Investigating the reasons for failures and delays in R&D projects with the project management approach

Saeed Ghorbani^{1*}, Shayan Naghdi Khanachah²

Faculty of Industrial Engineering, Malek Ashtar University of Technology, Tehran, Iran^{1,2} <u>sgh14@yahoo.com</u>^{1*}, <u>shayan.en24@gmail.com</u>²



Article History

Received on 1 May 2021 Revised on 22 May 2021 Accepted on 31 May 2021

Abstract

Purpose: This study aimed to identify and investigate the reasons for failures and delays in R&D projects with an organizational productivity approach.

Research methodology: In order to identify the most influential factors in the research area, a questionnaire with a Likert spectrum of five options was designed and distributed among the stakeholders of research projects. The questionnaire results were analyzed and 10 more effective factors were identified and solutions were used to improve the situation.

Result: In the present study, after reviewing the background of the subject and reviewing previous studies, 149 factors affecting the failure and delay of projects were identified and after aggregating and summarizing the factors, a model was designed to classify the causes of delay in which 55 effective factors in two general categories. Intra-organizational and extra-organizational factors were examined.

Limitations: This research only described Research-oriented organizations.

Contribution: According to the analysis results regarding the more effective causes, problems and challenges related to each of the factors were studied and to reduce the impact of existing factors, various solutions and suggestions in the field of research variables using Expert opinion was provided.

Keywords: Project, Project management, Planning, Scheduling, Success, Failure, Delay

How to cite: Ghorbani, S., & Khanachah, S. N. (2020). Investigating the reasons for failures and delays in R&D projects with the project management approach. *Annals of Management and Organization Research*, 1(4), 319-334.

1. Introduction

Delay is one of the most common phenomena in projects and is one of the main reasons for project failure. Considering that in the treatment of any disease, the first step is to identify it, identifying the factors affecting the delay will be an essential step in improving the implementation process of projects and preventing delays in future projects (Dorji, Rai, Namgay, Pelden, & Sunwar, 2020; Gondia, Siam, El-Dakhakhni, & Nassar, 2020).

On the other hand, the project implementation environment is very complex and dynamic. In a way, this dynamic environment distinguishes project management from the management of non-project and ordinary organizations (Prasad, Vasugi, Venkatesan, & Bhat, 2019). Undoubtedly, the success and survival of a project-oriented organization depend on the success of projects, and one of the criteria for project success is achieving time commitments or not delaying project implementation (M. Zahedi, Akhavan, & Naghdi Khanachah, 2020). In recent years, projects have faced more time constraints and the ability to deliver projects quickly has become an important factor in winning tenders or

outperforming competitors. Numerous stakeholders and factors are involved in the implementation of each project, and the negative impact of each of these factors, in addition to the dynamic external environment, increases the likelihood of project delays (Doloi, Sawhney, & Iyer, 2012).

Delay is an action or event that prolongs a project's schedule; in other words, a delay is an interval between planned and actual project activities. Delay is one of the most common phenomena in projects and is one of the main reasons for project failure (Dorji et al., 2020). Over the past few decades, this phenomenon has occurred in most types of projects, from simple construction projects to the most complex ones, such as petrochemical projects, dam construction, nuclear power plants and tunneling. Delays in projects lead to more resources, reduced profits, or lost opportunities (Durdyev & Hosseini, 2019). For this reason, most developed and developing countries today, knowing the limited resources available and the highly competitive market, seek to find the root causes of delays in past projects in order to use more resources and make more profit by providing solutions to the rate of delays in projects.

Prevent the future or minimize the damage that is likely to occur (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017). Regarding the causes and factors of success, failure and delay of projects, many have been done inside and outside the country and various contents have been mentioned in books related to the field of project management, which can be summarized as follows: Research of Standish Group¹, Project Management Development Group in the book Competence Framework for Project Managers (Silvius & Schipper, 2014), PMBOK Guide (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Al-Momani, 2000; Ali, Smith, Pitt, & Choon, 2007; AlSehaimi, Koskela, & Tzortzopoulos, 2013; Assaf & Al-Hejji, 2006; Buss & Rosenblatt, 1997; Chiu & Lai, 2017; Dinakar, 2014; Elawi, Algahtany, & Kashiwagi, 2016; Fallahnejad, 2013; Frimpong, Oluwoye, & Crawford, 2003; Gebrehiwet & Luo, 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Mahamid, Bruland, & Dmaidi, 2012; Shabbab Al Hammadi, 2016; Shaikh, Muree, & Soomro, 2010; Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher, Kolisch, & Drexl, 1995; Yang & Wei, 2010). After summarizing the results of research on the causes and factors of success and failure of projects and the causes of project delays, more than 400 influential factors were extracted. After examining the mentioned factors, a number of them that had been repeated in different sources and references were removed and finally reduced to 149 influential factors.

Various methods can be used to categorize the causes of project delays or failures, some of which are as follows: Classification based on the person responsible for the delay, classification based on organizational factors (human factors, organizational factors, etc.), classification based on factors related to the project life cycle, classification based on the impact of project stakeholders (according to the excellence model of project-based organizations), classification based on nine specialized areas of project management (according to PMBOK guideline), classification based on project managers competency framework (according to IPMA Competence baseline), classification based on IPMA Project Excellence Model (Dorji et al., 2020; Sabzehparvar & Seyed-Hosseini, 2008; T. M. Williams, 2013).

By reviewing the 149 factors mentioned above, it was concluded that none of the mentioned classification methods could cover all the causes; and a combined model needs to be used to classify the causes. For this purpose, the initial model was prepared and examined from different angles, and finally, after making the necessary corrections, the optimized model of *Figure 1* was used, which is able to cover all the 149 causes and factors extracted from previous research. Based on the classification of the mentioned model, 52 categories of factors affecting the success of projects or factors affecting project delays were identified, which are listed in *appendix 1* with reference to the authorities.

