WS₂ 2D Nanosheets in 3D Nanoflowers

Arunvinay Prabakaran, *^a Frank Dillon, ^a Jodie Melbourne, ^a Lewys Jones, ^a Rebecca J Nicholls, ^a Jude Britton, ^a Antal A Koos, ^a Peter Nellist, ^a Nicole Grobert ^a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

In this work it has been established that 3D nanoflowers of WS₂ synthesized by chemical vapour deposition are composed of few layer WS₂ along the edges of the petals. An experimental study to understand the evolution of these ¹⁰ nanostructures shows the nucleation and growth along with the compositional changes they undergo.

The structural analogy of transition metal dichalcogenides¹ to graphite's layered structure, held together by van der Waals forces, prompted the synthesis and characterisation of inorganic ¹⁵ fullerene-like structures (IF)² and inorganic nanotubes (IN) of WS₂³ in 1992⁴ and MoS₂ in 1995.⁵ The IF and IN structures resemble fullerenes and carbon nanotubes respectively⁶. They possess interesting mechanical⁷ and electronic properties⁸ which find applications in high temperature solid state lubricants and actelurie ⁹. Commenciel

- ²⁰ catalysis.⁹ Commercial quantities of these structures are synthesised from oxide template which limit the morphology and enhance control⁶. However, since the discovery of graphene in 2004¹⁰, two dimensional material systems have been in demand. Consequently the field is now actively pursuing inorganic
- ²⁵ graphene analogues (IGA)¹¹, which due to their inherent band gap, are potential components in creating 2D heterostructures.¹² However, still a reliable method to synthesize large quantities of this material form hasn't been established. Nanosheets of IGA possess excellent electronic¹⁴ and optical properties¹⁵ which find
- ³⁰ applications in field effect transistors¹⁶ and photodetectors¹⁷ respectively. WS₂ nanomaterials, in particular have shown potential applications as industrial catalysts in denitrogenation and hydrodesulphurization processes^{18,19}, high performance nanocomposites²⁰, and lithium batteries.²¹ Nevertheless, to ³⁵ exploit the properties of WS₂ nanomaterials, methods that enable

precise control of the morphology are required. In this work, a fast, catalyst free and easily scalable chemical

vapour deposition (CVD) technique for the controlled synthesis of well-defined tungsten disulphide (WS₂) nanomaterials on

- ⁴⁰ (100) silicon-based substrates using tungsten(VI)chloride (WCl₆-2 mmol at 99.9% purity) and sulphur (20 mmol at 80% purity) as precursors is presented in Fig S1. The predominant morphology (>90%) obtained by this process is WS₂ nanoflower confirmed using electron microscopy as shown in Fig.1a and the chemistry
- ⁴⁵ verified using XRD and Raman in Fig S2. The morphology of the WS₂ nanostructures synthesised by the CVD technique can be tailored through the variation of just two key parameters: time



Figure 1.a) SEM image of 3D Nanoflower b) TEM images of a petal (flake)/2D nanosheet from a 3D nanoflower

- $_{\rm 50}$ and temperature. Though a similar strategy has been employed previously to obtain nanostructures of WS₂ and MoS₂²², herein the process has been greatly simplified by using shorter time periods to enhance control and scalability.
- WS₂ nanoflowers are composed of petals that resemble an 55 equilateral triangular as shown in Fig 1b. Few layer edges with atomic resolution has been observed on analysis using a double aberration corrected JOEL 2200 MCO operated at 200kV in STEM mode and high angle annular dark field (HAADF) detector. Though nanoflowers were reported in 2004²², as yet, a 60 detailed analysis of their structure has not been undertaken. This study looks to comprehend the structure of the nanoflower by disassembling it with the help of sonication. The nanoflowers disassembled into triangular petals (flakes) on sonication with one corner always broken, which suggests that these triangles are 65 connected at only one of the edges to form the assembly of 3D nanoflowers. We observed 10-100's of these triangular petals combining together to form spherical nanoflower structures as suggested by Li et al.22 and evident from the SEM micrograph in Fig 1a.

