- **1** Supplemental Information
- 2 Habitat loss on the breeding grounds is a major contributor to population declines in a
- 3 long-distance migratory songbird
- 4 Michael T. Hallworth^{1*}, Erin Bayne², Emily McKinnon³, Oliver Love³, Junior A. Tremblay⁴,
- 5 Bruno Drolet⁴, Jacques Ibarzabal⁵, Steven Van Wilgenburg⁶, and Peter P. Marra¹
- 6 ¹ Migratory Bird Center, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute. Washington, D.C. 20008,
- 7 U.S.A.
- 8 ² University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada
- 9 ³ University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada
- 10 ⁴ Environment and Climate Change Canada, Québec, Canada
- 11 ⁵ Université du Québec á Chicoutimi, Saguenay, Canada
- 12⁶ Environment and Climate Change Canada, Saskatchewan, Canada
- 13 '* Corresponding Author: mhallworth@gmail.com

15 Supplemental Methods

16 Light-level geolocation

17 We defined twilight (sunrise and sunset events) using the findTwilights function with a 18 threshold of 1. Sunrise and sunset times were assigned as the time the ambient light-levels 19 recorded by the geolocator rose above and fell below the threshold value, respectively. We set a 20 minimum dark period of six hours to remove spurious twilight events. Once twilights were 21 determined with used the changeLight function available in the GeoLight package [1] to identify 22 migratory phenology using a stationary duration of two days. We subsequently merged 23 stationary locations that were closer than 300 km. We used informative behavioral priors in our 24 analysis to help refine location estimates. We used two distinct flight speed models within our 25 behavioral model, one corresponding to stationary periods and another to migratory periods ([2], 26 Fig. S2). In addition to using different flight speed parameters we used different zenith angles 27 throughout the year [3,4]. We determined the zenith angle associated with each identified 28 stationary period using the findHEzenith function available in the GeoLight package [1]. 29 Geographic coordinates estimated while including uncertainty inherent in light-level geolocation 30 [5] were derived by combining a model describing the difference between observed and expected 31 twilight times, a behavioral movement model and a land mask which restricted stationary periods 32 of the annual cycle to land masses while allowing flights to occur over water [6,7]. We ran the 33 MCMC analysis using a Metropolis sampler. We made our geographic inference from 5000 34 draws from the posterior distribution following an initial burn-in phase of 1000 draws.

35 *Habitat loss & fragmentation*

36 Habitat loss was summarized from the Global Forest Change data set (version 1.6; [8]) using 37 Google Earth Engine [9]. We summarized the area of habitat loss in each year between 2000 and 38 2017 within each 500km x 500km target location (see Migratory Connectivity) and calculated 39 the cumulative loss across years. For each population we derived a weighted average of habitat 40 loss that accounts for location uncertainty. We used the estimated probability that a population 41 used a particular 500km x 500km region derived from the MC metric to calculate a weighted 42 average Fig. S1). We present the annual rate of change as a summary statistic because the area of 43 inference differed between breeding ($\sim 7850 \text{ km}^2$) and non-breeding seasons (250,000 km²). 44 Within our analysis we used the cumulative habitat loss. In addition to habitat loss, we derived 45 several metrics that describe habitat fragmentation within each landscape. We calculated the 46 percentage of forest cover (PLAND), edge density (ED), patch density (PD), nearest patch (NP), 47 largest patch index (LPI), total core area (TCA), and core area index (CAI) metrics [10] using the 48 LandscapeMetrics R package [11]. Several of the metrics were highly correlated (r > 0.75, Fig. 49 S3) and were removed from the analysis to reduce redundancy. We included the largest patch 50 index (LPI) which is an area to edge metric that approaches 0 when the largest patch becomes 51 small and approaches 100 when the landscape is comprised of a single patch, number of patches 52 (NP) which is an aggregation metric that describes the number of patches within the landscape but does not contain information about how patches are configured within the landscape. Finally, 53 54 we included total core area (TCA) which is a configuration metric that describes the amount of 55 core area (non-edge habitat) within a landscape [11]. For each metric we used 8 neighbors 56 (queen's case) and did not consider pixels at the edge of the landscape boundary as core area. For 57 fragmentation metrics that calculated edge we used 90m or 3 raster cells (30m x 30m resolution) 58 as the distance from edge to be considered core.

