CORF

FULL-LENGTH REPORT

A Preliminary Study of *DBH* (Encoding Dopamine Beta-Hydroxylase) Genetic Variation and Neural Correlates of Emotional and Motivational Processing in Individuals With and Without Pathological Gambling

BAO-ZHU YANG¹, IRIS M. BALODIS¹, CHERYL M. LACADIE², JIANSONG XU¹ and MARC N. POTENZA^{1,3,4,5,6}*

¹Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
²Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
³Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
⁴Department of Neuroscience, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
⁵CASAColumbia, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
⁶Connecticut Mental Health Center, New Haven, CT, USA

(Received: December 28, 2015; accepted: March 7, 2016)

Background and aims: Corticostriatal-limbic neurocircuitry, emotional and motivational processing, dopaminergic and noradrenergic systems and genetic factors have all been implicated in pathological gambling (PG). However, allelic variants of genes influencing dopaminergic and noradrenergic neurotransmitters have not been investigated with respect to the neural correlates of emotional and motivational states in PG. Dopamine beta-hydroxylase (DBH) converts dopamine to norepinephrine; the T allele of a functional single-nucleotide polymorphism rs1611115 (C-1021T) in the DBH gene is associated with less DBH activity and has been linked to emotional processes and addiction. Here, we investigate the influence of rs1611115 on the neural correlates of emotional and motivational processing in PG and healthy comparison (HC) participants. Methods: While undergoing functional magnetic resonance imaging, 18 PG and 25 HC participants, all European Americans, viewed gambling-, sad-, and cocaine-related videotapes. Analyses focused on brain activation differences related to DBH genotype (CC/T-carrier [i.e., CT and TT]) and condition (sad/gambling/cocaine). Results: CC participants demonstrated greater recruitment of corticostriatal-limbic regions, relative to T-carriers. DBH variants were also associated with altered corticostriatal-limbic activations across the different videotape conditions, and this association appeared to be driven by greater activation in CC participants relative to T-carriers during the sad condition. CC relative to T-carrier subjects also reported greater subjective sadness to the sad videotapes. Conclusions: Individual differences in genetic composition linked to aminergic function contribute significantly to emotional regulation across diagnostic groups and warrant further investigation in PG.

Keywords: DBH gene, functional magnetic resonance imaging, addiction, mood, cue responsivity

INTRODUCTION

Pathological gambling (PG; gambling disorder in DSM-5) has an estimated heritability of 50%-66% (Xian, Giddens, Scherrer, Eisen, & Potenza, 2014). Most reported genetic studies of PG are limited to very small-scale (in terms of a genetic association design for complex traits) candidate-gene approaches (N = 68 to 186 PGs) for candidate genes involving mainly in dopaminergic and serotonergic systems with weak or inconsistent effects (Gyollai et al., 2014). The first large-scale genome-wide association study (GWAS) on a PG-related trait (Lind et al., 2013) identified no gene variants reaching genome-wide significance. However, larger effect sizes may be observed in regard to functional variants of enzyme-encoding genes; these may relate to brain activations and treatment outcome in PG (Grant et al., 2013).

Both dopamine and norepinephrine alterations have been implicated in PG (Boileau et al., 2014; Linnet et al., 2012). The *DBH* gene variant, which regulates the enzyme DBH's

conversion of dopamine to norepinephrine, is linked with various addiction and impulsive phenotypes (Cubells et al., 2000; Hess et al., 2009). In European Americans (EAs), the functional promoter variant in *DBH*, single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1611115, is associated with 35%–52% of the variance in plasma DBH activity; in a dosage-effect fashion, the TT genotype is associated with the lowest DBH plasma activity, followed by CT demonstrating intermediary activity, and CC showing the greatest levels of enzymatic function (Zabetian et al., 2001). These genotypes are further associated with alterations in emotional/motivational processing; for example, T-carriers demonstrate diminished empathic ability relative to CC genotypes (Gong, Liu, Li, & Zhou, 2014). The TT genotype (relative to CC or CT) is also

^{*} Corresponding author: Marc N. Potenza, MD, PhD; Connecticut Mental Health Center, Room S-104, 34 Park Street, New Haven, CT 06519, USA; Phone: +1 203 974 7365; Fax: +1 203 974 7366; E-mail: marc.potenza@yale.edu

associated with higher neuroticism and novelty seeking but lower conscientiousness, suggestive of an impulsive personality style (Hess et al., 2009). The TT genotype is also associated with increased severity of heroin use (Xie et al., 2013) and poorer cocaine treatment outcomes (Kosten et al., 2013). Moreover, drugs affecting DBH activity, such as disulfiram, demonstrate promise in treatment of substance and non-substance addictions (Carroll et al., 2004; Kosten et al., 2013; Muller, Banas, Heinz, & Hein, 2011; Skinner, Lahmek, Pham, & Aubin, 2014).

The current study used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate effects of DBH polymorphisms on emotional/motivational processing. Given the infrequency of TT homozygotes and prior studies highlighting the clinical relevance of T-carrier status, we investigated T-carriers and those with CC genotypes. Both PG and healthy comparison (HC) participants were scanned while watching videos designed to elicit sad feelings, gambling urges, or cocaine cravings (Kober et al., 2016; Potenza et al., 2003; Wexler et al., 2001). Sad, gambling, and cocaine videos were studied with the following rationale and goals. Experiential sadness has been linked to changes in dopaminergic and noradrenergic function (Harmer, Perrett, Cowen, & Goodwin, 2001; Peron, Grandjean, Drapier, & Verin, 2014), and the DBH polymorphism is associated with empathic responses (Gong et al., 2014). As some investigators have considered PG within an affective framework (Grant, 2004), PG has been associated with negative affective states and mood disorders (Potenza, Xian, Shah, Scherrer, & Eisen, 2005) and prior findings using the same task have identified alterations in the neural correlates of sad states in PG subjects (Kober et al., 2016; Potenza et al., 2003), sad videos were included in this study. Finally, the cocaine videos served as an active control condition to identify specificity of neural responses to the gambling (a main focus of the study) and sad videos; previous evidence demonstrates that the cocaine videos elicit emotional responses in people with and without cocaine dependence (CD; Wexler et al., 2001).

