



Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations 2015, No. **81**, 1–11; doi:10.14232/ejqtde.2015.1.81 http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/

# Existence and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions for boundary value problems of an elastic beam equation with a parameter

## **Chengbo Zhai**<sup>⊠</sup> and **Chunrong Jiang**

School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, Shanxi, P.R. China

Received 23 June 2015, appeared 23 November 2015 Communicated by Jeff R. L. Webb

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions for a boundary value problem of an elastic beam equation with a parameter. The proofs of the main results rely on a fixed point theorem and some properties of eigenvalue problems for a class of general mixed monotone operators. The results can guarantee the existence of a unique convex monotone positive solution and can be applied to construct two iterative sequences for approximating it. Moreover, we present some pleasant properties of convex monotone positive solutions for the boundary value problem dependent on the parameter. Finally, an example is given to illustrate the main results.

**Keywords:** existence and uniqueness, convex monotone positive solution, elastic beam equation, fixed point theorem for mixed monotone operators.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B18, 34B15.

## 1 Introduction

Recently, the study of fourth-order boundary value problems has attracted considerable attention, and fruits from research into it emerge continuously. For a small sample of such work, we refer the reader to [1–8, 10–20, 22] and the references therein. The fourth-order problems usually characterize the deformations of an elastic beam and so they are useful for material mechanics. There are many papers discussing the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the elastic beam equations, by using various methods, such as the Leray–Schauder continuation method, the topological degree theory, the shooting method, fixed point theorems on cones, the critical point theory, and the lower and upper solution method; see for example the above works mentioned. For example, Webb *et al.* [17] studied the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear fourth-order boundary-value problems with local and non-local boundary conditions. By using the Krasnosel'skii fixed-point theorem of cone expansion-compression type, Yao [19] investigated the positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem

 $<sup>^{\</sup>bowtie}$ Corresponding author. Email: cbzhai@sxu.edu.cn

with a parameter and obtained existence and multiplicity results. Pei and Chang [15] used a monotone iterative technique and proved the existence of at least one symmetric positive solution for a fourth-order boundary value problem. Li and Zhang [11] utilized a fixed point theorem of generalized concave operators to establish the existence and uniqueness of monotone positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem. In [12], Li and Zhai get the existence and uniqueness of monotone positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem via two fixed point theorems of mixed monotone operators with perturbation. In [20], by using the fixed point index method, we get the existence of at least one or at least two symmetric positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem. And then, by using a fixed point theorem of general  $\alpha$ -concave operators, we also obtain the existence and uniqueness of symmetric positive solutions for the boundary value problem.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions for the following nonlinear boundary value problems of an elastic beam equation with a parameter

$$u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), \ 0 < t < 1,$$
  

$$u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u^{(3)}(1) + \lambda g(u(1)) = 0,$$
(1.1)

where  $f : [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0,+\infty)$  and  $g : [0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0,+\infty)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter. Here, convex monotone positive solutions mean convex increasing positive solutions. When  $\lambda = 1$  in boundary conditions, Wang *et al.* [16] used a fixed point theorem of cone expansion and a fixed point theorem of generalized concave operators to obtain the existence, nonexistence, and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions for problem (1.1). In [5], Cabada and Tersian studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1) by using a three critical point theorem. Different from the above works mentioned, motivated by the work [21], we will use the main fixed point theorem and properties of eigenvalue problems for a class of general mixed monotone operators in [21] to prove the existence and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions for problem (1.1). Moreover, we will construct two sequences for approximating the unique solution and show that the positive solution with respect to  $\lambda$  has some pleasant properties.

#### 2 Preliminaries and previous results

In this section, we present some basic concepts in ordered Banach spaces and fixed point theorems for general mixed monotone operators. For convenience of readers, we suggest that one refer to [9,21] for details.

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space which is partially ordered by a cone  $P \subset E$ , *i.e.*  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$ . If  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , then denote x < y or y > x. By  $\theta$  we denote the zero element of *E*. Recall that a non-empty closed convex set  $P \subset E$  is a cone if it satisfies (i)  $x \in P$ ,  $r \geq 0 \Rightarrow rx \in P$ ; (ii)  $x \in P$ ,  $-x \in P \Rightarrow x = \theta$ .

