Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business Vol. 23, No. 2 (May-August 2021): 173-192



# Construction and Validation of Indian Consumer Ethnocentrism Scale: The Ice-Scale

Riddhish N. Joshi<sup>\*\*</sup>, Yogesh C. Joshi<sup>\*</sup> "S. R. Luthra Institute of Management, India <sup>b</sup>GH Patel PG Institute of Business Management, Sardar Patel University, India

Abstract: Globalization has unlocked and exposed domestic and overseas marketers to superior market opportunities. Additionally, it presents consumers worldwide with a vast number of local and foreign brand choices. Indian consumers, also now, have a broader choice of merchandise at their disposal as economic liberalization and privatization along with globalization have reduced the barriers to trade. In such a setting, the concept of consumer ethnocentrism can deliver deep insights about the preference of consumers toward domestic merchandise. The study aims to develop the Indian Consumer Ethnocentrism Scale (ICE-Scale) and validate the same. Data are collected using a structured questionnaire from urban and rural regions. The study constructed the Indian Consumer Ethnocentrism Scale (ICE-Scale), explored its dimensionality on a sample of 450 respondents, and validated the scale with another sample of 450 using exploratory factor analysis. It was established that the ICE-Scale encompasses two dimensions, namely, protective ethnocentrism and patriotic ethnocentrism. Additionally, the validity testing for the proposed scale and both the constructs were conducted through confirmatory factor analysis. The study advances the existing pool of information in the field of international economics, consumer psychology and global marketing. It also assists domestic producers to get an improved understanding of consumers' ethnocentric tendencies which in turn would assist them in choosing superior marketing strategies and boosting their sales. The study will not only deliver certain social and policymaking insights to the domestic firms and government but also to the foreign firms functioning or planning to operate in India.

Keywords: Consumer ethnocentrism, CETSCALE, Domestic, Globalization, India

JEL Classification: M31, F1, F10

\*Corresponding author's e-mail: riddhish2@gmail.com ISSN: PRINT 1411-1128 | ONLINE 2338-7238 http://journal.ugm.ac.id/gamaijb

#### Introduction

There are enormous challenges and opportunities for companies in the international market due to globalization and economic liberalization. The consumer has more foreign product choices due to the relaxation in trade policies than ever before (Bretos and Marcuello, 2017). In today's increasingly crowded marketplaces, it has become essential for firms to be ahead in the competition by assessing consumers' buying inspirations and making increasingly closer relationships with them, especially in countries that are substantially dependent on imported merchandise (Tsai et al., 2013). Consumers' perceptions and attitudes toward domestic and overseas products affect their buying motivation a lot (Prendergast et al., 2010; Barrett et al., 2020) and behavioral intention has also been found to be one of the significant predictors of behavior (Chatterjee et al., 2018).

The foreign products have not only provided competition to domestic goods but have also afforded consumers a platform for comparison and choice. Local manufacturers have had to deal with the influx of foreign-based products, which have a price, quality, and perception advantage over local ones. Due to this, consumers being faced with an increased choice of domestic and imported products have to decide. According to the various studies conducted globally, overseas and domestic brands are often regarded as the conflicting side of consumers' buying preferences. The situation places modern consumers in a dilemma. They may choose to support local manufacturers by buying locally made goods or intend to buy global brands as they appreciate the superior quality and image. Due to globalization, local and global products are in severe competition. Many a time, global products win the race. However, in many cases, local products provide tough competition to these global brands.

As a growing country with enormous market potential, India has grabbed the attention of numerous global businesses from all over the world (Kumar, 2009). People of India are provided with more foreign-made product choices than they have ever experienced previously because of the economic liberalization restructuring after 1991 and the USA, China, Switzerland, Saudi Arabia, and the UAE being the chief trading associates of India for the last few years (Narang, 2016). Noteworthy changes in the tastes and preferences of the Indian consumers were witnessed after 1991, the era after economic liberalization (Gupta, 2011; Bhardwaj et al., 2010; Ghazal Masarrat and Varghese, 2020). Liberalization and globalization allowed a greater level of exposure to foreign nations and media among Indian consumers, which carried western culture to India and caused a shift in lifestyles (Batra et al., 2000; Bhardwaj et al., 2010). On the other hand, contrary to the trend of globalization since 2008, there are signs of increasing nationalism due to the economic crisis (Sharma, 2019) still in India, consumers are open to buying foreign products as far as it is readily available (Joshi and Joshi, 2018).

It is manifestly visible from India's international trade numbers that the consumption of imported non-oil goods has increased a lot from 2004 to 2005, which started contributing negatively to the trade balance and it reached a level in 2015 to 2016 where the deficit of non-oil goods crossed the deficit of oil products which in turn signified that the consumption of imported goods had increased a lot in India and it created threat to the indigenous manufacturers (Joshi and Joshi, 2017). Moreover, Indian consumers differentiate and discern luxury according to the global image of the brand. Indian consumers are obsessed with foreign brands. Western brands generate symbolic representation in Indian societies, so Indian consumers show a preference for western brands. It is an eminent fact that though the quality of many Indian companies is far better, their Indian names make them lose out on many potential customers. That is why many indigenous manufacturers select brand names that can easily hide their Indian origin and be accepted by many people in India. Some brands that have successfully done this are, Hidesign, Allen Solly, Da Milano, Monte Carlo, Franco Leone, Munich Polo, La Opala, Peter England, Flying Machine, Louis Philippe, American Swan, Royal Enfield etc.

In such a scenario, it is imperative to recognize the inclination for merchandise made in-country over that made abroad. The majority of the earlier research studied this tendency in consumers with the notion of a consumer ethnocentrism tendency.

For the first time, the notion of consumer ethnocentrism in marketing literature was presented by Shimp (1984). According to Shimp (1984), parallel to the cultural outlooks and religious philosophy throughout the chief epoch of initial childhood's socialization process, numerous parties which include peers, the mass media and opinion leaders over and above the family unit convey to the child with the idea of belongingness and identity which influence the ethnocentric orientation of the child. Shimp (1984) further added that people carry this orientation with minor changes into adulthood and it affects the decision making of the individual in all roles including the role of a consumer. Shimp and Sharma (1987) portrayed that the idea of customer ethnocentrism is assessed

#### R. Joshi and Y. Joshi

to be a piece of an intricate and multi-layered consumer paradigm containing cognitive, affective, and normative angles toward foreign goods. Consumer ethnocentrism is intended to discover normative-based dogmas, as an element of the typical consumer's orientation toward foreign goods, that purchasing domestic goods is in some way noble for the country, whereas buying foreign goods is damaging to the economy of the country and one's fellow countrymen and is unpatriotic (Correa Cardona, 2015).

