

Phase Field Approaches to Fracture: Towards the Simulation of Cutting Soft Tissues

Vahid Ziaei-Rad, Jack S. Hale, Corrado Maurini, Stéphane P.A. Bordas

Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication Université du Luxembourg

Jun. 8, 2016

Vahid Ziaei-Rad

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578

Jun. 8, 2016 1 / 28

Prelude: Medical Simulations

Cerc Europeen Research Council Instanted by the Europeen Conversion

Phase Field Approaches to Fracture

- The aim of "RealTCut" is to devise real-time numerical methods for the simulation of cutting. (Courtecuisse et al., 2014)
- These methods are aimed at surgical training, which has the potential to help surgeons improve their skills without endangering patients.



- Here, we are more interested in predictive and accurate simulations...
- We have some thoughts on phase field approaches to model fracture of "incompressible" soft tissues. (Gültekin et al., 2016) = × = ≥
 ✓ <
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 ✓
 <



Towards Real-Time Multi-Scale Simulation of Cutting

Phase Field Approaches to Fracture



FP7: REALTCUT - 279578

Jun. 8, 2016 3 / 28

Image: A match a ma

Prelude: Smeared Crack Approaches



Phase Field Approaches to Fracture

- The phase field approaches to fracture
 - Based on energy minimization with both displacement and crack path (Francfort & Marigo, 1998)
 - Use a continuous scalar field to denote the crack (Bourdin et al., 2008)
 - Able to predict crack nucleation/branching without extra input



• Cons:

- High computational cost
- Polyconvexity of the functional

Vahid Ziaei-Rad

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578

Jun. 8, 2016 4 / 28





• Phase Field Formulation in General Context

- Phase Field Formulation with Incompressibility
- Impelementation on FEniCs

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Small Strain Measures Phase Field Formulation in General Context



• Let $\psi[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})]$ be the strain energy density which depends on the strain

$$arepsilon(oldsymbol{u}) := rac{1}{2} \left(
abla oldsymbol{u} +
abla oldsymbol{u}^T
ight)$$

as

$$\psi(\mathbf{\varepsilon}) := rac{\lambda}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\varepsilon})^2 + \mu \|\mathbf{\varepsilon}\|^2$$

 Note that we exclude large strain measure, although most phenomenological models are based on hyperelastic formulation.

Variational Formulation of Fracture



Phase Field Formulation in General Context

• The variational formulation for fracture of the solid consists in finding the minimizer of the following potential:

$$\Pi[\boldsymbol{u}, \Gamma] := \int_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \psi[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})] \ d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \ d\Omega - \int_{\partial_N \Omega} \boldsymbol{t}_N \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \ d\Gamma + g_c |\Gamma|$$

among all $\pmb{u}:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ that are bounded deformation functions of Ω and that satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_D, \text{ on } \partial_D \Omega.$$

- Γ = Γ(u) ⊂ Ω is the set of discontinuities of u. |Γ| denotes the length of Γ.
- But it is not easy to search among all possible Γ 's for minimization...



Phase Field Regularization Phase Field Formulation in General Context

- We define a **continuous scalar field** (*d*) to denote the crack.
- We introduce the crack length functional, which takes the following form:

$${\sf F}_\ell[d] := \int_\Omega \left(rac{d^2}{2\ell} + rac{\ell}{2}
abla d\cdot
abla d
ight) d\Omega,$$

where ℓ is a **length scale** such that when $\ell \to 0$, the regularized formulation Γ -converges to that with explicit crack representation. (Dal Maso et al., 2005)

 d : Ω → [0,1]: In particular, regions with d = 0 and d = 1 correspond to "perfect" and "fully-broken" states of the material, respectively.

• • • • • • • • • • • •



Regularized Variational Formulation of Fracture Phase Field Formulation in General Context

• We regularize the functional by means of the phase field:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u},d] &:= \int_{\Omega} \psi[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}),d] \ d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \ d\Omega - \int_{\partial_{N}\Omega} \boldsymbol{t}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \ d\Gamma \\ &+ g_{c} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{d^{2}}{2\ell} + \frac{\ell}{2} |\nabla d|^{2} \right) \ d\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

- Here ψ(ε, d) is the strain energy density degraded by the phase field such that ψ(ε, 0) = ψ₀(ε) and that ψ(ε, d₁) ≥ ψ(ε, d₂) if d₁ < d₂.
- Now we look for various ways to degrade the strain energy density...