*P* is called normal if there exists a constant N > 0 such that, for all  $x, y \in E$ ,  $\theta \le x \le y$  implies  $||x|| \le N ||y||$ ; in this case the infimum of such constants *N* is called the normality constant of *P*.

For all  $x, y \in E$ , the notation  $x \sim y$  means that there exist  $\mu > 0$  and  $\nu > 0$  such that  $\mu x \leq y \leq \nu x$ . Clearly,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. Given  $h > \theta$  (*i.e.*  $h \geq \theta$  and  $h \neq \theta$ ), we denote by  $P_h$  the set  $P_h = \{x \in E : x \sim h\}$ . It is easy to see that  $P_h \subset P$  is convex and  $rP_h = P_h$  for all r > 0.

**Definition 2.1.** An operator  $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$  is said to be a mixed monotone if A(x, y) is increasing in x and decreasing in y, *i.e.*,  $u_i, v_i$   $(i = 1, 2) \in P$ ,  $u_1 \leq u_2, v_1 \geq v_2$  implies  $A(u_1, v_1) \leq A(u_2, v_2)$ . An element  $x \in P$  is called a fixed point of A if A(x, x) = x.

Recently, in [21] Zhai and Zhang discussed the following operator equations

$$A(x,x) = x$$
 and  $A(x,x) = \lambda x$ ,

where  $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$  is a mixed monotone operator which satisfies the following assumptions:

- (*A*<sub>1</sub>) there exists  $h \in P$  with  $h \neq \theta$  such that  $A(h,h) \in P_h$ .
- (A<sub>2</sub>) for any  $u, v \in P$  and  $t \in (0,1)$ , there exists  $\varphi(t) \in (t,1)$  such that  $A(tu, t^{-1}v) \ge \varphi(t)A(u,v)$ .

The authors obtained the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the above equations and present the following interesting results.

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that *P* is a normal cone of *E*, and  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  hold. Then operator *A* has a unique fixed point  $x^*$  in  $P_h$ . Moreover, for any initial  $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$ , constructing successively the sequences

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have  $||x_n - x^*|| \to 0$  and  $||y_n - x^*|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that *P* is a normal cone of *E*, and  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  hold. Let  $x_{\lambda}$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) denote the unique solution of the nonlinear eigenvalue equation  $A(x, x) = \lambda x$  in  $P_h$ . Then we have the following conclusions:

- (B<sub>1</sub>) if  $\varphi(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $x_{\lambda}$  is strictly decreasing in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies  $x_{\lambda_1} > x_{\lambda_2}$ ;
- (B<sub>2</sub>) if there exists  $\beta \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varphi(t) \ge t^{\beta}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $x_{\lambda}$  is continuous in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $\lambda \to \lambda_0 \ (\lambda_0 > 0)$  implies  $||x_{\lambda} x_{\lambda_0}|| \to 0$ ;
- (B<sub>3</sub>) *if there exists*  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  *such that*  $\varphi(t) \ge t^{\beta}$  *for*  $t \in (0, 1)$ *, then*  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} ||x_{\lambda}|| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} ||x_{\lambda}|| = \infty$ .

**Remark 2.4.** By using Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, we can investigate the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for many boundary value problems with a parameter, and then show some pleasant properties of positive solutions with respect to  $\lambda$ . For example, two-point boundary value problems, three-point boundary value problems were studied in [21], where the nonlinear terms f(t, u, v) are required to be continuous. The hypothesis that f should be continuous was not stated in Theorem 3.1 of [21] but with this addition the result holds. Unfortunately, the nonlinear terms of examples 3.1–3.4 in [21] are not continuous, so those examples are not valid.

## 3 Existence and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions for problem (1.1)

In this section, we use Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 to study problem (1.1) and we present two new results on the existence and uniqueness of convex monotone positive solutions, we show that

the convex monotone positive solution with respect to  $\lambda$  has some pleasant properties. The method is new to the literature and so is the existence and uniqueness result to fourth-order boundary value problems.

In our considerations we will work in the Banach space C[0, 1], the space of all continuous functions on [0, 1] with the standard norm  $||x|| = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . Notice that this space can be equipped with a partial order given by

$$x, y \in C[0,1], x \le y \Leftrightarrow x(t) \le y(t) \text{ for } t \in [0,1].$$

Set  $P = \{x \in C[0,1] : x(t) \ge 0, t \in [0,1]\}$ , the standard cone. It is clear that *P* is a normal cone in *C*[0,1] and the normality constant is 1.

