

Existence of positive solutions for n th-order boundary value problem with sign changing nonlinearity

Dapeng Xie^{a,b}, Chuanzhi Bai^{a,*}, Yang Liu^{a,b}, Chunli Wang^{a,b}

^a Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teachers College, Huaian, Jiangsu 223300, P R China

^b Department of Mathematics, Yanbian University, Yanji, Jilin 133002, P R China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of positive solutions for singular n th-order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u^{(n)}(t) + a(t)f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2,\end{aligned}$$

where $n \geq 2$, $a \in C((0, 1), [0, +\infty))$ may be singular at $t = 0$ and (or) $t = 1$ and the nonlinear term f is continuous and is allowed to change sign. Our proofs are based on the method of lower solution and topology degree theorem.

Keywords: Existence; Singular; Positive solution; Cone; Sign changing nonlinearity.

1. Introduction

Boundary value problems for higher order differential equations play a very important role in both theories and applications. Existence of positive solutions for nonlinear higher order has been studied in the literature by using the Krasnosel'skii and Guo fixed point theorem, Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, Lower- and upper- solutions method and so on. We refer the reader to [2-9] for some recent results. However, to the best of our knowledge, few papers can be found in the literature for n th-order boundary value problem with sign changing nonlinearity, most papers are dealing with the existence of positive solutions when the nonlinear term f is nonnegative. For example, in [3], by using the Krasnosel'skii and Guo fixed point theorem, Eloe and Henderson studied the existence of positive solutions for the following boundary value problem

*E-mail address: czbai8@sohu.com

$$\begin{cases} u^{(n)}(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where

(A₁) $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous;

(A₂) $a : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and does not vanish identically on any subinterval;

(A₃) f is either superlinear or sublinear.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we study the existence of positive solutions for singular n th-order boundary value problem with sign changing nonlinearity as follows

$$\begin{cases} u^{(n)}(t) + a(t)f(t, u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Throughout this paper, we assume the following conditions hold.

(C₁) $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ is continuous;

(C₂) $a : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, and $0 < \int_0^1 a(t)dt < \infty$, if $n = 2$;

$0 < \int_0^1 (1-t)a(t)dt < \infty$, if $n \geq 3$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of positive solutions for BVP (1.2) by constructing available operator and combining the method of lower solution with the method of topology degree.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we present some preliminaries and lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to prove the existence of positive solutions for BVP (1.2). An example is considered in section 4 to illustrate our main results.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $y(t) \in C[0, 1]$, then boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} u^{(n)}(t) + y(t) = 0, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \begin{cases} (1-s)t^{n-1} - (t-s)^{n-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-s)t^{n-1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows by direct calculations.

Lemma 2.2. $G(t, s)$ has the following properties.

(1) $0 \leq G(t, s) \leq k(s)$, $t, s \in [0, 1]$, where

$$k(s) = \frac{1-s}{(n-1)!};$$

(2) $\frac{\partial^i}{\partial t^i} G(t, s) > 0$ on $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, $0 \leq i \leq n-2$;

(3) $G''_{tt}(t, s) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(t, s) \leq (n-1)(n-2)k(s)$, $n \geq 3$.

Proof. It is easy to check that (1) and (3) hold. The proof of (2), please see [1].

Remark 2.1. By (C_2) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$0 < \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)ds < \infty, n \geq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s)a(s)d\tau ds < \infty, n \geq 3.$$

By the definition of completely continuous operator, we can check that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.3. Let P is a cone of $X = C[0, 1]$. Suppose $T : P \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. Define $A : TX \rightarrow P$ by

$$(Ay)(t) = \max\{y(t), 0\}, \quad y \in TX.$$

Then, $A \circ T : P \rightarrow P$ is also a completely continuous operator.

3. Main results

Let $X = C[0, 1]$, $P = \{u \in X : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$ with $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$. Set

$$f_1(t, u) = \max\{0, f(t, u)\}, \quad f_2(t, u) = \max\{0, -f(t, u)\},$$

and

$$\delta = \int_0^1 k(s)a(s)ds, \quad w(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)ds.$$