Currently, many research projects related to the research and development of the organization under study are associated with delays. These delays have imposed many hidden and overt costs to the organization (M. R. Zahedi & Khanachah, 2020). Costs such as cost of late operation or lost profits, cost of rising consumer resources, increased cost of labor resources, cost of renewing licenses and contracts, cost of capital expenditure, loss of competitive market, uneconomical Project; In fact, it can be said that the implementation of research projects related to research and development in the study organization

_

¹ http://www.standishgroup.com/index.php

is weak and there is a fundamental need for an approach to improve the situation (M. R. Zahedi & Khanachah, 2019). Therefore, the first step in treatment recognizes the real reasons for project delays.

2. Literature

2.1. Research and development

The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) defines R&D as: "Creative work that is done on a systematic basis to harness the resulting scientific and technical knowledge in order to invent new applications" (Woodward, 2004).

The National Science Foundation classifies and defines research as follows:

Basic research: The purpose of basic research is a deeper understanding or knowledge of the subject under study, not its practical application. The National Science Foundation, taking into account the industry's goals, modifies this definition, noting that basic research does not have specific business objectives, although it may be in the current or future interests of the research organization (Zafar, Saud, & Hou, 2019).

Applied research: The purpose of applied research is to gain the necessary understanding or knowledge to determine how a specific and known need is met. Applied research in industry is discovering new scientific knowledge that pursues specific business objectives for a product or process. Developmental research: "Development is the systematic application of research knowledge or concepts to the production of materials, tools, systems or Useful methods, including the design and production of semi-industrial samples and processes" (Rashidi, Shabani, & Saen, 2015).

2.2. Relationship between research activities and technical engineering activities

Recent research on the principles of definition and implementation of research projects (R&D) states that: "Engineering research is done to develop new products and services in R&D centers adjacent to the industry (Attaran, 2004). This research leads to two groups of problem-solving activities: research activities and engineering design activities (León & Farris, 2011). In a product development research project, both groups of activities need to be identified and distinguished from each other. These two groups of activities have in common that both groups deal with issues related to the development of inhouse technology and the development of products and services (Artz, Norman, Hatfield, & Cardinal, 2010). The difference between these two groups is that problem-solving in engineering design activities is based on known and codified patterns, while problem-solving in research activities is done without knowing patterns. Research activities should lead to codified methods and patterns to solve a set of similar problems with common characteristics so that activities that are now and in the cultural, social, organizational and current coordinates of the nature of research in the future into activities with the nature of engineering design (Lindkvist, 2005).

2.3. Project success

In an article entitled "Recognizing the Key Factors in Project Success in Iran, "Asgari et al. (2017) have introduced the following three criteria as important criteria for judging project success: - Satisfy customer needs - Delivery of the project on time - Completion of the project based on the allocated budget In his book, Advanced Project Management, he argues that in recent years our definition of project success has changed. It provides definitions of project success and a list of failure and success factors that can affect project performance at different stages of a project life cycle (Asgari, Kheyroddin, & Naderpour, 2017).

<u>Davis (2017)</u> points out, the definitions of project success have changed over the years. In the early days, project success was generally summed up in technical factors: Does the product work or not? With more and more organizations understanding project management and paying more attention to the cost factor, the definition of success also changed. In 1980, the following definition was given for the success of the project: The success of the project means achieving the following three goals (<u>Davis, 2017</u>):

- Finish on time
- Finish with the same set cost
- Finish with the same quality

Normally, the quality of a project was defined as the achievement of technical characteristics. All three of these factors are related to the internal factors of the project and do not necessarily include the

consumer or customer preferences. In the late 1980s, with the advent of TQM, the success of a project depended not only on three internal factors: time, cost, and technical characteristics, but also on the following factors (Lashgarara, Torabi, & Omidi Najafabadi, 2016):

- Being acceptable to the customer
- Establishing a business relationship with the customer in future projects.

A project is a complete success when it is executed according to schedule. Its cost is determined within the budget. It is defined according to technical and quality characteristics, and finally, a satisfied and satisfied customer. Successful projects are defined as being within the budget and time frame, fulfilling the intended function, and meeting the expectations of senior management and the user or employer. Westerveld summarizes the success of the project by examining various sources (Kabirifar & Mojtahedi, 2019):

- Project results (budget, schedule, quality)
- Customer satisfaction
- Satisfaction of users
- Satisfaction of beneficiaries
- Satisfaction of project staff
- Satisfaction of contractors

Sabzehparvar and Seyed-Hosseini (2008) categorize the success and failure components of projects as:

A) Basic components

- Adherence to time frame, cost, quality
- Acceptance of the project produced by the customer

B) Secondary components

- Continue working with the customer
- Comprehensive agreement on the required changes to the project
- Do not disrupt the current work of the organization
- Do not change the culture of the organization
- Maintaining ethical values
- Adherence to organizational strategies
- Maintaining the credibility of the organization.

2.4. Delay

2.4.1. Scheduling delay

Any failure to complete the activity on time will result in a scheduling delay. Of course, it should always be borne in mind that the non-realization of the plan for each separate activity can be caused by the single delay of that activity or by the delay of the prerequisite activities of that activity. In other words, the delay of any activity will not necessarily lead to the delay of the project (Seyed-Hosseini, Sabzehparvar, & Nouri, 2007).

2.4.2. Project delay

The variance between the estimated project completion time and the actual completion time is called the project delay. This delay will be equal to the sum of the delays of the existing activities on the critical path. A delay is an action or event that prolongs the time specified in the contract to perform a particular action and manifests itself in the form of prolonging the duration of the activity or delaying its start date and may change in some areas of work according to Also include the contract (T. Williams, 2003).

2.5. Types of delays

Reams et al. (2009) categorizes types of delays as follows:

2.5.1. Forgivable/Reimbursable

This type of delay occurs due to the fault of the customer or employer and therefore, the contractor or contractor, in addition to extending the project time, also receives compensation for the delay.

2.5.2. Forgivable/Irreparable

The reason for this type of delay is neither the employer nor the executor, so only the extension of time of the project occurs and no penalty is received from either party (Reams et al., 2009; Sharifi, Akram, Rafiee, & Sabzehparvar, 2014).

