

FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITIES FOR MODIFIED STRUVE FUNCTIONS II

ÁRPÁD BARICZ AND TIBOR K. POGÁNY

Dedicated to Professor Paul L. Butzer on the occasion of his 85th birthday

(Communicated by T. Erdélyi)

Abstract. In this paper our aim is to prove some monotonicity and convexity results for the modified Struve function of the second kind by using its integral representation. Moreover, as consequences of these results, we present some functional inequalities (like Turán type inequalities) as well as lower and upper bounds for modified Struve function of the second kind and its logarithmic derivative.

1. Introduction

In the last decades many functional inequalities and monotonicity properties for special functions (like Bessel, modified Bessel, Gaussian hypergeometric, Kummer hypergeometric) and their combinations have been established by researchers, motivated by several problems that arise in wave mechanics, fluid mechanics, electrical engineering, quantum billiards, biophysics, mathematical physics, finite elasticity, probability and statistics, special relativity and radar signal processing. Although the inequalities involving the quotients of modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind are interesting in their own right, recently the lower and upper bounds for such quotients have received increasing attention, since they play an important role in various problems of mathematical physics and electrical engineering. For more details, see for example [2] and the references therein. The modified Struve functions of the first and second kind are related to modified Bessel functions of the first kind, and thus their properties can be useful in problems of mathematical physics. In [4] Joshi and Nalwaya presented some two-sided inequalities for modified Struve functions of the first kind and for their ratios. They also deduced some Turán and Wronski type inequalities for modified Struve functions of the first kind by using a generalized hypergeometric function representation of the Cauchy product of two modified Struve functions of the first kind. Motivated by the above results, by using a known result on the monotonicity of quotients of MacLaurin series, recently in [3] we proved some monotonicity and convexity results for the modified Struve functions of the first kind. Moreover, as consequences of these results, we

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 33C10, 39B62.

Keywords and phrases: Modified Struve function, modified Bessel function, Turán type inequality.



presented some functional inequalities as well as lower and upper bounds for modified Struve functions of the first kind. In this paper our aim is to continue the study from [3], but for the modified Struve functions of the second kind. The key tools in the proofs of the main results are the techniques developed in the extensive study of modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind and their ratios. The difficulty in the study of the modified Struve function consists in the fact that the modified Struve differential equation is not homogeneous, however, as we can see below, the integral representation of modified Struve function of the second kind is very useful in order to study its monotonicity and convexity properties.

2. Modified Struve function: Monotonicity patterns and functional inequalities

The modified Struve functions of the first and second kind, L_v and M_v are particular solutions of the modified Struve equation [7, p. 288]

$$x^{2}y''(x) + xy'(x) - (x^{2} + v^{2})y(x) = \frac{x^{\nu+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\nu-1}\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$
(1)

The modified Struve function of the second kind has the power series representation

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) - I_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu+1}}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(n+\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu}}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+\nu+1)},$$
(2)

where I_{V} stands for the modified Bessel function of the first kind.

Now, consider the function $\mathcal{M}_{V}: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu}(x) = -2^{\nu} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) x^{-\nu} \mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x), \tag{3}$$

which for $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ has the integral representation [7, p. 292]

$$\mathscr{M}_{\nu}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 - t^{2}\right)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-xt} dt$$
(4)

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. The following assertions are true:

- **a.** The function $x \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{v}(x)$ is completely monotonic and log-convex on $(0,\infty)$ for all $v > -\frac{1}{2}$.
- **b.** The function $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathscr{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)$ is completely monotonic and log-convex on $\left(-\frac{1}{2},\infty\right)$ for all x > 0.
- **c.** The function $x \mapsto -\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)$ is completely monotonic and log-convex on $(0,\infty)$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$.

