DOI: 10.2478/auom-2014-0044 An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța



VERSITA Vol. 22(2),2014, 239-251

Extremal problems on the generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points

Abstract

The paper of Lavrent'ev [1] was the beginning of geometrical theory of functions of the complex variable. He solved a problem on the product of conformal radiuses of two non-overlapping domains. In many papers (see [2] - [13]) the Lavrent'ev's result are generalized. In this paper are obtained the new results of this direction.

A. Targonskii

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} be the sets of natural, real and complex numbers respectively. We define $\overline{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \bigcup \{\infty\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty)$.

Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m = nd. Consider the set of natural numbers ${m_k}_{k=1}^n$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k = m. \tag{1}$$

The following system of points

$$A_{n,d} := \left\{ a_{k,p} \in \mathbb{C} : \ k = \overline{1,n}, \ p = \overline{1,m_k} \right\},\$$

are called the generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points on the rays, if the condition (1) is fulfilled and if for all $k = \overline{1, n}, p = \overline{1, m_k}$ the following relations are true:

$$0 < |a_{k,1}| < \dots < |a_{k,m_k}| < \infty;$$

$$\arg a_{k,1} = \arg a_{k,2} = \dots = \arg a_{k,m_k} = \frac{2\pi}{n}(k-1).$$
(2)

Received: June, 2013.

Key Words: inner radius of domain, quadratic differential, piecewise-separating transformation, the Green function, radial systems of points, logarithmic capacity, variational formula. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C70, 30C75.

Accepted: August, 2013.

An arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points (with variable number of points on the rays $A_{n,d}$) formed the set of domains $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$, where

$$P_k := \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{2\pi}{n} (k-1) < \arg w < \frac{2\pi}{n} k \right\}, \ k = \overline{1, n}.$$

For an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points (with the variable amount of points on the rays $A_{n,d}$) we consider the following "managing" functional

$$\mu(A_{n,d}) := \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{m_k} \left[\chi\left(\left| a_{k,p} \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) |a_{k,p}| \right],$$

where $\chi(t) = \frac{1}{2} (t + t^{-1})$.

Let $\{B_0, B_{k,p}\}, \{B_{k,p}, B_\infty\}$ be the arbitrary non-overlapping domains such that

 $0\in B_0, \ a_{k,p}\in B_{k,p}, \ \infty\in B_\infty, \ B_0, B_{k,p}, B_\infty\subset\overline{\mathbb{C}}, \quad k=\overline{1,n}, \ p=\overline{1,m_k}.$

Let $D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an arbitrary open set and $w = a \in D$. Then D(a) is a connected component of D which contain the point a. For an arbitrary system of points $A_{n,d}$ and for open set D, $A_{n,d} \subset D$ we define $D_k(a_{l,p})$ as the connected component of the set for which $D(a_{l,p}) \cap \overline{P_k}$ contain the point $a_{l,p}$ for $k = \overline{1,n}, l = k, k + 1, p = \overline{1,m_l}$, where $m_{n+1} = m_1, a_{n+1,p} := a_{1,p}$. We have that $D_k(0)$ (respectively $D_k(\infty)$) define the connected component of the set $D(0) \cap \overline{P_k}$ (respectively $D(\infty) \cap \overline{P_k}$) which contain the point w = 0(respectively $w = \infty$).

An open set D with $\{0\} \cup A_{n,d} \subset D$ satisfies the non-overlapping conditions with respect to the system of points $\{0\} \cup A_{n,d}$ if satisfies the condition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_k(a_{s,p}) \bigcap D_k(a_{l,q}) \end{bmatrix} \bigcup \begin{bmatrix} D_k(0) \bigcap D_k(a_{l,q}) \end{bmatrix} = \emptyset,$$
(3)
$$k = \overline{1,n}, \quad l, s = k, k+1, \quad p = \overline{1,m_s}, \quad q = \overline{1,m_l}$$

for all corners $\overline{P_k}$.

