



from the dangerous environment (Kusuma et al., 2015) and harsh treatment (Ford, 2006) particularly in the Middle East. Drawing on data from the Ministry of Education, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Indonesian embassies, and victims' families, Migrant Care concludes that 874 Indonesian migrant workers experienced violence or abuse in 2010. In 2011, cases of rape and sexual assault of migrant workers increased to 1,234 from 1000 cases in 2010 (Solidaritas Perempuan, 2014). Between 2007 and 2012, 151 migrant workers were shot by Malaysian police without any clear legal basis. Cases of physical violence against Indonesian migrant workers continued to increase from 2015 to 2016. One province that sent its migrant workers abroad, West Nusa Tenggara, reported more than 500 cases of violence in 2015 and more than 900 cases of violence in 2016 (Radar Lombok, 2016). These statistical facts were some of the considerations for implementing the moratorium on sending Indonesian migrant workers to the Middle East.

While the protection (mainly physical) offered by the government is essential, several other significant measures have yet to be taken. Foremost among these is protecting female migrant workers from symbolic and verbal violence at the hands of government employees and individual citizens. Most articles about Indonesian migrant workers focus on how the regulations have protected their rights (Kristina, 2020) and how families have been affected by workers' travels abroad, especially their impact on financial and social life (Spitser, 2016; Anomsari, 2020). Some articles are focused on looking at the role of the social capital in that process (Pratikno et al., 2020) and how the female migrants experience more exploitation when they work in other countries (Loveband, 2007). Other research focuses more on life courses of migration (Liao & Gan, 2020). Other issues also capture the researchers' attention, such as the detrimental effects of migrant workers' departures on their

family live, including cases of adultery, gender and social structure (Anggaunitairanantika & Hamidi, 2020) and bad marriage situations. Other studies focus on quantitative issues from whence and to where migrant workers travel (Sururama & Nanuru, 2019), such as the pattern of mobility from one country to other countries (Setiadi, 2001); (Keban, 2000). In contrast, NGO reports tend to focus on human rights, particularly questions of advocacy such as access to legal support and government action to protect migrant workers. Moreover, some research studies have also examined how the migrant workers are exploited, particularly financially, by the host country (Chan, 2014). The most recent issues to draw considerable attention have included the trafficking of women and children and rescuing the migrant returning from other countries. For almost two decades, academic discourse has focused predominantly on the relationship between migrant workers and the State, such as with State institutions and the situation migrants face abroad as victims of physical violence at the hands of other individuals.

Unlike these previous studies, this study attempts to explain the experiences of symbolic violence faced by migrant workers in their interactions with individuals within an important space, the international airport in Indonesia. This study involved qualitative research and examined female migrant workers from a gender perspective. The research questions include: how is the international airport space used by airport officials and passengers to position the female migrant workers in their interactions? How do airports function to marginalize these Indonesian female migrant workers? In this delimited space, what discourses are often used to differentiate the "self" and "others" in this positioning?

This paper is intended to examine the positioning of the female migrant workers by various subjects. It is vital to research this positioning because it is in these delimited

spaces that relationships of power and forms of dominance are often manifested to meet symbolic goals. The relationships of power are for instance exercising power over those considered to be of a lower social class or for material goals, such as to obtain financial benefit from the female migrant workers. Examining the power relationship is essential because it has an effect on people's everyday practices (Butler, 1988).

Research for this paper was conducted between 2013 and 2017, focusing on the narratives presented by migrant workers and people having experience and knowledge about the migrant workers' issues. The observations were conducted in Soekarno-Hatta International airport in Jakarta by focussing on how the female migrant workers were treated by the immigration officers and other passengers. There were five observations conducted. Interviews were conducted with fifteen people and, a sample is presented in this report. From these informants, three were the female migrant workers interviewed in the airport. The remaining twelve informants interviewed were six people from Central Java, and six people from Yogyakarta. In terms of gender, of the twelve informants, six of them were male. The informants came from different social backgrounds. They had different professional backgrounds and occupations, such as lecturers, government employees, students, teachers, and housewives. Central Java and Yogyakarta were chosen because these two places had different patterns of female migration. Yogyakarta was considered having low numbers of female migrant workers abroad, around 820 people in 2016, however Central Java was considered having quite a high number of female migrant workers, around 57.000 individuals in 2015, which decreased from 92.590 in 2014 (Amr., 2016). Research also involved observing the narratives presented in the airport, including notices on boards and other relevant publications.

