

LSYC #1066391, VOL 0, ISS 0

SYNTHESIS OF NEW PYRROLINE NITROXIDES WITH ETHYNYL FUNCTIONAL GROUP

Györgyi Úr, Tamás Kálai, Mária Balog, Balázs Bognár, Gergely Gulyás-Fekete, and Kálmán Hideg

QUERY SHEET

This page lists questions we have about your paper. The numbers displayed at left can be found in the text of the paper for reference. In addition, please review your paper as a whole for correctness.

Q1: Au: Please spell out EPR.



The table of contents for the journal will list your paper exactly as it appears below:

Synthesis of New Pyrroline Nitroxides with Ethynyl Functional Group Györgyi Úr, Tamás Kálai, Mária Balog, Balázs Bognár, Gergely Gulyás-Fekete, and Kálmán Hideg File path : P:/Santype(JATS)/Journals/TandF_Production/LSYC/v0n0/LSYC1066391/LSYC_A_1066391_J.3d

Date and Time : 3/8/15 and 20:22

Synthetic Communications®, 0: 1–8, 2015 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 0039-7911 print/1532-2432 online DOI: 10.1080/00397911.2015.1066391



10

15

20

30

SYNTHESIS OF NEW PYRROLINE NITROXIDES WITH ETHYNYL FUNCTIONAL GROUP

Györgyi Úr,¹ Tamás Kálai,¹,² Mária Balog,¹ Balázs Bognár,¹ Gergely Gulyás-Fekete,³ and Kálmán Hideg¹

¹Institute of Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

²Szentágothai Research Centre, Pécs, Hungary

³Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Abstract 3-Substituted and 3,4-disubstituted pyrroline nitroxides containing an ethynyl group or two ethynyl groups were achieved by the reaction of a paramagnetic aldehydes with dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxopropyl)phosphonate (Bestmann–Ohira reagent). The new compounds containing an ethynyl group were found to be useful building blocks in Sonogashira coupling, cyclization, and cycloaddition reactions producing potentially "azido-specific" cross-linking spin labels, paramagnetic ligands, and polyradical scaffolds.

Keywords Alkynes; cyclization; ligand; nitroxides; Sonogashira coupling

INTRODUCTION

Nitroxides are stable free radical species with wide applications across a range of scientific disciplines including material science, biophysics, molecular biology, and medicine.^[1] Nitroxides are often applied as initiators for the preparation of functional and complex polymers,^[2a] oxidants in organic chemistry in their oxoammonium form,^[2b] spin labels in surveying structure of biomolecules,^[3] building blocks for organic magnets,^[4] and dynamic nuclear polarization agents in NMR spectroscopy,^[5] just to mention but a few. The alkyne and terminal alkyne are functionally widely used in

Received May 30, 2015.

Address correspondence to Kálmán Hideg, Institute of Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, University of Pécs, Szigeti st. 12, 7624 Pécs, Hungary. E-mail: kalman.hideg@aok.pte.hu

1

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) see Ref. 24; (b) see Ref. 13; (c) see Ref. 13; (d) BOR (1.1 equiv.), $K_2CO_3(2.0 \text{ equiv.})$, MeOH, 3 h, 52%, this paper.

