1	This manuscript contextually corresponds with the following paper:							
2	Márialigeti, S., Tinya, F., Bidló, A., Ódor, P. 2016. Environmental drivers of the composition							
3	and diversity of the herb layer in mixed temperate forests in Hungary. Plant Ecology 217:							
4	549-563. DOI 10.1007/s11258-016-0599-4.							
5	Availability of the original paper and the electronic supplementary material:							
6	http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11258-016-0599-4							
7								
8	Title: Environmental drivers of the composition and diversity of the herb layer in mixed							
9	temperate forests in Hungary							
10								
11	Authors: Sára Márialigeti ^{1,*} , Flóra Tinya ² , András Bidló ³ , Péter Ódor ²							
12								
13	¹ Department of Plant Taxonomy, Ecology and Theoretical Biology, Eötvös Loránd							
14	University, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. stny. 1/C, Hungary,							
15	² MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Ecology and Botany, H-2163							
16	Vácrátót, Alkotmány u. 2-4.							
17	³ Department of Forest Site Diagnosis and Classification, University of West-Hungary,							
18	H-9401 Sopron, Pf. 132, Hungary;							
19	[*] Corresponding author at: Department of Plant Taxonomy, Ecology and Theoretical Biology,							
20	Eötvös Loránd University, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. stny. 1/C, Hungary. Tel: +3630-							
21	7437424. E-mail address: sara.marialigeti@gmail.com							
22								

23

24 Acknowledgements

25

We thank László Bodonczi, Zsuzsa Mag, István Mazál, Ákos Molnár, Péter Szűcs and
Balázs Németh for their help in the field survey, and Anna Sáfár for careful language editing.
The project was funded by the Hungarian Science Foundation (OTKA 79158), Őrség
National Park Directorate and the TÁMOP-4.2.2.D-15/1/KONV-2015-0023 project of the
European Union and European Social Found. Péter Ódor was supported by the Bolyai János
Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

33

32

34 A	Abstract
------	----------

35 Herbaceous understory vegetation is an important part of temperate forested 36 ecosystems, the diversity and composition of which are strongly dependent on the conditions 37 of the forest stand and the landscape. The aim of this study was to find the most important 38 environmental drivers influencing understory herb layer species composition (explored with 39 multivariate analysis), and richness and cover (analysed by linear modelling) in managed 40 mixed forests in West Hungary. Our detailed inventory showed that the most important 41 factors increasing the diversity and cover of the understory are light, tree species richness, and 42 landscape diversity. Composition is also mainly influenced by light conditions and tree 43 species richness, with minor effects of tree species composition, soil texture, and moss cover.

44 As the strongest influencing factors are closely linked to stand structure and tree 45 species composition, they can either directly or indirectly be altered by forest management. In the studied region, heterogeneous light conditions and canopy structure, the maintenance of tree species richness and forest continuity are key elements for the conservation of forest herbs. Forestry that maintains continuous forest cover and the tree selection management system can better provide these conditions than the presently widely used shelterwood management system.

51

Keywords (4-6): understory, vascular plants, stand structure, microclimate, soil conditions,
light

54 Abbreviations: LAI – leaf area index, DBH – diameter at breast height, RDA – redundancy

analysis, PCA – principal component analysis, GLM – general linear modelling

56 Introduction

57

58 Forest herbs make an important contribution to the biodiversity (e.g. Gilliam 2007; 59 Hart and Chen 2006; Wayman and North 2007), ecosystem functioning (Allen et al. 2002; 60 Nilsson and Wardle 2005), nutrient cycling (Muller 2014), and even biomass (Gonzalez et al. 61 2013) and seedling community (George and Bazzaz 2014) of temperate forests. The factors 62 influencing their composition and diversity are therefore widely studied (e.g. Hutchinson et 63 al. 1999; Vockenhuber et al. 2011). Although the results of these studies are remarkably 64 heterogeneous, stand structure, light conditions, edaphic factors, site history and landscape 65 characteristics are generally assumed to be of importance.

In managed stands, the features most directly affected by human activity are stand structure and tree species composition. These, in turn, affect understory light conditions (Lochhead and Comeau 2012; Tinya et al. 2009a), and edaphic conditions such as soil water content, pH, temperature and nutrient availability (Barbier et al. 2008; Bartels and Chen 2013; Heithecker and Halpern 2006). Although obviously not the object of harvesting practices, understory vegetation is also directly affected by forest management (Duguid and Ashton 2013).

In Hungary and across much of Europe, after the abandonment of traditional management practices in the twentieth century (Hédl et al. 2010), the shelterwood forestry system has been the dominant forest management method until recently. This management type resulted in even-aged stands with homogenous structure on a relatively coarse scale (5-10 ha; Matthews 1991; Savill 2004). In the last decades, efforts have been made to change the management structure in favour of more natural practices, such as group and stem selection

79 systems (Rosenvald and Lohmus 2008; Bauhus et al. 2013). Sustainable management 80 practices aim at mimicking the natural disturbance regime as much as possible, thus 81 maintaining the natural processes of a forest (Bauhus et al. 2013). Professional debate on 82 possible ways to achieve this aim is intense. In some cases, even small scale clearcutting is 83 argued to be an efficient way of forming and harvesting forests (Heinrichs and Schmidt 84 2009); however, some species indicate the effects of clearcuts as far as 150 m inside the 85 remaining forest (Godefroid et al., 2005). Intensive management types cause diverse changes 86 in the species richness of ecologically distinct species groups (on the basis of ecological traits, 87 Kenderes and Standovár 2003), similarly to changes in plant traits during the succession of a 88 forest (Catorci et al. 2012).

89 Most of the forests in West Hungary have been used in ways fars from their natural 90 disturbance regime: clear-cut for wood, or to gain space for pastures and arable areas (Tímár 91 et al. 2002). These actions have long-term effects, and leave their mark both on understory 92 and overstory (e.g. Hermy and Verheyen 2007; Wulf 2003). Ancient (chronologically 93 continuous forest cover since first written record) and recent (area utilized differently 94 sometime throughout recorded history) woodlands host markedly different herbaceous 95 vegetation (e.g. Hermy and Verheyen 2007, Kelemen et al. 2014). The exact type and 96 intensity of previous land utilization of the area also has important effects (Verheyen et al. 97 2003), which may be detectable for millennia (Dupouey et al. 2002). The inclusion of this 98 feature into analyses can be achieved via old maps, army surveys, and common knowledge of 99 the area. In some cases, previous land-use seems to have an even more important influence on 100 the current condition of the forest floor than present stand structure or microtopography (Ito et 101 al. 2004). According to a theory, management may act through past minimum and maximum 102 canopy covers, which work as bottlenecks (Økland et al. 2003). Present management 103 obviously holds the key to the characteristics of a forest; in many cases, the typical aspects of 104 which can be assessed on the basis of the ownership of the stand (state-owned versus private 105 forests, Berges et al. 2013; Schaich and Plieninger 2013; Zmihorski et al. 2010). In our study 106 area, in private forests, management techniques are much closer to the natural disturbance 107 regime than in state-owned stands.

Edaphic factors, which are also strongly influenced by stand characteristics (von Arx et al. 2012), naturally have strong effects on ground vegetation. The surrounding landscape, serving as the species pool for the studied habitat, is also an important influential factor, and can, in some cases, serve as the basis for assessing the biodiversity of poorly explored, high diversity areas (Priego-Santander et al. 2013).