An open set D with $\{\infty\} \cup A_{n,d} \subset D$ satisfies the non-overlapping conditions with respect to the system of points $\{\infty\} \cup A_{n,d}$ if satisfies the condition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_k(a_{s,p}) \bigcap D_k(a_{l,q}) \end{bmatrix} \bigcup \begin{bmatrix} D_k(\infty) \bigcap D_k(a_{l,q}) \end{bmatrix} = \emptyset,$$
(4)
$$k = \overline{1,n}, \quad l, s = k, k+1, \quad p = \overline{1,m_s}, \quad q = \overline{1,m_l}$$

for all corners $\overline{P_k}$.

The system of domains $\{B_0 \cup B_{k,p}\}$ $(\{B_\infty \cup B_{k,p}\}), k = \overline{1, n}, p = \overline{1, m_k}, \text{ are}$ defined as system of partially non-overlapping domains if $D := \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bigcup_{p=1}^{m_k} B_{k,p} \cup B_0$ $(D := \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bigcup_{p=1}^{m_k} B_{k,p} \cup B_\infty)$ is an open set and if it satisfies the condition (3)

 $B_0 (D := \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bigcup_{p=1}^{m_k} B_{k,p} \cup B_\infty)$ is an open set and if it satisfies the condition (3) (condition (4)).

The definition of inner radius r(B; a) of domain $B \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to a point $a \in B$ can be found in the papers [4-6].

For an arbitrary $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ we denote by $A_{n,d}^{(1)}$ the generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points which is formed by poles of the quadratic differential $Q_1(w)dw^2$, where

$$Q_1(w)dw^2 := -\frac{w^{n-2}(1+w^n)^{2d-1}}{\left[(1-iw^{\frac{n}{2}})^{2d+1} - (1+iw^{\frac{n}{2}})^{2d+1}\right]^2} dw^2.$$
 (5)

We denote by $A_{n,d}^{(2)}$ the generalized (n,d)-equiangular system of points which is formed by poles of the quadratic differential $Q_2(w)dw^2$, where

$$Q_2(w)dw^2 := \frac{w^{n-2}(1+w^n)^{2d-1}}{\left[(1-iw^{\frac{n}{2}})^{2d+1} + (1+iw^{\frac{n}{2}})^{2d+1}\right]^2} dw^2.$$
 (6)

We remark that the condition (2) is satisfied for the system of points $A_{n,d}^{(1)}$, $A_{n,d}^{(2)}$ when $m_k = d$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. This statement easy follows from the general theory of quadratic differentials [16].

In this paper we investigate the following problem.

Problem. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \ge 2$. We intend to find a maximum of the functional I_n and to describe all its extremals, if

$$I_n := r^{\frac{n^2}{4}} (D, 0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{p=1}^{m_k} r(D, a_{k,p}),$$

where $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$ is an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points satisfying relation (2) and D is an arbitrary open set satisfying condition (3).

We remark that this problem is more general with respect to the conditions which are considered in [8 - 13].

Theorem 1. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \geq 2$ and let $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$, $\mu(A_{n,d}) = \mu(A_{n,d}^{(1)})$ be an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points; the set of numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ satisfies the condition (1); an arbitrary open set D, $A_{n,d} \subset D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the non-overlapping conditions with respect to the system of points $A_{n,d}$. Then we have the inequality

$$r^{\frac{n^2}{4}}(D,0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{p=1}^{m_k} r(D,a_{k,p}) \le \left(\frac{8}{2m+n}\right)^m \cdot \left(\frac{2n}{2m+n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \mu.$$

The equality sign holds, if the open set $D = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \bigcup_{s=1}^{m_k} B_{k,s}$, where $B_{k,s}$ is the system of circular domains of the quadratic differential (5).

