subspace of $\mathrm{X}^{*}$.

A spectral measure in $B(X)$ is a prespectral measure of class ( $\Sigma, X^{*}$ ). It can be shown that a prespectral measure in $B(X)$ is spectral if and only if it is strongly countably additive.
1.5 LEMMA ([DS 2$], X V I I .3 .10$ ). Let $B$ be a Boolean algebra of projections on $X$. Then $B$ is $\sigma$-complete if and only if $B$ is the range of a spectral measure defined on a $\sigma-$ field of subsets of a compact space.

## § 2. Banach function spaces.

Let $\Sigma$ be a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of a non-empty set $\Omega$ and let $\mu$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure defined on ( $\Omega, \Sigma$ ). Let $M_{\mu}$ (resp. $M_{\mu}^{+}$) denote the set of all complex-valued (resp.
non-negative extended-real-valued) measurable functions defined on $\Omega$.
Note: a function in $M_{\mu}$ (resp. $M_{\mu}^{+}$) will be called measurable if the inverse image of every Borel subset of $\mathbb{C}$ (resp. [ $0, \infty$ ) belongs to $\Sigma$. Although this differs slightly from the definition of measurability in [ z ], which is our principal source for the theory of Banach function spaces, the difference is of little consequence and the theory developed in [ Z ] applies here entirely. Elements of $M_{\mu}$ (resp. $M_{\mu}^{+}$) which agree $\mu-a . e$. are identified and we shall not normally distinguish between a function $f$ and the equivalence class of functions that are equal to $f$ a.e. This means, in particular, that the support of $f$ is defined only up to a $\mu$-null set. Thus, in fact, any two elements of $\Sigma$ whose symmetric difference is a $\mu$-null set could essentially be identified and we shall not require to make a formal distinction between the $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma$ and its measure algebra $\Sigma / N$, where $N$ denotes the collection of $\mu$-null sets. (See for instance Theorem 11.5.)

### 2.1 Notation and terminology.

(i) The characteristic function of a set $\sigma$ will be denoted by $X_{\sigma}$.
(ii) If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $L$ is any subset of $M_{\mu}$, then $X_{\sigma} L$ will denote $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\chi_{\sigma}}: f \in L\right\}$.
(iii) If $f \in M_{\mu}$, supp $f=\{x \in \Omega: f(x) \neq 0\}$ and if $f \in M_{\mu}^{+},\{f \leq n\}=\{x \in \Omega: f(x) \leq n\}$, etc. (defined up to a $\mu$-null set).
(iv) If $A_{n} \in \Sigma(n=1,2, \ldots)$, we shall write $A_{n} \uparrow A$ to mean that $A_{n} \subseteq A$ for each $n$ and $X_{A_{n}} \uparrow X_{A} \mu-a . e$. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easily shown (by a measure-theoretic argument)
that whenever we have $A_{n} \uparrow A$ and $A_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow A\left(A_{n}, A_{n}^{\prime} \in \Sigma\right.$; $\mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots$ ) then

$$
A_{n} \cap A_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow A
$$

(v) When a simple measurable function is expressed in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{\delta_{i}}$, this will always mean that the scalars $\alpha_{i}$ are distinct and the sets $\delta_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint (i = 1,..., n).
(vi) Two functions are called mutually disjoint whenever their supports intersect only in a null set; if $L$ is a subset of $M_{\mu}$, the function $f \in M_{\mu}$ is called disjoint to L if $f g=0$ a.e. for every $g \in L$.

When referring to functions in $M_{\mu}$, "a.e." will always mean $\mu$-a.e. unless otherwise indicated.

The remainder of this section provides an outline of the theory of Banach function spaces. Proofs and further detail may be found in [ Z], §§ 63-73.

### 2.2 Function norms.

A function norm on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a mapping $\rho: M_{\mu}^{+} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ such that for all $f, g \in M_{\mu}^{+}$and all $\alpha \in[0, \infty[$,
(i) $\rho(f+g) \leq \rho(f)+\rho(g)$;
(ii) $\rho(\alpha f)=\alpha \rho(f)$;
(iii) $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$ whenever $f \leq g a . e . ;$
(iv) $\rho(f)=0$ if and only if $f=0$ a.e.

Setting $\rho(f)=\rho(|f|)$ for $f \in M_{\mu}$, we define the normed Köthe space

$$
L_{\rho}=\left\{f \in M_{\mu}: \rho(f)<\infty\right\}
$$

When $L_{\rho}$ is norm complete, it is known as the Banach function space derived from $\rho$.
2.3 Properties of function norms.
(i) $\rho$ is called saturated if, whenever $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\mu(\sigma)>0$, there exists $\sigma^{\prime} \subseteq \sigma$ with $0<\rho\left(\chi_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)<\infty$. We shall always, for convenience, make the assumption that $\rho$ is a saturated norm: this assumption is equivalent to simply deleting from $\Omega$ a maximal $\rho$-purely infinite set, i.e. a maximal set $\delta$ such that for every $f \in L_{\rho}, f_{X_{\delta}}=0$ a.e. In this situation we can, and shall frequently, invoke the Exhaustion Theorem ([ Z ], Theorem 67.3): this measure-theoretic result has important consequences for our work, most notably the following:
if $\rho$ is a saturated function norm based on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and if $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then there exists in $\Sigma$ a sequence $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \sigma$ with $\rho\left(X_{\sigma_{n}}\right)<\infty(n=1,2, \ldots)$.
(ii) The function norm $\rho$ has the Riesz-Fischer property if, whenever $f_{i} \in M_{\mu}^{+}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ and
$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho\left(f_{i}\right)<\infty$, then $\rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}\right)<\infty$.
(iii) $\rho$ has the weak Fatou property if it follows from $0 \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \ldots \uparrow u$ a.e., with each $u_{n} \in M_{\mu}^{+}$and $\sup _{n} \rho\left(u_{n}\right)<\infty$, that $\rho(u)<\infty$.
(iv) $\rho$ has the Fatou property if it follows from $0 \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \ldots \uparrow u$ a.e., with each $u_{n} \in M_{\mu}^{+}$, that $\rho(u)=\sup _{n} \rho\left(u_{n}\right)$.

The Riesz-Fischer property is equivalent to completeness of the normed space $L_{\rho}([Z], 64.2)$, and properties (ii) - (iv) are listed in increasing order of strength, i.e. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) ([ Z ], 65.1).

### 2.4 The Associate Norms.

For each $f \in M_{\mu}^{+}$, define

$$
\rho^{\prime}(f)=\sup \left\{\int f g d \mu: g \in M_{\mu}^{+}, \rho(g) \leq 1\right\}
$$

It is easily checked that $\rho^{\prime}$ satisfies conditions (i) (iii) of 2.2 , so is a function seminorm. If $\rho$ is saturated, then $\rho^{\prime}$ also satisfies condition (iv), and is then called the associate norm of $\rho$. With some more work it can also be shown that $\rho^{\prime}$ is saturated ([ Z ], $\S$ 69). Instead of $L_{\rho}$ ! we will write $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and call this function space the associate space of $L_{\rho}$.

We can define the second and $n$th associates of $\rho$ by $\rho^{\prime \prime}=\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and, inductively, $\rho^{(n)}=\left(\rho^{(n-1)}\right)$ ' for $n \geq 3$. The following fundamental results should be noted:
(i) $\rho^{\prime}$ always has the Fatou property ([ z ], 68.1);
(ii) $\rho " \leq \rho$, with equality if and only if $\rho$ has the Fatou property; if $\rho$ has the weak Fatou property, then $\rho$ and $\rho "$ are equivalent norms, so $L_{\rho}$ and $L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$ then contain the same elements ([ Z ], 68.2, 71.3);
(iii) From (i) and (ii) clearly $\rho^{(n+2)}=\rho^{(n)}(n \geq 1)$;
(iv) If $\rho$ is a saturated function norm, then for all $u, v \in M_{\mu}^{+}$,

$$
\int u v d \mu \leq \rho(u) \rho^{\prime}(v) \quad \text { (Hölder inequality) }
$$

([ z ], 68.5). In particular, if $u \in L_{\rho}$ and $v \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, then $u v \in I^{1}(\mu)$.
2.5 The order ideal $L_{\rho}^{a}$.

An order ideal of $M_{\mu}$ is a linear subspace $I$ such that, if $f \in I$ and $g \in M_{\mu}$ with $|g| \leq|f|$ a.e., then $g \in I$.

Every ideal I has a carrier set $C \in \Sigma$ which is defined to be the complement in $\Omega$ of a maximal set $C^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ such that $\mathrm{fX}_{\mathrm{C}},=0$ a.e. for every $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{I}$.

An element $f$ of $L_{\rho}$ is said to be of absolutely continuous norm if, whenever $f_{i} \in L_{p}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ and $|f| \geq f_{1} \geq f_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ pointwise a.e. on $\Omega$, then it follows that $\rho\left(f_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $L_{\rho}^{a}$ denote the set of all functions of absolutely continuous norm in $L_{\rho}$.
(i) $L_{p}^{a}$ is a norm-closed ideal of $L_{\rho}$, and we shall denote by $\Omega_{a}$ the carrier set of $L_{\rho}^{a}$.
(ii) If $\rho$ is an absolutely continuous norm, i.e. if $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$, and if $\rho$ has the weak Fatou property, then $\rho$ has the Fatou property ([ Z ], 73. $\alpha$ ).
(iii) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is an order-dense ideal of $X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}$, i.e. whenever $0 \leq f=f_{X_{\Omega_{a}}} \in L_{\rho}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\rho}^{a}$ with $O \leq f_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e.

Proof. Let $0 \leq f=f_{X_{\Omega_{a}}} \in L_{\rho}$. By the Exhaustion Theorem ([ $\left[\mathrm{l}, 67.3\right.$ ) and the definition of the carrier of $L_{\rho}^{a}$, we can find a sequence of sets $\Omega_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ with $\chi_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ). Let $\Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\{f \leq n\}$ and define $\Omega_{n}=\Omega_{n}^{\prime} \cap \Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ). Since $f<\infty$ a.e., $\Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime} \uparrow \Omega$ and so (from 2.1(iv)), $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$. Hence $0 \leq f_{\chi_{\Omega_{n}}} \uparrow f$ a.e., and for each n,

$$
f x_{\Omega_{n}} \leq n x_{\Omega_{n}} \leq n x_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in L_{\rho}^{a}
$$

Taking $f_{n}=f_{\chi_{\Omega_{n}}}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ we have a suitable sequence.

Note (iii) implies, in particular, that for all $f \in M_{\mu}^{+}$,

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(f X_{\Omega_{a}}\right)=\sup \left\{\int f g d \mu: 0 \leq g \in L_{\rho}^{a}, \rho(g) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

### 2.6 The dual space of $\mathrm{L} \rho$ :

The dual space $L_{\rho}^{*}$ is partially ordered by defining that $G_{1} \leq G_{2}$ whenever $\left\langle f, G_{1}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle f, G_{2}\right\rangle$ for every $f \in L_{\rho}^{+}$ $\left(G_{1}, G_{2} \in L_{\rho}^{*}\right)$.

The non-negative linear functionals on $L_{\rho}$ are precisely those elements $G$ of $L_{\rho}^{*}$ satisfying $G \geq \theta$, where $\theta$ denotes the null functional. Let $\Theta \leq G \in L_{\rho}^{*}$ : then $G$ is said to be
(i) an order continuous linear functional if, whenever $f_{i} \in L_{p}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ and $f_{1} \geq f_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ a.e., we have

$$
\left\langle f_{n}, G\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 ;
$$

(ii) a singular linear functional if, whenever $G \geq G_{1} \geqslant \theta$ in $I_{\rho}^{*}$ and $G_{1}$ is order continuous, then $G_{1}=\theta$.

THEOREM (see [ Z ], § 48). Any G $\in L_{\rho}^{*}$ has a Standard (Jordan) Decomposition as

$$
G=G_{1}-G_{2}+i\left(G_{3}-G_{4}\right)
$$

where each $G_{i} \geq \theta(i=1, \ldots, 4)$, and where this is the most efficient decomposition in the sense that if we also have

$$
G=G_{5}-G_{6}+i\left(G_{7}-G_{8}\right)
$$

with $G_{i} \geq \theta(i=5, \ldots, 8)$, then $G_{5}-G_{1}=G_{6}-G_{2}$ is non-negative and $G_{7}-G_{3}=G_{8}-G_{4}$ is non-negative.

Let $G \in L_{\rho}^{*}$ : we call $G$ order continuous (resp. singular) if each of the non-negative components of $G$ in its Standard Decomposition is order continuous (resp. singular).

In [ Z ], § 70 it is shown that the mutually exclusive
properties (i), (ii) for linear functionals define disjoint linear subspaces of $L_{\rho}^{*}$, and moreover that every element $G$ of $L_{\rho}^{*}$ has a unique decomposition as

$$
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

where $G_{C}$ is an order continuous, and $G_{s}$ a singular linear functional. It is shown also that an element $G$ of $L_{p}^{*}$ is order-continuous if and only if there exists a function $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ such that for every $f \in L_{\rho}$,

$$
\langle f, G\rangle=\int f g d \mu
$$

and in this case the dual norm $\|G\|$ of $G$ is precisely $\rho^{\prime}(g)$. It is therefore standard practice to identify the subspace of order continuous linear functionals with $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, and, letting $L_{\rho, s}^{*}$ denote the space of singular linear functionals, we write

$$
L_{\rho}^{*}=L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho, s}^{*}
$$

Moreover, $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ is a total linear subspace of $L_{\rho}^{*}$.

Standard Decomposition of functions.
Note finally that every element $f$ of $M_{\mu}$ has a unique decomposition as $f_{1}-f_{2}+i\left(f_{3}-f_{4}\right)$ where for $j=1, \ldots, 4, f_{j}$ is an element of $M_{\mu}^{+}, f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are disjoint, and $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ are disjoint. This fact enables us to obtain results more easily by proving them first for non-negative valued functions and extending them by the Standard Decomposition above, to all of $M_{\mu}$. This extension process is usually trivial, and when occurring, will therefore not be made explicit.

CHAPTER II. REPRESENTATION OF PRECYCLIC SPACES.

In $\left[G_{1}\right]$, T.A. Gillespie gave a representation theorem for cyclic spaces, in terms of the ideal of functions of absolutely continuous norm in a Banach function space. In the present chapter we shall obtain a slight generalisation of this result, and pursue one or two points arising, particularly in relation to Banach function spaces themselves. We begin by summarising Gillespie's representation.

Definition. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $B$ be a $\sigma-$ complete Boolean algebra of projections on $X$. Then $X$ is called cyclic with respect to $B$ if there exists an element $x_{0}$ of $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{P x_{0}: P \in B\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an element $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is called a cyclic vector for X .

REPRESENTATION THEOREM ([ $\left.\mathrm{G}_{1}\right], \S 3$ ). Let $B$ be a $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra of projections on a Banach space $X$ and let $x_{0} \in X$ be such that

$$
x=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{P x_{0}: P \in B\right\}
$$

Then there exist a finite measure $\mu_{A}^{(*)}$ defined on a $\sigma-$ algebra $\Sigma$ of subsets of a compact Hausdorff space $\Omega$, a saturated function norm $\rho$ based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ), and a linear isomorphism $U$ from the ideal $L_{\rho}^{a}$ of $L_{\rho}$ onto $X$ such that
(i) $\rho$ has the Fatou property;
(ii) the constant function 1 belongs to $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and to $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$;
(iii) $U 1=x_{0}$;
(iv) $U(\varphi f)=T_{\varphi} U f$ for $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$;
(*) and a spectral measure $E$ with range $\beta$
(v) $\|U f\| \leq \rho(f) \leq 4 K\|U f\|$ for $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$,
where $T_{\varphi}=\int \varphi(\lambda) E(d \lambda)$ is well-defined in the norm topology of $B(X)$ ([DS 2$], p$. 1929), and $K$ is a uniform bound on the norms $\|E(\sigma)\|(\sigma \in \Sigma)$ (Lemma 1.2).

Via this isomorphism, the Banach space X inherits a natural lattice ordering given by

$$
x_{1} \leq x_{2} \quad \text { if } \quad U^{-1} x_{1} \leq U^{-1} x_{2} \quad \mu-a . e .
$$

The $\sigma$-completeness of $B$ ensures that for each $x \in X a$ Bade functional can be found ([DS $\left.\left.{ }_{2}\right], X V I I .3 .12\right)$, that is to say an element $x^{*}$ of $X^{*}$ such that
(i) $\left\langle P x, x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad(P \in B)$, and
(ii) $\left\langle P x, x^{*}\right\rangle=0$ only if $P x=0$.

The measure $\mu$ of the theorem is defined by taking a Bade functional $x_{0}^{*}$ corresponding to $x_{0}$ and letting

$$
\mu(\sigma)=\left\langle E(\sigma) x_{O}, x_{0}^{*}\right\rangle \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma)
$$

Since $B$ is $\sigma$-complete we also have (Lemma 1.5) that $B$ is the range of a strongly countably additive spectral measure $E(\cdot)$ on $X$. We shall presently be considering Banach spaces $X$ of a form analogous to (1), where $B$ is replaced by the range of a prespectral measure on $X$.
§ 3. Prespectral measures in $L_{\rho}$ and $L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}$.
We begin with two lemmas of a general character which will play an important part in later chapters also.
3.1 LEMMA. $\quad\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{*}=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime}(c f .[z], 72.5,6)$.

Proof. Recall that ([ Z ], § 70, § 73)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\rho}^{*}=L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho, S}^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\rho, s}^{*} \subseteq\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) \perp \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $O \leq g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and write

$$
g_{a}=g x_{\Omega_{a}}, g_{b}=g-g_{a}
$$

For any $h \in L_{\rho}, h=h X_{\Omega_{a}}$, so

$$
\left\langle h, g_{b}\right\rangle=\int h g_{b} d \mu=0
$$

i.e. $g_{b} \in\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime} \subseteq\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $g_{a} \in\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp}$. Then for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$,

$$
0=\left\langle\chi_{\sigma}, g_{a}\right\rangle=\int_{\sigma} g_{a} d \mu
$$

Since $g_{a}=g x_{\Omega_{a}} \geq 0$ a.e., this means that $g_{a} X_{\sigma}=0$ a.e. By the Exhaustion Theorem ([ Z], 67.3) we can find sets $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ with each $\chi_{\sigma_{n}} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$; it follows easily that $g_{a}=0$ a.e. Hence $\chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp}$. Putting this fact together with (3) and (4), compare then with
(2) which may be rewritten as

$$
L_{\rho}^{*}=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus X_{\Omega, \Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho, s}^{*} .
$$

The result then follows.
3.2 LEMMA. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property. Then

$$
L_{\rho}^{a}=x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho \prime}^{a}
$$

Proof. We begin by showing that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is a closed subspace of $L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$. Recall that $\rho=\rho "$ on $L_{\rho}^{a}([z], 72.3)$. If $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $f \geq f_{1} \geq f_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ a.e., then $\rho "\left(f_{n}\right)=\rho\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $f \in L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}} \subseteq \mathrm{~L}_{\rho \|}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{a}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $f \in L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfy $\rho "\left(f-f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is $\rho "$-Cauchy and hence $\rho$-Cauchy so, since $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is a closed ideal of $L_{\rho}$, there exists $g \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ with $\rho\left(g-f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

However then,

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(g-f_{n}\right)=\rho\left(g-f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

So $g=f$ a.e. and $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ as required.
Let $\Omega_{C}$ denote the carrier of $L_{\rho "}^{a}$. From (5), $\Omega_{a} \subseteq \Omega_{c}$ and $L_{\rho}^{a} \subseteq \chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho "}^{a}$. Hence, viewing $L_{\rho}^{a}$ as a subspace of $L_{\rho " \prime}^{a}$,

$$
\left(x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}\right)^{\perp} \subseteq\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp}
$$

We shall show the converse inclusion also holds. We have that $L_{\rho "}^{*}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime \prime} \quad \oplus L_{\rho ", s}^{*}=L_{\rho}^{\prime} \cdot \oplus L_{\rho ", ~}^{*}$, and viewing $L_{\rho}^{a}$ as a subspace of $L_{\rho}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp} \supseteq\left(L_{\rho "}^{a}\right)^{\perp} \supseteq L_{\rho ", s}^{*} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since
$L_{\rho "}^{*}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime \prime} \oplus L_{\rho}^{*}{ }^{*}, s=L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho ", s}^{*}=\chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus \chi_{\Omega, \Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho}^{*}{ }^{\prime}, s$,
it follows from (6) and from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp}=x_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho ", s}^{*}
$$

If $g=g X_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{a}} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, then trivially $\langle h, g\rangle=0$ whenever $h=h \chi_{\Omega_{a}} \in L_{\rho " \prime}^{a}$. Now suppose $0 \leq g=g x_{\Omega_{a}} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime} \cap\left(x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}\right)^{\perp}$. Then for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_{a}=\left\{\delta \cap \Omega_{a}: \delta \in \Sigma\right\}$ with $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho " \prime \prime}^{a}$,

$$
0=\left\langle\chi_{\sigma}, g\right\rangle=\int_{\sigma} g d \mu
$$

so $g x_{\sigma}=0$ a.e. By the Exhaustion Theorem ([z], 67.3), we can find a sequence $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ with $\chi_{\sigma_{n}} \in L_{\rho "}^{a}$ for each $n$. It now follows that $g X_{\Omega_{a}}=0$ a.e. and thus

$$
\left(x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}\right)^{\perp} \cap L_{\rho}^{\prime}=x_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime}=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp} \cap L_{\rho}^{\prime}
$$

So finally, since $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho "}^{a}$ are both closed ideals of Lp,

$$
L_{\rho}^{a}=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{\perp \perp}=\left(x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}\right)^{\perp \perp}=x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho "}^{a}
$$

3.3 COROLLARY. $L_{\rho}^{a}=L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}$ if and only if $\Omega_{a}=\Omega_{c}$, where $\Omega_{c}$ is the carrier of $L_{\rho "}^{a}$.

We now give a further three lemmas which are crucial to the present chapter.
3.4 LEMMA. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm based on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Then the set of multiplication operators $M_{X \sigma}: f \leftrightarrow \mathrm{f}_{X_{\sigma}}\left(\sigma \in \Sigma, f \in L_{\rho}\right)$ constitutes a bounded Boolean algebra of projections on $L_{\rho}$ and the mapping $E(\cdot): \sigma \mapsto M_{X_{\sigma}}$ on $\Sigma$ is a prespectral measure of class $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. Moreover each element of $L_{\rho}$ has a corresponding Bade functional in $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. This prespectral measure $E(\cdot)$ is spectral (i.e. strongly countably additive) if and only if $\rho$ is an absolutely continuous norm.

Proof. It is routine to check that the operators $\left\{M_{X_{\sigma}}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ form a bounded Boolean algebra of projections each of norm at most one; whenever $f \in L_{\rho}$ and $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, then $f g \in L^{1}(\mu)$ so the mapping

$$
\sigma \mapsto\left\langle M_{X \sigma} f, g\right\rangle=\int_{\sigma} f g d \mu \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma)
$$

is a countably additive complex measure on $\Sigma$. Let $f \in L_{\rho}$ and suppose that $\langle f, g\rangle=0$ for all $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. We show that $\langle | f|, g\rangle=0$ for all $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. Let $\theta$ be a unimodular function satisfying $f \theta=|f|$. Then for any $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, $g \theta \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ also, and

$$
0=\langle f, g \theta\rangle=\int f g \theta d \mu=\int|f| g d \mu=\langle | f|, g\rangle
$$

Therefore there is no loss in assuming that $f \in L_{\rho}^{+}$. Since $\rho^{\prime}$ is a saturated norm, the Exhaustion Theorem ([ Z ], 67.3) allows us to find a sequence $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ with $X_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ for each $n$. By hypothesis,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{f} d \mu=\left\langle\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{X}_{\Omega_{\mathrm{n}}}\right\rangle=0
$$

for each $n$. Hence $f_{X_{\Omega_{n}}}=0$ a.e. for each $n$, and it follows that $\mathrm{f}=0$ a.e. on $\Omega$. Hence $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ is a total subspace of $L_{\rho}^{*}$, and thus $E(\cdot)$ is a prespectral measure on $L_{\rho}$, of class ( $\Sigma, L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ ).

Now choose an element $h$ of $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ with $h>0$ a.e. For any fixed $f \in L_{\rho}$, let $\gamma=$ supp $f$ and let $\theta$ be a function in $m_{\mu}$ with $|\theta| \leq 1$ a.e. and, supp: $\theta=\gamma$, satisfying $f \theta=|f|$ a.e. For any $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$
\left\langle X_{\sigma} f, h \theta\right\rangle=\int_{\sigma} f h \theta d \mu=\int_{\sigma}|f| h d \mu \geq 0,
$$

and $\left\langle\chi_{\sigma}{ }^{f}, h \theta\right\rangle=0$ only if $|f| h X_{\sigma}=0 \quad \mu-a . e$. Since $h>0$ a.e. this occurs only when $|f| x_{\sigma}=0$ a.e., i.e. when $\mathrm{f}_{X_{\sigma}}=0$ a.e. Therefore $h \theta$ is a Bade functional for $f$, and is in $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ since $|h \theta| \leq h$ a.e.

Suppose finally that $E(\cdot)$ is strongly countably additive. Let $f \in I_{\rho}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\Sigma$ such that $\sigma_{n}+\varnothing$. Then we have

$$
\rho\left(f \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=\rho\left(E\left(\sigma_{n}\right) f\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

But this shows that $f$ is of absolutely continuous norm Hence $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$.
Conversely if $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$, then $L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L_{\rho}^{*}$, so the measure $E(\cdot)$ is of class ( $\Sigma, L_{\rho}^{*}$ ) and hence is strongly countably additive (Def. 1.4).
3.5 COROLLARY. If $L_{\rho}$ is weakly sequentially complete, then $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$.

Proof. Assume $L_{\rho}$ is weakly sequentially complete. Then by [ $\left.G_{3}\right]$, Theorem 1 and Corollary, every prespectral measure on $L_{p}$ is spectral. In particular, the measure $\sigma \mapsto M_{X_{\sigma}}$ is spectral. From Lemma 3.4 therefore, $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$.