113 However, the diversity of understory vegetation in itself may be misleading, and is not 114 equal to forest naturalness. In disturbed or otherwise altered stands, non-forest species could 115 considerably increase these values (Hermy and Verheyen 2007; Mikoláš et al. 2014; Paillet et 116 al. 2010). Therefore, it is reasonable to handle closed-forest herbs separately from the total 117 species pool (Kelemen et al. 2014). The aim of this study is to produce a detailed analysis of 118 the understory herb layer of the studied forests, which can be used effectively in conservation 119 and management. Our questions were: (i) which environmental background factors influence 120 the composition of the understory herb layer (multivariate analysis); (ii) which are the most 121 important factors that enhance or hinder species richness and abundance of the understory 122 (linear modelling); (iii) is there a marked difference between the environmental needs of 123 closed-forest and non-forest species; and (iv) from the most influential background factors, 124 which could be altered favourably by forest management.

126 Materials and methods

127

128 Study area

129

130 Our study was carried out on 34 plots in the Örség region, West Hungary (N46°51–55' 131 and W16°07-23', Figure 1). Elevation of the study area is between 250 and 350 m above sea 132 level. The bedrock in the area is alluviated gravel mixed with loess, and its topography 133 consists of hills and wide valleys. On hills, mostly pseudogleyic brown forest soil can be 134 found (planosols or luvisols), while in the valleys mire and meadow soils (gleysols) are 135 common (Stefanovits et al. 1999). The soil is acidic (pH 4.0–4.8, measured in water, in the upper 10 cm) and nutrient poor. Average annual mean temperature is 9.0-9.5 °C, with a mean 136 137 annual precipitation of 700-800 mm (Dövényi 2010). In the area, we can find forests of 138 various species composition and stand structure on similar forest sites, namely on similar 139 climatic, topographical, and bedrock conditions. The study area is dominated by beech (Fagus 140 sylvatica L.), sessile and pedunculate oak (Quercus petraea L. and Q. robur L.), hornbeam 141 (Carpinus betulus L.), Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.), and Norway spruce (Picea abies (L.) 142 Karst.), forming monodominant and mixed stands as well. The proportion of various, 143 subordinant tree species (birch – Betula pendula Roth., aspen – Populus tremula L., chestnut 144 - Castanea sativa Mill., wild cherry - Prunus avium L., etc.) is relatively high (Tímár et al. 145 2002). The present diverse state of the forests is partly due to the special landscape history of 146 the area. After the twelfth century, extensive farming and other activities, such as litter 147 collection and ridging (a special form of tillage) led to the deforestation and acidification of 148 the area and the erosion of soil. From the nineteenth century, extensive farming was

149 repressed, and a reforestation of the area took place, mainly by Scots pine and pioneer tree 150 species linked to it (birch, aspen). Later, selective cutting has been applied by farmers, 151 alongside the industrial shelterwood system in state owned forests (Gyöngyössy 2008; Tímár 152 et al. 2002). The conditions which developed owing to these events were favourable to tree, 153 herb, and moss species that prefer nutrient poor and disturbed conditions. Current existing 154 forests are a fine-scale mixture of ancient and recent stands. The mixture of these pioneer 155 species and typical species of the mesic forests together create a remarkably rich and various 156 species composition in the region.

157

158 Data collection

159

We collected our data in 34 forest stands (Figure 1). These were selected by stratified random sampling from the forest stand database of the Hungarian National Forest Service. All of these stands were located on relatively level ground, devoid of direct water influence, and the age of dominant tree layer was between 70 and 100 years old. The stratification criterion was tree species composition: these stands represent different combinations of the main tree species (sessile and pedunculate oak, beech and Scots pine) of the area. Within the categories – based upon tree species composition –, random selection was carried out.

In all the stands, one 40 m x 40 m plot was selected, where all tree individuals (including snags) above 5 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) were mapped. Species identity, DBH and height of these trees were also recorded. The proportion of tree species (beech, hornbeam, oak, Scots pine, Norway spruce, subordinate trees) was expressed, based on volume. The volume of the trees was calculated by species specific equations, based on DBH

172 and tree height (Sopp and Kolozs 2000). Quercus petraea, Q. robur and Q. cerris were 173 merged as oaks, rare tree species were merged as subordinate trees. Tree species diversity was 174 calculated as Shannon diversity H', based on the relative volume of tree species, using natural 175 logarithm (Shannon and Weaver 1949). The volume of snags (standing dead wood) was 176 calculated, based on the measurement of individuals within the plots. For dead trees the same 177 equations were used as for living trees, for broken snags and stumps the volume was 178 calculated as a cylinder (measuring mean diameter and height). For lying dead wood, the 179 mean diameter and the length of logs larger than 5 cm in diameter were also recorded and log 180 volume (per ha) was calculated by the cylinder formula. Saplings and shrubs below 5 cm 181 DBH but above 0.5 m height were counted, by species, their densities (unit per ha) were 182 calculated.

The inventory of forest herbs was carried out in quadrats of 30 m x 30 m, positioned in the centre of the 40 m x 40 m tree plot in June and July of 2006. This means the early spring geophytes were excluded from the sample (they are relatively rare in the region). Absolute cover (dm²) was estimated for every recorded species, the nomenclature of vascular plants followed Tutin et al. (1964-1993). The cover of mineral soil and bryophytes were also estimated within the quadrats.

Relative diffuse light was calculated based on parallel measurements carried out in the quadrats and in nearby open fields with LAI-2000 Plant Canopy Analyzer instruments (LICOR Inc. 1992a, 1992b; Tinya et al. 2009a, 2009b). In each quadrate, 36 light measurements were taken in a systematically arranged grid, at 1.3 m height, using 5 m intervals. For quadrate level light conditions, the mean and coefficient of variation of the 36 relative diffuse light values were calculated.

195 Land cover types in a 300 m radius area around each plot were estimated with the help 196 of aerial photos, maps and the forest stand database. Regenerating forests (<20 years), forests 197 (older than 20 years), and non-forested areas (meadows and arable lands) were distinguished. 198 Landscape diversity was calculated as the Shannon diversity H' of land cover types. The land 199 use history of the plots and their surroundings (300 m radius) was estimated, based on the 200 Second Military Survey of the Habsburg Empire from 1853 (Arcanum 2006). The existence 201 of forests in the plots (as a presence/absence variable) was recorded, and the proportion of 202 forested areas in the historical landscape (in a circle of 300 m radius) was calculated.

203 Litter was collected from 5 systematically arranged, 30 x 30 cm areas from all 204 quadrats. Litter weight, proportion of coniferous litter, litter pH (in water), organic carbon 205 content and nitrogen content were measured. In the same points, soil samples were collected 206 from the 0-10 cm layer. The following variables were measured from soil samples: pH in 207 water using hydrolytic and exchangeable acidity measured by titration (Bellér 1997); clay (<0,002 mm), silt (0,002 - 0,02 mm) and sand (0,02-2 mm) fractions determined by 208 209 sedimentation process (Cools and De Vos 2010); organic carbon and nitrogen content 210 analysed by dry combustion elementary analysis using Elementar vario EL III CNS 211 equipment (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH 2000); ammonium-lactate/acetic-acid (AL-) 212 extractable phosphorus and potassium content (Bellér 1997).

Air humidity and temperature were measured in the middle of the quadrats, at 1.3 m height, using Voltcraft DL-120 TH data loggers, in 24-hour measurements with a 5-minute recording frequency. The measurements of all quadrats were carried out within a five-day period. During this period, two reference plots were measured permanently. Eight temperature and air humidity measurements were carried out during three vegetation periods (June and

October 2009; June, August, September and October 2010; March and May 2011). For air humidity and temperature, differences were calculated from the two reference plots. Relative daily mean and range values were expressed for both variables and averaged over the eight measurements. The geographical positions of the plots were given in meters, based on the Hungarian Geographical Projection (EOV). For a summary of the potential explanatory variables, see Table 1.

