

1 **This is the final accepted version of the article (DOI: 10.1111/acv.12191). The final published**
2 **version can be found at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/acv.12191/abstract>**

3 T. O. Mérő, R. Bocz, L. Polyák, G. Horváth and S. Lengyel (2015) Local habitat management and
4 landscape-scale restoration influence small-mammal communities in grasslands. *Animal*
5 *Conservation*, Volume 18, Issue 5, pages 442–450. DOI: 10.1111/acv.12191

6
7 **Title:**

8 LOCAL HABITAT MANAGEMENT AND LANDSCAPE-SCALE RESTORATION
9 INFLUENCE SMALL MAMMAL COMMUNITIES IN GRASSLANDS

10

11 **Authors:**

12 Thomas Oliver MÉRŐ^{a*}, Renáta BOCZ^b, László POLYÁK^c, Győző HORVÁTH^b, Szabolcs
13 LENGYEL^d

14

15 **Affiliations:**

16 ^a Nature Protection and Study Society - NATURA, Sombor, Serbia

17 ^b Department of Ecology, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

18 ^c BioAqua Pro, Környezetvédelmi Szolgáltató és Tanácsadó Kft., Debrecen, Hungary

19 ^d Department of Tisza River Research, Danube Research Institute, Centre for Ecological Research,
20 Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Email: lengyel.szabolcs@okologia.mta.hu

21

22 **Corresponding author:**

23 TOM; Nature Protection and Study Society - NATURA, Milana Rakića 20, Sombor, SRB-25000
24 Serbia; Tel.: +381 (25) 423-886; Email: thomas.oliver.mero@gmail.com

25

26 **Short title:**

27 Effect of grassland restoration on small mammals

28

29 **Word count** (excl. title page, references, tables and figures): 4302

30

31 ABSTRACT

32 Ecosystem/habitat restoration has become a major goal of international biodiversity policy.
33 However, restorations are often limited in space or time, and we know little on whether and how
34 restoration and management affect vertebrates. Here we assessed the local and landscape-scale
35 effects of habitat restoration and management on small mammal communities in the Egyek-
36 Pusztakócs marsh system (Hortobágy National Park, Hungary), site of the largest active restoration
37 of grasslands on former croplands in Europe. We live-trapped mice, voles and shrews in spring and
38 autumn in 2 years (four sampling periods) at two sites in six habitat types: croplands, grasslands
39 restored three to six years earlier, and natural grasslands. Data on 421 individuals of 12 species
40 showed that restored grasslands were similar to croplands and natural grasslands in species richness,
41 abundance and composition. At the local scale, management influenced abundance because there
42 were more small mammals in unmanaged and early-mown grasslands with taller vegetation than in
43 late-mown or grazed grasslands with lower vegetation, or in ploughed croplands. Elevation was also
44 important because sites at higher elevation provided refuges during spring floods or summer
45 droughts. At the landscape scale, the proportion of restored and natural grasslands positively
46 affected the abundance of small mammals, whereas the proportion of linear habitats (roads, canals)
47 had a negative effect on abundance. Our results show that management is more important than
48 restoration per se at the local scale, which is expected for habitat generalists such as small mammals
49 in contrast to specialists such as plant-feeding invertebrates. However, restoration provides
50 landscape-scale benefits by increasing the area of grasslands which can serve as refuges for small
51 mammals in unfavourable periods. We thus conclude that a mosaic of restored and appropriately
52 managed grasslands with tall vegetation will provide the best chances for the persistence of small
53 mammal communities in dynamic landscapes.

54

55 *Key-words:* community assembly, ecosystem services, grassland restoration, local extinction,
56 population dynamics, recolonisation, rodent, shrew

57

58 INTRODUCTION

59

60 Agriculture and other human land uses have transformed the surface of Earth, resulting in the
61 decline, degradation and fragmentation of natural habitats (Lubchenco *et al.*, 1991). The restoration
62 of ecosystems/habitats can theoretically counter these processes (Young, 2000) but is rarely
63 implemented at spatial and temporal scales that are adequate to make a difference (Lengyel *et al.*,
64 2014; Woodcock *et al.*, 2010). Restoration has recently been incorporated as explicit targets into
65 relevant policy instruments (e.g. Aichi Biodiversity Targets, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2020), yet
66 our knowledge on the design, implementation and impact of restoration remains limited in several
67 aspects. Habitat restoration is typically followed up by monitoring vegetation development, and
68 studies of trophic groups other than plants are scarce (Brudvig, 2011; Mortimer *et al.*, 1998;
69 Woodcock *et al.*, 2008). This is surprising because many invertebrate and vertebrate animals are
70 important for ecosystem functioning and a full evaluation of restoration success needs to integrate
71 higher trophic levels (animals) (Longcore, 2003; Young, 2000). Despite these calls, the number of
72 studies of restoration effects on vertebrates is still low and most of them focus on birds in forests
73 and shrubs (e.g. Brawn, 1998; Germaine and Germaine, 2002; Hoover, 2008; Machmer, 2002).

74

75 Small mammals (voles, mice and shrews) play important roles in ecosystems. Most voles and mice
76 are herbivores or granivores and several species hoard plant seeds for storage. Small mammals may
77 thus alter plant species composition (Howe and Brown, 2000; Torre *et al.*, 2007) and provide
78 ecosystem services such as seed dispersal (Mohammadi, 2010; Schnurr *et al.*, 2004; Williams *et al.*,
79 2001) and pollination (Vieira *et al.*, 2009). Subterranean small mammals play a role in maintaining
80 soil structure (Medin and Clary, 1989). Finally, small mammals are important in grassland food
81 webs, both as consumers or top-down regulators of vegetation and arthropods (e.g. most shrews are
82 insectivores), and as a food resource for reptiles, birds and mammals (Castián and Gonsálbez, 1999;
83 Mohammadi, 2010; Torre *et al.*, 2007; Vieira *et al.*, 2009).

