## **Copyright Statement**

This copy of the thesis has been supplied on condition that anyone who consults it is understood to recognise that its copyright rests with its author and that no quotation from the thesis and no information derived from it may be published with the author's prior consent.



University of Plymouth

# Ocean acidification and carbon limitation affect photosynthetic capacity of the seagrass (*Amphibolis antarctica*) and its calcifying epiphytes

Ву

Daniel James Grove

A thesis submitted to the University of Plymouth in partial fulfilment for the degree of RESEARCH MASTERS

School of Biological and Marine Sciences

March 2021

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my Director of Studies, Prof Jason Hall-Spencer for his support and guidance throughout my studies and Dr Lina Rasmusson for input and support, particularly in developing laboratory skills in PAM fluorometry. Finally, I would like to thank Dr Kelly Haynes for her support and advice in both undergraduate and postgraduate study.

## Author's declaration

At no time during the registration for the degree of Research Masters in Biological Sciences has the author been registered for any other University award without prior agreement of the Sub-Committee.

Work submitted for this research degree at the Plymouth University has not formed part of any other degree either at Plymouth University or at another establishment.

A programme of advanced study was undertaken, which included the modules:

- Postgraduate Research Skills and Methodology (BIO 5131)
- Contemporary Issues in Marine Science (MAR 516)

Word count of main body of thesis: **16,027** 

Date: 10/3/2021

# Ocean acidification and carbon limitation affects photosynthetic capacity of the seagrass (*Amphibolis antarctica*) and its calcifying epiphytes

#### Abstract

*Amphibolis antarctica* seagrass meadows, and their associated calcifying epiphytes, are abundant on Australia's west coast, but have declined in recent years due to anthropogenic factors such as marine heatwaves, damaging fishing practices and increased turbidity resulting from eutrophication which causes light limitation. Burning fossil fuels has increased the flux of CO<sub>2</sub> in to the ocean, lowering surface seawater pH, and making more carbon available for photosynthetic life. There are benefits of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> for those seagrasses that are carbon limited, as this alleviates their energetic use of carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM'S) which are less efficient, and more energy costly than passive diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> across cell walls. This study used pulse amplitude modulation fluorometry to quantify relative electron transport rates (rETR) at a range of pH levels both above and below current ocean pH of 8.1, and found that *A. antarctica* also had a significant drop in rETR at the lower pH treatments. There was also significantly lowered rETR at higher pH treatments, likely the result of carbon limitation. These results from the lower pH tests may have profound implications for *A. antarctica* meadows under ocean acidification. A decline in these meadows would cause the loss of ecosystem services provided by them, such as carbon storage and sequestration, commercial fisheries and a decline the abundance of biodiversity that they support.

## Table of Contents

List of Tables	8
List of Figures	9
Introduction	
Changing climate in the Anthropocene	
Ocean Acidification	15
Carbon limitation and carbon concentrating mechanisms	21
1. Seagrasses and their global decline	22
2: Amphibolis antarctica and associated calcifying epiphytes	27
2.1 Seagrass – Amphibolis antarctica	27
2.2 Calcifying algae	
3. Design, methods results	
3.1 Methodology	
3.1.1 Sampling site and collection of specimens	
3.1.2 Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) Fluorometry	
3.1.3 Experimental set-up	
3.2 Statistical analyses & hypotheses	
3.2 Statistical analyses & hypotheses 3.3 Results	36 38
<ul><li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li><li>3.3 Results</li><li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> <li>4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> <li>4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity</li> <li>4.1.2 Feedback loops</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> <li>4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity</li> <li>4.1.2 Feedback loops</li> <li>4.1.3 Commercial fisheries and ecotourism</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> <li>4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity</li> <li>4.1.2 Feedback loops</li> <li>4.1.3 Commercial fisheries and ecotourism</li> <li>4.1.4 Sinks as sources</li> <li>4.1.5 Fluid dynamics and coastal erosion</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> <li>4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity</li> <li>4.1.2 Feedback loops</li> <li>4.1.3 Commercial fisheries and ecotourism</li> <li>4.1.4 Sinks as sources</li> <li>4.1.5 Fluid dynamics and coastal erosion</li> <li>4.2 Calcifying epiphytes</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2 Statistical analyses &amp; hypotheses</li> <li>3.3 Results</li> <li>3.3.1 Seagrass results</li> <li>3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results</li> <li>3.3.3 Two-sample T-test</li> <li>4. Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Amphibolis antarctica</li> <li>4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity</li> <li>4.1.2 Feedback loops</li> <li>4.1.3 Commercial fisheries and ecotourism</li> <li>4.1.4 Sinks as sources</li> <li>4.1.5 Fluid dynamics and coastal erosion</li> <li>4.2 Calcifying epiphytes</li> </ul>	

4.2.3 Loss of nutrition to grazing species	57
4.3 Consideration of multiple stressors	57
Conclusions	59
References	61

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1:</b> A summary of the RCP scenarios created by IPCC to project the future of climate change. RCP
numbers (i.e. 2.6 - 8.5) denote the radiative forcing in watts per square metre anticipated as a result of
projected atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations for different emissions scenarios. Values shown below are for
2100 from: EAA, 2016; Genner et al., 2017, Van Woesik et al., 2015)
Table 2: A small selection of the projected outcomes of increased CO2 for marine primary productivity.
<b>Table 3</b> : Results of a two-way ANOVA (GLM) on the effects of pH, Irradiance and pH*Irradiance on electron
transport rates in A. antarctica, showing that both pH and irradiance cause significant changes in electron
transport rates, and that there is an interactive effect between the two
<b>Table 4</b> : Results of a Bonferronni pairwise analysis (95% confidence) of the two-way ANOVA (GLM) results
shown in table 3. Means that do not share a letter are significantly different
<b>Table 5:</b> Results of a two-way ANOVA (GLM) on the effects of pH, Irradiance and pH*Irradiance on electron
transport rates in calcifying epiphytes, showing that both pH and irradiance cause significant changes in
electron transport rates, and that there is an interactive effect between the two
<b>Table 6</b> : Results of a Bonferronni pairwise analysis (95% confidence) of the two-way ANOVA (GLM) results
shown in table 5. Means that do not share a letter are significantly different

# List of Figures

Figure 1: A comparison of carbon burial rates of various naturally occurring carbon sinks, from (Mcleod et
al., 2011)
Figure 2: Global mapping of seagrass distribution (darker regions with higher species diversity) shows the
north and south-west coasts of Australia to be highly biodiverse in terms of seagrass distribution (from
(Short et al., 2007)
Figure 4: CO <sub>2</sub> absorbed in to the oceans forms carbonic acid, lowering the pH of seawater and reducing
the availability of carbonate ions (Slipher, 2019)16
Figure 5: Figure 3 (adapted from Honisch et al., 2012) shows diversity trajectories of calcareous plankton
(black), calcareous benthos (blue) and organic fossils (green), with red lines indicating events associated
with ocean acidification. Whilst calcareous species are not uniformly affected across these events, of note
is the change in species richness (denoted by narrowing of the coloured lines) during the permo-triassic
extinction which occurred approximately 252 mya
Figure 6: Seedlings of A. antarctica, with the comb-like hooking structure (circled) clearly visible (Verduin
et al., 2013)
Figure 7: Location of the sampling site (A&B), Mindarie (location: 31°41'42.4"S, 115°42'06.6"E), off the
south-west coast of Australia (googlemaps, 2021), and the habitat where samples were taken for this
study (C (Rasmusson, 2015) )
Figure 8: Mean relative electron transport rate of seagrass samples for each pH treatment with standard
error
Figure 9: Polynomial linear regression including 95% confidence intervals, showing the relationship
between seagrass electron transport rate (rETR) and pH40
<b>Figure 10</b> : Mean electron transport rate of calcifying epiphytes for each pH treatment with standard error.
Figure 11: Polynomial linear regression including 95% confidence intervals, showing the relationship
between calcifying epiphyte electron transport rate (rETR) and pH43
Figure 12: Calcifying epiphytes exhibited significantly higher rETR than A. antarctica across the range of
pH treatments, though with a greater degree of variation within the data. Error! Bookmark not defined.

## Introduction

The Anthropocene era has become characterised by rapid climate change and biodiversity loss (Bradshaw *et al.*, 2021). Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are causing earth's climate to change at a rate unprecedented in the known geological history of the planet (IPCC, 2013; Hurd *et al.*, 2018), and this, in conjunction with other anthropogenic stressors has led to the commencement of the sixth mass extinction (Ceballos *et al.*, 2017; Ripple *et al.*, 2017).

Earth's ecosystems and the species that inhabit them are in decline in terms of both populations and trophodynamic complexity (Houk *et al.*, 2012). This is perhaps most visible in terrestrial environments, as witnessed in the recent bushfires in Australia, which destroyed more than 17 million hectares of forest and killed an estimated 1bn wild animals (Komesaroff *et al.*, 2020). In comparison, little light has been shone on the rapid trophic downgrading and climatic change taking place within earth's marine systems, despite the fact that marine biodiversity is critical for all life on earth in terms of oxygen production and energy flow. Whilst the pelagic realm is far from immune to such influences, the greatest damage thus far has occurred in coastal zones. Coastal development and damaging fishing practices such as trawling of benthic regions, are destroying the complex three-dimensional nature of coastal habitats such as coral reefs, seagrass meadows and oyster beds; nutrient runoff from agriculture and industry is causing eutrophication, leading to light limitation, toxic algal blooms and hypoxia; over-exploitation has significantly reduced global populations of commercial species such as oysters and cod (Jackson, 2009).

Anthropogenic carbon emissions are changing global climate and are both warming and acidifying the oceans (IPCC, 2013). The advent of research into the environmental consequences of climate change, initially focused largely on the impacts of warming in both terrestrial and aquatic habitats, with some researchers even suggesting that absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> by the oceans may be beneficial by limiting atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Whilst there is an element of truth in this, in that it means some CO<sub>2</sub> is prevented from entering the atmosphere, we now know that the ecological consequences of a continuing rise in ocean CO<sub>2</sub> has innumerable negative outcomes for the life inhabiting earth's oceans (Doney *et al.*, 2020). The discovery that ocean acidification (the result of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> mixing with ocean water) was leading to a decline in calcification in coccolithophores (one of the most abundant phytoplankton in the oceans), led to a paradigm shift in scientific thinking about ocean acidification (Riebesell *et al.*, 2000). Two decades of research later, and threats to a variety of taxa around the globe via ocean acidification, have been

identified, from apex predators such as sharks (Dixson *et al.*, 2015) to microscopic diatoms that form the basis of many marine food webs (Petrou *et al.*, 2019). Part of that paradigm-shift has been a heavy focus on the impact of elevated ocean  $CO_2$  on primary productivity in coastal habitats, such as seagrass meadows.

Seagrasses are rooted, flowering angiosperms which produce both seeds and flowers, and form large complex structural habitats for a wide variety of organisms, including many of commercial value (van Dijk *et al.*, 2018). Seagrass meadows are amongst the most productive and biodiverse habitats in the marine environment, contributing around 15% of global ocean net carbon production every year (Nayar *et al.*, 2009) and make a vital contribution to global carbon sinks (Mcleod *et al.*, 2011; Russell *et al.*, 2013; Macreadie *et al.*, 2019). Seagrass beds lock up carbon for millennia, at rates higher than those of tropical rainforests (Mcleod *et al.*, 2011), but much like tropical rainforests, they are in global decline (Orth *et al.*, 2006). This is due to a number of factors, primarily pollution leading to eutrophication which blocks out light for photosynthesis, though climate change is now also playing a role (van Katwijk *et al.*, 2016). During the 2010-11 marine heat wave off the west coast of Australia, large swathes of seagrass (particularly *A. antarctica*) died due to thermal stress (Strydom *et al.*, 2020). Such events are becoming more common and are likely to increase in frequency in the future (Thomson *et al.*, 2015; Oliver *et al.*, 2018) which is becoming a significant concern for the fate of seagrasses under ocean warming (Rasmusson *et al.*, 2019).

Some studies suggest that seagrasses may benefit from the increased availability of CO<sub>2</sub> under climate change, particularly given that seagrass meadows typically experience large diel fluctuations in carbon availability and pH (often regulated by seagrasses themselves via photosynthesis and respiration (Buapet *et al*, 2013; Hendriks *et al.*, 2014)), they may be somewhat resilient to ocean acidification. Any species inhabiting these meadows would be exposed to pH fluctuations within the meadows too and may be well adapted to tolerate such temporal heterogeneity in the carbonate chemistry of the waters they inhabit. This is far from certain, however, particularly in the face of other environmental stressors such as marine heat waves and pollution which may act synergistically with acidification.

Gaining a better understanding of the response of seagrasses to the continuing rise in carbon emissions may help make the case for increased protection and restoration efforts for seagrass habitats, not just for the sake of biodiversity, but also as part of the "nature based solutions" approach to climate change (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009; Russell *et al.*, 2013; Turner, 2018). In marine environments, the nature based solutions approach focuses principally on primary productivity in coastal ecosystems where seagrass meadows, salt marshes, mangroves and forests of kelp lock up huge amounts of carbon. Protecting these

types of habitat also enhances ecosystem functioning and services such as the provision of nursery grounds for commercially important marine species, or natural flooding and tidal surge defences (Berke *et al.*, 2006; Blandon *et al.*, 2014). Protecting coastal habitats such as these, may prove key in helping to limit the ongoing rise of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> which is contributing to anthropogenic climate change (Macreadie *et al.*, 2019).

To date, few studies have investigated the influence of increased CO<sub>2</sub> on *A. antarctica*, yet this species of seagrass creates vast habitats for endangered marine species and commercial fisheries species (Bellchambers *et al.*, 2012; Kendrick *et al.*, 2019) and helps to create habitats by modifying fluid dynamics and sedimentation. This study seeks to fill some of the existing knowledge gaps on the photophysiological responses of *A. antarctica* and associated calcifying epiphytes to changes in carbon limitation.

#### Changing climate in the Anthropocene

Since the industrial revolution, the burning of fossil fuels has led to a continuing increase in greenhouse gases in earth's atmosphere, most notably, in carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) (IPCC, 2013). This increase has altered earth's climate, leading to higher average temperatures globally (IPCC, 2013; Cook *et al.*, 2016) and this trend is expected to continue (IPCC, 2013). The targets of international agreements, most notably the 2015 Paris accords have largely been missed and despite legislative efforts and increased global awareness, the latest CMIP6 climate models suggest greater future warming than previously projected (Forster *et al.*, 2020). Attempts to address climate change have been hampered by political stagnation and the rise of right wing populism (Lockwood, 2018; Bradshaw *et al.*, 2021). Division exists within the scientific community as to whether scientists should refrain from being overly vocal about climate change in order to preserve their scientific impartiality, though some researchers claim it is time for the community to "eschew reticence" in favour of promoting change (Bradshaw *et al.*, 2021). Whatever the reasons for the inaction on climate change, outcomes globally can be expected to be catastrophic for human life and the planet's ecosystems (Travis, 2003; Bradshaw *et al.*, 2021).

Given the scale of the threat, research into climate change has become one of the largest, and arguably most important branches of scientific research. As a result, despite political controversies surrounding climate change, the overwhelming weight of scientific evidence indicates that anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are altering earth's climate (Cook *et al.*, 2013). The consequences of this change are already being witnessed, with the frequency and severity of tropical cyclones (Paerl *et al.*, 2012), El Niño events (Yeh *et al.*, 2009), flooding (IPCC, 2013), droughts (Paerl *et al.*, 2012) and wild fires (Williams *et al.*, 2019) all increasing, with climatologists projecting that these increases will both continue, and likely accelerate as a result of continued anthropogenic carbon emissions (IPCC, 2013).

In the marine environment, climate change has led to more frequent and severe marine heatwaves (Filbee-Dexter *et al.*, 2020). In fact, between 1925 and 2016, marine heatwaves increased in frequency by 34%, and increased in duration by 17% (Oliver *et al.*, 2018). This increase in severity and frequency is set to continue as atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels rise, with marine heatwaves triggering mass mortalities amongst coral communities and the collapse of kelp forests off the coast of Australia (Head *et al.*, 2019; Filbee-Dexter *et al.*, 2020). Seagrass meadows are not immune to such heatwaves either. Off the coast of Western Australia during the 2010-11 marine heatwave, water temperatures during the austral summer rose as high as 5°C above average with some areas reaching 30°C, killing off large swathes of *Amphibolis antarctica* seagrass meadows (Strydom *et al.*, 2020). This impact of marine heatwaves on primary producers is particularly concerning, since massive losses of primary producers has the potential to trigger ecosystem collapse, and the loss of associated ecosystem services, as well as the release of vast natural carbon stores.

In addition to marine heatwaves, the increased flux of CO<sub>2</sub> in to the oceans is causing changes in ocean chemistry, by lowering the pH of the oceans. Since the industrial revolution, average ocean pH has increased in acidity by 0.1, a relative increase in acidity of approximately 25% (Foster *et al.*, 2016). This change has not been uniform however, and there is significant spatial variation in pH changes in the ocean, and whilst the pelagic realm will likely see a simple shift in mean pH, coastal areas are likely to be more variable, since they routinely experience pH fluctuations, making it difficult to determine future pH changes under ocean acidification for coastal areas. This means that whilst some areas may see profound changes in pH that may negatively impact local ecology, there may also be "refuge" zones where acidification is buffered by marine flora, making acidification less severe (Chan *et al.*, 2017). This may be particularly true of coastal areas of high primary productivity such as seagrass meadows, where significant diel variations in local pH exist as CO<sub>2</sub> is removed from the water during the day when photosynthetic activity is high, and CO<sub>2</sub> levels rise again at night, as seagrasses and the inhabitants of the meadows respire (Cyronak *et al.*, 2018).

Whilst many species are expected to suffer negative consequences due to climate change and ocean acidification, those that are able to adapt (whether genetically or via plasticity or acclimatisation) to the rapid environmental changes that have become characteristic of the Anthropocene, may benefit. An increased availability of CO<sub>2</sub> may benefit some photosynthetic organisms, though this may come at a cost to ecosystems, for example harmful algal blooms (HABs) are predicted to increase in frequency and density under climate change, negatively impacting marine life and disrupting food webs (McHugh *et al.*, 2011; Litz *et al.*, 2014; Riebesell *et al.*, 2018). Some primary producers that are more ecologically advantageous for biodiversity (particularly habitat-forming species), may benefit from increased availability of CO<sub>2</sub>, such as seagrasses, which form expansive habitats of high productivity, biodiversity and carbon sequestration (Orth *et al.*, 2006; Waycott *et al.*, 2009). In fact, seagrass meadows have carbon burial rates which far exceed those of temperate, boreal and tropical forests (figure 1).



