

# On the Existence of Almost Periodic Solutions of Neutral Functional Differential Equations

JIANHUA XU<sup>1),2)</sup>, ZHICHENG WANG<sup>1)</sup> AND ZUXIU ZHENG<sup>2)</sup>

**Abstract.** This paper discuss the existence of almost periodic solutions of neutral functional differential equations. Using a Liapunov function and the Razumikhin's technique, we obtain the existence, uniqueness and stability of almost periodic solutions.

**Key words:** Almost periodic solution; Neutral functional differential equation; Liapunov function.

1991 AMS Classification: 34K15

In the theory of functional differential equations, the existence, uniqueness and stability of almost periodic solutions is an important subject. Hale[1], Yoshizawa[2] and Yuan[3,4] et al, have provided some existence results for certain kind of retarded functional differential equations by means of Liapunov functions. The focus of our present work is to establish the existence of almost periodic solutions of neutral functional differential equations by using the Razumikhin-type argument. The problem of uniqueness and stability of the solution is also addressed. As a corollary to our results, the corresponding theorem of Yuan[4] is included and the proof in [4] is also simplified.

Consider the following almost periodic neutral functional differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} Dx_t = f(t, x_t)$$

and its product systems

$$(1^*) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} Dx_t = f(t, x_t) \\ \frac{d}{dt} Dy_t = f(t, y_t) \end{cases}$$

---

This project was supported by NNSF of China

1). Dept. of Appl. Math., Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P. R. China

2). Dept. of Math., Anhui University, Hefei 230039, P. R. China

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

EJQTDE, 1998 No. 4, p.1

where  $D : C \rightarrow R^n$  is linear, autonomous and atomic at zero (see Hale [9]),  $C := C([- \tau, 0], R^n)$ ,  $f : R \times C \rightarrow R^n$  is continuous and local Lipschitzian with respect to  $\phi \in C$ . Namely, for any  $H > 0$ , there is  $K_0 = K_0(H) > 0$  such that for  $\phi, \psi \in C_H$ ,

$$|f(t, \phi) - f(t, \psi)| \leq K_0 |\phi - \psi|,$$

where  $C_H := \{\phi \in C : |\phi| \leq H\}$ .

Under the above hypotheses, there is a unique solution  $x(t) = x(\sigma, \phi)(t)$  of Eq. (1) through a given initial value  $(\sigma, \phi) \in R \times C_{H^*}$  (see [9]).

In addition, we always suppose that  $f : R \times C_{H^*} \rightarrow R^n$  is almost periodic in  $t$  uniformly for  $\phi \in C_{H^*}$  (see [8]).

**Definition.** Let  $C_D = \{\phi \in C : D\phi = 0\}$ .  $D$  is said to be stable if the zero solution of the homogeneous difference equation  $Dy_t = 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $y_0 = \psi \in C_D$  is uniformly asymptotically stable.

It is shown (see [9]) that when  $D$  is linear autonomous and atomic at zero,  $D$  is stable if and only if  $D$  is uniformly stable. Namely, there are two constant  $a, b > 0$  such that for any  $h \in C(R^+, R^n)$ , the solutions of the equation

$$Dy_t = h(t), \quad t \geq \sigma$$

satisfies

$$(2) \quad |y_t| \leq be^{-a(t-\sigma)}|y_\sigma| + b \sup_{\sigma \leq u \leq t} |h(u)|, \quad t \geq \sigma.$$

Suppose that  $V : R^+ \times R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^+$  is continuous. For any  $\phi, \psi \in C$ , we define the derivative of  $V$  along the solution of (1\*) by

$$\dot{V}_{(1^*)}(t, \phi, \psi) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} [V(t+h, Dx_{t+h}(t, \phi), Dy_{t+h}(t, \psi)) - V(t, D\phi, D\psi)].$$

Similar to the proof in [8, p.207], we can obtain

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $p : R \rightarrow R$  is the unique almost periodic solution of (1) with  $p_t \in C_H$  for  $t \in R$ . Then  $\text{mod}(p) \subset \text{mod}(f)$ .

**Lemma 2**<sup>[3]</sup>. Suppose  $D$  is stable, and Eq.(1) has a solution  $\xi : R \rightarrow R$  with  $|\xi_t| \leq H < H^*$  for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $\xi$  is an asymptotically almost periodic function, then Eq.(1) has an almost periodic solution.