In the latter 1980s, Shimp and Sharma (1987) prolonged the discourse about ethnocentrism by investigating whether ethnocentric propensities stimulated individual purchase behavior. They outlined it as convictions held by consumers concerning the appropriateness and morality of buying overseas merchandise. Shimp and Sharma (1987) established a 17-item scale entitled the CETSCALE (Consumer Ethnocentrism Tendencies Scale) to measure the construct of consumer ethnocentrism. As measured by the CETSCALE (Shimp and Sharma, 1987), people high in consumer ethnocentrism favor buying indigenous rather than overseas goods and perceive domestic merchandise as superior to those manufactured in other nations. Ethnocentric customers are found to overestimate products from their domestic country over foreign-country products (Balabanis et al., 2002; Klein et al., 1998; Kumar et al., 2013; De Nisco, Massi and Papadopoulos, 2020; Mahbub, 2020), and it would be difficult for a marketer to persuade and satisfy ethnocentric customers (Shimp and Sharma, 1987).

The creators of the scale, Shimp and Sharma (1987), have advised researchers to develop and validate the scale in other cultures/countries before applying the same. It is important to be familiar with the influence of consumer ethnocentrism because subliminally, it directs and translates the scale in other languages before using it. Many researchers across the world, including India, have checked the dimensionality and validity of the CETSCALE but it has revealed mixed results. Moreover, consumer's ethnocentrism tendency is likely to vary over a period of time (Makanyeza and Du Toit, 2016) so it is crucial not only to measure the ethnocentrism tendency but also to develop an improved scale specifically for India. No researcher earlier has attempted to develop a specific consumer ethnocentrism scale for India. This study aims to construct and validate the Indian Consumer Ethnocentrism Scale and check the same for validity testing empirically which will help to discern the tendency of Indian consumers towards indigenous products. The paper further covers a review of the literature, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion, limitations, and future scope for research.

### **Review of the Literature**

In the field of international marketing and consumer behavior, the outlook of the consumers toward domestic and foreign products has been interest for several years (Okechuku and Onyemah, 1999; Watson and Wright, 2000; Kaynak and Kara 2002; Solomon et al., 2012; Steenkamp, 2020). In the absence of the concept of consumer ethnocentrism, consumer's tendencies toward foreign products were studied indirectly in the field of consumer behavior by examining topics such as product bias (Schooler and Wildt, 1968), bias phenomena (Schooler, 1971), assessing foreign products (Anderson and Cunningham, 1972), consumers' attitude toward products made in developing countries (Gaedeke, 1973), cognitive consumer behavior (Markin, 1974), advertising strategies for foreign products (Etzel and Walker, 1974), consumers' attitudes toward imports (Bannister and Saunders, 1978).

At a global level, the assessment of the CETSCALE has been conducted by various researchers. Teo et al., (2011) in their study provided literature on the findings of CETS-CALE's validation in countries like the United States (Durvasula et al., 1997; Shimp and Sharma, 1987), Poland and Russia (Good and Huddleston, 1995), Malta (Caruana, 1996), the United States and Sweden (Hult et al., 1999), Belgium, Great Britain, and Greece (Steenkamp and Baumgartner, 1998), Turkey (Balabanis et al., 2001), and Germany (Evanschitzky et al., 2008). CETSCALE was unidimensional in studies conducted in Russia, Spain, the United States, Japan, Germany, and France (Bawa, 2004). The fit results were

Sr. No.	Author(s) & Year	Country	Unidimen- sional?	No of Dimensions
1	Shimp & Sharma (1987)	USA	Yes	
2	Netemeyer, Durvasula & Lichtenstein (1991)	USA	Yes	
		France	Yes	
		West Germany	Yes	
		Japan	Yes	
		Sweden	Yes	
3	Caruana(1996)	Malta	Yes	

Table 1: CETSCALE dimensionality in various countries

4	Marcoux, Filiatrault & Cheron (1997)	Poland	No	3
5	Durvasula, Andrews & Netemeyer (1997)	USA	Yes	
		Russia	Yes	
6	Mavondo & Tan (1999)	Malaysia	No	3
		Hong Kong	No	4
7	Hult, Keillor & Lafferty (1999)	USA	Yes	
		Sweden	Yes	
		Japan	Yes	
8	Bandyopadhyay & Muhammad (1999)	India	Yes	
		Bangladesh	Yes	
9	Lindquist, Vida & Fairhurst (2001)	Czech Republic	No	2
		Poland	No	2
		Hungary	No	2
10	Supphellen & Rittenburg (2001)	Poland	Yes	
11	Julie & Albaun (2002)	Hong Kong	No	2
12	Douglas & Nijssen (2003)	Holland	No	2
13	Acharya & Elliot (2003)	Australia	No	2
14	Bawa (2004)	India	No	4 and 3
15	Saffu & Walker (2005)	Russia	No	2
16	Upadhyay & Singh (2006)	India	No	4
17	Chryssochoidis, Krystallis & Perreas (2007)	Greece	No	2
18	Hsu & Nien (2008)	China	No	2
19	Khan & Rizvi (2008)	India	No	4
20	Wei, Wright, Wang & Yu (2009)	China	No	2
21	Teo, Mohamad & Ramayah (2011)	Malaysia	No	2
22	Singh & Dhiman (2012)	India	No	4
23	Strehlau, Ponchio & Loebel (2012)	Brazil	No	3
24	Wanninayake & Chovancova (2012)	Czech Republic	No	4
25	Jiménez-Guerrero, Gázquez-Abad & del Carmen Linares-Agüera (2014)	Germany	No	2
26	Cazacu (2016)	Moldova	No	4
27	ABD Ghani and Mat (2017)	Malaysia	No	2
		6 1 1177 11		1 1 1

found to be acceptable in studies conducted by Lindquist, Vida, Plank and Fairhurst (2001) and Saffu and Walker (2005). However, the validity of unidimensionality was achieved in Canada but not in Russia.

Conversely, CETSCALE was found to be multidimensional in Malaysia (Teo et al., 2011) and the Netherlands (Douglas and Nijsen, 2002). The study in Ghana by Saffu and Walker (2006) found the scale to be reliable but not unidimensional. The predictive validity of the scale seemed reliable (Lindquist et al., 2001) in specific areas such as attitude toward domestic versus imported products (Shimp and Sharma, 1987), purchase intention for clothing items (Good and Huddleston, 1995), actual purchase behavior across various product categories (Vida and Fairhurst, 1999), and preference for domestic Polish products versus Western-made products (Marcoux et al., 1997). Balabanis and Diamantopoulos (2004) and Chryssochoidis et al., (2007) in their studies on the western world, highlighted that the level was found to be important in influencing consumers' ethnocentrism. Some studies on the countries with significant macroeconomic and political changes have found mixed results on the validity and unidimensionality of the CETS-CALE (Durvasula et al., 1997; Netemeyer et al., 1991; Saffu and Walker, 2005; Witkowski, 1998). Within the same country also, results have differed for the dimensionality of the scale. In China, Klein et al., (2006) found the scale was one-dimensional while it was found to be two-dimensional in the study conducted by Hsu and Nien (2008). In Russia, Klein et al., (2006) found the scale was one-dimensional while Saffu and Walker (2005) found it was bi-dimensional. In India, the scale was unidimensional in a study conducted by Bawa (2004) while it was four-dimensional in the study conducted by Upadhyay and Singh (2006).