For convenience, we introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}_\infty &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, & \bar{f}_0 &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, \\ \bar{f}_{1\infty} &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f_1(t, u)}{u}, & \bar{f}_{10} &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f_1(t, u)}{u}, \\ \bar{f}_{2\infty} &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f_2(t, u)}{u}, & \bar{f}_{20} &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{f_2(t, u)}{u}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (C_1) and (C_2) hold, in addition assume $\bar{f}_{1\infty} = A < +\infty$, $\bar{f}_{2\infty} = B < +\infty$ (or $\bar{f}_{10} = A < +\infty$, $\bar{f}_{20} = B < +\infty$) with $A + B < \frac{1}{\delta}$ and there exist r, λ with $r > \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \leq \min_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t)), & n = 2, \\ \lambda \geq \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t)), & n \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then BVP (1.2) has a positive solution $u^*(t)$ satisfying

$$0 < \lambda w(t) \leq u^*(t), \quad 0 < t < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u^*\| \leq r.$$

Proof. Let

$$g(t, u(t)) = \begin{cases} f(t, u(t)), & u(t) \geq \lambda w(t), \\ f(t, \lambda w(t)), & u(t) \leq \lambda w(t). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Define the operator $T : P \rightarrow X$ by

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) a(s) g(s, u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [7], we can easily check that T is a completely continuous operator.

Define the operator $A : X \rightarrow P$ by

$$(Au)(t) = \max\{u(t), 0\}. \quad (3.4)$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get $A \circ T : P \rightarrow P$ is also completely continuous.

If $\bar{f}_{1\infty} = A < +\infty$, $\bar{f}_{2\infty} = B < +\infty$, then by hypothesis $A + B < \frac{1}{\delta}$, we may take $A_0 > A$, $B_0 > B$ such that $A_0 + B_0 < \frac{1}{\delta}$, $\bar{f}_{1\infty} < A_0$ and $\bar{f}_{2\infty} < B_0$. Thus, we choose $L > 0$ such that

$$f_1(t, u) < A_0 u, \quad f_2(t, u) < B_0 u, \quad \text{if } u \geq L, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (3.5)$$

and there exists $r > L$ such that

$$f_1(t, u) < A_0 r, \quad f_2(t, u) < B_0 r, \quad \text{if } \lambda w(t) \leq u(t) \leq L, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $\Omega = \{u \in P : \|u\| < r\}$. Then, for $u \in \partial\Omega$, we have by (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [\lambda w(t), r]}} f_1(t, u) = \max \left\{ \max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [\lambda w(t), L]}} f_1(t, u), \max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [L, r]}} f_1(t, u) \right\} < A_0 r. \quad (3.7)$$

Similarly,

$$\max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [\lambda w(t), r]}} f_2(t, u) < B_0 r. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, for each $u \in \partial\Omega$, from (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A \circ T)u(t) &= \max \left\{ \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)g(s, u(s))ds, 0 \right\} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)|g(s, u(s))|ds \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [0, r]}} |g(t, u)| \int_0^1 k(s)a(s)ds \\ &= \delta \max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [\lambda w(t), r]}} |f(t, u)| \\ &= \delta \max_{\substack{r \in [0, 1] \\ u \in [\lambda w(t), r]}} (f_1(t, u) + f_2(t, u)) \\ &< \delta(A_0 + B_0)r < r = \|u\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

which implies

$$\|(A \circ T)u\| < \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega.$$

Thus, we have

$$\deg_P\{I - A \circ T, \Omega, 0\} = 1,$$

where \deg_P means the degree on cone P . Hence, $A \circ T$ has a fixed point u^* in $\bar{\Omega}$, i.e., $(A \circ T)(u^*) = u^*$, $u^* \in \bar{\Omega}$.

If $\bar{f}_{10} = A < +\infty$, $\bar{f}_{20} = B < +\infty$, then we take $A_0 > A$, $B_0 > B$ such that $A_0 + B_0 < \frac{1}{\delta}$, $\bar{f}_{10} < A_0$ and $\bar{f}_{20} < B_0$. Take $r > 0$ such that

$$f_1(t, u) < A_0u, \quad f_2(t, u) < B_0u, \quad \text{if } 0 < u(t) \leq r, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, for $u \in \partial\Omega$, similar to the proof of (3.9), we have that $A \circ T$ has a fixed point u^* in $\overline{\Omega}$. Hence, in any case we always have that $A \circ T$ has a fixed point u^* in $\overline{\Omega}$.

In the following, we shall show the following relation holds

$$(Tu^*)(t) \geq \lambda w(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.10)$$

Assume the contrary, then there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$(Tu^*)(t_0) - \lambda w(t_0) = \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \{(Tu^*)(t) - \lambda w(t)\} = M < 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Obviously, $t_0 \neq 0$, so $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ and

$$(Tu^*)'(t_0) - \lambda w'(t_0) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $t_0 = 1$. It is obvious that $Tu^*(t) - \lambda w(t)$ on $[0, 1]$ is continuous. From (3.11), we have that there exists $t_1 \in [0, 1)$ such that $(Tu^*)(t_1) - \lambda w(t_1) = 0$ and $(Tu^*)(t) - \lambda w(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_1, 1]$.