Corollary 1. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \geq 2$ and let $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$, $\mu(A_{n,d}) = \mu(A_{n,d}^{(1)})$ be an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points; the set of numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ satisfies the condition (1). Let also $\{B_{k,p}\}$, $a_{k,p} \in B_{k,p} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an arbitrary set of non-overlapping domains. Then we have the inequality

$$r^{\frac{n^2}{4}}(B_0,0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{p=1}^{m_k} r(B_{k,p}, a_{k,p}) \le \left(\frac{8}{2m+n}\right)^m \cdot \left(\frac{2n}{2m+n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \mu.$$

The equality sign holds, if the points $a_{k,p}$ and domains $B_{k,p}$ are the poles and the circular domains of the quadratic differential (5).

Corollary 2. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \geq 2$ and let $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$, $\mu(A_{n,d}) = \mu(A_{n,d}^{(1)})$ be an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points; the set of numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ satisfies the condition (1). Let also $\{B_{k,p}\}$, $a_{k,p} \in B_{k,p} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an arbitrary set of partially non-overlapping domains. Then the inequality of Corollary 1 is true.

Theorem 2. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \geq 2$ and let $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$, $\mu(A_{n,d}) = \mu(A_{n,d}^{(2)})$ be an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points; the set of numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ satisfies condition (1); an arbitrary open set D, $A_{n,d} \subset D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the non-overlapping conditions with respect to the system of points $A_{n,d}$. Then we have the inequality

$$r^{\frac{n^2}{4}}(D,\infty)\cdot\prod_{k=1}^n\prod_{p=1}^{m_k}r(D,a_{k,p})\leq \left(\frac{8}{2m+n}\right)^m\cdot\left(\frac{2n}{2m+n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\cdot\mu.$$

The equality sign holds, if the open set $D = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \bigcup_{s=1}^{m_k} B_{k,s}$, where $B_{k,s}$ is the system of circular domains of the quadratic differential (6).

Corollary 3. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \geq 2$ and let $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$, $\mu(A_{n,d}) = \mu(A_{n,d}^{(2)})$ be an arbitrary generalized (n, d)-equiangular system of points; the set of numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ satisfies condition (1). Let also $\{B_{k,p}\}$,

 $a_{k,p} \in B_{k,p} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an arbitrary set of non-overlapping domains. Then we have the inequality

$$r^{\frac{n^2}{4}}(B_{\infty},\infty) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{p=1}^{m_k} r(B_{k,p}, a_{k,p}) \le \left(\frac{8}{2m+n}\right)^m \cdot \left(\frac{2n}{2m+n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \mu.$$

The equality sign is holds, if the points $a_{k,p}$ and domains $B_{k,p}$ are the poles and the circular domains of the quadratic differential (6).

Corollary 4. Let $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, m = nd, $n \geq 2$ and let $A_{n,d} = \{a_{k,p}\}$, $\mu(A_{n,d}) = \mu(A_{n,d}^{(2)})$ be an arbitrary generalized (n,d)-equiangular system of points; the set of numbers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$ satisfies the condition (1). Let also $\{B_{k,p}\}$, $a_{k,p} \in B_{k,p} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be an arbitrary set of partially non-overlapping domains. Then the inequality of Corollary 3 is true.

Proof of Theorem 1. We note that from the non-overlapping condition follows that cap $\mathbb{C} \setminus D > 0$ and the set D with respect to a point $a \in D$ possesses the Green generalized function $g_D(z, a)$, which has the form

$$g_D(z,a) := \begin{cases} g_{D(a)}(z,a), \ z \in D(a), \\ 0, \ z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{D(a)}, \\ \lim_{\zeta \to z} g_{D(a)}(\zeta,a), \ \zeta \in D(a), z \in \partial D(a), \end{cases}$$

where $g_{D(a)}(z, a)$ is the Green function of the domain D(a) with respect to a point $a \in D(a)$.