The majority of the above studies lack an Indian context (Durvasula et al., 1997; Good and Huddleston, 1995; Steenkamp and Baumgartner, 1998; Hult et al., 1999; Douglas and Nijsen 2002; Saffu and Walker, 2005; Saffu and Walker, 2006; Teo et al., 2011) and the Indian contextual studies either used revised scale (Sharma, 2015) or only focused on the urban consumer (Bawa, 2004; Upadhyay and Singh, 2006) or obtained data only from a sample comprised of students (Upadhyay and Singh, 2006). Two out of three samples set in the study conducted by Bawa (2004) were also students. No earlier study has incorporated a sample with a diverse background and more importantly included rural samples. This paper constructs and validates the scale for measuring the consumer ethnocentrism tendency in the Indian context and the scale is referred to as the Indian Consumer Ethnocentrism scale (ICE-Scale).

### Methodology

Scale construction and validation procedures are given by various researchers (Churchill, 1979; Hinkin, 1995; Hinkin, et al., 1997; Khambhati et al., 2020; Khoa and Nguyen, 2020) and accordingly, three phases i.e. scale construction, scale validation and validity testing of the proposed scale are implemented in the present study.

# Scale construction The Scale's construction phase involved four stages.

### Statement generation stage:

This stage was exploratory and involved selecting items from the pool of studies. As far as consumer ethnocentrism is concerned, the most widely accepted scale is CETS-CALE, developed by Shimp and Sharm (1987). They define 'consumer ethnocentrism' (CE) as "the beliefs held by American consumers about the appropriateness, indeed morality, of purchasing foreign-made products". Consumers with high ethnocentric tendencies generally avoid buying overseas merchandise and may even suggest others do the same. Explicitly, most items in the CET-SCALE either recommend what American consumers should do or elucidate what is wrong with buying foreign products or recommend what should be done about foreign products. Since then, consumer ethnocentrism has become a standard and widespread construct with various studies using the CETSCALE and its several versions (with

the same or reduced number of items), to show that consumer ethnocentrism has hurt the assessment of foreign products and had a constructive effect on the preference for domestically made products. The ICE-Scale attempts to study the tendencies of Indian consumers with regard to the aptness, indeed morality, of buying overseas products similar to the CETSCALE. The Items to study consumers' ethnocentrism tendencies in rural and urban India were absorbed from an existing pool of studies (Shimp and Sharm, 1987; Bawa, 2004; Upadhyay and Singh, 2006).

#### Statement composition stage:

The statement generation stage resulted in the rough draft of the questionnaire which contains 17 statements that comprised the ICE-Scale to study the consumer ethnocentrism tendency of Indian consumers by using a seven-point Likert scale. As compared to other alternatives, a seven-point Likert scale performs better (Joshi, Kale, Chandel & Pal, 2015). It provides more options, increasing the chances of capturing the objective reality of the respondents (Chang, 1994). It is considered suitable to cautiously revise and reproduce these scales according to the physiognomies of Indian consumers as these scales were originally designed for other cultural contexts. For semi-structured feedback, two experts in international business and two marketing professors were administered the items to evaluate the content and assess each item for its specificity, representativeness, and precision. The instrument comprised two parts. The first part contained the 17 items of the ICE-Scale as shown in Table 2 and the second part included demographic questions

No.	Items
ICE1	Indian people should always buy Indian made products instead of imports.
ICE2	Only those products that are unavailable in India should be imported.
ICE3	Buy Indian made products. Keep India working.
ICE4	Indian products, first, last, and foremost.
ICE5	Purchasing foreign-made products is un-Indian.
ICE6	It is not right to purchase foreign products, because it puts Indians out of jobs.
ICE7	A real Indian should always buy Indian made products.
ICE8	We should purchase products manufactured in India instead of letting other countries get rich off us.
ICE9	It is always best to purchase Indian products.
ICE10	There should be very little trading or purchasing of goods from other countries unless out of necessity.
ICE11	Indians should not buy foreign products, because this hurts Indian businesses and causes unemployment.
ICE12	Restrictions should be put on all imports.
ICE13	It may cost me in the long-run but I prefer to support Indian products.
ICE14	Foreigners should not be allowed to put their products on our markets.
ICE15	Foreign products should be taxed heavily to reduce their entry into India.
ICE16	We should buy from foreign countries only those products that we cannot obtain within our own country.
ICE17	Indian consumers who purchase products made in other countries are responsible for putting their fellow Indians out of work.

Table 2: The ICE-Scale Items

about gender, age, occupation, education, income, and residence locality. These items were used to describe both the construction sample and the validation sample (refer to Table 5).

## Statement evaluation stage: Sample and measurement tool

A descriptive research design has been used. Data were collected through a structured questionnaire using a non-probability convenience sampling technique. The ques(1979) recommended gathering two sets of data to assess the scale empirically – one set of data to explore the measurement and the second set to test for validity and reliability. A total of 900 valid responses were split into two, 450 responses each, randomly for scale construction, and scale validation, respectively, and were referred to as a construction sample and a validation sample.

#### Scale development stage:

Factor analysis is the most commonly used analytic technique for data reduction

Table 3: Past studies with sample size						
Author and Year	Sample Size	Author and Year	Sample Size			
Durvasula et al., (1997)	204	John and Brady (2011)	273			
Supphellen and Rittenburg, (2001)	218	Nadimi et al., (2012)	328			
Bawa (2004)	336	Plank and Lindquist (2015)	276			
Upadhyay and Singh (2006)	164	Makanyeza and Du Toit (2016)	305			
Saffu and Walker (2006)	233					

tionnaire was translated into Gujarati (the local language) by a Gujarati journalist fluent in both languages and back-translated into English by another journalist who is also fluent in both languages. Both questionnaires were supplied to a professor of English literature with excellent proficiency in the Gujarati language to judge the meanings' compatibility. Post the three rounds of corrections, the final Gujarati questionnaire was considered to adequately represent the English version on which it was based. The data were collected by contacting respondents personally and through online mode from 900 adult Indian consumers from the state of Gujarat located in the western part of India. During the sample's selection process, the existing four geographical zones of Gujarat i.e. North Gujarat, Central Gujarat, Saurashtra, and South Gujarat and samples from the urban and rural populations from each zone were taken. While constructing a new scale, Churchill and refining constructs (Ford et al., 1986). It provides some evidence of the initial validity of measurement items (Grover; 1993; Rauschnabel et al., 2019). An exploratory factor analysis was carried out to identify the basic factor structure. The retention rules incorporated were Kaiser's criterion of eigenvalue greater than 1.0, item communalities, item loadings above 0.5, and no cross-loadings (Hair et al., 2006). Cronbach's alpha, the corrected item to total correlations, and alpha when an item was deleted were used to evaluate the reliability of each sub-construct of the ICE-Scale. Cronbach's alpha values for each dimension of the ICE-Scale should be above the acceptable level of 0.7 (Hair et al., 2006). Moreover, the deletion of items from the scale should not improve the value of the alpha coefficient. The item can be said to be consistent with all other subscale items if its corrected item to total correlation is greater than the acceptable level of 0.3 (Field, 2005).