84

85 The proportion of grasslands decreased considerably due to agriculture, and grasslands have
86 become the focus of many conservation actions in Europe (Hedberg and Kotowski, 2010; Kiehl *et*
87 *al.*, 2010) and North America (Gerla *et al.*, 2012). The impact of grassland restoration on small
88 mammals has been addressed in a handful of studies in North America. Stone (2007) found that
89 restoration led to a short-term decline in the number of captures, total biomass and species richness
90 of small mammals, followed by a partial recovery 3-5 years after restoration. A comparison of a
91 successional gradient from prairie to forest (Moro and Gadal, 2007) showed that time since
92 restoration (abandonment) did not directly affect small mammals and that their abundance was
93 highest in middle, rather than early or late, successional stages, where the structural diversity of
94 vegetation was highest. Finally, in the most comprehensive study of grassland restoration and small
95 mammals to date, Mulligan (2012) found that the colonization of restored fields occurred rapidly
96 and was positively related to the connectivity of habitats, and that restored grasslands served as
97 refuges during regional declines. Only the latter study involved a landscape context, which should
98 be addressed and considered in practice (Brudvig, 2011; Lengyel *et al.*, 2014) because local studies
99 may overlook larger-scale factors such as refuges and dispersal corridors that may influence
100 restoration outcome.

101

102 The aim of this study was to evaluate the local and landscape-scale effects of habitat restoration and
103 management on small mammal communities after a large-scale restoration of grasslands on former
104 croplands. We used a chronosequence design (space-for-time substitution) to sample small
105 mammals on natural grasslands (restoration target), restored grasslands (restoration process) and
106 croplands (restoration start). We then analysed the data to evaluate the effects of local factors
107 (management, elevation) and landscape configuration (proportion of natural grasslands, restored
108 grasslands, croplands, woodlands, and linear habitats such as roads and canals) on the species
109 richness, abundance, and composition of small mammal communities. We further tested whether

110 small mammal communities change with time since restoration, and also evaluated the links
111 between the dynamics of small mammal communities and the spatial and temporal changes in
112 habitats due to weather, restoration and management.

113

114 METHODS

115

116 **The Egyek-Pusztakócs marsh system and the sampling design**

117 Our study site was the Egyek-Pusztakócs marsh and grassland complex (4073 ha; N 47.54-47.62°,
118 E 20.86-20.99°) in Hortobágy National Park (eastern Hungary). Grassland restoration was carried
119 out in 2005-2008 on a total of 760 ha of former cropland by sowing of two seed mixtures after
120 ploughing, followed by mowing or grazing as post-restoration management. To our knowledge, this
121 is currently the largest non-spontaneous grassland restoration in Europe. More details on restoration
122 and vegetation development are given in Lengyel et al. (2012) and on changes in arthropod
123 communities in Déri *et al.* (2011) and Rácz *et al.* (2013).

124

125 We sampled small mammals (mice, voles and shrews) at 12 sites in three habitat types (**Table 1**):
126 two on croplands (wheat fields, starting point of restoration), eight on restored grasslands (process
127 of restoration) and two on natural grasslands (restoration targets). Within restored grasslands, four
128 levels of restoration age (3- to 6-year-old restorations) were replicated at two sites each (n = 8
129 sites). Sampling was conducted in the spring and autumn of 2011 and 2012 (four sampling periods
130 total). Spring 2011 was characterized by floods after snowmelt, whereas the spring and summer
131 were relatively dry (total precipitation: 350 mm). Significant precipitation in winter led to intense
132 floods in spring 2012 followed by a long drought (270 mm) between May and September (**Fig. S1**).
133 Sampling sites were at least 800 m away from each other to minimise spatial non-independence.
134 The sampled habitat patches ranged from 16 to 300 hectares in area [mean 66.6 ha ± standard
135 deviation (SD) 81.68]. None of the response variables (see below) showed significant correlations

136 with patch area (Spearman rank correlations, n.s.), therefore, we did not control for it in statistical
137 analyses. Sites were chosen to represent the management practices characteristic to the general area.
138 Restored grasslands chosen were managed either by mowing early in the season (June, n = 4 sites),
139 by mowing late in the season (August, n = 2) or by grazing by sheep (from April to November, n =
140 2) (Lengyel *et al.*, 2012). The two natural grasslands sampled were not managed, whereas the two
141 croplands were regularly ploughed. We also recorded elevation and vegetation height at each site.
142 Although the differences in elevation were small (89-91 m a.s.l.), lower-lying areas were more often
143 flooded and less suitable for small mammals than were higher plateaus. Elevation of the sites was
144 determined from a detailed topographic map (accuracy: 0.5 m). We also measured the height of
145 vegetation (accuracy 5 cm) 0.5 m from each trap in a random direction.

146
147 To characterise landscape configuration, we calculated the proportion of five habitat types
148 (croplands, restored grasslands, natural grasslands, woodlands, and linear habitats such as roads and
149 canals) at each sampling site. We used a habitat map prepared in 2010 of the entire Egyek-
150 Pusztakócs system as part of other studies and used ESRI ArcMap GIS 10.0 to calculate the
151 proportion of each habitat type in non-overlapping buffers of 400-m radius around each sampling
152 site.

153

154 **Small mammal sampling**

155 We live-trapped small mammals using Sherman live traps (H. B. Sherman Traps, Tallahassee,
156 Florida, U.S.). Sherman traps have been repeatedly found to perform as well or, more frequently,
157 better than other live traps in multi-species studies of small mammals (e.g. Anthony *et al.*, 2005;
158 Belant and Windels, 2007; Hayes *et al.*, 1996; Kaufman and Kaufman, 2007; Morris, 1968; Santos-
159 Filho *et al.*, 2006; Torre *et al.*, 2010), and were found to cause less mortality than other live traps
160 (Dizney *et al.*, 2008). Because Sherman traps may be less effective at capturing small shrews than
161 other live traps (Sibbald *et al.*, 2006), we performed all analyses with or without data on shrews

162 (i.e., mice and voles only). However, as the inclusion or exclusion of shrews led to qualitatively
163 similar results, we presented results based on the full dataset. Although Sherman traps are
164 particularly effective in combination with other types of traps (e.g. pitfall traps, Longworth traps) to
165 attain a full complement of small mammals found in a habitat (Sibbald *et al.*, 2006), our study did
166 not aim to find all species, rather, it aimed to characterise differences among habitat and
167 management types by an adequately high, appropriately replicated constant sampling effort
168 designed to achieve a reasonable compromise between the requirements of spatiotemporal
169 replication and on-site effort intensity.