2.5.3. Unforgivable/Irreparable

This occurs due to the error of the contractor or contractor and the time extension of the project is not approved and naturally, the employer can claim damages (Reams et al., 2009; T. Williams, 2003). Amandin & Kule (2016), in an article entitled "Project delays on cost overrun risks: A study of Gasabo district construction projects," have categorized the laws of simultaneous delays as follows (Amandin & Kule, 2016):

Table 1. Types of delays in the project (Ansah & Sorooshian, 2018)

| Delay type | Payment to the employer | Payment to the contractor | Increase time for the contractor | Causes of delay |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Unforgivable | Yes | No | No | Contractor |
| compensable | No | Yes | Yes | Employer |
| Forgivable and irreparable | No | No | Yes | Another factor |
| Forgivable and irreparable | No | No | Yes | Employer and contractor |
| Forgivable and irreparable | No | No | Yes | Employer and other factors |
| Forgivable and irreparable | No | No | Yes | Contractor and other agents |
| Forgivable and irreparable | No | No | Yes | Employer, contractor and other agents |

2.6. Costs due to project delays

Seyed-Hosseini et al. (2007) has introduced the following as project delay costs:

- Cost of late operation or lost profit
- Increase the cost of labor resources
- Cost of capital consumption consumed
- Possibility of uneconomicization of the project by postponing it
- Loss due to lack of job creation in the country
- Losses due to declining government revenue and social welfare of the people
- Cost of rising consumer resources
- The cost of renewing licenses and contracts
- Loss of competitive market

According to Williams (2013), in recent years, projects have faced more time constraints and the ability to deliver projects quickly has become an important growth factor in winning tenders. In tight-ended contracts, much emphasis is placed on transferring time risk to other contracts by the main contractor. This is usually done by considering heavy delay penalties.

3. Case study

In order to collect the data required for researching the organization under study, a questionnaire was used. In this regard, a questionnaire with a Likert scale of five options was designed and distributed among the stakeholders of research projects. The supervisors and advisors confirmed the validity of the research questionnaire questions and the reliability of those questions was also confirmed using Cronbach's alpha test. The statistical population consisting of the beneficiaries of the studied research projects has 74 members and the sample population has over 42 members. Service history, current position and job and level of familiarity with project management knowledge were introduced as moderating variables. In addition, SPSS computer software and various tests and statistical analyzes such as mean test have been used to examine the relationships between variables.

4. Conclusions

The purpose of the present study is to prioritize the factors according to the stakeholders' views of research projects. The results of calculating the statistical mean of the effect of the factors are presented in Table 3. From the results of the analysis, it was concluded that internal factors with an average of 74.7 were more effective than external factors with an average of 66.2.

Also, among the intra-organizational factors, human factors with an average of 76.7 and human factors with project management with an average of 83.3 and system engineers with an average of 79.3 had the largest share in project delays.

Among the processes, the project management process and among the project management processes, the planning process group with an average of 84 and also the specialized field of project time management with an average of 84 have the most impact on project delays 77 had the greatest impact.

Table 2. Factors affecting the failure and delay of the project and the effectiveness of each factor

| Factor title | Impact rate (of 100) | Factor title | Impact rate (of 100) |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1- Strategy and stability of senior | 74 | 27- How to manage project | 79 |
| and middle management | | procurement | |
| 2- Support for senior and middle | 78 | 28- Feasibility process | 75 |
| management | | | |
| 3- Professional competence of the | 84 | 29- Project approval process | 70 |
| project manager | | | |
| 4- Behavioral competence of the | 83 | 30- Contract conclusion process | 76 |
| project manager | | | |
| 5- Competence of project system | 79 | 31- Training process | 68 |
| engineer | ,,, | or rowning process | |
| 6- Specialization of the project team | 76 | 32- The process of selecting, | 65 |
| o specialization of the project team | , 0 | recruiting and employing employees | 0.5 |
| 7- Motivation of the project team | 82 | 33- Knowledge management process | 74 |
| 8- Productivity of the project team | 75 | 34- Budget allocation process and | 75 |
| 3 Trouventing of the project team | 7.5 | financial resources | 7.5 |
| 9- Allocation of sufficient human | 67 | 35- Supply and purchase process | 77 |
| resources | 07 | 33- Supply and parenase process | // |
| 10- Technical and technological | 74 | 36- Employee evaluation process and | 76 |
| factors | /4 | financial payment | 70 |
| 11- Machinery, materials and | 67 | 37- The process of preparing and | 68 |
| | 07 | | 08 |
| materials 12- Organizational structure and | 77 | approving maps and documents | 65 |
| 12- Organizational structure and culture | // | 38- Timely payment by the | 03 |
| | 63 | customer/employer | 65 |
| 13- Existence of efficient | 03 | 39- Timely decision by the | 03 |
| information system | 72 | client/employer | 67 |
| 14- Coordination of line and | 12 | 40- Fulfillment of obligations by the | 07 |
| headquarters | 73 | client/employer | 67 |
| 15- Position of project manager | | 41- Customer / employer participation | |
| 16- Project planning process group | 84 | 42- Contractor's managerial ability | 72 |
| 17- Project monitoring and control | 76 | 43- Technical ability of the contractor | 72 |
| process group | 65 | 44 5 | 60 |
| 18- Closing process group | 65 | 44- Financial capacity of the | 69 |
| 10. 11 | 7.4 | contractor | 7.4 |
| 19- How to manage project integrity | 74 | 45- Fulfillment of obligations by the | 74 |
| | | contractor | |
| 20- How to manage the project area | 78 | 46. Non-exclusive contractor | 63 |
| 21- How to manage project time | 84 | 47- Supervisor performance | 73 |
| 22- How to manage project costs | 76 | 48- Consultant performance | 69 |
| 23- How to manage project quality | 73 | 49- Economic factors | 59 |
| 24- How to manage the human | 76 | 50- Political and social factors | 74 |
| resources of the project | | | |
| 25- How to manage project | 68 | 51- Geographical and atmospheric | 51 |
| communications | | factors | |
| 26- How to manage project risk | 79 | 52- Legal factors and laws | 53 |

