Clim. Past, 11, 355–367, 2015 www.clim-past.net/11/355/2015/ doi:10.5194/cp-11-355-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





Comparing past accumulation rate reconstructions in East Antarctic ice cores using ¹⁰Be, water isotopes and CMIP5-PMIP3 models

A. Cauquoin¹, A. Landais¹, G. M. Raisbeck², J. Jouzel¹, L. Bazin¹, M. Kageyama¹, J.-Y. Peterschmitt¹, M. Werner³, E. Bard⁴, and ASTER Team^{4,*}

 ¹Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ), CEA Saclay Orme des Merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 ²Centre de Sciences Nucléaires et de Sciences de la Matière (CSNSM), UMR CNRS 8609, Université Paris Sud XI, Bât 108,

91405 Orsay, France
 ³Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research (AWI) Bussestraße 24, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany
 ⁴Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS-IRD-Collège de France, UM 34 CEREGE, Technopôle de l'Environnement

Arbois-Méditerranée, BP80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence, France

^{*}M. Arnold, G. Aumaître, D. L. Bourlès and K. Keddadouche

Correspondence to: A. Cauquoin (alexandre.cauquoin@lmd.jussieu.fr)

Received: 18 July 2014 – Published in Clim. Past Discuss.: 22 August 2014 Revised: 14 January 2015 – Accepted: 2 February 2015 – Published: 5 March 2015

Abstract. Ice cores are exceptional archives which allow us to reconstruct a wealth of climatic parameters as well as past atmospheric composition over the last 800 kyr in Antarctica. Inferring the variations in past accumulation rate in polar regions is essential both for documenting past climate and for ice core chronology. On the East Antarctic Plateau, the accumulation rate is so small that annual layers cannot be identified and accumulation rate is mainly deduced from the water isotopic composition assuming constant temporal relationships between temperature, water isotopic composition and accumulation rate. Such an assumption leads to large uncertainties on the reconstructed past accumulation rate. Here, we use high-resolution beryllium-10 (¹⁰Be) as an alternative tool for inferring past accumulation rate for the EPICA Dome C ice core, in East Antarctica. We present a high-resolution ¹⁰Be record covering a full climatic cycle over the period 269 to 355 ka from Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 9 to 10, including a period warmer than pre-industrial (MIS 9.3 optimum). After correcting ¹⁰Be for the estimated effect of the palaeomagnetic field, we deduce that the ¹⁰Be reconstruction is in reasonably good agreement with EDC3 values for the full cycle except for the period warmer than present. For the latter, the accumulation is up to 13 % larger (4.46 cm ie yr⁻¹) instead of 3.95). This result is in agreement with the studies suggesting an underestimation of the deuterium-based accumulation for the optimum of the Holocene (Parrenin et al., 2007a). Using the relationship between accumulation rate and surface temperature from the saturation vapour relationship, the ¹⁰Be-based accumulation rate reconstruction suggests that the temperature increase between the MIS 9.3 optimum and present day may be 2.4 K warmer than estimated by the water isotopes reconstruction. We compare these reconstructions to the available model results from CMIP5-PMIP3 for a glacial and an interglacial state, i.e. for the Last Glacial Maximum and pre-industrial climates. While 3 out of 7 models show relatively good agreement with the reconstructions of the accumulation-temperature relationships based on ¹⁰Be and water isotopes, the other models either underestimate or overestimate it, resulting in a range of model results much larger than the range of the reconstructions. Indeed, the models can encounter some difficulties in simulating precipitation changes linked with temperature or water isotope content on the East Antarctic Plateau during glacial-interglacial transition and need to be improved in the future.

1 Introduction

Polar ice cores provide reference records for past climatic conditions over the last 130 kyr in Greenland (North Greenland Ice Core Project Members, 2004; NEEM Community Members, 2013) and over the last 800 kyr in Antarctica (EPICA Community Members, 2004). That ice as old as 800 kyr can be retrieved at a depth of 3200 m is due to the very low accumulation rate encountered at this site of the East Antarctic Plateau (2.73 cm ice equivalent (ie) per year today; EPICA Community Members, 2004). Accumulation rate is even smaller during glacial periods as expected from simple thermo-dynamical considerations: cold air holds less moisture than warm air. Still, the quantitative reconstruction of past accumulation rate is not straightforward and large uncertainties (> 30%) are often associated with its reconstruction in polar ice cores (Blunier et al., 2007; Guillevic et al., 2013; van Ommen and Morgan, 1997).

Reducing the uncertainties on the reconstruction of past accumulation rate is essential for several reasons. First and most obviously, this will lead to an improved ice core chronology. Second, even if the physical relationship between air moisture content and temperature holds true with time, there is no a priori reason why the link between accumulation rate and temperature should be constant with time in polar regions, at the very end of the water condensation process. Because of the spatial and temporal variations in the origin and trajectories of air masses, some decoupling can be expected between accumulation rate and temperature or water isotopes from which polar temperature is classically retrieved. Finally, the simulation of polar accumulation and of its link with temperature is a weakness for many models, such that an evaluation of the modelled relationship between temperature vs. accumulation against accumulation reconstructions is desirable. There is thus a clear need for estimates of past accumulation changes that are independent of temperature, as well as water isotope.

An alternate way to reconstruct past accumulation rate is the use of beryllium-10 (¹⁰Be), a cosmogenic isotope to obtain an independent estimate of past accumulation. After their production in the upper atmosphere (Lal and Peters, 1967), ¹⁰Be atoms become fixed to aerosols and fall very quickly (within 1-2 years according to Raisbeck et al., 1981a) on the Antarctic Plateau. A simplistic assumption, namely that the ¹⁰Be flux is constant through time, has been applied to estimate accumulation changes along the Vostok ice core, first from a limited set of measurements (Yiou et al., 1985) and then from a more detailed but still low resolution and discontinuous data set covering the last climatic cycle (Jouzel et al., 1989). This assumption was suggested by the anti-correlation observed between ¹⁰Be concentrations in ice and accumulation rate derived from oxygen isotopes at the drilling site (Yiou et al., 1985). However, the assumption of a constant ¹⁰Be flux is limited by the heliomagnetic and geomagnetic modulations: the higher these fields are, the more

primary cosmic ray particles are deflected, which leads to a decrease in cosmogenic isotope production. For example, this problem is important for the last glacial period, which includes the Laschamp excursion, a dramatic short-lived decrease in the Earth's magnetic field intensity occurring at about 41 ka (Singer et al., 2009).

In the present study, we exploit a continuous and very detailed ¹⁰Be time series covering a full climatic cycle over a 86 kyr period, from Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 9 to 10, measured along the Dome C ice core $(75^{\circ}06' \text{ S}, 123^{\circ}21' \text{ E})$. Two geomagnetic events are mentioned during this time range at 290 ka (the so-called "Portuguese Margin"; Thouveny et al., 2008) and 320 ka (the so-called "Calabrian Ridge 1"; Langereis et al., 1997). This 269–355 ka record has been prepared and measured in the framework of the PhD work of Cauquoin (2013). It completes a high-resolution ¹⁰Be record between 170 and 800 ka at EDC that will be published separately. Two advantages of using the period 269-355 ka for this study are that (1) it has the largest glacial-interglacial range of δD and thus estimated temperature and accumulation and (2) it has relatively small geomagnetic variations as compared, for example, to the last climatic cycle (Blake and Laschamp excursions). Thus the sensitivity of ¹⁰Be concentration due to accumulation variations compared to those due to production variations should be particularly favourable.