170

171 At each site, we installed 36 traps in a 6×6 grid covering 1 hectare (distance between traps: 20 m).
172 We baited the traps with seed mixture (grains, sunflower) and bacon following the
173 recommendations of the trap manufacturer and our previous experience. Traps were operated for
174 five nights to ensure compatibility with the National Biodiversity Monitoring System of Hungary.
175 Five nights appeared appropriate because captures peaked on day 2-4 and decreased by day 5 (**Fig.**
176 **S2**). Total sampling effort amounted to 8640 trap-nights (36 traps×5 nights×12 sites×4 sampling
177 periods). The traps were checked twice a day (7:00-9:00, 18:00-20:00 hours). Before each check,
178 we counted potential avian predators in and 200 m around the sampling grids for 5 minutes from a
179 distance. Small mammals captured in the traps were identified to species and weighed. We marked
180 individuals by a permanent felt pen at the base of the tail to enable the detection of recaptures. Our
181 previous experience showed that this marking could be detected for at least a week.

182

183 **Statistical analysis**

184 Response variables were the number of species (species richness), and the number of individuals
185 (abundance) of small mammals (rodents, i.e., mice and voles, and shrews). For both response
186 variables, data from traps at one site (n = 36 traps) during one sampling period (n = 5 nights) were
187 pooled to obtain one datapoint per site and per period (total n = 48). Exploratory analyses revealed a

188 highly significant interactive effect of year and season on both species richness and abundance
189 (richness, $F_{1,33} = 43.918$, $p < 0.0001$; abundance, $F_{1,33} = 36.242$, $p < 0.0001$) because richness and
190 abundance increased substantially from spring to autumn in 2011 but not in 2012, while the effect
191 of location was not significant ($p > 0.32$). To evaluate the effects of local and landscape-scale
192 variables on the species richness and abundance of small mammals while also considering this
193 temporal fluctuation, we constructed generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMMs) with
194 season (spring/autumn) nested within year as a random effect. GLMMs allow the incorporation of
195 nested random effects even when variances are unequal and within-group errors are correlated, and
196 are robust to unbalanced designs (Crawley, 2007). We fitted GLMMs using the ‘glmer’ function of
197 R with Poisson error distribution and log link function, as recommended for count data (R Core
198 Team, 2014). Local predictor variables were elevation (in m) and management (early mowing, late
199 mowing, grazing on restored grasslands, no management on natural grasslands, ploughing on
200 croplands). Landscape-scale predictor variables were the proportion of five habitat types in 400-m
201 buffers around the sampling sites. The five landscape-scale variables were not correlated (Pearson
202 correlation, n.s.). To allow for testing whether predictor variables had similar or different effects in
203 the four sampling periods, we also used sampling period as a fixed effect with four levels.

204
205 To test the effect of restoration age on small mammals, we repeated the GLMMs using data only
206 from restored grasslands. In these models, both the local and landscape-scale predictors described
207 above were included, and we also included the time since restoration (in years) to test whether and
208 how small mammal communities change after restoration. In all GLMMs, we started with the full
209 model including all main effects and their interactions with sampling period and removed non-
210 significant terms in a backward stepwise algorithm (removal if $p \geq 0.1$) to obtain minimum
211 adequate models. We then used the reduced model to estimate coefficients for predictors. For post-
212 hoc comparison of management, a categorical variable, we specified contrasts to compare each
213 management type to regularly ploughed croplands (restoration start) in the analysis of all sites, and

214 to grazing in the analyses restricted to restored sites. In additional statistical tests, data were log-
215 transformed ($\log x + 1$) for parametric tests (one-way ANOVA), if necessary, to meet the
216 assumption of homogeneous variances. All statistical tests were implemented in R (version 3.1.1.).

217

218 RESULTS

219

220 In total, we captured 421 individuals of 12 species (full list of species and number of individuals
221 captured are given in [Table S1](#)). We detected 20 individuals of three species in the spring and 359
222 individuals of 12 species in the autumn of 2011. In 2012, we caught 24 individuals of five species
223 in the spring and 18 individuals of eight species in the autumn. Recapture rate was on average 9%
224 ($n = 465$ captures total) and ranged between 0 and 30% per site ($n = 12$). Recaptured individuals
225 were counted only once in all statistical analyses.

226

227 **Effects on species richness of small mammals**

228 GLMMs showed that species richness was little influenced by the local and landscape factors
229 studied. There was a weak interaction between sampling period and management (GLMM, $z =$
230 2.178 , $p = 0.029$), likely because the number of species decreased less from autumn 2011 to spring
231 2012 in late-mown restorations than in other habitat types ([Fig. 1A](#)). Furthermore, there were
232 marginally non-significant effects of sampling period ($z = -1.907$, $p = 0.057$) and the interaction
233 between sampling period and elevation ($z = 1.915$, $p = 0.056$). The latter was mostly because small
234 mammals disappeared from autumn 2011 to spring 2012 in three of four sites under 90 m a.s.l. and
235 only one individual was found in the fourth site. In areas at or above 90 m, at least one individual
236 was caught in five of eight sites (total $n = 23$ individuals), suggesting higher chances for survival
237 during the harsh late winter and spring flooding in early 2012. Neither the remaining local and
238 landscape variables nor their interactions with sampling period influenced species richness
239 significantly.

240

241 **Factors influencing small mammal abundance**

242 At the local scale, the abundance of small mammals was significantly influenced by management,
243 elevation, and interactions between sampling period and management and between sampling period
244 and elevation (**Table 2**). Significant coefficient estimates showed that early-mown restorations had
245 more individuals, whereas late-mown and grazed restorations had fewer individuals than ploughed
246 croplands (**Table 2**), particularly when abundance was generally high (autumn 2011, **Fig. 1B**). The
247 interaction between sampling period and management was because abundance decreased more from
248 2011 to 2012 in early-mown restorations than in late-mown restorations (**Fig. 1**). The interaction
249 between sampling period and elevation was because the relationship between elevation and
250 abundance was negative in autumn 2011 and slightly positive or constant in the three periods of
251 lower abundance (**Fig. 2**).