In $\left[G_{1}\right]$ it was shown that whenever $1 \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, then $L^{\infty}$ is dense in $L_{\rho}^{a}$. The next lemma generalises this fact.
3.6 LEMMA. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property, and let $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ have support $\Omega_{a}$. Then, denoting by $J_{f}$ the principal ideal generated by $f$,

$$
\bar{J}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{L}_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}
$$

More generally, for any $g \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ with supp $g=\gamma \in \Sigma$,

$$
\bar{J}_{g}=x_{\gamma} L_{\rho}^{a}
$$

Proof. $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is a closed order ideal of $L_{\rho}$, so clearly since $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, the closed principal ideal $\bar{J}_{f}$ generated by $f$ is contained in $L_{\rho}^{a}$.
For the converse inclusion, suppose $0 \leq h \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. Since $J_{f}=J_{|f|}$, we shall assume that $\mathrm{f} \geq 0$ a.e. Let $E_{n}=\{h \leq n\}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. By the Exhaustion Theorem ([ z ], 67.3), we may choose a sequence $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ with
$X_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ for each $n$. Let $F_{n}=E_{n} \cap \Omega_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Since $h<\infty$ a.e., $E_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ so $F_{n} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ and $h X_{F_{n}} \uparrow$ ha.e. Since $h \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $h \geq h-h X_{F_{1}} \geq h-h X_{F_{2}} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ a.e.. we have that $\rho\left(h-h X_{F_{n}}\right) \rightarrow O$ it is therefore sufficient to prove that for each $n, h \chi_{F_{n}} \in \bar{J}_{f}$.
Let $n$ be fixed and write $h_{n}=h X_{F_{n}}$; letting $G_{m}=\left\{f \geq \frac{1}{m}\right\}$ ( $m=1,2, \ldots$ ), then $X_{G_{m}} \leq m f X_{G_{m}} \leq m f$, so $X_{G_{m}} \in J_{f}$ $(m=1,2, \ldots)$ : since $G_{m} \uparrow \Omega_{a}, F_{n} \cap G_{m} \uparrow F_{n}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so $h_{n} X_{G_{m}} \uparrow h_{n}$ a.e. Since $h_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{a}, \rho\left(h_{n}-h_{n} X_{G_{m}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Now for each $m$,

$$
h_{n} \chi_{G_{m}} \leq h \chi_{E_{n} \cap G_{m}} \leq n \chi_{G_{m}} \in J_{f} ;
$$

hence $h_{n} \in \bar{J}_{f}$ and the first assertion of the lemma follows.

It is clear that the more general statement also follows in a similar manner.

Note that by Lemma 1.5, Lemma 3.4 tells us that whenever $\rho$ is an absolutely continuous norm, then $L_{\rho}$ is a cyclic space. It is easy to see that more generally, the ideal $L_{\rho}^{a}$ of $L_{\rho}$ is cyclic with respect to the restricted projections $M_{\chi_{\sigma}} \mid L_{\rho}^{a}(\sigma \in \Sigma)$, by virtue of its invariance under each $M_{X_{\sigma}}$, and by Lemma 3.1 . Recall however that the ideal of functions of absolutely continuous norm occurring in Gillespie's representation displayed several special properties. The following lemma will derive directly from any $\rho$ which is complete and saturated, another function norm $\tau$ displaying properties (i) - (v) of the Representation Theorem, together with an appropriate linear isomorphism between $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $L_{\tau}^{a}$.
3.7 LEMMA. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property, based on the o-finite measure space $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Let $0 \leq f_{0} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ with supp $f_{o}=\Omega_{a}$.

Then $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is a cyclic space with respect to the Boolean algebra of projections $\left\{M_{X_{\sigma}} \mid L_{\rho}^{a}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ and $f_{o}$ is a cyclic vector.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma: $3.1 ;$ the projections $\left\{M_{X_{\sigma}} \mid L_{\rho}\right.$ : $\left.: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ form a $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra. From Lemma 3.6, $L_{\rho}^{a}=\bar{J}_{f_{O}}$. Define a measure $v$ on $\Sigma_{a}=\left\{\sigma \cap \Omega_{a}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ by

$$
\nu(\sigma)=\int_{\sigma} f_{0} \varphi_{0} d \mu,
$$

where $\varphi_{o}$ can be taken to be any fixed non-negative element of $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. whose support is $\Omega_{a} ; \nu$ and $\left.\mu\right|_{\Sigma_{a}}$ are then equivalent measures. Now define a function norm $\tau$ on $M_{\nu}$ by

$$
\tau(g)=\rho "\left(g f_{0}\right) \quad\left(g \in M_{\nu}\right)
$$

and a mapping $U_{1}: M_{\mu} \rightarrow M_{\nu}$ by

$$
U_{1} f=f_{o}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega_{a}} \quad\left(f \in M_{\mu}\right)
$$

Then for each $f \in M_{\mu}, \tau\left(U_{1} f\right)=\rho "\left(f_{\Omega_{a}}\right)$ and

$$
L_{\tau}=\left\{\mathrm{ff}_{0}^{-1}: \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}_{\chi_{\Omega_{a}}} \in \mathrm{~L}_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}\right\}=U_{1}\left(X_{\Omega \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~L}_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

It is clear that $\tau$ is a saturated norm. Now suppose we have $0 \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \ldots \uparrow u$ a.e., with each $u_{n} \in L_{\tau}$. Then, since $\rho$ " has the Fatou property,

$$
\tau(u)=\rho "\left(u f_{o}\right)=\sup _{n} \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n} f_{o}\right)=\sup _{n} \tau\left(u_{n}\right) .
$$

Hence $\tau$ has the Fatou property.
For any $O \leq f \in M_{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\prime}(f) & =\sup \left\{\int f g d \nu: \tau(g) \leq 1, g \geq 0 \text { a.e. }\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\int f g f_{0} \varphi_{0} d \mu: \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(g f_{0}\right) \leq 1, g \geq 0 \text { a.e. }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sup \left\{\int f g \varphi_{o} d \mu: \rho^{\prime \prime}(g) \leq 1, g \geq o a \cdot e \cdot\right\} \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(f \varphi_{O}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime}\left(f \varphi_{O}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\tau^{\prime}(1)=\rho^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{O}\right)<\infty$ (where clearly, 1 denotes $\chi_{\Omega_{a}}$ ).
Let $0 \leq g \in L_{\tau}^{a}$ and suppose we have

$g \geq g_{1} f_{0}^{-1} \geq g_{2} f_{0}^{-1} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0 \quad \mu-a . e$. on $\Omega_{a}$, therefore also v-a.e., and we have $\tau\left(g_{i} f_{0}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\rho "\left(g_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $g f_{0} \in L_{\rho \prime \prime}^{a}$. Since $g f_{o}=g f_{o} X_{\Omega_{a}}$, then from Lemma $3.2, ~ g f_{o} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. An equally easy argument in the converse direction allows us to conclude that $g \in L_{\tau}^{a}$ if and only if $g f_{O} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, or equivalently that

$$
f \in L_{\rho}^{a} \text { if and only if } U_{1} f \in L_{\tau}^{a}
$$

In particular $U_{1} 1=f_{O}$, so $1 \in L_{\tau}^{a}$ and thus the norm $\tau$ displays all the desired properties.
Since $\Omega_{a}$ is the carrier of $L_{\rho}^{a}$, it is evident that $U=\left.U_{1}\right|_{\text {La }}$ is one-one. Hence $U: L_{\rho}^{a} \rightarrow L_{\tau}^{a}$ is a bijective linear iSomorphism. For each $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, $\tau(U f)=\rho^{\prime \prime}(f)=\rho(f)$, so $U$ is bicontinuous. Thus $U$ is the required isomorphism of $L_{\rho}^{a}$, and finally,

$$
L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}=\overline{\operatorname{Iin}}\left\{\chi_{\sigma^{\prime}} \mathrm{f}_{0}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 show that the principal order ideal generated in $L_{\rho}$ by any function of absolutely continuous norm is a cyclic subspace of $L_{\rho}$. In fact a broader result is true as we shall see in Prop. 3.9. But first we need the definition of a precyclic space.
3.8 Definition. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $E(\cdot)$ be a prespectral measure on $X$, of class ( $\Sigma, \Gamma$ ), where $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of sets, and $\Gamma=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle E(\cdot) x, x^{*}\right\rangle\right.$ is a countably additive complex measure on $\Sigma$ for every $x \in X\}$. If there exists an element $x_{0}$ of $X$ such that

$$
x=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{E(\sigma) x_{0}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\},
$$

then $X$ is called precyclic with respect to the range of $E(\cdot)$, and $x_{0}$ is called a cyclic vector for $X$.

Notation. Given a prespectral measure $E(\cdot)$ on a Banach space $X$ as above, we shall denote by $M(x)$ the precyclic subspace $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\{E(\sigma) x: \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ of $x$, generated by the element $x$ of $X$.
3.9 PROPOSITION. Let $\rho$ be a complete saturated function norm based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) and let $g \in L_{\rho}$. Then the closed principal order ideal $\bar{J}_{g}$ is a precyclic subspace of $L_{p}$ with respect to the prespectral measure $E(\cdot): \sigma \rightarrow M_{X_{\sigma}}$ ( $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ), and $g$ is a cylic vector, i.e.

$$
\bar{J}_{g}=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{\chi_{\sigma} g: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

Proof. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma,\left|\chi_{\sigma} g\right| \leq|g|$ therefore $\chi_{\sigma} g \in J_{g}$; since an ideal is a linear subspace, inn $\left\{X_{\sigma} g: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\} \subseteq J_{g}$ and hence $M(g) \subseteq \bar{J}_{g}$. For the reverse inclusion, note firstly that whenever $\sigma$ and $\delta$ are elements of $\Sigma$, then

$$
{ }^{M} X_{\delta}\left(x_{\sigma} g\right)=x_{\sigma \cap \delta^{\prime}} g \in M(g)
$$

so $M(g)$ is closed under multiplication by characteristic functions and therefore also under multiplication by simple functions. Now let $\varphi \geq 0$ be a bounded measurable function: there is a sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of simple functions
such that $0 \leq s_{n}+\varphi$ a.e. uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we may therefore assume (or take a suitable subsequence to ensure) that for each $n$,

$$
\left|s_{n}-\varphi\right| \leq 2^{-n} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

For any $f \in M(g)$, we have $s_{n} f \in M(g)$ from above, and

$$
\rho\left(\varphi f-s_{n} f\right)=\rho\left(\left|\varphi-s_{n}\right| f\right) \leq 2^{-n} \rho(f) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $M(g)$ is norm-closed, $\varphi f \in M(g)$ and so $M(g)$ is closed under multiplication by any bounded measurable function. Since $g \in M(g)$, it now follows that the order ideal $J_{g}$ is contained in $M(g)$ and hence that $\bar{J}_{g} \subseteq M(g)$.
§ 4. A representation theorem for precyclic spaces.
Throughout this section, we let $E(\cdot)$ be a prespectral measure of class ( $\Sigma, \Gamma$ ) on a Banach space $X$, and we suppose that there is an element $e$ of $X$ such that

$$
x=\overline{\operatorname{Iin}}\{E(\sigma) e: \sigma \in \Sigma\}
$$

Further, we assume that a Bade functional e* may be found for $e$, in $\Gamma$. We introduce definitions for a measure space $\mu$ on $\Sigma$ and a function norm $\rho$ on $M_{\mu}$, similar to those of $\left[G_{1}\right]$, viz.

$$
\mu(\sigma)=\left\langle E(\sigma) e, e^{*}\right\rangle \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma)
$$

and

$$
\rho(f)=\sup \left\{\left\|T_{\varphi} \mathrm{e}\right\|:|\varphi| \leq|f| \text { a.e., } \varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)\right\}\left(f \in \mathbb{M}_{\mu}\right),
$$

recalling that $T_{\varphi}=\int_{\Omega} \varphi(\lambda) E(d \lambda)$ is well-defined in the uniform topology of $\Omega_{B}(X)$, for each $\varphi \in L^{\infty}$, and satisfies
$\left\|T_{\varphi}\right\| \leq 4 K\|\varphi\|_{\infty}$, where $K$ is a uniform bound for $\{\|\mathrm{E}(\sigma)\|: \sigma \in \Sigma\}\left(\left[\mathrm{DS}_{2}\right]\right.$, p. 1929).

That $\rho$ is then a saturated function norm follows exactly as in [ $G_{1}$ ]. We include at this stage a purely technical lemma, and derive a corollary thereof which will enable us to show completeness of the norm $\rho$. The setting of this lemma is an arbitrary Riesz space (or vector lattice) : since the corollary, and its application in Prop. 4.3 use none but the most elementary lattice theory and the fact that $L_{\rho}$ is a Riesz space, it is not pertinent to say any more about Riesz spaces here. A fuller introduction to their properties will be given at the beginning of Chapter IV, or may be found in $\left[L_{2}\right]$ and [F].
4.1 LEMMA ([F], 14 Jb$)$. Let E be a Riesz space. If $\left\{x_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a finite sequence in $E^{+}$, and $y \in E$ satisfies $|y| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$, then there exists a finite sequence $\left\{y_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ in $E$ such that $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$ and $\left|y_{i}\right| \leq x_{i}$ for each i.

Remark. From the proof of the lemma, it also follows that the sequence obtained satisfies $\left|y_{i}\right| \leq|y|$ for each i.
4. 2 COROLLARY. Suppose we have $x_{1} x_{i} \in E^{+}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ satisfying $x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i}$, and $y \in E$ with $|y| \leq x$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $\left|y_{i}\right| \leq x_{i} \wedge|y|$ ( $i=1,2, \ldots$ ), and for each $n, Y_{n}^{\prime}=y-\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$ satisfies $\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_{i}$.

Proof. For $m=1,2, \ldots$, define $x_{m}^{\prime}=\sum_{r=m+1}^{\infty} x_{r}$ so that $x=\sum_{r=1}^{m} x_{r}+x_{m}^{\prime} \cdot$ We apply the lemma and remark iteratively with $n=2$ at each step.

Step 1. Since $|y| \leq x=x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}$, there exist elements $Y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}$ of $E$ with $\left|y_{1}\right| \leq x_{1} \wedge|y|,\left|y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge|y|$ and $y=y_{1}+Y_{1}^{\prime}$.

Step $n+1$ ( $n \geq 1$ ). We have $\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq x_{n}^{\prime}=x_{n+1}+x_{n+1}^{\prime}$; so there exist $y_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}^{\prime}$ in $E$ with
$\left|y_{n+1}\right| \leq x_{n+1} \wedge\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq x_{n+1} \wedge|y|$,
$\left|y_{n+1}^{\prime}\right| \leq x_{n+1}^{\prime} \wedge\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq x_{n+1}^{\prime} \wedge|y|$ and $y_{n}^{\prime}=y_{n+1}+y_{n+1}^{\prime}$.
The sequences $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$, $\left\{y_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ thus defined satisfy the required conditions.
4.3 PROPOSITION. $\rho$ has the Riesz-Fischer property.

Proof. Suppose $f_{i} \in M_{\mu}^{+}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ and $\sum \rho\left(f_{i}\right)<\infty$. Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i} \in M_{\mu}$ : we show that $f \in L_{\rho}$.
Let $\varphi \in L^{\infty}$ with $|\varphi| \leq f$ a.e. Applying Cor. 4.2, there are sequences $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_{i}+\psi_{N}
$$

where $\left|\varphi_{i}\right| \leq \inf \left(f_{i} ;|\varphi|\right)(i=1, \ldots, N)$, and $\left|\psi_{N}\right| \leq \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} f_{i}$. We claim now that $\psi_{N} \rightarrow_{N}$ o a.e. as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, letting $\tau_{\infty}=\{f=\infty\}$ and $g_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}$ $(N=1,2, \ldots)$, then $g_{N} \uparrow \infty$ pointwise a.e. on $\tau_{\infty}$. Since $\mu(\Omega)=\left\langle e, e^{*}\right\rangle\langle\infty$, a variant of Egoroff's Theorem applies and yields an increasing sequence of measurable sets $\tau_{k}$ contained in $\tau_{\infty}$, with $\mu\left(\tau_{\infty} \backslash \underset{k}{U} \tau_{k}\right)=0$ and such that $g_{N} \uparrow \infty$ uniformly on $\tau_{k}$ for each ${ }_{k}^{k}$. Since $e^{*}$ is a Bade functional, it follows that $E\left(\tau_{\infty} \backslash \bigcup_{k} \tau_{k}\right) e=0$.

Suppose that $E\left(\tau_{\infty}\right)$ e $\neq 0$. Then $\mu\left(\tau_{\infty}\right)=\mu\left(\cup_{k} \tau_{k}\right) \neq 0$. Let $\tau_{1}^{\prime}=\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{r}^{\prime}=\tau_{r} \backslash \tau_{r-1}(r \geq 2)$; then the sets $\tau_{r}^{\prime}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{I} \tau_{r}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{r} \tau_{r}$, so

$$
0<\mu\left(Y_{r} \tau_{r}\right)=\mu\left(U_{r} \tau_{r}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{r} \mu\left(\tau_{r}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Hence for some $k \in \mathbb{N}, \mu\left(\tau_{k}^{\prime}\right)>0$, which implies that $E\left(\tau_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ e $\neq 0$, and therefore that $E\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ e $\neq 0$. Since $g_{N} \uparrow \infty$ uniformly on $\tau_{k}$, there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{m}\right\}$ of $N$ such that for each m,

$$
g_{n_{m}} \chi_{\tau_{k}} \geq m \chi_{\tau_{k}} \text { a.e. }
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(g_{n_{m}}\right) \geq \rho\left(g_{n_{m}} \chi_{\tau_{k}}\right) \geq m \rho\left(\chi_{\tau_{k}}\right) \geq m\left\|T_{\chi_{\tau_{k}}} e\right\|=m\left\|E\left(\tau_{k}\right) e\right\| \\
& (m=1,2, \ldots), \text { and hence } \rho\left(g_{n_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty . \text { However, } \\
& \rho\left(g_{n_{m}}\right)=\rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{m}} f_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_{m}} \rho\left(f_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho\left(f_{i}\right)<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this contradiction, we must have that $E\left(\tau_{\infty}\right) \mathrm{e}=0$. Thus, $\mu\left(\tau_{\infty}\right)=0$ so $\sum f_{i}$ is convergent $\mu-a . e$. and $\left|\sum_{N+1}^{\infty} f_{i}\right| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\left|\psi_{N}\right| \leq \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} f_{i}$, it follows that $\psi_{N} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. as was claimed above, and we may thus write

$$
\varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{r=m}^{n}\left\|T_{\varphi_{r}} e\right\| \leq \sum_{r=m}^{n} \rho\left(f_{r}\right)$, therefore by the initial hypothesis, $\sum_{n=1} T_{\varphi_{n}} e$ converges in $X$, to an element $z$, say. We now show that $z=T_{\varphi} e$. Let $x * \in \Gamma$ : then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle z, x^{*}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T \varphi_{n} e, x^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle T \varphi_{n} e, x^{*}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \varphi_{n}(\lambda)\left\langle E(d \lambda) e, x^{*}\right\rangle \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we can now show that (7) is equal to
$\int \sum \varphi_{n}(\lambda)\left\langle E(d \lambda) e, x^{*}\right\rangle$, then it follows immediately, by the totality of $\Gamma$, that

$$
z=\int \sum \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}(\lambda) E(\alpha \lambda) e=\int \varphi(\lambda) E(d \lambda) e=T_{\varphi} e
$$

To obtain this equality, we shall resort to a dominated convergence argument, and to this end we define

$$
v(\tau)=\left\langle E(\tau) e, x^{*}\right\rangle \quad(\tau \in \Sigma)
$$

There exist unimodular functions $\theta, \theta_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots$ ) satisfying

$$
\theta d v=d|v| \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{n} \theta_{n}=\left|\varphi_{n}\right| \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

For each $\mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int\left|\varphi_{n}\right| d|\nu| & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int \varphi_{n} \theta_{n} \theta d \nu \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\langle T_{\theta_{n} \theta} T_{\varphi_{n}} e, x^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\|T_{\theta_{n}}\right\|\left\|T_{\varphi_{n}} e\right\|\left\|x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq 4 K\|x *\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho\left(f_{n}\right) \\
& \leq 4 K\left\|x^{*}\right\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho\left(f_{n}\right) \\
& <\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int\left|\varphi_{n}\right| d|v|<\infty \quad .
$$

Since $|v|$ is a positive measure, the Monotone Convergence Theorem is applicable and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\varphi_{n}\right| d|\nu|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int\left|\varphi_{n}\right| d|\nu|<\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\xi_{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \varphi_{m}$, we have for each $n$,

$$
\left|\xi_{n} \theta^{-1}\right|=\left|\xi_{n}\right|=\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n} \varphi_{m}\right| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left|\varphi_{m}\right| \leq \Phi
$$

say, where $\Phi=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\varphi_{n}\right| \in L^{1}(\nu)$, from (8). So for each $n$, $\xi_{n} \theta^{-1} \in L^{1}(\nu)$ and, applying Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \lim _{n} \xi_{n} \theta^{-1} d|\nu| & =\lim _{n} \int \xi_{n} \theta^{-1} d|\nu|, \\
\text { i.e. } \int \lim _{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \varphi_{m} \theta^{-1} d|\nu| & =\lim _{n} \int_{m=1}^{n} \varphi_{m} \theta^{-1} d|\nu| \\
& =\lim _{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \int \varphi_{m} \theta^{-1} d|\nu|
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n} d \nu=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \varphi_{n} d \nu$
as required, and thus $z=T_{\varphi} e$. Hence finally

$$
\left\|T_{\varphi} e\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i} T_{\varphi_{i}} e\right\| \leq \sum_{i}\left\|T_{\varphi_{i}} e\right\| \leq \sum_{i} \rho\left(f_{i}\right),
$$

so by the definition of $\rho$,

$$
\rho(f) \leq \sum \rho\left(f_{i}\right)<\infty,
$$

and thus $\rho$ has the Riesz-Fischer property.
4.4 THEOREM. There is a bicontinuous bijection $U$ of the closed principal order ideal $\bar{J}_{1}$ generated by the constant function $1 \in L_{\rho}$, onto $X$, satisfying $U 1=e$
and

$$
U\left(X_{\sigma} f\right)=E(\sigma) U f \quad\left(f \in \bar{J}_{1}, \sigma \in \Sigma\right)
$$

Proof. Since the range of $\mathrm{E}(\cdot)$ is bounded in norm by K , $\rho(1) \leq 4 \mathrm{~K} \| \mathrm{ll}$, so 1 is indeed an element of $\mathrm{L}_{\rho}$. If the function $\varphi$ is bounded a.e., then for some constant $c \geq 0,|\varphi| \leq c 1$ a.e., so $\varphi \in J_{1}$; conversely, since it is clear that every element of $J_{1}$ is bounded a.e., we have that $\bar{J}_{1}$ is precisely the $\rho$-closure of $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$. Define $U_{1}: J_{1} \rightarrow X$ by

$$
U_{1} f=T_{f} e \quad\left(f \in J_{1}\right)
$$

Since $U_{1}\left(\chi_{\sigma}\right)=E(\sigma)$ e $(\sigma \in \Sigma)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{1}\right) \supseteq \operatorname{lin}\{\mathrm{E}(\sigma) \mathrm{e}: \sigma \in \Sigma\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $U_{1}$ is linear, and since for any $\psi \in J_{1}$ we have
$\left\|U_{1} \psi\right\|=\left\|T_{\psi^{e}}\right\| \leq \sup _{\cdot}\left\{\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\psi^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}\right\|: \psi^{\prime} \in \mathrm{J}_{1},\left|\psi^{\prime}\right| \leq|\psi|\right\}=\rho(\psi)$,
$U_{1}$ is $\rho$-continuous and therefore extends continuously to a mapping $U: \bar{J}_{1} \rightarrow X$. For any bounded measurable functions $s, t$ with $|t| \leq|s| a . e .$, there $i s$ a measurable function $\theta$ with $|\theta| \leq 1$ a.e. and $t=\theta s$ : we have

$$
\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{e}\right\|=\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\theta} \mathrm{T}_{s} \mathrm{e}\right\| \leq\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\theta}\right\|\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{e}\right\| \leq 4 \mathrm{~K}\|\theta\|_{\infty}\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{e}\right\| \leq 4 \mathrm{~K}\left\|\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{e}\right\| .
$$

Hence $\rho(s) \leq 4 K\left\|T_{s} e\right\| \leq 4 K\left\|U_{1} s\right\| \leq 4 K \rho(s)$ and it follows that whenever $g \in \bar{J}_{1}$,

$$
\rho(g) \leq 4 K\|U g\| \leq 4 K \rho(g)
$$

and thus that $U$ is bicontinuous. Since $\bar{J}_{1}$ is closed, by the Riesz-Fischer property it is complete. From (9),

$$
\mathrm{U}\left(\bar{J}_{1}\right) \supseteq \operatorname{lin}\{E(\sigma) \mathrm{e}: \sigma \in \Sigma\} ;
$$

since $U$ is bicontinuous $U\left(\bar{J}_{1}\right)$ is complete and therefore closed, so

$$
\mathrm{U}\left(\bar{J}_{1}\right) \supseteq \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\{\mathrm{E}(\sigma) \mathrm{e}: \sigma \in \Sigma\}=\mathrm{x} .
$$

Thus $U\left(\bar{J}_{1}\right)=X$ and so $U$ is a linear isomorphism which satisfies $U 1=e ;$ finally, since the relation

$$
U\left(X_{\sigma} f\right)=E(\sigma) U f \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma)
$$

holds for $f \in J_{1}$, it holds also, by continuity, for $f \in \bar{J}_{1}$.

In order to construct the norm $\rho$ at the beginning of this section, we assumed that $e$, the cyclic vector for $X$, had a Bade functional in $\Gamma$. From $\left[G_{4}\right]$, Theorem 6 , this assumption is legitimate provided only that the prespectral measure $E(\cdot)$ is countably decomposable at e, i.e. provided that whenever $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is a subset of $\Sigma$ whose elements are pairwise disjoint, then $E(\sigma) \mathrm{e} \neq \mathrm{O}$ for only countably many $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\prime}$.

Conversely, in the situation where the cyclic vector $e$ is known to have a Bade functional, then by the same theorem we may conclude that the prespectral measure E(•) is countably decomposable at $e$ and, moreover, that a Bade functional can be found in $\Gamma$, as required for the
 follows also that $\mathrm{E}(\cdot)$ is then countably decomposable at each $x \in X$ and so that each $x \in X$ has a corresponding Bade functional in $\Gamma$. For the precyclic subspace $\bar{J}_{1}$ of $L_{\rho}$, these Bade functionals were easily found, in Lemma 3.4.

The ideals $\bar{J}_{1}$ occurring in both representation theorems (noting that $L_{\rho}^{a}=\bar{J}_{1}$ in the case where $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $1 \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ ) are examples of Banach lattices with topological order unit.

### 4.5 Definitions.

(1) Let E be a vector lattice. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E is called a lattice norm if $|x| \leq|y|$ implies $\|x\| \leq\|y\|$ ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{E}$ ). If E is complete with respect to the lattice norm $\|\cdot\|$, the pair ( $\mathrm{E},\|\cdot\|$ ) is called a Banach lattice.
(2) An element $u \geq 0$ of the Banach lattice ( $\mathrm{E},\|\cdot\|$ )
is a topological order unit for $E$ if the closure of the principal order ideal $E_{u}$ generated by $u$ is $E$. An alternative characterisation of a topological order unit $u \in E^{+}$is the property that for every $x \in E^{+}$,

$$
\|x-x \wedge n u\| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
If $u$ satisfies the weaker condition that for every $x$ in $\mathrm{E}^{+}$

$$
x=V_{n}(x \wedge n u)
$$

then $u$ is called a weak order unit for E.
Note that in the function space $L_{\rho}$, any element $f_{0}$ which is strictly positive a.e. is a weak order unit. If the norm $\rho$ is absolutely continuous, then by Lemma $3.6, f_{0}$ is also a topological order unit. Note however that the order continuity of $\rho$ at $f_{0}$ is not the crucial factor. Indeed, in general, if $h$ is any element of $L_{\rho}^{+}$whose support contains $\Omega_{a}$, then the closed principal order ideal $\bar{J}_{h}$ must contain $L_{\rho}^{a}$. (For any $0 \leq f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, $\mathrm{f} \wedge \mathrm{nh} \uparrow \mathrm{f}$ a.e. so $\rho(\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{f} \wedge \mathrm{nh}) \rightarrow 0 ; \mathrm{f} \wedge \mathrm{nh} \in \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ for each $n$, hence $f \in \bar{J}_{h}$.)