224

225 Data analysis

226

Data structure was explored by ordination (Podani 2000; ter Braak and Smilauer 2002). In order to gain insight into the relations of the species and sites, we carried out principal component analysis (PCA), and to determine the gradient length along the axes, detrended correspondence analysis (DCA). As the gradient lengths were less than three standard deviation units, redundancy analysis (RDA) was conducted, in order to identify the effects of explanatory variables on species composition (Table 1).

233 We carried out all analyses with log-transformed cover data of herbaceous species. 234 Only species with a frequency larger than three were included. PCA and RDA were centred 235 by species. Some explanatory variables were ln-transformed before the analyses, to fulfil 236 normality conditions (proportions of tree species, light variables), and all explanatory 237 variables were standardized (zero mean, one standard deviation). Within the RDA model, the 238 explanatory variables were forward selected manually, by F-statistics via Monte Carlo 239 simulation (number of permutations was 499), and only significant (p<0.05) variables were 240 selected for the model. The significance of canonical axes was tested by similar Monte Carlo

simulations. Latitude data, determining the geographical position of the plots, was used as acovariable within the RDA model.

To explore the effect of the explanatory variables on species richness and cover, general linear modelling (GLM) was used (Faraway 2005), using identity link function and Gaussian error structure. Ruderal and meadow-inhabiting species were separated from closedforest herbs during the analysis; this classification is indicated in Table 1 in Online Resource 1. The classification was based on the habitat preference characterization of the species of the Hungarian Flora (Simon 1992). Total species richness, and species richness of closed-forest species were analysed separately, and the same approach was used for cover.

250 The dependent variables of the GLM models were ln-transformed before the analyses, 251 for a better fit of the model residuals to normality conditions. Linearity between the 252 dependent and explanatory variables and constancy of the residual error variance were also 253 checked after model selection. Before the statistical selection procedure, pairwise correlations 254 and visual relationships between dependent and explanatory variables were investigated, and 255 intercorrelations among explanatory variables were also considered. For the statistical 256 selection procedure, only those explanatory variables were selected which showed a strong 257 and consistent relationship with the dependent variable, and the intercorrelations with other 258 explanatory variables were weak (r_{abs}<0.5). Although Poisson error structure is widely used 259 for species richness models, we have chosen the Gaussian error structure because of better 260 model diagnostics and coefficients of determination (Faraway 2005).

For multivariate analyses, Canoco for Windows 4.5 (ter Braak and Smilauer 2002), for
all other analyses, R version 2.11.1 (R Development Core Team 2011) was used.

264 Results

265

266	We recorded a total of 134 species, 99 of which were labelled 'closed-forest species'
267	(Simon 1992, Online Resource 1). Mean cover of the herbaceous layer in the plots was
268	4.08%, with high standard deviation (7.22% dm^2). Species with the highest cover values were
269	common woodland species, such as Rubus fruticosus, Oxalis acetosella, Pteridium aquilinum,
270	Galeopsis pubescens, Galium odoratum and Ajuga reptans.
271	The PCA (see Fig. 1 in Online Resource 3) revealed that most species are placed in
272	one direction, in accordance with a distinction between species-rich and species-poor sites.
273	The first axis explains 31.0% of the total variance, the second 12.4%.
274	In the RDA, the amount of diffuse light was the most important factor, but tree species
275	richness, the relative volume of hornbeam, the proportion of fine-texture particles of the soil
276	and moss cover were also significant (Table 2, Figure 2). The first RDA axis explained
277	19.1%, the second 8.9% of the species variance, respectively, the first (F=6.25, p=0.002) and
278	all other canonical axes (F=3.67, p=0.002) had significant effects.

279 Herbaceous species preferring open areas (meadows, as Juncus effusus and Agrostis 280 stolonifera, or clearcuts, as Calamagrostis epigeios) and several acidophilous species (e.g. 281 Luzula pilosa, Melampyrum pratense) correlate most strongly with light (Figure 2). Many 282 acidophilous species, however, are most strongly correlated with moss cover (Calluna 283 vulgaris, Sieglingia decumbens, Carex pilulifera), itself also influenced by light availability. 284 The variables referring to tree species composition (i.e. tree species richness and the amount 285 of hornbeam) seemed to have a stronger effect on the herb species of closed forests (such as 286 Sanicula europaea, Ajuga reptans, Viola reichenbachiana, Maianthemum bifolium, Athyrium *filix-femina*). The proportion of fine-texture ingredients in the soil act in the opposite direction
to tree species richness, and hardly any species prefer the sites with a high clay and silt ratio
(*Veronica chamaedrys, Luzula luzuloides, Epipactis helleborine*).

290 In the linear regression models, significant background factors were principally the 291 same for all four explored dependent variables (species richness and cover of all the species 292 and closed-forest species; Table 3). The dependent variables were strongly correlated (after 293 log-transformation, total cover and species richness: r=0.845; p<0.001; cover and species 294 richness of closed-forest species: r=0.843; p<0.001; illustrated in Figures 6 and 7 in Online 295 Resource 8 and 9, respectively). In the model for both total and closed-forest herb cover, the 296 relative amount of diffuse light, tree species richness, and landscape diversity proved 297 significant with a positive sign, while the proportion of clay and silt in the soil had a negative 298 effect. The explained variance, by chance, was 46% for both of these models. In the case of 299 species richness, again the same explanatory variables were the most effective for both 300 studied groups (all and closed-forest species), namely the amount of relative diffuse light, tree 301 species richness and landscape diversity, all with positive signs. The explained variance is 302 45% for total species richness and 41% for closed-forest species richness.

303 Scatterplots with correlation coefficients between the dependent and the selected 304 explanatory variables and a table of the correlations can be found in Table 2 in Online 305 Resource 2, and in Figures 2–5 in Online Resource 4–7, respectively.

307 Discussion

308

309 Direct and indirect effects of the significant environmental drivers

310

311 Our results show that from all the background factors examined in our study, the 312 amount of diffuse light, tree species richness, the relative volume of hornbeam, silt and clay 313 ratio of the soil, moss cover, and landscape diversity are the most important factors 314 correlating with the diversity and cover of the herbaceous layer. This means that the different 315 aspects of the understory herb layer, i.e. species composition (explored by RDA), and species 316 richness and cover (examined by GLM) are all chiefly influenced by the same environmental 317 background factors. Most of these are not only directly operating agents, but rather factors 318 influenced by the same mechanisms as understory vegetation (Roberts and Gilliam 2014).

In our study, the most important driver was light. Light conditions on deciduous forest floors are evidently determined by canopy structure, or, more specifically, canopy openness. Whereas in such environments light is the most important limiting factor (Neufeld and Young 2014), its measurement is loaded with technical difficulties (e.g. Tinya et al. 2009a, 2009b). For this study, we used LAI measurements, where the relative proportion of light is calculated by a comparison between values recorded in open areas and the forest site, at the same time.

The effect of light is not straightforward, because the abundance or diversity of the understory is not necessarily in direct proportion to light availability. In the study of Härdtle et al. (2003), light conditions were the most important drivers in acidophytic beech-oak forests, while on more neutral forest sites, different soil properties proved more determinant. Other studies have also come to the conclusion that the effects of light – or canopy openness – conditions depend on edaphic conditions (e. g. Tyler 1989; Van Couwenberghe et al. 2011).
In our case, however, light was more important than soil conditions; the reason for this may
lie, at least in part, in our sampling arrangements (stratified random method, resulting in
similar edaphic conditions at all sites). Besides, the quality of soil itself is not independent of
light availability (Strandberg et al. 2005; Van Calster et al. 2007) either.