### Positioning Indonesian Female Migrant Workers: An Indonesian Context

"Selamat Datang Pahlawan Devisa" ("Welcome, Heroes of Foreign Exchange") was proclaimed by an enormous poster hung on the wall of the Arrivals area in Terminal 2 at Sukarno-Hatta Airport in Jakarta from the early 2000s until 2013. Many foreign passengers did not understand the meaning of "heroes" in that slogan (Chan, 2014). This text is no longer found at the Soekarno-Hatta Airport in Jakarta. However, to date, Indonesian migrant workers continue to be commonly labeled "heroes of foreign exchange".

For Indonesians, however, the identity of these "heroes of foreign exchange" has become common knowledge following a widespread government campaign to bestow the migrant workers with that title. The text at the airport is a sign of recognition and gratitude, honoring migrant workers. Every year, Indonesia receives trillions of rupiah in foreign exchange from the fruits of the labor of these migrant workers. These workers send their wages, for which they have worked so hard while overseas, to feed their families in their hometowns. In 2014, the head of the National Body for the Placement and Protection of Indonesian Migrant Workers (BNP2TKI – *Badan Nasional Penempatan dan Perlindungan Tenaga Kerja Indonesia*), Nusron Wahid, stated that Indonesian migrant workers generated approximately billion dollars (approximately 100 trillion rupiahs) in foreign exchange annually (Wahyuni, 2013). The following table 1 shows the number of Indonesian migrant workers sent to other countries, such as to Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Malaysia, Korea, and Japan, between 2011 and 2013. The table also shows the amount of foreign exchange they have generated for the economy. In comparison to the amount of foreign exchange generated by other sectors of the economy in 2013, we see that foreign workers are the second-largest generator of foreign exchange in Indonesia (at

**Table 1. Indonesian migrant workers and foreign exchange earnings**

Year	Number of Migrant Workers Sent Abroad	Number of Female Migrant Workers	Number of Male Migrant Workers	Amount of Foreign Exchange Generated
2011	586,802	376,686	210,116	67 trillion
2012	494,609	279,782	214,825	69.9 trillion
2013	512,168	276,998	235,170	88.6 trillion

Source: BNP2TKI, 2015 (*Center for Research and Information Development, 2015*)

88.6 trillion rupiahs), following closely behind the top sector, the oil and gas industry (which generated 110 trillion rupiahs during the same period).

According to historical records, Indonesian migrant workers were first sent abroad during the Dutch colonial period in 1890 (BNP2TKI, 2011). These people were employed in Suriname, on Dutch plantations, or in New Caledonia in 1896 (Subiyantoro, 2014). After Indonesia’s independence in 1945, Indonesian migrant workers began to work in other countries such as Saudi Arabia and Malaysia. However, in these instances, workers went abroad at the invitation of family members or went on their own initiative. In 1970, Indonesian migrant workers were only sent abroad on a large scale and in an organized manner. The Department of Labor, Transmigration, and Cooperatives sent numerous workers through its Interregional Migrant Labor (AKAD) and International Migrant Labor (AKAN) programs, based on Government Law No. 4/1970. Since then, the foreign placement of Indonesian migrant workers has involved the private sector (companies that use migrant labor or private parties which manage the placement of migrant laborers).

This generation of foreign exchange is given government recognition through expressions of gratitude such as the banner that hung in the airport arrivals terminal. However, it does not reflect the actual situation of Indonesian migrant workers who continue to face considerable risks to their well-being—particularly the female migrant workers. The female migrant workers are often depicted in the media and in everyday discourses as

people who are physically and psychologically oppressed. This is evident in many cases of violence, such as the cases of Siti Hajar, Nirmala Bonat, or Ceriyati (Antara News, 2007), or, in 2014, Erwiana Sulistyaningsih, who was tortured and burnt by her boss and subsequently left at the Hong Kong International Airport (Chan, 2014). However, when discussing the oppression and subjugation of the female migrant workers, it is not sufficient to focus only on the physical and psychological violence they face at the hands of their bosses abroad. Domestically, the female migrant workers are generally mistreated by their fellow Indonesians and often face discrimination from other Indonesians while living in their own country. Thus, this article focuses on the positioning of these female migrant workers in the Indonesian context.

The experience of female migrant workers is discussed dynamically in space and over time. There is a robust structure that continuously reproduces the discourse related to their life, and position including their stereotypes and marginalization in society. Such a social structure is encompassing both material and symbolic dimensions (Giddens, 1984). This social structure is the product of interaction, and as such, is an accustomed structure, enabling it to become solid and deeply rooted. The female migrant workers are positioned within a social structure which continuously undergoes a structuration process of being formed and positioned as objects. They are thus always formed and positioned similarly as objects.