In what follows, we assume  $D$  is a stable operator,  $\|D\| = K$ . Let  $0 \leq u(s) \leq v(s)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , be continuous and nondecreasing functions,  $u(s) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $v(0) = 0$ , and suppose that there is a continuous function  $\alpha : R^+ \rightarrow R$  satisfying  $v(K\eta) \leq u(\alpha(\eta))$ . Let  $\beta(\eta)$  be an arbitrary function of  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\beta(\eta) > b\alpha(\eta)$  for  $\eta > 0$  ( where  $b > 0$  is defined in inequality (2) ). Also assume  $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$ . The main result of this work is as follows:

**Theorem.** Suppose  $f(t, \phi)$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  uniformly for  $\phi \in C_{H^*}$ . If there exists a Liapunov function  $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

- (i).  $u(|x - y|) \leq V(t, x, y) \leq v(|x - y|)$  for  $(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- (ii).  $|V(t, x_1, y_1) - V(t, x_2, y_2)| \leq L(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|)$ , where  $L > 0$ ,  $(t, x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \times \Omega$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < H^*\}$ ;
- (iii). For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi, \psi \in C_{H^*}$  with  $F(V(t, D\phi, D\psi)) \geq V(t + \theta, \phi(\theta), \psi(\theta))$  for  $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$ , we have

$$\dot{V}_{(1^*)}(t, \phi, \psi) \leq -\omega(|D\phi - D\psi|),$$

where  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous and nondecreasing such that  $F(v(K\eta)) > v(\beta(\eta))$ ,  $\eta > 0$ .

Moreover, Assume that Eq. (1) has a bounded solution  $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\xi_t| \leq H < H^*$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Then Eq. (1) has a unique almost periodic solution  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $|p(t)| \leq H$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{mod}(p) \subset \text{mod}(f)$ , and  $p$  is uniformly asymptotically stable.

We first prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** Assume all conditions of the Theorem are satisfied. If a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is given so that  $f(t + \alpha_n, \phi)$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times C_H$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $k_0(\varepsilon)$ , such that for  $m \geq k \geq k_0$ ,

$$A_{m,k}(t) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} |V(t+h, D\xi_{t+h}, D\xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k+h}) - V(t+h, Dx_{t+h}(t, \xi_t), Dy_{t+h}(t, \xi_t + \alpha_m - \alpha_k))| \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $m \geq k \geq k_0$ , we have

$$|f(t + \alpha_k, \phi) - f(t + \alpha_m, \phi)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2L}, \quad (t, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times C_H.$$

By condition (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m,k}(t) &\leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L}{h} (|D\xi_{t+h} - Dx_{t+h}(t, \xi_t)| \\ &\quad + |D\xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k+h} - Dy_{t+h}(t, \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k})|) \\ (3) \quad &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L}{h} |D(\xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k+h} - y_{t+h}(t, \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}))|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\eta_s := \xi_{s+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds} D\eta_s = f(s + \alpha_m - \alpha_k, \eta_s), \\ \eta_t = \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}, \quad s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Let  $B_{m,k}(t) = \max_{t \leq s \leq t+h} |D(\eta_s - y_s)|$ , where  $y_s := y_s(t, \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k})$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{m,k} &\leq \max_{t \leq s \leq t+h} \int_t^s |f(s + \alpha_m - \alpha_k, \eta_s) - f(s, y_s)| ds \\ &= \int_t^{t+h} |f(s + \alpha_m - \alpha_k, \eta_s) - f(s, y_s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+h} |f(s + \alpha_m - \alpha_k, \eta_s) - f(s, \eta_s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} |f(s, \eta_s) - f(s, y_s)| ds \\ &\leq h \frac{\varepsilon}{2L} + K_0 \int_t^{t+h} |\eta_s - y_s| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_s - y_s| &\leq b \sup_{t \leq u \leq s} |D(\eta_u - y_u)| \\ &\leq b B_{m,k}(t), \quad t \leq s \leq t+h. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad B_{m,k}(t) \leq h \frac{\varepsilon}{2L} + K_0 b h B_{m,k}(t).$$