Characteristics		Construction sample (%)	Validation sample (%)
Caradan	Male	50.9	57.3
Gender	Female	49.1	42.7
	Minimum	18	18
Age (in years)	Average	31.36	32.34
	Maximum	68	74
	HSC or below	13.8	15.3
	Diploma or Undergraduate	17.1	15.1
Highest Educational Qualification	Graduate	20.7	38.4
	Post Graduate	45.8	29.3
	Doctorate	2.7	1.8
	Student	24.4	33.1
	Unemployed	6	2.9
	Salaried	33.3	27.6
Present Occupation	Self-employed	26.9	29.6
	Homemaker	6.2	3.8
	Retired	3.1	3.1
	Below ₹ 250,000	24.4	31.8
	₹ 250,000 - ₹ 500,000	38	39.6
Annual Family Income	₹ 500,001 - ₹ 1,000,000	27.8	19.3
	Above ₹ 1,000,000	9.8	9.3
Current Place of Residence	Urban	50	51.1
	Rural	50	48.9

Table 4: Summary of Respondents' Demographic Information

## Procedure for scale validation

For scale validation, the other sample of 450 respondents were considered. Certain criteria for the scale validation were considered. First, the factor loadings of the two samples should show a similar pattern (Cooper et al., 1992; Cooper, 1994); second, the coefficient alpha analysis should bring the same result for the two samples (Kim et al., 1999); and third, the percentage of variance explained by various factors should be similar in the two samples (Aagja and Garg, 2010).

### Validity testing of the proposed scale

Although EFA delivers preliminary results for each construct's factor structure, the analysis is inadequate to irrefutably establish the appropriate dimensionality of the measures. Hence, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was executed to assess the overall goodness-of-fit of all the constructs to determine the validity of the measures (Panuwatwanich et al., 2008; Byrne, 2013). The CFA was conducted to assess construct validity, convergent validity and unidimensionality, all critical elements in the measurement theory (Gerbing and Anderson, 1988; Hair et al., 2010).

### **Results and Discussion**

Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) on the construction sample

An exploratory factor analysis using principle component analysis as the method of extraction and Varimax as the method of rotation was performed on the construction sample of 450 respondents to ascertain the basic factor structure as mentioned in Table 5. To assess the factorability of the data and ensure sampling adequacy, Bartlett's test structs of the ICE-Scale, it was established that the Cronbach's alpha values of each dimension of the ICE-Scale were exceptional as the values were above 0.90 (refer Table 7). The lowest value of an item to the total correlations of the items was 0.705 and 0.654, respectively, much higher than the acceptable level of 0.3, indicating the items were

	, 0					
		Constructio	on Sample	Validati	on Sample	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin I pling Adequacy.	Measure of Sam-		0.957		0.958	
Bartlett's Test of	Chi-Square		5833.240		5886.098	
Sphericity	Df		136		136	
	Sig.		0.000		0.000	
		Factor1	Factor2	Factor1	Factor2	
Eigenvalue		9.720	1.696	9.889	1.547	
Variance Explained (	%)	34.813	32.341	34.674	32.599	
Cumulative Variance	Explained (%)	34.813	67.154	34.674	67.272	
No. of Items		8	9	8	9	

Table 5: Underlying factor structure - construction sample and validation sample

of sphericity and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy were applied. The KMO value of the variables was 0.957, which specified sampling adequacy such that the values in the matrix were sufficiently distributed to perform factor analysis (George and Mallery, 2016). The value attained by Bartlett's test of sphericity was highly significant at p < 0.001 level, signifying that the data were approximately multivariate normal (George and Mallery, 2016; Pallant, 2013).

Two factors were extracted (refer to Table 6) to study the consumer ethnocentrism tendencies of Indian consumers. This two-factor solution illustrated 67.154 percent of the total variance.

As presented in Table 6, the factor loadings and item communalities of all 17 variables were well above the 0.50 cut-off. On assessing the reliability of the two sub-conconsistent with the rest in each subscale. Besides, no subscales' alpha coefficients were improved by deleting items in each subscale. Thus, all 17 items were retained for the next of the analysis. Component 1 encompassing eight variables was chiefly concerned with safeguarding and shielding India from foreign products and businesses so it was titled "Protective Ethnocentrism". Component 2 containing nine variables emphasized favoring and prioritizing domestic products and business so it was labeled "Patriotic Ethnocentrism".

# Exploratory factor analysis (EEA) on the validation sample:

An exploratory factor analysis using principle component analysis as the method of extraction and varimax as the method of rotation was again carried out to identify the underlying factor structure of the validation

R. Joshi and Y. Joshi

Item	m Construction Sample Commu- Validation Sample Factor Loading nalities Factor Loading		-	Commu- nalities		
Factor1: Protective Ethnocentrism	Factor1	Factor2		Factor1	Factor2	
ICE14	0.832		0.769	0.801		0.731
ICE6	0.830		0.761	0.770		0.718
ICE5	0.827		0.709	0.826		0.704
ICE12	0.780		0.680	0.816		0.709
ICE17	0.768		0.696	0.777		0.701
ICE7	0.727		0.701	0.682		0.708
ICE11	0.711		0.714	0.682		0.716
ICE15	0.671		0.596	0.682		0.649
Factor 2: Patriotic Ethnocentrism						
ICE3		0.868	0.753		0.870	0.758
ICE4		0.752	0.662		0.727	0.653
ICE2		0.709	0.564		0.676	0.534
ICE1		0.703	0.572		0.744	0.615
ICE9		0.698	0.681		0.647	0.657
ICE10		0.694	0.689		0.715	0.646
ICE13		0.669	0.614		0.632	0.653
ICE8		0.667	0.653		0.693	0.707
ICE16		0.666	0.601		0.623	0.576

Table ( Campanian	- CEEA Lateras		-1	1 .
Table 6: Comparison	OI EFA Detween	construction sam	iple and validation	i sample

sample (refer Table 4). The results reflected a similar pattern in all the cases and two factors containing the same variables were extracted

sample and validation sample, as mentioned in Table 5, was very well supported to fulfill the criteria.