**Figure 1:** A comparison of carbon burial rates of various naturally occurring carbon sinks, from Mcleod et al., 2011 (Permission to reproduce this figure has been granted by the copyright holder)

Additionally, seagrasses lock up carbon in their sediments for millennia, far longer than forest habitats do, making them a vital carbon sink in the face of climate change, thus adding weight to their importance as

part of the growing focus on the nature-based solutions approach to tackling climate change (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009; Mcleod *et al.*, 2011; Macreadie *et al.*, 2019). The recent discovery and quantifying of seagrass meadows as highly-effective carbon sinks, means that that nations aiming to comply with the Paris climate accords can include seagrass protection and restoration within their nationally determined contributions (NDCs) (McKenzie *et al.*, 2020). This means that the Paris Agreement may offer an effective regulatory framework for protection and restoration of seagrass meadows, which have been in global decline for decades. Such international agreements are, however, only as effective as the efforts made by each nation to achieve them, and given that the world has missed every single one of the Aichi biodiversity targets set out under the Convention on Biological Diversity (Vaughan, 2020), success in halting and reversing the global decline of seagrass, is far from certain.

#### Ocean Acidification

Approximately half of all carbon emissions from anthropogenic sources during the last 200 years, have been absorbed by the oceans (Turley *et al.*, 2007). When CO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed by seawater, it bonds with water molecules to form carbonic acid which disassociates in to HCO<sub>3</sub>- and H+, thus lowering the pH of the water (see figure 2). The result of this acidification process and increasing anthropogenic carbon emissions, has caused a reduction in ocean pH of 0.1, equivalent to ~25% increase in acidity (Foster *et al.*, 2016). The means that carbonate ions are less available for biological processes such as calcification in crustose algae species like maerl (Riosmena-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2017), and for skeletogenesis in both vertebrate and invertebrate species (Byrne, 2011; Pimentel *et al.*, 2014). At depth, ongoing acidification may result in seawater becoming corrosive to carbonates, potentially destroying deep-water coral reefs via dissolution of carbonate structures (Hall-Spencer *et al.*, 2019).



**Figure 2:** *CO*<sub>2</sub> absorbed by the oceans forms carbonic acid, lowering the pH of seawater and reducing the availability of carbonate ions (PML, 2021) (Permission to reproduce this figure has been granted by the copyright holder)

Ocean acidification was only recently recognised as a significant threat to marine life, following the discovery in 2000 that coccolithophores, one of the most abundant phytoplankton in the oceans, exhibited significant damage to their calcified shells under elevated levels of CO<sub>2</sub> (Riebesell *et al.*, 2000). The implications of this go beyond the role of coccolithophores as a food source at the bottom of marine food chains. Coccolithophores are an abundant calcifying phytoplankton, a group of primary producers who are one of the main sources of biogenic ocean sediments, as well as being a major component of "marine snow", pivotal in the vertical flux of carbon and biogenic material to the deep ocean (Jackson, 2009). As such, reduced calcification of coccolithophores may have a profound impact not only on zooplankton within the photic zone which supports huge swathes of marine life, but could also lead to stoichiometric mismatch in deep sea benthic habitats, disrupting the ecology of the deep ocean (Moreno *et al.*, 2017).

The change in ocean pH brought about by CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is not occurring uniformly, and there is significant temporal and spatial variation in the change of pH (Hurd *et al.*, 2018). In the open ocean, pH fluctuates very little, and there is little difference in pH across temporal and spatial scales in pelagic waters (Cornwall *et al.*, 2013). This is not true in coastal areas, however, where diel and seasonal changes in pH and carbon flux occur, sometimes by as much as one pH unit per day (Cornwall *et al.*, 2013). In seagrass meadows, pH levels are in a near-constant state of flux due to numerus environmental variables that influence photosynthetic rates, such as variations in light intensity due to weather conditions, variations in currents,

and variations in nutrient flow. All of these variables influence the rate of photosynthesis in seagrasses, and thus, the rate at which  $CO_2$  is taken up by them. During the night when photosynthesis ceases, respiration releases  $CO_2$  back in to the water, causing pH levels to drop, until photosynthesis begins again in the morning (Cyronak *et al.*, 2018). Seagrasses typically hold a heavy epiphytic load, which similarly contribute to these pH fluctuations (Larkum *et al*, 2005).

The influence of ocean acidification on the ecology of the planet's oceans represents a significant environmental threat, particularly given that the likelihood of meeting the emissions targets laid out in the Paris agreement is rapidly diminishing (FEU-US, 2019), making the higher-end climate change scenarios laid out by IPCC, increasingly likely (see table 1). The pH values reported by IPCC for various future climate change scenarios are global averages, and whilst they are likely accurate for pelagic regions, coastal habitats are likely to acidify more than pelagic waters (Duarte *et al.*, 2013).

Table 1: A summary of the RCP scenarios created by IPCC to project the future of climate change. RCP numbers (i.e. 2.6 - 8.5)
denote the radiative forcing in watts per square metre anticipated as a result of projected atmospheric CO2 concentrations for
different emissions scenarios. Values shown below are for 2100 from: EAA, 2016; Genner et al., 2017, Van Woesik et al., 2015)

RCP	Summary	Projected CO <sub>2</sub>	Projected global	Projected ocean	Projected ocean
		(ppm)	mean surface	surface	рН
			temperature	temperature	
			increase (°C)	increase (°C)	
8.5	GHG emissions increase	1370	4.9	3.7	7.76
	continually				
6.0	GHG emissions increase but	850	3.0	2.2	7.89
	stabilise shortly after 2100				
4.5	GHG emissions increase but	650	2.4	1.8	7.96
	stabilise by 2100				
2.6	GHG emissions peak in 2050	490	1.5	1.2	8.05
	and then gradually decline				

The oceans play a major role in supporting life on our planet. Roughly half of global primary productivity and more than half of global oxygen production, comes from the oceans (Boyd, 2014; Witman, 2017). The oceans also play a critical role in regulating climate and weather patterns through exchange and transport

of heat and gases (Bigg *et al.*, 2003; Yeh *et al.*, 2009; Hurrell *et al.*, 2010; Hu *et al.*, 2015), thus, the impact of climate change on the oceans is of paramount concern for all life on earth.

Historically, major extinction events have been characterised by large shifts in ocean chemistry, particularly ocean acidification (Honisch *et al.*, 2012) (Figure 3). Approximately 252 million years ago, a major extinction event known as the permo-triassic extinction, eradicated more than 90% of life on earth (Sahney *et al.*, 2008). The cause of this extinction is believed to be changes in global climate as a result of the release of CO<sub>2</sub> from volcanoes. This event occurred in two phases, and a 2015 study that analysed boron isotope data as a proxy for ocean pH, concluded that the second phase of the extinction can most likely be attributed to the acidification of oceans as a result of increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Clarkson *et al.*, 2015). The mass extinction following the Chicxulub impact ~66mya has also been linked to ocean acidification, with research indicating that a drop in ocean pH of 0.25 (smaller than that projected in RCP 8.5) triggered the global collapse of marine ecosystems.



**Figure 3:** Figure 3 (adapted from Honisch et al., 2012) shows diversity trajectories of calcareous plankton (black), calcareous benthos (blue) and organic fossils (green), with red lines indicating events associated with ocean acidification. Whilst calcareous species are not uniformly affected across these events, of note is the change in species richness (denoted by narrowing of the coloured lines) during the permo-triassic extinction which occurred approximately 252 mya. (Permission to reproduce this figure has been granted by the copyright holder)

Contemporary research has already identified myriad threats to life in the oceans that is posed by the process of acidification through increased levels of carbon dioxide. From increased harmful algal blooms (HAB's) (Riebesell *et al.*, 2018), inhibition of skeletogenesis in marine arthropods (Dupont *et al.*, 2008), to disruption in neurotransmitters in marine apex predators (Doney *et al.*, 2020), a broad taxonomic spectrum appears to be threatened by ocean acidification, though within vegetated coastal habitats and different forms of marine primary production, the outcomes of ocean acidification are expected to vary greatly (see table 2).

Species affected	Summary	Supporting literature
Giant Kelp (Macrocystis	M. pyrifera is expected experience an increase in	(Minich <i>et al.,</i> 2018)
pyrifera)	growth rates with increased $\mathrm{CO}_2$ , though the associated	
	microbiome may be disrupted.	
Diatoms	Antarctic diatoms will experience reduced	(Petrou <i>et al.,</i> 2019)
	silificification under projected future ocean	
	acidification, disrupting the silicon cycle.	
Cyanobacteria	Greater availability of $\ensuremath{CO}_2$ will rsult in increased toxic	(Riebesell <i>et al.</i> , 2018) <sub>,</sub> (Errera <i>et</i>
	cyanobacteria, disrupting pelagic foodwebs.	al., 2014)
Maerl	Ocean acidification reduces availability of carbonate	(Brodie <i>et al.,</i> 2014)
	ions, which will result in the loss of maerl beds across	
	the northeast Atlantic.	
Seagrass (Posidonia	P. oceanica net productivity is expected to increase at	(Cox et al., 2015) (Hall-Spencer
oceanica)	lower pH levels.	et al., 2008)
Seagrass (Zostera marina)	Z. marina net productivity is expected to increase at	(Zimmerman <i>et al.,</i> 2017)
	lower pH levels.	
Calcifying epiphytes	Calcifying epiphytes are expected to see reduced	(Martin <i>et al.,</i> 2008)
	productivity as pH levels lower, and will eventually	
	completely dissolve.	

**Table 2**: Examples of the projected outcomes of increased  $CO_2$  for marine primary productivity.

Clearly, ocean acidification impacts a broad spectrum of species, and this is borne out in evidence linking ocean acidification to widespread extinctions resulting from historic shifts in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Clarkson *et al.*, 2015; Foster *et al.*, 2016; Henehan *et al.*, 2019; Smith, 2019).

Amongst invertebrate calcifiers, increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in seawater has been shown to hinder calcification – the process by which marine organisms such as coccolithophores and crustaceans secrete calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) to form skeletons and other calcified structures, thus reducing survival rates (Dupont *et al.*, 2008; Long *et al.*, 2013; Foster *et al.*, 2016). It may be that calcification is driven by bicarbonate rather than carbonate (at least in some species), and thus declining carbonate which is anticipated due to acidification may not be the primary pressure exerted on many invertebrates, but rather the increased concentration of H+. This would alter the proton gradient between seawater and the internal reservoirs of cells, making maintenance of pH homeostasis more difficult, thus disrupting membrane transport and lowering the saturation states of both calcite and aragonite (Roleda *et al.*, 2012; Cyronak *et al.*, 2016).

In terms of primary production, the influence of ocean acidification is likely to vary widely, as demonstrated in table 2. Those species that are heavily calcified such as maerl, are likely to be significantly negatively impacted (Brodie *et al.*, 2014). There may, however, be some benefit of increased  $CO_2$  for potentially carbon-limited species such as seagrasses (Hall-Spencer *et al.*, 2008; Brodie *et al.*, 2014), as well as many species of non-calcifying macroalgae (Cornwall *et al.*, 2017), though only if the balance between this and incurred physiological costs, are balanced in their favour. For example, it has been shown that ocean acidification may lead to the loss of phenolic substances in seagrass species, which seagrasses use as a chemical defence against grazers (Arnold *et al.*, 2012). This highlights the fact that ocean acidification will likely have combined positive and negative influences over photosynthetic organisms, meaning that their ability to thrive by utilising the additional  $CO_2$  available to them, will depend at least in part, on the balance between the two.

The future of ocean acidification remains uncertain, though projections have been made by IPCC for a number of scenarios (table 1), which largely depend on the capacity of humankind to make large cuts in global carbon emissions (IPCC, 2013). The loss of important global carbon sinks such as seagrass meadows (Russell *et al.*, 2013), the threat of climate tipping points (Lenton *et al.*, 2019) and the limitations and failures of carbon capture and storage (CCS) and other negative emissions technologies (Anderson *et al.*, 2016), are making the likelihood of effectively decreasing carbon emissions, more remote. As such, the higher-end projections for future climate change scenarios appear likely, making protection of habitats of high carbon-sequestration and storage (such as seagrass meadows), of paramount concern. Understanding the physiological responses of species such as seagrass to changes in carbon limitation anticipated due to climate change, is therefore, a vital area of research.

### Carbon limitation and carbon concentrating mechanisms

Coastal ecosystems that are dense in submerged vegetation such as seagrass meadows, experience large fluctuations in pH as a result of changes in inorganic carbon ( $C_i$ ) concentrations within the waters of the meadows caused by photosynthesis and respiration. All organisms inhabiting seagrass meadows (including the seagrass itself) release  $CO_2$  via respiration 24/7, but during the day photosynthesis of seagrasses and epiphytes results in a net decrease in  $CO_2$  which causes pH to increase. At night when photosynthesis ceases, pH begins to drop once again (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). Rates of photosynthesis can be so high, that ambient  $CO_2$  becomes exhausted, potentially causing photosynthesis to cease altogether, but many aquatic plants have evolved physiology to overcome carbon limitation.

For terrestrial plants, the only form of  $C_i$  is atmospheric  $CO_2$  (Beer *et al.*, 2015), but in seawater,  $C_i$  exists in four forms: carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), bicarbonate ( $HCO_3$ ), carbonic acid ( $H_2CO_3$ ) and carbonate ( $CO_3$ ).  $HCO_3$ is approximately 100 times more abundant in seawater than  $CO_2$  (Beer *et al.*, 2015), which diffuses far slower into aquatic plants than terrestrial plants (Nayar *et al.*, 2009). Despite the abundance of these other forms of  $C_i$ , only  $CO_2$  can be reduced via the Calvin cycle, and so aquatic plants have evolved mechanisms to capitalise on this abundance of inorganic carbon through carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM's), which break down  $HCO_3$  into  $CO_2$  (Beer *et al.*, 2015). This is an energy-costly process (Burnell *et al.*, 2014), but it ensures a continued supply of  $CO_2$  to the site of Ribulose -1,5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase (Rubisco) carboxylation, allowing photosynthesis to continue when ambient  $CO_2$  is low or absent (Larkum *et al.*, 2017).

CCM's are prevalent and highly efficient amongst algae, but less so amongst seagrasses (Arnold *et al.*, 2012), likely the result of algae having evolved several hundred million years before seagrasses, giving them an evolutionary "head-start" (Beer *et al.*, 2015). Seagrasses evolved from land plants, which have no need of powerful CCM's since they only utilise one form of inorganic carbon (i.e. CO<sub>2</sub>) and diffusional gas exchange via stoma is fast and efficient. Algae evolved in aquatic environments, and thus evolved CCM's much earlier than seagrasses, which likely explains why they typically have highly effective CCM's.

Unlike  $CO_2$ ,  $HCO_3$  cannot diffuse across cell membranes in plants, and therefore must first be broken down to  $CO_2$  to allow for uptake of  $C_i$  for photosynthesis. There are three ways in which this can be accomplished by aquatic plants, depending on the type of CCM that they possess (see figure 4). Firstly, the enzyme carbonic anhydrase can be secreted to the diffusion boundary layer (DBL), and then used to catalyse the breakdown of  $HCO_3$  in to  $CO_2$ . Secondly, H+ can be released by the plant leaves to the DBL resulting in very localised pH reductions which allows  $HCO_3$  to be broken down in to  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ . Finally, some plants may have the capacity to utilise active pumps that move  $HCO_3$  across the cell membrane.



**Figure 4**: Carbon concentrating mechanisms for seagrasses have been theorised to function one of three ways: (a) catalysing conversion of  $HCO_3$  to  $CO_2$  by use of the enzyme carbonic anhydrase; (b) H+ being released via cellular membranes to the DBL, creating acid zones that break down  $HCO_3$  which then releases  $CO_2$ ; (c) a combination of both acidic zones in the DBL and the use of carbonic anhydrase.  $CO_2$  that is released as a result of CCM's then diffuses across cell membranes to rubisco sites for photosynthesis (Beer et al., 2006). (Permission to reproduce this figure has been granted by the copyright holder)

Thanks to the presence of CCM's, seagrass meadows and their epiphytic communities are able to continue to photosynthesise, even if ambient CO<sub>2</sub> is exhausted. In fact this process may be highly beneficial for calcifying algae species that grow as epiphytes on seagrass, which have been shown to calcify and photosynthesise at higher rates in the presence of seagrasses (Semesi *et al.*, 2009). The process of calcification in calcifying algae releases CO<sub>2</sub> which is then utilised by seagrasses for photosynthesis. This means that even when pH is high and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> is low, seagrasses have a source of CO<sub>2</sub> from increased calcification rates in calcifying epiphytes.

Undoubtedly, there are other complex ecological interactions between seagrasses and the epiphytic communities that they support, which form part of the dynamic and biodiverse ecosystems of which they are the basis, but these ecosystems are currently in global decline.

## 1. Seagrasses and their global decline

Seagrasses are found in every continent around the globe with the exception of Antarctica, and form expansive meadows that support high biodiversity in shallow coastal waters (see figure 5). These meadows typically occur down to around 20m in depth as they require sufficient light for photosynthesis,

though some species tolerate depths of up to 70m (Avery *et al.*, 2014). Seagrasses are well adapted to varying light conditions that exist in marine environments, and are capable of maintaining photosynthetic activity across a broad range of light conditions. They are aided in this by various mechanisms which allow them to optimise interception of light via canopy architecture, chloroplast distribution, and thylakoid membrane organisation (Ralph *et al.*, 2005). Seagrasses also require soft sediments for anchoring in, with enough wave energy to prevent them from becoming buried, and either no, or very brief periods of exposure to prevent damage (Avery *et al.*, 2014).



**Figure 5**: Global mapping of seagrass distribution (darker regions with higher species diversity) shows the north and south-west coasts of Australia to be highly biodiverse in terms of seagrass distribution (from Short et al., 2007) (Permission to reproduce this figure has been granted by the copyright holder)

Seagrass habitats are amongst the most productive habitats in marine ecosystems with high rates of photosynthesis and primary productivity, with a significant contribution (in some meadows, >50%) made by the epiphytic communities that grow on seagrasses (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). Seagrass meadows support a wide diversity of life in their varied roles as nursery grounds for fish, substrate for some anemone species, grazing habitats for turtles, dugongs and manatees, and hunting grounds for many species of shark (Aragones *et al.*, 2006; Heithaus *et al.*, 2013; Brooker *et al.*, 2019). In addition, seagrass beds help to dissipate wave force, reduce turbidity and sequester and store vast amounts of carbon (Orth *et al.*, 2006), as well as cycling nutrients and stabilising sediments (Avery *et al.*, 2014). As such, seagrasses have

a profound influence over the community structure and composition of coastal ecosystems in which they occur (Avery *et al.*, 2014).

The evolutionary "roots" of terrestrial plants lay with marine algae, from which they evolved ~850 million years ago (Knauth *et al.*, 2009). Seagrasses evolved from terrestrial plants that migrated back in to the oceans around 70 million years ago, and vary widely in their biology (Les *et al.*, 1997). For example, seagrasses from the *Amphibolis* genera reproduce by growing seedlings on adult plants which are released, and hook on to rhizomes and substrates upon which they anchor, whereas *Ruppia* genera release flowers on a spiraled stalk, which are pollinated above the water's surface (Avery *et al.*, 2014).