335 Our studies were carried out in slightly acidic forests, and acidofrequent (e.g. Luzula 336 pilosa, Melampyrum pratense) and non-forest (e.g. Juncus effusus, Calamagrostis stolonifera) 337 species showed the strongest connection with light conditions. In our linear analyses, we 338 found that light had a greater effect on total cover than on the cover of closed-forest species; 339 however, even in the case of closed-forest species, light was the most important background 340 factor, positively influencing both cover and species richness. This result is slightly 341 contradictory. Studies on the subject tend to show that whereas total forest floor herb cover is 342 increased by light, a denser canopy increases the proportion of forest dwelling species, which 343 are more shade-tolerant (e.g. Schmidt et al. 2014; Vockenhuber et al. 2011). In our study area, 344 most of the stands are highly closed (managed, even-aged stands, many of them dominated by 345 beech), thus light availability is generally so low that, even for closed-forest species, this is 346 the most important limiting factor. Similar findings have been reported elsewhere: Plue et al 347 (2013) conclude that even though the production of closed-forest species tends to improve 348 with decreasing light conditions, this is only valid up to a given point; from then on, even 349 these shade-tolerant species react strongly to small, favourable changes in light conditions. In 350 the course of our project, Tinya et al (2009a) explored in detail the effects of light on different 351 understory species groups in the same forest stands. Their results show that a group of 352 species, termed light-flexible species – mainly closed-forest species – correspond positively to light availability on a relatively small spatial scale. These species may be important inemphasising the importance of light availability in our models as well.

In the studied area, more acidic soils are linked to sparse pine forests, where ground floor light conditions are better. In these stands, ground floor mosses are also more abundant (Márialigeti et al. 2009). In the same stands, light conditions proved to be determinant in the diversity and composition of epiphytic lichens (Király et al. 2013; Nascimbene et al. 2012; Ódor et al. 2013) as well.

360 Terricolous bryophyte cover correlates strongly and positively with herb cover and 361 species richness. Bryophyte and herbaceous species may act similarly to the same background 362 conditions, apart from light availability (see also Tinya et al. 2009a), soil and litter properties, 363 and microclimate. We recorded a number of features of these edaphic conditions (see Table 364 1). However, their correlations with the examined characteristics of the understory were weak 365 and not significant. This implies that if understory herbs and bryophytes do indeed react to 366 similar background factors, then these factors must be more complex than the ones we could 367 measure.

It is possible that bryophytes directly facilitate the growth of vascular plants, by making the microenvironment more favourable. This has been known in more nutrient-limited habitats (peatlands – Groeneveld et al. 2007, cave entrances – Ren et al. 2010), and the same mechanisms may be at work in temperate forests as well.

Historic reasons may also be significant. Plots with former litter collection and foraging had become more acidic, which was beneficial to many bryophyte and herb species, and usually implied better light conditions as well (because of the presence of coniferous tree species).

Apart from light, other microclimatic conditions (such as temperature and airhumidity) were not highly influential for understory herbs. These factors are more effective in the case of cryptogamic organisms, such as epiphytic lichens and bryophytes (Király et al. 2013; Ódor et al. 2013) and terricolous saprotrophic and mycorhizza fungi (Kutszegi et al. 2015).

Light availability may be the most important among the influencing factors determined by stand structure, but it is not the only one. We found that other characteristics of the stand structure also play important roles in the cover and species richness of the understory vegetation. As our sites host a wide variety of tree species and a broad range of their different compositions, we were able to study their influence.

386 Tree species richness acts as a positive factor for herb composition, species richness 387 and cover. In the multivariate analysis, the presence of hornbeam is also a significant factor. 388 Hornbeam is economically not preferred, but in many of our sites it is nearly the only species 389 which forms a second canopy layer. It is possible that the presence of the second canopy 390 layer, and not hornbeam itself has relevant effects. Cook (2015), although admitting to the 391 scarcity of literary data, hints that the abundance of canopy layers (shrubs, saplings or 392 midstory) may create diverse resource limitations, thus enhancing the ecological possibilities 393 of understory herbs. Although a second canopy layer reduces forest floor light availability and 394 contributes to higher litter production, its presence or absence on a sub-patch scale creates 395 highly variable microenvironments.

396 Stand structure and tree species composition directly determine litter properties and 397 strongly influence the soil (e.g. Arno et al. 2012; Augusto et al. 2003) and microclimate of the 398 site. The amount and composition of litter are fundamental for understory vegetation. In most

399 of our forest patches, beech was dominant: on many locations, the plots consisted of even-400 aged beech trees, with vast amounts of litter and practically no understory (either herbaceous 401 or bryophyte). Mölder et al. (2008), facing similar problems, found no significant effects of 402 light conditions on the herb layer (its diversity or productivity), whereas the proportion of 403 beech was crucial. They assumed that beech has indirect effects on ground floor vegetation 404 through soil pH and litter production. Mölder et al. (2014) also point out that the growing 405 proportion of beech, induced by the cessation of traditional management methods (in their 406 case, coppicing with standards) acts negatively on the ground floor vegetation. Durak (2012) 407 also notes the negative effect of beech and the strong connections between understory and 408 overstory diversity. These findings correspond well to the conditions in our research sites: in 409 our case, the unique variability of stand structure and composition has been brought about by 410 centuries of various management types, and is jeopardized by the introduction of the 411 shelterwood system.

Vockenhuber et al. (2011) also examined both understory herbaceous plant species richness and cover, and their results highly correspond with ours. Both cover and species richness were enhanced by tree species diversity, and both responded negatively to increasing canopy cover.

Based on our study, it is expected that mixed forest stands help to maintain the biodiversity of the herb layer. Tree species richness was a crucial factor both for the total and only forest herb species richness. The importance of the heterogeneity of the tree species is also stressed in Macdonald and Fenniak (2007). They show that understory vegetation is linked to canopy composition and also edaphic conditions, with mixed and conifer forests differing significantly from broadleaved forests. Many studies show the great importance of

422 canopy structure in maintaining understory diversity (e.g. Chávez and Macdonald 2010,
423 2012). As patches with different canopy types maintain various understory vegetation, all
424 canopy patch types are important to maintain overall understory diversity.

425 Whereas soil properties are usually found among the most important factors for 426 understory vegetation (e.g. Chavez and Macdonald 2010, 2012; Härdtle et al. 2003; Ikauniece 427 et al. 2013; Van Couwenberghe et al. 2011), in our study, their significance was low. 428 Although, as mentioned above, we have recorded several characteristics of the soil (including 429 potassium and phosphorous content), these have not shown significant correlations with the 430 examined variables. The reason for this may be the complexity of the interactions between 431 trees and herbs, or the agency of some other edaphic factor, e.g. manganese, which, according 432 to Muller (2014), may be a good indicator of general soil fertility. Finally, again, as in the 433 case of bryophytes, it is also possible that herbs and trees react similarly to background 434 factors (Gilliam and Roberts 2014).

435 In the case of cover (both total and closed-forest species), however, silt and clay 436 content of the soil was a significant and negative background factor. The reason for this may 437 be that increasing silt and clay content cause pseudoglevization and poorer water conditions, 438 which is not favourable to herb cover. This background factor had no significant effect on 439 species richness, but a few species favour sites with higher silt and clay ratio. De 440 Keersmaeker et al. (2004) suggest that soil properties (carbon, moisture, nitrogen, 441 phosphorous) may be related to forest age. The forest stands in which our investigation has 442 been carried out were selected to be more or less of the same age (70-100 yr), so this variation 443 is absent from our analyses; this may in part account for the lack of correlations.

