Decrease in the Roughness of Vapor-Deposited Gold Surfaces Induced by Surface Mobility†

J. L. Zubimendi,‡ M. E. Vela,‡ R. C. Salvarezza,‡ L. Va´zquez,§ J. M. Vara,[|] **and A. J. Arvia*,‡**

*Instituto de Investigaciones Fisicoquı***´***micas Teo***´***ricas y Aplicadas (INIFTA), Casilla de Correo 16, Sucursal 4, 1900 La Plata, Argentina, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientı***´***ficas, Departamento de Fı***´***sica Aplicada C-XII, Universidad Auto***´***noma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain, and Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientı***´***ficas, Departamento de Quı***´***mica Fı***´***sica Aplicada C-II, Universidad Auto***´***noma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain*

*Received September 1, 1994. In Final Form: December 5, 1994*⁸

The evolution of the roughness exponent of vapor-deposited thin gold films (the object) on smooth glass substrate at 298 K has been observed by scanning tunneling microscopy. This evolution was enhanced by keeping the films at 323 K in contact with aqueous 0.5 M H2SO4 containing different KCl concentrations for 24 h. It implies a decay of the surface roughness for lengths larger than the grain size of the object leading to better ordered surface structures. Results are compared to those obtained during film grown by increasing the temperature of the glass substrate from 298 to 673 K. The analysis of surface roughness data indicated an enhancement of surface atom diffusion in ordering the gold surface structure, by either anion adsorption or deposition temperature.

Introduction

Surface roughness reflects physical processes operating on solid surfaces during their growth and evolution toward the equilibrium form. To understand the dynamics of growing interfaces,1 both continuum and atomistic models have been proposed. The main physical processes used for modeling roughness are intrinsic noise in surface growth, surface tension, and surface atom diffusion.2When the surface dynamics depends only on local processes, objects with a self-affine fractal surface with compact nonfractal mass are formed.1 In this case, *Œ***, the interface** width, scales with L_s , the substrate size, according to $\xi \propto$ L_s^{α} , where α is the characteristic surface roughness **exponent which becomes the relevant quantity character**izing the surface properties. Thus, values of α ranging **between 0 and 1 are expected for 3D systems according to the three above mentioned physical contributions to surface dynamics.3**

Recently, data derived from scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) imaging4-**⁶ were used for the fractal characterization of gold film surfaces prepared by vapor deposition on a smooth glass substrate at the growth rate** $v = 30$ nm s⁻¹, and substrate temperature $T_s = 298$ K. **Then it was concluded that the surface of these films**

Downloaded via UNIV NACIONAL DE LA PLATA on August 16, 2019 at 20:13:49 (UTC).
See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles. See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.Downloaded via UNIV NACIONAL DE LA PLATA on August 16, 2019 at 20:13:49 (UTC).

behaves as a self-affine fractal with roughness exponents, $\alpha(I) \approx 0.90$ for $L_s < d_s$, and $\alpha(II) \approx 0.36$ for $L_s > d_s$, where *d***^s is the average columnar size.4**-**⁶ Although the value** α (II) \approx 0.36 appears to be consistent with the predictions **of the stochastic Kardar, Parisi, and Zhang (KPZ) model,7 this theory does not incorporate the effect of grain formation. Thus, in principle, this coincidence appears** to be fortuitous. On the other hand, the value $\alpha(I) \approx 0.9$ for $L_s < d_s$, indicates a smooth textured columnar surface **which can be produced by the surface mobility of gold atoms. Smoothening leads to the disappearance of ir**regularities within a diffusion length close to d_s .⁴⁻⁶ In this case, the value of $\alpha(I) \approx 0.9$ exceeds the value of α **expected from continuum models incorporating surface relaxation,8 although that figure is consistent with values of** R **derived from simple growth models in which particles are randomly deposited onto a substrate and relax to kink sites maximizing the number of saturated bonds.9,10 Accordingly, it appears that surface atom diffusion changes the surface properties of deposited films from fractal to nonfractal.**

A considerable increase in surface metal atom mobility can be produced during film formation by increasing the temperature of the substrate or by the adsorption of foreign particles. A strong surface rearrangement has been observed for electrodeposited metal films in contact with aqueous solutions containing adsorbable anions.11

In this work the surface atom diffusion contribution to surface roughness development is analyzed during the growth of thin gold films by changing *T***s, the substrate temperature, and for postdeposition surface roughness evolution by the adsorption of specific solution constituents. The disappearance of the columnar structure with** $\alpha \approx 0.4$ and the appearance of faceted surface structures imply values of changing gradually from $\alpha \approx 0.4$ upward **as the contribution of surface atom diffusion increases.**

[†] Presented at the symposium on Advances in the Measurement and Modeling of Surface Phenomena, San Luis, Argentina, August 24-**30, 1994.**

[‡] INIFTA.

