Western Oregon University

Digital Commons@WOU

Academic Excellence Showcase Proceedings

Student Scholarship

2021-05-27

A Discussion of Fake Relics in the Early Eleventh Century: An Analysis of Guibert of Nogent and Rodolphus Glaber

Alexis Berkey Western Oregon University, aberkey17@mail.wou.edu

Elizabeth Swedo Dr.

Western Oregon University, swedoe@mail.wou.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wou.edu/aes

Recommended Citation

Berkey, Alexis and Swedo, Elizabeth Dr., "A Discussion of Fake Relics in the Early Eleventh Century: An Analysis of Guibert of Nogent and Rodolphus Glaber" (2021). *Academic Excellence Showcase Proceedings*. 269.

https://digitalcommons.wou.edu/aes/269

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Scholarship at Digital Commons@WOU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Excellence Showcase Proceedings by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@WOU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@wou.edu, kundas@mail.wou.edu, bakersc@mail.wou.edu.

A Discussion of Fake Relics in the early Eleventh Century: An Analysis of Guibert of Nogent and Rodolphus Glaber.

Alexis Berkey

Elizabeth Swedo and Patricia Goldsworthy-Bishop Department of History, Western Oregon University

Introduction

The reactions towards relics and fake relics during the early eleventh century varied between individuals and institutions. The monks Guibert of Nogent and Rodolphus Glaber held conflicting views on relics; however, they shared the negative views of fake relics and argued that there needed to to be steps to identify fakes and that the clergy were directly involved with the propagation of fake relics.

Image: The Cover of Monodies and On the Relics of Saints



GUIBERT OF NOGENT

Monodies and On the Relics of Saints

The Authlinguply and a Manifoto of a

French Monk from the Time of the Crussels.

The Source

Guibert of Nogent broke up his 1119 C.E. work *On the Relics of Saints* into three books with the overarching theme of responding to the church of Saint-Médard and their relic, a baby-tooth of Christ (Guibert of Nogent, vii). Book I described relic cults and Guibert's initial response to Saint-Médard's claims, Book II discussed the problems relating to the existence of relics and their relation to the Eucharist, and Book III attempted to debunk Saint-Médard's claims (Guibert of Nogent, xvii).

"What kind of saint is it whose death causes doubt?" (Guibert of Nogent, 197).

This specific source relates to Guibert of Nogent's reaction to the claims of the church Saint-Médard obtaining a baby tooth of Christ. Guibert disagreed with those claims due to his belief that any relic that claimed to be a physical body part of Christ was a fake relic (Guibert of Nogent, 193, 250). A fake relic included relics whose saint had doubt cast upon them because of a lack of written evidence supporting their sainthood (Guibert of Nogent, 195-197). The significance of this source comes from Guibert's more strict interpretations of what relics were, thus casting doubt on the validity of several relics of his time. Guibert also argued for detailed written documentation of the validity of the saint connected to a relic.

Conclusions

The preliminary conclusion is that Guibert of Nogent relics, while holding necessary jobs and values within the church, were not necessary towards salvation itself. Guibert argued for proper documentation as evidence to prove the validity of saints and relics, which highlighted his negative response to fake relics. The broader significance of this work is the creation of a new perspective by using Guibert of Nogent to show the similar and differing viewpoints during the early eleventh century and comparing his work to those of Rodolphus Glaber.

Literature Cited

Guibert of Nogent. *Monodies and On the Relics of Saints: The Autobiography and a Manifesto of a French Monk from the Time of the Crusades*. Translated by Joseph McAlhany and Jay Rubenstein. New York, NY: Penguin Books, 2011.