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#### Abstract

This paper focuses on the boundary layer phenomenon arising in the study of singularly perturbed differential equations. Our tools include the method of lower and upper solutions combined with analysis of the integral equation associated with the class of nonlinear equations under consideration.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to study the second-order semilinear singularly perturbed differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime}+k y=f(t, y), \quad t \in[a, b], \quad k<0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the three-point boundary value conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(a)=0, \quad y_{\epsilon}(b)=y_{\epsilon}(c), \quad a<c<b, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\epsilon$ is a small perturbation parameter $(0<\epsilon \ll 1)$.
In the past few years, much attention has been paid to the study of nonlocal boundary value problems, whose study for ordinary differential equations has been initiated by the work of Il'in and Moiseev [11, 12].

In particular, existence of solutions for differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+g(t) f(y(t))=0, \quad 0<t<1
$$

under one of the $m$-point boundary conditions

$$
y^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad y(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} y\left(\eta_{i}\right), \quad 0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{m-2}<1
$$

or

$$
y(0)=0, \quad y(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} y\left(\eta_{i}\right), \quad 0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{m-2}<1
$$

as an important subclass of nonlocal boundary conditions has been thoroughly studied by Gupta et al., see, for example, $[5,6,7,8,9]$. Eloe and Gao [3] discussed the quasilinearization method for a threepoint semilinear boundary value problem which provides an iterative scheme for approximating the solutions.

The subject of multi-point nonlocal boundary value problems for singularly perturbed differential equations has been also addressed by many authors, see e.g. [1, 2], and the references therein. For example, Du et al. [1] have studied a third-order multi-point singularly perturbed boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon y^{\prime \prime \prime}+f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \epsilon\right)=0,0 \leq t \leq 1,0<\epsilon \ll 1 \\
y_{\epsilon}(0)=0 \\
a y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(0)-b y_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_{i} y_{\epsilon}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=A \\
c y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(1)+d y_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \beta_{i} y_{\epsilon}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=B
\end{gathered}
$$

where $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{n-2}<1$ and $0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<$ $\eta_{n-2}<1$, applying differential inequalities technique (method of lower and upper solutions) and Leray-Schauder degree theory. This paper contains a large amount of material and can serve as an introduction to some of principles and methods of singular perturbation theory, not only for third-order nonlinear differential equations.

Singular perturbation problems can also arise in heat transfer problem with large Peclet numbers [14], Navier-Stokes flows with large Reynolds numbers, chemical reactor theory, aerodynamics, reactiondiffusion processes, quantum mechanics, optimal control [15], for example.

As far as we know, there is no paper related to the boundary layer analysis for nonlinear multi-point nonlocal singularly perturbed boundary value problems.

Let $D(u)$ denotes the set

$$
\{(t, y)|\quad a \leq t \leq b,|y-u(t)| \leq d(t)\}
$$

where $d(t)$ is the positive continuous function on the interval $[a, b]$ such that

$$
d(t)= \begin{cases}\delta & \text { for } a \leq t \leq b-\delta, \\ |u(b)-u(c)|+\delta & \text { for } b-\frac{\delta}{2} \leq t \leq b,\end{cases}
$$

where $\delta$ is a small positive constant.
Recently in [16], we have shown that for every $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small $\left(\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]\right)$ there is a unique solution $y_{\epsilon}$ of BVP (1), (2) such that $\left\{\left(t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right) \mid a \leq t \leq b\right\} \subset D(u)$ and $y_{\epsilon}$ converges uniformly to the solution $u$ of reduced problem $k u=f(t, u)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$on every compact subset $K \subset[a, b)$. Consequently, $y_{\epsilon}(b)=y_{\epsilon}(c) \rightarrow u(c)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

In the present paper, we focus our attention on the detailed analysis of the behavior of the solutions $y_{\epsilon}$ for (1), (2) in the point $t=b$ when a small parameter $\epsilon$ tends to zero. We show that the solutions $y_{\epsilon}$ of (1), (2) remain close to $u$ on $K$ with an arising fast transient of $y_{\epsilon}$ to $y_{\epsilon}(b)\left(\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)\right| \rightarrow \infty\right.$ for $u(b) \neq u(c)$ and $\left.\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)$, which is the so-called boundary layer phenomenon $([4,13])$. Boundary layers are formed due to the nonuniform convergence of the exact solution $y_{\epsilon}$ to the solution $u$ of reduced problem in the neighborhood of the right end $b$.