For our purposes, positioning means the position of a person in a particular space and



The greater the capital one holds the more significant the power one obtains, which has implications for one's position in the community. However, Bourdieu emphasizes that, by capital, he does not simply mean financial capital as found in the works of Karl Marx, but also other forms of capital: symbolic capital and cultural capital (Bourdieu, 1987). Symbolic capital is individual values that form a symbolic character considered beneficial to persons in their relationship with their community, including but not limited to social status, creativity, leadership, and bravery. Meanwhile, cultural capital is capital obtained by individuals after the individuals in question interact with the culture around them, thus giving them values that affect their social interactions (Al-Fayyadl, 2015). Cultural capital can include such things as education and language. The noble family, for instance, is generally positioned higher than other groups because they are thought to have greater symbolic and cultural capital. They are considered having social status, good language, and education even though they may not have financial capital or even any of the capitals mentioned above. Meanwhile, lower social classes are often marginalized because they are considered uneducated, non-compliant, and lacking in etiquette—this occurs even when, following Bourdieu's concept of capital, they actually have symbolic and cultural capital.

What is interesting when examining the situation of the female migrant workers is the question of why they continue to be positioned peripherally within the airport space even though they have various forms of social and economic capital? The issue here is that there is a historical construction that has continuously been reproduced over space and time to marginalize their position. When such practices continue to be reproduced, the migrant's capital will continue to be unimportant. However, this structure is not a permanent and immutable one; in specific

spaces or times, there are dynamics that can change it, though it may take a relatively long period of time.

If Bourdieu sees positioning as a historical normalization structure, Giddens argues that it is an organized social system that can take the form of routine social practices which are maintained from period to period (Giddens, 1984). All subjects are positioned in space and time and also relationally within this social structure. Social positioning is done by reproducing social practices over time. This concept is similar to Bourdieu's concept of habitus above because there is a continuous reproductive process. People are unaware that something is constantly being reconstructed; it appears as natural or proper. For instance, over time, persons such as domestic workers have been normalized as being lower in standing than other elements of Indonesian society; as such, when they receive the differential or discriminative treatment, it is considered natural.

Numerous efforts have been made, particularly by Indonesian feminists, to change this naturalized positioning. One example targets a fundamental issue by changing the terminology used. The term "*babu*" ("servant"), in common usage during the colonial period, has been dynamically transformed to "*pembantu rumah tangga*" ("domestic worker") and, later, "*pramu wisma*" ("housekeeper"). The term TKW, which is often considered pejorative, has since been replaced with the terms "*buruh migran*" ("migrant laborer") or "*pekerja migran*" ("migrant worker"). However, as the underlying mental schema (Fiske et al., 2011) and normalization process have remained the same in Indonesia and other countries where such workers are employed, this change in terminology is essentially meaningless.

Giddens centralizes this issue of positioning. He argues that social positioning is structurally organized where dominance and legitimacy meet (Giddens, 1984). Social

positions, he writes, also involve particular identities within the framework of social relations, such as power relationships. This positioning is enduring and practiced through the process of identification by one group against another. Such identification is often made by connecting the legitimacy of power and normalization to one's social position.

When discussing the positioning of the female migrant workers within social relationships, there is a question of which social relationships should be explored. As explained by Bourdieu and Giddens, groups such as the female migrant workers are socially positioned as being of lower standing. However, Bourdieu and Giddens do not explicitly discuss the role of gender relations in the positioning process. Indeed, gender dimensions are evident in the positioning of the female migrant workers, who are distinguished in practice from the male migrant workers. As such, the structure is not only formed by the normalization process related to social class, but also related to gender (McGinn & Oh, 2017). Although not all female migrant workers experience discriminatory positioning in their interactions with other passengers, their sense of being treated justly or unjustly is likewise related to the normalization process. If they consider the structure to be normal, they will not recognize any unjust treatment they receive.

Women experience marginalization, stereotypes, and exploitation in their life due to the masculine domination intensely practiced in society (Udasmoro & Anwar, 2019). However, those discriminative practices are always connected to other social categories, such as social class, age, education, and ethnicities (Crenshaw, 2013). Crenshaw also argues that in intersectional power relationships, certain groups of women have more privilege than other groups. Intersectionality is defined as "an approach to research that focuses upon mutually constitutive forms of social oppression rather than on single axes of

difference. Intersectionality is not only about multiple identities but is about relationality, social context, power relations, complexity, social justice and inequalities" (Hopkins, 2019, p. 937). Women from the lower class social levels, for instance, can experience more exploitation than other groups with more financial capital (Wibowo et al., 2020). The discrimination is also experienced by young women because of their age (Sutopo & Putri, 2019).