[§] Departamento de Fı´sica Aplicada C-XII. [|] **Departamento de Quı´mica Fı´sica Aplicada C-II.** ^X **Abstract published in** *Advance ACS Abstracts,* **January 1, 1996.** (1) Family, F. *[Phys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=9960668&crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevE.48.852&citationId=p_5_1)ica A* 1990, 168, 561, and references therein.
(2) Villain, J. <u>J. Phys. I</u> 1991, 1, 19.
(3) Vvdensky, D. D.; Zangwill, A.; Luse, C. N.; Wilby, M. R. <u>Phys.</u>

*[Rev](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=9960668&crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevE.48.852&citationId=p_5_1)***.** *E* **1993,** *48***, 852. (4) Salvarezza, R. C.; Va´zquez, L.; Herrasti, P.; Oco´n, P.; Vara, J. M.;**

Arvia, A. <u>J. *[Europhys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1209%2F0295-5075%2F20%2F8%2F011&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3sXovVWgtQ%253D%253D&citationId=p_6_1). Lett*</u>. **1992**, *20*, 727.
(5) Vázquez,L.; Salvarezza,R.C.; Herrasti, P.; Ocón, P.; Vara, J. M.;
Arvia, A. J. *Appl. Surf. Sci*. **1993**, *70–71*, 413.

⁽⁶⁾ Herrasti, P.; Oco´n, P.; Va´zquez, L.; Salvarezza, R. C.; Vara, J. M.; Arvia, A. J. *[Phys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevA.45.7440&citationId=p_8_1)***.** *Rev***.** *A* **1990,** *45***, 7440.**

⁽⁷⁾ Kardar, M.; Parisi, G.; Zhang, Y. C. *[Phys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=10033312&crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.56.889&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaL28XitlWhs7w%253D&citationId=p_9_1)***.** *Rev***.** *Lett***. 1986,** *56***, 889.** (8) Lai, Z. W.; Das Sarma, S. *[Phys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=10043777&crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.66.325&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3MXhtFaisrk%253D&citationId=p_11_1). Rev. Lett.* **1991**, *66*, 2348.
(9) Das Sarma, S.; Tamborenea, P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1991**, *66*, 325.
(10) Wolf, D.; Villain, J. <u>[Europhys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1209%2F0295-5075%2F13%2F5%2F002&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3MXjtlarsg%253D%253D&citationId=p_12_1). Lett</u>. **1990**, *13*, 389.

⁽¹¹⁾ Alonso, C.; Salvarezza, R. C.; Vara, J.M.; Arvia, A. J. *[Electrochim](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1016%2F0013-4686%2890%2985003-6&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3cXls1Wju7c%253D&citationId=p_13_1)***.** *[Acta](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1016%2F0013-4686%2890%2985003-6&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3cXls1Wju7c%253D&citationId=p_13_1)* **1990,** *35***, 1331.**

Figure 1. STM image of a vapor-deposited gold film grown at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and $T_s = 298$ K: (a, top) three-dimensional image; **(b, bottom) cross section of the image shown in part a.**

Experimental Section

Gold deposits were grown in an evaporator chamber on smooth glass substrates previously cleaned in an ultrasonic bath by sequentially using water, trichloroethylene, acetone, and ethanol.4-**⁶ The maximum deviation of the incident particles from the substrate normal was 9°. The experimental conditions** at the evaporator chamber were as follows: pressure $P = 10^{-4}$ Torr (air as residual gas), average deposit growth rate $\langle v \rangle = 0.1$ $n = \frac{1}{2}$, and 298 K < $\overline{T_s}$ < 673 K. The average film thickness was $\langle h \rangle \approx 200$ nm as has been estimated by the weight method. **Previous results for this system⁵ showed that for** $\langle h \rangle \ge 170$ **nm, the rootmean square roughness of the deposit surface approached a steady state.5**

