

Differences of Some Chemicals and Physical Properties of Winter Wheat Grain of Mealy and Vitreous Appearance

I. KONOPKA¹, M. TAŃSKA^{1*} and S. KONOPKA²

¹Chair of Food Plant Chemistry and Processing, Faculty of Food Sciences,
University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland

²Department of Working Machine and Methodology of Research, Faculty of Technical Sciences,
University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland

(Received 18 June 2014; Accepted 4 September 2014;
Communicated by F. Békés)

Many wheat species and cultivars, independent of genetic markers of hardness, can produce grain with a vitreous, mealy or mixed appearance. This study analyzed selected chemical and physical differences between kernels with a vitreous and mealy appearance, hand-picked from grain of four winter wheat cultivars cultivated in Poland. Separated fractions were examined for protein content and composition, friabilin presence, carotenoids and total phenolic compounds content, specific kernel density, hardness, as well as kernel surface color. It was found that the ratio of vitreous kernels in the cultivars ranged from 39.18% to 76.28%. Vitreous kernels were darker, slightly heavier and harder than mealy kernels. Additionally, these kernels were more abundant in proteins (an average increase of 2.13%, with variation among cultivars from 0.71% to 2.89%). This type of kernels was also richer in phenolic compounds (on average by 4.02%) and less abundant in carotenoids (on average by 4.53%). Mealy (softer) kernels fractured to a finer flour.

Keywords: endosperm vitreousness, mealiness, gluten proteins, kernel density, hardness

Introduction

Wheat cultivars produce grain in which fully vitreous and mealy, or kernels with mixed endosperm structure exist (Horrobin et al. 2003). So-called “piebald” or “yellow berry” kernels can occur in both durum and hexaploid wheat, with mealy and vitreous zones in a sharply-defined area (Dexter et al. 1989). Evers and Bechtel (1988) explained mealy (starchy, chalky, opaque, soft) endosperm as a result of light scattering at the air-starch and air-protein interfaces. Later studies showed that vitreousness is related to the endosperm microstructure (Greffeuille et al. 2006) as well as to kernel color and its mechanical properties (Peterson et al. 2001; Konopka et al. 2005). Soft endosperm is usually linked with a chalky-opaque appearance, and hard endosperm is linked with a glassy-vitreous appearance. Although the vitreousness of endosperm tissue is more typical of hard wheat,

* Corresponding author; E-mail: m.tanska@uwm.edu.pl

soft wheat cultivars may also produce cohesive hard sections. Morris et al. (2008) found large variations in endosperm microstructure from kernel-to-kernel within pure cultivars of soft, hard and durum wheats. Such variability can also be affected by the vegetation conditions, especially by fertilization (Samson et al. 2005) and climate (Evers and Bechtel 1988). For example, when a soft cultivar of wheat is grown under optimum conditions, it produces vitreous kernels and, in contrast, many hard types of wheat produce opaque/mealy kernels (Pasha et al. 2010). Kernel vitreousness has been found to generally increase with nitrogen fertilization supply (Farm Facts 1997) and can be declined by damp conditions before grain harvest (Sandhu et al. 2009).

It was initially thought that starchy and vitreous zones within the endosperm of “piebald” kernels were similar in protein content and composition (Dexter et al. 1989). In a later study, Samson et al. (2005) showed that vitreous kernels are of a higher density, higher protein content and preferentially accumulate gliadins instead of glutenins. According to cited authors “piebald” kernels were an indicator of nitrogen deficit during vegetation and their starchy/soft appearance was associated with a protein content of up to 9.7% and a gliadin/glutenin ratio of up to 0.85. However, in a recent study by Morris and Beecher (2012), it was found that near-isogenic lines in soft white spring wheat (which lack the distal portion of chromosome 5D short arm) can produce both vitreous, non-vitreous and mixed kernels, with vitreous and non-vitreous kernels very similar in protein content and kernel density. Additionally, the cited authors concluded that the 5DS gene(s) control the manner in which the endosperm develops, i.e. whether it is vitreous or non-vitreous, as well as kernel hardness.