Mohanty also argues that in cosmopolitan countries, women are oppressed differently by the dominant power (Mohanty, 1988). She also argues that in cosmopolitan countries, there are always systems put in place by that dominant power in order to suppress the marginal groups for their own best interest. Women are not a singular entity. They have their history, geographic, and cultural background that makes them not equal, not only vis-à-vis men but also in their group (Hvenegard-Lassen et al., 2020). Certain groups of women might also be excluded because of their ethnicity or age (Apriliyanti, 2018). Women coming from lower social classes are sometimes excluded from many different opportunities (Grabe, 2020). They are, for instance, excluded from networking and groups. The subordination of women from the lower social classes happens in many different ways, in private and public spheres (Carey et al., 2018). In many cases also, women coming from lower social classes are considered as other (Hopkins, 2019). The female migrant workers in Indonesia are considered as other because they are placed lower compared to the higher class social women who usually dominate the usage of the airport.

Such positioning of the female migrant workers, who are considered inferior and uneducated—stereotypes always connected to their "provincial" ways and manners—has continued, and the positioning of different social classes also affects the power relationships between the non-migrant workers and the

migrant workers. In this context, the exercise of power is often used to determine the identity of the “other”, who is generally considered to be of lower standing (Collins, 1998). The exercise of power in this positioning is interesting to observe, particularly its occurrence in an international airport and its connections with gender relations and social class. Space is always positioned differently where the power is always exercised in many different ways (Udasmoro & Anwar, 2019).

Symbolically, the term TKW use to mention female migrant workers in Indonesian context is a pejorative or value-laden acronym. Materially and practically, the female migrant workers are also treated less justly than male migrant workers. The view that they are more easily dominated means that airport officials (predominantly men) attempt to use particular spaces to exercise their power. However, female migrant workers are not passive subjects; they respond to the negative treatment they face by attempting to better position themselves or respond to others’ positioning using their available strategies (Eleanor et al., 2018). The fact that they too have capital, be it financial, cultural, or symbolic, gives them greater confidence in responding to the situations they face.

### Female Migrant Workers and Gender Discourse

The lower positioning of the female migrant workers in Sukarno-Hatta International Airport has had consequences that can be seen in the daily practices. Many females who are not migrant workers attempt to position themselves as being unlike the female migrant workers. They do so by, for instance, wearing clothes that differ from those worn by the female migrant workers, such as a vest. This clothing item typically worn by public officials and professionals is rarely worn by female migrant workers (Abror, 2020; Kurniawan et al., 2019). It is thus adopted by passengers who

do not want to be considered migrant workers. One lecturer at a prestigious tertiary education institute in Yogyakarta, FT, said:

“Gosh, it is better to buy a vest. I do not mind if it is a bit pricey, rather than have people think I am a TKI (migrant worker)”

(A lecturer from a public university in Yogyakarta, data taken on 15 November 2016)

The above discourse shows incidences of class positioning occurring. Vests, as symbols of a higher social class, are used as tools to position oneself and to differentiate the self from the other. Vests are often used to increase the wearer’s bargaining power in social interactions. As such, having an “upper-class” identity is often accomplished by purchasing and using certain symbols (vests, in this case). The fact that the above respondent purchased a vest simply to avoid being mistaken for a female migrant worker begs the question of how low the migrant workers are positioned, to the point that it is considered acceptable to spend a significant sum of money to avoid looking like them. This practice of positioning is omnipresent in daily discussions, and it is perceived as normal when presented in speech (Udasmoro, 2017). There is an enduring normalization process that occurs because the community is conditioned to believe that it is proper for the female migrant workers to be positioned as lower class. It is also considered proper to respond by purchasing a vest to avoid being mistaken for a migrant worker.

Another statement, paradoxically from a women’s rights activist, positions the female migrant workers as a group to be avoided:

“I have never taken an airplane that transits in Abu Dhabi or Kuwait.”

“Why?”

“I do not want to be in one group with them because people will think I am a TKW (female migrant worker)”



(A conversation with, R, a female lecturer on 20 February 2015)

In the above response, the statement shows a common occurrence, that when Indonesians travel abroad, particularly on aircraft carrying female migrant workers, they attempt to avoid waiting in the same queues as migrants for airline ticketing services. Many people likewise feel uncomfortable sitting near them. Some give reasons such as “they are noisy”, while others say “they embarrass us with their provincial ways”. Other passengers will say, “they are always making a ruckus and cannot queue”. Such comments are made everyday, and are stated explicitly by other Indonesian passengers. The female migrant workers are positioned as “others” in terms of their actions, and thus passengers consider it proper and natural to exclude them from social relations at the airport. There is an issue of the normalization process where people tend to see other people and believe that they must all be like one’s self. Everyone who is the “same” is considered “normal” whereas those who are “different” are considered “abnormal”. Those who are considered “abnormal” are then excluded, often to the point that other passengers feel disturbed if the female migrant workers greet or otherwise speak to them. The non-migrant workers’ group are unable to accept this “difference”.