We will assume that the following conditions are satisfied throughout this paper:
(H1) The solution $u$ of a reduced problem $k u=f(t, u)$ is a $C^{3}$ function defined on the interval $[a, b]$.
(H2) $f(c, u(c)) \neq f(b, u(c))$
It is instructive for the future to keep in mind that this assumption implies that $u(c) \neq u(b)$ and $f\left(c, y_{\epsilon}(c)\right) \neq f\left(b, y_{\epsilon}(b)\right)$ for every sufficiently small $\epsilon$, say $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$.
(H3) $f \in C^{1}(D(u))$ and there exists a positive constant $w$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f(t, y)}{\partial y}\right| \leq w<-k \quad \text { for every } \quad(t, y) \in D(u)
$$

## Notation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1, \epsilon}(t)=k-\frac{\partial f\left(t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right)}{\partial y} \\
& g_{2, \epsilon}(t)=\frac{\partial f\left(t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right)}{\partial t} \\
& m=-k-w \\
& \gamma_{\epsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{m}\left|\epsilon u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+g_{1, \epsilon}(t) u^{\prime}(t)-g_{2, \epsilon}(t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) \geq 0$ and $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \gamma_{\epsilon}(t)=0$ for $t \in[a, b)$. Further, $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \gamma_{\epsilon}(b) \neq 0$ for $u^{\prime}(b) \neq \frac{\partial f(b, u(c))}{\partial t}\left(k-\frac{\partial f(b, u(c))}{\partial y}\right)^{-1}$. The equality $u(b)=u(c)$ implies $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \gamma_{\epsilon}(b)=0$.

## 2 Boundary layer phenomenon at $t=b$

For an illustrative example we consider (1), (2) with $f(t, y)=t^{2}$, $a=0, b=2, c=1$ and its solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{\epsilon}(t) & =-\frac{3}{k} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}}{\mathrm{e}^{4 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}-\mathrm{e}^{3 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}+1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}} t} \\
& -\frac{3}{k} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}}{\mathrm{e}^{4 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}-\mathrm{e}^{3 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}+1} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}} t}+\frac{t^{2}}{k}-\frac{2 \epsilon}{k^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

1. $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y_{\epsilon}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{f\left(t_{0}\right)}{k}=u\left(t_{0}\right)$ for every $t_{0} \in[0,2)$
2. $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y_{\epsilon}(2)=\frac{f(1)}{k}=u(1)$
3. $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(2)\right|=\infty$ (a boundary layer phenomenon).

