

## University of Kentucky **UKnowledge**

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress

## Chemical Composition of Range Plants of Vital Fodder Value for Livestock-Based Economy in Uttarakhand Himalaya, India

Babita Bohra International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, Nepal

Vir Singh

R. D. Gaur

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc



Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/15-1/45

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

## Chemical composition of range plants of vital fodder value for livestock-based economy in Uttarakhand Himalaya, India

Babita Bohra<sup>1</sup>, Vir Singh<sup>2</sup> and RD Gaur<sup>2</sup>

Professor, Regional Rangeland Consultant, ICIMOD, P.O. Box 3226, Kathmandu, Nepal E-mail: babitabohra@rediffmail.com, bbohra@icimod.org, babitabohra@gmail.com

**Key words:** Chemical composition, nutritive value, range plants, tree fodder

Introduction India's 219 million cattle, 94 million buffalo and 123,50 million goats account for about 16,57 and 17 percent of the world's total dairy animal population, respectively. India's Himalayan State of Uttarakhand is especially rich in its livestock resources with overwhelming dependence on range plants . This paper provides the nutritive values of the range plants of fodder value and compares them with crop residues and concentrate feeds.

Materials and methods Samples for chemical analysis included tree fodder (Grewia opiva, Celtis australis, Vitis parkeri, Ougenia delbaegioides, Ficus clavata, Ficus roxburghii, Ficus palmata, Machilus duthiei, Quercus leucotricophora, Ficus nemoralis, Ficus cunia, Bauhinia vahlii, and Pyrunus cerariades), grasses (Themeda anathera green, mixed green grass, and Themeda anathera hay), crop residues (rice straw and finger millet straw), and concentrate feeds (complete feed block, urea-molasses-mineral blocks, and home-produced concentrate). The samples were collected from 60 families in three mountain villages in the Almora district of Uttarakhand Himalaya , India . They were analysed for their proximate analysis using the standard methods of AOAC (1995). Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF) were determined according to Goering and Van Soest (1970). Digestibility was determined by using nylon bag technique following Mehrez and Ørskov (1977) . Statistical analysis was done by using CRD (Snedecor and Cochran , 1968) .

Results and discussion Chemical composition and dry matter digestibility (DMD) values showed a wide variation amongst the types of feeds and fodders available for livestock in the study area. There was significant difference (p<0.01) between DM, CP, CF, NDF, ADF and DMD percentage in different groups (Table 1). Chemical composition of a feed or fodder naturally depends upon its source and, within the source, it varies with environmental factors such as soil, climate, etc. This was the reason for differences in the nutritive values of feeds and fodders .

**Table 1** Average nutritive values (percent) of tree fodder, grasses, crop residues and concentrate feed.

Parameters	Tree fodder	Grasses	Crop residues	Concentrate feed
Dry matter**	$36.07 \pm 2.880$	36 <i>2</i> 4±12 <i>4</i> 43	89 .30±0 .599	87 .13±3 .622
Crude protein**	$16.64\pm1.034$	6.32±0.437	$3.14\pm0.185$	18 .32±1 .912
Ether extract	1 .89±0 .248	$2.13\pm0.229$	$1.50\pm0.549$	$3.06\pm1.506$
Crude fibre**	$17.58\pm1.008$	$29.30\pm1.448$	47 <i>2</i> 4±0 .164	$10.57 \pm 5.557$
Total ash	$12.79\pm1.214$	9 29±1 .194	10.31±0.039	$14.14 \pm 5.639$
Acid insoluble ash	$2.74\pm0.616$	4.72±0.632	5.330±0.730	$5.13\pm2.103$
Neutral detergent fibre**	54 .03±0 .868	$55.83\pm1.317$	68 .57±1 .550	$31.11\pm13.500$
Acid detergent fibre***	$37.55\pm1.500$	39 .98±2 .770	43 .46±0 .609	18 .67±7 .961
Digestibility**	$56.76\pm2.353$	$47.40\pm2.694$	52 .00±0 .000	81 .00±6 .658
Nitrogen free extract	$51.15\pm1.96$	52 .94±0 .774	37 <i>2</i> 5±1 <i>.</i> 844	53 .98±8 .509
Organic matter	87 <i>2</i> 0±1 <i>2</i> 1	90 .70±1 .194	89 .69±3 .624	85 .85±5 .639

Values bearing superscripts in a row differ significantly; \*\* P<0.01

Conclusions Nutritive value of fodder trees is comparable even with concentrate feeds. Rangeland plants, thus, offer the most important input for the sustenance and potential development of livestock-based economy of mountain areas .

AOAC., 1995. Official Methods of Analysis (16th ed.). Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Goering, H.K. and P.J. Van Soest., 1970. Forage fibre analysis (Apparatus, reagents, procedures and some applications). Agriculture Handbook No . 379:1-20.

Mehrez, A Z. and E.R. Ørskov., 1977. A study of the artificial fibre technique for determining the digestibility of feeds in the rumen . J . Agri . Sci . 88 : 645-650 .

Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G., 1968. Statistical Methods. The Indian Edition New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.