59 Supplemental Results

60 Habitat loss & fragmentation

- 61 The amount of core forested habitat (total core area) declined at the greatest rate at stopover
- 62 regions prior to making long-distance over water flights (mean = -124.71, range = -228.29 -
- 63 65.49 thousand ha $^{-yr}$) followed by the stationary non-breeding landscapes (mean = -28.45, range
- 64 = -38.98 2.57 thousand ha ^{-yr}), stopover regions post Atlantic crossing (mean = -49.79, range =
- -79.41 15.13 thousand ha ^{-yr}) and finally the breeding grounds (mean = -14.01, range = -21.57)
- 66 -7.29 thousand ha ^{-yr}).

68 Supplemental Tables

- 69 Table S1. Mean abundance and 95% credible interval of Connecticut warblers along breeding
- 70 bird survey routes within each of the 'natural' populations.

Year	Quebec	Great Lakes	Northern U.S.	Ontario W.	Alberta W.	Alberta E.	Saskatchewan	Manitoba
2000	0.09	0.56	1.40	1.66	1.19	1.08	1.28	3.29
	(0.00:0.48)	(0.16:1.27)	(0.92:1.97)	(1.16:2.37)	(0.61:2.02)	(0.72:1.51)	(0.72:2.04)	(1.22:7.82)
2001	0.09	0.27	1.60	1.55	2.42	1.03	3.07	0.48
	(0.00:0.44)	(0.06:0.72)	(1.08:2.23)	(1.13:2.05)	(1.31:4.43)	(0.63:1.64)	(1.71:5.98)	(0.21:0.90)
2002	0.07	0.04	1.00	1.17	1.78	0.98	4.08	2.16
	(0.00:0.39)	(0.01:0.14)	(0.63 : 1.48)	(0.85 : 1.56)	(1.01:2.82)	(0.64:1.43)	(2.93 : 5.44)	(0.67:5.53)
2003	0.05	0.20	0.87	1.49	1.96	1.22	2.76	1.50
	(0.00:0.32)	(0.03:0.75)	(0.54 : 1.30)	(0.98:2.49)	(1.15:3.04)	(0.78:2.02)	(1.83:3.86)	(0.91:2.24)
2004	0.04	0.03	1.08	0.75	3.05	1.41	1.38	0.16
	(0.00:0.27)	(0.00:0.11)	(0.61:2.03)	(0.48:1.14)	(1.71:5.57)	(0.89:2.45)	(0.33:4.34)	(0.06:0.33)
2005	0.03	0.03	1.01	1.38	1.30	1.54	1.02	1.68
	(0.00:0.19)	(0.00:0.10)	(0.66:1.47)	(0.75:2.61)	(0.68:2.16)	(1.11:2.06)	(0.55:1.68)	(0.58:4.12)
2006	1.69	0.13	0.65	1.78	0.61	0.67	0.92	2.58
	(0.09:8.27)	(0.02:0.52)	(0.40:0.98)	(1.26:2.63)	(0.28:1.13)	(0.41:1.02)	(0.46 : 1.59)	(1.55:4.40)
2007	2.17	0.16	0.94	2.39	0.88	1.40	0.79	1.24
	(0.53:5.28)	(0.04:0.44)	(0.54 : 1.65)	(1.87:2.97)	(0.41:1.58)	(0.82:2.72)	(0.39:1.36)	(0.42:3.10)
2008	4.45	0.15	0.74	2.02	0.66	1.47	1.29	1.67
	(1.60:8.97)	(0.03:0.41)	(0.45:1.15)	(1.57:2.53)	(0.29:1.24)	(1.02:2.08)	(0.71:2.07)	(0.73:3.64)
2009	0.01	0.30	0.61	1.79	0.76	1.45	0.40	2.00
	(0.00:0.07)	(0.09:0.74)	(0.36:0.95)	(1.39:2.25)	(0.32:1.63)	(1.04 : 1.94)	(0.15:0.82)	(1.33:2.86)
2010	1.66	0.44	0.34	1.33	0.88	1.37	0.25	2.54
	(0.21:6.37)	(0.15:0.98)	(0.18:0.56)	(0.99:1.75)	(0.39:1.67)	(0.97:1.84)	(0.06:0.82)	(1.72:3.55)
2011	0.75	0.37	0.46	1.05	0.69	0.64	0.37	0.08
	(0.14:2.19)	(0.13:0.79)	(0.22:0.90)	(0.76:1.41)	(0.32:1.24)	(0.42:0.94)	(0.12:0.95)	(0.02:0.20)
2012	0.96	0.44	0.40	1.25	0.93	0.74	0.08	0.07
	(0.18:2.70)	(0.17:0.93)	(0.22:0.66)	(0.93:1.65)	(0.38:2.17)	(0.48:1.09)	(0.02:0.19)	(0.02:0.17)
2013	1.21	0.47	0.46	0.99	0.47	0.67	0.74	0.08
	(0.24:3.31)	(0.18:0.97)	(0.25:0.76)	(0.72:1.32)	(0.20:0.90)	(0.43:0.98)	(0.18:2.18)	(0.02:0.20)
2014	0.75	0.40	0.23	0.94	0.57	0.86	0.69	0.05
	(0.11:2.31)	(0.14:0.84)	(0.12:0.41)	(0.67:1.28)	(0.24:1.08)	(0.57:1.24)	(0.26:1.31)	(0.01:0.16)
2015	0.45	0.29	0.35	1.24	0.39	0.30	0.64	0.81
	(0.05:1.50)	(0.09:0.68)	(0.16:0.67)	(0.86:1.82)	(0.15:0.78)	(0.16:0.50)	(0.27:1.17)	(0.24:2.13)
2016	0.40	0.25	0.22	1.28	0.34	0.63	0.68	1.67
	(0.03:1.40)	(0.07: 0.60)	(0.10:0.42)	(0.93:1.68)	(0.13:0.70)	(0.31:1.32)	(0.24 : 1.61)	(0.93:2.94)
2017	0.35	0.12	0.26	1.16	0.43	0.65	0.72	0.42
	(0.02:1.34)	(0.02:0.35)	(0.12:0.50)	(0.83 : 1.55)	(0.16:0.88)	(0.39:0.97)	(0.34 : 1.26)	(0.18:0.79)