Our manuscript is organized as follows. After reiterating on the classical estimation of past accumulation rates from water isotopes in ice core and a presentation of the procedure, we examine the multidecadal ¹⁰Be record and discuss how this ~ 86 kyr long record allows inferences about the associated glacial–interglacial accumulation rate change. In the following section, we discuss the relationship between temperature/ δD and accumulation rate changes on the East Antarctic Plateau over deglaciations using constraints both from glaciological data and from 11 modelling outputs inferred from 8 CMIP5-PMIP3 models, plus one simulation (ECHAM5) being equipped with stable water isotope diagnostics for the pre-industrial period (PI) and the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 21 ka).

2 Methods

2.1 The classical estimation of past accumulation rates from water isotope data on the East Antarctic Plateau

The physical link between the moisture content of air mass and its temperature has been systematically used to estimate past accumulation in Antarctica and establish ice core chronologies. This approach linking accumulation rate to temperature has been first proposed by Lorius et al. (1985) and Ritz (1992). Using a simple unidimensional model neglecting the possible changes in circulation intensity over the precipitation area, one infers that the precipitation rate at a time t is given by Eq. 1, where A is the accumulation rate, P_{sat} the saturation pressure over ice, and t_0 refers to presentday values:

$$A(t) = A(t_0) \times \frac{[\partial (P_{\text{sat}}/(T+273))/\partial T]_t}{[\partial (P_{\text{sat}}/(T+273))/\partial T]_{t_0}}.$$
 (1)

The temperature considered in Eq. (1) is the temperature at condensation, approximated by the inversion temperature, T_{inv} , itself related to surface temperature T_s in Antarctica over a range from -15 to -55 °C (Jouzel and Merlivat, 1984) by

$$T_{\rm inv} = 0.67 \times T_{\rm s} - 1.2,$$
 (2)

where T_s is the mean near-surface atmospheric temperature either measured at 15 m deep in the firn or deduced from the average of the measured temperatures at 2 m height in weather station. This relation has been confirmed by Connolley (1996) and Ekaykin (2003) for the East Antarctic Plateau.

In Antarctica, past temperature changes are classically retrieved from water isotope records in ice cores (δD or δ^{18} O) assuming that the present-day temperature/isotope spatial slope can be taken as a surrogate for the temporal slope at a given site. Using alternative methods to constrain past temperature in polar regions such as combination of δ^{18} O and dexcess (Vimeux et al., 2002), use of the isotopic composition of inert gases combined with firnification models (Caillon et al., 2001) or borehole temperature inversion (Salamatin et al., 1998), it has been shown that errors associated with this conventional approach are estimated to be of -10 to +30 % over glacial-interglacial transitions (Jouzel et al., 2003). However, a recent modelling experiment has suggested that error on the temperature reconstruction can reach up to 100 % for warmer interglacial periods (Sime et al., 2009).

The classical method for temperature reconstruction applied to sites in East Antarctica like Vostok (Jouzel et al., 1987; Petit et al., 1999), Dome F (Watanabe et al., 2003) and EPICA Dome C (Jouzel et al., 2007) assumes that the temporal variations in δD , $\Delta \delta D$, are proportional to the temporal variations in surface temperature, ΔT_{surf} :

$$\Delta \delta D = 6.04 \times \Delta T_{\rm surf}.\tag{3}$$

Here the temperatures are expressed in degrees Celcius.

The saturation vapour pressure over ice is linked with temperature and can be approximated in the range -70 °C to 0 °C by the following equation (Wagner and Pruß, 2002):

$$P_{\text{sat}}(T) = A \times 10^{\frac{mT}{T+T_n}},\tag{4}$$

with A = 6.114742, m = 9.778707 and $T_n = 273.1466$.

From the numerical solution of Eqs. (1) to (4) over the range of temperature variations observed in East Antarctica ice cores, it appears that the accumulation rate is almost exponentially linked to temperature. Indeed, the Clausius–Clapeyron relation determines that the water-holding capacity of the atmosphere increases by about 7% for every 1 °C

rise in temperature. Keeping this idea of an exponential link, Parrenin et al. (2007a) and Bazin et al. (2013) have formulated the accumulation/isotope relation as

$$A = A_0 \exp(\beta \Delta \delta D), \tag{5}$$

where A_0 is an estimate of the present-day accumulation rate and β an adjustable parameter which is optimized during the chronology construction through chronological control points and an ice flow model (Parrenin et al., 2007b). While the accumulation rate reconstruction should be rather accurate for the upper part of the ice core, the uncertainties increase with depth because fewer chronological constraints are available and ice thinning becomes less predictable.

For the estimate of accumulation rate at Dome C with the EDC3 timescale, Parrenin et al. (2007a, b) used an inverse method in order to get the best fit with a series of age markers (listed in Table 3 of Parrenin et al., 2007a). They have inferred the value of β in Eq. (5) as being equal to 0.0157, a value about 50 % higher than the one (0.0102) corresponding to the saturation vapour assumption (e.g. as derived from Eq. (1) and compared to the EDC3 accumulation rate in Fig. 2a).

More recently, during the construction of the AICC2012 chronology, the imposed relationship between δD and accumulation rate was relaxed. The AICC2012 timescale (Bazin et al., 2013; Veres et al., 2013) was developed for obtaining a coherent chronology between one Greenland ice core (NorthGRIP) and four Antarctic ice cores (EDC, EDML, TALDICE, Vostok) through the intensive use of relative tie points in the ice and gas phases of the different ice cores. In the chronological optimization process of AICC2012 performed by the DATICE Bayesian dating tool (Lemieux-Dudon et al., 2010), the scenarios for both the accumulation rate and the thinning function for the different ice cores are allowed to vary freely, i.e. without an imposed relationship between accumulation rate and water isotopes as for the EDC3 chronology. Although the background scenario for EDC accumulation rate is the one given by Eq. (1), it is associated with a relatively large variance so that it can be easily modified during the chronology optimization process. At the end, the amplitude of glacial-interglacial variations in accumulation rate over Termination IV at Dome C is 5 % smaller in AICC2012 than in EDC3 (Fig. 2b).

2.2 ¹⁰Be measurements

The first procedure for measuring ¹⁰Be in ice cores was described by Raisbeck et al. (1981b). Since then, efficiency has been greatly improved, both due to improvement of chemical procedures of the samples and AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) techniques (Raisbeck et al., 1987, 2007; Yiou et al., 1997). The ice from the Dome C ice core available for this study is a continuous series of "bag samples" (each measuring 55 cm) between 2384 and 2627 m deep. Each bag sample was cut into five pieces of 11 cm (weighting \sim 50 g) in order to obtain a high-resolution ¹⁰Be profile. This corresponds to around 2200 samples. The preparation of the samples was done at the Centre de Sciences Nucléaires et de Sciences de la Matière (CSNSM) in Orsay.