252

253 In autumn 2011, when abundance was generally high, non-managed natural grasslands had taller
254 vegetation (mean $5.8 \pm \text{S.D. } 2.98$ cm, $n = 72$ traps) than either early-mown (3.6 ± 2.18 , $n = 144$),
255 late-mown (3.5 ± 1.96 , $n = 72$) or grazed restored grasslands (3.2 ± 1.99 , $n = 72$) (one-way ANOVA
256 on log-transformed data, $F_{3,356} = 12.060$, $p < 0.0001$; Tukey HSD-test, $p < 0.001$ for each of the
257 three comparisons), and thus probably provided better chances to avoid predators than did restored
258 grasslands. This was supported by a negative correlation between average vegetation height and the
259 number of predators in autumn 2011 (Pearson $r = -0.695$, $n = 10$ grasslands, $p = 0.026$). Predators
260 most often recorded at the sites included Marsh Harrier *Circus aeruginosus*, Common Buzzard
261 *Buteo buteo*, Kestrel *Falco tinnunculus* and Great Egret *Egretta alba*, and other potential predators
262 observed in the vicinity were Red Fox *Vulpes vulpes*, Least Weasel *Mustela nivalis*, European
263 polecat *Mustela putorius* and Steppe Polecat *M. eversmanii*.

264

265 At the landscape scale, the proportion of natural and restored grasslands influenced abundance
266 positively, whereas the proportion of linear habitats had a negative effect on abundance (**Table 2**).
267 None of the interaction terms between landscape variables and either sampling period or
268 management influenced abundance significantly.

269

270 **Differences by restoration age**

271 In the smaller sample of restored fields ($n = 8$), restoration age strongly influenced species richness
272 (GLMM, $z = 29.020$, $p < 0.0001$) because sites restored in 2005 had fewer species ($1.3 \pm \text{SE } 0.74$)
273 than sites restored in later years (2006: 2.3 ± 0.67 , 2007: 2.3 ± 0.80 , 2008: 1.9 ± 0.99). Restoration
274 age also influenced the abundance of small mammals (**Table 2**), however, no consistent temporal
275 trend could be observed (2005: 5.4 ± 4.54 individuals, 2006: 13.3 ± 5.54 , 2007: 6.3 ± 2.82 , 2008:
276 8.4 ± 6.18).

277

278 Although the spatial distribution of captures was rather consistent across periods for common
279 species, species composition varied greatly in the four sampling periods due to rare species and
280 there was no sign of a clear separation in species composition either by habitat type, management or
281 restoration age (**Fig. S3**).

282

283 DISCUSSION

284

285 At the local scale, management had the largest influence on small mammals. The management
286 effect was mediated by vegetation height because sites with taller vegetation had more species and
287 individuals than sites with lower vegetation. Elevation was also important because higher areas
288 provided better chances for survival during unfavourable periods such as floods. At the landscape
289 scale, small mammals were influenced positively by the proportion of natural and restored
290 grasslands and negatively by the proportion of linear habitats. These results suggest that restored

291 grasslands can be important landscape-level refuges, although when conditions are favourable, e.g.
292 in autumn 2011, local management and not restoration or time since restoration *per se* appears
293 primarily important in shaping small mammal communities in grasslands. Our study is the first to
294 provide evidence of both local and landscape-scale factors influencing small mammal assemblages
295 after grassland restoration. The patterns found support the view that the effects of population
296 fluctuations and restoration are difficult to disentangle and that restorations play an unexpected but
297 important role as refuges during regional declines of small mammals (Mulligan, 2012).

298

299 Several results showed that restoration provided habitats that were comparable or better than
300 croplands for small mammals. Only three restorations and one cropland had small mammals after
301 the spring 2011 bottleneck, and only restorations and natural grasslands had small mammals after
302 the extreme summer drought of 2012. Moreover, the proportion of restored grasslands positively
303 affected small mammal abundance after the spring flood in 2011 and the summer drought of 2012.
304 These results show that in only three to six years, restoration can lead to grasslands that serve as
305 refuges for small mammals during unfavourable periods.

306

307 Our results also suggest that the effect of management was mediated by vegetation height.
308 Vegetation cover was highest in unmanaged areas, and was low in mown or grazed sites in autumn
309 2011, and predators were more numerous in sites with lower vegetation. These patterns may explain
310 the differences in small mammal abundance because vegetation provides safe microsites for the
311 movement, hiding, feeding or food gathering activities of small mammals (Moro and Gadal, 2007).
312 The risks of predation on small mammals both by birds and mammals are probably higher when
313 vegetation cover is low because small mammals can be more easily spotted and captured by
314 predators at sites with poor vegetation cover (Sutherland and Dickman, 1999). In extremely dry
315 years such as 2012, areas with higher vegetation cover probably also provided more abundant food
316 than areas which are mowed or grazed. A study in Switzerland found the highest density of small

317 mammals in non-mown grasslands (Aschwanden *et al.*, 2007), whereas an African study found that
318 intensive grazing negatively affects the abundance and diversity of small mammals (Yarnell *et al.*,
319 2007). Consequently, the differences in abundance in relation to management found here are likely
320 to exist due to the mediating effect of vegetation cover.

321

322 Our results showed that the large temporal, weather-driven fluctuations typical in small mammal
323 communities (Butet *et al.*, 2006; Merritt *et al.*, 2001) may be modified by both local effects
324 (elevation, management) and landscape-scale effects (proportion of restored/natural grasslands).

325 Almost all species disappeared from lower-lying areas (< 90 m a.s.l.) during the winter, whereas
326 populations of some species survived in higher areas. Higher areas may thus be particularly
327 important refuges for several small mammal species during harsh winters and springs with
328 extensive floods (Wijnhoven *et al.*, 2005). During the winter, small mammal populations typically
329 decrease to a minimum due to the combined effects of predation, cold weather, food shortage or
330 competition for resources (Aars and Ims, 2002; Hansen *et al.*, 1999). The chances of successful
331 survival during the winter are highest in refuge patches where vegetation cover is high enough and
332 food supply is adequate (van Deventer and Nel, 2006). Our observations followed these patterns
333 because species richness and abundance increased with the proportion of restored or natural
334 grasslands around the sites in each spring period, but they also provided further insight. For
335 example, the connectivity of patches, as estimated by the proportion of linear habitats, negatively
336 affected small mammal richness in spring 2012, likely due to the higher exposure of individuals to
337 predators. These patterns suggest that homogeneous patches that are rich in natural and restored
338 grasslands with no management or early mowing and that are poor in croplands, roads or canals
339 thus can serve as refuges and can mitigate the fluctuations of small mammals.

