REVIEW PAPER



Nitric oxide molecular targets: reprogramming plant development upon stress

Inmaculada Sánchez-Vicente*, María Guadalupe Fernández-Espinosa* and Oscar Lorenzo^{†, P}

Departamento de Botánica y Fisiología Vegetal, Instituto Hispano-Luso de Investigaciones Agrarias (CIALE), Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Salamanca, C/ Río Duero 12, 37185 Salamanca, Spain

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†] Correspondence: oslo@usal.es

Received 10 December 2018; Editorial decision 11 July 2019; Accepted 18 July 2019

Editor: Renaud Brouquisse, Sophia Agrobiotech Institute, INRA, France

Abstract

Plants are sessile organisms that need to complete their life cycle by the integration of different abiotic and biotic environmental signals, tailoring developmental cues and defense concomitantly. Commonly, stress responses are detrimental to plant growth and, despite the fact that intensive efforts have been made to understand both plant development and defense separately, most of the molecular basis of this trade-off remains elusive. To cope with such a diverse range of processes, plants have developed several strategies including the precise balance of key plant growth and stress regulators [i.e. phytohormones, reactive nitrogen species (RNS), and reactive oxygen species (ROS)]. Among RNS, nitric oxide (NO) is a ubiquitous gasotransmitter involved in redox homeostasis that regulates specific checkpoints to control the switch between development and stress, mainly by post-translational protein modifications comprising S-nitrosation of cysteine residues and metals, and nitration of tyrosine residues. In this review, we have sought to compile those known NO molecular targets able to balance the crossroads between plant development and stress, with special emphasis on the metabolism, perception, and signaling of the phytohormones abscisic acid and salicylic acid during abiotic and biotic stress responses.

Keywords: Abiotic, biotic, developmental cues, nitration, nitric oxide, post-translational modifications, reactive nitrogen species, *S*-nitrosation.

Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a simple molecule whose production is regulated by complex mechanisms, given the large number of synthesis and scavenging pathways that influence NO homeostasis. Apart from being the most abundant reactive nitrogen species (RNS) in plants, NO is considered a gasotransmitter with a pivotal role in a plethora of physiological processes throughout the plant life cycle, from the regulation of growth and development to biotic and abiotic stress tolerance.

The distribution, concentration, and regulation of NO levels at the specific sites of action are important to exert different physiological functions. These features make NO a versatile and broad-spectrum signaling molecule, able to regulate numerous processes in a very precise way (Sanz *et al.*, 2015). Considering the impact of NO levels in plants, either deficiency or overaccumulation greatly impair growth and development. Thus, reported mutants with altered NO levels show stunted growth immediately after germination which become visible in adult plants (Fig. 1), although the pleiotropic defects in these mutants may have wider impacts than just NO generation.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com



Fig. 1. Phenotype of nitric oxide (NO) homeostasis mutants. Growth and developmental defects of 7-week-old NO-deficient mutants (*nia1nia2*, *atnoa1*, and *atnoa1nia1nia2*) and NO-overproducer mutants (*cue1-5*, *nox1-1*, and *gsnor1-3*), compared with the wild-type Col-0, in terms of endogenous NO levels.

The mode of action of NO as a signaling effector includes the modification of molecules of biological relevance (e.g. proteins, fatty acids, cGMP, DNA, and RNA). Essentially, RNS derived from NO interact with biomolecules to modify both their structure and function. With special emphasis on protein structure, these modifications lead to conformational changes whose result may be an increased or decreased stability, activation or inhibition of activity, disruption of the interactome, translocation, and, in the case of transcription factors, an influence on DNA binding to alter gene expression. To perform these effects in such a diverse range of processes, NO modifies certain proteins through two post-translational mechanisms, the nitration of Tyr residues and the *S*-nitrosation of Cys residues and metals.

NO post-translational modifications of key regulators by Tyr nitration

The nitration of Tyr residues is carried out mainly by peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) and by the nitrogen dioxide radical (\cdot NO₂). ONOO⁻ results from the reaction of NO with the superoxide radical ($O_2 \cdot \bar{}$), which modifies position 3 of the phenolic ring, adding a nitro group ($-NO_2$). \cdot NO₂ comes from the reactions of NO in the presence of oxidants, such as H₂O₂ and O₂ $\cdot \bar{}$, and transition metals (Radi *et al.*, 2004). Within a protein, not all Tyr residues are susceptible to nitration and depend on the conformational state in order to be more exposed to the redox environment (Abello *et al.*, 2009; Corpas *et al.*, 2013*a*) and the properties of surrounding amino acids (Souza *et al.*, 1999; Ischiropoulos, 2003; Chaki *et al.*, 2009; Lozano-Juste *et al.*, 2011).

Similar to other RNS, ONOO⁻ has been considered one of the most potent molecules to produce oxidative damage

to nucleic acids and lipid peroxidation. However, emerging evidence also highlights the great relevance of this molecule for signaling (Arasimowicz-Jelonek and Floryszak-Wieczorek, 2011; Vandelle and Delledonne, 2011). Considering global protein regulation, nitration is an important point of interaction with other signals, since Tyr residues are also susceptible to phosphorylation (Galetskiy et al., 2011). Likewise, NO is able to regulate the amount of ONOO⁻ through the inhibition of PrxIIE by S-nitrosation, which detoxifies this compound, promoting its accumulation (Romero-Puertas et al., 2007). Numerous nitrated proteins, the so-called nitroproteome, have been identified under both normal growth (Lozano-Juste et al., 2011; Chaki et al., 2009, 2012; Begara-Morales et al., 2013) and stress conditions (Cecconi et al., 2009; Begara-Morales et al., 2013). Some studies have focused on the analysis of the effect of ONOO⁻ on specific proteins, with a predominantly inhibitory action (Table 1). Although nitration was classified initially as an irreversible protein modification, the existence of enzymes with denitrase activity in animals has been reported (Irie et al., 2003; Smallwood et al., 2007; Deeb et al., 2013).

S-Nitrosation of Cys and metals in key molecular players

Protein S-nitrosation is a post-translational modification that consists of the covalent attachment of an NO molecule to a thiol group of a Cys, forming an S-nitrosothiol (SNO). This modification appears to be the main mechanism by which NO, in its protonated form (NO⁺) or in a greater state of oxidation (N₂O₃) (Hill *et al.*, 2010), exerts its effect. S-Nitrosation is highly specific, since it depends not only on the proximity between NO and the target protein, but also on the conformation and amino acid sequence (Lindermayr and Durner,

Table 1. Targets and effects of protein nitration described in plants

Protein	Process	Reference
Catalase	Inhibition of activity against pathogens	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2000)
S-Adenosyl homocysteine hydrolase (SAHH)	Inhibition of activity	Chaki <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)	Inhibition of activity	Lozano-Juste <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Complexes of PSI and PSI	Inactivation and disassembly of complexes	Galetskiy <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Ferredoxin-NADP oxidoreductase	appendent on light conditions Inhibition of activity, causing changes in photosynthetic activity	Chaki <i>et al.</i> (2011)
O-Acetylserine (thiol) lyase 1	Inhibition of activity under stress conditions to regulate cysteine and glutathione metabolism	Alvarez <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Glutamine synthetase (GS1a)	Inhibition of activity to regulate N metabolism in nodules	Melo <i>et al.</i> (2011)
NADP-isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH)	Inhibition of activity for the reprogramming of metabolism and redox homeostasis during senescence	Begara-Morales <i>et al.</i> (2013)
NADH-hydroxypyruvate reductase (HPR1)	Inhibition of activity, changes in peroxisomal metabolism	Corpas <i>et al.</i> (2013b)
Ascorbate peroxidase (APX)	Inhibition of activity	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2000); Begara-Morales <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Monodehydro-ascorbate reductase	Inhibition of activity	Begara-Morales et al. (2015)
Superoxide dismutases (MSD1, FSD3, CSD3)	Inhibition of activity	Holzmeister et al. (2015)
Pyrabactin resistance1/ PYR1-like/regulatory component of ABA receptor (PYR/PYL/ RCAR)	Inhibition of activity	Castillo et al. (2015)
Leghemoglobin (Lb)	Putative protective role, scavenging ONOO ⁻	Sainz <i>et al.</i> (2015)

2009; Lamotte et al., 2014). It remains elusive to what extent this modification is mediated by non-enzymatic mechanisms (through the action of free NO/N_2O_3), or by transfer reactions (through the interaction between two components) known as transnitrosation [i.e. from S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) to other molecules], since mutants that accumulate either NO (cue1/nox1) or GSNO (gsnor1) do not behave in a similar way (Fig. 1) (Kneeshaw et al., 2014). A landmark in NO biology is the ability to maintain an optimal concentration at the specific site of action. Thus, the control of nitrosated proteins represents an important point of regulation. Reports on certain enzyme systems able to denitrosate proteins comprise the glutathione/GSNO reductase (GR/GSNOR) and thioredoxin/ thioredoxin reductase (Trx/TrxR) systems (Tada et al., 2008; Malik et al., 2011; París et al., 2013). Remarkably, NPR1 denitrosation has been described by the system composed of thioredoxin TRXH5 and thioredoxin reductase NTRA (Kneeshaw et al., 2014). Given the high specificity shown by S-nitrosation, the regulation of denitrosation may also display such a specific pattern, as described for glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Zaffagnini et al., 2013). Nonenzymatic mechanisms able to eliminate the SNO moiety have also been reported, including exposure to reducing agents, nucleophilic compounds, or transition metals, together with heat or light (Kovacs and Lindermayr, 2013). Extensive literature refers to the multitude of processes in which this modification is involved (Mengel et al., 2013; París et al., 2013; Romero-Puertas et al., 2013) and to the numerous proteins susceptible to S-nitrosation described so far (Lindermayr et al., 2005) by using the biotin switch technique (Jaffrey and Snyder, 2001) (Table 2).

The third and less known NO-driven post-translational modification is the nitrosation of transition metals present in metalloproteins (namely iron, zinc, and copper) causing conformational changes that affect protein activity (Astier and Lindermayr, 2012) (Table 3). A clear example is the binding to the heme group of phytoglobins, affecting the transport/ scavenging of NO (Gupta *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, a self-nitrosation mechanism has been described in animals by intra-molecular transfer from the heme group to a Cys in the globin domain (Jia *et al.*, 1996; Gow and Stamler, 1998).

Having outlined the NO-dependent post-translational modifications for NO action and provided a compilation of those NO protein targets described so far, here we emphasized the balanced role of NO in different developmental cues through its interaction with phytohormones during abiotic and biotic stresses.

NO impact on plant development and abiotic stress trade-off

Changing environmental conditions compromise successful plant growth, thus being a critical step that reflects the need for a development and stress trade-off for plant establishment. In this context, abiotic stresses such as drought, hypoxia, salinity, or extreme temperatures are detrimental for plant survival. The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays a major role in abiotic stress responses such as stomatal closure (Desikan et al., 2002; García-Mata and Lamattina, 2002; Neill et al., 2002; Eisenach et al., 2017), water deficit (Christmann et al., 2007), or high light conditions (Galvez-Valdivieso et al., 2009), but also controlling pathogen responses (Adie et al., 2007). From a developmental point of view, ABA is involved in dormancy maintenance, biosynthesis of embryo storage compounds, seed size and seed germination inhibition (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002; Kanno et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2014; Albertos et al., 2015), and sophisticated regulation of root development (Dietrich et al., 2017; Belda-Palazon et al., 2018), among others.

4444 | Sánchez-Vicente et al.

Table 2. Targets and effects of protein S-nitrosation described in plants

Protein	Process	Reference
Phytoglobin1 (Phytogb1)	Modulation of NO/O ₂ levels	Perazzolli <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Inhibition of activity	Lindermayr et al. (2005); Zaffagnini et al.
(GAPDH)		(2013); Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT1)	Inhibition of activity	Lindermayr <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Peroxiredoxin II E (PrxIIE)	Inhibition of activity resulting in an increase of ONOO ⁻ , which triggers Tyr residues nitration	Romero-Puertas <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Metacaspase MC9 (unprocessed form)	Inhibition of autoprocessing and proteolytic activity	Belenghi <i>et al.</i> (2007)
MYB domain protein (MYB2)	Inhibition of DNA binding	Serpa et al. (2007)
Nonexpresser of PR genes 1 (NPR1)	Conformational changes (oligomerization) in cytoplasm	Tada <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Salicylic acid-binding protein 3 (SABP3)	Prevents salicylic acid (SA) binding and inhibits the activity	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Glycine decarboxylase complex (GDC)	Inhibition of activity	Palmieri <i>et al.</i> (2010)
TGACG sequence-specific binding protein 1 (TGA1)	Promotes DNA binding in the presence of NPR1	Lindermayr <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Aldolase	Conformational change resulting in the inhibition of activity	van der Linde <i>et al.</i> (2011)
NADPH oxidase	Inhibition of activity, minimizing the synthesis of ROIs	Yun <i>et al.</i> (2011)
(RBOHD)	(ROS intermediaries)	
Transport inhibitor response 1 (TIR1)	Facilitates interaction with Aux/IAA, promoting its	Terrile et al. (2012)
	degradation and triggering auxin response	
Cell division cycle 48 (CDC48)	Inhibition of ATPase activity	Astier <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Histidine phosphotransfer protein 1 (AHP1)	Inhibition of phosphorylase activity, negatively	Feng <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	regulating the cytokinin (CK) signaling pathway	
Ascorbate peroxidase (APX)	Promotes the activity	Begara-Morales <i>et al.</i> (2014); Yang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
GSNO reductase (GSNOR)	Inhibition of activity	Frungillo <i>et al.</i> (2014)
MYB domain protein (MYB30)	Inhibition of DNA binding	Tavares <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Open stomata 1/Sucrose nonfermenting 1-related protein kinase 2.6 (OST1/SnRK2.6)	Inhibition of activity, negative regulation of ABA responses	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
ABA Insensitive 5 (ABI5)	Protein destabilization, promoting proteasome degradation	Albertos et al. (2015)
Peroxiredoxin II F (PrxIIF)	Inhibition of peroxidase activity and acquisition	Camejo <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	of transnitrosylase activity, preventing the	
	aggregation of citrate synthase	
Other glycolysis enzymes [fructose 1,6-biphosphate	Inhibition of activity	Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2017)
aldolase, triosephosphate isomerase,		
2-phosphoglycerate hydrolase (enolase) and		
phosphoglycerate kinase]		
ATP synthase CF1 α -chain and β -chain	Inhibition of activity	Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2017
Vascular-Related NAC-Domain7 (VND7)	Inhibition of transactivation activity	Kawabe <i>et al.</i> (2018

The crosstalk between ABA and NO governs the main molecular mechanisms able to integrate external signals to reprogram internal networks leading to plant adaptation (reviewed in Arc *et al.*, 2013; León *et al.*, 2014; Albertos *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2015*a*, *b*; Lombardo and Lamattina, 2018). NO is able to interact with a wide range of ABA metabolism, perception, and signaling targets, modulating protein function and impacting gene expression.