Furthermore, for all x > 0 the following inequalities are valid:

$$\mathscr{M}_{\mathcal{V}}(x) < \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}, \quad \nu > -\frac{1}{2},\tag{5}$$

$$0 < [\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)]^{2} - \mathbf{M}_{\nu-1}(x)\mathbf{M}_{\nu+1}(x) < \frac{[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)]^{2}}{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \nu > \frac{1}{2},$$
(6)

$$\frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} < \nu, \quad \nu > -\frac{1}{2},\tag{7}$$

$$-\sqrt{x^2 + \nu^2} < \frac{x\mathbf{M}'_{\nu}(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} < \sqrt{x^2 + \nu^2}, \quad \nu > \frac{1}{2},$$
(8)

Proof. **a.** & **b.** By (3) for $n, m \in \{0, 1, ...\}$ and $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$(-1)^{n} \left[\mathscr{M}_{\nu}(x) \right]^{(n)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} t^{n} \left(1 - t^{2} \right)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-xt} dt,$$

$$(-1)^{m} \frac{\partial^{m} \mathscr{M}_{\nu}(x)}{\partial \nu^{m}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - t^{2}} \right)^{m} \left(1 - t^{2} \right)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-xt} dt.$$

Thus, the functions $x \mapsto \mathscr{M}_{v}(x)$ and $v \mapsto \mathscr{M}_{v}(x)$ are indeed completely monotonic and consequently are log-convex, since every completely monotonic function is log-convex, see [11, p. 167]. Alternatively, the log-convexity of these functions can be proved also by using (4) and the Hölder-Rogers inequality for integrals.

For inequality (5) just observe that \mathcal{M}_{v} is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for all $v > -\frac{1}{2}$, and thus

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu}(x) < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} (1-t^{2})^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-s)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}$$

c. By (3)

$$-\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = \frac{x^{\mathbf{v}} \mathscr{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)}{2^{\mathbf{v}} \Gamma\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

On the other hand, observe that $x \mapsto x^{\nu}$ is completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$ for all $\nu \leq 0$. Thus, by part **a** of the theorem the function $x \mapsto -\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)$, as a product of two completely monotonic functions, is completely monotonic and log-convex on $(0,\infty)$ for all $\nu \in \left(-\frac{1}{2},0\right]$. Now, since (see [7, p. 254] and [7, p. 291])

$$\mathbf{M}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \mathbf{L}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) - I_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \sinh x - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cosh x = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} e^{-x},$$

the function $x \mapsto -\mathbf{M}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ is completely monotonic and log-convex as the product of the completely monotonic and log-convex functions $x \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x \mapsto e^{-x}$.

Now, focus on the Turán type inequality (6). Since $v \mapsto \mathcal{M}_v(x)$ is log-convex on $\left(-\frac{1}{2},\infty\right)$ for x > 0, it follows that for all $v_1, v_2 > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and x > 0 we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{\alpha\nu_1+(1-\alpha)\nu_2}(x) \leq [\mathscr{M}_{\nu_1}(x)]^{\alpha} [\mathscr{M}_{\nu_2}(x)]^{1-\alpha}.$$

Choosing $v_1 = v - 1$, $v_2 = v + 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, the above inequality reduces to the Turán type inequality

$$[\mathscr{M}_{\mathcal{V}}(x)]^2 - \mathscr{M}_{\mathcal{V}-1}(x)\mathscr{M}_{\mathcal{V}+1}(x) \leqslant 0,$$

which by (3) is equivalent to the right-hand side of (6). For the left-hand side (6) observe that the Turánian

$$_{\mathbf{M}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = [\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)]^2 - \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}-1}(x)\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}+1}(x)$$

can be rewritten as

$$_{\mathbf{M}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = {}_{I}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) + {}_{\mathbf{L}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) + {}_{I,\mathbf{L}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x),$$
(9)

where

$${}_{I}\Delta_{\nu}(x) = [I_{\nu}(x)]^{2} - I_{\nu-1}(x)I_{\nu+1}(x),$$
$${}_{L}\Delta_{\nu}(x) = [\mathbf{L}_{\nu}(x)]^{2} - \mathbf{L}_{\nu-1}(x)\mathbf{L}_{\nu+1}(x)$$

and

$$I_{I,\mathbf{L}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = I_{\mathbf{v}+1}(x)\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{v}-1}(x) + I_{\mathbf{v}-1}(x)\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{v}+1}(x) - 2I_{\mathbf{v}}(x)\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{v}}(x).$$