340

341 The lack of a direct, local effect of restoration on small mammals is likely to be related to their
342 broad food spectrum and life history traits (fast reproduction, good dispersal ability, quick

343 colonisation after local extinction etc.). The studied restoration led to the acceleration of secondary
344 succession in vegetation development towards the target natural grasslands, with grassland-
345 dominated vegetation forming as early as Year 2 after restoration (Lengyel *et al.*, 2012). The
346 species composition of arthropods (orthopterans, true bugs, spiders, carabid beetles) also changed
347 considerably from Year 1 to Year 2 because species characteristic to target grasslands appeared in
348 greater numbers in Year 2 and after (Déri *et al.*, 2011). In only four years, the species richness of
349 orthopterans (crickets and grasshoppers) has doubled and their abundance increased nearly ten-fold
350 on restored grasslands compared to croplands (Rácz *et al.*, 2013). The restoration of phytophagous
351 insects, most of which specialize on certain plants, positively correlated with the restoration success
352 of the vegetation in restored calcareous and mesotrophic grasslands (Woodcock *et al.*, 2010),
353 indicating that more specialized animal groups may more closely follow the vegetation restoration
354 process. The generally broad food spectrum and the life history characteristics of small mammals,
355 in combination with their weather-driven population dynamics, make it likely that the restoration of
356 small mammal communities does not correlate well with the restoration process of vegetation or
357 other animal taxa.

358

359 In conclusion, habitat management was primarily important in shaping small mammal communities
360 at the local scale and restoration had little effect locally. Management by mowing and grazing
361 decreased vegetation height, which increased predation risks on small mammals. However,
362 grassland restoration provided benefits to small mammals at the landscape scale by increasing the
363 total area of grasslands, which provide better conditions for the persistence of small mammals
364 during unfavourable periods. Grassland restoration and management should thus re-create a mosaic
365 of habitat types that combines restored and natural vegetation and no management in at least a
366 portion of the landscape to maximise the chances of persistence for small mammals. The policy
367 implications of our study are that both the local effects and landscape configuration should be
368 considered in the design of restoration and management, and in the monitoring of biodiversity and

369 ecosystem services. The restoration of small mammals as important providers of ecosystem services
370 should also consider the inherent spatiotemporal dynamics of populations that operates at the
371 landscape scale and that can be managed locally.

372

373 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

374 We thank Hortobágy National Park Directorate for permits and two anonymous reviewers for
375 comments on the manuscript. The restoration was co-financed by the EU LIFE-Nature programme
376 (LIFE04NAT/HU/000119, <http://life2004.hnp.hu>). This study was funded by the Hungarian
377 Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K 106133) to SL and by the EU FP7 project SCALES (contract
378 no. 226852; Henle *et al.*, 2010).

379

380 REFERENCES

- 381 Aars, J., Ims, R. A. (2002). Intrinsic and climatic determinants of population demography: the winter dynamics of
382 tundra voles. *Ecology* 83, 3449.
- 383 Anthony, N. M., Ribic, C. A., Bautz, R., Garland, T. J. (2005). Comparative effectiveness of Longworth and Sherman
384 live traps. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 33, 1018.
- 385 Aschwanden, J., Holzgang, O., Jenni, L. (2007). Importance of ecological compensation areas for small mammals in
386 intensively farmed areas. *Wildlife Biology* 13, 150.
- 387 Belant, J. L., Windels, S. K. (2007). Efficacy of a multiple-capture live trap for small mammals. *Ohio Journal of*
388 *Science* 107, 16.
- 389 Brawn, J. D. (1998). Effects of oak savanna restoration on avian populations and communities in Illinois. Final report.).
390 Champaign: Illinois Natural History Survey.
- 391 Brudvig, L. A. (2011). The restoration of biodiversity: where has research been and where does it need to go? *American*
392 *Journal of Botany* 98, 549.
- 393 Butet, A., Paillat, G., Delettre, Y. (2006). Seasonal changes in small mammal assemblages from field boundaries in an
394 agricultural landscape of western France. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 113, 364.
- 395 Castién, E., Gonsálbez, J. (1999). Habitat and food preferences in a guild of insectivorous small mammals in the
396 Western Pyrenees. *Acta Theriologica* 44, 1.
- 397 Crawley, M. J. (2007). *The R Book*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 398 Déri, E., Magura, T., Horváth, R., Kisfali, M., Ruff, G., Lengyel, S., Tóthmérész, B. (2011). Measuring the short-term
399 success of grassland restoration: the use of habitat affinity indices in ecological restoration. *Restoration*
400 *Ecology* 19, 520.
- 401 Dizney, L., Jones, P. D., Ruedas, L. A. (2008). Efficacy of three types of live traps used for surveying small mammals
402 in the Pacific Northwest. *Northwestern Naturalist* 89, 171.
- 403 Gerla, P. J., Cornett, M. W., Ahlering, M. A., Ekstein, J. D. (2012). Talking big: lessons learned from a 9,000 acre
404 restoration in the Northern Tallgrass Prairie. *Sustainability* 4, 3066.
- 405 Germaine, H. L., Germaine, S. S. (2002). Forest restoration treatment effects on the nesting success of Western
406 Bluebirds (*Sialia mexicana*). *Restoration Ecology* 10, 362.
- 407 Hansen, T. F., Stenseth, N. C., Henttonen, H. (1999). Multiannual vole cycles and population regulation during long
408 winters: an analysis of seasonal density dependence. *American Naturalist* 154, 129.
- 409 Hayes, J. P., Adam, M. D., Anthony, R. G., Witt, J. W. (1996). Comparison of the effectiveness of Sherman and
410 modified Fitch live-traps for capture of small mammals. *Northwestern Naturalist* 77, 40.
- 411 Hedberg, P., Kotowski, W. (2010). New nature by sowing? The current state of species introduction in grassland
412 restoration, and the road ahead. *Journal for Nature Conservation* 18, 304.
- 413 Henle, K., Kunin, W., Schweiger, O., Schmeller, D. S., Grobelnik, V., Matsinos, Y., Pantis, J., Penev, L., Potts, S. G.,
414 Ring, I., Simila, J., Tzanopoulos, J., van den Hove, S., Baguette, M., Clobert, J., Excoffier, L., Framstad, E.,