Tree species composition also affects soil properties: Arno et al. (2012) demonstrated the varying effects of oak and poplar on the development of soil and understory vegetation in an experiment. They found that the soil differs under these two species, establishing the dissimilarities in understory vegetation. The positive effect of tree species richness on understory vegetation may also be conveyed through mixed litters, which are known to decompose more rapidly than litter from a single species (Gartner and Cardon 2004). The resulting fast nutrient cycling enhances the resources available to the understory layer.

451 Landscape diversity was an important factor for both cover and species richness for all 452 and wood inhabiting species as well. Landscape diversity is generally thought to enhance 453 species richness by acting as species pool for various species groups. High landscape diversity 454 means that the forests are surrounded by other landscape types (mainly meadows and arable 455 lands). The vicinity of these landscape elements can increase the species richness of the 456 forests, adding many (primarily not forest specialist) species to the species pool. It is 457 interesting that understory cover showed even stronger correlations with landscape diversity 458 than species richness; the reason for this is not known.

Land-use history was not an influential factor in species composition. It is possible that during the periods of intensive utilization, the species sensitive to these effects partly disappeared (as suggested in the case of epiphytes in Király et al. 2013), which means that the continuity of the forest (important for several, closed-forest species, e.g. Hermy et al. 1999) had been broken in the past (bottleneck effect, Økland et al. 2003), and its effects cannot be properly shown today.

465

466 Implications for conservation and management

468 The effects of changes in forest management are widely felt throughout the forests of 469 Central Europe. The effects of the cessation of traditional management (e.g. coppicing in 470 Germany, Mölder et al. 2014, and the Czech Republic, Vild et al. 2013, Hédl et al. 2010; 471 leaving up chestnut forests in Bulgaria, Zlatanov et al. 2013) are revealed by several studies 472 (this phenomenon is valid not only in forests, but other ecosystems as well, e.g. hayfields in 473 Romania, Baur et al. 2006). The exact ecological mechanisms of the changes are often 474 unclear; however, Kopecký et al. (2013) have demonstrated non-random extinction from the 475 former species pool; a process that is hardly reversible. In our case, the unique versatility of 476 the studied region is largely the result of past management practices (felling and using as 477 arable lands, fodder removal). Diversity is largely kept up by present, close-to-nature 478 management practices, typically in small, private forests (Schaich and Plieninger 2013). On 479 the other hand, in our region, the shelterwood system has caused and is causing the 480 homogenisation of stands (species composition as well as stand structure), which, according 481 to our results, is disadvantageous for the diversity and productivity of the herbaceous 482 vegetation.

Our results show that the most important factors affecting the composition, species richness, and cover of understory herbs act on the stand level. We have also shown that the amount (and variability) of light is important, along with the presence of the second canopy layer and the diversity of tree species. Most of the relevant factors, such as canopy cover and tree species composition, can be directly affected by forest management. The analysis of species composition revealed that although light increases the diversity of forest herbs, too open conditions are favoured mainly by non-forest species (plants of the meadows and weeds 490 of arable lands and clear-cuts). For the biodiversity of forest herbs, forest management should 491 maintain heterogeneous light conditions including gaps and canopy openings, but the general 492 light regime should be characterised as a high forest, to prevent the dominance of non-forest 493 species. Management should also maintain high tree species diversity, including the mixed 494 occurrence of the dominant species (Scots pine, beech, oaks) and a high proportion of non-495 dominant tree species, so as to enhance microenvironmental diversity (e.g. Arno et al. 2012) 496 and, via litter mixing, promote nutrient cycling (Gartner and Cardon 2004). The presence of 497 the secondary canopy layer (dominated by hornbeam) is also very important, as it also adds to 498 the diversity of available resources (Cook 2015). These conditions can be provided by various 499 types of management maintaining continuous forest cover, such as tree selection or group 500 selection management systems (Matthews 1991).

502 References

503

504	Allen CD,	Savage M,	Falk DA,	Suckling	KF, Swetnam	TW,	Schulke	T, Stacey	PB,	Morgan
-----	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	-------------	-----	---------	-----------	-----	--------

- 505 P, Hoffman M, Klingel JT (2002) Ecological restoration of southwestern ponderosa
- 506 pine ecosystems: a broad perspective. Ecol Appl 12:1418–1433. doi:10.2307/3099981
- Arcanum (2006) Digitized Maps of the Habsburg Empire. The Second Military Survey 1806–
 1869. DVD-Rom. Arcanum Kft., Budapest
- 509 Arno T, De Keersmaeker L, Van Calster H, De Schrijver A, Vandekerkhove K, Verstraeten
- 510 G, Verheyen K (2012) Diverging effects of two contrasting tree species on soil and herb
- 511 layer development in a chronosequence of post-agricultural forest. For Ecol Manag
 512 278:90–100. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.04.026
- Augusto L, Dupouey J-L, Ranger J (2003) Effects of tree species on understory vegetation
 and environmental conditions in temperate forests. Ann For Sci 60:823–831.
 doi:10.1051/forest:2003077
- 516 Barbier S, Gosselin F, Balandier P (2008) Influence of tree species on understory vegetation
- 517 diversity and mechanisms involved a critical review for temperate and boreal forests.

518 For Ecol Manag 254:1–15. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.09.038

- Bartels SF, Chen HYH (2013) Interactions between overstorey and understorey vegetation
 along an overstorey compositional gradient. J Veg Sci 24:543–552. doi:10.1111/j.16541103.2012.01479.x
- Bauhus J, Puettmann KJ, Kühne C (2013) Close-to-nature forest management in Europe: does
 it support complexity and adaptability of forest ecosystems?. In: Messier C, Puettmann
 KJ, Coates KD (eds) Managing Forests as Complex Adaptive Systems: building

- resilience to the challenge of global change. The Earthscan forest library, Routledge.
 ISBN: 978-0-415-51977-9. pp 187-213
- 527 Baur B, Cremene C, Groza G, Rakosy L, Schileyko AA, Baur A, Stoll P, Erhardt A (2006)
- 528 Effects of abandonment of subalpine hay meadows on plant and invertebrate diversity
- 529 in Transylvania, Romania. Biol Conserv 132:261–273.
 530 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2006.04.018
- 531 Bellér P (1997) Talajvizsgalati modszerek [Methods of soil analysis.] Egyetemi jegyzet,
 532 Soproni Egyetem, Erdőmérnöki Kar. Termőhelyismerettani Tanszék, Sopron
- Berges L, Avon C, Verheyen K, Dupouey JL (2013) Landownership is an unexplored
 determinant of forest understory plant composition in Northern France. For Ecol Manag
 306:281–291. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.064
- Catorci A, Vitanzi A, Tardella FM, Hršak V (2012) Trait variations along a regenerative
 chronosequence in the herb layer of submediterranean forests. Act Oec 43:29–41.
 doi:10.1016/j.actao.2012.05.007
- 539 Chávez V, Macdonald SE (2010) The influence of canopy patch mosaics on understory plant
- 540 community composition in boreal mixedwood forest. For Ecol Manag 259:1067–1075.
- 541 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.12.013
- 542 Chávez V, Macdonald SE (2012) Partitioning vascular understory diversity in mixedwood
 543 boreal forests: The importance of mixed canopies for diversity conservation. For Ecol
 544 Manag 271:19–26. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.038
- 545 Cook JE (2015) Structural effects on understory attributes in second-growth forests of
 546 northern Wisconsin, USA. For Ecol Manag 347:188–199.
 547 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.027

Cools N, De Vos B (2010) Sampling and Analysis of Soil. Manual Part X. In: Manual on
methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of
the effects of air pollution on forests, UNECE, ICP Forests, Hamburg. ISBN: 978-3-

