

1 **Running head: Age, sex, ornaments and winter body condition**

2

3 **Winter body condition in relation to age, sex and plumage ornamentation in**
4 **a migratory songbird**

5

6

7 RITA HARGITAI,^{1,2*} GERGELY HEGYI² & JÁNOS TÖRÖK²

8

9

10 ¹*Institute of Environmental Sciences, College of Nyíregyháza, Sóstói út 31/B, H-4401,*
11 *Nyíregyháza, Hungary.*

12

13 ²*Behavioural Ecology Group, Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, Eötvös Loránd*
14 *University, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary*

15

16

17 *Corresponding author.

18 Email: rita.hargitai@gmail.com

19

1 Winter body condition may play important roles in the life history of migratory birds, but it is
2 difficult to estimate. We used the growth rate of winter-grown tail feathers of Collared
3 Flycatchers *Ficedula albicollis* as an indicator of winter body condition, comparing this trait
4 between age classes and sexes, and relating it to plumage ornamentation (forehead and wing
5 patch sizes). Adults and males had better nutritional condition during winter as indicated by
6 their faster tail feather growth rate than yearlings and females, respectively, which could
7 indicate differences in individual quality and foraging ability with age, or age- and sex-related
8 winter habitat segregation. However, feather growth rate was related neither to the size of the
9 winter-grown forehead patch, nor the size of the summer-grown wing patch, suggesting weak
10 condition-dependence for the winter-grown ornament, and complex life-history consequences
11 for the summer-grown ornament.

12

13

14

15

16 **Keywords:** Collared Flycatcher, *Ficedula albicollis*, moult, plumage ornaments,
17 ptilochronology, winter habitat quality

1 In migratory birds, body condition on the wintering grounds could have long-term
2 consequences on spring arrival time, reproductive success in the breeding grounds, annual
3 survival, and lifespan (Takaki *et al.* 2001, Saino *et al.* 2004, Studds & Marra 2005, Reudink *et*
4 *al.* 2009). Therefore, data on the winter condition of migratory birds may reveal how the non-
5 breeding season affects their life history. Information on winter body condition may also help
6 illuminate the condition-dependence of winter-grown plumage ornaments (Veiga & Puerta
7 | 1996, McGlothlin *et al.* 2007), and the condition correlates of ornamental traits grown before
8 winter (Johnstone 1995).

9 As plumage is important in thermoregulation, social communication, and flight ability,
10 feather regeneration should proceed as rapidly as possible. Feather growth rate has been
11 successfully used to estimate the nutritional condition of birds during moult, a method called
12 ptilochronology (Grubb 2006). Food availability (Grubb & Cimprich 1990, Brown & Sherry
13 2006), habitat quality (Grubb & Yosef 1994, Carlson 1998), and environmental stress
14 (Carbonell & Tellería 1999, Talloen *et al.* 2008) can all affect feather growth rates. However,
15 ptilochronology has rarely been applied for assessing body condition during the winter moult
16 in migratory birds.

17 In this study, we used the tail feather growth rate of Collared Flycatchers *Ficedula*
18 *albicollis* as an index of condition at the wintering quarters in sub-Saharan Africa (Cramp &
19 Perrins 1993), and related it to several phenotypic traits. First, we investigated tail feather
20 growth rate in relation to age. We expected that adult birds would be in better body condition
21 as indicated by their faster tail feather growth rate than yearlings due to experience or better
22 genetic quality (Forslund & Pärt 1995, Hegyi *et al.* 2006). Secondly, we compared feather
23 growth rate between the sexes, predicting faster feather growth, and thus better condition in
24 males than in females due to possible dominance-mediated sexual habitat segregation (Piper
25 1997, Marra 2000). Finally, we analysed if there was a relationship between tail feather

1 growth rate and plumage ornamentation (forehead and wing patch sizes) of Collared
2 Flycatchers.

3 In our population, male forehead patch size is used in social mate acquisition (Hegyi *et al.*
4 2002, 2010). However, it does not indicate spring body condition (Hegyi *et al.* 2002), and
5 seems to be unimportant in male-male competition (Garamszegi *et al.* 2006). Male and female
6 wing patch sizes appear to be important in social mate acquisition (Hegyi *et al.* 2008a, 2010)
7 and intrasexual competition (Garamszegi *et al.* 2006, Hegyi *et al.* 2008b). Wing patch size is
8 highly repeatable and heritable, predicts the viability of adult males, and its within-individual
9 change is related to spring body condition (Török *et al.* 2003, Hegyi *et al.* 2008a). However, a
10 larger wing patch also incurs costs for its bearer (Garamszegi *et al.* 2006, Hegyi *et al.* 2008b).
11 The forehead patch is moulted in the winter in parallel with tail feathers, while the wing patch
12 in the summer (Svensson 1992), so their correlation with winter body condition index would
13 indicate condition-dependence for forehead patch size, but the balance of costs, benefits and
14 individual quality for wing patch size.