In total, according to the results of the research, the 10 main factors affecting project delays are as follows:

- 1- The level of professional competence of the project manager (project management knowledge, experience, skills and ability of the project manager).
- 2- Project planning process (scope, time, cost, quality, human resources, communications, risk, procurement).
- 3- How to manage project time (correct estimation, development of real schedule, consideration of resources and capacities in scheduling).
- 4- The level of behavioral competence of the project manager (leadership, participation, creativity, determination, consultation, crisis resolution, calm, ethical principles, debatability, outcome).
- 5- The motivation of the project team (satisfaction, responsibility, sense of duty, teamwork spirit).
- 6- The level of expertise and skill of the project system engineer (in block design and determining the input and output of subsystems, system aggregation).
- 7- How to manage project procurement (estimating purchase time, identifying suppliers, planning and purchasing control).
- 8- How to manage project risk (identification, evaluation and planning of dealing with risks and bottlenecks).
- 9- The level of support of senior managers in allocating resources and permits and powers necessary for the success of the project.
- 10- How to manage the project area (determining the boundaries of the project, outputs and objectives of the project, breaking down macro-activities).

According to the results of the analysis of the most effective causes, problems and challenges related to improvement strategies, each of the above ten factors was examined and in order to reduce the impact of existing factors, the opinion of experts, various solutions and suggestions in areas related to research variables are used and presented in appendix 2. The proposed proposals are executive and practical, and if appropriate measures are taken to implement them in the industry, the projects will be implemented more appropriately.

References

- Agyekum-Mensah, G., & Knight, A. D. (2017). The professionals' perspective on the causes of project delay in the construction industry. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Al-Momani, A. H. (2000). Construction delay: a quantitative analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*, 18(1), 51-59.
- Ali, A. S., Smith, A., Pitt, M., & Choon, C. H. (2007). CONTRACTORS'PERCEPTION OF FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO PROJECT DELAY: CASE STUDIES OF COMMERCIAL PROJECTS IN KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA. *Journal of Design and Built Environment*, 7(1).
- AlSehaimi, A., Koskela, L., & Tzortzopoulos, P. (2013). Need for alternative research approaches in construction management: Case of delay studies. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 29(4), 407-413.
- Amandin, M. M., & Kule, J. W. (2016). Project delays on cost overrun risks: A study of Gasabo district construction projects Kigali, Rwanda. *ABC Journal of Advanced Research*, *5*(1), 21-34.
- Ansah, R. H., & Sorooshian, S. (2018). 4P delays in project management. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Artz, K. W., Norman, P. M., Hatfield, D. E., & Cardinal, L. B. (2010). A longitudinal study of the impact of R&D, patents, and product innovation on firm performance. *Journal of product innovation management*, 27(5), 725-740.
- Asgari, M., Kheyroddin, A., & Naderpour, H. (2017). A Proposal Model for Estimation of Project Success in Terms of Radial Based Neural Networks: A Case Study in Iran. *Civil Engineering Journal*, *3*(10), 904-919.
- Assaf, S. A., & Al-Hejji, S. (2006). Causes of delay in large construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 349-357.
- Attaran, M. (2004). Exploring the relationship between information technology and business process reengineering. *Information & management*, 41(5), 585-596.

- Buss, A. H., & Rosenblatt, M. J. (1997). Activity delay in stochastic project networks. *Operations Research*, 45(1), 126-139.
- Chiu, B. W., & Lai, J. H. (2017). Project delay: key electrical construction factors in Hong Kong. Journal of civil engineering and management, 23(7), 847-857.
- Davis, K. (2017). An empirical investigation into different stakeholder groups perception of project success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(4), 604-617.
- Dinakar, A. (2014). Delay analysis in construction project. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 4(5), 784-788.
- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., & Iyer, K. (2012). Structural equation model for investigating factors affecting delay in Indian construction projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, 30(10), 869-884.
- Dorji, L., Rai, S., Namgay, K., Pelden, T., & Sunwar, I. (2020). Evaluation of Factors Causing Delays in Construction Projects in Bhutan. *GNH Journal of Construction Technology and Management*.
- Durdyev, S., & Hosseini, M. R. (2019). Causes of delays on construction projects: a comprehensive list. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*.
- Elawi, G. S. A., Algahtany, M., & Kashiwagi, D. (2016). Owners' perspective of factors contributing to project delay: case studies of road and bridge projects in Saudi Arabia. *Procedia Engineering*, 145, 1402-1409.
- Engeström, Y. (2005). *Developmental work research: Expanding activity theory in practice* (Vol. 12): Lehmanns Media.
- Fallahnejad, M. H. (2013). Delay causes in Iran gas pipeline projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(1), 136-146.
- Frimpong, Y., Oluwoye, J., & Crawford, L. (2003). Causes of delay and cost overruns in construction of groundwater projects in a developing countries; Ghana as a case study. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(5), 321-326.
- Gebrehiwet, T., & Luo, H. (2017). Analysis of delay impact on construction project based on RII and correlation coefficient: Empirical study. *Procedia Engineering*, 196, 366-374.
- Gondia, A., Siam, A., El-Dakhakhni, W., & Nassar, A. H. (2020). Machine learning algorithms for construction projects delay risk prediction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 146(1), 04019085.
- Guida, P. L., & Sacco, G. (2019). A method for project schedule delay analysis. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 128, 346-357.
- Kabirifar, K., & Mojtahedi, M. (2019). The impact of Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) phases on project performance: A case of large-scale residential construction project. *Buildings*, 9(1), 15.
- Lashgarara, F., Torabi, A., & Omidi Najafabadi, M. (2016). Recognizing the Reasons for Success of Growth Enterprises at Agriculture Sector of Semnan Province, Iran. *International Journal of Agricultural Management and Development*, 6(4), 531-540.
- León, H. C. M., & Farris, J. A. (2011). Lean product development research: Current state and future directions. *Engineering Management Journal*, 23(1), 29-51.
- Lindkvist, L. (2005). Knowledge communities and knowledge collectivities: A typology of knowledge work in groups. *Journal of Management studies*, 42(6), 1189-1210.
- Mahamid, I., Bruland, A., & Dmaidi, N. (2012). Causes of delay in road construction projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 28(3), 300-310.
- Prasad, K., Vasugi, V., Venkatesan, R., & Bhat, N. (2019). Analysis of causes of delay in Indian construction projects and mitigation measures. *Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction*.
- Rashidi, K., Shabani, A., & Saen, R. F. (2015). Using data envelopment analysis for estimating energy saving and undesirable output abatement: a case study in the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 105, 241-252.
- Reams, R. R., Agrawal, D., Davis, M. B., Yoder, S., Odedina, F. T., Kumar, N., . . . Soliman, K. F. (2009). *Microarray comparison of prostate tumor gene expression in African-American and Caucasian American males: a pilot project study*. Paper presented at the Infectious agents and cancer.
- Sabzehparvar, M., & Seyed-Hosseini, S. M. (2008). A mathematical model for the multi-mode resource-constrained project scheduling problem with mode dependent time lags. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 44(3), 257-273.