Let  $h > 0$  be sufficiently small such that  $K_0 b h < 1/2$ . By (4) we get

$$B_{m,k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon h}{2L(1 - K_0 b h)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{L} h.$$

Then

$$|D(\xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k + h} - y_{t+h}(t, \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k}))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{L} h.$$

Form (3), it follows that

$$A_{m,k}(t) \leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L \varepsilon h}{h L} = \varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 4.** Assume  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|y_{t_0}| \leq 2H$  and  $|Dy_t| \leq \alpha(\delta)$  ( $\delta > 0$ ) for  $t \geq t_0$ . Then there exists  $t_1 > t_0$ ,  $t_1 = t_1(\delta, t_0)$ , such that  $|y_t| \leq \beta(\delta)$  for  $t \geq t_1$ .

*Proof.* From inequality (2),

$$|y_t| \leq b e^{-a(t-t_0)} |y_{t_0}| + b \sup_{t_0 \leq u \leq t} |Dy_t| \leq 2H b e^{-a(t-t_0)} + b \alpha(\delta).$$

Choose

$$t_1 > t_0 + \frac{1}{a} \ln \frac{2Hb}{\beta(\delta) - b\alpha(\delta)},$$

then

$$|y_t| \leq 2Hb \frac{\beta(\delta) - b\alpha(\delta)}{2Hb} + b\alpha(\delta) = \beta(\delta) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

This complete the proof of Lemma 4.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $S = Cl\{\xi_t : t \geq 0\}$ . It is easy to see that  $S$  is a compact set in  $C$  (see, for example, [4]). Let  $\alpha' = \{\alpha'_n\}$ ,  $\alpha'_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , be a given sequence. Since  $f(t, \phi)$  is almost periodic in  $t$  uniformly for  $\phi \in C_{H^*}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \alpha'$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t + \alpha_n, \phi)$  exists uniformly on  $R \times S$ . Also we can suppose that  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is increasing.

From the condition  $F(v(K\eta)) > v(\beta(\eta))$ ,  $\eta > 0$ , we know that there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ ,  $z_0 = 2H$  such that

$$F(v(Kz_n)) = v(\beta(z_{n-1})), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Obviously,  $z_n$  is decreasing and tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may assume  $\varepsilon < \beta(2H)$ , and select a  $N$  such that  $\beta(z_N) < \varepsilon$ . In the following, we prove that there exists  $l_0 = l_0(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$(5) \quad |\xi(t + \alpha_k) - \xi(t + \alpha_m)| < \varepsilon,$$

for  $m \geq k \geq l_0$  and  $t \in R^+$ . Let

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{kz_N \leq s \leq 2HK} \omega(s) > 0.$$

First, we prove that there is a  $T_1 > 0$  such that

$$(6) \quad V(t) := V(t, D\xi_t, D\xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}) \leq v(kz_1),$$

for  $t \geq T_1 + v(2HK)/\gamma$  and  $m \geq k \geq k_0(\gamma)$ . From

$$\begin{aligned} u(|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k})|) &\leq V(t) \leq v(|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k})|) \\ &\leq v(2HK) \leq u(\alpha(2H)), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce

$$|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k})| \leq \alpha(2H), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Applying Lemma 4, there is a  $T_1 \geq 0$  such that

$$(7) \quad |\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}| \leq \beta(2H), \quad t \geq T_1.$$

We now consider the following two cases:

Case 1.  $V(t) > v(Kz_1)$  for  $T_1 \leq t \leq T_1 + v(2HK)/\gamma$ . In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(V(t)) &\geq F(v(Kz_1)) = v(\beta(2H)) \\ &\geq v(|\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k}|) \\ &\geq V(t + \theta, \xi(t + \theta), \xi(t + \alpha_m - \alpha_k + \theta)), \quad -\tau \leq \theta \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\dot{V}_{(1^*)}(t) \leq -\omega(|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k})|).$$

Since

$$v(|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k})|) \geq V(t) > v(Kz_1),$$

we obtain

$$|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k})| \geq Kz_1 \geq Kz_N.$$

