

KLAIPÉDOS UNIVERSITETO
BALTISTIKOS CENTRAS

VAKARŲ BALTIŲ KALBOS
IR KULTŪROS RELIKTAI

III



Klaipėda, 2000

TURINYS

Vytautas SIRTAUTAS. Kaip mokytis kalbų (ypač baltų)	4
Vytautas SIRTAUTAS. Žodžių reikšmės ir jų kitimas baltų kalbose	8
Sándor FÖLDVÁRI. Modus Obliquus in The Baltic Language Union (A Review of The Literature on The Field)	10
Vytautas MAŽIULIS. Dėl prūsų vokalizmo istorijos.....	14
Letas PALMAITIS. Prūsų katekizmų kalbos identifikacijos klausimu	15
Audronė KAUKIENĖ. Prūsų kalbos <i>u</i> -kamienas	20
Anželika ZIGMANTAVIČIŪTĖ, Jurgita ZIGMANTAVIČIŪTĖ. Datyvo bei genetyvo formos ir vartojimas prūsų katekizmuose	31
Dalia PAKALNIŠKIENĖ. Prūsų <i>silkasdrimbis</i>	39
Jūratė DULKIENĖ. Indoeuropietiškųjų veiksmažodinių šaknų refleksai vakarų baltų kalbose.....	42
Aušra LISINSKAITĖ. Prūsų zoonimai. Naminių gyvulių pavadinimai	47
Jonas BUKANTIS. Klaipėdiškių šnektose ir kuršiškųjų bei skalviškųjų žeminių ribos	51
Rita JURKUTAITYTĖ. Šakninių veiksmažodžių semantikos raida baltų ir germanų kalbose	54
Laima SIMUTYTĖ. Kuršių Nerijos marių vietų vardai.....	61
Dalia KISELIŪNAITĖ. Apie <i>Karvaičių</i> vardo kilmę.....	65
Stanislava JARECKAITĖ. Muzikinis ugdymas Mažosios Lietuvos mokykloje.....	68
Daiva KŠANIENĖ. Vydūno chorinės tradicijos Klaipėdos krašto giedotojų draugijų veikloje	81
Lina PETROŠIENĖ. XX a. Klaipėdos krašto liaudies dainų ypatumai.....	88
Rimantas BALSYS. Mitinė šulinio prasmė	94
Elena MATULIONIENĖ. Spalva Mažosios Lietuvos liaudies mene.....	100

Sándor FÖLDVÁRI

MODUS OBLIQUUS IN THE BALTIC LANGUAGE UNION (A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE IN THE FIELD)

The author of the paper presumes that the participants of the conference, as well as the readers of the printed version, are familiar with the subject, i.e. the phenomenon of *modus obliquus* or *modus relativus*. There is no room for a more detailed introduction to the subject, yet we can refer to the excellent studies in the field, such as AMBRAZAS, 1970, on the Indo-European aspect, and IKOLA, 1953, on the Finno-Ugric aspect of the issue. We shall try to summarise the results of the earlier works and to discuss the issue of the Baltic language union. Since the *modus obliquus* (MO) exists only in Estonian and Livonian, but no other Baltic Finnic language, and, on the other hand, since the MO is more developed in Latvian than in Lithuanian, it seems to be logical to say that the MO is a result of areal contacts, and that the MO is a very special characteristics of the Baltic language union.