It is well-known (see [1, 3, 4, 10]) that $_{I}\Delta_{v}(x) > 0$ for all v > -1 and x > 0, and $_{L}\Delta_{v}(x) > 0$ for all $v > -\frac{3}{2}$ and x > 0. On the other hand, by using the integral representations

$$I_{\nu}(x) = \frac{2\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - t^{2})^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} \cosh(xt) dt,$$
$$\mathbf{L}_{\nu}(x) = \frac{2\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - t^{2})^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} \sinh(xt) dt,$$

where $v > -\frac{1}{2}$, and the relation $\Gamma(v + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(v - \frac{1}{2}) = \Gamma^2(v + \frac{1}{2})$ we obtain for all $v > \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0 that

$$I_{,\mathbf{L}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = \frac{4\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2\mathbf{v}}}{\pi\Gamma\left(\mathbf{v}+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \left[\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}(1-t^{2})^{\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2}}(1-s^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{3}{2}}\cosh(xt)\sinh(xs)dtds\right] \\ + \int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}(1-t^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{3}{2}}(1-s^{2})^{\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2}}\cosh(xt)\sinh(xs)dtds\right] \\ - \frac{8\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2\mathbf{v}}}{\pi\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}(1-t^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2}}(1-s^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2}}\cosh(xt)\sinh(xs)dtds \\ = \frac{4\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2\mathbf{v}}}{\pi\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}(1-t^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{3}{2}}(1-s^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{3}{2}}\left[(1-t^{2})^{2}+(1-s^{2})^{2}\right] \\ -2(1-t^{2})(1-s^{2})\left[\cosh(xt)\sinh(xs)dtds \\ = \frac{4\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2\mathbf{v}}}{\pi\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}(1-t^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{3}{2}}(1-s^{2})^{\mathbf{v}-\frac{3}{2}}(t^{2}-s^{2})^{2}\cosh(xt)\sinh(xs)dtds.$$

This shows that $_{I,L}\Delta_{\nu}(x) > 0$ for all $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0, and consequently by (9) is $_{\mathbf{M}}\Delta_{\nu}(x) > 0$ for all $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0.

Next we prove inequalities (7) and (8). Since for $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ the function \mathcal{M}_v is completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$, it follows that it is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for $v > -\frac{1}{2}$. Then, by (3) the function $x \mapsto \log(-x^{-v}\mathbf{M}_v(x))$ is also decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for $v > -\frac{1}{2}$, which in turn implies inequality (7). Now, we show that inequalities (6) and (7) imply inequality (8). For this first observe that if we use the recurrence relations (see [7, p. 251] and [7, p. 292]) for the functions \mathbf{L}_v and I_v and (2) it can be shown that the function \mathbf{M}_v satisfies the same recurrence relations as \mathbf{L}_v , that is,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu-1}(x) - \mathbf{M}_{\nu+1}(x) = \frac{2\nu}{x} \mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x) + \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)},$$
(10)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu-1}(x) + \mathbf{M}_{\nu+1}(x) = 2\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x) - \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)},\tag{11}$$

$$x\mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{v}}(x) + v\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = x\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}-1}(x).$$
 (12)

Subtracting the recurrence relations (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu+1}(x) = \mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x) - \frac{\nu}{x} \mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x) - \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)}.$$
 (13)