We precede the main result of this article with the following important lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 Let the assumptions (H1) and (H3) hold. Let $\left[t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right] \subset$ $D(u)$ for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$ and $t \in[a, b]$ where $y_{\epsilon}$ is the solution of (1), (2). Then we have on $[a, b]$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq v_{L, \epsilon}(t)+v_{R, \epsilon}(t)+\gamma_{\epsilon, \max } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L, \epsilon}(t) & =\left|u^{\prime}(a)\right| \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\frac{m}{\epsilon}}}(a-t) \\
v_{R, \epsilon}(t) & =\left|u^{\prime}(b)-y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)\right| \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\frac{m}{\epsilon}}}(t-b) \\
\gamma_{\epsilon, \max } & =\max \left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}(t) ; t \in[a, b]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Differentiating (1) with respect to the variable $t$ we obtain for $y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}, \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$ linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon z^{\prime \prime}+g_{1, \epsilon}(t) z=g_{2, \epsilon}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\epsilon}(a)=0, z_{\epsilon}(b)=y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that $z_{\epsilon}=y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ is an unique solution of Dirichlet BVP (4), (5) for $y_{\epsilon}, \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$. Assume to the contrary, that $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are two solutions of (4), (5) for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right.$ ] fixed. Denote $Z(t)=Z_{1}(t)-Z_{2}(t)$. Then $Z$ is a solution of the homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon z^{\prime \prime}+g_{1, \epsilon}(t) z=0 \\
z_{\epsilon}(a)=0, \quad z_{\epsilon}(b)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus there is $t_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that $Z\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0, Z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $Z\left(t_{0}\right) Z^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ which contradicts to the assumption (H3). To prove Lemma 2.1 it is sufficient to show that for every $y_{\epsilon}, \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$ there is a solution $z_{\epsilon}$ of (4), (5) satisfying (3). We apply the method of lower and upper solutions ([10]). As usual, a function $\alpha_{\epsilon}$ is called a lower solution of the Dirichlet BVP (4), (5) if $\alpha_{\epsilon} \in C^{2}([a, b])$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)+g_{1, \epsilon}(t) \alpha_{\epsilon} \geq g_{2, \epsilon}(t)  \tag{6}\\
\alpha_{\epsilon}(a) \leq 0, \alpha_{\epsilon}(b) \leq y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)
\end{gather*}
$$

An upper solution $\beta_{\epsilon} \in C^{2}([a, b])$ of the problem (4), (5) is defined similarly by reversing the inequalities. If $\alpha_{\epsilon} \leq \beta_{\epsilon}$ on $[a, b]$ then there exists a solution $z_{\epsilon}$ with $\alpha_{\epsilon} \leq z_{\epsilon} \leq \beta_{\epsilon}$ on $[a, b]$.

Define

$$
\alpha_{\epsilon}(t)=u^{\prime}(t)-v_{L, \epsilon}(t)-v_{R, \epsilon}(t)-\gamma_{\epsilon, \max }
$$

and

$$
\beta_{\epsilon}(t)=u^{\prime}(t)+v_{L, \epsilon}(t)+v_{R, \epsilon}(t)+\gamma_{\epsilon, \max }
$$

It is easy to check that $\alpha_{\epsilon}(a) \leq 0 \leq \beta_{\epsilon}(a), \alpha_{\epsilon}(b) \leq y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b) \leq \beta_{\epsilon}(b)$ and $\alpha_{\epsilon}(t) \leq \beta_{\epsilon}(t)$ for $t \in[a, b]$. Now we show that the inequality (6) holds.

For $\beta_{\epsilon}$ we proceed analogously.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t) & +g_{1, \epsilon}(t) \alpha_{\epsilon}(t)-g_{2, \epsilon}(t) \\
& =\epsilon u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-\epsilon v_{L, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\epsilon v_{R, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& +g_{1, \epsilon}(t)\left(u^{\prime}(t)-v_{L, \epsilon}(t)-v_{R, \epsilon}(t)-\gamma_{\epsilon, \max }\right)-g_{2, \epsilon}(t) \\
& \geq \epsilon u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-\epsilon v_{L, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\epsilon v_{R, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& +g_{1, \epsilon}(t) u^{\prime}(t)+m v_{L, \epsilon}(t)+m v_{R, \epsilon}(t)+m \gamma_{\epsilon, \max }-g_{2, \epsilon}(t) \\
& =\epsilon u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+g_{1, \epsilon}(t) u^{\prime}(t)-g_{2, \epsilon}(t)+m \gamma_{\epsilon, \max } \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lemma 2.1 is proven.

Lemma 2.2 Let the assumptions (H1) and (H3) hold. Then the set

$$
\left\{\epsilon\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)\right| ; \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

is bounded.