NO effect on ABA synthesis and catabolism

ABA levels are determined by the ratio between synthesis and catabolism. Key steps during ABA synthesis are controlled by zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP), 9-*cis*-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED), short-chain alcohol dehydrogenase (ABA2), and a final step catalyzed by an abscisic aldehyde

oxidase (AOO3) which is activated by the molybdenum cofactor (MoCo) sulfurase ABA3 (reviewed in Nambara and Marion-Poll, 2005; Finkelstein, 2013). ABA catabolism takes place mainly through the ABA 8'-hydroxylation pathway catalyzed by the cytochrome P450 enzyme ABA 8'-hydroxylase. In Arabidopsis, these enzymes are encoded by the CYP707A family. Among them, CYP707A1 and CYP707A3 are the most important enzymes required in mid-seed development, and CYP707A2 during the end of seed development and germination (Kushiro *et al.*, 2004; Okamoto *et al.*, 2006).

A decrease in ABA is mandatory for seed dormancy breakdown and germination in Arabidopsis. An NO-induced ABA sensitivity reduction correlates with the transcription induction of *CYP707A2* and protein accumulation (Liu *et al.*, 2009) (Fig. 2). This NO effect was also evidenced by using genetic approaches with NO-deficient mutants, *nia1nia2* and

Table 3. Targets and effects of metal nitrosation described in plants

Protein	Process	Reference
Lipoxygenase-1	Redox regulation	Nelson (1987)
Catalase	Inhibition of activity to modulate pathogen response	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Ascorbate	Inhibition of activity to modulate	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2000)
peroxidase	pathogen response	
Nitric oxide-	GTP hydrolysis, NO-dependent	Mulaudzi <i>et al.</i>
dependent	generation of cGMP	(2011)
guanylate cyclase (NOGC1)		
Phytoglobin1	Modulation of NO/O ₂ levels	Perazzolli <i>et al.</i>
(Phytogb1)		(2004)
Aconitase	Inhibition of activity for metabolism modification, favoring amino acid biosynthesis and activation of alternative ovidase	Gupta <i>et al.</i> (2012)

nia1nia2noa1-2, which show increased dormancy and ABAmediated germination inhibition (Lozano-Juste and León, 2010), and by using NO donors (Bethke *et al.*, 2004, 2006, 2007; Sarath *et al.*, 2007). Additionally, the MoCo sulfurase ABA3 has been identified as a target of protein nitration (Lozano-Juste *et al.*, 2011), which could alter its activity (Fig. 2).

NO alterations of ABA perception and signaling

ABA perception and signal transduction depend on the PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE (PYR)/PYR1core LIKE (PYL)/REGULATORY COMPONENT OF ABA RECEPTOR (RCAR) (Ma et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009), PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE 2C (PP2C) (Umezawa et al., 2009; Vlad et al., 2009), and SNF1-RELATED PROTEIN KINASE2 (SnRK2) kinases (Mustilli et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2006; Nakashima et al., 2009; Umezawa et al., 2009). In the presence of ABA, the formation of PYR/PYL/RCAR-PP2C complexes inhibits the activity of the PP2Cs, thereby activating SnRK2s, which in turns control AREB/ABFtype basic/region leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors (Fujii et al., 2009). These bZIP transcription factors bind to cis-regulatory elements known as ABA-responsive elements (ABREs) and regulate downstream gene expression (Choi et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2000; Kang et al., 2002; Finkelstein et al., 2005; Reeves et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2016; reviewed in Banerjee and Roychoudhury, 2017).

The control by NO of ABA perception and signaling pathways occurs at different levels (Fig. 2). First, PYR/PYL/ RCAR receptors are inhibited by Tyr nitration (Castillo *et al.*, 2015), enabling activation of PP2C which in turn inactivates SnRKs. An additional control point falls in the inhibition of the activity of SnRKs 2.2, 2.3, and 2.6 by S-nitrosation and SnRK2.6 down-regulation by NO treatment impairing seed germination and stomatal closure (Wang *et al.*, 2015*a*, *b*; Zhao *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, the *nia1nia2* NO-deficient mutant is affected in genes involved in the ABA perception core, where RCAR1, RCAR11, RCAR12, and RCAR14 are up-regulated, and also presents a higher PP2C activity (Zhao *et al.*, 2016), in accordance with up-regulation of *PP2C* transcription by exogenous NO treatment (Castillo *et al.*, 2018). Finally, NO regulates the ABI5 bZIP transcription factor through *S*-nitrosation of Cys153. This modification targets ABI5 to the proteasome by promoting the interaction with CULLIN4-based and KEEP ON GOING E3 ligases (Albertos *et al.*, 2015). In addition, ABI5 is sumoylated by the SUMO E3 ligase SIZ1 (Miura *et al.*, 2009), which is considered to be a Tyr nitration target (Lozano-Juste *et al.*, 2011).

ABI5 is a key player in ABA-triggered processes (Finkelstein and Lynch, 2000; Lopez-Molina et al., 2001) and also emerges as a molecular hub in the NO-mediated balance between early development and stress (Albertos et al., 2015). ABI5 expression and protein levels increase during the last steps of seed maturation (Brocard et al., 2002; Bensmihen et al., 2005) and overexpression of ABI5 confers hypersensitivity to ABA, which promotes its transcription and stabilization (Brocard et al., 2002). ABI5 functions in the ABA signaling pathway by blocking seed germination (Lopez-Molina et al., 2001; Albertos et al., 2015; reviewed in Skubacz et al., 2016) and seedling establishment upon exposure to stress conditions such as drought or salinity (Lopez-Molina et al., 2001; Tezuka et al., 2013). ABI5 also promotes CATALASE1 transcription during seed germination (Bi et al., 2017), whose protein activity is inhibited by Tyr nitration and metal nitrosation in tobacco (Clark et al., 2000).

Similarly to ABI5, other group A bZIP transcription factors are involved in different developmental cues, including embryo development and seed maturation (Jakoby et al., 2002), and stress responses. Thus, bZIP67 regulates fatty acid composition (Mendes et al., 2013), bZIP14 is necessary for flowering and meristem identity (Gorham et al., 2018), bZIP12/EEL counteracts ABI5's action on the transcription of Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) genes (Finkelstein and Lynch, 2000; Lopez-Molina et al., 2002; Bensmihen et al., 2002), and the subfamily of ABFs (ABRE-binding factors) constitute key signaling transcription factors mediating ABA responses during seed germination, drought, and osmotic stresses (Choi et al., 2000; Finkelstein et al., 2005; Fujita et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2010, 2015; Fernando et al., 2018). Since ABI5 is an NO target, other members from group A of bZIPs are found to be susceptible to modification by S-nitrosation when analyzed using GPS-SNO software (Fig. 3).

The ABA signaling pathway is linked to chromatin remodeling (Saez *et al.*, 2008; Han *et al.*, 2012, 2015), histone deacetylation (Luo *et al.*, 2012; Ryu *et al.*, 2014), and histone demethylation (Zhao *et al.*, 2015), and epigenetic changes appear as mechanisms involved in the response to stress conditions (reviewed in Nonogaki, 2014). In this context, accumulation of histone acetylation marks in Arabidopsis is promoted by the NO donor GSNO while it is decreased by the NO scavenger 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO). This fact correlates with inhibition of the activity of histone deacetylases (HDACs) (Mengel *et al.*, 2017) probably associated with of *S*-nitrosation, as previously described in mammals for HDAC2 and HDAC6 (Nott *et al.*, 2008; Okuda *et al.*, 2015). This post-translational modification



Fig. 2. Network of NO and ABA interactions in a stress- and developmental stage-based context. Impact of NO on specific molecular targets related to ABA synthesis (MoCo3, molybdenum cofactor sulfurase ABA3), catabolism (CYP707A2, cytochrome P450 ABA 8'-hydroxylase), perception (PYR/PYL/ RCAR, pyrabactin resistance/PYR-like/regulatory component of ABA receptor), and signaling (SnRKs, SNF1-related protein kinases; ERFVII, ethylene response factor group VII; ABI5, abscisic acid insensitive5 bZIP). The putative role of Cys S-nitrosation (Cys-NO) and Tyr nitration (Tyr-NO₂) is included. Arrows and bars indicate positive and inhibitory effects, respectively. Dotted arrows and bars indicate putative regulations.

linked to the chromatin state can also be responsible of the 2016; Imran et al., 2018). SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling reprogramming of expression triggered by NO (Huang et al., ATPase BRAHMA (BRM), whose switch activity is modu-2002; Polverari et al., 2003; Palmieri et al., 2008; Hussain et al., lated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation mediated by



Fig. 3. *S*-Nitrosation analysis in group A of the bZIP transcription factor family. All the Cys (C) residues are indicated in the protein sequence. *In silico* prediction of *S*-nitrosation Cys targets by using the GPS-SNO 1.0 software (Xue *et al.*, 2010). The analysis shows target Cys in red, orange, and yellow depending on the *S*-nitrosation score (high, medium, and low, respectively). The Cys residue highlighted in blue corresponds to *in vivo* and/or *in vitro S*-nitrosation.

SnRK2/PP2CA (Peirats-Llobet *et al.*, 2016), is also susceptible to *S*-nitrosation, as revealed by GPS-SNO analysis. In addition, BRAHMA represses *ABI5* transcription in the absence of ABA (Han *et al.*, 2012).

Hormonal networks regulate developmental and stress processes in response to internal and external cues. Thus, ABA and gibberellins (GAs) play antagonistic roles in many physiological events (reviewed in Liu and Hou, 2018) where NO represents a key modulator between both pathways. The NO donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP) promotes DELLA protein accumulation by repressing E3 ubiquitin ligase *SLEEPY1* (*SLY1*), inhibiting GA signaling (Lozano-Juste and León, 2011). This result was also demonstrated by genetic evidence using *nia1,2noa1-2* NO-deficient mutant seedlings (Lozano-Juste and León, 2011). In addition, *GA200x3*, involved in GA biosynthesis, is up-regulated in *nia1,2noa1-2* and down-regulated upon NO treatment (Lozano-Juste and León, 2011). Nevertheless, NO plays a synergistic role with GAs during seed dormancy break (Bethke *et al.*, 2007), in accordance with the NO burst detected during early seed germination (Simontacchi *et al.*, 2004, Albertos *et al.*, 2015). In wheat roots, an increase in GA content after the addition of SNP under aluminum stress has also been described (He *et al.*, 2012). In this framework, NO controls the specific hormonal balance, leading to a continuous reprogramming of the signaling pathways that govern stress and developmental networks.

NO and ABA network during drought, salinity, and extreme temperatures

ABA acts to save water and energy during stress conditions. This phytohormone prevents turgor loss under low water availability mainly through stomatal closure (Eisenach et al., 2017) and contribution to the synthesis of osmoprotectants (Verslues and Bray, 2006), thus improving plant cell adaptation to drought. NO is also a key player inside the network required for stomatal closure since nitrate reductase (NR) and NOSlike activities linked to NO production are mandatory for the ABA signal transduction cascade in guard cells (García-Mata and Lamattina, 2001, 2002; Desikan et al., 2002; Neill et al., 2002). In Arabidopsis guard cells, ABA correlates with H_2O_2 and NO in the regulation of stomatal closure. ABA increases the generation of endogenous H₂O₂, which promotes NO production in order to regulate stomatal movement (Bright et al., 2006). In addition, pea and Arabidopsis guard cells are able to generate NO in response to ABA, while removal of NO with scavengers inhibits ABA-induced stomatal closure (García-Mata and Lamattina, 2002). However, genetic evidence showed that stomata from the nia1nia2noa1-2 NO-deficient mutant were hypersensitive to ABA during stomatal closure (Lozano-Juste and León, 2010). The involvement of NO in ABA perception and signaling has been described above. Specifically, SnRK2.6, which is preferentially expressed in guard cells, is inhibited through S-nitrosation (Fujii and Zhu, 2009; Wang et al., 2015b). SnRK2.6 phosphorylates the slow (S-type) anion channel associated1 (SLAC1) and inward potassium channel in Arabidopsis thaliana 1 (KAT1) promoting stomatal closure (Vahisalu et al., 2008; Geiger et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2009). Nevertheless, pharmacological assays showed that NO application triggered stomatal closure, whereas this was inhibited by the NO scavenger cPTIO (Neill et al., 2002), suggesting a positive role for NO in stomatal closure. Other studies reported that NO may affect KAT1 (García-Mata et al., 2003; Sokolovski and Blatt, 2004), SLAC1 (Vahisalu et al., 2008), and nitrated cGMP generation (Joudoi et al., 2013). Consequently, NO-dependent modulation of ion channels at the plasma membrane of guard cells facilitates osmotic solute loss, reducing guard cell turgor and promoting stomatal closure. Fu et al, (2016) showed promotion of stomatal development by NO up-regulation of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) genes SPEECHLESS (SPCH), MUTE, and SCRM2, and downregulation of MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE 6 (MPK6) expression. Further research will be necessary to decipher these NO dual effects, where the level of channel modulation promotes stomatal development and stomatal closure while SnRK2.6 S-nitrosation inhibits this closure.

Soil salinity becomes one of the main threats for crop production. A reduction in the protein S-nitrosation pattern under salt treatment was previously reported (Camejo *et al.*, 2013). NO promotes salt tolerance by different mechanisms, including the increase in transcription of H⁺-ATPase and the Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter in *Avicennia marina* in order to maintain ion homeostasis (Chen *et al.*, 2010), synthesis of protective metabolites, and induction of the oxidative defense system (Fan and Du, 2012; Manai *et al.*, 2014; Ahmad *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, NO ameliorates salinity stress through stomatal closure by reducing water loss as mentioned above. Oxidative stress constitutes a common effect linked to drought, salinity, or osmotic stress. In rice, exogenous treatment with the NO donor SNP increases enzyme activity related to redox control, such as guaiacol peroxidase (POX), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) (Uchida et al., 2002). APX is modulated by NO at the post-translational level by S-nitrosation of Cys residues, which in turn promotes its activity (Begara-Morales et al., 2014), and by metal nitrosation and Tyr nitration, which both inhibit its activity (Clark et al., 2000; Begara-Morales et al., 2014). Furthermore, other NO targets are SOD, since Tyr nitration decreases its activity (Holzmeister et al., 2015), and pea Prx IIF, where S-nitrosation inhibits its activity (Camejo et al., 2013). Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase-kinase (PEPCK) regulates photosynthetic C₄ phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in sorghum, is enhanced by salinity, and presents a high activity under short NO treatments (Monreal et al., 2013), highlighting a novel role for NO in linking carbon fixation with salt stress mitigation. ABA exogenous application, drought, and salt stress induce ABI5 at early post-germinative stages (Lopez-Molina et al., 2001). ABI5 together with ABI3 modulate the expression of *Em1* and *Em6* (class I LEA proteins) genes which are involved in desiccation tolerance (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002; Carles et al., 2002). The ABI5 mutant allele abi5-9 shows ABA and salinity insensitivity (Tezuka et al., 2013), highlighting another point of ABA-NO crosstalk during abiotic stresses. Other ABFs are involved in salt, osmotic, and drought stresses. ABF3 transcription is up-regulated during salt treatment while ABF1 and ABF4 are repressed (Fujita et al., 2005; Fernando et al., 2018). In addition, ABF3 and ABF4 display putative Cys residues susceptible to be modulated by NO through S-nitrosation (Fig. 3). All together, these findings demonstrate a key role for NO during salinity stress alleviation.