35

40

45

50

55

organic synthesis, pharmaceutical science, material science, and bioorthogonal chemistry. [6a] This functionality is also found in acetylenic natural products. [6b] The importance of ethynyl substituent containing nitroxides has also emerged in recent decades; they have been used for spin labeling of nucleic acids by Sonogashira coupling, [7] synthesis of nanometer-sized paramagnetic oligomers, [8] modification of biomolecules by azido-alkyne dipolar cycloaddition (click reaction), [9] and construction of biradical species in Sonogashira and Glaser coupling reactions. [10] In our laboratory we used Grignard reaction, [11] Sonogashira cross coupling, [12] and elimination of the corresponding 1,2-dibromoethanes^[13] to produce paramagnetic acetylenes. An ethynyl group formation by dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxopropyl)phosphonate (Bestmann-Ohira reagent, abbreviated as BOR)^[14] in the presence of the ambiphilic nitroxide moiety would be a useful, quick, and simple procedure, as it requires ambient temperature, K₂CO₃ base, dry methanol, and 1-2 h reaction time. To achieve ethynyl substituted pyrroline nitroxide 2a from aldehyde 1a with BOR can be considered more advantageous compared to our earlier, time- and reagent-consuming procedure via paramagnetic diene 3^[24] and dibromide 4^[13] (Scheme 1). We hypothesized that this reagent would be the only solution for synthesis of certain paramagnetic compounds, such as 3,4-diethynyl pyrroline nitroxide and 3-hydroxymethyl-4-ethynyl pyrroline nitroxide. Our aim was to increase the repertoire of accessible paramagnetic acetylenes capable for paramagnetic modification of biomolecules with Sonogashira cross-coupling or azido-alkyne click reaction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To introduce the ethynyl group into pyrroline nitroxides, we tested the reaction of dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxopropyl)phosphonate^[14] with various paramagnetic aldehydes **1a**–**e** ^[13,15–17] to furnish the corresponding acetylenes **2a**–**e** under mild conditions, for example, stirring the 1.1 equiv. BOR and the paramagnetic aldehydes

60

65

in the presence of 2 equiv. K_2CO_3 in anhydrous methanol at room temperature. Fortunately, during the carbon–carbon formation reaction the nitroxide function remained intact. The yield changed from good to moderate, and from $1a^{[15]}$ aldehyde we got 2a 3-ethynylsubstituted pyrroline nitroxide, [13] from aldehyde $1b^{[13]}$ we could synthesize the 3,4-diethynyl-pyrroline nitroxide 2b, a bis-azidospecific cross-linking nitroxide, and from 4-phenyl-3-formyl-pyrroline nitroxide $1c^{[12]}$ we got the 3-ethynyl-4-phenyl-pyrroline nitroxide 2c. The 3-hydroxymethyl-4-formyl-pyrroline nitroxide 2d and from paramagnetic picolyl aldehyde 2c and from paramagnetic picolyl aldehyde 2c and from paramagnetic 2-ethynylpyridine 2c (Table 1). We tested the new acetylene compounds with 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition

Table 1. Synthesis of paramagnetic ethynyl compounds from paramagnetic aldehydes

Entry	R	Product	Yield (%)
1a	N ZZZZ	2a	52
1b	N .	2b	42
1c	Ph O ZZ	2c	34
1d	HO N.	2d	58
1e	O. N. J.	2 e	37

reactions, ^[18] Sonogashira coupling reaction, and functional group transforming reactions. The reaction of 3,4-diethynyl pyrroline nitroxide **2b** with octylazide in the presence of CuI (0.6 equiv.) in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) yielded 3,4-bis (triazolyl)pyrroline nitroxide **5.** Sonogashira coupling of compound **2b** with paramagnetic vinyl iodide **6** in triethylamine–piperidine–dimethylformamide (DMF) mixture^[8] in the presence of CuI, PPh₃, and Pd(PhCN)₂Cl₂ furnished triradical **7**, giving seven bands in EPR (see supplementary material) but with poor yield (9%) (Scheme 2). This compound was prepared for further EPR studies, but its utilization as molecular magnet also can be considered.

70

75

80

85

90

Q1


The treatment of alcohol **2d** under Appel reaction conditions^[19] with PPh₃ and CBr₄ in CH₂Cl₂ produced allylic bromide **8**, which was converted to 3-acetylene-4-azidomethyl-pyrroline nitroxide radical **9** in aqueous acetone with 2 equivalents NaN₃. The ¹H NMR spectra data with four bands at 4.01, 3.31, 1.41, and 1.37 ppm; the 9 signals in ¹³C NMR spectra; and the azido band (2100 cm⁻¹) suggest that neither intramolecular nor intermolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions have occurred during the thermal conditions of nucleophilic substitution. As functional groups remained intact during synthesis, compound **9** can be regarded as a stable azide–acetylene cross-linking spin label reagent. Further nucleophilic substitution of compound **8** with excess NaSSO₂CH₃ in aqueous acetone gave compound **10** as a thiolspecific^[20] and azido-specific cross-linking spin label (Scheme 3). Compounds **9** and **10** contain nonactivated acetylenes, but water-soluble Cu(I) complexes^[21] holding N-heterocyclic carbene might lead to a breakthrough in the bioconjugation of nonactivated acetylenes as well.