15

16

17 **METHODS**

18

19 The study was carried out in a population of Collared Flycatchers in the Pilis Mountains (47°
20 43'N, 19° 01'E), Hungary, in 2008 and 2009. Birds were captured in the nestbox during the
21 nestling feeding period. The sizes of the white forehead patch of males (Hegyi *et al.* 2002)
22 and the wing patch of both sexes were measured (Török *et al.* 2003). We determined age
23 (yearling or adult) of males from the colour of remiges (Svensson 1992), while age of females
24 was determined from ringing data. We plucked the left and right second outermost rectrices of
25 the birds and stored them in envelopes until analyses.

1 We measured the growth bars of the tail feathers of 110 and 76 Collared Flycatchers that
2 bred in 2008 and 2009, respectively. One of us (R. H.) marked the length of eight growth bars
3 in the central part of the feather by sticking a pin through a sheet at both ends of the measured
4 section. The distance between the two pin marks was measured using a calliper (to the nearest
5 0.1 mm). Some feathers could not be measured because the growth bars were too faint.
6 Growth bar width was measured three or four times on each feather, and later averaged
7 (growth bar width repeatability within left and right feathers: $r = 0.88$ and $r = 0.89$,
8 respectively, all $P < 0.001$; Lessells & Boag 1987). The average length of the eight growth
9 bars was divided by eight to obtain the mean daily growth rate (mm/day), then further
10 averaged between the left and right rectrices if data of both feathers were available
11 (correlation between the left and right rectrices: $r = 0.54$, $n = 130$, $P < 0.001$).

12 We fitted two general linear models with feather growth rate as the dependent variable,
13 assuming a normal error structure. In the first model, wing patch size measured in the year of
14 feather plucking was included as a continuous variable, and age, sex and year were entered as
15 factors (see Introduction for predictions). The two- and three-way interactions between factors
16 and with the continuous variable were also included to see whether the effect of the ornament
17 varied with age, sex, year or their combinations, and whether the effects of age, sex and year
18 influenced each other. In the second model, forehead patch size was analysed with age and
19 year as factors, as we had data only for males. We used stepwise backward selection with the
20 reintroduction of non-significant ($P > 0.05$) parameters one by one. Wing patch size was
21 significantly affected by age, sex and year, while forehead patch size by year, so they were
22 standardized (mean = 0, sd = ± 1) to remove the confounding effects of factors on the effect
23 of the covariate (Norman and Streiner 2000). Analyses were conducted in STATISTICA,
24 version 5.5 (StatSoft Inc.).

25

1

2 **RESULTS**

3

4 We found a significant effect of age on tail feather growth rate: one-year-old Collared
5 Flycatchers grew their feathers more slowly than adult birds (Table 1; Fig. 1). Moreover,
6 males had faster feather growth rate than females (Table 1; Fig. 1). Tail feather growth rate
7 was not significantly related to the wing patch size of either sex or the forehead patch size of
8 males (Table 1).

9

10

11 **DISCUSSION**

12

13 Adult Collared Flycatchers grew their tail feathers faster than yearlings, as found in previous
14 studies of other passerines (Carbonell & Tellería 1999, Gienapp & Merilä 2010). Adults may
15 have more experience in foraging or higher intrinsic individual quality (Forsslund & Pärt 1995,
16 Hegyi *et al.* 2006), which may enable faster feather development during winter moult.
17 Alternatively, there may be a dominance-mediated habitat segregation between age classes in
18 non-breeding areas (Marra 2000, Catry *et al.* 2004). The potentially less suitable areas
19 occupied by young birds may reduce their nutritional condition and increase physiological
20 stress (Marra & Holberton 1998), thereby reducing feather developmental rate (Carbonell &
21 Tellería 1999, Romero *et al.* 2005, Brown & Sherry 2006). In the Collared Flycatcher, one-
22 year-old birds generally arrive later in the breeding area than adults (Mitrus 2004, our pers.
23 obs.), which may reflect their poorer nutritional condition during wintering. However, it is
24 also possible that yearlings arrive later because of their poorer flight performance or lack of
25 experience during migration.