The nanoflowers are made up of molecular sheets stacked together with a lattice spacing of 3.1 Å and lattice fringes of 0.62 nm, inferred for WS₂. In Fig 2a, a cluster of the petals which constitute the nanoflowers is visualised from the edge view (beam perpendicular to c-axis), to reveal 6-8 molecular layers of 75 WS₂. These WS₂ were stacked on top of each other to form the petals. The distribution of the number of molecular layers to form the petal is not very uniform and varies from few layer (2-4) to increased number of layers up to 12/14. With the beam parallel to the c axis, the hexagonal packing can be clearly observed at the 80 edges where the number of layers have reduced considerably to



Figure 3.Evolution of nanoflower structure with progress in time periods from 10s to 1800s at 850°C

30

reveal mono- and bi-layer regions. This is a significant observation since it highlights that the nanoflowers are composed of few layer nanosheets at the edges. These few layer (mono- and bi-layer) edges are visible in the Fig 2b. As we move towards the ³⁵ centre of the petal the layers stack up progressively forming Moiré fringes which are visible near the centre of the petal.

In order to understand the evolution of these structures, short time nucleation experiments were carried out. The process parameters were similar to the CVD experiments employed to

- ⁴⁰ produce nanoflowers. However, the nucleation experiments were performed at very short time periods ranging from 10 s, 30 s, 60 s, and 120 s to 1800 s. The different stages of nucleation of the nanoflower are shown in Fig 3 and clearly shows the evolution process involved in forming these nanostructures. This different
- ⁴⁵ stages of evolution has also been studied using XRD and Raman spectroscopy and the results are shown in Fig. 4a and 4b respectively. Initially, nanoparticles of tungsten are formed, as confirmed by XRD at 10 s in Fig 4b. These particles are usually agglomerated as seen in Fig 3a. Oxidation of these nanoparticles
- 50 over time was observed with the aid of EDX as presented in Fig S3. As reaction time was increased to 30 s, intermediate phases of tungsten- oxide and sulphide were observed as evident from the

WO₃ and WS₂ peaks in XRD. This could be sulphur progressively reacting with the oxide nanoparticles, as proposed ⁵⁵ by Tenne *et al.*²², forming flake like structures on the surface, visible in Fig. 3b. The oxides may be formed when particles are oxidised when exposed to the atmosphere while transferring them for characterisation. The morphology after 60 s in Fig.3c shows the formation of petals from these particles and also the presence ⁶⁰ of tubular structures. With time, the tubular morphology disappears and only flakes are observed as in Fig 3d. Over longer time periods of 1800 s all of the tubular structures observed in Fig 3c & 3d are converted to flakes and flowers with predominantly large flakes/ petals as observed from Fig.3e and they exhibit ⁶⁵ characteristic WS₂ signals in Raman and XRD.

The synthesis process observed in this work seems to follow a combination of the mechanisms described previously in literature. W species are formed in the first 10 s, which are transformed into intermediate species of WO_xS_y over 120 s, 70 which eventually form a pure WS₂ phase after 300 s (5 min). This is determined by XRD, which clearly shows the suppression of oxide phases and dominance of sulfide phase with the increase in time from 10 s to 1800 s. Raman spectroscopy also shows the characteristic A₁g (352 cm⁻¹) and E₂g (420 cm⁻¹) belonging to WS₂ phase which feature in the later stages of the process. Thus the initial phase of the experiment shows the presence of an oxide phase in both XRD and Raman. The source of this oxygen is uncertain and is difficult to trace.

- ⁵ Tenne *et al.*²³ highlights a similar mechanism for the formation of IF-WS₂ nanoparticles using WCl_n and H₂S precursors. A major difference from that work is the formation of WS₂ nanosheets on the surface of the nanoparticle in random directions leading to nanoflower formation in contrast to confined
- ¹⁰ growth in IF-WS₂. It is reported that the WCl_n on heating first forms W species, possibly WCl_xS_y, which on subsequent reaction leads to the formation of a pure WS₂ phase. Another mechanism proposed based on TEM-EDS, was amorphous WS₃ formation enhancing IF-WS₂ formation through sulphur abstraction and
- ¹⁵ crystallization²². Li *et al.*²² reported the use of sulphur both as a reductant and sulphurisation agent at the same time. They reported a self-redox and metathesis reaction, resulting in a stepwise sulphurisation of MoCl₅ to form MoS_xCl_y intermediate at low temperatures of 515 °C and pure MoS₂ phase at 800 °C.

 $_{20}$ This same mechanism is likely to hold for WS₂.

The potential use of nanoflowers as a precursor/source for nanosheets would make it an attractive process for 2D material as large amounts (grams) of these nanoflowers can be produced by a relatively simple CVD process. Furthermore, these nanoflowers

²⁵ could be studied for applications such as catalysts for hydrogen evolution due to the presence of few layer edges.