- Seyed-Hosseini, S. M., Sabzehparvar, M., & Nouri, S. (2007). A Genetic algorithm and a model for the resource constrained project scheduling problem with multiple crushable modes. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Production Research*, 18(3), 7-18.
- Shabbab Al Hammadi, M. (2016). Study of delay factors in construction projects. *International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology*, *3*(4), 87-93.
- Shaikh, A., Muree, M., & Soomro, A. (2010). Identification of critical delay factors in construction. Sindh University Research Journal-SURJ (Science Series), 42(2).
- Sharifi, M., Akram, A., Rafiee, S., & Sabzehparvar, M. (2014). Planning and scheduling barley production mechanization project using the PERT network: case study Alborz province. *Iranian Journal of Biosystems Engineering*, 45(1), 11-22.
- Silvius, A. G., & Schipper, R. P. (2014). Sustainability in project management competencies: analyzing the competence gap of project managers. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, 2014.
- Singh, H., & Williams, P. S. (2020). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge: PMBOK (®) Guide.
- Sonenshein, S. (2010). We're changing—Or are we? Untangling the role of progressive, regressive, and stability narratives during strategic change implementation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3), 477-512.
- Sprecher, A., Kolisch, R., & Drexl, A. (1995). Semi-active, active, and non-delay schedules for the resource-constrained project scheduling problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 80(1), 94-102.
- Williams, T. (2003). Assessing extension of time delays on major projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(1), 19-26.
- Williams, T. M. (2013). Managing and modelling complex projects (Vol. 17): Springer.
- Woodward, R. (2004). The organisation for economic cooperation and development: Global monitor. *New Political Economy*, *9*(1), 113-127.
- Yang, J.-B., & Wei, P.-R. (2010). Causes of delay in the planning and design phases for construction projects. *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, 16(2), 80-83.
- Zafar, M. W., Saud, S., & Hou, F. (2019). The impact of globalization and financial development on environmental quality: evidence from selected countries in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(13), 13246-13262.
- Zahedi, M., Akhavan, P., & Naghdi Khanachah, S. (2020). Identifying the Key Barriers to Knowledge Management and Lessons Learned in the Project-Based Military Organizations. *MILITARY MANAGEMENT QUARTERLY*, 19(76), 29-68.
- Zahedi, M. R., & Khanachah, S. N. (2019). The impact of customer assisted knowledge production capacity on customer capital in a knowledge-based center. *Annals of Management and Organization Research*, 1(2), 107-121.
- Zahedi, M. R., & Khanachah, S. N. (2020). The effect of knowledge management processes on organizational innovation through intellectual capital development in Iranian industrial organizations. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*.

Appendix 1. Factors affecting the failure and delay of the project extracted from research and references

| Factor title | Reference | Factor title | Reference |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1- Strategy and | (Amandin & Kule, 2016; | 27- How to | (Ali et al., 2007; Attaran, |
| stability of senior | Ansah & Sorooshian, 2018; | manage project | 2004; <u>Dinakar, 2014</u> ; |
| and middle | Singh & Williams, 2020; | procurement | Engeström, 2005; Frimpong et |
| management | <u>Sonenshein, 2010; T.</u> | | al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2019; |
| | Williams, 2003; M. Zahedi | | Sabzehparvar & Seyed- |
| | <u>et al., 2020)</u> | | <u> Hosseini, 2008)</u> |
| 2- Support for | (Al-Momani, 2000; Attaran, | 28- Feasibility | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar |
| senior and middle | <u>2004; Davis, 2017; T. M.</u> | process | <u>& Seyed-Hosseini, 2008</u> ; |
| management | Williams, 2013; M. Zahedi et | | <u>Sharifi et al., 2014</u> ; |
| | <u>al., 2020)</u> | | Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, |
| | | | <u>2003)</u> |