Low and high temperatures promote changes in the S-nitrosoproteome and nitration level as described in Brassica juncea and pea plants (Corpas et al., 2008; Abat and Deswal, 2009), showing that NO is involved in plant tolerance to chilling and freezing (reviewed in Puyaubert and Baudouin, 2014). Recently, NO has been proposed to scavenge ROS and regulate the levels of polyamines, osmoprotective metabolites, and hormonal balance, since NO-deficient mutants are more tolerant to cold stress (Zhao et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2015; Costa-Broseta et al., 2018). Other NO mechanisms involve the negative regulation of the synthesis of phosphorylated sphingolipids during cold transduction (Cantrel et al., 2011). GSNOR controls the S-nitrosothiol concentration, which is modulated by cold conditions at the level of gene expression and activity but, depending on the species analyzed, can be promoted or repressed (Ziogas et al., 2013; Kubienová et al., 2014; Lv et al., 2017). NO is also involved in heat responses since previous exogenous treatment led to a better thermotolerance acquisition while this effect is blocked by the NO scavenger cPTIO (Song et al., 2013). This molecule is able to modulate heat stress alleviation at different levels, including the induction of genes belonging to subunits of the PSII core reaction center (Psb) complex (psbA, psbB, and psbC) (Chen et al., 2013), the

increase in DNA binding of heat shock transcription factors, and heat shock protein18.2 (HSP18.2) accumulation through calmodulin 3 (CaM3) (Xuan *et al.*, 2010) and the promotion of *HSP26* transcription (Uchida *et al.*, 2002).

NO and hypoxic stress crosstalk

As aerobic organisms, plants have evolved to maintain specific requirements for oxygen (O_2) that lead to a correct respiratory ener gy supply. A close relationship between both O_2 and NO sensing is mediated by the N-degron pathway, which operates through N-terminal recognition that targets proteins for degradation, and by phytoglobins, which are able to modulate the level of diatomic gases such as carbon monoxide, NO, and O_2 . Hypoxic conditions lead to an increase in NO levels, suggesting a key role for the NO/ O_2 balance during this stress (Dordas *et al.*, 2003; Borisjuk *et al.*, 2007; Ma *et al.*, 2016).

Phytoglobins are ubiquitous proteins found in all organisms that bind diatomic gases such as NO and O2 through the presence of a heme group (reviewed in Hoy and Hargrove, 2008; Gupta et al., 2011), controlling its transport, scavenging, and detoxification (Arredondo-Peter et al., 1998). There are three types of phytoglobins, symbiotic (SymPhytogb), non-symbiotic (Phytogb1 and 2), and truncated (Phytogb3). Phytogb1 and 2 have a significant function in regulating NO and O2 levels mainly during cellular hypoxic conditions (Dordas et al., 2003, 2004). Phytogb1 overexpression regulates NO levels and improves growth and development under hypoxic stress (Hunt et al., 2002; Perazzolli et al., 2004; Thiel et al., 2011). A complex self-regulatory mechanisms closes this cycle since Phytogb1 is S-nitrosated both in the Cys and in the metal (Perazzolli et al., 2004), and leghemoglobin is modulated by Tyr nitration (Sainz et al., 2015), leading to a specific and fine-controlled NO/O_2 balance, closely related to inhibition or promotion of plant development.

The N-degron pathway is involved not only in hypoxic stress (Gibbs et al., 2011; Licausi et al., 2011) but also in seed storage mobilization (Zhang et al., 2018a, b), germination (Holman et al., 2009; Gibbs et al., 2014), photomorphogenesis (Abbas et al., 2015), shoot and leaf development (Graciet et al., 2009), stomatal closure (Gibbs et al., 2014), flowering (Vicente et al., 2017), vernalization (Gibbs et al., 2018), leaf senescence (Yoshida et al., 2002), and pathogen attack (de Marchi et al., 2016; Vicente et al., 2019). This pathway senses O₂ and NO through the regulation of ethylene response factor (ERF) Group VII transcription factors, which are degraded via PROTEOLYSIS6 (PRT6) in the presence of both gases due to a characteristic conserved motif at the N-terminus initiating with Met-Cys. In Arabidopsis and rice, ERFVIIs are associated with hypoxia responses, and their protein stabilization improves growth under this abiotic stress (Gibbs et al., 2011; Licausi et al., 2011). ABA also participates in the response to hypoxic conditions, such as root flooding (Hsu et al., 2011) or seed environment before germination (Benech-Arnold et al., 2006), and its exogenous application promotes hypoxia tolerance in roots (Ellis et al., 1999). In fact, ABA perception and signaling constitute a key hormonal network affected by the N-degron pathway (Holman et al., 2009; Vicente et al., 2017). Gibbs et al. (2014) identified a mechanism for NO/ O₂ sensing during ABA signaling through degradation of ERFVIIs, which are ABI5 transcriptional activators. ERFVIIs are degraded in the presence of both NO and O₂, affecting seed germination, although NO-mediated ABI5 degradation is independent of this pathway (Albertos et al., 2015). In addition, the ABI5 transcriptional repressor BRAHMA could be modulated by ERFVII (Vicente et al., 2017). This mechanism integrates NR-dependent NO production with the regulation of the chromatin-remodeling ATPase BRAHMA mediated by ERFVII, ending in a genetic reprogramming that controls development and stress responses to enhance plant survival. A deregulation in PYL2 ABA receptor transcription in the prt6 knockout mutant is dependent on ERFVII, RAP2.12, RAP2.2, and RAP2.3 (Zhang et al., 2018b). Additionally, the interaction between RAP2.3 and DELLAs contributes to regulate hormonal networks able to control the balance between growth and stress responses (Marín-de la Rosa et al., 2014). Recently, the NO modulation by S-nitrosation of GSNOR has been described, which promotes a conformational change that drives its autophagy-dependent degradation, linking hypoxia and NO to selective autophagy (Zhan et al., 2018). At the physiological level, GSNOR degradation regulates NO cellular homeostasis, which is involved in low-O2 tolerance and promotion of seed germination by modulating ABI5 expression. These results confirm the key function of the N-degron pathway in the regulation of genetic and molecular networks through NO/O₂ balance sensing.

NO signaling at the crossroads between plant development and biotic stress

Upon pathogen attack, the plant must resume growth. In this context, whether the plant uses the same arsenal of molecules for both development and defense, or if a genetic reprogramming occurs between these two key processes, constitutes a major question concerning the complex cellular environment. Pieterse et al. (2009) asserted that the contribution of plant growth regulators (i.e. hormone signaling pathways) to plant immunity is indicative of an extensive crosstalk between development and defense. Consequently, it is hypothesized that both are regulated by a network of interconnecting signaling pathways in a cost-efficient manner. Several phytohormones have been related to plant defense, among them salicylic acid (SA), jasmonate (JA), ethylene (ET), and ABA within the context of disease suppression. Of particular importance is the NO-SA interaction during biotic stress responses, based on the wellknown molecular effectors and the extensive literature that places NO at the center of both SA synthesis and signaling pathways.

Interconnections between NO and SA during plant immunity and developmental cues

SA has been linked to plant response to abiotic stresses such as drought (Munné-Bosch and Peñuelas, 2003; Chini *et al.*, 2004; Horváth *et al.*, 2007), chilling (Janda *et al.*, 1999; Ding *et al.*, 2008), heavy metal tolerance (Pál, 2002; Metwally *et al.*,

4450 | Sánchez-Vicente et al.

2003), heat (Dat *et al.*, 1998; Shi *et al.*, 2006), and osmotic stress (Borsani *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, SA participates in plant growth and developmental processes such as seed germination, vegetative growth, flower formation, respiration, photosynthesis, stomatal closure, and gene expression associated with senescence, among others (reviewed in Rivas-SanVicente *et al.*, 2011). Nevertheless, the most prominent SA function falls into the local and systemic response against microbial pathogens (Durner and Klessig, 1995; Innes, 2018).

In plant immunity, NO was first described as a molecule with a role in plant disease resistance, being a signal that is activated upon pathogen attack (Delledonne *et al.*, 1998; Durner *et al.*, 1998; Bellin *et al.*, 2013). Knowledge of the involvement of NO in plants has increased greatly and it has been shown to be involved in many cellular processes, not only immune response related, but also growth and development associated (reviewed in Sanz *et al.*, 2015). In this framework, it is difficult to separate NO, and other RNS, from ROS molecules, as both are considered as signaling effectors undergoing reciprocal regulation, which is pivotal in early stages of biotic interactions (Scheler *et al.*, 2013; Del Río, 2015). Nowadays some controversy still surrounds the NO homeostasis in plant immunity, at the level of both production and turnover (reviewed in Vandelle *et al.*, 2016).

Accordingly, GSNO, which is not only a bioactive NO species but also a stable NO reservoir and NO transport form (Kovacs et al., 2015), is becoming increasingly relevant (Feechan et al., 2005; Frungillo et al., 2014). In turn, GSNO is irreversibly degraded by GSNOR (Liu et al., 2001). GSNOR is present in almost all plant tissues and participates in numerous plant processes throughout the plant life cycle. The Arabidopsis GSNOR null mutant gsnor1-3, also known as SENSITIVE TO HOT TEMPERATURE5 (HOT5) from a thermotolerance genetic screening (Lee et al., 2008), presents enhanced levels of SNO and proteome-wide increased S-nitrosation (Hu et al., 2015). This translates into pleiotropic deficiencies in multiple plant growth and development pathways and physiological processes (Kwon et al., 2012), and altered responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Feechan et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2012). It is noteworthy that gsnor mutants have altered chlorophyll content and photosynthetic properties (Hu et al., 2015) and, additionally, they show early flowering, a loss of apical dominance due to a higher number of axillary shoots, reduced hypocotyl elongation and primary root growth, impaired germination, and decreased seed production, among other aspects.

It should be noted that the crosstalk between NO and targets of SA synthesis and signaling affects phenylalanine ammonia lyase expression in the former (Klessig *et al.*, 2000), and the expression of pathogenesis-related genes in particular or the systemic acquired response in general in the latter (Song and Goodman, 2001; Rustérucci *et al.*, 2007; Espunya *et al.*, 2012; Mur *et al.*, 2013). Another example is the participation of both molecules, SA and NO, in mitigating the toxicity caused by heavy metals and, thus, enhancing plant development (Zhou *et al.*, 2009; Singh *et al.*, 2009, 2017). It has been described that GSNO influences the function of proteins related to plant defensive responses but, interestingly, these proteins also affect developmental processes (Table 2). S-Nitrosation of SA-binding protein 3 (SABP3) is prompted by bacterial infection and inhibits SA binding capacity and carbonic anhydrase (CA) activity. Since CA activity is required for the establishment of plant disease resistance, this post-translational modification could participate in a negative feedback loop in the modulation of the SA-dependent plant defense mechanism (Slavmaker et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2009). Loss or overexpression of CA activity causes defective tapetal cell differentiation in early anther development (Huang et al., 2017). Similarly, S-nitrosation of the NADPH oxidase AtRBOHD abolishes its ability to synthesize ROS, giving a role to NO in limiting the hypersensitive response (Torres and Dangl, 2005; Yun et al., 2011). Once more, this enzyme, together with AtRBOHF, negatively regulates lateral root development by changing the accumulation of superoxide in Arabidopsis roots (Li et al., 2015). Interestingly, there is a reduced NADPH oxidase activity in gsnor mutants, probably because of inhibition of AtRBOHD by S-nitrosation (Karapetyan and Dong, 2018).

NO also targets several ROS-detoxifying enzymes by nitration or S-nitrosation (Tables 1, 2), including APX, monodehydroascorbate reductase, CAT, SODs, PrxIIE, and PrxIIF, all of which are related to H_2O_2 detoxification (Romero-Puertas *et al.*, 2007; Lin *et al.*, 2012; Ortega-Galisteo *et al.*, 2012; de Pinto *et al.*, 2013; Begara-Morales *et al.*, 2013, 2016; Holzmeister *et al.*, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2015). Recently, the contribution of many of these enzymes to plant tolerance to chilling temperatures, having an effect in vegetative tissues, has been described in a priming process providing plant memory formation (Baier *et al.*, 2019).

Regulation of the nonexpressor of pathogenesisrelated genes (NPR) family mediated by NO

The Arabidopsis genome contains six members of the nonexpressor of pathogenesis-related genes (NPR) family including NPR1-4, NPR5/BLADE-ON-PETIOLE2 (BOP2), and NPR6/BLADE-ON-PETIOLE1 (BOP1) (Fig. 4). The corresponding proteins have in common two BTB/ POZ (Broad-Complex, Tramtrack, and Bric-a-brac/Pox virus and Zinc finger) domains for protein—protein interaction, involved in degradation by the ubiquitin—proteasome system, and a series of four ankyrin repeats, which allow the interaction with the TGA (TGACG motif-binding protein) family of transcription factors (Aravind and Koonin, 1999, Sedgwick and Smerdon, 1999).

NPR1 is a transcription cofactor (reviewed in Withers and Dong, 2016) key in SA perception and signaling since the *npr1* mutant is SA insensitive (Cao *et al.*, 1997; Canet *et al.*, 2010*a*, *b*). However, not all the genes induced by SA depend on NPR1 (Blanco *et al.*, 2009), mostly due to the function of other family members such as NPR3 and NPR4 (Canet *et al.*, 2010*a*, *b*). NPR1 is also involved in the induced systemic resistance (ISR) (Pieterse *et al.*, 2003). NPR1 function is regulated at the post-translational level by a monomerization/oligomerization mechanism dependent on the cellular redox state and determines protein subcellular localization (Fig. 5). During pathogen



Fig. 4. S-Nitrosation analysis in group D of bZIP transcription factor and NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES (NPR) families. (A) Dendrograms of TGA members of the group D bZIP transcription factor family and NPR-like proteins. The branch length is proportional to the number of substitutions per site (http://phylogeny.limm.fr/). (B) *In silico* prediction of S-nitrosation Cys (C) targets by using the GPS-SNO 1.0 software (Xue *et al.*, 2010). The analysis shows target Cys in red, orange, and yellow depending on the S-nitrosation score (high, medium, and low, respectively). The Cys residues highlighted in blue correspond to *in vivo* and/or *in vitro* S-nitrosation.

attack, SA concentration increases, promoting the partial reduction of the oligomer NPR1 (formed by disulfide bridges in the cytoplasm) to a monomer in the nucleus, then targeted by a C-terminal nuclear localization sequence (Mou et al., 2003; Spoel et al., 2009). In addition to other post-translational modifications that regulate NPR1, such as phosphorylation (reviewed in Withers and Dong, 2016), it was later discovered that S-nitrosation of Cys156 facilitates protein oligomerization in vivo, providing a negative regulation of defense-related gene expression by NO. Upon pathogen infection or SA accumulation, changes in cellular redox potential lead to the reduction of Cys through the activity of thioredoxins (mainly TRX-h5), and NPR1 monomers are released to the nucleus (Tada et al., 2008; Kneeshaw et al., 2014). In the cell nucleus, the mechanism proposed to modulate NPR1 gene expression is through its interaction with the TGA family of bZIP transcription factors, which bind specifically to SA response elements. However, it has also been observed that NPR1 can be present in the nucleus when SA levels are low (Després et al., 2000). Rivas-San Vicente et al. (2011) suggested an additional function of NPR1 in regulating genes related to germination, plant growth, and development; in fact, NPR1 has been related to the promotion of cell division and/or suppression of endoreduplication during leaf development (Vanacker *et al.*, 2001). Moreover, redox changes related to the circadian clock motivated by SA upon pathogen infection act via NPR1 to trigger a transcriptional reprogramming, thus minimizing fitness costs on plant growth (Zhou *et al.*, 2015). For this reason, NPR1 could be suggested to be a key molecular player in the balance between defense and growth.