Conclusions

In summary, we have reported the presence of 2D nanosheets in 3D nanoflowers of WS₂ nanomaterials. Using aberration ³⁰ corrected transmission electron microscopy, we observed the presence of few layer WS₂ at the edges of these 2D nanosheets/petals and also the progressive stacking of these layers to form the petals that in turn give the 3D nanoflower morphology. This study eludes to the possibility of using 3D

³⁵ nanoflowers, which are relatively easy to synthesize using a simple scalable atmospheric chemical vapour deposition method, as precursors for 2D nanosheets. In addition, the process of nucleation has been followed closely to reveal the role of oxide nanoparticles in the formation of these nanostructures.

40 Acknowledgment:. NanoTp, ERC starting grant

Notes and references

^a Department of Materials, University of Oxford, Parks Road, OX1 3PH, UK. E-mail: <u>nicole.grobert@materials.ox.ac.uk</u>

- 1. J. A Wilson and A D. Yoffe, *Adv. Phys.*, 1969, **18**, 193–335.
- 45 2. Y. Feldman, G. L. Frey, M. Homyonfer, V. Lyakhovitskaya, L. Margulis, H. Cohen, G. Hodes, J. L. Hutchison, and R. Tenne, 1996, 2, 5362–5367.
- 3. A. Rothschild, J. Sloan, and R. Tenne, 2000, 5169–5179.
- 4. G. Tenne, R. Margulis, L. Genut, M. & Hodes, *Nature*, 1992,
- ⁵⁰ **360**, 444–446.

- Y. Feldman, E. Wasserman, D. J. Srolovitz, and R. Tenne, Science, 1995, 267, 222–5.
- 6. R. Tenne, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2006, **1**, 103–111.

55

60

90

- Y. Q. Zhu, T. Sekine, Y. H. Li, M. W. Fay, Y. M. Zhao, C. H. Patrick Poa, W. X. Wang, M. J. Roe, P. D. Brown, N. Fleischer, and R. Tenne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16263–72.
- G. Seifert, H. Terrones, M. Terrones, G. Jungnickel, and T. Frauenheim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, 85, 146–9.
- R. R. Chianelli, M. H. Siadati, M. P. De la Rosa, G. Berhault, J.
 P. Wilcoxon, R. Bearden, and B. L. Abrams, *Catal. Rev.*, 2006, 48, 1–41.
- K. S. Novoselov, a K. Geim, S. V Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V Dubonos, I. V Grigorieva, and a a Firsov, *Science*, 2004, **306**, 666–9.
- 65 11. S. Z. Butler, S. M. Hollen, L. Cao, Y. Cui, J. A. Gupta, H. R. Gutie, T. F. Heinz, S. S. Hong, J. Huang, A. F. Ismach, E. Johnston-halperin, M. Kuno, V. V Plashnitsa, R. D. Robinson, R. S. Ruoff, S. Salahuddin, J. Shan, L. Shi, O. M. G. Spencer, M. Terrones, W. Windl, and J. E. Goldberger, 2013.
- 70 12. A. K. Geim and I. V Grigorieva, *Nature*, 2013, **499**, 419–25.
 - H.Terrones, F. Lopez-Urias and M.Terrnoes, *Nature Scientific reports*, 2013, 3, 1549
 - Z. Zeng, Z. Yin, X. Huang, H. Li, Q. He, G. Lu, F. Boey, and H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2011, **50**, 11093–7.
- 75 15. A. Splendiani, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Li, J. Kim, C.-Y. Chim, G. Galli, and F. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 1271–5.
 - B. Radisavljevic, a Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti, and a Kis, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, 6, 147–50.
 - 17. W. Shi and C. Run-feng, 2013, **29**, 667–677.
- 80 18. A. Sobczynski, A. Yildiz, A. J. Bard, A. Campion, M. A. Fox, T. Mallouk, S. E. Webber, and J. M. White, 1988, 2311–2315.
 - 19. P. Luque, E. Medina, and A. Olivas, 2012, **2**, 569–574.
 - L. Rapoport, N. Fleischer, and R. Tenne, J. Mater. Chem., 2005, 15, 1782.
- 85 21. G. X. Wang, S. Bewlay, J. Yao, H. K. Liu, and S. X. Dou, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2004, 7, A321.
 - 22. X.-L. Li, J.-P. Ge, and Y.-D. Li, Chemistry, 2004, 10, 6163-71.
 - A. Margolin, F. L. Deepak, R. Popovitz-Biro, M. Bar-Sadan, Y. Feldman, and R. Tenne, *Nanotechnology*, 2008, 19, 095601.