We have seen that every cyclic or precyclic space $X$ may be endowed with a Banach lattice structure by means of a linear isomorphism with the principal order ideal generated by the constant function 1; this isomorphism
matches the function 1 with the cyclic vector for $X$, and hence, in the vector lattice $X$, the cyclic vector is a topological order unit.

However although the ideal $L_{\rho}^{a}$, and indeed every closed principal order ideal of $L_{\rho}$, has a topological order unit, $L_{\rho}$ itself need not have one at all, even when the norm $\rho$ has strong continuity properties such as the Fatou property. The following example illustrates this.
4.6 EXAMPLE. Consider the space of Gould, described in $\left[L Z_{1}\right]$. Here the norm $\rho$ is based on an infinite, $\sigma-$ finite atomfree measure $\mu$ and defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(f)=\inf \left\{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{1}+\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\infty}:\right. & f=f_{1}+f_{2}, \\
& \left.f_{1} \in L^{1}(\mu), f_{2} \in L^{\infty}(\mu)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $L_{\rho}=L^{1}+L^{\infty}$. The associate norm $\rho^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime}(f)=\sup \left\{\|f\|_{1},\|f\|_{\infty}\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L^{1} \cap . L^{\infty}$. For a fuller account, see $\left[L Z_{1}\right]$. Let $X$ denote $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and suppose that $f_{0} \in X$ is a topological order unit for $X$. Then $\bar{J}_{f_{O}}=X$ and for each $0 \leq g \in X$,

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(g-g \wedge n f_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now $f_{0}$ must be strictly positive a.e. for otherwise, if $\delta$ is any $\lambda_{\lambda}^{n-m u t a s u r a b l e ~ s u b s e t ~ o f ~} \Omega$ \supp $f_{O^{\prime}}$, and $g$ is any element of $L_{\rho}^{+}$with supp $g=\delta$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
g \wedge n f_{o}=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

so that $\rho^{\prime}\left(g-g \wedge n f_{0}\right)=\rho^{\prime}(g) \nrightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define sets $\sigma_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{\frac{1}{n}<f_{0} \leq \frac{1}{n-1}\right\}(n \geq 2)$ in $\Sigma$. Then,

$$
\left\|\mathrm{E}_{o} x_{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If there existed a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}, \mu\left(\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, then

$$
0=\mu\left(\underset{n \geq n_{0}}{u} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\mu\left(\left\{f_{0} \leq \frac{1}{n_{0}-1}\right\}\right)
$$

and $f_{O} \geq \frac{1}{n_{0}-1}$ on $\Omega$. But since $f_{O} \in L^{1}(\mu)$ this cannot occur. So we may delete from the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ any elements which are $\mu$-null and be left with an infinite sequence of sets $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$, each of positive measure, and satisfying $\left\|f_{o X_{\sigma_{n}}}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $X_{0}=\operatorname{lin}\left\{f_{0} x_{\sigma}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$. Since bounded functions can be approximated uniformly by simple functions,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{0} \supseteq\left\{\varphi \mathrm{f}_{0}: \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}\right\}=J_{\mathrm{f}_{O}}
$$

Since $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{0}$ is closed, $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{0} \supseteq \overline{\mathrm{~J}}_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}=\mathrm{X}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{x} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for any $g \in x_{0}$, we have $g=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} f f_{o} X_{\delta_{i}}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where the $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct, and the sets $\delta_{i} \in \Sigma$ are pairwise disjoint. Then,
$\left\|g x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left\|f_{o} \chi_{\sigma_{n} n{\underset{\sim}{i}}^{\delta_{i}}}\right\| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left\|f_{o} x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$
as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $g \in \bar{X}_{o}$, there is a sequence $\left\{g_{k}\right\}$ in $X_{o}$ with $\rho^{\prime}\left(g-g_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$, so that from (10), each of $\left\|g-g_{k}\right\|_{1}$ and $\left\|g-g_{k}\right\|_{\infty}$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, given $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} & \leq\left\|\left(g-g_{k}\right) x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|g_{k} x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \left.\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\left\|g_{k} x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \quad \text { (for } k \geq k_{1}, \text { say }\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$, by (12) (applied to $g_{k}$ ). Thus $\left\|g x_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

However, consider now the function

$$
h=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\tau_{n}}
$$

where for each $n, \tau_{n} \subseteq \sigma_{n}$ and $0<\mu\left(\tau_{n}\right)<2^{-n}$. Then $\|h\|_{\infty}=1$, $\|h\|_{1}<\sum_{n} 2^{-n}=1$, so $h \in x$. But $\left\|h \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right\|_{\infty}=1 \notin 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $h \notin \bar{X}_{o}$. From this contradiction of (11) if follows that $X$ can have no topological order unit.

CHAPTER III. WEAK SEQUENTIAL COMPLETENESS IN $L_{\rho}$.

The main result and the objective of this chapter is stated at the very beginning as Theorem 5.1 but will only be arrived at in three stages, starting from a similar but less general result. This initial result (Theorem 5.4) arises from a reinterpretation of a theorem stated for cyclic spaces by L. Tzafriri [ $T_{2}$ ], in the light of T.A. Gillespie's Representation Theorem [ $G_{1}$ ] given in Chapter II. This theorem represents any cyclic space over a o-complete Boolean algebra of projections as the ideal $L_{\rho}^{a}$ of a Banach function space $L_{\rho}$, in which the norm $\rho$ has the Fatou property.
§ 5. Conditions for weak sequential completeness in $L_{\rho}$ and $L_{\rho}^{a}$.
5.1 THEOREM. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) and possessing the Riesz-Fischer property. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) $L_{\rho}$ is weakly sequentially complete.
(b) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is weakly sequentially complete, and $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$.
(c) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$, and $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$.
(d) $L_{\rho}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$.
(e) $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $\rho$ has the (weak) Fatou property.
(f) $L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $I_{\infty}$, and $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$.

It will be useful to be aware at an early stage of certain simple facts which we therefore record at this point.
5.2 PROPOSITION. A subset $B$ of $M_{\mu}$ (resp. $L_{\rho}$ ) is a band of $M_{\mu}\left(L_{\rho}\right)$ if and only if there is a measurable subset $\gamma$ of $\Omega$ such that

$$
B=\left\{f_{X_{\gamma}}: f \in M_{\mu}\left(L_{\rho}\right)\right\}
$$

and then $\gamma$ is the carrier of $B$.

Proof. Clearly any subset $B$ of the given form is an order closed solid linear subspace of $M_{\mu}$.

Conversely, if $B$ is a band of $M_{\mu}$, then $B$ has a carrier set $\gamma$ and $B \subseteq X_{\gamma} M_{\mu}$. Let $O \leq f=f X_{\gamma} \in M_{\mu}$. By the definition of carrier sets, we may choose a sequence $\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow \gamma$ in $\Sigma$ with $X_{\gamma_{n}^{\prime}} \in B$ for each $n$. If we let $\gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\{f \leq n\}$, then $\gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime} \uparrow \Omega$ : hence writing $\gamma_{n}=\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \cap \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$, we have $\gamma_{n} \uparrow \gamma$ and $f X_{\gamma_{n}} \leq n X_{\gamma_{n}^{\prime}}$, so $f X_{\gamma_{n}} \in B$ for each $n$. Since $B$ is order closed and $f=\sup _{n} E X_{\gamma_{n}}$, then $f \in B$ and thus $X_{\gamma} M_{\mu} \subseteq B$, as required.

The same proof holds replacing $M_{\mu}$ throughout by $L_{\rho}$. In this chapter only the result for $L_{p}$ will be required, but that for $M_{\mu}$ will become relevant in Chapter IV.

We shall make use several times of the following result due to T.A. Gillespie ([G3], Theorem 1).
5.3 THEOREM, Let $X$ be a complex Banach space with dual space $X^{*}$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $X$ does not contain any subspace isomorphic to $l_{\infty}$;
(ii) every prespectral measure on $X$ of arbitrary class ( $\Sigma, \Gamma$ ), where $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of sets and $\Gamma$ is a total subset of X *, is strongly countably additive.
5.4 THEOREM. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Fatou property, such that the constant function 1 is an element of both $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is weakly sequentially complete.

(c) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains no complemented subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$.
(d) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is a complemented subspace of $L_{\rho}^{* *}$.
(e) $L_{\rho}^{a}=L_{\rho}$.
(f) $L_{\rho}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $I_{\infty}$.

Proof.
$(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. Trivial, since $c_{o}$ is not weakly sequentially complete (w.s.c.) and any subspace of a w.s.c. space must also be w.s.c..
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). A fortiori.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (e). We shall suppose that (e) does not hold and show that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ must then admit a bounded projection onto an isomorphic copy of $c_{o}$, thus precluding (c).

So suppose we have $0 \leq g \in L_{\rho} \backslash L_{\rho}^{a}$ and choose a sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ of simple functions with $0 \leq g_{n} \uparrow g$ a.e. Since $1 \in L_{\rho}^{a}, L^{\infty} \subseteq L_{\rho}^{a}$ so for each $n, g_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. Since $\rho$ has the Fatou property, $\rho(g)=\sup _{n} \rho\left(g_{n}\right)$; but since $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is a normclosed ideal of $L_{\rho}$, we cannot have $\rho\left(g-g_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Define $\eta_{m}=\{m-1 \leq g<m\}$ for $m=1,2, \ldots$. Since $g \in L_{\rho}, g$ is finite-valued a.e. so $\Omega=\bigcup_{m} n_{m}$ and, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{j} k x_{n_{k}} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots), \\
& \text { then } 0 \leq \varphi_{1} \leq \varphi_{2} \leq \ldots \text { and } \varphi_{j} \in L_{\rho}^{a} \text { for each j. Also, } \\
& \rho\left(\varphi_{j}\right) \leq \rho\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j}(k-1) x_{\eta_{k}}\right)+\rho\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{n_{k}}\right) \\
& \leq \rho\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{g} x \bigcup_{k=1}^{j} \eta_{k}
\end{array}\right)+\rho\left(x \bigcup_{k=1}^{j} \eta_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \rho(g)+\rho(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varphi=\sup _{j} \varphi_{j}$. Then $\varphi$ takes the constant value $k$ on each $\eta_{k^{\prime}}$ hence $\varphi X_{\eta_{k}} \geq g X_{\eta_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ and so $\varphi \geq g$ a.e. which implies that $\varphi \notin L_{\rho}^{a}$. Thus the functions $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ satisfy $0 \leq \varphi_{n} \uparrow \varphi$ a.e. with each $\varphi_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ but $\varphi \notin L_{\rho}^{a}$, and also

$$
\rho(\varphi)=\sup _{j} \rho\left(\varphi_{j}\right) \leq \rho(g)+\rho(1)
$$

whilst

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\varphi-\varphi_{j}\right) \nrightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$ cannot converge in $L_{\rho}$, for if it had a limit $\bar{\varphi}$ say, in $L_{\rho}$, then $\left\{\varphi-\varphi_{j}\right\}$ would converge to $\varphi-\bar{\varphi}$, and so, from the proof of [ z$]$ Theorem 64.2, some subsequence $\left\{\varphi-\varphi_{n_{j}}\right\}_{j \in N}$ would converge pointwise a.e. to $\varphi-\bar{\varphi} ;$ since every subsequence of $\left\{\varphi-\varphi_{j}\right\}$ converges pointwise to zero a.e., this would mean that $\varphi=\bar{\varphi}$ a.e., contradicting (1). It follows that for some $\varepsilon>0$, we can find subsequences $\left\{j_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ with

$$
k_{n+1} \geq j_{n}>k_{n}
$$

and

$$
\rho\left(\varphi_{j_{n}}-\varphi_{k_{n}}\right) \geq \varepsilon \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

Setting $\psi_{n}=\varphi_{j_{n}}-\varphi_{k_{n}}$, observie that the functions $\psi_{n}$ have mutually disjoint supports - namely $\bigcup_{k=k_{n}+1}^{j_{n}} \eta_{k}$ which we shall denote by $\delta_{n}$, and that

$$
\varepsilon \leq \rho\left(\psi_{n}\right) \leq 2(\rho(g)+\rho(1)) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Let $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be any bounded sequence: then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}\right) & =\rho\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \psi_{n}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { since the } \psi_{n}^{\prime} \text { s are } \\
\text { disjoint }
\end{array} \\
& \leq \sup _{n}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \rho\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \psi_{n}\right) \\
& =\|\alpha\|_{\infty} \rho\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\varphi_{j_{n}}-\varphi_{k_{n}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\|\alpha\|_{\infty} \rho\left(\varphi_{j_{N}}-\varphi_{k_{1}}\right) \\
& \leq\|\alpha\|_{\infty} \rho\left(\varphi_{j_{N}}\right) \\
& \leq\|\alpha\|_{\infty}(\rho(g)+\rho(1))
\end{aligned}
$$

So by the Fatou property, it follows that $\sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n} \in L_{\rho}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}\right) \leq\|\alpha\|_{\infty}(\rho(g)+\rho(1)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\rho\left(\alpha_{m} \psi_{m}\right)=\rho\left(x_{\delta_{m}} \cdot \sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}\right) \leq \rho\left(\sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\rho\left(\alpha_{m} \psi_{m}\right)=\left|\alpha_{m}\right| \rho\left(\psi_{m}\right) \geq\left|\alpha_{m}\right| \varepsilon \quad(m=1,2, \ldots)
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}\right) \geq \varepsilon\|\alpha\|_{\infty} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $f_{\alpha}=\sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}$ : then the mapping $\alpha \mapsto f_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \in l_{\infty}\right)$ is positive and linear, it is one-one since the $\psi_{n}$ 's are disjoint, and bicontinuous by (2) and (3); hence its range which we shall denote by $\hat{I}_{\infty}$ is a subspace of $L_{\rho}$ isomorphic to $l_{\infty}$.

Now choose for each $n$ a function $0 \leq u_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ with $\rho^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} u_{n} \leq \delta_{n}$, satisfying

$$
\int \psi_{n} u_{n} d \mu \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

For $0 \leq f \in L_{\rho}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P f=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int f u_{s} d \mu}{\int \psi_{s} u_{s} d \mu} \psi_{s} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines Pf as an element of $\hat{i}_{\infty}$, because for each $s$,

$$
\left|\frac{\int f u_{s} d \mu}{\int \psi_{s} u_{s} d \mu}\right| \leq \frac{\rho(f) \rho^{\prime}\left(u_{s}\right)}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \rho(f) ;
$$

so the sequence of coefficients of $\psi_{S}$ in ( $\{$ ) is bounded. For all $r$ and $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r} u_{s}=\delta_{r s} \psi_{r} u_{s}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so letting $C_{r}(f)$ denote the coefficient of $\psi_{r}$ in (4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{2} f & =P\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_{r}(f) \psi_{r}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int\left(\sum c_{r}(f) \psi_{r}\right) u_{s} d \mu}{\int \psi_{S}^{u}{ }_{s} d \mu} \psi_{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} c_{s i f} \psi_{s} \\
& =P f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P^{2}=P$. It also follows from (5) that for each $\alpha \in l_{\infty}, P f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha}$, and $P$ is a bounded linear projection of $L_{\rho}$ onto $\hat{I}_{\infty}$. Now if $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, then, since we have $\bigcup_{k=1}^{S} n_{k} \uparrow \Omega$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\rho\left(\mathrm{f}_{\chi} \underset{\Omega_{k=1} \mathrm{n}_{k}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and for each $s, \delta_{s} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=k_{s}+1}^{\infty} n_{k}=\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_{s}} n_{k}$, so $\rho\left(\mathrm{f}_{X_{\delta_{S}}}\right) \rightarrow$ O. Hence,

$$
\left|\frac{\int \cdot f u_{s} d \mu}{\int \psi_{s} u_{s} d \mu}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \rho^{\prime}\left(u_{s}\right) \rho\left(f \chi_{\delta_{s}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the sequence of coefficients of $\psi_{s}$ in Pf is an element of $c_{o}$, and so $P f$ is in $\hat{c}_{0}$, the isomorphic copy of $c_{o}$ imbedded in $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\infty}$.

It now remains to be shown that $\hat{c}_{o} \subseteq L_{\rho}^{a}$. Let $\alpha \in c_{o}$, suppose $f_{\alpha} \geq f_{1} \geq f_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ are. and let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $f_{\alpha}=\sum \alpha_{n} \psi_{n} \in I_{\rho}$, then for some $N \in N, \rho\left(\sum_{n \geq N} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Writing $\delta=\bigcup_{n \geq N} \delta_{n}=\bigcup_{n \geq N}^{\cup} \operatorname{supp} \psi_{n}$, then
$\rho\left(f_{i} X_{\delta}\right) \leq \rho\left(f_{\alpha} X_{\delta}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for each $i$. Now since $\psi_{i} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ $(i=1,2, \ldots), f_{\alpha} X_{\Omega \backslash \delta}=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \alpha_{n} \psi_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. Therefore since $f_{\alpha} X_{\Omega \backslash \delta} \geq f_{i} X_{\Omega \backslash \delta} \downarrow 0$ ace., it follows that for sufficiently large $j, \rho\left(f_{j} X_{\Omega \backslash \delta}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and then

$$
\rho\left(f_{j}\right) \leq \rho\left(f_{j} X_{\delta}\right)+\rho\left(f_{j} X_{\Omega \backslash \delta}\right)<\varepsilon .
$$

This shows that $f_{\alpha} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ as required.
$(e) \Rightarrow(a)$. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a weakly Cauchy sequence in $L_{p}$, where $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$. Let $K$ be a uniform bound on the norms $\rho\left(f_{n}\right)$. Since $1 \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}, L_{\rho} \subseteq L^{1}(\mu)$ (algebraically); by assumption, $\left\{\int h f_{n} d \mu\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{C}$ for each $h \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L_{\rho}^{*}$, so in particular, letting $h \in L^{\infty}$ shows that $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is weakly Cauchy in $\mathrm{L}^{1}$. Let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{1}$ be the weak $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ limit of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ so that whenever $h \in L^{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \int h f_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int h f d \mu \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ : then $g<\infty$ a.e. For any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $g$ is bounded on $\sigma$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\sigma} f g d \mu\right| & =\lim _{n}\left|\int f_{n} g x_{\sigma} d \mu\right| \\
& \leq \lim \sup \rho\left(f_{n}\right) \rho^{\prime}\left(g x_{\sigma}\right) \\
& \leq K \rho^{\prime}(g) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose a sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ in $\Sigma$ with $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ and with $g$ bounded on each $\sigma_{n}$, and let $\theta$ be a unimodular function satisfying $\mathrm{fg} \theta=|\mathrm{fg}|$. By (7),

$$
\int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}} f g \theta d \mu \leq K \rho^{\prime}(g \theta)=K \rho^{\prime}(g)
$$

Hence, applying the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$
\int f g \theta d \mu=\lim _{n} \int_{\sigma_{n}} f g \theta d \mu \leq K \rho^{\prime}(g)
$$

i.e. $f g \in L^{1}(\mu)$ for each $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, and

$$
\rho(f)=\rho^{\prime \prime}(f)=\sup _{\rho^{\prime}(g) \leq 1}\left|\int f g d \mu\right| \leq K \quad ;
$$

thus $f \in L_{\rho}$.
We show finally that for any $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \int f_{\mathrm{n}} g d \mu=\int f g d \mu \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. For $\delta \in \Sigma$, define

$$
v_{n}(\delta)=\int_{\delta}\left(f_{n}-f\right) g d \mu
$$

Since $f_{n}-f \in L_{\rho}$ and $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime},\left(f_{n}-f\right) g \in L^{1}(\mu)$, so $\nu_{n}$ is a countably additive measure on $\Sigma$. Since $\lim _{n} \int_{\delta} f_{n} g d \mu$ exists, $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \nu_{\mathrm{n}}(\delta)$ exists for each $\delta \in \Sigma$. Hence from Nikodym's theorem ([DS $]_{1}$,III.7.4), the countable additivity of $\nu_{n}(\cdot)$ is uniform in $n$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Let $\delta_{m}=\{|g| \leq m\}$. Then $\delta_{m} \uparrow \Omega$ so we can find an integer $m_{o}$ such that

$$
\left|\nu_{n}\left(\Omega \backslash \delta_{m}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { for } m \geq m_{0}, \text { and all } n=1,2, \ldots ;
$$

so,

$$
\lim \sup \left|\nu_{n}\left(\Omega, \delta_{m}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { for } m \geq m_{0} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int\left(f_{n}-f\right) g d \mu\right| & =\left|\int\left(f_{n}-f\right) g x_{\delta_{m}} d \mu+\nu_{n}\left(\Omega \backslash \delta_{m}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int\left(f_{n}-f\right) g x_{\delta_{m}} d \mu\right|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad\left(m \geq m_{o}\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

by (6), if $n$ is sufficiently large. Thus (8) follows, and hence $L_{\rho}$ is w.s.c. as required.
$(\mathrm{e}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$. Elementary, for since the Fatou property implies $\rho=\rho^{\prime \prime}$, then if $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$,

$$
\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) * *=\left(L_{\rho}^{\prime}\right) *=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime} \oplus L_{\rho}^{*}, s=L_{\rho} \oplus L_{\rho}^{*}, s=L_{\rho}^{a} \oplus L_{\rho}^{*}, s
$$

$(d) \Rightarrow(e)$. Let $Q$ be the restriction to $L_{\rho}$ of a bounded projection mapping $L_{\rho}^{* *}$ onto $L_{\rho}^{a}$, and suppose $L_{\rho} \neq L_{\rho}^{a}$.

Obtain, as in the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (e) a linear subspace $\hat{1}_{\infty}$ of $L_{\rho}$ which is isomorphic to $l_{\infty}$ and is the range of a projection $P$ on $L_{\rho}$. Then $P$ fixes $\hat{I}_{\infty}$ and $Q$ fixes $L_{\rho}^{a}$, and since $\hat{c}_{o}$ is contained in each of these subspaces, $\hat{c}_{o}$ is fixed by each of $P$ and $Q$ and thus also by $P Q$. Hence $P Q$ is a projection of $L_{\rho}$ into $P\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)=\hat{c}_{o}$ and

$$
\hat{c}_{0}=P Q \hat{c}_{o} \subseteq P Q \hat{I}_{\infty} \subseteq P\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)=\hat{c}_{0}
$$

So $P Q \hat{1}_{\infty}=\hat{c}_{0}$. However it is well-known that $c_{o}$ is not complemented in $l_{\infty}$ (see [P]), and from this contradiction it follows that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ must equal $L_{\rho}$.

We now have that (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) are all equivalent. Assume that one and hence each of these conditions holds, and suppose (f) does not. By (e), this means that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains an isomorphic copy of $I_{\infty}$ and hence also an isomorphic copy of $c_{o}$, contradicting (b). So (a) - (e) $\Rightarrow$ (f).
(f) $\Rightarrow$ (e). If $L_{\rho} \neq L_{\rho}^{a}$, then from the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (e), $L_{\rho}$ must contain a subspace isomorphic to $l_{\infty}$; but this contradicts (f).

Note. In the proof of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (e), the subspace $\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\infty}$ is by construction also lattice isomorphic to $l_{\infty}$, as is therefore $\hat{c}_{0}$ to $c_{o}$. Hence in each of statements (b), (c), (d), (f) of the theorem, the word "subspace" may be equivalently replaced by ${ }^{\text {closed }}$ sublattice".

Now suppose that $\rho$ is a saturated function norm based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) but endowed only with the Riesz-Fischer property. Let $f_{o} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ be positive-valued a.e. on $\Omega_{a}$ so that $L_{\rho}^{a}=\bar{J}_{f_{0}}$ (Lemma 3.6). Choosing any function $\varphi_{O} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ with $\varphi_{O}$ positive a.e. on $\Omega_{a}$, define a measure $v$ on
$\Sigma_{a}=\left\{\sigma \cap \Omega_{a}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ by

$$
\nu(\sigma)=\int_{\sigma} f_{o} \varphi_{0} d \mu \quad\left(\sigma \in \Sigma_{a}\right)
$$

then $\mu$ and $\nu$ are equivalent in $\Sigma_{a}$. Now define a mapping $U: X_{\Omega_{a}} M_{\mu} \rightarrow M_{\nu}$, by

$$
U f=\mathrm{ff}_{0}^{-1} \quad\left(\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}_{{\Omega_{\Omega}}_{a}} \in \mathrm{M}_{\mu}\right)
$$

$U$ is clearly linear, one-one, onto and increasing. Define a norm $\tau$ on $M_{\nu}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau(h)=\rho "\left(h f_{0}\right) & \left(h \in M_{\nu}\right), \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \tau(U f)=\rho^{\prime \prime}(f) & \left(f=f_{\Omega_{a}} \in M_{\mu}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

That this indeed defines a Banach function norm is easily verified, and taking $\tau$ to be based on ( $\Omega_{a}, \Sigma_{a}, \nu$ ), then $\tau$ is saturated; moreover, $\tau$ has the Fatou property since $\rho "$ does, and $\tau^{\prime}(h)=\rho^{\prime}\left(h \varphi_{o}\right)$ for each $h \in M_{\nu}$, so in
particular $1 \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ (here 1 denotes $X_{\Omega_{a}}$ clearly). Since $\rho^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq \rho(f)$ for every $f,\left\|\left.U\right|_{L_{\rho}} ^{a}\right\| \leq 1$; however if $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, $\rho "(f)=\rho(f)$, so with $U_{a}=\left.U\right|_{\rho} ^{L_{\rho}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(u_{a} f\right)=\rho(f) \quad\left(f \in L_{\rho}^{d}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a routine exercise to check that $h \in L_{\tau}^{\text {a }}$ if and only if $U^{-1} h=f_{o} h \in L_{\rho}^{a}$.
To: conclude recall that by Lemma $3.2, L_{\rho}^{a}=X_{\Omega a} L_{\rho "}^{a}$ and then, since for every $h \in L_{\tau}, h=h \chi_{\Omega_{a}}$, it is clear that $h \in L_{\tau}^{a}$ if and only if $h f_{o} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. Hence and from (9) it follows finally that $U_{a}$ is a norm-preserving isomorphism between $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $L_{\tau}^{a}$. Consequently, since $\tau$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.4, statements (b), (c) and (f) for $L_{\tau}$ are immediately equivalent to weak sequential completeness of $L_{\rho}^{a}$, even with the present relaxed conditions on $\rho$. Before completing the theorem, we give another lemma.
5.5 LEMMA. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property. Then, if $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is weakly sequentidally complete, $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is order -closed, ie. $L_{\rho}^{a}=x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}$.

