## INVESTIGATION ON PROCESS VARIABLES AFFECTING BIOHYDROGEN CONCENTRATION USING A COMMERCIAL GAS SEPARATION MEMBRANE

Péter Bakonyi<sup>\*,1</sup>, Gopalakrishnan Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Nándor Nemestóthy<sup>1</sup>, Chiu-Yue. Lin<sup>2</sup>, Katalin Bélafi-Bakó<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Institute on Bioengineering, Membrane Technology and Energetics, University of Pannonia, Veszprém, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Engineering and Science, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

## **ABSTRACT**

In this work biohydrogen recovery measurements were performed by using a commercial polyimide membrane module – product of UBE Industries Ltd. – in mixed gas experiments. The impact of major process variables (gas composition, temperature, ratio of retentate and feed flow) on real selectivity was sought by statistical experimental design. It was found that all the parameters tested could considerably influence the attainable selectivity. It was also shown that the theoretical and real separation factors were significantly dissimilar. Nevertheless, in comparison with other commercially available membranes the module used possessed potential for hydrogen purification.

The research on environmental-friendly and renewable energy sources has gained considerable attention in the recent decades [1]. Among possible alternatives, hydrogen is highly promising and can play a key role in sustainable economical growth due to its carbon neutral characteristic. Moreover, it has the highest energy content (122 MJ/kg) of all the known fuels – excluding nuclear energy carriers – on gravimetric base. However, the annual hydrogen consumption is presently being satisfied through the conversion of traditional, fossil-based chemical substances such as methane [2]. Therefore, H<sub>2</sub> generation needs green technologies to be developed in order to make it an attractive option. In recent years, enormous efforts have been done to achieve the aforementioned aim and the biological production ways – providing biohydrogen – are in the spotlight of the research [3-5]. These methods can help to accomplish the dual goal of simultaneous organic waste management and energy production. The biohydrogen produced can be fed into highly energy-efficient fuel cells or internal combustion engines [6,7]. However, these applications require purified hydrogen and therefore its separation from the different gaseous by-products – mainly CO<sub>2</sub> – formed during the fermentation is an essential task.

A broad range of processes e.g. chemical absorption, cryogenic distillation, adsorption and membrane separation are available and compete with each other for biohydrogen upgrading purposes [8-10]. Recently, significant improvements have been realized in membrane technology, hence it is being considered as an energy- and cost-effective, environmental-gentle method [11,12]. Furthermore, a main benefit of membranes is that they can easily be attached to hydrogen producing bioreactors leading to the chance of integrated production and purification.

Among the various membrane-based purification systems, membrane contactors, supported liquid membranes and gas separation membranes show potential for biohydrogen enrichment.

Independent research reports on the applicability of membrane contactors for biohydrogen concentration demonstrated that this technique was sufficient to remove carbon-dioxide from biohydrogen containing gaseous mixtures [13,14]. However, this technology suffers from the drawback that various chemicals must be used in the process for CO<sub>2</sub> absorption taking place within the membrane contactor itself.

Beside membrane contactors, supported liquid membranes employing different room temperature ionic liquids are also potential candidates for biohydrogen separation [15,16]. However, this approach is still under intense research and development. Thus, more time and experience is needed to make it feasible at larger scale.

Nevertheless, membrane gas separation is a mature option and has already been commercialized. Therefore, its viability is more realistic compared to the other above-mentioned procedures. In addition, it has some advantages e.g. no need of extra chemicals during operation, ease of processing, robustness and moderate operating requirements in case they are manufactured from synthetic polymers e.g. polyimide [17].

However, the application of gas separation membrane for H<sub>2</sub> purification is limited due to some reasons. For one thing, most of the membranes reflecting both reliable permeation and selectivity features are still to be fabricated [18]. Moreover, the membranes have mainly been studied in pure gas experiments [19] and gaseous mixtures have much less frequently been used [20-22] although it is crucial for industrial spread. Therefore, expanding the knowledge about the membranes' behavior expressed during mixed gas measurements is recommended.