Popular Phase Field Models (A)

Phase Field Formulation in General Context

Model A: This is the original model proposed for similar formulations. It is convenient in that ψ is analytic in both d and ε. (Bourdin et al., 2008)

$$egin{aligned} \psi &= (1-d)^2 \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad \sigma = rac{\partial \psi}{\partial arepsilon}, \ \psi_+ &= rac{\lambda}{2} (\operatorname{tr} arepsilon)^2 + \mu \| arepsilon \|^2, \ \psi_- &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



• Model B: This model assumes that both volumetric expansion and deviatoric deformation contribute to crack propagation but not volumetric compression. (Amor et al., 2009)

$$\begin{split} \psi &= (1-d)^2 \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad \sigma = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varepsilon}, \\ \psi_+ &= (\lambda + 2\mu/3) \langle \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon \rangle_+ \mathbf{1} + 2\mu \operatorname{dev} \varepsilon \\ \psi_- &= (\lambda + 2\mu/3) \langle \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon \rangle_- \mathbf{1}. \end{split}$$

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578



• Model C: This model postulates that the stress degradation is due to a combination of tensile loading and volumetric expansion. (Miehe et al., 2010)

$$\psi = (\mathbf{1} - d)^2 \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad \sigma = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varepsilon},$$

 $\psi_+ = \lambda \langle \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon \rangle_+ \mathbf{1} + 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \varepsilon_i \rangle_+ \mathbf{n}_i \otimes \mathbf{n}_i,$
 $\psi_- = \lambda \langle \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon \rangle_- \mathbf{1} + 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \varepsilon_i \rangle_- \mathbf{n}_i \otimes \mathbf{n}_i.$

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578



The Weak Form Phase Field Formulation in General Context

• Find $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\Omega)$ with $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_D$ on $\partial_D \Omega$, such that for all $(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{q}) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\Omega)$ with $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{0}$ on $\partial_D \Omega$, $\delta \Pi_{\ell}[(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d}), (\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{q})] = 0$, or equivalently:

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), d] : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w}) \ d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \ d\Omega + \int_{\partial_{N}\Omega} \boldsymbol{t}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \ d\Gamma,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} \left[2dq\psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + g_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{d \ q}{\ell} + \ell \nabla d \cdot \nabla q \right) \right] \ d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} 2q\psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \ d\Omega.$$

supplemental

The Tangent Stiffness Matrices

Phase Field Formulation in General Context

- erc Europeen Research Council
- We normally solve for the phase field formulation with iterations between the elasticity half problem and the phase field half problem.
- The tangent stiffness matrices are then given by:

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}_{PQ} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{N}_{P}) : \mathbb{A}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), d] : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{N}_{Q}) \ d\Omega, \\ & \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{PQ} = \int_{\Omega} 2\phi_{P}\psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\phi_{Q} \ d\Omega + g_{c} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\phi_{P}\phi_{Q}}{\ell} + \ell\nabla\phi_{P} \cdot \nabla\phi_{Q} \right] \ d\Omega \end{split}$$

where the fourth-order tensor

$$\mathbb{A}[\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}),d] := \left. \frac{\partial \sigma(\varepsilon,d)}{\partial \varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u})}$$

is the tangent elastic modulus tensor.

Vahid Ziaei-Rad

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578





• Phase Field Formulation in General Context

• Phase Field Formulation with Incompressibility

• Impelementation on FEniCs

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578

• • = • •



Regularized Variational Formulation of Fracture

Phase Field Formulation with Incompressibility

• Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{S}_{\boldsymbol{u}} &:= \left\{ \boldsymbol{u} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \middle| \boldsymbol{u}(\cdot) = \boldsymbol{u}_{D}(\cdot) \text{ on } \partial_{D}\Omega \right\}, \\
\mathscr{S}_{\boldsymbol{p}} &:= L^{2}(\Omega), \\
\mathscr{S}_{\boldsymbol{d}} &:= H^{1}(\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