From (12) and (13) it follows that

$$_{\mathbf{M}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{x^2}\right) \left[\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x)\right]^2 - \left[\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}}'(x)\right]^2 + \frac{x^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{v}-1}(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\mathbf{v}}\Gamma\left(\mathbf{v}+\frac{3}{2}\right)}.$$
 (14)

But, according to the left-hand side of (6) we have ${}_{\mathbf{M}}\Delta_{\mathbf{v}}(x) > 0$ for x > 0 and $\mathbf{v} > \frac{1}{2}$, and consequently

$$\left(1+\frac{v^2}{x^2}\right)\left[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)\right]^2 - \left[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)\right]^2 > -\frac{x^{\nu}\mathbf{M}_{\nu-1}(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\nu}\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)} > 0.$$

Therefore, for x > 0 and $v > \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} - \sqrt{x^2 + \nu^2}\right) \left(\frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} + \sqrt{x^2 + \nu^2}\right) < 0$$

Inequality (7) implies the right-hand side of (8), while the above inequality imply the left-hand side of (8). \Box

3. Further results

In this section we present some other inequalities for the function $x \mapsto \mathscr{M}_{V}(x)$.

THEOREM 2. The following inequalities hold true:

a. For all x, y > 0 and $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu}(x+y) \ge \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}(x) \mathcal{M}_{\nu}(y).$$
(15)

b. For all $v \ge \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0 it is

$$\mathscr{M}_{\nu}(x) \ge \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x}.$$
(16)

Moreover, the above inequality is reversed when $|v| < \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0.

c. For all $v \ge \frac{3}{2}$ and x > 0, we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{\nu-1}(x)\mathscr{M}_{\nu+1}(x) \leqslant \mathscr{M}_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)\mathscr{M}_{2\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(x), \tag{17}$$

which is reversed when $v \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$.

d. For all for all v > -1 and x > 0, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu}(x) < \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} e^{\frac{x^2}{4(\nu + 1)}} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}(2\nu + 1)} \sinh \frac{x}{2\nu + 3}.$$
 (18)

Proof. **a.** From (5) and part **a** of Theorem 1 it is clear that the function $x \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{\Gamma(v+\frac{1}{2})} \mathscr{M}_{v}(x)$ maps $(0,\infty)$ into (0,1) and it is completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$ for all $v > -\frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, according to Kimberling [5] if a function f, defined on $(0,\infty)$, is continuous and completely monotonic and maps $(0,\infty)$ into (0,1), then $\log f$ is super-additive, that is for all x, y > 0 we have

$$\log f(x+y) \ge \log f(x) + \log f(y)$$
 or $f(x+y) \ge f(x)f(y)$.

Therefore we conclude the asserted inequality (15).

b. We point out that (16) complements and improves inequality (5). Moreover, because (16) inequality is reversed when $|v| < \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0, and since $e^{-x} > 1 - x$, the reversed form of inequality (16) is better than (5) for $|v| < \frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0. Now, recall the Chebyshev integral inequality [6, p. 40]: If $f, g : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are synchoronous (both increase or decrease) integrable functions, and $p : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive integrable function, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} p(t)f(t)dt \int_{a}^{b} p(t)g(t)dt \leqslant \int_{a}^{b} p(t)dt \int_{a}^{b} p(t)f(t)g(t)dt.$$
(19)

Note that if f and g are asynchronous (one is decreasing and the other is increasing), then (19) is reversed. Now, we shall use (19) and (4) to prove (16). For this consider the functions $p, f, g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$p(t) = 1, f(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - t^2)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}}$$
 and $g(t) = e^{-xt}$.