73 Supplemental Figures

74 Fig. S1 The target regions (500km x 500km) used to estimate the strength of migratory 75 connectivity (MC) for Connecticut warblers between breeding and significant stopover locations 76 (A. pre Atlantic crossing, B. post Atlantic crossing) and the stationary non-breeding season (C). 77 The target regions outlined in black were the regions with a transition probability greater than 0 78 identified in the migratory connectivity analysis. The target regions outlined in white were 79 included as possible target regions but were not used by populations in our analysis. The lines 80 connect the breeding location with the target regions used by a population. The width of the line 81 represents that transition probability from the breeding site to the target regions - wide lines 82 represent a greater probability a given population used that target region. Figure S1 D shows an 83 enlarged region in South America where Connecticut warblers spent the stationary non-breeding 84 season.

Fig. S2 The flight behavior mask used for stationary (solid line) and migratory (dotted) phases of
the annual cycle. We allowed for a greater flight speed during migratory periods than during
stationary periods.

Fig. S3 A scatterplot matrix showing the correlation and correlation coefficients between
landscape fragmentation metrics. For each landscape we derived the percentage of forest cover
(PLAND), edge density (ED), patch density (PD), nearest patch (NP), largest patch index (LPI),
total core area (TCA), and core area index (CAI_mn) using the LandscapeMetrics package [11].
Fig. S4 Posterior predictive diagnostic of model fit for habitat loss (A) and habitat fragmentation
(B) using Chi-square goodness of fit test statistic.

- 94 Fig. S5 Connecticut warbler observation locations submitted to eBird.org by community
- 95 scientists (also referred to as citizen scientists). Observations are color coded by season and size
- 96 of the locations is representative of the number of individuals seen at that location. While spring
- 97 migration routes of individuals are unknown, eBird checklists suggest that the geographic
- 98 regions used by Connecticut warblers during spring and fall migration are similar.