Although the issue of grain quality with a different mealiness/vitreousness has been studied for many years, existing data on the technological quality of both endosperm microstructure types mainly concern protein variation and are still ambiguous. This study was conducted to show the variation of protein content and composition between mealy and vitreous kernels inside the grain of common winter wheat cultivars. In addition to protein analyses, the present study is also focused on comparing other properties that are mutually related to kernel mealiness/vitreousness, such as phenolic compounds, carotenoids, kernel color and hardness, as well as specific kernel density. This knowledge could be utilized both to confirm the differences of technological/nutritional quality of vitreous and mealy kernels and to show the possibility of their separation.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation

Kernels visually classified as vitreous and mealy inside the grain of four commercial common winter wheat cultivars: Skagen, Olivin, Ludwig, and Muszelka of moisture content approx. to $10 \pm 0.5\%$ (wet basis) were used. Used grain samples differed in potential baking value, from the highest value estimated as E/A for Skagen, through A value for Olivin and Ludwig and A/B value for Muszelka cultivar, respectively (E, A, B – extra, quality and bread wheat according to Polish Standards, respectively). Initially, whole grain sam-

ple was tested for particle size index. In next step kernels of vitreous, mealy and mixed appearance were hand-picked from 100 g of sample and each fraction was weighted in order to determine its ratio in grain mass. In further experiments only grain classified as vitreous (V) and mealy (M) has been utilized (Fig. S1*). Separated fractions have been tested for protein content and composition, moisture, total carotenoids and phenolic compounds content, friabilin presence, kernel specific density, color of kernel surface, hardness of endosperm and characteristics of granulation of flour after milling (the last analysis was done only for one cultivar).

Basic methods

The moisture content was determined using the PN-EN ISO 712:2012P method. Particle size index, done according to Williams and Sobering (1986) method, was used for endosperm hardness classification. Kernel density was calculated as a ratio of single kernel mass and apparent volume (g/cm^3) using toluene pycnometer method (Markowski et al. 2013) with an accuracy of weight of 0.001 g. Friabilin (indirect marker of genetic softness) was tested using monoclonal antibody in Durotest[®]S (R-BIOFARM RHONE LTD). Flour granulation was measured by 10 min. sifting with the use of SZ-1 laboratory sifter (Research Institute of Bakery Industry, Bydgoszcz, Poland) with meshes of 60, 120, 150, 200, 240 μm .

Proteins

Proteins were analyzed with RP-HPLC technique using the solvent system developed by Wieser et al. (1998). Before analyses grain was milled in a laboratory mill type IKA A10 (Labortechnik, Germany). The chromatographic separation was carried out on a Hewlett-Packard apparatus series 1050: RP-18 Vydac 218TP54 column with 5 mm bead size and 300 Å pore size, 250 × 4.6 mm; a Zorbax 300SB-C18 pre-column, 4.6 × 12.5 mm; a column temperature of 45°C, a mobile phase flow rate of 1 ml/min, and an injection volume of 20 ml. A two-component gradient was used. A component: 0 min 75%, 5 min 65%, 10 min 50%, 17 min 25%, 18 min 15% and 19 min 75%. The first component (A) was water with 0.1% of TFA, and the second (B) was ACN with 0.1% of TFA. The spectra were determined by a diode-array detector (HP 1050). Quantification of proteins was done by UV absorbance at 210 nm. The results were expressed as miliabsorbance units × second (mAU × s). The identification of protein subunits was based on their retention times and the second derivative of their UV spectra according to Konopka et al. (2007). Differences between samples have been highlighted by subtracting chromatogram signal of mealy sample from chromatogram signal of vitreous sample (inside cultivar), separately for each protein fraction.