Table 7: Comparative coefficient alpha scores					
Coefficient alpha scores					
Construction sample	Validation sample				
0.937	0.936				
0.924	0.924				
	Coefficient alpha score Construction sample 0.937				

hence the first criteria were satisfied (refer Table 5).

The comparative score of the coefficient of alpha as reported in Table 7 indicated no change in alpha score for both the constructs and establishes excellent reliability for both the constructs. This satisfied the second criterion. The third criterion focused on the comparison of eigenvalue and percentage of variance explained between the construction

# Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) on the validation sample

As the consumer ethnocentrism items had been identified from the existing literature, the selection of the construct was reasonably validated and ensured the face validity of the scale. Additionally, the content was validated by two experts involved in international business and two marketing professors.

Table 8: Summary of Fit Indices						
Category	Indicator	Acceptable level of fit	Protective Ethno- centrism	Patriotic Ethno- centrism		
	GFI	>0.95	0.993	0.982		
Absolute fit indices	AGFI	>0.95	0.973	0.949		
Absolute fit indices	RMSEA	< 0.08	0.028	0.056		
	SRMR	< 0.08	0.013	0.021		
	IFI	>0.95	0.999	0.991		
	NFI	>0.95	0.995	0.985		
Incremental fit indices	CFI	>0.95	0.999	0.991		
	TLI	>0.95	0.996	0.979		

Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business - May-August Vol. 23, No. 2, 2021

The EFA executed on the validation sample, presented above, provided valuable insights into the dimensionality and discriminant validity of the latent variables of the measurement scales underpinning the ICE-Scale.

The outcomes were then confirmed using CFA to deliver a foundation for the subsequent assessment of the model and any fine-tuning. The CFA results were used to determine whether the model had acceptable levels of fit, convergent validity, reliability, and unidimensionality (O'Leary-Kelly and Vokurka, 1998; Fabrigar et al., 1999; Bagozzi and Yi, 1988; Hair et al., 1998). So CFA was carried out for both the constructs. The CMIN/DF is 1.356 (13.557/10) and 2.405 (38.477/16) for both constructs. As exhibited in Table 8, the values of various fit indices like goodness-of-fit index (GFI), adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI), incremental-fit index (IFI), normed fit index (NFI), comparative fit index (CFI) and Tucker Lewis index (TLI) are above the threshold value of 0.95. The value of the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) are below the threshold value of 0.08. The above result confirms the unidiemsionality of the constructs.

After executing confirmatory factor analysis for each construct, CFA was further

conducted on both the constructs together to test the model fit. The value of CMIN/ DF=3.250 (308.716/95) is acceptable. The values of GFI=0.924, AGFI=0.878, IFI=0.964, NFI=0.948, CFI=0.963, TLI=0.948, RM-SEA=0.071, and SRMR=0.044 all met the threshold values (Hair et al., 2015) and signified the model fit of the overall bi-dimensional model.

The value of the standardized factor loading can determine the convergent validity. Hair et al., (2010) recommended that a standard value of the substantial magnitude of the factor loading should be greater than 0.50. As per Table 9, it is evident that the factor loading for each item was above 0.50 which indicated convergent validity.

The reliability of the model was assessed through composite reliability (CR) and average variance extracted (AVE). Bagozzi and Yi (1988) suggested 0.60 and 0.50 as the minimum values for CR and AVE. Table 9 summarizes the values of CR as 0.935 and 0.912 for both the constructs respectively representing that these factors have sufficient internal consistency and were adequate in their representation of the construct. The AVE value of both the constructs was 0.644 and 0.539 respectively specifying that more variance was captured by the variables within each factor, and more variance was shared in

R. Joshi and Y. Joshi

Construct	Item	Validation Sample Fac- tor Loading	Composite Reliability (CR)	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	Square root of AVE	MSV	Correlation
	ICE14	0.799					
	ICE6	0.830					
	ICE5	0.734			0.804	0.619	
Protective Ethno-	ICE12	0.767	0.025	0.644			
centrism	ICE17	0.783	0.935	0.644			
	ICE7	0.869					
	ICE11	0.831					
	ICE15	0.802					
	ICE3	0.584					0.787
	ICE4	0.752					
	ICE2	0.616					
	ICE1	0.681					
Patriotic Ethno- centrism	ICE9	0.811	0.912	0.539	0.732	0.619	
Centrisin	ICE10	0.754					
	ICE13	0.797					
	ICE8	0.837					
	ICE16	0.731					

Table 9: Reliability, Convergent and Discriminant validity of bi-dimensional ICE-Scale

the factor than with the other factor. Discriminant validity was reflected through multiple values. First of all, the correlation coefficient between both the constructs was less than 0.85, confirming that both were different constructs. The absolute value of the correlation (0.787) between two constructs was less than the square root of the AVE for protective ethnocentrism (0.804) specifying the discriminant validity. The value of AVE of protective ethnocentrism was more than the value of MSV but the value of AVE of patriotic ethnocentrism was less than the value of MSV which showed the mixed results for discriminant validity. Moreover, the correlation between the two variables was less than 0.90 which supported the result of discriminant validity. Overall, the bi-dimensional structure of ICE-Scale represented a good model fit, reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity.

#### Conclusions

Many researchers across the world have carried out research related to consumer ethnocentrism in various parts of the globe. The notion of consumer ethnocentrism has been considered a very useful tool to have an enhanced understanding of consumers' thought processes while choosing domestic or overseas products. It also helps in getting an idea about their judgements, biases and priorities. It has improved the understanding of how consumers compare national products with foreign products and how and why their judgments may be subject to various forms of bias and error. The study adds to the knowledge gap in the present consumer ethnocentrism literature, international marketing and consumer psychology. Douglas and Nijssen (2003) pointed out that international researchers should take extreme care

while using scales established for one nation or cultural context in other settings, particularly in situations where the construct being measured is likely to be culturally implanted or allied with macroeconomic country characteristics as in the case of the CETSCALE. This paper, hence, adds to the knowledge gap in the present consumer ethnocentrism literature in India by developing the Indian Consumer Ethnocentrism scale (ICE-Scale). The present study attempted to develop the 17-item Consumer Ethnocentrism Tendency scale in the Indian context (named the ICE-Scale) and validate the same, and found the construct of consumer ethnocentrism in India is bi-dimensional. The dimensions are termed protective ethnocentrism and patriotic ethnocentrism. If the bi-dimensional structure of the ICE-Scale is compared with the bi-dimensional structure of the CETS-CALE, then it matches with the findings of some earlier studies conducted in other parts of the world. The earlier CETSCALE was found to be bidimensional in the Czech Republic, Poland and Hungary (Lindquist et al., 2001), Hong Kong (Julie & Albaun, 2002), Holland (Douglas & Nijssen, 2003), Australia (Acharya & Elliot, 2003), Russia (Saffu & Walker, 2005), Greece (Chryssochoidis et al., 2007), China (Hsu & Nien, 2008; Wei et al., 2009), Germany (Jiménez-Guerrero et al., 2014), the USA (Weber et al., 2015), and Malaysia (Teo et al., 2011; Abd Ghani and Mat, 2017). However, no previous study in India found the CETSCALE to be bi-dimensional. In India, only one study, conducted by Bandyopadhyay and Muhammad (1999) found the CETSCALE to be unidimensional in nature. Bawa (2004) found the CETSCA-LE three-dimensional for senior secondary school students and material management professionals while it was four-dimensional for university students. Upadhyay and Singh