0 20 0 20000 0 60 шшц 1111 Т ø E cai_mn 0.55 0.39 0.44 0.67 0.70 0.78 2 25 ed 0.60 0.73 0.80 0.73 0.55 0 lpi 0.71 0.12 0.23 0.88 jelis. np ÷. 0.92 0.32 0.28 0 0.0 0.8 Ē . منابع 2 pd 0.17 0.35 الموتة بالجر 0 00 31.5 18. A. pland 0.90 14 M. E tca 0 0.0 0.6 0 2000000 2 6 0 40

107





115 Supplemental References

- Lisovski S, Hewson CM, Klaassen RHG, Korner-Nievergelt F, Kristensen MW, Hahn S.
 2012 Geolocation by light: accuracy and precision affected by environmental factors.
 Methods in Ecology and Evolution
- Schmaljohann H, Lisovski S, Bairlein F. 2017 Flexible reaction norms to environmental variables along the migration route and the significance of stopover duration for total speed of migration in a songbird migrant. *Frontiers in Zoology* 14, 17. (doi:10.1186/s12983-017-0203-3)
- Hallworth MT, Sillett TS, Van Wilgenburg SL, Hobson KA, Marra PP. 2015 Migratory
 connectivity of a Neotropical migratory songbird revealed by archival light-level
 geolocators. *Ecological Applications* 25, 336–347. (doi:10.1890/14-0195.1)
- McKinnon EA, Stanley CQ, Fraser KC, MacPherson MM, Casbourn G, Marra PP, Studds
 CE, Diggs N, Stutchbury BJ. 2013 Estimating geolocator accuracy for a migratory songbird
 using live ground-truthing in tropical forest. *Animal Migration* 1, 31–38.
- Lisovski S *et al.* 2018 Inherent limits of light-level geolocation may lead to overinterpretation. *Current Biology* 28, R99–R100. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.072)
- 131 6. Lisovski S *et al.* 2020 Light-level geolocator analyses: A user's guide. *Journal of Animal*132 *Ecology* 89, 221–236. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.13036)
- Cooper NW, Hallworth MT, Marra PP. 2017 Light-level geolocation reveals wintering
 distribution, migration routes, and primary stopover locations of an endangered long-distance
 migratory songbird. *J Avian Biol* 48, 209–219. (doi:10.1111/jav.01096)
- Hansen MC *et al.* 2013 High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change.
 Science 342, 850–853. (doi:10.1126/science.1244693)
- Gorelick N, Hancher M, Dixon M, Ilyushchenko S, Thau D, Moore R. 2017 Google Earth
 Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 202, 18–27. (doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031)
- 141 10. Wang X, Blanchet FG, Koper N. 2014 Measuring habitat fragmentation: An evaluation of
 142 landscape pattern metrics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5, 634–646.
 143 (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12198)
- 144 11. Hesselbarth MHK, Sciaini M, With KA, Wiegand K, Nowosad J. 2019 landscapemetrics: an
 open-source R tool to calculate landscape metrics. *Ecography* 42, 1648–1657.
 (doi:10.1111/ecog.04617)
- 147

```
150
      ## model{
151
      ## # THIS IS A POISSON REGRESSION TO ESTIMATE CONNECTICUT WARBLER ABUNDANCE
152
      ## # USING BBS ROUTE LEVEL TOTALS FOLLOWING RUSHING ET AL. 2016 JAE
153
      ##
154
      ## # model indicator variable as joint distribution to facilitate mixing
155
      ## # Hooten & Hobbs 2015, AHM vol 1. Kery & Royle pg 342
156
      ##
157
      ## # Priors
158
      ## HyperTrend ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
159
      ## HyperAlpha ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
160
      ## # forest loss hyper priors #
161
      ## Hyper_cumbreed ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
162
      ## Hyper_cumwinter \sim dnorm(0,0.01)
163
      ## Hyper_cumpre ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
164
      ## Hyper_cumpost ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
165
      ## Hyper_cumloss ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
166
      ## # fragmentation priors
167
      ## Hyper_lpi ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
168
      ## Hyper_np ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
169
      ## Hyper_tca ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
