

# A Simple Proof of a Theorem of Milner\*

Gyula O. H. Katona

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
P.O. Box 127, H-1364 Budapest, Hungary*

*Communicated by the Managing Editors*

Received August 18, 1997

Dedicated to the memory of Eric C. Milner

A new short proof is given for the following theorem of Milner: An intersecting, inclusion-free family of subsets of an  $n$ -element set has at most  $\binom{n}{\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil}$  members. © 1998 Academic Press

*Key Words:* families of subsets; intersecting; Sperner.

Let  $X$  be a finite set of  $n$  elements and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of its distinct subsets. We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *intersecting* if  $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand, if  $F \not\subseteq G$  holds for any two distinct members of  $\mathcal{F}$  then it is called *Sperner* or *inclusion-free*. Milner [1] proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *An intersecting Sperner family on an  $n$ -element set has at most*

$$\binom{n}{\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil}$$

*members.*

Milner's proof was not very complicated, but it used a non-trivial theorem of the present author [2]. Here we show that this was not necessary, as our elementary proof uses the cycle method [3].

\* The work was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grants numbers T016389 and T016524; the European Communities (Cooperation in Science and Technology with Central and Eastern European Countries) Contract CIPACT930113; and DIMACS.

First we give a lemma which is a weighted version of the problem on the "cycle". Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cyclic permutation of  $X$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $B_1, \dots, B_r$  be an intersecting Sperner family of intervals in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \binom{n}{|B_i|} \leq n \binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Consider the intervals starting from a given element to the right along  $\mathcal{C}$ . Obviously at most one of them can be a  $B_i$ , since the family is Sperner. Therefore  $r \leq n$  holds. This settles the proof if  $n$  is odd, so let us suppose that  $n$  is even. Two cases will be distinguished.

1.  $r = n$ . Suppose that  $B_i$  starts at the  $i$ th element along  $\mathcal{C}$ . The Sperner property implies  $|B_i| \leq |B_{i+1}|$ . Consequently we have  $|B_1| \leq |B_2| \leq \dots \leq |B_n| \leq |B_1|$ , that is, all  $B$ s must have the same size  $k$ . It cannot be  $n/2$  because of the intersecting property. Then the (equal) terms of the left side of (1) are at most  $\binom{n}{\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil}$ , proving the lemma for this case.

2.  $r < n$ . At most one of the complementing  $n/2$ -element intervals can occur among the  $B$ s, therefore at most  $n/2$  of the terms on the left side of (1) can be  $\binom{n}{n/2}$ , the others cannot exceed  $\binom{n}{(n/2)+1}$ . That is, the left hand side of (1) is at most

$$\frac{n}{2} \binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \binom{n}{\frac{n}{2} + 1}.$$

It is easy to see that the latter expression is equal to the right-hand side of (1). ■

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  be an intersecting Sperner family on  $X$ . Consider the

$$\sum_{\mathcal{C}, A_i} \binom{n}{|A_i|} \quad (2)$$

for those pairs for which  $A_i$  is an interval along  $\mathcal{C}$ . First fix  $A_i$ . It is easy to see that  $A_i$  is an interval in exactly  $|A_i|! (n - |A_i|)!$  cyclic permutations of  $X$ . Hence (2) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\{\mathcal{C}: A_i \text{ is interval}\}} \binom{n}{|A_i|} = \sum_{i=1}^m |A_i|! (n - |A_i|)! \binom{n}{|A_i|} = mn!. \quad (3)$$

Fix now  $\mathcal{C}$  in (2). The lemma gives an upper bound on the sum with a given  $\mathcal{C}$ . That is, (2) is upperbounded by

$$(n-1)! n \binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}.$$

Comparing this with (3), the following inequality is obtained:

$$mn! \leq n! \binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil},$$

completing the proof. ■

#### REFERENCES

1. E. C. Milner, A combinatorial theorem on systems of sets, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 204–206.
2. G. Katona, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **15** (1964), 329–337.
3. G. O. H. Katona, A simple proof of the Erdős–Chao Ko–Rado theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **13** (1972), 183–184.