The current chemical procedure is described by Raisbeck et al. (2007). The samples were melted in a centrifuge cone, in the presence of 0.25 mg of ⁹Be carrier. The Be(OH)₂ was then directly precipitated with ammonia (NH₄OH). The precipitate was extracted by centrifugation, then dissolved with 250 µL of nitric acid and 500 µL of highly pure water. The solution was transferred to a ceramic crucible to be dried on a hotplate and then heated to 900 °C for a period of 45 min over an electric furnace in order to transform the precipitate to BeO. The beryllium oxide was mixed with niobium (Nb) powder and pressed into a copper cathode. The ¹⁰Be / ⁹Be measurements were carried out at the ASTER (Accélérateur pour les Sciences de la Terre, Environnement, Risques) AMS facility at the Centre Européen de Recherche et d'Enseignement des Géosciences de l'Environnement (CEREGE) in Aix-en-Provence (Arnold et al., 2010), relative to NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) standard reference material SRM (Standard Reference Material) 4325, using the certified ratio of 2.68×10^{-11} ¹⁰Be / ⁹Be. We are aware that many people now use the value of 2.79×10^{-11} given by Nishiizumi et al. (2007) for this standard. We have continued to use the value of 2.68 because it was in excellent agreement with the original home-made standard of the Orsay group (Raisbeck et al., 1978), and has been used for all our previous measurements. If desired, a conversion can be easily made, and it will have no effect on relative values. The isobar ¹⁰B is suppressed by use of an absorber foil in the rare isotope path (Klein et al., 2008). The counting statistics lead to an uncertainty of typically 4 % for 1σ standard deviation. The chemical blanks produced with our ⁹Be carrier used for the ice samples yielded an average process background ¹⁰Be / ⁹Be of $(3.95\pm2.35)\times10^{-15}$. In comparison, the ¹⁰Be / ⁹Be ratios measured for EDC samples were on the order of 3.2×10^{-13} .

2.3 Models

In this study, we also want to test our experimental results by comparing them with the latest climate simulations of the LGM and PI climates, obtained in the framework of the PMIP3 and CMIP5 projects (Braconnot et al., 2012). Both the PI and LGM climate simulations are equilibrium simulations, i.e. obtained by imposing non-evolving boundary conditions and forcings. Compared to the pre-industrial control simulations, LGM climate simulations are obtained by imposing the LGM ice sheet reconstructions (topography, albedo and land–sea mask differences due to sea-level lowering), the LGM atmospheric concentration of the main greenhouse gases as recorded by ice cores and orbital forcing parameters for 21 ka (following Berger, 1978). The experimental setup is described in detail on the PMIP3 website: http://pmip3.lsce.ipsl.fr/. The simulations used in this study are those which were available on the CMIP5 database as of October 2012.

In addition to the CMIP5-PMIP3 atmosphere–ocean coupled simulations we are using pre-industrial and LGM simulation results obtained from the AGCM (Atmospheric General Circulation Model) ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al., 2006) enhanced with stable water isotope diagnostics (Werner et al., 2011). For the LGM climate simulation, PMIP3conform boundary conditions have been applied. Glacial sea surface temperatures and sea ice coverage have been derived from the GLAMAP Atlantic reconstruction data set (Schäfer-Neth and Paul, 2003). Both the PI and LGM simulation have been performed with a fine T106L31 spectral model resolution (horizontal grid box size of approx. $1.1^{\circ} \times 1.1^{\circ}$, 31 vertical levels).

All CMIP5-PMIP3 simulations are summarized in Table 1. From the different simulations we have used the following variables: tas (near surface air temperature) and pr (precipitation rate). As the sublimation rate was only available for 3 models out of 11 and as its values over the sites of interest were negligible compared to the precipitation rate in these models, we have not included the sublimation rate in the calculation of the accumulation rate changes from LGM to PI. We observe that *tas* is systematically higher than the measured mean atmospheric temperature, which is a typical bias of CMIP5-PMIP3 simulations in polar regions (see Risi et al., 2010). To evaluate the consequences of this bias, we have extracted the modelled inversion temperatures available in the IPSL-CM5A-LR model. These data show that, in the models, the slope of the relationship between *tas* and the modelled inversion temperature is 15% higher than the one given by Jouzel and Merlivat (1984) (cf. Eq. 2). However, when we use the modelled surface temperature $T_{\rm sm}$ (which is on average 4 °C lower than the simulated tas values), we obtain a slope between T_{inv} and T_{sm} very close to the observed value of 0.67 (see Eq. 2). As a consequence, and to artificially compensate for the cold bias of the CMIP5-PMIP3 simulations, we have extracted both tas and T_{sm} for the following calculations.

For all the models, the values of the LGM–PI change in near-surface air temperature and precipitation rate are obtained by averaging the values of temperature and precipitation on a box of latitudes 77.6 to 72.6° S and longitudes 120.85 to 125.85° E.

3 From ¹⁰Be concentrations to accumulation rate reconstruction

Figure 1a shows the high-resolution profile of 10 Be concentrations (available as a supplement). The time resolution for the shown period varies between 20 years for MIS 9.3 and 70 years for MIS 10, the glacial period prior to 340 ka (Fig. 1f). In this study, we will mainly focus on the transition between

the coldest part of the MIS 10 reached just before Termination IV and MIS 9.3. The MIS 10 glacial maximum between 341.77 and 348.41 ka (light-blue area in Fig. 1b) is at the same water isotopic level as the LGM (Jouzel et al., 2007). Then, MIS 9.3 can be decomposed in two phases: (1) a period with a higher isotopic level than PI with an optimum between 332.55 and 334.53 ka (light-red area in Fig. 1b) and (2) a plateau between 325.92 and 330.92 ka at the same isotopic level than PI (light-yellow area in Fig. 1b).

We observe a strong anti-correlation between ¹⁰Be concentration (Fig. 1a) and δD or δD -derived accumulation rate (Fig. 1b). This is not unexpected since ¹⁰Be reaches the Antarctic Plateau primarily by dry deposition, and so the concentration of ¹⁰Be in the ice is reduced for high-accumulation periods. It has thus been proposed that ¹⁰Be flux is a more appropriate parameter than concentration for estimating variations in ¹⁰Be production (Yiou et al., 1985). This is illustrated in Fig. 1c, showing the ¹⁰Be flux $F[^{10}Be]$ as obtained by multiplying the ¹⁰Be concentration $C[^{10}Be]$ by the accumulation rate A from the EDC3 timescale (Parrenin et al., 2007a, b) and the density of ice ρ as

$$F[^{10}\text{Be}] = C[^{10}\text{Be}] \times A \times \rho.$$
(6)

Other potential contributions to ¹⁰Be concentration variations are linked to (1) variations in the geomagnetic field intensity over centennial to millennial scales or (2) variations in the solar activity on decadal to centennial timescales. For the influence of the relative changes of geomagnetic field, we can make corrections by using independent estimates of the field intensity obtained by a stacked record of marine sediments (Channell et al., 2009). We assume that our 10 Be flux record reflects the globally averaged ¹⁰Be production. We have also carried out the calculations using the "polar bias" assumption (polar ¹⁰Be flux 20% less sensitive to geomagnetic field intensity changes (Field et al., 2006), i.e. we multiply the relative variations in the ¹⁰Be production according to PISO-1500 by 0.8), with a negligible difference in the resulting accumulation reconstructions. After synchronizing the timescale of the marine record with that of EDC (Cauquoin, 2013), we apply the theoretical estimate of Masarik and Beer (2009) on the relationship between ¹⁰Be production and geomagnetic intensity, as shown in Fig. 1d. Then, we divide the ¹⁰Be flux at EDC by the relative changes of global ¹⁰Be production according to PISO-1500. The main effect of this correction is to remove the long-term decrease in the uncorrected ¹⁰Be flux from 270 to 350 ka (Fig. 1e). We have also looked at the theoretical estimate of Kovaltsov and Usoskin (2010) on the relationship between ¹⁰Be production and geomagnetic field intensity, with very similar results.