1 Males and females may compete with one another for access to limiting resources in the
2 non-breeding area. As males are more aggressive and thus probably dominant to females
3 (Piper 1997), they may exclude them from the most suitable areas, a phenomenon known as
4 sexual habitat segregation (Marra 2000, Catry *et al.* 2004). Our results support that male and
5 female Collared Flycatchers were in different nutritional condition during winter moult as
6 feather growth rate, which could indicate the condition during moult (Grubb 2006), was faster
7 in males than in females. In accordance with our results, females of a Swedish Collared
8 Flycatcher population probably winter in more arid, and thus more stressful habitats than
9 males as judged from stable isotope ratios (Hjernquist *et al.* 2009).

10 Our data showed no relationship between wing patch size and tail feather growth rate,
11 suggesting that this ornament did not influence body condition in the subsequent winter. Wing
12 patch size could indicate individual quality, as it is related to survivorship and spring body
13 condition (Török *et al.* 2003, Hegyi *et al.* 2008a, 2010). However, a larger wing patch elicits
14 enhanced intrasexual aggression (Garamszegi *et al.* 2006, Hegyi *et al.* 2008b), which may
15 limit the time birds can devote to foraging, and the caused stress may also inhibit feather
16 growth (Romero *et al.* 2005). Moreover, a larger white wing patch may facilitate the abrasion
17 of wing feathers (G. Hegyi *et al.* unpubl. data; see also Bonser 1995, Kose & Møller 1999),
18 thereby impairing flight performance and foraging efficiency. It is possible that the higher
19 quality or general condition of birds and the increased wearing costs of the ornament
20 cancelled each other out, thereby leaving no association between wing patch size and winter
21 body condition index. Finally, the non-significant relationship between tail feather growth
22 rate and winter-developed forehead patch size supports the view that forehead patch size in
23 our population provides little information on body condition (Hegyi *et al.* 2002, 2010).

24 In conclusion, our results illustrate how a relatively simple estimate of winter body
25 condition may shed light on potential causal links in the life cycle of birds that are not easily

1 accessible to researchers. Feather trace element or isotope data from our study population will
2 help outline groups that winter in the same geographical region (Hjernquist *et al.* 2009, Szép
3 *et al.* 2009), potentially explaining the sex and age effects we detected. Our results concerning
4 ornament expression and winter condition, on the other hand, may help interpret links
5 between ornamentation and nutritional state, reproductive success and future ornamentation,
6 thereby placing the ornament into the context of the whole yearly cycle.

7

8

9 We are grateful to Gy. Blázi, R. Föző, L. Z. Garamszegi, M. Herényi, M. Laczi, G. Markó, G. Nagy,
10 B. Rosivall and E. Szöllősi for participation in the fieldwork. We thank I. Hartley and two anonymous
11 reviewers for their helpful comments. This study was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research
12 Fund (OTKA, grants no. 75618), the Eötvös Loránd University, the Erdők a Közjóért Alapítvány, and
13 the Pilis Park Forestry. The Middle-Danube-Valley Inspectorate for Environmental Protection, Nature
14 Conservation and Water Management kindly provided permission for this study (KTVF 30871-1/2008
15 and KTVF 43355-1/2008).

16

17

18 REFERENCES

19

20 **Bonser, H** 1995. Melanin and the abrasion resistance of feathers. *Condor* **97**: 590-591.

21 **Brown, D.R. & Sherry, T.W.** 2006. Food supply controls the body condition of a migratory
22 bird wintering in the tropics. *Oecologia* **149**: 22-32.

23 **Carbonell, R. & Tellería, J.L.** 1999. Feather traits and ptilochronology as indicators of stress
24 in Iberian Blackcaps *Sylvia atricapilla*. *Bird Study* **46**: 243-248.

25 **Carlson, A.** 1998. Territory quality and feather growth in the white-backed woodpecker
26 *Dendrocopos leucotos*. *J. Avian Biol.* **29**: 205-207.