Except for a minor role in SA perception (Canet *et al.*, 2010*b*), the functions of NPR2, the NPR1 paralog with greater homology in the primary sequence, are unknown. Interestingly, NPR3 and NPR4 have been characterized as SA receptors, able to bind the hormone with different affinities and regulate the degradation of NPR1 via the ubiquitin–proteasome by a mechanism dependent on SA concentration (Fu *et al.*, 2012). A role for NPR3 in root growth and storage compound accumulation in seeds has also been proposed, as a result of repression of the basal pathogen immune system (Shi *et al.*, 2013). In



Fig. 5. Crosstalk of NO during developmental cues and biotic stress responses. Upon pathogen attack, a redox change in the cellular context promotes NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES1 (NPR1) monomerization and interaction with different TGAs in the nucleus to activate the expression of stress-related genes. Similarly, a hypothetical model shows the interaction of other NPR-like proteins with TGA members to activate developmental gene expression. BLADE-ON-PETIOLE1/2 (BOP1/2) proteins interact with PERIANTHIA (PAN) in the nucleus where PAN binds DNA under reducing conditions. The putative role of Cys S-nitrosation (SNO) is included. Arrows indicate positive effects and dotted arrows putative regulations.

addition, BOP1 and BOP2 are the most divergent proteins of the NPR family, both lacking a recognizable nuclear localization sequence. Although a role for these proteins as mediators of the methyl jasmonate-induced resistance in plant immunity has been described (Canet *et al.*, 2012), the most studied function is as regulators of development; especially in the establishment of axes of asymmetry in the organogenesis of leaf and flower (Hepworth *et al.*, 2005).

Group D of bZIP transcription factors are NO targets

Group D of bZIP transcription factors comprises the so-called TGA factors, according to their conserved TGACG DNAbinding motif. All of them are characterized by a short zipper domain consisting of three repeats, two conserved Q-rich domains in the C-terminus, and a more variable N-terminal part (Dröge-Laser *et al.*, 2018). In the Arabidopsis genome, 10 members of the TGA family are present, falling into five clades (Jakoby *et al.* 2002) (Fig. 4). Clade I comprises TGA1 and TGA4, and Clade II consists of three closely interconnected factors TGA2, TGA5, and TGA6, which contain a shorter N-terminus than the other TGA proteins. TGA3 and TGA7 constitute clade III. PERIANTHIA or TGA8 comprise clade IV, and TGA9 and TGA10 form clade V.

The presence of TGACG motifs in the promoters of different plant glutathione S-transferase genes (Ellis *et al.* 1993; van der Zaal *et al.*, 1996) opened up the hypothesis that TGA proteins trigger plant stress responses. In the case of TGA1 and TGA4, both are related to control of basal resistance against pathogens (reviewed in Gatz, 2013). These proteins present a regulation through Cys residues sensitive to the cellular redox state. Thus, in the absence of SA, Cys260 and Cys266 of these proteins form an intramolecular disulfide bond that prevents interaction with NPR1; this link is reduced after the accumulation of SA, allowing this interaction and improving their binding to defense-related gene promoter regions (Després *et al.*, 2003). The Cys residues 260 and 266 of TGA1 are regulated by both *S*-nitrosation and *S*-glutathionylation, affecting protein conformation and preventing formation of disulfide bonds (Lindermayr *et al.*, 2010). At the same time, NPR1 ameliorates not only the DNA binding activity of the reduced TGA1 (Després *et al.*, 2003), but also the DNA binding activity of TGA1-SNO. Although TGA1 and TGA4 regulate target genes involved in systemic acquired resistance (SAR; Sun *et al.*, 2018), they also modulate nitrate responses in Arabidopsis roots (Alvarez *et al.*, 2014).

TGA2,TGA5, and TGA6 play key roles in pathways linked to SA (Zhang *et al.*, 2003; Kesarwani *et al.*, 2007), JA/ET (Zander *et al.*, 2010, 2012, 2014), xenobiotics, and reactive oxylipin signaling (Fode *et al.*, 2008; Mueller *et al.*, 2008; Findling *et al.*, 2018). Interestingly, *tga2tga5tga6* triple mutant roots are considerably shorter than those of the wild type in control medium (Stotz *et al.*, 2013). The interaction between NPR1 and TGA2 protein to stimulate the DNA binding activity to the SA-responsive element in the *PR-1* gene promoter has also been reported (Wu *et al.*, 2012).

The TGA3 transcription factor mediates NPR1 SA-dependent gene expression *in planta* (Sarkar *et al.*, 2018) and its role in the hormonal crosstalk between SA and cyto-kinin has also been reported (Choi *et al.*, 2010). Apart from that, TGA3 is also related to metal detoxification (Fang *et al.*, 2017). TGA9 and TGA10 play essential roles in anther development

by the action of two CC-type floral glutaredoxins, ROXY1 and ROXY2 (Murmu *et al.*, 2010), again exemplifying a redox-regulated TGA factor function in plants.

PERIANTHIA (PAN)/TGA8, which is part of an independent clade of the TGA family, has important functions in plant development, as a negative regulator of floral organ initiation and the number of petals and sepals (Running and Meyerowitz, 1996; Chuang et al., 1999). PAN functions in floral development are mediated by the positive regulation of the AGAMOUS (AG) gene, which antagonizes the meristematic activity by repression of WUSCHEL (WUS) (Das et al., 2009). pan mutants have flowers with five petals, similar to those of the bop1bop2 double mutant, and PAN is co-expressed with BOP1/2 during floral development. Hepworth et al. (2005) demonstrated by yeast two-hybrid assays that, similarly to NPR1, BOP1 and BOP2 interact with transcription factors of the TGA family but with different specificities, showing preference for PAN. This fact was corroborated by a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts which verified their location in the cell nucleus (Xu et al., 2010). Indeed, BOP1/2-PAN interaction was described in the binding to regulatory sequences of the AP1 promoter, as a mechanism to promote the identity of the floral meristem. Although initially PAN was described as a regulator of the development of aerial parts of the plant, PAN also has a fundamental role in the stem cell niche of the root apical meristem (de Luis Balaguer et al., 2017).

At the biochemical level, PAN ability to bind DNA (and, specifically, *AG*-regulating elements) is altered according to the cell redox conditions. PAN contains an N-terminal end with five Cys residues able to form intramolecular disulfide bridges, which is in agreement to the regulatory mechanism of other TGAs (Gutsche and Zachgo, 2016). In addition, a sixth Cys residue at the C-terminal end, Cys340, is essential for PAN function because it undergoes *S*-glutathionylation in a specific manner (Li *et al.*, 2009; Gutsche and Zachgo, 2016). Remarkably, the ROXY1 CC-type glutaredoxin negatively regulates PAN protein (Li *et al.*, 2009).

In summary, NPR-like proteins interact with TGA factors, which in turn are regulated by glutaredoxins. A clear parallelism seems to have evolved between the mechanism regulating the defense responses and that regulating floral development (Gatz, 2013) (Fig. 5).

Concluding remarks

Our understanding of the molecular basis for plant development and stress trade-off is still very limited, although the balanced function of plant growth and stress regulators is known to contribute to plant survival and fitness. The severe growth and developmental defects of NO-deficient and NO-overaccumulator mutants (even though they have complex pleiotropic phenotypes), together with impaired responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, may be indicative of a prominent role for this gasotransmitter in these trade-offs.

Thus, to gain full appreciation of how NO post-translational protein modification controls transcriptional reprogramming

of plant development upon stress, the future challenge is to uncover the reversible post-translational regulation and those molecular targets across different interconnected signaling pathways.

As we move away from Arabidopsis to other model species (i.e. the low redundancy species *Marchantia polymorpha*) and crops, it is important to have a clear vision not only of NO function and target specificity, but also of gene abundance and the evolutionary signaling pathways for translational biology.

Future research should reveal if precise amino acid substitutions in key targets will lead to the design of more accurate molecular tools for biotic and abiotic stress tolerance and improvement in growth and development in crops.

Acknowledgements

This work was financed by grants BIO2017-85758-R from the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MICIU), SA093U16 and SA313P18 from Junta de Castilla y León (to OL), and FS/26-2017 from Fundación Solórzano (to IS-V). MGF-E is supported by a JCyL and Fondo Social Europeo grant. We thank the BIO2015-68957-REDT network for stimulating discussions.

References

Abat JK, Deswal R. 2009. Differential modulation of S-nitrosoproteome of *Brassica juncea* by low temperature: change in S-nitrosylation of Rubisco is responsible for the inactivation of its carboxylase activity. Proteomics **9**, 4368–4380.

Abbas M, Berckhan S, Rooney DJ, et al. 2015. Oxygen sensing coordinates photomorphogenesis to facilitate seedling survival. Current Biology 25, 1483–1488.

Abello N, Kerstjens HA, Postma DS, Bischoff R. 2009. Protein tyrosine nitration: selectivity, physicochemical and biological consequences, denitration, and proteomics methods for the identification of tyrosinenitrated proteins. Journal of Proteome Research **8**, 3222–3238.

Adie BA, Pérez-Pérez J, Pérez-Pérez MM, Godoy M, Sánchez-Serrano JJ, Schmelz EA, Solano R. 2007. ABA is an essential signal for plant resistance to pathogens affecting JA biosynthesis and the activation of defenses in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **19**, 1665–1681.

Ahmad P, Abdel-Latef AA, Hashem A, Abd-Allah EF, Gucel S, Tran LSP. 2016. Nitric oxide mitigates salt stress by regulating levels of osmolytes and antioxidant enzymes in chickpea. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 367.

Albertos P, Romero-Puertas MC, Tatematsu K, Mateos I, Sánchez-Vicente I, Nambara E, Lorenzo O. 2015. S-Nitrosylation triggers ABI5 degradation to promote seed germination and seedling growth. Nature Communications 6, 8669.

Alvarez C, Lozano-Juste J, Romero LC, García I, Gotor C, León J. 2011. Inhibition of Arabidopsis O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase A1 by tyrosine nitration. Journal of Biological Chemistry **286**, 578–586.

Alvarez JM, Riveras E, Vidal EA, *et al*. 2014. Systems approach identifies TGA1 and TGA4 transcription factors as important regulatory components of the nitrate response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots. The Plant Journal **80**, 1–13.

Aravind L, Koonin EV. 1999. Fold prediction and evolutionary analysis of the POZ domain: structural and evolutionary relationship with the potassium channel tetramerization domain. Journal of Molecular Biology **285**, 1353–1361.

Arasimowicz-Jelonek M, Floryszak-Wieczorek J. 2011. Understanding the fate of peroxynitrite in plant cells—from physiology to pathophysiology. Phytochemistry **72**, 681–688.

Arc E, Sechet J, Corbineau F, Rajjou L, Marion-Poll A. 2013. ABA crosstalk with ethylene and nitric oxide in seed dormancy and germination. Frontiers in Plant Science 4, 63.

Arredondo-Peter R, Hargrove MS, Moran JF, Sarath G, Klucas RV. 1998. Plant hemoglobins. Plant Physiology **118**, 1121–1125.

Astier J, Besson-Bard A, Lamotte O, Bertoldo J, Bourque S, Terenzi H, Wendehenne D. 2012. Nitric oxide inhibits the ATPase activity of the chaperone-like AAA+ ATPase CDC48, a target for S-nitrosylation in cryptogein signalling in tobacco cells. The Biochemical Journal **447**, 249–260.

Astier J, Lindermayr C. 2012. Nitric oxide-dependent posttranslational modification in plants: an update. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **13**, 15193–15208.

Baier M, Bittner A, Prescher A, van Buer J. 2019. Preparing plants for improved cold tolerance by priming. Plant, Cell & Environment 42, 782–800.

Banerjee A, Roychoudhury A. 2017. Abscisic-acid-dependent basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors in plant abiotic stress. Protoplasma **254**, 3–16.

Begara-Morales JC, Chaki M, Sánchez-Calvo B, Mata-Pérez C, Leterrier M, Palma JM, Barroso JB, Corpas FJ. 2013. Protein tyrosine nitration in pea roots during development and senescence. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 1121–1134.

Begara-Morales JC, Sánchez-Calvo B, Chaki M, Mata-Pérez C, Valderrama R, Padilla MN, López-Jaramillo J, Luque F, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB. 2015. Differential molecular response of monodehydroascorbate reductase and glutathione reductase by nitration and S-nitrosylation. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 5983–5996.

Begara-Morales JC, Sánchez-Calvo B, Chaki M, Valderrama R, Mata-Pérez C, López-Jaramillo J, Padilla MN, Carreras A, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB. 2014. Dual regulation of cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase (APX) by tyrosine nitration and *S*-nitrosylation. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 527–538.

Begara-Morales JC, Sánchez-Calvo B, Chaki M, Valderrama R, Mata-Pérez C, Padilla MN, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB. 2016. Antioxidant systems are regulated by nitric oxide-mediated post-translational modifications (NO-PTMs). Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 152.

Belda-Palazon B, Gonzalez-Garcia MP, Lozano-Juste J, et al. 2018. PYL8 mediates ABA perception in the root through non-cell-autonomous and ligand-stabilization-based mechanisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **115**, 11857–11863.

Belenghi B, Romero-Puertas MC, Vercammen D, Brackenier A, Inzé D, Delledonne M, Van Breusegem F. 2007. Metacaspase activity of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is regulated by S-nitrosylation of a critical cysteine residue. Journal of Biological Chemistry **282**, 1352–1358.

Bellin D, Asai S, Delledonne M, Yoshioka H. 2013. Nitric oxide as a mediator for defense responses. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **26**, 271–277.

Benech-Arnold RL, Gualano N, Leymarie J, Côme D, Corbineau F. 2006. Hypoxia interferes with ABA metabolism and increases ABA sensitivity in embryos of dormant barley grains. Journal of Experimental Botany **57**, 1423–1430.

Bensmihen S, Giraudat J, Parcy F. 2005. Characterization of three homologous basic leucine zipper transcription factors (bZIP) of the ABI5 family during *Arabidopsis thaliana* embryo maturation. Journal of Experimental Botany **56**, 597–603.

Bensmihen S, Rippa S, Lambert G, Jublot D, Pautot V, Granier F, Giraudat J, Parcy F. 2002. The homologous ABI5 and EEL transcription factors function antagonistically to fine-tune gene expression during late embryogenesis. The Plant Cell **14**, 1391–1403.