Globally, seagrass meadows are in decline, with annual losses of approximately 110 km<sup>2</sup> per year since 1980 (Waycott *et al.*, 2009), and the UK may have lost more than 90% of historic seagrass meadows due to anthropogenic disturbance (Green *et al.*, 2021). This decline is the result of a combination of anthropogenic factors such pollution from land runoff, coastal development, fishing practices that disturb and damage benthic habitats, and the wasting disease *Labyrinthula sp.* (Jackson, 2001; Orth *et al.*, 2006; Waycott *et al.*, 2009). Whilst wasting disease is not directly caused by anthropogenic activity, it has been shown that the disease usually infects parts of seagrass blades that are typically fed on by large grazers such as turtles, dugongs and manatees, all of which have significantly declined across the globe due to historic exploitation and habitat loss. As such, it is likely the global impact of wasting disease was exacerbated by the loss of these species (Jackson, 2001).

Climate change is beginning to play a significant role in seagrass decline globally, particularly when marine heatwaves result in water temperatures beyond thermal tolerance thresholds of seagrasses. This was observed during the 2010-11 marine heatwave off the west coast of Australia (Kendrick *et al.*, 2019; Strydom *et al.*, 2020). Prior to the 2010-11 heatwave, seagrass cover had, in fact, been increasing in some areas of the western coast of Australia. In Shark Bay, approximately 800km north of Perth, seagrass cover had increased by ~313km<sup>2</sup> in the 8 years prior to the heatwave, but reduced by approximately 1,069km<sup>2</sup> as a result of die-off from thermal stress experienced during the heatwave (Strydom *et al.*, 2020). The reduction in seagrass area following the heatwave also resulted in a change of seagrass density, with total seagrass cover consisting of 70% dense meadows and 29% sparse prior to the heatwave, and 53% dense, 46% sparse following the heatwave (Strydom *et al.*, 2020). It's noteworthy that this occurred in a world heritage area that is relatively remote and well protected from many of the anthropogenic stressors that other seagrass meadows are frequently exposed to.

Orth et al., (2006) discussed the lack of public awareness and focus within marine biological research on seagrass beds, which seemed at odds with their global importance. Since this time, recognition of the significance of seagrass beds as hotspots of biodiversity and carbon sequestration has led to a surge in research and restoration efforts focused on halting and reversing the decline of seagrass meadows (Tanner, 2014; van Katwijk et al., 2016), though there still appears to be a great disparity within news media on the focus of terrestrial habitats over marine habitats for climate change mitigation. Recognition of this decline and the value of seagrass habitats for both biodiversity and carbon storage, have led to better protection and restoration efforts, but this has been both selective and limited. For example, restoration efforts are well-documented in the scientific literature in wealthy western nations such as Sweden, Australia and the UK (Tanner, 2014; Unsworth et al., 2019), though projects in poorer countries to restore meadows are in place, but are likely under-represented in the literature. In many parts of the world, however, particularly where seagrass meadows are poorly mapped and environmental protection is limited, the rate of change in seagrass habitat area size remains unknown (Waycott et al., 2009). It is worth noting however, that even in western countries, protection of marine habitats is often inadequate. In the UK, it was hoped that the creation of marine protected areas (MPA's) would create safe zones where marine habitats are protected from harmful fishing practices, but 97% of the UK's MPA's are still being dredged and bottom-trawled (McVeigh, 2020), leading some environmental commentators to refer to MPA's as "paper parks", that offer no significant protection (Monbiot, 2014). Similarly, in the Gulf of California, the creation of >23,000km<sup>2</sup> of MPA's has largely failed to meet sustainability or conservation goals (Rife et al., 2013). Marine environments have long suffered from the old adage, "out of sight, out of mind" (Braid, 2005), and whilst the goals of MPA's may be admirable, lack of enforcement of regulations, poor management and budget shortfalls have the potential to create a false sense of security via a system of protections that falls significantly short of achieving what is needed (Rife *et al.*, 2013).

Global seagrass coverage began to decline long before the creation of MPA's, though it is difficult to determine by exactly how much. Seagrass meadows have declined since exploitation of the oceans began, yet mapping of seagrass meadows is, even now, limited. It is likely however, that as much as 51,000 km<sup>2</sup> of seagrass habitat has been lost in the last 127 years (Waycott *et al.*, 2009), an area more than twice the size of the nation of Wales. Seagrass meadows may have already declined significantly prior to this time, since their health is intrinsically linked to species that have been harvested en-masse for centuries. Grazing of seagrass beds by species that are now endangered such as green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) (Seminoff, 2004) helps maintain the health of seagrass by altering the flow of nitrogen and detritus within seagrass meadows (Jackson, 2001). This alters the microbiology of seagrass beds, preventing over-

abundance of epibiontic species such as cyanobacteria, which can smother seagrass beds in eutrophic environments (Paerl *et al.*, 2013). Accurately measuring what impact widespread ecological change may have had on seagrass meadows in the past is challenging, particularly given that seagrass does not fossilise well (Jackson, 2001), but it may be that the current global decline, may significantly predate the 51,000 km<sup>2</sup> lost in the last 127 years, particularly given that grazing species instrumental to the health of seagrass meadows, have been exploited for at least 800 years (Van Houtan *et al.*, 2014).

As such, restoring and protecting seagrass habitats is a significant global challenge, and whilst restoration efforts for seagrass have shown that success is possible, it is also slow, costly (as much as \$3m per hectare in some instances (Tanner, 2014) ), and comes with a set of challenges unique to working in marine environments such as costly equipment, and violent seas. Almost half of seagrass restoration efforts fail completely with 0% recruitment of new seagrass by the end of the study period. Many of the reported successes do not monitor survival of seedlings long enough to be conclusive, and many of these successful experiments are conducted on very small scales, <10m<sup>2</sup> (Cunha *et al.*, 2012). Viewed in isolation, failure at this scale is discouraging, but in the broader context of the scientific process, these failures have helped to create a large pool of knowledge on what influences success and failure of seagrass restoration attempts, and restoration efforts are now more successful and cost-effective, as has been seen in several studies in recent years.

Off the coast of Adelaide in South Australia, seagrass beds of *Amphibolis antarctica* have declined by approximately 5,000 ha due to a decline in water quality (Tanner, 2014). Attempts to restore this bed by transplantation failed, but recent success in using hessian sacks for seedlings to attach to, has offered one possible method for restoring seagrass beds of varied species in other parts of the world. This has the benefit of being low-cost and highly effective in recruitment and long term survival of *A. antarctica* seedlings, whose comb-like root structure evolved specifically to help them anchor to substrates after detaching from the parent plant. In Chesapeake bay, an 11-year restoration effort for eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) meadows that had been absent for 70 years, exceeded expectations, after attempts to create plots of 125ha, resulted in the restoration of seagrass plots totaling 1,700ha (Orth *et al.*, 2012). Whilst this is encouraging, restored habitats seldom if ever, have the same ecological value in terms of productivity biodiversity and nutrient cycling as well-established, natural habitats that have been created under centuries or millennia of natural ecological interactions and processes (Hilderbrand *et al.*, 2005). Natural systems are inherently complex, and there are risks in presuming that ecological restoration can create habitats that are as complex and well-functioning, or that any researchers, no matter how knowledgeable,

can accurately decide on what was natural, and should therefore be the goal of a restoration project. Additionally, any loss of habitat and subsequent recovery, will naturally carry with it a recovery debt due to the loss of ecological functioning and interactions between the destruction of that habitat, and the complete recovery (López-López *et al.*, 2017). Ecological restoration is effectively an attempt to create something on short timescales that would naturally take centuries or millennia, and as such, there is an inevitable loss of natural complexity in restored ecosystems. For example, some species of coral can live for more than 4,000 years (Girard *et al.*, 2018), and the loss of one individual has incalculable repercussions in terms of the genetic contribution of that individual to the species gene pool. This means that protection of existing habitats is always preferential over allowing habitats to be lost and subsequently restoring them, or offsetting the destruction of a habitat by creating a new one nearby.

Whilst restoration methodology for seagrass is improving, seagrass is still in global decline, and without concerted efforts to halt this decline, restoration efforts are ultimately doomed to the same fate as Sisyphus (Hilderbrand *et al.*, 2005). Thus, protecting seagrass habitats is of key concern, and understanding the plight of these habitats in light of global change, particularly ocean warming and acidification, is of critical importance.

## 2: Amphibolis antarctica and associated calcifying epiphytes

#### 2.1 Seagrass – Amphibolis antarctica

The coastlines of Australia are characterised by highly productive coastal ecosystems which support high levels of biodiversity (Wernberg *et al.*, 2011; Evans *et al.*, 2017). They are also one of the most abundant and biodiverse regions in terms of seagrass distribution, particularly along the northern and southwestern coasts.

Almost half of all seagrasses are found in southern temperate oceans, with the seagrass diversity on the south-western coast of Australia, being amongst the highest in the world. 36 out of the world's 72 species of seagrass occur there, encompassing all but one genera of seagrass (Avery *et al.*, 2014). The seagrass beds of south-west Australia are environmentally and commercially important habitats that support both ecotourism and commercial fisheries (Blandon *et al.*, 2014), and provide breeding and feeding grounds

for huge assemblages of marine fauna (Evans *et al.*, 2017). Seagrasses typically exhibit high rates of photosynthesis, and can alter the carbonate chemistry of the waters in which they grow, reducing CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and increasing pH via photosynthesis during the day (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). This can be highly beneficial for calcifying organisms, and is likely one reason why calcifying algae are typically abundant as epiphytes in seagrass meadows, particularly in *Amphibolis* meadows (Larkum *et al.*, 2005), and the capacity of seagrass meadows to increase local pH has been shown to mitigate the influence of ocean acidification for calcifying algae species (Bergstrom *et al.*, 2019).

*Amphibolis antarctica* is endemic to southern and western temperate coasts of Australia, forming both mono-specific and mixed-species meadows (though predominantly mono-specific (James *et al.*, 2009)), often in environments of high tidal energy with sandy substrates (Avery *et al.*, 2014), where the wiry tough stems and leaf clusters help to reduce water motion and stabilise the sediments in which they grow (van Dijk *et al.*, 2018). Meadows of *Amphibolis* genera seagrass, may support in excess of 100 species of invertebrates, and more than 70 species of fish, making them particularly rich in biodiversity (Avery *et al.*, 2014). *Amphibolis* species (of which there are only two extant species) are exclusively temperate-water species. They are dioecious, and reproduce by vivipary, with seedlings growing on adult plants, eventually detaching and using comb-like hooking structures at the basal end (see figure 6), to snag rhizomes or substrate upon which they settle (Avery *et al.*, 2014; Tanner, 2014). Given that *A. antarctica* meadows typically occur in high tidal-energy zones, this comb-like structure is likely to be critical in settlement and survival of seedlings, and may have evolved in response to this pressure (Verduin *et al.*, 2013).



**Figure 6**: Seedlings of A. antarctica, with the comb-like hooking structure (circled) clearly visible (Verduin et al., 2013) (Permission to reproduce this figure has been granted by the copyright holder)

Amongst seagrass species, this form of reproduction is unique to *Amphibolis* and *Thalassodendron* genera species, and this characteristic has aided some restoration efforts, through the use of sand-filled hessian sacks as substrate upon which seedlings hook and settle, and has shown to be effective and cost-efficient. This is a significant finding, given the cost and ineffectiveness of many seagrass restoration efforts (Cunha *et al.*, 2012; Tanner, 2014).

*Amphibolis antarctica*, like most species of seagrass, has declined significantly over the past 127 years (Waycott *et al.*, 2009), with more than 5,000ha of seagrass (predominantly *Amphibolis* species) having been lost off the coast of Adelaide since the 1930's, largely due to a decline in water quality caused by increased nutrient loading and sediment flow which led to increased turbidity and limited light availability for photosynthesis (Tanner, 2014).

Whilst declining water quality and subsequent turbidity is a significant threat to seagrasses globally, this is by no means the only threat that seagrasses face. Coastal waters are becoming warmer and more acidic as a result of climate change, and a great deal of uncertainty exists as to how seagrasses will respond to this continued change. Research in to the responses of species such as *A. antarctica* which form vital carbon sinks and hotspots of biodiversity is critical, in order to understand what influence climate change

is likely to exert on seagrass meadows. It is also important to consider that within seagrass meadows, seagrass itself is only one facet of a complex and dynamic system which includes significant contributions to primary production (sometimes 80% of total) from a diverse community of phytoplankton and epiphytes (Borowitzka *et al.*, 2005).

### 2.2 Calcifying algae

Calcifying algae are species of macroalgae that deposit calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) as a means of protecting themselves against intense tidal energy and many grazing species. Due to their hard calcified structure, they are prevalent in the fossil record, which indicates that they date back to Precambrian times (>600mya) (Denny, 2008). They are widespread across subtidal and intertidal areas (generally the lower intertidal area, since they are susceptible to desiccation (Denny, 2008) ), from arctic coasts, to tropical reefs, where they act as ecosystem engineers, creating large areas of substrate for a vast diversity of hard and soft corals as well as anemones, hydroids and other reef-dwelling species (Denny, 2008). Calcifying algae live as long as 1,000 years, and grow on a wide diversity of substrata, both natural and anthropogenic, making the most of the abundance of different surfaces available for settlement and colonisation in marine ecosystems (Larkum *et al.*, 2005; Beer *et al.*, 2015).

In marine habitats, any available surfaces are colonised rapidly by a wide diversity of organisms from sponges and hydroids (Larkum *et al.*, 2005), to biofilms that are ubiquitous in marine environments (Haynes *et al.*, 2007; Sawall *et al.*, 2012). In the case of biofilms, it takes as little as an hour for settlement to begin when a new surface is available. This has been demonstrated in the case of plastic pollution, leading researchers to coin the term "the plastisphere" for life that has been shown to thrive upon the massive quantities of plastic waste in the ocean (Amaral-Zettler *et al.*, 2020).

Seagrasses provide an excellent substrate available for colonisation by a multitude of organisms including non-geniculate calcifying algae species that grow as epiphytes. Although seagrass blades die off over time, seagrasses are constantly growing and renewing, providing an ever-refreshing surface for colonisation (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). Photosynthetic organisms growing on plants are broadly termed "epiphytes". In seagrass meadows, these epiphytes are a crucial and dynamic component of the ecosystem, and play a number of critical ecological roles such as primary production, stoichiometric flow, the storing of nutrients and in the case of bacteria within the rhizosphere, nitrogen fixation (Borowitzka *et al.*, 2005). In kelp

forests, microbiomes have been shown to provide micronutrients such as B12, and to release antibiotic compounds that inhibit the settlement of detrimental bacteria (Minich *et al.*, 2018). It is likely that these benefits are not unique to the microbiomes of kelp, and similar benefits may exist for the microbiome of seagrasses (Wilkins *et al.*, 2019). Calcifying epiphytes may also assist seagrasses by releasing CO<sub>2</sub> during the process of calcification, meaning that they provide localised releases of CO<sub>2</sub> that may benefit seagrasses, particularly when ambient CO<sub>2</sub> has been exhausted, which can be the case in dense seagrass meadows when environmental conditions are optimal for high rates of photosynthesis (Beran, 1995).

Within healthy seagrass systems, epiphytes have no detrimental effect and may in fact confer many benefits, but the opposite can be true in unhealthy systems, such as polluted meadows that have become eutrophic, causing epiphytes (particularly cyanobacteria) to bloom, overgrowing seagrass and inhibiting photosynthesis (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). This is of particular concern within climate change research, since increased CO<sub>2</sub> is likely to benefit microbial primary producers that typically bloom and smother other species (e.g. cyanobacteria) and can be exacerbated by the type of trophic downgrading that is being seen globally as biodiversity continues to decline (Houk *et al.*, 2012; Harvey *et al.*, 2021)

For calcifying epiphytes, a reduction in availability of carbonate ions and an increase in acidity, is likely to be highly detrimental, and it has been suggested that the likely outcome will be a shift from calcified epiphytes within seagrass meadows to diatoms and filamentous algae species (Brodie *et al.*, 2014).

This study seeks to assess the influence of acidification and carbon limitation on the photophysiology of calcifying epiphytes and the host plant *Amphiboolis antarctica*, to improve upon existing knowledge of carbon concentrating mechanisms, and the influence that climate change and increasing levels of ocean CO<sub>2</sub> are likely to exert on both species.

## 3. Design, methods results

## 3.1 Methodology

## 3.1.1 Sampling site and collection of specimens

A total of 45 healthy specimens of *Amphibolis antarctica* (those exhibiting strong colour, no broken leaves or spots, with intact roots and rhizomes) and approximately 200g of *Ulva* sp. (for pH manipulations) were collected at Mindarie, Perth SW Australia (figure 6) on two occasions (2015-03-05 and 2015-03-17 between 10:00 and 11:00 am) by snorkelling. The collection meadow was at approximately 2 metres depth. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was approximately 50 umol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-2}$ (measured on a bright day with low cloud cover) at the growth site, established by the light sensor of a pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometer (Diving PAM, Walz Germany (*Walz - Germany*, 2021) ). The specimens were transported to the laboratory at Edith Cowan University, in buckets filled with seawater collected at the site. Upon arrival at the university, specimens were cleaned and placed in aerated aquaria with filtered seawater. Temperature in the aquaria was set at 22.5°c (the average in-situ temperature at the collection site). Lights were provided by LED luminous tubes with a PAR of 50 umol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-2}$ , with a light/dark cycle of 12:12 hours, as per the sampling site.



**Figure 7:** Location of the sampling sites (A&B), Mindarie (location: 31°41'42.4"S, 115°42'06.6"E), off the south-west coast of Australia (googlemaps, 2021), and the habitat where samples were taken for this study (C (Rasmusson, 2015) ).

### 3.1.2 Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) Fluorometry

Electron transport rates were measured using an Imaging PAM (*(Walz - Germany*, 2021)), a methodology which is recommended under the European Commission's best practice guidelines for research on changes in photosynthesis resulting from ocean acidification (Riebesell *et al.*, 2011). This methodology is both non-invasive and highly reliable for assessing relative changes in photosynthesis, by analysing quantum yield (carbon assimilated : photons of light absorbed) and electron transport rates in plants (Schreiber, 2007; Iluz *et al.*, 2013).

When a photon of light energy strikes a photosynthetic organism, there are three possible outcomes for that photon. Firstly, it may be absorbed and used as energy for photosynthesis; secondly it may be emitted as heat, and lastly, the photon may be absorbed by chlorophyll molecules and re-emitted at a longer

wavelength – a phenomenon known as fluorescence. Chlorophyll fluorescence carries with it, reliable quantitative data, which can provide information on the physiological state (i.e. health) of any photosynthetic organism, such as higher plants, algae (including zooxantheallae in corals) and phytoplankton (Schreiber, 2007). PAM fluorometry utilises a 1µs pulse of light, synchronised to a lock-in amplifier. The amplifier removes any signals not associated with the lock-in signal, which means that PAM fluorometry can be conducted without interference from ambient light sources. Photodiodes are used to detect and measure fluorescence, data which the fluorometer uses to measure the status of photosystem II (PSII) and the flow of electrons from PSII to photosystem I (PSI) (Ralph *et al.*, 2005).