Since we have no independent estimate of the solar variability during the time period being studied, we must assume that the average value of solar activity has been constant during this time. In reality, part of the remaining centennial structure in the ¹⁰Be flux of Fig. 1e may be due to variations in solar activity or to centennial geomagnetic variations not



Figure 1. High-resolution ¹⁰Be data between 2384 and 2627 m deep (269–355 ka on the EDC3 age scale). (a) Raw ¹⁰Be concentrations (at g^{-1}). (b) In grey, δD profile at EDC including the interglacial period MIS 9.3 (Jouzel et al., 2007). In black, the accumulation rate of the site (cm ie yr⁻¹) (Parrenin et al., 2007b). The light-yellow and light-red areas show the plateau during MIS 9.3 at the same isotopic level than PI and the MIS 9.3 optimum warmer than PI. The light-blue area corresponds to the MIS 10 glacial maximum just before the Termination IV. (c) Calculated ¹⁰Be flux using EDC3 accumulation rate. The light-blue curve corresponds to raw data, and the bold-blue curve is the low-pass-filtered ¹⁰Be flux $(1/2000 \text{ yr}^{-1})$. (d) ¹⁰Be production based on palaeointensity record PISO-1500 (Channell et al., 2009) on the EDC3 age scale and calculated using calculations of Masarik and Beer (2009). The asterisks show the possible correlation with proposed geomagnetic events: the Portuguese Margin (~ 290 ka) and Calabrian Ridge 1 (\sim 320 ka). (e) Raw and 100-year resampled ¹⁰Be flux corrected by PISO-1500. (f) Time resolution of the 10 Be profile (difference between the *n* and n + 1 sample ages).

recorded by the marine cores. We now use the geomagnetically corrected ¹⁰Be flux curve of Fig. 1e to estimate the ice accumulation rate of EDC during our time period using Eq. (6). This procedure assumes that the spatial distribution of geomagnetically corrected ¹⁰Be deposition remains constant with time independent of climate and type of deposition. While it is difficult to give a quantitative uncertainty of our constant flux assumption, we can note that the 1σ standard deviation of the smooth corrected flux in Fig. 1e is 8.8%. Since this is significantly larger than the analytical uncertainty, it essentially must represent the sum of inadequately corrected production variations plus variability in the ¹⁰Be deposition. It thus does not seem unreasonable to conclude that this represents an upper limit to the deposition variability.



Figure 2. Several accumulation rate reconstructions (left column) and the corresponding ¹⁰Be flux corrected by PISO-1500 (right column) discussed in Sect. 3 (coloured curves). The EDC3 reconstruction from Parrenin et al. (2007a, b) is shown in grey for comparison. (a) Saturation vapour pressure formulation. (b) Application of the AICC2012 chronology on the ¹⁰Be record. (c) Optimization of the interglacial–glacial amplitude coefficient (β) by minimization of the variance of the ¹⁰Be flux corrected for past variations in geomagnetic field intensity (red curves). (d) Accumulation rate assuming a constant ¹⁰Be flux (fixed at 53.44 at m⁻² s⁻¹ over the whole period).

In a first attempt to use ¹⁰Be for such a reconstruction, we have chosen to keep the exponential link between accumulation and δD . Starting from the formulation proposed by Parrenin et al. (2007a), we have tried to adjust β in order to minimize the variance of the ¹⁰Be flux signal while keeping consistency with the timescale of EDC3 (Fig. 2c). For this minimization, we have first applied a 100-year resampling to the ¹⁰Be record. Using Eqs. (5) and (6), with $A_0 = 2.841$ cm, i.e. yr⁻¹, we have calculated the variance of the ¹⁰Be flux (previously corrected for geomagnetic field intensity changes) using different values of β . The variance of the ¹⁰Be flux is minimized for a β of 0.0165 (the variance varies by less than 1 % for values of β between 0.0160 and 0.0171). This value is 5 % larger than used by Parrenin et al. (2007a), and corresponds to a larger glacial–interglacial amplitude by the same amount. We also notice a general decrease in the variance by a factor 0.99 which supports this revision of accumulation rate estimate from δD over this glacial–interglacial cycle.

In a second attempt, we have performed a test with the assumption of a strictly constant ¹⁰Be flux, after a geomagnetic field intensity correction on the ¹⁰Be concentration in the ice. We have deduced the resulting accumulation by dividing the ¹⁰Be flux $F[^{10}Be]$ (53.44 at $m^{-2}s^{-1}$ here) by the ¹⁰Be concentration (corrected for radioactive decay and geomagnetic modulation) $C[^{10}Be]$ times the ice density ρ according to Eq. 6. The inferred accumulation is reported



Figure 3. (a) Accumulation rate vs. temperature change between the LGM and PI for 12 different simulations and comparison with the relationships from EDC3 (last deglaciation and MIS 9.3) and our reconstructions (average during the glacial and the interglacial period). (b) Accumulation rate vs. δD change for both ice core data and ECHAM5 simulation results.

in Fig. 2d. The general shape of the accumulation rate reconstruction follows the evolution of the EDC3 accumulation rate. However, while there is no significant difference in the accumulation reconstruction between the MIS 10 glacial maximum and the plateau of the MIS 9.3 (increase of 1.548 for the ¹⁰Be-based accumulation rate reconstruction and of 1.624 cm ie yr⁻¹ for EDC3), there is a clear difference for accumulation rate increase between the plateau and the MIS 9.3 optimum (1.364 cm ie yr⁻¹ for the ¹⁰Be-based reconstruction against 0.920 cm ie yr⁻¹ for EDC3). The ¹⁰Be-based accumulation rate for the latter is up to 13 % larger than the EDC3 reconstruction (4.46 cm ie yr⁻¹ instead of 3.95).

Even if the assumption of a strictly constant ¹⁰Be flux is not realistic, we have tested whether the inferred accumulation rate is consistent with chronological constraints (Table 2). For this aim, we have imposed this accumulation rate as a background accumulation rate scenario for EDC in the DATICE tool for chronology optimization with a very small associated variance. The other background scenarios for the four other ice cores (NorthGRIP, EDML, Taldice, Vostok) are kept identical as those of AICC2012 (Bazin et al., 2013; Veres et al., 2013). In this DATICE experiment, we still use the same five ice cores as in AICC2012 for facilitating the comparison with previous chronological studies but for our period of interest, only Vostok goes back until MIS 9/10 and can influence the chronology of EDC. With such a background accumulation rate for EDC, the minimization of DATICE is easily reached with very small modifications of the thinning function, well within the imposed variance, compared to the AICC2012 chronology. We find the same trends on the resulting accumulation rate as for the ¹⁰Bebased one.

We conclude that both methods show an underestimation of accumulation deduced from water isotopes for the optimum of MIS 9.3. This is in agreement with the study of Parrenin et al. (2007a), which suggested that the deuteriumbased reconstruction underestimates accumulation for the optimum of the Holocene. However the existence of a strong link between past changes in accumulation and temperature is confirmed to first order by our ¹⁰Be approach and we next examine how palaeoclimate simulations performed with different GCMs might reveal further insight on this link between accumulation and temperature.