From [2], if f, g are continuous, then problem (1.1) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s) f(s,u(s)) ds + \lambda g(u(1))\phi(t), \ t \in [0,1],$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{6} \begin{cases} s^2(3t-s), & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \\ t^2(3s-t), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 - \frac{1}{6}t^3$ .

From [11], we give the following properties of the Green's function G(t, s) and  $\phi(t)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{3}s^2t^2 \le G(t,s) \le \frac{1}{2}st^2, \qquad \frac{1}{3}t^2 \le \phi(t) \le \frac{1}{2}t^2.$$

The following conclusion is simple, so we omit its proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $u \in C^4[0, 1]$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) \ge 0, \ t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = 0, \ u^{(3)}(1) \le 0, \end{cases}$$

then: (i) u(t) is monotone increasing on [0,1]; (ii)  $u''(t) \ge 0$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$ , that is, u(t) is a convex function on [0,1].

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that

 $(H_1)$   $f: [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0,+\infty)$  and  $g: [0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0,+\infty)$  are continuous;

(*H*<sub>2</sub>) f(t, x) is increasing in  $x \in [0, +\infty)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  with  $f(t, 0) \not\equiv 0$ , and g(x) is decreasing in  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ ;

(H<sub>3</sub>) for  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , there exist  $\varphi_i(\eta) \in (\eta, 1)$  (i = 1, 2) such that

$$f(t,\eta x) \geq \varphi_1(\eta)f(t,x), \quad g(\eta x) \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_2(\eta)}g(x), \quad \forall \ t \in [0,1], \ x \in [0,+\infty).$$

Then, for any given  $\lambda > 0$ , problem (1.1) has a unique convex monotone positive solution  $u_{\lambda}^*$  in  $P_h$ , where  $h(t) = t^2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover, for any initial values  $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$ , constructing successively the sequences:

$$x_{n} = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) f(s, x_{n-1}(s)) ds + \lambda g(y_{n-1}(1)) \phi(t),$$
  

$$y_{n} = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) f(s, y_{n-1}(s)) ds + \lambda g(x_{n-1}(1)) \phi(t), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have  $x_n \to u_{\lambda}^*, y_n \to u_{\lambda}^*$  as  $n \to +\infty$ . Further, (i) if  $\varphi_i(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (i = 1, 2) for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $u_{\lambda}^*$  is strictly increasing in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies  $u_{\lambda_1}^* < u_{\lambda_2}^*$ ; (ii) if there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\varphi_i(t) \ge t^{\beta}$  (i = 1, 2) for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $u_{\lambda}^*$  is continuous in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$  ( $\lambda_0 > 0$ ) implies  $\|u_{\lambda}^* - u_{\lambda_0}^*\| \to 0$ ; (iii) if there exists  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that  $\varphi_i(t) \ge t^{\beta}$  (i = 1, 2) for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|u_{\lambda}^*\| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \|u_{\lambda}^*\| = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u, v \in P$ , we define

$$A(u,v)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds + g(v(1))\phi(t), \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

Evidently, *u* is the solution of problem (1.1) if and only if  $u = \lambda A(u, u)$ . Noting that f(t, x),  $g(x) \ge 0$  and  $G(t, s) \ge 0$ , it is easy to check that  $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$ . In the sequel we check that *A* satisfies all assumptions of Lemma 2.2.

Firstly, we prove that *A* is a mixed monotone operator. In fact, for  $u_i, v_i \in P$ , i = 1, 2 with  $u_1 \ge u_2$ ,  $v_1 \le v_2$ , we know that  $u_1(t) \ge u_2(t)$ ,  $v_1(t) \le v_2(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and by  $(H_2)$ ,

$$A(u_1, v_1)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u_1(s)) ds + g(v_1(1))\phi(t)$$
  

$$\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u_2(s)) ds + g(v_2(1))\phi(t) = A(u_2, v_2)(t).$$

That is,  $A(u_1, v_1) \ge A(u_2, v_2)$ .