Further, we will use the methods of the paper [8]. Consider the sets $E_0 =$ $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D; \ \overline{U}_t = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| \leq t \}, \ E(a_{k,p}, t) = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| \leq t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - a_{k,p}| < t \}, \ k = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \|w = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \|w = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \|w = \{ w$ $\overline{1,n}, p = \overline{1,m_k}, n \ge 2, n, m_k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For a rather small t > 0, we consider the condenser

$$C(t, D, A_{n,d}) = \left\{ E_0, \overline{U}_t, E_1 \right\},\,$$

where $E_1 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \bigcup_{p=1}^{m_k} E(a_{k,p}, t)$. The capacity of the condenser $C(t, D, A_{n,d})$ is defined as

cap
$$C(t, D, A_{n,d}) = \inf \int \int \left[(G'_x)^2 + (G'_y)^2 \right] dx dy$$

(see [5]), where an infimum takes in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ over all Lipschitzian functions G =G(z), such that $G\Big|_{E_0} = 0$, $G\Big|_{E_1} = 1$, $G\Big|_{\overline{U}_t} = \frac{n}{2}$. The module of the condenser C is defined as

$$|C| = [\operatorname{cap} C]^{-1}$$

From the Theorem 1 from [8] we get

$$|C(t, D, A_{n,d})| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{n^2}{4} + m} \cdot \log \frac{1}{t} + M(D, A_{n,d}) + o(1), \qquad t \to 0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$M(D, A_{n,d}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n^2}{4} + m\right)^2} \cdot \left[\frac{n^2}{4}\log r(D, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{m_k} g_D(0, a_{k,p}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{m_k}\log r(D, a_{k,p}) + \sum_{(k,p)\neq(q,s)} g_D(a_{k,p}, a_{q,s})\right].$$
(8)

The function

$$z_k(w) = (-1)^k i \cdot w^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

 $k = \overline{1, n}$ realizes univalent and conformal transformations of domain P_k on the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$.

Therefore function

$$\zeta_k(w) := \frac{1 - z_k(w)}{1 + z_k(w)} \tag{9}$$

is a univalent and conformal mapping of the domain ${\cal P}_k$ on the unit circle $U = \{z : |z| \le 1\}, \ k = \overline{1, n}.$

Obviously, we have $\zeta_k(0) = 1, k = \overline{1, n}$. Let $\omega_{k,p}^{(1)} := \zeta_k(a_{k,p}), \ \omega_{k-1,p}^{(2)} := \zeta_{k-1}(a_{k,p}), \ a_{n+1,p} := a_{1,p}, \ \omega_{0,p}^{(2)} := \omega_{n,p}^{(2)},$ $\zeta_0 := \zeta_n(k = \overline{1, n}, p = \overline{1, m_k}).$ For any domain $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $(\Delta)^* := \{w \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : \frac{1}{w} \in \Delta\}.$

From the formula (9) from [7], we obtain the following asymptotic expressions

$$\left|\zeta_{k}(w) - \zeta_{k}(a_{k,p})\right| \sim \left[\frac{2}{n} \cdot \chi\left(\left|a_{k,p}\right|^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) |a_{k,p}|\right]^{-1} \cdot |w - a_{k,p}|,$$

$$w \to a_{k,p}, \quad w \in \overline{P}_{k}.$$

$$\left|\zeta_{k-1}(w) - \zeta_{k-1}(a_{k,p})\right| \sim \left[\frac{2}{n} \cdot \chi\left(\left|a_{k,p}\right|^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) |a_{k,p}|\right]^{-1} \cdot |w - a_{k,p}|,$$

$$w \to a_{k,p}, \quad w \in \overline{P}_{k-1}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, p = \overline{1, m_{k}}.$$
(10)

The coefficients of piece-dividing transformation at the point w = 0 are defined by the following asymptotic equalities

$$\left|\zeta_k(w) - 1\right| \sim 2|w|^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad w \to 0, \ w \in \overline{P}_k^0, \ k = \overline{1, n}.$$
(11)