Moreover,

$$|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k})| \leq 2KH.$$

Then

$$\dot{V}_{(1^*)}(t) \leq -2\gamma.$$

Applying Lemma 3 with  $m \geq k \geq k_0(\gamma_0)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t+h) - V(t)] \\ &\leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t+h) - V(t+h, Dx_{t+h}(t, \xi_t), Dy_{t+h}(t, \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k}))] \\ &\quad + \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t+h, Dx_{t+h}(t, \xi_t), Dy_{t+h}(t, \xi_{t+\alpha_m - \alpha_k})) - V(t)] \\ &\leq \gamma - 2\gamma = -\gamma \quad \text{for } T_1 \leq t \leq T_1 + \frac{v(2HK)}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$V(t) \leq V(T_1) - \gamma(t - T_1) \quad \text{for } T_1 \leq t \leq T_1 + \frac{v(2HK)}{\gamma},$$

which yields

$$V(T_1 + \frac{v(2HK)}{\gamma}) \leq v(2HK) - \gamma(T_1 + \frac{v(2HK)}{\gamma} - T_1) = 0.$$

This contradicts  $V(t) > v(Kz_1)$ .

Case 2. There is a  $t_1 \in [T_1, T_1 + v(2HK)/\gamma]$  such that  $V(t_1) \leq v(Kz_1)$ . In this case, we can suppose that there is  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $V(t_2) = v(Kz_1)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F(V(t_2)) &= F(v(Kz_1)) = v(\beta(2H)) \\ &\geq v(|\xi_{t_2} - \xi_{t_2+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}|) \\ &\geq V(t_2 + \theta, \xi(t_2 + \theta), \xi(t_2 + \alpha_m - \alpha_k + \theta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0$ . Thus, condition(iii) implies

$$\dot{V}_{(1^*)}(t_2) \leq -\omega(|D\xi_{t_2} - \xi_{t_2+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}|).$$

An argument similar to Case 1 leads to

$$V'(t_2) \leq -\gamma < 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq k \geq k_0(\gamma).$$

Consequently, in both Case 1 and Case 2, (6) turns to be true.

By the same reasoning as above, we obtain that if

$$V(t) \leq v(Kz_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_j + \frac{v(2HK)}{\gamma},$$

then there exists  $T_{j+1} > T_j + v(2HK)/\gamma$  such that

$$V(t) \leq v(Kz_{j+1}) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_{j+1} + \frac{v(2HK)}{\gamma}.$$

Finally,

$$V(t) \leq v(Kz_N) \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_{N+1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$u(|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k})|) \leq V(t) \leq v(Kz_N) \leq u(\alpha(z_N)).$$

Therefore,

$$|D(\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k})| \leq \alpha(z_N).$$

Applying Lemma 4, there is a  $T^* > T_{N+1}$  such that

$$|\xi_t - \xi_{t+\alpha_m-\alpha_k}| \leq \beta(z_N) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \geq T^*.$$

Then,

$$(8) \quad |\xi(t) - \xi(t + \alpha_m - \alpha_k)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all  $t \geq T^*$ ,  $m \geq k \geq k_0$ . We can select  $l_0 \geq k_0$  such that  $a_{l_0} \geq T^*$ . Therefore, (8) implies

$$|\xi(t + \alpha_k) - \xi(t + \alpha_m)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, m \geq k \geq l_0.$$

Thus,  $\xi(t)$  is an asymptotically almost periodic solution of Eq.(1). Applying Lemma 2, Eq.(1) has an almost periodic solution  $p$  with  $p(t) \in C_H$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Similarly to the proof above, we can obtain that  $p$  is quasi-uniformly asymptotically stable. At last, we prove that  $p$  is uniformly stable. For any  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\delta_1 > 0$  so that  $\beta(\delta_1) < \varepsilon$ . Denote

$$\delta := \frac{1}{b}(\beta(\delta_1) - b\alpha(\delta_1)) > 0.$$

We will prove that when  $|\phi - p_{t_0}| < \delta$ , we have

$$V(t_1, Dx_{t_1}, Dp_{t_1}) \leq v(K\delta_1), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where  $x(t) := x(t_0, \phi)(t)$ . Suppose that there is a  $t_1 > t_0$ , such that

$$V(t, Dx_{t_1}, Dp_{t_1}) = v(K\delta_1)$$

and

$$V(t, Dx_t, Dp_t) \leq v(K\delta_1) \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t \leq t_1.$$

