1 "This accepted author manuscript is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here 2 by agreement between Elsevier and MTA. The definitive version of the text was subsequently 3 published in [Behavioural Processes, 119, (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2015.07.001]. 4 Available under license CC-BY-NC-ND." 5 6 Intranasally administered oxytocin affects how dogs (Canis familiaris) react to the 7 threatening approach of their owner and an unfamiliar experimenter 8 Anna Hernádi^{a,b}, Anna Kis^{a,b}*, Orsolya Kanizsár^a, Katinka Tóth^b, Bernadett Miklósi^b, József 9 10 Topál^a ^a Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 11 12 Budapest ^b Department of Ethology, Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary 13 * Corresponding author. Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, Hungarian 14 Academy of Sciences, 1117 Budapest Magyar Tudósok krt. 2.; Tel.: +36 1 382 6820; E-mail: 15 16 vargane.kis.anna@ttk.mta.hu 17 18 Abstract 19 Fear and aggression are among the most prominent behavioural problems in dogs. Oxytocin 20 has been shown to play a role in regulating social behaviours in humans including fear and

21 aggression. As intranasal oxytocin has been found to have some analogous effects in dogs and 22 humans, here we investigated the effect of oxytocin on dogs' behaviour in the Threatening 23 Approach Test. Dogs, after having received intranasal administration of oxytocin (OT) or 24 placebo (PL), showed the same reaction to an unfamiliar experimenter, but OT pretreated 25 dogs showed a less friendly first reaction compared to the PL group when the owner was 26 approaching. Individual differences in aggression (measured via questionnaire) also 27 modulated dogs' first reaction. Moreover, subjects that received OT looked back more at the 28 human (owner/experimenter) standing behind them during the threatening approach. These

29	results suggest that oxytocin has an effect on dogs' response to the threatening cues of a
30	human, but this effect is in interaction with other factors such as the identity of the
31	approaching human and the 'baseline' aggression of the dogs.

32

33 Keywords:

34 aggression; dog; oxytocin; social behaviour; Threatening Approach Test

35

36 Highlights

37 Dogs' behaviour towards a threatening human is influenced by intranasal oxytocin.

38 The familiarity of the threatening human (owner/experimenter) has a modulating role.

39 Owner-rated aggression of the dogs affects their reaction to a threatening human.

40

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Dogs are the most commonly kept pets in the western world (Hart, 1995) and are present in 43 almost every human society worldwide (Serpell, 2003). Thus dogs pose severe economical 44 expenses to our societies not only through veterinary care and the pet food industry, but also 45 because of problem behaviours. Aggression towards and fear from people are among the most 46 commonly reported dog behavioural problems (Diesel et al., 2008; Stephen and Ledger, 2007; 47 van der Borg et al., 1991), and the two are often interrelated (Guy et al., 2001; Klausz et al., 48 2014; Landsberg et al., 2003; O'Sullivan et al., 2008).

It is plausible to assume that oxytocin might have an effect on behaviours related to fear and aggression as this has already been shown in humans. Evidence suggests that oxytocin reduces fear responses to social stimuli in humans (Kirsch et al., 2005) through the attenuation of amygdala activation (Domes et al., 2007), that encourages social approach and affiliation. Thus the oxytocin induced reduction of social fear may have an impact on 54 aggressive behaviour given the link between anxiety levels and aggression. Decreased levels 55 of oxytocin in the cerebrospinal fluid of adult men and women have indeed been associated with higher levels of reported aggressive behaviour (Lee et al., 2009). Oxytocin is generally 56 57 thought to promote positive behaviours (e.g. trust - Kosfeld et al. 2005; Baumgartner et al. 2008, and generosity – Zak et al. 2007; Barraza et al. 2011) and thus is assumed to reduce 58 59 agonistic behaviours. However, other studies have found that oxytocin can also increase 60 aggression and competition, especially towards out-group members (De Dreu, 2012; De Dreu 61 et al., 2010; Stallen et al., 2012) and it also might increase anxiety to unpredictable threat 62 (Grillon et al., 2013). Importantly it has also been suggested that oxytocin can have 63 differential effects according to subjects' baseline level of aggressive responding (Alcorn et 64 al., 2014).