Observe that g is decreasing and f is increasing (decreasing) if $-\frac{1}{2} < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ($v \geq \frac{1}{2}$). On the other hand, we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{\nu}(0) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 - t^{2}\right)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} dt = \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0}^{1} e^{-xt} dt = \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x},$$

and by the Chebyshev inequality (19) we get inequality (16) when $v \ge \frac{1}{2}$, and its reverse when $|v| < \frac{1}{2}$.

c. Another use of the Chebyshev integral inequality (19), that is $p, f, g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$p(t) = e^{-xt}$$
, $f(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - t^2)^{\nu - \frac{3}{2}}$ and $g(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - t^2)^{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}$,

taking into account (see [7, p. 254] and [7, p. 291])

$$\mathbf{M}_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \mathbf{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) - I_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left(\cosh x - 1\right) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \sinh x = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left(e^{-x} - 1\right),$$

by (3) results in (17) for $v \ge \frac{3}{2}$ and x > 0. In turn, the above inequality is reversed when $v \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ and x > 0.

d. If we combine the inequalities [2, 3]

$$I_{\nu}(x) < \frac{x^{\nu}}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{x^2}{4(\nu+1)}} \text{ and } L_{\nu}(x) > \frac{x^{\nu} \sinh \frac{x}{2\nu+3}}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\nu-1} \Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)},$$

which hold for all v > -1 and x > 0 by (2) we obtain

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x) > \frac{x^{\nu} \sinh \frac{x}{2\nu+3}}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\nu-1} \Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)} - \frac{x^{\nu}}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{x^2}{4(\nu+1)}},$$

consequently (18) as well. \Box

REMARK 1. By (3) and (17) immediately follows the inequality

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu-1}(x)\mathbf{M}_{\nu+1}(x) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(2\nu)(e^{-x}-1)}{\sqrt{\pi x}\Gamma(\nu-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\nu+\frac{3}{2})}\mathbf{M}_{2\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(x);$$

where $v \ge \frac{3}{2}$ and x > 0. The inequality is reversed if $v \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ and x > 0.

Next we derive inequalities similar to (8) when $v \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$. We note that the left-hand side of (20) is weaker than the left-hand side of (8), however, the right-hand side of (20) is better than the right-hand side of (8)

THEOREM 3. For all x > 0 and $v \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$ we have

$$\frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 + 4(x^2 + v^2)}}{2} < \frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} < \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4(x^2 + v^2)}}{2}.$$
 (20)

Moreover, for x > 0 *and* $v > \frac{1}{2}$ *we have*

$$\left[\frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)}\right]' < \frac{x}{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}.$$
(21)

Proof. By c of Theorem 1 we have

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu}^{\prime\prime}(x)\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x) - \left[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} > 0$$

for all x > 0 and $v \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$. On the other hand, recall that the modified Struve function \mathbf{M}_v is a particular solution of the modified Struve equation (1) and consequently

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu}''(x) = \left(1 + \frac{\nu^2}{x^2}\right) \mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{x} \mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x) + \frac{x^{\nu-1}}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\nu-1} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$
 (22)

Combining this equation with the above inequality we get

$$\left(1+\frac{v^2}{x^2}\right)[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)]^2 - \frac{1}{x}\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x) - [\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)]^2 > 0,$$

that is,

$$\left[\frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x)}\right]^2 + \frac{x\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x)} - (x^2 + v^2) < 0.$$

Here we used the fact that $\mathbf{M}_{v}(x) < 0$ for x > 0 and $v \ge -\frac{1}{2}$. From the above inequality we deduce (20), for all x > 0 and $v \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{M}'_{v}(x) > 0$ for x > 0 and $v \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, the expression $x\mathbf{M}'_{v}(x) [\mathbf{M}_{v}(x)]^{-1}$ is negative, which implies the right-hand side of (20).