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) octyl azide (2.5 equiv.), CuI (0.6 equiv.), DMSO, $40 \,^{\circ}$ C, $1 \, h$, $30 \,^{\circ}$; (b) 6 (2.0 equiv.), Et₃N/piperidine (5:1), DMF, CuI (0.05 equiv.), PdCl₂(PhCN)₂(0.1 equiv.), PPh₃ (0.05 equiv.), 2b (1.0 equiv.), rt, $16 \, h$, $9 \,^{\circ}$.

2d
$$\xrightarrow{a}$$
 \xrightarrow{X} \xrightarrow{X} \xrightarrow{B} \xrightarrow{B}

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2d (1.0 equiv.), CBr₄ (1.14 equiv.), PPh₃ (1.42 equiv.), DCM, 0 °C rt, 1 h, 45%; (b) 8 (1.0 equiv), NaN₃ (2.0 equiv.), water/acetone, 40 °C, 3 h, 46%; (c) 8 (1.0 equiv), NaSSO₂CH₃ (3.3 equiv), water/acetone, 40 °C, 30 min, 32%.

The Sonogashira reaction of paramagnetic 2-ethynyl pyridine 2e with 2-iodobenzaldehyde furnished compound 11, and cyclization in ammonia solution in MeOH in the presence of AgOTf catalyst^[22] with microwave heating gave the paramagnetic 2,2'-dipyridyl analog 12, as a paramagnetic ligand (Scheme 4). Although several paramagnetic ligands with phenanthroline and 2,2-dipyridyl moieties were published earlier,^[23] to the best of our knowledge it is unprecedented that the nitroxide moiety is annulated with one of the complex-forming rings, decreasing the mobility of the spin label unit. The complex formation of compound 12 with Cu^{2+} in acetonitrile was studied spectrophotometrically. Referring to the band at 351 nm (increasing with Cu^{2+} concentration) we have found K=13 dm⁻³/mol association constant, and saturation occurred at 2:1 ligand/metal ratio (see the supplementary material).



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-iodobenzaldehyde (0.9 equiv.), CuI (0.04 equiv.), $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ (0.016 equiv.), Et_3N , N_2 , rt, 15 min, then 2e (1.0 equiv.), 50 °C, 20 h, sealed tube, 36%; (b) AgOTf (0.1 equiv.), $NH_3/MeOH$ (excess), μW , 100 °C, 10 min, 34%.

CONCLUSION 105

110

115

120

125

130

135

140

145

The application of Bestmann–Ohira reagent was extended to the synthesis of various acetylene-containing paramagnetic building blocks with new C-C bond formation, but without alteration of the nitroxide moiety. The resulting new building blocks offered access to various scaffolds: cross-linking spin label reagents, a ligand, a triradical, and a bis(triazole) substituted nitroxide.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were determined with a Boetius micro-melting-point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses (C, H, N, S) were performed on Fisons EA 1110 CHNS elemental analyzer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermoquest Automass Multi. NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker Avance 3 Ascend 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to Me₄Si. Several representatives of paramagnetic compounds were reduced with 5 equivalents of hydrazobenzene/ radical, as NMR cannot be measured directly on paramagnetic compounds. Measurements were run at 298 K probe temperature in CDCl₃ solution. ESR spectra were taken on Miniscope MS 200 in 10^{-4} M CHCl₃ solution and all monoradicals gave triplet line $a_N = 14.4 \,\mathrm{G}$; 7 triradical gave 7 band-containing spectra with $a_{N1} = 14.5 \,\mathrm{G}$, $a_{N2} = 9.4 \,\mathrm{G}$, $a_{N3} = 5.4 \,\mathrm{G}$. The microwave-assisted reactions were carried out in Milestone MicroSYNTH labstation in a sealed tube (15 bar) with temperature control (fiber-optic probe). The total irradiation time is as indicated. The IR spectra were taken with Bruker Alpha FT-IR instrument with ATR support (ZnSe plate). The UV-vis spectra were taken with Specord 40 spectrophotometer with quartz cuvette. Flash column chromatography was performed on Merck Kieselgel 60 (0.040-0.063 mm). Qualitative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on commercially available plates (20 × 20 × 0.02 cm) coated with Merck Kieselgel GF₂₅₄. Compounds $1a_1^{[15]}$ $1b_2^{[13]}$ $1c_1^{[13]}$ $1c_1^{[13]}$ $1c_1^{[16]}$ $2a_1^{[17]}$ $3_1^{[24]}$ $4_1^{[13]}$ and $6_1^{[25]}$ were prepared according to published procedures; other reagents were purchased from Aldrich or Alfa Aesar. The BOR was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry or prepared according to Ref. 14b.