Carotenoids

Carotenoids were extracted by water-saturated *n*-butanol, and determined spectrophotometrically according to Kaneko et al. (1997). Absorbance was measured at the wavelength

* Further details about the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) can be found at the end of the article.

of 449 nm (maximum of lutein absorption). The measurements were carried out with a UNICAM UV/Vis UV2 spectrophotometer (ATI Unicam, Cambridge, UK). Carotenoid content was presented as mg of lutein per g of a sample dry mass.

Total phenolic compounds

Total polyphenols extraction was preceded by alkaline hydrolysis of wheat samples with 2N NaOH \times 4 h at room temperature. After hydrolysis, the mixture was neutralized (6N HCl) and evaporated to dryness. Released phenolic compounds were extracted by the use of 80% methanol and determined spectrophotometrically. The color reaction was carried out by adding 0.5 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, 3 mL of 14% of sodium carbonate and 6.5 mL of distilled water to the polyphenols extract. After being mixed, the solutions were left for 60 min and their absorbance was then measured against the reagent sample (without the phenolics extract) at the wavelength of 720 nm, with a UNICAM UV/Vis UV2 spectrophotometer. The content of phenolic compounds was expressed as mg of D-catechine equivalent in 1 g of a sample dry mass.

Kernel colour

The color of the kernel surface was measured using digital image analysis (DIA) for kernels arranged with the ventral side down. The images were acquired by a high resolution, low-noise CCD Nikon DXM-1200 color camera and analyzed by LUCIA G v. 4.8 software. The frame grabber was at a resolution of 1280 \times 1024 pixels. The kernels were examined from a distance (lens to object) of 13 cm. The light source was a Kaiser RB 5004 HF – High Frequency Daylight Copy Light set with 4 \times 36 W fluorescent light tubes (color temperature about 5400 °K) (Kaiser Fototechnik GmbH & Co. KG, Germany). Color analyzes were performed on 60 samples of vitreous and mealy kernels. The results are presented in an HSI (H-hue, S-saturation, and I-intensity) color space, where H is expressed in degrees and S and I in percentages. Before analyzes, the calibration to a standard white reflective plate was done.

Endosperm hardness

Hardness was determined by using an indentation test based on method described by Konopka et al. (2005). Indentation was carried out on a Universal Testing Machine (UTM) Instron 4301 (Instron Corporation, Canton, Massachusetts, USA) by compressing a steel needle (0.55 mm in diameter) into the centre of a flat surface of the endosperm to a depth of 0.3 mm at a rate of 0.5 mm/min. Research samples were identical as in above described color analyses (60 samples). The maximum force (N) of compression was taken as the hardness.

Statistical analyses

The intra-cultivar differences between samples of different endosperm appearance were determined with Duncan tests. The effects of cultivar, the type of kernel endosperm appearance and their interactions were determined using a two-factorial variance analysis

with Wilks tests. The data were analyzed using STATISTICA v.10 software (StatSoft, Inc.). The calculations were performed at a significance level of $P = 0.05$.

Results

Main characteristics of tested wheat cultivar samples

Based on particle size index (Table S1), used cultivars were classified as hard (Skagen and Olivin) or medium hard (Ludwig and Muszelka). In the grain of Skagen, Ludwig and Olivin cultivars, vitreous kernels dominated, with a share from 63.15% to 76.28%. In contrast, in the Muszelka cultivar, kernels classified as mealy and mixed accounted for 60.82% of the grain mass. A monoclonal antibody test of the separated fractions showed the presence of friabilins in all samples, with a similar concentration in both V and M kernels (Fig. S2).