65 In case of dogs, however, relatively little is known about the effect of oxytocin on social 66 behaviour. Recent research has found that polymorphisms in the oxytocin receptor (OXTR) 67 gene are related to human directed social behaviours in dogs (Kis et al., 2014a) and that 68 intranasally administered oxytocin promotes positive social behaviours toward both humans 69 and conspecifics (Romero et al., 2014). It has also been reported that intranasally 70 administered oxytocin induces positive expectations about ambivalent stimuli in dogs, 71 especially in a social context (Kis et al., 2015), enhances dogs' use of human pointing 72 gestures (Oliva et al., 2015), and increases gazing behaviour of female dogs to their owners in 73 a neutral situation (Nagasawa et al., 2015). However, no study has yet investigated the effect 74 of intranasal oxytocin on dogs' behaviour in negatively valenced situations.

Based on these previous results our aim in the current study was to investigate the effect of intranasal oxytocin on dogs' response to human behaviour cues of threat. In order to do that we applied the Threatening Approach Test (validated by Vas et al., 2008, 2005) previously used to test coping styles in police dogs (Horváth et al., 2007), aggression in shelter dogs (Kis

et al., 2014c) and to assess the dogs' ability to use the owner as a secure base (Gácsi et al., 2013). We also aimed to test the effect of group-belonging (familiarity of the interactants), thus dog were approached threateningly by both the owner (in-group partner) and an unfamiliar experimenter (out-group partner). Subjects' baseline aggressiveness towards people was assessed by means of a questionnaire (Jones, 2008).

84

85 **2. Subjects and methods**

86 2.1. Ethical statement

Research was done in accordance with the Hungarian regulations on animal experimentation
and the Guidelines for the use of animals in research described by the Association for the
Study Animal Behaviour (ASAB). Ethical approval was obtained from the National Animal
Experimentation Ethics Committee (Ref No. XIV-I-001/531-4-2012).

91 2.2. Subjects

92 Thirty-six pet dogs (older than a year, mean age±SD: 4.7±2.6 years; 12 intact & 8 neutered 93 males, 6 intact and 10 spayed females) from various breeds (16 mongrels and 20 purebreds 94 from 14 different breeds: Belgian Shepherd, Black Russian Terrier, Border Collie, Boxer, 95 Bulldog, Central Asian Shepherd Dog, Golden Retriever, Norwitch Terrier, Nova Scotia 96 Duck Tolling Retriever, Schnauzer, Shipperke, Scottish Terrier, Siberian Husky, Stafforsihre 97 Terrier) participated in the study. Subjects participated in two study occasions 1–13 days apart 98 receiving oxytocin and placebo pretreatments in a balanced order (N=18 dogs starting with 99 each of the two treatments). On the first occasion the Threatening Approach Test (see later) 100 was performed by an unfamiliar female experimenter (E), on the second occasion the same 101 test was performed by the owner (O), 33 of the 36 owners were females.

102 2.3. Pretreatment

103 The pretreatment was performed according to a protocol previously validated by confirming 104 the physiological effect of oxytocin on electrocardiogram (ECG) measures (Kis et al., 2014b); 105 please note that other studies (Nagasawa et al., 2015; Oliva et al., 2015) have used slightly 106 different intranasal oxytocin administration methods. Subjects received 3 puffs, 12 IU 107 (International Unit) oxytocin (Syntocinon, Novartis) or placebo (0.7% NaCl solution) in a 108 within-subject design. Nasal spray was administered by an unfamiliar female (who had no 109 other role in the experiment) while the dogs were gently held by the owner. This was 110 followed by a 40 minute waiting period (that is presumed to be necessary for the central 111 oxytocin levels to reach a plateau based on the vasopressin measurements of Born et al., 112 2002). During this time dogs spent the first 30 minutes with an on-leash walk at the 113 University Campus (avoiding any contact with other dogs or humans) during which the 114 experimenter ensured that the owner did not make any social contact with the dog either (e.g. 115 did not pet it, did not talk to it) and kept the length as well as the speed of the walk as 116 standard as possible. Dogs spent the remaining 10 minutes resting in a quiet room with their 117 passive owners present. During this last phase owners were asked to fill in an aggression 118 questionnaire, the Aggression towards people scale form Jones (2008). The questionnaire 119 (Table 1) consisted of six items and composed of one single factor (1–5 scale).