- 415 Grodzinska-Jurczak, M., Lengyel, S., Marty, P., Moilanen, A., Porcher, E., Storch, D., Steffan-Dewenter, I.,
 416 Sykes, M. T., Zobel, M., Settele, J. (2010). Securing the conservation of biodiversity across administrative
 417 levels and spatial, temporal, and ecological scales research needs and approaches of the SCALES project. *Gaia*
 418 - *Ecological Perspectives for Science and Society* 19, 187.
- 419 Hoover, J. (2008). Effects of off-channel wetland restoration on breeding bird communities. Technical report.).
 420 Champaign: Illinois Natural History Survey.
- 421 Howe, H. F., Brown, J. S. (2000). Early effects of rodent granivores on experimental forb communities. *Ecological*
 422 *Applications* 10, 917.
- 423 Kaufman, D. W., Kaufman, G. A. (2007). Captures of deer mice in small and large Sherman traps. *Transactions of the*
 424 *Kansas Academy of Science* 110, 285.
- 425 Kiehl, K., Kirmer, A., Donath, T. W., Rasran, L., Hölzel, N. (2010). Species introduction in restoration projects -
 426 Evaluation of different techniques for the establishment of semi-natural grasslands in Central and
 427 Northwestern Europe. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 11, 285.
- 428 Lengyel, S., Kosztyi, B., Ölvedi, T. B., Gunton, R. M., Kunin, W. E., Schmeller, D. S., Henle, K. (2014). Conservation
 429 strategies across spatial scales. In *Scaling in Ecology and Biodiversity Conservation*: 133-136. Henle, K.,
 430 Potts, S. G., Kunin, W. E., Matsinos, Y. G., Similä, J., Pantis, J. D., Grobelnik, V., Penev, L., Settele, J.
 431 (Eds.). Sofia: Pensoft Publishers.
- 432 Lengyel, S., Varga, K., Kosztyi, B., Lontay, L., Déri, E., Török, P., Tóthmérész, B. (2012). Grassland restoration to
 433 conserve landscape-level biodiversity: a synthesis of early results from a large-scale project. *Applied*
 434 *Vegetation Science* 15, 264.
- 435 Longcore, T. (2003). Terrestrial arthropods as indicators of ecological restoration success in coastal sage scrub
 436 (California, U.S.A.). *Restoration Ecology* 11, 397.
- 437 Lubchenco, J., Olson, A. M., Brubaker, L. B., Carpenter, S. R., Holland, M. M., Hubbell, S. P., Levin, S. A.,
 438 MacMahon, J. A., Matson, P. A., Melillo, J. M., Mooney, H. A., Peterson, C. H., Pulliam, H. R., Real, L. A.,
 439 Regal, P. J., Risser, P. G. (1991). The sustainable biosphere research initiative: an ecological research agenda.
 440 *Ecology* 72, 371.
- 441 Machmer, M. (2002). Effects of ecosystem restoration treatments on cavity-nesting birds, their habitat, and their
 442 insectivorous prey in fire-maintained forests of south-eastern British Columbia. USDA Forest Service General
 443 Technical Report PSW-GTR-181.). Washington, D. C.
- 444 Medin, D. E., Clary, W. P. (1989). Small mammal populations in a grazed and ungrazed riparian habitat in Nevada.
 445 USDA Forest Service Research Paper INT-413, USDA Intermountain Research Station.). Ogden, Utah.
- 446 Merritt, J. F., Lima, M., Bozinovic, F. (2001). Seasonal regulation in fluctuating small mammal populations: feedback
 447 structure and climate. *Oikos* 94, 505.
- 448 Mohammadi, S. (2010). Microhabitat selection by small mammals. *Advances in Biological Research* 4, 283.
- 449 Moro, D., Gadal, S. (2007). Benefits of habitat restoration to small mammal diversity and abundance in a pastoral
 450 agricultural landscape in mid-Wales. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 6, 3543.
- 451 Morris, R. D. (1968). A comparison of capture success between Sherman and Longworth live traps. *Canadian Field*
 452 *Naturalist* 82, 84.
- 453 Mortimer, S. R., Hollier, J. A., Brown, V. K. (1998). Interactions between plant and insect diversity in the restoration of
 454 lowland calcareous grasslands in southern Britain. *Applied Vegetation Science* 1, 101.
- 455 Mulligan, M. P. (2012). *Effects of connectivity and regional dynamics on restoration trajectories for small mammal*
 456 *communities on Midwestern grasslands*. MSc thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- 457 R Core Team (2014). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for
 458 Statistical Computing.
- 459 Rácz, I. A., Déri, E., Kisfali, M., Batiz, Z., Varga, K., Szabó, G., Lengyel, S. (2013). Early changes of orthopteran
 460 assemblages after grassland restoration: a comparison of space-for-time substitution versus repeated measures
 461 monitoring. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 22, 2321.
- 462 Santos-Filho, M. d., da Silva, D. J., Sanaïotti, T. M. (2006). Efficiency of four trap types in sampling small mammals in
 463 forest fragments, Mato Grosso, Brazil. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 13, 217.
- 464 Schnurr, J. L., Canham, C. D., Ostfeld, R. S., Inouye, R. S. (2004). Neighborhood analyses of small mammal dynamics:
 465 impact on seed predation and seedling establishment. *Ecology* 85, 741.
- 466 Sibbald, S., Carter, P., Poulton, S. (2006). Proposal for a National Monitoring Scheme for Small Mammals in the
 467 United Kingdom and the Republic of Eire. The Mammal Society Research Report No. 6.). London.
- 468 Stone, E. R. (2007). Measuring impacts of restoration on small mammals in a mixed-grass Colorado Prairie. *Ecological*
 469 *Restoration* 25, 183.
- 470 Sutherland, E. F., Dickman, C. R. (1999). Mechanisms of recovery after fire by rodents in the Australian environment, a
 471 review. *Wildlife Research* 26, 405.
- 472 Torre, I., Diaz, M., Martínez-Padilla, J., Bonal, R., Viñuela, J., Fargallo, J. A. (2007). Cattle grazing, raptor abundance
 473 and small mammal communities in Mediterranean grasslands. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 8, 565.
- 474 Torre, I., Guixé, D., Sort, F. (2010). Comparing three live trapping methods for small mammal sampling in cultivated
 475 areas of NE Spain. *Hystrix Italian Journal of Mammalogy* 21, 147.
- 476 van Deventer, M., Nel, J. A. J. (2006). Habitat, food, and small mammal community structure in Namaqualand. *Koedoe*
 477 - *African Protected Area Conservation and Science* 49, 99.

