Reprinted from JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL THEORY Vol. 17, No. 2, September 1974
All Rights Reserved by Academic Press, New York and London *Printed in Belgium*

Note

Solution of a Problem of A. Ehrenfeucht and J. Mycielski

G. O. H. KATONA

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1053, Budapest, Hungary

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received March 19, 1973

A conjecture of A. Ehrenfeucht and J. Mycielski concerning families of subsets is established.

The aim of this note to prove the conjecture posed in [3] by the method used in [1] and [2].

THEOREM. *Let $X = \{1, 2,..., n\}$ be a finite set and $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$, $B_1, ..., B_m$ be distinct subsets of X such that*

$$|A_i| = k, \quad |B_i| = l \quad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant m; \quad k, l \textit{ fixed}, \quad 1 \leqslant k, l; \quad k + l \leqslant n)$$

and

$$A_i \cap B_j \neq \varnothing \qquad \textit{if} \quad i \neq j,$$
$$A_i \cap B_i = \varnothing.$$

Then

$$m \leqslant \binom{k+l}{k}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. 1. Define the subsets C_i, D_i of X in the following way. Let $C_i \cup D_i$ be an arbitrary $(k + l)$-tuple of X $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \binom{n}{k+l})$, and let C_i consist of the first k elements of this $(k + l)$-tuple, D_i the last l. Denote this system by $\mathscr{F}^i = \{C_i, D_i\}$.

2. Denote the maximal element of C_i by e_i. If $e_i \leqslant e_j$, then every element of C_i is $\leqslant e_i$ and every element of D_j is $> e_j$. Hence $C_i \cap D_j = \varnothing$. Similarly, if $e_i \geqslant e_j$, then $C_j \cap D_i = \varnothing$. We can conclude that either $C_i \cap D_j = \varnothing$ or $C_j \cap D_i = \varnothing$ holds if $i \neq j$.

3. Let $\mathscr{F}_1{}^i,\ldots, \mathscr{F}^i_{n!}$ be the systems formed from $\mathscr{F}^i$ by permuting the elements of X. Their elements are denoted by $\mathscr{F}_u{}^i = \{C_i{}^u, D_i{}^u\}$. From the result of the previous section it follows that either $C_i{}^u \cap D_j{}^u = \varnothing$ or $C_j{}^u \cap D_i{}^u = \varnothing$ holds $(1 \leqslant u \leqslant n!)$.

4. Let us count in two different ways the number of pairs$(\mathscr{F}_u{}^i,(A_v, B_v))$, where $C_i{}^u = A_v$, $D_i{}^u = B_v$. Fix first u. If $C_i{}^u = A_v$, $D_i{}^u = B_v$, $C_j{}^u = A_w$, $D_j{}^u = B_w$ for some $1 \leqslant v < w \leqslant m$, then $C_i{}^u \cap D_j{}^u \neq \varnothing$ and $C_j{}^u \cap D_i{}^u \neq \varnothing$ by the suppositions of the theorem, and it contradicts our result in Section 3. It means, to every u we can have at most one (A_v, B_v) with the given property. The number of pairs $(\mathscr{F}_u{}^i, (A_v, B_v))$ is at most $n!$.

On the other hand, fixing (A_v, B_v), we can choose $\binom{n}{k+l}$ sets (C_i, D_i) to permute into (A_v, B_v). If we fix it, the number of such permutations is $k!\, l!\, (n-k-l)!$ This means that the exact number of $\mathscr{F}_u{}^i$'s is

$$\binom{n}{k+l} k!\, l!\, (n-k-l)!$$

(not depending on v) and the number of pairs is

$$m \binom{n}{k+l} k!\, l!\, (n-k-l)! \leqslant n!\,.$$

This inequality is equivalent to (1). The proof is completed.

It is easy to see that (1) is the best possible relation, because choosing $|X| = k + l$ and choosing all the k-tuples for C_i $(D_i = X - C_i)$, the obtained system satisfies the conditions of the theorem, and the equality in (1).

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to P. Erdös, A. Hajnal, and L. Surányi, for transmitting the problem to me.

Recently I learned, L. Lovász and J. Mycielski also proved this theorem by use of a theorem of Bollobás [4]. They could prove the unicity of the optimal family, too.

References

1. D. Lubell, A short proof of Sperner's Lemma, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **1** (1966), 299.
2. G. O. H. Katona, A simple proof of the Erdös–Chao Ko–Rado theorem, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, *A* **13** (1972), 183–184.
3. A. Ehrenfeucht and J. Mycielski (to appear).
4. B. Bollobás, On generalized graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **16** (1965), 447–452.

Printed by the St Catherine Press Ltd., Tempelhof 37, Bruges, Belgium.