It remains to prove (21). By using (12) and (14) we have

$$\frac{1}{x} [\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)]^{2} \left[\frac{x \mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} \right]' = \left(1 + \frac{\nu^{2}}{x^{2}} \right) [\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)]^{2} - \left[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x) \right]^{2} + \frac{\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) x^{\nu - 1} \mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)}{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\nu - 1} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2} \right)}.$$

Thus, by using (14), (12), (7) and the fact that $\mathbf{M}_{v}(x) < 0$ for x > 0 and $v > -\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$${}_{\mathbf{M}}\Delta_{\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{x} \left[\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x) \right]^{2} \left[\frac{x \mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} \right]' = \frac{x^{\nu} \mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)}{2^{\nu} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}'(x)}{\mathbf{M}_{\nu}(x)} - \frac{\nu + 1}{x} \right] > 0.$$

Combining this with the right-hand side of the Turán type inequality (6) we obtain the desired bound. \Box

Now, in order to establish a bilateral functional inequality for \mathcal{M}_v , we need the Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric function ${}_p\Psi_q(\cdot)$, with p numerator and q denominator parameters, defined by

$${}_{p}\Psi_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}(a_{1},\alpha_{1}),\ldots,(a_{p},\alpha_{p})\\(b_{1},\beta_{1}),\ldots,(b_{q},\beta_{q})\end{array}\right|z\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{p}\Gamma(a_{l}+\alpha_{l}n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\Gamma(b_{j}+\beta_{j}n)}\frac{z^{n}}{n!}.$$
(23)

Here $z, a_l, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_l, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $l \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$. The series (23) converges absolutely and uniformly for all bounded $|z|, z \in \mathbb{C}$ when

$$\varepsilon = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j - \sum_{l=1}^p \alpha_l > 0.$$

We note that the next inequality complements and improve (5).

THEOREM 4. For all $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0 we have

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}e^{-\frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)x}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}} \leqslant \mathscr{M}_{\nu}(x) \leqslant \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} - \frac{1-e^{-x}}{\sqrt{\pi}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

Proof. By (4) we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \mathscr{M}_{\nu}(x) &= \int_{0}^{1} (1-t^{2})^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-xt} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^{n}}{n!} \int_{0}^{1} s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1-s)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\nu+1\right)} \frac{(-x)^{n}}{n!} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})}{2} \, {}_{1}\Psi_{1} \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \left(\nu+1,\frac{1}{2}\right) \end{array} \right| - x \right]. \end{split}$$

Since $\varepsilon = 1$, the series converges for all x > 0. Therefore, for all x > 0 we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \, {}_{1}\Psi_{1} \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \left(\mathbf{v} + 1, \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{array} \right| - x \right].$$

On the other hand, recall [8, Theorem 4] and [8, eq. (22)], which say that for all ${}_{p}\Psi_{q}[\cdot]$ satisfying

$$\psi_1 > \psi_2$$
 and $\psi_1^2 < \psi_2 \psi_0$, (24)

the two-sided inequality

$$\psi_0 e^{\psi_1 \psi_0^{-1}|x|} \leqslant_p \Psi_q \begin{bmatrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{bmatrix} x \leqslant \psi_0 - (1 - e^{|x|}) \psi_1, \tag{25}$$

hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here

$$\psi_m = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + \alpha_j m)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j m)}, \qquad j \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

In our case we have

$$\psi_0 e^{\psi_1 \psi_0^{-1}|x|} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)|x|}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_0 - (1-e^{|x|})\psi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} - \frac{1-e^{|x|}}{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)},$$

and the conditions (24) can be simplified as

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} > \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu + 2)} > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi(\nu + 1)}}.$$
(26)

In what follows, we show that if $v > -\frac{1}{2}$, then (26) holds, and consequently, by applying (25), for all $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ and x > 0 we achieve the asserted bilateral inequality.