Proof. By Lagrange's Theorem and from Diff. Eq. (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)-y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(a)\right| & =\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\tau_{\epsilon}\right)\right|(b-a)=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left|f\left(\tau_{\epsilon}, y_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{\epsilon}\right)\right)-k y_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{\epsilon}\right)\right|(b-a) \\
& \leq \frac{C_{\delta}^{*}}{\epsilon}(b-a)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{\epsilon} \in(a, b)$ and $C_{\delta}^{*}=\max \{|f(t, y)-k y| ;(t, y) \in D(u)\}$.
Hence $\epsilon\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq C_{\delta}^{*}(b-a)$ for $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$.

## 3 Main result

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 3.1 Under the assumptions (H1)-(H3) the problem (1), (2) has for every $\epsilon, \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$ the unique solution $y_{\epsilon}$ in $D(u)$ which converges uniformly to the solution $u$ of reduced problem for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$on an arbitrary compact subset $K$ of $[a, b)$ and the set

$$
\left\{\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| ; t \in[a, b], \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

is unbounded.
More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-k \epsilon}}\right) \quad \text { i. e. }\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)\right| \rightarrow \infty \text { for } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The existence, uniqueness in $D(u)$ and asymptotic behavior of the solutions for (1), (2) on the compact subset $K \subset[a, b)$ has been proven in [16]. It remains to prove (7), a boundary layer phenomenon at $t=b$.

Assume to the contrary that the set

$$
\left\{\left|y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| ; t \in[a, b], \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

is bounded. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|=\left|\frac{\partial f\left(t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right)}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f\left(t, y_{\epsilon}(t)\right)}{\partial y} y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq \tilde{C}_{\delta}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[a, b], \tilde{C}_{\delta}>0$ is constant. The problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the nonlinear integral equation

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{\epsilon}(t) & =\frac{I}{\Lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(t-a)}+\frac{I}{\Lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-t)} \\
& +\int_{a}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(t-s)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-t)}}{2 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}} \cdot \frac{f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} s, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{a}^{c} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-c)}}{2 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}} \cdot \frac{f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} s \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-s)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-b)}}{2 \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}} \cdot \frac{f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} s \\
\Lambda & =\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-a)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-b)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-a)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-c)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating the integral equation (9) with respect to the variable $t$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{I \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}{\Lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(t-a)}-\frac{I \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}{\Lambda} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-t) \\
& +\int_{a}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(t-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-t)}}{2} \cdot \frac{f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b) & =\frac{I \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}{\Lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-a)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-b)}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-b)}\right) \frac{f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} s . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating all integrals in (10) by parts and after little algebraic arrangement we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b) & =\frac{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}{k}\left[\left(f\left(c, y_{\epsilon}(c)\right)-f\left(b, y_{\epsilon}(b)\right)\right) \sigma_{\epsilon}\right. \\
& +\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}}{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-b)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{a}^{c}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-c)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left(-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-b)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\epsilon}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-a)}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-b)}}{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1^{+} \text {for } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into consideration (8), the integrals

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-b)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s, \quad \int_{a}^{c} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(s-c)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

are $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ by the mean value theorem for integrals.
Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b) & =\frac{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}{k}\left[\left(f\left(c, y_{\epsilon}(c)\right)-f\left(b, y_{\epsilon}(b)\right)\right) \sigma_{\epsilon}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{\epsilon}-1\right) \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-s)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\epsilon} \int_{a}^{c} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s+O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (11) we can write

$$
\sigma_{\epsilon}-1=\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-b)} \omega_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0^{+} \text {for } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

where
$\omega_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(b-a)}+\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a+b-2 c)}-2 \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-c)}\right) \rightarrow 1^{+}$for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