Let $\Omega_{k,p}^{(1)}$ be a connected component $\zeta_k \left(D \cap \overline{P}_k \right) \bigcup \left(\zeta_k \left(D \cap \overline{P}_k \right) \right)^*$ containing the point $\omega_{k,p}^{(1)}$ and let $\Omega_{k-1,p}^{(2)}$ be a connected component $\zeta_{k-1} \left(D \cap \overline{P}_{k-1} \right) \bigcup \left(\zeta_{k-1} \left(D \cap \overline{P}_{k-1} \right) \right)^*$ containing the point $\omega_{k-1,p}^{(2)}$, $k = \overline{1,n}$, $p = \overline{1,m_k}$, $\overline{P}_0 := \overline{P}_n$, $\Omega_{0,p}^{(2)} := \Omega_{n,p}^{(2)}$. It is clear that in generally $\Omega_{k,p}^{(s)}$ are multiconnected domains, $k = \overline{1,n}$, $p = \overline{1,m_k}$, s = 1,2. Pair of the domains $\Omega_{k-1,p}^{(2)}$ and $\Omega_{k,p}^{(1)}$ is the result of piece-dividing transformation of the open set D with respect to the family $\{P_{k-1}, P_k\}$, $\{\zeta_{k-1}, \zeta_k\}$ at the point $a_{k,p}$, $k = \overline{1,n}$, $p = \overline{1,m_k}$. Let $\Omega_k^{(0)}$ be a connected component $\zeta_k \left(D \cap \overline{P}_k \right) \bigcup \left(\zeta_k \left(D \cap \overline{P}_k \right) \right)^*$ containing the point $1, k = \overline{1,n}$. The family of the domains $\left\{ \Omega_k^{(0)} \right\}_{k=1}^n$ is the result of piece-dividing transformation of the open set D with respect to the family $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and the functions $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^n$ at the point $w = 0, \ k = \overline{1,n}$.

In the following, we consider the condensers

$$C_k(t, D, A_{n,d}) = \left(E_0^{(k)}, \overline{U}_t^{(k)}, E_1^{(k)}\right),$$

where

$$E_s^{(k)} = \zeta_k \left(E_s \bigcap \overline{P}_k \right) \bigcup \left[\zeta_k \left(E_s \bigcap \overline{P}_k \right) \right]^*,$$

$$\overline{U}_t^{(k)} = z_k \left(\overline{U}_t \bigcap \overline{P}_k \right) \bigcup \left\{ z_k \left(\overline{U}_t \bigcap \overline{P}_k \right) \right\}^*,$$

 $k = \overline{1, n}, s = 0, 1, \{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a system of corners corresponding to a system of points $A_{n,d}$; the set $[A]^*$ is a set which is symmetrical to the set A with respect a unit circle |w| = 1. From this, it follows that for dividing transformation with respect to $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^n$ for the condenser $C(t, D, A_{n,d})$ corresponds the set of condensers $\{C_k(t, D, A_{n,d})\}_{k=1}^n$. The last condensers are symmetrical with respect to $\{z : |z| = 1\}$. According to the paper [8], we obtain

$$\operatorname{cap}C(t, D, A_{n,d}) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{cap}C_k(t, D, A_{n,d}).$$
 (12)

Therefore we obtain

$$|C(t, D, A_{n,d})| \leq 2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |C_k(t, D, A_{n,d})|^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$
(13)