A number of gold films grown at 298 K were kept immersed in aqueous 0.5 M H2SO4 + *x* **M KCl, 0** e *x* e **0.5 M, at 323 K under purified nitrogen saturation for 24-h periods to observe the influence of the electrolyte composition on roughness relaxation. The experimental conditions were selected on the basis of previous results on electrodeposited gold films.11**

A McAllister STM operating in air was used to investigate the surface morphology of gold deposits. The STM was calibrated by imaging highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG). Tips were **made from 0.25 mm diameter platinum**-**iridium wires directly by cutting. Although different tips were used to minimize tip geometric artifacts, no influence of the tip shape on our experimental data was found. STM measurements were made** using a 0.05-V bias voltage with the tip $(+)$ at $1-2$ nA constant **current. Data were acquired in a fully automated worksation** and stored as digitized images with 200 \times 200 pixels. Occasion**ally, images with 400**×**400 pixels were taken with similar results.**

Usually, STM measurements involve the uncertainty as to whether the tip scans over a parallel or a tilted plane of the sample. The presence of a tilted plane introduces an overestimation in the value of α as resulted from computer-generated **surfaces with added planes. STM plane removal options in the software have been successfully used to remove the tilted plane.12 Therefore, STM data were analyzed after the instrument plane fitting and substracting procedure.6**

Results

STM images of vapor-deposited gold film surfaces resulting from those runs made at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and T_s

⁽¹²⁾ Krim, J.; Hevaert, I.; Haesendock, C.; Bruynseraede, Y. *[Phys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.70.57&citationId=p_18_1)***.** *Rev***.** *[Lett](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.70.57&citationId=p_18_1)***. 1990,** *70***, 57.**

Figure 2. STM images of a vapor-deposited gold film grown at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and $T_s = 298$ K after the film was kept in contact **with 0.5 M H2SO4 for 24 h at 323 K: (a, top) cross section of an image 549** × **549 nm2; (b, bottom) three-dimensional view of the same image.**

 $=$ 298 K (Figure 1a) show rounded top columns with $\langle d_s \rangle$ = **20 nm, branched voids, and small columns which agglomerate in larger rounded elements. The cross sections of these STM images (Figure 1b) correspond to jagged profiles13 due to the height fluctuation of columnar elements.4**-**⁶ These fluctuations arise from shadowing between neighboring growing columns.4 As already reported, small rounded top column surfaces are rather smooth.4**

On the other hand, after immersion in $0.5 M H_2SO_4$ at **323 K for 24 h, the topography of gold films undergoes some changes as columns are aligned following preferred directions (Figure 2a). However, cross sections of these STM images (Figure 2b) show surface profiles very similar** to those recorded before immersion in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 323

K for 24 h. After immersion in $0.5 M H_2SO_4 + 0.01 M KCl$ **at 323 K for 24 h, the rounded shaped contour of columns tends to disappear and geometric crystals dominate the surface topography (Figure 3). This effect become more evident by increasing the KCl concentration in the solution. For instance, after immersion in aqueous 0.5 M H2SO4** + **0.5 M KCl at 323 K for 24 h (Figure 4a), the columnar structure of the gold film is completely suppressed and replaced by aligned geometric crystals following well-defined directions which dominate the surface topography. These crystals are 15**-**20 nm in average size, and their cross section, as derived from STM images (Figure 4b), shows profiles with a smoother texture in relation to those observed for gold films before their immersion in KCl-containing solutions.**

Finally, for gold films prepared at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and *T***s**)**673 K, STM images also show faceted surfaces (Figure (13) Krim, J.; Indekeu, J. O.** *[Phys](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=9960753&crossref=10.1103%2FPhysRevE.48.1576&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3sXmsFOis78%253D&citationId=p_19_1)***.** *Rev***.** *E* **1993,** *48***, 1576. 5a) with a texture smoother (Figure 5b) than that of other**

Figure 3. Three-dimensional STM image of a vapor-deposited gold film grown at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and $T_s = 298$ K after the film **was kept in contact with 0.5 M** $H_2SO_4 + 0.01$ **M KCl for 24 h at 323 K.**

gold films studied in this work. In this case, the crystal size largely exceeds the size of those grown at $T_s = 298$ **K.**