Recently, we reported on data from this sample (Kober et al., 2016). In this study, we restricted the sample to EA subjects given the genetic focus and thus focused on PG and HC to have sufficient samples for each group. We also focused on sustained emotional/motivational responses as we have done previously (Potenza, 2008). We hypothesized observing main effects of DBH genotype on corticolimbic activations and interactions with condition and DBH genotype. For DBH-genotype-by-condition interaction effects, we hypothesized that T-carriers would report less sadness to sad videos, in line with reports of reduced empathic responses in these individuals (Gong et al., 2014); further, we hypothesized that the neural expression of this effect would be reflected in diminished corticostriatal recruitment in T-carriers relative to the CC group during sad videos. Based on prior findings (Potenza, 2008; Potenza et al., 2003), we also expected groupby-condition interaction effects involving diminished ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and ventral striatum (VS) activity in the PG group during gambling videos.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

EA subjects, who provided fMRI and genetic data, were included from a larger sample of multi-ethnic individuals, whose data are reported elsewhere (Kober et al., 2016). To avoid potential genetic population stratification, only EA individuals were included: 18 individuals meeting PG criteria and 25 HC subjects. All subjects were native English speakers with no history of neurologic disorders or injury. A structured clinical interview (First, 1997) determined that PG subjects met DSM-IV criteria for PG as the primary diagnosis and that HC subjects had no axis-I disorders except possible nicotine dependence (ND; one ND subject in the HC group). Detailed participant characteristics including PG comorbidity with other psychiatric disorders are described in Supplementary Material I, with demographic information provided in Table 1 (including information on use of the Barratt impulsiveness scale (BIS-11) and South Oaks Gambling Screen (SOGS) and Supplementary Material II).

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from whole blood. The SNP rs1611115 was genotyped for all subjects by a fluorogenic 5' nuclease assay of the TaqMan method using the ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detection System (ABI, Foster City, CA). No discrepancy was detected between the duplicates for one PG subject, one HC subject, and one control Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (representative of the EA population) in the quality control of genotyping procedures. Genotypes were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for the PG, HC, and combined PG and HC groups (all p > .05).

Experimental task

Participants viewed six videos in random and counterbalanced order (see Supplementary Material III), including two videos each related to gambling, sad and cocaine scenarios in which actors depict each specific scenario. The detailed scenarios are previously described (Kober et al., 2016; Potenza et al., 2003; Wexler et al., 2001), with further descriptions in Supplementary Material IV. Subjective responses to videos were recorded on a scale of 0–10 and involved rating emotional intensity, gambling urges, and drug cravings (Potenza et al., 2003; Wexler et al., 2001).

Image acquisition

Images were obtained using a 3-T Siemens Trio MRI system equipped with a standard quadrature head coil, using T2*sensitive gradient-recalled single-shot echo-planar pulse sequence. Subjects were positioned in the coil and head movements were restrained using foam pillows. Anatomical images at the functional slice locations were next obtained with spin-echo imaging in the axial plane parallel to the anterior-commissure/posterior-commissure (AC-PC) line with repetition time (TR) = 300 ms, echo time (TE) = 2.47 ms, bandwidth = 300 Hz/pixel, flip angle (FA) = 60° , Yang et al.

Table 1 Subject characteristics

	J			
(A)	PG	HC	<i>p</i> -value	
Sample size	18	25		
Sex, male (%)	13 (72.2%)	15 (60.0%)	.613	
Age (mean \pm SD)	36.6 ± 12.0	30.0 ± 11.4	.079	
Education years	13.8 ± 1.8	14.6 ± 2.2	.242	
FTND	1.7 ± 2.8	$.1 \pm .6$.038*	
South Oaks Gambling Screen Score	12.5 ± 3.5	$.08 \pm .3$	2.1×10^{-11} *	
BIS-11 total score	71.7 ± 13.6	54.6 ± 8.4	7.5×10^{-5} *	
	CC	T-carrier		
(B) <i>DBH</i> genotype	Count (%)	Count (%)	Total	<i>p</i> -value
PG	9 (50.0)	9 (50.0)	18	.937
НС	11 (44.0)	14 (56.0)	25	
Male	13 (46.4)	15 (53.6)	28	~1
Female	7 (46.7)	8 (53.3)	15	
BIS-11 total score	58.3 ± 9.6	65.3 ± 16.2		.093
Sad video-Emotional intensity	6.88 ± 1.7	5.52 ± 2.3		.035*

Note. BIS-11, Barratt impulsiveness scale, version 11; FTND, Fagerstrom test for nicotine dependence; PG, pathological gambling; HC, healthy comparison.

**p* < .05.

field of view = 220×220 mm, matrix = 256×256 , 25 slices with slice thickness = 5 mm and no gap. Functional blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signals were then acquired with a single-shot gradient echo-planar-imaging sequence. Twenty-five axial slices parallel to the AC–PC line covering the whole brain were acquired with TR = 1500 ms, TE = 27 ms, bandwidth = 2520 Hz/pixel, FA = 60°, field of view = 220×220 mm, matrix = 64×64 , 25 slices with slice thickness = 5 mm and no gap. Six fMRI runs (two per condition) were acquired. Following functional imaging, high-resolution 3-D Magnetization-Prepared Rapid-Gradient Echo (MPRAGE) data (TR = 2530 ms; TE = 3.34 ms; bandwidth = 180 Hz/pixel; FA = 7° ; slice thickness = 1 mm; field of view = 256×256 mm; matrix = 256×256) were acquired for multi-subject registration.

Data analysis

Data were converted from Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) format to ANALYZE format using XMedCon (Nolf, 2003). The first six volumes of each functional series were discarded to enable signal to achieve steady-state equilibrium. Functional images were first slicetime-corrected and then realigned (motion corrected) with the Statistical Parametric Mapping 5 algorithm (www.fil. ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm5) for three translational directions (x, y, or z) and three possible rotations (pitch, yaw, or roll). Trials with linear motion that had a displacement in excess of 2 mm or rotation in excess of 3° were rejected. Corrected images were spatially smoothed by using a Gaussian filter with a full-width-at-half-maximum of 6.876 mm. Analysis-of-covariance (ANCOVA) approaches as described below controlled for covariates and analyzed signal change during the entire period of video-viewing relative to the combined pre- and post-baseline (see Supplementary Material X). Post hoc t-test comparisons

were implemented to dissect what drived the effects identified in the ANCOVAs and examined the nature of the effects. For subjective-response data, analyses of variance (ANOVAs) tested emotional/motivational ratings between the PG and HC groups. ANOVAs tested *DBH* genotype differences for the sad condition.

Analysis-of-covariance

Data were converted to AFNI format (http://afni.nimh.nih. gov) for ANCOVAs. We applied a $2 \times 2 \times 3$ ANCOVA with age adjustment involving two DBH genotypic groups (CC/T-carrier), two diagnostic groups (PG/HC), and three conditions (gambling, sad, and cocaine), in which subject was treated as a random factor using the GroupAna program from the AFNI MATLAB library (http://afni.nimh.nih. gov/afni/matlab/). Results were masked, converted back to ANALYZE format and viewed in Yale BioImage Suite (http://www.bioimagesuite.org). Data were thresholded at a voxel level of p < .05, corrected for multiple comparisons by spatial extent of contiguous suprathresholded individual voxels at a family-wise-error-corrected (FWE corrected) threshold of p < .05. In a Monte-Carlo simulation using AFNI (applying a smoothing kernel of 6.876 mm and a connection radius of 6.97 mm on 3.44 mm × 3.44 mm × 5 mm voxels), an activation volume of 311 voxels (8402 µl) satisfied the FWE-corrected p < .05 threshold.