Protein variability between vitreous and mealy kernels

Grain protein content varied from 11.61% to 15.67% (Table 1) and was affected by cultivar and endosperm type to a comparable degree (Table S2). Vitreous kernels were approx. 2% more abundant in total proteins (12.60% versus 14.73%), with the highest difference (2.89%) inside kernels of the Skagen cultivar and the lowest (0.71%) for the Ludwig cultivar. The RP-HPLC separated ca. 60 protein peaks in total (Fig. S3). Generally, the albumin and globulin fraction constituted from 9.80% to 13.51%, gliadins from 45.65% to 50.05% and glutenins from 38.30% to 42.54% of the total protein. Subtracting chromatogram signals of mealy and vitreous samples showed the main quantity differences between fractions of used cultivars, while non-specific peaks were observed. Generally, vitreous kernels were significantly richer in each protein subunits, with the highest average increase of HMW glutenins (24.12%) and α/β gliadins (21.14%). The type of endosperm mostly affected the albumin/globulin fraction and HMW glutenins (with 71.58% and 62.92% of explained variance, respectively). In contrast, the cultivar effect was dominant for the content of Ω gliadins (88.63% of explained variance) and LMW glutenins (63.48% of explained variance). Other protein fractions were in a similar way affected by the main tested experimental effects.

Our results showed that the Gli-to-Glu ratio was significantly affected by cultivar (37.11% of explained variance) and the interaction of cultivar \times type of endosperm effects (36.30% of explained variance), with a significant impact of other unexplained factors. The Gli-to-Glu ratio varied from 1.08 to 1.31. In two cultivars (Skagen and Muszelka), the increase in total gliadins was higher than the increase in total glutenins, which resulted in an increase in the Gli-to-Glu ratio. An opposite effect was observed for Ludwig and Olivin cultivars, in which vitreous kernels were slightly more abundant in glutenins. However, the overall observed variations between vitreous and mealy kernels were not high (on average, a 2% change) and should not significantly affect the elastic-viscous properties of the gluten matrix.

Table 1. Protein content (%) and composition (mAU \times s) of kernels with different optical appearance

Cultivar	Protein content (%)	Gliadins (GLI)			Glutenins (GLU)		GLI /GLU	GLU	GLI /GLU
		ω	α/β	γ	HMW	LMW			
Skagen M	12.15b	1849a	12970b	10762c	5290b	16600b	25581b	21890b	1.17bc
Skagen V	15.04d	2483c	17303e	12872f	7018f	19929d	32658e	26946d	1.21c
Olivin M	11.70a	2521c	11889a	9323a	4599a	16633b	23733a	21232ab	1.12ab
Olivin V	14.43c	3446d	15139c	10912c	6719e	20569e	29496c	27288d	1.08a
Ludwig M	14.96d	4283e	16691d	11742d	5799c	21454f	32716e	27253d	1.20c
Ludwig V	15.67f	4247e	17002de	12143e	6414d	22625g	33392e	29039e	1.15bc
Muszelka M	11.61a	1915a	11747a	10325b	5110b	16024a	23988a	21134a	1.13ab
Muszelka V	13.80c	2343b	15120c	13235g	5663c	17829c	30698d	23492c	1.31d
Mealy kernels	12.60a	2642a	13324a	10538a	5199a	17678a	26504a	22877a	1.16a
Vitreous kernels	14.73a	3130a	16141b	12290b	6453b	20238b	31561b	26691b	1.18a
Change V/M (%)	16.90	9.05	21.14	16.63	24.12	14.48	19.08	16.67	2.07

Mean values followed by different letters within a column are significantly different ($P = 0.05$), Mealy (M) and vitreous (V) kernels

Comparison of other features between vitreous and mealy kernels

Vitreous kernels inside Skagen, Ludwig and Olivin cultivars were of a slightly lower moisture content (on average, approx. 4%), and higher density (approx. 5%) than that of mealy kernels, while in the Muszelka cultivar, moisture content and kernel density were practically the same for both endosperm structures (Table 2). Endosperm hardness of mealy samples was significantly lower than that of vitreous kernels and this feature was mainly cultivar-dependent (52.99% of explained variance – Table S2), with the lowest and the highest variation for Muszelka and Olivin cultivars, respectively. Characteristics of flour granulation (only one cultivar tested – Muszelka) showed that in flour from vitreous kernels the fraction with a diameter of approx. 170 μm prevailed, while flour from mealy kernels was mainly composed of fine particles with a diameter of 90 μm (Fig. S4).