Discussion

The analysis of STM imaging data resulting from gold film surfaces was based on the dynamic scaling method.1,4-**⁶ Accordingly,** *Œ***stm, the root mean square roughness measured by STM on STM scans of length** *L***s, scales as**

$$
\xi_{\rm atm}(L_{\rm s},h) \propto L_{\rm s}^{\alpha} f(x) \tag{1}
$$

where $f(x) = \langle h \rangle / L_s$ ^{*γ*}, $f(x) = \text{constant}$ for $x \to \infty$, and $f(x) = \frac{h}{x}$ $x^{\alpha/\gamma}$ for $x \to 0$, and ξ is defined as

$$
\xi_{\rm atm}(L_{\rm s}) = [(1/N)\sum [h(x_j) - \langle h \rangle]^2]^{1/2}
$$
 (2)

where $h(x_i)$ is the deposit height measured along the *x***-direction at the position** x_i , and $\langle h \rangle$ is the average height **of the sample formed by** *N* **points. After a certain critical time or thickness is reached, eq 1 becomes**

$$
\xi_{\rm atm}(L_{\rm s}) \propto L_{\rm s}^{\alpha} \tag{3}
$$

According to eq 3 the value of α can be obtained from a $\log \xi_{\text{stm}}$ **vs** $\log L_{\text{s}}$ plot. For $T_{\text{s}} = 298$ K, $\log \xi_{\text{stm}}$ **vs** $\log L_{\text{s}}$ **plots exhibit two straight line portions with a crossing point at log** $L_s = 1.7$ and a saturation region close to the **image size (Figure 6a). The slopes of the straight lines** α are α (I) = 0.77 \pm 0.07 for log L_s < 1.7, and α (II) = 0.37 \pm 0.06 for log L_s > 1.7. It should be noted that for **computer-simulated fractals, data covering 4 to 5 orders of magnitude are required for logarithmic fitting, but for experimental systems, this goal is less ambitious due to specific limitations.** Then, $\log \xi_{\text{stm}}$ **vs** $\log L_{\text{s}}$ linear plots **covering at least 1 order of magnitude or thereabouts are considered acceptable from experimental data.**

As already reported,5 the capability of the dynamic scaling method for rough surface analysis was tested for several computer-generated self-affine fractal surfaces

with preset values of α_{th} , built on 200 \times 200 and 256 \times **256 square grids, using the successive random addition** algorithm.¹⁴ A good agreement between α_m , the measured **value of** α , and α _{th} **was found in the 0.2** < α _{th} < 0.6 range, whereas for $\alpha_{\text{th}} > 0.6$, α_{m} became smaller than α_{th} . A **better agreement with the data can be obtained by increasing substantially the number of points in the square** grid, for instance, to 1000×1000 pixels. However, the **200** × **200 grid involves a short STM imaging time minimizing drift effects. Thus, from the compromise between grid size and image drift, it is advisable to select** a small grid to minimize drift effects and use the α_m vs α_{th} plot to make a correction to the α values measured from STM images. Then, after correction $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha$ - $\langle \text{II} \rangle$), the averaged values of α (I) and α (II) resulting from **20 different STM images taken in the 20 nm** < *L***^s** < **550** nm range are $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle = 0.92 \pm 0.07$ and $\langle \alpha(II) \rangle = 0.40 \pm 0.07$ 0.05. Similarly, for gold deposits grown at $T_s = 298$ K and kept in contact with 0.5 M H_2SO_4 at 323 K, the log ξ_{stm} **vs** $\log L_s$ plots yielded after correction $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle = 0.90 \pm 0.05$ and $\langle \alpha (II) \rangle = 0.43 \pm 0.04$.

For gold deposits grown at $T_s = 298$ K after being maintained in contact with $0.5 M H_2SO_4 + 0.01 M KCl$, the log ξ_{stm} vs log L_s plots also yielded two straight lines, although in this case after correction it resulted in $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle$ $= 0.90$ for log $L_s < 1.5$, and $\langle \alpha \text{(II)} \rangle = 0.49 \pm 0.06$ for log L_s > 1.5. This increase in the value of $\langle \alpha \text{(II)} \rangle$ suggests **that smoothening of the original topography has occurred due to the presence of Cl**- **ions in the solution. The effect of these ions as promoters of gold surface smoothening was confirmed by increasing the KCl concentration in the solution.** Thus, for gold deposits grown at $T_s = 298$ K and kept in contact with $0.5 M H_2SO_4 + 0.5 M KCl$ at 323 K for 24 h, the log ξ_{stm} vs log L_s plot exhibits two straight **lines with** $\alpha(I) = 0.74 \pm 0.07$ for log $L_s < 1.7$, and $\alpha(II) \approx$ 0.54 ± 0.05 for $\log L_s > 1.7$ (Figure 6b). In this case, from **the analysis of 20 different STM images covering the 20 nm** < *L***^s** < **550-nm range, after correction, it results in** $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle = 0.88 \pm 0.07$ and $\langle \alpha(II) \rangle = 0.56 \pm 0.05$.