Ethics

The investigation was carried out in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki; the protocol was approved by the Yale Human Investigation Committee. All subjects were recruited through advertisements and provided written informed consent after the nature of the procedures had been fully explained.

RESULTS

Diagnostic group differences

The PG group (versus HC group) was more impulsive ($p = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$) and demonstrated greater problem-gambling severity on the SOGS ($p = 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$).

Genotype distribution

No between-group differences in genotypic distributions of SNP rs1611115 were observed between PG and HC subjects (p > .05) or between male and female subjects (p > .05) (Table 1B). Modestly higher impulsivity scores in T-carriers relative to CC individuals did not reach significance (p = .093).

Brain activations elicited by videos

Significant two-way interaction effects emerged for *DBH*-genotype-by-condition (Figure 1A) and group-by-condition

(Figure 1C) analyses (see Supplementary Material VI for additional activation maps). No activations in group-by-DBH-genotype and group-by-DBH-genotype-by-condition interactions survived whole-brain correction. Main effects of DBH genotype and condition on brain activation were identified; main effects of group did not survive whole-brain correction. Extracted BOLD-signal changes from the identified regions were used to understand the nature of the significant effects, as described below.

DBH-genotype-by-condition interaction

A *DBH*-genotype-by-condition interaction identified one cluster involving the bilateral thalamus and putamen extending to the left insula, hippocampus, caudate, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Figure 1A and B; Cluster-a). Another cluster involved the right dlPFC and posterior cingulate cortex (Figure 1A and B; Cluster-b; Table 2C). For both clusters, significant differences appear driven by greater activation in the *DBH* CC participants relative to T-carriers during the sad



Figure 1. Activation maps displaying interactive effects of *DBH* genotype, condition and diagnostic group. The axial brain sections highlighting regions showing significant two-way interactions adjusted by age involving two genotypic groups (CC, T-carrier [shown as Tx]), two diagnostic groups (pathological gambling, healthy comparison, shortened as PG and HC, respectively) and three cue conditions (gambling, sad, and cocaine, shortened as Gam, Sad, and Coc, respectively) are shown. The maps are thresholded at p < .05, with a family-wise-error correction. Talairach *z* levels are indicated (A, C). The bar on the right side of the Figures A and C indicates the strengths of identified interactions. Right side of the brain is shown on the left. Indicated on the right (B and D) are extracted values of percentage signal changes according to respective interactions for genotype (CC, T-carrier [shown as Tx]), diagnostic group (PG, HC) or condition (gam, sad, and coc). Outliers of BOLD-signal are marked by circles; outlier evaluation by exclusion and retest; all the effects in the retests remained

similar. mOFC = medial orbitofrontal cortex; vPFC = ventral prefrontal cortex. Cluster-a: Thalamus/putamen/caudate/insula/dorsal lateralprefrontal cortex/hippocampus/anterior cingulate/pars triangularis/superior temporal gyrus/primary sensory/primary motor. Cluster-b: Frontal eye fields/dorsolateral prefrontal cortex/posterior cingulate

Yang et al.

TT 11	2	A 1º 4 1	•	1 1	• • • • •	CC 4	C D	DII		1.7.	1	1				1	
Tanie	/	Age-adilisted	main	and 1	interactive	effects	of D	кн (genorvne	condition	and	diagnostic	oroun	on	regional	prain	activations
1 aore	<i>-</i>	ige adjusted	mann	unu	menactive	enceus	01 101		Senotype,	condition	unu	anagnostie	Stoup	011	regional	orum	activations

		Talairach				
	Left/	Volume mm ³	CenterMass	F-values	F-values	
	Right	(voxels)	(x, y, z)	Mean	Stdev	
(A) Main effect DBH genotype		. ,				
Cerebellum parahippocampus hippocampus fusiform		35375 (1310)	-9 -56 -22	5.85	1 56	
Ventral striatum orbital frontal cortex anterior		55575 (1510)), 50, 22	5.05	1.50	
cingulate rostrolateral prefrontal						
Cortex I nferior frontal gyrus amygdala		19055 (706)	-8 20 -6	5 96	1 72	
Subclusters: Ventral striatum	L	955 (35)	$-13 \ 0 \ -5$	6.45	2 21	
Anterior cingulate	L/R	2301 (85)	3, 32, 0	5.09	.88	
Amvgdala	L	500 (19)	-17, -7 , -10	6.04	1.48	
Caudate	Ĺ	774 (29)	-12, 14, 0	5.63	1.22	
Putamen	Ē	525(19)	-21, 7, -4	5.67	1.65	
vmPFC	L/R	5146 (191)	-8, 13, -15	6.72	2.19	
(B) Main effect condition			- , - , -			
Occipital cortex_temporal gyrus_angular gyrus_fusiform		249810 (9252)	-1, -52, 4	9.00	6.52	
gyrus_temporopolar area_thalamus_posteror						
cingulate_cerebellum						
Subclusters: Thalamus	L	2089 (77)	-11, -23, 3	4.18	.83	
Thalamus	R	563 (21)	7, -23, 2	3.76	.48	
Posterior cingulate	L/R	4181 (155)	-8, -55, 33	4.82	1.37	
Anterior cingulate cortex_anterior prefrontal		12983 (481)	-9, 42, -6	4.39	1.18	
cortex_orbitofrontal gyri_I nsula						
Subclusters: Insula	L	232 (9)	-26, 20, 0	3.66	.40	
vmPFC	L/R	4151 (154)	-8, 37, -20	4.18	1.03	
Anterior cingulate	L/R	1402 (52)	-4, 39, 8	4.58	1.29	
Medial frontal gyrus	L/R	5907 (219)	-8, 48, -4	4.57	1.27	
(C) DBH genotype X condition						
Thalamus putamen caudate I nsula dlPFC		56708 (2100)	-24, -1, 19	4.30	1.00	
Hippocampus anterior cingulate pars			, ,			
triangularis superior temporal gyrus primary						
Subclusters: Thalamus	R	2938 (109)	11, -15, 7	4.21	.77	
Thalamus	L	2213 (82)	-10, -16, 10	4.11	.84	
Putamen	R	280 (10)	25, -1, 0	3.68	.41	
Putamen	L	1475 (55)	-22, 2, 6	4.28	.87	
Insula	L	544 (20)	-26, 16, 9	4.12	.65	
Hippocampus	L	905 (34)	-28, -34, 0	4.42	.94	
Caudate	L	1181 (44)	-14, 15, 13	5.31	1.71	
dlPFC	L	13771 (510)	-30, 27, 20	4.36	1.00	
Anterior Cingulate	L/R	794 (29)	-7, 21, 22	3.76	.54	
Frontal eye fields_dlPFC_posterior cingulate	R	8789 (326)	17, 15, 28	4.23	1.00	
Subcluster: Posterior cingulate	R	409 (15)	4, -18, 33	4.17	.82	
(D) Group X condition						
Putamen caudate thalamus orbital frontal cortex ventral		26068 (965)	-1, 1, 3	4.63	1.50	
striatum anterior cingulate insula hypothalamus			y y -			
Subclusters: Putamen	L	2918 (108)	-24, 0, 4	5.17	1.90	
Caudate		1364 (51)	14, 4, 13	4.04	.78	
Caudate	L	1449 (54)	-13, 9, 7	4.45	1.04	
Thalamus		1592 (59)	9, -15, 9	4.01	.70	
Thalamus	L	717 (27)	-8, -17, 6	3.61	.42	
Ventral Striatum		2004 (74)	10, 3, -2	5.43	1.93	
Ventral Striatum	L	1159 (43)	-12, 1, -2	5.55	2.31	
Medial Orbital Frontal Cortex	L/R	474 (18)	10, 11, -14	4.37	.98	
Lateral Orbital Frontal Cortex	L/R	2299 (85)	24, 16, -10	4.43	1.04	
Insula	L	1208 (45)	-33, -4, 13	4.45	.94	