Next we show that A satisfies the condition  $(A_2)$ . From  $(H_3)$ , for  $\eta \in (0,1)$ , we have  $g(\eta^{-1}x) \ge \varphi_2(\eta)g(x), \forall x \in [0, +\infty)$ . Let  $\varphi(t) = \min\{\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t)\}, t \in (0,1)$ . Then  $\varphi(t) \in (t,1)$ . From  $(H_3)$ , for any  $\eta \in (0,1)$  and  $u, v \in P$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(\eta u, \eta^{-1}v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, \eta u(s)) ds + g(\eta^{-1}v(1)) \phi(t) \\ &\geq \varphi_1(\eta) \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds + \varphi_2(\eta) g(v(1)) \phi(t) \\ &\geq \varphi(\eta) \left[ \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds + g(v(1)) \phi(t) \right] \\ &= \varphi(\eta) A(u, v)(t), \qquad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $A(\eta u, \eta^{-1}v) \ge \varphi(\eta)A(u, v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in P$ ,  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . So the condition  $(A_2)$  in Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. Now we show that  $A(h, h) \in P_h$ . On one hand, it follows from  $(H_2)$  and Lemma 3.1 that

$$A(h,h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,h(s))ds + g(h(1))\phi(t)$$
  
=  $\int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,s^2)ds + g(1)\phi(t)$ 

$$\geq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{3} t^2 s^2 f(s,0) ds + g(1) \frac{1}{3} t^2$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{3} \left[ \int_0^1 s^2 f(s,0) ds + g(1) \right] t^2, \quad t \in [0,1].$ 

On the other hand, also from  $(H_2)$  and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$A(h,h)(t) \le \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} t^2 s f(s,1) ds + g(1) \frac{1}{2} t^2$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 s f(s,1) ds + g(1) \right] t^2, \quad t \in [0,1].$ 

Let

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \int_0^1 s^2 f(s,0) ds + g(1) \right], \qquad r_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 s f(s,1) ds + g(1) \right].$$

Since f(t, x) is continuous and increasing in x with  $f(t, 0) \neq 0$ ,  $g(1) \ge 0$ , we can get

$$0 < r_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \int_0^1 s^2 f(s,0) ds + g(1) \right] \le \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 s f(s,1) ds + g(1) \right] = r_2$$

Consequently,

$$A(h,h)(t) \ge r_1 h(t), \qquad A(h,h)(t) \le r_2 h(t), \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

So we have

$$r_1h \le A(h,h) \le r_2h.$$

Hence  $A(h,h) \in P_h$ , the condition  $(A_1)$  in Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a unique  $u_{\lambda}^* \in P_h$  such that  $A(u_{\lambda}^*, u_{\lambda}^*) = \frac{1}{\lambda}u_{\lambda}^*$ . That is,  $u_{\lambda}^* = \lambda A(u_{\lambda}^*, u_{\lambda}^*)$ , and then

$$u_{\lambda}^{*}(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) f(s, u_{\lambda}^{*}(s)) ds + \lambda g(u_{\lambda}^{*}(1)) \phi(t), \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

It is easy to check that  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is a unique positive solution of the problem (1.1) for given  $\lambda > 0$ . In view of  $u_{\lambda}^{*(4)}(t) = \lambda f(t, u_{\lambda}^{*}(t)), 0 < t < 1$  and  $u_{\lambda}^{*}(0) = u_{\lambda}^{*'}(0) = u_{\lambda}^{*''}(1) = u_{\lambda}^{*(3)}(1) + \lambda g(u_{\lambda}^{*}(1)) = 0$ , then from Lemma 3.2,  $u_{\lambda}^{*}(t)$  is increasing and convex on [0,1]. Further, if  $\varphi_{i}(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (i = 1,2) for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $\varphi(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Lemma 2.3 ( $B_{1}$ ) means that  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is strictly decreasing in  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . So  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is strictly increasing in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $0 < \lambda_{1} < \lambda_{2}$  implies  $u_{\lambda_{1}}^{*} \leq u_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}, u_{\lambda_{1}}^{*} \neq u_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}$ . If there exists  $\beta \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varphi_{i}(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  (i = 1,2) for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Lemma 2.3 ( $B_{2}$ ) means that  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is continuous in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $\lambda \to \lambda_{0}$  ( $\lambda_{0} > 0$ ) implies  $||u_{\lambda}^{*} - u_{\lambda_{0}}^{*}|| \to 0$ . If there exists  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that  $\varphi_{i}(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  (i = 1,2) for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $\varphi(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $\varphi(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Lemma 2.3 ( $B_{3}$ ) means  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^{+}} ||u_{\lambda}^{*}|| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} ||u_{\lambda}^{*}|| = \infty$ .