Then,

$$u(|D(x_t - p_t)|) \leq V(t, Dx_t, Dp_t) \leq v(K\delta_1) \leq u(\alpha(\delta_1)), t_0 \leq t \leq t_1.$$

Therefore,  $|D(x_t - p_t)| \leq \alpha(\delta_1)$ . From inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{t_1} - p_{t_1}| &\leq be^{-a(t_1-t_0)}|\phi - p_{t_0}| + b \sup_{t_0 \leq u \leq t_1} |D(x_u - p_u)| \\ &\leq b\delta + b\alpha(\delta_1) = \beta(\delta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} F(V(t_1, Dx_{t_1}, Dp_{t_1})) &= F(v(K\delta_1)) > v(\beta(\delta_1)) \geq v(|x_{t_1} - p_{t_1}|) \\ &\geq V(t_1 + \theta, x(t_1 + \theta), p(t_1 + \theta)), \quad -\tau \leq \theta \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from condition (iii), we have

$$V'(t_1, x_{t_1}, p_{t_1}) \leq -\omega(|D(x_{t_1} - p_{t_1})|) \leq 0.$$

Thus,

$$V(t, Dx_t, Dp_t) \leq v(K\delta_1), \quad t \geq t_0,$$



which yields

$$u(|D(x_t - p_t)|) \leq v(K\delta_1) \leq u(\alpha(\delta_1)).$$

That is,

$$|D(x_t - p_t)| \leq \alpha(\delta_1), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

It follows from (2) that

$$|x_t - p_t| \leq \beta(\delta_1) < \varepsilon,$$

and this implies that  $p$  is uniformly stable. Since  $p$  is asymptotically stable, it follows that for any almost periodic solution  $\bar{p}(t)$  of Eq. (1),  $|\bar{p}(t)| < H$  for  $t \in R$ , we have

$$|p(t) - \bar{p}(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the almost periodicity, we obtain  $p(t) = \bar{p}(t)$  for all  $t \in R$ . This implies that Eq. (1) has only one almost periodic solution in  $C_H$ . And, from Lemma 2, we have  $\text{mod}(p) \subset \text{mod}(f)$ , completing the proof.

We conclude the paper with an example to illustrate the theorem.

**Example.** Consider the following equation

$$(9) \quad \frac{d}{dt}[x(t) - e^{-1}x(t-r)] = -x(t) + (p(t) - e^{-1}p(t-r))' + p(t),$$

where  $r = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \ln 2)$ ,  $p : R \rightarrow R$  is an almost periodic function such that  $p'$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ .

Let  $V(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ ,  $u(s) = v(s) = s^2$ ,  $F(s) = A^2s$ , where  $A > \frac{1}{(1-e^{-1})}$ ,  $\alpha(\eta) = (1 + e^{-1})\eta$ ,  $\beta(\eta) = \frac{e+1}{e-1}\eta$ , and  $\psi(t) = e^{-2t} + p(t)$  is a bounded solution of Eq.(9). Then it is easy to see that the conditions of the Theorem are satisfied, thus, Eq.(9) has a unique almost periodic solution  $x(t) = p(t)$ , which is uniformly asymptotically stable.

## REFERENCES

1. J. K. Hale, *Periodic and almost periodic solution of functional differential equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **15** (1964), 289-304.
2. T. Yoshizawa, *Stability Theory by Liapunov Second Method*, Math. Soc., Japan, Tokyo, 1966.
3. Rong Yuan, *Existence of almost periodic solutions of functional differential equations of neutral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **165(2)** (1992), 524-538.
4. R. Yuan, *Existence of almost periodic solutions of functional differential equations*, Ann. Diff. Eqns. **7(2)** (1991), 234-242.
5. Zhicheng Wang and Xiangzheng Qian, *The method of Liapunov functional for functional differential equations*, Hunan Daxue Xuebao **6(3)** (1979), 15-24.
6. Zheng ZuXiu, *Functional Differential Equation*, Anhui Education Press, HeFei, 1994.
7. A. M. Fink, *Almost Periodic Differential Equations*, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 337, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
8. C. Y. He, *Almost Periodic Differential Equations*, Gaojiao Press, Beijing, 1992.
9. J.K.Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.