Kernel surface color was only slightly affected by cultivar, with hue (H) and intensity (I) values partly affected by the type of endosperm microstructure (up to 28.25% of explained variance). Generally, in vitreous kernels the hue was shifted from red to orange and the color intensity was up to 7% lower than in mealy kernels. This indicates minor discoloration of the seed coat of mealy kernels, which can be related to their lower proteins content (Table 1) and changes in grain pigments (Table 2). It was found that mealy kernels were less abundant in phenolic compounds (on average by 4.02%), and at the same time richer in carotenoids (on average by 4.53%). However, both of these groups of pigments were mostly related to cultivar effect (up to 93.27% of explained variance for carotenoid content – Table S2).

Discussion

In the analyzed wheat grain samples kernels with both a vitreous, mealy and mixed appearance were found, and the ratio of these endosperm types varied between cultivars. Although the used cultivars were not tested for genetic markers of hardness/softness, in a study by Langer and Salmanowicz (2009), two of them (Ludwig and Olivin) were genetically classified as soft. The soft genetic character of used cultivars can probably be deduced from the presence of friabilin, which had a similar concentration in vitreous and mealy kernels. This group of proteins is generally abundant in starch granules in grain with a soft character and is scarce on starch isolated from hard grain (Greenblatt et al. 1995). The softer character (in terms of technological properties) of mealy kernels was confirmed by an analysis of flour granulation after milling two extreme fractions of the Muszelka cultivar. It is well known that differences in the characteristics of the cell walls and the interaction of storage macropolymers with small molecules on interfaces affects grain milling (Pasha et al. 2010). Soft endosperm fractures more easily and gives a higher content of fine flour, which was found for mealy kernels of the Muszelka cultivar. According to Langer and Salmanowicz (2009), the majority of Polish cultivars (60 from 69 studied trials) genetically represent endosperm softness (with an approx. equal quantity of Pina-D1a and Pinb-D1a alleles (wild-type) and Pina-D1a and Pinb-D1b alleles). Despite this, they are usually classified as “mixed” using the SKCS system. A similar

Table 2. Some physicochemical properties of wheat kernels with different optical appearance

Cultivar	Friabilin test	Moisture (%)	Kernel density (g/cm ³)	Kernel surface color			Endosperm hardness (N)	Phenolic compounds (µg/g)	Carotenoids (µg/g)
				H (°)	S (%)	I (%)			
Skagen M	+	10.18b	1.25a	27.17a	33.72c	57.51b	130b	1407d	2.30d
Skagen V	+	9.70a	1.34b	28.37b	34.62c	54.14a	140c	1471e	2.31d
Olivin M	+	10.11a	1.43d	27.27a	30.52b	59.59c	89a	1368bc	1.59a
Olivin V	+	9.75a	1.49e	28.30b	30.99b	55.94ab	134a	1392cd	1.56a
Ludwig M	+	10.15b	1.38c	27.43a	28.91a	60.50a	111a	1348b	2.55e
Ludwig V	+	9.72a	1.43a	28.67b	34.96a	53.82a	128b	1392cd	2.22c
Muszelka M	+	9.60a	1.29a	26.67a	32.70c	60.48c	96a	1280a	1.94b
Muszelka V	+	9.65a	1.31a	28.21b	30.55b	58.43bc	101a	1365b	1.91b
Mealy kernels	+	10.01a	1.34a	27.14a	31.46a	59.52b	107a	1351a	2.10a
Vitreous kernels	+	9.71a	1.39a	28.39b	32.78a	55.58a	126a	1405a	2.00a
Change V/M (%)	-	-3.14	3.95	4.41	4.02	-7.08	15.31	4.02	-4.53

Mean values followed by different letters within a column are significantly different ($P = 0.05$), Friabilin was tested using monoclonal antibody in Durotest[®] S (R-BIOFARM RHONE LTD), Mealy (M) and vitreous (V) kernel

inconsistency of genetic and technological grain softness/hardness properties was observed by Salmanowicz et al. (2012). This generally points to the crucial impact of growing conditions on grain quality, especially for some sensitive wheat genotypes (Mladenov et al. 2012).