However, the existence of the Baltic language union is a questionable subject. We are not going to argue with the opponents of language unions. Due to the development of the areal linguistics over the period of the last 50 years, it is already impossible to disagree with areal models. So we do not attempt to raise a question whether a Baltic language union (BLU) exists or not. Our question is: which languages belong to the Baltic language union and where are the boundaries of the said union? Most references of the areal linguistics state that Roman Jakobson established a large BLU from Norway around the Baltic Sea down to South Lithuanian and North Kashubian regions. (JAKOBSON, 1931b=JAKOBSON, 1971, 144-201). After half a century, Gyula Décsy classified the languages of Europe and the languages of the large Jakobson model as belonging to three language unions: the Viking group, the Peipus group and the Rokitno group (DÉCSY, 1973). Even though his classification was severely criticised by HAARMANN, 1976, and others, the areal classifications are becoming more and more precise, as the authors take into account not only phonological, but also morphosyntactical isoglosses. Different classifications may be easily regarded as "personal" models of the author. JAKOBSON, 1931 a, b and TROUBETZKOY, 1928, based the modern school of phonology, that was why they established their areal models on the basis of phonological phenomena. Lately, the analysis of morphosyntactic common characteristics generated monographs on the subject, such as STOLZ, 1991, that pay more attention to the morphological isoglosses than to the phonological ones.

It is worth mentioning that ARUMAA, 1935, analysed common morphosyntactical characteristics of Estonian, Livonian and Lithuanian already in Jacobson's times, and his conclusion was almost identical with the closing words of AMBRAZAS, 1970 and 1990. Cf.: "Ei ole vist kahtlust, et neis Eesti piirile lahedais murdeis voime tuleviku vormi puudumist seletada eesti murrete hilisema mojuga. Uhtlasi toendab see juhtum aga, et modus relativus'e arengus lati ja eesti-liivi keele vahel ka vanemal ajajärgul uhised kokkupuuted olid tainesti voimalukud." (ARUMAA, 1935, 136).

The forms of the MO were described already in Ferdinand Johann Wiedemann's Grammar of Estonian as *modus relativus* (WIEDEMANN, 1835, 473-481). The first who compared the MO in Baltic Finnic languages, as well as the MO in literary forms and dialects, was AIRILA, M. 1933, but his work was subjected to criticism because of inaccurate translation of Estonian examples to Finnish. MAGISTE, 1934, criticised AIRILA also for ignoring reviews of contemporary grammars, such as, e.g., Kettunen's work. Negligent translation doesn't seem to be such a grave mistake, when we take into consideration the fact of AIRILA paying attention to dialects. As indicated in the preface, most of grammars of that time considered the MO only in the literary language. There are grammars at the present time, too, that consider only the literary forms of the MO, such as PUSZTAY, 1995. On the

other hand, Pusztay's grammar is a very precise work. The German influence should be regarded not only on the literary MO, but on the dialectal MO versions, too. The above quoted IKOLA, 1953, gives a more correct analysis of the MO in dialects than AIRILA, 1933. But the research of phonological contacts is far more developed than the studies of common features on the morphological and syntactical level. (VABA, 1997). We are fortunate to have a recent publication of PAJUSALU, 1996, which is on the level of the modern general linguistics and dialectology. The MO is given a short but correct analysis there as well.

As for the references to the Latvian MO, attention should be paid to DRĀVINS^v - RUKE, 1958, in the dialectological aspect, and a remarkable statistical analysis of EICHE, 1983. However, the statistics of a few literary texts does not give an absolutely correct picture of the MO in dialects. It is a fact that language interference between Livonian and Latvian were a good basis for developing the MO, but on the other hand, the MO is a result of language interference between the common Baltic and common Baltic- Fennic languages. It should not be forgotten that the MO was absent in Old Prussian. So interference could have appeared only at the later stage of the contacts between the Indo-European and Finnish languages.

The next question is the analysis of the MO in the typological aspect. The language typology has been developing fast over the last years. It is already impossible to agree with Christian STANG'S opinion expressed in his book "Baltic and Slavic Verb" that the MO is not a "real" verb modus because it does not have a morphological paradigm. This point of view is very old and should not be applied in the contemporary language typology. In the Papua or partly the Bantu languages we shall find verb systems absolutely different from Classical European, Latin and Greek forms. However, in Lithuanian, a very ancient Indo-European language, there is a special verb mode, i.e. the MO in Lithuania is expressed by analytical, but not synthetic morphological tools. So the time has come to apply the results of the contemporary language typology for discussing special features of the Baltic Language Area. The subject of the special Baltic verb forms from the point of view of language typology will be addressed by the author in his next paper to be presented in the 9th congress of Finno-Ugristics in Tartu next year.