4 Accumulation vs. temperature/ δD relationship in East Antarctica

We compare the outputs from the models described in Sect. 2.3, with the accumulation rate reconstruction presented in the previous section.

Figure 3a shows a compilation of accumulation rate and temperature change for the 11 different simulations included in the CMIP5-PMIP3 coupled models plus ECHAM5, between the LGM and the PI. We have chosen to focus only on the relationship between the change in accumulation rate and the change in temperature between the LGM and PI. Indeed, we can hardly discuss absolute levels of temperature and accumulation rate for two reasons. First, the CMIP5-PMIP3 models are known to overestimate temperature on the East Antarctic Plateau. Second, our ¹⁰Be data do not cover the last deglaciation as the model simulations do but instead the transition occurring between MIS 10 and the optimum of MIS 9.3, which has larger associated temperature and accumulation rate increases than the last deglaciation (Fig. 3a). Still, the accumulation rate vs. temperature slope reconstructed from water isotopes in the ice core (Eqs. 5 and

362 A. Cauquoin et al.: Accumulation rate reconstructions using ¹⁰Be, water isotopes and CMIP5-PMIP3 models

Table 1. List of all simulations used in this study (see Fig. 3). The ensemble member $(r \langle N \rangle i \langle M \rangle p \langle L \rangle)$ formatted as shown below (e.g. "r3i1p21" with r for "realization", i for "initialization method indicator" and p for "perturbed physics") distinguishes among closely related simulations by a single model (Taylor et al., 2012). The reference given in brackets next to the ensemble number in columns 4 and 5 is the name of the simulation used in Fig. 3.

			CMIP5 experiment Model ensemble member used $(r\langle N \rangle i \langle M \rangle p \langle L \rangle)$		
Institute	Model	Model institution	0k piControl	21k Igm	
CNRM-CERFACS	CNRM-CM5	Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques/Centre Européen de Recherche et Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique, France	rlilpl (CNRM-CM5)	rlilpl (CNRM-CM5)	
NASA-GISS	GISS-E2-R	NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, USA	r1i1p142 (GISS-E2-R_p150) r1i1p142 (GISS-E2-R_p151)	r1i1p150 (GISS-E2-R_p150) r1i1p151 (GISS-E2-R_p151)	
IPSL	IPSL-CM5A-LR	Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, France	r1i1p1 (IPSL-CM5A-LR)	r1i1p1 (IPSL-CM5A-LR)	
LASC-CESS	FGOALS-g2	LASG, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and CESS, Tsinghua University, China	rlilpl (FGOALS-g2)	rlilpl (FGOALS-g2)	
MIROC	MIROC-ESM	Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Sci- ence and Technology, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (the University of Tokyo), and National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan	rlilpl (MIROC-ESM)	rlilpl (MIROC-ESM)	
MPI-M	MPI-ESM-P	Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany	r1i1p1 (MPI-ESM-P_p1) r1i1p1 (MPI-ESM-P_p2)	r1i1p1 (MPI-ESM-P_p1) r1i1p2 (MPI-ESM-P_p1)	
MRI	MRI-CGCM3	Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan	rlilpl (MRI-CGCM3)	r1i1p1 (MRI-CGCM3)	
NCAR	CCSM4	National Center for Atmospheric Re- search/Dept. of Energy/NSF, USA	r1i1p1 (CCSM4_r1) r2i1p1 (CCSM4_r2)	r1i1p1 (CCSM4_r1) r2i1p1 (CCSM4_2)	

3, respectively) is almost the same for the transition between MIS 10 and MIS 9 and the last deglaciation as shown in Fig. 3a. We have also checked that the model results shown in Fig. 3a do not change if we replace the ΔT_s calculation based on the near-surface air temperature (*tas*) with one based on surface temperature (*T*_{sm}). Finally, using the IPSL-CM5A-LR model, we have tested the influence of the topography changes on the temperature vs. accumulation rate slope by keeping an identical Antarctic ice cap for the LGM and PI conditions and verifying that the relationship remains the same.

We observe a relatively good agreement between the slope of accumulation vs. temperature over a glacial-interglacial transition of several models (MPI-ESM-P, CCSM4, FGOALS-g2), with an average slope of 0.23 cm ie yr⁻¹ °C⁻¹ (bold black line in Fig. 3a). Other models are clearly outside the range of the reconstructed accumulation-temperature relationship, either because they overestimate (MRI-GCM3, GISS-E2-R) or underestimate it (IPSL-CM5-LR, MIROC-ESM) or because they simulate very weak changes (CNRM-CM5). Indeed, one can notice a large spread between the different model outputs. The difference in the accumulation rate vs. temperature relationship between different GCM simulations is much larger (100 %) than for the different reconstructions based on ¹⁰Be flux and/or chronological constraints. The slope based on the relationship between accumulation rate and saturation pressure over ice is 28 % lower (brown line in Fig. 3a). We conclude that generally the CMIP5-PMIP3 models have more or less difficulties to accurately simulate the temperature–accumulation relationship on the Antarctic Plateau between glacial and interglacial conditions and need to be improved in the future.

To avoid any assumption on the relationship between water isotopes and temperature, we have directly compared the accumulation rate with water isotope variations for both ice core data and model outputs (Fig. 3b). In our study, only one model (ECHAM5) is equipped with water isotopes diagnostics. As it was also observed for the temperature change, the δD increase during the deglaciation is smaller in the ECHAM5 simulations than in ice core records. However the slope of accumulation rate vs. δD given by ECHAM5 compares very well with our different accumulation rate vs. δD slope inferred from both water isotopes and ¹⁰Be. Only the slope deduced from the saturation vapour pressure formulation is lower by $\sim 30\%$ compared to EDC3. We observe, however, that the slope of accumulation rate vs. temperature changes of ECHAM5 is smaller than the one reconstructed from water isotopes or ¹⁰Be in ice core, and so the modelled Antarctic δD -temperature gradient in ECHAM5 for LGM-PI climate changes at EDC is much lower than the local **Table 2.** List of markers used to constrain EDC and Vostok ice cores between 269 and 355 ka for the AICC2012 chronology. References ("Ref."): (1) Suwa and Bender (2008), (2) Lipenkov et al. (2011), (3) Raynaud et al. (2007) and (4) Bazin et al. (2013).