| Factor title | Reference | Factor title | Reference |
|---|---|--|--|
| 3- Professional competence of the project manager | (Assaf & Al-Hejji, 2006; Chiu & Lai, 2017; Davis, 2017; Dorji et al., 2020; Yang & Wei, 2010; M. | 29- Project approval process | (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. Williams, 2013; Yang & |
| 4- Behavioral competence of the project manager | Zahedi et al., 2020) (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. Williams, 2013; Yang & Wei, 2010) | 30- Contract conclusion process | Wei, 2010) (Al-Momani, 2000; Attaran, 2004; Davis, 2017; T. M. Williams, 2013; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) |
| 5- Competence of project system engineer | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Al-Momani, 2000; Artz et al., 2010; Asgari et al., 2017; Assaf & Al-Hejji, 2006) | 31- Training process | (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. Williams, 2013; Yang & Wei, 2010) |
| 6- Specialization of the project team | (Ali et al., 2007; Attaran, 2004; Dinakar, 2014; Engeström, 2005; Frimpong et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2019; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008) | 32- The process of selecting, recruiting and employing employees | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed-Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) |
| 7- Motivation of the project team | (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. Williams, 2013; Yang & Wei, 2010) | 33- Knowledge management process | (Ali et al., 2007; Attaran, 2004; Dinakar, 2014; Engeström, 2005; Frimpong et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2019; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008) |
| 8- Productivity of the project team | (Ali et al., 2007; <u>Sabzehparvar & Seyed-</u> <u>Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al.,</u> <u>2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T.</u> Williams, 2003) | 34- Budget allocation process and financial resources | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) |
| 9- Allocation of sufficient human resources | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) | 35- Supply and purchase process | (Mahamid et al., 2012; Seyed- Hosseini et al., 2007; Sonenshein, 2010; Sprecher et al., 1995) |
| 10- Technical and technological factors | (Mahamid et al., 2012; Seyed- Hosseini et al., 2007; Sonenshein, 2010; Sprecher et al., 1995) | 36- Employee evaluation process and financial payment | (Lindkvist, 2005; Shabbab Al Hammadi, 2016) |
| 11- Machinery, materials and materials | (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. Williams, 2013; Yang & Wei, 2010) | 37- The process of preparing and approving maps and documents | (Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008) |
| 12- Organizational structure and culture | (Ali et al., 2007; Attaran, 2004; Dinakar, 2014; Engeström, 2005; Frimpong et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2019; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008) | 38- Timely payment by the customer / employer | (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. Williams, 2013; Yang & Wei, 2010) |
| 13- Existence of efficient information system | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) | 39- Timely decision by the client / employer | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) |
| 14- Coordination of line and headquarters | (Mahamid et al., 2012; Seyed- Hosseini et al., 2007; Sonenshein, 2010; Sprecher et al., 1995) | 40- Fulfillment of obligations by the client / employer | (Ali et al., 2007; Attaran, 2004; Dinakar, 2014; Engeström, 2005; Frimpong et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2019; |

| Factor title | Reference | Factor title | Reference |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | Sabzehparvar & Seyed- |
| 15- Position of project manager | (Buss & Rosenblatt, 1997; Seyed-Hosseini et al., 2007; Sprecher et al., 1995) | 41- Customer / employer participation | Hosseini, 2008) (Chiu & Lai, 2017; Dinakar, 2014; M. R. Zahedi & Khanachah, 2019) |
| 16- Project planning process group | (Ali et al., 2007; <u>Sabzehparvar & Seyed-</u> <u>Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al.,</u> <u>2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T.</u> <u>Williams, 2003)</u> | 42- Contractor's managerial ability | (Mahamid et al., 2012; Seyed- Hosseini et al., 2007; Sonenshein, 2010; Sprecher et al., 1995) |
| 17- Project monitoring and control process group | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) | 43- Technical ability of the contractor | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) |
| 18- Closing process group | (Ali et al., 2007; <u>Sabzehparvar & Seyed-</u> <u>Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al.,</u> <u>2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T.</u> <u>Williams, 2003)</u> | 44- The financial capacity of the contractor | (Ali et al., 2007; Attaran, 2004; Dinakar, 2014; Engeström, 2005; Frimpong et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2019; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008) |
| 19- How to manage project integrity | (Amandin & Kule, 2016; Ansah & Sorooshian, 2018; Singh & Williams, 2020; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) | 45- Fulfillment of obligations by the contractor | (<u>Mahamid et al., 2012; Seyed-</u> <u>Hosseini et al., 2007;</u> <u>Sonenshein, 2010; Sprecher et al., 1995)</u> |
| 20- How to manage the project area | (Mahamid et al., 2012; Seyed- Hosseini et al., 2007; Sonenshein, 2010; Sprecher et al., 1995) | 46- Non-exclusive contractor | (Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008) |
| 21- How to manage project time | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed- Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) | 47- Supervisor performance | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed-Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) |
| 22- How to manage project costs | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) | 48- Consultant performance | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed-Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) |
| 23- How to manage project quality | (Ali et al., 2007; <u>Sabzehparvar & Seyed-</u> <u>Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al.,</u> <u>2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T.</u> <u>Williams, 2003)</u> | 49- Economic factors | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) |
| 24- How to manage the human resources of the project | (Agyekum-Mensah & <u>Knight, 2017; Asgari et al.,</u> 2017; <u>Guida & Sacco,</u> 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; <u>M.</u> <u>Zahedi et al., 2020)</u> | 50- Political and social factors | (Ali et al., 2007; Sabzehparvar & Seyed-Hosseini, 2008; Sharifi et al., 2014; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003) |
| 25- How to manage project communications | (Amandin & Kule, 2016; Ansah & Sorooshian, 2018; Singh & Williams, 2020; Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, 2003; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) | 51- Geographical and atmospheric factors | (Agyekum-Mensah & Knight, 2017; Asgari et al., 2017; Guida & Sacco, 2019; Sonenshein, 2010; M. Zahedi et al., 2020) |
| 26- How to manage project risk | (Singh & Williams, 2020; Sprecher et al., 1995; T. Williams, 2003; T. M. | 52- Legal factors and laws | (<u>Ali et al., 2007</u> ; <u>Sabzehparvar</u> <u>& Seyed-Hosseini, 2008</u> ; <u>Sharifi et al., 2014</u> ; |

| Factor title | Reference | Factor title | Reference |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | Williams, 2013; Yang & | | Sonenshein, 2010; T. Williams, |
| | Wei, 2010) | | 2003) |