(2006), Khan and Rizvi (2008) and Singh and Dhiman (2012) found the CETSCALE was four-dimensional in nature in India. The present study's superlative part is that it captured the acumens from diverse sample sets and unlike former studies, it incorporated a rural sample. The ICE-Scale is valid and reliable as a measure of consumer ethnocentrism tendencies and can be used to study India. It means national and international researchers, marketers, policymakers and companies have a valuable instrument to study and analyze the consumers' attitudes toward domestic and overseas products.

# Practical and theoretical implications of the study

The obtained outcomes of this research can facilitate the government in effective policymaking and Indian companies to analyze the market better. It will help them decide their key bases for segmentation and their target market, product designs and/or redesigns, branding and marketing activities, reshaping offerings, marketing mix, specific promotional message and media strategy and distribution strategy. The findings of this research can also empower international companies, decision-makers and marketing managers to identify the preference of Indian consumers towards domestic merchandise by understanding the true nature of consumer ethnocentrism in India. India is an emerging and a key marketplace for most of the global companies, they cannot afford to make errors while devising their strategies in India. These multinational corporations can make better decisions about their strategic segmentation and target market, the selection of geographical areas for their manufacturing unit and retail outlets, any product modifications required, communication requirements, the possibility and essentiality of strategic alliances, and acquisition or merger opportunities etc. This study advances the existing pool of information in the field of international economics, consumer psychology and global marketing. It also helps domestic manufacturers get an enhanced understanding of consumers' ethnocentric tendencies, which would help them choose superior marketing strategies and boost their sales. The growth of domestic manufacturers will help the nation to grow and the overall quality of life for the people will improve.

# Limitations and future scope of research

The study develops and validates the ICE-Scale with a varied sample set, however, the use of a convenient sampling technique partially limited the exposure of several groups of consumers. The self-reporting nature of data's collection may also have affected the understanding of some respondents. The present study was limited to the construction and validation of the ICE-Scale to measure consumers' ethnocentrism. The future researchers can extend the application of the ICE-Scale to measure the ethnocentrism tendencies of Indian consumers and can be studied with other constructs in the field of consumer attitudes and consumer psychology. The developed scale can be assessed for the validity of ethnocentric tendencies in other parts of the globe, leading to a better understanding of the phenomenon and can offer strategic and real-world insights for companies to develop and preserve their market shares and cultivate effective marketing strategies.

#### References

- Aagja, J.P. and Garg, R., 2010. Measuring perceived service quality for public hospitals (Pub-HosQual) in the Indian context. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing.*
- Abd Ghani, N.H. and Mat, N.K.N., 2017. Malaysian consumers ethnocentrism: the measurement scale and index. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 7(1).
- Acharya, C. and Elliott, G., 2003. Consumer ethnocentrism, perceived product quality and choice—An empirical investigation. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 15(4): 87-115.
- Anderson, W.T. and Cunningham, W.H., 1972. Gauging foreign product promotion. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 12(1): 29-34.
- Bagozzi, RP and Yi, Y., 1988. On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 16(1): 74-94.
- Balabanis, G. and Diamantopoulos, A., 2004. Domestic country bias, country-of-origin effects, and consumer ethnocentrism: a multidimensional unfolding approach. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, *32*(1): 80-95.
- Balabanis, G., Diamantopoulos, A., Mueller, R.D. and Melewar, T.C., 2001. The impact of nationalism, patriotism and internationalism on consumer ethnocentric tendencies. *Journal* of international business studies, 32(1): 157-175.

- Balabanis, G., Mueller, R. and Melewar, T.C., 2002. The human values' lenses of country of origin images. *International Marketing Review*.
- Bandyopadhyay, S. and Muhammad, M., 2010. Consumer Ethnocentrism in South Asia. IMS Manthan, 5(1).
- Bannister, J.P. and Saunders, J.A., 1978. UK consumers' attitudes towards imports: The measurement of national stereotype image. *European Journal of marketing*, 12(8): 562-570.
- Barrett, E.M., Foster, S.I. and Beck, E.J., 2020. Whole grain and high-fibre grain foods: How do knowledge, perceptions and attitudes affect food choice?. *Appetite*, 149:104630.
- Batra, R., Ramaswamy, V., Alden, D.L., Steenkamp, J.B.E. and Ramachander, S., 2000. Effects of brand local and nonlocal origin on consumer attitudes in developing countries. *Journal of consumer psychology*, 9(2): 83-95.
- Bawa, A., 2004. Consumer ethnocentrism: CETSCALE validation and measurement of extent. *Vikalpa*, 29(3): 43-58.
- Bhardwaj, V., Kumar, A. and Kim, Y.K., 2010. Brand analyses of US global and local brands in India: The case of Levi's. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 23(1): 80-94.
- Bretos, I. and Marcuello, C., 2017. Revisiting globalization challenges and opportunities in the development of cooperatives. *Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics*, 88(1): 47-73.
- Byrne, B.M., 2013. Structural equation modeling with Mplus: Basic concepts, applications, and programming. routledge.
- Caruana, A., 1996. The effects of dogmatism and social class variables on consumer ethnocentrism in Malta. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*.
- Cazacu, S. (2016). Preference for domestic goods: a study of consumer ethnocentrism in the Republic of Moldova. *Ecoforum Journal*, 5(1).
- Chang, L. (1994). A psychometric evaluation of 4-point and 6-point Likert-type scales in relation to reliability and validity. *Applied psychological measurement*, 18(3), 205-215.
- Chatterjee, S., Shainesh, G. and Sravanan, C.S., 2018. Does intention translate into action? Investigating the impact of loyalty intention on future usage. *Journal of Indian Business Research*.
- Chryssochoidis, G., Krystallis, A. and Perreas, P., 2007. Ethnocentric beliefs and country of origin (COO) effect. *European journal of marketing*.
- Churchill Jr, GA, 1979. A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs. *Journal of marketing research*, 16(1): 64-73.
- Cooper, M.L., 1994. Motivations for alcohol use among adolescents: Development and validation of a four-factor model. *Psychological assessment*, 6(2): 117.
- Cooper, M.L., Russell, M., Skinner, J.B. and Windle, M., 1992. Development and validation of a three-dimensional measure of drinking motives. *Psychological assessment*, 4(2): 123.
- Correa Cardona, S. (2015). The Colombian consumer ethnocentrism and its effect on the desing and implentation of the marketing strategy: the state of the art.
- De Nisco, A., Massi, M. and Papadopoulos, N., 2020. Partners or Foes? Cross-Country Consumer Animosity, Ethnocentrism, and Nationalism in Times of International Crisis. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 33(3): 207-222
- Durvasula, S., Andrews, J.C. and Netemeyer, R.G., 1997. A cross-cultural comparison of consumer er ethnocentrism in the United States and Russia. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 9(4): 73-93.
- Douglas, S.P. and Nijssen, E.J., 2002. Examining the Construct Validity of the CETSCALE in the

Netherlands. Working Paper", Stern School of Business, New York.