• We aim to minimize the following potential: (Wheeler et al., 2014)

$$\Pi_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{d}] := \int_{\Omega} \psi^{Dev}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{d}] \ d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{p^2}{2\lambda} + \boldsymbol{p} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} \right) \ d\Omega$$
$$- \int_{\partial_{N}\Omega} \boldsymbol{t}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \ d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \ d\Omega + g_{c} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{d^2}{2\ell} + \frac{\ell}{2} |\nabla \boldsymbol{d}|^2 \right) \ d\Omega.$$

supplemental

The Strong Form Phase Field Formulation with Incompressibility



$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{Dev} + \nabla \boldsymbol{p} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\rho}+\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{u}\right)=0,$$
 in $\Omega,$

$$-\frac{\partial \psi^{Dev}}{\partial d} - \frac{g_c}{\ell} \left(d - \ell^2 \Delta d \right) = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{Dev}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}
ight)-\boldsymbol{t}_{N}=\boldsymbol{0}, \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial_{N}\Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} = 0,$$
 on $\partial \Omega,$

 $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_D, \qquad \text{ on } \partial_D \Omega.$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >





The Weak Form

Phase Field Formulation with Incompressibility

• The weak form can be stated as: Find $(\boldsymbol{u}, p, d) \in \mathscr{S}_u \times \mathscr{S}_p \times \mathscr{S}_d$ such that for all $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathscr{V}_u$, $\tilde{p} \in \mathscr{V}_p$, and $q \in \mathscr{V}_d$:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{Dev}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{d}] : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{Dev}(\boldsymbol{w}) \ \boldsymbol{d}\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{p} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{w} \ \boldsymbol{d}\Omega \\ &= \int_{\partial_{N}\Omega} \boldsymbol{t}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \ \boldsymbol{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \ \boldsymbol{d}\Omega, \\ &\int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{p} + \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u} \right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} \ \boldsymbol{d}\Omega = 0, \\ &\int_{\Omega} \left[2dq \psi_{+}^{Dev}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + g_{c} \left(\frac{d \ q}{\ell} + \ell \nabla \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \nabla q \right) \right] \ \boldsymbol{d}\Omega = \int_{\Omega} 2q \psi_{+}^{Dev}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \ \boldsymbol{d}\Omega. \end{split}$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



- Phase Field Formulation in Dynamic Context
- Phase Field Formulation in General Context
- Phase Field Formulation with Incompressibility
- Impelementation on FEniCs

- - E



Impelementation on FEniCs

• The **FEniCS Project** is a collection of free software with an extensive list of features for efficient solution of differential equations.

```
energy_elastic = psi(epsdev(u_), d_) * dx
```

```
Residual_u = derivative (energy_total, v_, v_t)
Jacobian_u = derivative (Residual_u, v_, v)
```

- We use the FEniCS project and PETSc software packages:
 - "Rigid Punch Incompressible Elasticity" by Jack S. Hale
 - "FEniCS Variational Damage and Fracture" by **Corrado Maurini** Available online at https://bitbucket.org/cmaurini/
 - "Phase Field Models with Incompressibility" by Vahid Ziaei-Rad

supplemental

. . .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Conclusion



- We used phase field approach toward the simulation of cutting soft tissues.
- We discussed pros and cons of some popular phase field models.
- We developed a model for incompressible materials in small strain measure.
- We introduced some features of our FEniCS implementation.



- Amor, H., Marigo, J.-J., & Maurini, C. (2009). Regularized formulation of the variational brittle fracture with unilateral contact: Numerical experiments. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 57, 1209–1229.
- Bourdin, B., Francfort, G. A., & Marigo, J.-J. (2008). The variational approach to fracture. *Journal of Elasticity*, *91*, 5–148.
- Courtecuisse, H., Allard, J., Kerfriden, P., Bordas, S. P., Cotin, S., & Duriez, C. (2014). Real-time simulation of contact and cutting of heterogeneous soft-tissues. *Medical Image Analysis*, 18(2), 394 -410.
- Dal Maso, G., Francfort, A. G., & Toader, R. (2005). Quasistatic crack growth in nonlinear elasticity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, *176*(2), 165–225.
- Francfort, G. A., & Marigo, J.-J. (1998). Revisiting brittle fracture as an energy minimization problem. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics* of Solids, 46(8), 1319–1342.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



- Gültekin, O., Dal, H., & Holzapfel, G. A. (2016). A phase-field approach to model fracture of arterial walls: Theory and finite element analysis. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*,
- Miehe, C., Welschinger, F., & Hofacker, M. (2010). Thermodynamically consistent phase-field models of fracture: Variational principles and multi-field FE implementations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, *83*, 1273–1311.