Proof. Let $f=£_{X_{\Omega}} \in L_{\rho}^{+}$and suppose that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is w.s.c. Define sets $\delta_{p}=\{f \leq p\} \cap \Omega_{a}(p=1,2, \ldots) ;$ then $\delta_{p} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Now choose a sequence of sets $\tau_{p} \uparrow \Omega_{a}$ with $\tau_{p} \subseteq \delta_{p}$ and $\chi_{\tau_{p}} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ for each $p$. Writing $f_{p}=f \chi_{\tau_{p}}$ we have $f_{p} \uparrow f a . e$. and

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} \leq \mathrm{Px}_{\tau_{p}} \in \mathrm{~L}_{\rho}^{a}
$$

Recall that $\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) *=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ (Lemma 3.1). Let $0 \leq g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ : since $g$ is an integral linear functional on $L_{p}$, it follows that

$$
\left\langle f-f_{p}, g\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \infty$. So,

$$
\left\langle f_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{~g}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
$$

as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\left\{f_{p}\right\}$ is weakly Cauchy, and hence weakly convergent in $L_{\rho}^{a}$. Let $f_{o} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ be its weak limit, so that for every $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$,

$$
\left\langle f_{o}, g\right\rangle=\lim _{p}\left\langle f_{p}, g\right\rangle=\langle f, g\rangle
$$

Since $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ is a total subset of $L_{\rho}^{*}$, this shows $f_{0}=f$ are. Hence $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $\chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho} \subseteq L_{\rho}^{a}$. The converse inclusion is immediate and hence $x_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$.
5.6. Remark. The converse of this lemma need not hold. Consider, as an example, the case where $\Omega$ is $\mathbb{N}$ with the discrete measure $\mu$, and let

$$
\rho\left(\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sup \left|\alpha_{n}\right|, & \alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \\
\infty & , \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $L_{\rho}=c_{o}=L_{\rho}^{a}$, but $L_{\rho}$ is not w.s.c.
However it is easily shown that with the additional hypothesis of the weak Fatou property, the converse of Lemma 5.5 does hold.
5.7 THEOREM. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) and having the Riesz-Fischer property. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is w.s.c.
(b) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to co.
(c) $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains no complemented subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$.
(d) $L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is complemented in $\left(L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) * *$.
(e) $L_{\rho}^{a}=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}$ and the conclusion of the Fatou property holds for increasing sequences in $L_{\rho}^{a}$.
(f) $X_{\Omega_{\mathrm{a}}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $l_{\infty}$.
[Note. We can express (e) alternatively as follows. Let $\lambda$ be the function norm based on ( $\Omega_{a}, \Sigma_{a}, \mu_{a}$ ), where $\mu_{a}$ denotes $\left.\mu\right|_{\Sigma_{a}}$, defined by

$$
\lambda(f)= \begin{cases}\rho(f), & \text { if } f \in L_{\rho}^{a} \\ \infty & , \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

so that $\lambda$ is an absolutely continuous saturated norm. Then
(e)' $\lambda$ has the Fatou property.

The equivalence of (e) and (e)' is a routine exercise.]

Proof. As remarked earlier, that (b), (c) and (f) are each equivalent to (a) follows from the isomorphism $U_{a}$ of $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $L_{\tau}^{a}$ described on p. 44 , and from the application of Theorem 5.4 to $L_{\tau}^{a}$.
Suppose now that (d) holds. Since $L_{\rho}^{a} \subseteq \chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho} \subseteq \chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}{ }_{\rho}$ and $\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{* *}=\left(\chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime}\right) * \geq \chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$, it follows that there is a bounded projection $P$ of $\chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$ onto $L_{\rho}^{a}$. Let $Q=U P U^{-1}$ : then $Q^{2}=Q$ and $Q$ is thus a bounded projection of $L_{\tau}$ onto $L_{\tau}^{a}$. Thus $L_{\tau}^{a}$ satisfies ( $(d)$ of Theorem 5.4. If conversely, there is a bounded projection $Q$ of $L_{\tau}$ onto $L_{\tau}^{a}$, put $P=U^{-1} Q U$. Then similarly $P$ is a bounded projection of $X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$ onto $L_{\rho}^{a}$. Hence by the equivalence of (a) and (d) in Theorem 5.4, (a) and (d) of the present theorem are equivalent.

Finally we show (a) and (e) are equivalent. Assume that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is w.s.c. By Lemma 5.5, $L_{\rho}^{a}=\chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}$. Now suppose that $0 \leq v_{1} \leq v_{2} \leq \ldots \uparrow v a . e$. with $v_{i} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ for each i. If $\rho\left(v_{i}\right) \rightarrow \infty$, then $\rho(v)=\sup \rho\left(v_{i}\right)$. trivially. If $\rho\left(v_{i}\right) \uparrow K<\infty$, then $\rho^{\prime \prime}(v)=K$. So,

$$
\tau(U v)=\tau\left(v f_{0}^{-1}\right)=\rho^{\prime \prime}(v)=K<\infty .
$$

But by isomorphism with $L_{\rho}^{a}, L_{\tau}^{a}$ is w.s.c. So $L_{\tau}=L_{\tau}^{a}$. Hence $v \in L_{\rho "}^{a}$. Since $v=\sup v_{i}, v=v X_{\Omega_{a}}$ and so by Lemma 3.2, $v \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. Hence $\rho\left(v-v_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\lim \rho\left(v_{i}\right)=\rho(v)$ as required.

Now assume conversely that (e) holds. We shall show that $L_{\tau}=L_{\tau}^{a}$. Let $0 \leq f \in L_{\tau}$. Since $1 \in L_{\tau}^{a}$, we can choose a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\tau}^{a}$ with $O \leq f_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e. For each $n$, $U^{-1} f_{n}=f_{n} f_{o} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $0 \leq f_{n} f_{o} \uparrow f_{o}$ a.e. By hypothesis therefore,
$\rho\left(f f_{0}\right)=\sup \rho\left(f_{n} f_{o}\right)=\sup \rho "\left(f_{n} f_{o}\right)=\sup \tau\left(f_{n}\right)=\tau(f)<\infty$, i.e. $f f_{o} \in L_{\rho} ;$ since $\operatorname{supp} f f_{o} \subseteq \Omega_{a}$, then $f f_{o} \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ and
$f \in L_{\tau}^{a}$ as required. It follows that $L_{\tau}^{a}$ is w.s.c. and hence that $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is w.s.c.

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.1.
$(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. It follows from [DSy, XVII.3. 8 that every prespectral measure on a weakly complete space is in fact spectral, i.e. strongly countably additive. Hence if $I_{\rho}$ is w.s.c., the measure $E(\cdot): \sigma \rightarrow M_{X \sigma}$ is spectral and so from Lemma $3.4, L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ and (b) follows.

Note. In (e) the word "weak" may be equivalently read or omitted, because while usually, the Fatou property is stronger than the weak Fatou property, in the case of absolutely continuous norms, the two properties are equivalent (see 2.5 or $[Z], 73 \alpha$ ) i.e. if $\rho$ is absolutely continuous and has the weak Fatou property, then $\rho$ has the Fatou property.
$(b) \Rightarrow(e) \Rightarrow(a)$. Both implications follow from the equivalence in Theorem 5.7 of conditions (a) and (e).
$(a) \Rightarrow(d)$. Clear, since $c_{o}$ is not w.s.c.
$(d) \Rightarrow(c)$. If $L_{\rho}$ contains no isomorphic copy of $c_{o}$, nor can it contain an isomorphic copy of $l_{\infty}$ and so by Theorem 5.3 the prespectral measure $E(\cdot): \sigma \rightarrow M_{X_{\sigma}}$ is spectral, so as before $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$. Thus $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains no isomorphic copy of $c_{0}$ and $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$ as required.
$(c) \Rightarrow(b),(e) \Rightarrow(f) \Rightarrow(c)$. Immediate from Theorem 5.7.

Notes. Consider the alternative statements:
(c) ' $L_{\rho}^{a}$ contains no complemented subspace isomorphic to $c_{o}$ and $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$;
(d)' $L_{\rho}$ contains no complemented subspace isomorphic to

$$
c_{o} \text { and } \Omega_{a}=\Omega ;
$$

(f)' $L_{\rho}$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $I_{\infty}$, and $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$. 1. From Theorem 5.7, (c) and (c)' of Theorem 5.1 are equivalent.
2. (d)' is genuinely weaker than (d). For if we take $\rho$ to be the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ on the space of all complex sequences, so that $L_{\rho}=l_{\infty}$, then $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is $c_{o}$ so we have $\Omega_{\mathrm{a}}=\Omega$. Since every complemented infinite-dimensional subspace of $1_{\infty}$ is isomorphic to $1_{\infty}$ itself ([LT $]_{1}$, 2.a.7), $I_{\rho}$ cannot contain a complemented subspace isomorphic to $c_{o}$. Thus (d)' holds; however $L_{\rho} \neq L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $L_{\rho}$ is not w.s.c.
3. (f)' is genuinely weaker than (f). For taking again the space of complex sequences with, this time,

$$
\rho\left(\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}\right)= \begin{cases}\|\alpha\|_{\infty}, & \text { if } \alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \\ \infty, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

then $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$, so $\Omega=\Omega_{a}$ and $L_{\rho}$ contains no copy of $l_{\infty}$. However $c_{0}$ is not w.s.c.
§ 6. Applications of Theorem 5.1.
A.C. Zaanen has given necessary and sufficient conditions for a Banach function space $L_{\rho}$ to be reflexive, namely, that $\rho$ and $\rho$ ' should both be absolutely continuous norms and that $\rho$ should have the weak Fatou property ([ Z ], § 73). Applying the results of the present chapter, we can give an alternative characterisation of reflexivity in terms of the geometry of the function space.
6. 1 THEOREM. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property. Then $L_{\rho}$ is reflexive if and only if no subspace of $L_{\rho}$ is isomorphic to either $c_{o}$ or $1_{1}$.

Proof. The necessity of the condition is obvious, since neither $c_{0}$ nor $l_{1}$ is reflexive.

For the sufficiency, assume that $L_{\rho}$ contains no isomorphic copy of either $c_{0}$ or $l_{1}$. The method for this part of the proof borrows from that of $\left[T_{1}\right]$, Lemma 4. By Theorem $5.1((d) \Leftrightarrow(e)), L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $\rho$ has the Fatou property, so $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$.
It remains to be shown that $L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ : for then,

$$
L_{\rho}^{* *}=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) * *=\left(L_{\rho}^{\prime}\right) *=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) *=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\rho},
$$

and the required conclusion follows.
We consider initially the case where $\mu$ is a finite measure, and suppose that $L_{\rho}^{\prime} \neq L_{\rho}^{a}$. . Then we can find functions $h_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ with $h_{1} \geq h_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ a.e. while inf $\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{n}\right)=\varepsilon>0$.

By Egoroff's theorem, we can now find in $\Sigma$ a sequence $\Omega_{i}^{\prime} \downarrow \varnothing$ such that $h_{n} \downarrow 0$ a.e. uniformly on $\Omega, ~ \Omega_{i}^{\prime}$ for each i. By the saturation of $\rho^{\prime}$ and the Exhaustion Theorem ([z], 67.3), we can also choose sets $\Omega_{j}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$ with $X_{\Omega, \Omega_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ for each $j$. Let $\Omega_{i}=\Omega_{i}^{\prime} U \Omega_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ (i $=1,2, \ldots$ ). Then $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{i}=\Omega \backslash \Omega_{i}^{\prime} \cap \Omega \backslash \Omega_{i}^{\prime \prime} \uparrow \Omega ;$ since $\chi_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{i}} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and $h_{n} \downarrow 0$ a.e. uniformly on $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{i}$, there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $h_{n_{i}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \rho^{\prime}\left(x_{\Omega, \Omega \Omega_{i}}+1\right.}$ a.e. on $\Omega, \Omega_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$. Therefore for each $i$,

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{n_{i}} x_{\Omega, \Omega i}\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and
$\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{1} x_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \geq \rho^{\prime}\left(h_{n_{i}} x_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \geq \rho^{\prime}\left(h_{n_{i}}\right)-\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{n_{i}} \chi_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{i}}\right) \geq \varepsilon-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.
By the definition of $\rho^{\prime}$, therefore, there exist functions $g_{i} \in L_{\rho}^{+}$with $\rho\left(g_{i}\right) \leq 1$ and

$$
\int g_{i} h_{1} X_{\Omega_{i}} d \mu \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)
$$

However in general, the functions $g_{i} \chi_{\Omega_{i}}$ are not pairwise disjoint so we now choose a subsequence $\left\{i_{k}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that the functions

$$
\varphi_{k}=g_{i_{k}} \chi_{\Omega_{i_{k}}} \cup \Omega_{i_{k+1}}
$$

clearly pairwise disjoint, satisfy

$$
\int h_{1} \varphi_{k} d \mu \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Now let $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\} \in l_{1}$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}\right) & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \rho\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \rho\left(g_{i_{k}}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\rho$ has the Fatou property,

$$
\rho\left(\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}\right)=\sup _{N} \rho\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right|<\infty .
$$

It follows that

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right) \sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \geq \rho^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right) \rho\left(\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}\right)
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& =\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right) \rho\left(\left|\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}\right|\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right) \rho\left(\sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \varphi_{k}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \geq \int h_{1}\left(\sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \varphi_{k}\right) d \mu \\
& =\sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \int h_{1} \varphi_{k} d \mu  \tag{11}\\
& \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\left(\sum_{k}\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where each of (10) and (11) follows immediately from the preceding line by the disjointness of the $\varphi_{k}$ 's. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{8 \rho^{\prime}\left(h_{1}\right)}\|\alpha\|_{1} \leq \rho\left(\sum \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}\right) \leq\|\alpha\|_{1} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mapping $\alpha \mapsto \sum \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k} \in L_{\rho}$ is thus a linear bijection of $l_{1}$ into $L_{\rho}$. which by (12) is bicontinuous, and hence $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{\varphi_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\left\{\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}:\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\} \in l_{1}\right\}$ is a linear subspace of $L_{\rho}$ isomorphic to $I_{1}$. Since this contradicts the hypothesis, we must in fact have $L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L_{\rho}^{a}$,.

In general, $\mu$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure: in this case, choose a function $\xi_{0} \in L^{1}(\mu)$, with $\xi_{0}>0$ a.e. (let $\xi_{0}=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}$ where $\xi_{1} \in L_{\rho}, \xi_{2} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and $\xi_{i}>0$ a.e. $\left.(i=1,2)\right)$. Define

$$
\mu_{1}(\sigma)=\int_{\sigma} \xi_{0} d \mu \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma)
$$

Then $\mu_{1}$ is a finite measure on $\Sigma$ and is equivalent to $\mu$ so $M_{\mu_{1}}=M_{\mu}$. Define a norm $\rho_{1}$ based on $\left(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu_{1}\right)$ by

$$
\rho_{1}(f)=\rho(f) \quad\left(f \in M_{\mu_{1}}\right)
$$

Then $\rho_{1}$ is complete and saturated and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{1}^{\prime}(f) & =\sup \left\{\left|\int h f d \mu_{1}\right|: \rho_{1}(h) \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\int h f \xi_{o} d \mu\right|: \rho_{1}(h) \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\rho^{\prime}\left(f \xi_{o}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $f \in M_{\mu_{1}}$. Suppose we have $0 \leq g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime} \backslash L_{\rho}^{a}$. . Then there is a sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ with $g \geq g_{n} \downarrow 0$ abe. on $\Omega$, while $\rho^{\prime}\left(g_{n}\right) \geq \delta>0$ for every $n$. Then
$\rho_{1}^{\prime}\left(g \xi_{o}^{-1}\right)=\rho^{\prime}(g)$ so $g \xi_{o}^{-1} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, and
$g \xi_{o}^{-1} \geq g_{1} \xi_{o}^{-1} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ are. but for each $n$, $\rho_{1}^{\prime}\left(g_{n} \xi_{o}^{-1}\right)=\rho^{\prime}\left(g_{n}\right) \geq \delta$. Hence $g \xi_{o}^{-1} \notin L_{\rho_{1}^{\prime}}^{a}$.

From the first case, we deduce that $L_{\rho_{1}}$ contains a subspace isomorphic to $1_{1}$. But since $L_{\rho_{1}}=L_{\rho}$, this contradict the hypothesis and hence in fact $L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L_{\rho}^{a}$. .

The following result also is based on a theorem for cyclic spaces, given by Tzafriri ([T2], Theorem 10).
6.2 THEOREM. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property. Then $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is isomorphic to the dual of some cyclic space $Z=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{E z_{o}: E \in B\right\}$ where $z_{o} \in Z$ and $B$ is a $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra of projections on $Z$ whose adjoints correspond to the multiplication operators $M_{X_{\sigma}}: f \mapsto X_{X_{\sigma}}\left(\sigma \in \Sigma, f \in L_{\rho}^{a}\right)$, if and only if
(a) $L_{\rho}^{a}=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}$, and
(b) $\Omega_{a} \subseteq \Omega_{b}$, the carrier of $L_{p}^{a}$,.

Proof.
Case 1. Assume that $\rho$ has the Fatou property (so $\rho=\rho "$ ) and that $\Omega_{a}=\Omega$.

Suppose that (a) and (b) both hold. Then $\Omega_{b}=\Omega_{a}=\Omega$ and

$$
\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) *=X_{\Omega_{b}} L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}
$$

and, since by $3.4,3.6$ and $3.9 L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}$, is a cyclic space with respect to the Boolean algebra of multiplications ${ }^{M_{X_{\sigma}}}: f \mapsto f_{X_{\sigma}}\left(\sigma \in \Sigma, f \in L_{p}^{a}\right)$, the result follows.

Conversely suppose that $L_{\rho}^{a} \simeq Z^{*}$ for $z$ as in the statement of the theorem. Identify $L_{\rho}^{a}$ with $Z^{*}$ and for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, denote by $E_{\sigma}$ the element of $B$ whose adjoint is $M_{X_{\sigma}}$. If we imbed $Z$ canonically in $Z^{* *}=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{*}=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{\prime}=L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, then the projections in $B$ correspond to the multiplications ${ }^{M_{X}}{ }^{\prime}(\sigma \in \Sigma)$ on $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, and if we let $g \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ be the function corresponding to the cyclic vector $z_{0}$, then $z$ becomes the $\rho^{\prime}$-closed principal ideal $\bar{J}_{g}$ in $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ (Prop. 3.9). We may assume $g \geq 0$ a.e. since $J_{g}=J_{|g|}$. We show now that
(i) supp $g=\Omega$, and
(ii) $g \in L_{\rho}^{a}$..

If $\sigma \subseteq \Omega \backslash \operatorname{supp} g$, then for any $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$,
$\left\langle g, x_{\sigma} f\right\rangle=\int_{\sigma} g f d \mu=0$.
Hence $\left\langle g^{\prime}, X_{\sigma} f\right\rangle=0$ for every $g^{\prime} \in Z$ and $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$; therefore $X_{\sigma} L_{\rho}^{a} \subseteq Z^{\perp}$ and $Z^{*} \subseteq X_{\Omega, ~} L_{\rho}^{a}$. So $\sigma$ must be a null set and supp $g=\Omega$.

Now let $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of sets in $\Sigma$, whose intersection is a null set. For each $n$,
$g X_{\sigma_{n}}=E_{\sigma_{n}}^{* * g}=E_{\sigma_{n}} z_{o}$, and $\inf _{n} M_{X_{\sigma_{n}}}=0$, so $\inf _{n} E_{\sigma_{n}}=0$.
Since $B$ is $\sigma$-complete, inf $\left\|E_{\sigma_{n}} z_{0}\right\|=0$ and hence, $\rho^{\prime}\left(X_{\sigma_{n}} g\right) \neq 0$, which shows that $g \in L_{\rho}^{a}$. . Since $\Omega_{b} \supseteq$ supp $g$,
it follows that $\Omega_{b}=\Omega$.
Finally, from a result of Bessaga and Pelczýnski ([BP], Cor.4), $L_{\rho}^{a}$, being a Banach dual space, can contain no complemented subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$. Hence by Theorem 5.7, $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ and the theorem now follows.

Case 2. Now consider $\rho$ a saturated norm having the Riesz-Fischer property. We shall apply the isomorphism $U$ of $L_{\rho}^{a}$ onto $L_{\tau}^{a}$, described following Theorem 5.4, since the norm $\tau$ will satisfy the conditions of Case 1.

Assume that (a) and (b) both hold for $L_{p}^{a}$. Then by the isomorphism $U, L_{\tau}^{a}=L_{\tau}$; since $\tau^{\prime}(f)=\rho^{\prime}\left(f \varphi_{0}\right)$ for $f=f_{\Omega_{a}}$, it is easily seen that

$$
L_{\tau,}^{a}=\left\{f \varphi_{0}^{-1}: f=f_{\chi_{\Omega_{a}}} \in L_{\rho,}^{a}\right\}=\varphi_{o}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}^{a}=\varphi_{O}^{-1} L_{\rho}^{a}
$$

so that the carrier of $L_{\tau}^{a}$, is $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{0}^{-1} \cap \Omega_{b}=\Omega_{a} \cap \Omega_{b}=\Omega_{a}$, from (b). By Case 1 therefore, $L_{\tau}^{a}$ is isomorphic to the dual of a cyclic space $Z$ and hence so also is $L_{\rho}^{a}$. Conversely, if $I_{\rho}^{a}$ is the dual of a cyclic space $Z$ as in the statement of the theorem, then also $L_{\tau}^{a} \simeq Z^{*}$, so $L_{\tau}^{a}=L_{\tau}$ and the carrier of $L_{\tau}^{a}$, is $\Omega_{a}$. Consequently $L_{\rho}^{a}=X_{\Omega_{a}} L_{\rho}$ and $\Omega_{a}=\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{o}^{-1} \cap \Omega_{b}=\Omega_{a} \cap \Omega_{b}$; hence $\Omega_{\mathrm{a}} \subseteq \Omega_{\mathrm{b}}$ as required.

We shall return to this theorem later in the light of the results of Chap. IV.
6.3 PROPOSITION. Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm with the Riesz-Fischer property. Then $L_{\rho}$ is isomorphic to the dual of a cyclic space $Z=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{E z_{o}: E \in B\right\}$, where $z_{o} \in Z$ and $B$ is a $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra of projections on $Z$ whose adjoints correspond to the multiplication operators $\mathrm{MX}_{\sigma}: f H \mathrm{f}_{X_{\sigma}}\left(\sigma \in \Sigma, f \in \mathrm{~L}_{\rho}\right)$, if and only if
(a) $\rho$ has the weak Fatou property, and
(b) $\Omega_{\mathrm{b}}=\Omega$.

Proof. Suppose $L_{\rho} \simeq Z^{*}$ as in the statement of the theorem. Identifying $Z^{* *}$ with $L_{\rho}^{*}=L_{\rho}^{\prime} \oplus L_{\rho, s^{*}}^{*}$ the canonical image $\hat{z}$ of $Z$ in $Z^{* *}$ consists of order continuous linear functionals on $L_{\rho}$, therefore is contained in $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and is of the form $\overline{\operatorname{lin}\left\{M_{\chi}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}}$ for some $g \in L_{p}^{\prime}$. Since $B$ is $\sigma$-complete, the restriction of $B^{* *}=\left\{E^{* *}: E \in B\right\}=\left\{M X_{\sigma}^{*}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ to $\hat{Z}$, forms a $\sigma$ complete Boolean algebra of projections, and so by the Representation Lemmas 3.4 and 1.5 , the norm of $\hat{z}$ is absolutely continuous, i.e. $\hat{z}$ is an ideal of $L_{\rho}^{a}$. By Prop. 3.9 and Lemma $3.6, \hat{Z}=\bar{J}_{g}=X_{\text {supp }} g L_{\rho}^{a}$. However it is easily shown, just as in Theorem 6.2 , that supp $g=\Omega$. Hence $\bar{J}_{g}=L_{\rho}^{\mathrm{a}}$, and clearly $\Omega_{b}=\Omega$. Thus by Lemma 3.1,

$$
L_{\rho}=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right)^{*}=X_{\Omega_{b}} L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Hence $\rho$ and $\rho "$ are equivalent norms, and so $\rho$ has the Fatou property.

Conversely if condition (a) of the theorem holds, then $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}$. If (b) also holds, then we can find $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, with $\mathrm{f}>0 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{e}$. By Lemmas 3.9 and 3.6 , $\bar{J}_{f}=L_{\rho}^{a}=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{\chi_{\sigma^{f}}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}$ and

$$
\bar{J}_{f}^{*}=\left(L_{\rho}^{\prime}\right)^{*}=X_{\Omega_{b}} L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\rho}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\rho} .
$$

Taking $Z$ to be $L_{\rho}^{a}$, the theorem now follows.
§ 7. Appendix.
The original theorems stated by L. Tzafriri, to which we referred at the beginning of the chapter, concerned cyclic Banach spaces, namely, spaces of the form

$$
x=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{P x_{0}: P \in B\right\}
$$

where $x_{0} \in X$, and $B$ is a $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebra of projections on $X$. These theorems, in $\left[T_{1}\right]$ and $\left[T_{2}\right]$, gave conditions for weak sequential completeness and for reflexivity of cyclic spaces, as follows, similar in form to those of our present theorems 6.1 and 5.1, 5.4, 5.7. The notation of $7.2(c)$ and 7.3 is defined in $\left[T_{1}\right]$ and $\left[T_{2}\right]$ respectively.

1. THEOREM ( $\left[T_{1}\right]$, Theorem 5). The cyclic space $X=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{P x_{0}: P \in B\right\}$ is reflexive if and only if no subspace of it is isomorphic to either $c_{0}$ or $l_{1}$.
2. THEOREM ( $\left[T_{2}\right]$, Theorem 4). Let $X=\overline{\operatorname{lin}\left\{P x_{O}: P \in B\right\}}$ be a cyclic space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) X is weakly sequentially complete;
(b) No subspace of X is isomorphic to co;
(c) For any sequence of Borel functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq f_{1}(\omega) \leq \cdots \leq f_{n}(\omega) \leq \cdots \quad(\omega \in \Omega) \text { with } \\
& \sup _{n}\left\|S\left(f_{n}\right) x_{0}\right\|<\infty, \text { we have } x_{o} \in D\left(S\left(\sup _{n} f_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \text { i.e. } S\left(\sup _{n} f_{n}\right) x_{o} \in X ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) No complemented subspace of $X$ is isomorphic to $c_{o}$;
(e) X is complemented in $\mathrm{X}^{* *}$.

By $\left[G_{1}\right]$, Theorem 3.4 , every cyclic space is linearly isomorphic to the ideal $L_{\rho}^{a}$ of a Banach function space $L_{\rho}$ whose norm $\rho$ has the Fatou property. The results of this chapter on weak sequential completeness and reflexivity therefore apply, modulo isomorphism, to cyclic spaces in particular, and they yield Tzafriri's results. However the present proofs are considerably easier to handle,
and since more concrete, are perhaps more transparent than the proofs given in $\left[T_{1}\right]$ and $\left[T_{2}\right]$.
P. Meyer-Nieberg has also formulated some similar results, this time for Banach lattices, obtained by different methods again ([M], Theorems 13 and 16).