Appendix 2. Summary of problems and suggested solutions

| | | f problems and suggested solution | |
|-----|---------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Row | Factor title | Existing problems | Improvement strategies |
| | | - Selection of project manager | Considering the project management unit |
| | | Selection parameters | (PMO) in the industry for planning, |
| | | • Lack of knowledge of industry | developing models, developing training |
| | | managers about project | topics, monitoring, evaluating and selecting |
| | | management knowledge | project managers. Due to the expansion of the |
| | | • Lack of attention to the | structure of the radar industry and its |
| | | professional, behavioral and | transformation into the Radra Industries |
| | | conceptual competencies of the | Group, it is better for the mentioned unit to |
| | | project manager | operate directly under the supervision of the |
| | | • Lack of job description and | group manager. |
| | | conditions for obtaining a job | - Classification of project managers in levels |
| | | •Lack of supervision of the | A to F, whose levels A to D are based on the |
| | | research institute on the selection | ICB model and competency framework of |
| | | of project managers | project managers approved by the field of |
| | | | industrial excellence - Project Management |
| | | | Development Group of Defense Industries |
| | | | Training and Research Institute, and levels E |
| | | | and F are appropriate. The current |
| | Professional | | knowledge status of industry project |
| 1 | competence of | | managers is defined as the minimum |
| 1 | the project | | knowledge required. |
| | manager | | - Develop a system for promoting project |
| | | | managers in a similar way and in parallel |
| | | | with the system for promoting researchers |
| | | | and assigning an organizational position of |
| | | | 14 to 17 to project managers at levels D to A |
| | | | and determining the minimum salary received |
| | | | at each level so that the necessary and |
| | | | sufficient attractiveness for growth and |
| | | | promotion for individuals Create. It should be |
| | | | noted that these benefits only apply to people |
| | | | who work as project managers. |
| | | | - Establishing correspondence between |
| | | | project managers of different levels with |
| | | | existing levels of future research projects. If |
| | | | there is a gap between the level of project |
| | | | managers and the level of projects, the person |
| | | | can be appointed as a project manager, but |
| | | | the benefits received by the person will be |
| | | | equal to the existing level of project manager. |
| | | - Selection of project manager | - Granting project management certification |
| | | Selection parameters | to people who are at different levels or are |
| | | • Lack of knowledge of industry | promoted to higher levels by the Project |
| | | managers about project | Management Development Group |
| | | management knowledge | - Development of training courses in the |
| | | • Lack of attention to the | areas of professional, behavioral and |
| | | professional, behavioral and | conceptual competence of project managers |
| | | conceptual competencies of the | based on ICB-defined topics and training |
| | | project manager | planning based on current levels of project |
| | | • Lack of job description and | managers and their training needs. |
| | | conditions for obtaining a job | - Using the method of student-teacher and |
| | | • Lack of supervision of the | workshop courses to improve the quality of |
| | | research institute on the selection | learning. |
| | | of project managers | - Holding visits to successful national |
| | | - Training project managers | projects and using the experiences of |
| | Behavioral | • Do not use students and | domestic and foreign project managers as a |
| 2 | competence of | graduates of project management | seminar. |
| | competence of | graauates of project management | seminar. |

| Row | Factor title | Existing problems | Improvement strategies |
|-----|---------------|---|---|
| | the project | • Lack of interest and willingness | -Collecting and compiling native models of |
| | manager | of project managers to participate | nine areas of project management and |
| | | in seminars and project | requiring project managers to implement |
| | | management courses | models. |
| | | • Using inappropriate teaching | |
| | | methods | |
| | | • Lack of a codified and integrated | |
| | | project management training program | |
| | | • Lack of knowledge or belief of | |
| | | industry managers in the | |
| | | importance and necessity of | |
| | | acquiring project management | |
| | | knowledge | |
| | | - The position of project managers | |
| | | • Lack of job description, job | |
| | | description and conditions for | |
| | | obtaining a project management | |
| | | job | |
| | | • Lack of attractiveness of project management job due to the | |
| | | organizational structure, position, | |
| | | reputation and amount received by | |
| | | research project managers in | |
| | | relation to assigned | |
| | | responsibilities | |
| | | • Not assigning a position to | |
| | | project managers in the system of | |
| | | promoting researchers | |
| | | • Slight differences in project | |
| | | managers' perceptions compared to other researchers | |
| | | *Limited powers of project | |
| | | managers | |
| | | Lack of a written job ladder for | - Taking seriously the plan to create design |
| | | system designers and engineers | offices and implement it as a pilot in one of |
| | | - Lack of appropriate training | the industries and eliminate the |
| | | courses in order to train system | shortcomings and problems of the plan |
| | | engineers | - Development of training courses required |
| | | - Poor communication between | by system engineers |
| | | researchers and system engineers with successful | - More interaction with successful domestic and foreign companies and organizations |
| | | domestic or foreign companies | - Paying attention to international |
| | | - Technical complexity of | standards in the field of system engineering |
| | | projects and incompatibility of | Assigning a suitable organizational |
| | | knowledge and experience of | position to system engineers in order for |
| 3 | Competence of | system engineers with the | them to benefit from the benefits of the |
| | a system | complexity of systems | position and motivate them for deep and |
| | engineer | - Using experienced system | specialized work |
| | | engineers as project managers | -Carrying out joint projects with countries |
| | | and taking them out of their field of expertise | with system engineering knowledge and transferring their experiences through the |
| | | - Lack of development and | work and activities of the company's |
| | | attention to the position of | specialists in foreign companies. |
| | | system engineers in the system | ar |
| | | of promotion of researchers | |
| | | -Do not take seriously the plan | |
| | | to create design offices | |
| | | - Weakness in the system of | - Improving the payment system in order to |
| | | promotion of researchers | make it fairer and have a greater impact on |
| | | - Weakness in the payment | the efficiency and work efficiency of people |
| | | system and motivational factors | in their total income |