Note. All the main and interactive effects were detected with an uncorrected threshold of p < .05 and familywise error (FWE)-corrected with c = 311 except the main effect of condition with an uncorrected threshold of p < .005 and c = 311 FWE-corrected. Brain regions starting with "R" or "L" indicate right and left, respectively.

condition (p = .0087 and .041, respectively) but not during the other two conditions (Figure 1B).

Group-by-condition interaction

A group-by-condition interaction occurred in a ventral striatal cluster extending to the medial and lateral orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), thalamus, caudate, left insula, and left putamen (Figure 1C and Table 2D). Relative to the HC group, the PG group demonstrated significantly greater recruitment during the cocaine condition (p = .0098), less activation during the sad condition (p = .031), and no difference during the gambling condition (p = .24; Figure 1D).

Main effects of DBH genotype

A main *DBH*-genotype effect demonstrated greater CC group activity in the left VS extending to the OFC, ACC, amygdala, caudate, putamen, and vmPFC (Table 2A and Figure 2A), relative to the T-carriers (Figure 2B, Cluster-a). Another cluster extended from the cerebellum to the hippocampus and also displayed relatively greater activity in the CC versus T-carrier groups (Figure 2B, Cluster-b).

Main effects of condition

A main condition effect recruited the bilateral thalamus extending to the posterior cingulate and appeared to be driven by greater activation to the gambling versus cocaine $(p = 1.62 \times 10^{-5})$ and gambling versus sad (p = .0067) conditions, with no difference in the cocaine versus sad condition (p = .10) (Figure 2D, Cluster-c). Another cluster extending from the vmPFC to the ACC and medial frontal gyrus (Table 2B and Figure 2C) appeared to be driven by decreased activation in the cocaine versus gambling (p = .0021) and cocaine versus sad (p = .00094) conditions, with no difference in the gambling versus sad conditions (p = .57) (Figure 2D, Cluster-d).

Potential effects of outliers of BOLD-signal changes, as depicted in Figures 1 and 2, were evaluated by exclusion and retest; all the effects in the retests remained similar. Main effects of *DBH* genotype and condition remained strong. Effects of *DBH*-genotype-by-condition kept the striatal cluster (p = .012) and the cluster with the posterior cingulate cortex was in marginal significance (p = .074), and both appeared to be driven by the sad condition. Effects of group-by-condition for the PG group relative to the HC group demonstrated similar results, which reflected greater



Figure 2. Main effects of *DBH* genotype and condition. Axial brain sections highlighting regions showing significant main effects of *DBH* genotype (A) and condition (C) with age adjustment are shown. The maps are thresholded at p < .05, with a family-wise-error correction. Talairach *z* of slices levels are indicated. The bar on the right side of the Figures A and C indicates the strengths of effects. Right side of the brain is shown on the left. Indicated on the right are extracted values of percentage signal change according to (B) genotype (CC, T-carrier) or (D) condition (gambling, sad, and cocaine). Outliers of BOLD-signal are marked by circles; outlier evaluation by exclusion and retest; all the effects in the retests remained similar. Cluster-a: ventral striatum/orbital frontal cortex/anterior cingulate/rostrolateral prefrontal cortex/

inferior frontal gyrus/amygdala. Cluster-b: Cerebellum/parahippocampus/hippocampus/Fusiform. Cluster-c: Occipital cortex/temporal gyrus/angular gyrus/fusiform gyrus/temporopolar area/thalamus/posteror cingulate/cerebellum. Cluster-d: Anterior cingulate cortex/anterior prefrontal cortex/orbitofrontal gyri/insula

Yang et al.

Table 3. Subjective responses to the tape viewing

Videotape condition	Subjective responses	PG mean [SD]	HC mean [SD]	PG versus HC <i>p</i> -values
Gambling	Emotional intensity	7.89 [1.27]	4.78 [2.17]	<.00001*
Gambling	Gamble urge	7.53 [1.97]	1.16 [.40]	<.00001*
Gambling	Drugs urge	1.28 [.55]	1 [0]	.046
Sad	Emotional intensity	7.25 [2.01]	5.36 [1.93]	.0038*
Sad	Gamble urge	3.33 [2.66]	1 [0]	.0017*
Sad	Drugs urge	1.11 [.32]	1 [0]	.163
Cocaine	Emotional intensity	6.97 [2.38]	4.74 [2.18]	.0034*
Cocaine	Gamble urge	3.28 [2.71]	1.04 [.20]	.0028*
Cocaine	Drugs urge	1.94 [1.85]	1.08 [.28]	.066

Note. Multiple comparison was adjusted by Bonferroni correction. The significance threshold was set at .05/9 = .00556 by taking into the account that three subjective responses were tested for each of the three scenarios for each subject. SD = 0 reflected the same rating for all of the responses in the subgroup analyzed.

*p < .00556.

recruitment during the cocaine condition (p = .016), less recruitment during the sad condition (p = .09), and no difference during the gambling condition (p > .4).

Subjective responses

The subjective response ratings to the videos, involving ratings of emotional intensity, gambling urges, and drug cravings, are summarized by comparisons of the diagnostic groups (Table 3) and the genotype groups for the sad condition (Table 1B). The T-carriers responded to the sad videos with less subjective sadness (p = .035, Table 1B). More detailed descriptions regarding the subjective responses of the PG versus HC groups are presented in Supplementary Material VII. In comparison to the T-carriers, CC participants demonstrated stronger correlations between brain activations and subjective emotional intensity in response to the sad videos in a cluster extending from the parietal cortex (including inferior parietal lobule) to the inferior occipital gyrus (whole-brain correction p < .05; Supplementary Material VII, Figure S3).