170
      ## Hyper_npwinter ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
171
      ## Hyper_nppre ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
172
      ## Hyper_nppost ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
173
      ## Hyper_lpiwinter ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
174
      ## Hyper_lpipre ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
175
      ## Hyper_lpipost ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
176
      ## Hyper_tcawinter ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
177
      ## Hyper_tcapre ~ dnorm(0, 0.01)
178
      ## Hyper_tcapost ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
179
      ## Hyper_obs ~ dnorm(0,0.01)
180
      ## Hyper_eps ~ dnorm(0, 0.001)
181
      ##
182
      ## # Priors for population-level intercept
183
      ## # and indicator variable for cumulative breeding
184
      ## # forest loss
185
      ##
186
      ## for(p in 1:npopulations){
187
      ## # intercept
188
      ## alpha[p] ~ dnorm(HyperAlpha,tau.alpha)
189
      ## beta.trend[p] ~ dnorm(HyperTrend,tau.trend)
190
      ##
191
      ## # prior indicators #
```

```
192
       ## pop.breed.ind[p] ~ dbeta(5,5)
193
       ## pop.lpi.ind[p] ~ dbeta(5,5)
194
       ## pop.np.ind[p] \sim dbeta(5,5)
195
       ## pop.tca.ind[p] \sim dbeta(5,5)
196
       ##
197
       ## # realized indicators #
198
       ## breed.ind[p] ~ dbern(pop.breed.ind[p])
199
       ## breed.lpi.ind[p] ~ dbern(pop.lpi.ind[p])
200
       ## breed.np.ind[p] ~ dbern(pop.np.ind[p])
201
       ## breed.tca.ind[p] ~ dbern(pop.tca.ind[p])
202
       ##
203
       ## # joint beta & indicator #
204
       ## beta_cumbreed[p] ~ dnorm(Hyper_cumbreed, tau.cumBreed)
205
       ## beta.cumbreed[p] <- breed.ind[p]*beta_cumbreed[p]</pre>
206
       ##
       ## beta_breed_lpi[p] ~ dnorm(Hyper_lpi, tau.breedLPI)
207
208
       ## beta.breed.lpi[p] <- breed.lpi.ind[p]*beta_breed_lpi[p]</pre>
209
       ##
210
       ## beta_breed_np[p] ~ dnorm(Hyper_np, tau.breedNP)
211
       ## beta.breed.np[p] <- breed.np.ind[p]*beta_breed_np[p]</pre>
212
       ##
213
       ## beta_breed_tca[p] ~ dnorm(Hyper_tca, tau.breedTCA)
214
       ## beta.breed.tca[p] <- breed.tca.ind[p]*beta_breed_tca[p]</pre>
215
       ## }
216
       ##
217
       ## # HARD CODE BETA ESTIMATES FOR WINTER, PRE AND POST FLIGHT LOSS TO O
       ## # FOR POPULATIONS WHERE WE DON'T HAVE TRACKING INFORMATION FOR
218
219
       ## # we have info from 1,3,4,6
220
       ## for(tp in c(1,3,4,6)){ # tp = tracked population
221
       ## # Cumulative loss during winter
222
       ## pop.winter.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
223
       ## winter.ind[tp] ~ dbern(pop.winter.ind[tp])
224
       ## beta_cumwinter[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_cumwinter, tau.cumWinter)
225
       ## beta.cumwinter[tp] <- winter.ind[tp]*beta_cumwinter[tp]</pre>
226
       ##
227
       ## # Cumulative loss pre flight
228
       ## pop.pre.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
229
       ## pre.ind[tp] ~ dbern(pop.pre.ind[tp])
230
       ## beta_cumpre[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_cumpre, tau.cumPre)
231
       ## beta.cumpre[tp] <- pre.ind[tp]*beta_cumpre[tp]</pre>
232
       ##
233
       ## # Cumulative loss post flight
       ## pop.post.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
234
235
       ## post.ind[tp] ~ dbern(pop.post.ind[tp])
236
       ## beta_cumpost[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_cumpost, tau.cumPost)
```

```
237
       ## beta.cumpost[tp] <- post.ind[tp]*beta_cumpost[tp]</pre>
238
       ##
239
       ## # Cumulative loss post flight
240
       ## pop.cumloss.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
241
       ## cumloss.ind[tp] ~ dbern(pop.cumloss.ind[tp])
242
       ## beta_cumloss[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_cumloss, tau.cumLoss)