| Row | Factor title | Existing problems | Improvement strategies |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 4 | Project team motivation | - Weakness in the system of evaluation and job promotion - Weakness in the distribution system of welfare services -Weakness in the education system | Modify the system of promotion of researchers and the method of promotion and obtaining points by researchers so that a clear definition of research and research activity and research output is done. Special attention to performing specialized work and engineering design and recognizing and labeling designers based on their technical and specialized field. Development of job ladder and job description of newcomers based on job ladder Paying special attention to the issue of training and learning of specialists and compiling comprehensive training courses based on technology architecture plan |
| 5 | Support of the senior manager | Inadequate prioritization of projects Weakness in the project approval process Lack of attention to strategic planning and long-term future of the company Lack of attention to project portfolio management | - Develop a strategic plan of the organization and pay more attention to the future and future products of the organization Establishment of a project management office in order to properly allocate resources and pay attention to project portfolio management -Coordinating the project portfolio with the long-term strategy of the organization in the project approval stage |
| 6 | Project planning | Lack of knowledge and familiarity of project managers with the planning process - Little knowledge of project managers and implementers of project planning methods and tools - Ignoring a number of planning areas such as scope, communication, risk, quality and procurement - Time and complexity of the process of making changes to the original plan and the unrealistic plans - Lack of interest of project managers in the subject of planning - Non-institutionalization of planning culture in industry -Lack of belief and commitment of project managers to codified and transparent planning | - Development of project management plan (including management plan of 8 specialized areas of project management) at the beginning of the project as one of the three main project documents Obligation of the project manager to follow the project management plan - Preparing models for developing a project management plan and planning its various areas by the research institute or project management office - Develop a program and process of project change management and reduce decision-making time regarding changes - Special attention of senior managers to the issue of planning in order to model and institutionalize the culture of planning in the industry - Increasing the competence of project managers by holding appropriate training courses -Evaluate and select middle managers based on their commitment to the subject of planning |
| | | - Lack of familiarity of project managers with the literature and knowledge of project area management - Inattention of project managers to the field of area management - Defects in the models prepared by the research institute and purely technical vision | - Training project managers in order to get acquainted with the knowledge of project management and the importance of paying attention to the field of area management - Development of models for preparing the project charter, statement of scope and structure of project failure - Paying attention to the approach of formulating the structure of work failure according to the deliverable items of the project |

| Row | Factor title | Existing problems | Improvement strategies |
|-----|---------------|---|--|
| 7 | Project scope | -Limiting project managers to the | - Accelerate the review process and |
| | management | existing model by the research | approve changes in the area |
| | | institute | - Requiring project managers to prepare |
| | | | and submit a statement of scope and |
| | | | structure of work failure before |
| | | | formulating project activities |
| | | | -Leaving the project manager free to |
| | | | formulate the project work failure structure |
| | | | based on the project scope statement and deliverables |
| | | - Do not draw a network of | - Holding a training project planning and |
| | | activities | control training workshop at the level of |
| | | - Lack of determination of | current and future project managers |
| | | dependency relationships between | - Requiring project managers to prepare a list |
| | | activities | of activities based on the project failure |
| | | - Failure to determine the critical | structure |
| | | path | - Use of existing software such as Microsoft |
| | | - Lack of attention to resources in | Project or Primavera to facilitate the network |
| | | setting the schedule (financial, | drawing process and scheduling of activities |
| | | human, equipment) | - Doing phase-by-phase planning for projects |
| | | - Paying attention only to the | with high complexity (currently in a number |
| 8 | Project time | percentage of progress of activities in controlling project | of projects this method is underway) - Paying attention to the time frame of the |
| 0 | management | periods | whole project in scheduling activities and |
| | managemeni | - Lack of updating the schedule of | determining the project task in case of |
| | | activities that have been delayed | excessive deviation of the initial plan from the |
| | | - The program is unusable due to | time of project completion |
| | | the large deviation of reality from | - Allocating resources to project activities |
| | | the program | and correcting the project schedule |
| | | - Motivation of the project | according to the allocated resources |
| | | manager in using the principles | - Using the network platform to update |
| | | and knowledge of project | information on the progress of activities and |
| | | management | changes in the schedule |
| | | - Lack of timely and serious | - Using the available software to predict the |
| | | decision to stop the project or agree with the client on major | completion status of the project based on real conditions |
| | | changes in the time frame | - Paying special attention to the critical path |
| | | -Inefficiency of project control | of the project and making quick decisions |
| | | sessions and turning it into | regarding the delay of critical activities |
| | | sessions to express problems and | -Special attention of senior managers to |
| | | heartache | control and monitor the project based on |
| | | | Gantt and the network of project activities |
| | | | instead of receiving scattered and verbal |
| | | | information |
| | | - The government structure of the | Granting the necessary authority to project |
| | | company and the existence of | managers to purchase the necessary items |
| | | strict rules and regulations on the purchase and cost of projects | (items that by law do not require price inquiry and bidding) |
| | | - Existence of many decision | - Eliminate a number of signatures required |
| | | makers in making purchases | for the purchase request form in research |
| | | - Priority of allocating budget and | projects in order to expedite the delivery of |
| | | liquidity to production projects | forms to the Procurement Unit |
| | | and financial problems of | - Allocation of manpower in charge of |
| | | research projects | procurement to large projects (resident) to |
| | Project | Lack of familiarity of project | facilitate procurement and follow up and |
| | Procurement | managers with the field of | report on the latest status of procurement |
| | Management | knowledge of project procurement | - Prepare a complete database of purchase |
| 9 | | management | requests to enter the initial time estimate |
| | | -Lack of proper planning and | information and forecast the date of receipt of |
| | | forecasting of the date of receipt of | goods during the project and real-time |
| | | goods | information of receipt of goods, to improve |
| | | | the purchase process and more accurate |

| Row | Factor title | Existing problems | Improvement strategies |
|-----|----------------------------|---|--|
| | | | estimation of the time period of subsequent similar purchases. - Comprehensive evaluation of contractors and updating of contractors information by the Procurement Unit -Paying attention to the issue of sanctions and the possibility of not having access to resources when designing and predicting parallel roads |
| 10 | Project risk management | - Project media's inattention to the field of risk management, despite its great importance in research projects - Project managers are not familiar with the knowledge of the field of risk management Lack of knowledge of project managers about tools, methods and models in this field | - Implementation of project risk management processes based on PMBOK model: • Risk identification • Qualitative risk analysis • Quantitative risk analysis • Develop a risk response plan • Risk monitoring and control - Project risk planning after approval and notification of the project to the project manager - Update information related to risks and during the project and in control processes - Training project managers to get acquainted with project management knowledge and the importance of paying attention to the field of risk management - Preparing and compiling local models required for project risk management and requiring project managers to implement processes based on codified models - special attention to knowledge management and make it possible to use the experiences of previous projects |