- Douglas, S.P. and Nijssen, E.J., 2003. On the use of "borrowed" scales in cross national research. *International marketing review.*
- Etzel, M.J., and Walker, B.J., 1974. Advertising strategy for foreign products. *Journal of Advertising* Research, 14(3): 41-44.
- Evanschitzky, H., Wangenheim, F.V., Woisetschläger, D. and Blut, M., 2008. Consumer ethnocentrism in the German market. *International Marketing Review*.
- Fabrigar, L.R., Wegener, D.T., MacCallum, R.C. and Strahan, E.J., 1999. Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. *Psychological methods*, 4(3): 272.
- Field, AP, 2005. Is the meta-analysis of correlation coefficients accurate when population correlations vary?. *Psychological methods*, 10(4): 444.
- Ford, J.K., MacCallum, R.C. and Tait, M., 1986. The application of exploratory factor analysis in applied psychology: A critical review and analysis. *Personnel psychology*, *39*(2): 291-314.
- Gaedeke, R., 1973. Consumer attitudes toward products made in developing countries. *Journal of Retailing*, 49(2): 13-24.
- George, D. and Mallery, P., 2016. IBM SPSS statistics 23 step by step: A simple guide and reference. Routledge.
- Gerbing, D.W. and Anderson, J.C., 1988. An updated paradigm for scale development incorporating unidimensionality and its assessment. *Journal of marketing research*, 25(2): 186-192.
- Ghazal Masarrat, D. and Varghese, I.K., 2020. EVOLUTION OF INDIAN SOCIETY VIEWED THROUGH ADVERTISING LENS AND AIDED BY HOFSTEDE'S CULTURAL FRAMEWORK. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, *17*(7):8283-8298.
- Good, LK and Huddleston, P., 1995. Ethnocentrism of Polish and Russian consumers: are feelings and intentions related. *International Marketing Review*.
- Grover, V., 1993. An empirically derived model for the adoption of customer based interorganizational systems. *Decision sciences*, 24(3): 603-640.
- Gupta, N., 2011. Globalization does lead to change in consumer behavior. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics.*
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Babin, B.J. and Black, W.C., 2010. Multivariate data analysis: A global perspective (7<sup>th</sup> ed.).
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. and Tatham, R.L., 1998. Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 5, No. 3: 207-219).
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. and William, C., 1998. Black, 1998. *Multivariate data analysis*, 5: 87-135.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. and Tatham, R.L., 1998. *Multivariate data analysis* (Vol. 5, No. 3: 207-219). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice hall.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. and Tatham, R.L., 2006. Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 6).
- Hinkin, T.R., 1995. A review of scale development practices in the study of organizations. *Journal* of management, 21(5): 967-988.
- Hinkin, T.R., Tracey, J.B. and Enz, C.A., 1997. Scale construction: Developing reliable and valid measurement instruments. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 21(1): 100-120.
- Hsu, J.L. and Nien, HP, 2008. Who are ethnocentric? Examining consumer ethnocentrism in Chinese societies. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour: An International Research Review*, 7(6): 436-447.

- Hult, G.T.M., Keillor, B.D. and Lafferty, B.A., 1999. A cross-national assessment of social desirability bias and consumer ethnocentrism. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 12(4): 29-43.
- Jiménez-Guerrero, J.F., Gázquez-Abad, J.C. and del Carmen Linares-Agüera, E., 2014. Using standard CETSCALE and other adapted versions of the scale for measuring consumers' ethnocentric tendencies: An analysis of dimensionality. BRQ Business Research Quarterhy, 17(3): 174-190.
- John, A. and Brady, M.P., 2011. Exploration of the dimensionality of the consumer ethnocentric tendencies scale in Mozambique. *Journal of African Business*, 12(1): 114-132.
- Joshi, A., Kale, S., Chandel, S., & Pal, D. K. (2015). Likert scale: Explored and explained. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 396-403.
- Joshi. R. and Joshi. Y., 2017. Consumption of Made in India products: Perception and Intention. In Gujarati, H & Vala, V (Ed.s), Enhancing Economic Productivity Competitiveness through Financial and Monetary Reforms (11-26).
- Joshi, R.N. and Joshi, Y.C., An Empirical Study of Expectations Model of Economic Nationalism Among Millennials in South Gujarat. *GH Patel Postgraduate Institute of Business Management*, 21.
- Julie, H.Y. and Albaum, G., 2002. Sovereignty change influences on consumer ethnocentrism and product preferences: Hong Kong revisited one year later. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(11): 891-899.
- Kaynak, E. and Kara, A., 2002. Consumer perceptions of foreign products. *European Journal of marketing*.
- Khambhati, R., Patel, H. and Kumar, S., 2020. Construction and validation of public healthcare service quality model-an empirical study of Indian public healthcare facilities in digital era. *International Journal of Public Sector Performance Management*, 6(6): 893-907.
- Khoa, B.T. and Nguyen, H.M., 2020. Electronic Loyalty In Social Commerce: Scale Development and Validation. *Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business*, 22(3): 275-299.
- Khan, M.N. and Rizvi, S.R., 2008. Consumer ethnocentrism: relevance and implications for marketers. *ICFAI Journal of Consumer Behavior*, 3(1).
- Kim, B.S., Atkinson, D.R. and Yang, P.H., 1999. The Asian Values Scale: Development, factor analysis, validation, and reliability. *Journal of counseling Psychology*, 46(3): 342.
- Klein, J.G., Ettenson, R. and Krishnan, B.C., 2006. Extending the construct of consumer ethnocentrism: when foreign products are preferred. *International Marketing Review*.
- Klein, J.G., Ettenson, R. and Morris, M.D., 1998. The animosity model of foreign product purchase: An empirical test in the People's Republic of China. *Journal of marketing*, 62(1): 89-100.
- Kumar, N., 2009. How emerging giants are rewriting the rules of M&A. *Harvard Business Review*, 87(5): 115.
- Kumar, A., Fairhurst, A. and Kim, Y.K., 2013. The role of personal cultural orientation in consumer ethnocentrism among Indian consumers. *Journal of Indian business research*.
- Lindquist, J.D., Vida, I., Plank, R.E. and Fairhurst, A., 2001. The modified CETSCALE: validity tests in the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland. *International Business Review*, *10*(5): 505-516.
- Mahbub, ASM, 2020. Consumer Ethnocentrism: A Study on Norwegian Consumers (Master's thesis, Nord universitet).