Our study showed that vitreous kernels were significantly more abundant in total protein. Samson et al. (2005) found a similar phenomenon among four cultivars of durum wheat (grown with five nitrogen levels at four locations and two years) in which the average total protein content was 1.5-fold higher for vitreous than for mealy kernels. According to these authors, the total protein content of mealy durum endosperm rarely exceeded 9.7%. Our study showed that the type of endosperm microstructure mostly affected the content of albumins/globulins and HMW glutenins, while Samson et al. (2005) concluded that the vitreousness/mealiness of durum wheat grain is mostly related to α , β and γ gliadins and the albumins/globulins content. However, in the case of hard red spring wheat grain the content of vitreous kernels is positively correlated with SDS-unextractable HMW proteins (Ohm et al. 2010). Variation of subunits between gliadin and glutenin proteins in mealy/vitreous kernels can affect the Gli-to-Glu ratio, which is an important indicator of grain technological/baking quality. In our study it varied between cultivar samples from 1.08 to 1.31, and was similar to that presented by Singh et al. (2001), Shewry and Halford (2002), Konopka et al. (2007), and Gil-Humanes et al. (2012). According to Samson et al. (2005), the Gli-to-Glu ratio of vitreous endosperm in durum wheat was higher than 0.85 in more than 98% of the samples.

It was also found that vitreous kernels were slightly heavier than that of mealy kernels. This is in agreement with the results of Dobraszczyk et al. (2002) and Samson et al. (2005) who showed that the density of durum vitreous endosperm was significantly higher than that of mealy samples, with differences reaching up to 0.13 mg/mm^3 . In our study, the noted differences were substantially smaller, with the highest (0.09 mg/mm^3) noted for the Skagen cultivar. Independent of the main cultivar effect vitreous kernels were also evaluated as harder than mealy kernels. It may be a result of their higher protein content and density, or a lower moisture content. Recently Ohm et al. (2009; 2010) reported that both in soft winter and hard red spring wheats kernel hardness variation is mainly related to gliadins.

Vitreous kernels were darker and more abundant in total phenolic compounds, while mealy kernels accumulated a slightly higher content of carotenoids and had a slightly higher moisture content (measured in a state of equilibrium with relative air humidity). Previous studies showed that kernel color highly correlates with proteins (Peterson et al. 2001; Konopka et al. 2005), grain phenolics (Klepacka et al. 2002; Lukow et al. 2012) and carotenoids content (Humphries et al. 2004; Lukow et al. 2012).

Summarizing the results it may be concluded that grain vitreousness/mealiness determines both its nutritional value (protein content and composition, grain pigments) and some physical features (endosperm hardness, kernel density and color). These findings may be useful to control and optimize grain processing (e.g. tempering, milling, and steaming processes) and its utilization. The results show the possibility of separating of kernels with a vitreous and mealy endosperm microstructure using color and/or density

sorters. Vitreous kernels separated from genetically soft grain are chemically and physically similar to genetically hard grain. This grain may be utilized as an enhancer in grain blends, or as a raw material for pasta and groats production.

Acknowledgement

The study was financially supported by the Ministry of Scientific Research within the framework of grant no. N N312 201439.