Thus from (12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{-k \epsilon}}\left[\left(f\left(c, y_{\epsilon}(c)\right)-f\left(b, y_{\epsilon}(b)\right)\right) \sigma_{\epsilon}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}-\sigma_{\epsilon}\right) \int_{a}^{c} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\epsilon} \int_{c}^{b} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s+O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral

$$
\int_{c}^{b} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}}(c-s) \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

is $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ by the analogous argument as above and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{c} \mathrm{e} \sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s}\right| \mathrm{d} s \leq(c-a) \tilde{C}_{\delta} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-a)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}-\sigma_{\epsilon}\right) \int_{a}^{c} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-s)} \frac{\mathrm{d} f\left(s, y_{\epsilon}(s)\right)}{\mathrm{d} s} \mathrm{~d} s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\epsilon}-\sigma_{\epsilon}\right)(c-a) \tilde{C}_{\delta} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(c-a)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(c-a) \tilde{C}_{\delta} \frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon} \frac{(b-c)}{2}}}-\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}} \frac{(c-b)}{2}}\right)^{2}=O\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{-\frac{k}{\epsilon}}(a-c)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(b)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-k \epsilon}}\left[\left(f\left(c, y_{\epsilon}(c)\right)-f\left(b, y_{\epsilon}(b)\right)\right) \sigma_{\epsilon}+O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives a contradiction. Combining Lemma 2.2 and (3) we obtain the uniform boundedness of $y_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ on every compact set $K \subset[a, b)$ and $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Remark 3.2 As we can see from (14) the assumption (H2) is essential for an appearance the boundary layer phenomenon for singularly perturbed system (1), (2) at the point $t=b$.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Professor Paul Eloe for his valuable comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this paper.

## References

[1] Z. Du, W. Ge and M. Zhou, Singular perturbations for thirdorder nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem, Journal of Differential Equations, 218 (1) (2005), pp. 69-90.
[2] Z. Du and L. Kong, Asymptotic solutions of singularly perturbed second-order differential equations and application to multi-point boundary value problems, Applied Mathematics Letters, 23 (9), (2010), pp. 980-983.
[3] P. W. Eloe and Y. Gao, The method of quasilinearization and a three-point boundary value problem, J. Korean Math. Soc., 39 (2002), pp. 319-330.
[4] M. Gopal, Modern Control System Theory. New Age International, New Delhi 1993.
[5] G. P. Gupta, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 168 (1992), pp. 540-551.
[6] C. P. Gupta, A generalized multi-point boundary value problem for second order ordinary differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 89 (1998), no. 1-3, pp. 133-146.
[7] C. P. Gupta, A second order m-point boundary value problem at resonance, Nonlinear Anal., 24 (1995), 1483-1489.
[8] C. P. Gupta, S. K. Ntouyas, and P. Ch. Tsamatos, On an mpoint boundary-value problem for second-order ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 23 (1994), no. 11, pp. 1427-1436.
[9] C. P. Gupta and S. I. Trofimchuk, Existence of a solution of a three-point boundary value problem and the spectral radius of a related linear operator, Nonlinear Anal., 34 (1998), no. 4, pp. 489-507.
[10] K. W. Chang and F. A. Howes, Nonlinear Singular perturbation phenomena: Theory and Applications. Springer-Verlag, New York 1984.
[11] V. A. Il'in and E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential
and finite difference aspects, Differ. Equ., 23 (1987), no. 7, pp. 803-810.
[12] V. A. Il'in and E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm Liouville operator, Differ. Equ., 23 (1987), pp. 979-987.
[13] P. Kokotovic, H. K. Khali and J. O'Reilly, Singular Perturbation Methods in Control, Analysis and Design. Academic Press, London 1986.
[14] A. Munch and E. Zuazua, Numerical approximation of null controls for the heat equation : Ill-posedness and remedies, Inverse Problems, Vol. 26 (8) (2010), Article Number: 085018.
[15] T. Nguyen and Z. Gajic, Finite horizon optimal control of singularly perturbed linear systems: A differential Lyapunov equation approach, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Vol. 55, (2010), pp. 2148-2152.
[16] R. Vrabel, Three point boundary value problem for singularly perturbed semilinear differential equations, E. J. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ., 70 (2009), pp. 1-4.
(Received February 1, 2011)


[^0]:    *Corresponding author, e-mail: robert.vrabel@stuba.sk