Vostok age markers	Depth	Age	Uncertainty	Type of marker	Ref.
Ice age markers	2882.1	275 200	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	2883.02	275 950	6343	air content	2
	2912.1	286 300	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	2962.7	296 800	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	3005.6	307 700	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	3011	307 950	6424	air content	2
	3040.7	319 200	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	3043.04	318 950	6308	air content	2
	3080.5	330 000	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	3130.6	339 700	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
	3145.95	346 950	6527	air content	2
	3157.1	349 200	4000	$\delta O_2/N_2$	1
Gas age markers	2887	272 900	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	2947.6	285 900	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	2990.3	297 500	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	3026.9	308 300	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	3062.5	318 300	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	3101.4	329,000	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	3146.2	340 300	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
	3173.8	351,000	6000	$\delta^{18}O_{atm}$	1
				ann	
EDC age markers					
Ice age markers	2500.25	306 950	6652	air content	3
	2510.75	318 950	6242	air content	3
	2610.8	346 950	7120	air content	3
Stratigraphic links between EDC and Vostok					
	Depth EDC	Depth Vostok	Uncertainty	Type of marker	Ref.
	2419.34	2911.06	1500	CH_4	4
	2451.33	2954.61	1500	CH_4	4
	2501.4	3018.01	1500	CH_{4}	4
	2521.2	3051.5	1500	CH_4	4
	2544.83	3079.41	1500	CH_4	4
	2583.9	3123.5	1500	CH_{4}	4
	2613	3162.8	1500	CH_4	4
				•	

geographical gradient as already shown in previous studies (Schmidt et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Sime et al., 2008, 2009). This could imply a problem in the estimation of the surface temperature with measured δD or even indicate that the δD -temperature slope is under-evaluated in the model compared to the hypothesis of the spatial relationship between precipitation isotopic composition and local temperature (Lorius et al., 1969). But given the uncertainties and the lack of models equipped with water isotope diagnostics, it is difficult to conclude on this point. Finally, this implies that the models matching the accumulation vs. temperature relationship of EDC3 for the last glacial-interglacial change would not necessarily accurately reproduce the associated accumulation rate vs. δD slope.

Finally, an important result highlighted in our study is a possible underestimation of accumulation rate during periods warmer than today, as already suggested by Parrenin et al. (2007a) for the optimum of the Holocene. This cannot be tested with the compilation of model outputs displayed here that were only run on colder conditions than the pre-industrial period. Using the relationship between accumulation rate and surface temperature from the saturation vapour formulation, the ¹⁰Be-based accumulation rate reconstruction suggests that the temperature increase between the plateau and the MIS 9.3 optimum is underestimated by 2.4 K

with respect to water isotopes reconstruction (5.7 vs. 3.2 K). This is in line with the 3 K underestimation for the peak of the last Antarctic interglacial temperature from the relationship between temperature and surface snow isotopic composition as shown in Sime et al. (2009), suggesting an underestimation of about a factor of 2 for warm interglacials compared to a level similar to present day. Instead, the temporal slope is less affected, for example, by about 30% when comparing the plateau (similar to present day) to MIS 10 glacial maximum (similar to the LGM). Indeed, using the ¹⁰Be-based accumulation rate reconstruction and the saturation vapour relationship between accumulation rate and surface temperature leads to an underestimation of the temperature difference between the MIS 10 glacial maximum and the plateau by the water isotopes reconstruction by 25 % only (10.2 vs. 8.2 K). This value is, however, in the upper range of the interval -10 to +30% estimated from different approaches by Jouzel et al. (2003). We should keep in mind that these estimates rely on a close relationship between the derivative of the saturation vapour pressure and accumulation change, which is subject to large uncertainties.

5 Conclusions

We have produced the first record of ¹⁰Be concentration at high resolution in an ice core over a whole climatic cycle (355 to 269 ka), including a period warmer than pre-industrial. After correction for geomagnetic intensity changes, it is generally assumed that the variations in ¹⁰Be concentration are mainly linked to variations in the accumulation rate of snow. We have used this property to reconstruct the past accumulation rate at EDC and to compare it with the deuterium-based accumulation rate reconstruction. We have deduced that the ¹⁰Be reconstruction is in reasonably good agreement with EDC3 values for the full cycle except the period warmer than present. For the latter, the accumulation is up to 13 % larger (4.46 cm ie yr^{-1} instead of 3.95). This is in agreement with the study of Parrenin et al. (2007a), who suggested that accumulation rate reconstruction from water isotopes underestimates accumulation for the optimum of the Holocene. Using the relationship between accumulation rate and surface temperature from the saturation vapour formulation, the ¹⁰Be-based accumulation rate reconstruction suggests that the temperature increase between the MIS 9.3 optimum and present day may be underestimated by 2.4 K with respect to the water isotopes reconstruction. Finally, the relationship between temperature and accumulation rate is comparable when using the different reconstructions and 4 out of 12 (3 out of 7 models) CMIP5-PMIP3 simulations for LGM-PI climate changes. However, we have noticed a large spread in the model outputs. We conclude that the CMIP5-PMIP3 models can encounter some difficulties in simulating precipitation changes linked with temperature or water isotope content on the Antarctic Plateau during large climatic shifts and need to be improved in the future.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/cp-11-355-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge F. Parrenin, L. Sime and one anonymous referee for their useful comments, which helped to improve this manuscript. This work is a contribution to the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA), a joint European Science Foundation/European Commission (EC) scientific programme, funded by the EC and by national contributions from Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the UK. The main logistic support was provided by IPEV and PNRA. We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP and the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP). We thank the climate modelling groups (listed in Table 1 of this paper) for producing and making available their model output. For CMIP, the US Department of Energy's Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison provides coordinating support and led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth System Science Portals. This work was funded by the French ANR project Dome A and through ERC grant COMBINISO (project no. 306045). The ASTER AMS national facility (CEREGE, Aix en Provence) is supported by the INSU/CNRS, and the ANR through the "Projets thématiques d'excellence" programme for the "Equipements d'Excellence" ASTER-CEREGE action, IRD, and CEA.

Edited by: E. Wolff

References

- Arnold, M., Merchel, S., Bourlès, D. L., Braucher, R., Benedetti, L., Finkel, R. C., Aumaître, G., Gottdang, A., and Klein, M.: The French accelerator mass spectrometry facility ASTER: improved performance and developments, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B, 268, 1954–1959, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2010.02.107, 2010.
- Bazin, L., Landais, A., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H., Veres, D., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Ritz, C., Capron, E., Lipenkov, V., Loutre, M.-F., Raynaud, D., Vinther, B., Svensson, A., Rasmussen, S. O., Severi, M., Blunier, T., Leuenberger, M., Fischer, H., Masson-Delmotte, V., Chappellaz, J., and Wolff, E.: An optimized multi-proxy, multi-site Antarctic ice and gas orbital chronology (AICC2012): 120–800 ka, Clim. Past, 9, 1715–1731, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1715-2013, 2013.
- Berger, A. L.: Long-term variations of daily insolation and quaternary climatic changes, J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 2362–2367, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1978)035<2362:LTVODI>2.0.CO;2, 1978.