PAM fluorometry can be used to run rapid light curves (RLC's) on plants, a technique which uses a series of short irradiances, each at a different intensity lasting approximately 10s each. RLC's measure the effective quantum yield of a plant over different irradiances, which can be used to calculate rETR (the rate at which electrons are pumped through the electron transport chain from PSII to PSI (Ralph *et al.*, 2005). Irradiances used for RLC's are typically determined by what is realistic for the environment that a plant is adapted to. For example, there would be little use in running a rapid light curve with irradiances as high as PAR 2000, for plants that grow exclusively in shaded environments. The data collected from rapid light curves can be used to assess photosynthetic capacity over a wide range of light intensities, emulating the fluctuations in irradiance that a plant typically experiences, and gives insight in to how well a plant can tolerate fluctuations in light intensity (Ralph *et al.*, 2005). RLC's are a particularly powerful tool to assess photosynthesis in seagrasses, as seagrass meadows experience wide fluctuations in light intensity on both short and long term temporal scales (Ralph *et al.*, 2005).

#### 3.1.3 Experimental set-up

Leaves of approximately the same size and epiphyte cover (encrusting calcifiers) were used. For pH 7.6, 8.18 and the two first leaves of pH 8.8, the first batch of collected seagrass were used and the rest with the latter collection. pH was established using a hand held multimeter with a pH probe (pH probe: SenTix 940 connected to WTWMulti3430; WTW, Weilheim, Germany (WTW, 2021) ). pH was adjusted using the photosynthesis and respiration of *Ulva* sp. placed in glass bottles filled with seawater. Increased pH was achieved by CO<sub>2</sub> removal via the high photosynthetic activity of the algae. Light sources were placed around the sample bottle to enhance photosynthesis and fans were used to cool the samples, preventing boiling of algae. To achieve lower pH the bottles with *Ulva* were kept in darkness and left to respire and thus release CO<sub>2</sub>. When desired pH was reached, the samples were sieved to remove plant material and then cooled off to 22.5° C, ambient mean temperature at the collection site used throughout the experiments.

A glass container filled to the rim with seawater of adjusted pH, enclosed by a lid to prevent CO<sub>2</sub> exchange with the air , was used during the experiments. A whole leaf was fixed with thread on plastic mesh kept in place by blue tac over the measuring head of the Imaging PAM. Constant water movement to reduce diffusive boundary layers was provided by a magnetic stirrer. In the Win Control computer program (*Walz - Germany*, 2021) six areas of interest (AOI) were chosen for areas with encrusting epiphytes and six AOI for pure seagrass on the fixed leaf (see figure 8). Encrusting epiphytes were species of Rhodophyta, but could not be identified to species level without molecular analysis, which was beyond the scope of this study.



Figure 8: One of the Amphibolis antarctica leaves used during this study, with areas of interest (AOI's) denoted by white circles

Rapid light curves were then conducted using the following light intensities: 1, 21, 41, 76, 134, 205,249,298, 371, 456, 581 and 726 mmol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-2}$ . Each intensity was used for 20 s before

saturating pulse was provided = 240s in total. For each pH, six randomised leaves were examined. pH, temperature and O<sub>2</sub> were measured before and after the experiments with handheld Multimeter. Mean values of the six AOI was calculated, in order to ensure that the photosynthetic variability in the different parts of the leaf was covered. The mean relative electron transport rate (rETR) for each leaf was then calculated for each irradiance (n=6, however only 5 leaves were used for pH 7.61 due to an experimental error). The rETR, a measure of the efficiency of electron transport through photosystem II (PSII) to photosystem I (PSI), was calculated as:

#### $Y \times PAR \times 0.5$

where Y is the effective quantum yield, indicating the working capacity of the photosynthetic apparatus, PAR is the photosynthetic active radiation and 0.5 is an assumption that half of the photons absorbed are going to PSII and half is directed towards PSI. In ETR measurements an absorption factor (AF) is usually used (an indication on how much of the incoming light is absorbed by the leaf and how much is shining through). Due to the difficulty to establish AF in the highly encrusted calcifying epiphytes, AF was omitted and relative electron transport rates are hence presented.

### 3.2 Statistical analyses & hypotheses

Three tests were performed on the data. First, data were tested for normality using the Ryan-Joiner method, and then via a two way ANOVA general linear model (GLM) and Bonferronni post-hoc pairwise analysis (95% confidence) for both seagrass and calcifying epiphytes, to test for significant differences in electron transport rates at different pH levels. Data for these tests were log<sup>10</sup> transformed as the data were not normally distributed.

Secondly, data were tested via a polynomial linear regression to determine whether or not there was a statistically significant relationship between pH and electron transport rates for both seagrass and calcifying epiphytes. For this analysis, data for PAR at 41 mmol photons  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-</sup> was used, since this most closely matched that of the site where samples were collected (50 mmol photons  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-2</sup> at sampling site).

Finally, the data were tested using a two-sample t-test to assess whether or not electron transport rates were significantly different between *A. antarctica* and calcifying epiphytes. All statistical analyses were performed in Minitab version 19 (©2020 Minitab).
The following hypotheses were made:

H1: Seagrass electron transport rate will increase at lower pH values with more CO<sub>2</sub> available and decrease at high pH values as CO<sub>2</sub> availability declines, thus inhibiting photosynthesis.

**H2**: Calcifying epiphyte electron transport rate will decrease at lower pH values with more CO<sub>2</sub> available as calcification is inhibited and metabolic costs incurred will have a negative impact on photosynthetic efficiency, and electron transport rate will increase initially towards higher pH then decline as CO<sub>2</sub> availability limits photosynthesis.

**H3**: Seagrass will demonstrate higher tolerance of lowered pH than calcifying epiphytes, since they are not a calcifying species.

**H4:** Calcifying epiphytes will demonstrate higher rETR than seagrass at higher pH treatments, given their differences in evolutionary history with calcifying epiphytes having evolved CCM's long before seagrasses.

## 3.3 Results

#### 3.3.1 Seagrass results

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that electron transport rates of *A. antarctica* were significantly different across a variety of pH treatments (p<0.01, Tables 3 and 4, and figure 9). Electron transport rates were highest at pH 8.18, and lowest at pH 9.0 and 9.65. Reduced pH levels of 7.81 and 7.61 resulted in significantly lower electron transport rates than those at current ocean pH of 8.18.

**Table 3**: Results of a two-way ANOVA (GLM) on the effects of pH, Irradiance and pH\*Irradiance on electron transport rates in A. antarctica, showing that both pH and irradiance cause significant changes in electron transport rates, and that there is an interactive effect between the two

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
рН	6	12.284	2.04735	29.43	0.000
Irradiance	11	57.415	5.21953	75.03	0.000
pH*Irradiance	66	8.758	0.13270	1.91	0.000
Error	408	28.381	0.06956		
Total	491	106.715			

**Table 4**: Results of a Bonferronni pairwise analysis (95% confidence) of the two-way ANOVA (GLM) results shown in table 3.Means that do not share a letter are significantly different.

рΗ	Ν	Mean	Grou	ıpiı	ng	
8.18	72	0.742898	А			
8.48	72	0.565936	В			
7.81	72	0.552804	В			
7.61	60	0.516447	В			
8.80	72	0.467874	В	С		
9.00	72	0.338688		С	D	
9.65	72	0.219612			D	



Figure 9: Mean relative electron transport rate of seagrass samples for each pH treatment with standard error.

A polynomial linear regression analysis confirmed a statistically significant relationship between pH and relative electron transport rates (rETR) for *A. antarctica* (p=<0.05), with the model showing that rETR declines as pH increases above current ocean pH, and as pH falls below current ocean pH levels (figure 10).



**Figure 10**: Polynomial linear regression utilising PAR 41 data including 95% confidence intervals, showing the relationship between seagrass electron transport rate (rETR) and pH.

## 3.3.2 Calcifying epiphytes results

Relative electron transport rates of calcifying epiphytes were significantly different across a variety of pH treatments (p<0.01) (tables 5 and 6, and figure 11). The highest mean electron transport rates occurred at pH 8.18, 8.80 and 8.48, and the lowest rates occurred at 7.61, though electron transport rates at 7.81 were significantly lower than those for current ocean pH 8.18.

**Table 5:** Results of a two-way ANOVA (GLM) on the effects of pH, Irradiance and pH\*Irradiance on electron transport rates in calcifying epiphytes, showing that both pH and irradiance cause significant changes in electron transport rates, and that there is an interactive effect between the two.

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
рН	6	71.619	11.9365	230.69	0.000
Irradiance	11	2.335	0.2122	4.10	0.000
pH*Irradiance	66	57.567	0.8722	16.86	0.000
Error	408	21.111	0.0517		
Total	491	152.532			

**Table 6**: Results of a Bonferronni pairwise analysis (95% confidence) of the two-way ANOVA (GLM) results shown in table 5.Means that do not share a letter are significantly different.

рΗ	Ν	Mean	Gro	upiı	ng
8.48	72	1.39624	А		
8.18	72	1.31450	А		
8.80	72	1.29506	А		
7.81	72	1.07457	В		
9.00	72	1.02857	В		
9.65	72	0.81164		С	
7.61	60	0.14025			D



**Figure 11**: Mean electron transport rate of calcifying epiphytes for each pH treatment with standard error.

A polynomial linear regression analysis confirmed a statistically significant relationship between pH and relative electron transport rates (rETR) for calcifying epiphytes (p=<0.01), with the model identifying a significant drop in rETR as pH values either decrease from current mean ocean pH levels (8.18), or increase beyond pH 8.5 (figure 12).



**Figure 12:** Polynomial linear regression including 95% confidence intervals, showing the relationship between calcifying epiphyte electron transport rate (rETR) and pH.

#### 3.3.3 Two-sample T-test

The results of a two-sample T-test confirmed a significant difference (P=<0.01, T=6.63) between electron transport rates of *A. antarctica* and calcifying epiphytes across the range of treatments. Calcifying epiphytes showed higher electron transport rates than *A. antarctica*, particularly at higher pH treatments where rETR of epiphytes was more three times that of *A. antarctica* at some light intensities, though with greater variation in rETR. Calcifying epiphytes also exhibited higher light intensity tolerance than *A. antarctica* at the highest pH treatment (9.65) where rETR crashed for *A. antarctica* at PAR 249, whereas epiphytes continued to photosynthesise up until PAR 581, albeit at very low rates (mean rETR = 0.58) (figures 9 & 11).

## 4. Discussion

## 4.1 Amphibolis antarctica

There were significant differences in rETR for *A. antarctica* across the range of pH treatments, with rETR being highest at pH 8.18 and significantly lower at all other pH treatments. This was expected for higher pH treatments, but unexpected for low pH treatments since other studies (Koch *et al.*, 2013) have shown that ocean acidification can increase seagrass photosynthesis.

The lowest rETR were identified at pH levels of 9.0 and 9.65, likely the result of carbon limitation, inhibiting photosynthetic activity (Burnell *et al.*, 2014; Beer *et al.*, 2015). Electron transport rates were low at the highest pH treatments, but photosynthetic activity continued despite the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> which would be the case at pH's >8.5 (Beer *et al.*, 2015). This indicates the presence of carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM's) in seagrasses (summarised in Larkum *et al.*, 2017), facilitating the breakdown of HCO<sub>3</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub>, providing a continued supply of CO<sub>2</sub> to rubisco sites thus allowing photosynthesis to continue. CCM's in seagrasses, are an energetically costly method of acquiring CO<sub>2</sub> for photosynthesis, since HCO<sub>3</sub> cannot passively diffuse across cell membranes like CO<sub>2</sub>, but must first be broken down via extracellular mechanisms (Beer *et al.*, 2006). In *A. antarctica*, this is likely achieved via extracellular carbonic anhydrase (Larkum *et al.*, 2017). Considering that *A. antarctica* continues to photosynthesis when CO<sub>2</sub> is low / absent, it seems likely that *A. antarctica* utilise CCM's allowing photosynthesis to continue (albeit at lower rates) via the breakdown of HCO<sub>3</sub>.

In the results shown here, rETR for *A. antarctica* at 7.81 and 7.61 were not significantly different from one another, but both were significantly lower than at current ocean pH of 8.18. Under RCP 8.5, future ocean pH is projected to be approximately 7.76 (IPCC, 2013), and the results of this study suggest that under this scenario, photosynthetic efficiency of this seagrass species will significantly decline.

The results of this study also confirmed that *A. antarctica* exhibits significantly lower rETR than calcifying epiphytes, particularly at higher pH levels, likely the result of significantly more efficient CCM's in calcifying epiphytes due to differences in evolutionary history. Calcifying algae species have existed in marine environments for hundreds of millions of years, whereas seagrasses evolved from terrestrial plants much later (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). Terrestrial plants have no need for CCM's since gas diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> is relatively quick and efficient, so seagrasses evolved CCM's far later than calcifying algae, meaning that calcifying algae have had a significant evolutionary "head start", making their CCM's far more efficient.

The data also indicate that there may be a difference in light intensity tolerance across pH treatments for both seagrass and calcifying epiphytes, particularly at higher pH levels where CO<sub>2</sub> is absent and both species become dependent on HCO<sub>3</sub> and carbon concentrating mechanisms. At very low levels of light, rETR is similar across all pH treatments for both species, so it may be that at low irradiances, the effects of carbon limitation and ocean acidification are reduced, but as light increases, high and low pH treatments appear to cause a crash in rETR as PAR increases. If lowered pH is causing a reduction in light intensity tolerance, this could be a significant problem for *Amphibolis antarctica* as ocean pH continues to drop, since *A. antarctica* grows in waters where light intensity can be as high as 1,000 PAR (Van Keulen, 2021).

At high pH treatments, a reduction in rETR can be explained by carbon limitation and inefficiency of CCM's in comparison to calcifying algae, but the mechanism(s) behind this drop in rETR at lower pH treatments are unclear, given that carbon limitation would not be an issue. Evidently, a physiological cost exists when CO<sub>2</sub> levels are too high, which causes rETR to significantly decline, and since rETR is a measure of the efficiency of PSII (Ralph *et al.*, 2005), it is likely that the answer lies here. Under thermal stress, seagrasses experience a reduction in the ability of PSII to supply electrons to the electron transport chain, leading to high rates of mortality (Repolho *et al.*, 2017), and it may be that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> causes similar disruption in PSII, for example by lipid peroxidation. Whatever the mechanism, the outcome may have significant implications for meadows of *A. antarctica*.

*A. antarctica* meadows are areas of high productivity and diversity that function as highly effective natural carbon sinks. As such, a decline in photosynthetic efficiency has economic and ecological consequences too numerous to discuss here, but can be broadly placed within four main categories.

## 4.1.1 Reduced primary productivity

A reduction in rETR would mean reduced rates of primary production, since the Calvin cycle is driven by electron transport, meaning that rETR rate has a significant influence over rates of carbon assimilation (Beer *et al.*, 2015). A drop in rETR would, therefore, lead to reduced energy and stoichiometric flow to trophic levels above. This may be particularly significant in meadows of *A. antarctica* as their large and convoluted leaf structure creates a complex three-dimensional habitat with abundant space for epiphyte cover, which can account for more than 50% of primary productivity within seagrass meadows (Borowitzka *et al.*, 2005; Strydom *et al.*, 2020). *A. antarctica* is also one of the most productive species of seagrass forming dense meadows with 300-500 erect shoots / m<sup>2</sup> and as much as 4,500 leaf clusters / m<sup>2</sup>,

forming an above-ground biomass of 1-2kg dry wt / m<sup>2</sup> (Abbott, 1990) so a reduction in primary productivity would be disproportionately large in *A. amphibolis* meadows in comparison to many other seagrass species. In fact, the loss of *A. antarctica* may negatively impact other seagrass species. *A. antarctica* meadows typically contain abundant open sandy patches (1-30m in diameter), inside of which, multi-species micro-meadows of up to 9 species of seagrasses grow, apparently with neither the *A. antarctica* or multi-species micro-meadow encroaching upon one another (Abbott, 1990). It may be that the sediments *A. antarctica* meadows form, create optimum settlement sites for these other seagrass species, and other symbioses between *A. amphibolis* and seagrass species that form these micro-meadows may also exist.

Ecosystems are inherently complex and dynamic natural systems, and any change brought about by anthropogenic influences can have profound negative impacts, as seen in the results of this study. Seagrass meadows are dependent on grazers to crop the grasses to maintain healthy growth, the absence of which due to overexploitation has, in the past, triggered or exacerbated catastrophic disease outbreaks (Jackson, 2001, 2009). Seagrass meadows are also dependent the diverse assemblage of life that inhabit the meadows, as sources of "fertilisers" as well as vectors of transportation for seeds, helping meadows spread and grow (Kendrick *et al.*, 2012; McMahon *et al.*, 2014). Any changes in these populations due to lowered productivity, would negatively impact the health of *A. antarctica* meadows. Given that seagrass meadows function as nursery grounds for many fish species that are pelagic or migratory in adulthood, there could be a knock-on effect in other interconnected ecosystems that depend on productivity of seagrass meadows. King George Whiting (*Sillaginodes punctatus*) frequently utilise *A. amphibolis* meadows along the south and south-western coast of Australia as nursery grounds during the juvenile phase of their life-cycle, but migrate distances up to 200km to offshore coastal reefs and continental shelfs as they approach adulthood (Jenkins *et al.*, 2006). As adults in these habitats, they can reach sizes of 70cm, and are an important food source for sharks, rays and dolphins (Government of South Australia, 1995).

Many ecologists are already predicting a global shift towards microbial primary productivity within the oceans due to climate change and trophic downgrading of ecosystems that are subject to multiple anthropogenic stressors (Jackson, 2001, 2009; Houk *et al.*, 2012). Under such circumstances, seagrasses often yield dominance to fast growing and opportunistic species such as turf-forming and filamentous algae and cyanobacteria (Duffy *et al.*, 2019). These species are not able to provide complex structural habitats of the scale formed by seagrasses such as *A. antarctica*, and thus many ecological interactions would be lost if seagrass meadows were to be replaced by large areas of microbial primary productivity.

The world is already in the midst of a biodiversity crisis, which is likely more damaging and severe than previously thought, even by expert commentators within the scientific community (Bradshaw *et al.*, 2021). Seagrass meadows are areas of high biodiversity, so a drop in productivity, or a total ecosystem crash that causes meadows to die off would feed in to an already dire situation for global biodiversity and the ecosystem services provided by them. Efforts are already being made to implement a global observing system for seagrass to improve mapping resolution globally (Duffy *et al.*, 2019), and this is likely to be of paramount importance in monitoring global and localised changes in seagrass cover.