- 1 **Catry, P., Campos, A., Almada, V. & Cresswell, W.** 2004. Winter segregation of migrant
2 European robins *Erithacus rubecula* in relation to sex, age and size. *J. Avian Biol.* **35**:
3 204-209.
- 4 **Cramp, S. & Perrins, C.M.** 1993. *The Birds of the Western Palearctic*. Oxford: Oxford
5 University Press.
- 6 **Forslund, P. & Pärt, T.** 1995. Age and reproduction in birds: hypotheses and tests. *Trends*
7 *Ecol. Evol.* **10**: 374-378.
- 8 **Garamszegi, L.Z., Rosivall, B., Hegyi, G., Szöllősi, E., Török, J. & Eens, M.** 2006.
9 Determinants of male territorial behavior in a Hungarian collared flycatcher population:
10 plumage traits of residents and challengers. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **60**: 663-671.
- 11 **Gienapp, P. & Merilä, J.** 2010. Genetic and environmental effects on a condition-dependent
12 trait: feather growth in Siberian jays. *J. Evol. Biol.* **23**: 715-723.
- 13 **Grubb, T.C. Jr.** 2006. *Ptilochronology. Feather Time and Biology of Birds*. Oxford: Oxford
14 University Press.
- 15 **Grubb, T.C. Jr. & Cimprich, D.A.** 1990. Supplementary food improves the nutritional
16 condition of wintering woodland birds: evidence from ptilochronology. *Ornis Scand.* **21**:
17 277-281.
- 18 **Grubb, T.C. Jr. & Yosef, R.** 1994. Habitat-specific nutritional condition in the loggerhead
19 shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus*): evidence from ptilochronology. *Auk* **111**: 756-759.
- 20 **Hegyi, G., Török, J. & Tóth, L.** 2002. Qualitative population divergence in proximate
21 determination of a sexually selected trait in the Collared Flycatcher. *J. Evol. Biol.* **15**:
22 710-719.
- 23 **Hegyi, G., Rosivall, B. & Török, J.** 2006. Paternal age and offspring growth: separating the
24 intrinsic quality of young from rearing effects. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **60**: 672-682.

- 1 **Hegy, G., Rosivall, B., Garamszegi, L.Z., Szöllősi, E., Hargitai, R., Eens, M. & Török, J.**
2 2008a. Phenotypic plasticity in a female plumage ornament: information content and
3 mating patterns. *Anim. Behav.* **75**: 977-989.
- 4 **Hegy, G., Garamszegi, L.Z., Eens, M. & Török, J.** 2008b. Female ornamentation and
5 territorial conflicts in collared flycatchers (*Ficedula albicollis*). *Naturwissenschaften* **95**:
6 993-996.
- 7 **Hegy, G., Szöllősi, E., Jenni-Eiermann, S., Török, J., Eens, M. & Garamszegi, L.Z.**
8 2010. Nutritional correlates and mate acquisition role of multiple sexual traits in male
9 collared flycatchers. *Naturwissenschaften* **97**: 567-576.
- 10 **Hjernquist, M.B., Veen, T., Font, L. & Klaasen, M.** 2009. High individual repeatability and
11 population differentiation in stable isotope ratios in winter-grown collared flycatcher
12 *Ficedula albicollis* feathers. *J. Avian Biol.* **40**: 102-107.
- 13 **Johnstone, R.A.** 1995. Sexual selection, honest advertisement and the handicap principle:
14 reviewing the evidence. *Biol. Rev.* **70**: 1-65.
- 15 **Kose, M. & Møller, A.P.** 1999. Sexual selection, feather breakage and parasites: the
16 importance of white spots in the tail of the barn swallow (*Hirundo rustica*). *Behav. Ecol.*
17 *Sociobiol.* **45**: 430-436.
- 18 **Lessells, C. M. & Boag, P.T.** 1987. Unrepeatable repeatabilities: a common mistake. *Auk*
19 **104**: 116-121.
- 20 **Marra, P.P.** 2000 The role of behavioral dominance in structuring patterns of habitat
21 occupancy in a migrant bird during the nonbreeding season. *Behav. Ecol.* **11**: 299-308.
- 22 **Marra, P.P. & Holberton, R.L.** 1998. Corticosterone levels as indicators of habitat quality:
23 effects of habitat segregation in a migratory bird during the non-breeding season.
24 *Oecologia* **116**: 284-292.