One important thing to note in discussing the passengers’ aversion to being considered the same as a female migrant worker is its effect on their position. Symbolically, they do not want to be considered as migrant workers, whom they consider to have a lower position in Indonesian society. In practice, the fact that the female migrant workers are often segregated at the airports also makes other passengers avoid being mistaken as migrant workers.

Several migrant workers have stated that they have experienced differential treatment at airports in Indonesia. They face various kinds of mistreatment, both institutional and

individual, particularly in regards to their finances. Since 1999, female migrant workers have been allocated to a particular terminal. Initially, it was Terminal 3 (Silvey, 2006); however, because the name “Terminal 3” was appropriated for a new terminal used by certain public airlines, their terminal has been designated Terminal 4. This shift to Terminal 4 signified a shift in their position, placing female migrant workers at the bottom. Even when they landed at another international terminal, such as Terminal 2, they always had to be taken to Terminal 4. Later, migrant workers began landing exclusively at Terminal 2D, which has the same function as Terminal 4. From there, they are taken by a designated bus to their points of origin; the cost for this trip is four to five times as much as they would pay if they took public transportation on their own. The Ministry of Immigration and Labor has argued that these designated buses are meant to protect them (Kompas, 2009).

The experiences of several workers indicate that, although the terminal and designation may be new, it is still used to pressure and extort money from migrant workers. The following statement was made in a national newspaper by a migrant worker who shared her experiences in the “terminal for migrant workers”:

I thought that Soekarno-Hatta Airport had changed and become more professional in its service compared to twelve years ago. *Gosh...* it turned out that I was wrong after hearing the stories of my fellow migrant workers and reading articles such as this. Some officials would throw down the cell phones (of migrant workers) if they refuse to pay a fine for bringing home more than two cell phones.

Did the government not prepare a particular terminal for Indonesian migrant workers to ease our return to our points of origin? But these facilities, they just make things difficult for migrant workers? Hello... hello, administrators of Soekarno-Hatta/

BNP2TKI/Representatives, are you deaf or blind to the shrieking of us migrant workers, whom you mistreat in our own country? Stop calling us the Heroes of Foreign Exchange!! (Ma, 2012)

Nevertheless, the use of different waiting rooms for migrant workers and non-migrant workers is not only for the workers' protection. In an Indonesian context, cognitive borders are created between such women as lower-class individuals (i.e. migrant workers) who transgress a norm in sharing the same space as upper-class individuals (i.e., women traveling for other purposes). This tendency is deeply historical, with similar class positioning being present in the household context through the use of such terms as *wong mburi* (people out the back) to refer to domestic helpers. These cognitive borders are created within airports, not only in the separation of terminals but also in the separation of waiting rooms.

The inequitable positioning of the female migrant workers has caused them to respond with various strategies, using the symbolic capital of agency in the form of courage and self-confidence. They understand that one's fashion sense has a specific meaning and thus understand that its symbolism, as it relates to their choice of clothing, is important. Many female migrant workers thus wear clothing that they consider capable of helping them leave the exclusive (to them) space of the airport. They wear vests in an attempt to avoid being negatively positioned, for instance. The financial capital, that they earn while abroad, allows them to use such a strategy to oppose this positioning as being lower class and the ruling class's legitimization of vests as only belonging to the non-migrant group. Here, the marginalization process is practiced due to the feudalistic view from the high-class people towards the female migrant workers. Women sometimes are treated unequally not only because of their gender but also because of their social class (Mohanty, 1988).

Another strategy is using a particular airline in the hopes that airport officials will not expect there to be migrant workers on board; several airlines, particularly the more expensive ones, are often considered to be used exclusively by upper-class passengers. On returning from a job in Singapore, one female migrant worker attempted to avoid the BNP2TKI official who would have taken her from Terminal 2 to Terminal 4. She stated that she had deliberately selected Singapore Airlines, known for its high prices, on the assumption that the airport officials would not be interested in passengers from this airline, because most of them were upper-class passengers capable of paying the more expensive ticket prices. The worker in question said that she had hoped nobody would notice her, and thus she would not be taken to Terminal 4. In Terminal 4 she knew that she would face discriminative acts, more expensive fees, and longer waiting times (as she would have to wait for other female migrant worker heading to the same destination). The discrimination process always intersects between gender and other social categories in many different aspects (Rice et al., 2019), like in the above case.

In the following example, this migrant's clothing choice was not one stereotypically associated with the female migrant workers, such as a headscarf. She wore high heeled boots and a tight shirt and carried a brand-name knapsack. However, the airport official still told her to go to Terminal 4 to be taken to her hometown. This migrant worker had asked another woman, who was not a migrant worker, to help her negotiate with the official so that she could leave through Terminal 2. There followed a discussion between the three of them: the BNP2TKI official, the migrant worker, and the Singapore Airlines passenger.

