

Existence of solutions for a class of fourth-order m -point boundary value problems*

Jian-Ping Sun, Qiu-Yan Ren

Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology,
Lanzhou, Gansu, 730050, People's Republic of China

E-mail: jpsun@lut.cn (Sun)

Abstract

Some existence criteria are established for a class of fourth-order m -point boundary value problem by using the upper and lower solution method and the Leray-Schauder continuation principle.

Keywords: Fourth-order m -point boundary value problem; Upper and lower solution method; Leray-Schauder continuation principle; Nagumo condition

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 34B10, 34B15

1 Introduction

Boundary value problems (BVPs for short) of fourth-order differential equations have been used to describe a large number of physical, biological and chemical phenomena. For example, the deformations of an elastic beam in the equilibrium state can be described as some fourth-order BVP. Recently, fourth-order BVPs have received much attention. For instance, [3, 5, 6, 7] discussed some fourth-order two-point BVPs, while [1, 2, 4, 9] studied some fourth-order three-point or four-point BVPs. It is worth mentioning that Ma, Zhang and Fu [7] employed the upper and lower solution method to prove the existence of solutions for the BVP

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u''(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and Bai [3] considered the existence of a solution for the BVP

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

by using the upper and lower solution method and Schauder's fixed point theorem.

Although there are many works on fourth-order two-point, three-point or four-point BVPs, a little work has been done for more general fourth-order m -point BVPs [8]. Motivated greatly by the

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10801068).

above-mentioned excellent works, in this paper, we will investigate the following fourth-order m -point BVP

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) + f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)) = 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\eta_i), & u'(1) = 0, \\ u''(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i u''(\eta_i), & u'''(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$, a_i and b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2$) are nonnegative constants and $f : [0, 1] \times R^4 \rightarrow R$ is continuous. Some existence criteria are established for the BVP (1.1) by using the upper and lower solution method and the Leray-Schauder continuation principle.

2 Preliminaries

Let $E = C[0, 1]$ be equipped with the norm $\|v\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |v(t)|$ and

$$K = \{v \in E \mid v(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then K is a cone in E and (E, K) is an ordered Banach space. For Banach space $X = C^1[0, 1]$, we use the norm $\|v\| = \max\{\|v\|_\infty, \|v'\|_\infty\}$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \neq 1$. Then for any $h \in E$, the second-order m -point BVP*

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) = h(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\eta_i), & u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) h(s) ds,$$

where

$$G_1(t, s) = K(t, s) + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i K(\eta_i, s),$$

here

$$K(t, s) = \begin{cases} s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is Green's function of the second-order two-point BVP

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) = 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If u is a solution of the BVP (2.1), then we may suppose that

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s)h(s)ds + At + B.$$

By the boundary conditions in (2.1), we know that

$$A = 0 \text{ and } B = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^1 K(\eta_i, s)h(s) ds.$$

Therefore, the unique solution of the BVP (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t, s)h(s)ds + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^1 K(\eta_i, s)h(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) h(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the remainder of this paper, we always assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i < 1$, which imply that $G_1(t, s)$ and $G_2(t, s)$ are nonnegative on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, where

$$G_2(t, s) = K(t, s) + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i K(\eta_i, s).$$

Now, we define operators A and $B : E \rightarrow E$ as follows:

$$(Av)(t) = - \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) v(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$(Bv)(t) = - \int_t^1 v(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.1 A and B are decreasing operators on E .

Lemma 2.2 *If the following BVP*

$$\begin{cases} v''(t) + f(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), v(t), v'(t)) = 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ v(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i v(\eta_i), \quad v'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

has a solution, then does the BVP (1.1).

Proof. Suppose that v is a solution of the BVP (2.4). Then it is easy to prove that $u = Av$ is a solution of the BVP (1.1). □

Definition 2.1 If $\alpha \in C^2 [0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \alpha''(t) + f(t, (A\alpha)(t), (B\alpha)(t), \alpha(t), \alpha'(t)) \geq 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ \alpha(0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i \alpha(\eta_i), & \alpha'(1) \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

then α is called a lower solution of the BVP (2.4).