DISCUSSION

This first study investigating DBH genetic influences on brain activity found that the functional T allele in DBH SNP rs1611115, which reduces the conversion of dopamine to norepinephrine, corresponds with different BOLD-signal changes in responses to gambling, drug or sad cues in individuals with and without PG. A main effect of genotype was observed with respect to responses to the videotapes in the amygdala, vmPFC, and striatal areas, and a DBHgenotype-by-condition, as well as group-by-condition interactions, implicated corticostriatal-limbic regions. DBH genotype was also linked to subjective responses to the sad videotapes. The finding of DBH effects across diagnostic groupings is consistent with transdiagnostic research efforts (e.g., research domain criteria). Finally, cue-condition differences were found in occipital and temporal cortices and ventral prefrontal cortical regions. These findings, particularly the novel DBH-related findings, suggest an important role for dopamine and norepinephrine in subjective sadness

and brain correlates of emotional regulation, impulse control, and motivational processes.

Subjective responses

Subjective responses to the videos provide a validity check (i.e., specificity of responsiveness as designed) and are consistent with previous studies reporting stronger gamblingurge responses during the gambling-cue condition in the PG group (Potenza et al., 2003) and for cue-specificity as in the larger sample (Kober et al., 2016). Interestingly, the PG group also reported stronger gambling urges to the sad and cocaine-cue conditions, suggesting that other states (dysphoric, drug-cue-related) may trigger gambling urges; alternatively, persistent baseline gambling urges may endure across conditions in the PG group.

Main effect of DBH genotype

Collapsed across diagnostic groups and video conditions, the increased recruitment by the CC relative to the T-carrier subjects in dopaminergic projection sites suggests that greater conversion of dopamine to norepinephrine may relate to increased corticostriatal-limbic activation in regions implicated in emotional regulation, motivation, executive and cognitive functions, and impulse control, among other processes (Goldstein & Volkow, 2011; Li & Sinha, 2008; Satterthwaite et al., 2007). Given the links between DBH activity and addiction characteristics, manipulating the balance between dopamine and norepinephrine could prove an effective treatment strategy for multiple neuropsychiatric disorders (Marecos, Ng, & Kurian, 2014). For example, the drug disulfiram is effective in the treatment of alcohol and CD, possibly through effects on craving (Carroll et al., 2004; Skinner et al., 2014). DBH inhibition by disulfiram (Kosten et al., 2013) may reduce craving via increasing dopamine levels and decreasing norepinephrine levels (Carroll et al., 2004). Accordingly, clinical case reports suggest that disulfiram may reduce gambling urges in PG (Muller et al., 2011). These reports provide a proof-of-principle for PG treatment via DBH inhibition to regulate the balance between dopamine and norepinephrine, which have both been implicated to varying degrees in PG (Leeman & Potenza, 2012).

Subjective reports of greater sadness to sad videos were reported in the CC group, relative to the T-carriers (Table 1B), a finding that is consistent with reports of lowered empathic characteristics in the T-carrier group (Gong et al., 2014). Our results with fMRI extend these findings to demonstrate diminished recruitment of frontostriatal circuitry in T-carriers, specifically during the sad condition. These data link the DBH polymorphism with alterations in frontostriatal responses while listening to the personal distress of another person. Specifically, the observed DBH-genotype-by-condition differences implicate the dlPFC, midbrain, hippocampus, insula, caudate, putamen, and thalamus. These brain regions are associated with dopaminergic network differences in multiple addictive behaviors and neuropsychiatric disorders (Leeman & Potenza, 2012). The dlPFC is sensitive to levels of norepinephrine and dopamine, in which imbalances may impair prefrontal cortex function (Arnsten, 2011) and influence emotional regulation (Perlstein, Elbert, & Stenger, 2002; Urry et al., 2006). As the T allele is associated with impulsivity, aggressive hostility, addiction vulnerability, and altered noradrenergic function (Cubells et al., 2000; Hess et al., 2009; Kalayasiri et al., 2007; Kohnke et al., 2002), the diminished recruitment of corticostriatal areas by T-carriers suggests possible neural substrates of emotion dysregulation that may be conferred by the "risk" T allele.

The identified brain areas, including left insula, caudate, and putamen, are implicated in effort-based decisionmaking (Treadway et al., 2012), preferences for rewarding substances (Szczypka et al., 2001), and, arguably most relevant to the current task, emotional regulation (Martinot et al., 2001). Although small, the current sample demonstrated numerically but not statistically higher impulsivity in the T-carrier group (p < .10, Table 1B), consistent with reports of a more impulsive personality style associated with this genotype (Hess et al., 2009). Higher impulsivity has been related to lower D2/D3 dopamine receptor availability in the midbrain (Buckholtz et al., 2010), with greater amphetamine-related dopamine release related to mibrain (substantia nigra) levels of D₃ dopamine receptors in PG subjects (Boileau et al., 2014). In PG, a disorder characterized by increased impulsivity, striatal dopamine D_2/D_3 receptor binding negatively correlates with mood-related impulsivity (Clark et al., 2012). Future studies with larger samples could examine potential interactions between impulsivity, mood, and dopaminergic function in PG as compared to non-PG samples.

Group effects across conditions

Group differences occurred in ventral PFC and ventral striatal areas. Specifically, during the sad condition, the PG group demonstrated diminished frontostriatal activity and greater subjective sadness relative to the HC group (Table 3). A previous study using the same task but an independent sample demonstrated correlations between sadness ratings and medial prefrontal regions in PG but not HC subjects, suggesting differential sensitivities and alterations in affective processing in PG (Balodis, Lacadie, & Potenza, 2012). As impairments in emotional clarity and

awareness are noted in PG (Williams, Grisham, Erskine, & Cassedy, 2012), further investigations could examine how these activations relate to emotional dysregulation in PG.

The finding of relatively increased activity within this cluster in the PG group to the cocaine-cue condition was unanticipated and persisted after exclusion of the three subjects with comorbid cocaine abuse/dependence. Further research should examine the extent to which PG subjects' responses to cocaine cues reflect predilections toward or histories of cocaine use, particularly given the elevated co-occurrence between PG and CD and genetic contributions to their co-occurrence (Xian et al., 2014).