Since every Banach function space and every ideal thereof is a Banach lattice, these results are of wider application than ours; however their statements are slightly weaker.

In [ $T_{2}$ ], Tzafriri. also gave the following theorem, which simplifies very considerably when cyclic spaces are reinterpreted as Banach function spaces, and gives rise to our Theorem 6.2.
3. THEOREM $\left(\left[T_{2}\right]\right.$, Theorem 10). A cyclic space $X=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{P x_{O}: P \in B\right\}$ is isomorphic to the conjugate of a cyclic space $Z$ if and only if it is weakly sequentially complete and at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(a) $P(s(\Gamma))=I$;
(b) there exists a strictly positive functional $x_{1}^{*} \in \Gamma$ such that $x^{* *} x_{1}^{*}=\sup \left\{x_{1}^{*} x: 0 \leq x \leq x^{* *}, x \in X\right\}$ for $0 \leq \mathrm{x}^{* *} \in \mathrm{X}^{* *}$;
(c) the closure of $\Gamma$ in the $\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)$ topology contains $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{O}}^{*}$.

CHAPTER IV. HOMOMORPHISMS OF BANACH FUNCTION SPACES.

Our intention in this chapter is to develop and study the notion of a homomorphic relation between Banach function spaces. For any space of functions $M, M^{r}$ (respectively $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) will denote the subspace of realvalued (respectively non-negative valued) functions in M. As usual, we do not distinguish betwen a function $f$ and the equivalence class of functions that are equal to $f$ a.e. Note, in the case where $M$ is $M_{\mu}$, that $\left(M_{\mu}\right)+$ is a strictly smaller class than $M_{\mu}^{+}$as defined earlier. § 8. Preliminaries and definitions.

We follow the notation of $\left[\mathrm{LZ}_{2}\right]$.
8.1 Definition. A Riesz space, or vector lattice, is a partially ordered real linear space ( $L,+$, , $\leq$ ) such that (L, $\leq$ ) is a lattice.

The complexification of $L$ is the space of elements of the form $x+i y(x, y \in L)$, often denoted as the direct sum $L \oplus i L$. However, in [MW], Mittelmeyer and Wolff have axiomatised the notion of absolute value in a vector space and hence established the definition of a complex Riesz space. Moreover, whenever $L$ is a complex Riesz space and $L^{r}$ denotes the real vector lattice generated by the positive cone $L^{+}$of $L$ (the cone being determined by the absolute value defined on $L$ ), then $L$ is precisely the standard complexification of $L^{r}$. The basic concepts of real Riesz spaces carry over easily to the complex setting, e.g.
an ideal of $L$ is the complexification of an ideal of $L^{r}$, and so on. For a fuller discussion we refer the reader to [S] and we shall always use the term "Riesz space" meaning "complex Riesz space". Familiarity with the elementary properties of vector lattices is assumed;
these may be found in $\left[L_{2}\right]$ and $[F]$. However the following fact merits explicit mention.
8.2 LEMMA ([F], 14D). If L is a vector lattice, then L is an infinitely distributive lattice, i.e. if $A$ is a subset of $L$ such that sup $A$ exists in $L$, then for every $y \in L$,

$$
y \wedge \sup A=\sup \{y \wedge x: x \in A\} ;
$$

similarly, if inf $A$ exists in $L$, then for every $y \in L$,

$$
y \vee \inf A=\inf \{y \vee x: x \in A\}
$$

### 8.3 Linear maps between Riesz spaces.

(a) A linear map $T$ is increasing (or positive) if $T x \geq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$.
(b) A Riesz homomorphism is a linear map which is also a lattice homomorphism. If $T$ is a linear map of $L_{1}$ to $L_{2}$, the following statements are equivalent ([F], $14 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b})):$
(i) $T$ is a Riesz homomorphism;
(ii) $(T x)^{+}=T x^{+}$for all $x \in L_{1}^{r}$;
(iii) $|T x|=T|x|$ for all $x \in L_{1}^{r}$;
(iv) $T x \wedge T y=0$ whenever $x \wedge y=0$.

It is easily checked that if $T$ is a Riesz homomorphism of $L_{1}$ to. $L_{2}$, then $T$ is increasing and (using (ii) and (iv)) whenever $x \in L_{1}^{r}$ then $T x \in L_{2}^{r}$.
(c) A Riesz isomorphism is a bijective Riesz homomorphism.
(d) An increasing linear map $T$ is order continuous if whenever $A$ is a non-empty directed subset of $L^{+}$, then $A \nmid O$ implies $T(A) \downarrow O$, or equivalently, $A \uparrow x$ implies $T(A) \uparrow T x$.
(e) An increasing linear map $T$ is sequentially order
continuous if the condition of (d) holds with the directed set $A$ replaced by any monotone sequence.
8.4 Riesz subspaces.
(a) A subset $A$ of a vector lattice $L$ is called solid if it follows, whenever $x \in L$ and $|x| \leq a$ for some $a \in A$, that $x \in A$.
(b) An ideal of $L$ is a solid linear subspace of $L$, and is thus always a Riesz subspace.
(c) A band of $L$ is an order closed ideal, i.e. an ideal $M$, say, such that, if $A \subset M$ and sup $A$ exists in $L$, then $\sup A \in M$.
(d) A Riesz subspace $M$ of $L$ is order dense if for each $x \in L^{+}$,

$$
x=\sup \{y: 0 \leq y \leq x, y \in M\}
$$

The range of a Riesz homomorphism is a Riesz subspace of the codomain; the kernel of a Riesz homomorphism is an ideal of the domain ([F], 14F).
8.5 Quotient spaces and homomorphic images.

If $L$ is a Riesz space and $I$ is an ideal of $L$, then $L / I$
is a Riesz space with respect to a partial order $\leq$ defined as follows:

Given $f, g \in L$, we say $[f] \leq[g]$ whenever there exist $\mathrm{f}_{1} \in[\mathrm{f}]$ and $\mathrm{g}_{1} \in[\mathrm{~g}]$ with $\mathrm{f}_{1} \leq \mathrm{g}_{1}$.

The canonical mapping of $L$ onto $L / I$ is a Riesz homomorphism with kernel I; conversely, any Riesz homomorphic image $T(L)$ of $L$ is Riesz isomorphic to $L / k e r T$ ( $\left[z_{2}\right], 18.7,18.9$ ).
8.6 Dedekind completeness.
(a) The Riesz space $L$ is called Dedekind complete (resp. Dedekind $\sigma$-complete) if every non-empty (resp. at most
countable non-empty) subset of $L$ which is bounded from above has a supremum.
(b) L is called super Dedekind complete if $L$ is Dedekind complete and every non-empty subset possessing a supremum contains a countable subset with the same supremum.

Note that (i) if $L$ is a Dedekind complete Riesz space and $M$ is an ideal of $L$, then $M$ is also Dedekind complete;
(ii) in a super Dedekind complete space, sequentially order continuous mappings are order continuous.
8.7 The Riesz spaces $M_{\mu}$ and $L_{\rho}$.

Let $\rho$ be a saturated function norm based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ). When endowed with the natural (pointwise) ordering, whereby for $f$ and $g$ in $M_{\mu}^{r}, f \leq g$ if and only if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega, M_{\mu}$ is a Riesz space with real part $M_{\mu}^{r}$ and positive cone $M_{\mu}^{+}$.
The following facts are fundamental to many results concerning Banach function spaces, and will normally be used without explicit reference.
8.7 (i) THEOREM. $M_{\mu}$ is a super Dedekind complete Riesz space ([LZ ${ }_{2}$ ], 23.3 (iv)).
8.7 (ii) LEMMA. $L_{\rho}$ is an order dense ideal of $M_{\mu}$. Proof. Since whenever $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e. we have $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$, clearly $L_{\rho}$ is a solid subspace, and hence a Riesz subspace, of $M_{\mu}$. Since $\rho$ is saturated, then by the Exhaustion Theorem ([ z$], 67.3$ ), there exists a sequence $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ in $\Sigma$ with $\rho\left(X_{\Omega_{n}}\right)<\infty$ for each $n$. Let $0 \leq f \in M_{\mu}$ and let $\sigma_{n}=\{\mathrm{f} \leq \mathrm{n}\}(\mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots)$; then $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \uparrow \Omega$ so if we let $\delta_{n}=\sigma_{n} \cap \Omega_{n}$, we have $\delta_{n}+\Omega$ (2.1(iv)), and for each $n$,

$$
f \chi_{\delta_{n}} \leq n \chi_{\delta_{n}} \leq n \chi_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}
$$

So $\left\{f \chi_{\delta_{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence in $L_{\rho}$ with supremum $f$. Hence, $f=\sup \left\{\mathrm{EX}_{\delta_{n}}\right\} \leq \sup \left\{g: 0 \leq g \leq f, g \in L_{\rho}\right\} \leq f$.
Note that the existence of the second supremum here is ensured by the Dedekind completeness of $L_{\rho}$ (which follows from 8.6 (i)). So $f$ equals this supremum a.e. and the result follows.
8.7 (iii) COROLLARY. If $f \in M_{\mu}^{\dagger}$, we can always find a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{p}$ with $0 \leq f_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e.

## § 9. Homomorphisms between Banach function spaces.

Throughout the present and the following sections, $\rho$ and $\tau$ will be saturated function norms with the Riesz-Fischer property, based on the $\sigma$-finite measure spaces ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) and ( $\epsilon, \Lambda, \nu$ ) respectively.

It is clear that since $L_{\rho}$ is a Riesz subspace of $M_{\mu}$, the restriction to $L_{\rho}$ of any Riesz homomorphism on $M_{\mu}$ is also a Riesz homomorphism.

Now let $\Pi$ be any Riesz homomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$. Let the null ideal ker $\Pi$ have carrier set $A \subseteq \Omega$; denote by $q$ the canonical quotient map of $L_{\rho}$ to $L_{\rho} /$ ker $\Pi$ and by $\alpha$ the induced isomorphism of $L_{\rho} /$ ker $\Pi$ to $L_{\tau}$. Thus the following diagram commutes:


It follows from [F], 25D that the completeness of $L_{\rho}$ and monotonicity of the norm $\tau$ are sufficient to ensure that $I$ is continuous, and hence that $\alpha$ is continuous with respect to the usual quotient norm, denoted by $\hat{\rho}$ and given by

$$
\hat{\rho}([f])=\inf \left\{\rho\left(f^{\prime}\right): f-f^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi\right\} .
$$

Thus, since $\alpha$ is both one-one and onto, it must, by the Closed Graph Theorem, be bicontinaous. Consequently, if $f \in L_{\rho}$ and $g \in L_{\tau}$ we have
(i) $\tau(\Pi f) \leq k_{1} \hat{\rho}([f])$,
(ii) $\hat{\rho}\left(\alpha^{-1} g\right) \leq k_{2} \tau(g)$,
where $k_{1}=\|\alpha\|, k_{2} \doteq\left\|\alpha^{-1}\right\|$. From (i) and the definition of $\hat{\rho}, ~ c l e a r l y ~\|\Pi\|=k_{1}$ also, and from (ii), for an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ and for each $g \in L_{\tau}$ we can find an element $h$ in the coset $\alpha^{-1} g$ satisfying $\rho(h) \leq\left(k_{2}+\varepsilon\right) \tau(g)$. Hence, choosing any positive constant $c_{1}<k_{2}^{-1}$ and letting $c_{2}=k_{1}$, there exists for every $g \in L_{\tau}$, some $h \in L_{\rho}$ satisfying $\Pi h=g$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \rho(h) \leq \tau(g) \leq c_{2} \rho(h) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

9.1 Definition. The Banach function space $L_{\tau}$ shall be called a homomorphic image of the Banach function space $L_{\rho}$ if there exists a Riesz homomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$.
9.2 Remark. We shall consider only the case where the Riesz homomorphism $\Pi$, say, is surjective, since otherwise the image of an ideal of $L_{\rho}$, and indeed the range $\Pi\left(L_{\rho}\right)$ itself, need not be ideals of $L_{\tau}$ nor, therefore, Banach function spaces, under any monotone renorming. For example,
take $L_{\rho}=L_{\tau}$ to be the space $1_{\infty}$ of all bounded complex sequences with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and the pointwise ordering of elements; for $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \in L_{\rho}$, define

$$
\Pi \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots\right) ;
$$

clearly $I I$ is linear, increasing and bounded, and commutes with the lattice operations $v, \wedge$ : hence $\Pi$ is a Riesz homomorphism on $L_{\rho}$, but is certainly not onto; now if $0 \neq \propto \in L_{\rho}$, let

$$
\beta=\left(\alpha_{1}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots\right) ;
$$

then $|\beta| \leq|\Pi \alpha|$, but $\beta \notin \Pi\left(L_{\rho}\right)$ and the range of $\Pi$ is therefore not an order ideal.

Thus, throughout the chapter, the homomorphism
$\Pi: L_{\rho} \rightarrow L_{\tau}$ will be assumed surjective. Moreover, A will always denote the carrier of ker $\Pi$, and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ will be the constants of the inequalities (1).
9.3 LEMMA. Let $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a monotone sequence of elements of $L_{\tau}^{+}$. Then there exists a monotone sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\rho}^{+}$, which is increasing or decreasing according as $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is increasing or decreasing, and such that for each $n$,

$$
\Pi u_{n}=v_{n}
$$

Furthermore, in the case where $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ decreases, each $u_{n}$ may be chosen to satisfy additionally

$$
c_{1} \rho\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \tau\left(v_{n}\right) \leq c_{2} \rho\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ increases. Since $I I$ is onto, we can find $u_{n}^{\prime} \in L_{\rho}$ with $\Pi u_{n}^{\prime}=v_{n}$ for each $n$. Since

$$
\Pi\left(\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|\right)=\left|\Pi u_{n}^{\prime}\right|=\left|v_{n}\right|=v_{n},
$$

we can replace, if necessary, each $u_{n}^{\prime}$ by $\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ and ensure that the sequence lies in $L_{\rho}^{+}$. Now write $u_{1}=u_{i}$ and $u_{n}=u_{n}^{\prime} v u_{n-1}(n \geq 2)$. Then $u_{n} \geq u_{n-1}$ a.e.; also,
$\rho\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \rho\left(u_{n}^{\prime}+u_{n-1}\right) \leq \rho\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(u_{n-1}\right) \leq \cdots \leq \sum_{r=1}^{n} \rho\left(u_{r}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, and
$\Pi u_{n}=\Pi u_{n}^{\prime} v \Pi u_{n-1}=\ldots=\sup _{1 \leq r \leq n} \Pi u_{r}^{\prime}=\sup _{1 \leq r \leq n} v_{r}=v_{n}$.
This inductively defines the required sequence.
A similar procedure applies in the case where $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ decreases, by putting $u_{1}=u_{1}^{\prime}$ and $u_{n}=u_{n}^{\prime} \wedge u_{n-1}(n \geq 2)$. But furthermore, we could choose the original sequence $\left\{u_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ to satisfy

$$
c_{1} \rho\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \tau\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

as in (1). This condition is preserved if we replace $u_{n}^{\prime}$ by $\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|$, since $\rho(f)=\rho(|f|)$ for every $f \in M$ finally, since for each $n, u_{n} \leq u_{n}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
c_{1} \rho\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c_{1} \rho\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \tau\left(v_{n}\right) .
$$

The inequality $\tau\left(v_{n}\right) \leq c_{2} p\left(u_{n}\right)$ is immediate.
9.4 LEMMA. If $\sigma_{,} \sigma_{1} \in \Sigma$ with $\chi_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}$ and $\sigma_{1} \subseteq \sigma$, then

$$
\pi x_{\sigma_{1}}=\left(\pi x_{\sigma} .\right) x_{\text {supp }} \pi x_{\sigma_{1}}
$$

Proof. Let $\sigma_{2}=\sigma \backslash \sigma_{1}$, and $\delta_{i}=\operatorname{supp} \Pi X_{\sigma_{i}}(i=1,2)$; let $f=\Pi \chi_{\sigma}$ and $\delta=\operatorname{supp} f$. Since $\sigma_{i} \subseteq \sigma$, clearly $X \sigma_{i} \in L_{\rho}$ and $\Pi \chi_{\sigma_{i}} \leq \Pi \chi_{\sigma}$, so $\delta_{i} \subseteq \delta(i=1,2)$. In fact $\Pi \chi_{\sigma}=\Pi \chi_{\sigma_{1}}+\Pi \chi_{\sigma_{2}}$ so $\delta=\delta_{1} \cup \delta_{2}$; since $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are disjoint, $\Pi \chi_{\sigma_{1}} \wedge \Pi \chi_{\sigma_{2}}=0$, so $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are disjoint. It follows that $\mathbb{M X}_{\sigma_{i}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{X}_{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$.
9.5 COROLLARY. In the case where $1 \in L_{\rho}$, then letting $\phi_{0}=\Pi 1$, we have for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$
\pi x_{\sigma}=\phi_{0} x_{\delta}
$$

where $\delta=$ supp $\Pi x_{\sigma}$.
We conclude this section with two simple but important properties of $I$.
9. 6 LEMMA. If $u \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, then $\Pi u \in L_{\tau}^{a}$. Proof. We may assume $u \geq 0$ a.e. Write $v=\Pi u$ and suppose $\mathrm{v} \geq \mathrm{v}_{1} \geq \mathrm{v}_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow$ O a.e. on $\Omega$. Applying Lemma 9.3, we can find a decreasing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\rho}^{+}$, majorised by $u$ and satisfying $\Pi u_{n}=v_{n}$ for each $n$.

Define $\bar{u}=\inf u_{n} \geq 0$. Since $\bar{u} \leq u_{n}$ a.e., $\Pi \bar{u} \leq \Pi u_{n}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) so $\Pi \bar{u} \leq \inf \Pi u_{n}=\inf v_{n}=0$, i.e. $\overline{\mathrm{u}} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{I}$.

Now $\dot{u}-\bar{u} \geq u_{n}-\bar{u} \geq \ldots \downarrow O$ a.e., and $u-\bar{u} \leq u \in L_{\rho}^{a}$ so $\rho\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$
\tau\left(v_{n}\right)=\tau\left(\Pi u_{n}-\Pi \bar{u}\right) \leq c_{2} \rho\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, showing that $v \in L_{\tau}^{a}$.
9.7 PROPOSITION. Let $\Pi_{a}=\left.\pi\right|_{L_{\rho}^{a}}$. Then $\Pi_{a}$ is order continuous.

Proof. Recalling 8.6 (ii), it is sufficient to show that $\Pi_{a}$ is sequentially order continuous. Let $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}$, and suppose $0 \leq f_{1} \leq f_{2} \leq \ldots \uparrow f a . e$. Then $\rho\left(f-f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, and hence $\tau\left(\Pi_{a} f-\Pi_{a} f_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $\left\{\Pi_{a} f_{n}\right\}$ is increasing and bounded above by $\Pi_{a} f$, so $\sup _{n} \Pi_{a} f_{n} \leq \Pi_{a} f$ and by Lemma 9.6 , this implies that $\sup _{n} \Pi_{a} f_{n} \in L_{\tau}^{a}$. Hence, since $\Pi_{a} f_{1} \leq \Pi_{a} f_{2} \leq \cdots \uparrow \sup _{n} \Pi_{a} f_{n}$ a.e., $\tau\left(\sup _{n} \Pi_{a} f_{n}-\Pi_{a} f_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\Pi_{a} f=\sup _{n} \Pi_{a} f_{n}$ a.e., as required.
In particular, it follows from Prop. 9.7, that if $\rho$ is an absolutely continuous norm, every Riesz homomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$ is order continuous. Hence this is true, for example, when $L_{\rho}$ is reflexive or when $L_{\rho}$ is weakly sequentially complete, since both these conditions imply $L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ (Theorems 5.1 and 6.1).
§ 10. Order continuity.
It is appropriate to record here some elementary facts about Riesz homomorphisms.
10.1 PROPOSITION. If $L$ and $M$ are Riesz spaces and $T$ is a Riesz homomorphism of $L$ onto $M$, then
(i) whenever $I$ is an ideal of $L, T(I)$ is an ideal of $M$;
(ii) if $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are disjoint ideals of $L$, then $T\left(I_{1}\right)$ and $T\left(I_{2}\right)$ are disjoint ideals of $M$;
(iii) $T$ is order continuous if and only if ker $T$ is a band.

Proof. The proofs of (i) and (ii) are an easy exercise; for (iii) see $\left[\mathrm{LZ}_{2}\right], 18.13$.

Recalling from Prop. 5.2 that the bands of $L_{\rho}$ are precisely the subspaces of the form $X_{C} L_{\rho}$ for some set $C \in \Sigma$ which is then the carrier of that band, we can apply (iii) directly to $\Pi$ : $L_{\rho} \rightarrow L_{\tau}$ :
10.2 COROLLARY. $\Pi$ is order continuous if and only if ker $I I=X_{A} L_{\rho}$.

Before the main theorem of this section, we require a further lemma.
10.3 LEMMA. Let the Riesz homomorphism $\Pi$ of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$ be order continuous. Let $h \in L_{\tau}$ : then there is a unique element $f$ of $L_{\rho}$ disjoint to ker $I I$ and satisfying If $=h$.

Proof. We first assume $h \geq 0$ a.e. Since $\Pi$ is onto, $h=\Pi f_{1}$ for some $f_{1} \in L_{\rho}$. Let $f=f_{1} X_{\Omega \backslash A}$.
By Cor. $10.2, f_{1}-f \in \operatorname{ker} \pi$. Since $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \Omega \backslash A, f$ is disjoint to ker $\Pi$, and $\Pi f=\pi f_{1}-\Pi\left(f_{1} X_{A}\right)=\Pi f_{1}=h$. Suppose $g \in L_{\rho}$ is also disjoint to ker $I I$ and satisfies $\Pi g=h$. Since $\Pi g^{-}=(\Pi g)^{-}=h^{-}=0$ a.e., i.e. $g^{-} \in \operatorname{ker} \Pi$, we must have $g^{-}=0$ a.e.; hence $g \in L_{\rho}^{+}$, and

$$
\Pi(f \wedge g)=\Pi f \wedge \Pi g=h=\Pi f .
$$

So $f-(f \wedge G) \in \operatorname{ker} \pi$; but since $0 \leq f-(f \wedge G) \leq f$ a.e. and $f$ is disjoint to ker $\Pi$, this means $f=f \wedge g$, i.e. $f \leq g . S i m i l a r l y \Pi(f) ~ g)=\Pi g$ and it follows that $g \leq f a . e . H e n c e g=f a . e . ;$ so $f \geq 0$ a.e. and is unique in satisfying the required condition.

In general, $h=h_{1}-h_{2}+i h_{3}-i h_{4}$ where $h_{i} \geq 0$ a.e. ( $i=1, \ldots, 4$ ) and $h_{1} h_{2}=0=h_{3} h_{4}$ a.e. For each $i$, there is a unique element $f_{i}$ of $L_{\rho}$ disjoint to ker $\Pi$ and satis$f_{\text {ying }} \Pi f_{i}=h_{i}$. Define $f=f_{1}-f_{2}+i f_{3}-i f_{4}$ : then
supp $f=\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp} f_{i}$ so $f$ is disjoint to ker $\Pi$, and $M f=h$. Suppose $g \in L_{\rho}$ is also disjoint to ker $\Pi$ and $\Pi g=h$. If $g=g_{1}-g_{2}+i g_{3}-i g_{4}$ in its standard decomposition, it is easily deduced from 8.3 (b) that for each i, $\Pi g_{i}=h_{i} ;$ since supp $g_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g$, each $g_{i}$ is disjoint to ker $\Pi$, and so, by uniqueness, $g_{i}=f_{i}$ a.e. Hence $g=f$ a.e.

For $f$ and $h$ related as in the lemma, we shall write

$$
f=m_{c}^{-1} h
$$

(for reasons to become apparent) and call $f$ the fundamental inverse of $h$ under $I$. It follows without difficulty from Lemma 10.3 that $\Pi_{C}^{-1}$ is an increasing linear map of $L_{\tau}$ into $L_{\rho}$. Furthermore, observe that for any $g \in L_{\rho}$ with $\Pi g=h, g X_{\Omega, A}=f$ a.e., so $g X_{A}$ and $f$ are mutually disjoint and $g-f=(g-f) X_{A}$; therefore

$$
\rho(g)=\rho(|g|)=\rho(|f+(g-f)|)=\rho(f+|g-f|) \geq \rho(f) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\Pi_{c}^{-1} h\right)=\inf \{\rho(g): \Pi g=h\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $f=\Pi_{c}^{-1} h$ satisfies the left-hand inequality in (1), i.e. for $h \in L_{\tau}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \rho\left(\Pi_{c}^{-1} h\right) \leq \tau(h) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

10. 4 THEOREM. Let $\Pi$ be a Riesz homomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$. There is a decomposition of $\Pi$ in the form

$$
\pi=\pi_{c} \oplus \pi_{n}
$$

where
(a) $\Pi_{c}$ is one-one and order continuous,
(b) $\Pi_{n}$ is order discontinuous at every point where it does not vanish,
(c) the domains of $\Pi_{C}$ and $\Pi_{n}$ are mutually complementary
bands of $L_{\rho}$ and the ranges of $\Pi_{c}$ and $\Pi_{n}$ are mutually complementary bands of $L_{\tau}$.