- 1 **McGlothlin, J.W., Duffy, D.L., Henry-Freeman, J.L. & Ketterson, E.D.** 2007. Diet quality
2 affects an attractive white plumage pattern in dark-eyed juncos (*Junco hyemalis*). *Behav.*
3 *Ecol. Sociobiol.* **61**: 1391-1399.
- 4 **Mitrus, C.** 2004. No effect of age of males on reproductive success of the collared flycatcher
5 *Ficedula albicollis*. *Folia Zool.* **53**: 319-328.
- 6 **Norman, G.R. & Streiner, D.L.** 2000. *Biostatistics: the Bare Essentials*. Shelton, CT:
7 People's Medical Publishing House.
- 8 **Piper, W.H.** 1997. *Social Dominance in Birds: Early Findings and New Horizons*. New
9 York: Springer.
- 10 **Reudink, M.W., Marra, P.P., Kyser, T.K., Boag, P.T., Langin, K.M. & Ratcliffe, L.M.**
11 2009. Non-breeding season events influence sexual selection in a long-distance migratory
12 bird. *Proc. R. Soc B* **276**: 1619-1626.
- 13 **Romero, L.M., Storchlic, D. & Wingfield J.C.** 2005. Corticosterone inhibits feather growth:
14 potential mechanism explaining seasonal down regulation of corticosterone during moult.
15 *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* **142**: 65-73.
- 16 **Saino, N., Szép, T., Romano, M., Rubolini, D., Spina, F. & Møller, A.P.** 2004. Ecological
17 conditions during winter predict arrival date at the breeding quarters in a trans-Saharan
18 migratory bird. *Ecol. Lett.* **7**: 21-25.
- 19 **Studds, C.E. & Marra, P.P.** 2005. Nonbreeding habitat occupancy and population processes:
20 an upgrade experiment with a migratory bird. *Ecology* **86**: 2380-2385.
- 21 **Svensson, L.** 1992. *Identification Guide to European Passerines*. Stockholm: Svensson.
- 22 **Szép, T., Hobson, K.A., Vallner, J., Piper, S.E., Kovács, B., Szabó, D.Z. & Møller, A.P.**
23 2009. Comparison of trace element and stable isotope approaches to the study of
24 migratory connectivity: an example using two hirundine species breeding in Europe and
25 wintering in Africa. *J. Ornithol.* **150**: 621-636.

- 1 **Takaki, Y., Eguchi, K. & Nagata, H.** 2001. The growth bars on tail feathers in the male
2 Styan's Grasshopper Warbler may indicate quality. *J. Avian Biol.* **32**: 319-325.
- 3 **Talloen, W., Lens, L., Van Dongen, S. & Matthysen, E.** 2008. Feather development under
4 environmental stress: lead exposure effects on growth patterns in great tits *Parus major*.
5 *Bird Study* **55**: 108-117.
- 6 **Török, J., Hegyi, G. & Garamszegi, L.Z.** 2003. Depigmented wing patch size is a
7 condition-dependent indicator of viability in male collared flycatchers. *Behav. Ecol.* **14**:
8 382-388.
- 9 **Veiga, J.P. & Puerta, M.** 1996. Nutritional constraints determine the expression of a sexual
10 trait in the house sparrow, *Passer domesticus*. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **263**: 229-234.

1 **Table 1.** Tail feather growth rate of Collared Flycatchers in relation to age, sex, study year
2 and wing patch size (Model 1), and age, study year, and forehead patch size (Model 2).
3 General linear models were applied with stepwise selection. Bold indicates the final model,
4 and normal type indicates *P*-values for other variables when added individually to the final
5 model.
6

| Model 1 (both sexes) | <i>F</i> | df | <i>P</i> | Model 2 (males only) | <i>F</i> | df | <i>P</i> |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Age | 21.97 | 1, 182 | < 0.001 | Age | 20.87 | 1, 118 | < 0.001 |
| Sex | 7.92 | 1, 182 | 0.005 | Year | 7.01 | 1, 118 | 0.009 |
| Year | 7.50 | 1, 182 | 0.007 | Forehead patch size | 2.65 | 1, 117 | 0.11 |
| Wing patch size | 0.58 | 1, 181 | 0.45 | Age × Year | 0.82 | 1, 117 | 0.37 |
| Age × Sex | 0.52 | 1, 181 | 0.47 | Forehead patch size × Age | 0.12 | 1, 116 | 0.73 |
| Age × Year | 2.09 | 1, 181 | 0.15 | Forehead patch size × Year | 0.06 | 1, 116 | 0.80 |
| Sex × Year | 0.11 | 1, 181 | 0.74 | Forehead patch size × Age × Year | 0.35 | 1, 116 | 0.55 |
| Wing patch size × Age | 0.06 | 1, 180 | 0.81 | | | | |
| Wing patch size × Sex | 1.40 | 1, 180 | 0.24 | | | | |
| Wing patch size × Year | 1.42 | 1, 180 | 0.24 | | | | |
| Wing patch size × Age × Sex | 1.94 | 1, 180 | 0.17 | | | | |
| Wing patch size × Age × Year | 2.42 | 1, 180 | 0.12 | | | | |
| Wing patch size × Sex × Year | 0.03 | 1, 180 | 0.87 | | | | |

7

1 **Figure 1.** Tail feather growth rate in relation to age (yearling or adult) of male and female
2 Collared Flycatchers; means \pm se.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