Let  $A_{\lambda} = \lambda A$ , then  $A_{\lambda}$  also satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.2, for any initial values  $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$ , constructing successively the sequences  $x_{n+1} = A_{\lambda}(x_n, y_n)$ ,  $y_{n+1} = A_{\lambda}(y_n, x_n)$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., we have  $x_n \to u_{\lambda}^*$ ,  $y_n \to u_{\lambda}^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s) f(s,x_n(s)) ds + \lambda g(y_n(1)) \phi(t) \to u_\lambda^*(t), \\ y_{n+1} &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s) f(s,y_n(s)) ds + \lambda g(x_n(1)) \phi(t) \to u_\lambda^*(t), \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume  $(H_1)$  and

- (*H*<sub>4</sub>) f(t, x) is decreasing in  $x \in [0, +\infty)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  with  $f(t, 1) \neq 0$ , and g(x) is increasing in  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ ;
- (H<sub>5</sub>) for  $\eta \in (0,1)$ , there exist  $\varphi_i(\eta) \in (\eta,1)$  (i = 1,2) such that

$$f(t,\eta x) \le \frac{1}{\varphi_1(\eta)} f(t,x), \ g(\eta x) \ge \varphi_2(\eta) g(x), \qquad \forall \ t \in [0,1], \ x \in [0,+\infty)$$

Then, for any given  $\lambda > 0$ , problem (1.1) has a unique convex monotone positive solution  $u_{\lambda}^*$  in  $P_h$ , where  $h(t) = t^2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover, for any initial values  $x_0$ ,  $y_0 \in P_h$ , constructing successively the sequences:

$$x_{n} = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) f(s, y_{n-1}(s)) ds + \lambda g(x_{n-1}(1)) \phi(t),$$
  

$$y_{n} = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) f(s, x_{n-1}(s)) ds + \lambda g(y_{n-1}(1)) \phi(t), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we have  $x_n \to u_{\lambda}^*$ ,  $y_n \to u_{\lambda}^*$  as  $n \to +\infty$ . Further, (i) if  $\varphi_i(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (i = 1, 2) for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $u_{\lambda}^*$  is strictly increasing in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies  $u_{\lambda_1}^* < u_{\lambda_2}^*$ ; (ii) if there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\varphi_i(t) \ge t^{\beta}$  (i = 1, 2) for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $u_{\lambda}^*$  is continuous in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$  ( $\lambda_0 > 0$ ) implies  $||u_{\lambda}^* - u_{\lambda_0}^*|| \to 0$ ; (iii) if there exists  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that  $\varphi_i(t) \ge t^{\beta}$  (i = 1, 2) for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} ||u_{\lambda}^*|| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} ||u_{\lambda}^*|| = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u, v \in P$ , we define

$$A(u,v)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,v(s))ds + g(u(1))\phi(t), \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

Evidently, *u* is the solution of problem (1.1) if and only if  $u = \lambda A(u, u)$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, from (*H*<sub>4</sub>), we obtain that  $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$  is a mixed monotone operator.

Next we show that A satisfies the condition  $(A_2)$ . From  $(H_5)$ , for  $\eta \in (0,1)$ , we have  $f(t,\eta^{-1}x) \ge \varphi_1(\eta)f(t,x), \ \forall \ x \in [0,+\infty)$ . Let  $\varphi(t) = \min\{\varphi_1(t),\varphi_2(t)\}, \ t \in (0,1)$ . Then  $\varphi(t) \in (t,1)$ . From  $(H_5)$ , for any  $\eta \in (0,1)$  and  $u, v \in P$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(\eta u, \eta^{-1}v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, \eta^{-1}v(s)) ds + g(\eta u(1))\phi(t) \\ &\geq \varphi_1(\eta) \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, v(s)) ds + \varphi_2(\eta) g(u(1))\phi(t) \\ &\geq \varphi(\eta) \left[ \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, v(s)) ds + g(u(1))\phi(t) \right] \\ &= \varphi(\eta) A(u, v)(t), \qquad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $A(\eta u, \eta^{-1}v) \ge \varphi(\eta)A(u, v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in P$ ,  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . So the condition  $(A_2)$  in Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. Now we show that  $A(h, h) \in P_h$ . On one hand, it follows from  $(H_4)$  and Lemma 3.1 that

$$A(h,h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,h(s))ds + g(h(1))\phi(t)$$
  