Definition 2.2 If $\beta \in C^2 [0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \beta''(t) + f(t, (A\beta)(t), (B\beta)(t), \beta(t), \beta'(t)) \leq 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ \beta(0) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i \beta(\eta_i), & \beta'(1) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

then β is called an upper solution of the BVP (2.4).

Remark 2.2 If the inequality in Definition (2.1)

$$\alpha''(t) + f(t, (A\alpha)(t), (B\alpha)(t), \alpha(t), \alpha'(t)) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

is replaced by

$$\alpha''(t) + f(t, (A\alpha)(t), (B\alpha)(t), \alpha(t), \alpha'(t)) > 0, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

then α is called a strict lower solution of the BVP (2.4). Similarly, we can also give the definition of a strict upper solution for the BVP (2.4).

Definition 2.3 Assume that $f \in C([0, 1] \times R^4, R)$, $\alpha, \beta \in E$ and $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. We say that f satisfies Nagumo condition with respect to α and β provided that there exists a function $h \in C([0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ such that

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| \leq h(|x_4|),$$

for all $(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in [0, 1] \times [(A\beta)(t), (A\alpha)(t)] \times [(B\beta)(t), (B\alpha)(t)] \times [\alpha(t), \beta(t)] \times R$, and

$$\int_{\lambda}^{+\infty} \frac{s}{h(s)} ds > \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \beta(t) - \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \alpha(t), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\lambda = \max\{|\beta(1) - \alpha(0)|, |\beta(0) - \alpha(1)|\}$.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that α and β are, respectively, the lower and the upper solution of the BVP (2.4) with $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and f satisfies the Nagumo condition with respect to α and β . Then there exists $N > 0$ (depending only on α and β) such that any solution ω of the BVP (2.4) lying in $[\alpha, \beta]$ satisfies

$$|\omega'(t)| \leq N, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of λ and the mean-value theorem that there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|\omega'(t_0)| = |\omega(1) - \omega(0)| \leq \lambda. \quad (2.8)$$

By (2.7), we know that there exists $N > \lambda$ such that

$$\int_{\lambda}^N \frac{s}{h(s)} ds > \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \beta(t) - \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \alpha(t). \quad (2.9)$$

Now, we will prove that $|\omega'(t)| \leq N$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $t_1 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$|\omega'(t_1)| > N. \tag{2.10}$$

In view of (2.8) and (2.10), we know that there exist $t_2, t_3 \in (0, 1)$ with $t_2 < t_3$ such that one of the following cases holds:

Case 1. $\lambda < \omega'(t) < N$ for $t \in (t_2, t_3)$, $\omega'(t_2) = \lambda$ and $\omega'(t_3) = N$;

Case 2. $\lambda < \omega'(t) < N$ for $t \in (t_2, t_3)$, $\omega'(t_2) = N$ and $\omega'(t_3) = \lambda$;

Case 3. $-N < \omega'(t) < -\lambda$ for $t \in (t_2, t_3)$, $\omega'(t_2) = -N$ and $\omega'(t_3) = -\lambda$;

Case 4. $-N < \omega'(t) < -\lambda$ for $t \in (t_2, t_3)$, $\omega'(t_2) = -\lambda$ and $\omega'(t_3) = -N$.

Since the others is similar, we only consider Case 1. By the Nagumo condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega''(t)| \cdot \omega'(t) &= |f(t, (A\omega)(t), (B\omega)(t), \omega(t), \omega'(t))| \cdot \omega'(t) \\ &\leq h(|\omega'(t)|) \cdot \omega'(t), \quad t \in [t_2, t_3]. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\frac{|\omega''(t)| \cdot \omega'(t)}{h(\omega'(t))} \leq \omega'(t), \quad t \in [t_2, t_3],$$