551 926301-03-1. [http://www.icp-forests.org/Manual.htm] Accessed 2 Oct 2015

552 De Keersmaeker L, Martens L, Verheyen K, Hermy M, De Schrijver A, Lust N (2004) Impact

of soil fertility and insolation on diversity of herbaceous woodland species colonizing
afforestations in Muizen forest (Belgium). For Ecol Manag 188:291–304.
doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2003.07.025

556 Dövényi Z (ed) (2010) Magyarország kistájainak katesztere [Cadastre of Hungarian regions].

557 MTA Földrajztudományi Intézet, Budapest

- 558 Duguid MC, Ashton MS (2013) A meta-analysis of the effect of forest management for 559 timber on understory plant species diversity in temperate forests. For Ecol Manag 560 303:81–90. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.04.009
- 561 Dupouey JL, Dambrine E, Laffite JD, Moares C (2002) Irreversible impact of past land use on
 562 forest soils and biodiversity. Ecology 83:2978–2984. doi:10.2307/3071833
- 563 Durak T (2012) Changes in diversity of the mountain beech forest herb layer as a function of
- the forest management method. For Ecol Manag 276:154–164.
- 565 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.03.027
- 566ElementarAnalysensystemeGmbH(2000)Brochure:
- 567 <u>http://www.elementar.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Elementar_Website/Downloads/Applic</u>
- 568 <u>ation_Notes/vario_EL_cube/AN-A-090609-D-01_01.pdf</u>. Accessed 24 Sept 2015
- 569 Faraway JJ (2005) Linear models with R. Chapman and Hall, London

- 570 Gartner TB, Cardon ZG (2004) Decomposition dynamics in mixed-species leaf litter. Oikos
 571 104:230–246. doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12738.x
- 572 George LO, Bazzaz FA (2014) The herbaceous layer as a filter determining spatial pattern in
- 573 forest tree regeneration. In: Gilliam FS (ed) The Herbaceous Layer in Forests of Eastern
- 574 North America. Oxford, New York, pp 340–355.
- Gilliam FS (2007) The ecological significance of the herbaceous layer in temperate forest
 ecosystems. Bioscience 57:845–858. doi:10.1641/B571007
- Gilliam FS, Roberts MR (2014) Interactions between the herbaceous layer and overstory
 canopy of Eastern forests. A mechanism for linkage. In: Gilliam FS (ed) The
 Herbaceous Layer in Forests of Eastern North America. Oxford, New York, pp 233–
 254.
- 581 Godefroid S, Rucquoij S, Koedam N (2005) To what extent do forest herbs recover after 582 clearcutting in beech forests? For Ecol Manag 210:39–53. 583 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.020
- 584 Gonzalez M, Augusto L, Gallet-Budynek A, Xue J, Yauschew-Raguenes N, Guyon D, Trichet
- 585 P, Delerue F, Niollet S, Andreasson F, Achat DL, Bakker MR (2013) Contribution of
- understory species to total ecosystem aboveground and belowground biomass in
 temperate Pinus pinaster Ait. forests. For Ecol Manag 289:38–47.
 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.026
- 589 Groeneveld EVG, Massé A, Rochefort L (2007) Polytrichum strictum as a nurse-plant in 590 peatland restoration. Restor Ecol 15:709–719. doi:10.1111/j.1526-100X.2007.00283.x

591 Gyöngyössy P (2008) Gyantásország. Történeti adatok az őrségi erdők erdészeti és
592 természetvédelmi értékeléséhez [Historical data to value forests in Őrség with a view to
593 forest management and nature conservation]. Kerekerdő Alapítvány, Szombathely

- Härdtle W, von Oheimb G, Westphal C (2003) The effects of light and soil conditions on the
 species richness of the ground vegetation of deciduous forests in northern Germany
 (Schleswig-Holstein). For Ecol Manag 182:327–338. doi:10.1016/S03781127(03)00091-4
- Hart SA, Chen HYH (2006) Understory vegetation dynamics of north American Boreal
 Forests. CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci 25:381–397. doi:10.1080/07352680600819286
- Hédl R, Kopecký M, Komárek J (2010) Half a century of succession in a temperate oakwood:
 from species-rich community to mesic forest. Diversity Distrib 16:267–276.
 doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00637.x
- Heinrichs S, Schmidt W (2009) Short-term effects of selection and clear cutting on the shrub
 and herb layer vegetation during the conversion of even-aged Norway spruce stands
 into mixed stands. For Ecol Manag 258:667–678. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.04.037
- Heithecker TD, Halpern CD (2006) Variation in microclimate associated with
 dispersedretention harvests in coniferous forests of western Washington. For Ecol
 Manag 226:60–71. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2006.01.024
- Hermy M, Honnay O, Firbank L, Grashof-Bokdam C, Lawesson JE (1999) An ecological
 comparison between ancient and other forest plant species of Europe, and the
 implications for forest conservation. Biol Cons 91:9–22. doi:10.1016/S00063207(99)00045-2

Hermy M, Verheyen K (2007) Legacies of the past in the present-day forest biodiversity: a
review of past land-use effects on forest plant species composition and diversity. Ecol
Res 22:361–371. doi:10.1007/s11284-007-0354-3

616 Hutchinson TF, Boerner REJ, Iverson LR, Sutherland S, Kennedy Sutherland E (1999)

- 617 Landscape patterns of understory composition and richness across a moisture and
 618 nitrogen mineralization gradient in Ohio (U.S.A.) Quercus forests. Plant Ecol 144:177–
 619 189
- 620 Ikauniece S, Brūmelis G, Kasparinskis R, Nikodemus O, Straupe I, Zariņš J (2013) Effect of 621 soil and canopy factors on vegetation of Quercus robur woodland in the boreonemoral 622 plant-trait approach. zone: Α based For Ecol Manag 295:43-50. 623 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.01.019
- Ito S, Nakayama R, Buckley GP (2004) Effects of previous land-use on plant species diversity
 in semi-natural and plantation forests in a warm-temperate region in southeastern
 Kyushu, Japan. For Ecol Manag 196:213–225. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2004.02.050
- Kelemen K, Kriván A, Standovár T (2014) Effects of land-use history and current
 management on ancientwoodland herbs in Western Hungary. J Veg Sci 25:172–183.
 doi:10.1111/jvs.12046
- Kenderes K, Standovár T (2003) The impact of forest management on forest floor vegetation
 evaluated by species traits. Com Ecol 4(1):51–62. doi:10.1556/ComEc.4.2003.1.8
- Király I, Nascimbene J, Tinya F, Ódor P (2013) Factors influencing epiphytic bryophyte and
 lichen species richness at different spatial scales in managed temperate forests.
 Biodivers Conserv 22:209–223. doi:10.1007/s10531-012-0415-y