General Procedure for Conversion of Aldehydes to Acetylenes (2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, and 2e)

 K_2CO_3 (552 mg, 4.0 mmol or 1.10 g, 8.0 mmol for compound **2b**), dimethyl-(1-diazo-2-oxopropyl)phosphonate (422 mg, 2.2 mmol or 844 mg, 4.4 mmol for compound **2b**) were added to a stirred solution of the aldehyde **1a** (336 mg, 2.0 mmol), **1b** (392 mg, 2.0 mmol), **1c** (488 mg, 2.0 mmol), **1d** (396 mg, 2.0 mmol), or **1e** (438 mg, 2.0 mmol) in 15 mL of dry methanol. The reaction was stirred at room temperature until the consumption of aldehyde (~2 h) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et_2O (25 mL), washed with an aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5%), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/ Et_2O 3:1 or hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to furnish compounds as yellow solids.

3-Ethynyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yloxyl Radical (2a)

Yield: 170 mg, (52%), mp 122–123 °C (mp 122–123 °C^[13]), R_f 0.4 (hexane/Et₂O, 2:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃ + (PhNH)₂) δ = 1.42 (s, 6H), 1.51 (s, 6H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 6.06 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃ + (PhNH)₂) δ = 25.2 (2 CH₃), 25.8 (2 CH₃), 69.1 (2 C_{quat}), 71.7 (2 C_{quat}) 78.4 (C_{quat}), 80.6 (CH), 127.2 (C_{quat}), 141.2 (CH). IR (neat): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3194, 3049, 2977, 2092, 1613 cm⁻¹. MS (70 eV): m/z = 164 (M⁺, 28), 149 (42), 134 (100), 119 (73). Anal. calcd. for C₁₀H₁₄NO: C, 73.14; H, 8.59, N 8.53. Found: C, 73.25; H, 8.60; N, 8.69.

3,4-Diethynyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yloxyl Radical (2b)

Yield: 180 mg (42%); mp 149–150 °C; R_f = 0.62 (hexane/Et₂O 2:1); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃ + (PhNH)₂) δ = 1.50 (s, 12H), 3.51 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃ + (PhNH)₂) δ = 24.9 (4 CH₃), 70.9 (2 C_{quat}), 85.6 (2 C_{quat}) 100.0 (2 CH), 133.4 (2 C_{quat}). IR (neat): $\overline{\nu}$ = 3213, 2978, 2089, 1466, 1435 cm⁻¹; MS (70 eV) m/z = 188 (M⁺, 43), 173 (62), 138 (13), 128 (100), 51 (70). Anal. calcd. for C₁₂H₁₄NO: C, 59.01; H, 5.61; N, 9.18. Found: C, 59.10; H, 5.55; N, 9.25.

FUNDING

We are grateful to Hungarian National Research Fund (OTKA K81123, K104956) for financial support.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Full experimental details and ¹H NMR (of compounds 2c, 2d, 5, 9, 11), ¹³C NMR (of compound 9), EPR (of compound 7), UV-vis (of compound 12), MS (of compounds 2c, 2d, 2e, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12), and IR (of compounds 2c, 2d, 2e, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12) data can be accessed on the publisher's website.