#### 4.1.2 Feedback loops

As previously discussed, lower photosynthetic rates would result in lower rates of carbon assimilation via the Calvin cycle, and thus less carbon storage, at a time when natural marine carbon sinks are of critical importance in the face of climate change as part of the "blue carbon" approach to nature-based solutions for climate change (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009; Fourqurean *et al.*, 2012). This could result in a negative feedback loop where *A. antarctica* photosynthetic efficiency drops as seawater CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increases, thus assimilating less carbon, resulting in a net decrease in carbon storage within *A. antarctica* meadows, and more carbon available to be released from the oceans back in to the atmosphere. Similar outcomes have already been recorded in terrestrial habitats, as rising temperatures and changes in rainfall have reduced the efficiency of tropical forests as carbon sinks (Hubau *et al.*, 2020), or turned them in to carbon sources instead of sinks (Wang *et al.*, 2018). Whilst the results of this study do not indicate that *A. antarctica* meadows would become sources instead of sinks, any drop in carbon sink efficiency is of significant concern, given that blue carbon sinks have historically outperformed most, if not all, terrestrial sinks in terms of carbon sequestration rates and long-term storage (Mcleod *et al.*, 2011).

Seagrass meadows may make significant contributions to deep-sea carbon sinks that are far distant from coastal habitats via export of carbon-rich particulate matter to deeper waters (Duffy *et al.*, 2019). This contribution has yet to be estimated, but the loss of this export of carbon to deep sea sinks could contribute to a feedback loop.

#### 4.1.3 Commercial fisheries and ecotourism

Coastal ecosystems are estimated to provide ecosystem services worth more than \$25,000bn annually through commercial fishing, reducing pollution, protecting coastlines from erosion and extreme weather events, and other forms of industry such as off-shore wind farms (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009). Coastal waters account for 50% of the world's fisheries, providing vital nutrition for more than 3bn people globally (Nellemann et al., 2009), and act as a major source of income and employment globally. The results of this study suggest a significant decrease in productivity of A. antarctica meadows, which would have a profound impact on commercial species that use the meadows as nursery and feeding grounds. Perhaps the biggest commercial fishery off the west coast of Australia utilising these meadows, is the western rock lobster (Panulirus cygnus), which contributes an estimated annual economic value of AUS\$505 million, and forms part of the social fabric of coastal communities (Acil Allen Consulting, 2017). P. cygnus use A. amphibolis as a nocturnal foraging ground, and as den-sites for juveniles during the day. P cygnus feed on A. antarctica itself as well as associated calcifying epiphytes and an abundance of invertebrate species. In seagrass meadows, invertebrate prey species can comprise as much 98% of P. cyqnus diet (Bellchambers et al., 2012), likely supporting higher growth rates than in areas where seagrass habitat is limited, thus demonstrating the exceptional value of A. antarcica meadows as a feeding habitat. Due to this abundance and diversity of prey species, A. antarctica meadows help to provide high variation in nutritional intake for P. cygnus (Bellchambers et al., 2012) (and therefore likely high nutritional value for predators and commercial harvests), so the loss of A. antarcica meadows could cause a crash in P. cygnus populations, triggering an economic cascade amongst coastal communities due to loss of jobs directly and indirectly associated with the fishery.

The results of this study suggest a decline in productivity of *A. antarctica* under future acidification, with the possibility of areas of *A. antarctica* dying off as a result. Insight into the possible consequences of this can be seen in those of the 2010-11 heatwave which killed off large swathes of *A. antarctica* meadows. It's likely that this die off was the result of *A. antarctica* reaching a thermal tolerance threshold where PSII (which has lower thermostability than PSI) becomes less capable of supplying energy to the electron transport chain during light harvesting (Repolho *et al.*, 2017). This thermal stress affect led to widespread mortality in *A. antarctica*, with consequences for iconic marine species that draw ecotourism to the west coast of Australia, such as the endangered green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) (Seminoff, 2004). Following the heatwave, green turtles captured and assessed for health status saw a continuing decline in health status following the loss of seagrass meadows during the 2010-11 heatwave, with, only 6% of green turtles

surveyed in 2012, falling in to the highest health categories, compared to 35% prior to the heatwave (Thomson *et al.*, 2015). Green turtles are a migratory species, travelling thousands of kilometres between feeding grounds and natal shores which they return to, to nest (Hays *et al.*, 2014). As such, the loss of seagrass habitats off the coast of Western Australia could negatively impact ecotourism thousands of kilometres away. Green turtles are a popular species for "voluntourism" projects which support conservation efforts directly through people-power and funding, support employment of local people and businesses that benefit from the influx of tourists (Senko *et al.*, 2011).

Historically, Shark Bay held one of the largest populations of dugongs (*Dugong dugong*), an iconic species for ecotourism which relies heavily on seagrasses such as A. antarctica meadows as pastures for grazing (Marsh et al., 2018). Following the 2010-11 marine heatwave in this region, dugong populations are believed to have remained stable, contrary to expectations (Bayliss et al., 2019), though this is based on aerial survey data, which has limitations, particularly in the ability to detect subtle changes in regional population change. Other studies have shown localised dugong population declines of as much as 67.5% within Shark bay (Nowicki et al., 2019), which could suggest that whilst the Shark Bay dugong population as a whole has remained stable, there has been spatiotemporal changes in dugong distribution within the region. The findings of Bayliss et al. (2019) are nonetheless encouraging for dugongs that are classed as "vulnerable" on the IUCN redlist (IUCN, 2019), but whilst this may be good news for dugong conservation, it may suggest a higher degree of top-down pressure on A. antarctica meadows. If dugong populations have remained stable but meadow coverage significantly declined as a result of the heatwave and has not yet fully recovered, then it is possible that dugong density on seagrass meadows within Shark bay has increased. There may be some benefit to this given that dugongs aid in seed dispersal over distances of 100's of kilometres (Duffy et al., 2019), but this would depend on the density of dugongs in the meadows and the amount of grazing pressure exerted.

Long term, this lack of recovery of *A. antarctica* meadows in combination with increased top-down pressure from marine mega-herbivores and the cumulative effect of other factors such as ocean warming and the drop in productivity due to acidification identified in this study, may place overwhelming pressure on meadows of *A. antarctica*. Alternatively, bottom-up limitation could occur, whereby the seagrass meadows are no longer able to support the dugong population.

#### 4.1.4 Sinks as sources

Vegetated coastal habitats such as seagrass meadows, mangrove forests and salt marshes only cover ~0.5% of the seabed, but these habitats account for as much as 71% of all ocean sediment carbon storage (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009), and lock up significant quantities of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), (Macreadie *et al.*, 2019). The results of this study indicate a significant reduction in rates of photosynthesis of *A. antarctica* and associated calcifying epiphytes, which would result in a lower rates of carbon fixation via rubisco sites. Other studies have clearly demonstrated the potential for thermal stress to disrupt PSII and lead to die off *A. antarctica* meadows (Kendrick *et al.*, 2019; Nowicki *et al.*, 2019; Strydom *et al.*, 2020), and combined with the results of this study, are likely indicative of a synergistic or antagonistic influence of acidification and warming that at the least would lower carbon fixation and sequestration, and at worst, kill off meadows entirely. If this occurs, it would lead to the release of substantial carbon and greenhouse gas stores not just from the seagrass itself, but from carbon stored in sediments (Mcleod *et al.*, 2011).

Protecting vegetated coastal ecosystems could help prevent as much as 1.02 petagrams (pg) of carbon emissions per year (Pendleton *et al.*, 2012; Macreadie *et al.*, 2019), and globally, seagrass ecosystems may currently be storing as much as 20pg of carbon in their sediments alone (Fourqurean *et al.*, 2012), the equivalent to almost forty times the annual carbon emissions of Australia (Parliament of Australia, 2020). Estimates of how much more carbon can be "safely" emitted in to the atmosphere before the planet suffers catastrophic warming are difficult to predict accurately, particularly given that it could be reasonably argued that catastrophic warming has already taken place. The lower end of the estimate is believed to be ~1pg and the higher ~5pg (IPCC, 2013), thus, even the higher estimate of what can be "safely" emitted, equates to one quarter of what may currently be locked away in seagrass sediments. Climate tipping points in the earth system have been discussed at length within the scientific literature in recent years in relation to terrestrial carbon sinks such as tropical forests and permafrost (Lenton *et al.*, 2013; Lento*n et al.*, 2019). Little attention has been given to climate tipping points for seagrass meadows, despite the vast stores of carbon they hold, and their sensitivity to thermal stress, and this may represent an important knowledge gap in contemporary understanding of climate change.

Clearly, seagrass meadows and other vegetated coastal habitats have the capacity to play a major role as part of the nature-based solutions approach to climate change, and require urgent protection. Terrestrial carbon sinks often only have limited opportunity for spatial expansion, typically only vertically due to

anthropogenic encroachment (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009), but seagrass meadows have the capacity and space for vertical and, critically, massive horizontal expansion if they are effectively protected (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009). Global seagrass area is estimated at somewhere between 0.3-0.6 million km<sup>2</sup>, though many areas lack extensive mapping, but the total potential habitable area for seagrasses globally is ~4.3 million

#### 4.1.5 Fluid dynamics and coastal erosion

Fluid dynamics exert a major influence over seagrass ecosystems, affecting factors such as nutrient flow, pollination, import and export of organic matter and the amount of available photosynthetically active radiation reaching seagrass leaves (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). The epiphytic communities of seagrass meadows have also been shown to be heavily influenced by fluid dynamics due to variability within tolerance limits of wave energy for different species of epiphytes and the grazers that feed on them (Larkum *et al.*, 2005). This means that significant changes in local or regional fluid dynamics, for example from the degradation of coral reefs (already occurring extensively due to ocean warming and acidification) have the potential to trigger the total collapse of seagrass meadows if wave height and energy are increased (Macreadie *et al.*, 2019).

Seagrass ecosystems in turn, can exert a heavy influence over the fluid and sedimentary dynamics of coastal regions, altering currents, waves and turbulence, forming sand and mud banks and thus playing an important role in preventing coastal erosion. Rhizome networks are well established in mature, healthy seagrass meadows and aid in coastal sediment stability. The decline of *Posidonia* meadows off the coast of Adelaide has resulted in the loss of extensive beach-lines due to coastal erosion, which was previously protected against by the complex rhizome network of *Posidonia* meadows via the dissipation of hydrodynamic forces and the stabilising and raising of sediments (Seddon *et al.*, 2016). The loss of such beaches has come with a significant economic and cultural loss to communities in Adelaide who rely on the beaches for recreation, tourism and associated businesses (Seddon *et al.*, 2016).

Similar outcomes could be seen on the Western Australian coast if *A. antarctica* meadows are lost to climate change. Seagrass meadows are depositional environments, and the Shark bay area off the western coast of Australia was largely created by seagrass meadows (particularly *Amphibolis* genera) that aided in the build-up of huge sandbanks and the subsequent changes in hydrodynamic regimes that this caused (Van Keulen *et al.*, 2003). Even in high current regimes, *A. antarctica* grows so densely that it has the ability to reduce sediment transport by absorbing hydrodynamic forces, and a well-formed meadow is capable of nullifying currents as strong as 2 knots (Abbott, 1990). In fact seagrass meadows in Shark Bay

have sediment accretion rates higher than those of coral reefs, due to both the density of *A. antarctica* and the high epiphyte load that it typically carries (Abbott, 1990). The result of this, is the accumulation of fine mud particles and detritus within and beyond the meadows (Abbott, 1990). The extensive rhizosphere that seagrass meadows form helps to bind these sediments and protect coastlines from erosion. As a result, seagrasses such as *A. antarctica* have made a major contribution to the development of their own and neighbouring ecosystems that support massive biodiversity and associated ecosystem services such as carbon storage, ecotourism and commercial fisheries. The loss of the sediment-stabilising rhizosphere and sedimentary dynamics that *A. antarctica* provides could have catastrophic outcomes for these ecosystems and associated services, particularly given the projected increase in frequency and severity of storm systems.

## 4.2 Calcifying epiphytes

Results show that there is a significant difference in rETR for calcifying epiphytes of *A. antarctica* across the range of pH treatments, with rETR being highest at pH 8.18 through to 8.80 and significantly lower at all other pH treatments. The lowest rETR were identified at pH of 7.61 the most acidic treatment used in this study. rETR at 7.81 was significantly lower than at natural ocean pH of 8.18, but significantly higher than at 7.61. The results of this study suggest that under RCP 8.5, photosynthetic efficiency of calcifying epiphytes that associate with *A. antarctica* will significantly decline. This is in line with the findings other studies which show significant declines in calcifying epiphyte productivity, and total dissolution at some lower pH estimates (Martin *et al.*, 2008; Cox *et al.*, 2015). Given the results of similar studies, it is likely that the epiphytes studied here would have eventually completely dissolved over longer-term experiments at the lowest pH treatment.

The results at higher pH levels indicate that carbon concentrating mechanisms in the calcifying epiphytes tested here are significantly more efficient than those in *A. antarctica*. (mean 10.15 rETR at PAR 41, pH 9.65 compared to 5.01 for *A. antarctica*). This is unsurprising given that calcifying algae species extend back at least 600m years with evolutionary origins in the ocean, whereas seagrass species evolved on land and migrated to the oceans (Les *et al*, 1997). With the anticipated continued rise in CO<sub>2</sub> saturation of the oceans however, the historic advantage of species with highly efficient carbon concentrating mechanisms may begin to fade. This is likely to also depend on biological responses to cumulative stressors, given that

some macroalgae species have shown to be negatively impacted by the antagonistic interactive effect of acidification and warming depending on future climate change outcomes (Kübler *et al.*, 2015).

Calcification rates in calcifying algae species typically follow photosynthetic rates (Beer et al., 2015), and since the results here indicate a drop in photosynthetic rates at lower pH treatments, it is likely that calcifying epiphytes that grow on A. antarctica will be negatively impacted via reduced photosynthetic and calcification rates, under all future ocean acidification scenarios for pH values of 7.81 or below laid out by IPCC in comparison to current ocean pH. The mechanisms behind this likely lie in the impact of acidification on the processes within PSII, given that rETR is a measure of the efficiency of PSII in supplying energy to the electron transport chain (Ralph et al., 2005). In calcifying algae, reduced pH has been theorised to: disrupt CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation pathways that feed CO<sub>2</sub> to rubisco sites, disrupt the transport of electrons via thylakoid proton gradients (Sinutok et al., 2012) and reduce the repair rate of proteins in calcifying algae that become damaged by high light intensities (Briggs et al., 2019). The results here also suggest changes in light-intensity tolerance thresholds for calcifying epiphytes, which may be explained by decreased efficiency of CCM's under the synergistic impact of high-light and increased acidification, making PSII more susceptible to photoinhibition (Briggs et al., 2019). Other studies conducted on acidification and coralline algae have noted that lowered pH may reduce photosynthetic rates by reducing light capturing pigments, specifically chlorophyll and phycobiliproteins, and similar effects could be present here (Gao et al., 2010).

The impact of acidification is likely to be highly dependent on the capacity of *A. antarctica* meadows to buffer themselves and associated species against acidification via localised pH alteration through photosynthesis (Bergstrom *et al.*, 2019). Seagrass meadows are known to mitigate the effects of ocean acidification for calcifying algae by taking up CO<sub>2</sub> and increasing pH which helps promote high rates of photosynthesis and calcification in calcifying algae (Bergstrom *et al.*, 2019). These metabolic interactions between non-calcifying organisms such as seagrass and calcifying organisms such as calcifying organisms such as calcifying organisms such as calcifying organisms of this study, suggest that *A. antarctica* may be less capable of providing this buffering effect than other species of seagrass, and therefore may not act as refuge sites for the calcifying epiphytes that settle on them.

The epiphytic load and microbiome of seagrasses is a vital component of the seagrass ecosystem, and in *A. amphibolis* meadows on the west Australian coast, epiphytic species may account for the same biomass

as the seagrass itself (Larkum *et al.*, 2005; Van Keulen, 2021), and the loss of such species may have important ecological consequences for *A. antarctica* meadows and the species that inhabit them.

### 4.2.1 Increased niche availability

Calcifying epiphytes often act as pioneer colonisation species on seagrasses, and help to make habitat on blade surfaces more hospitable for settlement of other species such as diatoms or sponges (Martin *et al.*, 2008). This means that a loss of calcifying epiphytes on seagrass blades could result in niche availability beyond just the well-lit niche regions of the complex three dimensional structure of *A. antarctica* leaves that calcifying epiphytes would typically inhabit. As a result, an abundance of space may become available on *A. antarctica* leaves for settlement of other species. It is likely that symbiotic relationships exist between *A. antarctica* and associated calcifying epiphytes, for example CO<sub>2</sub> being released by calcifiers which may aid seagrass photosynthesis during carbon limitation (Semesi *et al.*, 2009), but it's likely that other symbioses exist that are as yet, unknown. As such, the loss of calcifying epiphytes may have unexpected consequences, particularly if there is a lack of ecological redundancy where other calcifiers are unable to capitalise on available space to fulfil similar roles.

In the Mediterranean, seagrasses growing in close proximity to volcanic vents are constantly exposed to lower than average pH levels, and studies have shown that amongst these communities, calcifying epiphytes are replaced by bryozoans as the only calcifying species to grow on seagrass blades (Hall-Spencer *et al.*, 2008). This is likely the result of differences in mineralogy, with bryozoans having lower magnesium (Mg) content than calcifying epiphytes, allowing them to tolerate lower pH levels (Martin *et al.*, 2008). In *A. antarctica* meadows, bryozoans are found almost exclusively on the woody stalks, and not on the leaves (James *et al.*, 2009). The reasons for this are unclear, however, *A. antarctica* grows very densely with 300-500 erect shoots /  $m^2$  and 4,500 leaf clusters /  $m^2$ , thus limiting light below the leaves. This means that the leaves themselves form an optimum environment for calcifying algae species that benefit from high levels of light, whilst the bryozoans preferentially settle in areas of lower light intensity either because they are unable to compete with the calcifying algae for space, or because there is a negative relationship between light intensity and ability to settle. *A. Antarctica* have ~60 different bryozoan species recorded as growing on them either on the grass blades or stalks (Di Martino *et al.*, 2014), and should any of these species prove resistant to acidification as seen in the Mediterranean, there may be some degree of ecological redundancy if they are able to tolerate the higher light intensities on

the leaves, and thus replace calcifying epiphytes, but some complex ecological interactions will almost certainly be lost.

Many studies anticipate an increase in "smothering" species such as turf algae and cyanobacterial biofilms under future climate change, due to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment of coastal waters (Jackson, 2009; Russell et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2015). The space made available on seagrass leaves by the absence of calcifying epiphytes could be taken up by such species, particularly given that A. antarctica leaves have a very rough surface providing an optimum site for settlement of such species (James et al., 2009). If this occurs, then smothering of A. antarctica meadows could become widespread, killing them off. It has already been observed that A. antarctica is one of the first species to decline in eutrophic environments due to smothering, since smothering algae settle and grow far more rapidly than leaves can be replaced (James et al., 2009). There is precedent for this in other seagrass species' meadows in the Gulf of Mexico (Paerl et al., 2013), and Moreton Australia, (Watkinson et al., 2005), which have experienced die-off due to cyanobacterial smothering. Given that most research points towards increases in cyanobacterial biofilms and other forms of microbially driven primary production under ocean acidification (Jackson, 2009; Houk et al., 2012), it is likely that available space on A. antarctica leaves will be taken up by such species, and given that more than 200 species of epiphytes have been recorded in seagrass meadows in the Perth region alone (Van Keulen, 2021), there is a lot of potential for high competition for space on A. antarctica leaves.