- 478 Vieira, M. V., Olifiers, N., Delciellos, A. C., Antunes, V. Z., Bernardo, L. R., Grelle, C. E. V., Cerqueira, R. (2009).
479 Land use vs. fragment size and isolation as determinants of small mammal composition and richness in
480 Atlantic Forest remnants. *Biological Conservation* 142, 1191.
- 481 Wijnhoven, S., van der Velde, G., Leuven, R. S. E. W., Smits, A. J. M. (2005). Flooding ecology of voles, mice, and
482 shrews: the importance of geomorphological and vegetational heterogeneity in river floodplains. *Acta*
483 *Theriologicala* 50, 453.
- 484 Williams, P. A., Karl, B. J., Bannister, P., Lee, W. G. (2001). Small mammals as potential seed dispersers in New
485 Zealand. *Austral Ecology* 25, 523.
- 486 Woodcock, B. A., Vogiatzakis, I. N., Westbury, D. B., Lawson, C. S., Edwards, A. R., Brook, A. J., Harris, S. J., Lock,
487 K. A., Maczey, N., Masters, G., Brown, V. K., Mortimer, S. R. (2010). The role of management and landscape
488 context in the restoration of grassland phytophagous beetles. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 47, 366.
- 489 Woodcock, B. A., Westbury, D. B., Tscheulin, T., Harrison-Cripps, J., Harris, S. J., Ramsey, A. J., Brown, V. K., Potts,
490 S. G. (2008). Effects of seed mixture and management on beetle assemblages of arable field margins.
491 *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 125, 246.
- 492 Yarnell, R. W., Scott, D. M., Chimimba, C. T., Metcalfe, D. J. (2007). Untangling the roles of fire, grazing and rainfall
493 on small mammal communities in grassland ecosystems. *Oecologia* 154, 387.
- 494 Young, T. P. (2000). Restoration ecology and conservation biology. *Biological Conservation* 92, 73.
495
496

498 **Table 1.** General characteristics, local and landscape factors of sampling sites. Grassland: natural grasslands, restoration: restored grasslands, linear
 499 habitats: roads, canals.

Sampling site	Habitat type	Year restored	Area (ha)	Local factors		Landscape factors: Proportion of				
				Elevation (m)	Management	Cropland	Grassland	Restoration	Linear	Woodland
Sóút É szántó	cropland	–	60	90.5	ploughing	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Kilátó szántó	cropland	–	50	90.0	ploughing	0.56	0.29	0.00	0.02	0.00
27 ha-os gye	restoration	2005	27	89.5	mowing early	0.22	0.21	0.32	0.01	0.01
Hagymás-hát	restoration	2005	26	91.0	sheep-grazing	0.00	0.08	0.36	0.00	0.00
Górés észak	restoration	2006	48	90.0	mowing early	0.00	0.03	0.86	0.02	0.04
Nyírházi halom	restoration	2006	28	91.0	mowing early	0.00	0.24	0.67	0.02	0.04
Csepregi szántó	restoration	2007	46	89.0	mowing late	0.00	0.06	0.60	0.00	0.00
K Csepregi	restoration	2007	21	90.0	mowing late	0.00	0.36	0.41	0.01	0.01
Csattag ÉK	restoration	2008	28	89.5	mowing early	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.00	0.00
Görbeszék-halom	restoration	2008	16	90.0	sheep-grazing	0.06	0.47	0.35	0.00	0.02
Csattag-hát	grassland	–	300	89.0	none	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fekete-rét DK	grassland	–	150	90.0	none	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.01	0.00

501

502 **Table 2.** Results of generalized linear mixed-effects models testing the effects of management,
 503 elevation and landscape variables on abundance of small mammals (random effect: season within
 504 year) in all sites and in restored grasslands only. Significant effects are highlighted in Bold.

Response	Species richness	Estimate ± S.E.	z value	Pr(> z)
Abundance (all sites)	(Intercept)	79.52 ± 37.444	2.124	0.034
	Sampling period	-44.31 ± 16.942	-2.616	0.009
	Management _{None}	-0.08 ± 0.560	-0.140	0.888
	Management_{Early-mown}	1.99 ± 0.417	4.762	< 0.0001
	Management_{Late-mown}	-2.20 ± 0.514	-4.275	< 0.0001
	Management_{Grazed}	-1.80 ± 0.677	-2.659	0.008
	Elevation	-0.89 ± 0.417	-2.106	0.035
	Proportion of grassland	1.85 ± 0.817	2.269	0.023
	Proportion of restoration	1.59 ± 0.703	2.262	0.023
	Proportion of linear habitats	-35.78 ± 11.824	-3.026	0.002
	Period × Mgmt _{None}	0.07 ± 0.204	0.344	0.731
	Period × Mgmt_{Early-mown}	-0.64 ± 0.186	-3.452	0.001
	Period × Mgmt_{Late-mown}	0.88 ± 0.208	4.238	< 0.0001
	Period × Mgmt _{Grazed}	0.24 ± 0.285	0.830	0.407
	Period × Elevation	0.49 ± 0.188	2.609	0.009
Abundance (restored sites)	Sampling period	-34.76 ± 17.135	-2.028	0.043
	Management_{Early-mown}	2.11 ± 0.395	5.343	< 0.0001
	Management_{Late-mown}	-0.97 ± 0.460	-2.100	0.036
	Elevation	-0.36 ± 0.430	-0.831	0.406
	Restoration age	-0.47 ± 0.146	-3.204	0.001
	Proportion of cropland	3.56 ± 1.670	2.132	0.033
	Proportion of linear habitat	29.24 ± 14.529	2.012	0.044
	Period × Mgmt_{Early-mown}	-0.68 ± 0.173	-3.914	< 0.0001
Period × Mgmt_{Late-mown}	0.57 ± 0.188	3.006	0.003	
Period × Elevation	0.39 ± 0.190	2.027	0.043	

505

506

507 FIGURE LEGENDS


508

509 **Figure 1.** Mean \pm S.E. species richness (A) and abundance (B) in unmanaged natural grasslands,
510 early-mown, late-mown and grazed grassland restorations and ploughed croplands in four sampling
511 periods. Datapoints were jittered along the X axis for clarity.