Woman : What is this Mas?

Official : Bu, she has to go in the car meant especially for TKW (migrant workers).



“I have already come home to Indonesia several times. I do not need anyone to take me home. I know the way home,” said the female migrant worker. The official did not heed her.  
(Conversation with the migrant worker on 2 February 2013)

The airport official in the above example completed his duties because he was enforcing existing rules, but he also personally positioned the migrant worker. The legitimacy of this system is positioned even more clearly when she has no basis for refusing to be taken to Terminal 4. The airport officials’ dominance over the female migrant worker, using the legitimacy of bureaucratic power, puts them in a position of strength and thus makes it impossible for the female migrant worker to be in control of the situation. However, efforts by the migrant worker do not always fail. Many of them can successfully navigate through this situation. One female worker stated that she preferred to go through the airports in Yogyakarta or Surakarta, which she considered friendlier. “It is better to go through Yogya. The officials are nice and we do not have to go to another terminal.” Choosing to land at an international airport outside Jakarta, such as in Yogyakarta or Solo, is becoming a strategy for the female migrant workers who want to avoid being positioned (be it systematically or personally) by airport officials.

### **Conclusion**

Soekarno-Hatta International Airport is a force field used by certain people to exercise power or to resist against the use of power. This airport is used by the non-migrant workers, by passengers or airport officials, to exercise power, discipline, or dominance over the female migrant workers for their own goals and motivations. Meanwhile, the female migrant workers show resistance and respond to attempt to escape this power.

The first motivation is more individual and related to the long-standing normalization process in which the female migrant workers and their profession are positioned as inferior in an Indonesian context. In this individual positioning, the non migrant workers, through their frequent positioning of the female migrant workers as inferior, subordinate them. They practice symbolic subordination by using items that are not usually used by the female migrant workers, such as clothing, tools, transportation equipment, and the like. Second, there is a system used in the airport space to position the female migrant workers. Discourse is presented through this positioning, such as symbols used, the distance maintained, and differentiation of them.

In various cases, marginalization and stereotypes are practiced in many different ways. Female migrant workers have experienced discrimination and stereotypes exercised by the Indonesians due to their gender and social class. The forms of discrimination varied from the material to the symbolic aspects of discrimination. Materially, female migrant workers become victims of the airport workers who benefit from their economic capital. This is quite different than with male migrant workers because airport workers usually position women as targets and victims because they are considered weak. They also victims of symbolic discrimination and stereotypes when they are positioned as inferior than other passengers. They are considered lower because they are viewed as coming from lower-class families. The fact that they can contribute income to the country is not considered because of their physical appearances. Physical appearance is one of the signifiers in discriminating against Indonesian female migrant workers. The fashion system, for instance, is considered a necessary practice for women but not for men. Men are not really affected by the fashion.

However, the female migrant workers have responded to this positioning with their

strategies. If the non-female migrant workers distance themselves from the female migrant workers by using symbols that they think the workers will not use, the female migrant workers do the opposite. They use the same symbols as the non-female migrant workers as an alternative strategy to facing double discrimination at the airport. These symbols, such as the fashion system or choice of the airline that are considered representative of the upper class, are used to avoid the effects of positioning (such as discrimination, extortion, and rules which differentiate them from other passengers).

The above situation shows that the discourse of discrimination, as related to gender and social class, is constantly present in space and time. This discourse is present in all spaces, no matter how small, especially in public spaces. Those who consider themselves as having higher social positions attempt to maintain their status by positioning those considered to originate from a lower social class as inferior to themselves. The positioning of “we” and “us” is an attempt to preserve the class structure that has been normalized throughout history. Such acts are continually practiced, but from time to time, there are changes in the dynamics. For example, it is important to keep in mind that the people positioned as lower-class people are not passive agents. In many different ways people continue to try to take control of their lives. The problem is that, when structures considered long-standing are practiced by those in higher social positions, then those structures will endure.

### References

Abror, M. (2020). Wacana TKI dalam Novel Jatisaba Karya Ramayda Akmal. *Poetika*, 8(1), 64–78. <https://doi.org/10.22146/poetika.v8i1.56541>

Al-Fayyadl, M. (2015). Kritik Sastra Prancis. *Poetika*, 3(2), 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.22146/poetika.v3i2.10439>

Allen, A., & Goddard, R. (2014). The domestication of Foucault: Government, Critique, War. *History of the Human Sciences*, 27(5), 26–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0952695114538990>

Amr. (2016). *Jumlah TKI Asal Jateng Capai 9633 Orang* [Mass Media]. Okezone. <https://news.okezone.com/read/2016/07/25/512/1446107/jumlah-tki-asal-jateng-capai-9-366-orang>

Anggaunitairanantika, A., & Hamidi, M. (2020). Emotional Entanglement and Community Empowerment of Transnational Migrants’ Families: A Cross-Sectional Study in Malaysia and Indonesia. *Global Social Welfare*, 7(4), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-020-00191-3>

Antara News. (2007). “Kasus Ceriyati Buktikan Indonesia Gagal Lindungi TKI” [Mass Media]. Antara News. <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/66920/kasus-ceriyati-buktikan-indonesia-gagal>

Apriliyanti, I. T. (2018). Aging Labor and Japanese Industry Performance: Lessons for Indonesia Policies. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 22(1), 34–48.