It may be that such a shift in seagrass epiphytic communities will have neutral outcomes for the seagrass species itself, but a drop in diversity amongst the seagrass microbiome, given that bryozoans would not fulfil the same role of calcifying algae in helping to create settlement opportunities for other species.

## 4.2.2 Release of carbon stores

The epiphytic community of seagrass meadows can amount to the same biomass as seagrasses themselves, and as such, may constitute a significant carbon store. The dissolution of calcifying epiphytes at low pH levels would create a temporary abundance of CO<sub>2</sub>, which could potentially be buffered by uptake of this by seagrass in some species, though since calcifiers also release CO<sub>2</sub> during calcification, a mutualistic symbiosis likely exists in terms of providing CO<sub>2</sub> to seagrasses which would be lost in the long term. The results of this study however, suggest a drop in photosynthesis of *A. antarctica* under ocean acidification, so this buffering may not take place in *A. antarctica* meadows, meaning that CO<sub>2</sub> released from dissolving calcifying epiphytes would contribute to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

Calcifying algae and the species that graze on them such as amphipods and gastropods make a significant contribution to the production of biogenic calcareous sediments via carbonate production (Borowitzka *et al.*, 2005). Amongst *A. antarctica* meadows, this rate of production is particularly high, in the region of 50-526g CaCO<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Larkum *et al.*, 2005), and such sediments form the basis of almost all coastal ecosystems off the western coast of Australia, which has few silica-based sediments.

#### 4.2.3 Loss of nutrition to grazing species

Calcifying algae are an important source of nutrition for many grazing species such as amphipods and gastropods which preferentially graze on different calcifying algae species (Borowitzka *et al.*, 2005). In addition to these species, *P. cygnus* grazes heavily on the calcifying epiphytes that grow on *A. antarctica* (Bellchambers *et al.*, 2012). The CaCO<sub>3</sub> content of the algae provides important nutrition for skeletogenesis in *P. cygnus*, particularly for inter-moult juveniles, and given that ocean acidification is already expected to inhibit skeletogenesis in calcifying algae could become an additional stressor on species of high economic value. Calcifying epiphytes also form an important element of muscle nutrition for *P. cygnus* (Bellchambers *et al.*, 2012), so a lack of availability as a food source (if calcifying epiphytes were to be lost either partially or completely due to acidification), could have major detrimental impacts on the physiology of *P. cygnus* but also perhaps, upon their nutritional profile for both natural predators and commercial fisheries.

As previously discussed, *P. cygnus* fisheries are estimated to contribute \$505m to the economy of Western Australia, and the species relies heavily on both *A. antarctica* and the calcifying epiphytes that grow upon them, so a loss of both of these species could lead to a catastrophic crash in *P. cygnus* populations.

## 4.3 Consideration of multiple stressors

In the anthropocence era, environmental change and degradation has become commonplace, thus any studies designed to assess biological responses to any one stressor, must consider cumulative impacts of multiple stressors on both the study species, and that species' community as a whole.

The 2010-11 marine heatwave off the West coast of Australia resulted in mass die off of seagrasses, most notably *A. antarctica* (Strydom *et al.*, 2020). Combined with the results of this study, it is clear that the

two primary direct threats of climate change within the marine environment (ocean acidification and ocean warming) represent significant threats to the future of *A. antarctica* meadows and associated species and ecosystem services. Unexpected outcomes of climate change on species associated with *A. antarctica* meadows may also pose unforeseen threats. For example, *A. antarctica* meadows are home to a number of species that graze directly on seagrass such as the urchin *Amblypneustes pallidus*. Such species are typically expected to increase per capita grazing under ocean warming as metabolic rates and thus energy requirements increase in line with metabolic theory. Experiments have shown that *A. pallidus* also exhibit increased per capita grazing on *A. amphibolis* under ocean acidification (Burnell *et al.*, 2013) – this may at first appear counterintuitive, given that urchins are expected to suffer under ocean acidification (O'Donnell *et al.*, 2009; Carey *et al.*, 2016), though it is likely the result of increased nutritional demand to compensate for stresses imposed by acidification. This means that acidification as well as ocean warming may act in concert to exert additional top-down pressure on *A. antarctica* by herbivores.

Increased ocean temperatures may cause enhanced stratification, particularly in tropical and temperate waters, potentially inhibiting the movement of nutrients across the thermocline, therefore resulting in primary producers in these areas becoming nutrient limited, decreasing photosynthesis and primary productivity (Beer *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, adjacent ecosystems may influence one another by their responses to climate change. The degradation of coral reefs that are already profoundly threatened by climate change (Wisshak *et al.*, 2012; Head *et al.*, 2019) could trigger the collapse of seagrass meadows due to an increase in wave height and energy, altering turbidity and therefore light availability (Macreadie *et al.*, 2019).

The cumulative impact of increased marine heatwaves killing off large swathes of *A. antarctica*, a drop in primary productivity caused either by nutrient limitation, or as the results of this study suggest reduced rates of photosynthesis, and the threat of over-grazing means that the future for one of the most biodiverse and structurally complex seagrass meadows looks bleak.

# Conclusions

Seagrasses are key species in coastal trophodynamics, and are amongst the most productive and biodiverse habitats on the planet. *Amphibolis antarctica* meadows are no exception to this, and the findings of this study indicate that *A. antarctica* meadows will see a drop in primary productivity as a result of lowered photosynthetic rates under future ocean acidification. This is contrary to most seagrass species that are expected to benefit from increased availability of CO<sub>2</sub> for photosynthesis, particularly those that experience frequent carbon limitation and have limited-to-no carbon concentrating mechanisms available to convert HCO<sub>3</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub>. The mechanisms behind this drop in *A. antarctica* photosynthesis are unclear, but may related to a disruption of PSII supplying electrons to the electron transport chain, but continued laboratory experiments may yield answers, particularly where samples are isolated from other environmental variables.

Calcifying epiphytes have been reported to suffer under ocean acidification in many studies, and that finding is borne out in the results of this study as well. The results at higher pH treatments indicate highly effective carbon concentrating mechanisms, but in high CO<sub>2</sub> oceans where inorganic carbon is abundant, CCM's may become less important. A great deal depends on the capacity of *A. antarctica* meadows to buffer against ocean acidification, thereby providing "refuge" to a number of calcifying organisms, and larger-scale mesocosm or in-situ studies would help in determining whether this capacity exists in *A. antarctica* meadows.

Any studies conducted on specimens in laboratory settings are inherently simplified versions of the complex biological processes that occur in natural systems, but therein lies the value of such studies. Isolating organisms from other environmental variables helps to determine biological responses to specific environmental change such as ocean acidification which would not be possible in the field. This forms the basis for other broader studies on the responses of seagrasses and calcifying epiphytes to acidification either in situ, particularly where natural CO<sub>2</sub> events provide such opportunities, or via mesocosm experiments. To date, no volcanic vents have been identified that provide suitable in-situ field sites to study *A. antarctica* and associated calcifying epiphytes in terms of their response to acidification. As such, mesocosm experiments may prove useful.

Global observing systems using multispectral satellite imagery are already being developed to monitor and map seagrasses to aid conservation efforts, and this is likely to be pivotal in detecting changes in both global and local seagrass coverage. Such remote sensing technologies come with limitations, particularly in optically challenging environments such as the highly turbid waters of estuaries, but the rapid pace of development in remote sensing technology and analysis of extensive datasets via deep neural networks may help to address these limitations. Regions where mapping is limited to non-existent should be particular areas of concern given that they often have limited legal protections and may currently be in states of rapid decline that has thus far gone unnoticed. A combined approach using climate changeinduced physiological responses of seagrass species globally, as well as remote sensing to monitor spatial distribution of meadows, could allow for meta-analyses to be made to project both the loss of meadows due to climate change, and estimate the release of carbon that this would lead to.

Ultimately, the loss of *A. antarctica* meadows would prove catastrophic in terms of all the ecosystem services that both they and their associated epiphytes provide such as carbon storage, nursery grounds for commercial fisheries species, and protection against coastal erosion in the face of increased storm intensity and frequency under what appears to be inevitable climate change. Complex ecosystem processes would be lost, many of which still remain undiscovered or poorly understood. Protecting seagrass meadows globally through improved remote sensing monitoring and both international and local environmental legislation, is therefore of the utmost importance.

# References

Abbott, I. A. (1990) *Biology of Seagrasses. A treatise on the biology of seagrasses with special reference to the Australian Region, Aquatic Botany.* doi: 10.1016/0304-3770(90)90045-m.

Acil Allen Consulting (2017) *Economic Contribution of the Western Rock Lobster Industry*. Available at: https://www.westernrocklobster.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Economic-Contribution-of-the-Western-Rock-Lobster-Industry-Final-Repo....pdf (Accessed: 21 January 2021).

Amaral-Zettler, L. A., Zettler, E. R. and Mincer, T. J. (2020) 'Ecology of the plastisphere', *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0308-0.

Anderson, K. and Peters, G. (2016) 'The trouble with negative emissions', *Science*. American Association for the Advancement of Science, pp. 182–183. doi: 10.1126/science.aah4567.

Aragones, L. V, Lawler, I. R., Foley, W. J. and Marsh, H. (2006) 'Dugong grazing and turtle cropping: Grazing optimization in tropical seagrass systems?', *Oecologia*, 149(4), pp. 635–647. doi: 10.1007/s00442-006-0477-1.

Arnold, K. E., Findlay, H. S., Spicer, J. I., Daniels, C. L. and Boothroyd, D. (2009) 'Effect of CO2-related acidification on aspects of the larval development of the European lobster, *Homarus gammarus*', *Biogeosciences*, 6(8), pp. 1747–1754. doi: 10.5194/bg-6-1747-2009.

Arnold, T., Mealey, C., Leahey, H., Miller, A. W., Hall-Spencer, J. M., Milazzo, M. and Maers, K. (2012) 'Ocean acidification and the loss of phenolic substances in marine plants.', *PloS one*, 7(4), p. e35107. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035107.

Avery, P., Waycott, M. and McMahon, K. (2014) *A Guide to Southern Temperate Seagrasses*. CSIRO PUBLISHING. doi: 10.1111/maec.12221.

Bayliss, P., Raudino, H., Hutton, M., Murray, K., Waples, K., Strydom, S. and Qld, B. (2019) *Modelling the spatial relationship between dugong (Dugong dugon) and their seagrass habitat in Shark Bay Marine Park before and after the marine heatwave of 2010/11.* 

Beer, S., Axelson, L. and Bjork, M. (2006) 'Modes of photosynthetic bicarbonate utilisation in seagrasses, and their possible roles in adaptations to specific habitats', in *Proceedings of the Mediterranean* seagrass workshop, 2006, pp. 3–7.

Beer, S., Beardall, J. and Bjork, M. (2015) *Photosynthesis in the marine environment, Oceanography*. Oxford: Wiley. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2015.52.

Bellchambers, L. M., Mantel, P., Chandrapavan, A., Pember, M. B. and Evans, S. E. (2012) *Western Rock Lobster Ecology-The State of Knowledge Marine Stewardship Council Principle 2: Maintenance of Ecosystem*. Available at: www.fish.wa.gov.au (Accessed: 21 January 2021).

Beran, M. (1995) *Carbon Sequestration in the Biosphere, Carbon Sequestration in the Biosphere*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-79943-3.

Bergstrom, E., Silva, J., Martins, C. and Horta, P. (2019) 'Seagrass can mitigate negative ocean acidification effects on calcifying algae', *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-35670-3.

Berke, P., Ghosh, S. and Wabnitz, C. C. C. (2006) 'Coastal Ecosystems and Tsunami Protection after the December 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami', *Earthquake Spectra*, 22(S3), pp. 863–887. doi: 10.1193/1.2201971.

Bigg, G. R., Jickells, T. D., Liss, P. S. and Osborn, T. J. (2003) 'The role of the oceans in climate', *International Journal of Climatology*, 23(10), pp. 1127–1159. doi: 10.1002/joc.926.

Blandon, A. and Zu Ermgassen, P. S. E. (2014) 'Quantitative estimate of commercial fish enhancement by seagrass habitat in southern Australia', *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 141, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2014.01.009.

Boyd, P. W. (2014) Cross-chapter box on net primary production in the ocean. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel. doi: 10.1029/2011JC007151.

Bradshaw, C. J. A., Ehrlich, P. R., Beattie, A., Ceballos, G., Crist, E., Diamond, J., Dirzo, R., Ehrlich, A. H., Harte, J., Harte, M. E., Pyke, G., Raven, P. H., Ripple, W. J., Saltré, F., Turnbull, C., Wackernagel, M. and Blumstein, D. T. (2021) 'Underestimating the Challenges of Avoiding a Ghastly Future', *Frontiers in Conservation Science*, 1, p. 615419. doi: 10.3389/fcosc.2020.615419.

Braid, J. (2005) 'Conserving Marine Environments: Out of Sight Out of Mind', *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 11(3), p. 223. doi: 10.1071/pc050223.

Briggs, A. A. and Carpenter, R. C. (2019) 'Contrasting responses of photosynthesis and photochemical efficiency to ocean acidification under different light environments in a calcifying alga', *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40620-8.

Brodie, J., Smale, D. A., Suggett, D., Santos, R., Harvey, B., Kamenos, N. A., Steinke, M., Nunes, J., Teichberg, M., Donohue, P. J. C., Anderson, K. M., Ragazzola, F., Schmidt, D. N., Burrows, M. T., Williamson, C. J., Brownlee, C., Brodie, J., Cunliffe, M., Hall-Spencer, J. M., *et al.* (2014) 'The future of the northeast Atlantic benthic flora in a high CO 2 world', *Ecology and Evolution*, 4(13), pp. 2787–2798. doi: 10.1002/ece3.1105.

Brooker, R. M., Feeney, W. E., Sih, T. L., Ferrari, M. C. O. and Chivers, D. P. (2019) 'Comparative diversity of anemone-associated fishes and decapod crustaceans in a Belizean coral reef and seagrass system', *Marine Biodiversity*, 49(6), pp. 2609–2620. doi: 10.1007/s12526-019-00993-5.

Buapet, P., Gullström, M. and Björk, M. (2013) 'Photosynthetic activity of seagrasses and macroalgae in temperate shallow waters can alter seawater pH and total inorganic carbon content at the scale of a coastal embayment', *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 64(11), pp. 1040–1048. doi: 10.1071/MF12124.

Burnell, O. W., Connell, S. D., Irving, A. D., Watling, J. R. and Russell, B. D. (2014) 'Contemporary reliance on bicarbonate acquisition predicts increased growth of seagrass Amphibolis antarctica in a high-CO2 world', *Conservation Physiology*, 2(1). doi: 10.1093/conphys/cou052.

Burnell, O. W., Russell, B. D., Irving, A. D. and Connell, S. D. (2013) 'Eutrophication offsets increased sea urchin grazing on seagrass aused by ocean warming and acidification', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 485, pp. 37–46. doi: 10.3354/meps10323.

Byrne, M. (2011) 'Impact of ocean warming and ocean acidification on marine invertebrate life history stages: Vulnerabilities and potential for persistence in a changing ocean', *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, 49(2011), pp. 1–42.

Carey, N., Harianto, J. and Byrne, M. (2016) 'Sea urchins in a high-CO 2 world: partitioned effects of body size, ocean warming and acidification on metabolic rate', *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 219(8), pp. 1178–1186. doi: 10.1242/jeb.136101.

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R. and Dirzo, R. (2017) 'Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(30), pp. E6089–E6096. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1704949114.

Chan, F., Barth, J. A., Blanchette, C. A., Byrne, R. H., Chavez, F., Cheriton, O., Feely, R. A., Friederich, G., Gaylord, B., Gouhier, T., Hacker, S., Hill, T., Hofmann, G., McManus, M. A., Menge, B. A., Nielsen, K. J., Russell, A., Sanford, E., Sevadjian, J., *et al.* (2017) 'Persistent spatial structuring of coastal ocean acidification in the California Current System', *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02777-y.

Clarkson, M. O., Kasemann, S. A., Wood, R. A., Lenton, T. M., Daines, S. J., Richoz, S., Ohnemueller, F., Meixner, A., Poulton, S. W. and Tipper, E. T. (2015) 'Ocean acidification and the Permo-Triassic mass extinction', *Science*, 348(6231), pp. 229–232. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa0193.

*Climate change—reducing Australia's emissions – Parliament of Australia* (2020). Available at: https://www.aph.gov.au/About\_Parliament/Parliamentary\_Departments/Parliamentary\_Library/pubs/B riefingBook45p/EmissionsReduction (Accessed: 14 January 2021).

Cook, J., Nuccitelli, D., Green, S. A., Richardson, M., Winkler, B., Painting, R., Way, R., Jacobs, P. and Skuce, A. (2013) 'Quantifying the consensus on anthropogenic global warming in the scientific literature', *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2), p. 024024. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/024024.

Cook, J., Oreskes, N., Doran, P. T., Anderegg, W. R. L., Verheggen, B., Maibach, E. W., Carlton, J. S., Lewandowsky, S., Skuce, A. G., Green, S. A., Nuccitelli, D., Jacobs, P., Richardson, M., Winkler, B., Painting, R. and Rice, K. (2016) 'Consensus on consensus: A synthesis of consensus estimates on humancaused global warming', *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(4), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/4/048002.

Cornwall, C. E., Hepburn, C. D., Mcgraw, C. M., Currie, K. I., Pilditch, C. A., Hunter, K. A., Boyd, P. W. and Hurd, C. L. (2013) 'Diurnal fluctuations in seawater pH influence the response of a calcifying macroalga to ocean acidification', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1772). doi: 10.1098/rspb.2013.2201.

Cornwall, C. E., Revill, A. T., Hall-Spencer, J. M., Milazzo, M., Raven, J. A. and Hurd, C. L. (2017) 'Inorganic carbon physiology underpins macroalgal responses to elevated CO2', *Scientific Reports*, 7(April), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1038/srep46297.

Cox, T. E., Schenone, S., Delille, J., Díaz-Castañeda, V., Alliouane, S., Gattuso, J.-P. and Gazeau, F. (2015) 'Effects of ocean acidification on *Posidonia oceanica* epiphytic community and shoot productivity', *Journal of Ecology*. Edited by B. Silliman, 103(6), pp. 1594–1609. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12477.

Cunha, A. H., Marbá, N. N., van Katwijk, M. M., Pickerell, C., Henriques, M., Bernard, G., Ferreira, M. A., Garcia, S., Garmendia, J. M. and Manent, P. (2012) 'Changing Paradigms in Seagrass Restoration', *Restoration Ecology*, 20(4), pp. 427–430. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2012.00878.x.

Cyronak, T., Andersson, A. J., D'Angelo, S., Bresnahan, P., Davidson, C., Griffin, A., Kindeberg, T., Pennise, J., Takeshita, Y. and White, M. (2018) 'Short-Term Spatial and Temporal Carbonate Chemistry Variability in Two Contrasting Seagrass Meadows: Implications for pH Buffering Capacities', *Estuaries and Coasts*,

41(5), pp. 1282–1296. doi: 10.1007/s12237-017-0356-5.