Wheeler, M. F., Wick, T., & Wollner, W. (2014). An augmented-Lagrangian method for the phase-field approach for pressurized fractures. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 271, 69–85.

-.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Prelude: Explicit Crack Approaches

Phase Field Approaches to Fracture

- The explicit crack approaches
 - Family 1: To regenerate/adjust the mesh
 - Family 2: To introduce enrichment for the displacement discontinuity



• Cons:

- Need to track the complicated geometry of the evolving crack
- Need extra input to predict complex phenomena





Phase Field Formulation in General Context The first variation

• Taking the first variation yield:

$$\begin{split} \delta \Pi_{\ell}[(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d}), (\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{q})] &:= \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Pi_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u} + \epsilon \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{d} + \epsilon \boldsymbol{q}] \right|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{d}] : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w}) \ d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_{N}} \boldsymbol{t}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \ d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \ d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} 2(1-\boldsymbol{d}) \boldsymbol{q} \psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \ d\Omega + \boldsymbol{g}_{c} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{d}{\ell} \boldsymbol{q} + \ell \nabla \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{q} \right) \ d\Omega \end{split}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} := \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \left[(1-d)^2 + k \right] \frac{\partial \psi_+(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial \psi_-(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

is the Cauchy stress tensor.

The weak form



Phase Field Formulation in General Context The residuals

• If we use $\{\mathbf{N}_P\}$ to denote the set of basis functions for \boldsymbol{u} and \boldsymbol{w} , and $\{\phi_P\}$ that for d and q, then we can write the residuals as

$$R_{P} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), d] : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{N}_{P}) \ d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_{N}} \boldsymbol{t}_{N} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{P} \ d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{P} \ d\Omega,$$

$$\overline{R}_{P} = -\int_{\Omega} 2(1-d)\phi_{P}\psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \ d\Omega + g_{c} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{d \ \phi_{P}}{\ell} + \ell\nabla d \cdot \nabla \phi_{P}\right) \ d\Omega.$$

FEniCS

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578



Phase Field Formulation in General Context

The second variation

• To derive the expression of the tangent stiffness matrices, we take another variation:

$$\begin{split} \delta^2 \Pi_{\ell} [(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d}), (\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{q}); (\delta \boldsymbol{u}, \delta \boldsymbol{d})] &:= \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \delta \Pi_{\ell} [(\boldsymbol{u} + \epsilon \delta \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d} + \epsilon \delta \boldsymbol{d}), (\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{q})] \right|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{w}) : \mathbb{A} [\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{d}] : \varepsilon(\delta \boldsymbol{u}) \ d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} 2q d \left. \frac{\partial \psi_+(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u})} : \varepsilon(\delta \boldsymbol{u}) \ d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{w}) : \left. \frac{\partial \sigma[\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{d}]}{\partial d} \delta \boldsymbol{d} \ d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} 2q \psi_+(\varepsilon) \delta \boldsymbol{d} \ d\Omega \\ &+ g_c \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{q \delta d}{\ell} + \ell \nabla q \cdot \nabla(\delta \boldsymbol{d}) \right] \ d\Omega. \end{split}$$



Popular Phase Field Models (B) Phase Field Formulation in General Context



 Model B: This model assumes that both volumetric expansion and deviatoric deformation contribute to crack propagation but not volumetric compression. (Amor et al., 2009)

$$\psi = (1 - d)^2 \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad \sigma = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varepsilon},$$

 $\psi_+ = (\lambda + 2\mu/3) \langle \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon \rangle_+ \mathbf{1} + 2\mu \operatorname{dev} \varepsilon,$
 $\psi_- = (\lambda + 2\mu/3) \langle \operatorname{tr} \varepsilon \rangle_- \mathbf{1}.$

The incompressibility formulation

FP7: REALTCUT - 279578