Proof. Let $A$ be, as usual, the carrier of the null ideal of $\Pi$ and let $A^{\prime}$ be $\Omega \backslash A$; let $\Pi_{c}$ and $\Pi_{n}$ denote the restrictions of $\Pi$ to $X_{A}, L_{\rho}$ and $X_{A} L_{\rho}$ respectively.
(a) That $\Pi_{c}$ is one-one is clear. Now suppose
$f_{n}=f_{n} X_{A}, \downarrow 0$ a.e. in $L_{\rho}$ and let $g_{n}=\Pi f_{n}=\Pi_{c} f_{n} \in L_{\tau}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ). Then the sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing a.e. Let $g_{0} \in L_{\tau}$ be its infimum. Then $g_{0} \geq 0$ a.e. and for some $h \in L_{\rho}^{+}$, $I h=g_{0}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\Pi\left(h \wedge f_{n}\right)=\Pi h \wedge \Pi f_{n}=g_{0} \wedge g_{n}=g_{0}=\Pi h .
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
h-\left(h \wedge f_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \pi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $\operatorname{supp}\left(h-\left(h \wedge f_{n}\right)\right) \subseteq A$. Thus $\left(h-\left(h \wedge f_{n}\right)\right) x_{A^{\prime}}=0$ a.e., i.e. $h x_{A^{\prime}}=\left(h \wedge f_{n}\right) x_{A^{\prime}} \leq f_{n^{\prime}}$. Hence $h X_{A}$, $\leq \inf f_{n}=0$ a.e., so $h=h X_{A}$ and

$$
h \wedge f_{n}=h X_{A} \wedge f_{n} X_{A}=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

Thus from (4), $h \in$ ker $\Pi$ and $g_{0}=\Pi h=0$ a.e., as required.
(b) Let $O \leq h=h X_{A} \in L_{\rho}$, ker $\Pi$. Put $X_{m}=\{h \leq m\}$ for $m=1,2, \ldots$, and choose a sequence $Y_{m} \uparrow A$ in $\Sigma$ with $X_{Y_{m}} \in$ ker $\Pi$ for each $m$. Since $X_{m} \uparrow \Omega$, we have $X_{m} \cap Y_{m} \uparrow A$ (by 2.1 (iv)) so that $h X_{X_{m} \cap Y_{m}} \uparrow h$ a.e. For each m however,

$$
h X_{X_{m}} n Y_{m} \leq m X_{Y_{m}} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi
$$

so sup $\pi_{n}\left(h X_{X_{m} \cap Y_{m}}\right)=0$ and by assumption is not equal to $\Pi_{n} h$. This shows that $\Pi_{n}$ is not order continuous at $h$.
(c) Since $X_{A} L_{\rho}$ and $X_{A}, L_{\rho}$ are disjoint ideals of $L_{\rho}$, the first assertion is immediate. Their images $\Pi\left(X_{A} L_{\rho}\right)$ and $\Pi\left(X_{A}, L_{\rho}\right)$ are disjoint ideals of $L_{\tau}$ by Prop. 10.1 (ii), and hence have disjoint carriers $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ respectively,
say, in 1 . Thus

$$
L_{\tau}=\Pi\left(L_{\rho}\right)=\Pi\left(X_{A} L_{\rho} \oplus x_{A}, L_{\rho}\right)=\Pi_{n}\left(X_{A} L_{\rho}\right) \oplus \Pi_{C}\left(x_{A}, L_{\rho}\right),
$$ and it follows that $\epsilon=B U B^{\prime}$ and that (c) holds. Hence we can express $\Pi$ as the direct sum $\Pi_{c} \oplus \Pi_{n}$.

10.5 COROLLARY. If $f \in L_{\rho}^{a}, \Pi f=\Pi\left(f_{X_{A}}\right)$, i.e. $\mathrm{f}_{X_{A}} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi$.
Proof. Let $O \leq f \in L_{\rho^{-}}^{a}$ and choose any sequence $0 \leq f_{m} \uparrow f_{X_{A}}$ a.e. Since $f_{X_{A}}$ and $f_{m}$ are in $L_{\rho}^{a}(m=1,2, \ldots)$ we have from Prop. 9.7 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi\left(f_{X_{A}}\right)=\Pi_{a}\left(f_{X_{A}}\right)=\lim _{m} \Pi_{a} f_{m}=\lim _{m} \Pi f_{m}, \\
& \text { i.e. } \quad \Pi_{n} f X_{A}=\lim _{m} \Pi_{n} f_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Pi_{n}$ is order continuous at $\mathrm{E}_{X_{A}}$, so in fact, from Theorem 10.4, $\Pi\left(f X_{A}\right)=0$ a.e.
10.6 COROLLARY. $I$ is order continuous if and only if $\left.\Pi\right|_{X_{A} L_{\rho}}=0$.
10.7 COROLLARY. If II is an isomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$, then $\Pi$ is necessarily order continuous.

Proof. If $\Pi$ is an isomorphism, $\Pi$ is one-one so
ker $\Pi=\{0\}$. Hence $A=\varnothing$ and $\Pi=\Pi_{C}$.
10.8 Remark. From the theorem, $\Pi_{C}=\left.\Pi\right|_{X_{A}, L_{\rho}}$ is a Riesz isomorphism of $X_{A}, L_{\rho}$ onto $X_{B}, L_{\tau}$. In particular, this means that the mapping $\Pi_{c}^{-1}$, which we had encountered already in Lemma 10.3 for the case when $\Pi$ was order continuous (and therefore $B^{\prime}=\epsilon$ ), is a Riesz isomorphism of $X_{B}, L_{\tau}$ onto $X_{A}, I_{\rho}$.
10.9 EXAMPLES. A simple example of an order continuous homomorphism is the mapping $M_{\varphi}: f \rightarrow f \varphi$ for some fixed measurable function $\varphi \geq 0$. If the domain here is $L_{\rho}$ based
on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, then the range $L_{\tau}=\left\{f \varphi: f \in L_{\rho}\right\}^{(x)}$ while based on the same measurable space $\Omega$, need not however be based on the same measure algebra $\Sigma$.

If $\varphi$ is essentially bounded on $\Omega$, then $M_{\varphi}$ maps $L_{\rho}$ into itself; if also, $\varphi \geq \varepsilon>0$ are., then $M_{\varphi}$ maps $L_{\rho}$ one-one onto itself (since for each $f \in L_{\rho}, f \varphi \in L_{\rho}$ and $f \varphi \varphi^{-1} \in L_{\rho}$ ) and hence $M_{\varphi}$ is a Riesz isomorphism on $L_{\rho}$.

We now give an example of a homomorphism which is not order continuous, to illustrate that $\Pi_{n}$ need not always be trivial.
10. 10 EXAMPLE. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{N}$, let $\Sigma$ be the $\sigma$-algebra of all subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mu$ the discrete measure. Let $\rho=\tau=\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, both based on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Then $L_{\rho}\left(=L_{\tau}\right)=I_{\infty}, L_{\rho}^{a}=c_{o}$ and $\rho$ has the Fatou property. Now $l_{\infty}$ is linearly isomorphic to $C(B N)$ ( $\beta N$ being the Stone-Cečh compactification of the positive integers), so let $\hat{x}$ denote the canonical image in $C(\beta \mathbb{N})$ of $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in l_{\infty}$, and choose an element $\varphi$ of $B \mathbb{N} N \mathbb{N}$ lying in the compactification of the even integers. Define

$$
\Pi x=\left(\hat{x}(\varphi), x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}, \ldots\right) \quad\left(x \in l_{\infty}\right)
$$

(i) Clearly, II is linear.
(ii) $\left\|\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \max \left\{|\hat{x}(\varphi)|,\|x\|_{\infty}\right\} \leq\right\| x \|_{\infty} \max \{|\varphi|, 1\}$, so $\Pi x \in l_{\infty}$ and $\Pi$ is bounded.
(iii) Since $\mathbb{N}$ is dense in $\beta \mathbb{N}$ and $\hat{x}$ is continuous, then whenever $\mathrm{x} \geq 0, \hat{\mathrm{x}}(\varphi) \geq 0$, and so $\Pi$ is increasing.
(iv) $\Pi$ is a lattice homomorphism. It is sufficient to show that $|x|^{\wedge}(\varphi)=|\hat{x}(\varphi)|\left(x \in l_{\infty}\right)$, so let $\left\{n_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a net of even integers such that $n_{\alpha} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\beta N$; for each $\alpha$,

$$
\left|\hat{x}\left(n_{\alpha}\right)\right|=\left|x_{n_{\alpha}}\right|=|x|^{\wedge}\left(n_{\alpha}\right)
$$

( $x$ ) where $\hat{\imath}$ may be obtained from $\rho$ using $\varphi$, in the obvious way,
so the continuity of $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$ gives the result.
(v) $\mathbb{I}$ is onto. Let $y \in I_{\infty}$. Define $x$ by

$$
x_{2 n}=y_{1} \quad(n \geq 1) \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2 n-3}=y_{n} \quad(n \geq 2):
$$

then $x \in l_{\infty}$ and $\|x\|_{\infty}=\|y\|_{\infty}$. For any $k \in N$, $\hat{\mathrm{x}}(2 \mathrm{k})=\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{y}_{1}$; hence, by continuity, $\hat{\mathrm{x}}(\varphi)=\mathrm{y}_{1}$. It now follows easily that $\Pi x=y$.
(vi) II is not order continuous. Consider the sequence of elements $\left\{x^{n}\right\}$ of $l_{\infty}$, where
$x^{n}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \ldots, 1-\frac{1}{n+1}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$. For each $n$, $\hat{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi)=0$. Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{l}_{\infty}$ be defined by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}+1}$ ( $\mathrm{m}=1,2, \ldots$ ); then $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}} \uparrow \mathrm{x}$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. However

$$
\lim x_{2 m}=\lim \hat{x}(2 m)=1
$$

so $\hat{\mathrm{x}}(\varphi)=1 \neq \hat{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi)$. Thus $\Pi \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}} \nRightarrow \Pi x$.
It follows by Prop. 10.1 (iii) that ker II is not a band. Indeed, consider the carrier of ker $\Pi$ : if $x \in$ ker $\Pi$, then $x_{2 n-1}=0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$, so $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\text {even }}$; on the other hand, if $k$ is any even integer, and $x \in l_{\infty}$ is defined by

$$
x_{n}=\delta_{n k} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

then $\Pi x=0$ so $\{k\}=\operatorname{supp} x \subseteq A$, and $A \supseteq \mathbb{N}$ even. Thus $A=\mathbb{N}_{\text {even }}$. However, if $\alpha \in l_{\infty}$ is given by

$$
\alpha_{2 n}=1, \alpha_{2 n-1}=0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

then supp $\alpha=A$, but $\hat{\alpha}(\varphi) \neq 0$; so $\alpha \notin$ ker $I$ and thus ker $\pi \neq X_{A}{ }^{L}$.
Observe that if $\alpha$ has support contained in $A=\mathbb{N}_{\text {even }}$, then $(\Pi \alpha)_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 2$, and whenever $\beta$ has support contained in $\Omega \backslash A=\mathbb{N}_{\text {odd }}$, then $\hat{\beta}(\varphi)=0$ so supp $\Pi \beta \subset \mathbb{N},\{1\}$. It follows easily that the sets $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, defined in the proof of Theorem 10.4 (C), are respectively $\{1\}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ V $\{1\}$. Thus $X_{B} L_{\tau} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $X_{B}, L_{\tau} \simeq l_{\infty}$, and we can illustrate the decomposition
theorem, for this example, as follows. We write $1_{\infty}^{e}$ and $l_{\infty}^{\circ}$ to denote the bounded complex sequences with supports in the even and odd integers respectively.

where $\Pi_{c}:\left(y_{1}, 0, Y_{3}, 0, Y_{5}, 0, \ldots\right) \mapsto\left(y_{1}, Y_{3}, Y_{5}, \ldots\right)$, $\Pi_{n}:\left(0, Y_{2}, 0, Y_{4}, O, Y_{6}, \ldots\right) \mapsto \varphi\left(O, Y_{2}, O, Y_{4}, \ldots\right)$.
§ 11. Isomorphisms between Banach function spaces. We have seen in Theorem 10.4 that any Riesz homomorphism II of one Banach function space $L_{\rho}$ say, onto another, has a component acting isomorphically on a band of $L_{\rho}$. II will itself be order continuous only if the other component, acting on the orthogonal complement of that band, vanishes on its domain.

This observation motivates the closer inspection of isomorphisms:indeed, it turns out that every such, from $L_{\rho}$ to $L_{\tau}$ say, arises uniquely from a Riesz isomorphism between the Riesz spaces $M_{\mu}$ and $M_{\nu}$ which is specified completely by a pair ( $\theta, \varphi_{O}$ ) where $\theta$ is a measure algebra isomorphism between $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$, and $\varphi_{O}$ is a fixed strictly positive $\Lambda$-measurable function.

Throughout this section, we assume therefore, that $\Pi$ is one-one, i.e. we let $\Pi$ be a Riesz isomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$.

For convenience, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \sigma=\operatorname{supp} \Pi \chi_{\sigma} \quad\left(\sigma \in \Sigma, \chi_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact the order continuity of $I I$ will enable us to extend this definition consistently to all of $\Sigma$. We begin with a simple but far-reaching observation.
11.1 PROPOSITION. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}$, and suppose $\sigma=\operatorname{supp} f$ for some $\mathrm{f} \in L_{\rho}$. Then

$$
\text { supp } \Pi f=\theta \sigma
$$

Proof. We may assume that $f \geq 0$ a.e. Let $\sigma_{n}=\left\{\frac{1}{n} \leq f \leq n\right\}$. Then $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \sigma$ so $f X_{\sigma_{n}} \uparrow f$ a.e. Since $\frac{1}{n} X_{\sigma_{n}} \leq f \chi_{\sigma_{n}} \leq n X_{\sigma_{n}}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \pi \chi_{\sigma_{n}} \leq \pi\left(f \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right) \leq n \pi \chi_{\sigma_{n}}
$$

and hence supp $\pi\left(f \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=\theta \sigma_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
By order continuity, $\Pi \chi_{\sigma_{n}} . \uparrow \Pi X_{\sigma}$ and so considering the supports it follows that $\theta \sigma_{n} \uparrow \theta \sigma$; hence, since $\Pi\left(f_{\chi_{\sigma_{n}}}\right) \uparrow \Pi f$,

$$
\operatorname{supp} \Pi f=\bigcup_{n} \operatorname{supp} \Pi\left(f \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=\bigcup_{n} \theta \sigma_{n}=\theta \sigma .
$$

11.2 Definition. Let $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ in $\Sigma$ with $\chi_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}$ for each $n$. For any $\sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma=\bigcup_{n} \sigma \cap \Omega_{n}$ and $X_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \sigma=\bigcup_{\mathrm{n}} \theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the r.h.s. is obtained from (5).
Because $\Pi$ is order continuous, (5) and (6) are clearly consistent in the case where $\chi_{\sigma} \in I_{\rho}$. In general, the r.h.s. of (6) is an element of $\Sigma$ and if we choose any $u \in L_{\rho}^{+}$with supp $u=\sigma$, we have, from Prop. 11.1, that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, supp $\Pi\left(u_{\chi_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}}\right)=\theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta \sigma & =U_{n} \operatorname{supp} \Pi\left(u_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{supp} \sup _{n} \Pi\left(u_{x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{supp} \Pi u .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\theta$ is well-defined, independently of the
sequence $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}$ and moreover, that the restrictions of Prop. 11.1 can be weakened:
11.1' PROPOSITION. For any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and any $f \in L_{\rho}$ with supp $£=\sigma$,

$$
\operatorname{supp} \pi f=\theta \sigma
$$

11.3 PROPOSITION. $\theta$ is continuous with respect to set containment ( $\subseteq$ ) in $\Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \sigma\left(\sigma, \sigma_{n} \in \Sigma, \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots\right.$ ). Choose $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{L}_{\rho}^{+}$such that $\operatorname{supp} \mathrm{f}=\sigma$. Then $\mathrm{E}_{X_{\sigma_{n}} \uparrow \mathrm{f} \text { a.e., and }}$ by the order continuity of $\Pi, \Pi\left(f \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right) \uparrow \pi f$ a.e. Hence, by Prop. 11.1',

$$
\theta \sigma=\operatorname{supp} \Pi f=\bigcup_{n} \operatorname{supp} \Pi\left(f X_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=\bigcup_{n} \theta \sigma_{n} .
$$

11.4 PROPOSITION. $\theta \Omega=\epsilon$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary, so that $\nu(\epsilon \backslash \theta \Omega)>0$. By the Exhaustion Theorem ([ Z ], 67.3), we can find in $\Lambda$ a sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ increasing to $\epsilon \backslash \theta \Omega$ with $X_{\delta_{n}} \in L_{\tau}$ for each $n$. Fix some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v\left(\delta_{m}\right)>0$ and let $\delta, X$ denote $\delta_{m}, X_{\delta_{m}}$ respectively. Let $u=\pi^{-1} X$ and $\sigma=\operatorname{supp} u$. From Prop. 11. ${ }^{\prime}, \theta \sigma=\operatorname{supp} \Pi u=\delta$. Now choose a sequence $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ with each $\chi_{\Omega_{n}}$ in $L_{\rho}$; by Def. 11.2, $\theta \Omega=\bigcup_{n} \theta \Omega_{n}$ and for each $n, X_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho} ;$ however since $\sigma \cap \Omega_{n} \subseteq \Omega_{n}$, $\theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \subseteq \theta \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}$ by (5). Hence,

$$
\theta \sigma=\bigcup_{n} \theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n} \theta \Omega_{n}=\theta \Omega
$$

Since $\theta \sigma=\delta$, this is a contradiction.
Note. An entirely analogous proof shows that for each $\sigma \in \Sigma, \theta(\Omega \backslash \sigma)=\epsilon \backslash \theta \sigma$, but this will also follow from the next theorem.
11.5 THEOREM. $\theta$ is a measure algebra isomorphism of $\Sigma$ onto $\Lambda$.

Proof. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\theta \sigma=\varnothing$, then for any $\sigma^{\prime} \subseteq \sigma$ such that $\chi_{\sigma^{\prime}}, \epsilon L_{\rho}$ we have $\operatorname{supp} \Pi \chi_{\sigma^{\prime}}=\theta \sigma^{\prime}=\varnothing$, so $\chi_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime} \in$ ker $\Pi$. Since $\Pi$ is an isomorphism, $X_{\sigma},=0$ a.e. It follows easily. that $X_{\sigma}=0$ a.e. Hence $\theta$ is one-one, and it remains to show that
(a) $\theta$ commutes with the algebraic operations $U, \cap$, and
(b) $\theta$ is onto.
(a). Let $\sigma, \gamma \in \Sigma$. Choose $f, g \in L_{\rho}^{+}$such that supp $f=\sigma$, and supp $g=\gamma$. Then supp $\sigma \cup \gamma=\operatorname{supp} £ \vee g$ and $\sigma \cap \gamma=\operatorname{supp} f \wedge \mathrm{~g}$, so by Prop. 11.1', $\theta(\sigma \cup \gamma)=\operatorname{supp} \Pi(f \vee g)=\operatorname{supp}(\Pi f \vee \Pi g)=\operatorname{supp} \Pi f U \operatorname{supp} \Pi g=\theta \sigma \cup \theta \gamma$, $\theta(\sigma \cap \gamma)=\operatorname{supp} \Pi(f \wedge g)=\operatorname{supp}(\Pi f \wedge \Pi g)=\operatorname{supp} \Pi f \cap \operatorname{supp} \Pi g=\theta \sigma \cap \theta \gamma$.
(b). Let $\delta \in \Lambda$ and choose a sequence $\delta_{n} \uparrow \delta$ with $\overline{x_{\delta_{n}}} \in L_{\tau^{\prime}}$ and let $w_{n}=\pi^{-1} x_{\delta_{n}}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. For each $n$, $\Pi\left(w_{n} \wedge w_{n+1}\right)=\Pi w_{n} \wedge \Pi w_{n+1}=x_{\delta_{n}} \wedge x_{\delta_{n+1}}=x_{\delta_{n}}=\Pi w_{n}$. Hence $w_{n} \wedge w_{n+1}=w_{n}$, i.e. $w_{n} \leq w_{n+1}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ so the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is increasing a.e. Let $\sigma_{n}=\operatorname{supp} w_{n}$ and $\sigma=\bigcup_{\mathrm{n}} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$. Define

$$
\sigma_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{w_{n} \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

We shall show that (i) $\bigcup_{n} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}=\sigma$, and (ii) $\theta \sigma_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow \delta$.
(i). Let $x \in \sigma \backslash U \sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ be such that $w_{n}(x)$ increases as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This condition excludes only a $\mu$-null subset of $\sigma \backslash U \sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ from consideration. Note that in this instance, for $\sigma$ and for each $\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ we have to choose a particular (fixed) representative of the $\mu$-equivalence class of sets normally denoted by each of these symbols.

Since $x \in \sigma$, there is a positive integer $k$ for which $w_{k}(x) \neq 0$. Since $x \notin \sigma_{n}^{\prime}, w_{n}(x)<\frac{1}{n}$ for each $n$. Hence for each $n>k, w_{k}(x) \leq w_{k+1}(x) \leq \cdots \leq w_{n}(x)<\frac{1}{n}$. This implies that $w_{k}(x)=0$, contradicting the choice of $k$. Thus, $\sigma=\bigcup_{n} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}$.
(ii). For each $n, \Pi\left(w_{n} X_{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \leq \Pi w_{n}$. Hence by Prop. 11.1',

$$
\theta \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{supp} \Pi\left(w_{n} X_{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \Pi w_{n}=\delta_{n} .
$$

So $\bigcup_{n} \theta \sigma_{n}^{\prime} \subseteq \bigcup_{n} \delta_{n}=\delta$.
Suppose that this containment is strict, and choose a non-null subset $\delta^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ of $\delta \backslash \bigcup_{n} \theta \sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfying $X_{\delta}, \in L_{\tau}$. For $r=1,2, \ldots$, let $\eta_{r}=\delta^{\prime} \cap \delta_{r}$. The sequence $\left\{\eta_{r}\right\}$ is clearly increasing in $\Lambda$, and by Lemma 9.4 applied to $\Pi^{-1}$,

$$
\pi^{-1} x_{\eta_{r}}=w_{r_{r}} x_{\gamma_{r}}
$$

where $\gamma_{r}=\operatorname{supp} \pi^{-1} \chi_{n_{r}} \subseteq$ supp $\pi^{-1} \chi_{\delta_{r}}=\sigma_{r}(r=1,2, \ldots)$, and the sequence $\left\{\gamma_{r}\right\}$ is increasing in $\Sigma$.
However $\delta^{\prime}$ is disjoint from each $\theta \sigma_{n}^{\prime}(n=1,2, \ldots$ ), therefore so is each $\eta_{r}(r=1,2, \ldots)$. In particular, each $\eta_{r}$ is disjoint from $\theta \sigma_{r}$, and hence,

$$
\chi_{n_{r}} \wedge \pi\left(w_{r} \chi_{\sigma_{r}^{\prime}}\right)=0 \quad(r=1,2, \ldots)
$$

It follows that

$$
0=\pi^{-1} x_{n_{r}} \wedge \Pi^{-1} \pi\left(w_{r} x_{\sigma_{r}^{\prime}}\right)=w_{r} \chi_{\boldsymbol{r}_{r}} \wedge w_{r} x_{\sigma_{r}^{\prime}} ;
$$

hence $\gamma_{r} \subseteq \sigma_{r} \backslash \sigma_{r}^{\prime}$ for each $r$.
Now $\sigma_{r} \uparrow \sigma$ and $\sigma_{r}^{\prime} \uparrow \sigma$ so $\chi_{\sigma_{r} \backslash \sigma_{r}} \rightarrow 0$, and therefore $X_{\gamma_{r}} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. But $\left\{X_{\gamma_{r}}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence. So in fact, we must have $X_{\gamma_{r}}=0$ on $\Omega$ and hence $X_{n_{r}}=0$ on $\epsilon$ ( $\mathrm{r}=1,2, \ldots$ ). It follows that $\delta=\bigcup_{\mathrm{n}} \theta \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ as required.
Hence finally, from (i) and (ii) together with Prop. 11.3, we obtain

$$
\theta \sigma=\theta\left(U_{n} \sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{n} \theta \sigma_{n}^{\prime}=\delta
$$

11.6 LEMMA. If $u \in L_{\rho}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then $\Pi\left(u \chi_{\sigma}\right)=\Pi u X_{\theta \sigma}$. Proof. First let $u$ be a characteristic function $X_{\gamma}$, say,
where $\gamma \in \Sigma$. Then,

$$
\pi\left(u x_{\sigma}\right)=\pi x_{\gamma_{n} \sigma}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
=\left(\Pi x_{\sigma \cap \gamma}\right) \chi_{\theta(\sigma \cap \gamma)} & \text { (from def. of } \theta) \\
=\Pi x_{\gamma} x_{\theta(\sigma \cap \gamma)} & \text { (from 9.4) }  \tag{from9.4}\\
=\Pi x_{\gamma} \chi_{\theta \sigma} & \text { (from def. of } \theta \text { ) } \\
=\Pi u x_{\theta \sigma} . &
\end{array}
$$

It follows immediately that the lemma holds also when $u$ is a simple measurable function. Now let $O \leq u \in L_{\rho}$ and choose a sequence $0 \leq u_{n} \uparrow u$ a.e., with each $u_{n}$ simple and measurable. Then $0 \leq u_{n} x_{\sigma} \uparrow u_{x_{\sigma}} a . e$. and so by the order continuity of $\Pi$,

$$
\pi\left(u x_{\sigma}\right)=\sup _{n} \pi\left(u_{n} x_{\sigma}\right)=\sup _{n} \pi u_{n} x_{\theta \sigma}=\pi u x_{\theta \sigma}
$$

as required.
11.7 EXAMPLE. Taking $\pi$ as in Example 10.10 , then $\pi \pi_{1} \|_{\infty}$ is an isomorphism and the corresponding measure algebra isomorphism of the subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ odd onto those of $\mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$ is given by

$$
\theta(\{2 n-3\})=\{n\} \quad(n=2,3, \ldots)
$$

The measure algebra isomorphism $\theta$ induces a natural mapping $\Pi_{1}$, say, between the sets of measurable functions on $\Omega$ and $\epsilon$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1} x_{\sigma}=x_{\theta \sigma} \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be extended immediately to simple functions by
linearity, but before extending the domain to all of $\mathrm{M}_{\mu}$, we require the following lemma.
11.8 LEMMA. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of simple measurable functions such that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\Omega$. Then $\Pi_{1} u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\varepsilon$.