If $n = 2$, then by (3.1), (3.3) and (3.12), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) &= (Tu^*)'(1) - \lambda w'(1) - \int_t^1 [(Tu^*)'(s) - \lambda w'(s)]' ds \\ &= \int_t^1 a(s)[g(s, u^*(s)) - \lambda] ds \\ &= \int_t^1 a(s)[f(s, \lambda w(s)) - \lambda] ds \\ &\geq [\min_{t \in [0, 1]} f(t, \lambda w(t)) - \lambda] \int_t^1 a(s) ds \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have that $(Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) \geq 0$.

If $n \geq 3$, from (3.1), (3.3) and (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) &= (Tu^*)'(1) - \lambda w'(1) - \int_t^1 [(Tu^*)'(\tau) - \lambda w'(\tau)]' d\tau \\ &= \int_t^1 \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s)a(s)[\lambda - g(s, u^*(s))] ds d\tau \\ &= \int_t^1 \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s)a(s)[\lambda - f(s, \lambda w(s))] ds d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq [\lambda - \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t))] \int_t^1 \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s) a(s) ds d\tau \geq 0.$$

Then, we have $(Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) \geq 0$. Therefore, in any case we always have that $(Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) \geq 0$, which implies

$$(Tu^*)(t_0) - \lambda w(t_0) = (Tu^*)(1) - \lambda w(1) \geq (Tu^*)(t_1) - \lambda w(t_1) = 0.$$

It contradicts (3.11), so (3.10) holds.

Case 2. $t_0 \in (0, 1)$. Obviously, $Tu^*(t) - \lambda w(t)$ on $[0, 1]$ is continuous. By (3.11) and $t_0 \in (0, 1)$, we have that there exists $t_2 \in [0, t_0) \cup (t_0, 1]$ such that $(Tu^*)(t_2) - \lambda w(t_2) = 0$ and $(Tu^*)(t) - \lambda w(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_2, t_0]$ or $t \in [t_0, t_2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $t \in (t_2, t_0]$.

If $n = 2$, we have by (3.1), (3.3) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) &= (Tu^*)'(t_0) - \lambda w'(t_0) - \int_t^{t_0} [(Tu^*)'(s) - \lambda w'(s)]' ds \\ &= \int_t^{t_0} a(s)[g(s, u^*(s)) - \lambda] ds \\ &= \int_t^{t_0} a(s)[f(s, \lambda w(s)) - \lambda] ds \\ &\geq [\min_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t)) - \lambda] \int_t^{t_0} a(s) ds \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) \geq 0$.

If $n \geq 3$, from (3.1), (3.3), (3.12) and Lemma 2.2 (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) &= (Tu^*)'(t_0) - \lambda w'(t_0) - \int_t^{t_0} [(Tu^*)'(\tau) - \lambda w'(\tau)]' d\tau \\ &= \int_t^{t_0} \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s) a(s) [\lambda - g(s, u^*(s))] ds d\tau \\ &= \int_t^{t_0} \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s) a(s) [\lambda - f(s, \lambda w(s))] ds d\tau \\ &\geq [\lambda - \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t))] \int_t^{t_0} \int_0^1 G''_{\tau\tau}(\tau, s) a(s) ds d\tau \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) \geq 0$. Hence, in any case we always have that $(Tu^*)'(t) - \lambda w'(t) \geq 0$, which implies

$$(Tu^*)(t_0) - \lambda w(t_0) \geq (Tu^*)(t_2) - \lambda w(t_2) = 0.$$

It contradicts (3.11), so (3.10) holds. Thus, $(A \circ T)u^* = Tu^* = u^*$, $u^* \in \overline{\Omega}$, i.e., BVP

(1.2) has a positive solution $u^*(t)$ satisfying $0 < \lambda w(t) \leq u^*(t)$, $0 < t < 1$, and $\|u^*\| \leq r$.