Makanyeza, C. and Du Toit, F., 2016. Measuring consumer ethnocentrism: An assessment of reliability, validity and dimensionality of the CETSCALE in a developing market. *Journal of African Business*, 17(2): 188-208.

Markin, R.J., 1974. Consumer behavior; a cognitive orientation [by] Rom J. Markin, Jr.

- Marcoux, J.S., Filiatrault, P. and Cheron, E., 1997. The attitudes underlying preferences of young urban educated Polish consumers towards products made in western countries. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 9(4): 5-29.
- Mavondo, F.T. and Tan, A., 1999. Reconceptualising the CETSCALE (consumer ethnocentric tendency scale). In *Aust NZ Marketing Academy Conf. 99*. University of NSW.
- Nadimi, I., Mansori, S. and Ismail, Z.M.M., 2012. Global replication of CETSCALE: A study of the Iranian market. *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing*, 20(3-4): 261-268.
- Narang, R., 2016. Understanding purchase intention towards Chinese products: Role of ethnocentrism, animosity, status and self-esteem. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 32: 253-261.
- Netemeyer, R.G., Durvasula, S. and Lichtenstein, D.R., 1991. A cross-national assessment of the reliability and validity of the CETSCALE. *Journal of marketing research*, 28(3): 320-327.
- Okechuku, C. and Onyemah, V., 1999. Nigerian consumer attitudes toward foreign and domestic products. *Journal of international business studies*, *30*(3): 611-622.
- O'Leary-Kelly, S.W. and J. Vokurka, R., 1998. The empirical assessment of construct validity. *Journal of operations management*, 16(4): 387-405.
- Pallant, J., 2013. SPSS survival manual. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Panuwatwanich, K., Stewart, R.A. and Mohamed, S., 2008. The role of climate for innovation in enhancing business performance. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Plank, R.E. and Lindquist, J.D., 2015. Exploring the CETSCALE in Soviet Armenia. In *Global Perspectives in Marketing for the 21st Century* (113-118). Springer, Cham.
- Prendergast, G.P., Tsang, A.S. and Chan, C.N., 2010. The interactive influence of country of origin of brand and product involvement on purchase intention. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*.
- Rauschnabel, P.A., Sheldon, P. and Herzfeldt, E., 2019. What motivates users to hashtag on social media?. *Psychology & Marketing*, *36*(5): 473-488.
- Saffu, K. and Walker, J.H., 2005. An assessment of the consumer ethnocentric scale (CETS-CALE) in an advanced and transitional country: The case of Canada and Russia. *International Journal of Management*, 22(4): 556.
- Saffu, K. and Walker, J., 2006. An assessment of the CETSCALE in a developing country: the Ghana case. *Journal of African Business*, 7(1-2): 167-181.
- Schooler, R.D. and Wildt, A.R., 1968. Elasticity of product bias. *Journal of Marketing research*, 5(1): 78-81.
- Schooler, R., 1971. Bias phenomena attendant to the marketing of foreign goods in the US. *Journal of international business studies*: 71-80.
- Sharma, R., 2019. No Country for Strongmen: How India's Democracy Constrains Modi. Foreign Aff., 98, 96.
- Shimp, T.A., 1984. Consumer ethnocentrism: The concept and a preliminary empirical test. Advances in Consumer research, 11(1).

- Shimp, T.A. and Sharma, S., 1987. Consumer ethnocentrism: construction and validation of the CETSCALE. *Journal of marketing research*, 24(3): 280-289.
- Singh, A.I. and Dhiman, M., 2012. An evaluation of ethnocentric scale (CETSCALE) among indian consumers of imported foods. *Indian Manag. Stud. J*, *16*, pp.1-18.
- Solomon, M., Russell-Bennett, R. and Previte, J., 2012. *Consumer behaviour*. Pearson Higher Education AU.
- Steenkamp, J.B.E. and Baumgartner, H., 1998. Assessing measurement invariance in cross-national consumer research. *Journal of consumer research*, 25(1): 78-90.
- Steenkamp, JBE, 2020. Global brand building and management in the digital age. *Journal of International Marketing*, 28(1), pp.13-27.
- Strehlau, V.I., Ponchio, M.C. and Loebel, E., 2012. An Assessment of the consumer ethnocentric scale (CETSCALE): evidences from Brazil. *Brazilian business review*, 9(4): 103-126.
- Sue, D.W., 2004. Whiteness and ethnocentric monoculturalism: making the" invisible" visible. *American Psychologist*, 59(8): 761.
- Supphellen, M. and Rittenburg, T.L., 2001. Consumer ethnocentrism when foreign products are better. *Psychology & Marketing*, 18(9): 907-927.
- Sharma, P., 2015. Consumer ethnocentrism: Reconceptualization and cross-cultural validation. Journal of International Business Studies, 46(3): 381-389.
- Teo, P.C., Mohamad, O. and Ramayah, T., 2011. Testing the dimensionality of Consumer Ethnocentrism Scale (CETSCALE) among a young Malaysian consumer market segment. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(7): 2805.
- Tsai, W.S., Yoo, J.J. and Lee, W.N., 2013. For love of country? Consumer ethnocentrism in China, South Korea, and the United States. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 26(2): 98-114.
- Upadhyay, Y. and Singh, S.K., 2006. Preference for domestic goods: A study of consumer ethnocentrism. *Vision*, *10*(3): 59-68.
- Vida, I. and Fairhurst, A., 1999. Factors underlying the phenomenon of consumer ethnocentricity: evidence from four central European countries. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 9(4): 321-337.
- Wanninayake, W.M.C. and Chovancová, M., 2012. Consumer ethnocentrism and attitudes towards foreign beer brands: With evidence from Zlin Region in the Czech Republic. *Journal* of *Competitiveness*.
- Watson, J.J. and Wright, K., 2000. Consumer ethnocentrism and attitudes toward domestic and foreign products. *European journal of Marketing*.
- Weber, M.J., Lambert, J.T., Conrad, K.A., Jennings, S.S. and Adams, J.R.M., 2018. Discovering a cultural system using consumer ethnocentrism theory. *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, *31*(6): 617-636.
- Wei, Y., Wright, B., Wang, H. and Yu, C., 2009. An Evaluation of the Consumer Ethnocentric Scale (CETSCALE) Among Chinese Consumers. *International Journal of Global Management Studies*, 1(1).
- Witkowski, T.H., 1998. Consumer ethnocentrism in two emerging markets: Determinants and predictive validity. *Advances in consumer research*, 25(1).