Bethke PC, Gubler F, Jacobsen JV, Jones RL. 2004. Dormancy of Arabidopsis seeds and barley grains can be broken by nitric oxide. Planta **219**, 847–855.

Bethke PC, Libourel IG, Aoyama N, Chung YY, Still DW, Jones RL. 2007. The Arabidopsis aleurone layer responds to nitric oxide, gibberellin, and abscisic acid and is sufficient and necessary for seed dormancy. Plant Physiology **143**, 1173–1188.

Bethke PC, Libourel IG, Jones RL. 2006. Nitric oxide reduces seed dormancy in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **57**, 517–526.

Bi C, Ma Y, Wu Z, Yu YT, Liang S, Lu K, Wang XF. 2017. Arabidopsis ABI5 plays a role in regulating ROS homeostasis by activating CATALASE 1 transcription in seed germination. Plant Molecular Biology **94**, 197–213.

Blanco F, Salinas P, Cecchini NM, Jordana X, Van Hummelen P, Alvarez ME, Holuigue L. 2009. Early genomic responses to salicylic acid in Arabidopsis. Plant Molecular Biology **70**, 79–102.

Borisjuk L, Macherel D, Benamar A, Wobus U, Rolletschek H. 2007. Low oxygen sensing and balancing in plant seeds: a role for nitric oxide. New Phytologist **176**, 813–823.

Borsani O, Valpuesta V, Botella MA. 2001. Evidence for a role of salicylic acid in the oxidative damage generated by NaCl and osmotic stress in Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant Physiology **126**, 1024–1030.

Bright J, Desikan R, Hancock JT, Weir IS, Neill SJ. 2006. ABA-induced NO generation and stomatal closure in Arabidopsis are dependent on H_2O_2 synthesis. The Plant Journal **45**, 113–122.

Brocard IM, Lynch TJ, Finkelstein RR. 2002. Regulation and role of the Arabidopsis abscisic acid-insensitive 5 gene in abscisic acid, sugar, and stress response. Plant Physiology **129**, 1533–1543.

Camejo D, Ortiz-Espín A, Lázaro JJ, Romero-Puertas MC, Lázaro-Payo A, Sevilla F, Jiménez A. 2015. Functional and structural changes in plant mitochondrial PrxII F caused by NO. Journal of Proteomics **119**, 112–125.

Camejo D, Romero-Puertas Mdel C, Rodríguez-Serrano M, Sandalio LM, Lázaro JJ, Jiménez A, Sevilla F. 2013. Salinity-induced changes in *S*-nitrosylation of pea mitochondrial proteins. Journal of Proteomics **79**, 87–99.

Canet JV, Dobón A, Fajmonová J, Tornero P. 2012. The BLADE-ON-PETIOLE genes of Arabidopsis are essential for resistance induced by methyl jasmonate. BMC Plant Biology **12**, 199.

Canet JV, Dobón A, Ibáñez F, Perales L, Tornero P. 2010a. Resistance and biomass in Arabidopsis: a new model for salicylic acid perception. Plant Biotechnology Journal **8**, 126–141.

Canet JV, Dobón A, Roig A, Tornero P. 2010b. Structure–function analysis of *npr1* alleles in Arabidopsis reveals a role for its paralogs in the perception of salicylic acid. Plant, Cell & Environment **33**, 1911–1922.

Cantrel C, Vazquez T, Puyaubert J, Rezé N, Lesch M, Kaiser WM, Dutilleul C, Guillas I, Zachowski A, Baudouin E. 2011. Nitric oxide participates in cold-responsive phosphosphingolipid formation and gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytologist **189**, 415–427.

Cao H, Glazebrook J, Clarke JD, Volko S, Dong X. 1997. The Arabidopsis NPR1 gene that controls systemic acquired resistance encodes a novel protein containing ankyrin repeats. Cell **88**, 57–63.

Carles C, Bies-Etheve N, Aspart L, Léon-Kloosterziel KM, Koornneef M, Echeverria M, Delseny M. 2002. Regulation of *Arabidopsis thaliana Em* genes: role of ABI5. The Plant Journal **30**, 373–383.

Castillo MC, Coego A, Costa-Broseta Á, León J. 2018. Nitric oxide responses in Arabidopsis hypocotyls are mediated by diverse phytohormone pathways. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 5265–5278.

Castillo MC, Lozano-Juste J, González-Guzmán M, Rodriguez L, Rodriguez PL, León J. 2015. Inactivation of PYR/PYL/RCAR ABA receptors by tyrosine nitration may enable rapid inhibition of ABA signaling by nitric oxide in plants. Science Signaling **8**, ra89.

Cecconi D, Orzetti S, Vandelle E, Rinalducci S, Zolla L, Delledonne M. 2009. Protein nitration during defense response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Electrophoresis **30**, 2460–2468.

Chaki M, Valderrama R, Fernández-Ocaña AM, et al. 2009. Protein targets of tyrosine nitration in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) hypocotyls. Journal of Experimental Botany **60**, 4221–4234.

Chaki M, Valderrama R, Fernández-Ocaña AM, et al. 2011. High temperature triggers the metabolism of S-nitrosothiols in sunflower mediating a process of nitrosative stress which provokes the inhibition of ferredoxin-NADP reductase by tyrosine nitration. Plant, Cell & Environment **34**, 1803–1818.

Chaki M, Valderrama R, Fernández-Ocaña AM, et al. 2012. Protein targets of tyrosine nitration in sunflower (*Helianthuss annuus L.*) hypocotyls (correction). Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 5377.

Chen J, Xiao Q, Wu F, Dong X, He J, Pei Z, Zheng H. 2010. Nitric oxide enhances salt secretion and Na⁺ sequestration in a mangrove plant, *Avicennia marina*, through increasing the expression of H⁺-ATPase and Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter under high salinity. Tree Physiology **30**, 1570–1585.

Chen K, Chen L, Fan J, Fu J. 2013. Alleviation of heat damage to photosystem II by nitric oxide in tall fescue. Photosynthesis Research **116**, 21–31. **Chen R, Sun S, Wang C, et al.** 2009. The Arabidopsis PARAQUAT RESISTANT2 gene encodes an S-nitrosoglutathione reductase that is a key regulator of cell death. Cell Research **19**, 1377–1387.

Cheng ZJ, Zhao XY, Shao XX, Wang F, Zhou C, Liu YG, Zhang Y, Zhang XS. 2014. Abscisic acid regulates early seed development in Arabidopsis by ABI5-mediated transcription of SHORT HYPOCOTYL UNDER BLUE1. The Plant Cell **26**, 1053–1068.

Chini A, Grant JJ, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Loake GJ. 2004. Drought tolerance established by enhanced expression of the CC-NBS-LRR gene, ADR1, requires salicylic acid, EDS1 and ABI1. The Plant Journal **38**, 810–822.

Choi H, Hong J, Ha J, Kang J, Kim SY. 2000. ABFs, a family of ABAresponsive element binding factors. Journal of Biological Chemistry **275**, 1723–1730.

Choi J, Huh SU, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Paek KH, Hwang I. 2010. The cytokinin-activated transcription factor ARR2 promotes plant immunity via TGA3/NPR1-dependent salicylic acid signaling in Arabidopsis. Developmental Cell **19**, 284–295.

Christmann A, Weiler EW, Steudle E, Grill E. 2007. A hydraulic signal in root-to-shoot signalling of water shortage. The Plant Journal **52**, 167–174.

Chuang CF, Running MP, Williams RW, Meyerowitz EM. 1999. The PERIANTHIA gene encodes a bZIP protein involved in the determination of floral organ number in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genes & Development **13**, 334–344.

Clark D, Durner J, Navarre DA, Klessig DF. 2000. Nitric oxide inhibition of tobacco catalase and ascorbate peroxidase. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **13**, 1380–1384.

Corpas FJ, Chaki M, Fernández-Ocaña A, Valderrama R, Palma JM, Carreras A, Begara-Morales JC, Airaki M, del Río LA, Barroso JB. 2008. Metabolism of reactive nitrogen species in pea plants under abiotic stress conditions. Plant & Cell Physiology **49**, 1711–1722.

Corpas FJ, Leterrier M, Begara-Morales JC, et al. 2013a. Inhibition of peroxisomal hydroxypyruvate reductase (HPR1) by tyrosine nitration. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1830**, 4981–4989.

Corpas FJ, Palma JM, Del Río LA, Barroso JB. 2013*b*. Protein tyrosine nitration in higher plants grown under natural and stress conditions. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 29.

Costa-Broseta Á, Perea-Resa C, Castillo MC, Ruíz MF, Salinas J, León J. 2018. Nitric oxide controls constitutive freezing tolerance in arabidopsis by attenuating the levels of osmoprotectants, stress-related hormones and anthocyanins. Scientific Reports **8**, 9268.

Das P, Ito T, Wellmer F, Vernoux T, Dedieu A, Traas J, Meyerowitz EM. 2009. Floral stem cell termination involves the direct regulation of AGAMOUS by PERIANTHIA. Development **136**, 1605–1611.

Dat JF, Foyer CH, Scott IM. 1998. Changes in salicylic acid and antioxidants during induced thermotolerance in mustard seedlings. Plant Physiology **118**, 1455–1461.

Deeb RS, Nuriel T, Cheung C, Summers B, Lamon BD, Gross SS, Hajjar DP. 2013. Characterization of a cellular denitrase activity that reverses nitration of cyclooxygenase. American Journal of Physiology. Heart and Circulatory Physiology **305**, H687–H698.

Delledonne M, Xia Y, Dixon RA, Lamb C. 1998. Nitric oxide functions as a signal in plant disease resistance. Nature **394**, 585–588.

Del Río LA. 2015. ROS and RNS in plant physiology: an overview. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 2827–2837.

de Luis Balaguer MA, Fisher AP, Clark NM, Fernandez-Espinosa MG, Möller BK, Weijers D, Lohmann JU, Williams C, Lorenzo O, Sozzani R. 2017. Predicting gene regulatory networks by combining spatial and temporal gene expression data in Arabidopsis root stem cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **114**, E7632–E7640.

de Marchi R, Sorel M, Mooney B, et al. 2016. The N-end rule pathway regulates pathogen responses in plants. Scientific Reports 6, 26020.

de Pinto MC, Locato V, Sgobba A, Romero-Puertas Mdel C, Gadaleta C, Delledonne M, De Gara L. 2013. S-Nitrosylation of ascorbate peroxidase is part of programmed cell death signaling in tobacco Bright Yellow-2 cells. Plant Physiology **163**, 1766–1775.

Desikan R, Griffiths R, Hancock J, Neill S. 2002. A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **99**, 16314–16318.

Després C, Chubak C, Rochon A, Clark R, Bethune T, Desveaux D, Fobert PR. 2003. The Arabidopsis NPR1 disease resistance protein is a novel cofactor that confers redox regulation of DNA binding activity to the basic domain/leucine zipper transcription factor TGA1. The Plant Cell **15**, 2181–2191.

Després C, DeLong C, Glaze S, Liu E, Fobert PR. 2000. The Arabidopsis NPR1/NIM1 protein enhances the DNA binding activity of a subgroup of the TGA family of bZIP transcription factors. The Plant Cell **12**, 279–290.

Dietrich D, Pang L, Kobayashi A, et al. 2017. Root hydrotropism is controlled via a cortex-specific growth mechanism. Nature Plants **3**, 17057.

Ding X, Cao Y, Huang L, Zhao J, Xu C, Li X, Wang S. 2008. Activation of the indole-3-acetic acid-amido synthetase GH3-8 suppresses expansin expression and promotes salicylate- and jasmonate-independent basal immunity in rice. The Plant Cell **20**, 228–240.

Dordas C, Hasinoff BB, Igamberdiev AU, Manac'h N, Rivoal J, Hill RD. 2003. Expression of a stress-induced hemoglobin affects NO levels produced by alfalfa root cultures under hypoxic stress. The Plant Journal **35**, 763–770.

Dordas C, Hasinoff BB, Rivoal J, Hill RD. 2004. Class-1 hemoglobins, nitrate and NO levels in anoxic maize cell-suspension cultures. Planta **219**, 66–72.

Dröge-Laser W, Snoek BL, Snel B, Weiste C. 2018. The Arabidopsis bZIP transcription factor family—an update. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **45**, 36–49.

Durner J, Klessig DF. 1995. Inhibition of ascorbate peroxidase by salicylic acid and 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid, two inducers of plant defense responses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **92**, 11312–11316.

Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF. 1998. Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP-ribose. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **95**, 10328–10333.

Eisenach C, Baetz U, Huck NV, Zhang J, De Angeli A, Beckers GJM, Martinoia E. 2017. ABA-induced stomatal closure involves ALMT4, a phosphorylation-dependent vacuolar anion channel of Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **29**, 2552–2569.

Ellis JG, Tokuhisa JG, Llewellyn DJ, Bouchez D, Singh K, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ. 1993. Does the ocs-element occur as a functional component of the promoters of plant genes? The Plant Journal **4**, 433–443.

Ellis MH, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ. 1999. Arabidopsis roots and shoots have different mechanisms for hypoxic stress tolerance. Plant Physiology **119**, 57–64.

Espunya MC, De Michele R, Gómez-Cadenas A, Martínez MC. 2012. S-Nitrosoglutathione is a component of wound- and salicylic acid-induced systemic responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 3219–3227.

Fan HF, Du CX. 2012. Effect of nitric oxide on proline metabolism in cucumber seedlings under salinity stress. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science **137**, 127–133.

Fan J, Chen K, Amombo E, Hu Z, Chen L, Fu J. 2015. Physiological and molecular mechanism of nitric oxide (NO) involved in bermudagrass response to cold stress. PLoS One **10**, e0132991.

Fang H, Liu Z, Long Y, Liang Y, Jin Z, Zhang L, Liu D, Li H, Zhai J, Pei Y. 2017. The Ca²⁺ /calmodulin2-binding transcription factor TGA3 elevates LCD expression and H₂S production to bolster Cr6+ tolerance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **91**, 1038–1050.

Feechan A, Kwon E, Yun BW, Wang Y, Pallas JA, Loake GJ. 2005. A central role for S-nitrosothiols in plant disease resistance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **102**, 8054–8059.

Feng J, Wang C, Chen Q, Chen H, Ren B, Li X, Zuo J. 2013. *S*-Nitrosylation of phosphotransfer proteins represses cytokinin signaling. Nature Communications **4**, 1529.

Fernando VCD, Al Khateeb W, Belmonte MF, Schroeder DF. 2018. Role of Arabidopsis ABF1/3/4 during det1 germination in salt and osmotic stress conditions. Plant Molecular Biology **97**, 149–163.

Findling S, Stotz HU, Zoeller M, Krischke M, Zander M, Gatz C, Berger S, Mueller MJ. 2018. TGA2 signaling in response to reactive electrophile species is not dependent on cysteine modification of TGA2. PLoS One **13**, e0195398.

Finkelstein R. 2013. Abscisic acid synthesis and response. The Arabidopsis Book 11, e0166.

Finkelstein R, Gampala SS, Lynch TJ, Thomas TL, Rock CD. 2005. Redundant and distinct functions of the ABA response loci ABA-INSENSITIVE(ABI)5 and ABRE-BINDING FACTOR (ABF)3. Plant Molecular Biology **59**, 253–267.