- Blunier, T., Spahni, R., Barnola, J.-M., Chappellaz, J., Loulergue, L., and Schwander, J.: Synchronization of ice core records via atmospheric gases, Clim. Past, 3, 325–330, doi:10.5194/cp-3-325-2007, 2007.
- Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Kageyama, M., Bartlein, P. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Zhao, Y.: Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data, Nature Climate Change, 2, 417–424, doi:10.1038/nclimate1456, 2012.
- Caillon, N., Severinghaus, J. P., Barnola, J.-M., Chappellaz, J., Jouzel, J., and Parrenin, F.: Estimation of temperature change and of gas age-ice age difference, 108 kyr B. P., at Vostok, Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 31893, doi:10.1029/2001JD900145, 2001.
- Cauquoin, A.: Flux de ¹⁰Be en Antarctique durant les 800 000 dernières années et interprétation, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris-Sud 11, 2013.
- Channell, J. E. T., Xuan, C., and Hodell, D. A.: Stacking paleointensity and oxygen isotope data for the last 1.5 Myr (PISO-1500), Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 283, 14–23, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.012, 2009.
- Connolley, W. M.: The Antarctic temperature inversion, Int. J. Climatol., 16, 1333–1342, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199612)16:12<1333::AID-JOC96>3.3.CO;2-Y, 1996.
- Ekaykin, A.: Meteorological regime of central Antarctica and its role in the formation of isotope composition of snow thickness, Ph.D. thesis, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble I, 2003.
- EPICA Community Members: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core, Nature, 429, 623–628, doi:10.1038/nature02599, 2004.
- Field, C. V., Schmidt, G. A., Koch, D., and Salyk, C.: Modeling production and climate-related impacts on ¹⁰Be concentration in ice cores, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D15107, doi:10.1029/2005JD006410, 2006.
- Guillevic, M., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Kindler, P., Orsi, A., Masson-Delmotte, V., Blunier, T., Buchardt, S. L., Capron, E., Leuenberger, M., Martinerie, P., Prié, F., and Vinther, B. M.: Spatial gradients of temperature, accumulation and δ^{18} O-ice in Greenland over a series of Dansgaard–Oeschger events, Clim. Past, 9, 1029–1051, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1029-2013, 2013.
- Jouzel, J. and Merlivat, L.: Deuterium and oxygen 18 in precipitation: modeling of the isotopic effects during snow formation, J. Geophys. Res., 89, 11749, doi:10.1029/JD089iD07p11749, 1984.
- Jouzel, J., Genthon, C., Lorius, C., Petit, J. R., and Barkov, N. I.: Vostok ice core – a continuous isotope temperature record over the last climatic cycle (160 000 years), Nature, 329, 403–408, doi:10.1038/329403a0, 1987.
- Jouzel, J., Raisbeck, G., Benoist, J. P., Yiou, F., Lorius, C., Raynaud, D., Petit, J. R., Barkov, N. I., Korotkevitch, Y. S., and Kotlyakov, V. M.: A comparison of deep Antarctic ice cores and their implications for climate between 65 000 and 15 000 years ago, Quaternary Res., 31, 135–150, doi:10.1016/0033-5894(89)90003-3, 1989.
- Jouzel, J., Vimeux, F., Caillon, N., Delaygue, G., Hoffmann, G., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Parrenin, F.: Magnitude of isotope/temperature scaling for interpretation of central Antarctic ice cores, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4361, doi:10.1029/2002JD002677, 2003.

- Jouzel, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Cattani, O., Dreyfus, G., Falourd, S., Hoffmann, G., Minster, B., Nouet, J., Barnola, J.-M., Blunier, T., Chappellaz, J., Fischer, H., Gallet, J. C., Johnsen, S., Leuenberger, M., Loulergue, L., Luethi, D., Oerter, H., Parrenin, F., Raisbeck, G., Raynaud, D., Schilt, A., Schwander, J., Delmo, E., Souchez, R., Spahni, R., Stauffer, B., Steffensen, J. P., Stenni, B., Stocker, T. F., Tison, J. L., Werner, M., and Wolff, E.: Orbital and millennial Antarctic climate variability over the past 800 000 years, Science, 317, 793–796, doi:10.1126/science.1141038, 2007.
- Klein, M. G., Gottdang, A., Mous, D. J. W., Bourlès, D. L., Arnold, M., Hamelin, B., Aumaitre, G., Braucher, R., Merchel, S., and Chauvet, F.: Performance of the HVE 5 MV AMS system at CEREGE using an absorber foil for isobar suppression, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B, 266, 1828–1832, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2007.11.077, 2008.
- Kovaltsov, G. A. and Usoskin, I. G.: A new 3D numerical model of cosmogenic nuclide ¹⁰Be production in the atmosphere, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 291, 182–188, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.01.011, 2010.
- Lal, D. and Peters, B.: Cosmic ray produced radioactivity on the Earth, in: Kosmische Strahlung II/Cosmic Rays II, edited by: Sittle, K., vol. 46/2 of Handbuch der Physik, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-46079-1_7, 551–612, 1967.
- Langereis, C. G., Dekkers, M. J., Lange, G. J., Paterne, M., and Santvoort, P. J. M.: Magnetostratigraphy and astronomical calibration of the last 1.1 Myr from an eastern Mediterranean piston core and dating of short events in the Brunhes, Geophys. J. Int., 129, 75–94, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb00938.x, 1997.
- Lee, J.-E., Fung, I., DePaolo, D. J., and Otto-Bliesner, B.: Water isotopes during the Last Glacial Maximum: New general circulation model calculations, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D19109, doi:10.1029/2008JD009859, 2008.
- Lemieux-Dudon, B., Blayo, E., Petit, J.-R., Waelbroeck, C., Svensson, A., Ritz, C., Barnola, J.-M., Narcisi, B. M., and Parrenin, F.: Consistent dating for Antarctic and Greenland ice cores, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 29, 8–20, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.11.010, 2010.
- Lipenkov, V. Y., Raynaud, D., Loutre, M. F., and Duval, P.: On the potential of coupling air content and O_2/N_2 from trapped air for establishing an ice core chronology tuned on local insolation, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 30, 3280–3289, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.07.013, 2011.
- Lorius, C., Merlivat, L., and Hagemann, R.: Variation in the mean deuterium content of precipitations in Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 74, 7027–7031, doi:10.1029/JC074i028p07027, 1969.
- Lorius, C., Ritz, C., Jouzel, J., Merlivat, L., and Barkov, N. I.: A 150 000-year climatic record from Antarctic ice, Nature, 316, 591–596, doi:10.1038/316591a0, 1985.
- Masarik, J. and Beer, J.: An updated simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic nuclide production in the Earth's atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D11103, doi:10.1029/2008JD010557, 2009.
- NEEM Community Members: Eemian interglacial reconstructed from a Greenland folded ice core, Nature, 493, 489–494, doi:10.1038/nature11789, 2013.
- Nishiizumi, K., Imamura, M., Caffee, M. W., Southon, J. R., Finkel, R. C., and McAninch, J.: Absolute calibration of ¹⁰Be AMS standards, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B, 258, 403–413, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.297, 2007.