Cyronak, T., Schulz, K. G. and Jokiel, P. L. (2016) 'The Omega myth: What really drives lower calcification rates in an acidifying ocean', *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(3), pp. 558–562. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv075.

Denny, M. (2008) 'Encyclopedia of tidepools and rocky shores', *Choice Reviews Online*, 45(09), pp. 45-4738-45–4738. doi: 10.5860/choice.45-4738.

van Dijk, K. jent, Digiantonio, G. and Waycott, M. (2018) 'New microsatellite markers for the seagrass *Amphibolis antarctica* reveal unprecedented genetic diversity', *Aquatic Botany*, 148, pp. 25–28. doi: 10.1016/j.aquabot.2018.04.002.

Dixson, D. L., Jennings, A. R., Atema, J. and Munday, P. L. (2015) 'Odor tracking in sharks is reduced under future ocean acidification conditions', *Global Change Biology*, 21(4), pp. 1454–1462. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12678.

Doney, S. C., Busch, D. S., Cooley, S. R. and Kroeker, K. J. (2020) 'The impacts of ocean acidification on marine ecosystems and reliant human communities', *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*. Annual Reviews Inc., pp. 83–112. doi: 10.1146/annurev-environ-012320-083019.

Duarte, C. M., Hendriks, I. E., Moore, T. S., Olsen, Y. S., Steckbauer, A., Ramajo, L., Carstensen, J., Trotter, J. A. and McCulloch, M. (2013) 'Is Ocean Acidification an Open-Ocean Syndrome? Understanding Anthropogenic Impacts on Seawater pH', *Estuaries and Coasts*, 36(2), pp. 221–236. doi: 10.1007/s12237-013-9594-3.

Duffy, J. E., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Trinanes, J., Muller-Karger, F. E., Ambo-Rappe, R., Boström, C., Buschmann, A. H., Byrnes, J., Coles, R. G., Creed, J., Cullen-Unsworth, L. C., Diaz-Pulido, G., Duarte, C. M., Edgar, G. J., Fortes, M., Goni, G., Hu, C., Huang, X., Hurd, C. L., *et al.* (2019) 'Toward a coordinated global observing system for seagrasses and marine macroalgae', *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6(JUL), p. 317. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00317.

Dupont, S., Havenhand, J., Thorndyke, W., Peck, L. and Thorndyke, M. (2008) 'Near-future level of CO2driven ocean acidification radically affects larval survival and development in the brittlestar *Ophiothrix fragilis*', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 373, pp. 285–294. doi: 10.3354/meps07800.

EAA (2016) *Ocean Acidification - Future Projections*. Available at: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/ocean-acidification-1/assessment#tab-used-in-publications.

Errera, R. M., Yvon-Lewis, S., Kessler, J. D. and Campbell, L. (2014) 'Reponses of the dinoflagellate Karenia brevis to climate change: PCO2 and sea surface temperatures', *Harmful Algae*, 37(1), pp. 110–116. doi: 10.1016/j.hal.2014.05.012.

Evans, K., Bax, N. and Smith, D. (2017) *Australia state of the environment: Marine environment*. doi: 10.1201/b19041-3.

FEU-US (2019) The Truth Behind the Climate Pledges, FEU-US. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.

Filbee-Dexter, K., Wernberg, T., Grace, S. P., Thormar, J., Fredriksen, S., Narvaez, C. N., Feehan, C. J. and Norderhaug, K. M. (2020) 'Marine heatwaves and the collapse of marginal North Atlantic kelp forests', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-70273-x.

Forster, P. M., Maycock, A. C., McKenna, C. M. and Smith, C. J. (2020) 'Latest climate models confirm

need for urgent mitigation', *Nature Climate Change*. Nature Research, pp. 7–10. doi: 10.1038/s41558-019-0660-0.

Foster, G. L. and Rae, J. W. B. (2016) 'Reconstructing Ocean pH with Boron Isotopes in Foraminifera', *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 44(1), pp. 207–237. doi: 10.1146/annurev-earth-060115-012226.

Foster, T., Falter, J. L., McCulloch, M. T. and Clode, P. L. (2016) 'Ocean acidification causes structural deformities in juvenile coral skeletons', *Science Advances*, 2(2), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1501130.

Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marbà, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A., Apostolaki, E. T., Kendrick, G. A., Krause-Jensen, D., McGlathery, K. J. and Serrano, O. (2012) 'Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock', *Nature Geoscience*, 5(7), pp. 505–509. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1477.

Gao, K. and Zheng, Y. (2010) 'Combined effects of ocean acidification and solar UV radiation on photosynthesis, growth, pigmentation and calcification of the coralline alga *Corallina sessilis* (Rhodophyta)', *Global Change Biology*, 16(8), pp. 2388–2398. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02113.x.

Genner, M. J., Freer, J. J. and Rutterford, L. A. (2017) *Future of the Sea: Biological Responses to Ocean Warming Foresight-Future of the Sea Evidence Review Foresight, Government Office for Science Biological Responses to Ocean Warming*. Available at:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/64 5500/Ocean\_Acidification\_final\_v3.pdf (Accessed: 21 November 2018).

Girard, F. and Fisher, C. R. (2018) 'Long-term impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on deep-sea corals detected after seven years of monitoring', *Biological Conservation*, 225, pp. 117–127. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2018.06.028.

googlemaps (2021) 31°41′42.4″S 115°42′06.6″E - Google Maps, Mindarie. Available at: https://www.google.com/maps/place/31°41′42.4%22S+115°42′06.6%22E/@-31.6878424,115.7146912,3411m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x0:0x0!8m2!3d-31.6951111!4d115.7018333 (Accessed: 14 January 2021).

Green, A. E., Unsworth, R. K. F., Chadwick, M. A. and Jones, P. J. S. (2021) 'Historical Analysis Exposes Catastrophic Seagrass Loss for the United Kingdom', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12(1), p. 629962. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.629962.

Hall-Spencer, J. M. and Harvey, B. P. (2019) 'Ocean acidification impacts on coastal ecosystem services due to habitat degradation', *Emerging Topics in Life Sciences*, 3(2), pp. 197–206. doi: 10.1042/ETLS20180117.

Hall-Spencer, J. M., Rodolfo-Metalpa, R., Martin, S., Ransome, E., Fine, M., Turner, S. M., Rowley, S. J., Tedesco, D. and Buia, M. C. (2008) 'Volcanic carbon dioxide vents show ecosystem effects of ocean acidification', *Nature*, 454(7200), pp. 96–99. doi: 10.1038/nature07051.

Harvey, B. P., Allen, R., Agostini, S., Hoffmann, L. J., Kon, K., Summerfield, T. C., Wada, S. and Hall-Spencer, J. M. (2021) 'Feedback mechanisms stabilise degraded turf algal systems at a CO2 seep site', *Communications Biology*, 4(1), pp. 5–10. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-01712-2.

Haynes, K., Hofmann, T. A., Smith, C. J., Ball, A. S., Graham, J. C., Osborn, A. M., Park, W., Sciences, P. and Bank, W. (2007) 'Diatom-Derived Carbohydrates as Factors Affecting Bacterial Community Composition in Estuarine Sediments', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73(August), pp. 6112–6124. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00551-07.

Hays, G. C., Mortimer, J. A., Ierodiaconou, D. and Esteban, N. (2014) 'Use of Long-Distance Migration Patterns of an Endangered Species to Inform Conservation Planning for the World's Largest Marine Protected Area', *Conservation Biology*, 28(6), pp. 1636–1644. doi: 10.1111/cobi.12325.

Head, C. E. I., Bayley, D. T. I., Rowlands, G., Roche, R. C., Tickler, D. M., Rogers, A. D., Koldewey, H., Turner, J. R. and Andradi-Brown, D. A. (2019) 'Coral bleaching impacts from back-to-back 2015–2016 thermal anomalies in the remote central Indian Ocean', *Coral Reefs*, 38(4), pp. 605–618. doi: 10.1007/s00338-019-01821-9.

Heithaus, M. R., Vaudo, J. J., Kreicker, S., Layman, C. A., Krützen, M., Burkholder, D. A., Gastrich, K., Bessey, C., Sarabia, R., Cameron, K., Wirsing, A., Thomson, J. A. and Dunphy-Daly, M. M. (2013) 'Apparent resource partitioning and trophic structure of large-bodied marine predators in a relatively pristine seagrass ecosystem', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 481, pp. 225–237. doi: 10.3354/meps10235.

Hendriks, I. E., Olsen, Y. S., Ramajo, L., Basso, L., Steckbauer, A., Moore, T. S., Howard, J. and Duarte, C. M. (2014) 'Photosynthetic activity buffers ocean acidification in seagrass meadows', *Biogeosciences*, 11(2), pp. 333–346. doi: 10.5194/bg-11-333-2014.

Henehan, M. J., Ridgwell, A., Thomas, E., Zhang, S., Alegret, L., Schmidt, D. N., Rae, J. W. B., Witts, J. D., Landman, N. H., Greene, S. E., Huber, B. T., Super, J. R., Planavsky, N. J. and Hull, P. M. (2019) 'Rapid ocean acidification and protracted Earth system recovery followed the end-Cretaceous Chicxulub impact', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, October(1). doi: 10.1073/pnas.1905989116.

Hilderbrand, R. H., Watts, A. C. and Randle, A. M. (2005) 'The myths of restoration ecology', *Ecology & society*, 10(1).

Honisch, B., Ridgwell, A., Schmidt, D. N., Thomas, E., Gibbs, S. J., Sluijs, A., Zeebe, R., Kump, L.,
Martindale, R. C., Greene, S. E., Kiessling, W., Ries, J., Zachos, J. C., Royer, D. L., Barker, S., Marchitto, T.
M., Moyer, R., Pelejero, C., Ziveri, P., *et al.* (2012) 'The Geological Record of Ocean Acidification', *Science*, 335(6072), pp. 1058–1063. doi: 10.1126/science.1208277.

Houk, P., Benavente, D. and Fread, V. (2012) 'Trophic downgrading of planet Earth.', *Biodiversity and conservation*, 21(8), pp. 301–306. doi: 10.3354/meps162301.

Van Houtan, K. S. and Kittinger, J. N. (2014) 'Historical commercial exploitation and the current status of Hawaiian green turtles', *Biological Conservation*, 170, pp. 20–27. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.11.011.

Hu, D., Wu, L., Cai, W., Gupta, A. Sen, Ganachaud, A., Qiu, B., Gordon, A. L., Lin, X., Chen, Z., Hu, S., Wang, G., Wang, Q., Sprintall, J., Qu, T., Kashino, Y., Wang, F. and Kessler, W. S. (2015) 'Pacific western boundary currents and their roles in climate', *Nature*, pp. 299–308. doi: 10.1038/nature14504.

Hubau, W., Lewis, S. L., Phillips, O. L., Affum-Baffoe, K., Beeckman, H., Cuní-Sanchez, A., Daniels, A. K., Ewango, C. E. N., Fauset, S., Mukinzi, J. M., Sheil, D., Sonké, B., Sullivan, M. J. P., Sunderland, T. C. H., Taedoumg, H., Thomas, S. C., White, L. J. T., Abernethy, K. A., Adu-Bredu, S., *et al.* (2020) 'Asynchronous carbon sink saturation in African and Amazonian tropical forests', *Nature*, 579(7797), pp. 80–87. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2035-0.

Hurd, C. L., Lenton, A., Tilbrook, B. and Boyd, P. W. (2018) 'Current understanding and challenges for oceans in a higher-CO2 world', *Nature Climate Change*, 8(8), pp. 686–694. doi: 10.1038/s41558-018-0211-0.

Hurrell, J. W. and Deser, C. (2010) 'North Atlantic climate variability: The role of the North Atlantic

Oscillation', *Journal of Marine Systems*, 79(3–4), pp. 231–244. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.11.002.

Iluz, D. and Dubinsky, Z. (2013) 'Quantum Yields in Aquatic Photosynthesis', in *Photosynthesis*. InTech. doi: 10.5772/56539.

IPCC (2013) *Fifth Assessment Report - Climate Change 2013*. Available at: http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/ (Accessed: 23 November 2018).

IUCN (2019) *IUCN Redlist Dugong dugon (Dugong)*. Available at: https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/6909/160756767 (Accessed: 21 January 2021).

Jackson, J. B. C. (2001) 'What was natural in the coastal oceans?', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(10), pp. 5411–5418. doi: 10.1073/pnas.091092898.

Jackson, J. B. C. (2009) 'Ecological extinction and evolution in the brave new ocean', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2(1), pp. 5–26. doi: 10.17226/12501.

James, N. P., Bone, Y., Brown, K. M. and Cheshire, A. (2009) 'Calcareous Epiphyte Production in Cool-Water Carbonate Seagrass Depositional Environments - Southern Australia', *Perspectives in Carbonate Geology*, pp. 123–148. doi: 10.1002/9781444312065.ch9.

Jenkins, G. P. and King, D. (2006) 'Variation in larval growth can predict the recruitment of a temperate, seagrass-associated fish', *Oecologia*, 147(4), pp. 641–649. doi: 10.1007/s00442-005-0336-5.

Johnson, V., Brownlee, C., Milazzo, M. and Hall-Spencer, J. (2015) 'Marine Microphytobenthic Assemblage Shift along a Natural Shallow-Water CO2 Gradient Subjected to Multiple Environmental Stressors', *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 3(4), pp. 1425–1447. doi: 10.3390/jmse3041425.

van Katwijk, M. M., Thorhaug, A., Marbà, N., Orth, R. J., Duarte, C. M., Kendrick, G. A., Althuizen, I. H. J., Balestri, E., Bernard, G., Cambridge, M. L., Cunha, A., Durance, C., Giesen, W., Han, Q., Hosokawa, S., Kiswara, W., Komatsu, T., Lardicci, C., Lee, K. S., *et al.* (2016) 'Global analysis of seagrass restoration: The importance of large-scale planting', *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 53(2), pp. 567–578. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12562.

Kendrick, G. A., Nowicki, R., Olsen, Y. S., Strydom, S., Fraser, M. W., Sinclair, E. A., Statton, J., Hovey, R. K., Thomson, J. A., Burkholder, D., McMahon, K. M., Kilminster, K., Hetzel, Y., Fourqurean, J. W., Heithaus, M. R. and Orth, R. J. (2019) 'A systematic review of how multiple stressors from an extreme event drove ecosystem-wide loss of resilience in an iconic seagrass community', *Frontiers in Marine Science*. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00455.

Kendrick, G. A., Waycott, M., Carruthers, T. J. B., Cambridge, M. L., Hovey, R., Krauss, S. L., Lavery, P. S., Les, D. H., Lowe, R. J., Vidal, O. M. i, Ooi, J. L. S., Orth, R. J., Rivers, D. O., Ruiz-Montoya, L., Sinclair, E. A., Statton, J., van Dijk, J. K. and Verduin, J. J. (2012) 'The Central Role of Dispersal in the Maintenance and Persistence of Seagrass Populations', *BioScience*, 62(1), pp. 56–65. doi: 10.1525/bio.2012.62.1.10.

Van Keulen, M. (2021) 'Personal Communication'.

Van Keulen, M. and Borowitzka, M. A. (2003) 'Seasonal variability in sediment distribution along an exposure gradient in a seagrass meadow in Shoalwater Bay, Western Australia', *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 57(4), pp. 587–592. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00394-3.

King George Whiting (1995) National Government of south Australia, Southern Fisheries.

Knauth, L. P. and Kennedy, M. J. (2009) 'The late Precambrian greening of the Earth', Nature, 460(7256),

pp. 728–732. doi: 10.1038/nature08213.

Koch, M., Bowes, G., Ross, C. and Zhang, X. H. (2013) 'Climate change and ocean acidification effects on seagrasses and marine macroalgae', *Global Change Biology*, 19(1), pp. 103–132. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02791.x.

Komesaroff, P. and Kerridge, I. (2020) 'A Continent Aflame: Ethical Lessons From the Australian Bushfire Disaster', *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, 17(1), pp. 11–14. doi: 10.1007/s11673-020-09968-9.

Kübler, J. E. and Dudgeon, S. R. (2015) 'Predicting effects of ocean acidification and warming on algae lacking carbon concentrating mechanisms', *PLoS ONE*, 10(7), p. e0132806. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132806.

Larkum, A., Orth, R. and Duarte, C. (2005) Seagrasses: Biology, ecology and conservation. Springer.

Larkum, A. W., Davey, P. A., Kuo, J., Ralph, P. J., Raven, J. A. and Griffiths, H. (2017) 'Carbonconcentrating mechanisms in seagrasses'. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx206.

Lenton, T. M., Rockström, J., Gaffney, O., Rahmstorf, S., Richardson, K., Steffen, W. and Schellnhuber, H. J. (2019) 'Climate tipping points — too risky to bet against', *Nature*, 575(7784), pp. 592–595. doi: 10.1038/d41586-019-03595-0.

Lenton, T. M. and Williams, H. T. P. (2013) 'On the origin of planetary-scale tipping points', *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, pp. 380–382. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2013.06.001.

Les, D. H., Cleland, M. A. and Waycott, M. (1997) 'Phylogenetic studies in alismatidae, II: Evolution of marine angiosperms (Seagrasses) and hydrophily', *Systematic Botany*, 22(3), pp. 443–463. doi: 10.2307/2419820.

Litz, J. A., Baran, M. A., Bowen-Stevens, S. R., Carmichael, R. H., Colegrove, K. M., Garrison, L. P., Fire, S. E., Fougeres, E. M., Hardy, R., Holmes, S., Jones, W., Mase-Guthrie, B. E., Odell, D. K., Rosel, P. E., Saliki, J. T., Shannon, D. K., Shippee, S. F., Smith, S. M., Stratton, E. M., *et al.* (2014) 'Review of historical unusual mortality events (UMEs) in the Gulf of Mexico (1990-2009): Providing context for the multi-year northern Gulf of Mexico cetacean UME declared in 2010', *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, pp. 161–175. doi: 10.3354/dao02807.

Lockwood, M. (2018) 'Right-wing populism and the climate change agenda: exploring the linkages', *Environmental Politics*, 27(4), pp. 712–732. doi: 10.1080/09644016.2018.1458411.

Long, W. C., Swiney, K. M., Harris, C., Page, H. N. and Foy, R. J. (2013) 'Effects of Ocean Acidification on Juvenile Red King Crab (*Paralithodes camtschaticus*) and Tanner Crab (*Chionoecetes bairdi*) Growth, Condition, Calcification, and Survival', *PLoS ONE*. Edited by S. Dupont, 8(4), p. e60959. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060959.

López-López, J. A., Montoya, D., Jones, P. C., Meli, P., Moreno-Mateos, D., Barbier, E. B., Aronson, J., Jones, H. P., Rey Benayas, J. M. and McCrackin, M. L. (2017) 'Anthropogenic ecosystem disturbance and the recovery debt', *Nature Communications*, 8(2017), pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14163.