120 2.4. Behaviour testing

Subjects participated in the *Threatening Approach* Test developed by Vas et al. (2005) (figure 1.; supplementary video). During the first test occasion an unfamiliar female experimenter (one of three experimenters randomly selected for each dog) played the role of the approaching human while the owner stood motionless and silently 0.5 m behind the dog (*'Experimenter Approaching'* condition). During the second test occasion they switched their roles; the owner was the approaching human while the E was standing behind (*'Owner* *Approaching* ' condition). Owners received detailed instructions in order to behave in a way assimilar to the experimenters as possible.

Dogs were tethered on a 1.5 m long leash tied to a hook fixed to the floor. The approaching human (AH) entered the testing room and stood 3 m away from the dog and, if necessary, made some noise to get the dog's attention. When the dog looked at the AH, she/he began to approach it. The AH was moving slowly and haltingly (one step in every 4 s) with slightly bent upper body and she was looking steadily into the eyes of the dog without any verbal communication with the hands behind his/her back.

135 The behaviour of the AH was determined and standardized across subjects according to the 136 following 'If ...then...' rules:

137 1) If the dog kept looking at the AH, then he/she continued to approach the dog138 until reaching the dog.

139 2) If the dog interrupted the eye contact with the AH (moving away and/or turning 140 head away), she/he stopped and waited motionless for about 4 s and then tried to 141 attract the dog's attention by making some noise (e.g. coughing or scratching the 142 ground with the foot). If the dog continued to avert its gaze the AH attempted to 143 call the dog's attention two more times (with 2 s in between attempts). Whenever 144 the dog looked at her/him again, the AH continued the approach. If, however, the 145 dog did not look at her/him after the third attempt, the Threatening Approach was 146 terminated.

147 3) If the dog showed active avoidance, that is, it moved away to the back of the
148 owner/experimenter from the AH while keeping eye contact, she/he stopped and
149 the Threatening Approach was terminated.

4) If the dog showed signs of aggression or fear, e.g. barked repeatedly or growled
continuously (more than 4 s) and/or tried to attack the AH (moving ahead and
stretching the leash), the Threatening Approach was terminated.

After terminating the Threatening Approach the AH stepped back, crouched down and started calling the dog in a friendly voice. At the same time the dog was released and encouraged to go to the AH who petted it.

156 2.5. Data analysis

157 Behaviour coding was based on Vas et al. (2008, 2005). The First reaction of the subjects 158 was coded on an ordinary scale from the moment of looking at the approaching human, until 159 the end of the first step. Dogs received score 1 - Friendly if they approached the human in a 160 friendly way (with the tail wagging, ears up and no signs of aggression and/or fear), score $2 - \frac{1}{2}$ 161 Approach if they approached or gazed at the human without tail wagging or wagging the tail 162 between the legs and/or with the ears down, score 3 - Neutral if they behaved neutrally (e.g. 163 standing still or sniffing around), score 4 - Avoid if they avoided the human (retreating, 164 stepping back) and *score* 5 - Threatening if they moved towards the human in an unfriendly 165 way (barking or growling without any signs of play – e.g. play bow).

Additionally the number of times the dog looked back at the human standing behind it wasalso coded.

Inter-rater reliability was calculated by double coding of 10 dogs (28% of the sample) and resulted in a substantial agreement (0.61 - 0.80 according to the categorization of Landis and Koch, 1977) for both *First reaction* (κ =0.73), and *Looking back* (κ =0.78).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models were used to analyse the data with multinomial logistic in case of the *First reaction* variable, and negative binomial identity function in case of the *Looking back* variable. In case of both dependent variables we tested the main effect of two factors: pretreatment (OT/PL), identity of the approaching human (O/E), and one covariate: aggression questionnaire score; as well as the two- and three-way interactions. In case of the *First reaction* variable two separate follow-up models (GLMMs) were run for the experimenter approaching and the owner approaching conditions. In case of the *Looking back* variable separate models could not be run (as only one placebo pretreated dog looked back at the experimenter when approached by the owner), thus we applied pairwise post hoc comparisons (SPSS 22 default option) in the original model in order to confirm the OT/PL effect. SPSS 22 was used for all data analysis.