635	Kopecký M, Hédl R, Szabó P (2013) Non-random extinctions dominate plant community
636	changes in abandoned coppices. J Appl Ecol 50:79-87. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12010
637	Kutszegi G, Siller I, Dima B, Takács K, Merényi Zs, Varga T, Turcsányi G, Bidló A, Ódor P
638	(2015) Drivers of macrofungal species composition in temperate forests, West Hungary:
639	functional groups compared. Fungal Ecology 17:69–83.
640	doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2015.05.009
641	LI-COR Inc (1992a) LAI-2000 plant canopy analyzer instruction manual. LI-COR Inc.,
642	Lincoln
643	LI-COR Inc (1992b) 2000-90 Support software for the LAI-2000 plant canopy analyzer. LI-
644	COR Inc., Lincoln
645	Lochhead KD, Comeau PG (2012) Relationships between forest structure, understorey light
646	and regeneration in complex Douglas-fir dominated stands in south-eastern British
647	Columbia. For Ecol Manag 284:12–22. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.029
648	Macdonald SE, Fenniak TE (2007) Understory plant communities of boreal mixedwood
649	forests in western Canada: Natural patterns and response to variable-retention
650	harvesting. For Ecol Manag 242:34-48. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.01.029
651	Márialigeti S, Németh B, Tinya F, Ódor P (2009) The effects of stand structure on ground-
652	floor bryophyte assemblages in temperate mixed forests. Biodivers Conserv 18:2223-
653	2241. doi:10.1007/s10531-009-9586-6
654	Matthews JD (1991) Silvicultural Systems. Oxford University Press, Oxford
655	Mikoláš M, Svoboda M, Pouska V, Morrissey RC, Donato DC, Keeton WS, Nagel TA,
656	Popescu VD, Müller J, Bässler C, Knorn J, Rozylowicz L, Enescu CM, Trotsiuk V,
657	Janda P, Mrhalová H, Michalová Z, Krumm F, Kraus D (2014) Comment on "Opinion

- paper: Forest management and biodiversity": the role of protected areas is greater than
 the sum of its number of species. Web Ecol 14:61–64. doi:10.5194/we-14-61-2014
- Mölder A, Bernhardt-Römermann M, Schmidt W (2008) Herb-layer diversity in deciduous
 forests: Raised by tree richness or beaten by beech? For Ecol Manag 256:272–281.
 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.04.012
- Mölder A, Streit M, Schmidt W (2014) When beech strikes back: How strict nature
 conservation reduces herb-layer diversity and productivity in Central European
 deciduous forests. For Ecol Manag 319:51–61. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.01.049

666 Muller RN (2014) Nutrient relation of the herbaceous layer in deciduous forest ecosystems.

- In: Gilliam FS (ed) The Herbaceous Layer in Forests of Eastern North America. Oxford,
 New York, pp 13–34
- Nascimbene J, Marini L, Ódor P (2012) Drivers of lichen species richness at multiple spatial
 scales in temperate forests. Plant Ecol Divers 5:355–363.
 doi:10.1080/17550874.2012.735715
- Neufeld HS, Young DR (2014) Ecophysiology of the herbaceous layer in temperate
 deciduous forests. In: Gilliam FS (ed) The Herbaceous Layer in Forests of Eastern
 North America. Oxford, New York, pp 35–95.
- Nilsson MC, Wardle DA (2005) Understory vegetation as a forest ecosystem driver: evidence
 from the northern Swedish boreal forest. Front Ecol Environ 3:421–428.
 doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2005)003[0421:UVAAFE]2.0.CO;2
- Ódor P, Király I, Tinya F, Bortignon F, Nascimbene J (2013) Patterns and drivers of species
 composition of epiphytic bryophytes and lichens in managed temperate forests. For
 Ecol Manag 306:256–265. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.07.001

- Økland T, Rydgren K, Halvorsen Økland R, Storaunet KO, Rolstad J (2003) Variation in
 environmental conditions, understorey species number, abundance and composition
 among natural and managed Picea abies forest stands. For Ecol Manag 177:17–37.
 doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(02)00331-6
- 685 Paillet Y, Berges L, Hjalten J, Odor P, Avon C, Bernhardt-Romermann M, Bijlsma R-J, De
- Bruyn L, Fuhr M, Grandin U, Kanka R, Lundin L, Luque S, Magura T, Matesanz S,
- 687 Meszaros I, Sebastia M.-T, Schmidt W, Standovar T, Tothmeresz B, Uotila A,
- 688 Valladares F, Vellak K, Virtanen R (2010) Biodiversity differences between managed
- and unmanaged forests: metaanalysis of species richness in Europe. Conserv Biol
- 690 24:101–112. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01399.x
- Plue J, Van Gils B, De Schrijver A, Peppler-Lisbach C, Verheyen K, Hermy M (2013) Forest
 herb layer response to long-term light deficit along a forest developmental series. Act
 Oec 53:63–72. doi:10.1016/j.actao.2013.09.005
- 694 Podani J (2000) Introduction to the exploration of multivariate biological data. Backhuys
 695 Publishers, Leiden
- Priego-Santander AG, Campos M, Bocco G, Ramírez-Sánchez LG (2013) Relationship
 between landscape heterogeneity and plant species richness on the Mexican Pacific
 coast. Appl Geog 40:171–178. doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2013.02.013
- R Development Core Team (2011) R. 2.14.0. A language and environment.
 www.rproject.org>
- Ren H, Ma G, Zhang Q, Guo Q, Wang J, Wang Z (2010) Moss is a key nurse plant for
 reintroduction of the endangered herb, Primulina tabacum Hance. Plant Ecol 209:313–
 320. doi:10.1007/s11258-010-9754-5

- Roberts MR, Gilliam FS (2014) Response of the herbaceous layer to disturbance in eastern
 forests. In: Gilliam FS (ed) The Herbaceous Layer in Forests of Eastern North America.
 Oxford, New York, pp 321–339.
- 707 Rosenvald R, Lohmus A (2008) For what, when, and where is green-tree retention better than
- clear-cutting? A review of the biodiversity aspects. For Ecol Manag 255:1–15.
 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.09.016
- Savill P (2004) Sylvicultural systems. In: Burley J, Evans J, Youngquist JA (eds)
 Enciclopedia of forest sciences, Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp 1003-1011
- 712 Schaich H, Plieninger T (2013) Land ownership drives stand structure and carbon storage of
- 713 deciduous temperate forests. For Ecol Manag 305:146–157.
 714 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.05.013
- Schmidt M, Mölder A, Schönfelder E, Engel F, Schmiedel I, Culmsee H (2014) Determining
 ancient woodland indicator plants for practical use: A new approach developed in
- northwest Germany. For Ecol Manag 330:228–239. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.06.043
- Shannon CE, Weaver, W (1949) The mathematical theory of communication. University of
 Illinois Press, Urbana
- 720 Simon T (1992) A magyarországi edényes flóra határozója. Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest, 892 pp
- Sopp L, Kolozs L (2000) Fatömegszámítási táblázatok. [Tables for calculating wood volume.]
 Állami Erdészeti Szolgálat, Budapest
- Stefanovits P (ed.), Filep Gy, Füleky Gy (1999) Talajtan. [Soil science] Mezőgazda Kiadó,
 Budapest

- 725 Strandberg B, Kristiansen SM, Tybirk K (2005) Dynamic oak-scrub to forest succession:
- Effects of management on understorey vegetation, humus forms and soils. For Ecol

727 Manag 211:318–328. <u>doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.051</u>

- ter Braak CJF, Smilauer P (2002) Canoco 4.5. Biometris, Ceske Budejovice
- Tímár G, Ódor P, Bodonczi L (2002) The characteristics of forest vegetation of the Őrség
 landscape protected area. Kanitzia 10:109–136
- Tinya F, Márialigeti S, Király I, Németh B, Ódor P (2009a) The effect of light conditions on

herbs, bryophytes and seedlings of temperate mixed forests in Őrség, Western Hungary.

733 Plant Ecol 204:69–81. doi:10.1007/s11258-008-9566-z

Tinya F, Mihók B, Márialigeti S, Mag Zs, Ódor P (2009b). A comparison of three indirect
methods for estimating understory light at different spatial scales in temperate mixed

736 forests. Com Ecol 10(1):81-90. doi:10.1556/ComEc.10.2009.1.10

- 737 Tutin TG et al. (eds) (1964-1993) Flora Europaea. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Tyler G (1989) Interacting effects of soil acidity and canopy cover on the species
 composition of field-layer vegetation in oak/hornbeam forests. For Ecol Manag
 28:101–114. doi:10.1016/0378-1127(89)90063-7
- 741 Van Calster H, Baeten L, De Schrijver A, De Keersmaeker L, Rogister JE, Verheyen K,

Hermy M (2007) Management driven changes (1967–2005) in soil acidity and the

vunderstorey plant community following conversion of a coppice-with-standards forest.