Proof. First assume that each $u_{n}$ is real-valued a.e. Then, as $0 \leq\left|u_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and $u_{n}^{+} \leq\left|u_{n}\right|$, we have $0 \leq u_{n}^{+} \rightarrow O$ a.e. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf u_{n}^{+}=0=\lim \sup u_{n}^{+} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence $\left\{\sup _{n>k} u_{n}^{+}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing pointwise a.e. and from (8) has infimum zero a.e. Choose a sequence $\Omega_{m} \uparrow \Omega$ in $\Sigma$ with $\chi_{\Omega_{m}} \in L_{\rho}$ and $\mu\left(\Omega_{m}\right)<\infty$ for each m. Fix $\varepsilon>0:$ we can then apply Egoroff's theorem ([DS $\left.\left.{ }_{1}\right], I I I .6 .12\right)$ to infer, for each $m$, the existence of a set $\Omega_{m}^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega_{m}$ with $\mu\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}\right)<\mathrm{m}^{-1} \varepsilon$, such that

$$
\sup _{n \geq k} u_{n}^{+} X_{\Omega_{m}} \nmid 0 \quad \text { (uniformly as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { ) }
$$

Let $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{r=1}^{m} \Omega_{r}^{\prime}$. For $1 \leq r \leq m, \Omega_{r}^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega_{r} \subseteq \Omega_{m}$ so $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \Omega_{m}$, and the sequence $\left\{\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is increasing; so
$\mu\left(\Omega_{m} \backslash \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \mu\left(\Omega_{m} \backslash \Omega_{m}^{\prime}\right)<m^{-1} \varepsilon$ and

$$
\sup _{n \geq k} u_{n}^{+} x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}} \downarrow 0
$$

uniformly as $k \rightarrow \infty$, since the convergence is uniform on $\Omega_{r}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq r \leq m$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and extract a subsequence $\left\{n_{j}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that for each $j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq n_{j}} u_{n}^{+} x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}} \leq 2^{-j} x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the l.h.s. of (9) is in $L_{\rho}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq n_{j}} \pi\left(u_{n}^{+} x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\pi\left(\sup _{n \geq n_{j}} u_{n}^{+} x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}\right) \leq 2^{-j} \Pi x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By lemma 9.4, if $\sigma_{1} \subseteq \sigma$ and $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}$, then

$$
\pi x_{\sigma_{1}}=\pi x_{\sigma} x_{\theta \sigma_{1}}=\pi x_{\sigma_{1}}{ }_{1} x_{\sigma_{1}},
$$

and it follows that if $s$ is a simple measurable function with supp $s \subseteq \sigma$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi s=\pi \chi_{\sigma} \Pi_{1} s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $n$, let $\sigma_{n}=\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime} n \operatorname{supp} u_{n}^{+}$and let $\psi=\pi X_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}$. Then $\theta \sigma_{n} \subseteq \theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ and, by lemma 9.4, $\Pi \chi_{\sigma_{n}}=\psi \chi_{\theta \sigma_{n}}$ for each n. So, from (11)

$$
\pi\left(u_{n}^{+} x_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\pi x_{\sigma_{n}} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}=\psi x_{\theta \sigma_{n}} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}
$$

and hence from (10),

$$
\sup _{n \geq n_{j}}\left(\psi x_{\theta \sigma_{n}} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}\right) \leq 2^{-j} \psi ;
$$

therefore, since $\psi \geq 0$ a.e. and $\theta \sigma_{n} \subseteq \theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\sup _{n \geq n_{j}}\left(\pi_{1} u_{n}^{+} x_{\theta \sigma_{n}}\right) \leq 2^{-j} x_{\theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{j} \sup _{n \geq n_{j}}\left(\Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+} x_{\theta \sigma_{n}}\right)=0 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Now for each $\lambda^{k} \in^{k} \mathbb{N}$, there is a $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_{j} \leq k<n_{j+1}$ and since the sequence $\left\{\sup _{n \geq k} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}\right\}$is decreasing ace.,

$$
\sup _{n \geq k} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+} x_{\theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}} \leq \sup _{n \geq n_{j}} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+} x_{\theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}} \leq 2^{-j} x_{\theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Thus $\lim \sup \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+} X_{\theta \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}=0$ abe. for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now since $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ and $\mu\left(\Omega_{n} \backslash \Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)<n^{-1} \varepsilon$, clearly $\Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime} \uparrow \Omega$ and so by the continuity of $\theta$ (Prop. 11.3), $\theta \Omega_{n}^{\prime \prime} \uparrow \in$. Hence in fact,

$$
\lim \sup \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}=o \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

on $\epsilon$, and finally it follows that

$$
\lim \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

Likewise, $u_{n}^{-} \leq\left|u_{n}\right|$, so $0 \leq u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and similarly we obtain

$$
\lim \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{-}=o \text { a.e. }
$$

so therefore

$$
\lim _{n} \Pi_{1} u_{n}=\lim _{n} \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{+}-\underset{n}{\lim } \Pi_{1} u_{n}^{-}=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

In general $u_{n}=v_{n}+i w_{n}$ where $v_{n}, w_{n}$ are real-valued; if $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e., then $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Hence from the preceding part, $\Pi_{1} v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\Pi_{1} w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. so finally,

$$
\Pi_{1} u_{n}=\Pi_{1} v_{n}+i \Pi_{1} w_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.e. }
$$

Now lei $0 \leq f \in M_{\mu}$. Choose a sequence of non-negative functions $s_{n}$, each simple and measurable, satisfying $0 \leq s_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1} f=\sup _{n} \pi_{1} s_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This definition of $\Pi_{1} f$ is independent of the particular choice of sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$. Indeed if $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is another sequence of simple measurable functions with $0 \leq t_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e., then for each $n, s_{n}-t_{n}$ is also simple and $s_{n}-t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. From the lemma therefore,

$$
\pi_{1} s_{n}-\Pi_{1} t_{n}=\Pi_{1}\left(s_{n}-t_{n}\right) \rightarrow o \text { a.e. }
$$

i.e. $\lim _{n} \Pi_{1} t_{n}=\lim _{n} \Pi_{1} s_{n}$.

We now show that $\Pi_{1} f \in M_{\nu}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
A_{p}=\{f>p\}=\bigcup_{n}\left\{s_{n}>p\right\} \in \Sigma
$$

Since $f \in M_{\mu}, f<\infty$ a.e. so $A_{p} \downarrow \varnothing$. By the continuity of $\theta$ (Prop. 11.3), $\theta A_{p}+\varnothing$ in $\Lambda$. Now

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\theta A_{p} & =\theta \bigcup_{n}\left\{s_{n}>p\right\} & \\
& =\bigcup_{n} \theta\left\{s_{n}>p\right\} & & \text { (by continuity of } \theta) \\
& =\bigcup_{n}\left\{\Pi_{1} s_{n}>p\right\} & & \text { (from (7)) } \\
& =\left\{\Pi_{1} f>p\right\} & & \text { (from }(12)) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence $\left\{\Pi_{1} f=\infty\right\}=\bigcap_{p}\left\{\Pi_{1} f>p\right\}=\bigcap_{p} \theta A_{p}=\varnothing$. Thus $\Pi_{1} f<\infty$ as required.

It follows from (7) that for every simple function $s \in L_{\rho}$, supp $\Pi_{1} s=$ supp $\Pi$ s. If $f \in L_{\rho}^{+}$and we choose a sequence of simple functions $f_{n}$ with $O \leq f_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e. then,
supp $\Pi_{1} f=\operatorname{supp} \sup _{n} \Pi_{1} f_{n}=U_{n} \operatorname{supp} \Pi_{1} f_{n}$

$$
=\bigcup_{n} \operatorname{supp} \quad \Pi f_{n}=\operatorname{supp} \Pi f,
$$

by the order continuity of $\Pi$. Hence if $f$ and $g$ in $L_{\rho}$ are disjoint, then $\Pi_{1} f$ and $\Pi_{1} g$ are disjoint. If $f$ and $g$ in $M_{\mu}^{+}$are disjoint, choose simple functions $f_{n}, g_{n}$ $(\mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots)$ with $0 \leq \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \uparrow \mathrm{f}$ a.e. and $0 \leq \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}} \uparrow \mathrm{g}$ a.e.; then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
f_{m} \wedge g_{n} \leq f \wedge g=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

therefore

$$
\Pi_{1} f_{m} \wedge \Pi_{1} g_{n}=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

Since supp $\Pi_{1} f=\bigcup_{m}$ supp $\Pi_{1} f{ }_{m}, \Pi_{1} f$ is certainly disjoint from each $\pi_{1} g_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and so since
supp $\Pi_{1} g=U_{n}$ supp $\Pi_{1} g_{n}, \Pi_{1} f$ is disjoint from $\Pi_{1} g$.
Hence since $\Pi_{1}$ is clearly linear, it follows from 8.3 (b) that $\Pi_{1}$ is a lattice homomorphism of $M_{\mu}$ to $M_{\nu}$.
11.9 PROPOSITION. $\Pi_{1}$ is bijective and order continous.

Proof. (i) $\underline{\Pi}_{1}$ is one-one. Suppose $0 \leq u \in \operatorname{ker} \Pi_{1}$. If $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is any sequence of simple functions with $0 \leq u_{n} \uparrow u$ a.e., $\Pi_{1} u \geq \sup _{n} \Pi_{1} u_{n}$ and so $u_{n} \in$ ker $\Pi_{1}$ for each $n$. Letting $\sigma_{n}=\operatorname{supp} u_{n}$, and $\alpha_{n}$ be the infimum of $u_{n}$ on $\sigma_{n}$ or zero if $\sigma_{n}$ is null ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ),

$$
0 \leq \pi_{1}\left(\alpha_{n} \chi_{\sigma_{n}}\right) \leq \pi_{1} u_{n}=0 ;
$$

hence, either $\alpha_{n}=0$ or $\chi_{\theta \sigma_{n}}=\pi_{1} \chi_{\sigma_{n}}=0$ a.e.; since $\theta$ is an isomorphism, we have in either case that $\sigma_{n}$ is Enull ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) and since supp $u=\bigcup_{n} \sigma_{n}$, it follows that $u=0$ a.e.
(ii) $\Pi_{1}$ is onto. Let $v \in M_{V}^{+}$and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of simple functions with $O \leq v_{n} \uparrow v$ a.e. Since $\theta$ is bijective, it follows from (7) that for each $\delta \in \Lambda$, $X_{\delta}=\Pi_{1} X_{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$. By linearity, each simple $v-$ measurable function is also in the range of $\Pi_{1}$, so for each $n$, we can find a simple $\mu$-measurable function $u_{n}$ with $\Pi_{1} u_{n}=v_{n}$; since $\Pi_{1}$ is one-one, clearly $0 \leq u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \cdots \leq u_{n} \leq \cdots$. It is sufficient to
prove that $u=\sup _{n} u_{n}<\infty$ a.e., for then $u \in M_{\mu}^{+}$and

$$
\Pi_{1} u=\sup _{n} \Pi_{1} u_{n}=\sup _{n} v_{n}=v .
$$

Therefore suppose $\delta \in \Sigma$ and $\delta \subseteq\{u=\infty\}$. By shrinking $\delta$ if necessary, we may assume that $u_{n} \uparrow \infty$ uniformly on $\delta$; furthermore, by extracting an appropriate subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ we may also assume that

$$
u_{n} x_{\delta} \geq n x_{\delta} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

However we then have

$$
v_{n} x_{\theta \delta}=\Pi_{1}\left(u_{n} x_{\delta}\right) \geq n \Pi_{1} x_{\delta}=n x_{\theta \delta},
$$

and hence $v \chi_{\theta \delta} \geq n x_{\theta \delta}$ for every $n$, i.e. $\theta \delta \subseteq\{v=\infty\}$. It follows that $\nu(\theta \delta)=0$ and hence that $\mu(\delta)=0$. So $u<\infty$ a.e. as required.
(iii) Suppose that $\Pi_{1}$ is not order continuous on $M_{\mu}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $M_{\mu}$ with $f_{n} \downarrow$ oa.e. and a function $0 \neq g_{0} \in M_{\nu}$ such that

$$
\Pi_{1} f_{n} \nleftarrow g_{o} \text { a.e. }
$$

Let $\delta=\operatorname{supp} g_{0} \in \Lambda$ and let $\delta_{1}$ be some subset of $\delta$ on which $g_{0}$ is bounded away from zero, so that

$$
g_{o} X_{\delta_{1}} \geq \varepsilon X_{\delta_{1}} \text { a.e. }
$$

say. Since $\Pi_{1}$ is a lattice isomorphism, so is $\Pi_{1}^{-1}$; hence for each $n \in N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{1}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{1} f_{n} x_{\delta_{1}}\right) \geq \Pi_{1}^{-1}\left(g_{o} x_{\delta_{1}}\right) \geq \varepsilon \Pi_{1}^{-1} x_{\delta_{1}} \\
& \text { i.e. } \quad f_{n} x_{\theta^{-1} \delta_{1}} \geq\left(\Pi_{1}^{-1} g_{o}\right) x_{\theta^{-1} \delta_{1}} \geq \varepsilon x_{\theta^{-1} \delta_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But since $f_{n}+0$ a.e., it follows that $\mu\left(\theta^{-1} \delta_{1}\right)=0$ and therefore that $v\left(\delta_{1}\right)=0$. Hence in fact

$$
\inf _{n} \Pi_{1} f_{n}=0 \text { a.e. }
$$

and $\Pi_{1}$ is order continuous.

### 11.10 The order continuous extension of $I$.

As the mapping $\Pi_{1}: M_{\mu} \rightarrow M_{\nu}$ is in essence a lifting into $M_{\mu}$ of the measure algebra isomorphism $\theta$, it is becoming evident that the Riesz homomorphism II has a strongly geometric character. This is best illustrated in the case where $1 \in L_{\rho}$ since then, with $\varphi_{\rho}=\Pi 1$, we obtain from equation (11) that

$$
\Pi s=\varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} s
$$

whenever $s$ is a simple function in $L_{\rho}$ and since we can approximate any $h \in L_{\rho}^{+}$by an increasing sequence of simple functions each in $L_{\rho}^{+}$, it follows by the order continuity of $\pi$ and $\Pi_{1}$ that

$$
\Pi h=\varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} h
$$

whenever $h \in L_{\rho}$. Since pointwise multiplication by a non-negative measurable function is order continuous, the mapping

$$
\mathbb{K}_{e}: f \mapsto \varphi_{o} \Pi_{1} f \quad\left(f \in M_{\mu}\right)
$$

is an order continuous extension of $I$. Moreover since $L_{\rho}$ is order dense in $M_{\mu}$, such an extension is necessarily unique.

With this in mind, we pass to the more general case where no assumption is made about the norm of 1 . Let $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of mutually disjoint elements of $\Sigma$, whose union is $\Omega$, such that $\chi_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}$ for each $n$. If $\epsilon_{n}=\theta \Omega_{n}$, then by Theorem 11.5, $\bigcup_{n} \epsilon_{n}=\epsilon$. Let $\varphi_{i}=\Pi \chi_{\Omega_{i}}$ : by Prop. 11.1',
$\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \cdot \operatorname{Let} \varphi_{0}=\sup _{i} \varphi_{i}$ : then the set $\left\{\varphi_{0}=\infty\right\}$ is at most a countable union of $v$-null sets, hence is also null, so $\varphi_{0} \in M_{\nu}$ and

$$
\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{O}=\bigcup_{n}^{U} \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{n}=\bigcup_{n}^{U} \epsilon_{n}=\epsilon ;
$$

thus $\varphi_{0}>0$ a.e. So now for $f \in M_{\mu}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{e} \mathrm{f}=\varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} \mathrm{f} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since both $\Pi_{1}$ and the multiplication $M_{\varphi_{O}}$ are order continuous Riesz isomorphisms on $M_{\mu}$, so is $\Pi_{e}$. Suppose $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $\chi_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}:$ if $\sigma \subseteq \Omega_{i}$ for some $i$, then $\theta \sigma \subseteq \epsilon_{i}$ and

$$
\Pi_{e} x_{\sigma}=\varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} x_{\sigma}=\varphi_{0} x_{\theta \sigma}=\varphi_{i} x_{\theta \sigma}=\Pi x_{\sigma} ;
$$

in general,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{e} x_{\sigma} & =\Pi_{e}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& =\varphi_{o} \Pi_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& \left.=\varphi_{0} \sum_{i} x_{\theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{i}\right)} \quad \text { (by order continuity of } \pi_{1}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{i} \varphi_{i} x_{\theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{i}\right)} \quad \text { (by disjointness of } \theta\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i} \Pi x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{i}} \\
& =\Pi x_{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By linearity we have that $\Pi_{e} s=\Pi s$ for simple functions $s \in L_{\rho}$. If $f \in L_{\rho}^{+}$and the simple functions $s_{n}$ increase to f a.e., then

$$
\Pi_{e} f=\sup _{n} \Pi_{e} f_{n}=\sup _{n} \Pi_{n}=\Pi f
$$

using, in turn, the order continuity of $\Pi$ and $\Pi_{e}$. It
follows that $\Pi_{e}$ as defined in (13), does indeed extend $\Pi$ and by the order density of $L_{\rho}$ in $M_{\mu}$ it does so uniquely. Note, finally, that $\varphi_{o}=\Pi_{e} 1$ and that Prop. 11.1' generalises further:
11.11 LEMMA. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $u \in M_{\mu}$ with supp $u=\sigma$, then supp $\Pi_{e}{ }^{u}=\theta \sigma$.

The inverse map $\Pi^{-1}: L_{\tau} \rightarrow L_{\rho}$ is also a Riesz isomorphism and hence has a unique order continuous extension $\left(\Pi^{-1}\right)_{e}: M_{\nu} \rightarrow M_{\mu}$. In fact,
11.12 PROPOSITION. $\left(\Pi^{-1}\right)_{e}=\Pi_{e}^{-1}$.

Proof. If $g \in L_{\tau}$, then $\Pi_{e}^{-1} g=f$ only if $g=\Pi_{e} f=\Pi f$. Hence $\left.\Pi_{e}^{-1}\right|_{L_{\tau}}=\pi^{-1}$, i.e. $\Pi_{e}^{-1}$ is an extension of $\Pi^{-1}$. Suppose $g_{n} \in M_{y}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $g_{n} \downarrow 0$ a.e. Let $\Pi_{e}^{-1} g_{n}=f_{n} \in M_{\mu}$ and $f_{o}=\inf _{n} f_{n}$. Then
$\Pi_{e} f_{o}=\inf _{n} \Pi_{e} f_{n}=\inf _{n} g_{n}=0$.
By uniqueness therefore, $\Pi_{e}^{-1}$ is precisely $\left(\Pi^{-1}\right)$ e.
Not surprisingly, the measure algebra isomorphism underlying $\Pi^{-1}$ and $\Pi_{e}^{-1}$ is precisely $\theta^{-1}$.
11.13 LEMMA. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\theta \sigma=\delta \in \epsilon$, then supp $\pi_{e}^{-1} x_{\delta}=\sigma$.

Proof. Let $f \in M_{\mu}$ with supp $f=\sigma$; let $g=\Pi_{e} f$ so that supp $g=\delta$. Then by lemma 11.11,

$$
\operatorname{supp} \Pi_{e}^{-1} x_{\delta}=\operatorname{supp} \Pi_{e}^{-1} g=\operatorname{supp} f=\sigma=\theta^{-1} \delta .
$$

If we denote by $\left(\pi^{-1}\right)$, the Riesz isomorphism of $M_{\nu}$ onto $M_{\mu}$, derived from $\theta^{-1}$ as was $\Pi_{1}$ from $\theta$, then the preceding lemma may be equivalently restated as follows.
11.13' LEMMA. $\left(\pi^{-1}\right)_{1}=\left(\Pi_{1}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $\delta=\theta \sigma \in \Lambda$. Then, by 11.13. $\left(\pi^{-1}\right)_{1} X_{\delta}=X_{\theta-1}$, so $\pi_{1}\left(\pi^{-1}\right)_{1} x_{\delta}=\pi_{1} x_{\sigma}=x_{\delta}$ and the result then follows easily.

Define $\psi_{0}=\Pi_{e}^{-1} 1$ (here 1 denotes $X_{\epsilon}$ ). Then for all $g \in M_{\nu}$,

$$
\pi_{e}^{-1} g=\psi_{0} \pi_{1}^{-1} g .
$$

Our final observations in this section relate $\varphi_{0}$ and $\psi_{0}$, and yield an alternative description of $\Pi$ and $\Pi_{e}$ to the form given by (13).
11.14 PROPOSITION.
(a) $\Pi_{1} \psi_{0}=\varphi_{0}^{-1}$ v-a.e. ; $\Pi_{1}^{-1} \varphi_{0}=\psi_{0}^{-1} \quad \mu$-a.e.
(b) If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{M}_{\mu}$ then

$$
\Pi_{e} f=\varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} f=\Pi_{1}\left(f \psi_{0}^{-1}\right) .
$$

Proof.
(a) Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be arbitrary and let $\theta \sigma=\delta$. Then, $x_{\sigma}=\pi_{e}^{-1} \Pi_{e} \chi_{\sigma}=\Pi_{e}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{o} \chi_{\delta}\right)=\left(\pi_{e}^{-1} \varphi_{o}\right) x_{\theta}-1_{\delta}=\left(\psi_{O} \Pi_{1}^{-1} \varphi_{o}\right) x_{\sigma}$.

Now let $\delta \in \Lambda$ be arbitrary and let $\theta^{-1} \delta=\gamma$. Then,
$x_{\delta}=\pi_{e} \Pi_{e}^{-1} x_{\delta}=\pi_{e}\left(\psi_{o} x_{\gamma}\right)=\left(\pi_{e} \psi_{o}\right) x_{\theta \gamma}=\left(\varphi_{o} \Pi_{1} \psi_{o}\right) x_{\delta}$.

The result follows easily. Note that at the third step of each sequence of equalities, we use the obvious extension of Lemma 9.4.
(b) Using Lemma 11.13',

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{e} f=\Pi_{e}\left(\psi_{o}\left(f \psi_{o}^{-1}\right)\right) & =\Pi_{e}\left(\psi_{o} \Pi_{1}^{-1} \Pi_{1}\left(f \psi_{o}^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\Pi_{e} \Pi_{e}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{1}\left(f \psi_{o}^{-1}\right)\right)=\Pi_{1}\left(f \psi_{o}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from Prop. 11.14 (b), $\Pi_{e}$ can be composed, either as a multiplication ( $M_{\varphi_{O}}$ ) on $M_{\nu}$ following a measure algebraic transformation $\left(\Pi_{1}\right)$ or as a multiplication $\left(M_{\psi_{0}^{-1}}\right)$ on $M_{\mu}$ followed by the (same) measure algebraic transformation. The net and composite actions of $\Pi_{e}$ on $L_{\rho}$ are depicted in the following commutative diagram, where we define the Banach function norms $\lambda$ and $\kappa$ on $M_{\mu}$ and $M_{\nu}$ respectively by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda(f)=\rho\left(\psi_{0} f\right) & \left(f \in M_{\mu}\right) ; \\
K(g)=\rho\left(\Pi_{1}^{-1} g\right) & \left(g \in M_{\nu}\right),
\end{array}
$$

so that $L_{\lambda}=\left\{\psi_{0}^{-1} h: h \in L_{\rho}\right\}$ and $L_{K}=\left\{\Pi_{1} h: h \in L_{\rho}\right\}$.

11.15 Remark. In the case where $\Omega$ and $\epsilon$ are separable complete metric spaces and $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ are the $\sigma$-algebras of their respective Borel subsets, then in fact the measure algebra $\theta$ is derived from a pointwise isomorphism (non-uniquely of course) of the sets $\Omega, \epsilon$. This fact depends on a theorem given by P. Billingsley in [B].
11.16 Definition. Let $I I$ be a Riesz isomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$ and $\Pi_{e}$ its extension to $M_{\mu}$. We call $\Pi_{\text {, }} \Pi_{e}$ unitary if they are isometric with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, where we admit $+\infty$ as a possible value for the ess sup norm by defining

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\infty \quad\left(f \in M_{\mu} \backslash L^{\infty}(\mu)\right)
$$

and similarly in $\mathrm{M}_{V}$.
Note that if $\Pi$ is unitary, $\Pi\left(L_{\rho} \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)\right)=L_{\tau} \cap L^{\infty}(\nu)$.
11.17 LEMMA. Let $\Pi_{e}$ be a Riesz isomorphism of $M_{\mu}$ onto Mv. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\Pi_{e}$ is unitary;
(b) $\Pi_{e}$ maps characteristic functions to characteristic functions.

Proof. We keep the notation $\Pi_{e}, \theta$ as earlier. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$. By hypothesis, $0 \leq\left|\Pi_{e} \chi_{\sigma}\right| \leq 1$ a.e. Let $\delta=\theta \sigma=$ supp $\Pi_{e} \chi_{\sigma}$ and suppose there is alsubset $\delta_{1}$ of $\delta$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Pi_{e^{x_{\sigma}}}\right) x_{\delta_{1}} \leq \alpha x_{\delta_{1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e., for some $0<\alpha<1$. Since $\Pi_{e}$ is onto,
$\left(\Pi_{e} X_{\sigma}\right) X_{\delta_{1}}=\Pi_{e} f$ for some $f \in M_{\mu}^{+}$. Let $\sigma_{1}=\operatorname{supp} f$. By
Lemma 11.11, $\theta \sigma_{1}=\operatorname{supp} \Pi_{e} f=\delta_{1} \subseteq \delta$. Hence, since $\theta$ is a measure algebra isomorphism

$$
\sigma_{1}=\theta^{-1} \delta_{1} \subseteq \theta^{-1} \delta=\sigma
$$

By Lemma 9.4

$$
\Pi_{e} x_{\sigma_{1}}=\left(\pi_{e} x_{\sigma}\right) x_{\theta \sigma_{1}}=\left(\pi_{e} x_{\sigma}\right) x_{\delta_{1}}=\Pi_{e} f .
$$

Since $\Pi_{e}$ is one-one, $f=\chi_{\sigma_{1}}$ a.e., so by hypothesis $\left\|\Pi_{e} f\right\|=\|f\|_{\infty}=1$. But this contradicts (14). So in fact $\Pi_{e} X_{\sigma} \geq 1$ a.e. on its support and hence finally we have $\pi_{e} x_{\sigma}=1 \cdot x_{\theta \sigma}=x_{\delta}$ a.e.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let $f \in M_{\mu}^{+} \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$ and let $\sigma=$ supp $f$. By hypothesis, $\pi_{e} X_{\sigma}=x_{\theta \sigma}$. Let $a=\|f\|_{\infty}$; then $0 \leq f \leq a \chi_{\sigma} a . e .$, so $0 \leq \Pi_{e^{f}} \leq a \Pi \chi_{\sigma}=a \chi_{\theta \sigma}$ a.e. Hence

$$
\left\|\Pi_{e} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq a
$$

Suppose that $\left\|\Pi_{e} f\right\|_{\infty}=b \underset{\neq}{<} a$. Let $\gamma=\left\{\frac{a+b}{2} \leq f \leq a\right\}$. By definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \gamma$ is a non-null set and we have

$$
\pi_{e}\left(f_{\chi_{\gamma}}\right) \geq \frac{a+b}{2} \pi_{e} x_{\gamma} \nRightarrow b \pi_{e} x_{\gamma}=b x_{\theta \gamma} .
$$

But $\Pi_{e}\left(f \chi_{\gamma}\right) \leq \Pi_{e} f \leq b$ a.e. From this contradiction it follows that

$$
\left\|\left\|_{e} f\right\|_{\infty}=a=\right\| f \|_{\infty}
$$

as required.
In the case where $\|f\|_{\infty}=\infty$, a similar type of argument, considering the sets $\gamma_{M}=\{M \leq f \leq M+1\}(M \in \mathbb{N})$ shows that $\left\|\Pi_{e} f\right\|_{\infty}=\infty$. Thus the result follows.

From the remarks following Prop. 11.14, we saw that the most general Riesz isomorphism of $M_{\mu}$ onto $M_{\nu}$ is a
composition of a unitary isomorphism and a pointwise multiplication.

Note 1. $\Pi_{e}$ is purely unitary if and only if $\pi_{e} 1=1$ a.e.

Proof. If $I_{e} 1=1$ a.e., then, by Lemma 9.4, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$
\Pi_{e} x_{\sigma}=\left(\pi_{e} 1\right) x_{\theta \sigma}=x_{\theta \sigma} .
$$

So by Lemma 11.17, $\pi_{e}$ is unitary.
Conversely if $\pi_{e}$ is unitary, then $\pi_{e}{ }^{1=} X_{\epsilon}$, for some $\epsilon^{\prime} \subseteq \epsilon$. Let $\delta \subseteq \in \backslash \epsilon^{\prime}$ be $\Lambda$-measurable. By the characterisation given in Lemma 11.17, $\pi_{e}^{-1}$ must also be unitary. Hence $\chi_{\delta}=\Pi_{e} \chi_{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$. However, since $x_{\sigma} \leq 1$ a.e., $x_{\delta}=\Pi_{e} x_{\sigma} \leq \Pi_{e} 1=x_{\epsilon}$, a.e., so $\delta \subseteq \epsilon^{\prime}$. Thus $\delta$ a null set and $\Pi_{e} 1=\chi_{\ell}$.