182

183 **3. Results**

184 3.1. First reaction

185 Dogs showed a Friendly first reaction in 32% of the cases, an Approach reaction in 25% of 186 the cases, a Neutral reaction in 22% of the cases, an Avoid reaction in 10% of the cases and a 187 Threatening reaction in 11% of the cases. The GLMM model showed no significant main 188 effect of oxytocin/placebo (OT/PL) pretreatment (F=2.977, p=0.087) or identity of the human 189 (O/E) approaching (F=0.673, p=0.413) on dogs' first reaction. The main effect of the 190 questionnaire aggression score was, however, significant (F=4.049, p=0.046) with dogs that 191 were rated more aggressive by their owner, receiving higher scores for their first reaction. 192 Also there was a significant pretreatment (OT/PL) \times identity (O/E) interaction (F=7.938, 193 p=0.006; figure 2). The pretreatment (OT/PL) \times questionnaire score (F=3.289, p=0.072) and 194 the identity (O/E) × questionnaire score (F=0.088, p=0.767) interactions were non-significant. 195 The three-way interaction (pretreatment \times identity \times questionnaire score) was significant 196 (F=7.979, p=0.005; figure 3.).

197 Our follow-up analysis showed that in case of the *Experimenter Approaching* condition there 198 was no significant effect of OT/PL pretreatment (F=0.698, p=0.406) or questionnaire score 199 (F=2.886, p=0.094, with a tendency for the questionnaire score to be positively related to the first reaction score) and their interaction was also non-significant (F=0.627, p=0.431). In case of the *Owner Approaching* condition there was a significant OT/PL pretreatment effect (F=7.426, p=0.009) with OT pretreated dogs showing a less friendly first reaction. The main effect of the questionnaire score was not significant (F=1.130, p=0.293), but there was a significant pretreatment × questionnaire score interaction (F=7.550, p=0.008).

205

206 *3.2. Looking back at Human (Owner/Experimenter)*

207 The looking behaviour of dogs was influenced by both the pretreatment (OT/PL, F=5.007, 208 p=0.029) and the identity of the human standing behind the dog during the threatening 209 approach (O/E, F=6.152, p=0.016, figure 4). Pairwise post hoc analysis confirmed that OT 210 pretreated dogs looked more at the human standing behind them compared to PL pretreated 211 dogs (p<0.001), and dogs looked back more at their owner (i.e. when the experimenter was 212 approaching) compared to the reversed condition (p<0.001). Dogs' baseline aggression 213 (questionnaire score) had no effect (F=2.451, p=0.122) and all interactions were non-214 significant (p>0.05).

215

216 **4. Discussion**

217 We have found evidence that oxytocin has the potential to modulate dogs' behaviour in a 218 situation involving threatening behaviour signals by a human. Importantly, however this 219 effect is in interaction with other factors such as the identity of the humans involved in the 220 situation (owner or a stranger) and the baseline aggression of the dogs. This is in line with 221 previous results (Kis et al., 2014a) showing that two OXTR polymorphisms (rs8679684 and 222 19131AG) affect dogs' Friendliness, a behavioural score mainly composed of their reaction to 223 a threatening stranger. Our results are also in line with human studies that indicate a 224 modulating role of baseline aggression on the effect of oxytocin (Alcorn et al., 2014) and others showing differential effects of oxytocin on conflict behaviour towards in-group versus
out-group partners (De Dreu, 2012; De Dreu et al., 2010). However, as in our study dogs were
tested in a fixed order (first in the *Stranger Approaching* and then in the *Owner Approaching*conditions) we cannot exclude the possibility of order effect (though previous research has
shown that dogs' reaction in the Threatening Approach Test is consistent across test occasions
except for immediate re-testing – Klausz et al., 2014; Vas et al., 2008).