- North Greenland Ice Core Project Members: High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period, Nature, 431, 147–151, doi:10.1038/nature02805, 2004.
- Parrenin, F., Dreyfus, G., Durand, G., Fujita, S., Gagliardini, O., Gillet, F., Jouzel, J., Kawamura, K., Lhomme, N., Masson-Delmotte, V., Ritz, C., Schwander, J., Shoji, H., Uemura, R., Watanabe, O., and Yoshida, N.: 1-D-ice flow modelling at EPICA Dome C and Dome Fuji, East Antarctica, Clim. Past, 3, 243–259, doi:10.5194/cp-3-243-2007, 2007a.
- Parrenin, F., Barnola, J.-M., Beer, J., Blunier, T., Castellano, E., Chappellaz, J., Dreyfus, G., Fischer, H., Fujita, S., Jouzel, J., Kawamura, K., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Loulergue, L., Masson-Delmotte, V., Narcisi, B., Petit, J.-R., Raisbeck, G., Raynaud, D., Ruth, U., Schwander, J., Severi, M., Spahni, R., Steffensen, J. P., Svensson, A., Udisti, R., Waelbroeck, C., and Wolff, E.: The EDC3 chronology for the EPICA Dome C ice core, Clim. Past, 3, 485–497, doi:10.5194/cp-3-485-2007, 2007b.
- Petit, J. R., Jouzel, J., Raynaud, D., Barkov, N. I., Barnola, J.-M., Basile, I., Bender, M., Chappellaz, J., Davis, M., Delaygue, G., Delmotte, M., Kotlyakov, V. M., Legrand, M., Lipenkov, V. Y., Lorius, C., Pépin, L., Ritz, C., Saltzman, E., and Stievenard, M.: Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica, Nature, 399, 429–436, doi:10.1038/20859, 1999.
- Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Fruneau, M., and Loiseaux, J. M.: Beryllium-10 mass spectrometry with a cyclotron, Science, 202, 215–217, doi:10.1126/science.202.4364.215, 1978.
- Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Fruneau, M., Loiseaux, J. M., Lieuvin, M., and Ravel, J. C.: Cosmogenic ¹⁰Be/⁷Be as a probe of atmospheric transport processes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 8, 1015– 1018, doi:10.1029/GL008i009p01015, 1981a.
- Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Fruneau, M., Loiseaux, J. M., Lieuvin, M., Ravel, J. C., and Lorius, C.: Cosmogenic ¹⁰Be concentrations in Antarctic ice during the past 30,000 years, Nature, 292, 825, doi:10.1038/292825a0, 1981b.
- Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Bourlès, D., Lorius, C., and Jouzel, J.: Evidence for two intervals of enhanced ¹⁰Be deposition in Antarctic ice during the last glacial period, Nature, 326, 273– 277, doi:10.1038/326273a0, 1987.
- Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Jouzel, J., and Stocker, T. F.: Direct north-south synchronization of abrupt climate change record in ice cores using Beryllium 10, Clim. Past, 3, 541–547, doi:10.5194/cp-3-541-2007, 2007.
- Raynaud, D., Lipenkov, V., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Duval, P., Loutre, M.-F., and Lhomme, N.: The local insolation signature of air content in Antarctic ice. A new step toward an absolute dating of ice records, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 261, 337–349, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.06.025, 2007.
- Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., and Jouzel, J.: Water-stable isotopes in the LMDZ4 general circulation model: model evaluation for present-day and past climates and applications to climatic interpretations of tropical isotopic records, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D12118, doi:10.1029/2009JD013255, 2010.
- Ritz, C.: Un modèle thermo-mécanique d'évolution pour le bassin glaciaire Antarctique Vostok-Glacier Byrd: Sensibilité aux valeurs des paramètres mal connus, Ph.D. thesis, Université Joseph Fourier – Grenoble I, 1992.

- Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, J. Climate, 19, 3771, doi:10.1175/JCLI3824.1, 2006.
- Salamatin, A. N., Lipenkov, V. Y., Barkov, N. I., Jouzel, J., Petit, J. R., and Raynaud, D.: Ice core age dating and paleothermometer calibration based on isotope and temperature profiles from deep boreholes at Vostok Station (East Antarctica), J. Geophys. Res., 103, 8963–8977, doi:10.1029/97JD02253, 1998.
- Schäfer-Neth, C. and Paul, A.: Gridded Global LGM SST and Salinity Reconstruction, IGBP PAGES/World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Boulder. Data Contribution Series #2003-046., NOAA/NGDC Paleoclimatology Program, Boulder CO, USA, available at: ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/ contributions_by_author/paul2003, 2003.
- Schmidt, G. A., Legrande, A. N., and Hoffmann, G.: Water isotope expressions of intrinsic and forced variability in a coupled ocean-atmosphere model, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10103, doi:10.1029/2006JD007781, 2007.
- Sime, L. C., Tindall, J. C., Wolff, E. W., Connolley, W. M., and Valdes, P. J.: Antarctic isotopic thermometer during a CO₂ forced warming event, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D24119, doi:10.1029/2008JD010395, 2008.
- Sime, L. C., Wolff, E. W., Oliver, K. I. C., and Tindall, J. C.: Evidence for warmer interglacials in East Antarctic ice cores, Nature, 462, 342–345, doi:10.1038/nature08564, 2009.
- Singer, B. S., Guillou, H., Jicha, B. R., Laj, C., Kissel, C., Beard, B. L., and Johnson, C. M.: ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar, K-Ar and ²³⁰Th – ²³⁸U dating of the Laschamp excursion: a radioisotopic tie-point for ice core and climate chronology, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 286, 80–88, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.06.030, 2009.
- Suwa, M. and Bender, M. L.: Chronology of the Vostok ice core constrained by O₂/N₂ ratios of occluded air, and its implication for the Vostok climate records, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 27, 1093– 1106, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.02.017, 2008.
- Taylor, K. E., Balaji, V., Hankin, S., Juckes, M., Lawrence, B., and Pascoe, S.: CMIP5 Data Reference Syntax (DRS) and Controlled Vocabularies, version 1.3.1, available at: http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl. gov/cmip5/docs/cmip5_data_reference_syntax.pdf, 2012.
- Thouveny, N., Bourlès, D. L., Saracco, G., Carcaillet, J. T., and Bassinot, F.: Paleoclimatic context of geomagnetic dipole lows and excursions in the Brunhes, clue for an orbital influence on the geodynamo?, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 275, 269–284, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.08.020, 2008.
- van Ommen, T. D. and Morgan, V.: Calibrating the ice core paleothermometer using seasonality, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 9351– 9358, doi:10.1029/96JD04014, 1997.
- Veres, D., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Blayo, E., Blunier, T., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Rasmussen, S. O., Severi, M., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., and Wolff, E. W.: The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years, Clim. Past, 9, 1733–1748, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013, 2013.
- Vimeux, F., Cuffey, K. M., and Jouzel, J.: New insights into Southern Hemisphere temperature changes from Vostok ice cores using deuterium excess correction, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 203, 829–843, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00950-0, 2002.

A. Cauquoin et al.: Accumulation rate reconstructions using ¹⁰Be, water isotopes and CMIP5-PMIP3 models 367

- Wagner, W. and Pruß, A.: The IAPWS formulation 1995 for the thermodynamic properties of ordinary water substance for general and scientific use, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 31, 387, doi:10.1063/1.1461829, 2002.
- Watanabe, O., Jouzel, J., Johnsen, S., Parrenin, F., Shoji, H., and Yoshida, N.: Homogeneous climate variability across East Antarctica over the past three glacial cycles, Nature, 422, 509– 512, doi:10.1038/nature01525, 2003.
- Werner, M., Langebroek, P. M., Carlsen, T., Herold, M., and Lohmann, G.: Stable water isotopes in the ECHAM5 general circulation model: Toward high-resolution isotope modeling on a global scale, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D15109, doi:10.1029/2011JD015681, 2011.
- Yiou, F., Raisbeck, G. M., Bourles, D., Lorius, C., and Barkov, N. I.: ¹⁰Be in ice at Vostok Antarctica during the last climatic cycle, Nature, 316, 616–617, doi:10.1038/316616a0, 1985.
- Yiou, F., Raisbeck, G. M., Baumgartner, S., Beer, J., Hammer, C., Johnsen, S., Jouzel, J., Kubik, P. W., Lestringuez, J., Stiévenard, M., Suter, M., and Yiou, P.: Beryllium 10 in the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core at Summit, Greenland, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 26783–26794, doi:10.1029/97JC01265, 1997.