BNP2TKI. (2011). “Sejarah Penempatan TKI Hingga BNP2TKI” [Government]. BNP2TKI. <http://www.bnp2tki.go.id/frame/9003/Sejarah-Penempatan-TKI-Hingga-BNP2TKI>

Bourdieu, P. (1987). *The Logic of Practice*. Gallimard.

Butler, J. (1988). Performative Acts and Gender Constitution: An Essay in Phenomenology and Feminist Theory. *Theatre Journal*, 40(4), 519–531.

Carey, G., Dickinson, H., & Cox, E. M. (2018). Feminism, Gender, and Power Relations in Policy – Starting a New Conversation. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 77(4), 519–524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12324>

Chan, C. (2014). Gendered Morality and Development Narratives: The Case of

- Female Labor Migration from Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 6, 6949–6972.
- Collins, P. H. (1998). It's All in the Family: Intersections of Gender, Race and Nation. *Hypatia*, 3(3), 62–82.
- Crenshaw, K. W. (2013). From Private Violence to Mass Incarceration: Thinking Intersectionally About Women, Race, and Social Control. *Journal of Scholarly Perspective*, 9(1), 21–50.
- Eleanor, M., Carson, L., & Yates, S. (2018). What can policymakers learn from feminist strategies to combine contextualised evidence with advocacy? *Humanities and Social Sciences Communication*, 4(104), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0160-2>
- Elias, J. (2013). Foreign Policy and the Domestic Worker: The Malaysia-Indonesia Domestic Worker Dispute. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 15(3), 391–410. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2012.755835>
- Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A., J. C., & Glick, P. (2011). Universal Dimensions of Social Cognition: Warmth and Competence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(2), 77–83.
- Ford, M. (2006). Migrant Worker Organizing in Indonesia. *Asia and Pacific Migration Journal*, 15(3), 313–334. <https://doi.org/10.1177/011719680601500302>
- Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/Knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings 1972-1977* (C. Gordon, Ed.). Harvester Press.
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration*. University of California Press.
- Grabe, S. (2020). Research Methods in the Study of Intersectionality in Psychology: Examples Informed by a Decade of Collaborative Work With Majority World Women's Grassroots Activism. *Psychology*. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.494309>
- Hopkins, P. (2019). Social geography I: Intersectionality. *Progress in Human Geography*, 43(5), 937–947. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132517743677>
- Hvenegard-Lassen, K., Staunaes, D., & Lund, R. (2020). Intersectionality, Yes, but How? Approaches and Conceptualizations in Nordic Feminist Research and Activism. *NORA - Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 28(3), 173–182.
- Keban, Y. (2000). International Migration, the Strategy for National Development and Globalisation in Sukamdi. In A. Haris & P. Brownlee (Eds.), *Labour Migration in Indonesia: Policies and Politics*. Population Study Center, Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Killias, O. (2010). 'Illegal' Migration as Resistance: Legality, Morality and Coercion in Indonesian Domestic Worker Migration to Malaysia. *Asian Journal of Social Science*, 38, 897–914. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156853110X530796>
- Kompas. (2009, June 13). "TKW Ditahan Petugas, Kepala BNP2TKI Jumhur Hidayat: Itu Demi Melindungi Mereka". *Kompas*.
- Kristina, A. (2020). Key Policy Challenges: Social Security for Migrant Workers. *International of Religious and Cultural Studies*, 2(1), 7–19. <https://doi.org/10.34199/ijeacs.2020.04.02>
- Kurniawan, M. L. D., Prasetyo, H., Wicaksono, A., & Akmal, U. F. (2019). Degradasi Puitika dalam Kontestasi Literasi Cerita KKN di Desa Penari. *Poetika*, 7(2), 216–231. <https://doi.org/10.22146/poetika.v7i2.51571>
- Kusuma, A. J., York, M. R., & Wibowo, R. H. (2015). Violence Against Indonesian Migrant Workers-A Causal Analysis. *Jurnal Hubungan Internasional*, 4(1), 47–57.
- Lamsal, M. (2015). The Structuration Approach of Anthony Giddens. *Himalayan Journal of Sociology and Anthropology*, V, 111–122.
- Liao, T. F., & Gan, R. Y. (2020). Filipino and Indonesian Migrant Domestic Workers in Hongkong: Their Life Courses in Migration. *American Behavioral*