Consider the functions $f, g: (-1, \infty)$, defined by

$$f(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{v} + 2)} \text{ and } g(\mathbf{v}) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\mathbf{v} + 1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{v} + 2)}.$$

Since Euler's digamma function ψ , defined by $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$, is increasing on $(0,\infty)$, we obtain that

$$\frac{f'(\mathbf{v})}{f(\mathbf{v})} = \psi\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{3}{2}\right) - \psi(\mathbf{v} + 2) < 0$$

for all v > -1, and thus $f(v) < f(-\frac{1}{2}) = 1$ if $v > -\frac{1}{2}$. This proves the left-hand side of (26). Now, for the right-hand side of (26) we consider the function $h: (-1, \infty)$, defined by

$$h(v) = \frac{g'(v)}{g(v)} = \psi\left(v + \frac{3}{2}\right) - \psi(v+2) + \frac{1}{2(v+1)}$$

By using the formulas [7, p. 140]

$$\Psi'(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-xt} dt$$
 and $\frac{1}{x^2} = \int_0^\infty t e^{-xt} dt$,

we obtain that

$$h'(\mathbf{v}) = \psi'\left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{3}{2}\right) - \psi'(\mathbf{v} + 2) - \frac{1}{2(\mathbf{v} + 1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{t e^{-(\mathbf{v} + 1)t}}{1 - e^{-t}} \left(2e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} - e^{-t} - 1\right) dt < 0$$
(27)

for all v > -1. We note that by using the series representation [7, p. 139]

$$\Psi(x+1) = -\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+x}\right),$$

where γ is the Euler constant, it follows that

$$2h(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{v}+1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\mathbf{v}+1)(n+\mathbf{v}+\frac{1}{2})},$$

which shows that $h(v) \to 0$, as $v \to \infty$. Consequently, h(v) > 0 if $v \in (-1,\infty)$. Thus, the function g is increasing, and $g(v) > g(-\frac{1}{2}) = 1$ if $v > -\frac{1}{2}$. \Box

REMARK 2. We mention that actually the right-hand side of (26) can be rewritten as the Turán type inequality

$$\frac{2}{\pi} < \frac{\Gamma^2 \left(\nu + \frac{3}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\nu+2)}$$

Moreover, we note that by using the recurrence relation $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$, the inequality (26) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\nu+1}}{\nu+\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is valid for $v > -\frac{1}{2}$. As far as we know the above inequality is new. Lower and upper bounds for the quotient $\Gamma(x+\frac{1}{2})/\Gamma(x+1)$ have been established by many authors, we refer to the survey paper [9] for more details.

It is also important to note that according to (27) the function h is actually completely monotonic.

Acknowledgement. The research of Á. Baricz was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his/her appropriate and constructive suggestions and for his/her proposed corrections to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Á. BARICZ, *Turán type inequalities for modified Bessel functions*, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. **82**, 2 (2010), 254–264.
- [2] A. BARICZ, Bounds for modified Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 53, (2010), 575–599.
- [3] Á. BARICZ AND T. K. POGÁNY, Functional inequalities for modified Struve functions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A (in press).
- [4] C. M. JOSHI AND S. NALWAYA, Inequalities for modified Struve functions, J. Indian Math. Soc. 65, (1998), 49–57.
- [5] C. H. KIMBERLING, A probabilistic interpretation of complete monotonicity, Aequationes Math. 10, (1974), 152–164.
- [6] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, Analytic Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [7] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT AND C. W. CLARK (Eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [8] T. K. POGÁNY AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Some Mathieu-type series associated with the Fox-Wright function, Comput. Math. Appl. 57, 1 (2009), 127–140.

- [9] F. QI, Bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions, J. Inequal. Appl. (2010), Art. 493058.
- [10] V. R. THIRUVENKATACHAR AND T. S. NANJUNDIAH, Inequalities concerning Bessel functions and orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. Sect. A 33, (1951), 373–384.
- [11] D. V. WIDDER, The Laplace Transform, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1941.

(Received November 9, 2012)

Árpád Baricz Department of Economics, Babeş-Bolyai University 400591 Cluj-Napoca, Romania and Institute of Applied Mathematics John von Neumann Faculty of Informatics Óbuda University, 1034 Budapest Hungary e-mail: bariczocsi@vahoo.com

Tibor K. Pogány Faculty of Maritime Studies, University of Rijeka 51000 Rijeka, Croatia e-mail: pogan j@brod.pfri.hr