Macreadie, P. I., Anton, A., Raven, J. A., Beaumont, N., Connolly, R. M., Friess, D. A., Kelleway, J. J., Kennedy, H., Kuwae, T., Lavery, P. S., Lovelock, C. E., Smale, D. A., Apostolaki, E. T., Atwood, T. B., Baldock, J., Bianchi, T. S., Chmura, G. L., Eyre, B. D., Fourqurean, J. W., *et al.* (2019) 'The future of Blue Carbon science', *Nature Communications*, pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11693-w. Marsh, H., Grech, A. and McMahon, K. (2018) 'Dugongs: Seagrass community specialists', in *Seagrasses of Australia: Structure, Ecology and Conservation*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 629–661. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-71354-0\_19.

Martin, S., Rodolfo-Metalpa, R., Ransome, E., Rowley, S., Buia, M. C., Gattuso, J. P. and Hall-Spencer, J. (2008) 'Effects of naturally acidified seawater on seagrass calcareous epibionts', *Biology Letters*, 4(6), pp. 689–692. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2008.0412.

Di Martino, E. and Taylor, P. D. (2014) 'A brief review of seagrass-associated bryozoans, Recent and fossil', *Bryozoan Studies 2013: proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> International Bryozoology Association Conference, Catania, Sicily*, 94(JANUARY), pp. 79–94.

McHugh, K. A., Allen, J. B., Barleycorn, A. A. and Wells, R. S. (2011) 'Severe *Karenia brevis* red tides influence juvenile bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) behavior in Sarasota Bay, Florida', *Marine Mammal Science*, 27(3), pp. 622–643. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2010.00428.x.

McKenzie, L. J., Nordlund, L. M., Jones, B. L., Cullen-Unsworth, L. C., Roelfsema, C. and Unsworth, R. K. F. (2020) 'The global distribution of seagrass meadows', *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(7), p. 074041. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/ab7d06.

Mcleod, E., Chmura, G. L., Bouillon, S., Salm, R., Björk, M., Duarte, C. M., Lovelock, C. E., Schlesinger, W. H. and Silliman, B. R. (2011) 'A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO2', *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9(10), pp. 552–560. doi: 10.1890/110004.

McMahon, K., van Dijk, K., Ruiz-Montoya, L., Kendrick, G. A., Krauss, S. L., Waycott, M., Verduin, J., Lowe, R., Statton, J., Brown, E. and Duarte, C. (2014) 'The movement ecology of seagrasses', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1795), p. 20140878. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.0878.

McVeigh, K. (2020) 'Revealed: 97% of UK marine protected areas subject to bottom-trawling | Environment | The Guardian', *The Guardian*. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/oct/09/revealed-97-of-uk-offshore-marine-parkssubject-to-destructive-fishing (Accessed: 8 November 2020).

Minich, J. J., Morris, M. M., Brown, M., Doane, M., Edwards, M. S., Michael, T. P. and Dinsdale, E. A. (2018) 'Elevated temperature drives kelp microbiome dysbiosis, while elevated carbon dioxide induces water microbiome disruption', *PLoS ONE*, 13(2). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192772.

Monbiot, G. (2014) 'Ripping up the sea floor on behalf of royal profits', *The Guardian*. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/environment/georgemonbiot/2014/oct/24/ripping-up-the-sea-floor-on-behalf-of-royal-profits (Accessed: 14 December 2018).

Moreno, A. R. and Martiny, A. C. (2017) 'Ecological Stoichiometry of Ocean Plankton'. doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-121916.

Nayar, S., Collings, G. J., Miller, D. J., Bryars, S. and Cheshire, A. C. (2009) 'Uptake and resource allocation of inorganic carbon by the temperate seagrasses *Posidonia* and *Amphibolis*', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 373(2), pp. 87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2009.03.010.

Nellemann, C., Corcoran, E., Duarte, C. M., Valdés, L., De, Young, C., Fonseca, L. and Grimsditch, G. (2009) *Blue Carbon: The role of healthy oceans in binding carbon, Blue Carbon. A Rapid Response Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme*. Available at: www.grida.no (Accessed: 6 February 2020).

Nowicki, R., Heithaus, M., Thomson, J., Burkholder, D., Gastrich, K. and Wirsing, A. (2019) 'Indirect legacy effects of an extreme climatic event on a marine megafaunal community', *Ecological Monographs*, 89(3). doi: 10.1002/ecm.1365.

O'Donnell, M. J., Hammond, L. M. and Hofmann, G. E. (2009) 'Predicted impact of ocean acidification on a marine invertebrate: Elevated CO2alters response to thermal stress in sea urchin larvae', *Marine Biology*, 156(3), pp. 439–446. doi: 10.1007/s00227-008-1097-6.

Oliver, E. C. J., Donat, M. G., Burrows, M. T., Moore, P. J., Smale, D. A., Alexander, L. V., Benthuysen, J. A., Feng, M., Sen Gupta, A., Hobday, A. J., Holbrook, N. J., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., Scannell, H. A., Straub, S. C. and Wernberg, T. (2018) 'Longer and more frequent marine heatwaves over the past century', *Nature Communications*, 9(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03732-9.

Orth, R., Carruthers, T., Dennison, W., Duarte, C., Fourqurean, J., Heck, K., Hughes, R., Kendrick, G., Kenworthy, J., Olyarnik, S., Short, F., Waycott, M. and Williams, S. (2006) 'A Global Crisis for Seagrass Ecosystems', *BioScience*, 56(12), p. 987. doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2006)56[987:AGCFSE]2.0.CO;2.

Orth, R. J., Moore, K. A., Marion, S. R., Wilcox, D. J. and Parrish, D. B. (2012) 'Seed addition facilitates eelgrass recovery in a coastal bay system', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 448, pp. 177–195. doi: 10.3354/meps09522.

Paerl, H. W. and Otten, T. G. (2013) 'Harmful Cyanobacterial Blooms: Causes, Consequences, and Controls', *Microbial Ecology*, 65(4), pp. 995–1010. doi: 10.1007/s00248-012-0159-y.

Paerl, H. W. and Paul, V. J. (2012) 'Climate change: Links to global expansion of harmful cyanobacteria', *Water Research*, 46(5), pp. 1349–1363. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.002.

Pendleton, L., Donato, D. C., Murray, B. C., Crooks, S., Jenkins, W. A., Sifleet, S., Craft, C., Fourqurean, J. W., Kauffman, J. B., Marbà, N., Megonigal, P., Pidgeon, E., Herr, D., Gordon, D. and Baldera, A. (2012) 'Estimating Global "Blue Carbon" Emissions from Conversion and Degradation of Vegetated Coastal Ecosystems', *PLoS ONE*, 7(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043542.

Petrou, K., Baker, K. G., Nielsen, D. A., Hancock, A. M., Schulz, K. G. and Davidson, A. T. (2019) 'Acidification diminishes diatom silica production in the Southern Ocean', *Nature Climate Change*, 9(10), pp. 781–786. doi: 10.1038/s41558-019-0557-y.

Pimentel, M. S., Rosa, R., Faleiro, F., Repolho, T., Pousao-Ferreira, P., Machado, J. and Dionisio, G. (2014) 'Defective skeletogenesis and oversized otoliths in fish early stages in a changing ocean', *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 217(12), pp. 2062–2070. doi: 10.1242/jeb.092635.

PML (2021) Ocean Acidification (image), adapted from University of Maryland.

Ralph, P. J. and Gademann, R. (2005) 'Rapid light curves: A powerful tool to assess photosynthetic activity', *Aquatic Botany*, 82(3), pp. 222–237. doi: 10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.02.006.

Rasmusson, L. (2015) 'Amphibolis antarctica at Mindarie'. Photograph.

Rasmusson, L. M., Gullström, M., Gunnarsson, P. C. B., George, R. and Björk, M. (2019) 'Estimation of a whole plant Q10 to assess seagrass productivity during temperature shifts', *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), p. 12667. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-49184-z.

Repolho, T., Duarte, B., Dionísio, G., Paula, J. R., Lopes, A. R., Rosa, I. C., Grilo, T. F., Caçador, I., Calado, R. and Rosa, R. (2017) 'Seagrass ecophysiological performance under ocean warming and acidification',

*Scientific Reports*, 7(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1038/srep41443.

Riebesell, U., Aberle-Malzahn, N., Achterberg, E. P., Algueró-Muñiz, M., Alvarez-Fernandez, S., Arístegui, J., Bach, L. T., Boersma, M., Boxhammer, T., Guan, W., Haunost, M., Horn, H. G., Löscher, C. R., Ludwig, A., Spisla, C., Sswat, M., Stange, P. and Taucher, J. (2018) 'Toxic algal bloom induced by ocean acidification disrupts the pelagic food web', *Nature Climate Change*, 1(2018), p. 1. doi: 10.1038/s41558-018-0344-1.

Riebesell, U., Fabry, V., Hannson, L. and Gattuso, J.-P. (2011) *Guide to best practices for ocean acidification research and data reporting*.

Riebesell, U., Zondervan, I., Rost, B., Tortell, P. D., Zeebe, R. E. and Morel, F. M. M. (2000) 'Reduced calcification of marine plankton in response to increased atmospheric CO2', *Nature*, 407(6802), pp. 364–367. doi: 10.1038/35030078.

Rife, A. N., Erisman, B., Sanchez, A. and Aburto-Oropeza, O. (2013) 'When good intentions are not enough...Insights on networks of "paper park" marine protected areas', *Conservation Letters*, 6(3), pp. 200–212. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-263X.2012.00303.x.

Riosmena-Rodriguez, R., Nelson, W. and Aguirre, J. (2017) *Rhodolith/Maërl Beds: A Global Perspective*. Edited by R. Riosmena-Rodríguez, W. Nelson, and J. Aguirre. Springer International Publishing (Coastal Research Library). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-29315-8.

Ripple, W. J., Wolf, C., Newsome, T. M., Galetti, M., Alamgir, M., Crist, E., Mahmoud, M. I. and Laurance, W. F. (2017) 'World Scientists' Warning to Humanity: A Second Notice', *BioScience*, 67(12), pp. 1026–1028. doi: 10.1093/biosci/bix125.

Roleda, M. Y., Boyd, P. W. and Hurd, C. L. (2012) 'Before ocean acidification: Calcifier chemistry lessons', *Journal of Phycology*, 48(4), pp. 840–843. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01195.x.

Russell, B. D., Connell, S. D., Findlay, H. S., Tait, K., Widdicombe, S. and Mieszkowska, N. (2013) 'Ocean acidification and rising temperatures may increase biofilm primary productivity but decrease grazer consumption', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1627), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0438.

Russell, B. D., Connell, S. D., Uthicke, S., Muehllehner, N., Fabricius, K. E. and Hall-Spencer, J. M. (2013) 'Future seagrass beds: Can increased productivity lead to increased carbon storage?', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 73(2), pp. 463–469. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.031.

Sahney, S. and Benton, M. J. (2008) 'Recovery from the most profound mass extinction of all time', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 275(1636), pp. 759–765. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2007.1370.

Sawall, Y., Richter, C. and Ramette, A. (2012) 'Effects of Eutrophication, Seasonality and Macrofouling on the Diversity of Bacterial Biofilms in Equatorial Coral Reefs', *PLoS ONE*. Edited by J. A. Gilbert, 7(7), p. e39951. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039951.

Schreiber, U. (2007) 'Pulse-Amplitude-Modulation (PAM) Fluorometry and Saturation Pulse Method: An Overview', in *Chlorophyll a Fluorescence*. Antwerp: Springer, pp. 279–319. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-3218-9\_11.

Seddon, S., Murray-Jones, S and Murray-Jones, Sue (2016) *Proceedings of the Seagrass Restoration Workshop for Gulf St Vincent 15 – 16 May 2001*. Available at: http://www.sardi.sa.gov.au (Accessed: 21 January 2021).

Semesi, I. S., Beer, S. and Björk, M. (2009) 'Seagrass photosynthesis controls rates of calcification and photosynthesis of calcareous macroalgae in a tropical seagrass meadow', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 382, pp. 41–47. doi: 10.3354/meps07973.

Seminoff, J. A. (2004) Chelonia mydas. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2004: e.T4615A11037468. http://dx.doi. org / 10.2305/ IUCN.UK.2004.RLTS.T4615A11037468.en (accessed 10 July 2018). doi: 10.2305/IUCN.UK.2004.RLTS.T4615A11037468.en.

Senko, J., Schneller, A. J., Solis, J., Ollervides, F. and Nichols, W. J. (2011) 'People helping turtles, turtles helping people: Understanding resident attitudes towards sea turtle conservation and opportunities for enhanced community participation in Bahia Magdalena, Mexico', *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 54(2), pp. 148–157. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2010.10.030.

Sinutok, S., Hill, R., Doblin, M. A., Kühl, M. and Ralph, P. J. (2012) 'Microenvironmental changes support evidence of photosynthesis and calcification inhibition in *Halimeda* under ocean acidification and warming', *Coral Reefs*, 31(4), pp. 1201–1213. doi: 10.1007/s00338-012-0952-6.

Smith, H. J. (2019) 'Impact acidification', *Science*, 366(6468), p. 968. doi: 10.1126/science.366.6468.967-g.

Strydom, S., Murray, K., Wilson, S., Huntley, B., Rule, M., Heithaus, M., Bessey, C., Kendrick, G. A., Burkholder, D., Fraser, M. W. and Zdunic, K. (2020) 'Too hot to handle: Unprecedented seagrass death driven by marine heatwave in a World Heritage Area', *Global Change Biology*, 26(6), pp. 3525–3538. doi: 10.1111/gcb.15065.

Tanner, J. E. (2014) 'Restoration of the Seagrass *Amphibolis antarctica* — Temporal Variability and Long-Term Success', *Estuaries and Coasts*, 38(2), pp. 668–678. doi: 10.1007/s12237-014-9823-4.

Thomson, J. A., Burkholder, D. A., Heithaus, M. R., Fourqurean, J. W., Fraser, M. W., Statton, J. and Kendrick, G. A. (2015) 'Extreme temperatures, foundation species, and abrupt ecosystem change: an example from an iconic seagrass ecosystem', *Global Change Biology*, 21(4), pp. 1463–1474. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12694.

Travis, J. M. J. (2003) 'Climate change and habitat destruction: A deadly anthropogenic cocktail', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270(1514), pp. 467–473. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2246.

Turley, C. M., Roberts, J. M. and Guinotte, J. M. (2007) 'Corals in deep-water: Will the unseen hand of ocean acidification destroy cold-water ecosystems?', *Coral Reefs*, 26(3), pp. 445–448. doi: 10.1007/s00338-007-0247-5.

Turner, W. R. (2018) 'Looking to nature for solutions', *Nature Climate Change*, 8(1), pp. 18–19. doi: 10.1038/s41558-017-0048-y.

Unsworth, R. K. F., Bertelli, C. M., Cullen-Unsworth, L. C., Esteban, N., Jones, B. L., Lilley, R., Lowe, C., Nuuttila, H. K. and Rees, S. C. (2019) 'Sowing the seeds of seagrass recovery using hessian bags', *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(AUG). doi: 10.3389/fevo.2019.00311.

Vaughan, A. (2020) ""Massive failure": The world has missed all its biodiversity targets | New Scientist', *New Scientist*. Available at: https://www.newscientist.com/article/2254460-massive-failure-the-world-has-missed-all-its-biodiversity-targets/ (Accessed: 5 October 2020).
Verduin, J. J., Seidlitz, A., van Keulen, M. and Paling, E. I. (2013) 'Maximising establishment success of *Amphibolis antarctica* seedlings', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 449, pp. 57–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2013.08.016.

Walz - Germany (2021). Available at: https://www.walz.com/ (Accessed: 28 January 2021).

Wang, S., Zhuang, Q., Lähteenoja, O., Draper, F. C. and Cadillo-Quiroz, H. (2018) 'Potential shift from a carbon sink to a source in Amazonian peatlands under a changing climate', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(49), pp. 12407–12412. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1801317115.

Watkinson, A. J., O'Neil, J. M. and Dennison, W. C. (2005) 'Ecophysiology of the marine cyanobacterium, *Lyngbya majuscula (Oscillatoriaceae)* in Moreton Bay, Australia', *Harmful Algae*, 4(4), pp. 697–715. doi: 10.1016/j.hal.2004.09.001.

Waycott, M., Duarte, C. M., Carruthers, T. J. B., Orth, R. J., Dennison, W. C., Olyarnik, S., Calladine, A., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L., Hughes, A. R., Kendrick, G. A., Kenworthy, W. J., Short, F. T. and Williams, S. L. (2009) 'Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(30), pp. 12377–12381. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905620106.

Wernberg, T., Russell, B. D., Moore, P. J., Ling, S. D., Smale, D. A., Campbell, A., Coleman, M. A., Steinberg, P. D., Kendrick, G. A. and Connell, S. D. (2011) 'Impacts of climate change in a global hotspot for temperate marine biodiversity and ocean warming', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. Elsevier, pp. 7–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2011.02.021.

Wilkins, L. G. E., Leray, M., O'Dea, A., Yuen, B., Peixoto, R. S., Pereira, T. J., Bik, H. M., Coil, D. A., Duffy, J. E., Herre, E. A., Lessios, H. A., Lucey, N. M., Mejia, L. C., Rasher, D. B., Sharp, K. H., Sogin, E. M., Thacker, R. W., Thurber, R. V., Wcislo, W. T., *et al.* (2019) 'Host-associated microbiomes drive structure and function of marine ecosystems', *PLoS Biology*, 17(11), p. e3000533. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000533.

Williams, A. P., Abatzoglou, J. T., Gershunov, A., Guzman-Morales, J., Bishop, D. A., Balch, J. K. and Lettenmaier, D. P. (2019) 'Observed Impacts of Anthropogenic Climate Change on Wildfire in California', *Earth's Future*, 7(8), pp. 892–910. doi: 10.1029/2019EF001210.

Wisshak, M., Schönberg, C. H. L., Form, A. and Freiwald, A. (2012) 'Ocean Acidification Accelerates Reef Bioerosion', *PLoS ONE*, 7(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0045124.

Witman, S. (2017) 'World's Biggest Oxygen Producers Living in Swirling Ocean Waters', *Eos*, 14 September. doi: 10.1029/2017eo081067.

van Woesik, R., Golbuu, Y. and Roff, G. (2015) 'Keep up or drown: Adjustment of western Pacific coral reefs to sea-level rise in the 21st century', *Royal Society Open Science*, 2(7). doi: 10.1098/rsos.150181.

WTW (2021) *WTW – Laboratory & Process Instrumentation*. Available at: https://www.wtw.com/en/ (Accessed: 28 January 2021).

Yeh, S. W., Kug, J. S., Dewitte, B., Kwon, M. H., Kirtman, B. P. and Jin, F. F. (2009) 'El Niño in a changing climate', *Nature*, 461(7263), pp. 511–514. doi: 10.1038/nature08316.

Zimmerman, R., Hill, V., Jinuntuya, M., Celeb, i B., Ruble, D., Smith, M., Cedeno, T. and Swingle, W. (2017) 'Experimental impacts of climate warming and ocean carbonation on eelgrass *Zostera marina*', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 566, pp. 1–15. Available at: http://www.int-

res.com/abstracts/meps/v566/p1-15/.