Main effects of condition

The main effects of condition on brain activations, collapsed across the *DBH* genotypes and the diagnostic groups, implicated the OFC, vmPFC, anterior and posterior cingulate, thalamus, caudate, left insula, and medial frontal gyrus. Greater activation of occipital and temporal cortices, i.e., audiovisual-processing regions, was observed during the gambling condition. These findings complement those that have implicated in PG patient's dorsal visual processing stream involving the cuneus in motivational states underlying gambling urges (Crockford, Goodyear, Edwards, Quickfall, & el-Guebaly, 2005), although the findings may also reflect qualities of the gambling tape given the finding across diagnostic groups. Future studies with larger samples may dissect effects of cue conditions in individuals with different characteristics.

Main effect of diagnostic group

In contrast to our previous studies (Kober et al., 2016; Potenza et al., 2003) using this task, the current study did not detect a main effect of group on BOLD signal changes. Sample characteristics and analytic technique differences may underlie the discrepant findings. Our previous study (Potenza et al., 2003) focused on male-only PG versus HC, while the recent study (Kober et al., 2016) aimed at comparing neurobiological similarities and differences between cocaine craving and gambling urges using both males and females of multi-ethnic backgrounds in three diagnostic groups of PG, CD, and HC individuals. These two previous studies evaluated the first and the last viewing periods, and investigated between-group differences in neural activities during viewing of videos of gambling, happy, or sad content (Potenza et al., 2003), or with gambling, sad, and cocaine content (Kober et al., 2016). The study (Potenza et al., 2003) found that the most pronounced between-group differences (PG versus HC) in neural activities were observed during the initial period of viewing of the gambling conditions (Potenza et al., 2003). In that study, PG subjects displayed relatively decreased activity in frontal and OFC, caudate/ basal ganglia, and thalamus compared with controls. In our recent study (Kober et al., 2016), a diagnostic group by video interaction identified mPFC, which was activated mainly to cocaine videos in CD participants during the initial viewing period, and a more dorsal mPFC region that was most strongly activated for cocaine videos in CD participants, gambling videos in PG participants, and sad videos in HC during the last viewing period. Our current

study includes both men and women of EA-only PG versus HC, and investigates signal changes during the entire period of tape-viewing (a different analytic approach used in [Potenza, 2008]). We have reanalyzed the current study data separated by sex using the previous approaches, partially replicating our previous findings in an independent sample (Potenza et al., 2003) with relatively decreased activities in VS (p = .033) of PG versus HC for the male-only sample, but not for the vmPFC (see Supplementary Material X).

Strengths, limitations and future directions

Together, the findings suggest important roles for dopamine and norepinephrine in emotion regulation and impulse control and provide a context for the novel DBH-related findings reported here. Strengths of this initial study lie in the whole-brain analytic approach to assess neural activity and sizable samples of CC and T-carrier subjects. While some effects between cue conditions may linger, the counterbalancing approach was designed to mitigate this possibility. Responses to sad-scenario content (parental divorce, a relative's death) may differ based on individual subjects' experiences; information regarding parental divorce or death was not collected and limited us from evaluation of its impact on the responses to sad cues. Nonetheless, the qualitative and emotional intensity ratings by participants provide support that the scenarios were successful in generating the intended emotional responses. Additionally, findings in this study substantiate previous reports of diminished empathy in T-carriers and extend these findings to demonstrate the neural substrates underlying this effect. Together with subjective reports, these findings therefore provide proof-of-concept of the video task.

Small sample sizes when classifying by both diagnostic group and *DBH* genotypes may have precluded identification of some significant findings, such as the two-way and three-way interaction effects of group-by-*DBH*-genotype and group-by-*DBH*-genotype-by-condition, respectively. Nonetheless, the sample sizes for main effects of *DBH* genotype (N-_{CC} = 20/N-_{T-carrier} = 23) and condition (N= 43) and for two-way interactions of group-by-condition (N-_{PG} = 18/N-_{HC} = 25) and *DBH*-genotype-by-condition (N-_{CC} = 20/N-_{T-carrier} = 23) comply with recommended guidelines for power and sample size consideration for clinical fMRI studies (Carter, Heckers, Nichols, Pine, & Strother, 2008). Additionally, some *p* values may not withstand correction for multiple comparisons (e.g., with respect to allelic variation and subjective responses to sad videos).

Imbalances in co-occurring psychiatric disorders existed between PG and HC groups; however, the PG pattern of comorbidity is reflective of this clinical population (Barnes, Welte, Tidwell, & Hoffman, 2015). After controlling for comorbid conditions (i.e., cocaine and alcohol dependence), results remained similar (see Supplementary Material VIII). Further analyses contrasting smokers versus non-smokers within the PG group found no difference between PG smokers and PG non-smokers for the gambling and cocaine scenarios but discovered some differences in the sad scenario (see Supplementary Material IX). However, the brain regions in response to the sad condition differ from the brain regions, implicating corticostriatal-limbic neurocircuitry we identified across the three conditions. Therefore, the differences between PG versus HC groups for the sad condition do not appear attributable to smoking status.

Despite limitations, the current study identified *DBH*related differences in brain function in the processing of affective and motivational cues in PG and HC groups. Given DBH's role in dopamine/norepinephrine balance, future studies should investigate the extent to which targeting dopamine and/or norepinephrine function in corticostriatallimbic brain regions might be helpful for PG treatment, particularly in individuals with specific *DBH* allelic identities.

Funding sources: Funding was provided by NIH grants K01 DA24758, R01 DA019039, R01 DA012849, R01 DA012690, R01 DA018647, P20 DA027844, CASAColumbia, a Brain and Behavior Research NARSAD Young Investigator award, the Connecticut State Department of Mental Health and Addictions Services, the Connecticut Mental Health Center, an unrestricted research gift from the Mohegan Sun Casino, and the Yale Gambling Center of Research Excellence Award grant from the National Center for Responsible Gaming. The funding agencies did not provide input or comment on the content of the manuscript, and the content of the manuscript reflects the contributions and thoughts of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies.

Authors' contribution: B-ZY analyzed the data, wrote the draft of the manuscript and revised the manuscript and addressed the reviewers' comments. IMB helped revise the manuscript and provided guidance of the revision. CML analyzed the imaging data. JX offered interpretation of the results and discussion. MP designed and guided the study, proposed the analyses, and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. MP has received financial support or compensation for the following: MP has consulted for Ironwood, Lundbeck, Shire, INSYS, RiverMend Health and Lakelight Theraputics/Opiant; has received research support from Mohegan Sun Casino, the National Center for Responsible Gaming, and Psyadon pharmaceuticals; has participated in surveys, mailings or telephone consultations related to drug addiction, impulse control disorders or other health topics; has consulted for law offices and gambling entities on issues related to impulse control disorders; provides clinical care in the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services Problem Gambling Services Program; has performed grant reviews for the National Institutes of Health and other agencies; has guest-edited journal sections; has given academic lectures in grand rounds, CME events and other clinical or scientific venues; and has generated books or book chapters for publishers of mental health texts.