and so,

$$\left| \int_{t_2}^{t_3} \frac{\omega''(t) \cdot \omega'(t)}{h(\omega'(t))} dt \right| \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_3} \left| \frac{\omega''(t) \cdot \omega'(t)}{h(\omega'(t))} \right| dt \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_3} \omega'(t) dt,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\lambda}^N \frac{s}{h(s)} ds \leq \omega(t_3) - \omega(t_2) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \beta(t) - \min_{t \in [0,1]} \alpha(t),$$

which contradicts with (2.9) and the proof is complete. □

3 Main result

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that α and β are, respectively, the strict lower and the strict upper solution of the BVP (2.4) with $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and f satisfies the Nagumo condition with respect to α and β . Then the BVP (2.4) has a solution v_0 and*

$$\alpha(t) \leq v_0(t) \leq \beta(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists $N > 0$ such that any solution ω of the BVP (2.4) lying in $[\alpha, \beta]$ satisfies

$$|\omega'(t)| \leq N \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

We denote $C = \max \left\{ N, \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\alpha'(t)|, \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\beta'(t)| \right\}$ and define the auxiliary functions f_1, f_2, f_3 and $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \begin{cases} f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, C), & x_4 > C, \\ f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), & -C \leq x_4 \leq C, \\ f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, -C), & x_4 < -C; \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \begin{cases} f_1(t, (A\alpha)(t), x_2, x_3, x_4), & x_1 > (A\alpha)(t), \\ f_1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), & (A\beta)(t) \leq x_1 \leq (A\alpha)(t), \\ f_1(t, (A\beta)(t), x_2, x_3, x_4), & x_1 < (A\beta)(t); \end{cases}$$

$$f_3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \begin{cases} f_2(t, x_1, (B\alpha)(t), x_3, x_4), & x_2 > (B\alpha)(t), \\ f_2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), & (B\beta)(t) \leq x_2 \leq (B\alpha)(t), \\ f_2(t, x_1, (B\beta)(t), x_3, x_4), & x_2 < (B\beta)(t) \end{cases}$$

and

$$F(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \begin{cases} f_3(t, x_1, x_2, \beta(t), x_4), & x_3 > \beta(t), \\ f_3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), & \alpha(t) \leq x_3 \leq \beta(t), \\ f_3(t, x_1, x_2, \alpha(t), x_4), & x_3 < \alpha(t). \end{cases}$$

Consider the following auxiliary BVP

$$\begin{cases} v''(t) + F(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), v(t), v'(t)) = 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ v(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i v(\eta_i), & v'(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

If we define an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(Tv)(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), v(s), v'(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

then it is obvious that fixed points of T are solutions of the BVP (3.1). Now, we will apply the Leray-Schauder continuation principle to prove that the operator T has a fixed point. Since it is easy to verify that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous by using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we only need to prove that the set of all possible solutions of the homotopy group problem $v = \lambda Tv$ is a priori bounded in X by a constant independent of $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m &= \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \alpha(t), \quad \beta_M = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \beta(t), \\ (A\beta)_m &= \min_{t \in [0, 1]} (A\beta)(t), \quad (A\alpha)_M = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (A\alpha)(t), \\ (B\beta)_m &= \min_{t \in [0, 1]} (B\beta)(t), \quad (B\alpha)_M = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (B\alpha)(t), \\ L &= \sup \{ |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| : (t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in [0, 1] \times [(A\beta)_m, (A\alpha)_M] \\ &\quad \times [(B\beta)_m, (B\alpha)_M] \times [\alpha_m, \beta_M] \times [-C, C] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = \lambda Tv$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v(t)| &= |\lambda (Tv)(t)| \\ &= \lambda \left| \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), v(s), v'(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left(K(t, s) + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i K(\eta_i, s) \right) |F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), v(s), v'(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{L}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i} =: R, \quad t \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |v'(t)| &= |\lambda(Tv)'(t)| \\
 &= \lambda \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial G_2(t,s)}{\partial t} F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), v(s), v'(s)) ds \right| \\
 &\leq \int_t^1 |F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), v(s), v'(s))| ds \\
 &\leq L \leq R, \quad t \in [0, 1],
 \end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$\|v\| = \max \{ \|v\|_\infty, \|v'\|_\infty \} \leq R.$$

It is now immediate from the Leray-Schauder continuation principle that the operator T has a fixed point v_0 , which solves the BVP (3.1).