References

- Dexter, J.E., Marchylo, B.A., MacGregor, A.W., Tkachuk, R. 1989. The structure and protein composition of vitreous, piebald and starchy durum wheat kernels. *J. Cereal Sci.* **10**:19–32.
- Dobraszczyk, B.J., Whitworth, M.B., Vincent, J.F.V., Khan, A.A. 2002. Single kernel wheat hardness and fracture properties in relation to density and the modelling of fracture in wheat endosperm. *J. Cereal Sci.* **35**:245–263.
- Evers, A.D., Bechtel, D.B. 1988. Microscopic structure of the wheat grain. In: Pomeranz, Y. (ed.), *Wheat: Chemistry and Technology*. American Association of Cereal Chemists, Minnesota, USA, pp. 47–95.
- Farm Facts. 1997. Managing wheat for protein premium. Saskatchewan Agriculture and Food, <http://www.agriculture.gov.sk.ca>
- Gil-Humanes, J., Pistón, F., Rosell, C.M., Barro, F. 2012. Significant down-regulation of γ -gliadins has minor effect on gluten and starch properties of bread wheat. *J. Cereal Sci.* **56**:161–170.
- Greenblatt, G.A., Bettge, A.D., Morris, C.F. 1995. Relationship between endosperm texture and the occurrence of friabilin and bound polar lipids on wheat starch. *Cereal Chem.* **72**:172–176.
- Greffeuille, V., Abecassis, J., Rousset, M., Oury, F.X., Faye, A., Bar L'Helgouach, C., Lullien-Pellerin, V. 2006. Grain characterization and milling behaviour of near-isogenic lines differing by hardness. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **114**:1–12.
- Horrobin, D.J., Landman, K.A., Ryder, L. 2003. Interior and surface color development during wheat grain steaming. *J. Food Eng.* **57**:33–43.
- Humphries, J.M., Graham, R.D., Mares, D.J. 2004. Application of reflectance color measurement to the estimation of carotene and lutein content in wheat and triticale. *J. Cereal Sci.* **40**:151–159.
- Kaneko, S., Nagamine, T., Yamada, T. 1995. Esterification of endosperm lutein with fatty acids during the storage of wheat seeds. *Biosci. Biotech. Bioch.* **59**:1–4.
- Klepacka, J., Fornal, Ł., Konopka, S., Choszcz, D. 2002. Relations between ferulic acid content in wheat coat, and milling quality and color of grain. *Electronic J. of Polish Agricultural Universities* **5**:15, <http://www.ejpau.media.pl/volume5/issue2/food/art-15.html>
- Konopka, I., Kozirok, W., Tańska, M. 2005. Wheat endosperm hardness. Part I. Relationships to colour of kernel cross-section. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **220**:11–19.
- Konopka, I., Tańska, M., Pszczółkowska, A., Fordoński, G., Kozirok, W., Olszewski, J. 2007. The effect of water stress on wheat kernel size, color and protein composition. *Pol. J. Natur. Sci.* **22**:157–171.
- Langer, M., Salmanowicz, B.P. 2009. Identification of puroindoline alleles in Polish wheat cultivars by molecular markers. *Biul. IHAR* **253**:93–100.
- Lukow, O., Suchy, J., Adams, K., Brown, D., DePauw, R., Fox, S., Hatcher, D., Humphreys, G., McCaig, T., White, N. 2012. Effect of solar radiation, plant maturity and post-harvest treatment on the color and phenolic and carotenoids content in seed of red and white Canadian wheat. *J. Cell Plant Sci.* **3**:1–13.
- Markowski, M., Żuk-Gołaszewska, K., Kwiatkowski, D. 2013. Influence of variety on selected physical and mechanical properties of wheat. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **47**:113–117.
- Mladenov, V., Banjac, B., Krishna, A., Milosević, M. 2012. Relation of grain protein content and some agronomic traits in European cultivars of winter wheat. *Cereal Res. Commun.* **40**:532–541.
- Morris, C.F., Beecher, B.S. 2012. The distal portion of the short arm of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) chromosome 5D controls endosperm vitreosity and grain hardness. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **125**:247–254.