Acknowledgements: We thank all the study participants to make this project possible. We also would like to thank Ann

Marie Lacobelle for genotyping the *DBH* variant and Dr. Joel Gelernter for supervising the genotyping work.

REFERENCES

- Arnsten, A. F. (2011). Catecholamine influences on dorsolateral prefrontal cortical networks. *Biological Psychiatry*, 69(12), e89–e99. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.01.027
- Balodis, I. M., Lacadie, C. M., & Potenza, M. N. (2012). A preliminary study of the neural correlates of the intensities of self-reported gambling urges and emotions in men with pathological gambling. *Journal of Gambling Studies*, 28(3), 493–513. doi:10.1007/s10899-011-9259-8
- Barnes, G. M., Welte, J. W., Tidwell, M. C., & Hoffman, J. H. (2015). Gambling and substance use: Co-occurrence among adults in a recent general population study in the United States. *International Gambling Studies*, 15(1), 55–71. doi:10.1080/ 14459795.2014.990396
- Boileau, I., Payer, D., Chugani, B., Lobo, D. S., Houle, S., Wilson, A. A., Warsh, J., Kish, S. J., & Zack, M. (2014). In vivo evidence for greater amphetamine-induced dopamine release in pathological gambling: A positron emission tomography study with [(11)C]-(+)-PHNO. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 19(12), 1305– 1313. doi:10.1038/mp.2013.163
- Buckholtz, J. W., Treadway, M. T., Cowan, R. L., Woodward, N. D., Li, R., Ansari, M. S., Baldwin, R. M., Schwartzman, A. N., Shelby, E. S., Smith, C. E., Kessler, R. M., & Zald, D. H. (2010). Dopaminergic network differences in human impulsivity. *Science*, 329(5991), 532. doi:10.1126/science.1185778
- Carroll, K. M., Fenton, L. R., Ball, S. A., Nich, C., Frankforter, T. L., Shi, J., & Rounsaville, B. J. (2004). Efficacy of disulfiram and cognitive behavior therapy in cocaine-dependent outpatients: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Archives* of General Psychiatry, 61(3), 264–272. doi:10.1001/ archpsyc.61.3.264
- Carter, C. S., Heckers, S., Nichols, T., Pine, D. S., & Strother, S. (2008). Optimizing the design and analysis of clinical functional magnetic resonance imaging research studies. *Biological Psychiatry*, 64(10), 842–849. doi:10.1016/j. biopsych.2008.06.014
- Clark, L., Stokes, P. R., Wu, K., Michalczuk, R., Benecke, A., Watson, B. J., Egerton, A., Piccini, P., Nutt, D. J., Bowden-Jones, H., & Lingford-Hughes, A. R. (2012). Striatal dopamine D(2)/D(3) receptor binding in pathological gambling is correlated with mood-related impulsivity. *Neuroimage*, 63(1), 40– 46. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.06.067
- Crockford, D. N., Goodyear, B., Edwards, J., Quickfall, J., & el-Guebaly, N. (2005). Cue-induced brain activity in pathological gamblers. *Biological Psychiatry*, 58(10), 787–795. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.04.037
- Cubells, J. F., Kranzler, H. R., McCance-Katz, E., Anderson, G. M., Malison, R. T., Price, L. H., & Gelernter, J. (2000). A haplotype at the DBH locus, associated with low plasma dopamine beta-hydroxylase activity, also associates with cocaine-induced paranoia. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 5(1), 56–63. doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4000657
- First, M. (1997). *Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV* axis I disorders: SCID-I clinician version. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Pub. Inc.

- Goldstein, R. Z., & Volkow, N. D. (2011). Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: Neuroimaging findings and clinical implications. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12(11), 652–669. doi:10.1038/nrn3119
- Gong, P., Liu, J., Li, S., & Zhou, X. (2014). Dopamine betahydroxylase gene modulates individuals' empathic ability. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 9(9), 1341– 1345. doi:10.1093/scan/nst122
- Grant, J. E., Odlaug, B. L., Chamberlain, S. R., Hampshire, A., Schreiber, L. R., & Kim, S. W. (2013). A proof of concept study of tolcapone for pathological gambling: Relationships with COMT genotype and brain activation. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 23(11), 1587–1596. doi:10.1016/j. euroneuro.2013.07.008
- Grant, J. E. P., & Potenza, M. N. (2004). *Pathological gambling: A clinical guide to treatment*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Pub. Inc.
- Gyollai, Á., Griffiths, M. D., Barta, Cs., Vereczkei, A., Urbán, R., Kun, B., Kökönyei, Gy., Székely, A., Sasvári-Székely, M., Blum, K., & Demetrovics, Zs. (2014). The genetics of problem and pathological gambling: A systematic review. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 20(25), 3993–3999. doi:10.2174/ 13816128113199990626
- Harmer, C. J., Perrett, D. I., Cowen, P. J., & Goodwin, G. M. (2001). Administration of the beta-adrenoceptor blocker propranolol impairs the processing of facial expressions of sadness. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*, 154(4), 383–389. doi:10.1007/s002130000654
- Hess, C., Reif, A., Strobel, A., Boreatti-Hummer, A., Heine, M., Lesch, K. P., & Jacob, C. P. (2009). A functional dopaminebeta-hydroxylase gene promoter polymorphism is associated with impulsive personality styles, but not with affective disorders. *Journal of Neural Transmission (Vienna)*, *116*(2), 121– 130. doi:10.1007/s00702-008-0138-0
- Kalayasiri, R., Sughondhabirom, A., Gueorguieva, R., Coric, V., Lynch, W. J., Lappalainen, J., Gelernter, J., Cubells, J. F., & Malison, R. T. (2007). Dopamine beta-hydroxylase gene (DbetaH)-1021C->T influences self-reported paranoia during cocaine self-administration. *Biological Psychiatry*, 61(11), 1310–1313. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.08.012
- Kober, H., Lacadie, C. M., Wexler, B. E., Malison, R. T., Sinha, R., & Potenza, M. N. (2016). Brain activity during cocaine craving and gambling urges: An fMRI study. *Neurop*sychopharmacology, 41(2), 628–637. doi:10.1038/npp.2015.193
- Kohnke, M. D., Zabetian, C. P., Anderson, G. M., Kolb, W., Gaertner, I., Buchkremer, G., Vonthein, R., Schick, S., Lutz, U., Köhnke, A. M., & Cubells, J. F. (2002). A genotypecontrolled analysis of plasma dopamine beta-hydroxylase in healthy and alcoholic subjects: Evidence for alcohol-related differences in noradrenergic function. *Biological Psychiatry*, 52(12), 1151–1158. doi:10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01427-0
- Kosten, T. R., Wu, G., Huang, W., Harding, M. J., Hamon, S. C., Lappalainen, J., & Nielsen, D. A. (2013). Pharmacogenetic randomized trial for cocaine abuse: Disulfiram and dopamine beta-hydroxylase. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(3), 219–224. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.07.011
- Leeman, R. F., & Potenza, M. N. (2012). Similarities and differences between pathological gambling and substance use disorders: A focus on impulsivity and compulsivity. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*, 219(2), 469–490. doi:10.1007/s00213-011-2550-7