Finkelstein RR, Lynch TJ. 2000. The Arabidopsis abscisic acid response gene ABI5 encodes a basic leucine zipper transcription factor. The Plant Cell **12**, 599–609.

Fode B, Siemsen T, Thurow C, Weigel R, Gatz C. 2008. The Arabidopsis GRAS protein SCL14 interacts with class II TGA transcription factors and is essential for the activation of stress-inducible promoters. The Plant Cell **20**, 3122–3135.

Frungillo L, Skelly MJ, Loake GJ, Spoel SH, Salgado I. 2014. S-Nitrosothiols regulate nitric oxide production and storage in plants through the nitrogen assimilation pathway. Nature Communications **5**, 5401.

Fu ZQ, Yan S, Saleh A, et al. 2012. NPR3 and NPR4 are receptors for the immune signal salicylic acid in plants. Nature **486**, 228–232.

Fu ZW, Wang YL, Lu YT, Yuan TT. 2016. Nitric oxide is involved in stomatal development by modulating the expression of stomatal regulator genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Science **252**, 282–289.

Fujii H, Chinnusamy V, Rodrigues A, Rubio S, Antoni R, Park SY, Cutler SR, Sheen J, Rodriguez PL, Zhu JK. 2009. *In vitro* reconstitution of an abscisic acid signalling pathway. Nature **462**, 660–664.

Fujii H, Zhu JK. 2009. Arabidopsis mutant deficient in 3 abscisic acidactivated protein kinases reveals critical roles in growth, reproduction, and stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **106**, 8380–8385.

Fujita Y, Fujita M, Satoh R, Maruyama K, Parvez MM, Seki M, Hiratsu K, Ohme-Takagi M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2005. AREB1 is a transcription activator of novel ABRE-dependent ABA signaling that enhances drought stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **17**, 3470–3488.

Galetskiy D, Lohscheider JN, Kononikhin AS, Popov IA, Nikolaev EN, Adamska I. 2011. Phosphorylation and nitration levels of photosynthetic proteins are conversely regulated by light stress. Plant Molecular Biology 77, 461–473.

Galvez-Valdivieso G, Fryer MJ, Lawson T, et al. 2009. The high light response in Arabidopsis involves ABA signaling between vascular and bundle sheath cells. The Plant Cell **21**, 2143–2162.

Gao S, Gao J, Zhu X, Song Y, Li Z, Ren G, Zhou X, Kuai B. 2016. ABF2, ABF3, and ABF4 promote ABA-mediated chlorophyll degradation and leaf senescence by transcriptional activation of chlorophyll catabolic genes and senescence-associated genes in Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant **9**, 1272–1285.

García-Mata C, Gay R, Sokolovski S, Hills A, Lamattina L, Blatt MR. 2003. Nitric oxide regulates K⁺ and Cl⁻ channels in guard cells through a subset of abscisic acid-evoked signaling pathways. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **100**, 11116–11121.

García-Mata C, Lamattina L. 2001. Nitric oxide induces stomatal closure and enhances the adaptive plant responses against drought stress. Plant Physiology **126**, 1196–1204.

García-Mata C, Lamattina L. 2002. Nitric oxide and abscisic acid cross talk in guard cells. Plant Physiology **128**, 790–792.

Gatz C. 2013. From pioneers to team players: TGA transcription factors provide a molecular link between different stress pathways. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **26**, 151–159.

Geiger D, Scherzer S, Mumm P, et al. 2009. Activity of guard cell anion channel SLAC1 is controlled by drought-stress signaling kinase–phosphatase pair. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 106, 21425–21430.

Gibbs DJ, Lee SC, Isa NM, et al. 2011. Homeostatic response to hypoxia is regulated by the N-end rule pathway in plants. Nature **479**, 415–418.

Gibbs DJ, Md Isa N, Movahedi M, et al. 2014. Nitric oxide sensing in plants is mediated by proteolytic control of group VII ERF transcription factors. Molecular Cell **53**, 369–379.

Gibbs DJ, Tedds HM, Labandera AM, et al. 2018. Oxygen-dependent proteolysis regulates the stability of angiosperm polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit VERNALIZATION 2. Nature Communications **9**, 5438.

Gorham SR, Weiner AI, Yamadi M, Krogan NT. 2018. HISTONE DEACETYLASE 19 and the flowering time gene FD maintain reproductive

meristem identity in an age-dependent manner. Journal of Experimental Botany 69, 4757-4771.

Gow AJ, Stamler JS. 1998. Reactions between nitric oxide and haemoglobin under physiological conditions. Nature **391**, 169–173.

Graciet E, Walter F, O'Maoileidigh DS, Pollmann S, Meyerowitz EM, Varshavsky A, Wellmer F. 2009. The N-end rule pathway controls multiple functions during Arabidopsis shoot and leaf development. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **106**, 13618–13623.

Gupta KJ, Hebelstrup KH, Mur LA, Igamberdiev AU. 2011. Plant hemoglobins: important players at the crossroads between oxygen and nitric oxide. FEBS Letters **585**, 3843–3849.

Gupta KJ, Shah JK, Brotman Y, Jahnke K, Willmitzer L, Kaiser WM, Bauwe H, Igamberdiev AU. 2012. Inhibition of aconitase by nitric oxide leads to induction of the alternative oxidase and to a shift of metabolism towards biosynthesis of amino acids. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 1773–1784.

Gutsche N, Zachgo S. 2016. The N-terminus of the floral Arabidopsis TGA transcription factor PERIANTHIA mediates redox-sensitive DNA-binding. PLoS One **11**, e0153810.

Han SK, Sang Y, Rodrigues A, Wu MF, Rodriguez PL, Wagner D; BIOL425 F2010, Wu MF, Rodriguez PL, Wagner D. 2012. The SWI2/ SNF2 chromatin remodeling ATPase BRAHMA represses abscisic acid responses in the absence of the stress stimulus in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 24, 4892–4906.

Han SK, Wu MF, Cui S, Wagner D. 2015. Roles and activities of chromatin remodeling ATPases in plants. The Plant Journal **83**, 62–77.

He HY, He LF, Gu MH, Li XF. 2012. Nitric oxide improves aluminum tolerance by regulating hormonal equilibrium in the root apices of rye and wheat. Plant Science **183**, 123–130.

Hepworth SR, Zhang Y, McKim S, Li X, Haughn GW. 2005. BLADE-ON-PETIOLE-dependent signaling controls leaf and floral patterning in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **17**, 1434–1448.

Hill BG, Dranka BP, Bailey SM, Lancaster JR Jr, Darley-Usmar VM. 2010. What part of NO don't you understand? Some answers to the cardinal questions in nitric oxide biology. Journal of Biological Chemistry **285**, 19699–19704.

Holman TJ, Jones PD, Russell L, *et al*. 2009. The N-end rule pathway promotes seed germination and establishment through removal of ABA sensitivity in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **106**, 4549–4554.

Holzmeister C, Gaupels F, Geerlof A, Sarioglu H, Sattler M, Durner J, Lindermayr C. 2015. Differential inhibition of Arabidopsis superoxide dismutases by peroxynitrite-mediated tyrosine nitration. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 989–999.

Horváth E, Pál M, Szalai G, Páldi E, Janda T. 2007. Exogenous 4-hydroxybenzoic acid and salicylic acid modulate the effect of short-term drought and freezing stress on wheat plants. Biologia Plantarum **51**, 480–487.

Hoy JA, Hargrove MS. 2008. The structure and function of plant hemoglobins. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **46**, 371–379.

Hsu FC, Chou MY, Peng HP, Chou SJ, Shih MC. 2011. Insights into hypoxic systemic responses based on analyses of transcriptional regulation in Arabidopsis. PLoS One 6, e28888.

Hu J, Huang X, Chen L, Sun X, Lu C, Zhang L, Wang Y, Zuo J. 2015. Site-specific nitrosoproteomic identification of endogenously S-nitrosylated proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **167**, 1731–1746.

Huang J, Li Z, Biener G, Xiong E, Malik S, Eaton N, Zhao CZ, Raicu V, Kong H, Zhao D. 2017. Carbonic anhydrases function in anther cell differentiation downstream of the receptor-like kinase EMS1. The Plant Cell **29**, 1335–1356.

Huang X, von Rad U, Durner J. 2002. Nitric oxide induces transcriptional activation of the nitric oxide-tolerant alternative oxidase in Arabidopsis suspension cells. Planta **215**, 914–923.

Hunt PW, Klok EJ, Trevaskis B, Watts RA, Ellis MH, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES. 2002. Increased level of hemoglobin 1 enhances survival of hypoxic stress and promotes early growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **99**, 17197–17202.

Hussain A, Mun BG, Imran QM, Lee SU, Adamu TA, Shahid M, Kim KM, Yun BW. 2016. Nitric oxide mediated transcriptome profiling reveals activation of multiple regulatory pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**, 975.

Imran QM, Hussain A, Lee SU, Mun BG, Falak N, Loake GJ, Yun BW. 2018. Transcriptome profile of NO-induced Arabidopsis transcription factor genes suggests their putative regulatory role in multiple biological processes. Scientific Reports 8, 771.

Innes R. 2018. The positives and negatives of NPR: a unifying model for salicylic acid signaling in plants. Cell **173**, 1314–1315.

Irie Y, Saeki M, Kamisaki Y, Martin E, Murad F. 2003. Histone H1.2 is a substrate for denitrase, an activity that reduces nitrotyrosine inmunoreactivity in proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **100**, 5634–5639.

Ischiropoulos H. 2003. Biological selectivity and functional aspects of protein tyrosine nitration. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications **305**, 776–783.

Jaffrey SR, Snyder SH. 2001. The biotin switch method for the detection of S-nitrosylated proteins. Science's STKE **2001**, pl1.

Jakoby M, Weisshaar B, Dröge-Laser W, Vicente-Carbajosa J, Tiedemann J, Kroj T, Parcy F; bZIP Research Group. 2002. bZIP transcription factors in Arabidopsis. Trends in Plant Science 7, 106–111.

Janda T, Szalai G, Tari I, Paldi E. 1999. Hydroponic treatment with salicylic acid decreases the effects of chilling injury in maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants. Planta **208**, 175–180.

Jia L, Bonaventura C, Bonaventura J, Stamler JS. 1996. S-Nitrosohaemoglobin: a dynamic activity of blood involved in vascular control. Nature **380**, 221–226.

Joudoi T, Shichiri Y, Kamizono N, Akaike T, Sawa T, Yoshitake J, Yamada N, Iwai S. 2013. Nitrated cyclic GMP modulates guard cell signaling in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell **25**, 558–571.

Kang JY, Choi HI, Im MY, Kim SY. 2002. Arabidopsis basic leucine zipper proteins that mediate stress-responsive abscisic acid signaling. The Plant Cell **14**, 343–357.

Kanno Y, Jikumaru Y, Hanada A, Nambara E, Abrams SR, Kamiya Y, Seo M. 2010. Comprehensive hormone profiling in developing Arabidopsis seeds: examination of the site of ABA biosynthesis, ABA transport and hormone interactions. Plant & Cell Physiology **51**, 1988–2001.

Karapetyan S, Dong X. 2018. Redox and the circadian clock in plant immunity: a balancing act. Free Radical Biology & Medicine **119**, 56–61.

Kawabe H, Ohtani M, Kurata T, Sakamoto T, Demura T. 2018. Protein *S*-nitrosylation regulates xylem vessel cell differentiation in Arabidopsis. Plant & Cell Physiology **59**, 17–29.

Kesarwani M, Yoo J, Dong X. 2007. Genetic interactions of TGA transcription factors in the regulation of pathogenesis-related genes and disease resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **144**, 336–346.

Klessig DF, Durner J, Noad R, et al. 2000. Nitric oxide and salicylic acid signaling in plant defense. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 97, 8849–8855.

Kneeshaw S, Gelineau S, Tada Y, Loake GJ, Spoel SH. 2014. Selective protein denitrosylation activity of thioredoxin-h5 modulates plant immunity. Molecular Cell 56, 153–162.

Kovacs I, Durner J, Lindermayr C. 2015. Crosstalk between nitric oxide and glutathione is required for NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES 1 (NPR1)-dependent defense signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytologist **208**, 860–872.

Kovacs I, Lindermayr C. 2013. Nitric oxide-based protein modification: formation and site-specificity of protein *S*-nitrosylation. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 137.

Kubienová L, Tichá T, Jahnová J, Luhová L, Mieslerová B, Petřivalský M. 2014. Effect of abiotic stress stimuli on S-nitrosoglutathione reductase in plants. Planta **239**, 139–146.

Kushiro T, Okamoto M, Nakabayashi K, Yamagishi K, Kitamura S, Asami T, Hirai N, Koshiba T, Kamiya Y, Nambara E. 2004. The Arabidopsis cytochrome P450 CYP707A encodes ABA 8'-hydroxylases: key enzymes in ABA catabolism. The EMBO Journal **23**, 1647–1656.

Kwon E, Feechan A, Yun BW, Hwang BH, Pallas JA, Kang JG, Loake GJ. 2012. AtGSNOR1 function is required for multiple developmental programs in Arabidopsis. Planta **236**, 887–900.

Lamotte O, Bertoldo JB, Besson-Bard A, Rosnoblet C, Aimé S, Hichami S, Terenzi H, Wendehenne D. 2014. Protein *S*-nitrosylation: specificity and identification strategies in plants. Frontiers in Chemistry 2, 114. Lee U, Wie C, Fernandez BO, Feelisch M, Vierling E. 2008. Modulation of nitrosative stress by S-nitrosoglutathione reductase is critical for thermotolerance and plant growth in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **20**, 786–802.

León J, Castillo MC, Coego A, Lozano-Juste J, Mir R. 2014. Diverse functional interactions between nitric oxide and abscisic acid in plant development and responses to stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 907–921.

Li N, Sun L, Zhang L, Song Y, Hu P, Li C, Hao FS. 2015. AtrobhD and AtrobhF negatively regulate lateral root development by changing the localized accumulation of superoxide in primary roots of Arabidopsis. Planta **241**, 591–602.

Li S, Lauri A, Ziemann M, Busch A, Bhave M, Zachgo S. 2009. Nuclear activity of ROXY1, a glutaredoxin interacting with TGA factors, is required for petal development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Cell **21**, 429–441.

Licausi F, Kosmacz M, Weits DA, Giuntoli B, Giorgi FM, Voesenek LA, Perata P, van Dongen JT. 2011. Oxygen sensing in plants is mediated by an N-end rule pathway for protein destabilization. Nature **479**, 419–422.

Lin A, Wang Y, Tang J, Xue P, Li C, Liu L, Hu B, Yang F, Loake GJ, Chu C. 2012. Nitric oxide and protein S-nitrosylation are integral to hydrogen peroxide-induced leaf cell death in rice. Plant Physiology **158**, 451–464.

Lindermayr C, Durner J. 2009. S-Nitrosylation in plants: pattern and function. Journal of Proteomics **73**, 1–9.

Lindermayr C, Saalbach G, Bahnweg G, Durner J. 2006. Differential inhibition of Arabidopsis methionine adenosyltransferases by protein *S*-nitrosylation. Journal of Biological Chemistry **281**, 4285–4291.