It should be noted that gold deposits grown at 298 K and aged in different electrolyte solutions at 323 K for 24 h exhibit a crossover point in the log ξ_{stm} vs log L_{s} plots covering the 20-nm $\le L_s \le 40$ -nm range, i.e. at L_s values

⁽¹⁴⁾ Voss, R. In *Fundamental Algorithms in Computer Graphics***; Earnshaw, R. A., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1985.**

Figure 4. STM images of a vapor-deposited gold film grown at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and $T_s = 298$ K after the film was kept in contact **with 0.5 M H2SO4** + **0.5 M KCl for 24 h at 323 K: (a, top) three-dimensional image; (b, bottom) cross section of the image shown in part a.**

which are close to the value of d_s . Then, the value $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle$ ≈ 0.9 obtained for $L_s < 20-40$ nm should be assigned to **the smooth surface domains of either columns or geometric** crystals forming the deposits, whereas values of $\langle \alpha (II) \rangle$, **which are always lower than those of** $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle$ for $L_s > 20-40$ **nm, have to be related to height fluctuations involving either columns or crystals.**

The interpretation of the physical origin of the two regions in the log ξ_{stm} vs log $\overline{L_s}$ plots which are revealed **by changing the lateral correlation length, i.e. the microscope resolution, can be supported by scaling computer-simulated surfaces resulting from an ensemble of** columns fluctuating in height with known values of α_s . **The surface of each column is represented by a smooth rounded cusp of diameter** *d***s. For this surface model the** $\log \xi$ vs $\log L$ plots exhibit one or two linear regions depending on the L/d_s ratio. For $L/d_s \gg 1$ only one region with slope α_s is observed. Otherwise, for $L/d_s \leq 20$ two **linear regions with** $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ for $L < d_s$ and $\alpha = \alpha_s$ for $L_s >$ d_s are observed. Finally, for $L/d_s \rightarrow 1$ only the region with $\alpha \approx 1$ results from the log ξ vs log *L* plots. Note that α = **1 is consistent with a smooth rounded cusp surface. Thus, from these simulations it can be concluded that the** two regions in the $\log \xi$ vs $\log L$ plots correspond to truly **different scaling ranges.**

Figure 5. STM images of a vapor-deposited gold film grown at $v = 0.1$ nm s⁻¹ and $T_s = 673$ K: (a, top) three-dimensional image; **(b, bottom) cross section of the image shown in part a.**

On the other hand, for gold deposits grown at $v = 0.1$ nm s^{-1} and $T_s = 673$ K, i.e. those films which exhibit a **predominantly faceted topography, the log** ξ_{stm} **vs log** L_{s} plots (Figure 6c) lead to a single straight line with $\alpha(\vec{l}) \approx$ 0.76 ± 0.07 and a small saturation region which appears **when** *L***^s is close to the STM image size. Then, from the** analysis of 20 STM images for $T_s = 673$ K, in the 20-nm $< L_s$ < 550-nm range, after correction, it results in $\langle \alpha(I) \rangle$ $= 0.93 \pm 0.07.$

The preceding $\langle \alpha \rangle$ values were confirmed by using *G***(***L***s,***t***), the height-to-height correlation function, resulting from the analysis of STM images.1 In this case, the** following equations were used to evaluate α :

$$
G(Ls) = [1/N \sum [h(x1) - h(x0)]]2
$$
 (4)

and

$$
G(L) \propto L_{\rm s}^{2\alpha} \tag{5}
$$

 $\text{Again}, \langle \alpha \rangle$ values derived in this way are in good agreement

with those obtained by the dynamic scaling (Table 1).