=  $\int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,s^2)ds + g(1)\phi(t)$ 

$$\geq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{3} t^2 s^2 f(s,1) ds + g(1) \frac{1}{3} t^2$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{3} \left[ \int_0^1 s^2 f(s,1) ds + g(1) \right] t^2, \quad t \in [0,1].$ 

On the other hand, also from  $(H_3)$  and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$A(h,h)(t) \le \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} t^2 s f(s,0) ds + g(1) \frac{1}{2} t^2$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 s f(s,0) ds + g(1) \right] t^2, \quad t \in [0,1].$ 

Let

$$r_{3} = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} s^{2} f(s, 1) ds + g(1) \right], \qquad r_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} s f(s, 0) ds + g(1) \right].$$

Since *f* is continuous and  $f(t, 1) \not\equiv 0$ ,  $g(1) \ge 0$ , we can get

$$0 < r_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \int_0^1 s^2 f(s,1) ds + g(1) \right] \le \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 s f(s,0) ds + g(1) \right] = r_4.$$

Consequently,

$$A(h,h)(t) \ge r_3h(t), \qquad A(h,h)(t) \le r_4h(t), \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

So we have

$$r_3h \le A(h,h) \le r_4h.$$

Hence  $A(h,h) \in P_h$ , the condition  $(A_1)$  in Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a unique  $u_{\lambda}^* \in P_h$  such that  $A(u_{\lambda}^*, u_{\lambda}^*) = \frac{1}{\lambda}u_{\lambda}^*$ . That is,  $u_{\lambda}^* = \lambda A(u_{\lambda}^*, u_{\lambda}^*)$ , and then

$$u_{\lambda}^{*}(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) f(s,u_{\lambda}^{*}(s)) ds + \lambda g(u_{\lambda}^{*}(1))\phi(t), \ t \in [0,1].$$

It is easy to check that  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is a unique positive solution of the problem (1.1) for given  $\lambda > 0$ . In view of  $u_{\lambda}^{*(4)}(t) = \lambda f(t, u_{\lambda}^{*}(t)), 0 < t < 1$  and  $u_{\lambda}^{*}(0) = u_{\lambda}^{*'}(0) = u_{\lambda}^{*''}(1) = u_{\lambda}^{*(3)}(1) + \lambda g(u_{\lambda}^{*}(1)) = 0$ , then from Lemma 3.2,  $u_{\lambda}^{*}(t)$  is increasing and convex on [0,1]. Further, if  $\varphi_{i}(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (i = 1,2) for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $\varphi(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Lemma 2.3 ( $B_{1}$ ) means that  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is strictly decreasing in  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . So  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is strictly increasing in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $0 < \lambda_{1} < \lambda_{2}$  implies  $u_{\lambda_{1}}^{*} \leq u_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}, u_{\lambda_{1}}^{*} \neq u_{\lambda_{2}}^{*}$ . If there exists  $\beta \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varphi_{i}(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  (i = 1,2) for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Lemma 2.3 ( $B_{2}$ ) means that  $u_{\lambda}^{*}$  is continuous in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $\lambda \to \lambda_{0}$  ( $\lambda_{0} > 0$ ) implies  $||u_{\lambda}^{*} - u_{\lambda_{0}}^{*}|| \to 0$ . If there exists  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that  $\varphi_{i}(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  (i = 1,2) for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then  $\varphi(t) \geq t^{\beta}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . Lemma 2.3 ( $B_{3}$ ) means  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^{+}} ||u_{\lambda}^{*}|| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} ||u_{\lambda}^{*}|| = \infty$ .

Let  $A_{\lambda} = \lambda A$ , then  $A_{\lambda}$  also satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.2, for any initial values  $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$ , constructing successively the sequences  $x_{n+1} = A_{\lambda}(x_n, y_n)$ ,  $y_{n+1} = A_{\lambda}(y_n, x_n)$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., we have  $x_n \to u_{\lambda}^*, y_n \to u_{\lambda}^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s) f(s,y_n(s)) ds + \lambda g(x_n(1)) \phi(t) \to u_\lambda^*(t), \\ y_{n+1} &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s) f(s,x_n(s)) ds + \lambda g(y_n(1)) \phi(t) \to u_\lambda^*(t), \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

8

г				
н				L
н				L
н				L
ь.				