231 Our results showed that contrary to our expectations oxytocin did not decrease aggressive 232 responses in dogs, but they showed a less friendly first reaction towards their owners and 233 behaved in the same way towards the experimenter as in the placebo group. This is in line with other recent research suggesting that oxytocin is not a magical "trust elixir" 234 235 (Mikolajczak et al., 2010), and that despite increasing prosocial behaviours, it does not make 236 people blind to negative social stimuli, but on the contrary in some cases it even increases the 237 salience of negative social stimuli (Theodoridou et al., 2013). But because of these results the 238 direct applied relevance of our findings is questionable, as a "desirable" outcome would be to use a treatment that decreases unwanted aggression. However in case of some working dogs 239 240 (e.g. police dogs) sensitisation to threatening social stimuli might also be beneficial. 241 Furthermore we find that dogs look back at the human (owner or experimenter) standing 242 behind them during the threatening approach more often after oxytocin pretreatment. This 243 finding is in line with the study of Guastella et al. (2008) showing that oxytocin increases 244 looking towards the eye-region of faces in humans and corresponds with more recent studies 245 (Nagasawa et al., 2015) demonstrating that dogs look more at their owners in a neutral 246 situation after oxytocin administration. Note, however that in our previous study (Kis et al., 247 2014a) we could not find any effect of OXTR polymorphisms on looking at humans during a 248 problem-solving task in dogs. Thus in a more naturalistic situation when the owner is allowed 249 to communicate with the dog when it looks back at him/her upon detecting a threat (see e.g. Merola et al., 2013, 2011 for social referencing about threatening stimuli) this might make a difference in the controllability of their fear and/or aggression response.

It is also important to note that clinical/veterinary practice may not benefit from the research findings on the behavioural effects of a single dose of intranasal oxytocin. Chronic oxytocin treatment has been for example proved to be less effective in improving the symptoms of young patients diagnosed with autism (Guastella et al., 2015) as it could have been expected based on the promising results of single-dose studies.

257 In the present study oxytocin only influenced dogs' first reaction to the owner, but not to the 258 experimenter. This might suggest that the effect of oxytocin is specific and/or more 259 pronounced towards socially more relevant partners (see e.g. Kis et al., 2014b for the 260 modulating role of social task context on the oxytocin effect in a cognitive bias task). An 261 alternative explanation is that similarly to Alcorn et al. (2014), who found that human subjects 262 with low levels of baseline aggressive behaviour showed an increase in aggressive behaviour, 263 but subjects with high baseline aggressive responding did not, our data might merely reflect that the level of aggression towards the owner is lower than to the experimenter. 264

In sum our results provide evidence for the effects of physiological (exogenous oxytocin) and contextual (owner/stranger) factors as well as individual differences (baseline aggression) on dogs' behaviour in the Threatening Approach Test. Clearly, these phenomena deserve further investigation in order to determine the possible applied relevance of these results as well as shed light on the role of other factors such as the gender of the approaching human or training history of the dogs.

271

272 Acknowledgement

Financial support was provided by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K12138
& K100695). We thank Ádám Miklósi for his support.

275

276 **References**

- Alcorn, J.L., Dias, N., Moeller, F.G., Lane, S.D., 2014. A preliminary analysis of aggressive
 behavior under oxytocin dose. Drug Alcohol Depend. 140, e4.
- Barraza, J.A., McCullough, M.E., Ahmadi, S., Zak, P.J., 2011. Oxytocin infusion increases
 charitable donations regardless of monetary resources. Horm. Behav. 60, 148–151.
- Baumgartner, T., Heinrichs, M., Vonlanthen, A., Fischbacher, U., Fehr, E., 2008. Oxytocin
 shapes the neural circuitry of trust and trust adaptation in humans. Neuron 58, 639–50.
- Born, J., Lange, T., Kern, W., McGregor, G.P., Bickel, U., Fehm, H.L., 2002. Sniffing
 neuropeptides: a transnasal approach to the human brain. Nat. Neurosci. 5, 514–516.
- De Dreu, C.K.W., 2012. Oxytocin modulates cooperation within and competition between
 groups: an integrative review and research agenda. Horm. Behav. 61, 419–28.
- De Dreu, C.K.W., Greer, L.L., Handgraaf, M.J.J., Shalvi, S., Van Kleef, G. a, Baas, M., Ten
 Velden, F.S., Van Dijk, E., Feith, S.W.W., 2010. The neuropeptide oxytocin regulates
 parochial altruism in intergroup conflict among humans. Science (80-.). 328, 1408–11.
- Diesel, G., Brodbelt, D., Pfeiffer, D., 2008. Reliability of assessment of dogs' behavioural
 responses by staff working at a welfare charity in the UK. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 115,
 171–181.
- Domes, G., Heinrichs, M., Gläscher, J., Büchel, C., Braus, D.F., Herpertz, S.C., 2007.
 Oxytocin attenuates amygdala responses to emotional faces regardless of valence. Biol.
 Psychiatry 62, 1187–1190.
- Gácsi, M., Maros, K., Sernkvist, S., Faragó, T., Miklósi, Á., 2013. Human analogue safe
 haven effect of the owner: Behavioural and heart rate response to stressful social stimuli
 in dogs. PLoS One 8, e58475.
- Grillon, C., Krimsky, M., Charney, D., Vytal, K., Ernst, M., Cornwell, B., 2013. Oxytocin
 increases anxiety to unpredictable threat. Mol. Psychiatry 18, 958–960.
- Guastella, A.J., Gray, K.M., Rinehart, N.J., Alvares, G. a., Tonge, B.J., Hickie, I.B., Keating,
 C.M., Cacciotti-Saija, C., Einfeld, S.L., 2015. The effects of a course of intranasal
 oxytocin on social behaviors in youth diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders: a
 randomized controlled trial. J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry 56, 444–452.
- Guastella, A.J., Mitchell, P.B., Dadds, M.R., 2008. Oxytocin increases gaze to the eye region
 of human faces. Biol. Psychiatry 63, 3–5.