Note 2. $\Pi_{e}$ is a pure multiplication (in the case where $(\Omega, \Sigma)=(\epsilon, \Lambda))$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{supp} \Pi_{e} f=\operatorname{supp} f \quad\left(f \in M_{\mu}\right)
$$

Proof. If supp $\Pi_{e} f=\operatorname{supp} f$ for every $f \in M_{\mu}$, then for each $\sigma \in \Sigma, \theta \sigma=\sigma$ and

$$
\pi_{e} x_{\sigma}=\left(\pi_{e} 1\right) x_{\theta \sigma}=\varphi_{o} x_{\sigma} .
$$

By linearity, $\Pi_{e}=\varphi_{0} s$ for every simple function $s$, and by order continuity, $\Pi_{e} f=\varphi_{o} f$ for every $f \in M_{\mu}$.

Conversely suppose that $\Pi_{e} f=\varphi_{o} f\left(f \in M_{\mu}\right.$ ) for some $\varphi_{0} \in M_{\mu}$. Since $\Pi_{e}$ is a Riesz isomorphism we must have $\varphi_{0}>0$ a.e. and then clearly $\operatorname{supp} \Pi_{e} f=\operatorname{supp} f$.
§ 12. The Associated Homomorphism.
Let $\Pi$ be once again a Riesz homomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$, with kernel carried on $A \in \Sigma$. It is an elementary exercise in lattice theory to show that the adjoint of any surjective lattice homomorphism of a lattice $L$ is also a lattice homomorphism, when $L^{*}$ is endowed with the usual algebraic dual lattice structure (namely, that whereby $F$ is in the positive cone of $L^{*}$ if $\langle f, F\rangle \geq 0$ for every $f \in L^{+}$). Moreover this adjoint is always an order continuous mapping.

When we identify with the Banach function space $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$, that subspace of $L_{\tau}^{*}$ which consists of the order continuous linear functionals on $L_{\tau}$, the restriction of $\Pi^{*}$ to this subspace is then a Riesz homomorphism on $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$. The question then poses itself naturally of what conditions enable us to apply to this homomorphism some of the results developed so far. The first two lemmas of this section give an answer to this question.
12.1 LEMMA. If $H \in L_{\tau}^{*}$ and $\Pi * H \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, then $H \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$, i.e.

$$
\Pi^{*}\left(L_{\tau}^{*}\right) \cap L_{\rho}^{\prime} \subseteq \Pi^{*}\left(L_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose $0 \leq f=\Pi * H \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\tau}$ satisfies $v_{1} \geq v_{2} \geq \ldots \nmid O$ a.e. By Lemma 9.3, we can find a decreasing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\rho}^{+}$with $\Pi u_{n}=v_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. We may assume without loss that $\inf _{n} u_{n}=0$ a.e. (for if $0 \leq u_{0} \leq u_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, then $\Pi u_{0}^{n} \leq \pi u_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots) ;$ hence $\pi u_{0} \leq \inf _{n} \pi u_{n}=0$, i.e. $u_{0} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi$; so replace each $u_{n}$ if necessary by $u_{n}-\inf _{r} u_{r}$ ). Then, since $f \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}, f$ is an order continuous linear functional on $L_{\rho}$, so

$$
\left\langle v_{n}, H\right\rangle=\left\langle\Pi u_{n}, H\right\rangle=\left\langle u_{n}, \Pi * H\right\rangle=\left\langle u_{n}, f\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
$$

showing that $H \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$.
12.2 LEMMA. $\Pi^{*}\left(L_{\tau}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\Pi$ is order continuous.

Proof. Suppose first that $I$ is order continuous and let $O \leq V \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$. Let the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{\rho}$ satisfy $\mathrm{f}_{1} \geq \mathrm{f}_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0$ a.e. Then $\left\{\mathrm{Mf}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is decreasing in $\mathrm{L}_{\tau}$ and $\inf _{n} \Pi f_{n}=\Pi\left(\inf _{n} f_{n}\right)=0$, so, since $v$ is an order continuous linear functional,

$$
\inf _{n}\left\langle E_{n}, \Pi * v\right\rangle=\inf _{n}\left\langle\Pi f_{n}, v\right\rangle=0,
$$

and hence $\Pi^{*} v \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$.
Suppose now that $I I$ is not order continuous: then we can find $h \in I_{\rho}$ and a sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
0 \leq h_{n} \uparrow h \text { a.e. but } \pi h \underset{\neq}{>} \sup _{n} \pi h_{n} \text {. }
$$

So $\delta=\operatorname{supp}\left(\Pi h-\sup _{n} \pi h_{n}\right)$ is non-null, and choosing some $O \leq v \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ whose support is non-trivial and contained in $\delta$, we have,

$$
\left\langle\pi h-\sup _{n} \pi h_{n}, v\right\rangle=\int_{\delta}\left(\pi h-\sup _{n} \pi h_{n}\right) v d \mu>0 .
$$

So $\left\langle h, \Pi^{*} v\right\rangle=\langle\pi h, v\rangle \underset{\neq}{\rangle} \sup _{n}\left\langle\Pi h_{n}, v\right\rangle=\sup _{n}\left\langle h_{n}, \Pi^{*} v\right\rangle$. Hence $\Pi^{*} \mathrm{v}$ is not order continuous,i.e. $\Pi^{*} \mathrm{v} \notin \mathrm{L}_{\rho}^{\prime}$.

It follows by these two lemmas that if $\Pi: L_{\rho} \rightarrow L_{\tau}$ is order continuous, then $\Pi^{*}: L_{\tau}^{*} \rightarrow L_{\rho}^{*}$ satisfies

$$
\Pi^{*}\left(L_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)=L_{\rho}^{\prime} \cap \Pi^{*}\left(L_{\tau}^{*}\right)
$$

and so $\tilde{\Pi}=\left.\Pi^{*}\right|_{L_{\tau}^{\prime}}$ is an order continuous Riesz
homomorphism of $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ into $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. We can make this more precise:
12.3 LEMMA. If $\Pi: L_{\rho} \rightarrow L_{\tau}$ is order continuous, then $\tilde{\Pi}\left(L_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)=X_{\Omega \backslash A} L_{\rho}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq v \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ : from Lemma 12.2, Ĩv $\in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. For any $f \in L_{\rho},\left\langle f,(\right.$ Îv $\left.) X_{A}\right\rangle=\left\langle f X_{A}, \Pi^{*} v\right\rangle=\left\langle\Pi\left(f X_{A}\right), v\right\rangle=0$, by Cor. 10.2. Hence ( $\bar{\Pi} v) X_{A}=0$ a.e., so
$\tilde{\Pi}\left(L_{\tau}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq X_{\Omega \backslash A_{\rho}}{ }_{\rho}^{\prime}$.
Conversely, let $0 \leq g=g x_{\Omega, A} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. Define $H \in L_{\tau}^{*}$ by

$$
\langle v, H\rangle=\int\left(\Pi_{c}^{-1} v\right) g d \mu \quad\left(v \in L_{\tau}\right),
$$

where $\Pi_{c}^{-1} v$ is the fundamental inverse of $v$ introduced in Lemma 10.3 ,i.e. $\Pi_{c}^{-1} v=w \chi_{\Omega \backslash A}$ for any $w$ such that $\Pi w=v$. Since the mapping $\Pi_{c}^{-1}$ is positive, linear and bounded (by (3)), $H$ is a bounded positive linear functional and

$$
\|\mathrm{H}:\| \leq\left\|\pi_{c}^{-1}\right\| \rho^{\prime}(g) \leq c_{1}^{-1} \rho^{\prime}(g) .
$$

Furthermore, if $v_{1} \geq v_{2} \geq \ldots \downarrow 0\left(v_{i} \in L_{\tau} ; i=1,2, \ldots\right)$, then the sequence $\left\{\Pi_{C}^{-1} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ also decreases to zero a.e. Hence, since $g \in L_{p}^{\prime} ;$

$$
\left\langle v_{i}, H\right\rangle=\int\left(\pi_{c}^{-1} v_{i}\right) g d \mu \rightarrow 0
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. So $H$ is order continuous and may therefore be identified with some element $h \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$ such that $\rho^{\prime}(h) \leq c_{1}^{-1} \rho^{\prime}(g)$. Finally, for any $u \in L_{\rho}$,
$\langle u, \tilde{\Pi} h\rangle=\langle\Pi u, H\rangle=\left\langle\Pi_{c}^{-1} \Pi u, g\right\rangle=\left\langle u \chi_{\Omega \backslash A}, g\right\rangle=\langle u, g\rangle$,
since $g=g X_{\Omega, A}$. Hence $g=\tilde{\Pi} h$ and so $\tilde{\Pi}$ maps $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ onto $X_{\Omega, ~} A_{\rho}^{\prime}$ 。
12.4 PROPOSITION. For any $g \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$,

$$
c_{1} \tau^{\prime}(g) \leq \rho^{\prime}(\tilde{\Pi} g) \leq c_{2} \tau^{\prime}(g),
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are the constants of (1).

Proof. For any $G \in L_{\tau}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Pi * G\| & =\sup \{|\langle f, \Pi * G\rangle|: \rho(f) \leq 1\} \\
& =\sup \{|\langle\Pi f, G\rangle|: \rho(f) \leq 1\} \\
& \leq \sup \{\tau(\Pi f)\|G\|: \rho(f) \leq 1\} \\
& \leq c_{2}\|G\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is used to denote the Banach dual norm both in $L_{\rho}^{*}$ and in $L_{\tau}^{*}$. So when $G$ is some $g \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$, $\rho^{\prime}(\Pi g)=\left\|\Pi^{*} G\right\| \leq c_{2}\|G\|=c_{2} \tau^{\prime}(g)$. Also, if $0 \leq g \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in $L_{\tau}$ such that $\tau\left(f_{n}\right) \leq 1$ and

$$
\left\langle f_{n}, g\right\rangle=\int f_{n} g d \nu \uparrow \tau^{\prime}(g)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $h_{n}=\pi_{c}^{-1} f_{n}$, so that $h_{n}=h_{n} X_{\Omega, A}(n=1,2, \ldots)$.
Then from (2), $\rho\left(h_{n}\right)=\inf \left\{\rho(h): \Pi h=f_{n}\right\}$; so $c_{1} \rho\left(h_{n}\right) \leq \tau\left(f_{n}\right) \leq 1$, i.e. $\rho\left(h_{n}\right) \leq c_{1}^{-1}$ for each $n$, and

$$
\left\langle h_{n}, \tilde{\Pi} g\right\rangle=\left\langle\Pi h_{n}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{n}, g\right\rangle \uparrow \tau^{\prime}(g) .
$$

Hence, $\sup \{\langle h, \tilde{\Pi} g\rangle: \rho(h) \leq 1\} \geq c_{1} \tau^{\prime}(g)$, i.e. as required,

$$
\rho^{\prime}(\tilde{\Pi} g) \geq c_{1} \tau^{\prime}(g)
$$

Since $\Pi$ is onto, clearly $\Pi^{*}$ is one-one, so $\tilde{\Pi}$ is a Riesz isomorphism of $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ to $X_{\Omega, ~} L_{\rho}^{\prime}$. Now the latter is a Banach function space in its own right: if we redefine $\mu(A)$ to be zero, and $\rho$ ' to be based on the accordingly
modified measure space, then the restricted $\rho^{\prime}$ is a saturated norm.

The results of $\S 11$ can now be applied to deduce that $\tilde{\Pi}$ has a unique order continuous extension $\tilde{\Pi}_{e}$, which is a Riesz isomorphism of $M_{\nu}$ onto $X_{\Omega, ~} M_{\mu}$. Let $\tilde{\theta}$ denote the underlying measure algebra isomorphism of $\Lambda$ onto $\Sigma_{\Omega \backslash A}=\{\sigma \backslash A: \sigma \in \Sigma\}$, and let $\xi_{0}=\tilde{\Pi}_{e} 1$ (here 1 denotes $\left.X_{\epsilon}\right)$ : then supp $\xi_{0}=\Omega \backslash A$ and for each $\delta \in \Lambda$,

$$
\tilde{\Pi}_{e} x_{\delta}=\xi_{o} X_{\tilde{\theta} \delta}
$$

An order continuous mapping $\tilde{\Pi}_{1}$ of $M_{\nu}$ onto $X_{\Omega, ~} M_{\mu}$ arises naturally from $\tilde{\theta}$, as did $\Pi_{1}$ from $\theta$ in $§ 11$, and we can write

$$
\tilde{\Pi}_{e} g=\xi_{0} \tilde{\Pi}_{1} g \quad\left(g \in M_{\nu}\right)
$$

Our final result describes, albeit implicitly, the structural connection between $\Pi$ and $\tilde{\Pi}$. Take $\pi_{1}$ and $\theta$ to be derived (as in $\oint 11$ ) from the isomorphic component $\pi_{c}=\left.\pi\right|_{x_{\Omega-A}} L_{\rho}$ of 7 .
12.5 THEOREM. Let $O \leq £ \in M_{\mu}$, and let $\sigma, \gamma \in \Sigma$ and $\delta \in \Lambda$ satisfy $\theta \sigma=\delta$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\delta}=\gamma$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma} \xi_{0} f d \mu=\int_{\delta} \varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} f d \nu=\int_{\gamma} \xi_{0} \tilde{\Pi}_{1}\left(\Pi_{1} f\right) d \mu \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, with $\mathrm{f}=1$ a.e., we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma} \xi_{0} d \mu=\int_{\delta} \varphi_{0} d \nu=\int_{\gamma} \xi_{0} d \mu . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove (16) for simplicity, but (15) follows very similarly. Suppose first that $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho}$. Choose a sequence $\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}} \uparrow \epsilon$ with $X_{\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}}} \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ for each $n$. Then $\tilde{\Pi} X_{\epsilon_{n}} \in L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, so $\left(\tilde{\Pi} X_{\epsilon_{n}}\right) X_{\sigma} \in L^{1}(\mu)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, and by the Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma} \tilde{\Pi} \chi_{\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{\sigma} \sup _{\mathrm{n}} \tilde{\Pi} \chi_{\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int_{\sigma} \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathrm{e}} 1 \mathrm{~d} \mu \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\int_{\sigma} \xi_{o} d \mu & =\sup _{n} \int_{\sigma} \tilde{\Pi} x_{\epsilon_{n}} d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n}\left\langle x_{\sigma}, \tilde{\Pi} x_{\epsilon_{n}}\right\rangle \\
& =\sup _{n}\left\langle\pi x_{\sigma^{\prime}} x_{\epsilon_{n}}\right\rangle \\
& =\sup _{n} \int_{\epsilon_{n}} \varphi_{o} x_{\theta \sigma} d \nu \\
& =\int_{\theta \sigma} \varphi_{o} d v .
\end{array}
$$

More generally, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we can find a sequence $\sigma_{n} \uparrow \sigma$ with $\chi_{\sigma_{n}} \in L_{\rho}$ for each $n$, and so, by two further applications of MCT,
$\int_{\sigma} \xi_{0} d \mu=\sup _{\mathrm{n}} \int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}} \cdot \xi_{0} d \mu=\sup _{\mathrm{n}} \int_{\theta \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}} \varphi_{0} d \nu$

$$
=\int_{\substack{u \\ \theta}} \varphi_{0} d \nu=\int_{\theta \sigma} \varphi_{0} d \nu
$$

The second equality in (16) is obtained analogously.

Note. The equations (15) will hold for any $f \in M_{\mu}^{r}$ provided that at least one of $\int_{\sigma} \xi_{O} f^{+} d \mu$ and $\int_{\sigma} \xi_{O} f^{-} d \mu$ is finite, and indeed they hold for any $f \in M_{\mu}$, provided always that the integrals in (15) do exist.

## § 13. Applications.

13.1 EXAMPLE. Consider the case where $\rho$ and $\tau$ are both based on the same measure space ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) and where $\Pi$ is a Riesz isomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}$ such that the underlying measure algebra isomorphism $\theta$ fixes $\Sigma$, i.e. $\theta \sigma=\sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Then the action of $\Pi$ and of its extension $\Pi_{e}$ on $M_{\mu}$ is a pure multiplication, i.e.

$$
\Pi_{e} f=\varphi_{0} \Pi_{1} f=\varphi_{0} f \quad\left(f \in M_{\mu}\right)
$$

As usual we let $\varphi_{0}=\Pi_{e} 1, \psi_{0}=\Pi_{e}^{-1} 1$ and it follows from Prop. 11.14 (a), since $\Pi_{1} f=f$ for every $f \in M_{\mu}$, that $\varphi_{0}=\psi_{0}^{-1}$ a.e.

Denote by $\eta$ the associated isomorphism $\tilde{\Pi}$ of $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ onto $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, and let $\xi_{0}=\eta_{e} 1$. We shall show that
(a) $\xi_{o}=\varphi_{o}$ a.e., and
(b) for each $\sigma \in \Sigma, \tilde{\theta} \sigma=\sigma$ so that for each $f \in M_{\mu}$,

$$
\eta_{e} f=\xi_{0} f=\varphi_{0} f=\Pi_{e} f,
$$

i.e. $\eta_{e}=\pi_{e}$.

Proof.
(a) Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ and let $f \in L_{\rho}^{+}$. Then
$\Pi\left(f_{X_{\sigma}}\right)=(\Pi f) X_{\theta \sigma}=\varphi_{O}{ }^{f} X_{\sigma}$ and

$$
\int_{\sigma} \varphi_{O} f d \mu \leq \tau\left(\varphi_{O} f\right) \tau^{\prime}\left(\chi_{\sigma}\right)<\infty .
$$

Let $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ in $\Sigma$ with $X_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\sigma} \varphi_{o} f d \mu & =\int_{\Omega} \pi\left(f \chi_{\sigma}\right) d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n} \int_{\Omega_{n}} \pi\left(f \chi_{\sigma}\right) d \mu  \tag{byMCT}\\
& =\sup _{n}\left\langle\Pi\left(f X_{\sigma}\right), \chi_{\Omega_{n}}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sup _{n}\left\langle f x_{\sigma}, n x_{\Omega_{n}}\right\rangle \\
& =\sup _{n} \int_{\sigma}\left(n x_{\Omega_{n}}\right) f d \mu \\
& =\int_{\sigma}\left(\eta e^{1) f d \mu}\right. \\
& =\int_{\sigma} \xi_{o} f d \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\int_{\sigma}\left(n_{e} 1\right) f d \mu \quad\left(b y \text { MCT and def.of } \eta_{e}\right)
$$

Since $\tau$ ' is a saturated norm, it follows that $\varphi_{0}=\xi_{0}$ a.e. on $\Omega$.
(b) Now let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $X_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho} \cap L_{\rho}^{\prime} \cap L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ so that, in particular, $\mu(\sigma) \leq \rho\left(\chi_{\sigma}\right) \rho^{\prime}\left(\chi_{\sigma}\right)<\infty$, and let $\tilde{\theta} \sigma=\gamma$. By the Exhaustion Theorem ([ Z ], 67.3), choose a sequence $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$ in $\Sigma$ such that $\chi_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{0}^{-1} \chi_{\Omega_{n}} \in L_{\rho}$ for each $n$; then

Hence $\sigma \subseteq \gamma$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(\gamma) & =\int \varphi_{O}^{-1} \varphi_{O} x_{\gamma} d \mu \\
& =\int \varphi_{O}^{-1} \eta_{e}\left(x_{\sigma}\right) d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(\sigma)=\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{O} \varphi_{O}^{-1} \chi_{\sigma}^{2} d \mu \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \pi_{e}\left(\varphi_{o}^{-1} \chi_{\sigma}\right) \chi_{\sigma} d \mu \\
& \left.=\int \sup _{\mathrm{n}} \pi\left(\varphi_{0}^{-1} x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}}\right) x_{\sigma} d \mu \quad \text { (by def. of } \Pi_{e}\right) \\
& =\sup _{\mathrm{n}} \int \pi\left(\varphi_{o}^{-1} \chi_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \chi_{\sigma} \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& =\sup _{n} \int_{0} \varphi_{0}^{-1} x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}\left(n x_{\sigma}\right) d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n} \int \varphi_{0}^{-1} \chi_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}} \xi_{o} \chi_{\gamma} d \mu \\
& =\int_{\sigma \cap_{\gamma}} 1 \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
& =\mu(\sigma \cap \gamma) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int \varphi_{0}^{-1} \sup _{n} \eta\left(x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}\right) d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n} \int \varphi_{O}^{-1} \eta\left(x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}\right) d \mu \quad(\text { by MCT }) \\
& =\sup _{n} \sup _{m} \int \varphi_{o}^{-1} x_{\Omega_{m}} \eta\left(x_{\sigma \cap \Omega_{n}}\right) d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n, m} \int_{\sigma \Omega_{n}} \pi\left(\varphi_{O}^{-1} x_{\Omega_{m}}\right) d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n, m} \int_{\sigma \Omega_{n}} x_{\Omega_{m}} d \mu \\
& =\sup _{n, m} \mu\left(\sigma \cap \Omega_{n} \cap \Omega_{m}\right) \\
& =\mu(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\sigma=\gamma$, and so $\tilde{\theta} \sigma=\sigma$ whenever $\chi_{\sigma} \in L_{\rho} \cap L_{\rho}^{\prime} \cap L_{\tau}^{\prime}$; since each of $\rho, \rho^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}$ is a saturated norm, it follows that $\tilde{\theta} \sigma=\sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Hence

$$
\eta f=\varphi_{O} f=\Pi f \quad \text { for } \quad f \in L_{\rho} \cap L_{\tau}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{e} f=\varphi_{0} f=\Pi_{e} f \quad \text { for all } \quad f \in M_{\mu} .
$$

We now return briefly to Theorem 6.2 as promised.
13.2. Let $E(\cdot), F(\cdot)$ be spectral measures on the Banach spaces $X, Z$ respectively, such that for some elements $x_{o}$ of $X$ and $z_{o}$ of $Z$,

$$
x=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{E(\sigma) x_{0}: \sigma \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

and

$$
z=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left\{F(\delta) z_{o}: \delta \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

where $E(\cdot), F(\cdot)$ are of class ( $\Sigma, X^{*}$ ), ( $\Lambda, Y^{*}$ ) respectively. From the Representation Theorem (Chapter II) we
know there exist saturated function norms $\rho$ and $\tau$, based on finite measure spaces ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) and ( $€, \Lambda, \nu$ ) say, where

$$
1_{\Omega} \in L_{\rho}^{a} \cap L_{\rho}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{\epsilon}^{1} \epsilon \in L_{\tau}^{a} \cap L_{\tau}^{\prime} \text {, }
$$

and such that $X$ and $Z$ may be identified isomorphically with the ideals $L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $L_{\tau}^{a}$ respectively. From this identification, each of $X$ and $Z$ inherits a Riesz space structure.

Suppose X is Riesz isomorphic to Z*.
Define $\rho_{1}(f)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\rho(f) & \text { if } f \in L_{\rho}^{a}, \\ \infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$

Then $\rho_{1}$ is also a saturated norm based on ( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ), $L_{\rho_{1}}=L_{\rho_{1}}^{a}=L_{\rho}^{a}$ and $1 \in L_{\rho_{1}} \cap L_{\rho_{1}}^{\prime}$. (Note that since the carrier of $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is $\Omega-i . e . L_{\rho}^{a}$ is order dense in $L_{\rho}$ then $\rho_{1}^{\prime}=\rho^{\prime}\left(\right.$ see 2.4) . Since $1 \in L_{\tau}^{a},\left(L_{\tau}^{a}\right)^{*}=L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ and hence our hypothesis is equivalent to the hypothesis: $L_{\rho_{1}}$ is Riesz isomorphic to $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$. Letting $\Pi=L_{\rho_{1}} \rightarrow L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ denote this isomorphism, then from the results of § 11 there exist a positive function $\varphi_{0} \in L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ and a measure algebra isomorphism $\theta$ of $\Sigma$ onto $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\Pi 1_{\Omega}=\varphi_{0}
$$

and

$$
\pi x_{\sigma}=\varphi_{0} X_{\theta \sigma} \quad(\sigma \in \Sigma)
$$

Since $\rho_{1}$ is an absolutely continuous norm, it follows from Lemma 9.6 that $L_{\tau}^{\prime}=L_{\tau}^{a}$, and since $\tau^{\prime}$ has the Fatou property, then by Theorem 5.1, $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially complete. By the isomorphism, $L_{\rho_{1}}$ is also weakly sequentially complete and therefore, again by Theorem 5.1, $\rho_{1}$ has the Fatou property. This implies
that whenever $u \in L_{\rho}, u_{n} \in L_{\rho}^{a}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $0 \leq u_{n} \uparrow u$ a.e., then

$$
\rho_{1}(u)=\sup _{n} \rho_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)=\sup _{n} \rho\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \rho(u)<\infty
$$

i.e. $u \in L_{\rho_{1}}=L_{\rho}^{a}$; hence $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is order closed. So in fact, since its carrier is $\Omega, L_{\rho}^{a}=L_{\rho}$ and $\rho_{1}=\rho$. Thus, $\rho$ has the Fatou property. Now observe that letting $\simeq$ denote Riesz isomorphism,

$$
L_{\rho}=L_{\rho}^{a} \simeq Z^{*} \simeq\left(L_{\tau}^{a}\right) *=L_{\tau}^{\prime}=L_{\tau}^{a} ;
$$

so $L_{\rho}^{\prime}=\left(L_{\rho}^{a}\right) * \simeq\left(L_{\tau}^{a},\right)^{*}=L_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\tau}$. Since $\Pi$ is an isomorphism of $L_{\rho}$ onto $L_{\tau}^{\prime}$, then $\tilde{\Pi}=\left.\Pi^{*}\right|_{L_{\tau}^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism of $L_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}=L_{\tau}$ onto $L_{\rho}^{\prime}$, and $\left.\tilde{\Pi}\right|_{L_{\tau}^{a}}$ is an isomorphism of $L_{\tau}^{a}$ onto $L_{\rho^{\prime}}^{a}$. Since $L_{\tau}^{a}$ is order dense in $L_{\tau^{\prime}}$ it follows that $L_{\rho}^{a}$, is order dense in $L_{\rho}^{\prime}, i . e$. that the carrier of $L_{\rho}^{a}$ is $\Omega$.

It is an easy exercise to verify that the hypothesis of Riesz isomorphism between $X$ and $Z^{*}$ is equivalent to the hypothesis of Theorem 6.2, viz. that provided we identify $X$ with $L_{\rho}^{a}$ as above from the outset, then the adjoints of projections $F(\delta)(\delta \in \Lambda$ ) on $Z$ should correspond, under the given isomorphism of X and $\mathrm{Z}^{*}$, to the natural multiplication operators on $L_{\rho}^{a}$. Hence in 13.2 above, we have an alternative proof of the forward implication of Theorem 6.2.
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