Corollary 3.1 Suppose that (C_1) and (C_2) hold, in addition assume $\bar{f}_{1\infty} = 0, \bar{f}_{2\infty} = 0$ (or $\bar{f}_{10} = 0, \bar{f}_{20} = 0$), if there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \leq \min_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t)), & n = 2, \\ \lambda \geq \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, \lambda w(t)), & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then BVP (1.2) has a positive solution.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (C_2) holds, in addition assume $f(t, 0) \geq 0$, $a(t)f(t, 0) \neq 0$ and $\bar{f}_{1\infty} = A < +\infty$, $\bar{f}_{2\infty} = B < +\infty$ (or $\bar{f}_{10} = A < +\infty$, $\bar{f}_{20} = B < +\infty$) with $A + B < \frac{1}{\delta}$. Then BVP (1.2) has a positive solution.

Proof: Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can complete the proof of Theorem 3.2, so we omit it here.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that (C_2) holds, in addition assume $f(t, 0) \geq 0$, $a(t)f(t, 0) \neq 0$, $\bar{f}_{1\infty} = 0$ and $\bar{f}_{2\infty} = 0$ (or $\bar{f}_{10} = 0$ and $\bar{f}_{20} = 0$). Then BVP (1.2) has a positive solution.

Corollary 3.3. Suppose that (C_2) holds, in addition assume $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $a(t)f(t, 0) \neq 0$ and $\bar{f}_{\infty} = A < +\infty$ (or $\bar{f}_0 = A < +\infty$) with $A < \frac{1}{\delta}$. Then BVP (1.2) has a positive solution.

Remark 3.1. Suppose that (C_2) holds, in addition assume $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $a(t)f(t, 0) \neq 0$ and $\bar{f}_{\infty} = 0$ (or $\bar{f}_0 = 0$). Then BVP (1.2) has a positive solution.

4. An example

Example 4.1. Let $a(t) = \frac{(n-1)!}{2(1-t)}$, $n \geq 3$, and

$$f(t, u) = \begin{cases} [1 + \ln(1 + (e-1)t)](u + u^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1), & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \\ [1 + \ln(1 + (e-1)t)][3 - (2e^{-2} + 6)(u-1)], & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [1, 2], \\ -[1 + \ln(1 + (e-1)t)](e^{-u} + \frac{3}{2} + \sin \pi u)u, & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

By simple calculation, we have

$$0 < \delta = \int_0^1 k(s)a(s)ds = \int_0^1 \frac{1-s}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{2(1-s)} ds = \frac{1}{2} < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ and $f(t, 0) > 0$, $a(t)f(t, 0) \neq 0$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$. Owing to $f(t, u) > 0$, $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, and $f(t, u) < 0$, $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [2, \infty)$, we have

$$f_1(t, u) = f(t, u), \quad f_2(t, u) = 0, \quad (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1],$$

and

$$f_1(t, u) = 0, \quad f_2(t, u) = -f(t, u), \quad (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [2, \infty).$$

By calculating, we obtain that

$$A = \bar{f}_{1\infty} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad B = \bar{f}_{2\infty} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and so $A + B = 1 < 2 = \frac{1}{\delta}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, BVP (1.2) has a positive solution.

Acknowledgment

The authors are very grateful to the referee for his/her helpful comments.

References

- [1] P.W. Eloe, Sign properties of Green's functions for two classes of boundary value problems, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 30(1987), 28-35.
- [2] P.W. Eloe and B. Ahmad, Positive solutions to a nonlinear n th-order boundary value problem with nonlocal conditions, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 18(2005) 521-527.
- [3] P.W. Eloe and J. Henderson, Positive solutions for higher order ordinary differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 1995(1995), No. 03, pp. 1-8.
- [4] J.R. Graef, C. Qian and B. Yang, Multiple symmetric positive solutions of a class of boundary value problem for higher order ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(2003) 577-585.
- [5] J.R. Graef and B. Yang, Positive solutions to a multi-point higher order boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 316(2006) 409-421.
- [6] Y.P. Guo, Y.D. Ji and J.G. Zhang, Three positive solutions for a nonlinear n th-order m -point boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.03.041.

- [7] X.A. Hao, L.H. Liu and Y.H. Wu, Positive solutions for nonlinear n th-order singular nonlocal Boundary Value Problem, *Boundary Value Problems*, Volume 2007, Article ID 74517, 10 pages doi:10. 1155/2007/74517.
- [8] L. Liu, X.G. Zhang and Y.H. Wu, Existence of positive solutions for singular higher-order differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* (2007), doi: 10. 1016/j. na. 2007. 04.32.
- [9] Z.L. Yang, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a higher order boundary value problem, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications.* 54(2007) 220-228.

(Received August 17, 2007)