243
       ## beta.cumloss[tp] <- cumloss.ind[tp]*beta_cumloss[tp]</pre>
244
       ##
245
       ## # lpi
246
       ## # winter
247
       ## lpi.winter.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
248
       ## winter.lpi.ind[tp] ~ dbern(lpi.winter.ind[tp])
249
       ## beta_winter_lpi[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_lpiwinter, tau.lpiWinter)
250
       ## beta.winter.lpi[tp] <- winter.lpi.ind[tp]*beta_winter_lpi[tp]</pre>
251
       ## # pre
252
       ## lpi.pre.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
253
       ## pre.lpi.ind[tp] ~ dbern(lpi.pre.ind[tp])
254
       ## beta_pre_lpi[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_lpipre, tau.lpiPre)
255
       ## beta.pre.lpi[tp] <- pre.lpi.ind[tp]*beta_pre_lpi[tp]</pre>
256
       ## # post
257
       ## lpi.post.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
258
       ## post.lpi.ind[tp] ~ dbern(lpi.post.ind[tp])
259
       ## beta_post_lpi[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_lpipost, tau.lpiPost)
260
       ## beta.post.lpi[tp] <- post.lpi.ind[tp]*beta_post_lpi[tp]</pre>
261
       ##
262
       ## # tca
263
       ## # winter
264
       ## tca.winter.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
265
       ## winter.tca.ind[tp] ~ dbern(tca.winter.ind[tp])
266
       ## beta_winter_tca[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_tcawinter, tau.tcaWinter)
267
       ## beta.winter.tca[tp] <- winter.tca.ind[tp]*beta_winter_tca[tp]</pre>
268
       ## # pre
269
       ## tca.pre.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
270
       ## pre.tca.ind[tp] ~ dbern(tca.pre.ind[tp])
271
       ## beta_pre_tca[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_tcapre, tau.tcaPre)
272
       ## beta.pre.tca[tp] <- pre.tca.ind[tp]*beta_pre_tca[tp]</pre>
273
       ## # post
274
       ## tca.post.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
275
       ## post.tca.ind[tp] ~ dbern(tca.post.ind[tp])
276
       ## beta_post_tca[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_tcapost, tau.tcaPost)
277
       ## beta.post.tca[tp] <- post.tca.ind[tp]*beta_post_tca[tp]</pre>
278
       ##
279
       ## # np
280
       ## # winter
281
       ## np.winter.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
```

```
282
       ## winter.np.ind[tp] ~ dbern(np.winter.ind[tp])
283
       ## beta_winter_np[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_npwinter, tau.npWinter)
284
       ## beta.winter.np[tp] <- winter.np.ind[tp]*beta_winter_np[tp]</pre>
285
       ## # pre
286
       ## np.pre.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
287
       ## pre.np.ind[tp] ~ dbern(np.pre.ind[tp])
288
       ## beta_pre_np[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_nppre, tau.npPre)
289
       ## beta.pre.np[tp] <- pre.np.ind[tp]*beta_pre_np[tp]</pre>
290
       ## # post
291
       ## np.post.ind[tp] ~ dbeta(5,5)
292
       ## post.np.ind[tp] ~ dbern(np.post.ind[tp])
293
       ## beta_post_np[tp] ~ dnorm(Hyper_nppost, tau.npPost)
294
       ## beta.post.np[tp] <- post.np.ind[tp]*beta_post_np[tp]</pre>
295
       ##
296
       ## }
297
       ##
298
       ## # HARD CODE BETA ESTIMATES NOT IN MODEL TO ZERO #
299
       ## beta.cumwinter[2] <- 0
300
       ## beta.cumwinter[5] <- 0</pre>
301
       ## beta.cumwinter[7] <- 0</pre>
302
       ## beta.cumwinter[8] <- 0</pre>
303
       ## beta.cumpre[2] <- 0
304
       ## beta.cumpre[5] <- 0
305
       ## beta.cumpre[7] <- 0
306
       ## beta.cumpre[8] <- 0
307
       ## beta.cumpost[2] <- 0
308
       ## beta.cumpost[5] <- 0
309
       ## beta.cumpost[7] <- 0
310
       ## beta.cumpost[8] <- 0
311
       ## beta.cumloss[2] <- 0
312
       ## beta.cumloss[5] <- 0
313
       ## beta.cumloss[7] <- 0
314
       ## beta.cumloss[8] <- 0
315
       ## beta.winter.lpi[2] <- 0
316
       ## beta.winter.lpi[5] <- 0</pre>
317
       ## beta.winter.lpi[7] <- 0</pre>