**Remark 3.5.** Comparing Theorems 3.3–3.4 with the main results in [11, 12], we provide some alternative approaches to study the similar type of problems under different conditions. Our analysis relies on some results of operator equation  $A(x, x) = \lambda x$ , where A is general mixed monotone. So the functions f, g in the problem (1.1) can have two different monotonicity. In [11], the method used there is a theorem of operator equation Ax = x, where A is increasing. So in [11] the functions f, g of fourth-order boundary value problems only have stationary monotonicity. In [12], the authors used some results of operator equation A(x, x) + Bx =x to study fourth-order boundary value problems. From [21], we know that A(x, x) + Bxwas proved to be general mixed monotone. Therefore, the main results in [12] are special cases of Theorems 3.3–3.4. In addition, our results can guarantee the existence of a unique convex monotone positive solution and can be applied to construct two iterative sequences for approximating it. We present some pleasant properties of convex monotone positive solutions for the boundary value problem dependent on the parameter. Because the operator equation in Lemma 2.3 is only concerned with one parameter, we only study the problem (1.1) which the parameter in the equation is the same as in the boundary condition, and thus our method can not be applied to some boundary value problems with several different parameters.

To illustrate how our main results can be used in practice we present a simple example.

Example 3.6. Consider the following fourth-order boundary value problem:

$$u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda\{[u(t)]^{\frac{1}{4}} + a(t)\}, \ 0 < t < 1,$$
  
$$u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u^{(3)}(1) + \lambda[u(1) + b]^{-\frac{1}{6}} = 0,$$
  
(3.1)

where b > 0,  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous with  $a \neq 0$ . Evidently, problem (3.1) fits the framework of problem (1.1). In this example, let

$$f(t,x) = x^{\frac{1}{4}} + a(t), \ g(x) = [x+b]^{-\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Obviously,  $f : [0,1] \times [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$  is continuous and  $g : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$  is continuous. And it easy to see that f(t,x) is increasing in  $x \in [0,+\infty)$  for fixed  $t \in [0,1]$ , and g(x) is decreasing in  $x \in [0,+\infty)$ . Moreover, from the given condition, we have  $f(t,0) = a(t) \neq 0$ . Set  $\varphi_1(\eta) = \eta^{\frac{1}{4}}$ ,  $\varphi_2(\eta) = \eta^{\frac{1}{6}}$ ,  $\eta \in (0,1)$ . Then  $\varphi_1(\eta)$ ,  $\varphi_2(\eta) \in (\eta,1)$  and

$$f(t,\eta x) = \eta^{\frac{1}{4}} x^{\frac{1}{4}} + a(t) \ge \varphi_1(\eta) f(t,x), \ g(\eta x) = [\eta x + b]^{-\frac{1}{6}} \le \frac{1}{\varphi_2(\eta)} g(x),$$

for  $t \in [0,1]$ ,  $x \ge 0$ . Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. An application of Theorem 3.3 implies that problem (3.1) has a unique convex monotone positive solution  $u_{\lambda}^*$  in  $P_h = P_{t^2}$ , and for any initial values  $x_0$ ,  $y_0 \in P_{t^2}$ , constructing successively the sequences

$$x_{n} = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) [x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{4}}(s) + a(s)] ds + \lambda [y_{n-1}(1) + b]^{-\frac{1}{6}} \phi(t),$$
  

$$y_{n} = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) [y_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{4}}(s) + a(s)] ds + \lambda [x_{n-1}(1) + b]^{-\frac{1}{6}} \phi(t), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

we have  $x_n(t) \to u_{\lambda}^*(t)$ ,  $y_n(t) \to u_{\lambda}^*(t)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , where G(t,s) is given as in Lemma 3.1. Moreover, note that  $\varphi_1(t)$ ,  $\varphi_2(t) > t^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ , then from Theorem 3.3,  $u_{\lambda}^*$  is strictly increasing in  $\lambda$ , that is,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies  $u_{\lambda_1}^* \le u_{\lambda_2}^*$ ,  $u_{\lambda_1}^* \ne u_{\lambda_2}^*$ . Take  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$  and applying Theorem 3.3, we know that  $u_{\lambda}^*$  is continuous in  $\lambda$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} ||u_{\lambda}^*|| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} ||u_{\lambda}^*|| = \infty$ .