Now, let us prove that v_0 is a solution of the BVP (2.4). Therefore, we only need to verify that $\alpha(t) \leq v_0(t) \leq \beta(t)$ and $|v_0'(t)| \leq C$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

First, we will verify that $v_0(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$v_0(t_0) - \beta(t_0) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{v_0(t) - \beta(t)\} > 0.$$

We consider the following three cases:

Case 1: If $t_0 \in (0, 1)$, then $v_0(t_0) > \beta(t_0)$, $v_0'(t_0) = \beta'(t_0)$ and $v_0''(t_0) \leq \beta''(t_0)$. Since β is a strict upper solution of the BVP (2.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_0''(t_0) &= -F(t_0, (Av_0)(t_0), (Bv_0)(t_0), v_0(t_0), v_0'(t_0)) \\
 &= -f_3(t_0, (Av_0)(t_0), (Bv_0)(t_0), \beta(t_0), \beta'(t_0)) \\
 &= -f_2(t_0, (Av_0)(t_0), (B\beta)(t_0), \beta(t_0), \beta'(t_0)) \\
 &= -f_1(t_0, (A\beta)(t_0), (B\beta)(t_0), \beta(t_0), \beta'(t_0)) \\
 &= -f(t_0, (A\beta)(t_0), (B\beta)(t_0), \beta(t_0), \beta'(t_0)) \\
 &> \beta''(t_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2: If $t_0 = 0$, then $v_0(0) > \beta(0)$. On the other hand, $v_0(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i v_0(\eta_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i \beta(\eta_i) \leq \beta(0)$. This is a contradiction.

Case 3: If $t_0 = 1$, then $v_0(1) - \beta(1) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{v_0(t) - \beta(t)\} > 0$, which shows that $v_0'(1) \geq \beta'(1)$. On the other hand, $v_0'(1) = 0 \leq \beta'(1)$. Consequently, $v_0'(1) = \beta'(1)$, and so, $v_0''(1) \leq \beta''(1)$. With the similar arguments as in Case 1, we can obtain a contradiction also.

Thus, $v_0(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Similarly, we can prove that $\alpha(t) \leq v_0(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Next, we will show that $|v_0'(t)| \leq C$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. In fact, since f satisfies the Nagumo condition with respect to α and β , with the similar arguments as in Lemma 2.3, we can obtain that

$$|v_0'(t)| \leq N \leq C \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore, v_0 is a solution of the BVP (2.4) and $\alpha(t) \leq v_0(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. □

References

- [1] C. Z. Bai, Triple positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for fourth-order differential equations, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 56 (2008) 1364-1371.
- [2] C. Z. Bai, D. D. Yang and H. B. Zhu, Existence of solutions for fourth order differential equation with four-point boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20 (2007) 1131-1136.
- [3] Z. B. Bai, The upper and lower solution method for some fourth-order boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67 (2007) 1704-1709.
- [4] J. R. Graef, C. X. Qian and B. Yang, A three point boundary value problem for nonlinear fourth order differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 287 (2003) 217-233.
- [5] B. Liu, Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 148 (2004) 407-420.
- [6] R. Ma, Positive solutions of fourth-order two point boundary value problems, *Ann. Differential Equations* 15 (1999) 305-313.
- [7] R. Ma, J. Zhang and S. Fu, The method of lower and upper solutions for fourth-order two-point boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 215 (1997) 415-422.
- [8] Z. L. Wei and C. C. Pang, The method of lower and upper solutions for fourth order singular m-point boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 322 (2006) 675-692.
- [9] Y. L. Zhong, S. H. Chen and C. P. Wang, Existence results for a fourth-order ordinary differential equation with a four-point boundary condition, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 21 (2008) 465-470.

(Received October 8, 2009)