The formula (7) gives a module of asymptotic $C(t, D, A_{n,d})$ when $t \to 0$ and $M(D, A_{n,d})$ is a module of the set D with respect to $A_{n,d}$. Using the formulae (10), (11), and the fact that the set D satisfies a non-overlapping conditions with respect to the system of points $0 \cup A_{n,d}$, we have the following asymptotic representations for the condensers $C_k(t, D, A_{n,d}), k = \overline{1, n}$:

$$|C_k(t, D, A_{n,d})| =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_k + m_{k+1}\right)} \log \frac{1}{t} + M_k(D, A_{n,d}) + o(1), \quad t \to 0, \quad m_{n+1} := m_1,$$
(14)

where

$$M_{k}\left(D,A_{n,d}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi\left(\frac{n}{2} + m_{k} + m_{k+1}\right)^{2}} \cdot \left[\log\frac{r\left(\Omega_{k}^{(0)},1\right)}{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{m_{k}}\log\frac{r\left(\Omega_{k,p}^{(1)},\omega_{k,p}^{(1)}\right)}{\left[\frac{2}{n}\cdot\chi\left(|a_{k,p}|^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)|a_{k,p}|\right]^{-1}} + \sum_{t=1}^{m_{k+1}}\log\frac{r\left(\Omega_{k,t}^{(2)},\omega_{k,t}^{(2)}\right)}{\left[\frac{2}{n}\cdot\chi\left(|a_{k+1,t}|^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)|a_{k+1,t}|\right]^{-1}}\right],$$
and $k = \overline{1,n}$

and k = 1, n.

Using (14), we get

$$|C_{k}(t, D, A_{n,d})|^{-1} = \frac{2\pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_{k} + m_{k+1}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}} \times \left(1 + \frac{2\pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_{k} + m_{k+1}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}} M_{k}(D, A_{n,d}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)^{-1} = \frac{2\pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_{k} + m_{k+1}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}} - \left(\frac{2\pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_{k} + m_{k+1}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)^{2} M_{k}(D, A_{n,d}) + o\left(\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)^{2}\right), t \to 0.$$
(15)

Using the equality $\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k = m$ and the condition (15), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |C_k(t, D, A_{n,d})|^{-1} = \frac{2\pi \left(\frac{n^2}{2} + 2m\right)}{\log \frac{1}{t}} - \left(\frac{2\pi}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_k + m_{k+1}\right)^2 M_k(D, A_{n,d}) + o\left(\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)^2\right), t \to 0.$$
(16)

In turn, from the relation (16), we obtain the following asymptotic representation

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |C_k(t, D, A_{n,d})|^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \frac{\log \frac{1}{t}}{2\pi \left(\frac{n^2}{2} + 2m\right)} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{\left(\frac{n^2}{2} + 2m\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{t}} \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_k + m_{k+1}\right)^2 M_k(D, A_{n,d}) + o\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)^{-1} = \frac{\log \frac{1}{t}}{2\pi \left(\frac{n^2}{2} + 2m\right)} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n^2}{2} + 2m\right)^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_k + m_{k+1}\right)^2 M_k(D, A_{n,d}) + o(1), \quad t \to 0.$$
(17)

From the inequalities (12) and (13), using (7) and (17), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \left(\frac{n^2}{4}+m\right)} \log \frac{1}{t} + M\left(D, A_{n,d}\right) + o(1) \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi \left(\frac{n^2}{4}+m\right)} \log \frac{1}{t} + \frac{2}{\left(\frac{n^2}{2}+2m\right)^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{2}+m_k+m_{k+1}\right)^2 M_k\left(D, A_{n,d}\right) + o(1).$$

From (18) when $t \to 0$, we get

$$M(D, A_{n,d}) \leq \frac{2}{\left(\frac{n^2}{2} + 2m\right)^2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{2} + m_k + m_{k+1}\right)^2 M_k(D, A_{n,d}).$$
(19)