## Acknowledgements

This paper was supported financially by the Youth Science Foundation of China (11201272), Shanxi Province Science Foundation(2015011005) and 131 Talents Project of Shanxi Province (2015). The authors are greatly indebted to the referees for many valuable suggestions and comments.

### References

- R. P. AGARWAL, Y. M. CHOW, Iterative methods for a fourth order boundary value problem, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 10(1984), 203–217. MR739776; url
- [2] E. ALVES, T. F. MA, M. L. PELICER, Monotone positive solutions for a fourth order equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**(2009), 3834–3841. MR2536292; url
- [3] P. AMSTER, P. P. CÁRDENAS ALZATE, A shooting method for a nonlinear beam equation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**(2008), 2072–2078. MR2388766; url
- [4] Z. BAI, The upper and lower solution method for some fourth-order boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**(2007), 1704–1709. MR2326022; url
- [5] A. CABADA, S. TERSIAN, Multiplicity of solutions of a two point boundary value problem for a fourth-order equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**(2013), 5261–5267. MR3009485; url
- [6] J. CABALLERO, J. HARJANI, K. SADARANGANI, Uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of fourth-order boundary value problems, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2011, Art. ID 543035, 13 pp. MR2800064; url
- [7] J. R. GRAEF, R. JOHN, L. J. KONG, Q. K. KONG, B. YANG, Positive solutions to a fourth order boundary value problem, *Results Math.* 59(2011), No. 1–2, 141–155. MR2772183; url
- [8] J. R. GRAEF, R. JOHN, B. YANG, Positive solutions of a nonlinear fourth order boundary value problem, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* 14(2007), No. 1, 61–73. MR2294493
- [9] D. GUO, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, Nonlinear problems in abstract cones, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 5, Academic Press Inc., Boston, 1988. MR959889
- [10] Т. JANKOWSKI, R. JANKOWSKI, Multiple solutions of boundary-value problems for fourthorder differential equations with deviating arguments, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 146(2010), 105–115. MR2657826; url
- [11] S. LI, X. ZHANG, Existence and uniqueness of monotone positive solutions for an elastic beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63(2012), 1355– 1360. MR2912060; url
- [12] S. LI, C.B. ZHAI, New existence and uniqueness results for an elastic beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2015, No. 104, 12 pp. MR3358097; url
- B. LIU, Positive solutions of fourth-order two-point boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 148(2004), 407–420. MR2015382; url

- [14] R. K. LIU, R. Y. MA, Existence of positive solutions for an elastic beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *J. Appl. Math.* **2014**, Art. ID 972135, 1–5. MR3187054; url
- [15] M. PEI, S. K. CHANG, Monotone iterative technique and symmetric positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem, *Math. Comput. Model.* **51**(2010), 1260–1267. MR2608912; url
- [16] W. X. WANG, Y. P. ZHENG, H. YANG, J. X. WANG, Positive solutions for elastic beam equations with nonlinear boundary conditions and a parameter, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2014, No. 80, 17 pp. MR3348246; url
- [17] J. R. L. WEBB, G. INFANTE, D. FRANCO, Positive solutions of nonlinear fourth-order boundary-value problems with local and non-local boundary conditions, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 138(2008), No. 2, 427–446. MR2406699; url
- [18] L. YANG, H. CHEN, X. YANG, The multiplicity of solutions for fourth-order equations generated from a boundary condition, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24(2011), 1599–1603. MR2803717; url
- [19] Q. YAO, Positive solutions for eigenvalue problems of fourth-order elastic beam equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17**(2004), 237–243. MR2034772; url
- [20] C. B. ZHAI, R. P. SONG, Q. Q. HAN, The existence and the uniqueness of symmetric positive solutions for a fourth-order boundary value problem, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62(2011), 2639–2647. MR2831747; url
- [21] C. B. ZHAI, L. L. ZHANG, New fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and local existence-uniqueness of positive solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 382(2011), 594–614. MR2810817; url
- [22] X. P. ZHANG, Existence and iteration of monotone positive solutions for an elastic beam with a corner, *Nonlinear Anal.* **10**(2009), 2097–2103. MR2508419; url