- Scientist*, 64(6), 740–764. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00002764220910229>
- Loveband, A. (2007). Positioning the Product: Indonesian Migrant Workers in Taiwan. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 34(3), 336–348.
- Ma, L. (2012). "Soekarno-Hatta Seperti Ibu Tiri bagi TKI" [Mass Media]. Kompasiana. <http://birokrasi.kompasiana.com>
- Mafruhah, I., Istiqomah, N., Mulyani, N. S., & Rahardjo, M. (2016). The Placement Model for Indonesian Migrant Workers to Improve their Economic Welfare. *Journal of Business and Economic Review*, 1(1), 42–50.
- McGinn, K., & Oh, E. (2017). Gender, Social Class, and Women's Employment. *Current Option in Psychology*, 18, 84–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.07.012>
- Mohanty, C. T. (1988). Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses. *Feminist Review*, 30, 61–88. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1395054>
- Ningtyas, E. (2015). Pierre Bourdieu, Language and Symbolic Power. *Poetika*, 3(2), 154–155. <https://doi.org/10.22146/poetika.v3i2.10437>
- Platt, M. (2018). Migration, Moralities and Moratoriums: Female Labour Migrants and the Tensions of Protectionism in Indonesia. *Asian Studies Review*, 42(1), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357823.2017.1408571>
- Pratikno, R., Yazid, S., & Dewi, E. (2020). Enhancing the Role of Remittances through Social Capital: Evidence from Indonesian Household Data. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal*, 29(1), 30–54. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0117196820920401>
- Radar Lombok. (2016). Kasus Kekerasan Terhadap TKI Masih Tinggi. *Radar Lombok*. <http://www.radarlombok.co.id/kasus-kekerasan-terhadap-tki-masih-tinggi.html>
- Rice, C., Harrison, E., & Friedman, M. (2019). Doing Justice to Intersectionality in Research. *Cultural Studies <--> Critical Methodologies*, 19(6), 409–420. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1177/1532708619829779>
- Rossi, A. (2017). Foucault, Critique, Subjectivity. *Journal of Cultural Research*, 21(4), 337–350. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14797585.2017.1370486>
- Scott, J. W. (1988). Deconstructing Equality-Versus-Difference: Or, the Uses of Poststructuralist Theory for Feminism. *Geopolitics Journal*, 14(1), 33–51.
- Setiadi. (2001). Masalah Reintegrasi Sosial dan Ekonomi Migran Kembali. *Populasi*, 12(1), 21–35.
- Silvey, R. (2006). Consuming the Transnational Family: Indonesian Migrant Domestic Workers to Saudi Arabia. *Global Network A Journal of Transnational Affairs*, 6(1, January), 23–40.
- Solidaritas Perempuan. (2014). *Situasi Kekerasan dan Pelanggaran Hak Buruh Migran Perempuan dan keluarganya di Negara ASEAN*. Solidaritas Perempuan.
- Subiyantoro. (2014). Survival Strategies of the Javanese Language in New Caledonia. *Humaniora*, 26(1), 43–55.
- Sururama, R., & Nanuru, T. (2019). The Role of the Ambon City's Population and Civil Registration Office in Controlling Incoming Migration. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 23(2), 163–177. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.41525>
- Sutopo, O. R., & Putri, R. D. (2019). Mobilitas, Negosiasi dan Transisi Perempuan Muda di Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Studi Pemuda*, 8(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.22146/studipemudaugm.45962>
- Udasmoro, W. (2017). The Language Construction of Muslims in French Contemporary Discourses. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies*, 7(1), 77–99. <https://doi.org/10.18326/ijims.7il.77.99>

- Udasmoro, W., & Anwar, S. (2019). Contesting the Social Spaces: Gender Relations of Literary Communities in Yogyakarta and Surakarta. *Indonesian Journal of Geography*, 51(3), 346–356.
- Wahyuni, N. D. (2013). "4 Juta TKI Sumbang Devisa Rp. 100 Triliun ke Negara" [Mass Media]. Liputan 6. [www.liputan6.com/bisnis/read/756717/4-juta-tki-sumbang-devisa-rp-100-triliun-ke-negara](http://www.liputan6.com/bisnis/read/756717/4-juta-tki-sumbang-devisa-rp-100-triliun-ke-negara).
- Wibowo, O. T., Udasmoro, W., & Noviani, R. (2020). Understanding New Consumption Sites of Internet Cafe in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 23(3), 237–249.