The formulae (8), (14) and (19) imply the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n^2}{4} + m\right)^2} \cdot \left[\frac{n^2}{4}\log r(D,0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{m_k} g_D(0,a_{k,p}) + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^{m_k}\log r(D,a_{k,p}) + \sum_{(k,p)\neq(q,s)} g_D(a_{k,p},a_{q,s}) \right] &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n^2}{2} + m\right)^2} \times \\ \left. \times \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\log \frac{r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_k^{(0)}, 1\right)}{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{m_k}\log \frac{r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k,p}^{(1)}, \omega_{k,p}^{(1)}\right)}{\left[\frac{2}{n} \cdot \chi\left(|a_{k,p}|^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)|a_{k,p}|\right]^{-1}} + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{t=1}^{m_{k+1}}\log \frac{r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k,t}^{(2)}, \omega_{k,t}^{(2)}\right)}{\left[\frac{2}{n} \cdot \chi\left(|a_{k+1,t}|^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)|a_{k+1,t}|\right]^{-1}} \right], \qquad k = \overline{1, n}. \end{aligned}$$

(18)

Therefore, we have

$$r^{\frac{n^{2}}{4}}(D,0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{m_{k}} r(D,a_{k,p}) \leq 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{m} \cdot \mu\left(A_{n,d}\right) \times \\ \times \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k}^{(0)},1\right) \cdot \prod_{p=1}^{m_{k}} r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k,p}^{(1)},\omega_{k,p}^{(1)}\right) \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{m_{k+1}} r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k,t}^{(2)},\omega_{k,t}^{(2)}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (20)

From results of the paper [6, 8, 9], we have the following inequalities

$$r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k}^{(0)},1\right)\cdot\prod_{p=1}^{m_{k}}r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k,p}^{(1)},\omega_{k,p}^{(1)}\right)\cdot\prod_{t=1}^{m_{k+1}}r\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{k,t}^{(2)},\omega_{k,t}^{(2)}\right)\leq \\ \leq \prod_{s=1}^{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}r\left(G_{s}^{(k)},e^{i\frac{2\pi}{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}(s-1)}\right),$$
(21)

where $G_s^{(k)}$ is a system of circular domains of the quadratic differential

$$Q(\zeta_k) \, d\zeta_k^2 = -\frac{\zeta_k^{m_k + m_{k+1} - 1}}{\left(\zeta_k^{m_k + m_{k+1} + 1} - 1\right)^2} \cdot d\zeta_k^2.$$

Using the inequalities (20), (21), we obtain

$$r^{\frac{n^{2}}{4}}(D,0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{m_{k}} r(D,a_{k,p}) \leq 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{m} \cdot \mu\left(A_{n,d}\right) \times \\ \times \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \prod_{s=1}^{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1} r\left(G_{s}^{(k)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}(s-1)}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(22)

Now consider the family of functions

$$\xi_k = \sqrt[n]{\zeta_k} \cdot e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}(k-1)}, \quad k = \overline{1, n},$$

which transform the unit circle to a sector with size $\frac{2\pi}{n}$. Then the domains $G_s^{(k)}$, $k = \overline{1, n}, s = \overline{1, m_k + m_{k+1} + 1}$ will be transformed to the domain $\Sigma_s^{(k)}$ and the points $e^{i \frac{2\pi}{m_k + m_{k+1} + 1}(s-1)}$ will be transformed into $e^{i \frac{2\pi}{n} \left(\frac{s-1}{m_k + m_{k+1} + 1} + k-1\right)}$. By union all sectors we obtain the unit circle containing (2m + n) non-overlapping domains $\Sigma_s^{(k)}$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, $s = \overline{1, m_k + m_{k+1} + 1}$. Then

$$r\left(G_{s}^{(k)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}(s-1)}\right) \le n \cdot r\left(\Sigma_{s}^{(k)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}\left(\frac{s-1}{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}+k-1\right)}\right).$$
(23)

Using the inequalities (22), (23), we have

$$r^{\frac{n^{2}}{4}}(D,0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{m_{k}} r(D,a_{k,p}) \leq 2^{m} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \mu\left(A_{n,d}\right) \times \\ \times \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{s=1}^{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1} r\left(\sum_{s}^{(k)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}\left(\frac{s-1}{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}+k-1\right)}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (24)