REFERENCES

- Likhtenstein, G.; Yamauchi, J.; Nakatsuji, S.; Smirnov, A. I.; Tamura, R. Nitroxides: Applications in Chemistry, Biomedicine, and Materials Science; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008.
- (a) Tebben, L.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5034–5068; (b) Kelly, C. B.;
 Ovian, J. M.; Cywar, R. M.; Gosselin, T. R.; Wiles, R. J.; Leadbeater, N. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 4255–4259.
- 3. Shelke, S. A.; Sigurdsson, S. T. In *Structural Information from Spin-Labels and Intrinsic Paramagnetic Centres in the Biosciences;* C. Timmel and J. R. Harmer (Eds.); Springer: Berlin, 2013.
- 4. Ratera, I.; Veciana, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 303-349.
- Fawzi, N. L.; Fleissner, M. R.; Anthis, N. J.; Kálai, T.; Hideg, K.; Hubbell, W. L.; Clore, G. M. J. Biomol. NMR 2011, 51, 105–114.

155

165

170

180

Zhang, W. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2015, 11, 115-120.

Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 9350-9356.

Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 8019-8030.

Kálai, T.; Hideg, K. Dyes Pigm. 2010, 87, 218-224.

/.	Frolow, O.; Endeward, B.; Schlemann, O.; Prisner, I. F.; Engels, J. W. Nucleic Acids	
	Symp. Ser. 2008 , <i>52</i> , 153–154.	
8.	Böde, E. B.; Margraf, D.; Plackmeyer, J.; Dürner, G.; Prisner, T. F.; Schiemann, O.	
	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007 , 129, 6736–6745.	
9.	Kálai, T.; Hubbell, W. L.; Hideg, K. Synthesis 2009, 8, 1336–1341.	190
10.	Kokorin, A. I.; Golubeva, E. N.; Mladenova, B. Y.; Tran, V. A.; Kálai, T.; Hideg, K.;	
	Grammp, G. Appl. Magn. Reson. 2013, 44, 1041–1051.	
11.	Sár, P. C.; Jekő, J.; Fajer, P.; Hideg, K. Synthesis 1999, 6, 1039–1045.	
12.	Kálai, T.; Balog, M.; Jekő, J.; Hubbell, W. L.; Hideg, K. Synthesis 2002, 12, 2365–2372.	
13.	Kálai, T.; Balog, M.; Jekő, J.; Hideg, K. Synthesis 1999, 6, 973–980.	195
14.	(a) Müller, S.; Liepold, B.; Roth, G. J.; Bestmann, H. J. Synlett 1996, 521-522; (b)	
	Pietruszka, J.; Witt, A. Synthesis 2006, 24, 4266–4268.	
15.	Hideg, K.; Hankovszky, H. O.; Lex, L.; Kulcsár, G. Synthesis 1980, 12, 911–914.	
16.	Kálai, T.; Jekő, J.; Hideg, K. Synthesis 2000, 6, 831–837.	
17.	Kálai, T.; Balog, M.; Szabó, A.; Gulyás, G.; Jekő, J.; Sümegi, B.; Hideg, K. J. Med. Chem.	200
	2009 , <i>52</i> , 1619–1629.	
18.	Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.	
	2002 , <i>41</i> , 2596–2599.	
19.	Li, J. J.; Limberakis, C.; Pflum, D. A. Modern Organic Synthesis in the Laboratory;	
	Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2007; p. 28.	205
20.	Berliner, L. J.; Grünwald, J.; Hankovszky, H. O.; Hideg, K. Anal. Biochem. 1982, 119,	
	450–455.	
21.	Díaz Velázquez, H.: Ruiz Garcia, Y.: Vandichel, M.: Madder, A.: Verpoort, F. Org	

22. Dell'Acqua, M.; Pirovano, V.; Confalonieri, G.; Arcadi, A.; Rossi, E.; Abbiati, G. Org.

23. (a) Ulrich, G.; Ziessel, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1215-1218; (b) Bognár, B.; Jekő, J.;

24. Hideg, K.; Csekő, J.; Hankovszky, H. O.; Sohár, P. Can J. Chem. 1986, 64, 1482–1490.

25. Kálai, T.; Bognár, B.; Jekő, J.; Hideg, K. Synthesis 2006, 15, 2573-2579.

6. (a) Gröst, C.; Berg, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 3866-3870; (b) Zhu, X.; Liu, J.;

185

210

215