The author would like to express gratitude to the Division "Science in Education" (Tudomány az oktatásban) of the Foundation "Pro Renovanda Cultura Hungariae", Budapest, Hungary, for supporting the author's research in the field in 1996-1997, as well as to the Conference Programme of the Hungarian Soros Foundation for supporting the author's research trip and participation in the conference in Estonia, the University of Tartu, in the Programme No 247/ 1/ 6992 - 1998.

References

- AIRILA, M. 1933. *Viron kielen oratio obliqua'sta*. Helsinki: Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura, (= Vähässä Kirjelmiä, LXXXVI. = Separatum: Suomi V, 17.)
- ALVRE, P[aul] 1984-85. *Eesti ja liivi keeleaines Henriku Liivimaa kroonikas*.
- I. *Laused ja üksiksõnad*. KK 27, 1984, 6, 335-342.
 - II. *Isikunimed*. KK 27, 1984, 9, 538-543.
 - III. *Kohanimed*. KK 28, 1985, 1, 32-36; KK 28, 1985, 2, 96-105.
- AMBRAZAS, Vytautas 1970. *Dėl lietuvių kalbos netiesioginės nuosakos (modus relativus)*. In DB 6-13.
- AMBRAZAS, Vytautas 1990. *Vergleichende Syntax der baltischen Partizipien. Baltų kalbių dalyvių lyginamoji sintaksė*. АМБРАЗАС, Витаутас: Сравнительный синтаксис причастий балтийских языков. Vilnius: Mokslas, ISBN 5-420-00338-4
- ARISTE, Paul 1981. *Keelekontaktid: Eesti keele kontakte teiste keeltega*. Tallinn: Valgus, (Eemakeele Seltsi Toimetused, 14.) ISBN missing.