- Morris, C.F., Bettge, A.D. Pitts, M.J., King, G.E., Pecka, K., McCluskey, P.J. 2008. Compressive strength of wheat endosperm: comparison of endosperm bricks to the single kernel characterization system. *Cereal Chem.* **85**:359–365.
- Ohm, J.B., Ross, A.S., Peterson, C.J., Morris, C.F. 2009. Relationships of quality characteristics with size-exclusion HPLC chromatogram of protein extract in soft white winter wheats. *Cereal Chem.* **86**:197–203.
- Ohm, J.B., Hareland, G., Simsek, S., Seabourn, B., Maghirang, E., Dowell, F. 2010. Molecular weight distribution of proteins in hard red spring wheat: Relationship to quality parameters and intra sample uniformity. *Cereal Chem.* **87**:553–560.
- Pasha, I., Anjum, F.M., Morris, C.F. 2010. Grain hardness: A major determinant of wheat quality. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* **16**:511–522.
- Peterson, C.J., Shelton, D.R., Martin, T.J., Sears, R.G., Williams, E., Graybosch, R.A. 2001. Grain color stability and classification of hard white wheat in the U.S. *Euphytica* **119**:101–106.
- Salmanowicz, B.P., Adamski, T., Surma, M., Kaczmarek, Z., Krystkowiak, K., Kuczyńska, A., Banaszak, Z., Ługowska B., Majcher M., Obuchowski, W. 2012. The relationship between grain hardness, dough mixing parameters and bread-making quality in winter wheat. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **13**:4186–4201.
- Samson, M.-F., Mabilie, F., Chéret, R., Abécassis, J., Morel, M.-H. 2005. Mechanical and physicochemical characterization of vitreous and mealy durum wheat endosperm. *Cereal Chem.* **82**:81–87.
- Sandhu, K., Manthey, F.A., Elias, E.M. 2009. High relative humidity affects vitreousness of durum wheat [*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *durum* (Desf)]. *Cereal Res. Commun.* **37**:269–275.
- Shewry, P.R., Halford, N.G. 2002. Cereal seed storage proteins: Structures, properties and role in grain utilization. *J. Exp. Botany* **53**:947–958.
- Singh, J., Blundell, M., Tanner, G., Skerritt, J.H. 2001. Albumin and globulin proteins of wheat our: immunological and N-terminal sequence characterization. *J. Cereal Sci.* **34**:85–103.
- Wieser, H., Antes, S., Seilmeier, W. 1998. Quantitative determination of gluten protein types in wheat flour by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *Cereal Chem.* **75**:644–650.
- Williams, P.C., Sobering, D.C. 1986. Attempts at standardization of hardness testing of wheat. I. The grinding/sieving (particle size index) method. *Cereal Foods World* **31**:362–364.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) associated with this article can be found at the website of CRC at <http://www.akademai.com/content/120427/>

Electronic Supplementary *Table S1*. Hardness and vitreousness/mealiness of kernels within analyzed grain samples

Electronic Supplementary *Table S2*. Variance components (%) of studied wheat grain features in relation to cultivar (C) and endosperm microstructure type (T)

Electronic Supplementary *Figure S1*. Images of mealy and vitreous (right and left sides of photographs, respectively) of wheat kernels: Skagen (A), Olivin (B), Ludwig (C), Muszelka (D)

Electronic Supplementary *Figure S2*. Plate with results of Durotest®S (R-BIOFARM RHONE LTD)

Electronic Supplementary *Figure S3*. RP-HPLC chromatograms of albumins+globulins (1A–1D), gliadins (2A–2D) and glutenins (3A–3D) of 4 wheat cultivars: Muszelka (A), Ludwig (B), Olivin (C), Skagen (D)

Electronic Supplementary *Figure S4*. Characteristics of flour granulation obtained from vitreous and mealy kernels of Muszelka cultivar (Brabender Quadrumat Junior Mill)