512

513 **Figure 2.** Relationships between abundance of small mammals and elevation. Spring datapoints
514 were jittered along the X axis for clarity and lines are for visual guidance only (please see statistics
515 in Table 2).


516



518

519

520 Figure 2



521

522

523 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

524 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the
525 publisher's web-site.

526 (see below)

527

528

529

530

531

532 to accompany "Local habitat management and landscape-scale restoration influence small mammal
533 communities in grasslands" by MÉRŐ et al.

534

535 **Contents:**

536 WEATHER INFORMATION: Fig. S1

537 SUPPORTING INFORMATION RESULTS

538 Number of daily captures: Fig. S2

539 Species and individuals captured: Table S1

540 Changes in species composition: Fig. S3

541


542

543 WEATHER INFORMATION

544

545 The study site is characterised by a continental climate with large annual fluctuations in weather.
546 The mean annual temperature is 9.5 °C and the mean total annual precipitation is 550 mm. We
547 sampled small mammals in the spring (March-April) and autumn (September-October) of both 2011
548 and 2012 (four periods total). Spring 2011 was characterised by high water and large flooded areas
549 due to extremely high precipitation in 2010 (**Fig. S1**; total 2010 precipitation in Hortobágy region:
550 950 mm, source: Hungarian Meteorological Service, <http://www.met.hu>). Summer 2011 was dry
551 (total precipitation: 350 mm) and water receded gradually from all grasslands. Winter 2011/2012
552 again brought significant precipitation (**Fig. S1**) and intense flooding at snowmelt. Summer 2012
553 had a long drought (total precipitation 270 mm), with hardly any rain in August and September
554 (**Fig. S1**).

555



556
 557 **Figure S1.** The number of hours per day with precipitation assembled from hourly present weather
 558 reports (days/months on the horizontal and hours of the day on the vertical axis) from the closest
 559 weather station with detailed measurement (Debrecen airport, c. 50 km E from the study site).
 560 Colour coding: green - rain; blue - snow, orange - thunderstorms, light blue shaded area - climate
 561 normals (average probability of precipitation per day). Bars at the top of the graphs indicate days
 562 with any precipitation (green) or no precipitation (white). Dark red horizontal bars in 2011 and 2012
 563 indicate the sampling periods, data for 2010 and 2013 are shown for comparison only. Source:
 564 WeatherSpark (<http://www.weatherspark.com>).
 565
 566
 567

569


570 **Number of daily captures**

571


572 The number of individuals captured per day was highest on day 4 (croplands and restored
 573 grasslands) or on day 3 (natural grasslands) and decreased by day 5 in each of the three habitat
 574 types (Fig. S2).

575

576 A



592 B



596

597

598 **Figure S2.** Mean \pm S.E. number of individuals captured on day 1 (white columns) through day 5
 599 (black column) in three habitat types based on $n = 421$ individuals, with recaptures ($n = 44$)
 600 excluded (A), and proportion of all captures falling on day 1 through 5 in the four sampling periods
 601 (B).

602

603 **Species and individuals captured**

Table S1. Number of males, females and juveniles by species caught in the four sampling periods.


Species	Year	Season	Males	Females (gravid/lactating)	Juveniles (males/females)
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	2011	spring autumn	3	4	
	2012	spring autumn	1	1	
<i>S. minutus</i>	2011	spring autumn	1	3 (1)	
	2012	spring autumn		2	
<i>Crocidura leucodon</i>	2011	spring autumn	12	1 (1) 47 (7)	1 (0/1)
	2012	spring autumn			1 (0/1)
<i>C. suaveolens</i>	2011	spring autumn	2	5 (2)	
	2012	spring autumn		1	
<i>Apodemus agrarius</i>	2011	spring autumn	15	16	3 (1/2)
	2012	spring autumn	3		
<i>A. flavicollis</i>	2011	spring autumn	1		
	2012	spring autumn		1	
<i>A. sylvaticus</i>	2011	spring autumn	7	6 (1)	5 (3/2)
	2012	spring autumn		1	
<i>A. uraliensis</i>	2011	spring autumn	2		
	2012	spring autumn		2 1	
<i>Mus spicilegus</i>	2011	spring autumn	2 6	6	
	2012	spring autumn	2	1	
<i>Micromys minutus</i>	2011	spring autumn		3	
	2012	spring autumn			
<i>Microtus arvalis</i>	2011	spring autumn	8 35	9 (3) 100 (47)	58 (12/46)
	2012	spring autumn	7	8 (1) 3 (1)	6 (2/4)
<i>M. subterraneus</i>	2011	spring autumn		3	1 (1/0)
	2012	spring autumn			
Total	2011	spring	10	10 (4)	0
		autumn	84	193 (58)	68 (17/51)
	2012	spring	12	12 (1)	0
		autumn	2	9 (1)	7 (2/5)

606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618

Changes in species composition

We compared the small mammal species composition of restored and natural grasslands and croplands by non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using Sørensen similarity as implemented in the 'metaMDS' function of the R package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al. 2011).

Ordination based on NMDS showed that species composition varied greatly through the four sampling periods (Fig. S3). There was no sign of a clear separation of species composition of the sites either by habitat type or management. The extent of the scatter of centroids confirmed that species composition was most diverse in autumn 2011, in the period of highest abundance, followed by 2012 autumn and the two spring periods (Fig. S3).



619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626

Figure A3. Changes in species composition of small mammals on restored grasslands (indicated by year of restoration and management), croplands and natural grasslands in four sampling periods from spring 2011 to autumn 2012. Symbols are omitted for clarity and arrows indicate temporal trends (trajectories) at the 12 sampling sites. Ordination was conducted by non-metric multidimensional scaling using Sørensen similarity based on the presence/absence of species (stress: 0.130).