Hence, in this work we aimed to test a commercially available polyimide membrane module – product of UBE Industries Ltd. – for  $H_2/CO_2$  separation employing binary mixed gases differing in composition. Furthermore, the impacts of other important process parameters such as temperature and the ratio of retentate and feed flow on the performance of the membrane were statistically investigated applying a 3-level full-factorial experimental design.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Das D, Veziroglu TN. Advances in biological hydrogen production processes. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2008;33:6046-6057.
- 2. Demirbas A, 2009. Biohydrogen For Future Engine Fuel Demands. Springer, London.
- 3. Chen CC, Sen B, Chuang YS, Tsai CJ, Lay CH. Effect of effluent recycle ratio in a continuous anaerobic biohydrogen production system. J. Cleaner Prod. 2012;32:236-243.
- 4. Hallenbeck PC, Ghosh D, Skonieczny MT, Yargeau V. Microbiological and engineering aspects of biohydrogen production. Indian J. Microbiol. 2009;49:48-59.
- 5. LIN CY, LAY CH, SEN B, CHU CY, KUMAR G, CHEN CC, CHANG JH. FERMENTATIVE HYDROGEN PRODUCTION FROM WASTEWATERS: A REVIEW AND PROGNOSIS. INT. J. HYDROGEN ENERGY 2012;37:15632-15642.
- 6. White CM, Steeper RR, Lutz AE. The hydrogen-fueled internal combustion engine: a technical review. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2006;31:1292-1305.
- 7. Winter CJ. Hydrogen energy Abundant, efficient, clean: A debate over the energy-system-of-change. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2009;34:S1-S52.
- 8. Hinchliffe AB, Porter KE. A comparison of membrane separation and distillation. Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 2000;78:255-268.
- 9. Ockwig NW, Nenoff TM. Membranes for hydrogen separation. Chem. Rev. 2007;107:4078-4110.
- 10. Sircar S, Golden TC. Purification of hydrogen by pressure swing adsorption. Sep. Sci. Technol. 2000;35:667-687.
- 11. Perry JD, Nagai K, Koros WJ. Polymer membranes for hydrogen separations. MRS Bull. 2006;31:745-749.

- Scott K,1995. Handbook of Industrial Membranes. Elsevier Advanced Technology, Oxford.
- 13. Beggel F, Nowik IJ, Modigell M, Shalygin MG, Teplyakov VV, Zenkevitch VB. A novel gas purification system for biologically produced gases. J. Cleaner Prod. 2010;18:S43-S50.
- 14. Modigell M, Schumacher M, Teplyakov VV, Zenkevich, VB. A membrane contactor for efficient CO<sub>2</sub> removal in biohydrogen production. Desalination 2008;224:186-190.
- 15. Cserjési P, Nemestóthy N, Bélafi-Bakó K.. Gas separation properties of supported liquid membranes prepared with unconventional ionic liquids. J. Membr. Sci. 2010;349:6-11.
- 16. Neves LA, Nemestóthy N, Alves VD, Cserjési P, Bélafi-Bakó K, Coelhoso IM. Separation of biohydrogen by supported ionic liquid membranes. Desalination 2009;240:311-315.
- 17. Abetz V, Brinkmann T, Dijkstra M, Ebert K, Fritsch D, Ohlrogge K. Developments in membrane research: from material via process design to industrial application. Adv. Eng. Mater. 2006;8:328-358.
- 18. Robeson, LM. The upper bound revisited. J. Membr. Sci. 2008;320:390-400.
- 19. Shao L, Low BT, Chung TS, Greenberg AR. Polymeric membranes for the hydrogen economy: Contemporary approaches and prospects for the future. J. Membr. Sci. 2009;327:18–31.
- 20. Car A, Stropnik C, Yave W, Peinemann KV. Pebax®/polyethylene glycol blend thin film composite membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> separation: Performance with mixed gases. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2008;62:110-117.
- 21. David OC, Gorri D, Urtiaga A, Ortiz I. Mixed gas separation study for the hydrogen recovery from H<sub>2</sub>/CO/N<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> post combustion mixtures using a Matrimid membrane. J. Membr. Sci. 2011;378:359-368.
- 22. Reijerkerk, SR, Nijmeijer K, Ribeiro CP, Freeman BD, Wessling M. On the effects of plasticization in CO<sub>2</sub>/light gas separation using polymeric solubility selective membranes. J. Membr. Sci. 2011;367:33-44.