318
       ## beta.winter.lpi[8] <- 0</pre>
319
       ## beta.pre.lpi[2] <- 0
320
       ## beta.pre.lpi[5] <- 0
321
       ## beta.pre.lpi[7] <- 0
322
       ## beta.pre.lpi[8] <- 0
323
       ## beta.post.lpi[2] <- 0
324
       ## beta.post.lpi[5] <- 0
325
       ## beta.post.lpi[7] <- 0
326
       ## beta.post.lpi[8] <- 0
```

```
327
      ## beta.winter.tca[2] <- 0
328
      ## beta.winter.tca[5] <- 0</pre>
329
      ## beta.winter.tca[7] <- 0
330
      ## beta.winter.tca[8] <- 0</pre>
331
      ## beta.pre.tca[2] <- 0
332
      ## beta.pre.tca[5] <- 0
333
      ## beta.pre.tca[7] <- 0
334
      ## beta.pre.tca[8] <- 0
335
      ## beta.post.tca[2] <- 0
336
      ## beta.post.tca[5] <- 0
337
      ## beta.post.tca[7] <- 0
338
      ## beta.post.tca[8] <- 0
339
      ## beta.winter.np[2] <- 0
340
      ## beta.winter.np[5] <- 0
341
      ## beta.winter.np[7] <- 0
342
      ## beta.winter.np[8] <- 0
343
      ## beta.pre.np[2] <- 0
344
      ## beta.pre.np[5] <- 0
345
      ## beta.pre.np[7] <- 0
346
      ## beta.pre.np[8] <- 0
347
      ## beta.post.np[2] <- 0
348
      ## beta.post.np[5] <- 0
349
      ## beta.post.np[7] <- 0
350
      ## beta.post.np[8] <- 0
351
      ##
352
      ## # beta coefficients
      ## beta.naive ~ dnorm(0, tau.naive)
353
354
      ##
355
      ## # precision terms
356
      ## tau.naive ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
357
      ## tau.alpha ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
358
      ## tau.alpha.trend ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
359
      ## tau.w ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
360
      ## tau.trend ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
361
      ## tau.eps ~ dgamma(0.1,0.1)
362
      ## tau.cumBreed ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
363
      ## tau.cumPre ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
364
      ## tau.cumPost ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
365
      ## tau.cumWinter ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
366
      ## tau.cumLoss ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
367
      ## tau.breedLPI ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
368
      ## tau.breedNP ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
369
      ## tau.breedTCA ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
370
      ## tau.npPost ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
371
      ## tau.npPre ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
```

```
372
      ## tau.npWinter ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
373
      ## tau.lpiPost ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
374
      ## tau.lpiPre ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
375
      ## tau.lpiWinter ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
      ## tau.tcaPost ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
376
377
      ## tau.tcaPre ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
378
      ## tau.tcaWinter ~ dgamma(0.001,0.001)
379
      ##
      ## # error for each observer
380
381
      ## for(o in 1:nobservers){
382
      ## obs[o] ~ dnorm(Hyper_obs,tau.w)
383
      ## }
384
      385
      ## #
                                 Likelihood statement
                                                                                 #
      386
387
      ## for(i in 1:nroutes){
388
      ## for(t in 1:18){
389
      ## for(p in 1:npopulations){
390
      ## eps[p,i,t] ~ dnorm(Hyper_eps,tau.eps) # random error
391
      ## }
392
      ##
393
      ## w[i,t] <- obs[observer[i,t]] # random effect observer</pre>
394
      ##
395
      ##
396
      ## # Capture History Array - poisson of expected abundance
397
      ## CH.array[i,t] ~ dpois(lambda[i,t])
398
      ##
399
      ## # expected abundance using log link
400
      ## log(lambda[i,t]) <- alpha[population[i]] +</pre>
401
      ##