Using the results of the paper [6, 8, 9], we obtain the following inequality

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{s=1}^{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1} r\left(\Sigma_{s}^{(k)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}\left(\frac{s-1}{m_{k}+m_{k+1}+1}+k-1\right)}\right) \leq \prod_{t=1}^{2m+n} r\left(B_{t}, b_{t}\right) (25)$$
$$= \left(\frac{4}{2m+n}\right)^{2m+n}.$$

The sign of equality is obtained when the domains B_t and the points b_t are the circular domains and the poles of the quadratic differential

$$Q(\xi) d\xi^2 = -\frac{\xi^{2m+n-2}}{\left(\xi^{2m+n}-1\right)^2} \cdot d\xi^2.$$
 (26)

Finally, from the inequalities (25), (24), we obtain

$$r^{\frac{n^2}{4}}(D,0) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{m_k} r(D,a_{k,p}) \le \left(\frac{8}{2m+n}\right)^m \cdot \left(\frac{2n}{2m+n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \mu\left(A_{n,d}\right).$$
(27)

The statement of the theorem follows directly from the inequality (27) and from the quadratic differential (26), in which we must make a necessary exchange of variables. The theorem is proved.

Proof of the Theorem 2 is similar to the proof of the Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Prof. A.K.Bakhtin for formulation of the problem and useful discussions.

References

- Lavrent'ev M. A., On the theory of conformal mappings, Tr. Fiz.-Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 5, 159-245 (1934).
- [2] Goluzin G. M., Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1966).
- [3] Bakhtina G. P., Variational Methods and Quadratic Differentials in Problems for Disjoint Domains [in Russian], Author's Abstract of the Candidate-Degree Thesis (Physics and Mathematics), Kiev (1975).
- [4] Kuz'mina G. V., Problem of extremal division of a Riemann sphere, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Ros. Akad. Nauk, 276, 253-275 (2001).
- [5] Hayman W. K., Multivalent Functions, Cambridge University, Cambridge (1958).
- [6] Dubinin V. N., Separating transformation of domains and problems of extremal division, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Ros. Akad. Nauk, 168, 48–66 (1988).
- [7] Bakhtin A. K., Bakhtina G. P., Zelinskii Yu. B., Topological-algebraic structures and geometric methods in complex analysis, Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine 73 (2008), 308 p.
- [8] Dubinin V. N., Asymptotic representation of the modulus of a degenerating condenser and some its applications, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst., 237, 56-73 (1997).
- [9] Dubinin V. N., Method of symmetrization in the geometric theory of functions of a complex variable, Usp. Mat. Nauk, 49, No.1 (295), 3-76 (1994).
- [10] Emel'yanov E. G., On the problem of the maximum of the product of powers of conformal radii of disjoint domains, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Ros. Akad. Nauk, 286, 103-114 (2002).
- [11] Bakhtin A. K., Sharp estimates for inner radii of systems of nonoverlapping domains and open sets, Ukr. Math. J., 59, No. 12, 1800-1818 (2007).
- [12] Bakhtin A. K., Inequalities for the inner radii of nonoverlapping domains and open sets, Ukr. Math. J., 61, No. 5, 716-733 (2009).

- [13] Bakhtin A. K. and Targonskii A. L., Generalized (n, d)-ray systems of points and inequalities for nonoverlapping domains and open sets, Ukr. Math. J., 63, No. 7, 716-733 (2011).
- [14] Bakhtin A. K. and Targonskii A. L., Extremal problems and quadratic differentials, Nonlin. Oscillations, 8, No. 3, 296-301 (2005).
- [15] Targonskii A. L., Extremal problems of partially nonoverlapping domains on a Riemann sphere, Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr., No. 9, 31-36 (2008).
- [16] Jenkins J. A., Univalent Functions and Conformal Mapping, Springer, Berlin (1958).

Andrey L. TARGONSKII, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Zhytomyr State University, Velyka Berdychivs'ka, 40, 10008 Zhytomyr, Ukraine. Email: targonsk@mail.ru, targonsk@zu.edu.ua.

252