Corrected values of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ resulting at different T_s and **solution composition are assembled in Table 1. These results suggest a change in the surface characteristics of vapor-deposited gold films from fractal to nonfractal, which depends on the mobility of gold atoms at the surface. It is well-known that surface mobility of metal atoms can be** enhanced either by increasing T_s or by the presence of **adsorbates.15 In aqueous H2SO4 solutions at 323 K, the surface mobility of gold atoms is relatively small,16 and accordingly, the surface characteristics of vapor-deposited gold films remain close to those observed before aging the film in the electrolyte solution, at least for the interval of time covered by this work.**

On the other hand, the addition of KCl to aqueous H2SO4 solutions at 323 K leads to an increase in the value of α . **This means that in the KCl-containing solution the gold film surface smoothness is greater than that for those**

⁽¹⁵⁾ Bonzel, H. P. In *Surface Physics of Materials***; Blakely, J. M., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1975; p 280.**

⁽¹⁶⁾ Trevor, D.; Chidsey, C. E. D.; Loiacono, D. N. *Phys***.** *Rev***.** *Lett***. 1988,** *62***, 1384.**

Figure 6. log ξ_{STM} vs log L_s plot from gold films grown at $v =$ **0.1** nm s⁻¹: (a, top) $T_s = 298$ K; (b, middle) $T_s = 298$ K after the film was kept in contact with $0.5 M H_2SO_4 + 0.5 M KCl$ for **24 h at 323 K;** (c, bottom) $T_s = 673$ K.

films without aging, or after aging in plain aqueous H_2SO_4

solution. This fact agrees with previously reported observations17 that small amounts of Cl- **ions in acid solution are able to eliminate surface roughness at metal surfaces.17**

The values $\alpha(II) \approx 0.43$ and $\alpha(II) \approx 0.56$ obtained in KCl-free and 0.5 M KCl-containing H₂SO₄ aqueous solution, respectively, lie between $\alpha(\text{II}) \approx 0.4$ and $\alpha \approx 0.90$ **obtained at the low and high***T***^s values. These data suggest that the surface diffusion of gold atoms partially removes surface irregularities for lengths greater than** *d***s, depending on the surface mobility induced by anion adsorption. This explanation is basically supported by the increase in** *D***s, the surface diffusion coefficient of gold atoms, by the presence of Cl⁻ ions** in the solution. Thus, D_s changes **from 10⁻¹⁴ cm² s**⁻¹ **in 0.5** M H₂SO₄ to 10⁻¹¹ cm² **s**⁻¹ **in H2SO4** + **0.05 M KCl.16**

Values of α (II) shown in Table 1 indicate a continuous $variation of α describing the roughness decay which leads$ to a faceted surface with $\alpha = 0.90$. However, for $t \rightarrow \infty$, **the enhanced gold surface atom mobility assisted either by increasing** *T***^s or by anion adsorption would tend to** produce a completely smooth surface $(\alpha = 0)$. Thus, the **observed** values of $\alpha(II)$ and $\alpha(I) \approx 0.90$ would reflect **transient regimes of the surface, and therefore, a crossover** to the Edwards-Wilkinson scaling¹⁸ ($\alpha = 0$) would be **expected.**

Conclusions

Results shown in this work provide a quantitative approach to the role of gold surface atom diffusion in the change of fractal properties of gold film surfaces. Accordingly, the disordered structure produced by vapor deposition at $T_s = 298$ K and characterized by $\alpha \approx 0.4$ **tends to disappear either by the presence of adsorbable anions from the electrolyte solution or by increasing the substrate temperature leading to better-ordered surface structures. The extent of surface rearrangement in aqueous solutions depends on the strength of anion adsorption and anion concentration in the electrolyte.**

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas of Argentina (CONICET) and by the **scientific cooperation agreement between CONICET and CSIC, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientı´ficas of Spain. M.E.V. is a member of the Research Career of CIC (Bs As).**

LA9406923

⁽¹⁷⁾ Garcı´a, P.; Go´mez, M. M.; Salvarezza, R. C.; Arvia, A. J. *[J](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1016%2F0022-0728%2893%2980091-U&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3sXit1WrsLY%253D&citationId=p_28_1)***.** *[Electroanal](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1016%2F0022-0728%2893%2980091-U&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADyaK3sXit1WrsLY%253D&citationId=p_28_1)***.** *Chem***. 1993,** *347***, 237. (18) Edwards, S. F.; Wilkinson, D. R.** *Proc***.** *R***.** *Soc***.** *[London](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1098%2Frspa.1982.0056&citationId=p_29_1)***,** *A* **1982,**

*³⁸¹***, 17.**