                           beta.trend[population[i]] * year[t] +
402
      ##
                        # FOREST LOSS METRICS #
403
                        beta.cumbreed[population[i]] * cumbreedLoss[i,t] +
      ##
404
                        beta.cumwinter[population[i]] * cumwinter[population[i],t] +
      ##
405
      ##
                        beta.cumpre[population[i]] * cumpre[population[i],t]+
406
      ##
                        beta.cumpost[population[i]] * cumpost[population[i],t]+
407
      ##
408
      ##
                        # Cumulative loss throughout the annual cycle #
409
                        beta.cumloss[population[i]] * (cumbreedLoss[i,t] +
      ##
410
                                                     cumwinter[population[i],t]+
      ##
411
      ##
                                                     cumpre[population[i],t]+
412
      ##
                                                     cumpost[population[i],t]) +
413
      ##
                         # FRAGMENTATION METRICS #
414
                         # total core area #
      ##
415
                            beta.breed.tca[population[i]] * breedTCA[i,t] +
      ##
416
      ##
                            beta.winter.tca[population[i]] * winterTCA[population[i],t] +
```

```
417
                                beta.pre.tca[population[i]] * preTCA[population[i],t] +
       ##
418
       ##
                                beta.post.tca[population[i]] * postTCA[population[i],t] +
419
       ##
420
                                # Largest Patch Index #
       ##
                                beta.breed.lpi[population[i]] * breedLPI[i,t] +
421
       ##
422
       ##
                                beta.winter.lpi[population[i]] * winterLPI[population[i],t] +
423
       ##
                                beta.pre.lpi[population[i]] * preLPI[population[i],t] +
424
       ##
                                beta.post.lpi[population[i]] * postLPI[population[i],t] +
425
       ##
426
       ##
                                # nearest patch #
427
                                beta.breed.np[population[i]] * breedNP[i,t] +
       ##
428
       ##
                                beta.winter.np[population[i]] * winterNP[population[i],t] +
429
       ##
                                beta.pre.np[population[i]] * preNP[population[i],t] +
430
       ##
                                beta.post.np[population[i]] * postNP[population[i],t] +
431
       ##
432
                             # Naive observer effects and random error #
       ##
433
       ##
                               beta.naive * naive[i,t] + w[i,t] + eps[population[i],i,t]
434
       ##
435
       ## # Fit assessments: Chi-square test statistic & posterior predictive check #
436
       ## #observed
437
       ## chi2[i,t] <- pow((CH.array[i,t]-lambda[i,t]),2) / (sqrt(lambda[i,t])+0.0001)</pre>
438
       ## # expected
439
       ## new.est[i,t] ~ dpois(lambda[i,t])
       ## chi2.new[i,t] <- pow((new.est[i,t]-lambda[i,t]),2) / (sqrt(lambda[i,t])+0.0001)</pre>
440
441
       ## } # end t years
442
       ## } # end i routes
443
       ##
444
       ## fit <- sum(chi2[,])
445
       ## fit.new <- sum(chi2.new[,])</pre>
446
       ##
447
       ## for(t in 1:18){
       ## meanAbun[1,t] <- mean(lambda[pop1,t])</pre>
448
449
       ## meanAbun[2,t] <- mean(lambda[pop2,t])</pre>
450
       ## meanAbun[3,t] <- mean(lambda[pop3,t])</pre>
451
       ## meanAbun[4,t] <- mean(lambda[pop4,t])</pre>
452
       ## meanAbun[5,t] <- mean(lambda[pop5,t])</pre>
453
       ## meanAbun[6,t] <- mean(lambda[pop6,t])</pre>
454
       ## meanAbun[7,t] <- mean(lambda[pop7,t])</pre>
455
       ## meanAbun[8,t] <- mean(lambda[pop8,t])</pre>
456
       ## }
457
       ## for(p in 1:8){
458
       ## rel.abun[p,1:18] <- meanAbun[p,1:18]/max(meanAbun[p,1])</pre>
459
       ## rel.2000[p,1:18] <- meanAbun[p,1:18]/meanAbun[p,1]</pre>
460
       ## }
```

461 ## 462 ## }