Lindermayr C, Saalbach G, Durner J. 2005. Proteomic identification of S-nitrosylated proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **137**, 921–930.

Lindermayr C, Sell S, Müller B, Leister D, Durner J. 2010. Redox regulation of the NPR1–TGA1 system of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by nitric oxide. The Plant Cell **22**, 2894–2907.

Liu L, Hausladen A, Zeng M, Que L, Heitman J, Stamler JS. 2001. A metabolic enzyme for S-nitrosothiol conserved from bacteria to humans. Nature **410**, 490–494.

Liu X, Hou X. 2018. Antagonistic regulation of ABA and GA in metabolism and signaling pathways. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 251.

Liu Y, Shi L, Ye N, Liu R, Jia W, Zhang J. 2009. Nitric oxide-induced rapid decrease of abscisic acid concentration is required in breaking seed dormancy in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **183**, 1030–1042.

Lombardo MC, Lamattina L. 2018. Abscisic acid and nitric oxide modulate cytoskeleton organization, root hair growth and ectopic hair formation in Arabidopsis. Nitric Oxide: Biology and Chemistry **80**, 89–97.

Lopez-Molina L, Mongrand S, Chua NH. 2001. A postgermination developmental arrest checkpoint is mediated by abscisic acid and requires the ABI5 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 98, 4782–4787.

Lopez-Molina L, Mongrand S, McLachlin DT, Chait BT, Chua NH. 2002. ABI5 acts downstream of ABI3 to execute an ABA-dependent growth arrest during germination. The Plant Journal **32**, 317–328.

Lozano-Juste J, Colom-Moreno R, León J. 2011. *In vivo* protein tyrosine nitration in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**, 3501–3517.

Lozano-Juste J, León J. 2010. Enhanced abscisic acid-mediated responses in *nia1nia2noa1-2* triple mutant impaired in NIA/NR- and AtNOA1dependent nitric oxide biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **152**, 891–903.

Lozano-Juste J, León J. 2011. Nitric oxide regulates DELLA content and PIF expression to promote photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **156**, 1410–1423.

Luo M, Wang YY, Liu X, Yang S, Lu Q, Cui Y, Wu K. 2012. HD2C interacts with HDA6 and is involved in ABA and salt stress response in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 3297–3306.

Lv X, Ge S, Jalal Ahammed G, Xiang X, Guo Z, Yu J, Zhou Y. 2017. Crosstalk between nitric oxide and MPK1/2 mediates cold acclimationinduced chilling tolerance in tomato. Plant & Cell Physiology **58**, 1963–1975.

Ma Y, Szostkiewicz I, Korte A, Moes D, Yang Y, Christmann A, Grill E. 2009. Regulators of PP2C phosphatase activity function as abscisic acid sensors. Science **324**, 1064–1068.

Ma Z, Marsolais F, Bykova NV, Igamberdiev AU. 2016. Nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species mediate metabolic changes in barley seed embryo during germination. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 138.

Malik SI, Hussain A, Yun BW, Spoel SH, Loake GJ. 2011. GSNORmediated de-nitrosylation in the plant defence response. Plant Science **181**, 540–544.

Manai J, Kalai T, Gouia H, Corpas FJ. 2014. Exogenous nitric oxide (NO) ameliorates salinity-induced oxidative stress in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition **14**, 433–446.

Marín-de la Rosa N, Sotillo B, Miskolczi P, et al. 2014. Large-scale identification of gibberellin-related transcription factors defines group VII ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTORS as functional DELLA partners. Plant Physiology **166**, 1022–1032.

Melo PM, Silva LS, Ribeiro I, Seabra AR, Carvalho HG. 2011. Glutamine synthetase is a molecular target of nitric oxide in root nodules of *Medicago truncatula* and is regulated by tyrosine nitration. Plant Physiology **157**, 1505–1517.

Mendes A, Kelly AA, van Erp H, Shaw E, Powers SJ, Kurup S, Eastmond PJ. 2013. bZIP67 regulates the omega-3 fatty acid content of Arabidopsis seed oil by activating fatty acid desaturase3. The Plant Cell **25**, 3104–3116.

Mengel A, Ageeva A, Georgii E, Bernhardt J, Wu K, Durner J, Lindermayr C. 2017. Nitric oxide modulates histone acetylation at stress genes by inhibition of histone deacetylases. Plant Physiology **173**, 1434–1452.

Mengel A, Chaki M, Shekariesfahlan A, Lindermayr C. 2013. Effect of nitric oxide on gene transcription—*S*-nitrosylation of nuclear proteins. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 293.

Metwally A, Finkemeier I, Georgi M, Dietz KJ. 2003. Salicylic acid alleviates the cadmium toxicity in barley seedlings. Plant Physiology **132**, 272–281.

Miura K, Lee J, Jin JB, Yoo CY, Miura T, Hasegawa PM. 2009. Sumoylation of ABI5 by the Arabidopsis SUMO E3 ligase SIZ1 negatively regulates abscisic acid signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 106, 5418–5423.

Monreal JA, Arias-Baldrich C, Tossi V, Feria AB, Rubio-Casal A, García-Mata C, Lamattina L, García-Mauriño S. 2013. Nitric oxide regulation of leaf phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase-kinase activity: implication in sorghum responses to salinity. Planta **238**, 859–869.

Mou Z, Fan W, Dong X. 2003. Inducers of plant systemic acquired resistance regulate NPR1 function through redox changes. Cell **113**, 935–944.

Mueller S, Hilbert B, Dueckershoff K, Roitsch T, Krischke M, Mueller MJ, Berger S. 2008. General detoxification and stress responses are mediated by oxidized lipids through TGA transcription factors in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **20**, 768–785.

Mulaudzi T, Ludidi N, Ruzvidzo O, Morse M, Hendricks N, Iwuoha E, Gehring C. 2011. Identification of a novel *Arabidopsis thaliana* nitric oxidebinding molecule with guanylate cyclase activity in vitro. FEBS Letters **585**, 2693–2697.

Munné-Bosch S, Peñuelas J. 2003. Photo- and antioxidative protection, and a role for salicylic acid during drought and recovery in field-grown *Phillyrea angustifolia* plants. Planta **217**, 758–766.

Mur LA, Prats E, Pierre S, Hall MA, Hebelstrup KH. 2013. Integrating nitric oxide into salicylic acid and jasmonic acid/ethylene plant defense pathways. Frontiers in Plant Science 4, 215.

Murmu J, Bush MJ, DeLong C, Li S, Xu M, Khan M, Malcolmson C, Fobert PR, Zachgo S, Hepworth SR. 2010. Arabidopsis basic leucine-zipper transcription factors TGA9 and TGA10 interact with floral glutaredoxins ROXY1 and ROXY2 and are redundantly required for anther development. Plant Physiology **154**, 1492–1504.

Mustilli AC, Merlot S, Vavasseur A, Fenzi F, Giraudat J. 2002. Arabidopsis OST1 protein kinase mediates the regulation of stomatal aperture by abscisic acid and acts upstream of reactive oxygen species production. The Plant Cell **14**, 3089–3099.

Nakashima K, Fujita Y, Kanamori N, et al. 2009. Three Arabidopsis SnRK2 protein kinases, SRK2D/SnRK2.2, SRK2E/SnRK2.6/OST1 and SRK2I/SnRK2.3, involved in ABA signaling are essential for the control of seed development and dormancy. Plant & Cell Physiology **50**, 1345–1363.

Nambara E, Marion-Poll A. 2005. Abscisic acid biosynthesis and catabolism. Annual Review of Plant Biology 56, 165–185.

Neill SJ, Desikan R, Clarke A, Hancock JT. 2002. Nitric oxide is a novel component of abscisic acid signaling in stomatal guard cells. Plant Physiology **128**, 13–16.

Nelson MJ. 1987. The nitric oxide complex of ferrous soybean lipoxygenase-1. Substrate, pH, and ethanol effects on the active-site iron. Journal of Biological Chemistry **262**, 12137–12142.

Nonogaki H. 2014. Seed dormancy and germination—emerging mechanisms and new hypotheses. Frontiers in Plant Science **5**, 233.

Nott A, Watson PM, Robinson JD, Crepaldi L, Riccio A. 2008. S-Nitrosylation of histone deacetylase 2 induces chromatin remodelling in neurons. Nature **455**, 411–415.

Okamoto M, Kuwahara A, Seo M, Kushiro T, Asami T, Hirai N, Kamiya Y, Koshiba T, Nambara E. 2006. CYP707A1 and CYP707A2, which encode abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylases, are indispensable for proper control of seed dormancy and germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **141**, 97–107.

Okuda K, Ito A, Uehara T. 2015. Regulation of histone deacetylase 6 activity via S-nitrosylation. Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin **38**, 1434–1437.

Ortega-Galisteo AP, Rodríguez-Serrano M, Pazmiño DM, Gupta DK, Sandalio LM, Romero-Puertas MC. 2012. S-Nitrosylated proteins in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) leaf peroxisomes: changes under abiotic stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 2089–2103.

Pál M. 2002. Effect of salicylic acid during heavy metal stress. Acta Biologica Szegediensis **46**, 119–120.

Palmieri MC, Lindermayr C, Bauwe H, Steinhauser C, Durner J. 2010. Regulation of plant glycine decarboxylase by S-nitrosylation and glutathionylation. Plant Physiology **152**, 1514–1528.

Palmieri MC, Sell S, Huang X, Scherf M, Werner T, Durner J, Lindermayr C. 2008. Nitric oxide-responsive genes and promoters in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a bioinformatics approach. Journal of Experimental Botany **59**, 177–186.

Park SY, Fung P, Nishimura N, *et al.* 2009. Abscisic acid inhibits type 2C protein phosphatases via the PYR/PYL family of START proteins. Science **324**, 1068–1071.

París R, Iglesias MJ, Terrile MC, Casalongué CA. 2013. Functions of *S*-nitrosylation in plant hormone networks. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 294.

Perazzolli M, Dominici P, Romero-Puertas MC, Zago E, Zeier J, Sonoda M, Lamb C, Delledonne M. 2004. Arabidopsis nonsymbiotic hemoglobin AHb1 modulates nitric oxide bioactivity. The Plant Cell **16**, 2785–2794.

Peirats-Llobet M, Han SK, Gonzalez-Guzman M, Jeong CW, Rodriguez L, Belda-Palazon B, Wagner D, Rodriguez PL. 2016. A direct link between abscisic acid sensing and the chromatin-remodeling ATPase BRAHMA via core ABA signaling pathway components. Molecular Plant 9, 136–147.

Pieterse CM, Leon-Reyes A, Van der Ent S, Van Wees SC. 2009. Networking by small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. Nature Chemical Biology **5**, 308–316.

Pieterse CM, van Wees SC, van Pelt JA, Knoester M, Laan R, Gerrits H, Weisbeek PJ, van Loon LC. 1998. A novel signaling pathway controlling induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **10**, 1571–1580.

Polverari A, Molesini B, Pezzotti M, Buonaurio R, Marte M, Delledonne M. 2003. Nitric oxide-mediated transcriptional changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **16**, 1094–1105.

Puyaubert J, Baudouin E. 2014. New clues for a cold case: nitric oxide response to low temperature. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 2623–2630.

Radi R. 2004. Nitric oxide, oxidants, and protein tyrosine nitration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **101**, 4003–4008.

Reeves WM, Lynch TJ, Mobin R, Finkelstein RR. 2011. Direct targets of the transcription factors ABA-insensitive (ABI)4 and ABI5 reveal synergistic action by ABI4 and several bZIP ABA response factors. Plant Molecular Biology **75**, 347–363.

Rivas-San Vicente M, Plasencia J. 2011. Salicylic acid beyond defence: its role in plant growth and development. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**, 3321–3338.

Romero-Puertas MC, Laxa M, Mattè A, Zaninotto F, Finkemeier I, Jones AM, Perazzolli M, Vandelle E, Dietz KJ, Delledonne M. 2007. *S*-Nitrosylation of peroxiredoxin II E promotes peroxynitrite-mediated tyrosine nitration. The Plant Cell **19**, 4120–4130.

Romero-Puertas MC, Rodríguez-Serrano M, Sandalio LM. 2013. Protein S-nitrosylation in plants under abiotic stress: an overview. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 373. **Running MP, Meyerowitz EM.** 1996. Mutations in the PERIANTHIA gene of Arabidopsis specifically alter floral organ number and initiation pattern. Development **122**, 1261–1269.

Rustérucci C, Espunya MC, Díaz M, Chabannes M, Martínez MC. 2007. S-Nitrosoglutathione reductase affords protection against pathogens in Arabidopsis, both locally and systemically. Plant Physiology **143**, 1282–1292.

Ryu H, Cho H, Bae W, Hwang I. 2014. Control of early seedling development by BES1/TPL/HDA19-mediated epigenetic regulation of ABI3. Nature Communications **5**, 4138.

Saez A, Rodrigues A, Santiago J, Rubio S, Rodriguez PL. 2008. HAB1–SWI3B interaction reveals a link between abscisic acid signaling and putative SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complexes in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **20**, 2972–2988.

Sainz M, Calvo-Begueria L, Pérez-Rontomé C, Wienkoop S, Abián J, Staudinger C, Bartesaghi S, Radi R, Becana M. 2015. Leghemoglobin is nitrated in functional legume nodules in a tyrosine residue within the heme cavity by a nitrite/peroxide-dependent mechanism. The Plant Journal **81**, 723–735.

Sanz L, Albertos P, Mateos I, Sánchez-Vicente I, Lechón T, Fernández-Marcos M, Lorenzo O. 2015. Nitric oxide (NO) and phytohormones crosstalk during early plant development. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 2857–2868.

Sarath G, Hou G, Baird LM, Mitchell RB. 2007. Reactive oxygen species, ABA and nitric oxide interactions on the germination of warm-season C4-grasses. Planta **226**, 697–708.

Sarkar S, Das A, Khandagale P, Maiti IB, Chattopadhyay S, Dey N. 2018. Interaction of Arabidopsis TGA3 and WRKY53 transcription factors on Cestrum yellow leaf curling virus (CmYLCV) promoter mediates salicylic acid-dependent gene expression in planta. Planta **247**, 181–199.

Sato A, Sato Y, Fukao Y, et al. 2009. Threonine at position 306 of the KAT1 potassium channel is essential for channel activity and is a target site for ABA-activated SnRK2/OST1/SnRK2.6 protein kinase. The Biochemical Journal **424**, 439–448.

Scheler C, Durner J, Astier J. 2013. Nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species in plant biotic interactions. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 16, 534–539.

Sedgwick SG, Smerdon SJ. 1999. The ankyrin repeat: a diversity of interactions on a common structural framework. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 24, 311–316.

Serpa V, Vernal J, Lamattina L, Grotewold E, Cassia R, Terenzi H. 2007. Inhibition of AtMYB2 DNA-binding by nitric oxide involves cysteine *S*-nitrosylation. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications **361**, 1048–1053.