744 For Ecol Manag 241:258–271. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.01.007

- Van Couwenberghe R, Collet C, Lacombe E, Gégout JC (2011) Abundance response of
 western European forest species along canopy openness and soil pH gradients. For Ecol
- 747 Manag 262:1483–1490. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2011.06.049

- Verheyen K, Guntenspergen GR, Biesbrouck B, Hermy M (2003) An integrated analysis of
 the effects of past land use on forest herb colonization at the landscape scale. J Ecol
 91:731–742. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2745.2003.00807.x
- Vild O, Roleček J, Hédl R, Kopecký M, Utinek D (2013) Experimental restoration of
 coppice-with-standards: Response of understorey vegetation from the conservation
 perspective. For Ecol Manag 310:234-241. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.07.056
- Vockenhuber EA, Scherber C, Langenbruch C, Meißner M, Seidel D, Tscharntke T (2011)
- 755 Tree diversity and environmental context predict herb species richness and cover in
- 756 Germany's largest connected deciduous forest. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 13:111–
- 757 119. doi:10.1016/j.ppees.2011.02.004
- von Arx G, Dobbertin M, Rebetez M (2012) Spatio-temporal effects of forest canopy on
 understory microclimate in a long-term experiment in Switzerland. Agric For Meteorol
 166–167:144–155. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.07.018
- 761 Wayman RB, North M (2007) Initial response of mixed-conifer understory plant community
- to burning and thinning restoration treatments. For Ecol Manag 239:32–44.
 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2006.11.011
- Wulf M (2003) Preference of plant species for woodlands with differing habitat continuities.
- 765 Flora 198:444–460. doi:10.1078/0367-2530-00118
- 766 Zlatanov T, Schleppi P, Velichkov I, Hinkov G, Georgieva M, Eggertsson O, Zlatanova M,
- 767 Vacik H (2013) Structural diversity of abandoned chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.)
- dominated forests: Implications for forest management. For Ecol Manag 291:326–335.
- 769 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.015

770	Zmihorski M, Chylarecki P, Rejt L, Mazgajski TD (2010) The effects of forest patch size and
771	ownership structure on tree stand characteristics in a highly deforested landscape of
772	central Poland. Eur J Forest Res 129:393-400. doi:10.1007/s10342-009-0344-9
773	

Table 1. Potential explanatory variables. Minimum, mean and maximum values of the 34

studied plots are given where appropriate.

Explanatory variable	Minimum	Mean	Maximum
Tree species composition			
Tree species richness	2.0	5.6	10.0
Tree species Shannon diversity H'	0.2	0.9	2.0
Proportion of tree species (beech, hornbeam,			
oaks, Scots pine, subordinate trees)	-	-	-
Stand structure			
Mean DBH (cm)	13.6	26.3	40.6
Coefficient of variation of DBH	0.2	0.5	1.0
Sapling density (stems/ha)	0	947.4	4706.3
Basal area of mapped trees (m ² /ha)	24.1	34.1	49.7
Snag volume (m^{3}/ha)	0.0	12.2	64.6
Log volume (m ³ /ha)	1.2	10.1	35.6
Forest floor			
Bryophyte cover (m ² /ha)	2.9	4.7	7.7
Cover of mineral soil (m^2/ha)	8.6	145.8	472.2
Cover of litter (m^2/ha)	7815.0	9391.9	9833.7
Cover of deadwood (m ² /ha)	4.4	5.4	6.6
Light conditions			
Mean relative diffuse light	0.5	1.3	2.4
Coefficient of variation of relative diffuse light	0.1	0.4	0.8
Landscape variables			
Landscape diversity H'	0.1	1.1	1.9
Proportion of forests (%)	56.9	89.6	100.0
Land use history (1853)			
Proportion of forests in the landscape (%)	24.0	76.6	100.0
Forest site characteristics			
Litter pH _(in water)	4.9	5.3	5.7
Litter weight (g, 30cm x 30cm)	105.4	148.3	243.1
Proportion of deciduous litter (%)	5.5	15.1	32.8
Litter carbon content (%)	42.9	65.8	78.1
Litter nitrogen content (%)	0.8	1.3	1.8
Soil pH _(in water)	4.0	4.3	4.8
Soil texture (clay and silt %)	27.6	52.1	68.6
Soil carbon content (%)	3.3	6.5	11.5
Soil nitrogen content (%)	0.1	0.2	0.3

Soil AL-extractable phosphorous content (mg $P_2O_5/100g$)	2.0	4.3	9.4
Soil AL-extractable potassium content (mg $K_2O/100g$)	4.0	7.7	13.1
Microclimate			
Temperature difference (K)	-0.9	-0.1	0.7
Temperature range difference (K)	-0.4	0.9	2.3
Air humidity difference (%)	-1.8	0.8	3.3
Air humidity range difference (%)	-2.3	1.8	6.6

Table 2. Explained variance (%) of the canonical variables in the RDA model, and the correlation of the explanatory variables with the axes. The effect of the explanatory variables was tested by F-test. **: p<0.01; *: p<0.05.

782

	Var (%)	F-test	Axis1	Axis2	Axis3	Axis4
Relative diffuse light	15	5.98**	0.88	-0.13	-0.41	0.17
Tree species richness	7	3.13**	0.22	0.19	0.91	-0.13
Hornbeam (relative volume)	6	2.47*	-0.18	0.73	0.14	-0.24
Soil fine texture proportion	4	2.08*	-0.12	-0.31	-0.15	-0.92
Moss cover	4	2.03*	0.73	-0.39	0.24	0.35

783

Table 3. Significant explanatory variables of the different regression models. " R^{2} " is the adjusted coefficient of determination of the models, "Sense" is the sense of the parameter of the variables in the regression equation, "Variance %" is the percentage of the explained variance by the variable within the model. The significance of explained variance was tested by F statistics, ***: p<0.001; **: p<0.01; *: p<0.05; .: p<0.1.

790

Total cover; R ² =0.46 Variable	Sense	Variance %	F-value, significance
Relative diffuse light	+	21.16	13.01**
Landscape diversity	+	11.88	7.31*
Tree species richness	+	10.13	6.23*
Fine texture proportion	-	9.68	5.95*
Cover of closed-forest species; $R^2=0.46$			
Variable	Sense	Variance %	F-value, significance
Relative diffuse light	+	17.97	11.05**
Landscape diversity	+	12.62	7.76**
Tree species richness	+	11.64	7.16*
Fine texture proportion	-	10.61	6.52*
Total species richness; R ² =0.45			
Variable	Sense	Variance %	F-value, significance
Relative diffuse light	+	21.69	12.94**
Tree species richness	+	21.29	12.70**
Landscape diversity	+	6.71	4.00.
Closed-forest species richness; R ² =0.41			
Variable	Sense	Variance %	F-value, significance
Relative diffuse light	+	23.06	12.97**
Tree species richness	+	17.10	9.62**
Landscape diversity	+	6.48	3.65.

b) a) Ν SK Α Н SLO 8 kilometers 0 6 2 2 4 HR 796 r¦ऽन { 797

Fig. 1 Study area: Őrség region, West Hungary (N46°51–55' and W16°07–23'); the dots
show our plots.

795

Fig. 2 Species (black) and environmental variables (red) biplot of the redundancy analysis(RDA)