Guy, N., Luescher, U., Dohoo, S., Spangler, E., Miller, J., Dohoo, I., Bate, L., 2001. Risk
factors for dog bites to owners in a general veterinary caseload. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
74, 29–42.

- Hart, L.A., 1995. Dogs as human companions: a review of the relationship, in: Serpell, J.A.
 (Ed.), The Domestic Dog: Its Evolution, Behaviour and Interactions With People.
 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 161–178.
- Horváth, Z., Igyártó, B.-Z., Magyar, A., Miklósi, Á., 2007. Three different coping styles in
 police dogs exposed to a short-term challenge. Horm. Behav. 52, 621–30.
- Jones, A.C., 2008. Development and validation of a dog personality questionnaire. The
 University of Texas.
- Kirsch, P., Esslinger, C., Chen, Q., Mier, D., Lis, S., Siddhanti, S., Gruppe, H., Mattay, V.S.,
 Gallhofer, B., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., 2005. Oxytocin modulates neural circuitry for
 social cognition and fear in humans. J. Neurosci. 25, 11489–11493.
- Kis, A., Bence, M., Lakatos, G., Pergel, E., Turcsán, B., Pluijmakers, J., Vas, J., Elek, Z.,
 Brúder, I., Földi, L., Sasvári-Székely, M., Miklósi, Á., Rónai, Z., Kubinyi, E., 2014a.
 Oxytocin receptor gene polymorphisms are associated with human directed social
 behavior in dogs (Canis familiaris). PLoS One 9, e83993.
- Kis, A., Hernádi, A., Kanizsár, O., Gácsi, M., Topál, J., 2015. Oxytocin induces positive
 expectations about ambivalent stimuli (cognitive bias) in dogs. Horm. Behav. 69, 1–7.
- Kis, A., Kanizsár, O., Gácsi, M., Topál, J., 2014b. Intranasally administered oxytocin
 decreases heart rate and increases heart rate variability in dogs. J. Vet. Behav. Clin. Appl.
 Res. 9, e15.
- Kis, A., Klausz, B., Persa, E., Miklósi, Á., Gacsi, M., 2014c. Timing and presence of an
 attachment person affect sensitivity of aggression tests in shelter dogs. Vet. Rec. 174,
 196.
- Klausz, B., Kis, A., Persa, E., Miklósi, Á., Gácsi, M., 2014. A quick assessment tool for
 human-directed aggression in pet dogs. Aggress. Behav. 40, 178–188.
- Kosfeld, M., Heinrichs, M., Zak, P.J., Fischbacher, U., Fehr, E., n.d. Oxytocin increases trust
 in humans. Nature.
- Landis, J.R., Koch, G.G., 1977. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
 Biometrics 33, 159–174.
- Landsberg, G.M., Hunthausen, W., Ackerman, L., 2003. Canine aggression, in: Handbook of
 Behavior Problems of the Dog and Cat. Saunders, Edinburgh, pp. 385–426.
- Lee, H.-J., Macbeth, A.H., Pagani, J.H., Young, W.S., 2009. Oxytocin: the great facilitator of
 life. Prog. Neurobiol. 88, 127–151.
- Merola, I., Prato-Previde, E., Lazzaroni, M., Marshall-Pescini, S., 2013. Dogs'
 comprehension of referential emotional expressions: familiar people and familiar
- 344 emotions are easier. Anim. Cogn.