Shi Q, Bao Z, Zhu Z, Ying Q, Qian Q. 2006. Effects of different treatments of salicylic acid on heat tolerance, chlorophyll fluorescence, and antioxidant enzyme activity in seedlings of *Cucumis sativa* L. Plant Growth Regulation **48**, 127–135.

Shi Z, Maximova S, Liu Y, Verica J, Guiltinan MJ. 2013. The salicylic acid receptor NPR3 is a negative regulator of the transcriptional defense response during early flower development in Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant 6, 802–816.

Simontacchi M, Jasid S, Puntarulo S. 2004. Nitric oxide generation during early germination of sorghum seeds. Plant Science **167**, 839–847.

Singh HP, Kaur S, Batish DR, Sharma VP, Sharma N, Kohli RK. 2009. Nitric oxide alleviates arsenic toxicity by reducing oxidative damage in the roots of *Oryza sativa* (rice). Nitric Oxide: Biology and Chemistry **20**, 289–297.

Singh S, Tripathi DK, Singh S, Sharma S, Dubey NK, Chauhan DK, Vaculík M. 2017. Toxicity of aluminium on various levels of plant cells and organism: a review. Environmental and Experimental Botany **137**, 177–193.

Skubacz A, Daszkowska-Golec A, Szarejko I. 2016. The role and regulation of ABI5 (ABA-Insensitive 5) in plant development, abiotic stress responses and phytohormone crosstalk. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 1884.

Slaymaker DH, Navarre DA, Clark D, del Pozo O, Martin GB, Klessig DF. 2002. The tobacco salicylic acid-binding protein 3 (SABP3) is the chloroplast carbonic anhydrase, which exhibits antioxidant activity and plays a role in the hypersensitive defense response. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **99**, 11640–11645.

Smallwood HS, Lourette NM, Boschek CB, Bigelow DJ, Smith RD, Pasa-Tolić L, Squier TC. 2007. Identification of a denitrase activity against calmodulin in activated macrophages using high-field liquid chromatog-raphy-FTICR mass spectrometry. Biochemistry **46**, 10498–10505.

Sokolovski S, Blatt MR. 2004. Nitric oxide block of outward-rectifying K⁺ channels indicates direct control by protein nitrosylation in guard cells. Plant Physiology **136**, 4275–4284.

Song F, Goodman RM. 2001. Activity of nitric oxide is dependent on, but is partially required for function of, salicylic acid in the signaling pathway in tobacco systemic acquired resistance. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **14**, 1458–1462.

Song L, Zhao H, Hou M. 2013. Involvement of nitric oxide in acquired thermotolerance of rice seedlings. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology **60**, 785–790.

Souza JM, Daikhin E, Yudkoff M, Raman CS, Ischiropoulos H. 1999. Factors determining the selectivity of protein tyrosine nitration. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics **371**, 169–178.

Spoel SH, Koornneef A, Claessens SM, et al. 2003. NPR1 modulates cross-talk between salicylate- and jasmonate-dependent defense pathways through a novel function in the cytosol. The Plant Cell **15**, 760–770.

Spoel SH, Mou Z, Tada Y, Spivey NW, Genschik P, Dong X. 2009. Proteasome-mediated turnover of the transcription coactivator NPR1 plays dual roles in regulating plant immunity. Cell **137**, 860–872.

Stotz HU, Mueller S, Zoeller M, Mueller MJ, Berger S. 2013. TGA transcription factors and jasmonate-independent COI1 signalling regulate specific plant responses to reactive oxylipins. Journal of Experimental Botany 64, 963–975.

Sun T, Busta L, Zhang Q, Ding P, Jetter R, Zhang Y. 2018. TGACG-BINDING FACTOR 1 (TGA1) and TGA4 regulate salicylic acid and pipecolic acid biosynthesis by modulating the expression of SYSTEMIC ACQUIRED RESISTANCE DEFICIENT 1 (SARD1) and CALMODULIN-BINDING PROTEIN 60g (CBP60g). New Phytologist **217**, 344–354.

Tada Y, Spoel SH, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K, Mou Z, Song J, Wang C, Zuo J, Dong X. 2008. Plant immunity requires conformational changes [corrected] of NPR1 via *S*-nitrosylation and thioredoxins. Science **321**, 952–956.

Tavares CP, Vernal J, Delena RA, Lamattina L, Cassia R, Terenzi H. 2014. S-Nitrosylation influences the structure and DNA binding activity of AtMYB30 transcription factor from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1844**, 810–817.

Terrile MC, París R, Calderón-Villalobos LI, Iglesias MJ, Lamattina L, Estelle M, Casalongué CA. 2012. Nitric oxide influences auxin signaling through *S*-nitrosylation of the Arabidopsis TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE 1 auxin receptor. The Plant Journal **70**, 492–500.

Tezuka K, Taji T, Hayashi T, Sakata Y. 2013. A novel abi5 allele reveals the importance of the conserved Ala in the C3 domain for regulation of downstream genes and salt tolerance during germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Signaling & Behavior **8**, e23455.

Thiel J, Rolletschek H, Friedel S, Lunn JE, Nguyen TH, Feil R, Tschiersch H, Müller M, Borisjuk L. 2011. Seed-specific elevation of non-symbiotic hemoglobin AtHb1: beneficial effects and underlying molecular networks in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biology **11**, 48.

Torres MA, Dangl JL. 2005. Functions of the respiratory burst oxidase in biotic interactions, abiotic stress and development. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **8**, 397–403.

Uchida A, Jagendorfb AT, Hibino T, Takabe T, Takabe T. 2002. Effects of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide on both salt and heat stress tolerance in rice. Plant Science **163**, 515–523.

Umezawa T, Sugiyama N, Mizoguchi M, Hayashi S, Myouga F, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Ishihama Y, Hirayama T, Shinozaki K. 2009. Type 2C protein phosphatases directly regulate abscisic acid-activated protein kinases in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 106, 17588–17593.

Uno Y, Furihata T, Abe H, Yoshida R, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2000. Arabidopsis basic leucine zipper transcription factors involved in an abscisic acid-dependent signal transduction pathway under drought and high-salinity conditions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 97, 11632–11637.

Vahisalu T, Kollist H, Wang YF, et al. 2008. SLAC1 is required for plant guard cell S-type anion channel function in stomatal signalling. Nature **452**, 487–491.

Vanacker H, Lu H, Rate DN, Greenberg JT. 2001. A role for salicylic acid and NPR1 in regulating cell growth in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **28**, 209–216.

Vandelle E, Delledonne M. 2011. Peroxynitrite formation and function in plants. Plant Science 181, 534–539.

Vandelle E, Ling T, Imanifard Z, Liu R, Delledonne M, Bellin D. 2016. Nitric oxide signaling during the hypersensitive disease resistance response. Advances in Botanical Research **77**, 219–243.

van der Linde K, Gutsche N, Leffers HM, Lindermayr C, Müller B, Holtgrefe S, Scheibe R. 2011. Regulation of plant cytosolic aldolase functions by redox-modifications. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **49**, 946–957.

van der Zaal BJ, Droog FN, Pieterse FJ, Hooykaas PJ. 1996. Auxinsensitive elements from promoters of tobacco GST genes and a consensus as-1-like element differ only in relative strength. Plant Physiology **110**, 79–88.

Verslues PE, Bray EA. 2006. Role of abscisic acid (ABA) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* ABA-insensitive loci in low water potential-induced ABA and proline accumulation. Journal of Experimental Botany **57**, 201–212.

Vicente J, Mendiondo GM, Movahedi M, et al. 2017. The Cys-Arg/Nend rule pathway is a general sensor of abiotic stress in flowering plants. Current Biology 27, 3183–3190.e4.

Vicente J, Mendiondo GM, Pauwels J, et al. 2019. Distinct branches of the N-end rule pathway modulate the plant immune response. New Phytologist **221**, 988–1000.

Vlad F, Rubio S, Rodrigues A, Sirichandra C, Belin C, Robert N, Leung J, Rodriguez PL, Laurière C, Merlot S. 2009. Protein phosphatases 2C regulate the activation of the Snf1-related kinase OST1 by abscisic acid in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **21**, 3170–3184.

Wang P, Du Y, Hou YJ, Zhao Y, Hsu CC, Yuan F, Zhu X, Tao WA, Song CP, Zhu JK. 2015a. Nitric oxide negatively regulates abscisic acid signaling in guard cells by S-nitrosylation of OST1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **112**, 613–618.

Wang P, Zhu JK, Lang Z. 2015*b*. Nitric oxide suppresses the inhibitory effect of abscisic acid on seed germination by *S*-nitrosylation of SnRK2 proteins. Plant Signaling & Behavior **10**, e1031939.

Wang YQ, Feechan A, Yun BW, et al. 2009. S-nitrosylation of AtSABP3 antagonizes the expression of plant immunity. Journal of Biological Chemistry **284**, 2131–2137.

Withers J, Dong X. 2016. Posttranslational modifications of NPR1: a single protein playing multiple roles in plant immunity and physiology. PLoS Pathogens 12, e1005707.

Wu Y, Zhang D, Chu JY, Boyle P, Wang Y, Brindle ID, De Luca V, Després C. 2012. The Arabidopsis NPR1 protein is a receptor for the plant defense hormone salicylic acid. Cell Reports 1, 639–647.

Xu M, Hu T, McKim SM, Murmu J, Haughn GW, Hepworth SR. 2010. Arabidopsis BLADE-ON-PETIOLE1 and 2 promote floral meristem fate and determinacy in a previously undefined pathway targeting APETALA1 and AGAMOUS-LIKE24. The Plant Journal **63**, 974–989.

Xuan Y, Zhou S, Wang L, Cheng Y, Zhao L. 2010. Nitric oxide functions as a signal and acts upstream of AtCaM3 in thermotolerance in Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant Physiology **153**, 1895–1906.

Xue Y, Liu Z, Gao X, Jin C, Wen L, Yao X, Ren J. 2010. GPS-SNO: computational prediction of protein S-nitrosylation sites with a modified GPS algorithm. PLoS One 5, e11290.

Yang H, Mu J, Chen L, Feng J, Hu J, Li L, Zhou JM, Zuo J. 2015. S-Nitrosylation positively regulates ascorbate peroxidase activity during plant stress responses. Plant Physiology **167**, 1604–1615.

Yoshida R, Umezawa T, Mizoguchi T, Takahashi S, Takahashi F, Shinozaki K. 2006. The regulatory domain of SRK2E/OST1/SnRK2.6 interacts with ABI1 and integrates abscisic acid (ABA) and osmotic stress signals controlling stomatal closure in Arabidopsis. Journal of Biological Chemistry **281**, 5310–5318.

Yoshida S, Ito M, Callis J, Nishida I, Watanabe A. 2002. A delayed leaf senescence mutant is defective in arginyl-tRNA:protein arginyltransferase, a component of the N-end rule pathway in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **32**, 129–137.

Yoshida T, Fujita Y, Maruyama K, Mogami J, Todaka D, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2015. Four Arabidopsis AREB/ABF transcription factors function predominantly in gene expression downstream of SnRK2 kinases in abscisic acid signalling in response to osmotic stress. Plant, Cell & Environment **38**, 35–49.

Yoshida T, Fujita Y, Sayama H, Kidokoro S, Maruyama K, Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2010. AREB1, AREB2, and ABF3 are master transcription factors that cooperatively regulate ABRE-dependent ABA signaling involved in drought stress tolerance and require ABA for full activation. The Plant Journal **61**, 672–685.

Yun BW, Feechan A, Yin M, et al. 2011. S-Nitrosylation of NADPH oxidase regulates cell death in plant immunity. Nature 478, 264–268.

Zaffagnini M, Morisse S, Bedhomme M, Marchand CH, Festa M, Rouhier N, Lemaire SD, Trost P. 2013. Mechanisms of nitrosylation and denitrosylation of cytoplasmic glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal of Biological Chemistry **288**, 22777–22789.

Zander M, Chen S, Imkampe J, Thurow C, Gatz C. 2012. Repression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* jasmonic acid/ethylene-induced defense pathway by TGA-interacting glutaredoxins depends on their C-terminal ALWL motif. Molecular Plant **5**, 831–840.

Zander M, La Camera S, Lamotte O, Métraux JP, Gatz C. 2010. *Arabidopsis thaliana* class-II TGA transcription factors are essential activators of jasmonic acid/ethylene-induced defense responses. The Plant Journal **61**, 200–210.

Zander M, Thurow C, Gatz C. 2014. TGA transcription factors activate the salicylic acid-suppressible branch of the ethylene-induced defense program by regulating ORA59 expression. Plant Physiology **165**, 1671–1683.

Zhan N, Wang C, Chen L, *et al.* 2018. S-Nitrosylation targets GSNO reductase for selective autophagy during hypoxia responses in plants. Molecular Cell **71**, 142–154.e6.

Zhang H, Gannon L, Hassall KL, Deery MJ, Gibbs DJ, Holdsworth MJ, van der Hoorn RAL, Lilley KS, Theodoulou FL. 2018a. N-terminomics reveals control of Arabidopsis seed storage proteins and proteases by the Arg/N-end rule pathway. New Phytologist **218**, 1106–1126.

Zhang H, Gannon L, Jones PD, Rundle CA, Hassall KL, Gibbs DJ, Holdsworth MJ, Theodoulou FL. 2018b. Genetic interactions between ABA signalling and the Arg/N-end rule pathway during Arabidopsis seedling establishment. Scientific Reports 8, 15192.

Zhang Y, Tessaro MJ, Lassner M, Li X. 2003. Knockout analysis of Arabidopsis transcription factors TGA2, TGA5, and TGA6 reveals their redundant and essential roles in systemic acquired resistance. The Plant Cell **15**, 2647–2653.

Zhang ZW, Luo S, Zhang GC, *et al.* 2017. Nitric oxide induces monosaccharide accumulation through enzyme S-nitrosylation. Plant, Cell & Environment **40**, 1834–1848.

Zhao C, Cai S, Wang Y, Chen ZH. 2016. Loss of nitrate reductases NIA1 and NIA2 impairs stomatal closure by altering genes of core ABA signaling components in Arabidopsis. Plant Signaling & Behavior **11**, e1183088.

Zhao M, Yang S, Liu X, Wu K. 2015. Arabidopsis histone demethylases LDL1 and LDL2 control primary seed dormancy by regulating DELAY OF GERMINATION 1 and ABA signaling-related genes. Frontiers in Plant Science 6, 159.

Zhao MG, Chen L, Zhang LL, Zhang WH. 2009. Nitric reductasedependent nitric oxide production is involved in cold acclimation and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **151**, 755–767.

Zhou M, Wang W, Karapetyan S, Mwimba M, Marqués J, Buchler NE, Dong X. 2015. Redox rhythm reinforces the circadian clock to gate immune response. Nature **523**, 472–476.

Zhou ZS, Guo K, Elbaz AA, Yang ZM. 2009. Salicylic acid alleviates mercury toxicity by preventing oxidative stress in roots of *Medicago sativa*. Environmental and Experimental Botany **65**, 27–34.

Ziogas V, Tanou G, Filippou P, Diamantidis G, Vasilakakis M, Fotopoulos V, Molassiotis A. 2013. Nitrosative responses in citrus plants exposed to six abiotic stress conditions. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 68, 118–126.