- ARUMAŁ, P[eeter] 1935. *Eesti-liivi ja läti ühisest fraseoloogiast ning süntaksist*. EK 14, 124-136.
- BLINKENĀ, Aina (Ed.), 1987. vide: LVKP
- CIFU4 — *Congressus Quartus Internationalis Fennō-Ugristarum* 1975. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó, 1981.
- DB — *Donum Balticum: To Professor Christian S. Stang on the occasion of his seventieth birthday 15 March 1970*. Edited by Velta RUKE-DRAVINA. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell. ISBN absent.
- DÉCSY, Gyula 1973. *Die linguistische Struktur Europas: Vergangenheit — Gegenwart — Zukunft*. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz
- DRĀVINS, Kārlis — RUKE, Velta, 1958. *Verbalformen und indeklinierbare Redeteile der Mundart von Stenden: Verben, Adverbien, Präpositionen und Präfixe, Partikeln, Konjunktionen*. Lund: Slaviske Institutionen vid Lunds Universitet. (Slavisc och Baltiska Studier, 4.)
- EICHE, Aleksandra, 1983. *Latvian Declinable and Indeclinable Participles. Their Syntactic Function, Frequency and Modality: A Synchronic Study based on Latvian Fiction of the 1960s and 1970s*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell. (Acta Universitatis Stockholmiensis: Stockholm Studies in Baltic Languages, 1.)
- EK — *Eesti Keel: Akadeemilise Emakeele Seltsi Ajakiri*, Tartu: Akadeemilise Emakeele Seltsi kirjastus, 1921-
- Endzelin-Festschrift — *Rakstu Krājums: Veltijums akadēmīkim profesoram Dr. Jānim Endzelīnam vina 85 dzīves un 65 darba gadu atcerei*. Сборник статей посвященный академику профессору доктору Яну Эндзелину в связи с 85-летием со дня рождения и 65-летием научной деятельности. *Festschrift dem Akademiker Professor Dr. J. Endzelin zu Seinem 85 Geburtstag und zu ehren Seiner 65-jährlichen wissenschaftlichen Tätigkeit gewidmet*. Rīgā: Latvijas PSR Zinātnu Akadēmijas Izdevniecība.
- FÖLDVÁRI Sándor, 1996. *A Baltikum nyelveinek areális (és tipológiai) kapcsolatairól*. ['About The Areal and Typological Connections Between The Languages of Baltic Region'] In: SZÉKELY Gábor — Cs. JÓNÁS Erzsébet [Eds.], *VI. Országos Alkalmazott Nyelvészeti Konferencia: Nyelvek és nyelvoktatás a Kárpát-medencében*. Nyíregyháza: Bessenyei György könyvkiadó, II. 193-198. ISBN 963-7170-86-3
- FÖLDVÁRI Sándor, 1997. *A Baltikum és Európa: Balti-skandináv interferenciák*. ['Baltic Region and Europe: Baltic and Scandinavian Interferences'] In POLYÁK Ildikó [Ed.], *Hetédik Országos Nyelvészeti Konferencia 1997. április 3-5.* [Budapest]: Külkereskedelmi Főiskola, 125-127. ISBN: missing. (NB. There are numerous Estonian and Danish words were written correctly by the author in the manuscript, which ones were printed with full of mistakes due to the Redaction.)
- FÖLDVÁRI Sándor, 1998. *Наклонение »modus obliquus« в балтийском языковом союзе*. In: ZOLTÁN András [Ed.], *A Litván Nagysejedelemseg és a mai Közép- és Kelet-Európa nyelvei: analógiák és folytonosság*. — Языки в Великом Княжестве Литовском и странах современной Центральной и Восточной Европы: аналогии и преемственность — Мовы ў Вялікім Княстве Літоўскім і краінах сучаснай Цэнтральнай ў Ўсходняй Еўропы: аналогіі і пераемнасць — Jęziki w Wielkim Księstwie Litewskim i we Współczesnych Państwach Środkowej I Wschodniej Europy: analogie i Dziedzictwo. Budapest: ELTE BTK Keleti Szláv és Balti Filológiai Tanszéke, 1998. ISBN 963 463 205 X
- FU — *Fennō-Ugristica*. Edited by Ago KÜNNAP, Tartu: University of Tartu, Division ov Uralic Languages. ISSN 1406-0825
- HAARMANN, Harald, 1976. *Aspekte der Arealtypologie: Die Problematik der europäischen Sprachbünde*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr. (Tübinger Beiträge zur Linguistik, 72.)
- IKOLA, Osmo, 1953. *Viron ja liivin modus obliquusen histooria*. Helsinki: Suomen Kirjallisuuden Seura (Suomi 106, 4.)
- JAKOBSON, Roman, 1931a. *Über die phonologischen Sprachbünde*. = JAKOBSON, 1971, 137-143.
- JAKOBSON, Roman, 1931b. РОМАН ЯКОБСОН, К характеристике евроазийского языкового союза. = JAKOBSON, 1971, 144-201.
- JAKOBSON, Roman, 1971. *Selected Writings. Vol. 1. Phonological Studies*. 's-Gravenhage: Mouton.
- KAGAINE, Elga, 1987. *No Baltijās somu valodām aizgutie runas verbi Ziemedā vidzemes izloksnes*. In BLINKENĀ (Ed.), 1987, 149-162.
- KK — *Keel ja Kirjamälestus: Eesti NSV Teaduste Akadeemia ja Eesti NSV Kirjanike Liidu ajakiri*. Tallinn:

- Kirjastus „Perioodika“ 1957.
- КЛАСС, Biruté Mikolasovna, 1988. Сходные черты синтаксиса эстонского и литовского языков и их происхождение: Дисс. на соиск. уч. степени кандидата фил. наук. Tartu, 1988. (Tartu University Library Diss. Tart. 467116)
- KÜNNAP, Ago, 1996. Ursprache oder Sprachbund? FU 20, 73-76.
- LITVINOV, W. P., 1989. Der Modus Relativus baltischer Sprachen aus typologischer Sicht. *Baltistica*, 25, 1989, 2, 146-155.
- LVKP — BLINKEŅA, Aina (Ed.), 1987. *Latviešu valodas kontaktu pētījumi*. Rīgā: Zinātne, 1987.
- M[Ä]G[ISTE], Julius, 1934. *Viron kielen oratio obliqua'sta*. Kirjoittanut M. Airila. Helsinki, 1933. 58 lk. (Vähässä Kirjelmiä, LXXXVI, äraträkk Suomi V, 17. osast) EK 13, 58-61.
- PAJUSALU, Karl, 1996. *Multiple Linguistic Contacts in South Estonian: Variation of Verb Inflection in Karksi*. Turku (Turun Yliopiston suomalaisen ja yleisen kielitieteen laitoksen julkaisuja: Publications of the Department of Finnish and General linguistics of the University of Turku, 54.)
- PISANI, V[ittore], 1959. Zu einer baltisch-estfinnischen Partizipalkonstruktion. In: Endzelin-Festschrift, 215-217.
- PUSZTAY János, 1995. *Könyv az észt nyelvről*. ['A Book about The Estonian.] Szombathely: Savaria University Press, (Folia Estonica 3.) ISSN 12215-1160
- RAKE, Sylvia Karlovna, 1981. Zum Einfluß der Estnischen und Livischen auf die Lettischen Mundarten. CIFU4 Pars III. 423-426.
- RUKE-DRAVINA 1970. vide: DB
- SCHMID, Wolfgang P., 1988. Noch einmal zur Frage eines westfinnischen Substrats in Litauen. Zeitschrift für Ostforschung, 37, 2.
- SIEVERS, Fanny de, 1962. *Le coup de glotte en letton et en live*. Celi: Rakstu krājums, Lundā [Lund]: Lingvistika Literaturas Zinātne, Folkloras Etnografija Vēsture, 12. 51-56.
- SIEVERS, Fanny de, 1967. Une distinction grammaticale sauvee par l'emprunt: Une préposition lettone au service du système casuel live. La Linguistique, — 1. 81-96.
- STANG, Christian S., 1966. *Vergleichende Grammatik der baltischen Sprachen*. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
- STOLZ, Thomas, 1977. *Schprachbund im Baltikum? Estnisch und Lettisch im Zentrum einer sprachlichen Konvergenzlandschaft*. Bochum: Universitätsverlag Dr. N. Brockmeyer (BORETZKY, Norbert — EUNIGER, Werner — STOLZ, Thomas [Eds.], Bohum-Essener Beiträge zur Schprachwandelforschung, 13.)
- SUHONEN, Seppo, 1973. *Die junge lettischen Lehnwörter im Livischen*. Diss. Helsinki (Mémoires de la Soc. finno-ougrienne, 151. Sonderabdruck.)
- TRUBETZKOY, Nikolas, 1928. Proposition 16. In *Actes du premier congrès international de linguists à La Haye, du 10-15 avril 1928*. Leiden: A.W. Sijthoff, 1928. 17-18.
- VABA, Lembit, 1997. *Uurimusi läti-eesti keelesuhetast*. Tallinn—Tampere: Eesti Keele Instituut, Tamperen Yliopiston Suomen Kielen ja Yleisen Kielitieseen Laitos, ISBN —9985-851-52-8
- VIITSO, Tiit-Rein, 1997. *Keelesugus ja soome-ugri keelepuu*. Akadeemia, 9, 3, 899-929.
- WIEDEMANN, Ferdinand Johann, 1875. *Grammatik der Estnischen Sprache, zunächst wie sie in Mittelestland gesprochen wird, mit Berücksichtigung der anderen Dialekte*, St.-Pétersbourg: l'Académie Imperiale des sciences