- Merola, I., Prato-Previde, E., Marshall-Pescini, S., 2011. Social referencing in dog-owner
 dyads? Anim. Cogn. 15, 175–185.
- Mikolajczak, M., Gross, J.J., Lane, A., Corneille, O., de Timary, P., Luminet, O., 2010.
 Oxytocin makes people trusting, not gullible. Psychol. Sci. 21, 1072–4.
- Nagasawa, M., Mitsui, S., En, S., Ohatani, N., Ohta, M., Sakuma, Y., Onaka, T., Mogi, K.,
 Kikusui, T., 2015. Oxytocin-gaze positive loop and the coevolution of human-dog bonds.
 Science (80-.). 348, 333–336.
- O'Sullivan, E.N., Jones, B.R., O'Sullivan, K., Hanlon, A.J., 2008. The management and
 behavioural history of 100 dogs reported for biting a person. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
 114, 149–158.
- Oliva, J.L., Rault, J.-L., Appleton, B., Lill, A., 2015. Oxytocin enhances the appropriate use
 of human social cues by the domestic dog (Canis familiaris) in an object choice task.
 Anim. Cogn. 18, 767–775.
- Romero, T., Nagasawa, M., Mogi, K., Hasegawa, T., Kikusui, T., 2014. Oxytocin promotes
 social bonding in dogs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 9085–9090.
- Serpell, J.A., 2003. Anthropomorphism and Anthropomorphic Selection—Beyond the "Cute
 Response". Soc. Anim. 11, 83–100.
- Stallen, M., De Dreu, C.K.W., Shalvi, S., Smidts, A., Sanfey, A.G., 2012. The herding
 hormone: Oxytocin stimulates in-group conformity. Psychol. Sci. 23, 1288–1292.
- Stephen, J.M., Ledger, R., 2007. Relinquishing dog owners' ability to predict behavioural
 problems in shelter dogs post adoption. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 107, 88–99.
- Theodoridou, A., Penton-Voak, I.S., Rowe, A.C., 2013. A direct examination of the effect of
 intranasal administration of oxytocin on approach-avoidance motor responses to
 emotional stimuli. PLoS One 8, e58113.
- Van der Borg, J.A.M., Netto, W.J., Planta, D.J.U., 1991. Behavioural testing of dogs in
 animal shelters to predict problem behaviour. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 32, 237–251.
- Vas, J., Topál, J., Gácsi, M., Miklósi, Á., Csányi, V., 2005. A friend or an enemy? Dogs'
 reaction to an unfamiliar person showing behavioural cues of threat and friendliness at
 different times. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 94, 99–115.
- Vas, J., Topál, J., Győri, B., Miklósi, Á., 2008. Consistency of dogs' reactions to threatening
 cues of an unfamiliar person. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 112, 331–344.
- Zak, P.J., Stanton, A. a, Ahmadi, S., 2007. Oxytocin increases generosity in humans. PLoS
 One 2, e1128.
- 378
- 379 Figure captions

- **Table 1.** Items of the aggression questionnaire. Owners scored their dogs on a 1–5 scale.
- **Figure 1.** Photograph showing the Threatening Approach Test
- 382 Figure 2. First reaction of the dogs (until the first step of the approaching human) towards
- 383 their owner and an unfamiliar experimenter after oxytocin or placebo pretreatment; median,
- 384 quartiles, whiskers and outliers
- **Figure 3.** Relationship between dogs' baseline aggression (questionnaire score) and their first
- 386 reaction to the approaching owner or experimenter after oxytocin and placebo pretreatment
- 387 Figure 4. Frequency of looking back at the human (owner/experimenter) in the placebo and
- 388 oxytocin pretreated groups in the Owner Approaching and Experimenter Approaching
- 389 conditions; median, quartiles, whiskers and outliers
- 390
- **Table 1.**

Questionnaire item	Multiplier
Dog behaves aggressively towards unfamiliar people.	+1
Dog is friendly towards unfamiliar people.	-1
Dog shows aggression when nervous or fearful.	+1
Dog behaves aggressively in response to perceived threats from people (e.g., being cornered, having collar reached for).	+1
Dog behaves aggressively when restrained or handled (e.g., groomed).	+1