- Li, C. S., & Sinha, R. (2008). Inhibitory control and emotional stress regulation: Neuroimaging evidence for frontal-limbic dysfunction in psycho-stimulant addiction. *Neuroscience* and Biobehavioral Reviews, 32(3), 581–597. doi:10.1016/j. neubiorev.2007.10.003
- Lind, P. A., Zhu, G., Montgomery, G. W., Madden, P. A., Heath, A. C., Martin, N. G., & Slutske, W. S. (2013). Genome-wide association study of a quantitative disordered gambling trait. *Addiction Biology*, 18(3), 511–522. doi:10.1111/j.1369-1600.2012.00463.x
- Linnet, J., Mouridsen, K., Peterson, E., Moller, A., Doudet, D. J., & Gjedde, A. (2012). Striatal dopamine release codes uncertainty in pathological gambling. *Psychiatry Research*, 204(1), 55–60. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2012.04.012
- Marecos, C., Ng, J., & Kurian, M. A. (2014). What is new for monoamine neurotransmitter disorders? *Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease*, 37(4), 619–626. doi:10.1007/s10545-014-9697-4
- Martinot, M., Bragulat, V., Artiges, E., Dolle, F., Hinnen, F., Jouvent, R., & Martinot, J. (2001). Decreased presynaptic dopamine function in the left caudate of depressed patients with affective flattening and psychomotor retardation. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(2), 314–316. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.158.2.314
- Muller, C. A., Banas, R., Heinz, A., & Hein, J. (2011). Treatment of pathological gambling with disulfiram: A report of 2 cases. *Pharmacopsychiatry*, 44(2), 81–83. doi:10.1055/s-0031-1271683
- Nolf, E. (2003). XMedCon An open-source medical image conversion toolkit. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 30(Suppl. 2), S246.
- Perlstein, W. M., Elbert, T., & Stenger, V. A. (2002). Dissociation in human prefrontal cortex of affective influences on working memory-related activity. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences USA*, 99(3), 1736–1741. doi:10.1073/pnas.241650598
- Peron, J., Grandjean, D., Drapier, S., & Verin, M. (2014). Effect of dopamine therapy on nonverbal affect burst recognition in Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One*, 9(3), e90092. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0090092
- Potenza, M. N. (2008). Review. The neurobiology of pathological gambling and drug addiction: An overview and new findings. *Philosophical Transanctions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences, 363*(1507), 3181–3189. doi:10.1098/ rstb.2008.0100
- Potenza, M. N., Steinberg, M. A., Skudlarski, P., Fulbright, R. K., Lacadie, C. M., Wilber, M. K., Rounsaville, B. J., Gore, J. C., & Wexler, B. E. (2003). Gambling urges in pathological gambling: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60(8), 828–836. doi:10.1001/ archpsyc.60.8.828
- Potenza, M. N., Xian, H., Shah, K., Scherrer, J. F., & Eisen, S. A. (2005). Shared genetic contributions to pathological gambling and major depression in men. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(9), 1015–1021. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.9.1015
- Satterthwaite, T. D., Green, L., Myerson, J., Parker, J., Ramaratnam, M., & Buckner, R. L. (2007). Dissociable but

inter-related systems of cognitive control and reward during decision making: Evidence from pupillometry and event-related fMRI. *Neuroimage*, *37*(3), 1017–1031. doi:10.1016/j. neuroimage.2007.04.066

- Skinner, M. D., Lahmek, P., Pham, H., & Aubin, H. J. (2014). Disulfiram efficacy in the treatment of alcohol dependence: A meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 9(2), e87366. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0087366
- Szczypka, M. S., Kwok, K., Brot, M. D., Marck, B. T., Matsumoto, A. M., Donahue, B. A., & Palmiter, R. D. (2001). Dopamine production in the caudate putamen restores feeding in dopamine-deficient mice. *Neuron*, 30(3), 819–828. doi:10.1016/ S0896-6273(01)00319-1
- Treadway, M. T., Buckholtz, J. W., Cowan, R. L., Woodward, N. D., Li, R., Ansari, M. S., Baldwin, R. M., Schwartzman, A. N., Kessler, R. M., & Zald, D. H. (2012). Dopaminergic mechanisms of individual differences in human effort-based decision-making. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(18), 6170–6176. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6459-11.2012
- Urry, H. L., van Reekum, C. M., Johnstone, T., Kalin, N. H., Thurow, M. E., Schaefer, H. S., Jackson, C. A., Frye, C. J., Greischar, L. L., Alexander, A. L., & Davidson, R. J. (2006). Amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex are inversely coupled during regulation of negative affect and predict the diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion among older adults. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26(16), 4415–4425. doi:10.1523/JNEUR-OSCI.3215-05.2006
- Wexler, B. E., Gottschalk, C. H., Fulbright, R. K., Prohovnik, I., Lacadie, C. M., Rounsaville, B. J., & Gore, J. C. (2001). Functional magnetic resonance imaging of cocaine craving. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(1), 86–95. doi:10.1176/ appi.ajp.158.1.86
- Williams, A. D., Grisham, J. R., Erskine, A., & Cassedy, E. (2012). Deficits in emotion regulation associated with pathological gambling. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 51(2), 223–238. doi:10.1111/bjc.2012.51.issue-2
- Xian, H., Giddens, J. L., Scherrer, J. F., Eisen, S. A., & Potenza, M. N. (2014). Environmental factors selectively impact cooccurrence of problem/pathological gambling with specific drug-use disorders in male twins. *Addiction*, 109(4), 635– 644. doi:10.1111/add.2014.109.issue-4
- Xie, X., Xu, L., Liu, H., Chen, W., Zhuang, D., Zhang, J., Duan, S., & Zhou, W. (2013). Positive association between -1021TT genotype of dopamine beta hydroxylase gene and progressive behavior of injection heroin users. *Neuroscience Letters*, 541, 258–262. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.02.049
- Zabetian, C. P., Anderson, G. M., Buxbaum, S. G., Elston, R. C., Ichinose, H., Nagatsu, T., Kim, K.-S., Kim, C.-H., Malison, R. T., Gelernter, J., & Cubells, J. F. (2001). A quantitative-trait analysis of human plasma-dopamine beta-hydroxylase activity: Evidence for a major functional polymorphism at the DBH locus. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 68(2), 515–522. doi:10.1086/318198